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SIXTH STREET VIADUCT REPLACEMENT PROJECT

PROJECT MANAGER’S REPORT
Date: September 18, 2012

To: Sixth St Viaduct Replacement Project Executive Committee

From: Alfred L. Mata, P.E.
Project Manager

Subject: Sixth Street Viaduct Replacement Project

1. Program Management Consultant Contract/Task Order
   a. The CAO report on Contract Amendment No. 2 to extend the contract duration and increase the cost ceiling is to be scheduled for the next Public Works Committee meeting on 9/26.

2. Design Consultant Procurement:
   b. Technical interviews with each firm were held on 9/12. Several DAAC members attended the interviews.
   c. Four Design Competition Public Presentations are scheduled for:
      - 9/12 Puente Learning Center
        - Mayor Villaraigosa and Councilman Huizar attended the 9/12 public presentation and made opening remarks prior to the presentations.
        - Channel 35 recorded the presentations and is scheduled to air as follows:
          - Saturday, September 15, 7:30 p.m.
          - Tuesday, September 18, 7:30 a.m.
          - Thursday, September 20, 6:30 p.m.
          - Additional air times to be scheduled.
        - Presentations, animations, poster boards, video links are on the project website
      - 9/13 Para Los Niños School
      - 9/17 Southern California Institute of Architecture, Keck Hall
      - 9/18 and Boyle Heights Technology Youth Center
   d. A follow up technical interview with the design teams will be held on September 19.
   e. On 9/20, we will meet with the DAAC to receive their input on the final selection of a design team.

3. Design Aesthetic Advisory Committee (DAAC)
   a. Several DAAC members attended the 9/12 design competition technical interviews with the 3 final design teams.
   b. DAAC members are invited to attend the 9/19 design competition technical interviews with the 3 final design teams.
   c. DAAC members are invited to attend the design competition public presentations.
      i. Several DAAC members attended on 9/12, one member attended on 9/13.
d. On 9/20, we will meet with the DAAC to receive their input on the final selection of a design team.

4. Department of Cultural Affairs Coordination
   a. Cultural Affairs staff attended the 9/12 technical interviews with the 3 final design teams.
   b. Cultural Affairs staff are preparing a draft proposal for incorporating the public art component into the project.

5. Construction Manager General Contractor (CMGC):
   a. We have received comments from 4 contractors on our draft RFP and will incorporate those comments.
   b. At the 8/30, Seismic Bond Governance Committee approved our report recommending approval to utilize the CMGC construction delivery method and recommending an ordinance to authorize the use of CMGC. They requested us to submit follow up information on determining who is responsible in the event the CMGC contractor provides input that later results in a construction change. They also asked for additional information to confirm if the CMGC contractor would be allowed to bid the work in the event a guaranteed maximum price could not be negotiated with the CMGC. We submitted a response with additional information on 9/5.
   c. We transmitted a letter to Caltrans notifying them of our intent to utilize the CMGC construction delivery method and included a CMGC work plan as they requested.
   d. We transmitted a letter to FHWA notifying them of our intent to utilize the CMGC construction delivery method and included a CMGC work plan.
   e. In the draft Cooperative Agreement required for the segment of the viaduct that crosses US 101 (Caltrans right-of-way), we transmitted comments that include incorporating language that acknowledges our plans to use CMGC.
   f. On 8/30, we met with DWP staff to get feedback on their use of CMGC thus far.
   g. We continue to work with BOE Project Award and Control Division to develop the RFP and draft contract. We participated in a conference call with staff working on the Sellwood Bridge project in Oregon – they are using CMGC.

6. Intersections
   a. Advanced Planning Studies for all intersections has started.
   b. On 9/13, we met with BOE Survey to establish the priority of each intersection for which survey information is needed. BOE Survey expects to complete the surveys by the end of October.

7. Right-of-Way: Real Estate – BOE-Real Estate Division to provide report.

8. Right-of-Way: Utility Coordination
   a. Continuing to assess existing records, developing a CADD base map.
   b. Letters have been sent requesting as-builts from all utility owners for the Sixth Street Viaduct impacts and the intersection improvements.

9. Right-of-Way: Railroads
   i. We are planning to schedule meetings with the individual railroads to initiate discussions regarding our project crossing the railroads and provide guidance for the team to draft construction and maintenance agreements.

10. Budget
    a. No report.

11. Schedule
    a. The first draft of the program master schedule is to be distributed at the 9/18 monthly executive meeting.
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Date September 18, 2012

To: Alfred L. Mata, P.E.
Division Manager

From: Rick Luebbers, P.E.
PMC Project Manager

Subject: PROGRAM MANAGEMENT CONSULTANT – PROGRESS REPORT

Program Management Activities:
1. West End Study is on hold at the City’s request until a design concept for the new viaduct is selected.
2. Meeting was held with SIX staff on 9/6 to discuss the area under the viaduct on 6th Street between Santa Fe and Mesquit regarding temporary and permanent impacts to access and circulation, particularly in relation to pedestrian and truck movements, plans for Metro’s yard, and the new river access tunnel in the area.
3. The letter providing City comments on Caltrans’ draft Cooperative Agreement was finalized and sent. A key comment is the inclusion of the CMGC delivery process in the agreement.
4. A meeting was held with SIX to review the development progress of the Project Folder Website, including a discussion of the submittal review process.

Schedule and Budget
1. The Master Schedule has been through QC review and is being finalized.
2. The Baseline Budget has been drafted and will be sent to SIX for review after QC.
3. A meeting is scheduled for 9/19 with SIX staff to review and discuss the schedule and budget.

Design Consultant Procurement
4. Conference rooms were reserved for the technical interviews on 9/12.
5. Attended public presentations on 9/12 and 9/13. Coordinated plans for videotaping of the event on 9/12.

Construction Manager General Contractor
1. On 8/30, Seismic Governance Oversight Committee (SGC) accepted the report recommending the CMGC ordinance, pending resolution of 2 questions (responsibility for contracted directed designs, restrictions on bidding if GMP negotiations unsuccessful). FHWA and state DOT’s were contacted and a response was sent to SIX on 9/5. Additional information was requested (who pays for the re-design of contractor requested changes) and a follow up response was provided to SIX on 9/10.
2. FHWA and DOTs were contacted to get information to response to the SGC questions. A technical memorandum was submitted with the answers. It was reviewed with the Project Team on 9/04.
3. Upon receipt of the amended report from SIX, the SGC will direct the CAO to request an enabling ordinance from City Council. A schedule was prepared to show the relationship between the ordinance approval process and the CMGC procurement process (see attached).
4. Reviewed industry comments on the draft CMCG RFP and prepared responses. Appropriate comments will be incorporated into the draft RFP.
5. Incorporated review comments from an additional contractor into the draft RFP. Two more sets of contractor review comments are expected within a week.
6. Finalized the approach for considering price in the evaluation of CMGC proposals.
7. Continued to work with PAC staff to advance the development of the contract and necessary attachments for the RFP.
8. Met with DWP to discuss their experience with CMGC contracting.
9. A meeting is being planned with Caltrans District 7 Director to discuss use of CMGC.
10. Updated the CMGC Workplan to be included with update letters being sent by SIX to FHWA and Caltrans.

Right-of-Way: Acquisition Support
1. Full Take Properties: All appraisal reports are expected to be completed by 9/14.
2. Part Take Properties: Agreements and information packages for appraisers are being completed.
3. A draft relocation plan, based on interviews with grantors, has been prepared and is under review.
4. Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment: two reports are in senior review phase, four reports are 80-85% completed, and the remaining five reports are 30-40% complete.
5. The former Lumary Tire Service, Inc. may be added as a full take. A justification report is being prepared by RED.

Right-of-Way: Utility Coordination
1. Continuing to focus on the accuracy of the utility information and the utility CAD map.
2. Sent letters to 25 utility companies requesting as-built plans for the project site and offsite intersections. Have received partial responses.
3. Have identified the power poles to be removed for DWP and communication OH lines along the north and south 6th Street frontage roads at the west end.
4. Authorization packages are being prepared for communication lines on the existing viaduct structure and the power lines along the north frontage road at the west end. In the process of developing relocation plans for these utilities.

Railroads
1. The river access tunnel APS is being coordinated with the review of the area under the Sixth Street viaduct near Mesquit.
2. Attended a meeting with Metro on 9/6 to initiate discussions for viaduct, tunnel, protective covers, and C&M agreement. Metro provided contact information for other railroads in the project area.
3. Individual meetings with each railroad will be arranged to been coordination efforts. The first meeting is scheduled for 9/18 with UPRR.

Environmental Mitigation: Traffic
1. The Traffic Mitigation Study for the west side of the river, south of 6th Street, including a detailed look at four intersections (Santa Fe/Jesse, Mesquit/Jesse, Santa Fe/6th and Mesquit/6th) has been approved and work started has started.
2. Contact was made with Rancho Cold Storage on 9/11 to discuss concerns regarding aspects of their operations that are sensitive to temporary and permanent traffic access. A follow up discussion is to take place on 9/13.
3. Surveying and mapping activities for the intersections were coordinated with the SUV team.
4. The APS work for the offsite intersections continues.
Environmental Mitigation: Historic
1. Coordinated activities for video recording of Design Competition presentations.
2. Made arrangements for video interviews with the Mayor, CD 14 Councilman, Design Consultant teams and DAAC members.
3. Conducted a videotaped interview with the Mayor and Councilman on 9/12.

Outreach
1. Continued coordination for video recording and broadcasting of the design competition meetings, including various release forms and insurance documents.
2. Sent e-blast with flyer information regarding public presentations by the design teams.
3. Continued coordination for set up of presentation rooms and equipment for the public presentations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Task Name</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>Finish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CMGC Procurement</td>
<td>164 days</td>
<td>Thu 8/30/12</td>
<td>Tue 4/16/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Seismic Governance Committee Meeting</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>Thu 8/30/12</td>
<td>Thu 8/30/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ordinance</td>
<td>82 days</td>
<td>Fri 8/31/12</td>
<td>Mon 12/24/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Request for Ordinance to CAO</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>Fri 8/31/12</td>
<td>Mon 9/3/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CAO Report</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Tue 9/4/12</td>
<td>Mon 9/17/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CAO Report to Mayor</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>Tue 9/4/12</td>
<td>Wed 9/5/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CAO Report to City Clerk</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>Thu 9/5/12</td>
<td>Fri 9/7/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Assign to Council Committee</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>Mon 9/24/12</td>
<td>Mon 9/25/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Committee Action</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Tue 9/25/12</td>
<td>Mon 10/8/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>City Attorney Prepares Ordinance</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>Tue 10/1/12</td>
<td>Mon 10/8/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Council Agenda</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>Tue 10/2/12</td>
<td>Mon 10/9/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Council Approval (1st Consideration)</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>Tue 10/3/12</td>
<td>Mon 10/10/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Council Approval (2nd Consideration)</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>Tue 10/4/12</td>
<td>Mon 10/11/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Mayoral Approval</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Thu 11/8/12</td>
<td>Thu 11/8/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Ordinance Posting Period</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Fri 11/9/12</td>
<td>Thu 11/22/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Ordinance Effective Date</td>
<td>22 days</td>
<td>Fri 11/23/12</td>
<td>Mon 12/24/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>CMGC RFP</td>
<td>163 days</td>
<td>Fri 8/31/12</td>
<td>Tue 4/16/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Prepare CMGC RFQ/P</td>
<td>25 days</td>
<td>Fri 8/31/12</td>
<td>Thu 10/4/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Revise Draft RFQ/P</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Fri 8/31/12</td>
<td>Thu 9/3/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Finalize Evaluation &amp; Scoring Process</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Fri 8/31/12</td>
<td>Thu 9/3/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Prepare Draft CMGC Contract</td>
<td>20 days</td>
<td>Fri 8/31/12</td>
<td>Thu 9/27/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Prepare RFQ/P Attachments</td>
<td>15 days</td>
<td>Fri 8/31/12</td>
<td>Thu 9/20/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Review and Approve RFQ/P</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>Fri 9/28/12</td>
<td>Thu 10/4/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Prepare Board Report</td>
<td>15 days</td>
<td>Fri 8/31/12</td>
<td>Thu 9/20/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Draft Board Report</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Fri 9/30/12</td>
<td>Thu 9/30/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Review and Approve</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>Fri 9/28/12</td>
<td>Thu 9/30/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Board of Public Works Meeting</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>Wed 10/10/12</td>
<td>Wed 10/10/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Release CMGC RFQ/P</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>Thu 11/1/12</td>
<td>Thu 11/1/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Pre-Proposal Meeting</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>Fri 11/1/12</td>
<td>Fri 11/1/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Response to RFIs</td>
<td>25 days</td>
<td>Fri 11/2/12</td>
<td>Thu 11/8/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Issue Agenda</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Fri 11/9/12</td>
<td>Tue 11/13/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Receive Proposals</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>Fri 12/14/12</td>
<td>Fri 12/14/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Evakulke and Shortlist</td>
<td>20 days</td>
<td>Mon 12/17/12</td>
<td>Fri 1/13/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Conduct Interviews</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Mon 1/14/13</td>
<td>Fri 1/12/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Select CMGC &amp; Award Contract</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>Mon 2/4/13</td>
<td>Mon 2/4/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>CMGC Contract Negotiations</td>
<td>30 days</td>
<td>Tue 2/5/13</td>
<td>Mon 3/18/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>CMGC Contract Board Report</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Tue 3/5/13</td>
<td>Mon 3/25/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Board of Public Works Meeting</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>Fri 3/8/13</td>
<td>Fri 3/8/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Execute Contract</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>Mon 4/15/13</td>
<td>Mon 4/15/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Issue Notice to Proceed</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>Tue 4/16/13</td>
<td>Tue 4/16/13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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RIGHT-OF-WAY REPORT
Date: September 18, 2012

To: Sixth Street Viaduct Replacement Project Executive Committee

From: Uriel Jimenez, Division Manager
Real Estate Division

Subject: SIXTH STREET VIADUCT REPLACEMENT PROJECT – RIGHT-OF-WAY ACTIVITIES

1. PROPERTY ACQUISITION SUMMARY
   To date, appraisal inspections have taken place for ten of eleven full-take properties. Eight of the twenty draft appraisals have been received, review appraisals, are due by the end of the month. Within 10 days of receipt and approval of appraisals, offers will be made to property owners for the acquisition of properties. All full-take offers are anticipated to be completed by the end of October, with the exception of one property, which was recently added to the acquisition list as a result of further project refinements.

   Offers for both of these properties are anticipated to be completed in early November.

2. FINAL RIGHT OF WAY MAP
   The final right of way map has been completed, and the notices to proceed with the part-take appraisals, to be issued by 9/21/2012. With the completion of the final appraisals, the remaining acquisition offers are anticipated to be completed by the end of November.

   Negotiations will continue until January and February, at which time the decision to exercise eminent domain will be made to continue with the acquisition of property rights will be made.

3. RELOCATION OF BSS
   A notice has been given to the underlying fee owner of the land under the 4th Street Bridge and a hearing has been scheduled to hear the owner’s comments regarding the removal of an illegal fence across the City right of way. A class C estimate is being completed on the adjoining property (to the 4th Street Bridge); for the acquisition which will be necessary to be able to accommodate BSS at this site. A preliminary estimate of $300,000-$400,000, has been provided.

   A meeting with CAO to discuss funding for the acquisition of property for the BSS relocation will be scheduled in the next two weeks.

4. RED staff met with Metro staff regarding the acquisition of property that both agencies are interested in. Further discussions are anticipated to coordinate construction of a future Metro maintenance yard and the 6th Street Viaduct.