

OF CHOICE SO WE ARE LOOKING AT RACIALLY POLARIZED VOTING FOR THE SECOND AND THIRD PRECONDITIONS. WHAT WE'VE GOT PRETTY COMPLETELY FOR YOU TO SHOW YOU IN CLOSED SESSION ARE AREAS IN CALIFORNIA WHERE BASED ON THE DATA WE RECEIVED TO THIS POINT WE THINK IT'S EITHER -- THAT IT'S PROBABLE OR LIKELY THAT THE FIRST GINGLES PRECONDITION WOULD BE MET, IN OTHER WORDS, THE MINORITY GROUP IN A PARTICULAR AREA COULD BE -- IT COULD FORM THE MAJORITY OF A DISTRICT. AND THEN WE WILL BEGIN -- WHAT WE'RE DOING IS WE WILL, WITH YOUR APPROVAL, DIRECT THE DR. GALL TO DO RACIALLY POLARIZED VOTING ANALYSIS IN THOSE AREAS TO SEE IF THE SECOND AND THIRD GINGLES PRECONDITIONS AND PRESENT AND I BELIEVE WE'VE GOT AN EXAMPLE FOR ONE OF THE AREAS THAT WE CAN SHOW YOU SO YOU'LL GET A SENSE OF WHAT WE ARE GOING TO SEE. SINCE WE HAVE JUST GOTTEN IT SHE HAS NOT BEEN ABLE TO COMPLETE THE ENTIRE STATE BUT WE'LL BE ABLE TO GO INTO SOME DETAIL AS TO WHAT THAT WILL BE. AND DR. GALL PLEASE NOD IF I'M SAYING SOMETHING RIGHT OR SHAKE YOUR HEAD IF I'M SAYING SOMETHING WRONG BUT I BELIEVE WE'RE ANTICIPATING BY NEXT WEEK WE'LL HAVE ALL OF IT OR ALMOST ALL OF THE RACIALLY POLARIZED VOTING ANALYSIS IN THESE AREAS COMPLETE. THAT'S AT LEAST THE TARGET. IT'S A LOT OF WORK BUT DR. GALL HAS BEEN VERY DILIGENT ABOUT PROCEEDING WITH THAT. I'LL TAKE ANY QUESTIONS THERE ARE ABOUT THE PROCESS AND WHAT WE'RE ABOUT TO SHOW YOU ABOUT TO GO INTO SPECIFICS I'M GOING TO REQUEST THAT WE GO INTO CLOSED SESSION. >> COMMISSIONER KENNEDY. >> . >> YOUR RECITATION OF THE THIRD CONDITION WAS SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT FROM WHAT I HEARD AND/OR SEEN BEFORE. AND I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY. WHAT I HAVE IN FRONT OF ME IS THAT THE MINORITY MUST BE ABLE TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THE WHITE MAJORITY VOTES SUFFICIENTLY AS A BLOCK TO ENABLE IT USUALLY TO DEFEAT THE MINORITY'S PREFERRED CANDIDATE. AND YOU SAID ALL OTHERS. SO I GUESS PART OF THIS IS EXACTLY HOW IS MINORITY DEFINED? I MEAN ARE WE ABLE UNDER GINGLES TO LOOK AT POSSIBLE COALITIONS OR ARE WE LOOKING AT WITHIN THE BOXES. >> OKAY. AND I'M NOT SURE -- I'M HOPING THAT MY AUDIO IS OKAY. CAN SOMEONE GIVE ME THUMBS UP IF THEY CAN HEAR ME OKAY? I'M SEEING SOME NODS. OKAY. GOOD. THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT. SO SOME OF THIS I'LL PROBABLY HAVE TO ADDRESS IN CLOSED SESSION. BUT IN WHAT I CAN SAY IS THAT THE ABILITY FOR MINORITY VOTERS WHO CAN FORM A MAJORITY, IN OTHER WORDS THEY'VE ALREADY MET THE FIRST GINGLES PRECONDITION, THEY'RE LARGE ENOUGH TO FORM A MAJORITY IN A DISTRICT, THE ABILITY FOR THEM TO ELECT CANDIDATES OF CHOICE AND TO CHALLENGE THEY MAY FACE IN HAVING THOSE VOTERS DEFEATED IS THAT THE CORE OF SECTION 2 OF THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT. HISTORICALLY WHAT THAT HAS MEANT IS IT'S USUALLY A MINORITY VERSUS WHITE CALCULATION. BUT I THINK THERE'S -- I THINK THAT'S SOMETHING WE WANTED TO TALK ABOUT A LITTLE MORE BUT I THINK THE LAW IS PRETTY CLEAR THAT IT IS -- THAT THERE ARE WAYS IN WHICH GROUPS COULD COALESCE TO DEFEAT A PARTICULAR MINORITY'S OPPORTUNITY TO ELECT WHERE THEY COULD OTHERWISE DO SO AND WE SHOULD TALK ABOUT THAT. I DON'T THINK IT'S GOING TO BE VERY COMMON, BUT IT COULD HAPPEN. FOR MY PURPOSES THIS IS HOW I USUALLY DEFINE TERMS LIKE COALITION AND CROSSOVER. THERE'S A LOT OF CONFUSION ABOUT THOSE TERMS AND IT'S NOT ANYONE'S FAULT. THE SUPREME COURT HAS SOME CONFUSION ABOUT THOSE TERMS. THIS IS HOW I'M GOING TO DEFINE THEM. THIS IS HOW I GENERALLY USE THEM. AND THAT DOESN'T MEAN IT'S RIGHT BUT IT'S JUST WHAT I'M USUALLY MEANING WHEN I USE THESE TERMS. A COALITION DISTRICT FOR ME WOULD BE WHERE A SINGLE MINORITY GROUP IS NOT LARGE ENOUGH TO SATISFY THE GINGLES PRECONDITION IN A DISTRICT, THE FIRST GINGLES PRECONDITION. BUT TOGETHER ANOTHER MINORITY GROUP THAT IS ALSO NOT LARGE ENOUGH ON ITS OWN TO FORM A

MAJORITY IN A DISTRICT, THEY COULD BE COMBINED AND WE COULD LOOK AND SEE IF THEY VOTE COHESIVELY TOGETHER AS A SINGLE GROUP. IF ALL OF THOSE THINGS ARE MET, I THINK THERE'S A VERY STRONG ARGUMENT THAT KIND OF COALITION DISTRICT COULD AND SHOULD BE DRAWN UNDER SECTION 2 OF THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT. BUT AGAIN THIS PRE-SUPPOSES THAT NEITHER IS LARGE ENOUGH ON ITS OWN TO FORM A MAJORITY THAT PARTICULAR DISTRICT TO SATISFY THE FIRST CONDITION. SO THIS WOULD BE A SITUATION WHERE PERHAPS YOU HAD 30 PERCENT OF ONE MINORITY, 30 PERCENT OF ANOTHER MINORITY, 40 PERCENT WHITE. YOU MIGHT THEORETICALLY LOOK AT WHETHER MINORITY A AND MINORITY B TOGETHER FORMING 60 PERCENT OF A POTENTIAL DISTRICT ARE VOTING COHESIVELY FOR THE SAME CANDIDATES. DOES THAT MAKE SENSE TO EVERYBODY? HOPEFULLY I'LL SEE SOME NODS. THAT TO ME IS WHAT A COALITION DISTRICT IS. CROSSOVER IS THE -- IS WHEN THE THIRD -- IN THE THIRD GINGLES PRECONDITION WHEN THE NON-MINORITY GROUP IS VOTING AT SOME RATE FOR THE MINORITY CANDIDATES OF CHOICE, THE MINORITY THAT SATISFIED THE FIRST GINGLES PRECONDITION WE LOOKED AT THE SECOND. AND YOU'LL HEAR FROM DR. GALL WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THIS A LITTLE MORE. THERE ARE PLACES WHERE THE RACIALLY POLARIZED VOTING IS SO STARK THAT IT LOOKS LIKE ZERO CROSSOVER AMONGST WHAT IS USUALLY IS WHITE COMMUNITY. BUT THERE ARE OTHER PLACES WHERE THERE IS SOME CROSSOVER. AND THAT CROSSOVER, DEPENDING ON THE RATE OF CROSSOVER DOESN'T DEFEAT THE THIRD GINGLES PRECONDITION ALL THE TIME, IT COULD BE ONLY TEN OR 20 PERCENT IN WHICH CASE YOU WOULD STILL SEE SIGNIFICANT RACIALLY POLARIZED VOTING. I'M SPEAKING HYPOTHETICALLY, WE'RE NOT GETTING INTO SPECIFICS. BUT THAT TEN TO 20 PERCENT CROSSOVER COULD BE REALLY RELEVANT IN THE REMEDIAL PHASE ONCE WE'VE DECIDED A SECOND 2 DISTRICT SHOULD BE DRAWN IN INFORMING WHAT THAT DISTRICT SHOULD LOOK LIKE AND IF WE CAN GENERALLY COUNT ON, SAY, TEN PERCENT WHITE CROSSOVER THEN THE MINORITY MIGHT NOT NEED 55 PERCENT OR 50 PERCENT OR 45 PERCENT WHEN WE'RE DRAWING THE DISTRICT BECAUSE IT CAN COUNT ON REGULAR CROSSOVER. SO THE CROSSOVER IS RELEVANT BOTH TO THE THIRD GINGLES PRECONDITION BECAUSE IF IT'S QUITE HIGH IT WOULD INDICATE THAT PRECONDITION DOESN'T EXIST OR IT COULD BE -- AND/OR IT COULD BE RELEVANT IN THE REMEDIAL PHASE WHEN WE'RE TRYING TO MAKE SURE WE'RE DRAWING DISTRICTS SO THE MINORITIES SATISFIED THE GINGLES PRECONDITIONS YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO ELECT CANDIDATES OF THEIR CHOICE. THERE WILL BE A TEST ON THIS LATER. I HOPE THAT MAKES SENSE. AND I THINK WHEN WE GET INTO SPECIFICS WE'LL BE ABLE TO TALK ABOUT THIS BUT OBVIOUSLY WE CAN'T DO THAT GIVEN THAT IT MAY BE THAT THIS COULD COME UP IN FUTURE LITIGATION. ALTHOUGH WE HOPE NOT. MADAM CHAIR, WERE YOU SPEAKING? BECAUSE I CAN'T HEAR ANYTHING ON MY END? >> NO, I THINK THERE'S A SOUND ISSUE. HANG ON. >> I GOT YOU NOW. >> OH. OKAY. GREAT. COMMISSIONER SINAY. >> SORRY. SO THIS IS A QUESTION FROM YOUR PRESENTATION LAST TIME, DR. GALL'S PRESENTATION. I FELT LIKE AT THE END I KIND OF WAS LIKE, WAIT, WAS IT OR WAS IT NOT A VRA DISTRICT. >> WE'RE NOT HEARING COMMISSIONER SINAY. >> I'M SORRY? OKAY. CAN YOU HEAR ME NOW? >> YES. >> OKAY. THANKS. SO THIS IS A HOLDOVER QUESTION FROM THE PRESENTATION THAT DR. GALL GAVE US. AT THE END OF THE PRESENTATION, I KIND OF FELT LIKE ALL OF A SUDDEN I WASN'T SURE FROM THE EXERCISE IF THEY WERE OR THEY WERE NOT VRA DISTRICTS AND THEN EVEN TODAY WHEN YOU STARTED EXPLAINING THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN CROSSOVER AND COALITION, I'M NOT SURE. I THINK YOU ALL DID A GREAT JOB IN KIND OF EXPLAINING THE DIFFERENT WAYS TO DO IT, THE METHODOLOGY, THE DEFINITION OF METHODOLOGIES. I HAVE A PRESENTATION IN FRONT OF ME SO I DON'T MESS UP ON THE WORDING. BUT COULD WE GO BACK TO THAT EXERCISE AND SAY YES IT

IS AND THIS IS THE REASON WHY BECAUSE I DON'T THINK WE LOOPED AND CLOSED THAT OFF. SO I APOLOGIZE IF OTHERS GOT IT BUT I REALLY WANT TO MAKE SURE I UNDERSTAND IT. >> I THINK WHAT I'D SUGGEST, I'M HOPING THAT WHAT WE SHOW YOU IN CLOSED SESSION MIGHT BE A REAL WORLD CALIFORNIA EXAMPLE OF SOMETHING THAT WILL HELP ANSWER THAT QUESTION FOR YOU. BECAUSE OBVIOUSLY WE DON'T NEED TO ACTUALLY DETERMINE WHETHER THE COOK COUNTY ILLINOIS RACIALLY POLARIZED VOTING EXISTED THERE. I THINK WE'RE GOING TO SHOW YOU A REAL WORLD EXAMPLE THAT MIGHT HELP ANSWER THAT QUESTION AND WE CAN PROBABLY COME BACK IN OPEN SESSION AND DISCUSS THAT MORE FULLY IF YOU'D LIKE. DOES THAT MAKE SENSE, COMMISSIONER SINAY? >> SORRY, IT DOESN'T, BECAUSE THAT WAS AN EXERCISE AND WE WERE TOLD IF IT WAS A TRAINING SO IF WE'RE WALKING AWAY NOT KNOWING THE ANSWER OF THAT TRAINING THAT MEANS WE DID NOT GET TRAINED AND I THINK THE MORE TIMES WE EXPERIENCE IT, AND ALSO THE PUBLIC GOT THAT TRAINING AND I THINK THEY NEED TO HEAR WHAT THE RESPONSE MIGHT BE. >> DR. GALL, DO YOU WANT TO GO BACK TO THAT? I DON'T KNOW THAT WE WERE PREPARED TO GO BACK TO THAT SPECIFIC QUESTION BUT DO YOU WANT TO GO BACK TO? >> I WAS TRYING TO PULL UP MY PRESENTATION RIGHT NOW IF YOU CAN GIVE ME JUST A MOMENT TO PULL IT UP, I'D BE GRATEFUL. >> OF COURSE. >> OKAY. SO, IN THAT EXAMPLE, WHAT WE HAD CONSISTENTLY WAS THE WHITE VOTE WAS SPLIT, THE BLACK VOTERS HAD A CLEAR CANDIDATE OF CHOICE, AND LATINO VOTERS HAD A DIFFERENT CANDIDATE OF CHOICE WITH CROSSOVER TO THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN CANDIDATE. IN THIS EXAMPLE, THE BLACK VOTERS DID HAVE A CLEAR CANDIDATE OF CHOICE. AND THEORETICALLY, THAT WOULD SATISFY GINGLES TWO, WHICH IS IS THE MINORITY EXPRESSING A CANDIDATE OF CHOICE. WHERE WE WOULD FALL APART A LITTLE BIT IS IN GINGLES 3. AND I DON'T THINK THAT WE WOULD BE ABLE TO PROVE GINGLES 3, THAT THE MAJORITY WAS THWARTING THE WILL OF THE MINORITY. IF ANYTHING, BOTH WHITE VOTERS AND LATINO VOTERS WERE CROSSING OVER TO THE MINORITY PREFERRED CANDIDATE TO BOOST THAT CANDIDATE TO WIN. AND SO IN THAT SITUATION I WOULD -- THAT'S HOW I WOULD READ THOSE RESULTS. >> THANK YOU VERY MUCH. >> OKAY. GREAT. COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ. >> STOP ME IF IT'S NOT -- IF IT'S A CLOSED SESSION QUESTION, MAYBE. SO MY QUESTION SPECIFICALLY FOR CALIFORNIA IS THE NEW CENSUS DATA CAME OUT, AND THE LATINOS IS THE HIGHEST POPULATION IN CALIFORNIA. SO ARE THEY NO LONGER CONSIDERED MINORITIES? SO IS YOUR DEFINITION OF MINORITIES NON-WHITES. I GUESS I'M JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE. >> I CAN ANSWER THIS. THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT DEFINES MINORITIES, LATINOS ARE ABSOLUTELY CONSIDERED MINORITIES, BLACKS ARE CONSIDERED MINORITIES, ASIANS ARE CONSIDERED MINORITIES. THAT IS SETTLED LAW. I SHOULD NOTE I BELIEVE LATINO CVAP IN CALIFORNIA UNDER THE NEW CENSUS DATA WAS ROUGHLY 30 PERCENT MAYBE JUST A SHADE UNDER. SO THAT EVEN MATHEMATICALLY, WELL, EVEN MATHEMATICALLY THEY ARE STILL A MINORITY WITHIN CALIFORNIA. BUT ABSOLUTELY, NO QUESTION LEGALLY, LATINOS, AFRICAN-AMERICANS AND ASIANS ARE CONSIDERED MINORITIES FOR PURPOSES OF SECTION 2 VOTING RIGHTS ACT ANALYSIS. >> I'LL JUST JUMP IN HERE BUT I THINK A PART OF THAT, YOU CAN CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG, MR. BECKER, BUT IT HAS TO DO WITH HISTORICAL EXCLUSION, IS THAT CORRECT? >> YOU REMEMBER THE TOTALITY OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES, ALL RELATE TO HISTORICAL DISCRIMINATION AND ITS CONTINUING AND PRESENT DAY EFFECTS ON THOSE POPULATIONS. BUT I JUST WANT TO BE ALSO BE CLEAR, PARTICULARLY WITH NOT THE ASIANS HAVE LESS COVERAGE, THEY HAVE EQUAL COVERAGE BUT THERE HAVE BEEN EXTENSIVE FINDINGS IN THE 1965 ACT, THE 1970, 1975 RENEWALS WILL LATINOS AS WELL. SO ABSOLUTELY COVERED UNDER THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT. >> PERFECT. GREAT. THANK YOU. ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS FROM

COMMISSIONERS? COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ? THANK YOU. JUST ONE MOMENT. THANK YOU, DAVID, FOR THAT. I KIND OF KNEW THE ANSWER BUT I WANTED TO MAKE SURE EVERYONE KNEW THE ANSWER TO THAT. SO THANK YOU SO MUCH. MY OTHER QUESTION WAS IN OUR LAST MEETING, I'M TRYING TO GET MY HAND DOWN. THERE WE GO. THERE WAS A COMMENT THAT PRECINCTS CHANGE REGULARLY. SO WHEN YOU DO THE RACIALLY POLARIZED VOTING, HOW DOES THAT RESEARCH -- HOW DO YOU DO THE RESEARCH IF PRECINCTS CONTINUE TO CHANGE? DOES THAT MAKE SENSE, MEGAN? >> IT DOES. AND THE CALIFORNIA STATEWIDE DATABASE GIVES US THOSE DATA. AND THE FACT THAT THE PRECINCTS CHANGE DOES NOT MATTER TO THE ANALYSES, BECAUSE WE ARE STILL ANALYZING PRECINCTS WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF INTEREST. IF THEY HAPPEN TO CHANGE FROM NEXT ELECTION, PAST TO NEXT, THAT'S IRRELEVANT TO THE ANALYSIS AND LUCKILY THOSE DATA ALREADY EXIST FOR US WITH THE DATABASE. >> OKAY. GREAT. >> AGAIN, I'LL JUST POINT OUT, KARIN MAC DONALD SAID SOMETHING ALONG THIS LINE BEFORE, NOT EVERY STATE, IN FACT NOT REALLY ANY OTHER STATE HAS THE BENEFIT OF THE DATABASE THAT CALIFORNIA DOES. IT IS A TREMENDOUS RESOURCE. DR. GALL HAS WORKED IN A LOT OF PLACES. IT'S SOMETHING NOT EVERY PLACE HAS. >> YES, WE ARE DEFINITELY LUCKY TO HAVE THE STATEWIDE DATABASE HERE IN CALIFORNIA. COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN. >> THANK YOU, CHAIR. THIS IS A QUESTION ACTUALLY I GUESS IT'S FOR EITHER OF YOU. COULD YOU ACTUALLY EXPLAIN, AND IT'S FOR ALL OF US, INCLUDING THE PUBLIC. WHEN YOU'RE DOING THE RPV ANALYSIS THAT THE EXOGENOUS AND ENDOGENOUS. BECAUSE YOU BASICALLY HAVE TO RE-DO THE VRA ANALYSIS FOR EACH TYPE OF DISTRICT. CAN YOU KIND OF WALK US THROUGH IF YOU'RE DOING ASSEMBLY, WHAT IS ENDOGENOUS, EXOGENOUS OR SENATE, FOR CONGRESSIONAL, BECAUSE IT KIND OF COMES UP WHEN WE DO THE LISTING ALL THE CRITICIZE PTERION AND PEOPLE DO OH, NESTING AND YOU DO THAT FOR THE ASSEMBLY AND YOU STICK TWO TOGETHER AND YOU HAVE THE SENATE. SO COULD YOU KIND OF? >> MEGAN I'LL START BUT I'M PROBABLY GOING TO HAVE TO HAND IT OFF TO YOU. AN DODGENOUS ARE SIMPLY THE ELECTIONS FOR THE SAME OFFICE THAT YOU'RE ANALYZING SO LOOKING AT ASSEMBLY DISTRICTS, ASSEMBLY DISTRICT RACES ARE ENDOGENOUS, EVERYTHING ELSE IS EXOGENOUS. SENATE SAME THING, CONGRESSIONAL, SAME THING. EXOGENOUS DOESN'T MEAN IRRELEVANT. IT DOES MEAN LESS RELEVANT. SO ENDOGENOUS ARE GOING TO BE THE MOST DISPOSITIVE IN DETERMINING WHETHER RACIALLY-POLARIZED VOTING EXISTS. WHEN WE ARE MEASURING GINGLES 1 WE ARE LOOKING AT CONCENTRATIONS AND NUMBERS OF POPULATIONS SUFFICIENT TO FORM A MAJORITY WITHIN AN ASSEMBLY DISTRICT SO WE'RE USING ASSEMBLY DISTRICT NUMBERS AS THE DENOMINATOR AND WE KNOW WHAT THE NUMERATOR WOULD NEED TO BE, TO BE 50 PERCENT. THAT NUMERATOR IS GOING TO BE DOUBLE FOR SENATE DISTRICTS AND NEARLY DOUBLE FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS. SO THEN WITHIN THAT WE'RE GOING TO LOOK AT ELECTIONS THAT TOUCH ON THOSE AREAS. SO FOR INSTANCE WE MIGHT BE LOOKING AT AN AREA OF MINORITY CONCENTRATION THAT ACTUALLY TOUCHES THREE OR FOUR ASSEMBLY DISTRICTS. WE MIGHT NEED TO LOOK AT ALL OF THEM IN THAT AREA TO SEE WHAT'S HAPPENING. WE CAN THEN IF WE ARE GETTING AN INCOMPLETE PICTURE OR THERE'S ALSO SENATE DISTRICT THERE, WE VERY WELL MICLY MIGHT LOOK AT THE SENATE DISTRICT ELECTIONS AS WELL TO SEE WHAT WE CAN FIND GOING BACK TEN YEARS LOOKING AT PRIMARIES AND GENERALS. WE MIGHT LOOK AT CONGRESSIONAL RACES AS WELL. WE MIGHT LOOK AT STATEWIDES. THE CLEARER THE PICTURE BECOMES SOONER THE LESS LIKELY WE'LL NEED TO RELY UPON EXOGENOUS ELECTIONS THAT ARE FURTHER AND FURTHER AWAY FROM THAT DISTRICT IF THAT MAKES SENSE. THERE MIGHT BE CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE WE SEE REALLY CLEAR POWERFUL DATA. AND WE MIGHT LOOK

AT MORE BUT WE DON'T NEED TO GO MUCH FARTHER. WE CAN SEE YES, IT DOES EXIST, NO IT DOESN'T EXIST. THERE COULD BE CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE THE CONCENTRATIONS ARE LARGE ENOUGH THAT WE PROBABLY NEED TO LOOK AT ALL THREE DISTRICTS ANYWAY, AND STATEWIDES MIGHT INFORM THAT EFFORT TOO AND WE NEED TO LOOK AT ALL OF THEM TO GET A CLEARER PICTURE. THERE ARE THINGS IN HISTORICAL ELECTION ANALYSIS THAT CAN MAKE -- THAT CAN MAKE IT APPEAR TO BE A LESS COMPLETE PICTURE, LIKE STRONG INCUMBENTS WHERE THERE HAVEN'T BEEN A LOT OF CHALLENGERS, FOR INSTANCE OR THERE ARE TIMES WHEN YOU'VE GOT AN INCUMBENT, THIS GOES ALONG WITH THE FIRST ONE, THERE'S AN INCUMBENT THAT ISN'T OF THE SAME RACE AS THE MINORITY POPULATION. BUT THERE'S BEEN A LONG HISTORICAL COALITION THAT'S BEEN FORMED THERE AND IT JUST CAN CREATE SOME SOMEWHAT NOISY DATA. AND WE'LL TRY IN THOSE CIRCUMSTANCES TO PAINT AS COMPLETE A PICTURE AS WE CAN BASED ON THE DATA FOR YOU. BUT THE ENDOGENOUS ELECTIONS WE'LL IDENTIFY FOR YOU YOU'LL SEE WHAT WE FOUND AND DR. GALL, I HOPE YOU CAN TAKE IT FROM ME AT THIS POINT AND CORRECT ANYTHING. DR. GALL WILL ALSO GO INTO A LOT OF DETAIL ABOUT WHAT SHE LOOKED AT, WHAT SHE FOUND. YOU'LL GET SPECIFICS ON THE TYPES OF ELECTIONS WE LOOKED AT, WHAT CANDIDATES. WE'LL GO INTO THAT LEVEL OF DETAIL WITH YOU AND YOU'LL SEE THAT. AND BY THE WAY, THIS IS BE AN ITERATIVE PROCESS. IF THERE'S SOMETHING WHERE WE'VE REACHED A CONCLUSION OR EVEN OUR CONCLUSION ISN'T ABSOLUTELY REALLY CLEAR, YOU SHOULD FEEL FREE TO ASK US IS THERE MORE THAT WE CAN DO, ARE THERE THINGS WE SHOULD LOOK AT AND WE'LL LOOK AT THAT AS CLEARLY AS POSSIBLE. >> DR. GALL, DID YOU WANT TO JUMP IN? >> NO, THAT WAS A GREAT ANSWER. >> OKAY. VERY GOOD. HE'S GOING TO TAKE OVER AS A POLITICAL SCIENTIST ONE OF THESE DAYS. ARE THERE ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS FROM COMMISSIONERS? AND IF NOT, WE WILL HEAD OUT FOR CLOSED SESSION UNDER THE PENDING LITIGATION EXCEPTION. I ANTICIPATE THAT WE WILL BE BACK AROUND 2:30 P.M. THIS AFTERNOON. THOUGH CERTAINLY WE COULD BE BACK EARLIER IF WE GET DONE EARLIER. SO PLEASE KEEP AN EYE OUT FOR THE PUBLIC, KEEP AN EYE OUT FOR THE LIVESTREAM. WE WILL UPDATE WHEN WE ARE COMING BACK. AND I'M SURE WE'LL TRY AND PUT OUT A COUPLE NOTICES VIA SOCIAL MEDIA AS WELL FOR THOSE WHO ARE TRYING TO FOLLOW ALONG. THANK YOU SO MUCH. WE'LL SEE YOU IN CLOSED SESSION. >> THANK YOU SO MUCH. WELCOME BACK. WE ARE BACK FROM CLOSED SESSION, IN WHICH WE TOOK NO ACTION. WE WILL MOVE INTO PUBLIC COMMENT AT THIS TIME. KRISTIAN, WILL YOU BE READING PUBLIC COMMENT FOR US? >> KATY SHOULD BE HERE FOR US. >> OH, GREAT. >> I AM HERE. CAN YOU HEAR ME? >> WE CAN HEAR YOU. AND WE'RE ALL VERY JEALOUS OF YOUR CURRENT LOCATION. >> THANK YOU. I TOOK THIS PICTURE THIS MORNING. THANK YOU SO MUCH. ALL RIGHTY. IN ORDER TO MAXIMIZE TRANSPARENCY AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN OUR PROCESS, THE COMMISSIONERS WILL BE TAKING PUBLIC COMMENT BY PHONE. TO CALL IN DIAL THE TELEPHONE NUMBER PROVIDED ON THE LIVESTREAM FEED. IT IS (877)853-5247. WHEN PROMPTED TO ENTER THE MEETING ID NUMBER PROVIDED ON THE LIVESTREAM FEED, IT IS 88264383219 FOR THIS MEETING. WHEN PROMPTED TO ENTER A PARTICIPANT ID SIMPLY PRESS THE POUND KEY. ONCE YOU HAVE DIALED IN YOU WILL BE PLACED IN A QUEUE. TO INDICATE YOU WISH TO COMMENT PLEASE PRESS STAR 9. THIS WILL RAISE YOUR HAND FOR THE MODERATOR. WHEN IT IS YOUR TURN TO SPEAK YOU WILL HEAR A MESSAGE THAT SAYS THE HOST WOULD LIKE YOU TO TALK AND TO PRESS STAR 6 TO SPEAK. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO GIVE YOUR NAME, PLEASE STATE AND SPELL IT FOR THE RECORD. YOU ARE NOT REQUIRED TO PROVIDE YOUR NAME TO GIVE PUBLIC COMMENT. PLEASE MAKE SURE TO MUTE YOUR COMPUTER OR LIVESTREAM AUDIO TO PREVENT ANY FEEDBACK OR DISTORTION DURING YOUR CALL. ONCE YOU ARE WAITING IN THE

QUEUE BE ALERT FOR WHEN IT IS YOUR TURN TO SPEAK AND AGAIN PLEASE TURN DOWN THE LIVESTREAM VOLUME. WE DO HAVE A COUPLE CALLERS AT THIS TIME. CALLER 6252 IF YOU WILL PLEASE FOLLOW THE PROMPTS TO UNMUTE AT THIS TIME BY PRESSING STAR 6. THE FLOOR IS YOURS. >> THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THIS IS HELEN HUTCHISON WITH THE LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF CALIFORNIA. IT'S REALLY NICE TO SEE SOME OF YOU BEING ABLE TO BE IN THE SAME ROOM TOGETHER. I APPRECIATE THAT. I WANT TO THANK -- ADD MY THANKS TO MR. BECKER AND MS. GALL FOR THEIR WORK, AND IN PARTICULAR HOW ACCESSIBLE THEY ARE MAKING THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT AND RACIALLY-POLARIZED VOTING ANALYSIS FOR US IN THE PUBLIC. IT HELPS EVERYBODY UNDERSTAND THE ISSUES AND THE PROCESS I HAVE A QUESTION FOR YOU AND POTENTIALLY FOR YOUR COUNSEL. CAN YOU EXPLAIN OR HELP US UNDERSTAND THE RATIONALE FOR DOING AT LEAST SOME OF THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT AND RACIALLY-POLARIZED VOTING ANALYSIS DISCUSSION IN CLOSED SESSION WHEN THERE IS NO CURRENT LITIGATION? THANKS SO MUCH. >> SO MS. HUTCHISON, THIS IS ANTICIPATE ANYWAY PANE, I CAN PROBABLY GIVE YOU SOMEWHAT OF AN ANSWER ON THAT IN RESPONSE. SO A STATE BODY, THE REDISTRICTING COMMISSION INCLUDED, IS ABLE TO GO INTO CLOSED SESSION FOR THE PENDING LITIGATION EXCEPTION, AND AS YOU POINT OUT, PENDING LITIGATION EXCEPTION CERTAINLY INCLUDES LITIGATION FOR WHICH THE STATE BODY IS A PARTY. ALSO MAY INCLUDE SITUATIONS WHERE THE STATE BODY IS NOT A PARTY BUT MAY WANT TO WISH TO INITIATE LITIGATION. IT CAN ALSO INCLUDE EXPOSURE TO LITIGATION. AND THAT EXPOSURE TO LITIGATION IS ALSO AN ACCEPTABLE BASIS FOR GOING INTO CLOSED SESSION. SO I JUST WANTED TO HIGHLIGHT ANY OF THOSE CATEGORIES ARE ONES WHICH A STATE BODY CAN GO INTO CLOSED SESSION. >> THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THAT IS VERY HELPFUL. >> THANK YOU FOR YOUR CALL, MS. HUTCHISON. KATY, LOOKS LIKE WE HAVE A FEW MORE CALLERS. >> UP NEXT WILL BE CALLER 6337. AND UP NEXT AFTER THAT WILL BE 6855. I'D LIKE TO INVITE CALLER 3818 TO PRESS STAR 9 IF YOU WISH TO GIVE COMMENT. THIS WILL RAISE YOUR HAND. CALLER 6337, IF YOU WILL PLEASE FOLLOW THE PROMPTS TO UNMUTE AT THIS TIME BY PRESSING STAR 6. THERE YOU GO. THE FLOOR IS YOURS. >> GOOD AFTERNOON, COMMISSIONERS. THIS IS ROSALIND GOLD WITH THE NALEO EDUCATIONAL FUND. I FIRST WANTED TO START BY THANKING YOU ALL FOR THE EXTREME HARD WORK AND THOUGHTFULNESS THAT HAS GONE INTO THE MATERIALS THAT HAVE BEEN HANDED OUT FOR THE NEXT TODAY OR TWO OF MEETINGS AND AGAIN THE AMOUNT OF REAL TIRELESS WORK YOU'VE BEEN DOING IN TERMS OF THINKING ABOUT HOW ALL THE PART OF THE LINE DRAWING PROCESS ARE GOING TO FIT TOGETHER. I JUST WANTED TO REQUEST THAT WITH RESPECT TO THE POTENTIAL LINE-DRAWING CALENDAR, THAT THE COMMISSION NOT TAKE ACTION ON THIS CALENDAR TODAY. WE ARE ALL, THOSE OF US WHO ARE WORKING WITH ORGANIZATIONS AND WORKING TO MOBILIZE COMMUNITY MEMBERS TO PROVIDE INPUT ONCE THE COMMISSION'S MAPS COME OUT, ARE REALLY IN THE PROCESS OF LOOKING AT THE CALENDAR, LOOKING AT HOW THE TIMELINE MIGHT AFFECT OUR ABILITY TO MOBILIZE PEOPLE, ESPECIALLY IN TERMS OF THE RELATIONSHIP TO THANKSGIVING. WE COULD JUST PROBABLY USE TODAY AND MAYBE EVEN TOMORROW MORNING TO TALK TO OUR COMMUNITY MEMBERS AND TO THINK THROUGH A COUPLE OF DIFFERENT SCENARIOS. SO I DID WANT TO REQUEST IF THE COMMISSION COULD HOLD OFF ON TAKING ANY FINAL ACTION UNTIL TOMORROW AT THE EARLIEST. THANK YOU. >> THANK YOU SO MUCH, MS. GOLD. AND CERTAINLY WE HAVE ON OUR AGENDA FOR THIS AFTERNOON HOPEFULLY TO BEGIN A DISCUSSION ON IT THOUGH, THAT CERTAINLY MIGHT NOT HAPPEN UNTIL TOMORROW GIVEN THE NUMBER OF OTHER THINGS WE HAVE ON OUR PLATE. >> UP NEXT WE HAVE CALLER 6755 IF YOU WILL PLEASE FOLLOW THE PROMPTS TO UNMUTE AT THIS TIME. THE FLOOR IS YOURS. >> HELLO,

COMMISSIONERS. GOOD MORNING. THIS IS -- AFTERNOON. EXCUSE ME. TOTALLY LOST TRACK OF TIME. SAM SUKATON FROM CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL VOTERS EDUCATIONAL FUND. YOU HEARD ME INTRODUCE MYSELF. I WANT TO CALL BECAUSE WE CHANGED OUR NAME AND TWO TO COSIGN ROSALIND OF NALEO'S COMMENTS ABOUT APPRECIATION FOR THE WORK YOU ARE DOING WITH THE CALENDAR AND SITUATIONS THE COMMISSION DID NOT ASK FOR WITH COURT AND SOME OF US ALSO SUPPORTED, DEFINITELY APPRECIATE SLIGHTLY MORE TIME TO COME TO A CONSENSUS AND THIS COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS ALSO ENVIRONMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS AS THE THANKSGIVING HOLIDAY WOULD MAKE IT MORE DIFFICULT FOR US TO GET FOLKS TO COMMENT ON FIRST ROUND MAP. WE LOOK FORWARD TO PARTNERING WITH YOU. DEFINITELY APPRECIATE IT. HAVE A WONDERFUL EVENING. >> ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. UP NEXT WE HAVE CALLER 3818 IF YOU WILL PLEASE FOLLOW THE PROMPTS TO UNMUTE AT THIS TIME. THE FLOOR IS YOURS. >> GOOD AFTERNOON, COMMISSIONERS. THIS IS GRACE GARNER AGAIN CALLING ON BEHALF OF THE BLACK CENSUS AND REDISTRICTING HUB. I HAVE A FEW LOGISTICAL QUESTIONS FOR YOU. IN TERMS OF THE MAPS PRESENTATIONS, IF A GROUP WERE TO SUBMIT A MAP NOW, COULD A POWERPOINT PRESENTATION OF THE ACTUAL PRESENTATION BE SUBMITTED AT A LATER DATE AS LONG AS IT'S BEFORE OCTOBER 11? THE OTHER QUESTION IS WHEN A GROUP OR INDIVIDUAL IS GIVING THESE ACTUAL MAP PRESENTATIONS, SINCE WE WON'T BE ABLE TO CONTROL THE SCREEN ON OUR OWN, HOW LOGISTICALLY WILL THAT WORK AND WHAT KIND OF INFORMATION DOES STAFF NEED IN ORDER TO MAKE SURE THAT THIS IS A SEAMLESS PROCESS? >> THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR THOSE QUESTIONS. AND I THINK WE WILL BE TALKING ABOUT THIS IN A LITTLE BIT MORE DETAIL LATER, CERTAINLY TO THE FIRST QUESTION, YOU ARE WELCOME TO SUBMIT YOUR MAP AT ANY POINT IN TIME AS IS ANYONE. AND WE WILL CERTAINLY BE TALKING MORE ABOUT THE LOGISTICS OF THE PRESENTATIONS. THANK YOU. >> UP NEXT WE HAVE CALLER 5882. IF YOU WILL PLEASE FOLLOW THE PROMPTS TO UNMUTE AT THIS TIME BY PRESSING STAR 6. THE FLOOR IS YOURS. >> HI. I WOULD LIKE TO, LIKE EVERYONE ELSE, THANK YOU FOR THE WORK AND MAKING THINGS ACCESSIBLE. MY QUESTION IS A FOLLOW-UP ON HELEN HUTCHISON'S FROM THE LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS. AS I UNDERSTOOD HER QUESTION, IT WAS NOT WHETHER THE STATE BODY WAS ABLE TO USE THE PENDING LITIGATION EXCEPTION. THAT TO ME WAS CLEAR. BUT MY -- WHY YOU MIGHT WANT TO IN TERMS OF POTENTIAL LITIGATION AND SO IT'S TO HOLD YOUR CARDS CLOSE TO THE CHEST, THAT MAKES SENSE, BUT ARE THERE SOME ASPECTS WHERE YOU'RE CHOOSING TO WORK ON THIS OUTSIDE OF THE PUBLIC VIEW FOR VERY CLEAR REASONS BUT COULD WE HAVE SOME CONTOURS OF THAT SO THAT IT DIDN'T SEEM AS BLACK BOXY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. >> THANK YOU FOR THAT COMMENT. >> THAT WAS ALL OF OUR CALLERS AT THIS TIME, CHAIR. >> GREAT. THANK YOU SO MUCH, KATY. WE WILL OF COURSE DO PUBLIC COMMENT AGAIN AT THE END OF TODAY'S MEETING. SO WE ARE GOING TO MOVE INTO OUR AGENDA FOR TODAY BY STARTING WITH OUR DIRECTOR REPORTS. AND WE WILL -- WHICH IS AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 3 AND WE WILL BEGIN WITH OUR EXECUTIVE REPORT FROM DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ. >> THANK YOU, CHAIR. AND GOOD AFTERNOON, COMMISSIONERS AND CALIFORNIANS. SO I WILL START IN REGARDS TO OUR MEETINGS FOR THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, WE ARE WORKING ON FINDING LOCATIONS SO THAT THIS BODY CAN MEET TOGETHER. WE'VE SECURED SOME LOCATIONS FOR THE OCTOBER MEETINGS NEAR LOS ANGELES, DOWNTOWN LOS ANGELES, AND WE'LL BE WORKING THE SECURE ROOMS AND THE LOGISTICS THAT COME WITH THAT. WE'LL PROVIDE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION TO ALL THE COMMISSIONERS IN THAT REGARD SO THAT YOU HAVE THAT FOR PLANNING PURPOSES. I WANTED TO MAKE SURE ALL OF YOU ARE SET UP ON CONCORD AND THAT YOUR ACCOUNT IS SET UP SO WE CAN HELP WITH ANY LOGISTICS

AS NEEDED. RAVI CAN DEFINITELY ASSIST IN THAT, THOSE OF YOU WHO HAVE TRAVELED TODAY AND NEEDED ASSISTANCE, RAVI CAN HELP WITH THAT PROCESS. I'VE ALSO SPOKEN TO KRISTIAN ABOUT THE SET-UP, AND HE'S INDICATED THAT THE COMMISSIONERS WILL AND ANY ATTENDEES WILL BE REQUIRED TO LOG ON TO THE ZOOM ON THEIR LAPTOPS FOR THE MEETINGS. AND HE'S ALSO FURTHER INDICATED THAT EVERYONE SHOULD HAVE HEADPHONES WITH THE MICROPHONE SO THAT WE DON'T HAVE FEEDBACK AT THE MEETINGS AND ECHOES AND THINGS OF THAT NATURE. SO JUST PUTTING IT OUT THERE EARLY SO THAT YOU CAN GO AND FIND THOSE HEADPHONES WITH THE MICROPHONE ON IT, GAMING-TYPE HEADPHONES, THE BIG EARMUFFS IF YOU'D LIKE. YOU KNOW, JUST KEEP IN MIND YOU'LL BE WEARING THEM FOR LONG PERIODS OF TIME. SO THAT'S WHAT WE HAVE FOR THE MEETINGS THAT WE HAVE SCHEDULED DOWN SOUTH. WE'RE STILL WORKING ON LOGISTICS FOR NOVEMBER AND TRYING TO FIND LOCATION IN SAN DIEGO AREA. WE HAVE LOOKED AT OTHER OPTIONS AS COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI HAD INDICATED LOOKING AT POSSIBLE HOTELS. WE'RE STILL LOOKING INTO THAT. SO FAR IT'S BEEN RATHER DIFFICULT TO FIND ACCOMMODATIONS AT THE STATE RATE. BUT WE'RE WORKING ON THAT. IF THAT SHOULD OCCUR WE WILL LET YOU KNOW AND MAKE THOSE NECESSARY CHANGES TO THOSE LOGISTICS. ANY QUESTIONS ON THAT? YES, COMMISSIONER SINAY. >> WOULD IT BE POSSIBLE FOR STAFF JUST TO PURCHASE THE RIGHT HEADSETS THAT WE MAY ALL NEED INSTEAD OF BRINGING SEVERAL AND TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHAT WORKS AND ALL THAT? >> WE'RE GOING TO WORK ON THAT AS WELL BUT I WANTED TO GIVE YOU THE OPTION AGAIN, SOME FOLKS PREFER CERTAIN TYPE OF HEADPHONES WITH MICROPHONES. MY KIDS PREFER THE ONES WITH THE BIG OLD MICROPHONES AND THE EARMUFFS, SO WE ARE GOING TO LOOK INTO THAT AND SEE WHAT WE CAN DO FOR THE COMMISSIONERS AND KEEP IN MIND IF YOU HAVE THEM JUST LET US KNOW. WE WON'T PURSUE GETTING THOSE FOR YOU. BUT WE ARE LOOKING INTO THAT AS WELL. >> I SEE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS FROM COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ AND THEN KENNEDY. COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ. >> YES. THANK YOU, CHAIR. YES, SO MY ASSUMPTION IS THAT OUR VIDEOGRAPHY IS NOT TRAVELING WITH US. IS THAT THE CASE? I DO REMEMBER OR RECALL IN THE VIDEOGRAPHER CONTRACT THAT WE DID HAVE INFORMATION IN THERE IN TERMS OF FOR HYBRID FOR THEM TO TRAVEL WITH US AND BE THERE ON-SITE VERSUS BE OFF-SITE SO I WOULD PREFER TO HAVE THEM ON-SITE. I'M NOT SURE HOW MY OTHER FELLOW ECONOMICS FEEL ABOUT THAT BUT THIS WHOLE HEADPHONE THING. I'M JUST IMAGINING THINGS GOING WRONG, YOU KNOW? YEAH, BECAUSE THEY USUALLY DO. SO ANYWAY, I'M JUST STATING MY PREFERENCE WOULD BE TO HAVE THE VIDEOGRAPHER THERE WITH US WHEN WE TRAVEL AWAY FROM SACRAMENTO. THANK YOU. >> ALVARO, DO YOU HAVE ANY SENSE OR COULD YOU SPEAK TO IT OR IS IT APPROPRIATE TO SPEAK TO THE CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENT ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT VIDEOGRAPHY WOULD OR WOULD NOT BE TRAVELING WITH US? >> THEY COULD, BUT WE ARE LOOKING AT THE LOGISTICS OF EVERYTHING AND IT MADE SENSE TO HAVE EVERYONE ON LAPTOPS BECAUSE WE ARE NOT USING THE LARGER ROOM SO IT WOULD BE A SIMILAR SET-UP AS HERE EXCEPT EVERYONE WOULD BE ON THEIR LAPTOPS WITHOUT ALL THE BELLS AND WHISTLES AS YOU SEE HERE IN THE ROOM. >> SO JUST TO BE CLEAR, IT WOULD BE POSSIBLE TO HAVE ALL THE BELLS AND WHISTLES THAT WE HAVE SET UP IN LOS ANGELES OR OTHER LOCATIONS? >> IT IS POSSIBLE, YES. WE CAN WORK THAT OUT IF THAT'S A DESIRE OF THE COMMISSION TO DO SO, WORK WITH OUR VIDEOGRAPHER FOLKS TO SEE WHAT WILL BE POSSIBLE. JUST KEEP IN MIND WE'RE TALKING ABOUT TRAVELING DOWN SOUTH, LODGING EQUIPMENT, ALL THOSE THINGS THAT THEY WOULD HAVE TO THEN TRANSFER FROM ONE LOCATION TO THE NEXT. AND WE DO HAVE THEM WEEK TO WEEK MEETINGS. SO WE GO DOWN SOUTH, COME BACK TO SACRAMENTO,

DOWN SOUTH, COME BACK TO SACRAMENTO. SO WE'RE BOUNCING BACK AND FORTH. JUST A CONSIDERATION FOR YOU ALL TO KEEP IN MIND. >> SO WHILE WE'RE -- OH, COMMISSIONER SINAY. >> WELL, THE HEADSETS THAT CAME WITH YOUR PHONES, THAT THE COMMISSION SENT TO YOU DO WORK ON THESE COMPUTERS. I JUST CHECKED THEM. >> OUR HEADSETS WITH OUR PHONES. OKAY. THANK YOU. COMMISSIONER KENNEDY. >> THANK YOU. DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ, DIRECTOR KAPLAN WAS FAIRLY FAR ALONG IN DISCUSSIONS WITH UC RIVERSIDE ABOUT MEETING VENUES, AND THEN WE CALLED IT OFF TOWARDS THE END, TOWARDS WHERE WE WERE ACTUALLY AGREEING ON DATE, TIME AND PLACE. I'M WONDERING IF THOSE DISCUSSIONS HAD BEEN RESUMED BECAUSE WE WOULD NOT HAVE TO START OVER FROM THE VERY BEGINNING WITH UC RIVERSIDE. >> SO WE DID REACH OUT TO MANY OF THE PEOPLE THAT WE HAD ORIGINALLY CONTACTED WHEN WE WERE LOOKING AT DOING THE COIS IN PERSON. SOME PLACES ARE NOT AVAILABLE. SOME PLACES ARE NOT OPEN. SO WE HAVE REACHED BACK TO A LOT OF THE SAME GROUPS THAT WE HAD TALKED TO ORIGINALLY. WE ARE LOOKING AT THIS PARTICULAR VENUE THAT WE WERE TRYING TO GET IN PLACE, JUST BASICALLY SIGN THE DOTTED LINE, BECAUSE IT'S AVAILABLE. WE CAN CONTINUE TO LOOK BUT WE'RE RUNNING OUT OF TIME. AND SO, YOU KNOW, I'M JUST GOING WITH WHAT WE HAVE NOW, AND IF WE CAN LOOK AT SOME OTHER OPTIONS WE WILL, BUT WE HAVE TO MAKE SOME DECISIONS QUICKLY BECAUSE WE'RE RUNNING OUT OF TIME. SO THAT'S WHERE WE ARE TODAY WITH THE PLANNING OF THAT. THERE ARE OTHER OPTIONS. WE LOOKED INTO THEM. THEY HAVEN'T COME THROUGH. AND SO RATHER THAN DELAYING IT AND THEN DOING IT LAST MINUTE I WANTED TO SECURE WHAT WE KNEW WE HAD AVAILABLE TODAY. >> OKAY. SO THAT'S A NO AS FAR AS BEING IN CONTACT WITH UCR. >> WE WERE ORIGINALLY JUST LOOKING IN THE LOS ANGELES AREA AND THEN BEGAN TO EXPAND INTO OTHER REGIONS WITHIN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. SO THE INITIAL CONTACT WAS FOR A LOT OF THE LOS ANGELES AREA LOCATIONS. IT WAS JUST RECENTLY WHERE WE WERE EXPANDING INTO ONTARIO AND OTHER AREAS, AND THAT'S WHERE THE L.A. LOCATION WAS -- WE WERE ABLE TO SECURE FOR TWO SERIES OF MEETINGS SO I'M JUST NOTING. IF WE WANT TO FURTHER EXPAND INTO THESE OTHER REGIONS WE CAN THEN GO BACK TO THOSE CONTACTS BUT JUST TO REITERATE WHAT ALVARO HAD NOTED. >> SO TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTION, NO, WE HAVE NOT REACHED BACK OUT TO RIVERSIDE. >> COMMISSIONER AHMAD I THINK YOU HAD YOUR HAND UP PREVIOUSLY, RIGHT? WHY DON'T YOU GO AHEAD AND WE'LL GET BACK TO YOU, COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ. >> I CAN HELP WITH HEADPHONE SET-UP. I DON'T WANT ANY OF MY COLLEAGUES TO WORRY ABOUT THAT. AND THEN ALSO ZOOM. AND ANYTHING IT'S JUST A QUICK GOOGLE SEARCH AWAY. SO IF WE WANT TO SAVE SOME FUNDING ON TRAVEL FOR THE WHOLE VIDEOGRAPHER THING, I'M WILLING TO STEP UP AND HELP OUT IN THAT AREA. >> THANK YOU FOR THAT, COMMISSIONER AHMAD. COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ. >> THANK YOU, CHAIR. AND THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER AHMAD, FOR OFFERING THAT. I WOULD STILL PREFER TO HAVE THE VIDEOGRAPHER WITH US SO THAT COMMISSIONER AHMAD CAN BE FREED UP FOR COMMISSION WORK. AND ONCE AGAIN, OUR CONTRACT HAS THAT INFORMATION IN OUR ORIGINAL SCHEDULE, WE WERE PLANNING TO TRAVEL THROUGHOUT THE STATE. SO IT WAS GOING TO BE TRAVELING TO DIFFERENT CITIES, DIFFERENT WEEKS, RIGHT NOW WE'RE GOING BACK AND FORTH FROM SACRAMENTO TO SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. AND THEN THE SECOND PART OF IT IS WITH THAT PIECE, I THINK IT IS IN THE COMMISSION'S BEST INTEREST AT LEAST TWO WEEKS' PRIOR TO A MEETING EITHER -- WELL, BEING IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA TO TAKE A POLE AS TO WHICH COMMISSIONERS WILL BE ATTENDING, BECAUSE IF IT TURNS OUT THERE'S ONLY GOING TO BE FOUR OR FIVE COMMISSIONERS THEN MAYBE WE WANT TO RETHINK WHETHER OR NOT WE WANT TO RESERVE A SPACE AND EVERYTHING ELSE

THAT GOES INVOLVED WITH THAT SO I'M JUST THROWING THAT OUT THERE FOR SOMETHING FOR US TO THINK ABOUT. >> I THINK THAT THOSE ARE EXCELLENT IDEAS. AND I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL JUST TO BETTER UNDERSTAND LIKE WE HEAR YOU, COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ, AND I SHARE YOUR PERSPECTIVE THAT WE WERE GOING TO BE TRAVELING AROUND THE STATE, SO TO THE EXTENT THAT WE ARE GOING TO HOST MEETINGS IN OTHER LOCATIONS THEN WE SHOULD HAVE A FULL SET-UP BUT IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO GET A SENSE OF OTHER COMMISSIONERS' PERSPECTIVES ON THIS MATTER. IS THERE A MOTION YOU MIGHT WANT TO PUT FORWARD ON THIS OR DO WE WANT TO JUST DISCUSS IT? CAN WE GET A SENSE OF OTHER COMMISSIONERS? IS THERE A DESIRE TO, ONE, HAVE VIDEOGRAPHY ON-SITE FOR MEETINGS WHEN WE ARE TRAVELING, AND TWO, IS EVERYONE COMFORTABLE WITH HAVING A POLE AND SETTING A DATE AND YOU NEED TO RESPOND BY THAT SUCH DATE? COMMISSIONER TOLEDO. >> A LITTLE BIT UNCLEAR ABOUT THE BENEFITS AND DISADVANTAGES OF EITHER. I KNOW THAT THERE'S OF COURSE FINANCIAL ADVANTAGES TO NOT HAVING VIDEOGRAPHY TRAVEL AS MUCH, BUT ARE THERE -- AND I HAVEN'T BEEN IN PERSON SO I'M NOT SURE WHAT THOSE ADVANTAGES MIGHT BE. SO THAT'S JUST A QUESTION. >> THANK YOU. COMMISSIONER TURNER. >> THANK YOU. I THINK MY COMMENT OR QUESTION WAS MUCH THE SAME AS COMMISSIONER TOLEDO. OBVIOUSLY WE LOOK AT JUSTIFYING COSTS AND, YES, IT WAS ALSO INCLUDED FROM THE BEGINNING BUT I'D ALSO LIKE TO GET A LITTLE BIT OF THE OTHER SIDE TO DETERMINE IS IT THAT OUR TRIED AND TRUE VIDEOGRAPHERS AREN'T AVAILABLE, IS IT COST, PERHAPS CAN WE JUSTIFY COST BY RENTING THE SAME EQUIPMENT IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA SO THAT WE'RE NOT TAKING IT BACK AND FORTH? BECAUSE ALSO WITH THE EQUIPMENT MOVING IT BACK AND FORTH THERE'S ALWAYS THE OPPORTUNITY THAT YOU'RE DAMAGING IT IN THE TRAVEL. SO I'D JUST LIKE TO KNOW A LITTLE BIT MORE. YES, I'D LOVE FOR THEM TO GO WITH US, BUT THERE HAS TO BE MORE BEHIND IT, AND I'D LIKE TO UNDERSTAND THAT, BECAUSE FOR SURE I CAN BE SWAYED TO DO SOMETHING DIFFERENT, UNDERSTANDING FULL RAMIFICATIONS OF SAYING PACK IT ALL UP AND CONTINUE TO MOVE IT. >> DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ, YOU WANT TO RESPOND TO THAT? >> SURE. SO WE CAN DO THAT. WE WERE LOOKING AT DIFFERENT OPTIONS. WE WERE LOOKING AT A HYBRID METHOD AND LOOKING AT -- WE HAD CHANGED FROM WHAT WE ORIGINALLY PLANNED MUCH IT WAS SUPPOSED TO BE IN PERSON FOR THE PUBLIC TO ATTEND. THEY'RE NOT ATTENDING. AND SO THIS IS JUST THE MEETING OF THE COMMISSION. AND SO WE WERE LOOKING AT DIFFERENT OPTIONS. THAT WAS AN OPTION THAT WAS PRESENTED TO ME. I THOUGHT IT WAS REASONABLE, GIVEN THAT WE ALL DO NEED TO BE IN THE ZOOM, BECAUSE THAT IS WHAT IS LIVESTREAMED, AND THEREFORE IT'S STILL A ZOOM MEETING. EVERYONE IS GOING TO BE ON THEIR LAPTOP. THERE'S A REQUIREMENT TO BE IN THE ZOOM. AND SO LOGISTICALLY IT MAKES SENSE TO ME. BUT IF THE COMMISSION DECIDES THEY TRULY WANT TO HAVE THE VIDEOGRAPHER STAFF THERE, THEY'RE ABLE AND WILLING. >> COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ, DO YOU HAVE ANOTHER COMMENT? >> I SHOULD PROBABLY KEEP MY COMMENT TO MYSELF RIGHT NOW. SO WE CAN GO ON TO THE NEXT PERSON. >> OKAY. COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN. >> THANK YOU, CHAIR. ONE CONSIDERATION IS REMEMBER FOR IN THE 2030 COMMISSION, THEY WILL BE LOOKING AT HOW MUCH WE ACTUALLY SPENT. AND WE'RE SUPPOSED TO BE TAKING ACCOUNT AS MUCH AS WE CAN FOR WHAT IS ABNORMAL AND WHAT IS NORMAL. AND WHAT IS NORMAL IS THAT EVERYONE TRAVELS, THE VIDEOGRAPHY ALL TRAVELS. IF WE DON'T HAVE THAT AMOUNT AT ALL, YOU KNOW, THE LEGISLATURE COULD LOOK AT, WELL, OKAY, GREAT, LET'S DEED UP THE THINGS THAT ONLY HAPPENED BECAUSE OF THE PANDEMIC AND JUST STOP THERE. THEY WOULDN'T ADD IN THE THINGS THAT OTHER EXPENSES THAT WE REALLY SHOULD HAVE HAD BUT DIDN'T BECAUSE IT WAS ALL VIRTUAL. SO I THINK AT

SOME POINT IT WOULD BE WORTH SOME SORT OF TRAVEL, MOVING THE ROAD SHOW AT LEAST SO WE HAVE AN ACTUAL DOLLAR AMOUNT THAT THEN WE CAN MULTIPLY UP OR SOMETHING. SO THAT'S JUST A CONSIDERATION FOR NEXT TIME. >> THAT MAKES SENSE. COMMISSIONER TURNER. >> THANK YOU. AND THANK YOU, DIRECTOR ALVARO, FOR YOUR RESPONSE. ON THE VIDEOGRAPHERS GOING WITH US TO SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, I APPRECIATED THE RESPONSE ABOUT WE'RE GOING TO BE ON ZOOM ANYWAY. AND OUR TEAM, OUR VIDEOGRAPHY TEAM DOES THINGS SO SEAMLESSLY AND WELL, I APPRECIATE THEM GREATLY. I DON'T NECESSARILY KNOW ALL OF THE EXTRA. SO RIGHT HERE WE'RE SITTING IN FOR THE PUBLIC IN A WONDERFUL SPACE WITH A LOT OF EQUIPMENT AND WHAT HAVE YOU. BUT I'M STILL LOOKING AT A ZOOM SCREEN. AND SO IF THEY GO WITH US, WE'LL STILL BE LOOKING AT A ZOOM SCREEN, AND WHAT OTHER BENEFIT IS IT? SO WHEN WE GET TO LINE DRAWING, WILL THEIR ATTENDANCE OR NOT MAKE A DIFFERENCE AS TO WHETHER OR NOT I IMAGINE I'LL BE ABLE TO SEE MAPS ON A LARGE SCREEN AND WATCH IT OR AM I GOING TO REALLY BE LOOKING AT MAPS JUST ON MY COMPUTER HERE? SO THAT'S WHAT I WANT TO KNOW. WITH THE VIDEOGRAPHERS GOING WITH US WILL IT MAKE A DIFFERENCE OF WHAT IS DISPLAYED AND WHAT I CAN SEE LARGE SCREEN AS OPPOSED TO LIKE WE WILL HAVE THIS TELEVISION THAT'S LARGER OR SOMETHING ON THE WALL? I WANT TO KNOW WHAT'S THE DIFFERENCE THAT WILL BE PROVIDED BY THEM BEING PRESENT AS OPPOSED TO IF WE WERE JUST SEEING OUR COMPUTERS? >> I DON'T HAVE A SENSE, BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW THE LOCATION OF THE FACILITY AND WHAT'S AVAILABLE AT THAT FACILITY. OBVIOUSLY SOME OF THESE TELEVISIONS THAT YOU SEE BEFORE YOU ARE PART OF THE VIDEOGRAPHERS' EQUIPMENT, THE LARGER ONE IS OUR EQUIPMENT THAT WE WOULD HAVE TO HAVE IT TAKEN DOWN THERE AS WELL. THOSE WOULD BE AVAILABLE. AS FAR AS HAVING A LARGE SCREEN TO VIEW THE MAPS, I DON'T KNOW IF WE WOULD HAVE THAT CAPABILITY AT THE LOCATION. THAT IS SOMETHING WE COULD DEFINITELY LOOK INTO. THE LINE DRAWERS MAY ATTEND IN PERSON. BUT THEY'RE ALSO GOING TO BE DISPLAYING IT ON THE ZOOM FOR THE CALIFORNIANS TO WATCH IT. SO I MEAN, IT'S DEFINITELY SOMETHING THAT WE CAN LOOK INTO TO FIND A LARGER SCREEN AND SEE HOW WE CAN DISPLAY THAT INFORMATION BETTER SO THAT YOU CAN SEE IT. IT IS RATHER DIFFICULT TO SEE IT ON YOUR LAPTOP BECAUSE IT IS SO MUCH INFORMATION WITHIN THAT IMAGE THAT IT DOES CAUSE US SOME CHALLENGES. SO THOSE ARE SOME OPTIONS THAT WE COULD LOOK INTO BUT I DON'T KNOW EXACTLY HOW THAT FACILITY IS SET UP. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY HAVE AVAILABLE. SO WE DO HAVE ADDITIONAL LOGISTICS THAT WE HAVE TO WORK OUT. SO I CAN'T REALLY SAY HOW THAT'S GOING TO CHANGE AT THIS POINT. >> OKAY. SO JUST ONE FOLLOW-UP. WHAT I'M STILL NOT CLEAR IS WHAT DO I MISS IF THEY'RE NOT THERE. >> THEIR PERSONALITIES. [LAUGHS]. >> THAT WILL BE A HUGE GAP, HARD TO FILL. >> I DON'T THINK THAT YOU'LL MISS MUCH. OBVIOUSLY THERE MAY BE SOME TECHNICAL ISSUES THAT THEY ARE AWESOME AT WHAT THEY DO AND MAKING SURE THAT EVERYTHING HAS BEEN MENTIONED ALREADY IS SEAMLESS, AND SO TECHNICAL GLITCHES MAY HAPPEN, AND THEY MAY HAPPEN IF THEY'RE THERE TOO BECAUSE IT'S A DIFFERENT FACILITY, DIFFERENT LOCATION THAT THEY'RE HAVING TO ADJUST TO. BUT BEYOND THAT, I COULDN'T TELL YOU HOW DIFFERENT IT'S GOING TO BE WITHOUT THEM. >> OKAY. SO CONCEIVABLY ONE OF THE OTHER OPTIONS IS WE CAN TRY ONE WITHOUT THEM AND SEE WHAT WE'VE LOST AND THEN MAKE ANOTHER DECISION ABOUT THEM GOING IF WE GOT THERE AND DETERMINE WE WERE JUST REALLY FLAILING WITHOUT THEM. >> THAT IS AN OPTION. I WOULD BE ON THE SIDE OF LET'S NOT GO THERE. YOU KNOW, THE LAST THING IS WE WANT TO FLAIL, HOPEFULLY THINGS WILL JUST RUN SMOOTHLY. BUT AGAIN I WAS BEING COGNIZANT OF A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT THINGS, LOGISTICS BEING WHAT THEY ARE, TRYING TO FIGURE OUT ALL THE DIFFERENT PIECES

AND THERE WERE MOVING PIECES WHEN WE STARTED THIS PROCESS AND NOW WE'VE GOT MORE FINALITY AS TO WHAT WE'RE DOING AND HOW WE'RE DOING THINGS SO WE CAN OBVIOUSLY PIVOT AT THIS POINT IF WE WANTED TO DO THAT. BUT I WOULD DEFER TO THE COMMISSION TO DECIDE IF THAT'S WHAT YOU WISH TO DO. TO HAVE THOSE TWO OPTIONS. >> I THINK THAT'S A GREAT POINT, ALVARO, IS WE HAVE BEEN OPERATING IN A STATE OF AMBIGUITY FOR QUITE SOME TIME NOT KNOWING IF WE WOULD HAVE TO HAVE PUBLIC MEETINGS, WHAT WE WOULD HAVE TO DO, WHEN OUR DEADLINE WOULD BE. WE NOW HAVE A LOT MORE CLARITY AND THAT CLARITY ONLY CAME RECENTLY, AND I THINK NOW IS THE OPPORTUNITY IF THERE'S SOMETHING IN PARTICULAR THAT YOU WANT TO SEE OVER THE NEXT COUPLE OF MONTHS NOW IS THE TIME TO REALLY VOICE THAT SO WE CAN MAKE IT HAPPEN OR NOT. I SEE COMMISSIONER TOLEDO. >> THANK YOU. I APPRECIATED COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN'S POINT ABOUT THAT ANY DECISION MIGHT IMPACT FUTURE BUDGETS FOR THE NEXT COMMISSION. THE OTHER POINT, AND I ALSO APPRECIATED THE COMMENT IN TERMS OF WHAT WE'LL MISS AND/OR GAIN BY HAVING THE IT STAFF OR OUR TECHNICAL STAFF THERE. I AM THINKING THAT IF WE DO MEET IN PERSON AND WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO USE AUDIO PHONES AND OTHER EQUIPMENT, IT MAY MAKE SENSE TO HAVE AN IT DESK TYPE PERSON THERE TO HELP WITH ANY TECHNICAL ISSUES. I ALSO APPRECIATE COMMISSIONER AHMAD VOLUNTEERING TO HELP US WITH SOME OF THESE THINGS. I KNOW I HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO GET MY EARPHONES TO WORK WITH MY LAPTOP AT ALL. AND LUCKILY MY SPEAKERS WORK PRETTY WELL. BUT I THINK IT MIGHT BE GOOD TO HAVE SOME KIND OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AVAILABLE IF WE DO IT IN PERSON. THANK YOU. >> I THINK THAT'S A GREAT SUGGESTION. COMMISSIONER AHMAD. >> THANK YOU, CHAIR, AND THANK YOU, EVERYONE, FOR THIS CONVERSATION. I JUST WOULD LIKE US TO THINK ABOUT WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN US SITTING IN THIS ROOM AND US SITTING AT HOME. AND I DON'T HAVE A TA PERSON AT HOME EXCEPT GOOGLE UNIVERSITY THAT HELPS ME OUT AND IDENTIFYING MY OWN USER ERRORS SO IF WE'RE NOT LETTING IN THE PUBLIC BECAUSE OF COVID CONCERNS BUT WE'RE MEETING IN PERSON, I DON'T SEE HOW THIS IS ANY DIFFERENT FROM US INDIVIDUALLY MEETING FROM HOME. I WOULD CAUTION US TO THINK THAT WE'RE MISSING OUT ON ANYTHING IF THE VIDEOGRAPHER TEAM DOESN'T TRAVEL WITH US BECAUSE THAT WOULD MEAN WE WERE MISSING OUT ON SOMETHING FOR THE LAST YEAR, WHICH I DON'T THINK WE WERE. >> IN RESPONSE TO YOUR QUESTION, I THINK THE DIFFERENCE IS SWEAT PANTS PERHAPS. [LAUGHS] LAUGHS BUT I'LL KEEP THAT TO MYSELF. ANY OTHER FINAL COMMENTS ON THIS TOPIC? ALVARO, CAN YOU GIVE US A RUNDOWN OVER THE NEXT COUPLE OF WEEKS WHAT THAT SCHEDULE LOOKS LIKE? SO NEXT WEEK WE ARE BACK HERE IN SACRAMENTO, IS THAT CORRECT? >> THAT IS CORRECT. WE ARE BACK HERE IN SACRAMENTO. LET ME PULL UP MY SCHEDULE. JUST ONE SECOND. OKAY, SO OCTOBER 4, 5, 6 AND 7 WE'RE HERE IN SACRAMENTO. OCTOBER 13, 14, 15 IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. >> IS THERE A LOCATION FOR THAT? >> WE HAVE SECURED LOCATION FOR THAT. OCTOBER 20, 21, 22 IS BACK IN SACRAMENTO. AND 23 AS WELL WHICH IS A SATURDAY. WE HAVE A LOCATION FOR THAT HERE IN SACRAMENTO AS WELL. OCTOBER 27 THROUGH THE 30TH, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, WE HAVE A LOCATION AT THIS POINT. IT'S THE SAME LOCATION AS THE PREVIOUS ONE IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. WE'RE STILL LOOKING AT OTHER OPTIONS TO SEE IF WE CAN FIND OTHER LOCATIONS. BUT I WANTED TO SECURE WHAT WE KNEW WE HAD VERSUS CONTINUING TO LOOK FOR THINGS AND THEN COME UP SHORT AND THEN NOT GOING TO HEAR THE END OF THAT. SO WANT TO MAKE SURE GET WHAT WE CAN GET RIGHT NOW. OCTOBER -- OR NOVEMBER 3, 4, AND 5 WE'RE BACK IN SACRAMENTO. OCTOBER 8, 9, AND 10 -- NOVEMBER, I'M SORRY. NOVEMBER 8, 9, AND 10 AND ALSO ON THE 12TH WE'RE SCHEDULED FOR SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. WE'RE LOOKING FOR A LOCATION IN

SOUTHERN SAN DIEGO AREA. VETERANS DAY IS VETERANS DAY. SO I DON'T KNOW HOW THE COMMISSION WISHES TO PROCEED WITH THAT. BUT ON THE SCHEDULE THAT IS A HOLIDAY. AND THEN THEREAFTER WE ARE IN SACRAMENTO THE 16TH THROUGH THE 19TH. WE HAVE THANKSGIVING. AND THEN THE 30TH THROUGH DECEMBER 2nd WE'RE BACK IN SACRAMENTO. AND THE SUBSEQUENT MEETINGS AFTER THAT ARE IN SACRAMENTO FOR THE COMMISSION MEETINGS. BUT I KNOW WE MAY HAVE SOME ADDITIONAL MEETINGS FOR FEEDBACK AND THINGS LIKE THAT SIMILAR TO OUR COI MEETINGS AND THOSE HAVE NOT BEEN SCHEDULED AT THIS POINT. >> PERFECT. THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR WALKING US THROUGH THAT. GREAT. DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ, DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING ELSE FOR YOUR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT? >> I DO. THAT WAS JUST THE BEGINNING. [LAUGHS] ALL RIGHT. I WANTED TO GIVE YOU AN UPDATE ON THE PAPER COI. WE RECEIVED FINAL APPROVAL FROM THE U.S. POST OFFICE LAST WEEK AND WE'RE WORKING WITH THE STATE PRINTING TO PRINT THE PAPER COI AND HAVE IT DELIVERED AND LOOKING AT DIFFERENT OPTIONS ON THE DELIVERY. SOME OF THE QUANTITIES ARE RATHER LARGE TO THE PRISONS. AND SO WE'RE TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO GET THEM TO THEM. IT'S NOT AS EASY AS JUST SENDING IT TO AN ADDRESS BECAUSE IT'S A P.O. BOX. THEY DON'T PROVIDE US A PHYSICAL ADDRESS SO WE HAVE TO WORK WITH THAT SCENARIO AND TRY TO WORK IT ALL OUT. THAT'S WHERE WE ARE WITH THAT. WE'RE ALSO GOING TO BE LOOKING AT POSTING THE PAPER COI PDFS ONLINE IN THE MULTIPLE LANGUAGES THAT WE HAVE IT AVAILABLE. SO WE'RE WORKING ON THAT PIECE OF IT AS WELL. ANY QUESTIONS ON THE PAPER COI. >> COMMISSIONER SINAY? >> I BELIEVE IT'S THE PRISONS AND THE LIBRARIES THAT YOU'RE ALSO GETTING IT TO LIBRARIES THROUGHOUT THE STATE AS WELL. >> YES. THE LIBRARIES ARE GOING TO BE MUCH EASIER BECAUSE THE VOLUME, THE QUANTITIES ARE NOT AS LARGE AS THE PRISON INDUSTRIES, AND THEREFORE IT'S A LOT EASIER TO GET THEM OUT. SO, YES, WE ARE LOOKING AT THE PRISONS AND THE LIBRARIES AND THERE'S ALSO TWO OTHER GROUPS, THE SHERIFFS AND THERE'S ANOTHER GROUP. MARCY? >> AT ONE POINT WE TALKED ABOUT HOW WE MIGHT PUT A CODE SO WE HAD AN IDEA OF WHICH ONES WERE COMING BACK FROM LIBRARIES AND WHICH ONES WERE COMING BACK FROM INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS FOR OUR ANALYSIS AT THE END. IS THAT STILL -- IT DIDN'T HAVE TO BE SOMETHING BIG BUT IS THAT STILL IN THE WORKS OR BECAUSE NOT EVERYTHING GOT APPROVED LET'S NOT TOUCH EVERYTHING? >> YES AND YES. NO. THE CODING WOULD TAKE PLACE WHEN WE ARE INPUTTING THAT INFORMATION. WHEN WE RECEIVE THEM BACK WE'RE GOING TO TRY TO IDENTIFY THEM WHERE THEY'RE COMING BACK FROM BECAUSE THEY'RE GOING TO BE SENT BACK TO US BY THE PRISONS DIRECTLY TO US AND WHAT WE CAN DO IS IN THE DATABASE INDICATE WHERE THEY'RE COMING FROM. THAT WOULD BE THE ONLY CODE. WE DIDN'T DO ANY CODING ON THE ACTUAL DOCUMENTS. IT'S TOO MUCH TO TRY TO FIGURE OUT. >> WILL WE BE ABLE TO AT SOME POINT HAVE THE REPORT THAT'S CREATED THAT SAYS HOW MUCH WAS SENT TO WHOM AND THEN WHAT CAME BACK? AND PUTTING IT OUT THERE AGAIN THAT WE KNOW THAT THE NUMBERS WILL PROBABLY BE SMALL THIS TIME AROUND BUT JUST SO THAT WE HAVE A BASELINE FOR THE FUTURE AND FOR THE PUBLIC AND ALL OF US TO KNOW. >> ABSOLUTELY. WE'LL PROVIDE THAT. >> COMMISSIONER KENNEDY. >> THANK YOU. YES. IT WAS BASICALLY TO REQUEST THAT WE BE PROVIDED WITH A COPY OF THE DISTRIBUTION PLAN. THANK YOU. >> THANK YOU. COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ. >> YES. I'M NOT SURE HOW THE FOR THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHAB HOW THEY WILL BE SENDING THE INFORMATION BACK IF IT'S FROM EVERY FACILITY. IF WE DO RECEIVE THEM FROM THE FACILITY JUST SO EVERYONE KNOWS IT'S STAMPED THAT IT'S COMING FROM A CORRECTIONAL FACILITY SO WE'D BE ABLE TO KNOW THAT THEY'D COME FROM A CORRECTIONAL FACILITY SO WE MAYBE CAN TAG THAT IN THE DATABASE

OR AS PART OF OUR STATS. >> THANK YOU. DIRECTOR KAPLAN. >> JUST TO ADD TO THE OTHER STATEWIDE ENTITIES WILL BE SHIPPED OUT TO IS THE STATEWIDE PRISON POPULATION, THE COUNTY JAILS AND ALSO THE JUVENILE FACILITIES. AND THEN WE WORK TOGETHER WITH THE CALIFORNIA LIBRARY ASSOCIATION AND THE STATE LIBRARIAN OFFICE TO DISTRIBUTE A REQUEST FORM FOR LIBRARIES AND FOLLOW UP WITH MORE RURAL LIBRARIES AS WELL. SO THAT WAS DIRECTION BY BOTH THE STATEWIDE ASSOCIATIONS TO REALLY GET THE REQUEST FROM THE NHTS THEMSELVES. >> GREAT. THANK YOU. ALVARO. >> THANK YOU. MOVING ON, I WANTED TO GO BACK AND TALK ABOUT THE 2010 WEBSITE. I DON'T RECALL IF I SHARED THIS LAST -- PROBABLY DIDN'T SHARE IT LAST WEEK BECAUSE I DIDN'T GET A CHANCE TO REPORT OUT. SO WE'VE COMMUNICATED WITH THE WEBSITES SUBCOMMITTEE THAT THERE IS NOTHING NEW TO SHARE AT THIS TIME AS FAR AS GETTING THAT WEBSITE UP. WE'RE NOT ABLE TO POST THE 2010 WEBSITE BECAUSE IT'S NON-COMPLIANCE TO ADA REQUIREMENTS. SO WE'LL WORK WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON WHAT THE NEXT STEPS MIGHT BE AS FAR AS GETTING THAT WEB PAGE EITHER COMPLIANT AT SOME POINT AND POST IT SOMEWHERE. AND WE'RE GOING TO TRY TO FIGURE THAT PIECE OUT AS WE MOVE FORWARD. >> SORRY. WHAT WAS IT NOT COMPLIANT WITH? >> ADA. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT. SO THE WEBSITES HAVE TO BE COMPLIANT WITH ADA REQUIREMENTS. THEY HAVE TO ALL STATE WEBSITES MUST BE COMPLIANT OTHERWISE THEY HAVE TO BE TAKEN DOWN. OUR WEBSITE, OUR CURRENT WEBSITE IS ADA COMPLIANT. WE HAVE ADA CERTIFICATE, COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATE. SO THAT'S WHY WE CANNOT POST THE 2010 WEBSITE AT THIS TIME. >> COMMISSIONER, IF I COULD JUST BRIEFLY CONTEXTUALIZE A LITTLE BIT. THERE WAS A BILL THAT WAS PASSED BETWEEN THE 2010 COMMISSION AND THE 2020 COMMISSION THAT REQUIRED ALL STATE WEBSITES TO BE ADA ACCESSIBLE. TO THE VISUALLY -- I MEAN FOR EXAMPLE A PDF MAY OR MAY NOT BE VISUALLY ACCESSIBLE TO THOSE THAT ARE VISUALLY-IMPAIRED. LET'S SAY A MICROSOFT WORD DOCUMENT IN A CERTAIN FONT IS WHAT IS SORT OF -- WHAT IS AN ACCEPTABLE STANDARD. SO ALL STATE DEPARTMENT WEBSITES HAVE TO CERTIFY THAT THEIR WEBSITE IS ADA COMPLIANT. AND SO THAT'S SORT OF GOING FORWARD BASIS THAT STATE ENTITIES HAVE TAKEN AND THEY'RE SORT OF WORKING ON PREVIOUS DOCUMENTS. AND BY THE WAY, IT APPLIES NOT JUST TO THE WEBSITE BUT IT ALSO APPLIES TO THE DOCUMENTS THAT ARE ON THE WEBSITE. SO PER THE BETTER PART OF A FEW YEARS NOW, ALL STATE ENTITIES HAVE BEEN WORKING ON THAT. >> SO ACTUALLY ON THAT, SO DOES IT MEAN THAT YOU ALSO HAVE TO HAVE AUDITORY. >> I'M NOT EXACTLY SURE IF THAT PART APPLIES IN CERTIFICATION. THE BILL ITSELF THAT WAS SIGNED INTO LAW APPLIES IN A SPECIFIC CONTEXT, SPECIFICALLY HAS TO HAVE A CERTIFICATION ABOUT IT BEING ACCESSIBLE TO THE VISUALLY-IMPAIRED. I'M NOT SURE IF IT ALSO HAS TO BE APPLIED AS TO HEARING-IMPAIRED AS WELL. >> THANK YOU. >> THANK YOU. OH, MY APOLOGIES. I'M SORRY. I'M LOOKING OUT AND IT'S NOT ON THE ZOOM. COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ. COMMISSIONER KENNEDY. >> THANK YOU, CHAIR. DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ, THE SUBCOMMITTEE WOULD LIKE TO RECEIVE WHATEVER E-MAIL OR OTHER CORRESPONDENCE YOU'VE HAD WITH THE STATE ARCHIVES ON THIS SO THAT WE CAN SEE IF THERE'S ANY FURTHER EFFORT THAT WE MIGHT WANT TO TAKE. >> I WILL GET THAT INFORMATION TO YOU. I JUST WANTED TO ONCE AGAIN NOTE THAT THE STATE ARCHIVES DOESN'T ARCHIVE WEBSITES. IT ARCHIVES DOCUMENTS, DIGITAL DOCUMENTS, DIGITAL MEDIA INFORMATION, BUT NOT A FORMAT WHERE THEY CAN HOUSE A FULL-ON WEBSITE. THAT HAS BEEN THE CHALLENGE THAT WE'VE BEEN TRYING TO OVERCOME IS THAT WE'D LIKE TO HAVE THE ENTIRE WEBSITE AVAILABLE FOR HISTORICAL PURPOSES BUT THERE ISN'T AT THIS POINT THAT AVENUE TO POST A WEBSITE OR FOR SOMEONE TO HOST OUR WEBSITE AS IS ON THEIR WEBSITE. AND SO THAT'S WHERE WE'VE BEEN KIND OF LOOKING TO FIND THAT

SOLUTION. BUT DEFINITELY WE'LL SEND YOU THAT INFORMATION THAT I HAVE. >> THANK YOU. AND, YEAH, UNDERSTOOD. BUT, YOU KNOW, EVEN IF IT'S -- WE KNOW THAT MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ARE LOOKING FOR SPECIFIC ITEMS, AND IF THE STATE ARCHIVES ARE ABLE TO PULL UP THOSE ITEMS THEN HAVING THE STRUCTURE, THE 2010 WEBSITE BECOMES LESS RELEVANT. WE WANT TO BE RESPONSIVE TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHO ARE LOOKING FOR SPECIFIC DOCUMENTS OR VIDEOS OR TRANSCRIPTS OR WHATEVER IT MAY BE THAT WERE MOST EASILY LOCATEABLE THROUGH THAT WEBSITE. BUT IF THE STATE ARCHIVES HAS THEM AND REFER MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO THE STATE ARCHIVES TO OBTAIN THE INFORMATION THAT THEY'RE LOOKING FOR, I SEE THAT AS PERFECTLY ACCEPTABLE. SO THANK YOU. >> THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER KENNEDY. ALVARO, IS THERE MORE TO YOUR REPORT? >> ABSOLUTELY. [LAUGHS] ALL RIGHT. THIS IS THE LAST THING, I PROMISE. SO OUR DATABASE HAS BEEN UPDATED THROUGH SEPTEMBER 10. SO THAT MEANS ALL THE COI INPUT MEETINGS INFORMATION HAS BEEN LOADED AND IS AVAILABLE NOW. SO THAT WAS SOMETHING I WANTED TO SHARE WITH EVERYONE, MAKE SURE THAT YOU'RE LOOKING AND FINDING THE INFORMATION THAT HAS BEEN POSTED OUT THERE. WE'RE STILL GOING TO BE RECEIVING CORRESPONDENCE, E-MAILS, THINGS OF THAT NATURE THAT WILL TAKE A LITTLE BIT OF TIME TO VET IT AND GET IT INTO THE DATABASE, BECAUSE WE'RE GOING THROUGH THE COI TOOL TO HAVE IT AVAILABLE IN OUR DATABASE, CRC DATABASE. SO WE'RE WORKING ON THAT PIECE AND MAKING SURE THAT EVERYTHING IS WORKING IN ORDER. I JUST PUT IT OUT THERE FOR CALIFORNIANS, IF YOU FIND SOMETHING THAT IS NOT THERE OR INFORMATION THAT IS CONFUSING, PLEASE LET US KNOW AT VOTER FIRST ACT@CRC.GOV. THANK YOU. THAT CONCLUDES MY REPORT, UNLESS THERE ARE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS AT THIS POINT. >> AND I SEE THERE ARE. COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ. >> THANK YOU, CHAIR. YES, REGARDING THE UPDATED DATABASE, I'M JUST GOING TO LOOK THIS WAY BECAUSE YOU'RE RIGHT HERE. [LAUGHS] IT FEELS WEIRD FOR ME TO LOOK IN HERE. ANYWAY, SO RIGHT NOW WE'RE ABOUT TWO WEEKS OUT. SO AS WE MOVE FORWARD WITH THE LINE DRAWING, AND I BELIEVE THERE WAS A COMMENT AT OUR LAST MEETING, LIKE HOW FREQUENTLY WILL WE BE ABLE TO UPDATE THE INFORMATION LIKE NOW WE'RE TWO WEEKS OUT? AS WE GET CLOSER ARE WE GOING TO GET ONE WEEK OUT, DAYS OUT? I MIGHT HAVE MISSED THAT PART SO I APOLOGIZE IF IT'S REPETITIVE. >> NOPE. GOOD QUESTION. WE ARE TRYING TO STREAMLINE THE PROCESS, BUT IT DEPENDS ON THE VOLUME OF CORRESPONDENCE AND INFORMATION THAT WE RECEIVE. I THINK IT WAS TWO WEEKS AGO WE RECEIVED OVER 100 PIECES OF CORRESPONDENCE. SO THOSE DO TAKE SOME TIME TO PROCESS. OUR GOAL IS TO DO IT MORE TIMELY. WE ARE LOOKING AT BRINGING ON SOME ADDITIONAL STAFF TO HELP WITH THAT EFFORT. IT DOES GET UPLOADED TO THE STATEWIDE DATABASE. THE COI TOOL. AND A MAP IF IT IS CREATED IF THERE'S ENOUGH INFORMATION TO CREATE A MAP. THAT'S SOMETHING THAT'S KEY. SO IT'S NOT JUST THE INFORMATION WE RECEIVE IT AND THEN THAT'S IT. WE SHARE IT WITH ALL OF YOU. WE DO PUT IT ON OUR PUBLIC INPUT PAGE. IT'S THERE. BUT WE ALSO HAVE TO TAKE THAT INFORMATION, PUT IT INTO THE COI TOOL, SO THAT IT CAN CREATE THE MAP IF A MAP HAS NOT BEEN PROVIDED. AND THEN THAT INFORMATION IS THEN TRANSMITTED TO THE -- I WAS GOING TO SAY MY OLD AGENCY NAME. IT'S TRANSMITTED TO THE COMMISSION INTO OUR AIRTABLE. >> JUST A QUICK FOLLOW-UP. SO IF -- HOW FREQUENTLY IS THE INFORMATION FROM THE COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST TOOL, THE STATEWIDE DATABASE, HOW OFTEN IS THAT UPDATED TO OUR -- THE COMMISSION'S DATABASE? THAT INPUT? >> SURE. I BELIEVE AT THIS POINT IT IS EVERY COUPLE OF DAYS. THE GOAL IS TO GET IT WHERE IT'S DAILY. I KNOW WE HAD TALKED ABOUT DOING IT MORE FREQUENTLY THAN THAT. I DON'T KNOW IF WE'RE GOING TO GET TO THAT PLACE. BUT DEFINITELY THE GOAL IS TO GET IT TO TRANSMIT DAILY. >>

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY. >> THANK YOU. IT'S GOOD TO HEAR THAT YOU'RE LOOKING INTO ADDITIONAL STAFF. I THINK THAT IS A TIMELY CONSIDERATION AT THIS POINT. I JUST WANTED TO INQUIRE, I HAD MADE A REQUEST THREE WEEKS AGO FOR A LISTING OF ALL OF OUR MEETINGS TO DATE AS WELL AS THE STATUS OF EACH OF THE TRANSCRIPTS OF THOSE MEETINGS. SO JUST WANTED TO GET AN UPDATE FROM YOU ON THAT. >> AND I DO APOLOGIZE. I DID NOT SEND THAT OVER TO YOU. WE DO HAVE A LIST. WE DID RECEIVE SOME ADDITIONAL TRANSCRIPTS FOR THE MORE RECENT MEETINGS. I WILL UPDATE THAT INFORMATION AND GET THAT OVER TO YOU. WE HAVE RECEIVED -- AND I BELIEVE WE'RE EITHER IN THE PROCESS OF POSTING THEM OR WE HAVE POSTED SOME OF THEM. >> THANK YOU. >> VERY GOOD. THANK YOU SO MUCH. SHALL WE CONTINUE WITH THE COMMUNICATIONS DIRECTER'S REPORT? >> THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. JUST A FEW THINGS BEFORE I JUMP INTO MY REPORT. THE TRANSCRIPTS WERE UPDATED THE LAST BUNCH THAT WE GOT WE UPLOADED IMMEDIATELY. AND IT WAS IN MY LAST WEEK'S REPORT, BUT IT WAS AN AMAZING REPORT, BUT SADLY, I DIDN'T GET TO GO OVER IT BECAUSE WE RAN OUT OF TIME. IT WAS LIKE THE MOST ROBUST REPORT I'VE EVER WRITTEN AND HAD A BUNCH OF GREAT INFORMATION INCLUDING THE TRANSCRIPTS THAT WERE UPLOADED. I THINK THERE WAS A TOTAL OF EIGHT OR TEN. THE OTHER THING IS REGARDING THE 2010 WEBSITE, IT HAD BECOME AN ISSUE FOR US BECAUSE NOT ONLY DO WE NOT HAVE THE FUNDS TO CONTINUE HAVING THAT WEBSITE LIVE, WE DON'T HAVE THE PEOPLE POWER TO DO IT EITHER AND WE DID LOSE A LOT OF FILES WHEN IT CRASHED YEARS AGO. NOW, THE ISSUE FOR THE NEXT COMMISSION IS WHAT DO THEY DO WITH THE 2010 COMMISSION WEBSITE, WHAT DO THEY DO WITH THE 2020 WEBSITE, BECAUSE WE HAVE TO MAINTAIN IT AND PAY FOR ITS MONTHLY FEES. AND SO FOR WHOEVER'S KEEPING TRACK OF THE NEXT, I GUESS IT'S LESSONS LEARNED BUT WE DO HAVE TO COME UP WITH A PLAN BEFORE WE END OUR WORK FOR WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN WITH OUR WEBSITE AND IMMEDIATELY WITH THE 2010 WEBSITE BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE A GAME PLAN YET AND IF WE DON'T KEEP IT UP IT'S JUST GOING TO DIE AND WE LOSE ALL THE INFORMATION THAT'S ON THERE. JUMPING INTO MY REPORT I DON'T HAVE TOO MUCH, JUST SOME UPDATES. WE DID PURCHASE A PLUG-IN FOR THE WEBSITE. SO MUCH LIKE OTHER AMAZING WEBSITES WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A LANGUAGE, DROPDOWN MENU WHERE INDIVIDUALS THAT ARE VISITING OUR SITE WILL BE ABLE TO CHANGE THE LANGUAGE FOR THE WEBSITE. IN TOTAL IT'S 104 LANGUAGES THAT YOU CAN SELECT. SO ANYTHING THAT'S IN TEXT WILL BE TRANSLATED INTO THAT LANGUAGE. I'M TRYING TO REMEMBER IF IT ALSO INCLUDES VIDEOS. I KNOW THAT IT INCLUDES THE LIVESTREAM, BECAUSE IT'S TEXT THAT'S ONGOING AS THE MEETING'S GOING FORWARD BUT I DON'T KNOW IF IT WORKS FOR OTHER TAPE MATERIAL SO I'LL INQUIRE ABOUT THAT. THAT SHOULD BE UP TOMORROW. WE WERE TRYING TO GET THE CODE EMBEDDED INTO EVERY ONE OF OUR WEB PAGES TODAY BUT IT DOESN'T SEEM TO BE WORKING YET. HOPEFULLY BY TOMORROW I'LL MAKE AN ANNOUNCEMENT WHEN WE HAVE THAT. I'M SETTING UP MEETINGS WITH OUR ADVERTISING CONTRACTORS WITH THE ZONE LEADS SO THAT YOU CAN KNOW WHAT'S GOING ON IN YOUR INDIVIDUAL ZONES. AND PIVOT IF WE NEED TO CHANGE MESSAGING FOR YOUR ZONES IN REGARDS TO BILLBOARDS AND OUR RADIO ADVERTISEMENTS. AND AS FAR AS CONTRACTS ARE CONCERNED WE'RE STILL JUST MISSING THE ETHNIC MEDIA CONTRACT. EVERYTHING ELSE HAS BEEN SIGNED SO WE'RE MOVING FORWARD WITH THOSE PLANS AND I WILL KEEP YOU INDIVIDUALLY AS ZONE LEADERS WHEN WE MEET SO I CAN GIVE YOU THE WHOLE RUNDOWN ON WHERE ACTUAL BILL ORDERS ARE, WHAT RADIO STATIONS WE'VE GONE AFTER AND IF YOU WANT TO CHANGE THAT, WE HAVE ENOUGH TIME. MOVING FORWARD, OUR SOCIAL MEDIA CONTRACT THAT'S DOING ADVERTISEMENTS ON FACEBOOK, GOOGLE AND OTHER SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORMS WILL START NEXT WEEK. WE DID A MESSAGING

MEETING WITH THEM THIS PAST WEEK WITH THE COMMUNICATIONS TEAM. SO A LOT OF STUFF FROM OUR WEBSITE WHICH IS UP TO DATE, HAS THE MOST PERTINENT INFORMATION, AND THE ONLY THING THAT WE CHANGED WAS INSTEAD OF FOCUSING ON COI MEETINGS WE'RE NOW FOCUSING ON LINE DRAWING MEETINGS. BUT EVERYTHING ELSE SEEMS TO BE MOVING FORWARD NICELY. AND WE SHOULD HAVE OUR FIRST ADVERTISEMENTS ON SOCIAL MEDIA NEXT WEEK. WE'LL BE WORKING ON THE NEWSLETTER THIS WEEK. IT'S ALREADY THE END OF THE MONTH. IT'S HARD TO BELIEVE. SO THE OCTOBER NEWSLETTER WILL BE DRAFTED TOMORROW OR AS SOON AS I GET BACK TO L.A. AND I'M ALSO COORDINATING WITH THE STATEWIDE DATABASE FOR THE LAUNCH OF THE DRAW MY CALIFORNIA DISTRICT TOOL, WHICH WILL ALLOW CALIFORNIANS TO DRAW THEIR OWN MAPS AND THEIR OWN DISTRICTS. THAT IS NOT UP YET. AND AS SOON AS IT IS, WE'LL SEND OUT A PRESS RELEASE ANNOUNCING THAT IT'S LIVE. I DID SEND OVER TODAY THE PAPER COI TOOL EDUCATIONAL VIDEO, BECAUSE WE WERE RUNNING OUT OF TIME WE DIDN'T HAVE TIME TO PRODUCE A WHOLE NEW PSA FOR THE PAPER COI TOOL. WHAT WE DID IS TAKE THE EDUCATIONAL VIDEO THAT WE HAD FOR WHY PARTICIPATE GOES OVER THE WHOLE PURPOSE OF PARTICIPATING IN REDISTRICTING, BUT WE ALSO INCLUDED AT THE END THE FACT THAT WE'RE SENDING OUT PAPER COI TOOLS FOR THOSE INCARCERATED POPULATIONS AND LIBRARIES THAT WILL BE UTILIZING THE PAPER COI TOOL. AND IT'S JUST A SHORT ONE AND A HALF MINUTE VIDEO BUT IT GOES OVER ALL OUR WORK AND WHY IT'S IMPORTANT TO PARTICIPATE. AND THAT'S ALL I HAVE FOR TODAY. I'LL TAKE QUESTIONS. >> IT LOOKS LIKE COMMISSIONER TOLEDO HAS A QUESTION. >> THANK YOU, CHAIR. THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR THE UPDATE AND FOR ALL THE WORK THAT YOUR TEAM IS DOING, THAT YOU AND YOUR TEAM ARE DOING. JUST A QUESTION ABOUT THE SOCIAL MEDIA ADS THAT YOU MENTIONED. WILL THOSE BE JUST IN ENGLISH OR ARE THEY ALSO IN SPANISH AND OTHER LANGUAGES? I WAS JUST CURIOUS IF YOU COULD JUST SPEAK TO THE TARGETING OF THE SOCIAL MEDIA. >> YEAH, THAT'S A GREAT QUESTION. SO WE ARE HOPING TO DO IN LANGUAGE ADS. WE DID HAVE ONE CONTRACTOR THAT WAS DOING BILLBOARDS AND THEY ACTUALLY DID SOME IN VIETNAMESE, KOREAN AND CHINESE. SO WE WANT TO TAKE THAT MESSAGING THAT'S BEEN VETTED ALREADY BY RADIO STATIONS THAT SPEAK THOSE LANGUAGES AND USE THOSE FOR SOCIAL MEDIA. SO WHAT I'M GOING TO DO ONCE WE SIGN THE ETHNIC MEDIA CONTRACTORS HAVE EVERYONE MEET TOGETHER SO THAT THEY CAN SHARE CONTENT, THEY CAN SHARE VISUALS AND MESSAGING AND THAT WE CAN HAVE EVERYTHING CIRCULATING THROUGH ALL OUR CHANNELS THROUGH RADIO, BILLBOARD, SOCIAL MEDIA, AND SO WE'LL BE SHARING ALL THOSE MESSAGING POINTS WITH EVERY CONTRACTOR SO THAT EVERYONE'S SPEAKING THE SAME LANGUAGE AND USING THE SAME MESSAGING. >> THAT'S GREAT. THIS IS JUST AN INCREDIBLE AMOUNT OF WORK AND AN INCREDIBLE CAMPAIGN. I THINK PEOPLE ARE GOING TO BE GETTING THE MESSAGE THAT REDISTRICTING IS HAPPENING AND THEY SHOULD GET INVOLVED. COMMISSIONER KENNEDY. >> THANK YOU, CHAIR. AND THIS TIES INTO THAT. DIRECTOR CEJA, I RECALL HAVING ASKED PREVIOUSLY ABOUT YOUR INDICATION AT ONE POINT THAT YOU WOULD BE ORGANIZING BRIEFING FOR EDITORS, NOT SO MUCH THE JOURNAL AS THEMSELVES THE EDITORS. AND I WANTED TO KNOW -- I THINK YOU MENTIONED AT ONE POINT THAT ONE OF THESE CONTRACTS NEEDED TO BE IN PLACE IN ORDER FOR THAT TO HAPPEN, WHICH I DIDN'T FULLY UNDERSTAND WHY, I THINK A CONTRACT NEEDED TO BE IN PLACE FOR THAT TO HAPPEN. BUT I WONDERED IF YOU COULD UPDATE US ON THE CONCEPT, AT LEAST, OF ORGANIZING THE BRIEFING FOR EDITORS. THANK YOU. >> YEAH. SO THE BRIEFINGS THAT WE HAVE SET IN PLACE IN THE CONTRACTS WITH ETHNIC MEDIA OUR COURT REPORTERS. I CAN GET STARTED ON EDITORIAL BRIEFING SO THAT WE HAVE A SELECT FEW COMMISSIONERS ACTUALLY TALKING TO EDITORIAL BOARDS SO

THAT THEY GET THE GIST OF WHAT OUR TIMELINE LOOKS LIKE NOW, NOW THAT WE'VE UPDATED THE TIMELINE, AND THAT'S ANOTHER THING I FORGOT TO MENTION. IT'S ON OUR WEBSITE. WE TOOK THE LIBERTY OF GOING THROUGH THE TIMELINE AND UPDATING IT WITH THE NEW DEADLINES THAT WE HAVE SO THE PUBLIC KNOWS WHAT'S EXPECTED FOR THE REMAINDER OF THE YEAR. AND THAT'S BASICALLY WHAT EDITORS WILL WANT TO KNOW IS WHAT'S NEXT FOR THE COMMISSION, HOW MUCH TIME DO YOU HAVE LEFT. SO I'LL GET ON THAT THIS WEEK, COMMISSIONER KENNEDY, AND IF I CAN HAVE A FEW PEOPLE VOLUNTEER TO SIT IN THOSE EDITORIAL BOARD MEETINGS, I CAN GET THOSE SCHEDULED ASAP. >> YEAH. THAT WOULD BE GREAT. AND I'M HAPPY TO. I WOULD THINK, THOUGH, THAT BRIEFINGS FOR EDITORS WOULD INCLUDE ALL MEDIA, NOT JUST ETHNIC MEDIA. >> YES. THAT'S CORRECT. >> OKAY. >> COMMISSIONER TURNER. >> THANK YOU. DIRECTOR CEJA, I HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO LOOK AT SOME OF THE BILLBOARD ADVERTISEMENTS THAT YOU SENT US, WHICH THEY LOOK WONDERFUL. AND IN A DIFFERENT AREA OF MY LIFE, WE USE BILLBOARDS, AND TYPICALLY WHEN THERE'S PICTURES AND PHOTOS OF PEOPLE THAT WOULD BE RECOGNIZABLE, WE TYPICALLY GET A GREATER RESPONSE FROM BILLBOARDS THAN JUST THE NAMES. SO I JUST WANTED TO SUGGEST IN ANY FUTURE BILLBOARDS OR PLANNING THAT PERHAPS CONSIDER PUTTING SOME OF THE COMMISSIONERS FACES ON THE BILLBOARDS AS WELL. >> YES. THANK YOU. >> I WILL VOLUNTEER YOUR FACE FOR THESE BILLBOARDS, COMMISSIONER TURNER. [LAUGHS] COMMISSIONER SINAY. >> TWO THINGS. FOLLOWING UP ON COMMISSIONER TURNER, I THOUGHT YOU WERE GOING TO SAY SOMETHING ELSE. I THOUGHT YOU WERE GOING TO SAY HAVING PEOPLE TAKE PICTURES ON SOCIAL MEDIA AND HASHTAG IT. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE OUR SOCIAL MEDIA BE A LITTLE MORE INTERACTIVE IN THAT REGARD, IT'S VERY ONE-WAY, JUST PUSHING INFORMATION OUT BUT HOW CAN WE MAKE IT MORE INTERACTIVE. ON THE EDITORS JUST YOU KIND OF SPARKED SOMETHING. ANDREW WHO'S THE LEAD IN SAN DIEGO, IMPERIAL COUNTY, RIVERSIDE AND SAN BERNARDINO, HE ORGANIZED A MEETING FOR COMMISSIONERS AT THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY LEVEL, CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CHULA VISTA, ESCONDIDO, JUST A LOT OF THE DIFFERENT INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSIONS. WE'VE MADE IT VERY ELITE IN THAT WAY. IT'S ONLY THE INDEPENDENT ONES THAT ARE INVITED. AND I WAS INVITED. AND WE ARE WITH ALL OF US TOGETHER WE FEEL THAT WE CAN REALLY PUSH FOR THE EDITORS TO MEET WITH ALL OF US SO WE CAN GIVE A MESSAGE ON THIS IS WHY REDISTRICTING IS IMPORTANT, THIS IS WHY IT'S IMPORTANT ALL THE DIFFERENT LEVELS. AND VOICE OF SAN DIEGO IS ONE OF OUR LOCAL DIGITAL PLATFORMS AND THEY DO A BIG POLITIFEST IS WHAT THEY CALL IT SO WE'RE LOOKING TO SEE HOW WE CAN BE INVOLVED IN THAT TOO. BUT WE'RE REALLY LOOKING TO KIND OF COME OUT AS A UNIFIED FORCE SIMILAR TO THAT ARTICLE THAT CAME OUT IN LOS ANGELES WHICH I THOUGHT WAS REALLY WELL DONE SAYING THIS IS WHY IT'S IMPORTANT, THIS IS HOW YOU CAN GET INVOLVED IN THE STATE, THIS IS HOW YOU CAN GET INVOLVED IN THE COUNTY AND LISTING THE DIFFERENT CITIES. SO I JUST WANTED TO SHARE THAT SO THAT YOU ALL KNEW THAT WE DID THAT. I FORGOT TO SHARE THAT LAST WEEK WHEN WE MET. >> SOUNDS EXCITING. ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS FOR DIRECTOR CEJA? I WILL INSERT MYSELF HERE. I ACTUALLY HAVE ONE OR TWO. THE FIRST ONE WAS JUST FOR TODAY'S MEETING, I DIDN'T SEE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT POSTED ON OUR WEBSITE. AND I JUST WANTED TO GET AN UPDATE TO SEE IF WE DID HAVE ANY. AT OUR LAST MEETING WE HAD OVER 50 SUBMISSIONS SO I JUST WANTED TO CHECK IN ON THAT. I BELIEVE THAT -- I REALLY SEEING AT LEAST ONE PIECE COME IN THAT WAS E-MAILED TO COMMISSIONERS SO I JUST WANT TO CHECK IN ON THAT. AND THEN THE SECOND PIECE, I KNOW FREDY MENTIONED THIS TO ME. I ACTUALLY WANTED TO JUST RAISE THIS WITH THE FULL COMMISSION RATHER THAN MAKING A DECISION ON THIS SOLELY, THERE HAS

BEEN A REQUEST THAT NOW THAT WE -- SOME OF US MAY MEET IN PERSON FOR THE LINE DRAWING FROM REPORTERS ASKING IF IT WOULD BE OKAY FOR A REPORTER TO COME TO OUR MEETINGS IN PERSON. I WANTED TO RAISE THAT WITH EVERYONE, GET A TEMPERATURE CHECK ON THE ROOM, SEE HOW FOLKS ARE FEELING ABOUT THAT REQUEST. AND I THINK A INCORPORATE OF IT IS WE ARE MEETING WITHOUT THE PUBLIC DUE TO COVID REGULATIONS. SO WHILE I COULD SEE THE VALUE, I ALSO THINK THAT THERE'S SOME BROADER CONSIDERATIONS, SO I WANTED TO SEE IF OTHERS HAD THOUGHTS. COMMISSIONER SINAY, DID YOU HAVE A HAND UP FIRST? NO. COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ. >> I THINK I'D HAVE TO SAY NO TO THAT, BECAUSE WE AREN'T ALLOWING THE PUBLIC, AND I JUST FEEL IT'S AN EQUITY ISSUE. THANK YOU. >> GREAT.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA. >> I WOULD AGREE ON THAT TOO. ALSO ON YOUR OTHER QUESTION ABOUT THE PUBLIC COMMENT AND THE PUBLIC INPUT, I AM NOTICING THAT YOU DO HAVE TO GO TO THE SEPARATE PAGE ON THE WEBSITE ON A REGULAR BASIS TO CHECK IT. THERE IS NEW INPUT ON BOTH AREAS. HOWEVER IT'S NOT ALWAYS ON OUR HANDOUTS AREA. SO JUST WANTED TO NOTE THAT. THERE WERE SEVERAL PIECES. THERE'S PUBLIC INPUT AND PUBLIC COMMENT ON TABS ON THE WEBSITE SO YOU'LL HAVE TO LOOK AT THAT. THERE IS SEVERAL PIECES ON THE PUBLIC COMMENT SIDE AS WELL AS ON PUBLIC INPUT FROM THE LAST TIME WE MET. AND SO I'M FINDING THAT IT'S JUST BETTER TO GO THERE TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU HAVE EVERYTHING THAT IS CURRENT. >> THANK YOU. COMMISSIONER KENNEDY. >> THANK YOU, CHAIR. I WOULD SAY THAT I'M MORE SUPPORTIVE OF THE IDEA OF A REPORTER OR TWO REPORTERS IN THE ROOM, AS LONG AS THERE'S AN UNDERSTANDING, FIRST OF ALL, AS LONG AS THEY'RE REQUIRED TO MEET OUR RULES AS FAR AS VACCINATION, MASKING, SOCIAL DISTANCING, ET CETERA. SECOND AS LONG AS THERE IS AN AGREEMENT THAT THEY ARE ACTING AS REPORTERS AND ARE GOING TO SHARE WHAT THEY COLLECT WITH OTHERS. YEAH. I WOULDN'T WANT, YOU KNOW, ONE TO BE ALLOWED IN EXCLUSIVE WHEN YOU'RE DEALING WITH SITUATIONS WHERE THERE'S LIMITED SPACE AND SO FORTH, POOL ARRANGEMENTS ARE USUALLY MADE AROUND THAT. SO I WOULD BE SUPPORTIVE OF IT, YOU KNOW, AS LONG AS IT'S AS A POOL REPORTER. THANK YOU. >> THANK YOU.

COMMISSIONER YEE. >> YEAH. TO HAVING CREDENTIALLED JOURNALISTS ATTEND. I MEAN, THE PUBLIC DEPENDS ON THE FREE PRESS AND JOURNALISTS TO OBSERVE THINGS AND THEY GO TO PUBLIC MEETINGS, GO TO SCHOOL BOARD MEETINGS, THINGS THAT MAY BE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC BUT THE PUBLIC CAN'T BE ANYWHERE. THERE ARE OTHER MEETINGS WHERE IT IS LIMITED TO CREDENTIALLED REPORTERS AND NOT TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC SO EVEN IF IT WERE AN EQUITY ISSUE I THINK WE WANT TO BE -- ERE ON THE SIDE OF BEING ACCESSIBLE AND NOT HAVING THE PANDEMIC COST PUBLIC YET MORE ACCESS TO THE WORKINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT. SO I'D BE OPEN TO ACTUALLY MORE ACCESS. >> OKAY. SOME VARIATION IN THE ROOM HERE. DIRECTOR CEJA. >> YES. THANK YOU. I WAS TRYING TO UNMUTE ON MY COMPUTER. NOT NECESSARY. YEAH. WHAT I WAS GOING TO SUGGEST IS WE JUST COME UP WITH PARAMETERS IF THE COMMISSION FEELS COMFORTABLE MAYBE HAVING ONE REPORTER HERE AT TIMES, SCHEDULING THEM FAR APART, MAKING SURE THAT THEY ARE ABIDING BY THE SOCIAL DISTANCE AND WEARING A MASK MANDATE. AND CONDUCTING ALL INTERVIEWS OUTSIDE AND IF THE CAMERA PERSON NEEDS TO COME IN HERE, SHOOT B ROLL AND BE ON THEIR WAY, IT'S NOT FOR THEM TO STAY HERE AND LINGER FOR THE MAJORITY OF OUR MEETING, JUST CAPTURE ENOUGH B ROLL TO CREATE A STORY AND PUT IT ON THE AIR AND THEN LIKE I SAID HAVE ONE ON ONE INTERVIEWS TAKE PLACE IN THE HALLWAY WHERE IT'S AMPLE AND THERE'S NOT A CLUTTER OF PEOPLE. >> THAT SOUNDS LIKE SOME REASONABLE PARAMETERS THAT YOU FEEL LIKE YOU CAN DEVELOP. COMMISSIONER AHMAD. >> THANK YOU, CHAIR. I THINK I'M IN MOST AGREEMENT WITH WHAT FREDY JUST SHARED. IN

TERMS OF ALLOWING ACCESS, VARIABILITY BETWEEN THE PUBLIC AND REPORTERS, THERE IS NO VARIABILITY. EVERYTHING WE DO IS LIVESTREAMED AND OPEN TO THE PUBLIC AND THE PRESS AT ANY POINT IN TIME. ONLY DIFFERENCE BEING IF WE DO END UP INTERVIEWING, WHICH THEN BECOMES PUBLIC ANYWAYS. SO I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANY SPECIAL ACCESS BEING GRANTED TO A REPORTER VERSUS ANYONE FROM THE PUBLIC. SO FROM THAT STANDPOINT, KEEPING IT CLEAN CUT IS KIND OF WHERE I'M THINKING. BUT TO FREDY'S POINT IF THERE'S INDIVIDUAL INTERVIEW REQUESTS HAVING THAT CONDUCTED SOMEWHERE OUTSIDE THIS SPACE WOULD PROBABLY BE OKAY WITH ME. >> CHAIR, IF I COULD JUST MENTION, I'D LIKE TO ECHO COMMISSIONER AHMAD'S POINT. I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE TREATING THE PRESS AND THE PUBLIC TO THE SAME EXTENT. >> I THINK THAT MAKES SENSE. SO PERHAPS WE CAN CONTINUE TO TALK A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THAT'S POSSIBLE AND IF SO, WHAT THOSE PARAMETERS WOULD BE OFFLINE AND COME BACK WITH A PROPOSAL. THANK YOU. DIRECTOR CEJA. ANY ADDITIONAL PIECES TO YOUR REPORT? >> NO, THAT'S IT. THANK YOU SO MUCH. >> ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. AND I'LL ALSO NOTE THAT DIRECTOR CEJA VERY KINDLY CAME AND SPOKE WITH MY CLASS TODAY AND DID A PHENOMENAL JOB SO MY SINCERE THANKS TO YOU FOR THAT AND SHARING YOUR MANY YEARS OF EXPERTISE IN THE WORLD OF PUBLIC POLICY. SO THANK YOU. DIRECTOR KAPLAN. YOUR REPORT. >> HI, EVERYONE. I WANTED TO HIGHLIGHT THAT OUTREACH STAFF HAS BEGUN TO CONDUCT DRAW MY CA COMMUNITY TRAININGS AND HANDOUTS FOR TODAY POSTED A DOCUMENT THAT INCLUDES THE UPCOMING PRESENTATION THAT HAVE HAPPENED SO FAR. THERE'S AN ADDITIONAL TWO THAT ARE STILL BEING SCHEDULED AS WELL. I ALSO WANT TO HIGHLIGHT THAT WE MADE SOME UPDATES TO THE DRAW MY CA COMMUNITY PRESENTATION TO NOW INCLUDE THE NEW MAPPING TOOLS THAT STATEWIDE DATABASE HAD PRESENTED TO THE COMMISSION LAST WEEK, HIGHLIGHTING THEY'RE COMING SOON SO THAT WILL BE UPDATED ONCE THEY'RE ACTUALLY LIVE AS WELL WITH THE WAYS TO ACCESS THEM. AND WE HAVE ALSO INCLUDED A SLIDE ON TIMELINE WHICH IS NOW POSTED ON THE CRC WEBSITE. THE UPDATED VERSION OF THE SCRIPTS AND POWERPOINT ARE ON THE WEBSITE NOW AND THE OUTREACH MATERIALS PAGE ALSO. THE HANDOUT POSTED TODAY ALSO INCLUDES THE NUMBER OF INPUT RECEIVED THROUGH SEPTEMBER 10 WHICH ALVARO HIGHLIGHTED EARLIER AND IT PROVIDES A BREAKDOWN AS THE SOURCE TYPE SUCH AS LIVE MEETING, DRAW MY CA COMMUNITY TOOL, ET CETERA. I ALSO WANTED TO HIGHLIGHT THAT THIS MONDAY AT 9:00 A.M. THE REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENTS FOR THE OCTOBER 21 TO 23 PUBLIC MAP INPUT SESSIONS WAS POSTED ON THE MEETINGS PAGE OF OUR WEBSITE. AGAIN, THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO PRESENT DISTRICT MAPS TO THE COMMISSION AND THIS REQUEST FORM WAS ALSO DISTRIBUTED THROUGH OUR E-MAIL LIST AND ON OUR SOCIAL MEDIA AND OUTREACH STAFF HAVE ALSO BEEN PROMOTING TO STAKEHOLDERS. AND AS A REMINDER, FILLING OUT THIS FORM IS NOT A CONFIRMATION OR GUARANTEE OF APPOINTMENT. INVITATIONS BY APPOINTMENT TIME SLOTS WILL BE ASSIGNED BY THE CRC ON 10-15-21 AT 5:00 P.M. AND MAPS AND NARRATIVES MUST BE SUBMITTED IN ADVANCE TO THE CRC BY OCTOBER 11 AT 5:00 P.M. THOSE CAN COME IN TWO DIFFERENT E-MAILS. SO IT DOESN'T NEED TO BE -- THEY DON'T NEED TO BE SENT AT THE SAME TIME BUT DO NEED TO BE RECEIVED BY OCTOBER 11. AND I WANTED TO PROVIDE AN UPDATE TO THE COMMISSION RIGHT NOW ON THE SIGN-UPS TODAY BECAUSE WE HAVE RECEIVED A LOT OF SIGN-UPS SO FAR. SO AS OF -- THIS IS AS OF 8:00 A.M. THIS MORNING, THERE'S BEEN 37 SIGN-UPS, 26 OF OF THOSE ARE SMALL SUBMISSIONS THAT IS ONE TO THREE DISTRICT MAP, SIX-MINUTE PRESENTATION. SEVEN OF THEM ARE MEDIUM SO THIS IS FOR FOUR OR MORE DISTRICT MAPS. THREE OF THOSE ARE LARGE SUBMISSIONS. ONE STATEWIDE MAP AND ONE IS EXTRA LARGE SUBMISSION. SO JUST TO GIVE YOU A SENSE

OF HOW WE'RE LOOKING AND FILLING MUCH OUR TIME, OF THE THREE-DAY PROPOSED MEETINGS THE PROPOSED SCHEDULE THE COMMISSION CREATED ALLOWED FOR 780 MINUTES OF PUBLIC INPUT, SO OF THE SUBMISSIONS WE RECEIVED SO FAR IT'S ABOUT 396 MINUTES SO WE'RE ABOUT HALFWAY FULL IN TERMS OF WHAT WE'RE GETTING. AND JUST WANT TO LET YOU KNOW, THE SIGN-UPS DID TRICKLE IN PRETTY HEAVILY THE LAST TWO DAYS BUT AS OF THIS MORNING FROM 8:00 A.M. TILL RIGHT ABOUT NOW THERE'S ONLY BEEN TWO MORE THAT CAME IN. SO THE 37 WAS FROM 8 A.M SO MAYBE TO JUST GIVE YOU A SENSE. I'LL PROVIDE AN UPDATE NEXT WEEK TO SEE WHERE WE'RE AT. AND JUST WANT TO ADD A LITTLE BIT MORE TO THE CONVERSATION AROUND THE COI PAPER TOOL. SO STAFF HAVE BEEN REACHING OUT OVER A TIME PERIOD, NOT JUST RECENTLY BUT TO ENTITIES THAT ALSO SERVE INCARCERATED POPULATIONS TO PROVIDE COMMUNICATIONS ABOUT THE PAPER COI TOOL AND THE REDISTRICTING PROCESS TO REQUEST THEIR SUPPORT AND PROMOTION ABOUT INFORMATION ABOUT REDISTRICTING TO THE COMMUNITIES THEY SERVE. AND SO WE'VE ALSO SHARED COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION, THE CALIFORNIA STATE SHERIFF'S ASSOCIATION AND THE CHIEF PROBATION OFFICERS OF CALIFORNIA WHO OVERSEE THE JUVENILE FACILITIES AND HOW TO PREPARE THEIR STAFF FOR THE PAPER TOOLS THAT ARE COMING. THE COMMUNICATIONS TO DISTRIBUTE INFORMATION ON THE COI PAPER TOOL AND HOW THAT WILL BE GETTING BACK TO US. BUT ADDITIONALLY, HOW THE COMMISSION IS HOPING TO FACILITATE PARTICIPATION AMONG THOSE WHO ARE INCARCERATED AND ALSO REALLY HIGHLIGHTING INFORMATION WITH THE STAFF ON HOW THEY THEMSELVES CAN ALSO PARTICIPATE. AND SO THE COI PAPER TOOLS DEVELOP TO ALLOW INCARCERATED POPULATIONS THE OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE BUT WE STILL ALSO WANT TO ENCOURAGE STAFF AT THOSE FACILITIES TO PARTICIPATE. SO WE'RE MAKING SURE TO INCLUDE THAT INFORMATION AS WELL, THAT THEY CAN ACCESS THE COI TOOL ONLINE, THEY CAN ACCESS OUR WEBSITE AND THAT THEY'RE ENCOURAGED TO DO SO AS WELL. AND THAT WAS IT. >> GREAT. THANK YOU. ANY QUESTIONS FOR DIRECTOR KAPLAN? DIRECTOR CEJA? >> I DID GET AN E-MAIL A WHILE AGO FROM ONE OF OUR COUNTY PARTNERS INDICATING THAT SINCE DRAW MY CALIFORNIA DISTRICT IS NOT UP, IF THERE ARE OTHER TOOLS THAT COUNTIES CAN USE TO CREATE THEIR MAPS TO MEET THAT DECEMBER -- OR OCTOBER 11 DEADLINE TO SUBMIT MAPS BEFORE THEY PRESENT TO THE COMMISSION. DOES THAT MAKE SENSE? YEAH. >> WHAT ARE OTHER MAPPING TOOLS? >> MAPPING TOOLS THEY CAN USE BECAUSE OURS IS NOT UP YET OR THE STATEWIDE DATABASE IS NOT UP YET. >> I GUESS THAT WOULD BE FOR THE COMMISSION ON WHAT YOU WANT TO BE. >> IT'S SUPPOSED TO BE UP BY THE END OF THIS WEEK. SO A COUPLE OF DAYS VERSUS TRYING TO FIND JUST A RANDOM MAPPING TOOL, I WOULD SUGGEST THEY COLLECT ALL THEIR INFORMATION A COUPLE OF DAYS AND THEN JUMP ON AS SOON AS IT COMES OUT. BECAUSE THE ONE THING ABOUT THE MAPPING TOOL, IT DOES ALLOW YOU TO COMMENT ON THE PARTICULARS OF WHY YOU'RE PUTTING YOUR DISTRICT TOGETHER WHICH YOUR STANDARD GIS MAPPING TOOLS DO NOT. SO YOU HAVE TO WRITE A SEPARATE REPORT. THAT'S A HUGE ADVANTAGE. AND IT'S ALSO FREE SO THAT'S ANOTHER ADVANTAGE. AND IT GOES -- IT DOES GO DIRECTLY TO THE STATEWIDE DATABASE IT WILL COME DIRECTLY TO US. >> COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI. >> YEAH. THANKS. A COUPLE QUESTIONS. FIRST ONE, I DON'T KNOW IF YOU KNOW THE ANSWER TO THIS BUT IT SAYS THE ORGANIZATION NAME IS SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY. SO IS THAT, LIKE, TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OR SOMETHING? OR I'M JUST -- >> I CAN LOOK THAT UP WHILE WE'RE MEETING. >> JUST KIND OF SELFISHLY CURIOUS. >> TO INCLUDE THAT CLARIFICATION IN THE FUTURE. >> OKAY. THE OTHER QUESTION IS PUBLIC CALLED IN EARLIER, THEY SAID CAN THEY SUBMIT A POWERPOINT LATER. DID WE ANSWER THAT QUESTION AND I SPACED OUT ABOUT IT? >>

YEAH SO IT JUST NEEDS TO BE SUBMITTED BY OCTOBER 11 SO THEY CAN SUBMIT THEIR MAP ON OCTOBER 4 AND THEN SUBMIT THEIR POWERPOINT ON OCTOBER 9, AS LONG AS IT'S BEFORE THE 11 OF THE CUT-OFF TIME. >> OKAY. AND THEN I GUESS WE'RE STILL WORKING ON THE LOGISTICS ABOUT THAT HOW THAT'S GOING TO WORK. >> ONCE WE HAVE A BETTER SENSE OF HOW MANY PEOPLE. I'M SORRY, CHAIR, MAY I? GOOD. I WAS LOOKING RIGHT AT HIM. THAT'S WHY. THAT'S THE ADVANTAGES OF BEING IN THE SAME ROOM, RIGHT? ONCE WE HAVE A BETTER SENSE OF HOW MANY PEOPLE WE HAVE THEN WE'LL START LOOKING AT THE NEXT STEP OF HOW WE'RE GOING TO DISPLAY AND SCHEDULE EVERYBODY AND HOW THE MAPS -- BECAUSE SOME PEOPLE MAY SIGN UP BUT DON'T GET THE MAPS IN IN TIME SO WE'RE STILL LOOKING AT MAKING SURE WE HAVE A FULL SCHEDULE AND COORDINATING FROM THAT POINT ON. >> YEAH. I GUESS JUST A ABOUT HOW WE'RE GOING TO -- WHO'S GOING TO DISPLAY THE MAP AND HOW WE'RE GOING TO RUN THROUGH ALL THAT PROCESS WE STILL HAVE TO WORK THROUGH. YOU ALREADY KNOW THAT. >> I WILL JUST JUMP IN AND I THINK COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI, YOU COULD BE REALLY INSTRUCTIVE ON THIS. WE DON'T HAVE TO ANSWER THIS QUESTION NOW. BUT THIS DID COME UP IN SOME OF OUR CONVERSATIONS WITH THE LINE DRAWER SO I WANT TO RAISE IT NOW, AT LEAST TO PUT A PIN IN IT AND WE CAN FIGURE IT OUT LATER. THE LINE DRAWERS ARE OF THE BELIEF THAT IT WOULD BE EASIEST IF PRESENTERS CAN SHARE THEIR SCREENS AND WALK US THROUGH THEIR MAPS THEMSELVES. SOMETIMES EVEN WHEN PRESENTING, YOU'LL TRY TO POINT TO SOMETHING AND YOU'RE NOT REALLY POINTING TO IT BECAUSE YOU'RE NOT CONTROLLING THE SCREEN. SO I DID WANT TO RAISE THAT. I THINK IT'S SOMETHING WE NEED TO CONSIDER. WE CERTAINLY DID NOT ALLOW IT FOR THE COIS, COI INPUT SESSIONS. PERHAPS THESE DISTRICT INPUT SESSIONS ARE SUBSTANTIVELY DIFFERENT TO SOME EXTENT, AND WE MIGHT WANT TO CONSIDER A CHANGE IN THAT POLICY. SO I'LL JUST RAISE THAT AND HOPEFULLY WE CAN THINK ABOUT THAT VERY SOON. YEAH. PLEASE. >> YEAH, I MEAN, WE WERE TALKING ABOUT THAT THIS MORNING WITH KRISTIAN. THERE STILL ARE SECURITY CONCERNS. SO MAYBE THERE'S A HYBRID APPROACH THAT WE CAN TAKE, YOU KNOW, MAYBE AN INDIVIDUAL PRESENTING A SINGLE MAP, MAYBE WE CAN SHOW THAT. MAYBE A GROUP CAN PRESENT THEIR OWN MAP. MAYBE A GROUP WOULD SEND US A MAP BUT HAVE A POWERPOINT THAT WE COULD SLIDE THROUGH. SO THERE'S LOTS OF OPTIONS THAT WE NEED TO THINK ABOUT BUT THERE IS STILL, YOU KNOW, THE SAME UNDERLYING SECURITY CONCERNS SOMEONE IS GOING TO ZOOM BOMB OUR MEETING AND REALLY HAVE TO UNDERSTAND A LITTLE BIT MORE DEEPLY THAN WE DO RIGHT NOW OF HOW WE COULD MANAGE THAT CONCERN EFFECTIVELY. >> I THINK THAT WOULD BE GREAT. >> SO WE'LL CONTINUE TO WORK IT. >> THAT SOUNDS PERFECT. COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN. >> I DO WANT TO JUST BRING UP A COUPLE OF ADDITIONAL POINTS IS REMEMBER FOR THESE PARTICULAR MEETINGS, THIS IS UNUSUAL IN THAT EVERYTHING HAS TO BE SUBMITTED BEFOREHAND. AND SO WE WILL KNOW IT'S COMING. IF WE CAN EVEN HAVE A FEW SECOND DELAY UNDERSTANDING THAT IF YOU VARY FROM START TALKING ABOUT SOMETHING ELSE, THAT'S IT, YOU'RE GONE. YOUR TIME IS OVER. A COUPLE THINGS LIKE THAT. THE LINE DRAWERS REALLY FOUGHT AND THESE PARTICULAR MEETINGS, THEY'RE DURING AS WE'RE TRYING TO DO DRAFT MAPS, SO IT IS DIFFERENT. AND WE'RE HAVING DIFFERENT CRITERIA, VERY SPECIFIC. SO THAT'S ANOTHER ITEM TO CONSIDER IN THIS WHOLE CAN WE DO IT, HOW CAN WE DO IT ISSUE. JUST WANTED TO BRING THAT UP. >> DIRECTOR KAPLAN, DID YOU HAVE YOUR HAND RAISED? >> I JUST WANTED TO FOLLOW UP WITH COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI'S QUESTION ABOUT SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY. IT IS TO THE BOARD AND THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. REPORT OF SUPERVISORS. YEAH. >> AT A BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING? >> SORRY. LET ME CLARIFY THAT. IT JUST IS TO THE BOARD AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC.

LET ME CLARIFY. I'LL COME BACK. >> VERY GOOD. THANK YOU. DIRECTOR KAPLAN, DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING ELSE IN YOUR REPORT? >> THAT WAS IT. >> GREAT. THANK YOU SO MUCH. AND THANK YOU ALSO FOR LAYING OUT BY THE MINUTES. THAT WAS REALLY HELPFUL TO KIND OF THINK ABOUT HOW MUCH TIME HAS ACTUALLY THAT WE'RE ALLOTING AND HOW MUCH TIME HAS BEEN RESERVED. CHIEF COUNSEL PANE. >> THANK YOU, CHAIR. >> I JUST DID HAVE A QUESTION AND I'M GOING ON OUR WEBSITE BECAUSE I WANTED TO -- SO WHEN WE DO THE PUBLIC INPUT ON OUR WEBSITE AND WE PUT THE DATE AND FROM AND THEN THE AREA, FOR ME PERSONALLY IF IT WOULD BE HELPFUL IF WE MAYBE PUT THE COUNTY THAT IT'S COMING FROM BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW ALL THE CITIES IN THE STATE BUT FOR ME THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL IF THAT'S HOPEFULLY NOT TOO MUCH WORK. I THINK EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ IS ALL ON BOARD WITH THAT. [LAUGHS]. >> YEAH. >> DIRECTOR CEJA. >> IF I CAN RESPOND TO THAT, YEAH, WE WOULD LOVE TO BUT NOT ALL ENTRIES ARE THE SAME. NOT ALL MENTION COUNTIES, SOME JUST MENTION THE CITY. WE CAN TRY TO GUESS WHAT COUNTY AND SOME OF THEM ACTUALLY MENTION MULTIPLE COUNTIES. SO WITH THAT, WE'VE TRIED OUR BEST TO TAG THEM REGARDING WHERE THE INPUT -- NOT WHERE THEIR INPUT IS COMING FROM BUT WHAT COUNTY OR WHAT AREA IT'S REFERRING TO, BECAUSE SOMEONE FROM SAN FRANCISCO CAN EASILY GIVE US INPUT ON LOS ANGELES AND IT WOULD HAVE TO BE TAGGED LOS ANGELES. >> RIGHT. SO I WOULD BE INTERESTED AS TO WHAT -- WHERE THE INPUT IS -- WHAT AREA. SO IF IT'S L.A. THAT WOULD BE L.A. THAT WOULD BE GREAT. AND SOMETIMES IT MIGHT BE MULTIPLE COUNTIES WHICH I'M OKAY WITH HAVING MULTIPLE COUNTIES AS WELL. SO THANK YOU. WHATEVER YOU CAN DO TO PROVIDE MORE SPECIFICITY. THAT'S A BIG WORD. >> ALL RIGHT. SO WE ARE UP AGAINST A BREAK. AND JUST A FEW MOMENTS. BUT I THINK -- I KEEP CALLING EVERYBODY COMMISSIONER. CHIEF COUNSEL PANE IS GOING TO GET STARTED WITH HIS REPORT. AND IF NEED BE, WE'LL FINISH AFTER BREAK. >> THANK YOU, CHAIR. JUST TO HIGHLIGHT A COUPLE THINGS, FIRST YOU'LL NOTE FOR TODAY'S MEETING, WE HAVE THE MAP REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE POSTED. THESE ARE ESSENTIALLY SORT OF BROADER GUARDRAILS FOR A SUBSTANTIVE POLICY DISCUSSION FOR LATER WHERE THE COMMISSION IS GOING TO BE DECIDING KIND OF THE CONTOURS OF THE CALENDAR FOR THE MAPS, FOR DRAWING OUR LINE DRAWING. I TRIED TO HIGHLIGHT IN THERE SOME KEYWORDS BASED ON PREVIOUS COMMISSION QUESTIONS OR THOUGHTS, AND WE CAN CERTAINLY DISCUSS THEM AT A LATER TIME BUT I JUST WANTED TO HIGHLIGHT THAT FOR YOU ALL. SECOND, JUST TO PREVIEW AS WELL FOR LATER IN THE LEGAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE REPORT OUT, I'LL BE LOOKING FOR A RECOMMENDATION -- THEY'LL BE RECOMMENDING JUST TO HAVE A FUTURE SUBCOMMITTEE CREATED TO HELP FINALIZE LITIGATION CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS FOR THE GIBSON AND DUNN CONTRACT. SO JUST TO HIGHLIGHT THAT'S ALSO FORTHCOMING. AND THAT'S ALL I HAD UNLESS ANYONE HAS ANY QUESTIONS. >> IF THERE ARE NO QUESTIONS, I THINK WE HAVE ENOUGH TIME TO GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS AGENDA ITEM. KATY, ARE YOU AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC COMMENT? >> I AM, CHAIR. >> GREAT. THANK YOU. >> THE COMMISSION WILL NOW BE TAKING PUBLIC COMMENT ON AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 3, DIRECTOR'S REPORTS. TO GIVE COMMENT, PLEASE CALL (877)853-5247. AND ENTER THE MEETING ID NUMBER, 88264383219 FOR THIS MEETING. ONCE YOU HAVE DIALED IN, PLEASE PRESS STAR 9 TO ENTER THE COMMENT QUEUE. THE FULL CALL-IN INSTRUCTIONS HAVE BEEN READ PREVIOUSLY IN THIS MEETING AND ARE PROVIDED IN FULL ON THE LIVESTREAM LANDING PAGE. WE DO NOT HAVE ANYONE IN THE QUEUE AT THIS TIME, AND I WILL LET YOU KNOW WHEN THE INSTRUCTIONS ARE COMPLETE ON THE STREAM, CHAIR. >> OKAY. THAT SOUNDS GREAT. SO WE'LL JUST HANG TIGHT FOR A MINUTE OR TWO UNTIL WE CAN FINISH -- SEE IF -- FINISH IT ON THE LIVESTREAM WHEN WE COME BACK FROM BREAK AT 4:15 WE WILL MOVE INTO

SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS. I KNOW THAT WE PROBABLY HAVE SOME MORE SUBSTANTIVE CONVERSATIONS FROM THE MAPPING PLAYBOOK SUBCOMMITTEE, I BELIEVE, WHICH I ANTICIPATE WILL BE WHERE MUCH OF OUR TIME WILL BE SPENT BUT WE WILL GO THROUGH THE FULL LIST OF SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS. COMMISSIONER SINAY, DID YOU HAVE A HAND RAISED? MY APOLOGIES. >> JUST QUICKLY KIND OF GOING BACK ON TAGGING AND THE DATABASE, I DID SPEAK TO THE STUDENT INTERN WHO WORKS EVERY DAY AT DIFFERENT TIMES. HE'S FROM SACRAMENTO STATE. BUT HE WAS SAYING THAT THEY'RE GOING BACK AND FIXING SOME OF THE TAGS, BECAUSE THERE WAS MULTIPLE COUNTIES AND STUFF SO THEY ARE TRYING TO FIGURE OUT -- THEY ARE WORKING ON THAT PIECE. AND I THOUGHT I WOULD SHARE IT WITH EVERYBODY SINCE WE ARE ALL LIKE OKAY, I READ THAT ONE FIVE TIMES. AND I'D RATHER READ SOMETHING FIVE TIMES THAN NOT SEE IT, SO IT'S OKAY. SO I JUST WANT TO GIVE EVERYBODY THAT UPDATE. >> THANK YOU. AND I'M NOT SEEING ANY CALLERS ON THIS AGENDA ITEM. >> THE INSTRUCTIONS ARE COMPLETE, CHAIR. >> OKAY. VERY GOOD. SO WE'RE GOING TO TAKE A LITTLE BIT OF AN EXTRA BREAK. WE WILL COME BACK AT 4:15. THAT MEANS I'M BEING VERY GENEROUS. YOU GET A COUPLE EXTRA MINUTES. MAKE UP FOR THAT SHORT LUNCH. [LAUGHS] SO WE WILL MEET BACK AT 4:15. >> OKAY. WELCOME BACK TO THE CALIFORNIA CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION. WE JUST FINISHED IN OUR AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 3, THE DIRECTOR'S REPORTS, AND WE WILL BE MOVING ON TO THE SUBCOMMITTEE UPDATES, BEGINNING WITH GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS AND CENSUS, WHICH IS MYSELF AND COMMISSIONER TOLEDO. COMMISSIONER TOLEDO, WOULD YOU LIKE TO -- I DON'T KNOW, IS COMMISSIONER TOLEDO BACK? IF NOT, I WILL PROVIDE THAT UPDATE. WE HAVE NOTHING NEW TO REPORT. SO WE WILL LEAVE IT AT THAT. FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION, COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ AND FORNACIARI. >> LET'S SEE. WHAT HAVE WE DONE? WE ARE ACTUALLY WORKING ON THE BUDGET RIGHT NOW TO PROVIDE UPDATED INFORMATION, CORRECT? AND I'M NOT SURE THE STATUS OF THE LETTER TO DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ON IF YOU CAN PROVIDE US AN UPDATE WITH THAT, PLEASE? >> SURE. SO WE ARE WORKING ON FINALIZING THE LETTER REQUESTING ADDITIONAL FUNDS FOR THE COMMISSION THROUGH THE COMPLETION OF THE MAPS. SO WE ARE FINALIZING THAT AS OF YESTERDAY. SO WE HAVE NOT SENT OUT THE LETTER, WE JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE DOT OUR T -- OR IS IT DOT OUR IS AND CROSS OUR TS? IS THAT WHAT IT IS? SOMETHING LIKE THAT. WE ARE WORKING ON THAT. HOPEFULLY SHOULD GET IT OUT NO LATER THAN TOMORROW. >> ONE THING I DID WANT TO BRING UP CONCERNING THE LETTER, THIS IS FOR OUR LESSONS LEARNED FOR COMMISSIONERS KENNEDY. FOR NEXT TIME I WOULD REALLY LIKE TO MAYBE STREAMLINE THE PROCESS, WHAT WE CURRENTLY GO THROUGH IS WE HAVE A BUDGET THAT'S APPROVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE. AND THEN WE FIND OF HAVE TO GO FORWARD AND SAY MOTHER MAY I SPEND THIS MUCH AND THEN A FEW MONTHS LATER, MOTHER MAY I SPEND. I HAVE YET TO WORK FOR A STATE AGENCY THAT HAS TO DO THAT SO HOPEFULLY IF WE CAN FIGURE OUT A PROCESS WHERE THE BUDGET IT IS WHAT IT IS AND IT'S FULLY AVAILABLE FROM THE BEGINNING AND THE ONLY TIME WE HAVE TO ASK FOR ADDITIONAL MONEY IS IF WE NEED ADDITIONAL MONEY ABOVE AND BEYOND OUR BUDGET SO FOR LESSONS LEARNED IF WE CAN ADD THAT, PLEASE. OR DO WE ALREADY HAVE IT? >> COMMISSIONER AHMAD. >> I WANTED TO CLARIFY MAKE SURE WE DO YOU WANT THIS CORRECTLY. WHEN YOU SAY STREAMLINE THE PROCESS CAN YOU ELABORATE ON THAT? >> STREAMLINE THE PROCESS IN TERMS OF ONCE THE BUDGET IS APPROVED FOR THE COMMISSION WE DON'T HAVE TO GO BACK TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND ASK FOR APPROVAL TO SPEND IT BASICALLY, TO HAVE THAT AUTHORITY, BECAUSE IT'S ALREADY APPROVED, IT'S ALREADY BEEN IN THE GOVERNOR'S BUDGET AND APPROVED. >> VERY GOOD. ANYTHING ELSE FROM FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION? OKAY. GREAT.

THE GANTT CHART COMMITTEE. COMMISSIONER KENNEDY. OH. >> GOOD TIMING. BEFORE WE MOVE ON TO THE GANTT CHART. JUST WANTED TO ASK ADMIN AND FINANCE IF YOU HAVE PUT TOGETHER A PLAN FOR STAFF PERFORMANCE REVIEWS SINCE WE'RE WELL INTO THIS PROCESS AND ONE OF THE THINGS THAT YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT AT ONE POINT WAS LET'S GET THIS DONE BEFORE WE'RE SO DEEP IN THE MAPPING PROCESS THAT WE CAN'T DO WHAT WE NEED TO DO ADMINISTRATIVELY. >> IS THAT OUR JOB? >> I THINK IT'S SOMETHING THAT WE WOULD ACTUALLY DIRECT OUR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO COME UP WITH PLAN AND PROCESS AND THAT WOULD BE BASED ON EVERYONE'S START DATE AND THEN HOW YOU WILL GO THROUGH THAT PERFORMANCE REVIEW PROCESS. >> WELL, WE ALSO NEED -- THE COMMISSION NEEDS TO REVIEW THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR. >> RIGHT. SO WE'LL DO THAT PIECE OF IT. THAT'S GOOD. [LAUGHS] BUT, NO, WE'LL TAKE CARE OF THAT, DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ. SO, NO, WE'LL DO THAT ONE BUT THEN DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ WILL COME UP WITH A POLICY AND A PROCESS FOR THE OTHER STAFF MEMBERS. SOUND LIKE A PLAN? >> YES. >> THANK YOU. >> CAN I ASK? I THINK THAT -- AND I RECALL THAT WE DID TALK ABOUT THE STAFF EVALUATIONS QUITE SOME TIME AGO. DO WE HAVE A SENSE OF WHAT IS THE TIME FRAME THAT WE REALISTICALLY THINK THIS NEEDS TO TAKE PLACE IN? WE ARE ABOUT TO ENTER LINE DRAWING. I ANTICIPATE THINGS RAMPING UP JUST A LITTLE BIT IN THE NEXT FEW WEEKS, AND CERTAINLY OVER THE COURSE OF THE NEXT SEVERAL MONTHS. IS THERE A SENSE OF TIME FRAME URGENCY, ET CETERA? >> I DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S AN URGENCY. I WILL JUST GO BY WHAT THE STATE NORMALLY IS A ONE YEAR. SO EVERY YEAR, AND THERE'S ACTUALLY A FORUM THAT WE HAVE THAT'S PRETTY STANDARD IN TERMS OF THE DIFFERENT CATEGORIES SO I WAS JUST GOING TO USE THAT AS PART OF OUR STARTING POINT WITH THE PERFORMANCE REVIEW. AND IT'S LIKE I SAID IT'S USUALLY DONE ANNUALLY, UNLESS IT'S SOME POSITIONS ARE SIX MONTHS BUT FOR THE MOST PART IT'S ANNUAL. >> THANK YOU FOR THAT. >> COMMISSIONER AHMAD. >> I JUST WANTED TO ADD TO WHAT COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ STATED WHILE WE WERE MAKING OFFERS WAY BACK WHEN WE DID STATE THAT IT WOULD BE A YEARLY ANNUAL REVIEW. FROM THE START DATE. YEAH. >> THAT PUTS ALVARO AS ONE OF THE -- ALVARO AND FREDY, RIGHT? ARE THE EARLY ONES. COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA. >> YEAH. ACTUALLY THAT IS MY QUESTION IN TERMS OF A CLARIFICATION FOR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ, BECAUSE FROM A POSITION POINT OF VIEW, HIS ACTUAL THEN START IN HIS CURRENT POSITION WOULD ACTUALLY NOT TAKE PLACE UNTIL AFTER WE'RE FINISHED WITH LINE DRAWING BUT IF YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT FROM THE TIME HE WAS ACTUALLY HIRED IN A DIFFERENT ROLE, THEN THAT'S A DIFFERENT KIND OF CONVERSATION. BUT I DO WONDER THEN THAT CLARIFICATION WOULD BE, I THINK, HELPFUL, BECAUSE IF IT'S AS THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, THEN I WOULD JUST SAY WE HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF TIME GIVEN THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE A LITTLE BUSY. >> LOOKS LIKE COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ HAS A RESPONSE TO THAT. >> YES. PART OF OUR NEGOTIATION WHEN HE WENT FROM A DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR IS WE DID SAY THAT FROM THE YEAR THAT HE WAS APPOINTED AS A DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR WE WOULD CONDUCT AN EVALUATION SO WE DID TRY TO ADDRESS THAT ISSUE. BUT THANK YOU FOR BRINGING THAT UP. AND WE ALSO MADE THE SAME COMMITMENT TO DIRECTOR KAPLAN. >> VERY GOOD. ALVARO, DID YOU HAVE A -- ARE YOU SURE? OKAY. ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS? OKAY. GREAT. GANTT CHART. >> OKAY. GANTT CHART. I DID UPDATE THE GANTT CHART AND SHARED IT WITH COMMISSIONER TODAY LORE. I DID NOT HEAR BACK FROM HIM ON THAT, PLUS WE ARE GOING TO BE TALKING ABOUT THE MAP-DRAWING TIMELINE ANYWAY, SO I DIDN'T WANT TO PRE-JUDGE OR PRE-DETERMINE ANYTHING IN RELATION TO OUR DISCUSSION ON THE TIMELINE. SO I HAVE UPDATED IT, BUT I DID NOT WANT TO -- UPDATED IT WITH THE DATES FROM THE SUPREME

COURT, BUT WANTED TO HOLD OFF TO GET THE RESULTS OF OUR DISCUSSION ON THE MAPPING TIMELINE TO SEE IF FURTHER UPDATES WERE REQUIRED BEFORE DISTRIBUTING IT. THANK YOU. >> THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER KENNEDY. OUTREACH AND ENGAGEMENT. COMMISSIONERS -- WAS THERE -- APOLOGIES. COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI. >> YEAH. MY APOLOGIES. I HAVE TO CIRCLE BACK. I -- I THINK PERFORMANCE REVIEWS IN THIS CONTEXT ARE THE BIGGEST DEMOTIVATER ON THE PLANET COLOSSAL WASTE OF TIME. AND IN THIS CASE, I MEAN, IF THEY'RE NOT CONNECTED TO A RAISE IN PAY, THEN I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY WE'RE DOING IT. I KNOW WE TALKED ABOUT WE WERE GOING TO DO IT. I MEAN IF IT'S A REQUIREMENT FOR THE FUTURE OF STATE EMPLOYMENT FOR THESE FOLKS, YEAH, BUT WE'RE GOING IN HOT AND HEAVY IN LINE DRAWING, I MEAN IF WE WANT TO GIVE PEOPLE FEEDBACK, WHY DON'T WE WAIT UNTIL AFTER LINE DRAWING WHEN THINGS HAVE SLOWED DOWN AND WE CAN GIVE IT A LITTLE MORE OF A TO DO AND MAKE IT MORE MEANINGFUL. SO. JUST MY THOUGHT. I WAS GOING TO KEEP IT TO MYSELF, BUT I JUST. >> BUT WE FEEL THAT YOU ARE HOT AND HEAVY. YES. [LAUGHS] SO WE'RE POPPING AROUND HERE A LITTLE BIT. WE JUST FINISHED GANTT CHART. I SEE A COUPLE MORE HANDS. DIRECTOR CEJA, IS IT ON GANTT CHART OR BACK ON THE REVIEWS. >> PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL. >> NO PROBLEM. THANK YOU. >> AM I ALLOWED? >> YES PLEASE AND THEN COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ. >> I WAS GOING TO KEEP IT TO MYSELF TOO. I'M SPEAKING AS A STAFF MEMBER FOR THE COMMISSION. YEAH, IF YOU ARE GOING TO ASSIGN ANY TYPE OF PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL WITH RAISES, THEN YES, DO IT NOW, OF COURSE, BECAUSE WE ONLY HAVE A FEW MORE MONTHS TO GO BUT IF NOT IT MIGHT BE A DISRUPTION TO THE STAFF AND TO MORALE KNOWING THAT OUR TIME HERE IS LIMITED AND THAT OUR POSITIONS WILL BE ENDING SOON, BUT I DO SUGGEST THAT WE DO IT AT THE END FOR THOSE OF US THAT WANT TO CONTINUE PUBLIC SERVICE TO HAVE A LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE GREAT TO ADD TO OUR RESUMES. >> THAT'S REALLY HELPFUL. COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ. >> YES. AND ACTUALLY I COMPLETELY UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE COMING FROM, COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI. BUT IT IS SOMEWHAT TIED TO A POTENTIAL UP TO FIVE PERCENT INCREASE ANNUALLY, UNTIL THEY REACH A MAXIMUM, AND THERE ARE NO MAXIMUMS WITH THE COMMISSION, SO IT IS ASSOCIATED WITH PAY IN-- A POTENTIAL PAY INCREASE. >> SO THEY JUST GOT STANDARD. >> THAT IS NOT RELATED. >> SO IT'S NOT RELATED. >> NO. >> SO THEY HAVE THE OPTION OF GIVING A PAY RAISE RELATED TO PERFORMANCE FEEDBACK? >> YES. WHAT THEY RECEIVED WAS A STANDARD COST OF LIVING ADJUSTMENT THAT WAS GIVEN TO ALL STATE EMPLOYEES. THAT IS SEPARATE AND APART FROM AN ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW. SORRY. >> OKAY. >> I STILL THINK IT'S DUMB. >> SO WHAT I WOULD ASK THEN IS IF THE SUBCOMMITTEE CAN GO BACK, WE HAVE ANOTHER BUSINESS MEETING NEXT WEEK ON OCTOBER 7, AND COME BACK WITH A RECOMMENDATION OF HOW YOU'D LIKE TO PROCEED AND THEN WE CAN MOVE FORWARD ACCORDINGLY. I THINK, ON MY END, I HEAR BOTH SIDES OF THIS AND CERTAINLY IF THERE IS A PAY INCREASE THAT'S ASSOCIATED, THEN IT WOULD MAKE SENSE TO DO IT. BUT IF NOT, WAITING UNTIL THE END KNOWING WE ARE A TIME-BOUND ENTERPRISE WOULD MAKE A LOT OF SENSE AS WELL. DOES THAT WORK? GREAT. WE WILL MOVE ONTO OUTREACH AND ENGAGEMENT. COMMISSIONERS SINAY AND FORNACIARI. >> SORRY. >> NOTHING TO ADD. >> I DON'T THINK WE HAVE ANYTHING UNLESS THERE'S SOMETHING ELSE THAT'S A COLOSSAL WASTE OF TIME THAT YOU'D LIKE TO DISCUSS. [LAUGHS]. >> JUST ONE PER MEETING. >> I'M REALLY ENJOYING BEING IN PERSON WITH EVERYONE. I THINK THIS IS REALLY WONDERFUL AND JUST GREAT FOR MORALE. I WILL JUST NOTE ON FOR THE SUBCOMMITTEE, POTENTIALLY AND I THINK WE HAVE TO DISCUSS IT FURTHER IN THE FUTURE, BUT THERE WILL BE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC

ENGAGEMENT FOLLOWING THE DRAFT MAPS, CERTAINLY WE'LL BE TALKING MORE ABOUT THAT TIMELINE AND WHAT THAT LOOKS LIKE, BUT WHETHER THAT FALLS HERE ON THIS SUBCOMMITTEE OR THE FULL PUBLIC INPUT DESIGN, I THINK DOES STILL NEED TO BE SORTED OUT. SO I JUST WANTED TO FLAG THAT. OKAY. MATERIALS DEVELOPMENT. COMMISSIONERS FERNÁNDEZ AND KENNEDY. >> I THINK THE MAIN THING WE WORKED ON WITH DIRECTOR CEJA WAS THE UPDATED TIMELINE BASED ON THE INFORMATION THAT WE HAVE. AND I BELIEVE THAT WAS IT. COMMISSIONER KENNEDY. >> WE'VE BEGUN TO STICK OUR TOE INTO THE DRAFTING OF THE FINAL REPORT, THE BODY OF THE FINAL REPORT. SO THAT'S THE PORTION OF THE FINAL REPORT THAT DESCRIBES THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK, THE PROCESS THAT WE WENT THROUGH, THE CHALLENGES THAT WE FACED, ET CETERA. BUT NOT TOUCHING, OF COURSE, THE DESCRIPTION OF THE DISTRICTS, BUT I AM HOPEFUL THAT WE WILL AT SOME POINT BE ABLE TO PROVIDE SOME RECOMMENDATIONS ON HOW THOSE DESCRIPTIONS OR WHAT INFORMATION THOSE DESCRIPTIONS SHOULD CONTAIN. HAVING LOOKED AT SOME OF THEM SEEMED TO ME THAT SOME OF THEM WERE KIND OF SLIM, AND I GUESS WE'LL HAVE TO SPEAK WITH THE LAWYERS AS TO, YOU KNOW, WHAT OUR BOUNDARIES ARE ON THOSE DESCRIPTIONS OF THE DISTRICTS. BUT WE HAVE STARTED THE PROCESS OF GETTING THAT INITIAL PORTION OF THE FINAL REPORT DRAFTED FOR THE COMMISSION TO REVIEW WELL BEFORE THE SUBMISSION OF THE FINAL MAPS. THANK YOU. >> THANK YOU VERY MUCH. DATA MANAGEMENT. COMMISSIONERS AHMAD AND TURNER. >> NO NEW UPDATES FROM US. >> VERY GOOD. COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST. COI TOOL. COMMISSIONERS AKUTAGAWA AND KENNEDY. >> NOTHING TO REPORT. IT WAS REPORTED BY OUTREACH DIRECTOR KAPLAN. >> PERFECT. INCARCERATED POPULATIONS. FEDERAL FACILITIES. COMMISSIONERS KENNEDY AND TURNER. >> NOTHING SIGNIFICANT TO REPORT. >> DO WE NEED TO MAINTAIN THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON THE AGENDA? IT CAN STILL EXIST IN THE ETHER OF THE COMMISSION EVEN IF IT'S NOT ON THE AGENDA. WAS THERE A SENSE FROM THE SUBCOMMITTEE IF YOU'D LIKE TO CONTINUE TO BE INCLUDED IN UPDATES? >> I THINK IT COULD -- I DON'T THINK IT NECESSARILY HAS TO BE THERE BECAUSE WE'RE BASICALLY GOING TO BE WORKING WITH THE LESSONS LEARNED. THE ONLY PIECE AND IT KIND OF WAS REPORTED OUT ON EARLIER RELATED TO JUST INCARCERATED POPULATIONS IS JUST TO ENSURE THAT WITH THE TOOLS THAT WE'RE USING WE ARE ABSOLUTELY UTILIZING THE TOOLS TO THE BEST OF OUR ABILITY TO CAPTURE ATTENTION. SO, AGAIN, WITH VIDEO, AGAIN, ENSURING THAT THEY'RE ABLE TO RELATE TO WHOEVER IT IS THAT'S DOING THE VIDEO, I ALWAYS VOLUNTEER FOR THAT PARTICULAR POPULATION. SO I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE NOT TRYING TO MOVE PAST THAT POPULATION. I KNOW WE'RE NOT, TOO SOON. I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE'VE DONE EVERYTHING WE CAN SO THAT THEY ARE PARTICIPATING AND UNDERSTANDING WHY THEY SHOULD PARTICIPATE. SO TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTION, YES, COMMISSIONER KENNEDY, UNLESS YOU THINK OTHERS OR THINK THERE'S A REASON WE NEED TO KEEP IT ON THE SCHEDULE, I THINK WE CAN. >> THE ONLY THING PENDING AT THIS POINT IS I'M GOING TO BE DRAFTING A REPLY TO THE BUREAU OF PRISONS AND EVENTUALLY WE MIGHT RECEIVE A RESPONSE FROM THEM TO OUR REPLY AND I CAN JUST SUBMIT THAT AS A HANDOUT AND WE COULD DISCUSS AS APPROPRIATE. >> THAT SOUNDS PERFECT. THAT SOUNDS LIKE A GREAT IDEA. OKAY. SO WE WILL MOVE FORWARD WITH THAT. LESSONS LEARNED. COMMISSIONERS AHMAD AND KENNEDY. >> AS COMMISSIONER KENNEDY SAYS. >> OUR DATABASE OF -- KEEP THEM COMING. >> YES. >> OUR DATABASE OF SUGGESTIONS CONTINUES TO GROW. >> VERY GOOD. THE SECURITY SUBCOMMITTEE, COMMISSIONERS FORNACIARI AND TAYLOR. >> SURE. THERE'S A COUPLE THINGS. THANKS FOR CHANGING THE TITLE. THAT WASN'T ONE OF THE THINGS. BUT SO WE DID TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE ZOOM MEETING, THE MAPPING MEETING,

SO WE STILL NEED TO WORK ON THAT AND COME BACK WITH A RECOMMENDATION ON HOW WE'RE GOING TO HANDLE THAT, STILL WORKING WITH THE TEAM TO GET THAT RESULT. THE OTHER THING IS WE WERE WORKING -- GOT A DRAFT OF A SECURITY PLAN FOR IN-PERSON MEETINGS, BUT SINCE WE'RE NOT HAVING IN-PERSON MEETINGS YET, WE DIDN'T THINK IT WAS THAT URGENT TO BRING IT FORWARD. WE'VE GOT TO FINALIZE THE LAST FEW DETAILS ON THAT. BUT WE'LL GET THAT GOING IN THE NEXT FEW WEEKS AND BRING IT FORWARD. A DISCUSSION IN CLOSED SESSION ON THAT. >> THAT SOUNDS GREAT. THANK YOU SO MUCH. COMMISSIONER TURNER. >> JUST TO THE SUBCOMMITTEE, THANK YOU, CHAIR. HAVING THE MEETING SCHEDULED AND THE PUBLIC KNOWING THAT WE ARE STILL MEETING AT THE LOCATIONS THAT WE'RE MEETING AT, JUST WANT TO AS YOU'RE CONSIDERING SECURITY PROTOCOLS TO THINK THAT THROUGH AS WELL. >> YEAH. WELL, I DON'T KNOW IF YOU NOTICED ALVARO WAS BEING A LITTLE VAGUE ON WHERE WE'RE MEETING. SO WE'RE NOT GOING TO PUBLICIZE WHERE WE'RE MEETING. YEAH. BUT WE'RE IN A SECURE BUILDING. >> OKAY. GREAT. THE NEXT ONE ON THE LIST IS ACTUALLY MAPPING PLAYBOOK. I'M GOING TO HOLD ON THAT AND DO LEGAL AFFAIRS FIRST IF YOU'RE OKAY WITH THAT ONLY BECAUSE I THINK THAT'S GOING TO BE A LONGER CONVERSATION. SO LEGAL AFFAIRS AND COMMISSIONER YEE IS CURRENTLY CHAIR OF THE LEGAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE. >> THANK YOU, CHAIR. SO RIGHT NOW LEGAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE IS WORKING ON THE CONTRACTS WITH TWO LITIGATION COUNSEL FIRMS THAT WE HAD VOTED TO PURSUE, AND THAT HAS BECOME A LITTLE BIT MORE PROLONGED THAN WE HAD EXPECTED. WE ARE RECOMMENDING THAT THE CHAIR APPOINT A NEW SUBCOMMITTEE, A LITIGATION CONTRACT SUBCOMMITTEE, WE'RE PROPOSING, IN ORDER TO BE ABLE TO MOVE QUICKLY ON FINALIZING THOSE CONTRACTS. CHIEF COUNSEL PANE, DO YOU WANT TO GIVE MORE DETAIL ABOUT THE CONTRACT PROCESS AND WHERE WE'RE AT AND WHY A NEW SUBCOMMITTEE WOULD BE HELPFUL? >> SURE. THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER YEE. THE REASON WHY WE'RE ASKING THIS FOR THE COMMISSION IS BECAUSE AS YOU ALL KNOW, CONTRACT APPROVAL PROCESS WITH THE STATE IS NOT A SLOW PROCESS. AND IT WAS HELPFUL THAT IN THE MOST RECENT ITERATION WITH THE GIBSON DUNN WE RECEIVED A COUNTER FROM THEM, AND WE HAVE A PROPOSED RESPONSE TO THAT AND THANKFULLY WE ALSO WERE ABLE TO GET INPUT IN TIME FROM DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES, OFFICE OF LEGAL SERVICES, AND AS SOME OF YOU KNOW THAT SOMETIMES HAS BEEN A DELAY. SO THE TYPICAL PATTERN WOULD BE TO COME BACK TO THE LEGAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE AND THEN BRING IT THEN TO THE FULL COMMISSION FOR A COUNTER. THAT TAKES UP A LOT OF TIME TO SCHEDULE THAT OUT, TEN DAYS IN ADVANCE FOR TWO BAGLEY-KEENE AGENDIZED MEETINGS. SO AND OF COURSE BEING COGNIZANT OF THE TIME, HERE WE ARE, THE END OF SEPTEMBER, WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THESE LITIGATION CONTRACTS ARE IN PLACE BY THE TIME WE NEED THEM, WHICH IS AT LEAST BY THE MAP FINALIZATION IF NOT A LITTLE BIT SOONER. SO THE HOPE IS THAT THE COMMISSION WOULD GIVE US AUTHORIZATION TO HAVE A SUBCOMMITTEE NO MORE THAN TWO PEOPLE, TO HELP ASSIST THE CHIEF COUNSEL AND FINALIZING ANY FUTURE COUNTERS THAT GO BACK AND FORTH BETWEEN THE FIRMS TO HELP FINALIZE THE CONTRACTS. ONCE THAT WOULD BE FINALIZED IT WOULD CERTAINLY COME BACK BEFORE YOU FOR FINAL APPROVAL BECAUSE THERE WOULD BE SUBSTANTIVE CHANGES. IT'S ESSENTIALLY TO MOST EFFICIENTLY USE THE TIME AND MINIMIZE THE DELAY BETWEEN ANY SORT OF COUNTERS THAT GO BACK AND FORTH. THAT'S THE THEORY BEHIND IT. THANK YOU, CHAIR. >> THE THOUGHT WOULD BE NOT TO -- IF SOMETHING CAME UP THAT WERE SUBSTANTIALLY OUTSIDE THE CONTRACTUAL PROVISIONS THAT WE'VE ALREADY LOOKED AT AND AGREED TO THEN OF COURSE WE WOULD BRING THOSE TO THE COMMISSION'S ATTENTION BUT AS LONG AS THEY'RE STILL WITHIN THOSE GUIDELINES

WE WANT TO MOVE EXPEDITIOUSLY ON CONTRACTS. >> THAT'S RIGHT. AND SO IN MY POSITION AS CHAIR, IT WOULD BE MY COMPLETE HONOR TO CREATE A SUBCOMMITTEE AT YOUR REQUEST FOR LITIGATION CONTRACTS. AND MY HOPE THAT IT WOULD BE THAT IT WOULD INCLUDE YOURSELF, COMMISSIONER YEE AS WELL AS COMMISSIONER TOLEDO. I DON'T KNOW THAT HE'S ON RIGHT NOW, BUT I THINK THAT HE WOULD BE -- YEAH, OH, COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN HAS NOMINATED HIM. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND I KNOW THAT COMMISSIONER TOLEDO HAS ACTUALLY AS WELL AS COMMISSIONER YEE HAVE WORKED VERY TIRELESSLY ON THESE CONTRACTS THUS FAR, AND I THINK IT WOULD BE WONDERFUL TO HAVE YOU BOTH ADVANCE THEM THROUGH THE END. UNLESS THERE'S ANY OPPOSITION THERE, I THINK COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI IS IN FAVOR OF THIS NEW SUBCOMMITTEE. WE WILL CREATE THE CONTRACT LITIGATION -- LITIGATION CONTRACT SUBCOMMITTEE. VERY GOOD. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. >> THANK YOU, CHAIR. >> THANK YOU. AND THEN ONTO THE MAPPING PLAYBOOK SUBCOMMITTEE WITH COMMISSIONERS TURNER AND YEE. >> OKAY. ME BACK AGAIN. COMMISSIONER TURNER AND I HAVE BEEN HARD AT WORK AND ARE PLEASED TO BE ABLE TO PRESENT TODAY FINISHED DRAFTS OF TWO OUT OF THREE PIECES OF THE MAPPING PLAYBOOK. SO LET ME GO AHEAD AND SHARE SCREEN. THESE ARE ALSO IN THE HANDOUTS FOR TODAY. OKAY. LET'S SEE. I'M NOT SEEING IT IN MY SCREENS. HERE IT IS. I HAVE IT. SO THIS IS THE MAIN DOCUMENT, THE MAPPING PLAYBOOK. WE'VE ACTUALLY DISCUSSED AN EARLIER DRAFT OF THIS IN PART LAST WEEK. SO THIS NOW IS DRAFT 2.0. IT'S BEEN FULLY VETTED BY OUR VRA COUNSEL, AND WE PRESENT IT TO YOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION TODAY. SO THE FULL PLAYBOOK WOULD CONSIST OF THIS DOCUMENT PLUS ATTACHMENT NUMBER 1 WHICH WE'LL ALSO LOOK AT TODAY, THE CONSIDERATION OF CURRENT DISTRICT BOUNDARIES AS WELL AS ATTACHMENT NUMBER 2, LINE DRAWING PHASES PLAN WHICH IS STILL BEING COMPLETED. OKAY. SO I SHOULD JUST TALK YOU THROUGH THIS, I GUESS. ROMAN NUMERAL NUMBER 1, DATA, YOU'VE ALREADY SEEN THIS, SO OF COURSE WE WILL USE THE STATEWIDE DATABASE'S OFFICIAL CALIFORNIA REDISTRICTING DATABASE, ONE ENTIRE WHOLE AND ONLY SOURCE OF REDISTRICTING DATA. ROMAN NUMERAL 2, THE RANKED STATUTORY CRITERIA. I'M SORRY. COMMISSIONER SINAY. >> DOES THAT MEAN WE WON'T USE ANY COMPLIMENTARY DATA BECAUSE EVERY SINGLE -- I MEAN THE REPORT FROM THE LAST COMMISSION AND I'VE BEEN BRINGING THIS UP OVER AND OVER AGAIN IS THERE IS OTHER DATA THAT'S NOT REDISTRICTING BUT CAN GIVE US A FLAVOR OF THE COMMUNITIES, YOU KNOW, WE'VE GOT THE COMMUNITY OF INTEREST AND WE'VE GOT THE CENSUS DATA, BUT HOMELESS, YOU KNOW, JUST OTHER THINGS THAT IF WE HAVE QUESTIONS, BECAUSE LEGALLY, WE CAN USE THAT. >> YES. GOOD QUESTION. I SHOULD HAVE BEEN MORE PRECISE ABOUT THAT. THAT IS TRUE, IN FACT WE DO REFERENCE THAT LATER IN THE PLAYBOOK. FOR ROMAN NUMERAL 1, THIS INVOLVES NUMBERS THAT ACTUALLY COUNT FOR POPULATION NUMBERS FOR OUR DISTRICTS AS WELL AS RPV ANALYSIS, AND THAT'S THE BASIS FOR THOSE USING THOSE ONLY. OKAY. ROMAN NUMERAL 2 OUR FAVORITE SIX STATUTORY CRITERIA, LISTED IN ORDER OF PRIORITY, AND RANKED, OF COURSE. AND I THINK I WILL REVISE THIS SLIGHTLY TO SPECIFY IT'S A THROUGH F, YOU KNOW, IN THIS LIST, FOLLOWING SIX CRITERIA, A THROUGH F. EQUAL POPULATION, OF COURSE WITH ASSEMBLY, SENATE AND BOARD OF EQUALIZATION, WE ACTUALLY DO HAVE A BIT OF DEVIATION ALLOWED. WE'VE HEARD THE NUMBER OF FIVE PERCENT PLUS OR MINUS, BUT FOR PURPOSES OF THIS DOCUMENT, OUR COUNSEL IS RECOMMENDING THAT WE AIM FOR AS CLOSE TO ZERO AS POSSIBLE BUT WITH DEVIATION PERMITTED BY LAW SO THAT BASICALLY GIVES US MORE FLEXIBILITY WITH THAT. CONGRESSIONAL OF COURSE LESS FLEXIBILITY AS CLOSE TO ZERO PERCENT AS POSSIBLE AND IN COAT QUOTES THE LANGUAGE FROM OUR STATUTORY CRITERIA. YEAH. COMMISSIONER KENNEDY, GO

AHEAD. >> THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER YEE. I WAS WONDERING IF UNDER I THINK IT'S TWO BEFORE WE GET TO A IF WE COULD SAY LOWER PRIORITY CRITERIA ONLY APPLY TO THE EXTENT THEY DO NOT CONFLICT WITH HIGHER PRIORITY CRITERIA, BECAUSE IF WHEN I READ THAT IT JUST COMPLETELY KNOCKS OUT LOWER PRIORITY CRITERIA WHERE WE MIGHT WANT TO BE A LITTLE MORE NUANCED. >> GOOD POINT. I'LL MAKE A NOTE OF THAT. OKAY. DOWN TO -- THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER KENNEDY. 2B, VRA COMPLIANCE, FULFILL ALL SECTION 2 REQUIREMENTS. YOU MAY RECALL AN EARLIER DRAFT WE HAD SOME THOUGHT OF PERHAPS CONCERNING SECTION FIVE WE DECIDED TO TAKE THAT OUT TO RETAIN MAXIMUM FLEXIBILITY. NONRETROGRESSION CAN BE VIEWED IN A LOT OF DIFFERENT WAYS. IT COULD FORCE US TO EFFECTIVELY PACK A DISTRICT WHICH WOULD NOT BE A GOOD IDEA SO WE WANT TO RETAIN MORE FLEXIBILITY THERE AND SO MENTIONING ONLY SECTION 2. SECTION 3 CONTIGUITY, OBSERVE ABSOLUTELY WITH APPROPRIATE CONSIDERATION FOR ISLANDS AND PERMANENT WATER CROSSING. WE KEPT THINKING OF DIFFERENT WAYS TO CROSS WATER, NOT JUST BRIDGES BUT FUNNELS, FERRIES. SO POINT CONTIGUITY, WE WENT BACK AND FORTH ON WHETHER TO ADD LANGUAGE ABOUT HOW THAT'S A VIOLATION OF TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING PRINCIPLES. THIS DRAFT ENDED UPCOMING OUT BUT THAT'S WHERE THAT CAME FROM. LETTER D, CITIES, COUNTIES, A CITY AND COUNTY, S R WHICH IS ONLY ONE, SAN FRANCISCO, LOCAL NEIGHBORHOODS AND LOCAL COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST AND AS WAS MENTIONED BEFORE, EARLIER IN THIS MEETING, THE FOLLOWING TWO GOVERNMENT UNITS COMMUNITY OF INTEREST ARE NOT RANKED TWO OF THEM WHICH IS RATHER BRILLIANT BECAUSE COMMUNITY INTEREST CROSSES A COUNTY LINE WE'RE FREE TO CROSS THAT COUNTY LINE. WE DON'T HAVE TO RANK ONE OVER THE OTHER. SO GOVERNMENTAL UNITS, 1A, OF COURSE TO RESPECT THE INTEGRITY OF ANY CITY, COUNTY, CITY AND COUNTY, LOCAL NEIGHBORHOOD AND COMMUNITY OF INTEREST AND REMINDING OURSELVES LOCAL NEIGHBORHOOD IS NOT JUST OFFICIALLY DESIGNATED NEIGHBORHOODS. THE LANGUAGE IS SIMPLY LOCAL NEIGHBORHOOD. B, NOT STATUTORY GOVERNMENTAL UNITS NOT MENTIONED IN OUR STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS WE SHOULD CONSIDER ESPECIALLY SINCE CENSUS-DESIGNATED PLACES WE TALKED ABOUT THIS EARLIER WHEN WE PREVIOUSLY DISCUSSED THIS DRAFT OF THIS. C, CURRENT STATE ELECTION DISTRICTS WILL BE CONSIDERED PER THE SEPARATE CONSIDERATION OF CURRENT DISTRICT BOUNDARIES. THAT WILL BE ATTACHMENT 1 WHICH WE'LL TALK ABOUT IN JUST A BIT. THAT'S A QUESTION OF WHETHER WE'LL LOOK AT THE 2011 MAP OR NOT. AND WE WILL. OH, I'M SORRY, YEAH. CONTINUING TO THE SECOND PAGE. COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST NOW. FIRST WAS GOVERNMENTAL UNITS NOW COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST. AND OF COURSE WE'RE REQUIRED TO KEEP THOSE TOGETHER AND LETTER A THERE IS JUST THE STATUTORY LANGUAGE. LETTER B, EXCLUDING ANY CONSIDERATION OF RELATIONSHIPS WITH POLITICAL PARTIES, INCUMBENTS OR POLITICAL CANDIDATES. SECTION C, WHERE COI SUBMISSIONS CONFLICT, HOW DO WE WEIGH THEM? SOME DIFFERENT WAYS WE MIGHT, WHETHER THEY -- ONE BETTER FULFILLS OTHER STATUTORY CRITERIA. TWO, ARE MORE RELEVANT TO THE DISTRICT TYPE BEING CONSIDERED SO LIKE A COI CONCERNING MILITARY BASES MIGHT BE MORE RELEVANT TO A CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT THAN ASSEMBLY ONE. C, SEEMS TO REPRESENT A LARGER SEGMENT TO THE COMMUNITY, D MORE CLOSELY FITS THE STATUTORY DEFINITION. WE HAD SOME TESTIMONY ABOUT GROUPINGS THAT MAY OR MAY NOT ACTUALLY FIT THAT DEFINITION. FIVE ARE GIVEN SOMEBODY LOCATED IN THAT COI ALTHOUGH THERE'S NO WAY OF KNOWING THAT BUT SOMETIMES PEOPLE EXPLICITLY SAY I'D ALSO LIKE TO COMMENT ON SOME OTHER PLACE. COMMISSIONER SINAY. >> WAS THERE ANY CONVERSATION IF THE PERSON GIVING THE COI IS REPRESENTING AN

ORGANIZATION VERSUS REPRESENTING GOVERNMENT ENTITY VERSUS JUST BEING AN INDIVIDUAL? I MEAN TO ME THEY'RE ALL EQUAL BUT I KNOW FOR OTHERS, YOU KNOW, THEY'LL HAVE, IN ONE CASE ONE OF MY FRIENDS IS A CITY COUNCILMEMBER CALLED IN BUT DIDN'T SAY THEY WAS A CITY COUNCILMEMBER BECAUSE SHE WASN'T SURE IF THAT WAS GOING TO HELP OR NOT HELP. YOU KNOW. SO WE'VE NEVER BEEN CLEAR ABOUT THAT. AND MAYBE THAT GOES IN LESSONS LEARNED TO HELP PEOPLE KNOW HOW TO IDENTIFY. BUT I DO KNOW THAT SOME PEOPLE THINK THAT IF A NONPROFIT CALLS AND SAYS I'M FROM SUCH-AND-SUCH NONPROFIT IT'S GOING TO WEIGH MORE. SO I JUST WANTED TO PUT THAT. TO ME THIS PLAYBOOK -- I'D LIKE TO HAVE DISCUSSIONS A LITTLE BIT ON SOME OF THESE THINGS. AGAIN IT'S A GUIDELINE. BUT JUST SO THAT WE CAN HEAR WHAT WE'RE EACH THINKING BECAUSE THAT WAS PART OF THE IDEA OF DISCUSSING THE PLAYBOOK WAS TO LEARN FROM EACH OTHER WHERE WE ARE IN OUR THINKING, AND NOT TO SAY, OKAY, AND GO ON TO THE NEXT. I KNOW LEGAL WANTED IT THIS WAY, BUT I'M GOING TO PUSH IT BACK TO SAY THIS IS ABOUT POLICY, AND I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR A LITTLE BIT FROM MY COLLEAGUES ON WHAT WE'RE THINKING. >> GREAT POINT, COMMISSIONER SINAY. YEAH. IN FACT WE'VE HAD SOME OFFICIAL GOVERNMENT RESOLUTIONS FROM CITIES AND COUNTIES SUBMITTED TO US AS WELL. THAT MIGHT BE RELATED TO NUMBER 3 THERE SEEM TO REPRESENT A LARGER SEGMENT OF THE COMMUNITY BUT THAT'S KIND OF A WEAK WAY OF GETTING TO IT. >> BUT DOES IT JUST BECAUSE IT'S A FEW PEOPLE WHO ALL AGREED BECAUSE. I MEAN I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WHAT DOES -- OKAY. SO LET ME PUT IT A DIFFERENT WAY. WHAT DO WE MEAN BY NUMBER THREE AND HOW ARE EACH OF US INTERPRETING IT BECAUSE WHERE I FEEL LIKE WE MAY GET INTO TROUBLE IS THESE ARE WRITTEN SO BIG IN GENERAL WE MAY EACH BE INTERPRETING IT DIFFERENTLY AND SO AND I KNOW THAT'S WHAT LEGAL WANTS BUT I'M GOING TO STILL PUSH. SORRY, GUYS. AND JUST FOR US TO HAVE SOME COHESION ABOUT IT, BECAUSE I MAY BE LIKE, OH, IT'S GOVERNMENT AND WRITE IT OFF AND SOMEONE ELSE MAY BE LIKE IT'S THE GOVERNMENT LET'S MOVE FORWARD AND THAT'S WHY THERE'S 14 OF US BECAUSE WE ALL HAVE DIFFERENT OPINIONS AND WE DON'T HAVE TO BE ALL ONE. >> COMMISSIONER TURNER. >> YEAH. SO THANK YOU FOR THAT QUESTION AND THE COMMENT AND THE PUSH. IN THAT, SO FOR ME WE'VE JUST TALKED ABOUT IT MINIMALLY BUT FOR ME BASED ON WHO'S MAKING THE INPUT, WHO'S SPEAKING, WHO'S TALKING ABOUT IT, I THINK SHOULD BE GIVEN EQUAL WEIGHT, NUMBER ONE. NOW, WHAT ARE THEY SAYING? SO WE HAVE SOME COALITIONS THAT THEY'RE ACTUALLY SUBMITTING THAT THIS REPRESENTS 500 PEOPLE, 1,000 PEOPLE, 200 PEOPLE, WHATEVER IT IS. AND WITH THAT DOCUMENTED, I GUESS WITH THAT DOCUMENTATION SAYING THAT THIS IS A LARGE NUMBER, IN MY MIND, THAT WOULD BE A LARGER NUMBER AS OPPOSED TO ME CALLING AND SAYING I'M FROM A COALITION AND I REPRESENT A LOT OF PEOPLE, I DON'T HAVE ANY DATA, ANYTHING TO ATTACH WITH IT. AND SO I THINK IT SHOULD BE IN OUR MINDS. WE SHOULDN'T BE RANKING OH I'M GOING TO MARK THIS UP HIGHER BECAUSE IT'S THE GOVERNMENT, THIS LOWER BECAUSE IT'S COALITION. I THINK WE SHOULD TAKE THE TESTIMONY AS IT COMES EQUALLY AND THEN BASED ON WHAT IT SAYS AND WHAT'S ATTACHED WITH IT WE CAN THEN MAKE SOME OTHER JUDGMENT CALLS BASED ON THE VALUE OF THE TESTIMONY. >> GO AHEAD. >> NO, I AGREE WITH YOU. >> YOU WANT IT IN POLICY FORM? >> NO, I DON'T WANT IT IN POLICY FORM BUT I WANT US TO THINK ABOUT IT BECAUSE SOME OF US HAVEN'T REALIZED WE HAVE THOSE BUY SEIZE. ONE THING I BELIEVE IN IS LET'S PUT OUR BIASES ON THE TABLE SO WE CAN HELP EACH OTHER WHEN WE ARE BEING BIASED. THAT'S ONE OF MY BIG QUESTIONS, WE'VE NEVER WALKED THROUGH OUR BIASES AS A COMMISSION AND IT'S DIFFICULT BECAUSE WE COULDN'T DO IT IN CLOSED SESSION AND STUFF. BUT I WOULD HOPE ALL MY COLLEAGUES WOULD REALLY WORK HARD TO THINK THROUGH WHAT YOUR BIASES

ARE AND IF YOU'RE BEING CALLED ON YOUR BIAS AND TO SAY, HEY, YOU KNOW, EVERY TIME YOU BRING SOMETHING UP IT TENDS TO BE FOCUSED ON X, YOU DON'T TAKE IT AS A CRITICISM BUT YOU UNDERSTAND THAT WE'RE ALL -- WE'RE TRYING TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE ALL BEING OPEN AND EQUAL OR, YOU KNOW. THERE WAS ANOTHER PIECE TO IT AND I'M SURE IT WILL COME BACK LATER. >> THANK YOU. I THINK COMMISSIONER TURNER AND I CAN DISCUSS THIS MORE. ACTUALLY I THINK I PROBABLY FEEL DIFFERENTLY ON THIS ONE THAN YOU, COMMISSIONER TURNER, WHICH IS ALL GOOD IN THIS CASE AND MAYBE WE CAN COME UP WITH AN ADDITIONAL POINT TO ADDRESS THIS. OKAY. WE ARE DOWN TO LETTER D. I'M SORRY. COMMISSIONER SADHWANI. >> COMMISSIONER YEE I WOULD LOVE TO HEAR YOUR THOUGHTS ABOUT THIS IF YOU'RE WILLING. >> SURE. >> AND I WANTED TO ADD, I WAS ON A PANEL AT ONE POINT FROM COMMISSIONER DYE FROM 2010 AND SHE HAD TALKED IN GENERAL ABOUT THE 2010 COMMISSION'S ATTEMPT TO REALLY FOCUS ON EVIDENCE, THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU COULD FIND FROM COMMUNITY OF INTEREST TESTIMONY. AND I THINK THAT THAT SOUNDS LIKE A REALLY GREAT PLAN. I DON'T KNOW HOW ONE DOES THAT IN PRACTICE THOUGH. FOR EXAMPLE WHEN WE GET COI INPUT, SOMETIMES IT'S CONFLICTING, INCLUDE THIS CITY, DON'T INCLUDE THIS CITY. WE HAVE SO MUCH TO DO WITH EACH OTHER, WE HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH EACH OTHER. SO I DON'T KNOW WHERE TO FIND THAT EVIDENCE NECESSARILY ON THOSE KIND OF MORE QUALITATIVE COMPONENTS, WHICH IS THE PURPOSE OF THE COI INPUT. SO I WOULD BE REALLY CURIOUS TO HEAR YOUR THOUGHTS AND CERTAINLY FOR THE SUBCOMMITTEE TO BRING BACK ADDITIONAL THOUGHTS, BUT I THINK IT IS WORTH THIS CONVERSATION. >> SO MY THOUGHTS ARE RELATED TO NUMBER 3 SEEM TO REPRESENT A LARGER SEGMENT OF THE COMMUNITY BUT SPECIFICALLY IN THE CASE OF A GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL FOR INSTANCE I WOULD GIVE SOME WEIGHT TO THE THOUGHT, WELL YOU DID GET YOURSELF ELECTED SO THERE MUST BE SOME LARGER SEGMENT OF THE COMMUNITY THAT SUPPORT YOU, OF COURSE THERE'S ALSO PEOPLE THAT WANT TO VOTE YOU OUT, THAT'S TRUE TOO BUT YOU GOT YOURSELF ELECTED SO THAT REPRESENTS SOMETHING IN MY MIND THAT I WOULD GIVE SOME WEIGHT. WITH ORGANIZATIONS AS WELL, IF IT'S A LARGER ORGANIZATION, THAT REPRESENTS A LARGER SEGMENT OF THE COMMUNITY. IF IT'S A WELL KNOWN NATIONAL ORGANIZATION THAT'S BEEN ABLE TO GROW ITSELF TO A CERTAIN POINT THEN THAT REPRESENTS SOMETHING. THAT'S NOT TO SAY JUST A LONE INDIVIDUAL GIVING SOME INPUT MIGHT NOT MAKE A VERY POINT THAT HAS BEEN WELL COLLECTED AND COMPELS US TO LOOK AT IT DIFFERENTLY BUT I WOULDN'T SAY YOU'RE JUST ONE PERSON EVEN THOUGH YOU'RE THE COUNTY SUPERVISOR SPEAKING TO AN OFFICIAL RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY. THAT CARRIES SOME WEIGHT TO IT. THAT REPRESENTS SOMETHING. SO I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU THINK OF IT. [LAUGHS] COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ. >> I SHOULD BE USED TO THIS BY NOW. OKAY. THANK YOU FOR THAT. I THINK FOR ME, LIKE MY THINKING, I COMPLETELY UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING, LIKE THIS GROUP CAME FORWARD AND THEY GAVE THIS INPUT AND SO WE SHOULD TAKE IT BUT WHAT IF WE ANOTHER GROUP THAT HAD CONFLICTING INFORMATION. I THINK THAT'S WHY I HAVE A PROBLEM PUTTING DIFFERENT TYPES OF WEIGHT ON COI. I WOULD JUST LIKE TO TAKE EACH ONE AS ONE, AND I REALIZE SOME SAY I'M REPRESENTING 100 PEOPLE AND THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR GATHERING THE PEOPLE, BUT THERE'S SO MANY MORE WE'RE NOT HEARING FROM. SO I THINK THAT'S MY CONCERN IS WE'RE MISSING SO MUCH OF THIS PUZZLING. THERE'S MORE PIECES MISSING THAN WHAT WE HAVE. SO TO GIVE MORE WEIGHT TO A CERTAIN GROUP OR A CERTAIN COMMUNITY OF INTEREST IS BOTHERSOME TO ME. >> ANYONE ELSE? COMMISSIONER SINAY -- COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN. >> THANK YOU. YEAH. I ALWAYS SEE THIS AS YOU HAVE TO LOOK AT EACH ONE AND WHERE THEY ARE, BECAUSE THIS IS OUR

FOURTH CRITERIA, AND YOU STILL HAVE NUMBERS, YOU STILL HAVE VRA, AND THEN YOU LOOK AT THIS AND WE'RE GOING TO COME BACK, BECAUSE LIKE IN OUR VISUALIZATIONS, WE'RE LOOKING AT THIS SECTION AND THEN THAT SECTION AND HOW THEY OVERLAP. AND I THINK WE'RE USING IT AS A GUIDELINE. WE'LL ACTUALLY COME DOWN TO SOME, OKAY, REALLY IS THIS WAY OR THAT WAY. BUT I THINK IN MANY CIRCUMSTANCES, WHERE THE CONFLICTING COIS ARE WILL DICTATE THE INFORMATION. KIND OF LIKE WHAT COMMISSIONER TURNER SAID ABOUT WE REALLY NEED TO LOOK AT THE EVIDENCE, YOU KNOW, WHAT ARE THEY SAYING? IS IT ECONOMIC INTERESTS? OKAY. LOOK AT SOME BUSINESSES AROUND. CAN YOU VERIFY THAT. WHAT ARE THEY SAYING. AND I APPRECIATE TRYING TO PUT, YOU KNOW, HOW WE RANK THEM. BUT I DON'T KNOW HOW NECESSARY THEY'RE REALLY GOING TO BE LOOKING AT IT IN A TIGHT, STRICT MANNER, BECAUSE EACH CIRCUMSTANCE WILL BE A LITTLE DIFFERENT, AND REMEMBER, WHEN WE DO THAT, CRITERIA 4, THEN WE KIND OF KEEP ON LOOKING AND THEN BUT IT MIGHT GET SHIFTED AGAIN. SO WE'LL PROBABLY COME BACK AGAIN. THESE WILL NOT BE HARD DECISIONS. AND I THINK WE NEED TO LIKE COMMISSIONER SINAY SAID, WE NEED TO DISCUSS WHY WE THINK WE SHOULD HAVE THOSE, CONSIDER IT AND EVENTUALLY WE'LL HAVE TO SAY YES OR NO. BUT WE'LL KIND OF -- I DON'T KNOW IF WE HAVE TO SAY YES, THIS IS WHAT ALL THESE PEOPLE SAID AND NOW THE PERSON, JUST IN STRAIGHT NUMBERS. IT'S LIKE WHAT DID THEY ALL SAY? DID THEY ALL SAY SOMETHING BUT THE BALLOT OR ARE THEY ALL ASKING THE SAME THING AND IT CAN'T HAPPEN. WELL, SORRY, IT CAN'T HAPPEN, I DON'T CARE HOW MANY PEOPLE ASKED. SO I APPRECIATE PUTTING ALL THESE DOWN, BUT I DON'T REALLY THINK WE SHOULD STICK A HARD RULE AND FAST RULE ABOUT THIS COMES FIRST THEN THAT ONE THEN THAT ONE. I THINK IT WILL SHIFT AROUND A BIT. >> RIGHT. AND THESE SUBCRITERIA ARE NOT RANKED. COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA THEN COMMISSIONER SINAY. >> OKAY. I GOT TOO MANY BUTTONS HERE I'M TRYING TO FIGURE OUT, DO I LOWER MY HAND, WHICH ONE DO I UNMUTE. OKAY. SORRY ABOUT THAT. I GUESS I HAVE A COUPLE REACTIONS, PART OF IT IS I'M JUST TRYING TO REMEMBER, I WAS TRYING TO REMEMBER EVERYTHING. OKAY, ONE, I'M HAVING A LITTLE BIT OF MAYBE, I DON'T KNOW, A REACTION, I GUESS I'LL JUST SAY TO SOME OF WHAT I'M READING. I AM A LITTLE CONCERNED ABOUT WEIGHING THIS COI INPUT, AND I KNOW THAT THIS COULD TAKE A LOT OF TIME, BUT I AM IN FAVOR OF US LOOKING, AND I THINK THIS IS KIND OF LIKE WHAT COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN WAS TALKING ABOUT IS LOOKING AT EACH AREA INDIVIDUALLY, EACH COI INDIVIDUALLY OR EACH REGION INDIVIDUALLY, ONLY BECAUSE I THINK THERE IS GOING TO BE A LOT OF DIFFERENT FACTORS. I AM A LITTLE CONCERNED ABOUT FOR EXAMPLE D. I THINK THIS IS 2D WHERE IT SAYS WHEN AN INDIVIDUAL COI INPUT SUBMISSION IS UNCLEAR OR INCONSISTENT WITH ITSELF GENERALLY GIVE GREATER WEIGHT TO THE PART THAT SEEMS MORE CLEAR, SPECIFIC AND CENTRAL. AND I GUESS FOR CLARIFICATION, I JUST WANT TO ASK ON THIS, ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT THE PART OF THE COI INPUT THAT IS MORE CLEAR OR IS IT TO GIVE GREATER WEIGHT TO SEPARATE COI INPUT THAT IS MORE CLEAR? BECAUSE I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT NOT EVERYBODY IS GOING TO BE VERY WELL-SPOKEN BUT THAT DOESN'T MEAN THAT THEIR COI INPUT IS ANY LESS VALID. SO I JUST WANT TO JUST CAUTION AGAINST THAT. AND I THINK IT MAY BE MISUNDERSTOOD OR AT LEAST THAT'S THE WAY I'M READING IT SO I JUST WANT TO CAUTION THAT PART. I ALSO HAVE A -- I THINK THIS IS WHERE I WAS HAVING THIS ARGUMENT IN MY BRAIN RIGHT NOW IS ABOUT NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS. I WORK AT A NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION SO I RUN A NATIONAL ORGANIZATION BUT I ALSO UNDERSTAND THAT WHEN YOU'RE A NATIONAL ORGANIZATION, YOU DON'T REALLY KNOW THE LOCAL, THE HYPERLOCAL PLACES. AND SO THAT IS MY CAUTION ALSO ABOUT SOME OF THE ORGANIZATIONS IS THAT WHERE'S THE INPUT COMING FROM? AND I LIKE -- I APPRECIATE SOME OF THE LARGER

STATEWIDE-BASED ORGANIZATIONS THAT ARE SAYING WE GOT X NUMBER OF PEOPLE. SO IT HELPS TO GET SOME CONTEXT. IT MAY NOT BE LARGE NUMBERS BUT WE KNOW IT'S COMING FROM REAL PEOPLE. YES, THERE ARE PEOPLE THAT ARE NOT BEING HEARD AND I THINK THAT'S PART OF THE CHALLENGE. SO THIS IS WHERE WE HAVE TO TRY TO FILL IN. BUT I JUST WANT US TO BE COGNIZANT OF, OKAY, EVEN WEIGHING, LIKE, NONPROFIT INPUT, NOT ALL IS EQUAL, AND WHO KNOWS WHERE. AND I FEEL LIKE THE LOCALS NONPROFITS ACTUALLY KNOW MORE THAN, SAY, THE STATEWIDE NONPROFITS EVEN THOUGH THEY MAY HAVE THEIR LOCAL CONNECTIONS, BUT I THINK THAT'S WHERE WE HAVE TO FIND OUT HOW MUCH OF IT -- ANYWAYS. SO THAT'S RIGHT NOW MY INITIAL REACTIONS TO WHAT I'M HEARING SO FAR. >> THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA. GOOD THOUGHTS. JUST TO ADDRESS THE FIRST ONE, YES, ABSOLUTELY UNCLEAR WITH ITSELF, NOT WITH COMPARED TO OTHERS. I CAN ADD, WHEN AN INDIVIDUAL COI, MAYBE I SHOULD ADD SOME MORE LANGUAGE THERE, GREATER WEIGHT TO THE PART OF THAT INDIVIDUAL COI. COMMISSIONER SINAY. >> I JUST WANTED TO CHECK IF COMMISSIONER AHMAD WANTED TO SHARE, BECAUSE I THINK WHAT YOU HAD SAID, I DON'T AGREE AND THEN WE PUSHED YOU TO SHARE AND I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR SHARING BECAUSE THAT IS EXACTLY THE CONVERSATIONS THAT I THINK WE NEED TO BE HAVING IS KNOWING KIND OF WHERE WE'RE ALL COMING FROM AND THE MORE WE KNOW EACH OTHER THE MORE WE CAN ACTUALLY USE EACH OTHER'S STRENGTHS, AND I KNOW, YOU KNOW, I'M A FIRM BELIEVER THAT WE ALL GOT SELECTED BECAUSE WE HAVE DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVES, AND WE SHOULD HAVE DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVES. AND I'VE LEARNED SO MUCH FROM ALL OF YOU. AND I WOULDN'T WANT US TO GET INTO THE THE LINE-DRAWING NOW AND BE LIKE OKAY LET ME LOOK THIS UP BUT JUST KIND OF KNOW WHAT OUR BIASES ARE AND WE GOT TO MAKE THIS FUN TO A CERTAIN EXTENT. SO TO ME, YOU KNOW, BEING ABLE TO SAY, THERE YOU GO, LINDA, YOU'RE LOOKING AT THE ASIANS. THANK YOU FOR DOING THAT OR WHATEVER IT MIGHT BE IS A WAY TO HAVE FUN AND BEING ABLE TO SUPPORT EACH OTHER. SORRY. I DIDN'T HAVE TO CALL YOU OUT BUT I USED YOU AS AN EXAMPLE. SO I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU FOR THAT. AND I REALLY TRULY HOPE THAT THOSE WHO ARE, YOU KNOW, THAT COMMISSIONER VÁZQUEZ AND COMMISSIONER TOLEDO AND COMMISSIONER -- I KEEP WANTING TO SAY COMMISSIONER RAY. SORRY. BUT -- COMMISSIONER KENNEDY. I WANT EVERYBODY TO BE -- BECAUSE WE'VE BEEN THINKING ABOUT THIS. WE'VE BEEN LISTENING TO ALL THESE COIS AND IN OUR OWN MINDS WE HAVE STARTED JUDGING THEM IN DIFFERENT WAYS. SO I THINK THAT PIECE IS IMPORTANT. I ALSO WANT TO KNOW HOW AND WHEN DO WE TALK ABOUT THE THINLY-VEILED COMMENTS THAT WE'VE GOTTEN, THE THINLY-VEILED POLITICAL OR RACIAL OR WHAT IT MIGHT BE THINLY VEILED. THE ARTICLE IN TODAY'S COMMON CAUSE WAS EXACTLY ABOUT THAT. AND JUST FOR THE PUBLIC, WE'RE ALL REALLY SAVVY. WE WERE KIND OF CHOSEN BECAUSE WE KNOW OUR COMMUNITIES AND SUCH. BUT HOW DO WE TALK IN A GROUP SO IT'S OPEN? HOW DO WE -- DO WE DO THAT IN CLOSED SESSION, DO WE DO THAT IN PUBLIC SESSION? THAT TO ME IS A REALLY CRITICAL QUESTION BECAUSE A LOT OF US MIGHT NOT WANT TO SAY SOMETHING BECAUSE WE DON'T KNOW LEGALLY WHERE IT'S GOING TO TAKE US, BUT WE WANT TO MAKE SURE ALL OUR COLLEAGUES KNOW WHY WE'RE GOING -- WHY WE'RE THINKING ONE THING OR ANOTHER. >> THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER SINAY. >> TO JUST JUMP IN AS WELL, ON THAT. AND COMMISSIONER YEE, WHEN YOU SAID THAT YOU DIDN'T AGREE, WHEN YOU SPOKE, I DIDN'T SEE THE DISAGREEMENT. >> OKAY. [LAUGHS]. >> I'M LIKE, OKAY, THAT'S GOOD. BUT ANYWAY. BUT ALSO UPPED TO FOLLOW UP AND SAY I REALLY DO APPRECIATE HAVING THIS CONVERSATION TO HEAR WHERE EVERYONE IS SHAKING OUT AS BECAUSE, AGAIN, WHEN YOU HAVE A NONPROFIT BACKGROUND, AND I HAVE COME FROM A FEW DIFFERENT PLACES BUT MOST RECENTLY NONPROFIT, AND ONE OF THE THINGS I WANT

TO MAKE SURE THAT WE -- I GUESS WHAT I'LL VOICE IS THAT I ALSO WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE NOT PENALIZING PEOPLE THAT DID SPEAK FOR THOSE THAT DID NOT SPEAK. THE WHOLE PURPOSE FOR OUR ROBUST OUTREACH AND TRYING TO ENSURE THAT WE REACHED AS MANY FOLK AS WE POSSIBLY COULD UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCE WAS THAT SO THAT PEOPLE COULD PARTICIPATE AND CERTAINLY WE ARE AWARE BASED ON OUR -- THE FEEDBACK OR WHAT WE'RE RECEIVING THAT WE DIDN'T REACH AS MANY PEOPLE AS WE'D LIKE TO BUT FOR THOSE THAT DID TAKE THE TIME TO RESPOND I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE DON'T BY SOME MEANS MUTE THEIR VOICES OR DETERMINE THAT THEY DIDN'T COUNT FOR THOSE THAT TOOK THE TIME TO FATHER FOLK WHERE WE COULD NOT, I DON'T WANT TO, AGAIN, MUTE THEIR VOICES AND SAY THAT YOU DIDN'T MATTER AND YOU'RE COUNTING ONE FOR ONE AND YOU DID GO THROUGH HOURS AND DAYS AND A LOT OF EFFORT TO GATHER THE INFORMATION FOR US THAT WE COULD NOT GATHER. SO FOR ME THAT MATTERS, FOR ME THAT'S SOMETHING I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE CONSIDER AND WE'RE PAYING ATTENTION TO AND, YEAH, I THINK EVIDENCE, ANY TIME YOU CAN FIND THE EVIDENCE, THAT'S GREAT. I KNOW THAT THERE ARE THROUGH OUR TESTIMONY, THROUGH OUR INPUT THAT WE'VE RECEIVED, I THINK THAT IT'S EVIDENCED THAT THERE ARE SOME GROUPS THAT HAVE DONE MORE GATHERING THAN OTHERS, AND WHEN IT'S TIME TO LOOK AT IT, I THINK THAT THAT INFORMATION IS THERE, AND WE SHOULD CONSIDER IT AND NOT DISCOUNT IT FOR WHAT DID NOT OCCUR. >> THAT IS A GREAT SEGUE TO LETTER E, THE QUESTION OF HOW TO COUNT QUANTITY OF INPUT. SO IF YOU GET A LOT OF INPUT ON ONE SIDE OF ONE AREA AND LITTLE ON ANOTHER, HOW DO YOU WEIGH THAT. SO JUST AS COMMISSIONER TURNER JUST SAID, IT COUNTS FOR SOMETHING. OUR THOUGHT IS IT COUNTS FOR SOMETHING. IT SHOULD BE DUALY CONSIDERED BUT IT'S NOT JUST VOTING. WE'RE NOT JUST COUNTING ON ONE SIDE VERSUS HOW MANY OF THE OTHER, WE HAVE TO WEIGH THE QUALITY OF THE COI BEING DESCRIBED AND WEIGH THAT AGAINST EVERYTHING ELSE WE HAVE TO CONSIDER. SO QUANTITY SHOULD BE DUALY CONSIDERED BUT IT'S NOT DECISIVE IS THE LANGUAGE WE LANDED ON. >> I JUST WANTED TO ACKNOWLEDGE, I THINK COMMISSIONER VÁZQUEZ WAS IN THE LINE TO SAY SOMETHING. I KNOW SHE HASN'T HAD A CHANCE YET. >> COMMISSIONER VÁZQUEZ. I'M SORRY. >> THANK YOU. IT'S NOT UNRELATED TO LETTER E. IN THAT I WANTED TO JUST ECHO AND EXPAND ON COMMISSIONER TURNER'S POINTS ABOUT SORT OF MY BIAS, MY LENS IS ALSO IN COMMUNITY WORK AND COMMUNITY ORGANIZING, AND I THINK I DO GIVE WEIGHT TO SORT OF FOLKS WHO ARE PRESENTING THINGS IN AN AGGREGATE. IT IS CERTAINLY SORT OF IF YOU THINK ABOUT IT FROM THE SCIENCE PERSPECTIVE, IT'S CERTAINLY A BIASED SAMPLE, RIGHT? BUT IT'S STILL A SAMPLE, AND IT'S A SAMPLE SIZE LARGER THAN ONE. AND SO FOR ME THAT IS GIVING ME A PARTICULAR PERSPECTIVE THAT I THINK IS NECESSARILY MORE BROAD, EVEN IF IT'S SPECIFIC TO A PARTICULAR SUBSET OF A DISTRICT POPULATION. AND I THINK IT'S REALLY, REALLY IMPORTANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT, AND I ALSO WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ'S POINT THAT THERE IS A LOT THAT WE ARE NOT SEEING, EVEN IF WE HAVE SORT OF MANY COMMUNITY GROUPS SUBMITTING SORT OF AGGREGATE TESTIMONY THAT WE DO STILL HAVE TO SORT OF HOLD IN MIND THIS IDEA THAT WE'RE NOT GETTING A PERFECT DATASET. AND SO I THINK THIS IS ALSO WHERE I SORT OF SEE -- I THINK I'VE SAID THIS BEFORE THAT I VERY MUCH VIEW THIS PROCESS AS MUCH MORE OF AN ART THAN I THINK MANY OF US GOT INTO THIS WORK HOPING FOR. I THINK WE WERE HOPING FOR -- ESPECIALLY THE WAY IT WAS FRAMED, THE RELEVANT ANALYTICAL SKILLS I THINK WAS ONE OF THE REQUIREMENTS. I THINK WE ALL ABSOLUTELY HAVE THE RELEVANT ANALYTICAL SKILLS, BUT CAN WE AS A GROUP DO THE WORLD'S MOST COMPLICATED ART PROJECT? I THINK THAT'S THE TASK BEFORE US. THIS IS NOT A SCIENCE PROJECT. THIS IS AN ART PROJECT. AND SO I THINK THAT'S WHERE

MANY OF US WHO I THINK WE'RE ALL A PLUS STUDENTS AND MAYBE SKIPPED SOME OF THE FINE ARTS, WE'RE GETTING A LITTLE UNCOMFORTABLE HERE. [LAUGHS]. >> THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER VÁZQUEZ. COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA. >> THANK YOU. SO JUST FOR THE RECORD AND FOR CLARIFICATION I DO WANT TO MAKE IT KNOWN THAT I AM NOT BASHING WHAT THE NONPROFITS SAID. I COME FROM THAT SECTOR AND I KNOW OUR VALUE. BUT I THINK IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE I DO WANT TO STATE THAT THERE'S A LOT OF WORK THAT IS BEING DONE AT LOCAL LEVELS THAT I THINK ARE REALLY GOOD WORK, AND YES, IT WILL BE AGGREGATE, BUT I THINK THEY ALSO KNOW THEIR COMMUNITIES, AND I THINK THAT GETS TO MY OTHER POINT ABOUT COMMISSIONER SADHWANI, WHAT YOU SAID THAT THE FORMER COMMISSIONER DYE SAID ABOUT EVIDENCE-BASED. AND WHEN I HEAR EVIDENCE BASED I THINK THERE'S AN IMPORTANCE TO EVIDENCE-BASED, HOWEVER I THINK WE SHOULD NOT BELIEVE THAT ALL EVIDENCE HAS TO BE QUANTITATIVE, THAT QUALITATIVE EVIDENCE IS ALSO REALLY IMPORTANT, AND I THINK THAT'S PART OF WHAT WE'RE GETTING HERE TOO. SO IT'S JUST MORE OF A REMINDER THAT THERE'S SOME THINGS THAT WE'RE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO LEARN FROM THE QUANTITATIVE KIND OF EVIDENCE, RIGHT, ABOUT COMMUNITIES, AND THAT'S WHY WE LOOK FOR COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST TESTIMONY AND INPUT AND THAT THAT'S WHAT I'VE ALWAYS SAID GIVES US THE NUANCE AND HOPEFULLY AS PEOPLE ARE LISTENING THERE WILL BE CONTINUED INPUT FROM MORE MEMBERS OF COMMUNITIES AS WELL AS NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS AND OTHER REPRESENTATIVES. I DO ALSO WANT TO JUST NOTE THAT IN TERMS OF INTERESTING AND VERY COORDINATED COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST INPUT HAS COME FROM LONG BEACH WHERE YOU HAVE HEARD FROM ALMOST EVERY VARIOUS SEGMENT OF THE LONG BEACH COMMUNITY FROM THE BUSINESS TO THE NONPROFITS TO GOVERNMENT, I MEAN, THEY HAVE DONE A REALLY INTERESTING AND EXCELLENT JOB IN TERMS OF REALLY COORDINATING THEIR INPUT, NOT JUDGING GOOD OR BAD. I'M JUST MAKING A COMMENT THAT IT'S BEEN INTERESTING TO HEAR FROM MULTIPLE SEGMENTS OF THE LONG BEACH COMMUNITY. >> COMMISSIONER YEE IF I MAY JUST INTERJECT REALLY QUICKLY. TRY AND WHEREVER WE'RE AT IN THIS CONVERSATION WE'LL TRY TO PAUSE AT ABOUT 5:30 SO WE CAN TAKE A FINAL PUBLIC COMMENT FOR TODAY AND WE CAN CERTAINLY PICK UP TOMORROW AS NEED BE. >> OKAY, CHAIR. SHOULD WE PUSH ON? COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI. >> YEAH. JUST WANT TO SAY I REALLY LOVED COMMISSIONER VÁZQUEZ'S COMMENT, THE WORLD'S MOST DIFFICULT ART PROJECT, SOMETHING LIKE THAT. AND I'M JUST KIND OF SHARE MY THOUGHTS ON THIS. I DON'T KNOW HOW THIS IS ALL GOING TO GO, YOU KNOW? SO I'M JUST TRYING TO KIND OF THINK HOW IT'S ALL GOING TO FIT TOGETHER WHAT I'VE JUST -- THE WAY I THINK IS I AM TRYING TO INTEGRATE INPUT INTO THEMES, IF YOU WILL, AND KIND OF SEE WHAT THEMES ARE COMING OUT, AND WE PROBABLY SEE THAT IN THE REQUEST THAT I MADE FOR VISUALIZATIONS. IT WAS BASED ON THEMES MORE THAN SPECIFIC COI INPUT. BUT I THINK -- I MEAN, FOR ME IT'S IMPORTANT TO KIND OF BE OPEN AND SEE HOW THE WHOLE PROCESS IS GOING TO PLAY OUT, BECAUSE I THINK, YOU KNOW, A LOT OF -- I FEEL THAT A LOT OF THIS MAY BE DRIVEN BY CRITERIA NUMBER 2. AND THAT THERE MAY BE PLACES WHERE WE ARE JUST NOT ABLE TO ACCOMMODATE THE COI INPUT THAT WE'RE GETTING BASED ON HIGHER CRITERIA. BUT I DON'T KNOW THAT FOR SURE. BUT I'M JUST TRYING TO SEE HOW IT GOES, I GUESS. >> THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI. SHALL WE GO BACK TO THE OUTLINE THEN? LET'S SEE. LETTER F, I BELIEVE. SO LETTER F IS A SPECIAL CASE OF LETTER E, SO MULTIPLE COI INPUT, NOT ONLY ABOUT THE SAME AREA AND TAKING THE SAME POSITION BUT ACTUALLY SEEMING THE VERY SAME WORDS, THE VERY SAME POINTS ON HOW TO WEIGH THAT. THE FOCUS ON THE MERITS OF THE COI ITSELF AND JUST WEIGH IT, DUE NOTE OF THE QUANTITY WHICH MEANS SOMETHING, BUT NOT LET THE QUANTITY BE

DECISIVE. LETTER G, BE OPEN TO WAYS THAT HETEROGENOUS REGION MAY NEVERTHELESS SHARE COMMON SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC INTERESTS. WANTING COMMUNITIES TO SHARE MORE THAN THEY CURRENTLY SHARE, YOU KNOW? SO TO BE OPEN TO THAT WHERE IT MAY APPLY. YES. >> QUICK QUESTION ON THAT. GIVE AN EXAMPLE. I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT THERE. A LITTLE BIT MORE DESCRIPTION. >> SO, I DON'T KNOW IF I SHOULD CITE A SPECIFIC EXAMPLE. COACHELLA VALLEY. THERE'S A WAY OF SPLITTING IT AT FIRST SIGHT HOW IT WOULD SEEM TO SPLIT ECONOMICALLY, MAYBE RACIALLY. BUT MAYBE ACTUALLY IF YOU LOOK AT. >> OH, OKAY. >> ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS MAYBE THOSE RIDE ACROSS RACE AND CLASS CONCERNS AND ACTUALLY MIGHT PREDOMINATE IN OUR CONSIDERATION, SOMETHING LIKE THAT. >> GOT IT. THANK YOU. >> LETTER H, GIVE APPROPRIATE CARE AND CONSIDERATION TO THE POSSIBILITIES OF COVERT MOTIVATIONS. THAT SPEAKS TO THE QUESTION OF POSSIBLE OFFICE HOLDERS OR CANDIDATES GOING INCOGNITO. OF COURSE ON THE OTHER HAND WE'RE NOT ALLOWED TO CONSIDER OFFICE HOLDERS, CANDIDATES OR POLITICAL PARTIES. SO MAYBE THEY SHOULD STAY INCOGNITO. I DON'T KNOW. COMMISSIONER SINAY. >> WELL, I THINK ON THAT ONE, WE'VE ALL SAID EVERYONE GETS A VOICE, AND SO I RATHER THEY BE OVERT VERSUS COVERT BUT EITHER WAY THEY DO GET A VOICE. THERE'S SOME THOUGH THAT IT IS A WHOLE -- THERE'S A MOVEMENT BEHIND IT ALL THAT WE -- SO THERE'S DIFFERENT WAYS. I DON'T WANT PEOPLE -- I DON'T THINK THAT JUST BECAUSE SOMEONE'S A POLITICIAN THEY CAN'T CALL IN. WE TALKED ABOUT THIS AT THE VERY BEGINNING WHEN WE WERE TALKING WILL WE PRESENT, WILL WE DO A PRESENTATION TO A POLITICIAN OR NOT? AND WE HAD A LONG CONVERSATION ABOUT IT AND AT THE END WE SAID, HEY, THIS IS ABOUT ALL CALIFORNIANS. SO I JUST WANT TO PUT IT OUT THERE THAT WE'RE NOT SAYING NO. WE'RE SAYING PLEASE, WE'D RATHER KNOW WHO YOU ARE, AND IT'S ONLY ONE VOICE. YOU DON'T GET EXTRA BECAUSE -- OR WHATEVER IT IS. I MEAN WE HAD ONE POLITICIAN WHO SAID I'M RUNNING AND SHE CALLED IN FIVE TIMES AND TOLD US ALL ABOUT SAN FRANCISCO. SO, YOU KNOW. I'M JUST SAYING. LET'S NOT MAKE IT SEEM LIKE IT'S A BAD GUY EXAMPLE. >> RIGHT. AND JUST BECAUSE YOU DON'T IDENTIFY YOURSELF DOESN'T MEAN YOU HAVE COVERT MOTIVATIONS, NEGATIVE COVERT MOTIVATIONS. >> YEAH, I JUST WANTED TO ADD IN TOO ON THIS PARTICULAR ONE WHERE IT SAYS GIVE APPROPRIATE CARE AND CONSIDERATION TO THE POSSIBILITIES OF COVERT MOTIVATIONS AND SOURCES OF COI INPUT. COMMISSIONER SINAY EARLIER YOU MENTIONED ABOUT WHEN WILL WE DISCUSS OR TALK ABOUT SOMETIMES THERE'S BEEN THINLY-VEILED RACIAL COMMENTS OR WHAT HAVE YOU, SOME OF THAT COULD ALSO BE COVERT MOTIVATIONS THAT WE'LL BE LOOKING AT. >> COMMISSIONER SADHWANI. >> YEAH, I JUST WANTED TO NOTE HOW TIMELY THIS CONVERSATION IS GIVEN THE CAL MATTERS PIECE THAT CAME OUT THIS MORNING. AND ACTUALLY ALSO TO GIVE SOME CREDIT TO CAL MATTERS AND OTHER MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC FOR FOLLOWING ALONG. I ACTUALLY THINK IT WAS FANTASTIC THAT THEY WERE ABLE TO IDENTIFY PEOPLE WHO WERE CANDIDATES OR PARTNERS OF CURRENT ELECTED OFFICIALS. I COMPLETELY AGREE WITH COMMISSIONER SINAY, I THINK FROM THE GET-GO, WE HAVE SAID AND AS I SAID TO THE REPORTER, THERE'S A SPACE FOR EVERYBODY HERE TO SUBMIT THEIR COMMENTS AND THEIR CONCERNS ABOUT COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST. AND AS WE MOVE WITH THEIR DISTRICT PLANS AS WELL, BUT I THINK THIS IS WHAT THE WHOLE PROCESS IS FOR. IT IS OPEN, AND IT IS TRANSPARENT SO THAT CAL MATTERS OR ANYBODY CAN FOLLOW ALONG AND HELP US IDENTIFY THE INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE CALLING IN AND MAKE SURE THAT WE DO HAVE OUR EYES WIDE OPEN. SO THAT'S KIND OF MY PERSPECTIVE ON IT IS WE CAN'T STOP ANYONE FROM DOING THAT NOR DO WE WANT TO. WE SAID THIS IS AN OPEN PROCESS, AND ALL CALIFORNIANS CAN PARTICIPATE, BUT I THINK THAT IS THE ROLE OF THE MEDIA AS WELL

AS CASUAL OBSERVERS TO HELP US IDENTIFY THOSE BLIND SPOTS THAT WE MIGHT HAVE. >> FULL DISCLOSURE ONE OF MY DAUGHTERS WORKS FOR CAL MATTERS ALTHOUGH SHE DID NOT HAVE ANY ROLE IN THIS MORNING'S REDISTRICTING STORY. I'M VERY PROUD OF HER. >> AS YOU SHOULD BE. AS YOU SHOULD BE. >> LET'S SEE. COMMISSIONER VÁZQUEZ. >> YEAH. I JUST ALSO WANTED TO NOTE THAT I MAYBE HAVE AN OVERLY OPTIMISTIC VIEW OF SORT OF THE IDEA OF ELECTED OFFICIALS CALLING IN TO GIVE TESTIMONY IN THE SENSE THAT ESPECIALLY BECAUSE I WORKED FOR SO LONG WITH SCHOOL BOARD MEMBERS, TWO FORMER SCHOOL BOARD MEMBERS ARE ON OUR COMMISSION. I THINK ELECTED OFFICIALS, PARTICULARLY THOSE WHO AREN'T CURRENTLY IN A STATE-ELECTED OFFICE OR CONGRESSIONAL ELECTED OFFICE ARE CIVIC LEADERS IN THEIR COMMUNITIES. AND SO IN MANY WAYS HAVE GOTTEN ELECTED BECAUSE THEY ARE VERY IN TOUCH WITH SORT OF THEIR LOCAL COMMUNITIES AND WHAT THEY NEED. AND SO FOR ME I'M NOT SURE IF I GIVE MORE WEIGHT BUT I THINK I'D BE LOOKING AND HOPING FOR A BIT MORE OF THE FLAVOR IN THAT TESTIMONY OF THE COMMUNITY, AGAIN KNOWING, THIS IS AN ART, RIGHT, KNOWING THEY MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE AN AGENDA TO PURSUE OFFICE IN THAT COMMUNITY, A HIGHER OFFICE, A STATE OFFICE OR CONGRESSIONAL OFFICE, BUT I THINK FOR ME I SEE THOSE CIVIC LEADERS, MAYBE NOT EXACTLY THE SAME BUT THINKING ABOUT SORT OF A FAITH LEADER OR, YOU KNOW, JUST SOMEONE WHO'S IN THE KNOW ABOUT THEIR COMMUNITY, RIGHT? SO THEY HAVE A PARTICULAR PERSPECTIVE. AND SO FOR ME I FIND THAT HELPFUL. AND SO I'M HOPEFUL TO AT LEAST HEAR OF A PARTICULAR TYPE OF TESTIMONY FROM AN ELECTED OFFICIAL THAT CAN HELP EITHER VALIDATE OR OFFER UP A DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVE THAN WHAT WE'RE HEARING FROM ELSEWHERE IN THE COMMUNITY. >> THE LAST LETTER, I, IF TESTIMONY IS ALONE IS INSUFFICIENT TO FULLY DEFINE A GIVEN COI, IT MAY BE HELPFUL TO SEEK INFORMATION. THEY MENTIONED REGRET THERE WAS NOT MORE TIME AND ENERGY TO DO OUTSIDE RESEARCH ON COMMUNITIES AND FEELING IT WOULD HAVE FILLED IN A LOT OF HOLES THAT COI TESTIMONY. ON THE OTHER HAND, WE'VE BEEN ADVISED THAT ACTUALLY A LOT OF THAT INFO, FOR INSTANCE THE AMERICAN COMMUNITY SURVEY IS A SAMPLE, IT'S OVER TIME, IT GETS OLD VERY QUICKLY AND IF YOU RELY ON THAT TO TRY TO FILL IN HOLES YOU MAY BE DECEIVING YOURSELF. THAT SAMPLE MAY NOT HAVE BEEN INVOLVED AT ALL THAT PARTICULAR CITY YOU'RE LOOKING AT. SO THEY'RE KIND OF A MIXED NOTE THERE. CERTAINLY BE OPEN TO FURTHER INVESTIGATION, ESPECIALLY IN-PERSON VISITING, ERODED BEACH SAND IN LONG BEACH, BUT MAYBE NOT TO COUNT IT TOO MUCH. COMMISSIONER SINAY. >> I COMPLETELY DISAGREE WITH THAT. I THINK THAT LOCAL COMMUNITIES SPEND A LOT OF TIME WRITING VERY GOOD REPORTS AND VERY GOOD INFORMATION ABOUT THE NEEDS OF THEIR COMMUNITIES, ABOUT WHO'S IN THEIR COMMUNITY. I AM SORRY IF IT'S NOT AT AN ACADEMIC LEVEL OR IT'S NOT USING -- WELL, IT DOES USE CENSUS DATA A LOT OF TIMES. I'M NOTE TALKING ABOUT ACS DATA. I'M TALKING ABOUT THE UNITED WAY'S REPORT THAT THEY PUT OUT FOR THE WHOLE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ON LIVING WAGE. I KNOW THAT'S NOT SOMETHING THAT MAYBE THE STATEWIDE DATABASE USES OR VRA LAWYERS USE AND STUFF BUT THAT IS VERY LEGITIMATE DATA. I WILL TELL YOU I JUST DID A ROAD TRIP, HASHTAG REDISTRICTING ROAD TRIP, NO, I'M JUST KIDDING. THE NUMBER ONE THING I SAW EVERYWHERE WAS HOMELESS POPULATIONS. IT DID NOT MATTER. I SAW ENCAMPMENT IN WALNUT CREEK, TULARE, I SAW ONE EVERY STOP I DID, I COULD QUICKLY SEE ONE. THAT'S NOT GOING TO COME OUT IN THE ACS. THAT'S NOT GOING TO -- WHAT -- FOOD DESERTS, THOSE TYPES OF THINGS AREN'T GOING TO COME UP IN THE ACS BUT YOU CAN QUICKLY GET A REPORT FROM THAT COMMUNITY AND UNDERSTAND THAT THAT WILL HELP US UNDERSTAND WHAT THE COI MEANT. PEOPLE MAY BE USING TERMINOLOGIES WE DON'T UNDERSTAND OR MIGHT HAVE BEEN TELLING

US A STORY WE DON'T GET BUT WE CAN QUICKLY READ SOMETHING ABOUT IT. SO I'M GOING TO PUSH BACK ON THIS WHOLE IDEA THAT IT'S NOT LEGITIMATE, IT'S NOT THIS, IT'S VERY LEGITIMATE TO THOSE COMMUNITIES AND THEY WORK VERY HARD, AND I'VE BEEN ONE OF THOSE WHO'S WRITTEN SOME OF THESE REPORTS, ESPECIALLY AROUND REFUGEES, SO MANY, YOU KNOW, LGBTQ, I'VE WRITTEN THEM FOR, YOU KNOW, NOW, I'M SORRY. >> COMMISSIONER TURNER. >> AND JUST WANTING TO ADD THE WAY I CURRENTLY READS IT SAYS IF TESTIMONY ALONE IS INSUFFICIENT TO FULLY DEFINE A GIVEN COI IT MAY BE HELPFUL TO SEEK DEMOGRAPHIC, ECONOMIC, HISTORIC, LAND-USE REPORTS WRITTEN BY COMMISSIONER SINAY AND OTHER DATA. [LAUGHTER] AND THEN IT SAYS THOUGH, NOTE THOUGH THAT SUCH DATA SOMETIMES SOME OF THAT DATA IS UNHELPFUL SO THAT STILL STANDS TRUE. SOME OF IT IS OUTDATED. HOWEVER IT'S HERE BECAUSE IT'S SAYING THAT SOMETIMES IT IS UNSUFFICIENT TO FULLY DEFINE, AND IT COULD BE HELPFUL SO START WITH THE HIGH NOTE. IT COULD BE HELPFUL. >> I HEAR THAT BUT I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE. I'M JUST PUTTING MY BIAS OUT THERE THAT I WILL BE PUSHING BACK ON OUR -- THOSE FOLKS THAT WE'VE HIRED TO TELL US, HEY, LET'S STICK TO THIS, BECAUSE I KNOW FROM WORKING IN THE COMMUNITY THAT A LOT OF IT IS VERY HELPFUL EVEN IF IT'S NOT ACADEMIC, EVEN IF IT'S NOT WHATEVER IT MAY BE. IT IS VERY HELPFUL. >> THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER SINAY. >> YOU'RE NOT ALLOWED IN MY CLASSROOM. NO. [LAUGHS]. >> WELL SAID. PERHAPS COMMISSIONER TURNER AND I WILL CONSIDER WHETHER TO TAKE OUT THE PARENTHESES THERE. I DON'T KNOW. JUST A FEW MINUTES LEFT IN THIS SEGMENT. BUT NOT MUCH MORE TO COVER ACTUALLY. COMPACTNESS. I'M SORRY. COMMISSIONER VÁZQUEZ. >> SORRY. FORGOT TO LOWER MY HAND. >> OH. OKAY. WE ALL DO THAT. COMPACTNESS AND NESTING. THOSE ARE JUST STRAIGHT FROM THE STATUTORY CRITERIA SO WE DON'T REALLY NEED TO DISCUSS THOSE. EXCLUSIONS LIKEWISE, THAT'S STATUTORY. COMING DOWN HERE. EXCLUSIONS ARE STATUTORY. MAPPING SEQUENCE IS NOT STATUTORY. SO WE'VE DISCUSSED THIS, SO THIS IS THE SAME ORDER THAT WE'VE DISCUSSED PREVIOUSLY, STARTING WITH VRA DISTRICTS, MOVING TO ASSEMBLY PLANS, DETAILED DISTRICTS ARE THE SMALLEST MOST INTRICATE, MOST DIFFICULT TO DRAW. GO FROM THERE TO THE SENATE, HAVING THE ASSEMBLY PLAN FRESH IN OUR MINDS. BOE WHICH SHOULD GO FAIRLY QUICKLY AND THEN THE CONGRESSIONAL PLAN. SO THAT'S THE THOUGHT JUST IN THEORY, IT SEEMS TO MAKE SENSE. BUT WHO KNOW, IT COULD CHANGE DEPEND ON HOW THINGS GO BUT THAT'S THE INITIAL INTENTION. AND THEN THE \$64,000 QUESTION, WHERE TO START. AND THIS IS LANGUAGE FROM OUR VRA COUNSEL, START WITH THE MORE COMPLEX AND LESS FLEXIBLE GEOGRAPHIC AREAS SUCH AS THOSE IN L.A. COUNTY, SAW A LITTLE WIGGLE ROOM THERE BUT MANLY L.A. COUNTY. AND WE'LL MOVE TOWARDS THE AREAS WHERE THEY ARE LESS COMPLEX AND MOST FLEXIBLE. SO DISCARDING THAT WHOLE NORTH TO SOUTH THINKING THAT WAS PREVIOUSLY MENTIONED. COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN AND AKUTAGAWA. >> I WANT TO QUICKLY GO BACK ON COMPACTNESS AND NESTING AND COMPACTNESS, I REALLY WANT, AND THIS IS FOR THE PUBLIC MORE SO, REMEMBER, THIS IS ALL THE WAY DOWN AT NUMBER 5. YOU DON'T LOOK AT THAT -- SO MANY PEOPLE SAY THAT IS NOT COMPACT. YOU DON'T LEAD WITH COMPACT. YOU LEAD WITH POPULATION, VRA, CITIES, COUNTIES, COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST, AND ONCE YOU FIGURE THOSE OUT, THEN YOU GO, OKAY, NOW I NEED A FEW MORE PEOPLE. THEN WHERE YOU GRAB THEM FROM IS THE COMPACT AREA. IT'S NOT THAT -- IT ISN'T LIKE YOU DO A COMPACT FIRST. BECAUSE YOU VIOLATE ALL THE OTHER CRITERIA ABOVE IT SO I REALLY WANT TO SAY THAT. IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT, BECAUSE WE GET A LOT OF PEOPLE SAY, AND REMEMBER, AND I WANT THIS COMPACT DISTRICT. LIKE THAT'S NICE BUT LET'S LOOK AT EVERYTHING ELSE THAT IS LEGALLY REQUIRED TO BE CONSIDERED FOR THAT. AND THEN NESTING, I WAS LOOKING AT WELL, YOU KNOW,

YOU LOOK AT YOUR TWO ASSEMBLY DISTRICTS, WE GOT THOSE WORKED OUT BUT YOU PUT THEM TOGETHER. I DID NOT REALIZE THAT, NO, THE VRA FOR THE SENATE, YOU HAVE TO LOOK AT THE SENATE RACES. IT CAN BE VERY DIFFERENT, VERY DIFFERENT. THE VRA MIGHT NOT WORK, AND YOU PUT THOSE TWO ASSEMBLY DISTRICTS TOGETHER. IT DOESN'T WORK AT THE SENATE LEVEL. AND I DID NOT REALIZE THAT, AND I WANT THE PUBLIC, I DIDN'T REALIZE IT AND I'M WORKING WITH THE LINE DRAWING, THERE ARE A LOT OF OTHER PEOPLE WHO ALSO ARE NOT THAT AWARE OF IT. AND IT'S A VERY, VERY IMPORTANT THING. THEY GO, OH, NESTING THAT'S EASY, THOSE TWO, THOSE TWO, THOSE TEN, MOVE RIGHT ON. YOU HAVE TO REEVALUATE THAT FOR ALL THE DIFFERENT CRITERIA. AND SO THAT IS WHY, BECAUSE I WAS WONDERING WHY DO WE HAVE THESE ASSEMBLY DISTRICTS AND THEN THE SENATE IS KIND OF THIS OBSCURE LITTLE PIECE OVER HERE. THAT IS WHY. SO I JUST WANTED TO KIND OF REALLY BRING THAT UP. >> THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN. HOW ABOUT COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA THEN I THINK WE NEED TO GO TO BREAK. >> YEAH. JUST REALLY MORE OF A CLARIFICATION QUESTION. IS THERE A REASON WHY, I DON'T KNOW, MAYBE I MISSED SOMETHING HERE BUT IS THERE A REASON WHY CONGRESSIONAL PLAN IS BEFORE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION. I THINK THE BOARD WOULD BE EASIER BECAUSE THEY'RE SO BIG AND THERE'S ONLY LIKE FOUR OF THEM OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. >> THE THINKING WAS THAT WE WOULD HAVE THE SENATE PLAN FRESH IN OUR MINDS AND WOULD HELP US JUST THAT TINY BIT IN THE NESTING CRITERIA, YOU KNOW, RATHER THAN JUST LEAVING IT OFF AND CIRCLING BACK AND HAVING TO REMIND OURSELVES. WE'RE TOLD IT PROBABLY WILL TAKE A DAY, MAYBE TWO, THE BOE PLAN. >> GREAT. THANK YOU SO MUCH, COMMISSIONER YEE FOR LEADING US THROUGH THIS AND THE PROCESS TO DEVELOP THIS. I THINK THIS IS REALLY HELPFUL. FOR ME IT RAISES A BUNCH OF QUESTIONS ACTUALLY AS WELL, BUT WE CAN MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE SOME TIME TOMORROW TO GET BACK TO THIS DOCUMENT. IT PROBABLY WON'T BE UNTIL TOMORROW AFTERNOON. I'LL JUST LET YOU KNOW THAT. WE DO HAVE KARIN MAC DONALD COMING TOMORROW MORNING AT 10 A.M SHE'S GOING TO BE TALKING A LITTLE BIT ABOUT WHAT TO EXPECT NEXT WEEK AS WE ENTER INTO THE VISUALIZATIONS AND LINE-DRAWING PHASE. SO SOME OF THESE QUESTIONS MAY BE RELEVANT AND I THINK ALL OF IT'S GOING TO COME BARBECUE HOPEFULLY COMING TOGETHER EVEN AS WE READ THIS AND VISUALIZATIONS FOR NEXT WEEK I'M THINKING SHOULD WE START WITH ASSEMBLY AND LOOK AT THOSE VISUALIZATIONS FIRST IF WE'RE GOING TO WORK IN THAT ORDER. SO IT'S POSING QUESTIONS FOR ME AS WELL. THERE'S ANOTHER DOCUMENT, IS THAT CORRECT? >> RIGHT. SO MAYBE I CAN ENCOURAGE YOU OVERNIGHT TO GIVE YOU HOMEWORK TO READ THROUGH ATTACHMENT NUMBER 1, MAPPING PLAYBOOK ATTACHMENT 1 IN YOUR HANDOUTS THE PRIMARY AUTHOR WAS KARIN MAC DONALD. AND IT'S A MORE WORDY DOCUMENT SO I DON'T WANT TO READ IT TO YOU TOMORROW. SO IF YOU HAVE A CHANCE TO LOOK AT IT BEFORE TOMORROW THEN WE CAN HIGHLIGHT DIFFERENT ISSUES. >> VERY GOOD SO WE ALL HAVE A LITTLE HOMEWORK TO DO TONIGHT. EXCELLENT. I SEE A COUPLE HANDS RAISED. ARE THOSE CURRENT HANDS OR FORMER HANDS? FORMER, CURRENT? >> I CAN ASK MY QUESTION TOMORROW IF YOU'RE GOING TO -- ARE WE GOING TO COME BACK TO THIS SUBJECT. >> WE WILL BUT IF YOU HAVE A BURNING QUESTION. >> IT WASN'T A BURNING QUESTION IT'S JUST MORE OF A COMMENT WITH THE MAPPING SEQUENCE AND I REALIZE THE LINE DRAWERS THIS IS WHAT THEY WANT TO DO IS THAT THEY DESCRIBE THEM AS MORE COMPLEX AND LESS FLEXIBLE AREAS, WHICH WOULD BE L.A. I GUESS I HAVE A DIFFERENT WAY OF THINKING, BECAUSE I TEND TO FEEL LIKE A STEPCHILD, BECAUSE NOW I'M CONSIDERING MORE FLEXIBLE AND LEAST COMPLEX WHERE I LIVE OUT IN THE MIDDLE OF NOWHERE AND I'M THINKING OF LIKE L.A., CITY OF L.A. AND THE

SURROUNDING, AND THAT'S WHERE YOU GET INTO THE GRABBING COMMUNITIES, POTENTIALLY, THAT HAVE NOTHING IN COMMON WITH THE BIG CITIES, RIGHT? SO I JUST -- AND I KNOW WE'RE GOING TO BE FLEXIBLE AS A COMMISSION BUT I JUST WANT TO PUT THAT OUT THERE AS I DON'T WANT TO LOOK AS MY COMMUNITY AS BEING MORE FLEXIBLE. HOW'S THAT? OKAY. [LAUGHS]. >> MAYBE SOME DIFFERENT TERMINOLOGY THERE. YEAH. FAIR ENOUGH. FAIR ENOUGH. I THINK THAT'S FAIR. YES. WE'RE ALL VERY COMPLEX INDIVIDUALS AND IDENTITIES AND COMMUNITIES. VERY GOOD. SO WE WILL BE COMING BACK TO THIS AGAIN LATER TOMORROW AFTERNOON. FOR TOMORROW, WE ARE ABOUT TO GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT TO CLOSE FOR THE DAY. FOR TOMORROW THOUGH BEFORE WE DO I JUST WANTED TO POINT OUT AGAIN WE HAVE KARIN COMING AT 10:00 A.M. TO TALK THROUGH WHAT TO EXPECT FOR NEXT WEEK. WE ALSO HAVE THE CENSUS TIMELINE CONVERSATION TO HAVE. COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN HAS GONE AHEAD AND LAID OUT A DOCUMENT IDENTIFYING SOME POTENTIAL DATES FOR US TO CONSIDER. AGAIN, HOMEWORK IF YOU HAVEN'T HAD A CHANCE TO LOOK THROUGH THAT CALENDAR MAKE SURE YOU HAVE A READ THROUGH IT AND COME PREPARED FOR THAT CONVERSATION TOMORROW AND IT SOUNDS LIKE THE COMMUNITY ALSO WILL HAVE PLENTY TO DISCUSS. I'D ALSO JUST LIKE TO DISCUSS A LITTLE BIT ABOUT HOW WE'LL RUN THE MEETING NEXT WEEK AS WELL, PARTICULARLY SOME ITEMS AROUND PUBLIC COMMENT AND HOW WE WANT TO HANDLE THAT TO ENSURE THAT WE CAN MAXIMIZE THE AMOUNT OF TIME THAT WE HAVE DURING THOSE DAYS AND POTENTIALLY WE COULD END A LITTLE BIT EARLY TOMORROW WHICH WOULD BE LOVELY. SO WITH THAT, KRISTIAN AND KATY, COULD WE GO FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. >> YES, CHAIR. THE COMMISSION WILL NOW TAKE GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT BY PHONE FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA AND FOR -- IS THAT -- WAS THE PREVIOUS AGENDA ITEM OVER AS WELL, CHAIR? >> NO. >> I'M SO SORRY. >> THAT'S OKAY. IS IT JUST FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA AT THIS TIME? >> IT IS FOR GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT. >> WE CAN DO GENERAL BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT WE SKIPPED EARLIER TODAY, IS THAT RIGHT, CHAIR? >> OKAY, SO JUST GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT. THE COMMISSION WILL NOW BE TAKING GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT BY PHONE. SO GIVE COMMENT PLEASE CALL (877)853-5247. AND ENTER THE MEETING NUMBER 88264383219 FOR THIS MEETING. ONCE YOU HAVE DIALED IN PLEASE PRESS STAR 9 TO ENTER THE COMMENT QUEUE. WE DO NOT HAVE ANYONE IN THE QUEUE AT THIS TIME. >> WHILE WE WAIT FOR THOSE INSTRUCTIONS TO COMPLETE AND POTENTIALLY FOR ANY CALLERS I WANTED TO GIVE A BIG THANK YOU TO ALVARO AND TO THE STAFF FOR COORDINATING THIS DAY TODAY. THIS HAS BEEN SUPER INCREDIBLE TO FINALLY HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO MEET SO MANY OF YOU. AND I KNOW IT OF COURSE TOOK A LOT FROM KRISTIAN AND THE VIDEOGRAPHY TEAM AND THE STAFF, RAVI WHO PUT TOGETHER SO MUCH OF OUR SNACKS AND OUR DINNER TONIGHT. SO I REALLY WANTED TO JUST ACKNOWLEDGE EVERYBODY WHO HELPED OUT IN PLANNING FOR TODAY AND FOR ALL OF US WHO TOOK THE TIME OUT TO TRAVEL AND COME HERE TODAY. I REALLY APPRECIATE THAT HASHTAG REDISTRICTING. ROAD TRIP. [LAUGHS]. >> THE INSTRUCTIONS ARE COMPLETE, CHAIR. IS AND WE DO NOT HAVE ANYONE IN THE QUEUE. >> ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU SO MUCH, KATY. I THINK I LEARNED A LOT FROM FORMER CHAIR FORNACIARI ABOUT LEARNING ABOUT HOW TO END A MEETING EARLY. AND SO I'M VERY EXCITED THAT IN MY FIRST MEETING -- OH, COMMISSIONER TURNER. >> I JUST WANTED TO SAY FOR THE RECORD, YOU DID LEARN AND EXCEL. YOU KEPT THE MEETING EARLY AND WE GOT FULL BREAKS AND LUNCHESES. VERY GOOD. >> COME ON, COMMISSIONER TURNER WAS GIVING ME SOME KUDOS, HERE. LET IT BE. >> ONE OF THOSE BREAKS WERE 20 MINUTES. VERY GENEROUS. >> THAT'S RIGHT. EXACTLY. SO WE WILL CLOSE FOR TODAY AND BE BACK TOMORROW MORNING AT 9:30. THANKS SO MUCH, EVERYBODY.