STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION (CRC)

In the matter of:
PUBLIC LINE DRAWING MEETING

Southern California

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2021
11:05 a.m.

Reported by:
Peter Petty
APPEARANCES

COMMISSIONERS
Isra Ahmad, Commissioner
Linda Akutagawa, Commissioner
Jane Andersen, Commissioner
Alicia Fernandez, Commissioner
Neal Fornaciari, Commissioner
J. Kennedy, Commissioner
Antonio Le Mons, Commissioner
Sara Sadhwani, Commissioner
Patricia Sinay, Commissioner
Derric Taylor, Temporary Vice Chair
Pedro Toledo, Commissioner
Trena Turner, Temporary Chair
Angela Vázquez, Commissioner
Russell Yee, Commissioner

STAFF
Alvaro Hernandez, Executive Director
Ravindar Singh, Administrative Assistant
Anthony Pane, Chief Counsel
Fredy Ceja, Communications Director
Marcy Kaplan, Outreach Manager
Kimberly Briggs, Field Lead
Ashleigh Howick, Northern California Field Lead
Jose Eduardo Chavez
Sulma Hernandez, Outreach Coordinator

Technical Contractors
Kristian Manoff, AV Technical Director/Comment Moderator

Line Drawing Team
Karin Mac Donald, Statewide Database
Kennedy Wilson, Q2 Data & Research, LLC
Jaime Clark
Sivan Tratt, HaystaqDNA
Tamina Ramos Alon
Andrew Drechsler, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

VRA Counsel Strumwasser & Woocher
David Becker, Counsel
Dale Larson, Counsel
Fredric Woocher, Counsel
Public Comment
Scott
Jayden
Nicole
Mike Ai, Equality California
Connie Chung Joe
Helen Yang
Erin Arenzi
Irene Veccio
Bob Tiffany
Casey
Page Paul
Sam Garrett-Pate
Leeza
Alissa
Richard
Mario Rodriguez
Gary Martin
Richard
Eric Santana
Anthony L. Tave
Salvador Ramirez
Rex Pritchard
Steve Knoblock
Anette Elliott
Eric Payne, Central Valley Urban Institute
Tom Aaronson
Raul
Michelle Fallin
Raj
Catherine Nguyen
Mr. Gomez
Peter
Will
David
Sandy
Jaqueline Coto, NAMEO Educational Fund
Bradley
Carl
Stuart Waldman, VICA
Brian Johsz, City of Chino Hills
Kate Laddish
Ray Ramirez
Alison Martin
Raymond Cruz
Ann O'Connor
Ronna
Jose Martinez
Sue Chandler
Kevin Scott
Unidentified Speakers
## INDEX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Call to Order and Roll Call</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VRA Congressional visualization discussion and presentation</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Comment</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Live Line Drawing</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
November 8, 2021

CHAIR TURNER: Good morning, and welcome to -- all of you, welcome to day 2 of our California Redistricting Hearing. I'm Commissioner Trena Turner, and we will start with roll call.

Alvaro, please.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes, Chair.

Commissioner Vazquez.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Here.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Here.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Here.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Here.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Presente.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Here.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Here.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Le Mons.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Here.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Sadhwani.
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Here.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Here.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Taylor.

CHAIR TURNER: Present.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Here.

MR. HERNANDEZ: And Commissioner Turner, Chair Turner.

CHAIR TURNER: Here. Thank you.

MR. HERNANDEZ: You're welcome.

COMMISSIONER YEE: And Commissioner Yee. I need

a -- here. Here.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. We have a quorum.

I'd like to start out this morning with kind of
setting a agenda and expectation for our next couple of
days. Thank you to all of your Commissioners for your
hard work, to all of our staff and line drawers, et
cetera, and of course, we are grateful to all of our
Californians that's calling in for the process.

I'm wanting to start by saying today, November 8th,
we're going to work on your Congressional maps today.
We're going to work on our Congressional maps and
complete them today. We will open for public comment
towards the end of our meeting time.
On tomorrow, we will revisit and go back to our Assembly maps. Tomorrow, we will revisit and go back to our Assembly maps, that's on November the 9th. Following, the goal is to work on our Senate maps and our Board of Equalization maps on the 10th.

Heard from many of you Californians, I know just even in sentiment. For a lot of our Commissioners, we want to ensure that we with putting forth our best effort and best product. So with that, we're going to revisit and ensure that what we send for our draft map reflects what we all feel really good about moving forward. This -- we set aside some notes and some buckets the other day that will hopefully guide our conversations today.

It will be important, Commissioners, that -- we've said a few different times from the meetings that we not repeat a lot, add in what's already been stated. It will be crucial over these next couple of days that we do exactly that, that we stay in tune of the conversation and that we add in where necessary, that we not do a lot of -- not a lot of extra dialogue is where I'll leave it so that we can get through this process.

So today, we have our Congressional maps that have been put up. We're going to go through those, and we're going to ensure that they are both a structure and a map
that we are proud of. We've gone through our VRA districts that are pretty tight. We will not attempt to revisit and shift what we've done from a VRA perspective, but we will be looking at the architecture around some of the other items in these areas.

So good morning to you all. Buckle up. Let's get this done for our Congressional maps today. So we're going to start with our line drawers that will be talking to us about our Congressional maps. Thank you.

MS. MAC DONALD: I'm sorry. Okay. Here we go.

Good morning, Chair Turner, and good morning, Commissioners. We're going to start again with Kennedy in the Central Valley. Thank you.

MS. WILSON: I will first start with three districts Mr. Becker may want to comment on. We are going to start with Kings, Tulare -- Kings, Tulare, Kern. This is going to be on page 38, and going over our label, we have the name of the visualization, the percent deviation, which is at zero now. We have percent Latino CVAP, percent black CVAP, percent Asian CVAP, percent indigenous and -- CVAP, and percent white CVAP.

And here, like most others, we have -- I'm going to Zoom in to Bakersfield. This takes a bit of a wider neck than we had before with our Assembly visualization, and so as I zoom in here, this moves out wider, including
more of Bakersfield. We still have Arvin and Lamont together. This one does include all of Shafter while the other one did not have Shafter from yesterday's.

And then moving up into Kings and Tulare, we have a cut across Kings and Tulare, and we're not splitting any cities here, but Lemoore and Hanford are above, they're not in this one, and Tulare is above, also not in this visualization.

MR. BECKER: So I'll just note, you'll see this throughout as I'm beginning to look at these really for the first time, the line drawers have done a remarkable job of getting the deviations down either to zero or very close to zero for these daft maps, which is a real accomplishment, especially when you look at the cities that they've been able to keep together and respecting the criteria of four principles of political boundaries and geography.

This district has a fifty-three -- this is an area of Voting Rights Act concerns where we've seen consistent racially polarized voting. This district has a 53.76 percent Latino CVAP. Likely, given the composition of these districts of the testimony we've heard, that is likely in a range that protects Latino voting interests in this area.

MS. WILSON: Now, we will be moving North to
Fresno/Tulare visualization. This is on page 37, page 37, and as I just mentioned previously, Lemoore and Hanford are kept together. Tulare, Lindsay, Tonyville, Farmersville, Exeter, Visalia is kept whole in this visualization, and then we have Woodlake, Lemon Cove, and Lindcove and Ivanhoe all together on this visualization as well, and then it reaches into Fresno, and here we have Orange Cove, Reedley, Selma, Sanger, Del Ray, Fowler, and then up into the City of Fresno. We'll move this over.

We have Mayfair. Going to the top, it reaches higher to keep those Hmong communities together, and it does not include Sunnyside due to numbers and due to the deviation numbers and bringing down Latino CVAP in this area. So that is why in the Assembly visualization, I tried to keep them together because in this one, I did not have them together, them being Sunnyside and Sanger.

MR. BECKER: Almost identical comments the last time. Very nice job of getting the deviation down very close to zero. The traditional redistricting considerations, like city boundaries, have been very well respected here, and the Latino CVAP in this area is 52.95 percent, which given population concentrations, the Voting Rights Act concerns is likely adequate to protect Latino interests here.
Now, we will be moving to page 35, and we have this Western part of Fresno County, so down to Coalinga. This is similar to a visualization you saw yesterday in the Assembly visualization, and here we keep the West of the 99 and West Park, some of southwest Fresno together, and then I will zoom out so that you can see. We have Madera, Madera Acres, unlike yesterday where these smaller cities of Parksdale and Parkwood were included. They were taken out of this visualization, but Chowchilla and Fairmead are also a part of this as well.

And then moving up, we have the entire County of Merced, and then we move into Stanislaus, into Ceres, and into Modesto. We take some of Modesto and some of Turlock, and then moving into Diablo Grande and Patterson, and from last week's direction, it was to move into Stanislaus before moving out West to San Benito and so that is why there is this split here, but again, there's -- that led to only one split of Stanislaus.

MR. BECKER: Identical comments on deviation, which is -- we're talking about Stanis/Fresno, right? Kennedy, we're talking about Stanis/Fresno?

MS. WILSON: Yes.

MR. BECKER: Yep. Deviation's very good respecting ski boundaries, et cetera. It's done -- been done very well given -- especially considering the deviation.
We're at the lower end of Latino CVAP in this area, which is an area of Voting Rights Act concerns given racially polarized voting in this area and concentrations of Latino populations, but it's likely sufficient. I would be -- this would be an area where you should probably be very careful not to lower it additionally.

MS. WILSON: Now we'll be moving back South that we're done with these VRA visualizations -- possible VRA visualizations, and so we're going to be moving to page 36, and this is Fresno/Kern, and to see these better, now I am going to, if that's okay with you, Chair, remove the labels of the CVAP -- percent CVAP for Latino CVAP, black CVAP --

CHAIR TURNER: That's fine. Thank you.

MS. WILSON: Okay. One moment, please, while I do that.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Chair, could I have a question while we're --

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Mr. Becker, in the Fresno/Tulare, it's a negative 243 people. Is that within -- could you tell us a little bit about -- it's a Congressional district. Is that number too high?

MR. BECKER: So it's probably -- I'd probably advise that it's -- it -- you should try to get that down on the
final maps. I think it's perfectly fine for draft maps now because it's very close. Population doesn't have to be exactly equal in Congressional maps because given the difference in census block sizes, that's very, very difficult to do. You can't set this -- split census blocks.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Uh-huh.

MR. BECKER: But that's definitely at higher end of concern. I'd probably, in the final maps, look to add a little bit of population in there to get it closer to zero.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you.

MS. WILSON: So now will be going to page 36 looking at Fresno/Kern, and here we have the rest of Fresno -- I'm sorry, of Kern County, the rest of Bakersfield that is not in the other visualization, Oildale, Rosedale kept together, California City, Rosamond, Mojave, Tehachapi, Lake Isabella, and Ridgecrest all kept within Kern County, and then again what isn't in the other visualization considerations, we have Three Rivers here left out in Tulare, and then moving North into Fresno, I'll zoom in closer, we have -- I was also told to experiment with putting Old Fig Garden North, and so that's why it's here with Clovis and northeast Fresno and Sunnyside as well, and that is this visualization, here,
and we'll be moving onto page 33 next.

CHAIR TURNER: Kennedy? For this area, the Fig Garden move into the North, I'm not certain the size. I think that runs counter to most of the COI testimony that we received.

MS. WILSON: I just last week was told to experiment with it --

CHAIR TURNER: Experiment.

MS. WILSON: -- to moving it upwards and keeping CVAP at an appropriate level and population. That is what I just experimented with.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

MS. WILSON: We can always try moving it back, live line drawing, and changing things that way as well just based off of direction that I was given is why I tried that.

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah. And I want to say, I'm real clear that most all -- mostly of what you all are doing is based on direction, so I understand that, and I appreciate the flexibility. I'm just hearing and reading Old Fig Garden wanted to be with the other parts of it, and so we've got to do so something. So yes, I will want us to live line draw, move that, and put it back where the other cities were. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sadhwani.
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I'm wondering, Kennedy, if you with walk us through how this district changed since last week. If I recall, and I don't have it in front of me, the -- it used to go up into additional Northern counties. Can you walk us through the thought process and the experimentation that you used to get here?

And in general, I would agree with you, Commissioner Turner, about Old Fig Garden.

MS. WILSON: Just one moment while I get that previous visualization. So I'm going to turn on those districts from last week to show what it looked like previously, and so this had up to Madera, and I was told to make this district more compact, and if that meant taking some of Fresno and moving it northward, that is what I did because I was told it was too long, and so moving Madera and this part up is a part of what that change looks like, and then we can move into Fresno as well.

And then I was -- the changes in Fresno also came from this district not being at a high enough CVAP level, and so I had to create another district that was now within this -- it's in this -- let me turn the district back on.

So getting rid of this one because it wasn't high
enough, I created another one that was here, and this expands now, and this used to just include Fresno down into Kings and Tulare, but instead we have one that's just Fresno, not this Western part of Fresno, but here, the central where the Selma, Sanger, Del Ray, Fowler, those cities are together, and then here, I moved this part of Merced into Stanislaus, which is why -- basically, the VRA consideration districts moved upwards in visualizations.

So where we had before here in yellow -- I'll turn those black lines off. Here in yellow, this was all of this part of Fresno, Kings, Tulare, but to make this higher up here, I had to push population up so that I could grab from Stanislaus and create another district here within Fresno in the central County of Fresno, including these, Del Ray, Fowler, Selma cities.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. I see exactly what you had to do. Thank you, thank you, thank you for that.

Now, let me ask, what else can we do to not move old Fig Garden? Let's do that now.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: And/or Sunnyside as well.

CHAIR TURNER: And -- yes.

MS. WILSON: We can take a look.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

MS. WILSON: Let me turn these old ones off, and I
will show you what bringing it in looks like. Give me just one moment.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Excuse me, Chair Turner.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

MS. RAMOS ALON: So would you like to -- you would just like to start make adjustments now, or do you want Kennedy to first just do a whole overview. Just do --

CHAIR TURNER: No. Let's start now.


MS. WILSON: Again, I will bring up our pending changes window. You can see the population of the areas I choose in red and what the change in population of the district will be, and then we have our ideal value, the number of people that is deviation from that value, and then the percent deviation, and then I have Latino CVAP at the bottom so we can take a look at that as well, and I will continue to read those off as we do that.

So I'll start by -- shall I start by moving just one city, both cities, would you like --

CHAIR TURNER: Let's --

MS. WILSON: -- to see?

CHAIR TURNER: Let's start with moving both.

MS. WILSON: Okay.

MR. BECKER: Chair, may I make a suggestion as you consider these?
CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

MR. BECKER: So especially with near-zero deviations here, what you'll want to go thinking about and when you add populations or move populations from one district to another, either doing a straight swap of population from that district back or doing kind of a circular, maybe a three-way swap between three districts, but have that in the back of your mind as you're thinking about -- as you're -- especially when you're thinking about adding some population to a district because you're going to have to subtract it.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Mr. Becker.

And while she's doing that, I'll announce for the Commissioners, today our staff that will be supporting us with community of interest testimony, visualization testimony is going to be Ashleigh this morning. So as we need Ashleigh, we can call on her as well.

Thank you, Ashleigh.

MR. BECKER: Just to note as this is going, that Sunnyside area alone was 42,000 people. Just to keep that in mind.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

MR. BECKER: That's -- so this is -- right now, this is a net population increase in the Fresno/Tulare district of 87,000.
CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

MR. BECKER: It will probably be shaved a little bit down by cleaning up the edges, but it's probably about when it's going to be, which would mean you need to have some net population shifts in the other areas.

Oh, yeah. And the Fresno/Tulare CVAP went from -- can you pull that up right here -- went down a bit; is that correct?

MS. WILSON: Yeah.

MR. BECKER: Here. I've got it up here. Yeah.

That took it down substantial from 53.82 to 51.3 percent.

CHAIR TURNER: And that's before we've added the population back in, right?

MR. BECKER: Well, yeah, before you've subtracted some additional population from Fresno/Tulare. You'd need to subtract about 83 -- 86,000 now from that district and put it in another. So instructions about that, the easiest thing to do would be to take population from Fresno/Tulare, and put it into Fresno/Kern, which would be a straight swap of population.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: It also looks like the Latino CVAP went down.

MR. BECKER: Right. It might change as we move more population.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. So you're showing -- oh,
there we go. And so down here, the Fresno/Tulare is
where we're wanting to remove? There's no Kern.

Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm chitchatting on myself just
trying to figure it out. Sorry.

MR. BECKER: So Chair, I -- if there's -- the key
here at this point is to take some population out of
Fresno/Tulare and move it elsewhere. It could either be
moved directly into Fresno/Kern or could be moved into
Stanislaus -- Stanis/Fresno. That's a little bit tricky
because that's also a VRA -- of VRA concern.

CHAIR TURNER: The -- for the Kern -- for the
possibility of moving it into Kern, Kennedy, can you show
me what's in this current visualization that is part of
the Kern County?

MS. WILSON: So here in Kern County, we have -- I'll
continue to zoom in so you can see those cities. We
have -- on the West side, we have Rosedale, Oildale, a
part of Bakersfield that --

CHAIR TURNER: And can you --

MS. WILSON: It's --

CHAIR TURNER: When you get a moment, will you turn
on the Latino CVAP, please?

MS. WILSON: I will. So hill -- here we have
Oildale to Rosedale, some cities down here, Ford City,
Taft, Maricopa, then moving to the East a bit, we have
Bear Valley Springs, Stallion Springs to Tehachapi,
Mojave, Rosemond, Edwards Air Force Base up to California
City, and we also have Lake Isabella, Kernville,
Glenville, Onyx, Bodfish, these -- this area together
with Inyo, Kern, Ridgecrest, Ridgecrest Heights.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. And Kim -- we have Kim.
I said Ashleigh, but -- oh, Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Oh. Sorry. Can we look up
again at Visalia? We looked at is Visalia yesterday in
the Assembly maps. I need to see the full structure of
what we're working with here, but I'm wondering if --
hang on. It's still loading on my screen.

I'm wondering if cutting Visalia -- I believe it's
the Northern portions is where the Latino population is
located, and I'm wondering if cutting into Visalia might
help us balance the population. Some of these other
areas also in the Fresno -- is that already -- oh, yeah.
Fresno/Tulare, but coming up closer in towards Home
Garden as well potentially. Does that make sense, if we
were to pull up that border South of Visalia,
southeast -- West, sorry.

CHAIR TURNER: Southwest.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: And cut into Visalia.

CHAIR TURNER: Can -- yeah. Oh, sorry. Kennedy,
can we see that, please?

MS. WILSON: Yes. So I will commit this, if this is okay with you, to commit this change here adding Old Fig Garden in.

CHAIR TURNER: Right. Since we can --

MS. WILSON: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: -- we can reverse it if we need to. We need to see what Visalia does. Thank you.

MS. WILSON: Okay. So I will do that first, and then move to -- I mean, moving Visalia.

May I please have more instruction of where to start? Maybe Wood Lake, Lemon Cove, Lindcove, or Exeter.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I was actually thinking from -- coming from the South because isn't that -- or is that the VRA district that's below it, King --

MS. WILSON: That is a VRA district that is below it.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Got it. Okay. So then that might not work out so well --

CHAIR TURNER: Kennedy, that border --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- with Lemon Cove.

CHAIR TURNER: -- right under Goshen to the North of Goshen down there, can we stretch the North of Goshen down there, can we stretch there? Is that what you're saying, that's unavoidable?
MS. WILSON: That is another VR -- I was just saying this is another VRA district, a separate one down below.

CHAIR TURNER: That we have not yet touched, right?

MS. WILSON: Yes. So --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

MS. WILSON: -- the exchange, I would think would come --

CHAIR TURNER: From this end?

MS. WILSON: -- from this --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

MS. WILSON: -- Eastern border moving into the West.

CHAIR TURNER: In -- on the East side with Tonyville, El Rancho, let's see if we can pull some there. Those are probably small.

MR. BECKER: So Chair, the instruction was around Tonyville, El Rancho; is that right?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

MR. BECKER: So that is an area of significant Latino concentrations. So we just want to highlight that. It's -- that will further likely reduce the Latino CVAP in that area.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you for that.

MR. BECKER: We're --

CHAIR TURNER: How about this --

MR. BECKER: Our suggestion is probably Lemon Cove,
Lindcove and going -- working inward from there and seeing what the populations concentrations are.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. Let's look at it. Now, when you grabbed Woodlake earlier, that was too heavily populated? What -- when you -- because you clicked on, and then you reversed it. Was that to be --

MS. WILSON: That was -- I clicked here on Farmersville.

CHAIR TURNER: No. I meant earlier when you first started up at Woodlake up at the top. Had you select --

MS. WILSON: Oh.

CHAIR TURNER: Uh-huh.

MS. WILSON: And that also has a high Latino CVAP concentration, so.

CHAIR TURNER: Yep. Thank you.

MR. BECKER: Chair, while this is going on, may I make a brief reminder?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

MR. BECKER: So I just want to remind as we're -- as you're trying to take in COI testimony in adapting these districts, a reminder that Voting Rights Act concerns are a higher criteria in communities of interest, and some of these -- to the degree they weaken other districts that would otherwise be in compliance with the VRA, specifically with COIs, particularly in Congressional
districts where deviations have to be close to zero,
prioritizing these changes, it just -- that might be
helpful to think about.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

MS. WILSON: There's that.

CHAIR TURNER: To the extent that we can explore
equal population with VRA considerations with different
configurations that will also allow us to get down to
that level, I think would be the desire and in full
receipt if we don't want to reverse that priority. So
yeah. Gotcha. Let's see. Where are we now?

MR. BECKER: So this is a possibility. This adds
population into Visalia, splits Exeter, gets Latino CVAP
back up to 53.07 in Fresno/Tulare. Deviation now is just
a few hundred people. Yeah. It's -- there's several
city splits in here.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. And the city splits we're
looking at now, the new ones that were not there before
is?

MS. WILSON: Correct. There's the split in Visalia
and the split in Exeter.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So just -- so two additional
splits?

Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I was just going to
say the split in Visalia doesn't worry me as much because I know that we had had a lot of testimony from Three Rivers wanting to be with Visalia, so I think that's a reasonable compromise there.

I'd be curious to, you know, play around. If we're talking about splitting Visalia, are there additional census blocks that we could be picking up so that we could swap them out for Exeter so we don't make that split as well?

MR. BECKER: Working on that.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I believe we received testimony that using Avenue 296 could be a potential boundary.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Akutagawa, they're still working on that. Did you have a next comment, or you want to comment here?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I was just going to comment here. I was just wondering if, you know, some of that -- it looks like -- I don't know if there's any population there just under Woodlake and if it makes sense to pick up some around there to move away. I believe also I read from COI testimony, I think Exeter and Tooleville are somewhat connected, and I think Tooleville, I also read was better with the Fresno/Tulare visualization or -- yeah, visualization.
CHAIR TURNER: We'll see what it looks like when they finish with Commissioner Sadhwani's request.

MR. BECKER: Just working on completing this to get close to equal population.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. Just a quick comment on Old Fig Garden. I'm fine with this change. I just reviewed the COI testimony. I just wanted to acknowledge that it is mixed, so I mean, it could go either way. I'm fine with going this way, but I just wanted to acknowledge that.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Yeah.

MR. BECKER: We're close. We're just evening out population a little bit here. Okay. So where we are right now is this -- there are only -- there are less than negative 200 underpopulated, 200 people. So deviation is very small. This is at 53.11 percent Latino CVAP in Fresno/Tulare.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: That's slightly higher than what the been drawn previously?

MR. BECKER: I think it's slightly lower. Was it 53.2? I'm --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I think it was 52.95.

MR. BECKER: What was it? Yeah. It's a little bit higher. I'd probably have some additional advice to give
in closed session about this.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So for -- Kennedy, for this change, if we accept this change, have we -- there was one change that we accepted. Now, we've moved to a different area. If we accept this one, do the two of those change other surrounding CVAP that we need? So that would be it for this area? Okay.

So Commissioner -- Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sorry. We've gotten also testimony that was kind of coming out about, it's Three Rivers Visalia, and Exeter, and so I'm not sure -- you know, again, that's COI versus VRA. So I'm okay with this.

CHAIR TURNER: Uh-huh. Commissioner Sadhwani.

Thank you.

Kennedy, let's save this, and let's note that we'll need to perhaps discuss this again based on Mr. Becker's comment.

MS. WILSON: I just committed the change.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. Please continue.

MS. WILSON: So now, I believe we were on -- going to page 33. San -- let me move North. This computer is moving -- so now we are moving to page 33, San Joaquin South -- or yeah. San Joaquin/Stanislaus, and so here I tried to minimize the splits of Stanislaus. It is now in
only two instead of three districts. Moving -- I know moving Tracy and Mountain House to Stockton was the goal. However, with how I had to cut into Stanislaus to remove some of Modesto and Turlock, that caused effects and trying not to move too high and keeping Lodi and Manteca together as well from COI testimony I've heard -- we've heard, you've heard and the farming towns in San Joaquin are going down into Stanislaus as well.

So Tracy and Mountain House are a part of this district and have been separated from Stockton here. However, trying to incorporate things in all plans, if we recall Assembly yesterday, they were kept together.

CHAIR TURNER: So this would be -- what -- do we have -- San Joaquin County as a whole is about how many people?

MS. WILSON: One moment. I will do it live so you can see.

CHAIR TURNER: 777,000 people.

MS. WILSON: Yeah.

CHAIR TURNER: Which is about -- and we know that at this point, we'll need to split counties. I'm concerned looking at the direction that we've given that's caused Tracey and Manteca to be in with Stanislaus, which, for me, is very bizarre, and I don't think a request of anyone from a community. It's a result of what we've
done-so I get that, but I really would like to look at how do we not put -- and even I would like to have it reconfigured to where we are having Mountain House -- how we're keeping San Joaquin whole and not bringing in Stanislaus with San Joaquin at this point.

MS. WILSON: Is that something you're asking to live line drawing?

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah. I'm looking at it --

MS. WILSON: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: -- before the ask to see how will I have you do it.

MS. WILSON: Okay.

MR. BECKER: Kennedy and Chair, if I may, Kennedy, if you -- one way to do this perhaps -- I mean, the question becomes where you want Tracy and Manteca.

CHAIR TURNER: I want --

MR. BECKER: I mean, I think --

CHAIR TURNER: -- Tracy and Manteca in with Stockton.

MR. BECKER: Okay. So straight -- a straight population swap between those two districts?

MS. WILSON: There you go.

CHAIR TURNER: Uh-huh.

MR. BECKER: Okay. Tracy. Draw a line straight down here and have that go down.
MS. WILSON: Well, I think Tracy and Mountain House here.

MR. BECKER: Oh, not Manteca?

MS. WILSON: No.

MR. BECKER: Okay.

MS. WILSON: Yeah. So I'm just going to grab those two.

CHAIR TURNER: So as you're looking for direct swap where you can -- Tracy, Mountain House, even Manteca for sure -- or even Manteca, if needed, for straight swaps into that area for that population total.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Chair, can I comment on that?

CHAIR TURNER: Sorry. I was watching --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: My fear is that they're going to continue to dip into the Sacramento County for that swap, and this is Congressional. I think Sacramento County is split into four different districts right now.

MR. BECKER: I mean, so a couple of comments on that. First of all, Commissioner Fernandez is exactly right. It's likely to be the areas of Lodi, Woodbridge are likely to be where you'd need to get to that swap. I'd also just note though, there's almost no way not to split Sacramento County multiple times in a Congressional map. That's going to happen.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. I know that it's going to be split, but I don't know if four times is something that's appropriate for a county of -- I'll have to look at the population real quick, 1.5 million population.

MR. BECKER: So adding Tracy and Mountain House is -- creates a deviation of 121,000 that would need to be taken from South Sac/San Joaquin and placed back -- hold on. Do you have this right -- and placed back into San Joaquin/Stanislaus.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Let's see what way we can do it, and perhaps there's a way. Since we're looking at the entire Congressional maps, Commissioner Fernandez, for the first time, there may be a way that we can still do this. So let's look.

So we have Tracy, Mountain house in, and so now we need to find -- what was its number again? Because in -- before we make this change --

MR. BECKER: 121,000 roughly.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. 121,000.

MS. WILSON: Shall I commit this change?

CHAIR TURNER: One moment, please.

MS. WILSON: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. One thing I'm
wondering, it gets a little bit more complex, and I can't see what's going on based on the size of the maps, but EC whatever it is, ECA wraps around to the North of Sacramento, and so you know, conceivably, we can put Stanislaus -- the part of Stanislaus County maybe into ECA, and then, you know, move population from Sacramento down, and that might keep San Joaquin more whole and keep -- and then enable us to keep -- minimize the splits in Sacramento, but that gets pretty complex. So it's just a thought.

MR. BECKER: So just -- I don't know if this is an instruction that you want to give. You're talking about taking population from ECA into San Joaquin/Stanislaus?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I was thinking of putting Stanislaus into ECA, and then taking it out maybe North or around Sacramento, but that would cause us to change population in four or five districts. So I mean, it's just a thought. It's not an instruction. I'm just throwing it out there to see what my colleagues think.

CHAIR TURNER: I'm sorry, Commissioner Fornaciari, my battery says it's dying and it's plugged in, so I got distracted.

Oh, that was on -- oh, okay. I'm trying to -- sorry. It was on the -- some other machine. I got distracted. I'm like, oh, this is going to die, and I'm
going to miss what -- okay. Say it again. I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So my thought is if we take Stanislaus and move it into ECA, we can take some of the population, a little bit more Sacramento -- so this is really helping, me pointing, a little bit North of Sacramento out of ECA, but then we'd have to balance that pop -- we have to walk that population down into San Joaquin County. So I mean, it gets a little complicated, right, because if you go further North, I mean, there's population, you know, in El Dorado, Placer that, you know, maybe we could pull in. We could pull Rancho Murieta out.

MR. BECKER: So --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I don't know. Maybe that doesn't make sense.

MR. BECKER: May I make another suggestion, Chair?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

MR. BECKER: To Commissioner Fernandez's point about Sacramento County, I'm -- the easiest thing we could try right now is to look at those communities in Lodi, Woodbridge, Dogtown, et cetera. They're all South of the Sacramento County line that does not implicate an additional split in any way with regard to Sacramento. I suggest we try that first because swapping those out of San Joaquin/Stanislaus and into South Sac/San Joaquin,
it -- that's -- if -- there's a possibility that you
could do a straight swap here rather than change multiple
districts.

    CHAIR TURNER: Oh, and -- okay.

    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Part of Stanislaus?

    COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I thought that there
was some specific testimony about keeping Lodi separate
from somewhere, but I --

    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think it's -- oh.

    COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Maybe staff could look into
that.

    CHAIR TURNER: Kim?

    PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes.

    MR. BECKER: We're awaiting on your direction.

    CHAIR TURNER: Commissioners, so we're looking to
see if you have -- yeah. I don't -- that's okay. Hold
on.

    Thank you, Kim. No, no. Stand down.

    Can we see what that looks like? Yes, please. Oh,
is this it?

    MS. WILSON: So commit the chair?

    CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

    MS. WILSON: Or commit the chair -- commit the
change, Chair?

    CHAIR TURNER: Commit this change for now, yes.
MS. WILSON: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: And we'll know where to go back to.

MS. WILSON: So that puts this San -- South Sac/San Joaquin to a positive fifteen and the San Joaquin/Stanislaus to a negative sixteen.

MR. BECKER: Roughly 120,000 people.

CHAIR TURNER: And that was just in moving the Mountain House/Tracy? Okay.

So from here -- Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I'm just wondering because I understand we're trying to go the -- a straight swap. If you go straight West from Lodi, do you get any people at all? Staying within the San Joaquin County. Exactly. If you take that area, do you get any people?

MS. WILSON: Not very many.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: That's what I was afraid of. Okay. Do -- oh, never mind. Yeah. Then you're --

MR. BECKER: Also, that's a contiguity problem, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, no. It would wrap around. So it would just wrap around. I'm just saying continue out from all Lodi.

MR. BECKER: Oh, okay. So not all the way to the border? Got it.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Correct. Well, no. To the
border of that. Oh, yeah. Oh, I'm sorry. I see what you're saying.

Kennedy -- oh. Kennedy, can you check -- click on Lodi.

MR. BECKER: That's roughly half the difference that -- that's about 60,000 people. Actually, it's the --

CHAIR TURNER: Let's look at -- and if we went -- take off Lodi for a second. If we go back to Manteca. Wait a minute. Is that going the right way?

MS. WILSON: Do you want me to select Manteca?

CHAIR TURNER: Is that going the right way if we select --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Going the wrong way.

CHAIR TURNER: That's going the wrong way, right.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: That's negative.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can I have a -- I need to ask a process question. Are we making major changes? Are we changing the direction that we started with yesterday?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes. So when we started this morning, I said we'll go through Congress, we're going -- and we're going to go back to the Assembly, and we're going to complete the maps, yes.
Thank you, Commissioner Fernandez. I wasn't clear.

I'm sorry, but yes, we are going to do our changes so that when we send in our draft, it's exactly what we want it to be. So I'm looking at the map to see which direction to go.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can I --

CHAIR TURNER: Will you?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can I --

CHAIR TURNER: Please.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I am going to propose some changes. No. That's not going to work. Never mind. It's going North, and I was trying to --

CHAIR TURNER: But stay here for what you have in this area and see where we are able to move population right here at the North part of this district. So we're looking at Lodi, and I know -- I don't know the Woodbridge, these areas. These are areas I think you've spoken about before. What can we do up in this North corner?

MR. BECKER: Would you like us to try to move into Sacramento County and take Southern towns in there?

CHAIR TURNER: Let's commit -- let's select Lodi, these areas that's still Stanislaus before we go into Sacramento County and see how close we get here.

MR. BECKER: Yeah. There are -- those are already
in the district. We wouldn't --

CHAIR TURNER: They're already in?

MR. BECKER: -- select them out in an underpopulated district.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. I'm going the wrong way.

Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I'm -- is the goal here to keep together Tracy/Manteca with Stockton? Is that what we're --

CHAIR TURNER: The goal is to keep Tracy, Mountain House, it would be preferable, Manteca, yes, with Stockton, but for sure Mountain House, Tracy. That would be the goal that I'm trying to go for, yes.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Got it. I think that that -- it -- I mean, as I'm looking at it, if we were to do that, and I'm definitely open to that, but I do think it would require a full re-architecture of this -- of Sacramento, right, and potentially taking out Elk Grove, Wilton, and rethinking what we're doing up in that Northern parts of Sacramento.

CHAIR TURNER: Which I think we need to rethink what's happening up in Sacramento.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. That's kind of where --

CHAIR TURNER: Right. And --
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: It's going to be like major architect changes because my initial thinking was I want to try to obviously move up Elk Grove and some of those El Dorado Hills, so I'm just wondering how amenable -- we see the ECA. If we move some of those -- the San Joaquin/Stan, some of those areas to ECA, move Manteca up to the San -- SSAC, like Manteca and Ripon would go up there to try to make a Congressional district that is mainly like the Stockton/Manteca/Tracy area because -- and then if we move the rest from that San Joaquin/Stan, if we move it over to ECA because there's some areas that I would like to then --

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- push up, down, all around. I don't know. From the --

CHAIR TURNER: I actually like --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- Sac --

CHAIR TURNER: I actually like that. Can you take the lead and start?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. My brain's going to hurt, but here we go.

CHAIR TURNER: No, it's not. No, it's not.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Come on.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Are we ready, Kennedy?
MS. WILSON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So let's -- can we for now -- hopefully Turner -- Commissioner Turner is okay with this. Can we for now, like Elk Grove/Wilton can we like put it in its own little -- I forget what you called it yesterday, David.

MR. BECKER: Unassigned?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Sure, that.

MR. BECKER: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So what I want to try to do then is grab -- Commissioner Turner, are we keeping Galt, Herald, and Clay with --

MR. BECKER: Hold on. Just before -- I'm sorry Commissioner Fernandez. Before we move on, we're going to take everything from Wilton and Elk Grove North in this part of the district and unassign it? Just to be clear.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. I'm checking with --

MR. BECKER: Okay. So let's do that.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- Commissioner Turner.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, yes.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Is that good? Okay. So let's try to Tetris this today.

MR. BECKER: Hold on one second while we finish that up before the next instruction.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Chair, I just had a question. Are we still on track for the November 10th date, or are we looking to just get this as good as we can and submit the maps later?

CHAIR TURNER: We are on track for the November 10th date, and we will be spending lots of time until we finish Congressional today, until whenever we finish it today. So I hope the Commissioners follow me. We're going to finish Congressional at whatever hour, whatever time today.

MR. BECKER: Chair, clarification on the instruction real quick.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

MR. BECKER: We're going to take this whole area, which is North of the Sacramento line, and just unassign it for now, if that's okay. There will be -- we -- we'll know what the -- what's the population in that area?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: A couple hundred thousand.

MR. BECKER: Do we have a guess?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I just said 200,000, but I'm just guessing.

MR. BECKER: We'll see. If you get it on the nose, you get a special treat.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. I get to sit here
longer. No. It's more than -- it's going to be like 250-ish.

MR. BECKER: 353.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: 350-ish.

MR. BECKER: 353 in that area.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I forgot about the --

MR. BECKER: And we are -- that is just under half of a entire Congressional district.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Keep going.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: All right. I'm going to keep going.

MR. BECKER: We're unassigning that, correct?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Can we move down, please, Kennedy?

MR. BECKER: Hold on.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And David and everyone else.


COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Can we move down, and let's bring Manteca into -- oh, wait. I believe, and please Chair Turner, this is a co -- and if we can move Manteca into the SSAC. Is that -- am I moving in the right direction, Chair?
MR. BECKER: Lathrop? Lathrop as well and what about, is it [Rie-paun] or [Re-paun]?.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: [Rip-aun].

CHAIR TURNER: [Rip-aun].

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Ripon, yeah. Let's move those two. Are you with me, Chair?

CHAIR TURNER: I -- you're including those in San Joaquin?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, I'm with you.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

MR. BECKER: Yes. All of that, straight down, straight down.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I'm reading your mind right now. That's kind of scary.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes. Ripon's at the county line.

Commissioner Andersen, I see your hand. Are we good to just hold for a minute while they do this?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes, yes. I'm --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- going -- sort of thinking of the next step.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. I figured that's what you were doing.

MR. BECKER: Just a moment while we clean this up
down here. And just to clarify, we're going to keep this
equally above the county line?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.
CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

MR. BECKER: For now, correct? Thanks.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Are you splitting Salida?

MR. BECKER: No. We're cleaning that up right now.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you.

MR. BECKER: That's just that -- just give us a
moment. Give us a moment. This is a particular tricky
one to navigate on the map.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I don't know if that's
going to be enough.

MR. BECKER: We want to clean up everything above
the county line.

MS. MAC DONALD: Just to remind you, if I may, this
takes a minute because we're computing 530,000
census blocks. So we're just trying to troubleshoot and
see if there's a quicker way to do it.

MR. BECKER: Oh, one more. Okay. Where we are
right now is taking in this entire area with Manteca,
Lathrop, Ripon, along with Tracy.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Uh-huh.

MR. BECKER: We have the South Sac/San Joaquin
district now overpopulated by about 140,000 people.
CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Wait. Wait a second. That didn't change the other one. Oh, wait. Where are we?

MR. BECKER: Yeah. And San -- so San Joaquin/Stanislaus, that is a little further underpopulated at 140,000.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Uh-huh.

MR. BECKER: It was about 120,000 before, I think.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Uh-huh.

MR. BECKER: And now South Sac/San Joaquin is at overpopulated 140,000 people.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So Chair Turner.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Are two -- let's see. What is --

MR. BECKER: Oh, I'm sorry. You're right. Yeah. Sorry. Thanks. Yeah. I had that wrong. They're both underpopulated slightly. That's my -- I was looking --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. They should --

MR. BECKER: -- at the wrong number. Thanks.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Hope -- they should be good. So right next to there is Contra Costa. Can we pull in like some of those communities right there, like Bethel -- because I'm going to request changes to that one. Like Bethel Island, Oakley, Knightsen, Brentwood.
CHAIR TURNER: We did.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.

CHAIR TURNER: So what -- let's do it bits at a --
what -- which of those are most heavily populated of
Bethel Island?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: You're going to have
Antioch and Brentwood, I believe are the more populous
areas. Let me make sure.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Is it -- sorry to -- is it
possible to respect county boundaries? No? Sorry.

CHAIR TURNER: So San --

MR. BECKER: Right.

CHAIR TURNER: -- Joaquin, the South Sac/San
Joaquin, the way it's currently labeled right now is
split in two if we accept this change? Okay. If we end
that South Sac/San Joaquin County, is under still by --

MS. WILSON: With the accepted change, it's under --
it's at a negative 92,000, and the deviator goes from
negative thirty to negative twelve.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. And Lodi, you said earlier was
sixty, sixty-some-thousand?

MS. WILSON: It was about -- a little over
sixty-six.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner, what if we grab Lodi
for now.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Uh-huh.

CHAIR TURNER: And I think some of the -- if we go straight up that -- to the county line for Woodbridge and whatever the other --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Dogtown and Lockeford?.

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah. Those were like almost no population, but say if we go straight up for the from when the line currently is up to the county line, let's grab that.

MS. WILSON: So would you like me to commit this --

CHAIR TURNER: This --

MS. WILSON: -- Lathrop?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please.

MS. WILSON: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I see -- okay. We're trying to grab all this central area, but what's happening to Modesto? I mean, where can Modesto, which is a total Central Valley city, I mean, you're thinking about putting that with the gold country? I mean, they have --

CHAIR TURNER: Not --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- nothing in --

CHAIR TURNER: Not -- Modesto?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Because if you're
cutting out all of San -- the San Joaq/Stan.

CHAIR TURNER: Well, so right now, we -- Modesto is part of Stanislaus County.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Correct.

CHAIR TURNER: And right now, we're working with San Joaquin County --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Correct.

CHAIR TURNER: -- going up to the San Joaquin County line.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Correct, but you're taking that out of --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: My proposal is going to be to put into the ECA.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. And that's what I'm saying. I mean, Modesto has -- you know, they're -- the one thing that they've all pretty much said in terms of they have the economic differences, there's -- it's literally like, you know, you know, rock and paper. It isn't the same. Where Modesto with, you know, all the areas here, Lodi, Lockeford, Dogtown, they actually are agricultural, you know --

CHAIR TURNER: Modesto, I beg to differ, is not total agricultural. Modesto?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. It's a city.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So -- but it's -- now we're essentially isolating it, but said, oh, let's put it up with the mountainous, who -- recreational, you know, their -- what their economy has, it's nothing at all alike.

CHAIR TURNER: So we'll take a look at it.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And the other thing I want -- I do want to say, I appreciate all this, but we have like 5,000 people that need to come down from the North in two or three areas. So by shifting things, we need to kind of consider more architecturally. I --

CHAIR TURNER: What --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- like the idea of going East -- West, quite frankly into the Brentwood --

CHAIR TURNER: We'll take a look at it. Let's see what we have here.

MS. WILSON: So adding Lodi, Lockeford, Dogtown, I went to the county line. I went straight East to the county line and grabbed this portion of Dogtown, Lodi, Woodbridge, Lockeford. That puts you at a negative 0.53 deviation, which is a deviation of negative 4,600 people. I mean, 4,062 people.

CHAIR TURNER: Grab Linden.

MR. BECKER: Getting there. We're now down to 1,389.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Is there anything from there to the open space? Is that zero? What's Peters? If we grab Peters. I think that's probably small too.

MR. BECKER: Okay. Keep counting that.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And Farmington.

MR. BECKER: A little bit over. That's over now.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: (Indiscernible).

MR. BECKER: We're cleaning this up just a little bit. Just a moment. We're still cleaning this up just a little bit to try to get close to zero. Without Peters in it, we're down to 201 slight overpopulation. So we're very close, probably can clean up some of those small areas to the right of it.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So before we go up to the North, did -- are we okay on the San Joaquin/Stan? What did we do there?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: That's one's the one that's -- we took population from, so that's going to be heavily under, and that was what I was discussing, moving that towards the --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- other district.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Go on.

MR. BECKER: What you're looking at now, the South Sac/San Joaquin district is this -- with this additional
area is down to a deviation of twenty-four people.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Let's lock that and keep moving.

MR. BECKER: The San Joaquin/Stanislaus district is now about half underpopulated, and there's probably only one direction for it to go, which is to the East.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. That was going to be my recommendation, and then we would pull -- then we would build the Elk Grove area and maybe push the Sacramento kind of up and to the East.

MR. BECKER: So if may, what I'd suggest right now is you have a large unaffiliated area in the --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.

MR. BECKER: -- South of Sacramento area. I'd suggest looking at this like building blocks and first addressing the San Joaquin/Stanislaus district and getting that up, and then rotating around until you can get to the point where you can capture the unaffiliated population. If you work at this --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. So --

MR. BECKER: -- from multiple directions, you will -- you might end up with multiple places of underpopulation or overpopulation.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. So my -- my recommendation was going to be to put all of that San
Joaquin into the ECA, and then you have that -- I don't know how it's going to collapse, which one's going to be -- take over the name of the visualization, ECA or San Joaquin, and then move the ECA, some of the boundaries up North so it's not encompassing all of those counties up North.

MR. BECKER: Got it. Working on that.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Does that make sense?

MR. BECKER: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: While he's working on that, Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I just wanted to note we've actually received quite a bit of public comment about keeping Ripon with Modesto. So I just wanted to offer possibly we remove Ripon from that Sac/San Joaquin and instead move northward into Galt and other areas.

MR. BECKER: We should probably make a call on that before we --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Hold on.

MR. BECKER: -- move it.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Don't do that yet then. Hold on. Can you click on Ripon to see how much -- or what the population is, please, Kennedy? How much, sixteen? And can Peters -- is Peters in there
right now, in Farmington?

MR. BECKER: It is not.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can we click on that? What did you say that Ripon was, 16,000? Yeah. That's a little bit higher than --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Peters is 600.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So if we -- how about if we keep moving down like to Valley Home, Escalon.

MR. BECKER: Okay. So --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Well, if we --

MR. BECKER: -- just to be clear on the in --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- go to Galt, then again, we're splitting Sacramento County into four, yeah. I mean, if you wanted, you could take Galt, but Galt's too big, I believe, right? Galt is 25,000.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: For what it's worth, we had testimony suggesting that Ripon and Escalon have planned growth percentages and are linked together. So if they stayed together potentially.

MR. BECKER: Is it instruction to include Ripon in the San Joaquin/Stanislaus district?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes. We're coming up on a break in four minutes, four minutes.

MR. BECKER: Okay. That is 16,500 people roughly.
If you want, we'll lock that in, and then try to shift population around the Peters/Farmington moving South. Is that the instruction?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please.

MR. BECKER: So we're about 10,350 short. We could drop this down. If we want to keep Escalon and Ripon together, we could drop this down into Knights Ferry, East Oakdale, and Oakdale.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: We could, but I -- that's going to take you over, I believe. Oakdale's pretty big.

MR. BECKER: It likely will.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Oakdale's 23,000.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: And how big's Escalon?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: 7,000.

MR. BECKER: Is the instruction to try to keep Escalon and Ripon together?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please.

MR. BECKER: Try to take everything around Escalon and Ripon.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: At this point, it's going to be difficult because Oakdale, East Oakdale, and Knights Ferry, that's a community of interest, and then -- yeah.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Fernandez, say again for what we're --
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I -- oh, because I don't think we would want to split up Oakdale, East Oakdale, and Knights Ferry.

MR. BECKER: We're going to go down as close as we can to them keeping Escalon --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MR. BECKER: -- Ripon and Oakdale, East Oakdale, Orange Blossom, and Knights Ferry in the Southern -- together in the Southern district. We'll see where that gets us.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: As I'm looking, there's some conflicting testimony about Escalon. So I think if it helps the population deviations, that could make sense to include it.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I thinking the same thing too because they kind -- yeah.

MR. BECKER: I just want to make sure. We're getting conflicting instructions right now. We got a specific instruction about Ripon and Escalon, and now we're getting a different instruction. So if you will --

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah. So the current --

MR. BECKER: -- concur and --

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. Thank you for that. Thank you. So the current -- what we're trying to do is follow exactly what you're -- where you're taking us to
see how close we're going to get, and we're noticing that we're still 6,000 apart, and so the relief that we're getting through conflicting COI testimony is that we do want to try and grab Escalon if will help us get where we need to. So let's see if that does.

MS. MAC DONALD: That will take us a little over, I think. Oops.

MR. BECKER: So that gets us a little over, so we'd probably have to take a little bit of population out on the -- I would probably suggest on the Eastern part of the --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes, if you could do that.

MR. BECKER: East of that.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

MR. BECKER: That's close. We're at 504 overpopulated, and we can probably clean up that up even a little more. Keep Valley Home in there. All right. Where we got to with this is we're at -- we're at a deviation of about 600 people. We can probably further clean that up.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So let's do this. Thank you. If you'd continue to further clean it up. We do need to go to break though, and so we are at 12:32. We'll be back at 12:50, please. 12:50.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 12:32 p.m.)
CHAIR TURNER: Thank you and welcome back. We were right in the area of trying to close in on this area of Farmington, and let's see.

What did we do with our numbers, Kennedy?

MS. WILSON: We are at a negative zero deviation because we have a deviation of negative twenty-seven people.

CHAIR TURNER: Woo hoo. Looks good.

MS. WILSON: Shall I --

CHAIR TURNER: Let's close it.

MS. WILSON: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Let's lock it.

And continue to lead us, Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: How about Fernandez?

CHAIR TURNER: Oh, I'm sorry. As I look at you and smile. Come on, Commissioner. Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So my next recommendation was to move that San Joaquin area into the ECA. Yeah. The one that's in red, yeah.

MS. WILSON: One moment. I forgot to grab the Ripon and Escalon, so let me grab or Rip -- Ripon. We just did. So now we have ECA at deviation 53.78 percent, and the deviation is 408,736 people.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Can you go up,
Kennedy, please?

MR. BECKER: Chair, I'd just point out, this is actually a place where there is an easy path.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right, I know.

MR. BECKER: Which is the unaffiliated area, which about equals that same deviation.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right, but -- I know. Have I ever taken you on a easy path, David? Okay. So can you zoom in on that area, that Sacramento -- what do you call it, unassigned area? So okay. This is -- how about if I tell you kind of where I want to go with this. That might be easier. And I would like to push -- instead of -- I know the easy path is just to move the unassigned area into the ECA, but what I would actually prefer to do somehow is to keep the Sacramento County area, you know, maybe just three splits instead of four splits.

So I'm trying to think out loud how I would want to do it. And then when we get to the Northern area, like, the plaster, then that would go into the ECA.

Does that make sense, Mr. Becker?

MR. BECKER: I'm not sure. So I just want to point out one of the splits of Sacramento County is actually a relatively minor split that includes Rancho Murieta right now.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Uh-huh.
MR. BECKER: I mean, if the goal here ultimately is to reduce the split of Sacramento County to three rather than four, you could include that in one of the -- you know, probably with the unaffiliated area which is going to become a new district at some point, and add in some portion of ECA, perhaps --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can you go up to the PLACER real quick --

MS. WILSON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- Kennedy, please? I want to see which cities are in that one.

MS. WILSON: And if I can make a suggestion.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Uh-huh.

MS. WILSON: I think an easier way to work would be to overpopulate a district and then disperse from there instead of having so it's set here.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Correct me if I'm wrong, Kennedy, but I believe you tried to keep the city of Sacramento. Like, those city limits, I think you did a good job of -- whoops. I got my virus thing coming up -- of trying to keep that whole, or did I miss that?

MS. WILSON: Yes, I did. And the entire city of Sacramento is whole.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. I hear you guys. It's just that if we -- if we move Elk Grove to ECA, El
Grove, Vineyard, Florin, Lemon Hill, they're all connected with -- communities of interest with Green Haven, with Oak Park, with the LGBT community. I was trying to somehow get that closer to Sacramento at least they're together. I'm thinking. I'm thinking right now. Sorry. And I know that's the easiest is just to kind of put them in that ECA.

Oh, can you go -- I'm sorry. Can you go back up again? I forgot to see what cities were up there.

MS. WILSON: Yes. And another thing about overpopulating this district, it's probably going to -- honestly, it's going to lead to splits and probably cities up here, but --

MR. BECKER: And actually an even easier thing if you're not thrilled with overpopulation is you can just add that unaffiliated into the ECA district right now which would create equal population --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.

MR. BECKER: -- that you could then continue to move. Are you trying to get --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: All right.

MR. BECKER: -- rid of an (indiscernible, simultaneous speech) area? Yeah.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Let's do that for now. Oh, well, we -- hold on. Hold on. I'm just -- I'm really --
actually, what I would prefer to do -- sorry. I would prefer to move -- continue to move, like, from Galt -- da, da, da, da -- move up North until we get to the right size. You're absolutely right, though. We're going to cut -- we're going to cut cities.

MS. WILSON: So just following your direction, this -- the unassigned area in Sacramento, just move it up to this North district, and then overpopulate that, and then disperse it as we keep moving North.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.

MS. WILSON: Okay. So --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Oh, yeah. So if we can -- kind of, like, the Rosemont area right there, like, maybe can I see what that -- if we drew the line there what that would -- how close we would be?

MS. WILSON: Yes. One moment.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

MS. WILSON: And if I'm transferring this population --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Uh-huh.

MS. WILSON: -- can I -- just for moving it, do I move it into this -- can I just move it North into Placer-Sac?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, no. Actually, I want Rosemont in that with the Vineyard and I want Lemon
Hill --

MS. WILSON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, okay.

MS. WILSON: Where I cut the line --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, yes. Yes. Yes.

MS. WILSON: -- and then I -- just 'cause it has
to -- the rest of the population has to just move
somewhere. So --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, okay.

MS. WILSON: -- can I --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. I'm sorry.

MS. WILSON: -- continue to move it in --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. Okay. Thank you so
much. I caught up to you now. Okay, there you are.

What's next to rose -- Rosemont? It's Cordova and
what's the other two?

MS. WILSON: Rosemont, Mather, and La Riviera.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Mather. That's what it is.

Okay. Yes. Okay.

MS. WILSON: So cut the line underneath Rosemont?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I wanted to keep
Rosemont --

MS. WILSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- because that's a
community of interest with --
MS. WILSON: And so in taking that in, do I go across --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. Let's just try to go --

MS. WILSON: -- cutting Rancho Cordova?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Not -- not Rancho Cordova. Let's keep Rancho Cordova whole. If we can somehow from Rosemont to the redline, kind of Rancho Murietta.

MS. WILSON: Okay. I will try that.

CHAIR TURNER: While she's trying that, Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. Can -- I wonder, Commissioner Fernandez, can you -- can you remind me where are we going with this? What is the --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So what I'm --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: What is the goal here? And I mean in terms of communities of interest testimony, certainly there's been testimony also about keeping West Sac connected to these areas. So I think it just would be helpful to get a better sense of what's the vision here that you're trying to achieve.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. So the vision is I'm actually maybe bringing West Sac into this vision right now. So I'm -- because then I've got to work on a different -- and so the vision is to bring those
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1 communities that are Northern more in with the ECA,
2 because that will be overpopulated soon.
3
4 COMMISIONER SADHWANI: The -- sorry?
5
6 COMMISIONER FERNANDEZ: Like, up top, like,
7 whatever is up there, Rocklin and Auburn, I believe, up
8 there.
9
10 MS. WILSON: West Placer.
11
12 COMMISIONER FERNANDEZ: West Placer. Right. So as
13 we move further North --
14
15 COMMISIONER SADHWANI: So the ones she's populating
16 now, you're moving --
17
18 COMMISIONER FERNANDEZ: Hold on.
19
20 COMMISIONER SADHWANI: -- them up into Sacramento,
21 and then you're going to --
22
23 COMMISIONER FERNANDEZ: So where am I at with that
24 one?
25
26 COMMISIONER SADHWANI: That's what we're asking.
27
28 MS. WILSON: So here, there's a split. I can clean
29 it up. It has a little bit of Mather and a little bit of
30 La Riviera --
31
32 COMMISIONER FERNANDEZ: Uh-huh.
33
34 MS. WILSON: -- but this would have Sacramento at a
35 negative thirty-seven deviation. So this still needs
36 people.
37
38 COMMISIONER FERNANDEZ: Which one does? The bottom
one?

MS. WILSON: The -- yes. Sorry. Negative thirty-seven percent, negative 284,000 people. So --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. WILSON: -- this needs more --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. WILSON: -- population.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can you bring in West Sacramento, please?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: What about Rancho Murieta out there?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I was thinking of that one too.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I guess my question was is this because of the population deviations that occurred from the other changes or is it --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- is there some larger goal?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: There's population deviations, and plus the larger goal was to not split up Sacramento County in four different districts similar to what we did with San Joaquin, not split it up. Yeah.

MR. BECKER: So I'll just point out really quickly just because I'm trying to help guide you down a path
here a little bit. There was -- there -- there was an
easy -- there is an easy way to reduce the splits in
Sacramento County. There is a small population around
Rancho Murieta that is the fourth split. That's it --
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.
MR. BECKER: -- Sacramento County.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Uh-huh.
MR. BECKER: The other -- again, there is a -- there
is an easy way to fix this that does that that way. So
the splits, if there's some other reason to do it, that's
absolutely valid. The splits, though, can be removed.
One split can be removed fairly simply.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right, but that would re --
that would then put the Elk Grove, Florin, Lemon Hill
communities that are literally connected to the
Sacramento area in with the ECA, right?
MR. BECKER: That's one way to do it, or the -- a
reminder that they were -- they -- I believe they were in
there together when we started. So again, try to
remember where we started and where --
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: They were with San --
MR. BECKER: -- we are now, and are we --
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: They were with San Joaquin.
Those areas were with San Joaquin, right, Chair?
The Elk Grove area was with San Joaquin areas.
Okay. So what is that --

CHAIR TURNER: Sorry. One moment.

MR. BECKER: So just to confirm, your goal is to respond to consistent COI testimony that Elk Grove needs to remain with Sacramento?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes, Elk Grove, Vineyard, Florin, and Lemon Hill, and the Green Haven area. And then also some of the COI was to also include West Sacramento with that.

MS. WILSON: So to include West Sacramento in, I would have to commit this change of moving these North before I can bring West Sacramento into this -- into this. You can't change by -- so I'm taking out and bringing in, and I have to do one at a time.

So the first change I would have to commit would be taking out the North part. So taking out Northern Sacramento, leaving Rosemont. I can add Mather in as well, but I would take this out and just move it North so that this is left so the bottom -- sorry. This is the bottom part is left, and --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And what's --

MS. WILSON: -- then I can add in West Sac afterwards.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And what is that --

Okay. Go ahead.
CHAIR TURNER: What -- but right before you do -- okay. Hold, 'cause that's probably where we -- what we'll do. We want to see what that looks like. Let me just touch bases with Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'm just a little bit unclear about the vision here. Maybe if we just got a little bit of clarity of specifically what the districts would look like that you're trying to create or what you'd like it to look like. That might help us just --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- all be on the same page, 'cause --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. So --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- I kind of understand, but I'm not a hundred percent sure.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. And I apologize because I thought we were just going to do this overview, so I wasn't prepared for the specific. So I'm trying to keep the communities of Sacramento as close as possible together. And also potentially -- and I'm also thinking ahead with the Yolo, Solano. So that's -- I'm moving back and forth with the West Sac in order -- 'cause I think there's a quick fix for that by moving West Sacramento into Sacramento. There's a quick fix to fix that piece of it.
And then what I'm -- what eventually would -- as we go North, then that overpopulation would then go into the ECA, and that should balance out the ECA district.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So ultimately, what would your Sacramento look like?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: It depend --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: The district that we're working on right now, what would it look like?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. So Sacramento would probably be comprised of three districts. And hopefully, most of them that are the urban areas, as opposed to the mountain areas, would be in similar district, instead of right now, there's combinations of cities with mountainous areas.

MR. BECKER: So one thing just to note, Commissioners, Sacramento is by far and away the largest population center East of the Bay Area and North of Fresno all the way through California. There is no way probably to draw districts given the rural underpopulated areas to the North and East of Sacramento that don't have to pull into that area because that is just where the population is. If you move it -- if you start moving Sacramento westward where population already is starting to be -- get more and more concentrated towards the coast, you're creating -- you -- you could potentially
create a real problem on the underpopulated areas of the
Eastern and Northern portions of California. And that
will change a lot of architecture, just to try to give
you a big vision about where this could be headed because
the deviations need to be so tight in a Congressional
map.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Can I do a follow up?
CHAIR TURNER: Follow up?
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: David, do you have any
suggestions on how we can actualize Commissioner
Fernandez's vision?

MR. BECKER: I'm not quite sure. I mean, if it --
I -- you know, this started -- I don't know -- seems like
a while ago. This started with Tracy.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.
MR. BECKER: I want to remind everyone of that.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.
MR. BECKER: This all started with Tracy. And I
don't have the COI testimony about what -- where Tracy
was supposed to -- you know, Tracy would put with
Modesto. I don't know if there was COI testimony that
also suggested Tracy might be better with the 580
corridor of Dublin and Livermore or elsewhere. That
might've been a possibility at the start with moving
Tracy with Modesto. We can do that and stop with
relatively minor changes and fix the split of Sacramento County down to three by focusing on the --

I'm sorry. Can you go up again -- the Rancho Murieta area.

Yeah, the Rancho Murieta area. If we start trying to move Sacramento further -- these districts further West, we run into the problems I just suggested, which -- and West Sacramento is a po -- is a decently populated area. That's going to start changing architecture everywhere pretty much from Sacramento North all the way down to Eastern portion, probably down into Inyo.

CHAIR TURNER: I'm looking around for Commissioners. I want to try it. I don't want to go back. I want to see what it looks like and play it all the way out.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you for that.

MS. WILSON: So taking --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And -- yeah. And I do -- I do know what the easy fix is, but it's not -- that's not the fix. And you know, at the end of the day, if we're supposed to try to have maps that we're going to feel comfortable or pretty comfortable with, having Elk Grove, Florin, Lemon Hill in with the mountain areas, there's no commonality whatsoever. Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: I'm listening, Kennedy.

MS. WILSON: I was just going to tell you without
adding West Sacramento in to this, the Elk Grove, Southern Sacramento, it would be this change here alone makes Sacramento a negative twelve percent deviation, and then makes Placer a positive fifty-nine percent deviation, but this here would be a negative twelve as it stands without bringing in West Sacramento. I haven't done that yet.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Congressional is -- so negative twelve percent is about --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Wait. Kennedy, just --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- 76,000.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Kennedy, just a quick clarification. Is that -- the numbers you just said, is that including the Rancho Cordova and essentially the -- that North area into the Sacramento?

MS. WILSON: No. Rancho Cordova, Mather, La Riviera would be going North. So only Rosemont is included in this bottom.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. So the numbers you're --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- you're showing right here is just not the area in red.

MS. WILSON: No. The area -- no. I'm -- the area
in red would be going North and that would be --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: In the Placer-Sac?

MS. WILSON: -- joining Placer-Sac. So what's left
in Sacramento would be this negative twelve percent,
which is a deviation of --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Ninety-three.

MS. WILSON: -- negative ninety -- oh.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Got it. Thank you very
much.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Can you then bring
in Rancho Murieta and --

MS. WILSON: First to do that, I would have to
commit this change going North.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Commit this one please.

MS. WILSON: Okay. So now the change has been made,
and you can see it on the deviation label --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Uh-huh.

MS. WILSON: -- negative 12.26 deviation percent and
then a positive 59.67 percent here.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Bring in Rancho
Murieta, please, to that.

MS. WILSON: Bringing Rancho Murieta brings the
percent deviation up to a negative 11.48.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. And if you bring
West Sacramento in.
MS. WILSON: Bringing in West Sacramento brings the deviation to a negative 4.37. I can try La Riviera as well.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. WILSON: And now it brings it to a negative 2.88 percent.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: That area between Rancho Murieta and Folsom, is there anything there?

MS. WILSON: I can certainly select those blocks.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Whoops.

MS. WILSON: There --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. WILSON: -- were not very many.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Nothing. How about Mather?

No, that's nothing either. Rancho is going to take me too over -- Rancho Cordova, how big -- how big is that one? That's too big. That's 76,000.

MS. WILSON: We could continue moving the line North.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. Towards Natomas?

MS. WILSON: Yes. And I'm going to -- there's a part of Folsom that is in here. So I'm going to take that out.

(Pause)

CHAIR TURNER: Kennedy, you are doing a phenomenal
job by the way. Thank you.

MS. WILSON: Sorry. Just trying to get that --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: There you go. It's down to twenty-nine.

MS. WILSON: There we go.

CHAIR TURNER: No, you're doing a great job.

MS. WILSON: I'm just going to click this one 'cause it makes it -- there we go.


MS. WILSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: She's a perfectionist.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. (Indiscernible).

MS. WILSON: There you go.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, I took it over.

MS. WILSON: Is that okay or did I (audio interference)?


CHAIR TURNER: All right.

MS. WILSON: (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech) this change.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, my gosh.

MS. WILSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Can we see the full change --
MS. WILSON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- first?

MS. WILSON: Sorry. So in this change, we have West Sacramento added in, a line of the city of Sacramento, La Riviera, Mather, and this unincorporated area plus Rancho Murieta going down South to -- with Elk Grove, Lemon Hill, Parkway, Florin, Vineyard, Rosemont, and Green Haven pocket area.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. I'm good with that. And then whatever the Placer-Sac, the extra 59.67, we'll move North and put that into the ECA to populate --

CHAIR TURNER: But before we go, Commissioners, are we good? Can we lock this part?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Can I make a comment?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I'm good to move forward because I think we need some forward momentum here, but I just want to note for the future the Arden-Arcade and Carmichael neighborhoods have large Afghan and Syrian refugee communities that we're cutting off from the rest of Sacramento, but I think this is a piece that we could continue to look at in the future. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And just -- I believe the
Arden-Arcade and Carmichael, you're absolutely right,
Commissioner Sadhwani.

Correct me if I'm wrong, Kennedy. It's not part of
the Sacramento city area, right?

MS. WILSON: There's city -- there's census
designated places --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right, they're
designated --

MS. WILSON: -- in the --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- places.

MS. WILSON: -- county.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. They're within the
county. So the same county not within the city limits if
that makes sense. We have -- Sacramento has a lot of
undesignated areas.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Chair. I just
wanted to clarify the areas that are highlighted in red
are now moving down to Sacramento, correct? Okay.
Correct.

CHAIR TURNER: That's right.

Okay. So we're going to lock it, please.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And then if we just go
North and like, Sheridan, Lincoln, Rocklin.

Unfortunately, I didn't want to put them in with the ECA,
but I think they have to go in there. Right. Oh, okay.

MR. BECKER: I'll just note, Commissioners, this is -- we've got two districts that comprise the population of three currently. So there's another district that needs to be created somewhere out of ECA and Placer-Sac separated out from them. Ideally -- well, again, the easy way would be to take of one and half of another.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Do we have a name for that one? Which one did we lose?

MS. WILSON: So the unassigned area was originally with another district. So --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.

MS. WILSON: -- taking it out, separated it from its district, and when we added it back in and moved it North, it merged into that and added -- because it was unassigned, it wasn't in the -- it was additionally -- it was there originally, but then we unassigned it and put it back in.

MR. BECKER: I believe it was the San Joaquin-Stanislaus district that has now disappeared -- visualization rather.

MS. WILSON: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: That's right. Yeah, okay.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So then we -- that -- so you're correct. The ECA one and the Placer, that will encompass one. Let's -- let's keep Nevada County and Placer County. Can we keep those together because Placer is going to dip into the Rocklin, right? It'll dip into Rocklin and Roseville.

MR. BECKER: Wait. Are you asking for everything North of Placer --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, you know what? Hold on.

MR. BECKER: -- North Placer County and North in ECA to be attached, or what are you --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I think we're going to have to do that.

MR. BECKER: Okay. So that would be the makings of a new district that might merge with part of pla -- what's Placer-Sac right now, correct?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Placer-Sac is over. So there's a -- you just said that there's a whole new district we'd have to create.

MR. BECKER: There are two districts that currently contain the population of three districts. You have to -- the easy way to fix that is to take half the population out of ECA and half the population out of Placer-Sac and combine them into a new district.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: As we're thinking about how to do that, I just want to remind us that we've received strong public testimony over and over: Placer, El Dorado, Nevada, Folsom, and Roseville want to stay together. And we've gotten it over and over again, so --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. But in order to do that, it would have to be another re-doing -- I'd like to get closer, not go that far right now because that's going to take some --

MR. BECKER: Can I make a suggestion at how to maybe approach this?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Sure.

MR. BECKER: There's less flexibility on the ECA district right now, so that's a good place to start. I would start working North down --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.

MR. BECKER: -- and adding that population into --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.

MR. BECKER: -- Placer-Sac to start getting ECA close to zero deviation.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.

MR. BECKER: Does that make sense to everybody?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. That's what I was
going to recommend, was going North, so --

MR. BECKER: So start from the very top.


Yes, please. Let's move in that direction.

MR. BECKER: This is going to take a while just because it's a lot of census block in each of these counties.

(Pause)

MR. BECKER: Okay. So we've got ECA down to overpopulated by 12.84 percent. We're going to move down to El Dorado County and see what happens when we take that in.

(Pause)

MR. BECKER: So -- so El Dorado County is where we're going to have to start --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.

MR. BECKER: -- it's splitting, so we'll -- what I'd probably suggest is moving from --

Commissioners, would -- do you prefer to keep the Lake Tahoe area together?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.

MR. BECKER: Okay. Given that, why don't we look at the -- maybe we'll suggest looking at the Western portion
of El Dorado County on --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.

MR. BECKER: So we are overpopulated in East by 97,000.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Uh-huh.

MR. BECKER: So we need to -- we're going to look at that Western portion of El Dorado County, I think, and try to place it into East -- into Placer-Sac. Is that right?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: But you'd have to take that other part of Tahoe out, then? Right. The Kings Beach and --

MR. BECKER: (Audio interference). What we probably suggest here is looking at the Southern part -- Southern and Western parts of El Dorado County and attaching it

EC --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

MR. BECKER: -- ECA.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.

MR. BECKER: Does that make sense?

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair.

If I could suggest that we refer to the County Board of Supervisor's districts in El Dorado County for some guidance. (Audio interference) --
MR. MANOFF: Yeah. There's something going on with that mic. We're going to take a look at it.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Kennedy, did they hear you ultimately? Oh, okay.

Did you all hear Commissioner Kennedy? No? No.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: If we could look at the El Dorado County Board of Supervisor's Districts as one element of guidance on where to divide the county.

Thanks.

MR. BECKER: Did you want us to try to call up that layer, Commissioner Kennedy, or did you have specific guidance on that?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Well, I mean, I've pulled it up on my computer. The problem is that District 4 extends across most of the Northern part of the county, almost all the way to Lake Tahoe. But Districts 1, 2, 3, and half of 4 might be a good place to try to divide it.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Kennedy, is there any way to see how big that Tahoe area is because that might be the easier fix instead of splitting up the cities, but I'm not sure.

MR. BECKER: Yeah. She can't do that while she's doing this other part of the map, so if anyone else has some other guidance on that.

(Pause)
MR. BECKER: We're talking about keeping Tahoe together, so we'd go all the way up to --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

MR. BECKER: We're going to try to look at that while Kennedy is still working on this.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you.

(Pause)

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: May I just be reminded, what was the goal here? I know we're trying to balance population. We're trying to do what -- create --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: We're trying to create --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- a more rural district connecting to that Northern part of Sacramento? Where are we headed here?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right now, we're just trying to balance out the two districts.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: But by creating a third where, exactly?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: The third is the red right now.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So that's going to be a new district?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: No, it's not a --

MR. BECKER: There are two districts, the ECA and
Placer-Sac that are both overpopulated by 50 percent --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right. So this --

MR. BECKER: -- meaning that there's going to have
to be population taken from each of those to create a
third district. We're starting with trying to get the
ECA, which is the district along the Eastern boarder of
California down to, I believe, Inyo, if I have that
right --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Uh-huh.

MR. BECKER: -- down to equal population. That will
create a Placer-Sac district that goes from Arden-Arcade
northeast all the way up to the Cal -- pretty much the
California Northern -- northeast corner (indiscernible).

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And so that was --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Parts of an urban area --

MR. BECKER: And that would be divided into two
districts somehow. How you decide.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: This morning, we started off
with the VRA areas, which made sense, and I think that's
what we did yesterday. And we're kind of moving into
other parts of the state where they're not really VRA
areas. Although they're important, and we need to get
the architecture correct, and we may want to prioritize
the VRA because that's going to have impact on all of
this and may cause us to come back again and redo some of
this work.

So I'm just wondering, maybe we get this to a point
where we're okay with it, and then we, in terms of
priority, just prioritize the VRA districts moving
forward. Get those correct so that we can work on the
areas surrounding them, or as close as we possibly can.

Just a suggestion.

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah. We don't have VRA districts in
this area though, right? I mean, they'll go around --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: We have some in the central
cost; if I remember correctly. And then Southern
California and Los Angeles.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: But none in the North?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: But none in the North.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: None from Sacramento
North --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: But certainly, the South is
going to impact the North because of the population, and
so we may want to solidify those because otherwise we may
have -- well, we may end up having to make structural
changes at the end to the Northern regions anyway and
come back to this. And especially around the Fresno and
Sacramento area where there's so much population. So
this is the area where we may end up having to redo again.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. And I appreciate hearing that. We've started each time with the VRA districts, and we also haven't gotten very far. And so I do know that that is going to drive our ultimate decision, but I do want to complete this area that we're in, lock it in, and then we can do exactly that. I'm --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Are you just cleaning that up Kennedy? Yeah.

MR. BECKER: I'll just also let -- I've let Anthony know there's no -- I'm here until 6 p.m. today, and then I'm catching a flight, so I will be unavailable at that point, which might be relevant to the VRA consideration.

Just to let you know what's happening here, given the suggestion about looking at Lake Tahoe, we have added Lake Tahoe in its entirety, both the Placer and El Dorado County portions, into ECA, and we're looking to equalize population there.

CHAIR TURNER: Jane, your hand's up?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. I -- yeah. I also think, once we kind of get ECA down, you know, about zero, we sort of pause and go do VRA down South.

CHAIR TURNER: We've heard that. We're not going to repeat today. We are going to do that. We are going to
move into VRA. We're going to finish this process, and then we will go through the VRA areas while we have Mr. Becker, and then we'll utilize his support system for other VRA attorneys after that.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. And the reason I'm saying that is because I also have a lot of architectural changes I would like to make on the -- essentially from the East Bay through the --

CHAIR TURNER: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- you know, that (indiscernible, simultaneous speech) area. That sort of stuff. But I'm not going to mention anything like that until we do the VRA down South, is my plan. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: You're welcome. Thank you.

(Pause)

MR. BECKER: So we're not quite getting it all the way down to zero. We're down below half a percent. I don't know if at some point you guys want to take -- zoom out on the architecture and take a look at it and see if you want to keep this so that we can move on because we still have to resolve the -- it's Placer-Sac and unaffiliated area together as a -- as a set of two districts.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Kennedy, you don't have a mic currently. And you'd have to have one for this
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'm just --

CHAIR TURNER: There we go.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: -- asking if the mappers have a Board of Supervisors district up or need them?

MR. BECKER: So I -- can you -- I don't know the answer to that, and I'll find out as soon as the line drawers are done conferring.

But more importantly, we probably could spend a lot of time trying to get this down to zero, and we still have a lot of work to so to divide up the remaining area into two Congressional districts. I might suggest we decide that this is the architecture we're going to hold for now and then move on, rather than get into that level of granularity.

CHAIR TURNER: So Commissioner Kennedy, what I'm hearing is, they'll pull that information and utilize it to balance out those districts, and then we will be able to move.

I'm good for that. Let's lock that in and move.

MS. WILSON: Shall I commit this change, Chair?

CHAIR TURNER: Commit the change. Yes, please.

MS. WILSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Chair?

CHAIR TURNER: Ahmad? I'm sorry.
COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Oh, I just wanted to ask if you all could scoochie the map a little lower so we can see the top?

MS. WILSON: Yes. And sorry. I'm committing the change, and so it's loading.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Oh. Oh. You can't -- oh, okay. Got it. Whenever you get a chance. No worries.

(Pause)

CHAIR TURNER: So -- and I want to say to all of the Commissioners, we -- we are in live line drawing now. This is going to take some time. The buckets didn't work. The other ways that we've attempted this was not getting us where need to, so this is going to take time. We are going to work through it, and we'll get through as much as we can with Mr. Becker here. If not, we will work with Sal (ph.). We'll work with some of his other team to get through what we need to.

We spent a substantial amount of time in other areas last night, all of which was good, and hopefully, have driven us to kind of come to some -- brought some things to the top of our mind that needs to happen.

So at this point, this just is what it's going to take to go through. We'll go one way, and when we get there, if we need to go back and go a different way, we'll go back and go a different way. But when we
finish, we will have at least explored all of our
opportunities to say we've checked this from North to
South, South to North, Bay to San Diego, and this is what
we want to present, keeping in consideration all of the
legal requirements, all of our criteria we have to
follow, and in the order we need to follow it.

So let's move to the next area -- to the next --
Kennedy, you have -- what's next? Is that you still?

MR. BECKER: We -- we still have to divide up this
Placer-Sac area into two districts.

CHAIR TURNER: All right. Was that where we were
just splitting?

MS. WILSON: No -- we were equalizing the Eastern
California one, but we still had two that were over
fifty, so that created a hundred above --

MR. BECKER: We went from two districts containing
the population of three to one district containing the
population of two, meaning it needs to be split. So
we're making progress.

CHAIR TURNER: Woohoo.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I'm no -- sorry. I don't
have my hand raised.

CHAIR TURNER: No hands are raised.

Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you. At this stage,
can we just finish this. We've got the Northern part of Sacramento, which is fifty percent over it looks like.

Can we just get this done?

CHAIR TURNER: Looks like, yes.

Commissioner Andersen?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I would say, no, because this -- to fix this is going to ripple over and affect North coast -- you know, the North coast and on down into the -- you know, the Yolo Lake, that entire area. So -- and I --

CHAIR TURNER: Are there are VRA areas in Yolo and nor -- okay. So --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: No, there are not.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So let's fix this. If that's not VRA, let's do this. And again, we may come back, but let's see how far we can get with this.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So we're going all the way then down to Yolo and --

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay.

MR. BECKER: All you would need to do to fix this right now is to take the population that's currently in the area that's designated as Placer-Sac and divide it in two. That's all you need to do right now.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.
MS. WILSON: So right now, this district contains the entire counties of Plumas, Yuba, Sierra, Placer, Nevada. And then we just made a split in El Dorado taking out the Tahoe area, Grizzly Flats, Placerville, Diamond Springs. And then we have moving into Sacramento, we have Folsom, Rancho Cordova, Arden-Arcade, Carmichael, Northern Sacramento, the Natomas area, Citrus Heights, Orangevale.

CHAIR TURNER: Where's our split, Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: There was a split before, but my recommendation is just to go from the Sacramento area up North. So from Rancho Cordova all the way up to Lincoln, include Cameron Park. Let's see what that looks like.

MR. BECKER: Why don't we start, if we may, let's just take it up to the county line and see where we are and then continue moving it up from there after we see where we are at the Sacramento County line. May I suggest that?

CHAIR TURNER: Is that in alignment, Commissioner Fernandez, with what you need?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. It's the same concept but going the other way. That's fine.

CHAIR TURNER: All right. Mr. Becker, let's do it,
please, sir.

MR. BECKER: The population concentrations are down in the area. There's no -- there's really no other way to start drawing this map. That's going to be where the population concentrations are.

(Pause)

MS. WILSON: So with all of the rest of Sacramento County, Rancho Cordova, Arden-Arcade North, we have a deviation of 14.85 percent.

MR. BECKER: Which means that the Placer-Sac district, which is the Northern part that goes all the way up into the nor -- that actually needs to come down into Sacramento County and grab some more population.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Can we move Folsom out, please?

MR. BECKER: Getting there. This -- this whole area was a little overpopulated, so we're not going to get it down to zero. There's no way to do that if we're just splitting it into to two.

We're getting pretty close to -- both of these are overpopulated by 3.46 percent and 4.98 percent. so if this is a place that you wanted to put a placeholder, you could stop here, or you could try to get one closer to zero and one that's overpopulated. Those are two ways to go.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can we see what Elverta and Antelope look like?

MS. WILSON: To remove them?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. And put them in the Placer-Sac, please.

MR. BECKER: Now, the Sacramento districts a little bit underpopulated after the Antelope removal.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. I would suggest we just -- let's see. Just keep the Elverta and Antelope in, and we can -- that can be a stopping point.

MS. WILSON: Did you just want to take Antelope out?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: What's the -- what's the little part next to Folsom before Citrus Heights? Okay.

MR. BECKER: That gets the new district pretty close to 0.29 percent, deviation about 2,100 people. This might be a good place to put a bookmark --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. I would agree.

CHAIR TURNER: Nice.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you, both for your help on this.

MR. BECKER: What do you want to call it? Sacra -- Sac -- North Sac -- this will be called North Sac. That was a great suggestion.

CHAIR TURNER: Very good, Commissioner Yee.

And yes, please commit that change.
(Pause)

MS. WILSON: The change has been committed. North Sac's deviations are .29, and now Placer-Sac, which includes Folsom, Orangevale, El Dorado Hills, Cameron Park, Roseville, this -- the rest of Placer, excluding the Tahoe area, Nevada, Yuba, Sierra, and Plumas. Is at the -- positive 8.33 deviation.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioners, any thoughts here?

Commissioner Andersen?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: You could remove Yuba County from Placer-Sac, put it in Nor Cal.

MS. WILSON: So that would put Placer-Sac at a negative 2.46 deviation and bumps up Nor California to an 11.47 deviation.

CHAIR TURNER: Oh my. Commi -- we saying, no. No. No. Commissioner --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: It's a little too much.

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah. Commissioner --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Could you do Plumas instead?

Plumas and --

MS. WILSON: And then that, taking Plumas instead puts Placer-Sac at a 5.72 deviations, and Nor California at 3.29 percent.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: You could do most of Yuba, like the Southern portion of Yuba County and leave the
rest in.

MS. WILSON: I'm sorry. May you please repeat that?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Leave Plumas back and then take the Southern portion of Yuba County, which is directly adjacent to Sutter, you know, essentially trying to grab not quite as many people.

CHAIR TURNER: And while you're trying for the visualization, let's see Commissioner Fernandez and then Yee.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I was kind of hoping we would move to the VRA districts and come back to this because this is going to have an effect on --

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- the rest of the North, so -- and because Mr. Becker's only here till 5 or 6, I would kind of suggest to go to VRA districts.

And I made a note of the district that we need to come back to, so that's just my recommendation.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I completely agree with that. I would rather just pause here. And the knowing that this area is a lot of reconstruction, but it's not VRA district. So while Mr. Becker is here, I would appreciate it if we went down South.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: I agree. But also thinking this
is going need to move population South and not North and West because there's nowhere to go when you go North and West.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay --

CHAIR TURNER: I'm hearing a lot of agreements, yes, nods that we're going to move. Okay. So we'll move to the South. Is that what we're saying? Okay. So let's start in San Diego.

MS. WILSON: Would you like me tell you what that change does, or just exit it?

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah. Sounds like we're going to just --

MS. WILSON: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: -- well, you did the work, so tell us please, yes.

MS. WILSON: Well, I didn't do too many blocks, but just taking out that Southern part puts placer-sac at a negative 1 deviation and then Northern California at 10.02 percent.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. So could you adjust it so Placer-Sac, then, is at the right number and then stop there.

MS. WILSON: So commit this change?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, it's a little too much, correct?
CHAIR TURNER: No, we said we were going to go back, right, Commissioner Andersen?

MS. WILSON: Oh --

CHAIR TURNER: Let's -- can we --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: All right. Just -- okay.

Just --

CHAIR TURNER: -- no --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- but, like, I'm like saying do it so it's within one percent. So they --

CHAIR TURNER: You all are waffling. You said we're going to VRA.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Correct.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: The other one's at 10.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. And then we'll move -- work on that one later.

CHAIR TURNER: So Kennedy, let's go to San Diego, please.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can I just make a quick comment (indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please. Yes, Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I'm just responding to Commissioner Yee.

The extra percentage, it's -- we'll get to it because we took out West Sac. So that's your extra --
where it'll even out. So that's why I'm saying there's
going to be, like, all these changes, and let's just do
that later.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Okay.
CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sinay?
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you for coming down to
San Diego, and --
CHAIR TURNER: Before you start --
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.
CHAIR TURNER: -- let's let out mappers get ready
though.

(Pause)
COMMISSIONER SINAY: While we're waiting for the
line drawers, again, I want to thank San Diegans for
sending us in their communities of interests and their
public testimony that we've been waiting for that, and
it's been really helpful.

With that, there are, you know, some clusters that
can help us construct San Diego in a much more community
friendly way. I was going to say, user friendly, but
that's not the right word. So I would like, when the
times ready -- when we're ready to start with the left
hand corner and then move North East.

MS. TRATT: Commissioners, I'm just adding the CVAP
to the labels. Just give me one moment.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. No. No hurry.

MR. BECKER: So may I suggest, consistent with the Chair's direction, that we start with SECA first? That's the VRA area.

CHAIR TURNER: Uh-huh.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The end is --

CHAIR TURNER: And it's -- yeah. That's in the San Diego area, that SEC.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes. Thank you.

MS. TRATT: Yeah. So the first visualization we'll take a look at, you can find on page 56. And that's the SECA district visualization, which is quite similar to some of the other districts and the other plan types that we've looked at. Currently has a deviation of negative .78 percent and a Latino CVAP of 56.83 percent.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And I do realize that this is similar to what we've seen before, but because we -- we said we would work on architecture later, we didn't change anything.

What this is not working for San Diegans, and the main rea -- I mean, I want to start us again by making sure that we create a VRA district that's working for Imperial County and Riverside, as well as working for San Diego.

And I don't know if we can put -- I know that we've
been told we can't create two, but MALDEF has, and I think there are ways to do it.

I don't believe that right now splitting up the South Bay is a good place to start. I would like to -- if we could build the VRA district with first starting with the South Bay being solid and then moving -- you know, looking at it from there, is what I -- I would like to recommend.

Should I give the communities of South Bay, now that we have all of them?

MS. TRATT: So Commissioner Sinay --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.

MS. TRATT: -- would you like to start by making changes to SESDLC then, as these are the, kind of, South Bay cities that you were talking about?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, let's -- yeah. So let's start -- let's start with the very corner of Imperial Beach. If we can move Imperial Beach in.

MS. TRATT: Into SC -- into this district, correct?

Or into SEC?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. SEC -- whichever -- let's use Chula Vista as an anchor for right now and bring everything in Chula Vista. And then you guys can tell me if we need to go the -- or does it make sense to move Chula Vista the other way.
MS. TRATT: Well, I guess I would ask if -- is the overall goal to move the border to the San Diego County line like it was in the Assembly districts, or is that not the end goal because I think that's a really large change that might --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah.

MS. TRATT: -- make more sense to start with, rather than some of the South Bay cities.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. So this -- this district going straight up the way it is right now isn't -- is part of what's not working. I mean, if we were going to go to Imperial -- if we were going to go all the way to the border, it would be a more vertical line -- a more horizontal line versus a vertical line, and so --

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sinay, while you're thinking about it, Commissioner Toledo, are you adding into this?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. Just a quick question. In terms of the goal, the goal as I hear it is to create -- is it an -- and I'm asking a question to Commissioner Sinay. Is it to create two VRA Congressional districts, one for San Diego and one for the Imperial --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: If we can. If not, San Diego County could have a minority majority district. But what
I'm trying to -- right now, San Diego, none of the communities of interests are put together.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So there's a lot of breaking up and building back up.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'm just curious if counsel has any advice for us in this regard, with regard to the VRA district?

MR. BECKER: One second. I'm just reviewing some of the data.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And what -- I'm using community of interest broadly. It's just the geographic -- just like -- we just worked on -- in Sacramento. The geographic pairings are not making sense for the way the community works, lives, and plays together.

MR. BECKER: So we -- and the -- where we have seen voting rights act concerns in Congressional maps, and in other maps, is consistent with what we said with the other maps. There is the portion of South San Diego, which is Chula Vista, San Ysidro.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Uh-huh.

MR. BECKER: As well as, probably because of how the other lines were drawn, Western -- I'm sorry -- Eastern San Diego County, which is largely under populating with part of the previous Congressional district. Imperial
County is consistently and area VRA concern. Riverside -- Eastern Riverside, we are seeing more significant crossover from white communities. Whereas, Western Riverside County has consistently shown racially polarized voting consistent with VRA concerns. I'll leave it at that for now.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So I still would like to say that if we need to create a VRA district, that's San Diego to Imperial County, I would like to explore keeping South Bay together and then going in that direction. But starting with South Bay -- you know, just South Bay being kept together,

CHAIR TURNER: So what the line drawers will need is just direction. So tell them anchor point, or tell them exactly where you're starting --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right. So --

CHAIR TURNER: -- and what do we want to see.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So I'm going to give the big picture, and then you all can tell me where to start. So the South Bay, just to be clear, is Barrio Logan, Sherman Heights, Bonita, Logan Heights, National City, Chula Vista, Imperial Beach, San Ysidro, Otay Mesa, Shelltown, Stockton, and Southcrest. So the main anchor would be, all of Natural City together with Chula Vista.

MS. TRATT: So might I suggest that the majority of
those areas are already kept intact, including the historic Barrios of the City of San Diego within this SESDLC. So I would probably suggest starting by moving Chula Vista, San Ysidro, and Imperial Beach into this visualization --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Perfect.

MS. TRATT: -- as the majority of those areas are already there; if that works.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Perfect. Yes.

MS. TRATT: Okay. I'll do that now.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And just a question of clarification to my colleagues, not to the line drawers, would you all be okay -- would you indulge me in just making some big moves and then working along to get the numbers back down to zero, or do you want to keep trying to get it to zero each time?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I -- you know, I -- given that Mr. Becker is on here until 6 and this is communitive -- and I share your concern Commissioner Sinay, but this isn't a VRA area or -- this is more community of interest than is VRA. As my understanding that it's what I hear, and potentially focusing on the VRAs first and then coming back to this when -- I mean, I just want to make sure we have VRA counsel here for --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, this -- yes, this is
VR -- I mean, this is VRA. Right now, it is a VRA with Imperial and Riverside. We're looking to see if we can still have -- without messing it up.

CHAIR TURNER: Mr. Becker?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Mr. Becker?

MR. BECKER: So this particular, the coastal district, is not a VRA area. I just want to be clear.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: No, but that one is --

MR. BECKER: The area as a concentration emanate from a hub that's really at the South-Eastern corner of California with Imperial County, which is clearly a VRA area under every analysis.

What I'd -- and there's obviously no flexibility to move beyond the California border there, so what I'd suggest is starting there and moving northwest out from there in whatever directions you want to, but that is a natural place to start because you -- you could find yourself boxed into a corner without anywhere to go in Imperial County if you start elsewhere.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. I hear that, but my thought still is if we could keep all South Bay together, then go over to Imperial County, and if we need to chip away into South Bay for population then we do.

MR. BECKER: I mean, the commission gets to direct this. I'll just remind everyone that the voting right
act compliance is the second criteria above any criteria for or any other community of interest.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right.

MR. BECKER: If there is a -- if you do that and find that you've vi -- there's a possibility that a district would be problematic with VRA concerns, it's probably better to start with the voting rights act districts. But I will take -- we will take whatever direction you suggest.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: All right. Well, then if the --

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sinay, while your --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I was just going to ask her to tell us the numbers right now.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Chair.

I think given that this is a VRA area, it makes sense to start at the corner and puny joke, we don't want to box ourselves into a literal corner, which is the border, right, to the South and to the East, so I think it would make most sense if we started from that corner, work our way out from that corner, and then chip into, like you said, Commissioner Sinay, into the South Bay as we need for that VRA district first. That's just my recommendation.
COMMISSIONER SINAY: My -- so here's where I'm stuck right now with what you all -- I get what you all are saying, but if we don't pull this out -- pull these pieces out, how are we going to know where we need to go on the southeast corner. So my thought is --

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Can you say that again in a different way?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: If we take Chula Vista and Imperial Beach and we add it to the other area, we know where we are negative in population. So then we can go to the southeast corner and build the VRA from there going up into Imperial and what needs to be done. But if we continue from -- if we just go to the southeast corner, then I'm not sure what we're going to do.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Makes sense.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: I'll sit back. I don't understand --

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I think on this piece, you know, following advice of counsel would be helpful.

I think -- we actually explored this area yesterday to some extent in Assembly. I understand. We didn't actually take on San Diego because at that point we had a different process, and we have it in our bucket list, but
we looked at the VRA district, which was a similar architecture.

And I think the underlying rational in making the change yesterday was separating San Diego County from Imperial County and parts of Riverside potentially. And I think if we use a similar rational there, it's going to open up San Diego for us to come back to maybe slightly later in the day, but I think starting in that corner where we know that we have those obligations --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- can help up create some space to have this conversation, Commissioner Sinay.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sinay?

Mr. Becker, let me -- I want to ask Commissioner Yee to restate what I think is trying to be attempted and so that we can all have -- gain understanding and see if it helps us be on the same page.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Okay. Check me, Commissioner Sinay. I think you're saying, you know, this uniting of South Bay is very high priority for you, so if we commit to these two changes right now of Chula Vista and Imperial Beach, then we can start from the southeast corner and work back over. It's not your intention to work over the whole San Diego area right now beyond these two district -- these two changes; is that correct?
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes, and no. I think, if we start with what Commissioner Sadhwani is saying. If we want to use that same logic that we used yesterday and then come back to San Diego -- because San Diego, I mean there's a lot of pieces that are -- that need to be redone. I mean, right now you've got -- if you look at this district, you've got the whole, you know -- just the -- this big swath of San Diego that's going all the way from the coast, even though it doesn't take Imperial Beach, people see it as going all the way to the coast, all the way up to the far northeast corner. And those are completely different -- all of this is -- so if we say -- okay. Let's not -- let's go to the San Diego boarder, southeast stopping at the San Diego border and moving up. I'm okay with that. I just -- just like we worked out on Sacramento today, I do want to come back to San Diego because, I'll be honest guys, I'm the first one to get the hate mail, and it's going to keep coming, but they're right. This is not done correctly. And so I'd like to get it done right.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Commissioner Sadhwani. Do you want to proceed with your attempt?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, Commissioner Sadhwani, I think, had a thought.

CHAIR TURNER: I mean, Commissioner Sinay --
Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: You can let go of this one. I guess we'll come back to this later. Thank you.

I'm going move with the -- I'm going to move with the team. I'm not going to stay hard on the -- you know, I'm not going dig my heels in and just say we got to get San Diego done. We're here to work as a team, so yes, let's go back over to the southeast corner of the VRA area and try not to cross the San Diego border and really get the Imperial, Riverside piece --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- because they -- I mean, all of them had said they don't want to be with San Diego. That is a community of interest, so -- I mean, the input we've gotten.

So Commissioner Sadhwani, did you have a recommendation on this area right now?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Oh, I was planning to put it in a bucket list because I thought that was the process we were using today, but we can certainly explore it.

CHAIR TURNER: No buckets today. We're going to --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: We can certainly explore if that's what we're doing.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I think similar to how we
did in the Assembly yesterday, stopping at the Imperial County line, moving up through Coachella is at least a starting point for us to consider.

Certainly, we've received various testimony from this region. One way of potentially doing that is reaching up including all of the Salton Sea, of course, up through Desert Hot Springs, and including San Jacinto and East Hemet. I believe we've received a lot of testimony from those areas about wanting to stay together.

I apologize. I didn't come with a plan not knowing what the process was.

MR. BECKER: I -- can I make -- so if I'm understanding correctly. I'm just trying to get clear instructions for the line drawers. We will remove the San Diego County portion of this district just as a starting point.

CHAIR TURNER: That's right.

MR. BECKER: And then we will start moving northwest up into Riverside County to capture population centers that will lead us to equal population there.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please.

MR. BECKER: Do you want us to go all the way, based on your instructions just recently, Commissioner Sadhwani, do you want us to go all the way to Hemet and
San Jacinto, or do you want us to focus on the kind of Palm Springs corridor there?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: The Palm Spring corridor first.

MR. BECKER: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I think my point was made. I'll withdraw.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Is it possible to put the Latino CVAP layer on for the area we're looking at for VRA?

MS. TRATT: Yes. One moment.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay.

And I wanted to ask. Commissioner Kennedy, at one point, either -- one of your bucket list items was to get Coachella Valley all together, I believe. I thought I heard you say that yesterday, so I just want to check in with you.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: VRA is priority, but, you know, to the extent possible, the Coachella Valley, or the bulk of the Coachella Valley would like to be together. I've recognized previously that once you get down to Indio and particularly Coachella in Thermal, you're shifting quite significantly from the tourist-
based economy into the agricultural-based economy. And that's been the rationale for splitting at that point. So I don't have a problem necessarily splitting the far Eastern portion of the valley to go with the Salton Sea, Imperial County, and whatever else. It's when we start splitting Midvalley, or when we start using the ten as a dividing line because the ten in and of itself isn't any sort of natural dividing line near your -- you're dividing the workforce from the places of work, to some extent, if you're using the ten as a dividing line. It's not a fundamental shift in the base of the economy like it is when you get down to Indio and particularly Coachella and Thermal. Hope that helps. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Just to clarify, are we including all of that, then, here? Commissioner Kennedy?

MR. BECKER: We're just exploring right now for population purposes.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. No. No. No. This is a question for Commissioner Kennedy in terms of the community of interest.

MR. BECKER: Oh, sorry.

(Counsel confer)

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Chair, if I may respond to Commissioner Sadhwani.

(Counsel confer)
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yeah. Again, Indio in this -- in what their looking at right now, Indio could conceivably go either way because it is tightly linked to Coachella and Thermal and the areas to the southeast. But once you get West of that, things tend to be very tightly grouped and looking inward and not out beyond the Eastern part of the valley.

CHAIR TURNER: And just a note to the Commissioners, we are coming up on a break in a bit.

(Pause)

CHAIR TURNER: In two minutes.

(Pause)

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Could -- could we look in -- rather than Anza and Sage and those areas, instead into San Jacinto?

MR. BECKER: We're trying to build out from where we are because we're still under populated, so we're just going keep going and that's where we're trying to head to and see if we get overpopulated by there.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Got it. Thank you.

(Pause)

MR. BECKER: So Commissioner Sadhwani, that has dropped the CVAP down to under forty-five percent. We're going to see if we can get equal population where CVAP reflects the VRA concerns a little better there.
CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. And while we'll do that, we do need to stop for required break time. It's 2:20. We will back at 3:00.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 2:20 p.m. until 3:10 p.m.)

MS. TRATT: -- to let you know, we have some areas here, as well as this area up here, this kind of peninsula that stretches up to capture Needles, and we will not be able to remove those until we accept these changes. But just to let you know that we're aware of those and likely will be removing those at your direction.

But right now, it stretches to grab all of Banning, all the way wraps around to San Jacinto and Hemet, and then captures farther up to that Desert Hot Sprung and the rest of the Coachella Valley but excludes Palm Springs.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. Hold please.

Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair.

I just wanted to check and see the status of the Morongo reservation. It looks likes it could split.

Thank you.

MS. TRATT: One moment. Let me turn on the layer for the Indian Reservations. So it appears that
potentially a small portion might be split, but this is actually inside the city limits of Cathedral City, so we have to --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Sorry. That's the Agua Caliente.

MS. TRATT: Okay.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: The Morongo were there by Cabazon and Banning, and Beaumont. So if you can move -- yeah.

MS. TRATT: Yeah. It's kept whole, Commissioner. Yeah.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Okay. It's whole. Thank you.

MS. TRATT: Oh, there might be one more block, but we'll grab that. One second. All right, now it's whole.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioners, checking around. Are we good for locking? Okay.

MS. TRATT: All right. I'm going to commit this change. One moment.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. Sorry, but -- so we are cutting -- we are dividing the Agua Caliente Reservation. That's the problem when we come down with that bid in Cathedral City and some into Rancho Mirage.
CHAIR TURNER: Is there -- is there -- the grab. Is there a way to encompass more of it to be together? To include?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I mean, if we were also dividing the City of Palm Springs in this; if we have to, we have to. But I think we need to continue to look at this and see if we can resolve it.

MR. BECKER: Would you like us to try to exclude Palm Springs in its entirety from this visualization and --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I --

MR. BECKER: -- see what it looks like?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yeah. There's not that much population up in that part of the City. Cathedral City is a different matter.

MR. BECKER: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Cathedral City, most of it is fairly dense.

MR. BECKER: So -- so we are going to --

MS. TRATT: Is that the same tribe, though that -- tribal lands from Palm Springs to Cathedral City?

MR. BECKER: So given the direction, we're going to try to keep Palm Springs complete within the district -- visualizations currently MORCOA. You know, we want to -- we want to keep it out of SECA.
MS. TRATT: Shall I go ahead and commit this change?
CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Kennedy, is this more what we're needing?
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That's in the right direction, yes. Thank you.
MS. TRATT: Yeah, it looks like it removes about 836 people.
CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please commit the change.
MS. TRATT: All right. So now, Palm Spring is excluded in its entirety.
CHAIR TURNER: So from here, we were going to move to the next VRA districts?
MR. BECKER: No, first we need to clean up those areas that were noncontiguous? Yeah. So we need to clean up areas that were noncontiguous. But wait, no, go down first. Do -- do that. No, no, no -- do that first, 'cause that's definitely -- that one has to be removed. That we need instruction for.
MS. TRATT: Wait.
MR. BECKER: And then for direction, we have a portion of the SECA district. It's underpopulated right now, but here's a portion that goes up into San Bernardino County. Do the Commissioners want to keep that in there for purposes of this visualization, or do they want to be taken out because there's only Riverside
and San -- or Imperial, rather.

CHAIR TURNER: I'm watching for hands. One moment, please. It looks like we don't have a preference. Let's see.

MR. BECKER: We're going to zoom out to show it.

MS. TRATT: So the area that we're talking about is this area that stretches up North to wrap around Needles and gives that rural buffer that we were instructed a couple weeks ago, or last week, pardon -- feel like a couple week, to just protect the population that might be in the unincorporated areas and then stretches down around to Big River.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioners, any thoughts here? Any -- I don't have any.

MS. TRATT: Chair, if I may, I would just point out that we're currently underpopulated for SECA by 2.31 percent. And there was -- we had also -- there's potentially the idea of adding Beaumont as well. If you wanted to play around with that. Or see what it would look like.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Before we do, Commissioner Toledo and then Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah, I -- where are we with the -- with the Hispanic CVAP?

MS. TRATT: With -- pardon. Say that on more time.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Where are we with the Hispanic CVAP.

MR. BECKER: 51.9 percent currently.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: 51.9 percent. And if we take out this section of -- the section that we were trying to -- with Big River, would it make a difference in that? It -- it's such a small population, I don't think it would, but --

MR. BECKER: Yeah. We're to do that right now and show you what the change would look like.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you.

(Pause)

MR. BECKER: That increases Hispanic CVAP to 52.32 percent. The deviation also gets a little larger from the idea. It's about 24,540 people more than it needs.

MS. TRATT: Wait -- less. Negative 3.2 --

MR. BECKER: It needs more. It's -- it's underpopulated.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I just want to raise the reason why we have this in and it may -- it still is a VRA district, is -- this is the Colorado River Basin. And that with the Salt and Sea are two clearly Congressional level environmental issues. And that's why we kind of put that all together. If we take this out,
then we have to make sure, you know, sure that it's part
of the Colorado River Basin. But I just want us to
remember that was why we created this area. That was one
of the big portions of -- 'cause we were putting the
Native Americans, Colorado River Basin, Salton Sea, all
of which were sort of more Congressional issues. That's
why we kind of started this way.

So I just want to realize -- I want the Commission
to realize that we are now dividing that up for, you
know, whose -- whose voice in this district will be the
loudest and get the Congressional ear.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. Commissioner Sinay?

Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I was just wondering --
and I understand that -- Commissioner Andersen at this
point. I think if we can just get to -- closer to the
zero in deviation. So if you can add of, I believe it
was Beaumont that we were looking at or a community near
there that'll get us closer to zero so that we can look
at other communities, 'cause it's -- at this points, it
sounds -- and maybe I'm wrong, but it sounds like we're
comfortable with where we're going with this for now, for
draft map purposes. And we just want to get closer to
zero deviation so that we can move on to another portion
of the map. And that's a question.
CHAIR TURNER: Sivan, will you add on Beaumont, please?

MS. TRATT: Okay. So should I commit this change? Or should I 'x' out of it?

MR. BECKER: About the San Bernardino portion is what we're talking about right now.

MS. TRATT: Yes. Sorry, the red portion, highlighted. 'Cause I can't --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: You can always take it back -- or you can always undo it, right?

MS. TRATT: Yeah, of course.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. So -- so do it and then -- if we --

MS. TRATT: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- we can repopulate it back.

MR. BECKER: We're committing and then we're looking at adding some additional population.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Than you, Chair.

We've just split the Colorado River Indian Tribe there at Big River.

CHAIR TURNER: Was that what (audio interference)?

MS. TRATT: So I added the entire City of Beaumont and it looks like that overpopulated the district by 3.77 percent. So if it's okay, I will start removing census
tracks to --

CHAIR TURNER: If you go back and the area that Commissioner Kennedy just called to attention that said that we split the River Tribe. Can you put them back in.

MS. TRATT: The City of Palm Springs?

CHAIR TURNER: No. What was -- no.

MS. TRATT: Oh.

MR. BECKER: To be clear, re-add that section of San Bernardino County that was previously in this district --

CHAIR TURNER: Yes. Thank you.

MR. BECKER: Is that what you're saying?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

MR. BECKER: Thank you. And do you want us to look back into the Beaumont area?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

MR. BECKER: Do you -- why don't we -- shall we start with the whole city and then remove some population from there or elsewhere?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah, we're going to be way over -- it's be over --

MR. BECKER: Do the whole city.

CHAIR TURNER: While we're doing that, Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I'm just wondering that if anyone knows Beaumont or if we can put the heat map on
it. Looks like there's a Northern portion and a clear Southern portion. Curious if it would make sense to make that split somewhere right in the middle?

MR. BECKER: What I'm -- what I'm hearing is why don't we start adding half of Beaumont that's the Northern half into that district and see what that does.

MS. TRATT: Thank you. Yes

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Vazquez, while he's doing that.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yeah. I was just going to respond to Commissioner Sadhwani. I would say that, yeah, we can try it, although I might look more to potentially Cherry Valley. They're adjoining cities, but they're pretty different. It -- Beaumont, to me seems less readily split.

MR. BECKER: Okay. We're still completing that -- the initial instruction, which was to add the Northern portion of Beaumont, where it is currently, is we are overpopulated by only 895 people. And the Latino CVAP and southeast -- SECA is 51.82 percent

CHAIR TURNER: I'm wanting -- thank you. One moment, please. Commissioner Vazquez, is your thought to consider adding Cherry Valley instead of Beaumont or a smaller portion of Beaumont with Cherry Valley? Can you please, I guess clarify what your thought process was
COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yeah. I mean, if we're -- if we're looking at -- I guess it's hard for me to think about -- these are all fairly smallish cities. But also, if we add Cherry Valley, then our Hispanic CVAP in SECA goes -- will probably go down quite a bit. So can I see that heat map again, actually, the Latino CVAP?

Yeah, I mean, I guess for purposes of a Congressional district, it maybe makes sense to get as much of Beaumont as possible. But, unfortunately, wherever we cut it, in my opinion, is going to feel a little arbitrary for population. So we can keep going down this road, 'cause I don't know that I have a better recommendation.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Just to highlight that Cherry Valley is approximately 6,000 people and Beaumont is approximately 54,000, so the order of magnitude of difference.

CHAIR TURNER: Mr. Becker.

MR. BECKER: And Commissioners, I'll just stress really quickly again, these are Congressional districts, they are going to be lines that, I don't like to use the term arbitrary, but are needed to be drawn due to population balance. That's going to happen in literally
every single one of the fifty-two districts.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So Sivan, can you get us close here?

MS. TRATT: Zoom in or get you closer in population?

CHAIR TURNER: Closer in population, please.

(Pause)

CHAIR TURNER: And Sivan, what about grabbing more from the Southern end?

MR. BECKER: We're trying to lose some population, I think --

CHAIR TURNER: Uh-huh.

MR. BECKER: You're talk -- remove some.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Chair, if I could add. Yes, that makes sense. I would try to avoid -- if we're going to do this, I would try to avoid crossing the freeway. The freeways tend to be community -- pretty clear community boundaries, so limiting the cross, I would say.

MR. BECKER: This is a .07 percent overpopulation, 533. This is a pretty good place, perhaps to stop for now with recognition that there'll need to be some further balance for equal population.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you. I just wanted, for transparency sake, could I -- we did receive -- we're looking at COIs, we did receive testimony from the
African-American community that they had wanted or had requested that we keep Beaumont, Banning, Desert Hot Springs, and Palm Springs together. We -- we did not -- this map doesn't achieve that. And I'm not sure if there's any way to do that. But at this point we did -- are splitting Banning and Beaumont, the Palm Springs area. So it -- I just wanted to make sure that we're all aware of that. It's difficult to make these, I mean, we have some difficult decisions to make. And these are the draft maps so it -- and it is a VRA district. I just wanted to be transparent in -- in that.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. Can we lock it here and come back, Mr. -- I mean Sivan?

MS. TRATT: Yup. So the change is made. And I'm just going to take the heat map off and zoom out so you guys and get a better look. So we now exclude all of East San Diego County, wrap around here, and then we catch the peninsula that goes out to capture Needles.

CHAIR TURNER: Commission Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I'm just trying to see the map. It's -- do we have the entirety of Hemet in here?

MR. BECKER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: And the entirety of San Jacinto?

MR. BECKER: Yes. I want to just note MORCOA is now
a noncontiguous -- has two separate noncontiguous area,
both of which are underpopulated. And below it SMESCPOW,
what is that San Marcos, Escondido, Poway, maybe? That
is severely -- that is overpopulated. This is going to
create a lot of ripples around, through San Bernardino,
Riverside, down possibly even into Orange, down into San
Diego County.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I mean, basically what we're
saying is the map is currently a hot mess; is that
correct? Yeah. All right. So given that, you know,
just lifting the piece Commissioner Toledo just mentioned
is it -- where are the parts that noncontiguous? I'm
sorry. Can you point those out to me?

MS. TRATT: So let me just turn on the -- so will
select all of the MORCOA layer. So you can it includes
this section here, which is noncontiguous. And that was
because we did the hook.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I'm wondering if we --

MR. BECKER: Hold on -- can I'm sorry to
interrupt --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Oh, go ahead.

MR. BECKER: -- an I make. So it does -- it's not
necessarily the case that those have to be linked up.
MR. BECKER: I could be that you got a very overpopulated district in SMESCPOW, you could perhaps create a district there, add in some of the population of MORCOA is -- see if that gets you to around where two districts should be. And then try to do as minimal changes as possible to work around SECA to get up to the other part of more -- MORCOA.

But -- so you don't -- but -- but just because they're noncontiguous, that was just part of a visualization, they don't have to be linked up.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Okay.

MR. BECKER: What might be the place to start here, and I don't know if others agree with me, I might start down South and the Poway, overpopulated district.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah.

MR. BECKER: So -- so what we might want to do to start -- this is a good suggestion from Jaime, is add the Southern portion, noncontiguous portion of MORCOA to the Poway district, which will make it much more overpopulated, but it gives you a place to start splitting that up. Would you like us to do that?

CHAIR TURNER: Let me take a couple of hands and see, 'cause I would say yes, but Commissioner Kennedy and then Commissioner Sinay.
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I would say no. I don't see any good reason to have Palm Springs in a -- in a district including parts of San Diego County -- I don't know, I think we've gone in the wrong direction with this, but --

MR. BECKER: So I'm not suggesting that's where it would end up. It's just to assign population somewhere to start the process of dividing it up. We'd -- the population in -- I mean, depending upon how you want to do it, there -- you've got a -- you've got an overpopulated area in Eastern San Diego County. You've got a smaller populated area -- that's probably not a ton of population there, because the MORCOA district is underpopulated by sixty percent, so we're talking about a little bit of population in that area. It just give us a starting point from which to start drawing district. It may or may not end up that Palm Springs is in a district with part of San Diego County or not. That's really up to you.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. I understood that.

Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I don't see it ending well, but I'm willing to see --

CHAIR TURNER: Can we play? Can we -- let's just play. Let's just carry it on. Commissioner Sinay.
COMMISSIONER SINAY: So I'm willing to play, but I just I want to --

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Andersen -- is your mic on? No -- oh, okay. I just hear you loud.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I want -- I wanted to doublecheck. We -- there isn't a reason -- is there -- what's the main reason we don't want to include Palm Springs in the SECA? Is has a lot of population, but it doesn't have a good Latino CVAP? Because that's one a --

MR. BECKER: It will lower the Latino CVAP.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. I just wanted to confirm that. So Commissioner Sadhwani just said that there are alternatives. So why don't you raise your hand then, and why don't you tell us what the alternatives are?

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I would be curious of those two, just adding it, just for the sake of, you know the what if's, right now. Because I think that's where we are. Also, I just want to just state, moving some into Poway and maybe doing some reshuffling may also help us honor some of the COI inputs that we've been receiving. And that we have talked about trying to keep the Coastal district. And I think this might give us some of that play to create that additional district that maybe needed to honor that request, because I do agree,
you know, the coastal and the inland cities don't share a lot. And I know we're trying to avoid it -- there may be some that are unavoidable, but as much as possible, since we're reconstructing, you know, let's try to honor that as well, too.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So for you, Commissioner Akutagawa, Commissioner Sadhwani, Commissioner Sinay, if you have direction on how to move there so that we can explore, that would be great.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So if we added there, just like you said, to give us -- give us more, more space in the playground. So go -- go ahead and make that change. I'm very nervous.

MS. TRATT: Okay. So just to confirm the change that we're making is adding this noncontiguous piece of the MORCOA district to this SMESPOW, correct?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.

MS. TRATT: Okay. We'll start with that. Oh, sorry, Jaime has a suggestion.

MS. CLARK: So I guess the purpose of adding that Southern noncontiguous area of the MORCOA is just make it so there's one piece of MORCOA to not accidently leave behind any population bubbles that will need to be reconciled later. I think -- and we can pause and do a contiguity check to make sure that in these big changes
we just made, there's also not any little pockets from SECA or anything like that.

We could also add that Southern noncontiguous area in MORCOA somewhere to the West of that. Of course, none of these changes are final or going to -- or going to mean that that's even where these areas would end up in your draft because you have such big deviation discrepancies right now that need to be dealt with.

So if there's a lot of discomfort with adding it to the Eastern San Diego piece, we can definitely add that to a district to the West. It just means there's going to be multiple districts with significant deviation issues that will need to be addressed as opposed to addressing it one at a time. That's kind of the difference.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I can it in either one. So let's -- let's put it to the West right now -- the southwest Riverside district.

MS. TRATT: All right. I will make that change. One moment.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Do You feel better about that -- go ahead.

MS. CLARK: I was just also just kind of mentioning
and looking at the map, where it is right now. And the
way it's configured, there's going to be kind of a narrow
area through which you can move population. And
considering some of the other constraints that you have
in some of these surrounding districts. So also just
kind of flagging that as Commissioners are thinking about
how they would like -- how you would like these districts
to be configured overall.

THE COURT REPORTER: This is the court reporter,
who -- who was talking just then? I couldn't quite see
through the masks.

MS. CLARK: I -- Apologize, this is Jaime.

THE COURT REPORTER: That's what I thought. Thank
you.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sinay, do you want
Commissioner Toledo to weigh in or are you waiting or
what are you doing?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So did you want -- so I guess
need direction from you chair, if -- shall we leave it
here, go to the other VRAs, and then come back to deal
with this? Or how to -- because, you know, David's
leaving in a couple of hours and so I want to make sure.

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah. So Mr. Becker, will we have
access to your team when we leave?

MR. BECKER: Yeah. The Strumwasser team I think is
still going to be on call for whatever you need. I haven't talked to them specifically. But I know Anthony has reached out.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Thank you. Or do you want to do it? I want to go Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: When we started this journey an hour ago, well, before lunch, our goal was, if I understood it correctly, was to potentially have create a VRA district in San Diego, if I remember correctly.

And -- and to do that by linking the Imperial Valley with the Coachella Valley. And I think -- and so I guess my question to counsel and to the line drawers are -- is, how do we affection -- do you have suggestions on how we can achieve that? Because that's ultimately the goal that we had in mind. So if you have some recommendations on how to do that, that ultimately is, I think, the end goal. If I'm understanding correctly, Commissioner, Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Either VRA or Minority Majority. I know that's (audio interference).

MR. BECKER: I'll remind everyone, where VRA concerns are required, we should try to draw districts.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right.

MR. BECKER: Where they're not required, then race shouldn't predominate. So what I would suggest is
starting from the Southern border. Trying to get a district drawn, that is at equal population, and see what -- and see what that looks like. So from SMESCPOW, that district now, starting from the Southern border, going up northward and seeing what that district looks like when we get close to equal population. Because it's right now about two-thirds overpopulated.

COMMISSIONER SINAY So I hear what you're saying. The problem is that part of that is -- is East County and it's -- can -- and so we can't start from the West corner? Southwest corner?

MS. CLARK: If you start at the West corner, then you potentially would make a bubble in population somewhere and a way you could look at it is if you start pulling population out of this very overpopulated East County district, you could start pulling population from the very southwest area of that.

MS. CLARK: Okay.

MR. BECKER: So the population concentrations, the VRA areas that are of consideration in this are in the southwestern portion of this -- of this district area around where Chula Vista is.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right, right. Okay. So the idea -- so if we were -- so can we start, like, where we -- where we started off an hour ago, like someone
said. Can we, you know, put National City and Chula
Vista together with Imperial Beach.

MS. TRATT: But Commissioner Sinay, we still have to
decide where this bubble will go --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay.

MS. TRATT: -- should I go ahead and commit this
change before we move on?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Oh, I thought -- I'm sorry, I
thought we had committed that.

MS. TRATT: Okay. I'll go ahead and commit that
right now. And then I'll scroll back down to the South
Bay area.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: All right. My apologies.

MS. TRATT: No. It's all good.

Okay. So going back to the SESDEL C, adding Chula
Vista, San Ysidro, and Imperial Beach, correct? Is that
the changes, you'd like me to make?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right. Because it already has
Barrio and Bonita.

MS. TRATT: Yes, correct.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. And San Ysidro is
already in there, too.

MS. TRATT: San Ysidro is not.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. So that includes San
Ysidro as well?
MS. TRATT: Yes. Yes, I will. Yes, I'll add San Ysidro as well. I've lost a page.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And that's being pulled out of the CPOW, right?

(Pause)

CHAIR TURNER: When you get a chance, can you populate the box so we can see the changes.

(Pause)

MS. TRATT: All right. So those changes should be reflected up here. It looks like that changed the -- let's see. So the Latino CVAP of SCSDELC is now 40.14. And it's at a very high deviation. So next, after we commit these changes, we should look at moving some population out.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. Yes.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioners, while Commissioner Sinay is working on this population shift, are there other Commissioners that have a thought about where you want to -- Commissioner Sinay, you have map. You have something kind of you're working on?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah, I wanted --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. Each of these that are overpopulated. It's kind of shifting from left to right, type thing So did -- you need to wait -- you want me to
say commit? Commit -- sorry.

MS. TRATT: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and commit this.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. Make it so -- make it work.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. And then, we've -- so what I'd like to do is -- is just move -- I know we're over. So if we can take out the Normal Heights, Hillcrest, Mission Hills, South Park, that LGBTQ COI, the one that I gave the directions to for Assembly, I think -- was that this week or was that last week -- okay. Thank you, Andrew.

MS. TRATT: Okay. So you want me to remove those neighborhoods and add them into SD COAST?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: That's what I was thinking.

MS. TRATT: Okay. I would just remind you that we don't have a neighborhoods --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: That Coast is going to high --

MS. TRATT: -- layer that we can select from. So I'll have to go by blocks.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay.

MS. TRATT: Okay. So just to let you know. I'm going to go do that now.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Now, the Coast is even higher, right? No -- okay, I'm looking at the wrong one.
MS. TRATT: So Commissioner, Sinay, I'm going to need to rely on you to tell me where I should be removing population --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I know, it's, like --

MS. TRATT: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- I was, like, where did put that sticky. I haven't lost -- I have sticky's everywhere is my problem. So if you can go in even further. So it -- it starts at the 594.

MS. TRATT: Okay. So this is where the SD Coast district comes in. So any changes I would need to make branching from where this green highlighted district is. If that makes sense.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I don't -- oh, is that line green? Is that what you're saying?

MS. TRATT: yes. So the -- the -- district highlighted in green --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I got it.

MS. TRATT: -- this is the one that we're moving the population into. So it'll need to be connected to this area. If that makes sense.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. So can you -- is that the -- I just can't tell what that is right down -- sorry. Can we zoom in a little?

MS. TRATT: Hold on one moment. I'm going to try to
put a -- a different base map on. One second. See if
this helps.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Ah, much better. Okay. So --
Golden Hills in there already. Okay. Can you go up a
little bit. So yeah, follow -- so where you -- goes 5,
follow the 94. Can you keep going up a little. Sorry,
up the 805, 94, 805, to Adams. I might be on the wrong
place. Hold on. Yeah, yeah. No, I'm in the fine -- go
up the 805 to Adams Boulevard, which way above City
Heights, so up a little bit further. So it's actually
just moving that -- I see, okay.

So you would take that triangle right there. Wait,
but that's part of City Heights. Hold on.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sinay, this is a
starting point to grab a large area.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. Well, what I -- okay,
can we zoom out. I think what I'd rather do -- I know --
I hear what you're -- what we need to do, but can we look
at the Poway one first, 'cause that one was
overpopulated, right? Wait, we need to get this part
done.

No -- I know it sounds funny, but -- okay. So here
stop -- so we have Normal Heights, University Heights --
if you can do Kensington and Talmage, add those two.

MS. TRATT: Okay. I'm going to start selecting
blocks in this area.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes, exactly.

MS. TRATT: One moment.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you.

MS. TRATT: Sorry, just took me a second to see where they were.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm sorry, what?

MS. TRATT: All right. So the SD Coast is now at a negative 1.48 deviation. And we've reduced he SESDELC to 52.28.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: All right. Can we go back to the -- to where we were -- oh, sorry. You need to know if to keep that. Yes, so if --

MS. TRATT: I was just filling in the rest of the neighborhood once again.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. If we can add that to the Coast.

MS. TRATT: All right committing that change now.

Okay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And then -- and then there was down -- by going back down --

MS. TRATT: So this is the change that we just made. Right here.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right. Imperial Beach, okay.

All right. So I'm -- so now we need to get -- take from
Poway, right? I mean, Poway -- where's Poway -- okay.

It's at twelve percent. No I was looking at the --
sorry. Is that Poway?

MS. TRATT: Oh, the Poway district. Yeah, the
deviation is at 12.64 percent.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. But your highest one
right now is SESDELC?

MS. TRATT: Correct. Yes, we're still 52.18 percent
overpopulated.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: All right. Can you go down a
little. I may get it above -- okay. So on here -- this
is where you would go City Heights, where City Heights
wanted to go -- can I add some support right now?

Because I'm feeling --

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah. Let's -- okay. I was going to
say, we'll phone a friend.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: No, no. I want to phone a
friend, 'cause I know what I want to do, but I'm trying
to figure out exactly what I need to do right now. So if
you guys can --

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. You can pull out a
little bit. I think this is a process question. I just
want to make sure that we're -- where we're headed here.

It seems like what we need to do is cut out half of
the -- now Chula Vista base district and probably, I'm guessing, pair that with the Poway. Is that what you're trying to achieve? Or putting it East?

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So she said no. So -- so --

Commissioner Sinay, then just state for us again, we know that you're trying to select certain ones. What are you trying to accomplish overall so that we can help with what your current vision is, so that we can ask to see that in --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So I guess what I need -- I need help on right now is thinking through -- can we have that Chula Vista district that we're looking at along with the City Heights to El Cajon district. And it seems like we have too -- it's too much right now, right? It's way over -- I'm, like, trying to figure out where the population is.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: If I may --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes, please.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: It almost seems like we would have two district.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: That's what I was hoping to go in that direction

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I'm wondering if we could start with Chula Vista, you said National City, up into San Diego, maybe let's start by including La Presa, and
cutting out Spring Valley, La Mesa, Rancho San Diego, and all of that corner --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And San -- well, see --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- population wise

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah, it would go -- it would go -- you'd would start there and then add City Heights --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- whereabouts?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- Southeast San Diego --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Show me where on the map City Heights is I just --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah, you would have to zoom --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- I was actually just there the other day, but I don't know.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- we have to zoom it in.

MR. BECKER: May I think -- I think you guys might be trying to guess at things that we can actually show you

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Exactly.

MR. BECKER: So we can only North from this border. So let's go North from the border and see what the population shows. So I'd suggest right now -- can you zoom out, please.

MS. TRATT: City Heights right here.

MR. BECKER: Zoom out, please. We are going to
include, San Diego on the northwestern portion of that
district along with everything South of that. And
everything East of San Diego is going to be excluded and
placed into the Poway district. For now, we're going to
see where that gets us population wise.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Okay.

MR. BECKER: And then you can change it.

CHAIR TURNER: While she's doing that, is 5 the
border now? Anybody know where 5 is on this current map?
Is it -- is it the border or in the middle? On the left,
okay.

MR. BECKER: We will want out further population
from that South San Diego district. It is still
overpopulated by 128,000. So why don't we select that
portion of San Diego and see what it does to it and add
to that. And -- and then we'll see where we are
population wise.

MS. TRATT: When you're saying that portion of San
Diego, that's the City Heights portion we were talking
about?

MR. BECKER: All of the blue shaded area within this
district?

MS. TRATT: Okay.

MR. BECKER: All of it for now.

MS. TRATT: Sounds good.
MR. BECKER: We'll see.

Oh, taking out the top half of that area gets us to a little bit underpopulated, so we'll stop working it up. And just to show you what that looks like if we populate it fully. Is that all right with everyone.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I would add -- yeah. Do you want to know -- well, before La Presa?

MR. BECKER: Okay. We're now at .21 percent overpopulation, very close to good, perfect deviation. So now we can trade populations in and out depending upon what you want.

CHAIR TURNER: Are you able to put on the highway map -- the freeway? Can I see where 5, 8, 163, 53 --

MS. TRATT: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah. I'm trying to follow LGBTQ COI.

MS. TRATT: They're on the left-hand -- from where this is right now, they're kind of --

CHAIR TURNER: 5, 15, 163, 8 --

MS. TRATT: But you don't want it -- 163 splits the LGBTQ COI in between. So it's from the 5 to the 94 to the 805 up to Adams to Fair -- Adams to Fairmont to the 8.

MR. BECKER: So it's -- just to be -- that's in a different district than we're looking at right now,
MS. TRATT: It's part of it.

MR. BECKER: Well, that's in the SD COAST district, and we're looking at the SE --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. We put -- we kind of moved them to the Coast district. Thank you. Yes, you are right.

So what I would do is add City Heights to what you have in red so it's at --

MS. TRATT: So that would be -- that would remove City Heights. So that would put us back in a negative deviation. Just to confirm --

MR. BECKER: (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

MS. TRATT: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I guess what I'm looking at is that whole red thing to be a separate district. Am I looking at that right?

CHAIR TURNER: No?

MR. BECKER: That's not going to be close to population. They'll be something that move out from there that can be closer.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Rancho San Diego can come out.

MS. TRATT: It is -- so Rancho San Diego is currently part -- this is everything we're removing in red.
MR. BECKER: Just to be clear. We're drawing the South Sand Diego district that includes Chula Vista right now.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Oh, okay.

CHAIR TURNER: So what direction --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So add La Presa in with Chula Vista -- La Presa, sorry.

MS. TRATT: So by adding that, you mean, subtract -- everything that we're adding now is subtracting from this district. And we're already underpopulated.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So -- so do we need to put more into the red or more into the Chula Vista?

MR. BECKER: We're drawing the Chula Vista, so we need to put more population into the Chula Vista, correct Jaime?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. So can we go and we add Otay Mesa down below?

MS. TRATT: Okay. So right everything that's highlighted in red --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right.

MS. TRATT: -- is being removed from the Chula Vista district and moved into the district with Alpine and Santee and everything sort of in the East County. So right now we're just sort of narrowing down how much population is in the Chula Vista base district. The
highlighted area, if all of that was moved into this East San Diego County district, then the percent deviation of the Chula Vista base district would be negative 8.48 percent deviation. So the area that's highlighted in red should be smaller right now to be able to kind of meet the percent deviation and total population requirements for a Congressional district.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So Commissioner Sinay, do you want La Presa to stay with Chula Vista? Is that what you're saying?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. So un-red La Presa. Or unselect I guess would be the -- okay so we still need 30K more people. Do you want City Heights to stay with Chula Vista? Is that what you were wanting?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: City Heights has asked to be with Spring Valley, La Mesa, El Cajon, and --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. So you want them to go?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- southeast San Diego.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So what about Lemon Grove? Do you want Lemon Grove to stay with --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: No. Lemon Grove would also go with -- with them. So I was wondering if we could add
down below.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: But we've already added that.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: No. To the East, down below.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Oh, oh.

MR. BECKER: If you just give us where, specifically we'll add that.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Otay Mesa. Can we add Otay Mesa.

MR. BECKER: Where is that? It's not showing up on the map.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Huh.

MS. TRATT: It's possible it's not a census-designated place, in which case -- once again, let me see if shows up on Google maps. So that would be -- all of this right here?

MR. BECKER: Otay Ranch.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. I said Otay.

MS. TRATT: Okay. I would just like to put -- I can't -- what we're doing again is we're adding to the Poway district. So I can't add this to the SESDELC visualization until we commit all of the changes that we've made above.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Above -- okay. Sounds good.

MR. BECKER: But to be clear. Mesa Otay (sic) is
currently in this district.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right, right. Okay. So let's go back above, sorry. And you want me -- so what I would recommend is, because where this is get -- here the thought is -- you've got it.

Okay. So if we keep all this, it's too much. And if --

MR. BECKER: No, no, no. So the South -- the South San Diego district right now is at only negative 1,575 people. The -- with the areas that have been excluded in red.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right. I was thinking that if we --

MR. BECKER: So that is a place that is close and we could stop and probably find additional population later.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. Yes. Let's stop there, then. I was getting --

MS. TRATT: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to commit these changes.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sounds Great. Sorry.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

Commissioner Toledo.

Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I was just going to -- I was just going to ask if we could see the CVAP for it
after they make -- accept all the changes?

MR. BECKER: It's there. It's 50.62 percent, Latino CVAP.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Is that the -- is that a VRA district?

MR. BECKER: No. That is a VRA area and we should probably discuss the specifics of that district if this is where you want to go in closed session.

CHAIR TURNER: This'll be the second one, I think, area, uh-hum.

MS. TRATT: Okay. Yeah. Should I move onto the next VRA consideration area?

CHAIR TURNER: Please, yes.

MS. TRATT: Okay. Okay. So the next VRA consideration area that Mr. Becker might want to comment on is PCO -- or sorry, POMONTFON, which is on page 86. The next one is RC -- oh, I'm sorry. I'm looking at the wrong part of my notes. That's why the name doesn't match up.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And what was the page -- you said --

MS. TRATT: Sorry. I gave you the wrong --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. TRATT: -- page number.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.
MS. TRATT: So that was my bad.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: No 86.

MS. TRATT: Yeah, sorry. The page number for POMONTFON is 57 -- 57. Thank you. Sorry about that.

Yes. And then, the next one is RIASB on page 58. That is the one I'm outlining with my hand right here. Do you have any comments of --

MR. BECKER: Yeah. So in -- there's two districts that we just discussed. They're both slightly underpopulated. They'll need to be some additional percentages. Obviously, the one that's at 51.59, we're going to want to be careful as we look at that whether or not that satisfies VRA considerations.

I think it's highly likely that it does given the makeup of the district.

MS. TRATT: All right. Continuing on, the final one is RIVMORPER, which is on page 59.

MR. BECKER: Very similar to the last one, slightly underpopulated. 51.1 percent Latino for CVAP, we'll want to keep a close eye on that. I think that it's also likely that this satisfies VRA concerns given the makeup of the district.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes. I was just going ask if you could walk us through these districts in light of
the other changes that had been made in Riverside. So we
can have a sense of what we have to make up for --

    MS. TRATT: Yes. Absolutely.

    COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- to some extent.

    MS. TRATT: Just let me just zoom out to give you a
more macro view.

    CHAIR TURNER: Is that for the previous one as well
as this one? All right. So walk us through the both of
them.

    MS. TRATT: Okay.

    COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: There's all that extra
population hanging out there, so I'm just trying to
figure out can we have a plan for it?

    MR. BECKER: So you've still got significant
overpopulated areas the POWAI district, in Eastern San
Diego County, and in the southwest Riverside area now.
And you've got a -- not nearly as concerning, but still
significant underpopulation now in the BEAVICAL district.

    And then, huge negative deviation in the MOROCOA
district next to it. So this is the ripple effect we
were talking about. Now, population needs to shift from
the South up to the North from San Diego through Orange
and Riverside into San Bernardino. And just, you know,
noting that there are VRA districts throughout here which
causes a big problem with all of this in terms of how --
you know, where your flexibility is to move population.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So as we go through it, if you
can help us point out points of flexibility that you see.

Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I think that was kind
of my point, Chair. It was just as you mentioned, right?
Like, I'm wondering if there is a path forward and I
would ask for the mapper's assistance in helping find
this path in which we don't have to touch a lot of those
other VRA districts today. When I had reviewed them
before this meeting, I thought they were generally
looking pretty good.

And can we figure out how -- like, what are our
options for taking care of all of the -- the population
deviations in that Poway area. That might suggest some
changes to the district we just created there, that SECA
one. And then, the San Bernardino, I mean, right above
San Bernardino is Inyo. And as we talked about earlier
today, I think it was Commissioner Yee, had mentioned.

There is nowhere for that population to go but South. So
I'm wondering if that might be some of our connective
tissue from our conversation this morning.

I just said a whole lot. So I think it's going to
take us a while to work through all of those components.
But I would really want to lean on the expertise of our
line drawers to help us find a path forward.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Jaime.

MS. CLARK: Sure. Thank you so much for that question. So just sort of looking at this region overall, all of the changes that have been made have been contained within this Southern California region. And I think they can stay that way. Looking at the map, it isn't going to cause a whole redraw of the entire state, fortunately.

So from here, if we're looking at this Poway, kind of district, it would be moving population North into the already overpopulated, of course, southwest Riverside visualization. And then, moving population from there because there is some districts right now with more constraints on them than the other consideration areas that may not want to, you know, mess around with too much.

So moving it through this kind of channel up into the BEAVICAL and then balancing that over to the MORCOA visualization. So basically, it's just moving population North and East.

MR. BECKER: So if I may, that's exactly right. And if I were to make a suggestion, I would say start with the Poway district. Get that from the Southern border up to population. Transfer the excess population to the
SWRIV district. Then, get that district to equal population by removing Northern area and placing it in the BEAVICAL district.

And then, when the BEAVICAL district is overpopulated, move the excess populations in the Eastern part of that district to MORCOA.

CHAIR TURNER: Jaime?

MS. CLARK: Yes, absolutely. Completely agree. And a suggestion could be that Sivan could sort of perform those changes for you and narrate along the way just to get everything balanced pretty close. Then we can pause and then make smaller adjustments based on those sort of big changes that just need to happen for a total population standpoint.

CHAIR TURNER: Come on Sivan, yes.

MS. TRATT: All right. So this is the real moment of truth where I prove my worth, so get ready everyone watching at home. Absolutely. All right. So starting with Jaime's suggestion to move population up into southwest Riverside. I'm going start by selecting some population. One minute.

MR. BECKER: We're still here, Pete.

CHAIR TURNER: We all went home.

MS. TRATT: Yes. Yes, we're still here. We're here.
CHAIR TURNER: Oh, he lost it. I guess he can't hear us.

THE COURT REPORTER: Can you hear me now? Very good.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioners Akutagawa and Sinay, your hands were up. Can we let Sivan do her -- no?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: (Indiscernible).

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Commissioner Akutagawa, go ahead while she's working on it.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: So I'm asking about the -- some of the inland cities that are combined with coastal San Diego. And I -- and I've seen quite a bit of input. It's increased but I think there is -- I do agree. I think there is a big difference between the coast cities and the inland cities. And given that we're making changes to the Eastern inland portions of San Diego and Riverside and San Bernardino, I'd like to -- okay, thank you. I see that she's doing some of those changes.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Could you also look at removing Fall Brook, too?

MR. BECKER: That's in a different district. I'd suggest we focus just on these districts right now and then, focus on the tweaks around the edges after we've completed the population equalization.
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Definitely add all of Santee.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Chair, what time's next break?

CHAIR TURNER: I can't remember.

MR. MANOFF: Chair, your next break is scheduled for 4:40, please.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.


(Pause)

MR. BECKER: Okay. We're about ready to show this to you. Okay. Yeah, great. Zoom out. All right. So now the Southern half of San Diego County is in the Poway district which is -- which unusually does not include Poway. Can you check that little portion East of Poway there? One second. Okay. Yeah, it's contiguous.

That's -- all right.

So the Poway district that was named Poway doesn't have Poway in it anymore. It's only under populated by 826, which is .11 percent. It is -- what is that? Can you scroll down a little bit? Yeah, just all there, I just want to see.

Yeah. Okay. So this is -- this is a solidly populated district in a non-VRA area. What was the Riverside district that we're adding this to becomes overpopulated. It's about double the size, roughly, when
we're -- is that right? Yeah. Roughly double the size once we're done. But that's actually not bad place to be. Because that means, there's potentially two districts there. Okay. Yeah.

MS. TRATT: Okay. So I'm going to go ahead and commit this change to continue moving population upward now that this visualization is balanced.

MR. BECKER: Now, can you -- can you draw a line at the (audio interference)? What I suggest is we're going to -- we're going to draw a line and create a new district at the county line just to see what the difference in population. I suspect the San Diego portion of this is almost certainly not enough to be a Congressional district, but it'll at least tell us how far we have to go. Is that okay with everyone?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes. Thank you.

MR. BECKER: So that's 500,000. And so we're underpopulated there. What we might want to do is take the rest of that Riverside district, move it North into BEAVICAL for now. Even though there's a narrow little neck there. Is that all right? Yeah, that's -- we're going to assign that, if that's okay, to BEAVICAL temporarily.

CHAIR TURNER: And so we'll --
MR. BECKER: And then, start --

CHAIR TURNER: -- see where we're going. Yes.

MR. BECKER: -- and then start take -- no, no, no, no. Take the -- assign the Northern part to be --

MS. TRATT: To move here -- okay.

MR. BECKER: Yeah, to BEAVICAL.

MS. TRATT: Okay.

MR. BECKER: And make that southwest Riverside district entirely within San Diego, at least temporarily. It'll go into southwest Riverside. Does that make sense to everybody so far, how we're moving this mark? Okay. It's -- if you want us to do something else, just let us know.

Assigning this to BEAVICAL right now. Again, this is all just temporarily as we're just trying to keep track of populations. No, no, no, no. Now, we -- now we've got to populate --

MS. TRATT: Oh.

MR. BECKER: -- the stubby RIV.

MS. TRATT: So it's moving almost a million people. So just give us a moment while the software does its thing. Perfect.

So now, that population is moved into the Victor Valley visualization and we now have this population to deal with.
MR. BECKER: So we need to add several hundred thousand into the SWRIV from Riverside County if we do that. And the question becomes from where. And I would suggest that there's either kind of the Eastern portion that goes towards Palm Desert or the Western portion that goes up from Temecula, Murrieta, Wildomar.

CHAIR TURNER: The West -- I think the Western portion, okay.

MR. BECKER: The Western portion will create -- remember this population is going up into BEAVICAL, which is doubly populated so it might be okay. But there's that -- you know, we might -- yeah. Yeah, okay. We'll take direction. What were you suggesting? The Western portion?

CHAIR TURNER: I was.

MR. BECKER: Okay. So where is the -- what -- what heading is that -- that's going to -- that portion is going to South is it? No, get that block to the West. The -- yeah.

MS. TRATT: This one?

MR. BECKER: Yeah. This isn't right. Yeah, I would take out Wildomar.

MS. TRATT: Okay. Start up at the split in the --

MR. BECKER: Take out -- I'd take out Wildomar and go to the East of Temecula. Thank you.
(Pause)

CHAIR TURNER: We'll have a break in five minutes.

MR. BECKER: Okay. Commissioners, where we are now is that the SWRIV district with this red area -- can you zoom out, please? And zoom back in, but don't let it go outside the border. Yeah. This area in red now added to the SWRIV district is at negative 1,330 people. So it's close in there.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

MR. BECKER: Well, wait for direction. You want us to commit this?

CHAIR TURNER: I do want you to commit that.

MR. BECKER: Okay.

MS. TRATT: Committed.

MR. BECKER: Well, what I'd -- so now, what I'd suggest, BEAVICAL is almost exactly twice as big as it needs to be. So I would start from the South. No, I'd start from the South. And go up through that neck near San Jacinto and see how -- maybe draw a line around San Jacinto and see -- or maybe at the county line. Maybe we draw a line at the county line and see where that gets us? What do you think?

CHAIR TURNER: Are you looking at trying to do a split?

MR. BECKER: We are trying to split BEAVICAL into
two districts --

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

MR. BECKER: -- right now.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes. Let's see.

MS. TRATT: Okay.

MR. BECKER: So about right -- the county line right about Calimesa.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I know you have a nice deviation there, but I would like to see Fallbrook moved out of the current district. That's what I was trying to say.

MR. BECKER: Okay. Can I -- can I suggest, we're just going to -- so Fallbrook is in the SOCNSD district is not a district that we're touching yet. But I -- I think we can come back to that. I just want to stay on getting equal population through this area. But I am happy to take direction from the Commission, however you want it.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Akutagawa, with Fallbrook being in the SOC -- South Oceanside, or whatever this is, with it being in this district, are you suggesting that it's moved into the district we're working on?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes. Because the COI
testimony that I've been reading and I've been reading a lot of it, is suggesting that it should be moved in with Rainbow, and Paula, and Temecula.

MR. BECKER: So we're not on that district right now, but --

CHAIR TURNER: So what -- so Mr. Becker, question then for you. So for the South Oceanside, I'm going to call it, that includes Fallbrook right now, we don't know -- do you know how many people are in Fallbrook, Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Right now, we don't have that. We don't need to go there now, I'm just trying to get ready to ask you a question. If we continue on, is the thought process by us moving it later in there, we'll still be able to kind of balance out these areas we're working on?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

MR. BECKER: Is that to us?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes. Yes, because -- because I think the concern Commissioner Akutagawa has is that, yes, we're in other areas now, but Fallbrook will cause again a shift. But in Fallbrook is how many people?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I just looked it up on Google, 31,701.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So it's a small enough number.
So we will be able to balance it again if we move Fallbrook when we get to South Oceanside is what I'm hoping. Okay.

MR. BECKER: Continue.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So we don't -- we don't have to go there now. But I hear the concern in all of the testimony that we've had. We don't want to lose it, and we're just naming it. And Savin, you're doing a great job evening it out, moving us along. We just wanted to flag for you that we will want to move Fallbrook into this area. Okay, you see me? Thank you.

And with that, we do need to go to break at this time. A required break. We'll take our break and at the same time, we will go into closed session for pending litigation.

We will be back no later than 5:30. At 5:30, we will go to public comment. Thank you.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 4:28 p.m. until 5:32 p.m.)

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you so much. And thank you, we are returning now from our closed session. We were in closed session under pending litigation and there were no action taken -- no actions taken.

At this time, we are still in the middle of our Congressional maps; however, we are going to open our
lines for public comment. I know many of you have been
waiting to comment so we're going to hear from you at
this time. And lines will remain open until 6:00. So at
this time --

MR. MANOFF: I'm here to help you with that, sure.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you so much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: In order to maximize
transparency and public participation in our process, the
Commissioners will be taking public comment by phone. To
call in, dial the telephone number provided on the live
stream feed. It is 877-853-5247.

When prompted, enter the meeting ID number provided
on the live stream feed. It is 875-2728-4951 for this
meeting. When prompted to enter a participant ID, simply
press pound.

Once you've dialed in, you'll be placed in a queue.
To indicate that you wish to comment, please press star
nine. This will raise your hand for the moderator.

When it's your turn to speak, you'll hear a message
that says, the host would like you to talk, press star
six to speak.

If you'd like to give your name, please state and
spell your name it for the record. You are not required
to provide your name to give public comment.

Please make sure to mute your computer or live
stream audio to prevent any feedback or distortion during your call.

Once you are waiting in the queue, be alert for when it is your turn to speak. And again, please turn down the live stream volume. We will be enforcing a time limit of two minutes with a warning at thirty seconds and fifteen seconds remaining.

And that'll sound something like this, I will just say thirty seconds and fifteen seconds.

All right. Let's go to our queue. First up, we'll have caller 7330. And after that will be caller 8158.

Just a moment. Okay. All right. Again, up first will be caller 7330. And after that will be caller 8158.

Caller 7330, if you could follow the prompts.

Thank you so much. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Thank you. And good evening. My name is Scott (ph.) and I'm calling in from Long Beach, the city of my birth and the aquatic capital of America. I want to share my appreciation for Commissioners Kennedy, Sadhwani, Toledo, Turner, and Yee for your comments last week about bringing more of Long Beach into a single Congressional district.

Like all major cities, Long Beach is constantly striving to maintain a united cohesive community and would like to stay as united as possible in the maps
you're developing. I also really liked hearing directions to staff to extend the Congressional district for my city down the Orange County coast connecting cities like Seal Beach and even Huntington Beach. That makes a lot of sense since the 405 is a major artery connecting our three cities.

I believe you're getting close to adopting draft maps and I believe the Assembly map that was released this week looks great. Listening to your meetings, it's clear that you all have a really difficult job. And I appreciate your taking the time to listen to our public comments.

In closing, I want to emphasize the importance of Long Beach being as unified as possible in any plan developed. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next we've got caller 8158. And after that will be caller 1457.

Caller 8158, please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six.

The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. I'm part of the Latin community in the San Fernando Valley. I work in the valley and I sold property in Santa Clarita. These two areas are completely different and combining them will be
doing a disservice to each community, especially San Fernando Valley.

The needs of the Latin community and -- is not -- and families in the San Fernando Valley should not be combined with areas that would result in unintended consequences. And the needs of the community would be ignored. Schools, businesses, housing, et cetera are important and many people in the Valley already feel unrepresented.

Combing San Fernando Valley with Santa Clarita ignores the needs of the Latin community. And I hope that you guys will consider how much of the Valley you go into. So the Latin community is not ignored in the San Fernando Valley. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next we have caller 1457. And after that will be caller 9964.

Caller 1457, please follow the prompts.

The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello, Commissioners. As someone who has lived in Santa Monica for many years, I'm concerned that Santa Monica has now been connected to the San Fernando Valley in a Congressional district.

Santa Monica is a place -- as a major West-side Los Angeles place and it belongs with places such like Venice...
Beach, and Marina Del Ray, and the other beach cities down the coast. You have a district, actually, named Shoreline. Santa Monica is the shore.

Santa Monica is not like the hot and wind working-class neighborhoods like of the West valley. Santa Monica is far South of the valley border of Mulholland Drive.

Santa Monicans want a representative focused on coastal protection. The valley has concerns about drought and fire. Santa Monicans surf. Valley residents shop.

Santa Monicans don't travel to the valley. It's nearly impossible to. The 405 Freeway is a parking lot most of the day. There's a logical district for Santa Monica, with the adjacent Venice Beach. Wherever Malibu ends up, it's a small town of about 10,000 people and it shouldn't draw Santa Monica into a mostly valley seat.

Santa Monica's more than 90,000 residents deserve a coast-focused representative. Thank you very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you. Up next we've got caller 9964. And after that will be caller 8293.

Caller 9964, please follow the prompts. Caller 9964, please press star six to unmute.

The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Hello. My name is
Jayden (ph.). I live in Winnetka, a community in the San Fernando Valley. I generally have been happy about the maps in Los Angeles and the San Fernando Valley. However, I feel strongly that you are not giving San Fernando Valley Latinos a chance -- a fair chance to elect candidates of our choice.

In the latest set of maps, you showed a Latino voting rights district called EASTSSV on page 78 of the November 7th visualization. Yet, I do not see an second Latino voting rights district.

There are enough Latinos in the San Fernando Valley not only for -- to justify the creation of a second Latino voting rights district, but I think you have the moral and legal duty to do so. I know you have a tough task trying to create districts that represent the diversity of our state.

Please do not shortchange the Valley Latino communities. Please take the time to create a second Latino Voting Right Act Assembly seat in the San Fernando Valley. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you. Up next we've got caller 8293. And after that will be caller 7733.

Caller 8293, if you'll please follow the prompts.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: (Audio interference) -
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Hello. Hello, my name is Nicole (ph.). I am a resident of Santa Clarita and I wanted to first express my gratitude and respect for those of you putting in the hard work. I've had an opportunity to listen to all that you're saying. And it's quite impressive.

Now, going forward, I will say that I respectfully disagree with the previous caller about the San Fernando Valley and the Santa Clarita. Santa Clarita must and should be included with North San Fernando Valley. We have a lot of people who travers both cities -- both parts of the cities and it's very important that our economic interests align to include the High Desert.

In addition, we should not be combined with Simi Valley. Simi Valley is geographically not part of our region. More importantly, they're not even in the same county. Our interests -- our economic, commercial interests align with North San Fernando Valley.

I think that Latino representation would not be lost as we too have Latino representation here. So please consider that keeping North LA -- San Fernando Valley, the High Desert and Santa Clarita together. Thank you so much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.
Up next we've got caller 7733. And after that will be caller 0073.

Caller 7733, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you so much. Good evening. Thank you for taking another time to hear my comments. I know it's been a super long day. I've been listening in since 11 a.m.

I am a resident of Simi Valley. And I'm calling about the Congressional District 25 and Assembly District 38. And I fully support removing Simi Valley from the current districts and I agree with the previous caller.

I did review the proposed visualization maps that was posted as of November 7th, yesterday. And was very glad to see Simi Valley included with the district that consistent -- major -- mostly of Ventura County. This map seems fair, equitable, and makes practical sense.

Simi Valley has very little in common with Santa Clarita and certainly not anything on common with Palmdale and Lancaster. And it's an actual community of interest with Moorpark, Thousand Oaks and the rest of the Conejo Valley.

It does not have any shared resources with Santa Clarita and it's physically separated from the Santa Clarita with the mountains in between. So the proposed
visualization map of November 7th keeps the community of interest together in Ventura County. And keeps the community of interest of the Antelope Valley and Santa Clarita Valleys together.

And it should really -- also I noticed that the revised map actually keep the Congressional districts at about 6 --760,000 population each, which also makes sense. Simi Valley tends to be ignored by our representatives --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- because they're really focused in LA County and we are not part of LA County, as anybody in Simi Valley will tell you.

So please, please keep the proposed maps which show that Simi Valley is included with the Ventura County and I really thank you for your time. And I thank you for putting Simi Valley where it belongs in Ventura County. And I hope that you're meeting doesn't go too much longer. Thank you so much for hearing me out.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next we've got caller 0073. And after that will be caller 5847.

Caller 0073, please follow the prompts.

The floor is yours.

MR. AI: Thank you Commissioners. My name is Mike
Ai, and I'm calling on behalf of Equality California about Assembly visualization in three key areas. In LA the November Assembly visualization inexplicitly divides the LGBTQ+ community centered in Hollywood, West Hollywood, between three visualizations, ADWESTSIDE, GLENLA, and EDNTEN, as was noted by Commissioner Sadhwani yesterday.

Notably the district divides Hollywood from West Hollywood and connects Hollywood to Glendale, then links West Hollywood to Santa Monica. Despite COI testimony that would disempower the people of Hollywood and West Hollywood. There could be a devastating blow to LA LGBTQ+ community. Their opp -- and their opportunity to elect candidates of choice is particularly unfortunate because the October 27th and November 2nd visualization both have the community unite in a single Hollywood visualization.

We urge the Commission to keep Hollywood and West Hollywood together and unite the heart of LA's LGBTQ+ community.

In San Diego, respectfully, we were frustrated to see that despite robust discussion and directly to the line drawers, the LGBTQ+ community center in Balboa Park and Hillcrest is still divided between visualization SDC, SDCY, and SDSDC as Commissioner Sinay noted yesterday.
We urge the Commission to unite the communities of Balboa Park, Mission Hills, Bankers Hill, Hillcrest, University Heights, North Park, South Park, Normal Heights and downtown San Diego.

As you did yesterday --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thirty seconds.

MR. AI: -- at the Congressional. Finally, we urge the Commission to revisit changes made yesterday that divide the Coachella Valley LGBTQ community in two Assembly visualizations. And we thank Commissioner Kennedy for flagging that problem last night. Please unite us in one district.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Fifteen seconds.

MR. AI: Thank you very much for your time.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next we've got caller 5847. And after that will be caller 3704.

Caller 5847, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

MS. CHUNG JOE: Thank you. Good after -- good evening. My name is Connie Chung Joe. And I am the CEO of Asian Americans Advancing Justice Los Angeles.

We are the nation's largest legal and civil rights organization for the Asian Pacific Islander community serving more than 15,000 individuals and organizations
every year, many who belong to San Diego Valley.

I'm calling to say that the West San Gabriel Valley
must be kept whole at Senate and Congressional levels.
Monterey Park, Alhambra, and Rosemead should not be
separated from the West of San Diego -- rest of West San
Diego Valley like South Pasadena, San Marino, and Temple
City.

I personally have been a resident of South Pasadena
for seven years, and we share many ties with our
neighboring cities. For example, my daughter's
basketball team with the Y has teammates who came from
South Pas, San Marino, Alhambra, and Arcadia. During the
pandemic, our swim team could no longer practice out at
South Pasadena High School, so our entire swim team moved
to practice out of Garfield Park in Alhambra.

We spend our weekends shopping at Target and Costco
in Alhambra and our local H-Mart in Arcadia. Our
communities in West San Diego Valley are closely
affiliated with each other and need to be kept together
as one community of interest. We have heard similar
testimony from other residents of West San Diego Valley
who want to be kept whole and have repeated expressed
that they do not share similarities with the gateway
cities and are distinct from East San Gabriel Valley.

Most importantly, the API community in West San
Diego Valley has been one district for decades and that has afforded us political power and representation.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thirty seconds.

MS. CHUNG JOE: Splitting West San Diego Valley up now would dilute that and be a huge step backwards for our community and particularly now during this period of anti-Asian that has emerged during this pandemic. Having an elected official represent us and be accountable to us at Congressional, State, and local levels is critical.

Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next we've got caller 3704. And after that will be caller 8499.

Caller 3704, please follow the prompts.

The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners.

Thank you so much for your time and for all your hard work today. Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to speak. I live in Simi Valley and I wish for our community to be shared with Santa Clarita in the next legislative district.

We both harbor working-class citizens and retirees who wish to remain in our suburban communities away from large metropolitan areas like Los Angeles. It's very important that our representative and legislators don't
lump us in with the needs of the people in the San
Fernando Valley.

They should be their own district since they live in
the actual City of Los Angeles and have their own
respective needs and services. If you can please keep
Simi Valley with Santa Clarita, we would really greatly
appreciate it. Thank you so much again for all your hard
work and for taking the time to hear me out. I sincerely
appreciate it. Have a nice evening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next we've got caller 8499. And after that will
be caller 5363.

Caller 8499, please follow the prompts. That's
caller with the -- the floor is yours.

Caller 8499, can you hear me?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can you hear me now?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: I can hear you now. Go
ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. I'm disappointed to
see that in the current visualization, the Fresno/Kern
district has gained even more Fresno. The Commission has
mentioned and acted on testimony from so many other
communities yet continues to not even acknowledge the
concerns people like me have.

Fresno and Kern need to separate. Their interests
are too different from each other to have equitable representation. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

And up next we've got caller 5363. And after that will be caller 2668.

Caller 5363, please follow the prompts.

The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. Can -- can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We can hear you. Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello? Oh. Hello. I'm kind of with the -- well, I'm not with the last caller, but same topic. Today, the Commission considered public testimony from multiple communities of interest around the state. But then not even mention the public comment or testimony that has come in from Bakersville where I'm from.

Initially, while discussing the Kern/Fresno district, the Commission considered and acted on testimony from Old Fig Garden, but not from ours. We're trying to have our voice heard and the Commission refuses to even consider our concerns. In fact, the visual -- the visualizations presented today linked more Fresno to Kern than in the visualizations we were objecting to.
Kern County is a unique county with interests that simply cannot be linked to the Fresno County. Also they are really far apart. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next will be -- up next will be caller 2668. And after that will be caller 0778.

Caller 2668, if you could please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. I'm disappointed to see that in the current visualization the Fresno/Kern district has gained even more Fresno. The Commission has mentioned and acted on testimony from so many other communities yet continues to even acknowledge -- or not even acknowledge the concerns that people like me have.

The Central Valley is more diverse than just Fresno and Sacramento. Fresno and Kern need to be separate. Their interests are too different from each other to have equitable representation. Thank you so much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Up next will be caller 0778. And after that will be caller 7664.

Caller 0778, please follow the prompts. Go ahead.

MS. YANG: Good evening Commissioners Ahmad, Akutagawa, Andersen, Fernandez, Fornaciari, Kennedy, Le
Mons, Sadhwani, Sinay, Toledo, Turner, Vazquez, and Yee.

My apologies if I mispronounced any of your names and thank you for taking the time to hear me speak today.

My name is Helen Yang (ph.), and I come with a very simple message. In the past year, we witnessed an alarming rise in Asian hate crimes. One Asian report reported rise in Asian hate crimes but up to 1,200 percent. I've been a personal witness to some of these attacks to Asian Americans in my community.

So on several occasions, I've sat asked myself what the root of the problem is. The sad reality is that Asian Americans in the United States are sometimes voiceless, disenfranchised, and unrepresented. There are fifteen Asian American representatives in the House making up approximately three percent of Congress, even though our population in the U.S. is double that.

I'd specifically like to call your attention to page 50 of the latest Congressional district visualization. I currently live in Hacienda Heights, but grew up attending schools in the Montebello area. So I have experienced both the communities of Hacienda Heights, Rowland Heights, Walnut, and Diamond Bar. And the very distinct Gateway Cities of Montebello, Pico Rivera, Norwalk, and Whittier.

They are different in demographics, businesses,
language access, restaurants, and communities. They are separated by the largest landfill and cemetery in our country, which has resulted in two very distinct communities. The communities of Hacienda Heights, Rowland Heights, Walnut, and Diamond Bar have more in common with communities like Chino Hills and Brea, home to vibrant Asian American communities.

Here is what is incredibly troubling. Southern California currently has three Congressional districts with Asian American voting populations of thirty percent of more. Your current proposed maps reduce the three districts to just one. That's plainly unacceptable.

This isn't about Democrats, Republicans, Liberals or Conservatives. This is purely and simply about representation. Asian Americans are clearly a minority in America that deserve to have a voice. Please don't sep --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Up next we have caller 7664. And after that will be caller 5597.

Caller 7664, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

MS. ARENDSE: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Erin Arendse and I'm calling on behalf of Equality California and as a long-time resident of both Signal
Hill and Long Beach.

I know the Commission has had numerous conversations about how to unite the LGBTQ+ community at the Congressional level. Indeed, we'd like to thank Commissioners Sadhwani, Turner, Toledo, and Kennedy for instructing line draws to unite most of the city and extend it down into Seal Beach and Huntington Beach. Unfortunately, the November 7th visualization continued to divide Long Beach's LGBTQ+ community and separate Signal Hill, which has a significant LGBTQ+ population from the LGBTQ+ community in Long Beach.

Earlier today, we tweeted a video with a fruition that would unite the LGBTQ+ community in a Long Beach district, create an effective API district in Little Saigon, and create an effective Latino VRA seat in Santa Ana. We respectfully urge the Commission to take the actions outlined in our video to connect as much of Long Beach as possible with Signal Hill -- Signal Hill, Seal Beach, and Huntington Beach. Thank you so much for your time.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. Up next we've have caller 5597. And after that will be caller 5858.

Caller 5597, please follow the prompts.

The floor is yours.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners. I'm calling as a sixteen-year resident of Long Beach and a small business owner. I think you've continued to hear a lot from the people in my community who want to see as much of our city united in our district maps as possible. I'm here to fully support that.

Last week, I also heard the Commission give direction to staff to extend the Congressional map from Long Beach into Orange County rather than into the LA Gateway Cities. I think this is the right approach. We have a lot in common with them. And being the coastal cities that we are, we tend to travel North/South along the water rather than up towards the mountains.

I want to thank Commissioners Sadhwani, Yee, Turner, Toledo, and Kennedy for their comments last week. And especially to Commissioner Kennedy, who is really being a champion to the Commission to keep us together. Thank you so much for those comments.

I -- I voted in support of this independent citizens redistricting Commission for my own city because of people like you. And I hope that you will continue to listen to our communities as you draw these maps. Thank you all so much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. Up next we've got caller 5858. And after that will
be caller 6836.

Caller 5858, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

MS. VECCIO: Hello. My name's Irene Veccio (ph.).
And I am calling as a twenty-three-year resident of
Encinitas in North San Diego County. And I really
strongly oppose the proposed visualizations for my
community.

I'd like to request that my community be part of a
coastal district beginning with Laguna Beach and South
Orange County and continuing South to include San
Clemente, Oceanside, Carlsbad, Vista, Encinitas, Del Mar
and down to La Hoya.

These coastal communities are unique in that they
rely on the coast for their economy through ocean
activities, recreation, fishing, and tourism. And they
differ from the coastal cities to the North in that they
get no economic benefit from the oil drilling. However,
we do suffer the effects from of the oil spills as the
recent devastating Huntington Beach spill shows.

For this reason, Scripps Institute of Oceanography
and USCSC should also be included in this costal district
due to their research and attention to climate change and
the issues the coastal district faces. I believe these
coastal cities are distinct from inland cities as well,
which includes Fallbrook and the I-15 East San Diego Corridor because of our dependence on the coastal economic drivers and interests.

So I thank you very much for your efforts and your consideration.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thirty seconds.

MS. VECCIO: That's all I've got.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Up next we've got caller 6836. And after that will be caller 7173.

Caller 6836, please follow the prompts.

The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Commission.

Bakersfield -- Bakersfield feels ignored in today's session. I understand that there aren't any Commissioners from the Southern Central Valley and that you may think that the Central Valley's all the same. But we are pleading with you to consider the Central Valley as more diverse than just Fresno and Sacramento.

Bakersfield is the ninth largest city in California. And we are very disappointed that the Commission is not acting our concerns. Thank you

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next we've got caller 7173. And after that will be caller 9835.
Caller 7173, please follow the prompts.
The floor is yours.

MR. TIFFANY: Good evening. Good evening. Thank you. My name is Bob Tiffany. I'm the San Benito County Supervisor from District 4. I'm extremely concerned with you placement of San Benito within the Cupertino visualization.

I'm calling to strongly urge you to move San Benito County back into the mid-coast visualization. Historically, going back generations, San Benito County and its primary city, Hollister, has always been aligned and in the same Congressional district as Monterey County and the Central Coast.

We have many things in common with Monterey County, the Central Coast, and Salinas Valley, agriculture especially. Demographically, San Benito County is strongly Latino, and is in the Salinas area -- just as it is in the Salinas area. And our farm worker population have always been closely aligned. Furthermore, the tri-counties of Monterey, Santa Cruz, and San Benito have for years worked together on many shared issues of regional concern, such as transportation, housing, and water. Placing San Benito, a small rural county of roughly 65,000 people, in the Cupertino visualization, which includes South San Jose and other areas of much larger
populations, would not only sever this very important and
historically tri-county relationship, but it would mean
that we would lose our voice, drowning out -- drowned out
by a much more populous and urban area. Once again, I --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thirty seconds.

MR. TIFFANY: -- strongly urge, that San Benito
County be moved back into the mid-coast visualization.
Furthermore, I know that my view is aligned with the vast
majority of my fellow San Benito County residents. Thank
you for your time.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 9835. And after that will
be caller 4175.

Caller 9835, please follow the prompts to unmute.

Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Hello. Good evening.
My name is Casey (ph.) and I live in Orange, California.
I wanted to talk about Orange County. I believe that
North Orange County is similar enough to be a designated
community of interest, and to be kept in one
Congressional district. Any map should have Yorba Linda,
Brea, Placentia, Orange, and Anaheim held together in one
Congressional district. These communities share similar
economies. They face the same infrastructure issues, and
they should be kept in one district. Thank you, guys, so
much for your time. Have a good night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you. You have a
good night, too.

Up next, caller 4175. And after that will be caller
0313.

Caller 4175, please follow the prompts. The floor's
yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Awesome. Hi. Thank you so
much for this opportunity to give a comment. I really
appreciate it. So San Fernando Valley residents want to
be in the San Fernando Valley district. So looking at
the maps the commission has released so far, I see too
many San Fernando Valley residents in non-San Fernando
Valley districts. So for example, tens of thousands of
San Fernando residents are in a district whose main
population base is in the Santa Clarita Valley, as shown
in the SCV district on page 80 of your last set of
visualizations.

So this makes San Fernando Valley residents a
minority in this district, and harms their ability to
influence their representative. And education is one
issue that really worries me. So Valley residents have
their children attend schools in the L.A. Unified School
District, but Santa Clarita's children are in a wholly
separate school district. The needs of the Valley
children are much different from those in Santa Clarita and the West Side of Santa Monica. We have to deal with poverty, single-family households, and language barriers in our daily lives. The San Fernando Valley residents need representatives who are focused on trying to influence and improve the L.A. Unified School District, and I fear that under your current maps, they'll be ignored and focus only on the Santa Clarita schools. So please, please listen to our community and keep San Fernando Valley residents with San Fernando Valley district. Thank you so much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Up next will be caller 0313. And after that will be caller 3770.

Caller 0313, please follow the prompts. Go ahead.

MR. PAUL: Good evening, Commissioners. This is my first time addressing you guys. My name is Page Paul (ph.). I am from South Fresno County. I wanted to speak on, first, the State Senate maps. I know a number of the callers beforehand have expressed how the Central Valley is a diverse community, and I wholeheartedly agree that throughout the Central Valley, there is a lot of diversity. The one thing I do want to bring attention to is the State Senate map SBEN-MERCED-FRESNO, which includes coastal communities like Salinas, Hollister,
Soledad, and among many others with a Fresno County-centric district, and I think that's just totally unfair for Central Valley residents.

Central Valley residents do not want to share representation with Monterey County and San Benito County. Central Valley community, again, is incredibly diverse, but we are still much, much more unique and different than coastal communities like Salinas, like Hollister, and I urge the Commission to keep that in mind when we're drawing State Senate districts. I was very, very happy with how the initial visual that came out on 10/27 looked at the State Senate level.

And lastly, I do want to mention that I -- while I get that there's a lot of concern coming from Kern County on not being in a Fresno County district -- I think those communities deserve to be listened to, but at the same time, I think there's a lot more similar with Fresno County communities to Kern County communities than we have --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thirty seconds.

MR. PAUL: -- with Monterey County or San Benito County. So with that being said, I'll conclude my comments, but please, I hope you guys keep that in mind, and don't have Fresno County residents share a representative with --
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Fifteen seconds.

MR. PAUL: -- coastal communities which are so far away from each other. So thank you so much, and I look forward to the final maps you guys are going to pass.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next will be caller 3770. And after that will be caller 7803.

Caller 3700, the time has come to press star six. Go ahead.

MR. GARRETT-PATE: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Sam Garrett-Pate, and I'm calling on behalf of Equality California. I'd like to start by wishing the Chair a very happy birthday.

I'd also like to express our strong support for the NORTHSANM Congressional visualization, which perfectly unites San Francisco's LGBTQ+ community, while doing a great job of keeping other communities of interest together as well. We are incredibly grateful to Commissioner Sadhwani for working diligently last week to get West of Twin Peaks in that visualization. We also largely support the GLEN2BA (ph.) Congressional visualization, which, overall, does a good job of uniting the LGBTQ+ community based in Hollywood and West Hollywood, something that the Assembly and Senate maps fail to do. We would just urge the Commission to fix a
very small division in West Hollywood and keep that whole

city together. Finally, we'd respectfully ask

Commissioners to revisit the Congressional visualizations

in the Coachella Valley where our LGBTQ+ community in

Palm Springs is now divided from their LGBTQ+ neighbors

in North Palm Springs, Cathedral City, Desert Hot

Springs, and other Coachella Valley communities. We

would just ask that you -- that you unite Palm Springs

with the rest of the Coachella Valley in the new

Riverside-Imperial visualization that Commissioners

created today. Thank you so much. Have a great evening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next will be caller 7803. And after that will be
caller 3274.

Caller 7803, please follow the prompts. Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you for taking my call.

I appreciate all of your hard work. The San Fernando

Valley is a unique region. We who live here think our

communities deserve to be together and not be spread out

with non-San Fernando Valley districts at the

Congressional, State Senate and Assembly level. When I

see the map the Commission has produced, I see too many

San Fernando Valley residents being put into districts

based outside the San Fernando Valley.

If these maps stand, the voice of the San Fernando
Valley will be weakened. As a result, the critical issues facing the San Fernando Valley will be ignored. The San Fernando Valley needs representatives working on reducing poverty, improving our schools, helping us recover from COVID, and dealing with the transportation challenges living in our population-dense community. Having San Fernando Valley kept together in San Fernando Valley districts will increase the power of our voice. I ask the Commission to do the right thing: keep us San Fernando Valley residents together; give us our voice in Washington and Sacramento. Thank you very much for your time.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you very much. Up next, we've got caller 3274. And after that will be caller 1986. Caller 3274, please follow the prompts. That's for call -- go ahead. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Yes. My name is Leeza (ph.) and I'm living -- I live in Little Saigon, and I'm calling regarding the divide the district. Just want to let you know that how important to us and the people who live in our area. We would like to keep our district to be Assembly district, Huntington Beach, Garden Grove, Fountain Valley, Seal Beach, Rossmoor, Westminster, and Midway City. And the Congressional district will be Huntington Beach, Garden Grove, Fountain Valley, Seal
Beach, Midway City. And Senate District going to be Huntington Beach, Garden Grove, Fountain Valley, Buena Park, Costa Mesa and Newport Beach. We would like to have the rep that -- the rep that -- we deserve representative who has a true interest in our unique community, tradition and culture. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 1986. And after that will be caller 1270. Caller 1986, please follow the prompts to unmute. Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Hi. My name is Alissa (ph.). I'd like to make a public comment on communities of interest. During the September recall election some towns in Kings County did not have vote centers or ballot drop boxes. Residents of these towns relied on neighboring Kings communities to vote in-person. Even though the area is long and covers a great distance, it makes sense as these communities are connected by I-5 and Highway 99. Residents regularly travel North to South for services, education, healthcare, and more. The Eastern Central Valley shares common concerns regarding agriculture and water. Farm workers live in communities that stretch from Southern Bakersfield all through the Eastern side of the Central Valley and should be kept together. Please keep Kings County whole. Thank you.
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, will be caller 1270, and after that will be caller 7554.

Caller 1270, please follow the prompts. Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners.

I'm calling from East Contra Costa County. Remember when we were young, watching Sesame Street and Bob would come on and play this game called, one of these things is not like the others? Well, when you look at our current visualization, CONCORD TR_1102, this is exactly what we see. We, East Contra Costa County, are the odd ones out in this scenario. East Contra Costa, a San Joaquin Delta community, has no socioeconomic commonalities or common transportation corridors with West Sacramento, Davis, or Orinda-Danville. Our commuters come from the East, not Sacramento to the North -- or from Orinda and Mirada to the West. Our economy and entertainment are tied to the health of the Delta, and we need strong Congressional representation that will not only include legislation to bring back the necessary funding, but also whose priority is the Delta. This is not the case with either community to the North or to the West of us. But you know who does have similar priorities? Stockton. Stockton to the East has farmers who rely on the Delta for fresh water irrigation, and we have common transportation routes.
We've been calling and emailing consistently with this information, but we don't see changes in our area to keep the San Joaquin Delta community whole, including Stockton, that has already been included in the Assembly maps. Please, make our district cohesive, logical and manageable. As a suggestion, please refer to map CVA East Contra_1013. That was the best visualization. Let's reassemble the puzzle and put East Contra Costa back with Stockton so we are not the one thing that doesn't belong. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. Up next, we've got caller 7554. And after that will be caller 5944.

Caller 7554, please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening Commissioners and staff. Thank you for the opportunity to give input. I would like to speak to the Assembly district map known as the 60 Corridor in Southern California. In particular, the City of La Habra has not been incorporated in the current Assembly district visualization, and it really needs to be included with the City of Whittier, with Rowland Heights, Hacienda Heights. It has a very tight tie with those communities and very little in common with the cities in which it's
currently grouped. The cities of Yorba Linda and Brea
are very unlike the city of La Habra, which has a high
Latino community. And this is very important to the area
that La Habra be included with the cities to the North
and not to the East and North of Orange County.

Also with regard to another visualization, the
Senate district map IOC_1107, where the City of Buena
Park and the City of Fullerton are placed in separate
Senate districts. This ignores critical ties that the
residents in each city share with one another. They have
considerable AAPI population --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- including Korean residents
whose diversity is reflected in multiple churches,
restaurants, and markets that tie the two municipalities
together as a community. Thank you for receiving this
input, and I appreciate your good work.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Fifteen seconds. We
appreciate you. Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 5944. And after that'll
be caller 2853.

Caller 5944, please follow the prompts. The floor
is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Happy birthday, Commissioner
Turner. Appreciate you spending your birthday evening
taking public comments from people on something like this. Just want to draw the Commission's attention back to Bakersfield and some of the -- the VRA district lines. The communities included in the current visualizations really pretty much guarantee that that VRA district, which is currently only fifty-two-percent, will no longer be a VRA district as soon as the next election cycle. There are some really high white CVAP precincts included in there that are growing very, very quickly right now. Specifically, Stockdale Estates in Bakersfield, Stockdale Country Club in Bakersfield, Sundale Country Club in Bakersfield. Those areas are -- they're just not going to sustain a VRA district at fifty-two-percent.

You guys really should consider taking those out in order to increase the Latino CVAP for the Kings County and Bakersfield VRA district or else the -- that district is going to -- those people --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- are not going to be able to elect a Latino representative for probably eight years until the next Commission. It's just a lot of very rapidly growing white affluent areas are included in that district right now. All right. Thank you. Bye-bye.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 2853. And after that will
be caller 3802.

Caller 2853, if you could please follow the prompts.

Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Hi, yes. This is Richard, and we honor the Commission's work in developing Voting Rights Act districts that give voice to communities of color and real opportunities to elect representatives of our choice. The '20 Voting Rights Act Assembly seats you have drawn -- eight in L.A. County alone, including one in the Valley, is testament to that effort. We believe, however, that there is enough population to draw a second Voting Rights Act seat in the Valley. By combining small portions of your current proposed Valley seat with areas just South of it, a parallel Voting Rights Act district can be drawn with both seats containing close to fifty-two-percent Latino citizen voting-age majorities.

Census estimates from 2019 show the Valley having a fifty-eight-percent nonwhite majority with forty-three-percent of its residents being Hispanic or Latino, eleven-percent Asian, and four-percent African-American. The San Fernando Valley is a diverse area and needs representation who live in it, who can give voice to that diversity, and not have to be shared with Santa Monica and West L.A. Creating two San Fernando Valley-based
Latino Voting Rights Act districts will meet that goal.

Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next will be caller 3802. And after that will be caller 8161.

Caller 3802, please follow those prompts. The floor is yours.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you so much. My name's Mario Rodriguez, chair member of Hispanic 100, and a resident of Orange County for over fifty years. Good evening. I'm calling today on behalf of the coastal community in Orange County. We have long believed that because of the unique issues of our coast stasis, highlighted by the most recent oil spill, it is imperative that we have a single coastal Congressional district created in redistricting. I organized a petition to argue for Orange County Congressional District and have received over 900 signatures. These communities make sense under one representative, and I'm disappointed that the Commission visualization has not made it a reality yet. We need one Congressional district from Seal Beach down to the coast to San Clemente, which is where I reside, where I live. I hope that we can make that happen during this redistricting process. Of the 900 sign-ons, I would like to highlight the city's numbers.
San Clemente, 90; Dana Pointe, 21; Newport Beach, 379; Huntington Beach, 115; Laguna Beach and Laguna Niguel have 64 sign-ons. We are a diverse community and would like to be kept together. I really appreciate your considerations for this, and looking forward to your input -- looking forward to your consideration. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 8161. And then we'll have caller 4514.

Caller 8161, please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. Go ahead.

MR. MARTIN: Thank you very much. My name is Gary Martin. I am a resident of the Santa Clarita Valley and the City of Santa Clarita, and I'm a member of the Santa Clarita Valley Water Agency Board of Directors. I'm calling tonight to ask the Commission would keep the community of Agua Dulce and perhaps Acton, as well, within Assembly District 38.

The Santa Clarita -- the Santa Clarita Valley Water Agency, the City of Santa Clarita, and the Agua Dulce Town Council all sit on the Santa Clara Watershed Steering Committee, which is part of the Safe, Clean Water Program, and this would help ensure that the community continues to receive access to reliable clean
Furthermore, we have a water contamination problem known as PFAS, which is a big concern for the area, and keeping Agua Dulce, and even Acton, in the Santa Clarita Valley is imperative to continue the work to meet our Valley's water needs, and PFAS cleanup issues. Thank you very much for your consideration, and please have a good evening. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 4514. And after that will be caller 0440.

Caller 4514, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Hello. My name is Richard, and I have a question. Why is the Commission short-changing the Latino community? When I looked at the new Assembly maps this weekend, I saw one -- just one -- Latino Voting Rights district. Why is that? There are enough Latinos in the San Fernando Valley to create at least two Latino Assembly seats. I think the Commission has a duty to create such districts.

Every time I hear the Commissioners speak, I hear them talking about how Voting Rights districts are your second-most important criteria for drawing districts. I urge you to do what you said you would do: Draw a second
Latino Voting Rights Assembly district in the San Fernando Valley. We in the Valley have waited a long time for this opportunity to have districts in which we can elect representatives of our choice. Please, don't deny us this chance. Please, draw a second Latino Voting Rights district. Thank you. I appreciate your time.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 0440. And after that will be caller 5107.

Caller 0440, please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MR. SANATA: Hi. My name is Eric Sanata (ph.). I'm a twenty-seven-year resident of the City of Alhambra in the West San Gabriel Valley. I'm writing to express my strong conviction that the West San Gabriel Valley must be kept whole as a community of interest, and specifically that the cities of Alhambra, Monterey Park and Rosemead not be removed from the rest of the West San Gabriel Valley's Congressional District. The San Gabriel Valley has the largest concentration of Asian communities in the contiguous United States. The region where I live has a rich community history of support for the Asian diaspora, and has bound its community of interest by both its interrelated needs, in addition to material needs, for its large working-class population. The uniqueness
of the San -- West San Gabriel Valley where Asian
identity and class so acutely intersect include the
following: The Cities of Monterey Park, San Gabriel,
Alhambra, Temple City and Rosemead all share very similar
household area median incomes, which are significantly
below that of the wider metropolitan area in which your
housing affordability is judged. These cities all share
the fact that they are all majority Asian populations.
They all have a similarly high percentage of renters that
experience housing precarity. Nearly half of our Asian
population has limited English proficiency with subgroups
of much higher percentage. I've seen firsthand how
language and its effects on social interaction and
government participation are key areas requiring
continued advocacy.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thirty seconds.

MR. SANATA: Our largest public school district
crosses municipal boundaries and encompasses portions of
Monterey Park, Alhambra, San Gabriel and Rosemead. And
our environmental needs are intertwined with our Federal
super fund site for water contamination spanning
Alhambra, Temple City, San Gabriel and San Merino.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Fifteen seconds.

MR. SANATA: Transportation and its effects on the
environment are interlinked in this region by political
coordination as necessary for the environmental justice that's physically been lacking. This aggregates to those cities would throw away so much of the work done by community members in our efforts to ensure --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. Up next we've got caller 5107. And after that will be caller 4018.

Caller 5107, please follow those prompts. Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. I'm a member of the Ocean Club for the Mammal Rescue in Laguna Beach, and the triage for the oil spill took people from the coast to act. It makes sense to have the districting to be the coastal area as one district. They share many things in common from, like, Seal Beach to San Clemente. Coastal communities have different issues than inland, and that needs to be considered. It would be too much for anyone to handle the very topographical elements between the coastal and inland. You would think that the ones appointed in these districts are specialists of a certain type of area so that they can be effective. If there's too much varied issues it will hurt the effectiveness of getting things done. That's it. Thank you, and have a good night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 4018. And after that will
be caller 4828.

Caller 4018, please follow the prompts to unmute.

Go ahead.

MR. TAVE: Hi. My name's Anthony Tave. I'm with the City of Pinole. I'm a council member. I just wanted to -- we have a good group of electives out here in West Contra Costa County, and ask that we stay in East Bay map 1102 and not the map 1107.

I saw that Pinole and Hercules were pushed out of the Assembly area for West Contra Costa County which puts us in a difficult position when advocating. I want to advocate for Pinole to be back in East Bay representation with East Bay map 1102, not with map 1107. It's putting us in -- putting us in 1107 will negatively affect our small town's ability to advocate alongside our other West Contra Costa County cities. We are on regional boards together, JPAs, et cetera, that involve a collective presence and advocacy which the current 1107 map disrupts.

The other issue is that Pinole and Hercules share a trustee for West Contra Costa Unified School District, which puts our board in an awkward position where one person would be advocating in the Solano County area and the other in the East Bays. And I just want to thank everybody again. The ask is to keep us in the 1102 --
East Bay 1102 map, not the 1107. I just want to thank everybody on the call for advocating for your region and all the hard work that the Commissioners are putting in. So thanks again. Those are my comments.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 4828. And after that will be caller 3351.

Caller 4828, please follow the prompts. Go ahead.

MR. RAMIREZ: Hi. Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Salvador Ramirez, and I am calling from the Los Angeles area where currently VAD_NELA_1107 map shows dissolving two of historically Latino districts in the State Assembly. I'm calling to ask the Commission to please do not dissolve these districts that have given the Latino community representation for several decades now. We have fought hard. We have struggled to get representation, and these visualizations do not help. We like what you've done with the Congressional district that overlaps with these districts. We'd like for you to add Eagle Rock to that Congressional district. And we hope that you can heed our recommendations as we are building our coalition in these areas to ensure that our voices are heard. Thank you for all the work that you are doing, and we hope to see the draft map soon.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you. Up next,
we've got caller 3351. And after that will be caller 9218.

Caller 3351, please follow the prompts. Go ahead.

MR. PRITCHARD: Good evening. My name's Rex Pritchard and I'm president of the Long Beach Firefighters, calling from Long Beach. We have been very engaged in this process and I want to thank you all for putting in this kind of effort. I think you've probably heard a lot of people in Long Beach who want our city to be united in the maps that you're drawing for the region. I just also want to share that I really like hearing the Commissioner's direction for Long Beach last week. I think it takes into account the benefits of maintaining unity amongst communities in Long Beach, and also connects us to partners along the Southern California coastline. So if you're thinking of connecting Long Beach communities with Orange County coastal cities for the Congressional district, I 110-percent support that. Please, make sure to move Signal Hill and more of Long Beach from the 710 San Pedro map to Long Beach and Lakewood map. Thank you for your time.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 9218. And after that will be caller 9534.

Caller 9218 -- thank you. Go ahead.
MR. KNOWBLOCK: Yes. Hi. This is Steve Knowblock. I'm a member of the San Clemente City Council, and I'm calling to advocate for a Congressional district in Orange County that includes all of our small coastal cities. I think it's a big mistake to have half of our cities divided into Los Angeles County and San Diego. Los Angeles County -- we have a natural boundary there with the Seal Beach Naval Weapons Station. They have a small beach community -- Seal Beach, and community, South. It doesn't connect very well demographically with the large urban areas of Long Beach, including farther North of the Long Beach and Los Angeles international ports. It's a total community -- it's a very different community. And to include us with -- San Diego also doesn't make sense. You've got Camp Pendleton Military Base, twenty miles long, that totally separates us. I've lived in Orange County, San Diego and Los Angeles County over the last fifty years and believe me, Los Angeles is very different than Orange County, and San Diego is very different than Orange County.

I would encourage you to have the small beach communities from San Clemente to Seal Beach in one Congressional district. It makes total sense, and I would appreciate it if you'd consider that. Keep all of our Orange County beach cities together, and thank you.
very much for your consideration.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you very much.

And as a reminder to those who've called in to give public comment, please press star nine. This will raise your hand for me, the comment moderator. It will give us an idea of how many folks are waiting.

Up next, we've got caller 9534. And after that will be caller 0011.

Caller 9534, please follow the prompts. Go ahead.

MS. ELLIOT: Hi. I'm Annette Elliot (ph.). I'm a longtime resident of Huntington Beach. One of my concerns is I want to keep Huntington Beach together in one district to maintain a town feel. I don't want it -- I want it to be united -- a united community, and I think keeping it together with one district will do that. I am also looking at a Congressional district from Seal Beach to San Clemente, and I think the 605 Freeway as a dividing line would be great. It would keep all these beach cities within Orange County.

The reason I want to have one community is the surfing community -- and it starts in Seal Beach and it goes to San Clemente. And it's kind of the Gold Coast of surfing. And we have different needs and we have different wants and different needs. And we need a representative who's going to focus on that, who really
knows the beaches. And this is one of the most beautiful
natural assets.

We need somebody focused on this natural asset. And
we need somebody to streamline the process in case we do
have an emergency like the oil spill. And I would like
the beach cities have similar needs. These cities are
very different than Long Beach. Long Beach doesn't
really have a surfing community. And I think it should
start at Seal Beach and go down to San Clemente, and keep
these beach communities together with the same ecosystem.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thirty seconds.

MS. ELLIOT: We have tourism and you know, if
climate change comes, we're going to have different
needs, and we need somebody hyper-focused. This is one
of the most beautiful natural assets in California, and
we need somebody who --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Fifteen seconds.

MS. ELLIOT: -- is dedicated to this. So please,
beach communities -- Seal Beach to San Clemente with one
representative. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: All right.

Up next will be caller 0011. And after that will be
caller 7644.

Caller 0011, please follow the prompts. Caller with
the last four digits 0011, please press star six to
unmute. One more time, caller with the last four digits 0011, the time has come. Please, press star six.

All right. Caller 0011, we will come back to you.

Up next, we've got caller 7644. And after that will be caller 0503.

Caller 7644, please follow those prompts. Go ahead.

MR. PAYNE: Good evening, Commissioners, Vice Chair Taylor, and happy birthday to Commissioner Turner on today. My name is Eric Payne. I'm the Executive Director of the Central Valley Urban Institute, and we've been before you before. I just wanted to share with you momentarily as we have sense -- a deep sense of confusion, and we would love to provide the Commission a letter of clarity. And so I think as we respond to the visualizations and today's conversation, we'd like to, again, refer back to the HUD maps that we have submitted. So thank you for this opportunity to give comment, and to really build consensus. We know that the Commission has a Herculean task ahead of them. So thank you for the opportunity. Have a good day.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 0503. And after that will be caller 4205.

Caller 0503, please follow the prompts to unmute. Go ahead.
MR. ARONSON: Good evening, and thank you for your time and commitment to all citizens of California. This is Todd Aronson (ph.) from Modesto. This committee is considering merging two radically different regions of the Central Valley and splitting a city like Modesto into two representative regions where my neighbor may actually have representation from over two hours away in Fresno. Modesto is known for almonds, Fresno for cuties. Not even our harvests are similar.

Furthermore, Modesto is the county seat of Stanislaus County and the Committee is considering splitting part of the city away from the county. That's really incredibly odd. Even the late and great State Senator and Assemblyman, Tom Berryhill, shared with me often how difficult state representation was in such a diverse region on a daily basis. He had constituents from Tuolumne to Fresno at one point. You're compounding that challenge on a national level with this proposal. There are myriads -- literally, myriads of organizations in California with the North Central Valley and South Central Valley moniker, and that's for a reason. The regions are not the same and have truly divergent needs and populations. You will be destroying the community-building efforts and relationships that have taken years to develop. Low-income voters literally will become
disenfranchised with this proposal, and neither region will be served properly. Jerrymandering serves no one except --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thirty seconds.

MR. ARONSON: -- the politically motivated bureaucrats. The process was designed to create representation of the people, not to serve the needs of only one party or the other. So please --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Fifteen seconds.

MR. ARONSON: -- reconsider this grave misunderstanding of the needs in California's great Central Valley. Thank you very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you very much.

And up next we've got caller 4205. After that will be caller 3988.

Caller 4205, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Thank you, Commissioners. Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Raul (ph.). As a resident of Congressional District 34 for over thirty years, I can say that our district has the distinction of representing a multicultural society, predominantly Latino. I'm asking the Commission to please, take into consideration the relationship that we have with Eagle Rock and the northeast with District 34.
While we're glad that our district is keeping many of our communities of interest, in the visualization, we feel that Eagle Rock should stay in the district. Similarly, Echo Park should be in the district adjacent, not the 34th Congressional District. I urge you to keep the integrity of our district and do not fracture it. Please, keep our district whole and include Eagle Rock. I thank you, and good evening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 3988. And after that will be caller 7575.

Caller 3988, please press star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. Thank you. I am a twenty-year Long Beach resident and also a small business owner and father. I wanted to say, that with the Commission's charge not to divide communities of interest, but to keep them together, please consider that the entire City of Long Beach is a community of interest. Along with Signal Hill, which Long Beach pretty much surrounds and which is a city that interacts with Long Beach daily and is part of our community. Residents of Signal Hill and Long Beach travel back and forth into and through each city all day. Sometimes you don't even notice that you're leaving one and entering the other.
That's how intertwined we are.

Signal Hill looks right over the Long Beach Airport and can be seen throughout the city. As you travel about the city, you can see Signal Hill above you. I feel strongly, and my neighbors agree, that socially, culturally, economically, the second-largest city in Los Angeles County, which is what Long Beach is, should be kept together in one district as much as possible, rather than split up and lose our important voice.

We have the Port of Long Beach here, our own local school district, community college district and university here, which happens to be the most popular university in the California State system, and so we need strong representation. Please, keep the City of Long Beach together and include our important neighbor, Signal Hill, in that district. Whether we're looking at Assembly, State Senate and especially for our Congressional representation. Thank you so much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And up next, we've got caller 7575. And after that, caller 2020.

Caller 7575, please follow the prompts to unmute. Go ahead.

MS. FALLON: Hi. Thank you. Thank you for all your hard work. This is a lot. My name is Michelle Fallon
I'm calling from Northridge, 91235, and I'm calling regarding Assembly VAD_SCB_1107, and VAD_CVE_NTU_MALI_1107, and I think they're good -- the ones that you guys came out with today are really good. It puts Simi in Ventura County which totally makes sense. I could never figure out why Simi was not with the rest of Ventura County. So it's perfect. Yeah. Good job. So that's it. Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's it. Go home.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And we're done.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Up next is caller 2020. And after that will be caller 1043.

Caller 2020, please follow the prompts to unmute. Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Redistricting Commission and staff. Thank you for all your hard work. I'm calling on the current map. Latinos are the largest stakeholders in Los Angeles, but the city with four million plus residents and in a county of ten million. Latinos have fought for decades for representation in our own communities across the state. And Latino community continues to grow at a rate of 4.9 percent compared to non-Latinos at 2.3 percent. We finally have the representation needed in the State Legislature with 80-51 and 80-53, and are being provided the much-needed
The Latino community is concerned that we will be disenfranchised with the current proposed map and the Latino voice will be void of its resources -- the resources it needs. The Latino community deserves that you take a hard look at the current Assembly districts as reference for new maps and leave our districts as they currently are, and make minimal changes if needed. But to completely take us out of the districts we have worked so hard to develop, not only will it stop the consistency we have earned, but could be damaging to its constituents.

Latinos have worked too hard for far too long to see it all vanish in 2021. The merging of two seats into one, as proposed by these initial maps, is disseminating the work and clearly demonstrates that all the long and hard work we have put to making ourselves whole will be --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- for naught. We demand a minimum of two strong voices in the legislature for these communities of working families, one that represents communities of downtown L.A., Pico-Union, Boyles Heights, and --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Fifteen seconds.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Up next, we've got caller 1043. And after that will be caller 7952.

Caller 1043, please follow the prompts to unmute. That's for caller with the last four 1043. The time has come to press star six. Thank you again for your patience, everybody.

We are trying to connect with caller 1043. If you'd please press star six to unmute. All right. Caller 1043, we will come back to you.

Up next, we've got caller 7952. And after that, I think we've got time for one more before the break, will be caller 6832.

At this time, caller 7952, please follow the prompts to unmute. Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you so much, Commission, for the time to share public comment. I am here speaking on behalf of the Orange County beach cities. We have a very common and unique culture that we have together. We all love the beach.
Huntington Beach, Surf City, that is a theme that goes all the way down from Seal Beach to San Clemente. You will find surf shops; you will find surf-themed restaurants and you will find our entire community out surfing and enjoying the ocean. We are completely different than L.A. County in every way possible at the foundational level of even the tax codes being completely different.

I'm in support of keeping the Orange County beach cities together because we are a unique community of interest. And I'd also argue that we have a habitat of interest as well with the coast. This representative would not just be representing the people, they would be representing all the animals that a Congressperson would be in control of. This most recent oil spillage showed this. The beaches up and down the OC coast were shut down, and it is the responsibility of one Congressperson to represent all of the people in the coastal community from Seal Beach to San Clemente. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

And I think maybe we could get two more before the break. Next up, we've got caller 6832. And after that will be caller 0457.

Caller 6832, we want to hear from you, please press star six. Go ahead.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. I've been listening to the Commission today, and I've called in to voice my concerns on the Fresno/Kern district. Despite myself and many other Kern County citizens, the Commission has decided to add even more of Fresno. The Commission has considered and acted on the testimony of various other communities of interest, yet continues to ignore ours, let alone acting on them. Kern and Fresno County need to be separated for the good of both communities. Thank you very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

And we have one more caller before we go to break. It will be caller 0457. Please follow the prompts to unmute. Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Hello, Commissioners. My name is Raj (ph.) and I'm residing in the Santa Clarita region. I'm calling to raise awareness of the issue of Simi Valley leaving the Santa Clarita district. One of the reasons is that Simi Valley and Santa Clarita are both bedroom communities where people that work in L.A., particularly, public sector workers, such as police, firefighters, and teachers. The Simi Valley portion of Ventura County and the Antelope Valley are better fits to include Santa Clarita than the San Fernando Valley. For example, the San Fernando Valley,
including Porter Ranch and Granada Hills, share different concerns and issues than the Santa Clarita Valley.

In my opinion, it is essential to keep Santa Clarita and the San Fernando Valley separate. Thank you for your time.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

And again, we are up against a break. If you can hear the sound of my voice, you are still in the queue. Please press star nine to raise your hand. We will be back to take your calls.

Chair, I defer to you to take us to break.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you so much. We will be on a break at this time until 7:15 p.m. Thank you.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 6:59 p.m. until 7:15 p.m.)

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you for waiting while we had our required break. And we are back now to take more of your public comment. Thank you for hanging in there with us. And we are ready with our next caller. Kristian?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much, Chair. Up next, we've got caller 6688. And after that will be caller 1701.

Caller 6688, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Oh, thank you. Hi. I
live in Santa Clarita, and I wanted to fervently disagree with previous callers that state that Simi Valley should stay with the Santa Clarita Valley. It's pretty much of a ridiculous proposal, and I believe that you have the opportunity to right a wrong that was made in the last go-round. We share virtually nothing in common with Simi Valley and must travel through Northern San Fernando Valley to even get to a freeway to take us to Simi Valley. We don't share transportation, because the crossing of county lines -- it is the crossing of county lines. And it's often difficult securing grants for Federal projects because we are vastly different in our needs.

As the third largest city in Los Angeles County, Santa Clarita needs to be the centerpiece of any Congressional Assembly or Senate district drawn here. We do share transit lines with Northern San Fernando Valley and the Antelope Valley Line via light rail that's actually named for the route. I'm thrilled to see our Congressional map include the communities that we're regularly in and have much in common with.

I heard someone earlier bring up L.A. U.S.D. and disparate school districts with Santa Clarita Valley, and those aren't really Federal or State issues. Those are pretty localized issues. So I don't know if that gives
credence to those arguments when it relates to maps or not. What I love about the Congressional and Assembly maps, I detest about the Senate map. What in the heck are you thinking with that -- with that district? Couldn't we try to keep the districts more in line and somewhat similar? The Senate map is really crazy. I've never seen it go so far South. And we really need to -- we need some work for that to make sense --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Twenty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: -- for those of us in the Santa Clarita Valley, the third largest city in Los Angeles County. I wanted to thank you for --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Fifteen seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: -- the work that you've done so far, and to please ask that you relook at that Senate map. It's pretty crazy. So that it's more in line with the shape and --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Up next, we've got caller 1701. And after that will be caller 4589.

Caller 1701, please follow the prompts. Go ahead.

MS. NGUYEN: Hello. Good evening. My name is Katherine Nguyen. I live in Westminster. This is the second time I'm call in because I'm very upset. You have completely ignored our comments on the Little Saigon.
You said you wanted public comments, public testimony and tell where our community of interest is together. We did all of that, and I think you guys still ignore everything any of us in Little Saigon have stated and asked for. We said we don't belong to Santa Ana. You put us in with Santa Ana for the Congressional district. We said we don't belong with Costa Mesa. You put us in with Costa Mesa for Assembly district.

Our communities are Garden Grove, Huntington Beach, Westminster, Midway City, Seal Beach, Rossmoor, Fountain Valley and Las Alamitos belong together. If you won't listen to us, then why tell us that you want to hear our comments? Then give us the reason why you would do the opposite of what we, who live here, know and ask for. This whole process is terrible and makes absolutely no sense. And that's it. Thank you for your time.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thirty seconds.

Up next, we've got caller 4589. And after that will be caller 6045.

Caller 4589, please follow the prompts to unmute.

Go ahead.

MS. GOMEZ: Hello, Commissioners. I'm Georgette Gomez, and I'm calling today to talk to you about City Heights neighborhood, which is the heart of the Nineth City Council District that I had the pleasure of serving
as the San Diego City Council from 2016 to 2020. I want to thank the Commission for its conversation on better keeping the Latino community in San Diego County together today in the Congressional district. And I want to ensure the Commission that City Heights has been joined with the South Bay District before and would be very welcome to be included in the South Bay in districts going forward. City Heights is an immigrant community and in most of our census blocks, our voting-age population is majority Latino. This would allow to include City Heights and the majority live in the district that complies with the Voting Rights Act in the Assembly, Senate, and Congressional maps. The need to (indiscernible) protecting immigrant rights, improving English lang education, (indiscernible) to public transportation, tenant rights for renters, and access to medical health care services and facilities are very similar to those who would have find in the immigrant communities that are in South Bay. So -- South of State Routh 94 communities, like Southcrest, Sherman Heights, Logan, and down the 805 corridors to National City, with Chula Vista and San Ysidro. They are communities that share culture and working-class ties with City Heights. My direct experience of the City Council --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thirty seconds.
MS. GOMEZ: -- was to represent the whole City Heights community, and I can assure you that having one community be represented both at the Assembly and the Congressional Districts are very critical as a government-elected --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Fifteen seconds.

MS. GOMEZ: -- please keep City Heights with the Latino Voting Rights Act District that you were drawing in the Assembly, Senate, and Congressional maps. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 6045, and after that will be caller 5629.

Caller 6045, please follow the prompts. Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Hi. Thank you for taking the time today. I know it's getting late, so I wanted to really let you know that I appreciate your time. My name's Peter (ph.). I'm a resident of Seal Beach. I wanted to focus on the Orange County area and keeping our coastal cities together.

As a active volunteer, in I'm very -- I'm very involved in a lot of our coastal cleanups and a lot of different organizations that take care of our coast. And we have, here in Seal Beach, we have some unique sand replenishment issues that -- that float down to Oran --
to other Orange County beaches, such as Huntington Beach, and Newport Beach, and even Laguna.

I don't know if you've heard, but we have a sand replenishment issue right now in Seal Beach. This is not at all connected to any other beach issues in L.A. County. It is strictly a Seal Beach issue. So the sand erodes, and then that gap will lapse into Sunset Beach, Huntington Beach, Newport Beach, and even down into Laguna. So I'm asking you today to keep our Orange County beaches together as one coastal community. We don't have very much of anything in common with L.A. County, such as Long Beach, so I'm just asking you to keep our Orange County coastal beaches together in one Congressional District. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 5629, and after that will be caller 5277.

Caller 5629, please follow those prompts. It is your time.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Hello?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Hi. Hi, my name's Will (ph.), and I'm calling from Long Beach. Our community has been very engaged in this process, and it's very important to us. Last week, I heard numerous
Commissioners give direction to bring more of our city together, which I really appreciated. I hope that we've been able to convey that Long Beach would like to be as much as -- of our city to be united as possible when you're drawing our maps.

Thank you to Commissioners Kennedy, Sadhwani, Toledo, Yee, Turner and your comments last week. The Assembly and Senate maps for Long Beach look great, by the way.

And now that we're looking at the Congressional map, that it doesn't look like what I would consider our community to be when I'm thinking of the things that we would need to be at the federal level. Please consider connecting Long Beach to our neighboring coastal communities to the South. Last week, we heard several Commissioners offer that as an option, and I just wanted to share how much I support that move. Keeping Long Beach united as a community, and then connecting us to Orange County at -- coastal cities makes sense. Thank you for taking my comment into consideration, and thank you for your time.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 5277, and after that will be caller 1898.

Caller 5277, if you could please follow those
UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Hello there, Commissioners. My name is David (ph.), and I am a Simi Valley resident. And the reason why I wanted to join is to raise the issue of Simi Valley leaving the Santa Clarita district. One reason being, many residents will agree with me, hopefully, is of Simi Valley having the same interests as Santa Clarita and the Antelope Valley of investing in the aerospace industry, which is a major driving force for the economy, an example being Aerovironment.

And I also don't feel comfortable being in the same district as Malibu and Calabasas, which have a way higher median income, and this major economic difference at the local level will prevent any real legislation being passed that would benefit Simi Valley. This economic distance, for instance, will cause the city to pass legislation that essentially would benefit most of the population, the majority in the district being wealthier than the population of Simi Valley with the current redistricting proposal.

And I would like to thank the Commissioners for listening to our concerns, and I would also like to thank the interpreters for helping more Californians be part of these important meetings. Thank you, and have a great
night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 1898, and after that will be caller 7912.

Caller 1898, please follow the prompts. Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Hi. My name is Sandy (ph.), and I'm calling from Fresno. And I have been listening to today's session, and the Commission has made changes to the lines based on testimony from several communities of interest, but has yet to even mention any comments from Bakersfield, which that -- when it came to discussion over the current Fresno District, the Commission acted on public comments from Old Fig Garden, but none of the people of Kern County. We need to have our voice heard, and the Commission continues to ignore us. The visualization added even more of Fresno to Kern, acting directly against our wishes. Kern is vastly different from Fresno County, and should be separated. Thank you for time.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next will be caller 7912, and after that will be caller 1428.

Caller 7912, please follow those prompts. The floor is yours. Caller 7912, can you hear me?

MS. COTO: Hi, Kristian. Hi. Can you hear me now?
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can. Go ahead.

MS. COTO: Thank you so much. Good evening, Commissioners and staff. This is Jacqueline Coto, the director of civic engagement policy with NALEO Educational Fund. Thank you so much for the long hours and dedication to the mapping process.

I'm calling to uplift our recent letter that was submitted to you this past Friday, November 5th, that really supports the announcement of your draft mand -- maps to be released on November 10th. Approving and publishing your first draft map by November 10th will really help ensure our community has sufficient time to analyze the maps and provide meaningful input before the Thanksgiving holiday season begins.

Again, I want to thank you so much for your time, for your tireless work, and happy birthday to Commissioner Turner. Have a good night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Up next, we've got caller 1428, and after that will be caller 2191.

Caller 1428, please follow the prompts. Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Hi. My name is Bradley (ph.), calling in from San Clemente, California, and I just wanted to first of all, thank you all for your hard and tireless work. I know it's not an easy job that you
guys have, so I appreciate you taking in feedback and
trying to do your best to meet the wishes of every
Californian.

With that said, I would like to provide some
feedback regarding the South Orange County, North San
Diego County district. And I would like to say that I
believe you've done a really good job so far. I would
just like to reaffirm that you do keep the portion of
South Orange County as part of this district because it
is important that we keep the Camp Pendleton Base
contiguous, connected in one district. And there are
marines who live in both the South Orange County portion
of the district and the North San Diego County portion of
the district, so it's important that they are both
represented by one representative, and do not have to
travel, potentially, the entire distance of the base to
interact with their representative.

I would also like to reiterate that it is important
to keep the coastal community as the primary portion of
the district because I believe that we share different
environmental and geographic interests that differ from
more inland counties, such as Fallbrook (sic), and I
believe that --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: -- areas that are not
currently in the district, like Long -- Laguna Beach, could be potential additions that would fall into the same category as the rest of the district currently. But other than that, I think the district --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Fifteen.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: -- looks really good, and I appreciate all your hard work. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 2191, and after that will be caller 0682.

Caller 2191, please follow those prompts to unmute.

MR. SAMRA: Hello, Commissioners. My name is Jaspel Samra (ph.). I live in Lodi, and I own a small business growing grapes in our sister community of Galt. I'm calling on the Commission to improve your draft Congressional map by adding Galt, Herald, and Clay into your district that includes Eastern Stanislas and San Joaquin Counties. Our communities of Galt, Herald, and Clay, along with Woodbridge, Acampo, Collierville, Lockeford, Dogtown, Linden, form the Lodi viticultural area, and we share the same advocacy group, the Lodi Winegrape Commission.

We consider ourselves the Lodi area, and we shop, eat, and spend time with each other at the same local events, and have deep ties between our communities. We,
along with farmers and communities in Eastern Stanislas County, like Denair, Oakdale, and Riverbank, are partners in the management of the critical eastside streams, water resources that allow our vineyards to exist and thrive. We rely on the same underfunded roadways to transport our crops from our ranches to the major processing facilities in Modesto, Salida, and Escalon, where our grapes are crushed and processed, and we fear the same challenges in combating soil-borne disease, mitigating flood, and surviving brutal droughts, like the one in which we find ourselves now.

Without our wine communities would not exist, and to split our representation will make our voice quieter and communities weaker. For far too long, we have been silenced in districts that lump us in with heavily urbanized cities like Stockton and Sacramento --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thirty seconds.

MR. SAMRA: -- and sprawling suburbs do not -- who do not share our challenge -- challenges and lifestyle. Your visualization is a huge improvement over the past maps that keeps Eastside Stanislas and San Joaquin County together. I urge you --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Fifteen.

MR. SAMRA: -- to include Herald and Clay in the district, too, and to remove Lathrop into the Stockton
District. Thank you for listening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 0682, and after that will be caller 0469.

Caller 0682, please follow the prompts to unmute.

Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Greetings, Commissioners. As a resident of the Antelope Valley, Palmdale and Lancaster have no reason to be divided any further by Congressional Districts. I want to show my support for the current draft of AVSCV. The Antelope Valley and Santa Clarita Valleys are largely considered sister communities and should be kept together.

Furthermore, Simi Valley differs far too much socioeconomically and demographically speaking. Lancaster is forty-one percent Latino and twenty-one percent African American. It is not fair to ask voters in Lancaster to be lumped with Simi Valley, a wealthier and much less diverse community. We have different issues and concerns, and we reside in completely different counties as well.

And contrary to what several San Fernando Valley residents have stated tonight, the Santa Clarita Valley does not include Simi Valley. That is false, and a quick Google search confirms that. Simi Valley is only two
miles, as the crow flies, from the Santa Clau -- from the San Fernando Valley, versus fifteen miles from Santa Clarita.

Please think about the voices of the people in the Antelope Valley when considering AVSCV or CA-25. I believe any argument to keep the AV or SCV with -- with Simi Valley is purely political. Please keep the current visualization of AVSCV, which does not include Simi Valley. The -- the large majority of folks in our communities agree. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next will be caller 0469, and after that will be caller 0052.

Caller 0469, please follow the prompts. Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. I'm also a resident of Santa Clarita Valley, and I wanted to thank the Commission to keep most of Santa Clarita Valley, along with Antelope Valley, together in the latest Congressional visualization. However, I do have concerns about Santa Clarita Valley and Antelope Valley being lumped in with Northern San Fernando Valley.

Northern San Fernando Valley population density is much higher. They are closer to L.A. They have different water and power supply sources and different concerns than us. Simi Valley, on the other hand, is
driven by the same industries, such as aerospace and law
enforcement, has similar income demographics, property
values. And so Simi and Santa Clarita, even though they
are, as the previous caller noted, are not that close
together geographically, they are fairly close in terms
of what their interests are. There -- there were common
fires that went from Santa Clarita Valley, Cowgirls (ph.)
area, down to Simi Valley and back up again, and so we
have common fire concerns as well. We need Simi, and
Santa Clarita, and Antelope Valley, all three, to stay
together.

The other concern that I have is regarding the
Senate districting around Santa Clarita Valley --
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thirty seconds.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- it puts us -- it takes it
all the way down the I-5 corridor into North Hollywood
and Pacoima and Van Nuys. We have nothing in common with
those communities. They are far more dense in
population.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Fifteen seconds.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Again, they're very, very
different, so please, keep Santa Clarita Valley whole,
and keep it with similar communities. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you. Up next,
we've got caller 0052, and after that will be caller
Caller 0052, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Hi. My name's Carl (ph.), and I live in Newport Beach. I'm calling today because I'm really concerned that there's an attempt to divide the Orange Coast Beach cities. There's a reason we all live in Orange County, and really trying to divide us up into L.A. and San Diego to split our communities that really share a lot of common ground and common values, it really hurts our communities as a whole. We really deserve to have a represent -- a representative who lives in Orange County and deals with the issues that we deal with. You look at how Congressional representatives have to interact with their communities. It makes no sense to split them amongst multiple counties.

Thank you so much for all of your time. And I would also like to wish a happy birthday to the Chair. Thank you for spending your birthday listening to our -- our comments and trying to help make California a more representative state for the rest of us.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 4201, and after that will be caller 6847.
Caller 4201, please follow the prompts. Go ahead.

MR. WALDMAN: Thank you. Stuart Waldman, from VICA and the San Fernando Valley Redistricting Coalition. Appreciate all the callers calling about the San Fernando Valley. Kind of wish they had called a month ago, but I appreciate the -- the context here.

The -- the previous caller talked about the Valley, San Fernando Valley doesn't get its water from the same place as Santa Clarita. That's just not true. We all get our water from the Metropolitan Water District. In fact, the only place that we get our water is coming through the Santa Clarita Valley.

But in terms of the districts, the Congressional District, you know, we appreciate all that you've done. We appreciate that you are maintaining a Latino Congressional District in the Valley that did not exist ten years ago. For the Malibu SFV district, you did add Santa Monica. We have nothing in common with Santa Monica. And I know, as things push, the possibility of Santa Monica being in a district distri -- different district is likely, and we hope that that would be the case.

In terms of the Assembly seats, we appreciate that you've drawn a seat that does not go South of Mulholland, and we hope that we're able to maintain that as you make
these adjustments here.

And as previous callers have -- have mentioned, we do have 760,000 Latinos in the San Fernando Valley. It'd be great if we can try and make the effort to draw two Latino seats in the San Fernando Valley. So we do appreciate that.

In terms of the Senate seats, we appreciate that Studio City has been moved back into San Fernando Valley's seat and -- and that's great. And things are -- are looking all right, although, I have mentioned, we do have a 48.32 percent Latino CVAP Senate seat, which is SCSFV. We'd like --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And at this time, we're going to re-try caller 1043, and after that, we will come to caller 6847.

Caller 1043, please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. One more time, that's caller 1043.

Caller with the last four 1043, you can now unmute by pressing star six. Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. I just want to know that the Linda Akutagawa, she don't belong to this (indiscernible) Commission. She has not once stated why you believe that Little Saigon in Orange County belong to Costa Mesa, Santa Ana, Anaheim. She has no clue, and don't care to listen to our comments. You are self-
serving and give no rationale on any of our map requests, that's why you hate the Vietnamese American. American people are a -- they are just a political agenda.

The map request you drafted for our area clearly show that you have never step into our community, and never wander around Orange County. We know she don't listen to us at this point, so we are asking the rest of the Commission to help us. We make comments. We have testified and gave you all the reasons. Please look at those and help us. You are the one who can save our 40 years of community and development hard work. Huntington Beach, Garden Grove, and most of Westminster, Midway City, Seal Beach, Fountain Valley, and Rossmoor belong together.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So keep us together. Thank you, and good night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 6847, and after that will be caller 6058.

Caller 6847, please follow the prompts. Go ahead.

MR. JOHSZ: Hello. Good evening. This is Brian Johsz. I'm the mayor of the city of Chino Hills, and my gosh. This is the first day I've paid attention to how
you guys are handling the Commission for redistricting, and God bless all of you for -- for this.

And Kristian, good job wrangling -- you know, wrangling all the cats when it comes to phone calls.

A -- for -- I'm -- I'm not calling for any greater regional issues. It's just in the Congressional visualization for the Pomona, Fontana version of the districts, you have a couple of neighborhoods of our -- our small city in that district, and then the complete rest of our city in the Orange County Congressional District, and I'm just calling to advocate to just please keep Chino Hills contiguous into one district.

We've been a -- we've been a San Bernadino County city that has been in Orange County Congressional, and Assembly, and Senate Districts for twenty plus years. We would really, you know, appreciate being -- being in that for the, you know -- for the -- for the future. So please keep us in that. Please keep our Congressional seat contiguous with the Orange County seat, and keep Chino Hills connected.

So with that, thank you very much. God bless you for all you guys are doing, and we appreciate all the hard work you're doing. Have a good night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Up next, we've got caller 6058, and after that will
be caller 8224.

Caller 6058, please follow the prompts. That's caller with the la -- go ahead. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello? Can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yeah. We can hear you.

Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. Hi. Yes. Oh, thank you so much, everybody, for such -- such a, you know, a wonderful job and such a long day for you guys. We really appreciate it. And you know, really -- we will really think -- think about it.

So thank you for keeping all the cities of Orange County in Orange County. You know, Orange County's such a very unique coun -- county, and that's very far apart from L.A. County. So you know, they -- they must stay -- stay -- stay apart. Orange County has to stay in Orange County. L.A. County should stay Orange -- Orange County.

Now, this is specifically regarding a Senate District VSD_INC (sic) and VSD_SAA (sic), which is in North -- Northwest Orange County, and specifically, the City of Cypress. The City of Cypress is in two VSD -- two -- two maps. VSD_SAA (sic) on -- on page 54, and VSD AINC (sic), page 53 on the visualization 1107. So I'm a very long time resident of City of Cypress, and I'm speaking on behalf of many residents of Cypress. We are
on the integral part of neighboring Southern cities of Los Alamitos, Rossmore, Seal Beach, Huntington Beach, and Costa Mesa. So this is a community of interest, where we go to each other's cities to celebrate holidays, events, festivals, sports competitions, and we shop at each other's cities.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So the City of Cypress also cross over schools of Los Alamitos, in a high school district where -- where many kids from Cypress attend. So please keep Cypress in VSD_AINC (sic) on page 53, not on page -- there on page 54. So we are a community of interest. So thank you so much. Thank you very much, everybody, for your time and for including our comments.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next -- just a moment. Sorry for that brief interruption, everyone. Just a moment. All right.

Up next, we've got caller 8224, and after that will be caller 4340.

Caller 8224, go ahead. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello? Do you hear me?

Hello?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We can hear you. Yes, we can hear you. Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Yes. Hi, Commissioner.
I had no intention of wanting to wait for another few hours just to be able to speak again. Anyway, the recent map is beyond ridiculous.

Commissioner Akutagawa, you are crazy, and refuse to listen to our Little Saigon voice. You either have no clue about our community, or have your own personal agenda. Either hear our voice, or just define your reasoning. To say that Huntington Beach doesn't have any community interest with Little Saigon shows your lack of knowledge of this area. Huntington with (indiscernible) and for children who live in Huntington Beach and Westminster, boy and girl (indiscernible) Huntington (indiscernible) in Huntington Beach and Fountain Valley. Fountain Valley High School and Westminster High School is in Huntington Beach Union High School District. Vista View Middle School in Fountain Valley, Star View Elementary in Midway City, and Westmont Elementary in Westminster are in Ocean View School District of Huntington Beach. William Newland Elementary and Isojiro Oka Elementary in Huntington Beach are in Fountain Valley School District.

Commissioner Akutagawa, go ahead, tell us your reasoning and go against the factual community of interest. You have no clue, or just plain hate our Little Saigon community. Shame on you.
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: (Audio interference)

seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Up next, we've got caller 4340, and after that will be caller 2252.

Caller 4340, if you could please follow the prompts to unmute at this time? Go ahead.

MS. LADISH: My name is Kate Ladish (ph.). I live in the Yolo County city of Winters, along the Yolo Solano line and near Napa. Thank you for your ongoing work.

The Commission has received an outpouring of COI input about keeping Yolo County whole and grouping Yolo and Solano together. I'll start with the Congressional map. While I appreciate that the Commission has shifted Yolo out of a district stretching far North, and very much appreciate that the Yolo, Solano, and Napa portions of the Winters area are included in one district, I'm deeply concerned that the November 7th visualization split our tight-knit county into two districts, with Davis, West Sacramento, and Clarksburg in Concord TR, and Winters, Woodland, and the majority of the unincorporated area in Yolo Lake. This is in opposition to public input.

Expressions of our county-wide community of interest include all of Yolo is in one Fire Safe Council, Valley
Clean Energy, a community choice not-for-profit public agency, provides electricity to customers in Winters, Davis, Woodland, and the unincorporated areas. Winters and Davis currently share a supervisorial district, and should not be in different Congressional Districts.

Turning to Assembly, again, I ask the Commission to keep Yolo whole, and include the greater Winters area in one district. This could be accomplished by moving West Sacramento out of WestSac -- WestSac Sac and adding it to the rest of Yolo in TEHENAPA_1107, and by moving the Northern part of Solano that's in the Winters School District out of SOLANO_1107 and adding it to TEHENAPA_1107. Yolo and Solano share wildfire prep and recovery.

Finally, Senate. Of all the visualizations offered so far, Napa, (indiscernible)_1107 best reflect --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Twenty seconds.

MS. LADISH: -- public input. It includes all of Yolo County and all of the greater Winters areas in one district, groups Yolo and Solano together, is compact, and reflects COI input.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Ten seconds.

MS. LADISH: Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 2252, and after that will
be caller 6942.

But before we go to that, if there's anybody who has called in to give comment tonight and who has not gotten a chance to speak, please press star nine to raise your hand. Thank you so much. I see those hands.

Up next, we've got caller 2252, and after that will be caller 6942.

Caller 2252, please follow those prompts. Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (In Spanish not translated).

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Gracias.

Up next, we've got caller 6942, and after that will be caller 6542.

Caller 6942, if you could please follow the prompts?

Go ahead.

MR. RAMIREZ: Hi. My name's Ray Ramirez (ph.). I live in Northeast Los Angeles. Thank you so much for all the hard work you've done in our district. All right. But we want to make sure that our Congressional District needs and must include Eagle Rock. Also, in our Assembly District, please do not dissolve our Districts 51 and 53. Please, restore these historically Latino districts of Los Angeles. Thank you very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you. Up next, we've got caller 6542, and after that will be caller 8209.
Caller 6542, if you could please follow the prompts? Go ahead. The floor is yours.

MS. MARTIN: Hi. My name's Allison Martin (ph.), and I have lived in Orange, California for 30 years. I preferred the previous visualization to the one that you currently have, which had East Orange, Anaheim Hills, and Yorba Linda with the rest of North Orange County. I think it's important to keep these communities together because the area has grown exponentially. These cities lay at the connection of the 91 and the 55 freeways, and we need one representative who understands the infrastructure because we have some of the worst traffic in the County. Thank you very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you very much. Up next, we've got caller 8209, and after that will be caller 8600.

Caller 8209, if you could please follow those prompts? Go ahead.

MR. CRUZ: Hi. My name is Raymond Cruz (ph.). I'm a resident of Simi Valley and I've been a resident for 35 years. I hope -- and I thank you for all the work you have done on it (audio interference). I want to thank you for all that.

As you can appreciate, Simi Valley, Moorpark, and Santa Clarita are very similar communities, and they
share the boundaries of the Los Padres National Forest and mountain ranges. Both Santa Clarita and Simi were affected by the ESPS events, due to the current (audio interference) fires. We certainly need government officials who will fight for our communities to cut down the number of (audio interference) events. Simi, Moorpark, and Santa Clarita should all be in the same legislative districts. Thank you so much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Up next, we've got caller 8600, and then we've got a caller with no caller ID.

Caller 8600, please follow the prompts to unmute.

Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. In today's session, the Commission has made changes to the lines based on testimony --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Oops. That was my fault. Just a second.

Let's try that again, caller 8600. Sorry so much about that. Go ahead. Your time will restart.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. Thank you. In today's session, the Commission has made changes to the lines based on testimony from several communities of interest, but has yet to even mention any comments from Bakersfield. When it came to discussion over the Kern
Fresno District, the Commission acted on public comments from Old Fig Gardens, but not from the people of Kern County. We need to have our voice heard, and the Commission continues to ignore us. The visualization added even more of Fresno to Kern, acting directly against our wishes. Kern is vastly different from Fresno County, and should be separated. Thank you so much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we have a caller with no caller ID, and after that will be caller 4850.

Please listen to the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. Go ahead.

MS. O'CONNOR: Hi. Thank you. My name is Ann O'Connor (ph.). I would like to address the three visualizations from my neighborhood in Northern Sherman Oaks, Los Angeles County. Our neighborhood is called Part of Sherman Oaks, POSO. I like in POSO, and I'm a team leader, along with Bob Andersen, at our Sherman Oaks Homeowners Association. We have been engaged in this process from the beginning by testifying, submitting formal letters, drawing a map, and mobilizing our neighborhood to send in hundreds of comments to the feedback form and Voters First Act.

The Commission's State Senate visualization is acceptable, but only if the Eastern boundary is Hazeltine
Avenue. There are no street names and therefore, it's hard to tell.

The Congressional and State Assembly visualizations are not acceptable, and seems to exclude some POSO homeowners because a strange indentation carveout on the North border.

How to correct this? Starting at the 405 freeway, move East in a straight line, no indentations or carveouts along Oxnard Street to Hazeltine Avenue, and then turn South. Our Sherman Oaks city map and your own State Senate visualization also uses a straight line, starting at the 405 freeway moving East along Oxnard Street to Hazeltine, and then turns South. We won our renaming to Sherman Oaks in 2009. Our boundaries are approximately Oxnard Street to Burbank Boulevard, the 405 freeway to Hazeltine. We do not want to exclude any POSO homeowners or renters.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thirty seconds.

MS. O'CONNOR: This is a technical area -- error that it will exclude 200 homeowners and renters for no good reason. Please listen to us, especially Commissioner Antonio Le Mons, who resides in Studio City, with borders with Sherman Oaks, and he will be familiar with these --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Fifteen seconds.
MS. O'CONNOR: -- streets. My phone is 818-730-2113. We don't understand why the State Assembly and Congressional maps don't look exactly like your very own State Senate map. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

And I'd like to welcome our interpreters, who have logged on to join us. Welcome to the night shift.

Up next, we've got caller 4850, and after that will be caller 2211.

Caller 4850, please follow the prompts to unmute.

Go ahead.

MR. MARTINEZ: Good evening. This is Jose Martinez (ph.). I live in Newhall. I've been living here 23 years. I just wanted to mention and just go along with a lot of people who have called from Fresno. I was born in Reedley, graduated from Reedley College, Fresno State. Worked in television there, and radio. And I agree, they need to separate, and keep it as it is, Fresno, its own district, Kern County, Bakersfield, its own district.

But my main point tonight is this, Commissioners. As a long-time resident of Santa Clarita, I'm concerned about the Congressional, Assembly, and Senate Districts being lumped together in the San Fernando Valley. I don't think it makes sense for our community here in Santa Clarita to be part of the San Fernando Valley since
we share different values, geography, and priorities.

The Santa Clarita Valley has its own school districts. It's public resources separate from the San Fernando Valley, which is part of the city of Los Angeles. That's a key thing. It's part of the city of L.A.

Now, combining both of these vastly different areas would create confusion for our representatives and legislatures to properly address the needs of our community. And this community, as you know, has its -- its challenges, like wildfire prevention, the roads, infrastructure, and public utilities. It doesn't make sense for us to be merged with the City of Los Angeles. So I hope you seriously consider that the Santa Clarita Valley, and the Antelope Valley --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thirty seconds.

MR. MARTINEZ: -- and Simi Valley be part of -- and stay together. Thank you very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

And again, if there is anyone who has called in and has not spoke tonight, and you wish to speak to the Commission, please press star nine to raise your hand. Looks like I've got a couple in the queue that we're not sure about. Thank you. I see those hands going up.

Caller 1302, caller 2931. If you would like to speak,
please press star nine. Caller 5558. If you'd like to speak, please press star nine.

Up next, I've got caller 2211, and after that will be caller 3000.

Caller 2211, follow those prompts to unmute, please.

Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Hello. My name is Rana (ph.), and I live and work in Long Beach, where I am raising two children with my husband. Our community has been very engaged in this process, and it's very important to us. Last week, I heard numerous Commissioners give direction to bring more of our city together, which I really appreciated. I hope that we've been able to convey that Long Beach would like as much of our city to be united as is possible when you're drawing your maps.

Thank you, Commissioners Kennedy, Sadhwani, Toledo, Yee, and Turner for your comments last week. The Assembly and Senate maps for Long Beach look great, by the way. And now, we're really looking at that Congressional map that doesn't look like what I would consider our community to be when I'm thinking of the things that we need at the federal level.

Please add back Signal Hill to our community. Even though they're a different city, they are connected to
Long Beach. And if you are looking for a place to split Long Beach, there's a railroad track that runs along Del Amo in North Long Beach. It's a natural place to divide Long Beach up so that the North part of our city is with Compton and some of those cities to the North.

In addition, we heard direction to move the Long Beach District into Orange County and down the coast. I completely support that. It makes sense. So thank you for your comments. Thank you for taking our comments into consideration.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 3000, and after that will be caller 2395.

Caller 3000, please follow the prompts to unmute. That's caller with the last four digits 3000. Please press star six to unmute. One more time. Caller 3000, you can now unmute by pressing star six. Go ahead. The floor is yours.

MS. CHANDLER: Oh, thank you very much. Hi. My -- yeah. I'm sorry it took so long.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: That's --

MS. CHANDLER: My name's Sue Chandler (ph.). I'm up in South Lake Tahoe. I've kind of observed the entire proceedings today, and I was kind of upset with what I saw happen with El Dorado County. What happened today,
you totally ale -- disengaged the Tahoe Basin from the rest of El Dorado County, Placer. And to us that live up here, that's not acceptable. We're a relatively small, rural community. We need to keep our community intact, and we hope that there will be some way that you can change those boundaries so that Lake Tahoe and the Tahoe Basin is together with El Dorado County and Placer County.

Thank you very much for your time. I do appreciate everything you're doing to make this work. I sympathize with your efforts because I know it's incredibly difficult. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 2395, and after that will be caller 5558.

Caller 2395, if you could please follow the prompts? Again, that's for the caller with the last four digits 2395. You can now unmute by pressing star six, please.

The floor is yours.

MR. GONZALEZ: Hello, Commissioners. My name is Vizer Gonzalez (ph.). I'm a resident of the Santa Clarita Valley since birth, so 19 years. I'm here in support of placement of Santa Clarita, as well as Lancaster and Palmdale areas, with the Northern San Fernando Valley in the Congressional map. This is due to
the rising influence of Hispanics and Latinos in the Santa Clarita Valley. This population would ensure a strong Hispanic voice in Congress.

And I also strongly encourage you, Commiss -- Commissioners to not place us with the Simi Valley area, due to the fact that the Santa Clarita and Simi Valley areas share nothing really in common, and are divided by two freeways that both ha -- pass through the Northern San Fernando area in order to get to Simi Valley, and are separated by a large mountain -- hill, mountain area.

Thank you, Commissioners, for your time, and enjoy your -- your evenings. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.

Up next, we've got caller 5558, and after that will be caller 2931.

Caller 5558, please follow the prompts. Again, thank you for your patience tonight.

Caller 5558, you have been waiting a long time. Please press star six to unmute. Go ahead. The floor is yours.

MR. SCOTT: Good evening. My name is Kevin Scott (ph.). I'm a firefighter and longtime resident of the City of Long -- Long Beach. We've been very engaged in this process, and I want to thank you all for putting in this kind of effort.
I think you've probably heard from a lot of people in Long Beach who want our city to be united in the maps that you're drawing for the region. I also wanted to share that -- that I really like hearing the Commission's direction for Long Beach last week. I think it takes into account the benefits of maintaining unity amongst communities in Long Beach, and also connects us to partners along the Southern California coastline.

So if you're thinking of connecting Long Beach communities with Orange County coastal cities for Congressional District, I support that 100 percent, but please make sure to also move Signal Hill, which is a integral part of -- of Long Beach, and more of the City of Long Beach from the 710 San Pedro map into the Long Beach and Lakewood map. Thank you very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.
Up next, we've got caller 2931, and I'd like to invite caller 1302 to press star nine to raise your hand if you'd like to speak.
Caller 2931, please follow the prompts. Thank you for your patience tonight. Caller 2931, the floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I haven't submit a public comment -- comment online on email, and I have been waiting an hour to testify before your Commission. It
seem to be no matter what I, or anyone in our community
of Little Saigon do or say, it doesn't seem to matter.
It is clear that Linda Akugataga (sic) has an agenda
against our community. Everything we have asked for, she
recommend an opposite, but failed to give any reason to
justify her for recommendations.

I am just asking the rest of Commissioner to hear
from 100 of us who have been made every effort to reach
out to you to ask you to help even. Our community
deserve to have its own representative and with cities
that have been similar community of interest -- interest.
We should be put in with another minority group. That
(indiscernible) with our own rep -- representation.
Don't listen to Linda Agu -- Akutagawa. She hate us.
There are hundreds of communities of interest reason
for you to put Huntington Beach, Garden Grove, Midway
City, Seal Beach, Westminster, Rossmore, Fountain Valley,
and Los Alamitos together. Please hear our voice.
Thank you, Commissioners, and have a good evening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And at this time, we're
going to give caller 1302 a chance to speak. Please
follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six.
Again, that's for caller with the last four 1302, if
you'd like to speak, press star six, please. All right.
Chair, the queue is clear. I defer to you.
CHAIR TURNER: Thank you so much. Thank you so much, Kristian, and thank you to all of our callers, and those of you that are still hanging in there with us. We appreciate you tonight. And thank you for all of your comments on tonight.

So at this point -- let's see. We are at 8:14. We're about a half-hour away from a break, so we're going to take advantage of that time. Commissioners, I'd encourage you to just stand up and stretch and sit back down. We're going to go to our break time at 8:45.

And so what we want to do is to go back to our Congressional maps and kind of power through. I know that we have now our VRA Districts, for the most part, taken care of. We'll see if there are other VRA -- I think there may be other VRA Districts that we'll take a look at as well, but at this point, Kristian, if you'd give us just about five minutes for the line drawers to get set up, and for us to take a quick break?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Five.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 8:15 p.m. until 8:20 p.m.)

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you so much, and welcome back. We are now prepared to go back into our live line drawing session with our Congressional maps. We are going to continue with VRA Districts in Los Angeles.
And so Jaime, we're in your hands, please.

MS. CLARK: Thank you. First, let's please take a look at page 49 of the handout. This visualization includes part of the City of Long Beach, Hawaiian Gardens, Lakewood, Bellflower, Downey. Going to zoom up here so we can see it also includes Bell Gardens, Bell, which is split here for population. It includes Maywood, Huntington Park, Walnut Park, and Florence areas. It also includes Lynwood, which is split. I will turn on the streets layer really quick, so we can see a lot of streets split here at the 105. I'm going to turn that off, because it's going to slow down the map.

And since last week, a change here is just where the City of Long Beach is split. This area, where I'm waving the hand was identified as a Cambodian community of interest, and so just moved the split to respect that community of interest. And this represents a percent deviation of .5 percent.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

MS. CLARK: Next, is --

CHAIR TURNER: Don't move just yet, Jaime.

MS. CLARK: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. Commissioner Kennedy, and then Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I'm a bit
at a loss, as were some of the callers, because the
direction was to bring that Western part of Long Beach
back into the LB North District as far North as possible,
recognizing that you would need a piece of it to bridge
up to Paramount, and then compensate the SP 710 District
with population from the Northern part of LB North, so
Florence, Graham, Huntington, Maywood, Huntington Park,
Maywood, Bell, Bell Gardens, Downey, whatever of that you
need to move to the SP 710 District to compensate for
moving the bulk of Long Beach back together with the
other part of Long Beach. So that was the instruction.
Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Jaime?

MS. CLARK: Yeah. Thank you so much.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Sure.

MS. CLARK: The -- I understood the instruction was
to have the split in Long Beach match where it would be
in Assembly. And this -- the Assembly visualizations for
this week, due to changes requested by the Commission,
had Long Beach whole. So there was not a split in the
Assembly plan. I am happy -- also happy to explore this.
The changes you're requesting would bring the Latino CVAP
in this visualization down, but would bring the Latino
CVAP in the SP 710 visualization up. So there would
wiggle room there, I believe.
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sadhwani, and then Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you. Yes. Very similar to Commissioner Kennedy, but I will be additive, Chair. I think there are a couple additional options here for us. Definitely support those Gateway Cities being put back in the Gateway -- that SP 710 District, including Florence-Graham, Huntington Park, Maywood, Bell Garden, Southgate, it looks like, et cetera. Paramount, I think could probably also go. I think that's where -- an area where we could experiment.

My sense, from the testimony we've received from -- from communities was that some splits of Long Beach could be -- could be okay if we -- if we're respecting some of those communities of interest. So you know, I think there's an opportunity to explore, and the direction I provided last week was, if need be, I think it feels comfortable moving into Seal Beach and Huntington Beach.

Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So Jaime, we're going to give you direction. Want to pick up just a couple of more hands, okay?

For this area, Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank you, Chair.
And yes, lots of input. I think, because we did Northern California this morning, we split a lot of smaller cities in Northern California, and I would love to respect everybody's COI's of not splitting -- nobody wants their city split.

But at some point in time, unfortunately, we will have to do it, and as Jaime mentioned, I mean, the on -- the possibility would be to maybe flop the VRA Districts, right? If we do that. So I am very willing to explore that option, but I'm just kind of throwing it out there that we've split many other smaller cities, and at least it's only a one split for Long Beach. But so I guess if you want to do the hate mail, it would be me, but I would like to support them as much as possible, so we're going to go on an adventure right now.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Actually, before we go into this adventure with Long Beach, I'd like to raise Santa Ana and Anaheim as a potential -- a VRA District that are not together right now, but I want to suggest that we look at them first because it could have implications for other areas, too, including Long Beach.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: No.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Commissioner Sadhwani, we now
want to look at those other areas before we do this? Is that okay?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I'm sorry. Santa Ana?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: That makes a lot of sense to me, and I think it -- as we approach Santa Ana, it's going to have reverberations for Long Beach, so that makes a lot of sense. Thank you.


Jaime, we've not made any changes in that area. We want to go to Santa Ana first, please.

MS. TRATT: Chair, if I may, I haven't presented on the changes that I've made to this visualization since the last time you saw it, and this one has been pretty majorly changed. Would you like me to just give a quick overview of the changes that were made?

CHAIR TURNER: That's Santa Ana area?

MS. TRATT: Yes. So actually, we really restructured this area to incorporate the Little Saigon community. You can see that Westminster, Midway City, Fountain Valley, the majority of Santa Ana, Garden Grove are all kept intact. Obviously, that was in response to Commissioner direction, as well as community testimony about Little Saigon.
It did not look like this last week. It was more centered around Santa Ana and Anaheim, and actually, Anaheim has been entirely removed from this visualization, so I'd just like to point that out. You're looking at a very different visualization than you saw last week that already reflects a lot of Commissioner instruction and feedback.

CHAIR TURNER: That's very helpful.

Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I know that Commissioner direction was conflicting at times. I'm wondering if we can take a look at what had previously existed in this area. I think, if I remember correctly, from about a week ago, it was looking pretty good. And that might be a helpful starting point for us. We can -- think if that reflects what we -- are attempting to do, and then potentially work out from there.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Was this not originally a VRA District, or was -- it didn't meet Gingles 3? Contested?

Okay.

MR. LARSON: This -- this is Dale. I'm happy to jump in. Gingles 3 was -- was when we were looking at additional an -- analysis, so it was close enough that we were -- we were treating like a VRA District, at least
previously, we were.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. And Commissioner Sadhwani, what Sivan is saying, this particular visualization, this current map that's up, Sivan, you're saying we have not seen this before, and we see it now, but Commissioner Sadhwani, as I understand you, you're wanting to go back to even something before what is currently on the screen?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. If we're talking architecture on these maps, yes.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I recall the week prior, there were other adjacent districts where the deviation was way off, so it's going to blow things up a little bit. But I think that the district in that Santa Ana area was more reflective of the direction we were going in. And I do want to apologize to the line drawers if our direction was unclear.

CHAIR TURNER: And is that the 11-2 visualization, or 10-27?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I believe it was 11-2, but I don't have it in front of me, so I --

MS. TRATT: Chair, we're trying --

CHAIR TURNER: I'm sorry?

MS. TRATT: We're trying to find the Shape file so we can pull it up on the map to compare. One moment,
please.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The 11-02 visualization for Congressional did not have Anaheim. So let me look at the 10-27.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible) on our website.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Can I jump in, Chair? The 11-02 visualization had Western Anaheim, half of Orange, all of Santa Ana, and Stanton.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: And if I remember correctly, I think Commissioner Akutagawa had provided some very helpful direction last week about including parts of Southern Fullerton to that district, so I think we've lost some of those pieces.

CHAIR TURNER: But that is the right -- that is the right visualization you're moving from? Yes. Okay. So we'll wait and let Sivan get to that.

MS. MAC DONALD: Just one moment. We're trying to find the file.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I think it was page 64. Yeah.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I have 65.

(Indiscernible).

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I have page 65 from the 10-
27 visualization. Page 65 on the October 27th visualization, that's --

    COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: There were slight -- I'm looking at the two from the two different times. There were slight differences in terms of the inclusion of Villa Park, and Stanton. There were changes between 11 -- 10/27 and 11/2, but I think those are -- either one of those would be a better starting point for us.

    CHAIR TURNER: Absolutely. Okay. And while we're going to decide which deciding point, Commissioner Taylor?

    COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yeah. I'm just trying to figure out the rationale behind the look back. Are we looking for additional communities that are tied together? Are we seeking different populations, or --

    CHAIR TURNER: Yeah.

    COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: -- what's our purpose?

    CHAIR TURNER: Um-hum. Yes. Commissioner Sinay?

    COMMISSIONER SINAY: Great question, Commissioner Taylor. It's -- starting off, it's a VRA. It was -- yeah. We were looking at it as a VRA District with a Latino CVAP. It's also (audio interference) communities and more that have been working together collectively. It's working class, low-income communities that have had a lot of investment to try to -- to help them, you know.
And so they work collaboratively. But the main reason is VRA.

CHAIR TURNER: And what I'm hearing from the initial request from Commissioner Sadhwani is that the previous visualization is closer to what we're trying to see, and just in a time saving, we make him start from that as a mark point, a demarcation point before we take the current visualizations and have to recreate it all. Might get us there quicker.

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay. So I have a question. The one from the 10/27 includes that portion of Anaheim that is separate from Anaheim Hills, and the one on 11/2 does not include Anaheim.

CHAIR TURNER: And the question? What are you -- or statement. What are you saying with that?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Well, my understanding is that the inclusion of Anaheim with Santa Ana was what made it more of the VRA District, not the 11/2 version.

CHAIR TURNER: Mr. Larson?

MR. LARSON: I'm sorry. What was the question?

CHAIR TURNER: Oh, okay. We don't need the -- that answered. Thank you. The question -- had -- yes. That's okay, Mr. Larson. Sorry.

Commissioner Taylor? Okay.
Commissioner Sadhwani, we're back with you. You have the visualization? Which -- are we waiting on Sivan?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I think just waiting to pull that up. As far I can see, the -- some of the differences between -- I've got them both here. Thank you, Commissioner Sinay, for these printouts. Appreciate that.

On November 2nd, the visualization had a Latino CVAP of 50 percent, and included Stanton. It did not include Villa Park, and included, I believe, as Commissioner Akutagawa mentioned, more of Anaheim, whereas on October 27th, thank you, we had cut out Stanton and had included Villa Park, but we hadn't hit the fifty percent threshold.

CHAIR TURNER: So based on --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: So I would suggest starting with the November 2nd.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. And is that -- that's what we have up, Sivan?

MS. TRATT: Yeah. So that's the district that is highlighted in yellow and filled in in that light pink color. And the black lines are the current state of the visualization.

CHAIR TURNER: Linda? Commissioner Akutagawa?
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes. Sorry. I was looking at the wrong map when I said that Anaheim wasn't included, so the November 2nd one looks good.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: So it looks like the population deviation is off in this instance, so we're going to need to pull from somewhere to pull it up.

Commissioner Akutagawa, did you have -- that's what -- that South Fullerton area, is that --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes. I --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- that was the test -- the direction you had given, I believe, last week.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes. Based on the testimony that we got from the People's Redistricting Alliance and OCCET, they had suggested looking at that South Fullerton. There's a portion of South Fullerton that is similar in profile to this community, sharing certain kinds of interests and immigrant, working-class, you know, language services, and other needs are similar and so they share similarities in terms of potential for services in this community.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: So I think my question, then, for the mappers, how do -- how does this work together? We'd like to use this potentially as an anchor point, it sounds like, for Orange County. That's going to blow up a whole lot of things, and I just want to
think about process-wise, I mean, it sounds like it makes sense to bring in some South Fullerton and start looking at some of those census blocks.

But I just want, from a process standpoint, is this something you can kind of take and drop into our current visualizations that we're working from? How might that work?

Thank you, Chair.

CHAIR TURNER: So Jaime?

MS. CLARK: Yes? Go ahead.

CHAIR TURNER: You were -- oh, go ahead.

MS. CLARK: Oh. So this change would impact four of the districts that are currently in this visualization. It would, of course, impact the Santa Ana visualization, it would impact the one called OC inland. This visualization would have a percent deviation of negative twelve.

The North Orange Coast visualization would have a percent deviation of negative 3.81. The OC VLA -- so this doesn't include adding more of -- or adding -- going up into Fullerton, but if we went further North here, this would increase, but the OC VLA, as it's highlighted right now, as the area is highlighted, would have a negative 39.47 percent deviation, and we would still need to add -- well, yeah -- and then, what is left, what
would be left, that's the Garden Grove, Westminster, Midway City, Fountain Valley area, would need about -- it would be negative 42.53 percent of a district, so it would need, you know, almost 60 percent of a district.

CHAIR TURNER: So if we can keep the same thought about putting population, you know, like the swap we were trying to do earlier. So we're looking for areas to swap out.

Commissioner Akutagawa, your hand never went down.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Oh, sorry.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Vazquez? If you're -- you may be still on -- we don't hear you again, Commissioner Vazquez. Darn, darn, darn. Just when you have something great. Yes, Commissioner Vazquez. I'm just teasing. I don't know what she said. Okay.

Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I'm just wondering if it'd be helpful if we put the Latino -- what's it called? The CVAP area so that we have an idea of what -- some of the concentrations.

MS. MAC DONALD: Chair Turner --

CHAIR TURNER: Yes?

MS. MAC DONALD: -- may I please ask a question?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

MS. MAC DONALD: I'm just wondering if there is
perhaps other bordering districts from the 11-2 visualization that might look appealing to you, because if so, then we may be looking at a slightly different rotation here.

MS. CLARK: And I'm going to make the boundaries from the 11-02 visualization green, so they are easier to see. And I'm just going to zoom out a little bit to get --

CHAIR TURNER: While you're zooming out and changing those boundaries, we actually have to take a required break.

MS. CLARK: Got it.

CHAIR TURNER: So we're back at 9:00.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 8:49 p.m. until 9:00 p.m.)

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you so much, and welcome back. Jaime, you were helping us with visualizations, fleshing some things out, when we went to break. And we will allow you to show us what you have, please.

MS. CLARK: Sure. So this highlighted area is an area -- a visualization that was presented last week. It also now includes Southern parts of the city of Fullerton. This highlighted area represents a deviation of 743 people. This is .1 percent deviation. A Latino CVAP is 49.92 percent, so we could, maybe, make -- if
this is something the Commission wishes to explore, we can make this change, and then, you know, refine and adjust after more of the big picture structure is done, or of course, the Commission could leave it for (indiscernible, simultaneous speech).

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I like these changes. I'm also thinking maybe if we add -- I know we're -- we're very close to the deviation, but maybe swapping off the -- I like Commissioner Akutagawa's suggestion of adding a little bit more of Fullerton, just -- (indiscernible) -- I believe it's East Chaff -- let me see my Google Maps. Go up -- it's the road East Chaffman, I think. East Chapman Avenue. West and East Chapman Avenue is what we'd be capturing. If we get -- capture that and reduce some of the Orange --

MS. CLARK: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- that may raise the deviation sufficiently to get us over the fifty percent.

MS. CLARK: One moment, please.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

And while we're doing that, Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Again, if we can -- is there --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Oh, in terms of reason for
that, it's the essential workers and -- that live in that area and like that that are similar to the individuals in Anaheim and other portions of the Santa Ana area.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: It's like my lips weren't even moving on that.

No, I was just going to ask Jaime and Karin if it's possible to bring up the Latino CVAP?

MS. MAC DONALD: She's working on it as --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, okay. Thank you.

MS. MAC DONALD: Sorry. My microphone wasn't on.

So Jaime is working on this district right now.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: It is East Chapman. That's what --

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Toledo, are we still --

MS. MAC DONALD: Chair Turner --

CHAIR TURNER: Yes?

MS. MAC DONALD: -- may I please ask a question?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

MS. MAC DONALD: An -- another possibility is just to maybe keep it at the very close to fifty percent, work on some of the bigger structural changes that you wanted to do, and then go back and do some little clean up here, because this is going to be a lot of --

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, Karin. I --

MS. MAC DONALD: -- clicking around and
(indiscernible).

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah.

MS. MAC DONALD: Would that be okay?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes. And I'm seeing a lot of nods for that. And if we can continue with that thought process through the night, because we are committed, we are can-do people. We are going to complete our Congressional maps tonight. We still got a little bit to go, so.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible).

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So yes, please save that, or lock it in. What's the terminology?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Lock it in.

MS. MAC DONALD: Thank you so much. And this just -- FYI, this district is currently at 49.89 percent --

CHAIR TURNER: Beautiful.

MS. MAC DONALD: -- with a deviation of 463 persons.

MS. CLARK: And I committed the change. The program is updating. So this is the area that I just added, and this visualization is called Santa Ana now, so.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Thank you so much.

MS. CLARK: Yes.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. Just from a process
perspective, I'm wondering if we can finalize and lock in
the VRA areas within this region first, and then figure
out our plan for the rest of these areas where we've had
lots of communities of interest testimony, and some of
it, you know, of course, is conflicting testimony.

And to do that, I wanted to go back to Commissioner
Kennedy's comments, where we started after public
comment, and I think you had mentioned swapping out those
Gateway Cities. I think that there's going to be
reverberations down into Orange County after we do that,
so I -- if it's amenable to the Commission, I think if we
can go back to that, it's going to have reverberations
into Long Beach, which is going to butt up against this
new district that we're forming.

So Commissioner Kennedy, do you want to remind
everybody the direction that you were giving there?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. So the
idea would be to bring as much of the West of Long Beach
back into the LB North visualization from the SP 710
visualization, leaving a small bridge from what is --
from the Northern part, very far Northern part of Long
Beach, to be able to link that -- the bulk of the SP 710
visualization with the area starting with Paramount,
Lynwood, Southgate, Bell Gardens, Bell, Huntington Park,
and Florence-Graham. And compensating for the loss of
the West of Long Beach by progressively incorporating
those, starting with Florence-Graham and working down
towards Downey, until we -- I mean, it's a -- it's a
population swap, is what it's intended to be.

CHAIR TURNER: Perfect.
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: It's not -- it's not intended
to go past these two districts.
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: That's my thought, too. But
possibly down into OC.
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yeah. It may have to, but
the -- but --
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: It might have to. Yeah.
That was my thought of -- of doing Santa Ana then come --
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Right.
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- here and figure out what
we have to work with --
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Right.
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- in that Orange County
coastal area.
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Right.
CHAIR TURNER: I like every process that is speedily
moving us along. That's beautiful.
Commissioner Akutagawa?
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I have a question.
So that SP 710 is not -- it doesn't look like it's a VRA
District, at least at 49 percent, but the Long Beach
North one is at 51 percent. So was one, to start with, a
VRA District, or are we just trying to just make it
something so that we could bring Long Beach together?

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah. I don't believe this was a VRA
Dis -- it was? And we're swapping them out? This is
where the swap is occurring? No.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: The -- the VRA areas --
I'm -- I know Mr. Becker's not here, but I believe they
were those gateway areas up North of Maywood, Bell, and I
no longer have them in front of me, but some of those
Northern cities did require --

CHAIR TURNER: Is it the cone -- cone L.A.?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Chair, we have --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I don't know what cone L.A.
is.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- we have Dale on -- on the
call.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Or maybe it's CD North --
Northeast L.A.

CHAIR TURNER: Dale, are you still there?

MR. LARSON: I'm still here, yes.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Will you answer for us, please?

MR. LARSON: Yeah. I mean, down into Long Beach, we
had found that the third pre-condition had not been met.
I mean, Commissioner Sadhwani is right about those other
cities she was just listing there. So I do think there's
a little bit of wiggle room down there, and certainly,
what I recommend is you draw them how you want, and we'll
see what the CVAPs are, and then we'll do a little bit
more analysis on -- you know, to the extent there are VRA
considerations, whether the remedy would be an effective
one, as well, but I recommend that you draw it as you're
going forward now, and we can do some more analysis at
that point.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: And then, just for the
reminder, I think South 60 is a VRA District, right? So
we're locked in there? Okay. Just making sure. Thank
you.

MS. CLARK: Commissioners, the highlighted area --
gets caught up on your maps yet -- represents 216 -- no,
excuse me -- 213,000 people. This includes Signal Hill
and areas of Long Beach. Where the hand is waving right
now is the northernmost part of Long Beach. I could
bring the highlighted area down a little bit if you wish.
This highlighted area is smaller in population than the
areas of Florence, Walnut, Huntington Park, Maywood, the
Southern part of Bell, Bell Gardens, and Cudahy, so not
all of those cities could go into SP 710, but most of them could.

Should I commit this change and pull the other ones in?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please.

MS. CLARK: Thank you. One moment.

(Pause)

MS. CLARK: The highlighted areas are Florence, Walnut Park, Huntington Park, Maywood, Southern part of Bell, and Cudahy. This swap would make the SP 710 visualization .5 percent deviation. The area that includes much of Long Beach, and also would include Lakewood, Hawaiian Gardens, Signal Hill, Bellflower, Downey, and Bell Gardens -- Bell -- I get them mixed -- yeah, Bell Gardens -- thank you -- would be .72 percent deviation. And the Latino CVAP of SP 710 would become 62.82 percent. The Long Beach-based visualization would be point -- 40.03 percent Latino CVAP.

Would you like to make this change? Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

MS. CLARK: Thank you. So would the Comm -- I'm just going to zoom out. I'll take the streets off, the layer off, just so that it's a little bit less crowded on the map. And these are -- this is the current visualization.
So the SP 710 includes Wilmington, San Pedro, Lomita, Carson, some of the Harbor Gateway neighborhoods, also includes the Northern part of the City of Long Beach, Paramount, Lynwood, for the most part, North of 105, I think was the highway that we looked at earlier, also includes Southgate, Southern part of Bell, Cudahy, Maywood, Huntington Park, Florence-Graham, and Walnut Park. And the Long Beach North visualization includes most of the City of Long Beach, Signal Hill, Hawaiian Gardens, Lakewood, Bellflower, Downey, and Bell Gardens. And Long Beach is the only city that's split.

CHAIR TURNER: That looks good. Okay.

MS. CLARK: And if I could direct your attention to this area in Orange County, there's some deviations to be addressed.

There also, in the map right now, are too many districts because we added a new district and kind of broke some other ones, so that would be addressed in making the changes and balancing the districts.

For example --

CHAIR TURNER: Yes. Go ahead.

MS. CLARK: -- this -- this area with Garden Grove, Westminster, et cetera, Fountain Valley, is negative 42.53 percent deviation, so -- and actually, does it include part of the Tustin area as well?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.

MS. CLARK: So this is a non -- an -- it's area that's assigned to a district. It's noncontiguous. I will make it the -- I'm just going to kind of highlight and blink a little bit here. So it's these two areas make up negative 42 percent of the district, so they could be --

CHAIR TURNER: Combined.

MS. CLARK: -- absorbed by other districts that then could be balanced out. I would suggest, just because of the shape of this Santa Ana-based district, and sort of where it is on the map, that you start in one area and almost do, like, a circle, either going clockwise or counterclockwise around that district and just pick up population as you go.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I was going to, also, before we moved on too much, invite the community to let us know if there are any minor adjustments needed to SP 10, LB North, and South L.A. In other words, do we need to make any changes with Lynwood? Do we need to make any minor changes in the 710 corridor itself, up the Harbor Gateway community? So please let us know if we need to make any minor adjustments there. Thank you.
CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. I was looking at my map here.

Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Can you come back to me?

Sorry about that.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I wasn't planning to say this, but I'll just mention that I did read COI testimony about -- from the mayor of Lynwood asking that the entire city be kept whole since they're a smaller city, but I know it would kill off the deviation there, but thought I'd just mention that.

Jaime, for Orange County, are you doing Orange County, or is it Sivan?

MS. CLARK: Just for the speed of this process, we're collaborating.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay. I was going to suggest that we perhaps look at starting with North Tustin, Tustin, Irvine, and Costa Mesa, since we received quite a bit of COI testimony for those cities as a community -- a integrated community of interest, and if we were to group them, would they have enough for a district, and perhaps we can look to add, going North from there? Or perhaps even going to -- and taking in Lake Forest.
MS. CLARK: And Irvine?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: All of Irvine.

MS. CLARK: One moment, please. So that is negative 19.45 percent of a district, so it needs population.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Options would be either to go South and pick up Laguna Woods, Laguna Hills, and Aliso Viejo. I did read some COI testimony that they have an affinity in terms of working together with Irvine, Lake Forest, Tustin. Or the other alternative is to go North and go into Villa Park, that Eastern part of Orange and Anaheim Hills.

MS. CLARK: Okay. Can I (audio interference) on that?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I think another alternative is Newport Beach.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I think Newport Beach is better as part of a coastal district. I know that we're hearing a lot from the coastal communities wanting to be at least in a district where they're sharing that coastal communities issues, especially given the oil spill. I think that we heard quite a bit about that and I think that that's going to be important.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I believe there's conflicting testimony about that.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: The length or the breaking
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: We've had testimony in the past about the connectivity between Newport Beach and Irvine.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes. I think there's been some of that, also with Costa Mesa, but also a lot about the coastal communities, too, so I think it's a question of which COI do we want to honor, I think. Just like Long Beach.

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah. So for that, perhaps we want to be mindful of all of the COI, but try to go with our equal population, and whatever gets us there is where we'll have to go.

Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Oh, sorry. I agree with you, Chair, and I think perhaps this is an area where the line drawers can explore from a population standpoint, as well as trying to keep cities whole where possible, what would -- I think we're -- we're -- there's some agreement here. Costa Mesa, Irvine, Tustin, North Tustin, and Lake Forest? What's going to get us closer to that next point?

MS. CLARK: So --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: And I think the other question for -- I would have for the line drawers is,
without having to revisit all of the work we've already
done in San Diego today, is there a way that what we're
working on here connects up to it? I -- that would be a
question that I have.

MS. TRATT: I can answer that a little bit. So if
you look at the SOCNSD visualization, that's obviously
connecting to some of those coastal -- Southern coastal
and slightly inland OC cities, so I would just be mindful
of that as, if you start cutting into that visualization,
that will start to have ripples down into San Diego
County.

MS. CLARK: Thank you. And --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible, simultaneous
speech) --

MS. CLARK: -- I'm absolutely -- Sivan is -- Sivan
is correct, of course. And so if you kind of just work
on trades in these areas, then I think we could explore
that, not having a huge ripple impact into, quite honest,
the rest of the state.

And additionally, just to answer Commissioner
Sadhwani's original question, and this also impacts this
coastal area from Seal Beach, Huntington Beach --
Huntington Beach, Newport Beach, is that there is still
this area, Garden Grove, Fountain Valley, Westminster,
that needs to be in a district. So I just -- looking at
that, I would say that either -- I would say that either Costa Mesa would not be able to go with this Irvine, North Tustin, Tustin area, and instead, the Garden Grove, Fountain Valley area could be connected through Los Alamitos, and then population sort of rippling around this way, or the Seal Beach, Huntington Beach, Newport Beach-based District would need to be split somewhere along the coast.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: That's basically what I was going to say, except in a much less sophisticated way.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So -- so do we have direction, Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Can you repeat that last part, when you said the coast might have to be split?

MS. CLARK: Sure.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: What would be combined with it? Sorry? I didn't --

MS. CLARK: So because the area, the Savannah Ana vis -- a really fun name -- because that visualization kind of got cut in half, did get cut in half by the new Santa Ana-based visualization, there's this po -- pocket in Garden Grove, Fountain Valley, Westminster, and Midway City area that needs to go with a district. It needs to
be included in a district that is properly populated. So either, if it was to go with a city on the coast, then this current visualization that goes Laguna Beach, Newport Beach, Huntington Beach, Seal Beach, would need to be cut somewhere just to accommodate for this added population, which is almost half of a Congressional District in size and population, or this area could go -- be connected with sort of Los Alamitos, Rossmore, Cypress, and then you'd have to ripple population through this way.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Oh, okay. I see what you're saying. Despite what we've heard from some testimony, I do support keeping that community together. We've also heard from -- again, from the People's Redistricting Alliance and other community members from the Little Saigon community that they do believe that there are shared community interests with other communities, such as Los Alamitos, Rossmore, Cypress, Buena Park, and Fullerton, so then we could keep a coastal district intact as best as we can. I think that would probably have the least ripple effects, is what it looks like from what you just showed us; is that correct?

MS. CLARK: Because this is such a big change, without actually trying it, I'm not sure what would have the most or least ripple effects, and to kind of
generally preserve how this visualization is looking right now, the coastal visualization, then you would need to remove Costa Mesa from this -- you would need to remove Costa Mesa. In other words, Costa Mesa would need to stay with Newport Beach, Huntington Beach, et cetera.

And I would actually suggest, probably pausing on this change for a moment and instead, focusing here and moving this pocket of population out, and then starting to ripple from there.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

MS. CLARK: Otherwise, we're going to have, like, a bubble somewhere, kind of like we do right now. There's a couple bubbles --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

MS. CLARK: -- that just need to be --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Jaime --

MS. CLARK: -- super bubbles.

CHAIR TURNER: -- let's -- let's see that happen.

MS. CLARK: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah.

MS. CLARK: And before I do that, actually, because this -- this visualization is split in two, the Savannah Ana, then I am going to have -- I'm going to move Tustin and North Tustin into this Irvine visualization. We can change it later. Everything is going to change, but just
so that we know --

CHAIR TURNER: That's fine.

MS. CLARK: -- okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Yep.

MS. CLARK: Great. This is just going to take me one moment, please.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. While -- while she's doing that, Commissioner Akutagawa, can you put your hand down? All right. You've got more? Okay. Yeah.

Commissioner Kennedy and Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. Yes. I'm -- I would be in favor of taking that pocket that is most of Little Saigon and adding Cypress, Los Alamitos, Rossmore, potentially Seal Beach, and depending on what population we need, a Northern inland portion of Huntington Beach, but let's see what population we need. Thank you.

MS. CLARK: So just adding Los Alamitos, Rossmore, and Cypress, the Savannah Ana bubble is negative 46.94 deviation, so it still needs about half a district.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yeah. So that -- that's why I say, you know, it -- we probably are going to be looking at adding Seal Beach and at least inland portion of Huntington Beach to that, and possibly Western part of Santa Ana.
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Is Buena Park accounted for yet in one of these visualizations?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Cerritos isn't there, either.

MS. CLARK: No. I mean, and these, of course, could be moved into a district together, but also, it's kind of cutting off --

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah.

MS. CLARK: -- Artesia, Cerritos, and La Palma.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Artesia, Cerritos, and La Palma are or are not currently in a district?

MS. CLARK: They're currently in the district with the coastal areas, which is kind of the areas we're also grabbing from. So they would be cut off.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible).

MS. CLARK: Would you like to add them to this highlighted area?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: May I suggest -- can we explore this? I believe we've received COI testimony about this as well from AAPI communities, not including Seal Beach here yet, instead, focusing on Rossmore, Los Alamitos, Cerrito -- Cypress, Artesia, Cerritos, Buena Park, and if need be, up into Fullerton for -- for population, and connecting that to the Westminster, Garden Grove, Little Saigon area.

MS. CLARK: Should I explore that, Chair?
CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Yes. Thank you. 9:30.

(Pause)

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: So at this point, are Fullerton, Brea -- Brea, Placentia, and Yorba Linda also orphaned?

MS. CLARK: Yeah. So currently, there's actually an extra district, so we're basically absorbing that unassigned population.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Chair?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: In -- In one of the COI testimonies around Fullerton, it looked like Malvern that turns into Orangethorpe was the dividing line for South Fullerton. I don't know if that would help in terms of that Southern portion that they're going into, and instead of going North-South, go more North for the population, if they need it?

CHAIR TURNER: You said more --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: North.

MS. CLARK: So if you -- I'm just going to zoom out -- take a look at what we have right now, this would be the Garden Grove area, Fountain Valley, with Rossmore,
Los Alamitos, Cypress, La Palma, Artesia, Cerritos, Buena Park, and -- oops, I accidentally -- didn't mean to grab this area of Fullerton, but there's still going to need to be population.

So where to go next for population? This --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Brea is still unaccounted for; is that correct?

MS. CLARK: Brea? Sure. One moment, please.

And actually, Chair, would it be okay if I made this change, just to save it? It's going to make the map go faster if we don't have -- if we have smaller selections.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

MS. CLARK: Okay. Thank you.

(Pause)

MS. CLARK: So this highlighted area, which includes Brea and unincorporated areas North to the county line between Orange County and Los Angeles County, is a change of a little over 47,000 people, and the visualization that we're working on would be negative -- we'd still need population. It's negative 2.86 percent deviation. I'm just going to zoom out to see the shape. This is Brea, Fullerton, Buena Park, Cerritos, Artesia, La Palma, Cypress, Los Alamitos, Rossmore, Garden Grove, Westminster, Midway City, Fountain Valley.

CHAIR TURNER: And so is that looking compact?
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Chair, may I make some
suggestions? (Indiscernible)

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I was going to suggest
perhaps we remove Brea and maybe take a look at adding
Seal Beach now, because at least that would be compact
with Los Alamitos and Rossmore, since those three have
repeatedly -- we've seen lots of testimony that they are
a community of interest.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I would support that.
And -- and a part of the reason, I think we've received
testimony about the district up above that. I don't
think that it behooves us to go into that tonight at this
point in time, but it could leave things open for us to
revisit in the future. So I would support Seal Beach and
Huntington Beach, at this point in time.

CHAIR TURNER: So Jaime, it sounds like we're not
going to take Brea, and we're going to go back down --
oh, you did it.

MS. CLARK: Yes. And including Brea and Seal Beach,
the percent deviation of this visualization would be .47
percent, and if we don't want Brea, can remove it now,
and then look at adding parts of Huntington Beach, so
please let me know your direction.
CHAIR TURNER: Did you say .47 percent?

MS. CLARK: I did.

CHAIR TURNER: Oh. Oh. It's worth just leaving it in there, then, for right now.

MS. CLARK: Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I would agree (indiscernible).

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Huntington Beach is going to -- you're going to get a lot of testimony that they are not going to want to be split. I've already seen quite a bit already.

CHAIR TURNER: So can we lock this in?

MS. CLARK: Yep. I'm just going to pick up some of these water blocks in -- or near Seal Beach. And I actually don't really need to do that right now. That could be left for later. So I'm just going to make the change.

So now, we have the OCSVLA part of Chino -- this visualization, which includes part of Chino Hills, Yorba Linda, and Placentia, and then the coastal district is negative 27 percent deviation, so basically, these two pockets of population need to meet somehow. So a suggestion could be to include -- start working from this Chino Hills area, adding population, moving down this way, and then the rest of the population from what now is
OCS inland would get moved in with the coastal areas.

Does that sound about right?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please.

MS. CLARK: Okay. If -- if it's okay with the Commission, I'm just going to --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Yes.

MS. CLARK: -- do it, and then we can look at it.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay, (indiscernible).

MS. CLARK: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Let's do it.

MS. CLARK: Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: And just as a reminder to the Commissioners, so that we're able to capture agreement when you're saying -- when you're nodding, yeses would be good in the mic, too.

Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Happy to wait, Chair.

(Pause)

MS. CLARK: Commissioners? So if we incorporated this area with Chino Hills, Yorba Linda Placentia. This area includes Villa Park, Tustin, North Tustin, part of Irvine -- I'm not claiming this is an elegant split or a split in an appropriate area, just population be split -- Lake Forest, Mission Viejo, part of Rancho Santa Margarita. This is the same area that's kind of split as
it -- as it is in the current visualizations as presented at the beginning of the day. This would make the area highlighted in red 0.48 percent deviation, and then we'd still need to add the rest of Irvine in with this current NOCOAST. Should I make this change?

CHAIR TURNER: Checking with Commissioners.

Yes? Yes, in the mics?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Actually, Jaime, I know that Irvine really asked to be kept together, not to be split.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: If I may, I believe we did split Long Beach. We certainly have received testimony to not split Long Beach.

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Actually, there had been testimony that if we were to split Irvine, to do so through the middle.

CHAIR TURNER: 5 North.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I believe that there had been testimony about renters --

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah, North of the 5.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- on the one side closer to UC Irvine and homeowners closer to the hills.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: So I would suggest if we're
going to make that split -- what we're looking at now looks appropriate.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes, I remember the testimony from Irvine. And I do remember them saying if you split it -- but I'm just wondering, if we keep Irvine whole, and then you've got some of the other cities to the East, maybe, like Mission Viejo and -- no? I mean --

MS. CLARK: Yeah, so could I respond?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

MS. CLARK: If we get into this area, then we are potentially looking at changing --

CHAIR TURNER: Jaime?

MS. CLARK: Yes.

CHAIR TURNER: You can stop. We'll --

MS. CLARK: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: We don't want to go that route because --

MS. CLARK: Okay, so.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Is that a different district?

MS. CLARK: That's a different district.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, see, I can't tell the lines because you've got the red. Okay, got it.
MS. CLARK: A suggestion from here could be to make this change, add the rest of Irvine into the Newport Beach area, and then discuss if there are different population trades just between what will be the coastal district, and then the Inland district, or maybe also including the district that now includes Garden Grove. But just to make -- kind of lock this in so you have balanced districts to be working with as a starting point.

CHAIR TURNER: And I'd like for us to do that if possible so that we can move. We're pretty close on this, much closer than we were before, and we still need to move through quite a bit and get to North, as well. So I think this is close.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, okay.

MS. CLARK: Great. The program is working, so one moment, please. So we still will need to do some population trades in here somewhere as this change adding the -- and actually, I would like to run just some checks on the map to make sure there's no pockets of population since we just made really big, sweeping changes that included splitting districts in two parts and stuff like that. But this would make the coastal district negative 2.31 percent deviation. It will, it looks like,
completely remove the -- sort of our extra district issue. So if I could make this change and then perhaps, in the city of Irvine, just balance out between these two districts that we're within.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please.

MS. CLARK: Okay, thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Alternatively, rather than changing the split in Irvine, you could look to splitting Lake Forest or changing the split of Lake Forest or Mission Viejo in there, rather than changing the split in Irvine. That's another place you could grab population from.

CHAIR TURNER: So if you -- let's have her --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I would like to see that more than Irvine. I think we've received some testimony, although I'll take a look again at Mission Viejo. But I do believe Irvine would really like to stay. And we've heard quite a bit of -- we've received several COI testimony from Irvine on that.

CHAIR TURNER: Jaime, so if you -- can we come back to that? Did you pull enough of what you need? Where are we?

MS. CLARK: Right now, I'm just looking at getting both of these to within plus-or-minus one percent, and
this would do it. I'm just going to round that out a little. Okay, this would do it. I haven't ran the -- ran the contiguity check or the assignment check.

CHAIR TURNER: That's okay. I just want us to be able to move.

MS. CLARK: Okay, so I'm going to commit this change.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Both districts are around within one percent, now, right?

MS. CLARK: They will be once --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay.

MS. CLARK: -- I commit this change, which I'm going to do.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I just like being first in the queue.

CHAIR TURNER: You and Commissioner Akutagawa, both of you.

Commissioner Sadhway (sic throughout)? Sadhway, see? This is what happens this time, Commissioner Sadhway, getting both of you at the same time.

Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, people keep confusing us somehow or the other, so maybe so we're -- so I just want
to say Irvine is 307,958 people, while Mission Viejo is 93,760. So I would rather see Irvine cut versus Mission Viejo.

MS. CLARK: So Mission Viejo, we didn't cut into Mission Viejo. We just slightly cut into Lake Forest just to grab that extra balancing population.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay, great.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay, that's fine, just --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay, so.

MS. CLARK: So now, it looks like all of those OC districts are balanced for the time being, for population. They're all within an acceptable deviation for kind of tweaking in those final maps. So if I may make a suggestion, I think it would be good to move on.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay, and I'd like that suggestion. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: May I just ask, are we balanced out in that Inland Empire area, before we --

MS. CLARK: So in the Inland Empire area, we are balanced out. One little piece of business that was left a tiny bit open from earlier -- earlier today is that in San Diego, this coastal district still is negative 1.38 percent deviation. This is something, also, that we could, you know, quickly do some -- some population swaps with. And additionally, there are those districts in
Northern California, and I don't know if you want to touch L.A. tonight or not.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Audio interference) --

MS. CLARK: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Well, yes. Yeah, could --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Also, Jaime, I had asked about moving out Fallbrook per the COI testimony. So if you move that out and down, would that also, then -- if you push some of the population down, would that also help even out that San Diego coast population?

MS. CLARK: Some of the population from where, please? I --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Remove Fallbrook from the South-Orange-County-North-San-Diego district, because that had been requested.

MS. CLARK: Okay, so if you were going to move Fallbrook into this, I think that's, we said, about 30,000-something people. Then, you would need to add about 30,000-something people into this visualization, maybe splitting Poway a different city, and then adding 30,000 people from this kind of cluster around El Cajon into --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Or (audio interference) --

MS. CLARK: Yeah, or from the City of San Diego. So that would be a figure --
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, you can't add it from the top?

MS. CLARK: No, there's not enough population.

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah, so this is the area -- Commissioner Akutagawa named it earlier, and we took note of it. We won't try to do that tonight, okay, for Fallbrook. We did take note of it. We'll probably make those changes if we get a community to support that that's really important, still, and what they desire.

MS. CLARK: Okay, so in understanding that we won't touch Fallbrook, would it be okay with the commission if we just went ahead and swapped a couple of blocks in the city of San Diego to get both of the deviations below one percent?

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah, yes, yes.

MS. CLARK: Okay, we'll go ahead and do that now. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, I just want to share a general observation. The deviations are negative as far as the eye can see down here. We don't have to be -- and we've got to get them close to zero. So we don't have to do it now, but we just have to recognize that we've got to move a lot of people down.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.
 Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I mean, we have a lot of COI testimonies for different parts of San Diego that we have said that we're going to wait. And so I just -- we just moved a bunch of people up. So I do hear what you're saying, Commissioner Fornaciari. And I don't want to -- I would like us not to just move one city but look at the -- the testimony we're getting is in big chunks, big groups of cities, that want to be together, or corridors and stuff like that. So either we do all of it or we just wait until after the draft maps, please.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. Well, I'm sorry, I just want to be clear. I mean, I meant San Diego County, Orange County, Riverside. I mean, there's only one positive that I saw in the -- when we were zoomed out, and it was 0.07. And so yeah.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner --

MS. CLARK: Yeah. If I may?

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

MS. CLARK: There are, you know, in -- right now, in Los Angeles County, there are a handful of overpopulated and nearing one percent deviation areas overall. And Commissioner Fornaciari, your observation is, of course, accurate. And overall, we will need to look at moving,
you know, small pockets of population through the state to Southern California for these just really tight deviations.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

MS. CLARK: And that's not something that we need to do today.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Chair.

I just wanted to say out loud what I think is happening right now, and just to get your feedback and thoughts, if I'm on the right path. So right now, we're at a point where we've made a lot of changes to the visualizations. And there seems to be some deviations that are negative; some are positive. So we're moving small pockets of population around to get those deviations as close to zero as possible. And then the goal is, in some future attempt, to clean up those edges based off of all of our requirements, so the population, VRA, et cetera, COI input.

CHAIR TURNER: You are absolutely correct.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: And I just want to remind myself, first and foremost, "draft" means we will make changes to it, by definition. So I am getting more and more comfortable with how things are turning out in Southern California on this draft visualization, not even...
draft map yet, just a draft visualization. And I'm
confident we will finish this tonight.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. We're moving tonight. We're
going to be good, okay.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Audio interference) 1 in the
morning.

CHAIR TURNER: 1 in the morning, 2 in the morning.
Okay.

Jaime?

MS. CLARK: Yeah, so moving this highlighted area
from this Poway -- well, it was Poway -- visualization.
We'll change the names tonight to more accurately reflect
the areas in the visualizations. But moving that out of
this Eastern San Diego County visualization and into the
coastal visualization makes them both within the one
percent.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay, perfect.

MS. CLARK: We're going to make it.

CHAIR TURNER: Perfect.

MS. CLARK: All right.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Audio interference) --

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

MS. CLARK: So with that, then all of the percent
deviations within Southern California region are within
the plus or minus one percent.
And if we could now go back up to the other VRA areas in city -- or Los Angeles County?

So one second, please.

CHAIR TURNER: So we're going to L.A.?

MS. CLARK: So next, if we could all please look at page 50 of the handout? This -- this is the South 60 visualization. It includes Norwalk, La Mirada, La Habra, East Whittier, South Whittier, West Whittier, Santa Fe Springs, Pico Rivera, Montebello, Rose Hills, Avocado Heights, all of the City of Industry, Hacienda Heights, La Habra Heights, Rowland Heights, Diamond Bar, and Walnut. This is a negative 0.38 percent deviation, and I will load up the CVAP, as well.

So as a reminder, what is on the district label is the name of the district, the percent deviation, percent Latino CVAP, percent Black CVAP, percent Asian CVAP, and percent White CVAP.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: My thoughts on L.A. -- and I did have a chance to take a look at it earlier today -- I definitely think there's room for improvement here. And I think that there were areas that we had done in visualizations better in previous weeks. But at the same time, I don't think we're horribly off, right. And I just want to acknowledge I see the testimony coming in; I
know. Walnut, Diamond Bar, don't go with Montebello, and I see all that testimony coming in.

I think, for tonight, thought, these districts are well balanced. They fulfill our initial VRA obligations. I would feel comfortable having these to be a part of our draft and moving forward, because I know we also have Bay Area tonight, too --

CHAIR TURNER: Um-hum.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- and additional considerations in Northern California, and putting a pin in this and acknowledging that we're going to have additional considerations. And certainly, I would invite additional community testimony to help us move this forward in the next several weeks. But I don't know how everyone else feels about it.

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah, I think I'm generally in agreement with that. I'd want to just take a quick look at the Black CVAP for the two areas that were -- like Compton, Watts. Did anything change there? Because I've not seen that yet.

MS. CLARK: So in the South L.A. visualization, which --

CHAIR TURNER: Uh-huh.

MS. CLARK: I apologize; I don't have the page number of the handout right in front of me.
CHAIR TURNER: That's okay. I'm looking at it in
this frame.

MS. CLARK: But this includes Westchester, the LAX
area, much of Westchester, Inglewood --

CHAIR TURNER: Um-hum.

MS. CLARK: -- Lennox, Hawthorne, Del Aire,
Lawndale, Alondra Park, Gardena North of
Rosecrans -- Gardena is split. It includes Westmont.
I'm going to turn on the neighborhood layer here so we
can see it better. Empowerment Congress Southeast Area,
Watts Neighborhood Council. It includes areas --
Southern area of Lynwood, Willowbrook, all of Compton,
East Rancho Dominguez, West Rancho Dominguez. The
percent deviation of this visualization is negative 0.82
percent. Latino CVAP, 36.81 one percent. Black CVAP,
39.03 percent. Asian CVAP, 3.97 percent. White CVAP,
8.33 percent.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. The 10 corridor?

MS. CLARK: That's on page 46. The South L.A.
visualization I just described is on page 46.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Actually, I see. I'm looking
at all of the CVAPs. Thank you.

Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: I just want to agree with
Commissioner Sadhwani. Overall, I like the way this
looks. Everyone gets something they like. Everyone has
to put up with something they don't like. It spreads the
pain, which is definitely one of our goals, so.

CHAIR TURNER: Jaime, I think we're good.

MS. CLARK: Okay, great. Where would you like to
move to next?

CHAIR TURNER: Let's see. I think it's time for --
is it Tamina?

MS. CLARK: One moment, please.

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah.

MS. CLARK: I'm just going to adjust the map.

MS. MAC DONALD: Just one moment. Tamina is one
her --

MS. CLARK: Chair Turner?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

MS. CLARK: May I stop sharing the screen and just
run some of those checks I had talked about, the
assignment checks, and --

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

MS. CLARK: Okay, so it'll just be one second. And

Tamina will be here shortly.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Two-minute stretch break, not
official, not leave the room, just two minutes.

(Pause)

CHAIR TURNER: All right, Commissioners. We're in
open session, right?

Christian? Okay.

While they're doing that, I guess we can discuss a couple of other things.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Bedtime?

CHAIR TURNER: No, not bedtime.

So tomorrow, because I'm so excited we're going to finish our Congressional maps tonight, tomorrow, we'll be doing the same thing with our Assembly maps. And what I'd love to invite all of the Commissioners to do is to start thinking of -- and maybe we can kind of come to consensus tonight -- where we'd like to start with those Assembly districts tomorrow.

And it does not have to be, I'm told, all or nothing. So if we think we are good in the North, wonderful. If we think we need to start with November 2nd or October 27th, if we can figure that out tonight, we can give that information to the line drawers so that they'll come prepared with that tomorrow morning, or if we thought we were good in the South. So it doesn't have to be the entire map.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We can figure that out --

CHAIR TURNER: But to the degree we can give direction tomorrow morning --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible, simultaneous
speech) --

    CHAIR TURNER: Who is talking? Oh.

    So to the degree we can kind of think about that and
give direction tonight for the line drawers tomorrow to
be prepared with how we're going to start, they would
appreciate it. And I think it'll help us move a lot
quicker tomorrow, as well. So any hands or thoughts
on --

Commissioner Andersen?

    COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you, Chair.

    I was actually going to say, of what we're about to
do, there's a huge section I think that's done, from
Sacramento down to Ventura.

    CHAIR TURNER: Are you talking about Congressional
maps?

    COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Congressional map.

    CHAIR TURNER: Okay, so I'm asking questions right
now about Assembly for tomorrow just so that we'll
know --

    COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh, I do have a question
about that. Thank you. One thing, we've done a lot of
changes, and the documents that we have do not reflect
that. So how --

    CHAIR TURNER: Um-hum, so I'll ask that of Karin.

    Commissioner Taylor?
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yeah, Chair.

So this is just how my mind works a little bit, too. In an effort to promote some consistency in our process, I think we should run it the same way, start in the same location and work in the same rounds that we did. It doesn't show that we're arbitrary or picking something different. I think it promotes a similar process in thought.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, and we can do the process. What I'm asking about as far as -- like, today, there were a couple of areas, a couple of days ago, I asked about which visualization, and we thought we wanted to take it from current. And then we got to a couple of areas, and we were so far off, we decided to take a different visualization.

What I'm asking, and what has been requested of us by the line drawers, is if indeed we know tonight of a visualization that'll get us quicker -- because we have all of Assembly that we need to complete tomorrow. If we know of a visualization in a particular area, that would be a great starting point. They want to load or prepare that to start the day with. And we can still take it from the same order and process that we took today, but it would be a starting place that will have us in a little better position.
Jaime?

MS. CLARK: Thank you so much, Chair Turner.

Just a note to Commissioners, when you're considering this, is that because the Northern California, basically everything North -- and central California, everything North of L.A. and the Southern California region, because those areas kind of ricochet off of each other so much, we wouldn't be able to pull Central Valley from one visualization and --

CHAIR TURNER: Different (indiscernible, simultaneous speech), um-hum.

MS. CLARK: -- Central Coast from a different visualization. That would mean to go in one chunk.

And because our tradeoffs between L.A. and Ventura County, and L.A. and Kern County, and San Bernardino and Kern County, haven't changed all that much, there might be some percent deviation issues that would need to be addressed. But overall, if you wanted to take visualization from a previous presentation as a starting point for Northern California and have that be different than the starting point for Southern California, that would be -- we could -- we could do that. We would just need to do it overnight, so please just let us know if that is your wish.

CHAIR TURNER: And if we have a quick answer, we can
give that. Or if not, we can give it at the end of our Congressional maps, but it is what was requested of the line drawers. And so I just wanted to kind of put that in your minds so that you can start thinking about that for tomorrow.

I see a lot of hands. Is this for our current Congressional district, or do you have a thought on Assembly? Okay.

Commissioner Andersen, you're current or Assembly?

Okay. Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair.

And I know that the line drawers have plenty to do already. The one visualization that I think would help all of us work our way through this is a visualization for each plan that has nothing but current district boundaries, with each of them having being color coded, and having either a percentage or an absolute number of population deviation.

I mean, if we can see on one map -- I know we have it in a table.

CHAIR TURNER: Um-hum.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: We have all that information. But if it were easy to put that in a map, then we could see -- as Commissioner Fornaciari pointed out earlier, we’ve got a lot of negatives in Southern California. And
it's not entirely clear where we're going to be able to pull population from.

But if we had a very quick reference that said, okay, there's a lot of red here, there's a lot of green here, or it's mixed, I think that would help us move forward more systematically. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. I'll let the line drawers comment on that in a minute.

Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So let's see. Considering Jaime's comment, I'm hesitant to go back. I guess I have a question for Jaime, if that's okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Sure, she's listening.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Like you did today, Jaime, is there a possibility you could pull in a district or two in the very North state to kind of start the conversation with?

MS. CLARK: I think that, of course, is a possibility. And also, just because there is eighty Assembly districts, it would probably impact a lot more districts. And yeah, it would -- I think it would be a longer process to try that.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Well, I'll just share my thought with you. I thought the kind of coastal and very North districts from 10/27 were much better than any of
the subsequent iterations.

And I'll just in general kind of share my thoughts on the North state and how we have to go -- I mean, how it's going to wind up, and why we're having so much of a struggle in Sacramento, Yolo, kind of in that center area. I mean, it seems to me, sort of the coast and the very North state, we have constraints of a coast and two borders.

And we have to kind of decide on what that looks like roughly up the coast and across the North state. And we don't -- I mean, the counties are gigantic up there, and so there's not a lot of population to play with. Where the population is is around Sacramento, or around Stockton, around Contra Costa. And so it seems to me that we need to kind of settle on the outer part of it, that we can't go beyond the borders and then figure out that middle part.

And so that's why I kind of like the Marin district we had for Assembly, the coast district we had for Assembly, the North district we had for Assembly, 10/27 version. And then kind of go down the Valley, down Napa, figure out what to do with Napa, and Lake, and Yolo, and Solano, and Sacramento. I mean, it's all going to inform it, but I think we have to figure the outside first. And that's why I was hoping we could --
CHAIR TURNER: Okay, 10/27.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- go back to 10/27.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes, I understand where Commissioner Fornaciari is going. That's kind of how I would like to approach it. I think that's where Commissioner Fornaciari is -- we would kind of start at the top and then move down.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So we'd try to figure that out. And part of me, I would like to go back, but then I also feel that we can probably recreate it pretty quickly. Because we're going to be taking, like, entire counties and moving them because the populations are so small.

And also, for Californians out there, we have the visualization for this week, and if we asked them to go back to two weeks ago, I don't know. Initially, I would have preferred to go back, but now I'm thinking it may confuse things. And I think we can recreate it fairly --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I shouldn't say fairly quickly because I'll just jinx myself. But I think, because the numbers are so sparse the further North we
go, it's quicker to move big chunks versus what we're
doing, like, in L.A. and San Diego. You're having to
take pieces and pieces, right, because every little piece
has 10,000 people, where in Northern California, that can
be a whole county.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Come on, Commissioner
Fernandez. We want to get to your Congressional North,
too. Are you done? Okay.

So Jaime, I thought of just a quick answer,
response, but it seems like we're not certain. So we're
going to, I guess, go from current.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, I would say go from
current.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Okay, so.

MS. CLARK: Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you for that. They'll know how
to prepare for tomorrow. That's all we wanted to do with
that part of the conversation.

We're starting from -- no, we're starting from --
what did we do today? We said we were going to follow
the same process, right? But we're going to be with our
current visualizations. And the rest of that, we're
going to talk about Assembly tomorrow. We just wanted to
get them set up for the conversation tomorrow.

Commissioner Ahmad?
COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Chair. I think we said that we would start with VRA districts. So would that still hold true for tomorrow's discussion?

CHAIR TURNER: The VRA, yes, yes.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Got it. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: All right, so we're back to our Congressional conversation, and we're starting with Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you, Chair.

I would like to say that, first of all, the areas I'm looking at are from the North all the way down, like, the whole coast, all the way down to Ventura. And I just want to say, first of all, I think we're done, L.A. In my opinion, from Ventura all the way up to San Francisco, I'd say great. I think it looks --

CHAIR TURNER: And Tamina, can we get the maps up, our visualization, or are we waiting on it?

MS. RAMOS ALON: This is the same map we're working on, Chair?

CHAIR TURNER: Say again?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes, and --

CHAIR TURNER: Oh, we don't have the share on.

MS. RAMOS ALON: There we go.

CHAIR TURNER: There it is. Now, we have it.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: There we go.
MS. RAMOS ALON: Apologies.
CHAIR TURNER: Okay, thank you.
MS. RAMOS ALON: I'm like, I'm looking at it. I don't know why you guys -- I'm sorry about that.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So I was saying that we've just now completed the whole Southern California, L.A., and I'd say the Ventura, South Coast, going all the way up to San Francisco, is great. We're just going to leave it right there, the Cupertino, and even the VCDRED, yeah, even kind of in there.

The areas that I believe we have to work on are Alameda County, Contra Costa County, and then the North up -- now, here, we have the -- and I was going to start with -- I'm sorry. So those are the areas I'm thinking of working on. And I was going to start with the PLACERSAC, which is huge. That's my intent. And I'm going to move things around, and it's going to then end up being in the Lake/Napa/Solano area, which is I think where Commissioner Fornaciari -- Commissioner Fernandez --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Enough with that.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Sorry about that.

So from the Placer (audio interference) is --
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: We're twins.
CHAIR TURNER: So Commissioner, so I can understand
your you're trying to meet Commissioner Fernandez where
she was, going the opposite way?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, there's a lot of
people, population, put in there. And I think it's going
to be a bit of a problem as we come down to the Yolo,
Napa, that whole area. But we can work out the whole
North, is my intent right now. And so with that, Placer,
my -- it's like 60,000 too high. That's one thing. And
so if we could take the lower portion of Yuba
County -- so basically, if you start in NORCA and grab
the lower portion of Yuba until PLACERSAC is down, like,
below one percent, NORCA will go up.

MS. WILSON: Sorry, one moment while I adjust the
pending changes window and show that to you.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Kennedy while you're doing
that, Commissioner Fernandez, and then Toledo.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Andersen, do
you mind if we kind of try to do this in conjunction?
Because when you get to Yolo, I'll want a few things?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh, absolutely, yes. I
think we're on --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I think we -- yeah. And
then when you get to Contra Costa -- yes. Okay. I think
we're on the same --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I think we're kind of the
same page, yes, yeah.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes, and I believe Commissioner Toledo might put in his three cents' worth.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, that's -- yeah.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah, okay. I just wanted to make sure --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And I'm just trying to do this kind of quickly, which is --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: No, me, too, yes.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, I'm just trying to hit bing, bing, bing, bing, and then we'll see and -- yeah.

Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Audio interference).

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Exactly. I think we can work -- I think we all know what we're trying to hit.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Audio interference).

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Chair, if I may.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Can I just -- Commissioner Andersen --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- and Fernandez, can you just -- would you kind of share with us, just big picture, kind of, what you're trying to do? Because if we start stepping through it, I'd rather just understand,
big picture, what's the plan?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. Big picture for me, I can do it. And then you can -- I'm going to pull Placer down to the percent.

(Audio/video connection feed lost)

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you so much. Welcome back from our break. Hopefully you all, also, had an opportunity to enjoy a late lunch or an early dinner. At this time we're going to go back to Sivan, to let us know exactly what -- were we are and so that we can move forward.

Thank you.

MS. TRATT: Thank you, Chair. So just to recap, we have some proposed changes here in red, these are proposed changes to the SECA visualization, just to let you know --

(Audio/video connection issues)

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- basically pull that all into NorCal, then I'm going to pull out of NorCal, I plan to pull Del Norte, Trinity, and possibly the upper part of Lake into North Coast. And then I'm going to pull the population to break North Coast down to also zero, so NorCal will be, you know, around a percent -- below a percent. NORCOAST will be then below a percent because I'm going to take a chunk out of Sonoma, and put it into that whole YOLOLAKE area right there.
So that whole area will be -- oops -- we've sort
drug it down here. The only issue is -- and then I also
want to rearrange in Alameda, Contra Costa, and shift
some of that population up, and hopefully we can try to
switch enough in there. I was originally going to grab
in this -- the Sac/Stanislaus, but it all shifted.

We created a new district the PLACERSAC, so I'm --
this is where we're going to end up running into but of
an issue here, but we can work out the North the best we
can, and clear up that valley.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So my part of it, so
it might be conflicting with Commissioner Andersen, oops,
once -- is it Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So my piece of it
was to keep Yolo -- where are we? Yeah, keep Yolo whole
except for, I think, we moved West Sac, right? Yeah. So
keep Yolo whole, bring in that Northern Delta area, and
so that will split off from that Contra Costa piece,
right, and then that's going to shift to the East.

Right, Commissioner Andersen, and Commissioner
Toledo? Okay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. All right; thank
you. And the Yolo/Solano we've -- I just want to make
sure, we have received quite a bit of input, a lot of feedback, visualizations, shared air quality, education, they also have a seed industry, they've got UC Davis that actually goes across both counties. They've got the I-80 transportation, the partnership, farming, AG, Solano students attend the Winters Joint Unified School District, which is in Yolo County.

And so that's -- that's what I'm trying to do, is keep Yolo whole, and then keep Solana whole, and then try to combine as much as possible

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I'm thinking we should probably start because the Yolo/Solano area is going to impact the Contra Costa area that we might want to start there, clean that up, and then work our way up. And then addressing if there needs to be changes to the coast, addressing those and then come around through the Shasta, Lassen, and the other parts, because I think that the pieces that might be the hardest are of the Contra Costa pieces, given that that's a population source, and we need to figure out where to move that population.

CHAIR TURNER: So having said that --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I can do that.

CHAIR TURNER: You said yeah? Okay.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And if we --
CHAIR TURNER: So Commissioner Toledo, are you going to start it?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I can start with --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Okay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So you guys, let's --

Tamina?

CHAIR TURNER: So let's go, but keep in mind --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Is it Tamina? Or I'm not sure who has the -- Tamina, YOLOLAKE.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Why don't you start with Ashleigh, Alameda, Contra Costa?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: YOLOLAKE, Tamina, that's you.

MR. MANOFF: Just a reminder Commissioners. You are being interpreted, and live transcribed, please speak one at a time, and avoid crosstalk. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And in English, you want it in English? Yeah, yeah. I don't even get a smile, Christian? Okay -- we're in Yolo, Yolo --

CHAIR TURNER: Hold on one moment.

Tamina, YOLOLAKE?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes, Chair. If I may comment on just what I was hearing about YOLOLAKE. There were a couple of different ideas. One was to bring in this part
of Yolo and the Delta into the YOLOLAKE area. But
another was to bring in more of Sonoma. So these two --
this is already an overpopulated area.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.

MS. RAMOS ALON: I have not heard of any ideas to
take anything out. And so these two suggestions --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah, we'll start with
that.

MS. RAMOS ALON: -- would conflict.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Yes. So right now,
we're just going to do it one step at a time. Is that
okay, Tamina?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Can we start in Alameda
County, and then work up through Contra Costa? No?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's Alameda County?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, but Eastern -- Eastern
Alameda, and Contra Costa, it's not going to work if
you -- if you don't address both of them.

CHAIR TURNER: Well, we've seen a few miracles in
this process, things that did shake out. So we're going
to -- we're going to -- we can start there or we're going
to just kind of where we need to start. But let me just
get a couple more hands -- oops, you put your hands down.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible) for me.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So three Commissioners, Andersen, Fernandez, and Toledo, we need a starting point.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. Here's a question.

Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: If you just take out the portion of Sacramento and Yolo out of what's called CONCORDTR.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Now, what are you going to do with CONCORDTR?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. So that's what --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I think we have a solution for that.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. And then to --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So let's just start there.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Let's go with Yolo and Delano, and this point to add, and then we'll subtract.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes. Yes.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: And we'll be subtracting into Contra Costa, so that we make it balance, because at this point we're just a minor, hopefully not -- not too major
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. And it's kind of similar to what we did with the -- the VRA down South. Yeah, yeah.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So let's, Tamina, please, if we can move like from Davis to Rio, into the YOLOLAKE, please?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. One moment.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. And we know it's going to be over. But I think we have a vision, or it's really late, so it's one of the two.

MS. RAMOS ALON: So am I correct that you want to move the rest of Yolo, but not West Sacramento?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right, because West Sacramento, we already moved into the Sacramento, that's at zero percent. Let's not touch that one right now. We'll get calls in, there's tradeoffs. Thank you.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. So the pending change that you are looking to make is 79,225 people, this makes YOLOLAKE over -- oh, sorry, overpopulated -- let's move this a little bit, by 10.52 percent, and CONCORDTR becomes negative 17.64 percent.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Oh, Rio Vista is already in there, right? No? Okay. For some reason, I
thought Rio Vista was not in. Okay.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: They're part of Solano County also, right?

MS. RAMOS ALON: The only parts of Solano County which are not included are Vallejo and Benicia.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. That's fine. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: In terms of -- can I?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Sure.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: In terms of subtracting population, I would suggest we have the Vallejo area, and adding some of the Fairfield area that's going up, I believe it's the 80 -- is it the 80 -- 80, into getting enough population toward the Fairfield area.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Toledo --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: The Fairfield area and go down.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- did you want -- so you wanted to leave like part of the Sonoma in there?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes. So then that we can just connect it into Fairfield, which is very similar demographic as Vallejo, so it's just getting 70,000 people -- 79,022 people, or something thereof, from that area going -- I think it's the 80 that connects going out
to --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: That's the 80, uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- to Fairfield, so just taking some population from there.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So like American Canyon and Fairfield?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: That's right.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So connecting Fairfield and Vallejo.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. It looks like we have one breakthrough, but we also have --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I'm sorry, but what --

CHAIR TURNER: -- a required break right now.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- what are you doing with -- what are you doing with the rest of Sacramento County.

CHAIR TURNER: Let's just take a quick break, Commissioner Andersen. We're just going to take a quick break, because we're over. And we have our ASL, and everyone that's working really late, so we do need to give them a break. So we'll be back at -- in 15 minutes, 10:47. Thank you.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 10:32 a.m. until 10:47 a.m.)
CHAIR TURNER: Thank you so much. And thank you for hanging in. We see a couple of diehards that's holding on strong with us. We sure appreciate you. We're going to move and continue on with our Congressional Visualizations that we'll complete by tonight.

And so Tamina, we went to break after having given some direction; and you had questions or comments. Take it away.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes, Chair. I'd just like to know if you would like to authorize making this change.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please.

And Commissioner Toledo, was it you or -- you or Fernandez? Who was leading us through this? I think you?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I think we're --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I have a little piece that I omitted.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'll go (indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And I apologize for that. We left out the Sacramento County portion of Hood and to Walnut -- does it go all way down there? It does?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can we move that into Sacramento? I know it's going to take it over, but it
should only be -- yeah, I don't think it's -- the population is more than 2,000, I think. Thank you.

And that would cut down one of the splits for Sacramento County.

MS. RAMOS ALON:  Oops. Sorry about that; one moment. The requested change is 5,934 people, brings Sacramento to 0.78 percent, and CONCORDTR to negative 18.42 percent.

CHAIR TURNER:  Please save that. Let's lock it in.

Commissioner Toledo, do you want to continue?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO:  Thank you. Before I continue, is there a little space underneath Sacramento County where we just cut off that does not have a home right now? A little pocket in the Delta area near Bethel Island? Go down, right there, yeah.

MS. RAMOS ALON:  This area right here, is part of CONCORDTR, it is a census block which is part of the Contra Costa blocks.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO:  Okay.

MS. RAMOS ALON:  It is part of Contra Costa County.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO:  Okay. Perfect. So let's go back to the Vallejo area. And then let's go up the 80 towards Fairfield and grab the population needed to get this closer to deviation. And I think that should capture the focus of this district, which is really an
agricultural district focused on Yolo, Solano, Napa, and a little bit of Sonoma.

MS. RAMOS ALON: So if I may, my direction is to take this part of Fairfield out to balance YOLOLAKE, and you would like that moved into the NORTHCONT area?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: That is correct.


(Pause)

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: We need that much.

(Pause)

MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. This change, reflected in the red area is 78,432 people. This will bring the YOLOLAKE area to 0.2 percent deviation, and NORTHCONT to 10.34 percent.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Chair, would you like me to make this change?

CHAIR TURNER: Checking in with Commissioners. Yes, please.

(Pause)

MS. RAMOS ALON: Where would you like to go next, Chair?

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So we will then
push -- I guess the Concord, we're going to make that whole, right? So we will bring in -- unless, Commissioner Andersen, did you have something different for that area?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Carry on.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: That's okay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: At this point we need population for the Contra Costa, Northern part of Contra Costa County as well as -- so I'm wondering if the line drawers can give us some feedback on where to get population at this point.

MS. RAMOS ALON: So just reminding the Commission, your population you're looking for is up here PLACERSAC.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. So we have to go around.

MS. RAMOS ALON: So if you -- you might need to resolve that because it might affect these areas -- it will affect these areas.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: And I believe that's where Commissioner Andersen is interested in making her changes.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I was guys, but this is --

MS. RAMOS ALON: Into Placer?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, into -- well, the
PLACERSAC has what, 60,000 people too many. And you have to get it -- and no one wants to touch the Sacramento area, so we have to drag it kind of all the way around. And you can do that, you can put it into NorCal, and you've got to take some of NorCal out, which you put in North Coast, and then take some -- some out of that to put it put into the YOLOLAKE. But now there's no place for it to go. And I mean, you could put maybe some in, but in terms of trying to put the Concord -- the Alameda --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Commissioner Andersen?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: My suggestions might be that if you do that we can add some of the Lake take out of the portion of Fairfield and put it back into Contra Costa, if that is sufficient population that you can move through --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: True, true.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- through that area. I'm not sure if that's possible, though.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, now you have to --

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: As you look -- as you're looking at North Contra Costa don't -- please remember,
we have a working class community that we've been trying
to keep together in Richmond, Pittsburgh, Antioch, yeah,
so just to be mindful of that, and not just change it all.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. There is something we
could do and I don't think -- just hear me out before
everyone gets really angry. Okay, if we want to take
Vallejo, we have Vallejo, Benicia, right, and now we have
all the way up to Fairfield or to, yeah. Okay. When we
take that, then we just take, say, Rodeo and Crockett,
and then go out and then down and across the four. So
you do Concord, Pittsburgh, Antioch, collect all that
discovery, Byron Bay, Bethel.

Check out the population in that, and you might have
to pull in maybe, I don't know, and then maybe come down
on the Eastern portion of Concord, right; to bring that,
because that's a more rural area that -- out that way.

And then grab, here we have -- from Hercules down
into the Oak/Lemoore, and so you end up going to be
cutting the Oakland, a little part -- probably a little
bit above San Leandro, and then you can grab the Eastern
part of, and then from that portion, you can take part of
in -- you know, what's the one the Alameda County, the
SCALRATRACY.

You can take, you know, Ashland, Cherryville, Contra
Costa of the Castro Valley section with that portion of
San Leandro, that area, and create the district there.
So essentially you're shifting the population, and you
might even get the Tri-Valley together if you do it that
way. Basically you're trying to shift that around in
that area.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Tamina?
Or who is it, Karin?

MS. MAC DONALD: Yeah, yeah. Thank you. Thank you,
Chair Turner. If we may make a suggestion, before we
start moving around Kings County and Contra Costa, I
think it would be really helpful to just move the
population down from Placer, because subways we actually
see, you know, how it arrives, when we're in that area we
have at least the Northern end there balanced, and then
we can play with these various districts down there, and
get those properly situated.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Karin, could I ask? If we
take it from Placer, if we add the Yuba over, up into
NorCal, is that a way you guys could work with?

MS. MAC DONALD: Sure. We could absolutely try
that, yes.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. Yeah, could we go
back then and try the -- like what that 60- or 70,000 out
of the South Yuba, because -- and the reason I'm doing
it, Yuba and Sutter have -- they essentially, almost they
work like one county. They have the water districts
together, they have the fire districts, actually --
they're actually in -- well, many of the cities right on
the border are split.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. And many of the
services they're combined. Yes.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. They're completely
combined?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. And that has been
probably all of the community of interest that we receive
is to keep Sutter and Yuba together.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. Yeah, yeah, yeah,
that's a good so any --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- with Butte, as well,
that's why I'm trying to pull as much of Yuba. So
especially pull the -- pull the population from Placer
until that's, you know, below a percent, over into
NorCal, please.

(Pause)

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Fernandez, did you want
to add in now, or wait till they finish?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: No. I was just waiting for
Kennedy to keep going up. Thank you.
(Pause)

MS. MAC DONALD: So this highlighted area would bring the PLACERSAC District below the one percent deviation, is this something that looks roughly like what you had in mind?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. Is there any way to get Smartsville there, too? Or is that -- is that going to take us over?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: We look slightly negative right now. We could go slightly positive, but I think that's a bit too much.

MS. MAC DONALD: It may take us over. Would you like us to take a look and verify, Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I'm sorry. Yes, Karin? What was it?

MS. MAC DONALD: Candy (ph.) says that that would probably take us over. Do you want us to --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh. It's Smartsville bigger -- more than 5,000?

MS. MAC DONALD: Yeah, but you have to all the -- you have to all the way up.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh. Okay. I think we could --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: What?
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Instead of being the negative 0.68, do you want to go to go slightly positive, like positive 0.5 percent, in PLACERSAC, or? That'll be taking out less though.

MS. MAC DONALD: Sorry, what's the question please?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I'm sure I got it.

MS. MAC DONALD: You know, I was just -- there was more -- I'm sorry. There was a little bit more to Commissioner Fernandez, in terms of, do you want to -- do you take a little bit more of Yuba out, or put a little bit, or leave it about where it is?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I think Kennedy said if we try to get to Smartsville that would take us over into the positive.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Too low in Placer.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So we can stop there?

MS. RAMOS ALON: So going up to Smartsville, just when it was -- previously there was a negative 0.7, I think.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

MS. RAMOS ALON: It just starts -- it starts just getting lower the more you take out. So the more -- I was just clicking blocks around her, but the more I take away it might lead to splitting one of these smaller


cities to get it to zero at this point.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I think this is good.

Yeah.

CHAIR TURNER: All right. Let's lock it in.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Oh, that's more than 68,000.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. RAMOS ALON: (Indiscernible) is on?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioners, we're in our last hour.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Then now we need to take out the percentage from NorCal, and the easiest way, and since it's about 68,000, I would do I believe, let me see, Del Norte. Let me see, if Del Norte is 25,000, Trinity is 16- and --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, right. Or we could do Lake.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And the portion of Lake which is about thirty, well, that's -- I mean, that's like thirty -- that's sixties -- that's about the same number. So could you -- could you from North Coast add Del Norte, and then add Trinity, and see where we are? And then add a portion of that Lake County, please.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. So we're going to try it.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Do you have -- the tribal
area is left. And then also Lake, please, the upper portion of Lake.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, that's perfect.

Bingo. Lock it in.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Would you, Chair?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please. Lock it in.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay, then. Okay, so right -- so now I'm going to have to -- yeah, now NORTHCOAS you can -- the only way to then get that over to -- is to take -- is to put it into YOLOLAKE -- oops, sorry. And we could -- and we could do like put more of the wine country in, like, say, right by Santa Rosa. I think just above -- if you get a little closer to this, above Kenwood, so that area.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah 7,000.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And Santa Rosa, I don't know how much population of 70- you already have.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Why don't we count --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I'm counting the -- wait. Well, we need to move the population over, and so we're trying to figure out where to -- the trouble is, basically everything from San Francisco down to Cupertino looks pretty good.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Tamina, can you zoom out a
little bit, please, because I'd like to see the -- just a
little bit. Okay so -- oops. So my suggestion would be
we've got the negative Concord, negative nineteen, then
we've got the positive ten, and the ten. So I think
that's where we need to play with, is those three
districts.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Right. But you can't -- but
they don't connect.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes, they do. They connect
right there at NORTHCONT, right there, oops, right there,
right there. They connect right there.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: No. Across the bay, the
Richmond–San Rafael Bridge?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Now, you need --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Land-wise, they don't
connect.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Well, land-wise they don't,
but the NORTHCONT is right next to the North Coast.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Which is next to the
Concord, but they are completely different. You know,
one is a -- one is high end, one is working class,
refineries, you know, a lot of public transportation
issues. The other is, except one little pocket, it's
very high-end families, and --
Okay, Tamina, making it back out a little bit more.
So you would -- you wanted to take that extra ten
percent --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Into YOLOLAKE.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- into YOLOLAKE, which is
going to -- would have take out both Cotati and the Napa
area?

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So can we hold right there for
one second, let's get a couple of voices.

Commissioner Sinay, Toledo, Kennedy --

MR. BECKER: If you could put Santa Rosa again.

CHAIR TURNER: Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Fine.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Toledo, Kennedy, Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So I think we can pull out
some of those Santa Rosa area because that's a very
heavily populated, put it into Napa, and take out the
portions of Fairfield that we put in, and I think that'll
get us close to where we need to be. So take out the
Northern -- because really the Northern part of Santa
Rosa that we have here.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Doesn't quite fit this
agricultural, so it -- Kenwood fits, Kenwood is --
definitely fits those population -- it's agricultural.
But you have on the --

(Whereupon, there is a loss of audio feed)

THE INTERPRETER: This is the ASL interpreter. I'm not able to hear either.

THE CAPTIONER: I cannot hear as well.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: This is Commissioner Le Mons. I can hear those that are talking.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I can hear but I -- I can hear those that are talking, but I can't -- yeah, I can hear, but I can hear those are talking, but I can't hear the folks the folks who are all together at the site.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 11:09 p.m. until 11:09 p.m.)

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So are we -- we're back?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes, we're back. So instead of that area, actually, because we want to add more into the YOLOLAKE area, go to the -- yeah, that area right there. Perfect. That's exactly right. And take as much as you need to get the North.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Correct. The North, North?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. That's all Sonoma -- Santa Rosa, correct?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes. This is all of Santa Rosa, it is not contiguous. I can add some blocks --
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Ooh.

MS. RAMOS ALON: -- to put them together, if you would like.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. Put them together.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And that's in Sebastopol.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: It looks like the North Coast now is missing population.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Well, let -- Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: The alternative, I don't -- I mean, I'm okay with making Santa Rosa whole, if that makes sense, but the alternative, or a part of an alternative is to make Lake whole.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I thought Lake was whole.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: No.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Let's take a look.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: We moved part of it from --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. Hold that point.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: -- NorCal into North Coast.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Oh, that's true.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. Let's make Lake whole.

Thank you.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yeah, yeah.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you. I thought it was whole. I missed that.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I don't think that's going can be quite enough though.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: No, it's not going to be enough.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: But then we can take from Santa Rosa --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Right.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- whatever is left.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Right. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIR TURNER: Uh-huh. Thank you for that most excellent addition.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I thought it, I thought it was there.

MS. RAMOS ALON: This change is 32,801 people, it brings YOLOLAKE 4.51 percent, and NORCOAST 5.87 percent.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Okay. And let's accept that; if the Chair is okay with that.

CHAIR TURNER: Tamina, we'd like to accept that, please.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Sorry it's -- the map is a little bit sleepy now, but we're doing it.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: To all of us.

CHAIR TURNER: We're all a little bit. Okay. So
then go down, yeah, and take a little bit more.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: We're almost there.

CHAIR TURNER: That's Santa Rosa, right?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yeah.

CHAIR TURNER: I'm watching those numbers. Sorry.

Yes.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'm looking at this little
dog-like thing in the -- at the far-Eastern end of Santa
Rosa, and thinking that we might want to clean that up
too.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Where is that?

CHAIR TURNER: Tamina, there's a dig-like thing
you'll want to clean up.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yeah. Right there.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Right here?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yeah.

CHAIR TURNER: Oh, I see the dog.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Oh, I didn't see that here.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Hold on.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: You must have had some coffee.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Oh, yeah.

CHAIR TURNER: We've reached that hour.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Here it comes.

CHAIR TURNER: Just checking on you, Commissioner
Fornaciari. Glad to see you're still with us, sir.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible) --

CHAIR TURNER: Oh. I'm sorry. No. No, I don't want to take Commissioner Sadhwani's position.

(Pause)

MS. RAMOS ALON: (Indiscernible) --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Sorry. We are now positive 0.62 for NORTHCOAS, and 9.77 for YOLOLAKE. Okay. Let's lock I here, and move.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh. Like I said, we were trying to get it zero, but with more of Santa Rosa --

CHAIR TURNER: Oh, no. Not at this hour.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. All right. I'm good. So now move down, please? Thank you. So now we're over. I'm going to have to move that, what you just did, Commissioner Toledo. So let's get -- let's move that down, that little --

MS. RAMOS ALON: You've got it.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. I don't even have to say what that is, and you know exactly what I've been trying to, Tamina. Thank you.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Oops, one more.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: No worry.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: That's taking population out of the NORTHCONT, because you already put it in there.
MS. RAMOS ALON: (Indiscernible) --
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Right. You have to take something else out of YOLOLAKE.
MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: 10,000.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Sorry, wrong way. I don't like how that's shaped, actually.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: It would be adding little bit more of Fairfield, so all of Fairfield is in?
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: But we're breaking Solano more, right?
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: How about American Canyon?
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And maybe that Green Valley.
MS. RAMOS ALON: Yea.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: The Green Valley, but I'm (indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: They were very adamant.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: No. I didn't see that.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: We've handled the whole Green Valley area.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Green Valley. Microphone?
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: The Green Valley area would work. It may not be enough, though.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: But I mean, I also want to say that Solano was pretty adamant about keeping its county intact as well.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes.

CHAIR TURNER: Tamina, can you add Green Valley, please?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes, Chair.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Not just Green Valley, but the whole -- that little portion of Solano there, please.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And actually, for Suisun City also because that neighbor is Fairfield that's there, right next to each other. If you can just -- if we're going to do that, just try to capture more of Fairfield and Suisun City, please, since it's already split, if we don't have to split Fairfield, we could -- no, no, that's going to be too big.

CHAIR TURNER: But will it --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And then a little bit more Fairfield, please? Thank you. More.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: It's working-class people.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.
MS. RAMOS ALON: So this change is 69,401 people.
This brings YOLOLAKE to a positive 0.64 percent
deviation, and NORTHCONT to a 19.47 percent deviation.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I'm just going to go
on record saying I don't like to have Fairfield split,
but we just need to keep moving.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Then let's lock that please.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes, Chair.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And I don't like splitting
Solano, but we're going to keep moving.

Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So are we at the place now
where NORTHCONT and Concord need to figure out what to
do?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Combine them. If you
combine them, that gets you to a positive one,
approximately.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. Okay.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Chair?

CHAIR TURNER: Oh. Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, sorry. Before we
go there; if we did take the rest of Fairfield would that
put us less than one percent over, or under, or
whichever -- whichever we are on currently?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Extract.
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I mean, would we -- would we still be within a plus or minus one percent if we took the rest of Fairfield?

MS. RAMOS ALON: I'm happy to try.

CHAIR TURNER: I'm glad you mentioned, we can see.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Maybe not.

MS. RAMOS ALON: This change is 5,956 people. This will bring YOLOLAKE to a negative 0.15 percent.

CHAIR TURNER: Hey, we can do that.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: It's a toll.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: For now. What more to say.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Problem solved, Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: That's good. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You should've told him the other thing.

MS. RAMOS ALON: And if you don't mind, I'll also clean up this -- this little river block here.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. And you'll do that later, Tamina, you said.

MS. RAMOS ALON: I can do right now.
CHAIR TURNER: Oh, no. Let's just move.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. We'll just move, never mind.

I will clean up later.

CHAIR TURNER: And I think now if you combine the Concord with the North, yeah, yeah. It should get -- oh, it's going to be over. Okay. We figured that out.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Commissioner Fornaciari might have a suggestion.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Fornaciari, I wonder if you have suggestions.

MS. RAMOS ALON: It'll be over about 1.8 percent, if I'm doing that right.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Well, I was just going to -- yeah, I was going to ask if Concord and Clayton were the plan. I mean, I guess if we have to split the -- or does that put it over? What if we put -- I mean, if we put Pleasant Hill, if we put Pleasant Hill in the Northern part, maybe, and split Pleasant Hill maybe.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Albany?

CHAIR TURNER: Or, yes. And Tamina, I like -- we can also explore Albany, but --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I was thinking, and split Pleasant Hill in the other direction.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Oh, in the other direction. Okay.
And would you like me to -- let me -- wait one second?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: The two districts need to combine right now, and then we'll work from that?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So if we can combine, NORTHCONT with Concord, please.

MS. RAMOS ALON: So this is Concord and Clayton, we added, to the underpopulated CONCORDTR, which results in a negative 0.32 percent for CONCORDTR, and a positive 2.15 percent NORTHCONT.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Wait, that's -- okay. What?

Wait --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I would suggest doing that and then we just work to get NORTHCONT down a little, maybe.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Can we take Albany out and put it with Oakland?

CHAIR TURNER: Let's see it, please. So let's commit, I think with what you have right now.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes.

CHAIR TURNER: Oh, wait. Well, we'll do, undo. And so we can see what Albany. What do you have Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. My recollection is that we were going to try to work on the
Highway 4 Corridor, adding Pittsburgh to Antioch and Oakley.

CHAIR TURNER: It's yes.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Or Pittsburg and Bay Point to Antioch and Oakley. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: That goes to reconstruction, correct?

CHAIR TURNER: Oh. So you think we have enough? The population's not too big to add Pittsburgh and Bay Point into Concord?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: It takes them out.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: We would have to back Concord out.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. You have to, yeah.

CHAIR TURNER: Oh, I got you. Yeah, yes.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So Tamina, what we're looking at -- oh, who we have here?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Concord, Antioch and Oakley are currently in the same district?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Correct?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Sorry. The idea is we would back Concord out and replace it with Pittsburgh and Bay
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: (Audio interference) --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: What? What? I thought we were trying to --

CHAIR TURNER: It's not (audio interference) to put Pittsburgh and Bay Point with Antioch. That whole North -- okay?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: The idea was with Vallejo --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Let's go back -- let's go back to hands then.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So Benicia and Crockett --

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Andersen, you're the first go ahead.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I think the idea was if we were -- you know, we have all this up the corridor, and the idea is we're trying to get the refinery areas, which are Vallejo, and then across the Highway 4. Vallejo, Benicia, Crockett, Rodeo. And then a little bit South, Martinez, Alhambra, right across Bay Point, Antioch, Oakley, the whole area out there.

That was my understanding what we were trying to do. So we would be adding actually that -- essentially the Eastern portion of Contra Costa County all the way across Highway 4, and for the Delta area as well; so that's all Delta.
CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Just hold right there.

Commissioner Sinay, then Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I'm sorry. Could I finish that? Then if we're taking the chunk of the CONCORDTR, together to put in NORTHCONT, and we have to take that portion of NORTHCONT out, which as I was saying, it's from Hercules down, that section out, make that switch.

And then that's what I was saying, you know, to play -- this is what I was talking about, the whole portion of just doing a little rearranging. So you're creating one across the top.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay, so when --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Then going down from Concord, down into the Alameda County, again, East and rearranging from Hercules down, and essentially cutting the South Oakland bit, so it would be -- it would essentially run from Hercules halfway through Oakland. And then halfway through Oakland down to include Castro Valley, all the way down to just short of -- what's that -- that other -- that other district that -- including so that part of Oakland would be: San Leandro, Castro Valley, San Lorenzo, all the way to the portion of Fremont, Union City, that whole chunk.

CHAIR TURNER: Oh, no. That's too much.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: You'd be doing two sections
so you have one across the top, you have two here, and then one across the -- and then one across the Eastern, which is --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So this is --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- tying the Tri-Valley together.

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah. Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you. Cutting Concord -- the move to put Concord and Clayton with Contra Costa, or CONCORDTR is the right move. And if we can't -- I don't know. What's that white area, where Rodeo and Crockett is, and then goes Martinez? And then what's that -- is that unincorporated area?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.

MS. RAMOS ALON: That is unincorporated area.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Because I don't know if that unincorporated area could go into CONCORDTR, and then giving us space to get Antioch or not, because the idea is what -- the community of interest has been is kind of going up there in that -- in that little crown.

CHAIR TURNER: So Commissioners, right now we're going to do kind of a lightning round to hear everyone. We're at 11:30, and we're going -- to just complete this hearing, we're doing really, really good, but I want to
hear from Commissioner Fornaciari, Ahmad, and Yee, and then Toledo. So we can decide and tell, Tamina, exactly what we're going to do to close this. Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes. My recollection was that, you know, we're trying to keep the refinery cities together, Benicia, Martinez. And there was the Black Redistricting Hub had a community of interest that went to -- included Bay Point and Pittsburgh, with Martinez, and Benicia, and Vallejo. I was trying to honor that.

I think Antioch and Pittsburgh I would draw a line between those two cities as is being different. I would also consider Concord and Clayton more like the Southern part of Contra Costa County.

I see where Commissioner Andersen is trying to go to sort of move population down to sort of honor that hill there, and get the Tri-Valley together. I like that idea, but I also like the idea of keeping Oakland whole. And so I don't think we can reconcile all that tonight. But I think we could, if we just sort of tweaked where we are right now, we could, you know, based on feedback, go back and kind of revisit things. But that's kind of where I'm at.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Commissioner Fornaciari, you read my mind thought for thought.
CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'm with Commissioner Fornaciari and Yee,

CHAIR TURNER: Beautiful. Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Ditto. And I would recommend maybe taking Albany, right? Is that what you want to do? Taking Albany and trying to put that in the Oakland, OAKLAMORI.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So believe we're -- yeah.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. I like the lightning rounds and all of the agreement for this -- for this mapping that we're doing for our draft.

So with that, Commissioner Yee, can you give Tamina instruction?

COMMISSIONER YEE: I'll need Neal to read my mind.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So I would -- my direction would be not to move Bay Point and Pittsburgh, to undo that selection. And then I would move to kind of balance things out a little -- oh, so -- and we've got to balance NORTHCONT, so Commissioner Andersen's suggestion was to move Albany to Berkeley?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah, to the OAKLAMORI.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, add Albany to the
OAKLAMORI, and put it backwards, Berkeley a little.

CHAIR TURNER: Tamina?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I'm open to trying that, and then maybe we need to put -- try to make Pleasant Hill whole --

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Fornaciari, one moment please.

Tamina you didn't in right? Or you did, and you have a question?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yeah. I have a question. Just pointing out two things; one that Pleasant Hill is still split in this visualization. Another that if you add Albany back to OAKLAMORI you will split San Leandro, and then you will start the domino effect of having to affect all of these districts going down.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. What percentage over or what percentage -- Lemoore is at zero, but what is it actually? Like if you put Albany in there, then where are you?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Let me see.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Chair Turner. Jane is cutting in line.

CHAIR TURNER: Jane? Jane, behave. Commissioner Sadhwani?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes. I feel like we've had a whole
lot of testimony around the OAKLAMORI District, and it's currently at zero deviation. So do you think it makes sense to try uniting Pleasant Hill first, because we might be able to balance two districts rather than creating a whole ripple effect throughout our maps?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Not that anyone (audio interference) --

MS. RAMOS ALON: The OAKLAMORI, the change for Albany would be 20,191 people.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So we'll undo that. That would have been nice.

Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Can we try Uniting Pleasant Hill?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes. One moment, please.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you. I like that.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Uh-huh.

MS. RAMOS ALON: The change is 18,525 people, puts CONCORDTR at 2.11 percent, and NORTHCONT at negative 0.28 percent.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: So hearing that, it sounds like perhaps just readjusting where the split in Pleasant Hill occurs could help us balance out the difference in population between these two districts. Would that be a fair assessment, Tamina?
MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes.

CHAIR TURNER: Beautiful. Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Once we do that, I'd like to see the CVAP of the -- for the NORTHCONT area.

MS. RAMOS ALON: I'm sorry, but what -- I'm sorry about that, one moment, please.

MS. MAC DONALD: Just one moment, yeah, it's getting late.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Uh-huh. Latino CVAP for this -- for NORTHCONT is 21.35 percent, Black CVAP is 17.38 percent, Asian CVAP is 19.9, Indigenous CVAP is 0.82 percent, and White CVAP is 38.1 percent.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. Commissioner Andersen?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You said, Black CVAP, sorry?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I just want to -- so I just want to be sure I go on record. Albany is a -- economically ties -- its main business district is directly tied to North Berkeley, it's a Solano Avenue, it's half in Albany, half in Berkeley, to have the Solano Stroll, it's a large fair, the street fair, has gone on for forty years, connecting the two. It's half and half, and their -- you know, the fire departments work together, it is -- and it's also the only city in Alameda County that's been cut, and going up to Concord. So I just want to make sure it's on the record; I don't --
don't like the idea.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes. I totally agree. Know the area as well. And so I just want to note, kind of like we did for that other area earlier, I agree that we'll probably need to work on what we can in our next draft, in our next map.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I believe the issue is this.

CHAIR TURNER: Tamina, are we going to get close with the shift?

Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: So did we not commit to moving -- reuniting Pleasant Hill yet, or agree?

CHAIR TURNER: We're going to -- we can't fit all of this --

MS. RAMOS ALON: I'm sorry, Chair. What was the direction?

CHAIR TURNER: Did you already --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Asking about Pleasant Hill.

CHAIR TURNER: Pleasant Hill line.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Right. So I believe reuniting Pleasant Hill, improve the deviations for us.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Or pulling portions of Pleasant Hill into the other districts to get them both closer to zero?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Correct.
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I think that Pleasant Hill would still have a split. It's just a matter of where that split would occur to balance the two districts.

CHAIR TURNER: That's right.

MS. RAMOS ALON: I will try that right now. Yeah.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Use twenty-four, that would help.

CHAIR TURNER: Twenty-four that would help.

COMMISSIONER YEE: So we have Antioch and (audio interference) --

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Akutagawa -- Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Okay. So let's find a good split.

MS. RAMOS ALON: May I ask, Chair? Am I just trying to balance the two?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Correct.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Chair, I just want to point out to you that the Black Census & Redistricting Hub just sent an email with the same map of the area that we're looking at. And if you want to take a look at that, what they sent too.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Already realigned.
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: The same CVAP.

CHAIR TURNER: Tamina? So there is -- Commissioner Toledo, who was that? Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Uh-huh.

CHAIR TURNER: Can you give direction for what you just said, and maybe help Tamina get where we're trying to go if it's the same?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Tamina. I just want to note that the Black Census & Redistricting Hub sent a map for the same area that you're working on right now.

I don't know Commissioner Toledo, you might be better off telling them exactly the direction.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I think just to -- I think we either to continue where we're going. And just to continue in the route that we're going, because it's a pretty similar feedback, I think they were thinking along the same lines we were can work.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, this is -- yeah.

CHAIR TURNER: We'll continue what we were doing.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Very close.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh, so close.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. We'll hold here. We'll hold here, and accept these changes. Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Sure.
CHAIR TURNER: All right, beautiful. Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: That's fine. I just want to note that what the Black Census & Redistricting Hub, it won't work? So that means we're not in -- we're not in a VRA district, so you can move that.

CHAIR TURNER: Karin?

MS. MAC DONALD: Thank you, Chair Turner. Would you perhaps like to zoom out and just look at all of the districts in the North just to make sure we didn't forget anybody anywhere?

CHAIR TURNER: Is that okay?


(Pause)

CHAIR TURNER: All right. This is good.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

CHAIR TURNER: Yeah? Commissioner Fernandez, I'm going to need you to give us more than that.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: No, it's better than it was when we started. So thank you, everyone.

CHAIR TURNER: Well, yes. Yes. And this is a good draft for reactions. We feel better about the reactions for this? Yes, Tamina, beautiful.

MS. RAMOS ALON: It's the one --
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible) --

CHAIR TURNER: Yes. Great job, Tamina. Great job, Jaime; great job, Kennedy; great job, Sivan; and Andrew. And oh, my, Lord.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Everyone.

CHAIR TURNER: Everyone, Karin. Who else is over there on the team?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Jaime.

CHAIR TURNER: I said, Jaime, I said Kennedy.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech) Sivan --

CHAIR TURNER: I said Sivan; the entire team, Andrew.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech). Okay, Chair.

CHAIR TURNER: Woohoo.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We're taking the form up.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Yes. We have fifteen minutes left.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Let's take on L.A. County, no.

CHAIR TURNER: Oh, my, word. So we have Congressional maps that we've gone through, that we are feeling much better about. Outstanding. Oh, man, we have folk in the -- in the queue that has waded through
with us. Thank you for being here for this wonderful --

Husband, I'm still working. I'll call you right
back.

So at this point, Commissioners, thank you so much.

Thank you so much to all of our staff, to everybody.

It's too late to call names. Thank you, everybody, for
being here, a concentrated effort. We'll be back
tomorrow bright and early.

We still have one hand, Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Oh, sorry. No.

CHAIR TURNER: She's just showing up.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: She wants to have the last
word.

CHAIR TURNER: All right.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: She (indiscernible, simultaneous speech) suggested it.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: What's up for tomorrow?

CHAIR TURNER: And so tomorrow we'll start bright
and early.

Line drawers, do you have any questions for us? Are
we good? Jamie, are we good?

MR. CLARK: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: What are we starting with,
Chair?

CHAIR TURNER: Okay, 9:30 tomorrow morning with
Assembly districts tomorrow, 9:30 tomorrow with our VRA districts.

Okay, more?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Are there more VRA districts from -- I thought those are locked in at this point. No?

CHAIR TURNER: VRA districts were locked in, I think. I think we were locked in for the VRA. Okay. I'm so excited. More?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Awesome.

CHAIR TURNER: More? Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Oh. I've just been thinking, we're going back to Assembly?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: So VRA, we did cover in the Assembly.

CHAIR TURNER: We're revisiting our Assembly districts.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Got it.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: We want to make sure --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So with that, we are going to recess until tomorrow morning at 9:30.

Thank you all. Please enjoy your long night.

(Recessed at 11:49 p.m.)
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