

San Francisco Democratic County Central Committee

455 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, California
Milton Marks Auditorium
Wednesday, February 26, 2014
7:00 p.m.

Regular Meeting Agenda 72-hour Notice

Note: A majority of the members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and of one or more Board committees, currently serve as members of the San Francisco Democratic County Central Committee (DCCC). The law is not clear as to whether the open meeting requirements of state and local law apply to DCCC meetings attended by these Board members. Out of abundance of caution, the Board members and the DCCC have agreed to comply with these open meeting laws.

1. Call to Order and Roll Call

Chair: Mary Jung. Members: Kat Anderson, John Avalos, David Campos, David Chiu, Malia Cohen, Petra DeJesus, Matt Dorsey, Bevan Dufty, Zoe Dunning, Kelly Dwyer, Bill Fazio, Tom Hsieh, Leslie Katz, Hene Kelly, Meagan Levitan, Rafael Mandelman, Eric Mar, Trevor McNeil, Carole Migden, Leah Pimentel, Alix Rosenthal, Arlo Hale Smith, and Scott Wiener. Ex-Officio Members: U.S. Senator Dianne Feinstein; U.S. House Democratic Leader Nancy Pelosi; U.S. Rep. Jackie Speier; Attorney General Kamala Harris; State Senator Leland Yee; State Senator Mark Leno; Assemblymember Tom Ammiano; Assemblymember Phil Ting.

2. Approval of Meeting Agenda (Discussion and possible action)

Discussion and possible action regarding the approval of this agenda.

3. Approval of Meeting Minutes (Discussion and possible action)

Discussion and possible action to approve the minutes of the DCCC's Regular Meeting of Wednesday, January 22, 2014. (Minutes forthcoming.)

4. Public Comment

The DCCC will take public comment on all items on the agenda and, in addition, other matters germane to party business but not on the agenda.

5. Reports (Discussion and possible action)

DCCC member reports, including discussion and possible action, to offer updates on work assignments and discuss ongoing objectives.

- a) **Chair** (Jung). Report on DCCC activities, including the California Democratic State Convention on March 7-9, 2014 in Los Angeles.
 - b) **CDP Region 6 Update** (Kelly). Report on activities of the California Democratic Party from Hene Kelly, Director of Region 6.
 - c) **Issues** (Rosenthal). Report out from the Issues Committee meeting on resolutions before the SFDCCC.
 - d) **Voter Registration** (McNeil). Report on voter registration efforts.
 - e) **Club Chartering** (Pimentel). Report on club-related activities, and update from the Presidents' Cabinet meeting.
 - f) **Recording Secretary** (Anderson). Attendance report on DCCC membership.
 - g) **Finance** (Dunning). Report on progress toward strategic goals and fundraising activities.
 - h) **Treasurer** (Hsieh). Report on the current budget and finances of the party.
 - i) **Executive Director** (Medina). Update on DCCC activities, including the Lunar New Year College Democrats fundraiser.
6. **Resolution: Carbon Tax** (Eisen, Potrero Democratic Club) (Discussion and possible action.) Proposed resolution urging the U.S. Congress to enact a tax on the CO₂ potential of fossil fuels for the purpose of reducing U.S. CO₂ emissions to 10 percent of 1990 levels by 2050.
 7. **Resolution: Sugary Beverage Tax** (Pimentel) (Discussion and possible action.) Proposed resolution supporting a sugary beverage tax for the purpose of decreasing consumption and enhancing public health and to fund recreation and nutrition programs; and urging the Board of Supervisors to submit to voters a ballot measure for the Nov. 2014 election to tax sugary beverages.
 8. **Resolution: Opposing repeal of AB 1266, which clarifies legal protections for transgender students in California** (Dunning/Dorsey) (Discussion and possible

action.) Proposed resolution supporting the right of students to safely access school facilities, programs and activities consistent with their gender identity needs, and urging the California Democratic Party to defend and uphold AB 1266, "The School Success and Opportunity Act," authored by Assemblymember Tom Ammiano (D-San Francisco), should a referendum aimed at its repeal qualify for the statewide ballot.

9. **New Business** (Discussion and possible action)

Note that the DCCC is unable to discuss or take action on items not on this agenda when those discussions pertain to matters within the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors. The limited exception is to discuss and take action in deciding whether to calendar such items for a future meeting.

10. Adjournment

Note: The Issues Committee will meet at 6:15 p.m., immediately prior to the full meeting on February 26, 2014.

Agenda Item Information

For more information concerning agendas, minutes, and meeting information, and materials relating to agenda items: visit the DCCC website at <http://www.sfdemocrats.org>; or email DCCC Correspondence Secretary Matt Dorsey at info@sfdemocrats.org; or write to the San Francisco Democratic County Central Committee at 645 Harrison St., No. 200; San Francisco, CA 94107. Other related materials received by the DCCC after the posting of the agenda are available for public inspection at the meeting time and location listed at the beginning of this agenda.

Meeting Procedures

Each member of the public will be allotted the same maximum number of minutes to speak as set by the Chair.

Disability Access

The Milton Marks Auditorium and the State Office Building at 455 Golden Gate Avenue in San Francisco are wheelchair accessible. To seek a disability related accommodation to participate in the meeting, please contact DCCC Correspondence Secretary Matt Dorsey at info@sfdemocrats.org; or write to the San Francisco Democratic County Central Committee at 645 Harrison St., No. 200; San Francisco, CA 94107. Requests must be received at least 48 hours before the meeting.

The nearest accessible BART station is Civic Center (Market/Grove/Hyde Streets). Accessible MUNI Metro lines are the F, J, K, L, M, N, T (exit at Civic Center or Van Ness Stations). MUNI bus

lines also serving the area are the 5, 6, 9, 19, 21, 47, 49, 71, and 71L. For more information about MUNI accessible services, call (415) 701-4485. There is accessible parking in the vicinity of the California State Building at Civic Center Plaza and adjacent to Davies Hall and the War Memorial Complex. In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to perfumes and various other chemical-based scented products. Please help the City to accommodate these individuals.

Know Your Rights Under The Sunshine Ordinance

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact by mail Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102; phone at (415) 554-7724; fax at (415) 554-7854; or by email at sotf@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, at <http://www.sfbos.org/sunshine>

Cell Phones, Pagers and Similar Sound-Producing Electronic Devices

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

San Francisco Democratic County Central Committee

455 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, California
Milton Marks Auditorium
Wednesday, January 22, 2014
7:00 p.m.

Regular Meeting Minutes

Note: A majority of the members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and of one or more Board committees, currently serve as members of the San Francisco Democratic County Central Committee (DCCC). The law is not clear as to whether the open meeting requirements of state and local law apply to DCCC meetings attended by these Board members. Out of abundance of caution, the Board members and the DCCC have agreed to comply with these open meeting laws.

1. **Call to Order and Roll Call** 7:03 pm

Chair: Mary Jung. Members: Kat Anderson, John Avalos, David Chiu (7:34), Malia Cohen, Matt Dorsey, Bevan Dufty (7:14), Zoe Dunning, Kelly Dwyer, Tom Hsieh, Leslie Katz (7:23), Hene Kelly, Meagan Levitan (7:39), Rafael Mandelman, Eric Mar, Trevor McNeil, Leah Pimentel, Alix Rosenthal, Arlo Hale Smith and Scott Wiener. Ex-Officio Members: U.S. Rep. Jackie Speier, Attorney General Kamala Harris; State Senator Leland Yee (7:23); State Senator Mark Leno; Assemblymember Tom Ammiano; Assemblymember Phil Ting (7:07)

Absent excused: Bill Fazio, Carole Migden. Ex-Officio Members: U.S. Senator Dianne Feinstein

Absent: David Campos, Petra DeJesus. Ex-Officio Members: U.S. House Democratic Leader Nancy Pelosi

Proxies: Bill Fazio gave proxy to Tom Hsieh, Scott Wiener gave proxy to Adam Taylor at 7:57 pm, Dianne Feinstein gave proxy to Jeff Mori

2. **Approval of Meeting Agenda**

M/S/C to approve agenda.

3. **Approval of Meeting Minutes**

M/S/C to approve minutes.

4. Public Comment

Naemah Charles – President of SFSU College Democrats and USF College Democrats are jointly holding a fundraiser to enable students to attend the Democratic convention. Feb 15 2-4 pm right before the Chinese New Year's parade. She will send an email to all of us with details.

Alyce Brown – Commission on Access Appeals to discuss disability placards. The DMV has to update their records.

Jain Thapa – AP Democratic Caucus - seeks a no on item 9.

Jim Wachob – FDR Democratic club – re: support for the parking resolution.

Susan Pfeifer – FDR Democratic club – re: support for disability parking resolution.

SFMTA tried an end-run around the SF Board of Supervisors.

Margaret O'Sullivan – Irish American Democratic Club – re: item number 9 – this is counter-intuitive to the Democratic Party principles. Vote “no” on this proposal.

Patrick Valentino – Lawyer and South Beach Democratic Club – on item number 9. This is troubling, prohibitive and smothering. Would prohibit good people from serving on the committee. Maybe just focus on clear conflicts of interest that are financial.

Mark Murphy – Alice B. Toklas – re: item number 9. Urges a “no” vote.

Re: Malia Cohen's resolution about protecting our children – it will save lives and protect children.

Annie Thatcher-Stephens – FDR club – re: disability parking. Places an unnecessary burden on those with disabilities and seniors. Look at regulation of permits. Frowns on doing the end-run around the BOS.

Dave Massen – Citizens Climate Lobby – resolution in support of federal carbon tax (to be considered next month). Democrats in Congress support this idea. Four SF Democratic Clubs have signed on.

Susan Pfeifer – Democratic Party – re: Covered California update.

Alec Bash - proponent of national elections and re: item 9 – I hope this is not some internal smear machine or a solution in search of a problem.

Vera Haile – re: the disability placard resolution. She was not happy about the recommendations, such as having placard holders pay meter rates. This will mean people will stay home because they cannot afford the rates.

Vince Courtney – Laborers' International union – the laborers are fully in support of the FDR Democratic Club. Re: item 9 – we are not happy with the proposal because we consider that it is bad for labor – to recuse all union members across the city from participating in this way. No evidence that

there has been any malfeasance. We expect you to work together. You have to involve labor in your decision-making.

Michael Nulty – president Central City Democratic Club – re: disability-parking issue. We would like to co-sponsor this with the FDR club.

Arjan Amiri – re: disability parking – no one should have any additional rights that makes them better than other working members of society. We should charge these individuals for the parking. It is only fair that everyone pay for parking.

Brian Webster – Invited us to Labor Community awards Feb 28 at the Marriott; spoke against item 9 – infringes on the Chinese community's participation in the Democratic Party.

Tony Fazio – worked on the slate card. There was never any impropriety attached to that slate card. To exclude the chair and the treasurer from the organization around the card makes no sense. That law needs to be looked at by an attorney.

Tomasita Nedal – re: disability placards. Asks people to be empathetic about people with disabilities.

Closed 7:42 pm

5. **Reports**

DCCC member reports, including discussion and possible action, to offer updates on work assignments and discuss ongoing objectives.

- a) **Chair** (Jung). Report on DCCC activities, including the California Democratic State Convention on March 7-9, 2014 in Los Angeles. Would like to see as many of our members there as possible.
- b) **Issues** (Rosenthal). Report out from the Issues Committee meeting on resolutions before the SFDCCC. Voted unanimously to recommend that resolution on dis placard. Not able to move on member Cohen's resolution because she did not attend the issues committee meeting.
- c) **Voter Registration** (McNeil). Report on voter registration efforts.
- d) **Club Chartering** (Pimentel). Report on club-related activities, and update from the Presidents' Cabinet meeting. Working to streamline endorsement process. Brownie Mary and Willie B. Kennedy Democratic Club have requested charters.

M/S/C (Pimentel/Katz) to approve charters.

- e) **Communications** (Dorsey). Report on memos from California Democratic Party regarding DCCC membership elections and updates to county committee bylaws to comport with changes to state law. This body is ahead of the curve on this. It's posted.
 - f) **Finance** (Dunning). Report on progress toward strategic goals and fundraising activities. Thanks to everyone who sponsored and attended the Jane Morrison event to benefit Sue Bierman internship program. Raised \$12,500. The big breakfast is April 11 with Congresswoman Jackie Speier. We already have \$25,000 in sponsorships. Nick Josefowitz has bought a table already. We did a strategic planning event a year ago. Review of some of those objectives. We are meeting our fundraising goals. Still need to work on recurring on-line giving. Voter registration and education goals are going well. Clubs – have not yet set up DCCC members as liaisons to the clubs. We are achieving a lot of our goals.
 - g) **Treasurer** (Hsieh). Report on the current budget and finances of the party. We had a very good year in 2013. Our federal account holds over \$79,000. Net income was over \$101,000. We had some professional fees because of the slate card. Have over \$65,000 cash on hand in both federal and state accounts.
 - h) **Executive Director** (Medina). Update on DCCC activities, including the Sue Bierman Memorial Internship Program. We are coordinating with the college Democrats who have been helping with phone-banking and registration. Please help send students to the Democratic convention and participate in the Feb 15 fundraiser.
6. **CDP Region 6 Update** (Kelly). Report on activities of the California Democratic Party from Hene Kelly, Director of Region 6. There is a pre-endorsement meeting on Feb 8 at 11:30 am. This is the one chance for clubs to have votes. If you cannot get to the meeting, you can ask to have a ballot sent to you and it can be sent to Kelly in some way. She will even come get it. Details at cadem.org. You can have a mail ballot but you cannot have a proxy. If there is a contest, the winner has to get 70%. If that does not happen, it goes to convention. At convention, you cannot have a mail ballot, but you can have a proxy.

7. **Resolution: Crimes Against Children** (Cohen/Katz, Dunning, Pimentel, Dwyer, Kelly, Ammiano)

Proposed resolution supporting the expansion of existing state law (which currently applies to children under the age of 14) to children under the age of 18 for purposes of requiring witnesses to report certain violent and sexual crimes against children to peace officers; and assuring the protection of all children under the age of 18 from such crimes. Brought to her by the African American caucus.

Katz said this was discussed at the CADEMs Resolutions Committee and they discussed that 14 years old was random and under 18 made more sense.

Perkins (Speier) spoke about how critical support of this is.

Kelly commented that teachers must report this. If you have any inkling that a child has been abused in any way, report it.

Mandelman has two concerns about this: 1) generally we don't impose obligations on everyone to report crime and 2) lewd and lascivious acts perpetrated by a 19 year old against a 17 year old – I'm not sure what that would look like.

Maufas spoke about circumstances that make it clear that certain activity should be questioned. Don't just walk away.

Smith commented that police don't have resources for witness protection.

McNeil commented that there are exceptions that allow space not to report.

M/S/C (Cohen/Katz)

Abstain: Feinstein, Smith, Leno, and Ting

8. **Resolution: Protecting Parking Access for People with Disabilities** (Kelly, Dorsey, Mandelman, FDR Democratic Club) Proposed resolution opposing the City and County of San Francisco's efforts to amend state law to impose time limits and begin charging disability parking placard holders to park; and supporting collaborative efforts by local jurisdictions to combat fraud and misuse in the disability parking placards.

Rizzo asked if the city is looking for exemptions from State law for itself. Kelly and Katz confirmed yes.

Jung commented that chartered club presidents are allowed to speak during this time.

Jonathan Lyons commented that this effort by SFMTA would affect the entire state of California. This is not about an exemption. The SFMTA board is doing this in the absence of public comment.

Chiu commented that we have recognized that there have been some abuses. SFMTA did not do a good job of figuring out how those with limited means can make this work.

Cohen asked how do we sort this all out when, for example, the MTA is not here and we cannot hear that perspective.

Rosenthal commented that the issues committee was not well represented. Only 5 of us, but it was vigorously discussed. MTA was not there.

Hsieh commented that there are parliamentary procedures that allow us to delay a vote if we don't think we have all the facts.

Lyons commented that this situation has been going on for 10 months. The MTA has gone around the Bay Area and held multiple presentations and did not invite the other viewpoint.

Katz called the question.

M/S/C (Kelly/Rosenthal)

Abstain: Ting, Cohen, Feinstein, Smith, Leno

Alicia Wang was recognized.

- 9. Revision to the Policies and Procedures Manual: Slate Card Conflicts of Interest** (Kelly) Proposed revision to the S.F. Democratic Party's Policy and Procedure Manual prohibiting members of the SFDCCC with certain financial

conflicts of interest from serving on the Slate Card/Bidding Subcommittee, or voting to award certain SFDCCC contracts.

Kelly seeks to open a conversation about transparency in our process. In no way do I want to “quiet” Asian Americans or take the vote away from them. I am not addressing this to anybody. This was addressed to transparency. I want people to know if I am supporting somebody other than what is not the slate card. That does not throw me off the slate card committee.

I am open to discussing this today or sending it back to committee.

The last election’s slate card was beautiful and “we won.”

I volunteered on this committee. I am not attacking anybody and I hope they are not attacking me.

I move that this be referred to bylaws committee.

Rothschild – a former chair of the DCCC and served on the slate card committee. When we saw this on the paper or just saw it on the agenda, I thought this was inappropriate. The appropriate thing to do was to say thank you first. We had a public vote before the slate card committee was working. There was transparency. The slate card was successful. We encourage people to be involved on campaigns, even if we disagree with them. We have all had positions. I think this is a ruse. There should be no suggestion whatsoever that anything other than proper conduct occurred. We should vote “no” on this. I am against sending this to committee tonight.

Dunning – When I see a resolution, I ask, “what is the problem we are trying to solve,” and I did not see a problem. I am reminded of voter suppression. This is not about disclosure; it is about prohibition. It is about banning people. I support up and down vote.

Maufas – if we have an up and down vote and it does not pass, can it be brought again? Jung – yes, at another meeting.

Rosenthal – Thanks Kelly about bringing this up. There is a section on conflicts of interest that would prevent most of us from participating. This bothers me. We are an active group. I want this to go back to committee to address this.

Dorsey – Associates with Rosenthal’s remarks. He had his own questions when he was working for Herrera and Herrera was running – to endorse? There are things we can spell out and address without prohibiting anyone.
Mandelman – I am incredibly fond of our chair, our treasurer and Tony Fazio. It is a good thing that we have a Chinese-American chair and treasurer. I have reviewed this resolution and although it needs work, is patently fair.

Katz – There is a theme here that disclosure is valuable. This does not get to that. Timing is an issue here. When we put together a bidding committee, we cannot know what people are going to do. I recommend this be voted down. It targets valuable members. I assume all serve with the best interests of the party. Rosenthal brings up a different section.

Smith – moves the previous question.

M/S/C (Rothschild/Katz) Move to oppose this resolution. Vote “yes.”
Resolution defeated.

M/S/C (Rosenthal/Dorsey) Conflicts of interest section 9 bylaws review be added to next month’s agenda.

10. New Business

None.

11. Adjournment

M/S/C (Ting/Dunning) at 8:53 pm.

*Minutes respectfully submitted by
Kat Anderson, recording secretary*

Resolution Urging the United States Congress to Enact a Revenue-Neutral Carbon Tax

Whereas, nearly all scientific experts agree on the basics of climate change: that the Earth is warming, humans are causing it, and it's already harming us and other species; and continuing to burn fossil fuels at recent rates poses far more dangerous and significant risks for our children and grandchildren and will increase the social and economic costs of climate change borne by the citizens of San Francisco and the world; and

Whereas, a U.S. federal carbon tax would incentivize substantial private investment in clean energy and energy efficiency and reduce fossil fuel development, allowing the market to determine which alternative technologies are most effective and reducing global warming emissions and future climate change, while improving public health and increasing prosperity and economic sustainability; and

Whereas, such a tax can be implemented relatively quickly and can be designed to allow for efficient collection and a smooth economic transition, to mitigate the economic impact on most Americans, and to maintain the competitiveness of U.S. businesses in international trade, while encouraging other nations to establish their own carbon taxes and rising rapidly enough to reduce emissions as quickly as scientists say is needed to avoid the worst impacts of global warming;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the San Francisco Democratic County Central Committee urges the United States Congress to enact without delay a tax on the CO₂ potential of fossil fuels, collected as far upstream in the economy as practical, starting low but rising steadily and predictably, to achieve the goal of reducing U.S. CO₂ emissions to 10% of 1990 levels by 2050; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all tax revenue should be returned to households to protect poor and middle income Americans from the impact of rising prices due to the tax, and that the international competitiveness of U.S. businesses should be protected by using border tariffs and tax refunds.

Sponsors: Joni Eisen, President, Potrero Hill Democratic Club; John Avalos; David Campos; David Chiu; Malia Cohen; Petra DeJesus; Matt Dorsey; Hene Kelly; Rafael Mandelman; Leah Pimentel, Alix Rosenthal, Scott Wiener; Noe Valley Democratic Club; Potrero Hill Democratic Club; and Richmond District Democratic Club.

DEMOCRATIC PARTY RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF A SUGARY BEVERAGE TAX IN SAN FRANCISCO

WHEREAS, Beverages sweetened with caloric sweeteners such as sucrose (table sugar) or high fructose corn syrup contain “empty calories” as defined by the US Department of Agriculture¹ and are generally described as “sugary beverages”; and legislation under consideration by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors defines a “sugary beverage” as a 12-ounce drink with 25 or more calories a serving, that has added sugary sweeteners; and sugary beverages, though they can contain hundreds of calories in a serving, do not signal “fullness” to the brain. Studies show that sugary beverages flood the liver with high amounts of sugar in a short amount of time, and that this “sugar rush” over time leads to fat deposits that cause diabetes, cardiovascular disease and other serious health problems;^{2,3} and according to the American Heart Association (AHA), the maximum recommended sugar consumption for women is 6 teaspoons (100 calories) of added sugar a day, and 9 teaspoons (150 calories) for men; and every twelve ounce bottle of a typical sugar-sweetened beverage contains nearly 9 teaspoons of sugar; and sugary beverages represent, on average, 11 percent of daily calories consumed by children in the U.S. A recent survey found that California teenagers are consuming more sugary beverages;

WHEREAS Since 1980, obesity among children and adolescents has tripled nationwide^{4,5}. As recently as 2010, nearly a third of children and adolescents in San Francisco were obese or overweight;⁶ and every additional sugary beverage consumed daily increases a child’s risk for obesity by 60 percent;⁷ One or two sugary beverages per day increases the risk of Type II diabetes by 26 percent;⁸ and one in three children born today will develop Type II diabetes in their lifetime if sugary beverage consumption does not decline;⁹ and diseases connected to sugary beverages disproportionately impact minorities and low-income communities. According to Head Start of San Francisco, 18 percent of 3-4 year olds enrolled in its programs are obese. Overall, 37 percent of African American children and 40 percent of Latino children in San Francisco are obese;¹⁰ and in San Francisco, the highest rates of diabetes hospitalization directly correspond with the highest rates of expenditures on soda;¹¹ and a recent study by the U.S. Centers of Disease Control and Prevention found that adults who got at least 25 percent of their calories from added sugar were almost three times more likely to die of heart problems than those who consumed the least - less than 10 percent; and UCSF researcher Dr. Kirsten Bibbins-Domingo has calculated that even a one-cent per ounce tax on sugar-sweetened beverages could cut sugary beverage consumption by

¹ <http://www.choosemyplate.gov/weight-management-calories/calories/empty-calories.html>

² Nseir, Nasser and Assy, “Soft drinks consumption and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease” *World J Gastroenterol.* 2010 June 7; 16(21): 2579–2588

³ Pan A, Hu FB. Effects of carbohydrates on satiety: differences between liquid and solid food. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care.* 2011;14:385-90

⁴ Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Flegal KM. Prevalence of obesity and trends in body mass index among US children and adolescents, 1999-2010. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 2012;307(5):483-490.

⁵ National Center for Health Statistics. Health, United States, 2011: With Special Features on Socioeconomic Status and Health. Hyattsville, MD; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2012.

⁶ Overweight and obesity among children by California city, 2010, California Center for Public Health Advocacy (http://publichealthadvocacy.org/research_overweight2010.html)

⁷ Relationship between consumption of sugar-sweetened drinks and childhood obesity: a prospective, observational analysis.” *Lancet*, 2001. 357:505-508.

⁸ Malik VS, Popkin BM, Bray GA, Despres JP, Willett WC, Hu FB. Sugar-sweetened beverages and risk of metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care.* 2010;33:2477-83

⁹ Ibid.

¹⁰ Children Now, California County Fact Book 2007

¹¹ City and County of San Francisco Department of Public Health Environmental Health Section

10 percent — with corresponding reductions in future cases of diabetes, obesity and heart disease, as well as the cost of treating them;¹²

WHEREAS The San Francisco Budget and Legislative Analyst reports that of \$48.1 million and \$61.8 million represent the low and high costs incurred by San Franciscans with obesity and diabetes that are attributable to SSB consumption; and the California Democratic Party platform calls on Democrats to support the passage and implementation of nutritionally-healthy school lunch and breakfast programs and to expand parks and recreation such as will be funded by revenue generated from the sugary beverage tax; and legislation proposed by Supervisors Scott Wiener, Eric Mar, Malia Cohen, John Avalos, David Chiu, and David Campos would institute a two-penny per ounce tax on sugary beverages sold in the City and County of San Francisco; and the legislation would legally require revenues raised from the tax be spent on activities that support health, nutrition and physical activity programs in our schools, parks, and communities;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT The San Francisco Democratic Party believes that a sugary beverage tax would decrease consumption of sugary beverages, and bring about a corresponding increase in the overall health of adults and children in our jurisdiction; and

FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT The San Francisco Democratic Party supports a sugary beverage tax, and fully supports that revenues from such a tax should be used to fund active recreation and nutrition programs in SF public schools, Recreation and Park Department rec centers and sports programs, and food access and nutrition education through the Department of Public Health; and the San Francisco Democratic Party urges the Board of Supervisors to place a sugary beverage tax proposal on the November 2014 ballot for approval by voters.

Sponsor: Leah Pimentel

Co-Sponsors: Tom Ammiano, John Avalos, David Campos, David Chiu, Matt Dorsey, Kelly Dwyer, Zoe Dunning, Leslie Katz, Hene Kelly, Mark Leno, Rafael Mandelman, Eric Mar, Trevor McNiel, Alix Rosenthal, Phil Ting, Scott Wiener.

¹² Wang, et al. A Penny-Per-Ounce Tax On Sugar-Sweetened Beverages Would Cut Health And Cost Burdens Of Diabetes
Health Aff January 2012 31:1199-207

Resolution opposing repeal of AB 1266, which clarifies legal protections for transgender students in California and protects student safety

WHEREAS, AB 1266, “The School Success and Opportunity Act,” authored by Assemblymember Tom Ammiano (D-San Francisco), was enacted into law to assure the rights of every student in California to access school facilities, programs and activities consistent with his or her gender identity, regardless of the gender listed on the student’s records; and that this statewide law is substantially similar to the San Francisco Unified School District’s groundbreaking policy, first enacted in 2004, which has been enormously effective in ensuring that all students are able to participate fully in school activities and succeed in school; and

WHEREAS, AB 1266 clarifies existing law prohibiting discrimination based on sex, sexual orientation and gender identity in schools, further protecting students whose identity, behavior, or physical characteristics differ from stereotypical gender associations from harassment and discrimination that inevitably inflict physical, emotional and academic harms; and

WHEREAS, right-wing advocates led by the National Organization for Marriage and the Traditional Values Coalition are working to repeal AB 1266 through a statewide referendum, having submitted a sufficient number of signatures whose validity California counties are in the process of checking before the February 24 deadline; now

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the San Francisco Democratic Party strongly supports the right of all students to have access to safe school facilities, programs and activities consistent with their gender identity needs, and condemns any effort to repeal legal protections for students that are currently enshrined in California and federal law; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the San Francisco Democratic Party urges the California Democratic Party to take immediate action to defend and uphold AB 1266 should a referendum aimed at its repeal qualify for the statewide ballot.

Authored by Zoe Dunning and Matt Dorsey. Current co-sponsors: Assemblymember Tom Ammiano, David Campos, David Chiu, Petra DeJesus, Bill Fazio, Leslie Katz, Hene Kelly, Rafael Mandelman, Alix Rosenthal, Assemblymember Phil Ting, Scott Wiener, and the Harvey Milk LGBT Democratic Club.