

PIDC met on Monday. The committee members, staff and line drawers attended. We discussed the COI input meeting on June 10, 2021. Lots of kudos for the staff, line drawers and video team in preparing for a meeting that went off very smoothly. We discussed some of the points on the feedback document that Alvaro put together. Many of the items in that document are already being addressed by staff. A few highlights from the conversation and some items for discussion by the entire commission:

Notable public comments from the PID meeting on June 14

- Consider that personal use of social media to comment on public input could be viewed as support for that input.
- Is the Commission still considering providing opportunities for groups to present COI input? (The PID committee will take this issue up at our July 6th meeting and bring forward a recommendation to the Commission.)

Suggestions for staff:

- Since the transitions went smoothly, consider reducing the transition time to one minute which will allow for more appointments in each time block.
- Have some short videos available to show during dead air time
- Consider modifying the instructions for signing up to make it clearer that appointments are available but not required.
- Post the phone number and code on our web site prior to meeting so those who have internet access can share that information with those who do not.
- Provide some type of prompt that will let the public know what we are looking for in COI input.
- Truncate the agenda to only 3 items (call to order/roll call, opening & public input sessions, public comment) to limit confusion of public input and public comment after each agenda item.
- Identify the specific counties that are included in each zone-specific meeting to make it easier for the public to know where we are focusing.

For further discussion by the commission:

- Potentially having the commissioners briefly introduce themselves at the beginning of the COI input meetings.
- We discussed the idea of having the commissioners responsible for each zone provide a high-level overview of their zone as we start each zone-specific meeting. There was also a suggestion that we provide an outline for the overview for the commissioners to follow. It was also suggested that we might take time at an upcoming business meeting to have a virtual overview of the entire state instead.
- There were three issues that we discussed with Anthony to get some clarity:
 1. Why we stopped allowing people to provide multiple inputs. The answer was basically about fairness and not allowing an individual more opportunity for input than other individuals.
 2. What to do if we open it up to public input and public comment during the same session. We decided public input was three minutes and public comment was two minutes. We discussed approaches to managing this potential issue and thought to be safe we should let everyone have three minutes during those mixed sessions. We would then limit public comments to two minutes in any public comment only session.

3. Finally, we discussed the adjournment before designated end time. The thought at the time was that because we were not getting callers, that we had been taking public comment, and we had offered the opportunity for the public to participate that we had met our obligation to the public to participate.