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CHAIR TOLEDO: Welcome to the California Citizens Redistricting Commission. We've been working on visualizations for the State Assembly maps, and we'll continue to do so today. We are going to start the day off in Northern California, then move into Southern California. And with that, we will start roll call.

Ravi --

MR. SINGH: Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Could you please do roll call?

MR. SINGH: Thank you, Chair Toledo.

Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Vazquez.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Andersen?

Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Presente.
MR. SINGH: Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Le Mons?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Aqui.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Sadhwani?

Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Aqui.

MR. SINGH: And I did get you, Commissioner Sadhwani. Thank you.

Commissioner Taylor?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Presente.

MR. SINGH: And Commissioner Toledo?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Present.

MR. SINGH: Roll call is complete, Chair.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you very much.

Let's start with the line drawers. Let's go to Northern California. So we have Tamina helping us today. And let's bring up the map.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Good morning, Chair. Good morning, Commissioners. We are back in the Bay Area, north. Where would you like to start today?

CHAIR TOLEDO: So I'm just curious if you were able to work on the San Francisco maps last night.
MS. RAMOS ALON: I was; would love to show you what I did.

CHAIR TOLEDO: All right, let's take a look. I hear there's been some success in shifting populations down.

MS. RAMOS ALON: There's been a lot of work done. Let me turn on the neighborhood layer. So starting in San Francisco, there were several requests, which I will walk you through now. As you'll remember, we wanted to balance at least the populations between WESTSF and EASTSF. So the first request was to move east, the line over here -- there was Marina on Van Ness, and move it over east population, so that has kept Russian Hill full.

There was also a request to keep the Fillmore together, which is this area here, along with Japantown, which is this area. Japantown was also to be kept with Chinatown, which is up here, and they are both in EASTSF. Haight-Ashbury is whole again, as is The Castro. Twin Peaks is in with EASTSF, connected with Bernal Heights. And the areas of San Francisco County, which are part of other islands, were included with those islands, so this is going to be Angel Island block, which is incorporated with Tiburon. And the Alameda city block, which is with Alameda city.

The WESTSF district then comes down into Daly City, Colma, and splits south San Francisco. This line has not
changed, so the Westborough and Buri Buri neighborhoods, which requested to be together and with Daly City, are still intact in this plan.

Coming down to SMATEO. This map keeps the North Fair Oaks census designated place, as well as North Fair Oaks COI together with this larger area of Redwood City, as well as the three Redwood City area COIs that exist right in here. The line that you're seeing is -- that you're seeing up here -- is El Camino Real. So areas towards the hills were kept together with Woodside, and areas which were more toward the railroad tracks were kept together with the North Fair Oaks area. This allowed no change to be made to GATOSCRUZ, and that was what allowed most of the balance of SOUTHPEN. You'll remember, is that a negative 8-point-something when we left it last night. And so that's one change.

And then the other was just a very slight line change to clean up this line between SUNNYTINO and SOUTHPEN, which is a little bit straighter now along the street, which results in SUNNYTINO and SOUTHPEN being at a negative 3.92 and negative 3.93.

One other thing that we looked at was the COIs in the Oakland split and the line that was there; so this just keeps one more COI together that wasn't together before. And that is all that we worked on.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Tamina.

Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. Thank you, Tamina. It's a pleasure working with you on these.

If we can zoom back into Redwood City, please. So of course, the discussion yesterday was how to keep Redwood City whole, and Tamina and I worked all different possibilities to try to do so, but found that it multiplied the splits in other COIs and cities, and so we decided to make this split hills versus bayside of Redwood City. Although an important split for Redwood City, it solved all those other problems, so we decided to make that split. This line through Redwood City does respect all the different COIs that we have received information on, and that includes that little corner of North Fair Oaks that goes into Atherton; that's why that line is there, to respect a COI that we received.

I think we did make a small change in San Jose as well on the outside to pick up another -- just a little bit of another COI. Yeah. So still, a little bit more population up the peninsula in San Francisco than we had hoped to be able to push down, but nevertheless, all the deviations are more comfortable now. So that's what we did.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you.
We will take comments.

Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Commissioner Yee and Tamina, thanks for the work that you did. I think generally speaking, I think the Redwood City split seems to work. I did read another COI testimony that said if Redwood City split that El Camino Real would be a good place to split because then it would keep at least the -- particularly the Pacific Islander COIs together.

Was just curious, in your exploration, did you find -- did you find a path -- a potential path to incorporating in Belle Haven, as well as East Palo Alto, or was that just going to throw everything off completely? And then just to the south of that, I also want to note that we heard quite a bit of recent testimony about keeping Saratoga, Campbell, and Cupertino, I believe, together, too. So I was just curious as to, you know, any efforts around there or what the implications were going to be.

COMMISSIONER YEE: I believe, Tamina, you can speak to the Belle Haven situation.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Sure. So Belle Haven is right here in Menlo Park. It would cause a split in Menlo Park and give kind of the rest of this area nowhere to go. I did try to take in East Palo Alto and Menlo Park, but it was
just a lot of population that I would have to shift out of Redwood City and other areas. So we did keep it together and did not split Belle Haven, so it is intact with East Palo Alto, it's just not with the rest of Redwood City.

COMMISSIONER YEE: And all the Pacific -- Asian Pacific Islander COIs in Redwood City are whole and kept together.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER YEE: The --

MS. RAMOS ALON: All of the rest of them are together in this -- in SMATEO with the greater Redwood City and San Mateo-based district, as they had requested.

COMMISSIONER YEE: And then the Saratoga question.

MS. RAMOS ALON: And then the Saratoga -- I was -- I actually did not look at this one.

Would you please repeat what that was, Commissioner Akutagawa? Is Saratoga with?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Well, we received recent -- quite a bit of recent testimony about Saratoga, Campbell, and Cupertino being together. I guess they call themselves the West Valley -- I guess the West Silicon Valley cities, and they felt that they had more in common then, I guess, Cupertino being attached elsewhere, but those were the ones that are -- the cities that I recall
hearing quite a bit about. Although, it does -- okay, I've seen another one that also includes the City of Santa Clara, as well as Sunnyvale in West San Jose. I think there's similar COI testimony that's speaking to the same thing, but it looks like the deviations would be off if you were to try to incorporate all of them into a single district.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes, and I think there was other COI testimony about Sunnyvale, Santa Clara, Cupertino also, so I guess everybody likes Cupertino.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Great. So let's zoom out. Let's zoom out, and then let's get hands raised, comments. Let's start off with just general commentary and let's keep it to a minute if -- all right. Let's start with Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Chair.

Just generally, I wanted to highlight the deviations in this area, particularly the peninsula going down; they're all in the negatives. However, the geography in this area kind of explains that a bit, right? So there is mountain ranges; there's only a certain geographical area where that is inhabitable by large populations. So when I was looking at this, trying to figure out how we can get those numbers up more and closer to zero, it's just difficult with the geographic landscape in this
area, so just wanted to highlight that.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Ahmad.

And can we have the terrain layer just so we can see that? So we can see the mountainous areas.

Any other comment on this area, Commissioner Sinay?

I think you had your hand raised a couple minutes ago.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I like this, I -- you know, and I wanted to make sure that we weren't only looking at the Asian and the Black, but there was also some Latino COIs, and I think they're all in there. I would love to see it with East Palo Alto, that southern part of Redwood City. And I was trying to figure out -- originally in my notes I had written that could we swap San Car -- you know, move San Carlos to the southern district, but I don't know if that works anymore. So that was my old notes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So I do believe the priorities we set yesterday were to try to get -- to try to get all of Redwood City together, Menlo Park, and East Palo Alto. I don't believe that was possible, Tamina, based on not being able to bring down enough population -- Commissioner Yee, and maybe you can both speak to some of the challenges in trying to keep San -- Redwood City Whole and potentially connect them to the Menlo -- I believe it's East Palo Alto, and was it, East Menlo Park area, I believe, was the COIs? But so I'll turn it over
to Commissioner Yee and Commissioner -- and Tamina to
give some of the highlights over some of the challenges
that they experienced while doing that. And then after
that, we'll go to Commissioner Turner and Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Right. So I mean, as
Commissioner Ahmad mentioned, it's just geography, you
know? You have water on two sides and a narrow peninsula
with an even narrower band of highly-populated areas, so
you know, that line between SMATEO and SOUTHPEN just has
to go somewhere. So I mean, if we moved it up to take
San Carlos, maybe we could, I don't know, do something
else, but unless there's strong -- a strong desire to do
that -- I mean, we can explore it, but you know.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Let's hear from the Commission:
Commissioner Turner, Commissioner Akutagawa, and others.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you. I see the area
that we're working on, San Mateo, SOUTHPEN, but in the
explanations, Tamina also mentioned Oakland. I just
wanted to name when we get ready for that conversation, I
have some changes I'd like to make there.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yes.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: So I know we're planning to
move to Southern California after this, but at some
point, we will need to go back.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh, I'm planning to continue working
on all of Northern California. So I want to be done with
all of California, and then move into Southern
California, so that is the plan. So yes, you'll have --
we'll also have an opportunity to work on Alameda County,
Contra Costa County, and that portion of the Bay Area.

Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Just to follow-up on
Commissioner Sinay's question, is it possible using the
same El Camino Real as maybe the kind of the dividing
line for, let's say, San Carlos and maybe even up into
Belmont? Would that be enough to be able to bring in
Menlo Park and East Palo Alto? I guess I am -- I mean, I
understand that, you know, East Palo Alto is, you know,
is just a -- you know, they're surrounded by some extreme
wealth, and yet they're the only one that really has that
more working class immigrant and it's in -- and of
multiple ethnicities there, and they're kind of the only
one in that district there, and I'm just kind of thinking
if there's a way to maybe combine them with the other
COIs that have similarities if that might just benefit
all of the people there in that area a little bit more.
But that's a question.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Akutagawa.

Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I hear the
difficulties in bringing population down without
splitting communities of interest. I'm just interested
in hearing a little bit more because we started out with
EASTSF and WESTSF between them having something around 70
to 75,000 thousand people that potentially, we could have
brought down, and yet we still seem to have that same
number. The lines have shifted, and so there's a little
bit of population that moved from EASTSF to WESTSF, but
as I see it, little to no population moved down the
peninsula from San Francisco itself -- or those two
districts -- East SF and West SF -- as a single unit, so
I just wanted to hear a little bit more about the
obstacles to that. Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy. And
I think I'll speak a little bit of that.

So what I'm seeing in this map and -- and it
certainly is areas of great wealth and great disparities.
So we have communities like Daly City, South San
Francisco, where certainly large numbers of essential
workers live there. We heard from health care workers in
Daly City -- largely Filipino, we've heard from -- and
certainly the industrial workers and all kinds of
essential workers in that area, and then we hear -- then
we have Brisbane and other communities to the south that
are wealthier, and so there -- and the challenge is, I
think, in keeping essential workforce communities and
lower income communities together and balancing those two
is what I'm seeing in this map; and I'm just raising it
up because I think it is an issue that we probably need
to check in about.

Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm going to say something
unpopular, but did we try crossing the bridge and if that
helped with that whole need to bring population down?
Because we keep saying that Marine is so different from
East -- this part of East -- anyway, I just wanted to see
if that was --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- tried, because --
CHAIR TOLEDO: -- Sinay. And we did explore that
up --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- the possibility. And in fact,
we -- the difficulty is not so much that there is --
there is already enough population in San Francisco to
bring down, and we actually did a whole data analysis;
we're overpopulated in San Francisco. It's the
challenges of the -- I mean, I'm just going to call it
out: it's a bottleneck in the San Mateo area to get the
population down in a compliant manner. Thank you.
Any other comments? Just want to hear from everybody if we're comfortable with these districts and these changes.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Tamina has a comment.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Tamina.

MS. ALTO: Thank you. Just wanted to point out to Commissioner Kennedy's question, the one thing that I did not explore was SMATEO does come right up to EASTSF. Technically, we could link Brisbane with the southern areas -- southeastern areas of San Francisco, adding this valley -- Excelsior or Bayview to that area; that was not explored and is an option if you would like to explore it.

CHAIR TOLEDO: There's a potential option to try to draw down -- bring down population from the southeastern portion of San Francisco. Any thoughts on that? There doesn't seem to be strong opinions on these, so it seems like we're all comfortable with these maps.

Commissioner Sinay.

And I just want to speak that I am comfortable with these maps; these maps appear to be aligned with our priorities.

Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm comfortable with the maps; I'm not comfortable with taking that kind of east -- the
southeast part out and putting it with Brisbane. We've had this conversation before. That's a lot of, you know, traditionally disenfranchised communities in San Francisco, and putting them with San Mateo, it feel -- it doesn't -- it just doesn't feel right.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And that's exactly my point, that there's a lot of disparity, there's a lot of -- and a lot of wealth in this area and it's -- it is concentrated in areas that make it difficult to unite communities of interest.

Any other comments?

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I'm just wanting to back to the San Carlos Belmont question. Are we willing to -- are we then not willing to split those cities so that we can try to -- to try to bring East Palo Alto in with more aligned cities? Or -- It's just -- I've only seen it because East Palo Alto's just kind of hanging out there on their own, along with Belhaven.

But more East Palo Alto is -- is, as you've said, you know, in this kind of grouping of very affluent areas. And a lot of them are those essential workers, as well as, you know, other low income communities, renters, and others.

And so -- I -- and I mean, I -- I could live with
this, but I am concerned. I will say, I am very
concerned that they're just kind of out there.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Akutagawa --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: And so I'd rather split,
so.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Well, yeah. Let's -- let's ask
Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: You know, it would be possible.
I wish that East Palo Alto were on the other side of
Menlo Park. That would make it so much easier.

But if we're taking East Palo Alto, and then, we
have to take in Menlo Park. And we split it, or not.
And then, you know, the splits just multiply. Then
splitting San Carlos, splitting Belmont. Yeah. You
know, it just seems like a high price.

And the same is true for the questioning from Mr.
Kennedy about moving population down. I know we tried to
explore every possibility. Of course, it would be
better. But on the other hand, you know, the splits
would just multiply, COIs and cities.

And you know, as it is, we're still within our --
our acceptable deviations. So it seemed like an
acceptable price to pay to maintain the -- the
communities and cities that we do.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Yee.
Commissioner Fernandez, Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair.

Tamina, can you go south a little?

And I think we might have talked about this yesterday, so I apologize, Commissioner Yee -- not so far. Oops.

If we -- I know it would not be popular, but maybe, like, moving Half Moon Bay to the Gatos, and then moving up Palo Alto. So I'm just trying to -- I'm trying to think of, like, swaps. But I think we might have talked about -- it's all kind of blurring, so I apologize for being repetitive, if I am.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. We did talk about potentially swapping. I believe -- believe it was Commissioner Sadhwani who brought up the potential of -- potentially swapping Half Moon Bay area into -- bringing that down.

If memory serves me.

Commissioner Yee? And then, Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Right. I mean, it is a possibility. But then, you know, then you have Pacific -- maybe Pacifica with these. Palo Alto or Pacifica with way down -- you know. And way South Bay.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Let's go to Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you, Chair.
In trying to bring alignment to some of the like socioeconomic communities, we talked about perhaps having more splits. But cities and COI, I think they're all on the same level. And so I -- if we know -- and since we have them identified, I would really like for us to consider splitting cities in order to keep like communities together.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Um-hum.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: I think the greater harm would be in pairing communities that are much more affluent with those that are not --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Um-hum.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: -- as opposed to trying to keep the city together.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you.

Any specific thoughts about that? Is it specifically East Palo Alto and some of the -- I believe it's Eastern portions of Menlo Park that are within the -- and Commissioner Yee and Tamina probably have COIs identified a little better than I do.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Just a note, Chair, on the socioeconomic areas here. So looking at the option of East Palo Alto and part of Menlo Park in to the S. Mateo District, it's either going to be -- just from where it's situated, it's either going to be with Stanford in
Atherton, or it's going to be with Brisbane in Burlingame.

So I'm not exactly sure which is poorer of those two areas, but -- or -- just thinking about, kind of, the -- the trade that would need to be made. Because this Pacifica to Half Moon Bay area, even if you were to move all of that population, it would literally move, like, a line in Redwood City, just because of the way that the -- the density of the population in those areas are.

So happy to look at those, but that's what we are looking at when we're trying it out yesterday.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And that's -- thank you for the reality check, there. This is --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Um-hum.

CHAIR TOLEDO: This is an area of great wealth and great disparities, and the disparities are -- I mean, a lot of these areas of -- with essential workers are changing and evolving quite quickly because of affordability, as well.

Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: I'm sorry, I didn't have anything more.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So with that, we'll consider that -- we'll consider that.

And then, in the meantime, I do want to go into
closed session, given that our varied counsel is going to be less available over the weekend. So I just want to go in for a quick check-in for about 30 minutes, and then, we will be back. So anticipate we will be back by 12 -- by 12 o'clock.

So you should have a link to join the closed session. We'll join the closed session, and we'll continue on where we are today in Northern California when we come back.

Thank you. We are in recess.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 11:30 a.m. until 12:16 p.m.)

CHAIR TOLEDO: Welcome back to the California Citizen's Redistricting Commission. We were in closed session under the pending litigation exception. We are back.

Working on Northern California. No action was taken in closed session. We are back working in Northern California.

Tamino -- Tamina, can you please take us back to where we were?

And I believe Commissioner Sadhwani had had her hands up before we left on -- left to closed session. So if you had a comment, Commissioner Sadhwani, let's start with you.
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes, thank you.

So where we had left off, I think Tamina had made a comment about taking Half Moon Bay, and that the population on that side of the peninsula wasn't much to -- in order to offset -- offset -- what do you call them? You know, the entirety of Redwood City and East Menlo Park.

But my understanding was that we were focusing -- we were thinking more -- and someone please correct me if I'm wrong -- San Carlos, and the one next to it is not San Bruno, but begins with --

MS. RAMOS ALON: Belmont.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Belmont, thank you.

I thought -- my thought around this was, what if we were to put San Carlos and Belmont down into the Gatos/Cruise (sic) district? Would that be enough to open up opportunities to keep the entirety of Redwood City, and/or bring in East Palo Alto and portions of Menlo Park?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Tamina, can you speak to that?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Happy to try it, if you'd like.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yes, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, I would be interested, if other Commissioners want to --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Well, let's --
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- investigate that --
CHAIR TOLEDO: -- try it.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes. So just so I'm clear, the
direction is to take Belmont and San Carlos into South
Pen, and then, the trade is to take in the Menlo
Park/East Palo Alto area toward the bay? Is that
correct?

CHAIR TOLEDO: I believe we're rotating the
population. Belmont, San -- if I'm understanding this
correctly, Commissioner Sadhwani, Belmont and San Carlos
would come into the South Pen District, and we'd be
rotating the population of East Palo Alto/Menlo Park up
into the San Mateo regions. We're just doing --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- a rotation.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes --

MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- thank you. I misspoke,
yes. The South Pen, not the -- not the Gatos.

MS. RAMOS ALON: All right, sure. Let me try that.

Give me one moment.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And Commissioner Sadhwani, can you
speak to the -- to the COI's that we've -- and
Commissioner -- I know Commissioner Yee can, as well, and
others.
But the COI's that we've received from this area?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I think since our draft map came out, we've definitely had a lot of folks calling in asking for Redwood City and East Palo Alto to be kept together.

I believe even last night, in our public comment, a caller had specifically identified Belmont and San Carlos as possible swaps in this area. So I certainly don't want to take credit for it, but -- I don't even know if it'll work, but I figured it's worth just exploring before we -- before we settle.

And I'm sure Commissioner Yee will have more in terms of the hills of Redwood City. I know he had mentioned that. I would be curious to hear more about those hills.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you so much.

COMMISSIONER YEE: So yeah. I mean, if Redwood City were to be split, the hills versus the base side seem the best split. But, of course, to keep Redwood City entirely whole is even better, so.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. What I -- what I'm grabbing -- Pacific down -- I'm grabbing the whole West San Mateo --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yes.
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- West of Skyline Boulevard. And I'm getting, like, 70,000 people.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Um-hum.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I mean, there's -- you know, I mean, that's -- rather than splitting cities, if we move those South, and do -- well, I don't know if we can do that rotation, actually. Okay. I'll retract my statement.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Well, let's do this rotation, and then, if there's other options, we can employ those. Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Do we have to take all of that portion of Menlo Park that goes along Atherton and Stanford? It -- it just seems like -- just that part that keeps Menlo Park continuous with East Palo Alto is all that's needed.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. So we'd be doing a split for Menlo Park, yes? I see consensus on that, so it's split.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Okay.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah.

And just wanting to make sure. It's been a long time since I've lived in this area.

Is that -- the area that we're splitting, does that have more in common with East Palo Alto, or with the Palo Alto area?
Does any of the Commission members know?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I believe it has a lot more
in common with Palo Alto and Stanford than it does with
East Palo Alto.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: When I've driven through
there.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

Commissioner Ahmad and Commissioner Andersen?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Chair.

Not related to what you were asking, but can we zoom
in on where we are splitting Menlo Park? I just want to
see where 101 runs down.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And while we're doing that, let's
hear from Commissioner Andersen.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. I have a slightly
different idea, guys. Why don't we do South Pen expand
up to get Redwood City, and have San Mateo jump from,
like, Belmont, Carlos, to Emerald Hill -- you know, have
it -- have it go that way.

Essentially -- like, right now, we're having the top
scooped at the Bay side and the bottom scoop up the
ridge. Why don't we switch that? See how we -- Southern
Penn right now has Belmont, San Carlos, Emerald Lake.
But we really want to put Redwood City in Southern Penn.
So why don't we not do that, grab the other way, and then -- and giving, let's say, Woodside, Emerald Valley, over to San Mateo?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Let's hear from other Commissioners. Commissioner Akutagawa, and then Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I would just disagree with that, because I think moving East Palo Alto in -- you have more, kind of, like, middle class, working class communities in that San -- S. Mateo District. If you moved Redwood City -- I mean, again, you're creating some communities that don't have a lot in common with these uber-wealthy areas that we're looking at in South Pen and Gatos/Cruise.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: But we'd take those out.

CHAIR TOLEDO: One second.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: It would go with San Mateo.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. But places like Burlingame and San Bruno are not, like -- they're not, like, super affluent, like the S. Pen communities.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I guess, I was -- my answer was going to be very similar, but I want to -- you know, I would want -- let me just stop there.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. And just curious for the
Commission -- I just have a question for the Commission.
Do we want to keep all of -- or sorry, not Rona Park --
Redwood City together? Do we want to -- at this point,
we split Redwood City.

Are we comfortable with the split? Or do we want
the whole of the city to -- to make the city whole?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I thought we were making it
whole.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So I'm curious, because right now, we
still have it split.

Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. Commissioner
Akutagawa talked about the wealthy communities in the
South Pen. And then, we are considering moving in
Belmont and San Carlos.

Are those wealthy communities? Are we moving them
from a community they should be in, versus now into a
wealthy community? I guess that was my concern right
now.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I think they are less
wealthy than the current district, but the gap between
them, versus Palo Alto and where -- leaving Palo Alto
where they are is, I think, more extreme. So I think
it's just a matter of which might be better.

CHAIR TOLEDO: There's a lot of wealthy disparities
in this area, and it's actually dispersed throughout.

All right. So let's see Commissioner Andersen. Did you have --

Or Commissioner Fornaciari, you have your hand up? Nope?

And then, Commissioner Andersen?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, this was about the same. Yeah, the only -- you know, like, East Palo Alto versus Palo Alto, okay? That's definable.

Where the actual bits of working class, not as wealthy is spread through the area. Belmont and San Carlos are not that different than a lot of Redwood City. Where, like, say, Atherton, Palo Alto -- you know, those are -- and Woodside -- are pretty much exclusively wealthy.

But trying to just make a community out of just those is going to be very hard.

Yeah, I was hoping we were going to do this. But I kind of hope we back off and go, now what in this particular area do we want to get -- get together? Like Redwood City, da, da, da. Like, this, and this, and this. And then work, as opposed to trying piecemeal here, and fixing one, but not addressing the whole big area.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Andersen.
And we will -- we are addressing -- we will be addressing the bigger area in a second.

Commissioner -- okay, Sinay's hand is down. So let's -- let's zoom out when you have an opportunity.

So let's take a look at the numbers for the deviations. We're a little bit over, it looks like, in South.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes. The deviation of S. Mateo is -5.53.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Um-hum.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Deviation of South Pen is -0.51.

As was suggested, I could look around the -- the line in Menlo Park to bring that below 5 percent, if that's -- if that is what you would like.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So I guess the question becomes, are we comfortable moving in this direction, with these -- with this makeup of the cities? Or do we think we have mismatches in cities? Because that's really going to determine our direction here.

It sounded a couple -- right before the break that we were comfortable with -- for the most part, comfortable with the districts as they were. But I want some feedback.

Commissioner Yee?
COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. To balance the population more, let's try putting Redwood City back together.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

COMMISSIONER YEE: I think generally speaking, you know, if we weren't considering anything else, Belmont, San Carlos would probably be better going North. But it's -- you know, it's not horrible.

So if that allows us to get East Palo Alto with the Northern District and then put Redwood City back together, then I think that's an acceptable cost.

MS. RAMOS ALON: So you would like Redwood City and San Carlos in Belmont? Because you'll be stranding them if you reunite Redwood City. Redwood City comes all the way down here. It's this whole little area.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So the question is, do we want to bring inasmuch as we can of Redwood City, or -- or if we want to leave the cut here. Because it's essentially now, where are we going to make the cut in Redwood City.

And Redwood City is a large city, and sometimes, we have to make cuts in larger communities.

Lots of hands up, so I'm going to go down the line.

Remember, one minute.

Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: What about trying to bring inasmuch as Belmont? Or else, bringing it down to El
Camino. Because I know El Camino is a -- is a main thoroughfare there. And it could be the dividing line between -- you know, the -- I guess as Commissioner Yee said, the amount -- or foothill, versus the other --

CHAIR TOLEDO: So do you have an opinion on whether to leave the line in Redwood City there? Or whether to split it?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I think we might be better off just leaving the line in Redwood City as it is, and then, perhaps trying to bring in a little bit more Belmont --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay, let's --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- and part --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- ask other folks.

Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I think I would -- I would rather take population from Menlo Park. You know, have as little of Menlo Park as possible in the South San Mateo.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Commissioner Andersen?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sorry. Which was -- you want as little of Redwood Park --

CHAIR TOLEDO: At this point, the dividing line in Redwood Park -- sorry --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Redwood City.
CHAIR TOLEDO: -- Redwood City is that we -- we were trying to make the city whole. It doesn't look like it's possible for contiguity reasons, so our -- the question becomes, are we -- do we leave the split there? Do we try to incorporate more of the city without --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Do you have an opinion on that?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'd actually take --

CHAIR TOLEDO: I can come back to you.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- give -- give Belmont back to San Mateo.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Belmont back to San Mateo.

Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Let's see. What I was going to initially say is, is we could move the line at Belmont and San Carlos to the other side of 280, to the West. And that would enable us to try to keep Redwood City whole, and still be contiguous.

And then, I do like Commissioner Sinay's thought. You know, we could move the line in Menlo Park to Highway 84. But I don't know what the higher priority is: putting Redwood City whole or making more of Menlo Park whole.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay, let's set the priority.

Commissioner Fernandez?
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I thought the reason we started this was to keep Redwood City whole.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So is that your priority?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Well, I mean --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- that was the whole purpose of it, I thought. And also, we -- then, we threw in the East Palo Alto. So --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- I guess I'm just getting confused on our --

CHAIR TOLEDO: So the priority is to keep Redwood City whole from Fernandez.

Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I mean, I think that the testimony has been keep whole, if possible. And I think, as Commissioner Yee has uplifted, that there is a -- there's a difference between Redwood City and the hills.

So I think --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Right.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- to me, if we're moving in this direction, my preference would be to ask Tamina to take our priorities, which is keeping most of Redwood City together with East Palo Alto and Fair Oaks, and
trying to balance out that population.

I don't think we can keep Redwood City whole, given
the shape of it, if we're going to have Belmont and San
Carlos in play. So to me, it's about -- it's about
balancing the population, at this point.

And -- and I would feel comfortable having Tamina go
back and figure out how to best do that, while
maintaining this priority of most of Redwood City to the
hills, Fair Oaks, and East Palo Alto.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you.

Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. To be additive, I
agree. And if we are going to look towards Menlo Park, I
would want to see the heat maps. I like the direction
we're currently in with trying to keep Redwood City
whole, unless we split it around El Camino.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you.

Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: The part -- the original --
well, there's different testimonies. But part of the
reason to bring -- yeah, to make sure Redwood City was
full, or -- it was an and. It was Redwood City whole,
and with these communities. The south side of Redwood
City. And it included Woodside, Palm Park, Roosevelt,
Eagle Hill, Farm Hill, Belhaven, North Fair Oaks, and
East Palo Alto. They were all in that -- in that kind of request, because it was kind of -- and this is bringing a lot of different input that we've gotten from different communities in that area.

But that includes the API, the -- you know, the Latino, African American, black. And so it was about, you know, kind of looking at if it was possible to bring in those -- those different communities that are more working class, than the very, very wealthy, uber wealthy, as we've been talking about.

So it was -- it was an and. It was Redwood City and this.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Sinay.

Let's see. Commissioner Turner -- Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair.

I apologize if I missed anything, but have we considered continuing right there at the south end of Woodside, where Highway 35 is going north. If we -- if we extended the South Pen district up to Highway 35, and then took it to where 35 hits 92, and then brought it back down to Belmont.

We've resolve our contiguity problem with Redwood City. But I mean, have we created other problems by doing that? Thank you.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. And we'll check in with Tamina to see if she's explored that.

Tamina, have you explored that option?

MS. RAMOS ALON: I'm sorry, would you repeat that?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Sure. If where the green line of South Pen is touching Highway 35 at the southern end of Woodside, like there at Portola Valley -- yeah, right there.

So if we -- if we moved the green line north of that, along highway 35, all the way up to highway 92, and then brought it back down to where it hits Belmont, then I think we've resolved our contiguity problem with Redwood City.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes, that would allow Woodside to be connected in this way, if you'd like to do that.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Right, I'm just --

CHAIR TOLEDO: So --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: -- wondering if we've created any other problems if we do that. Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. And Commissioner Akutagawa, Andersen, and Yee, and -- just getting -- I'm trying to figure out what our priority is right now. But I'm trying to figure -- trying to gauge the consensus of the group.

So if you could help me with that. Akutagawa,
1 Andersen, and Yee?

2 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I'll say, my
3 understanding of what we're doing right now is not to
4 keep Redwood City whole. I think we were comfortable
5 with the split.
6 I think it was to try to bring more of East Palo
7 Alto in with other similar cities. Again, I would
8 just -- instead --

9 CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.
10 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- of trying to make
11 Redwood City whole, I would -- I'm seeing COI testimony
12 that also speaks to splitting Belmont and San Carlos.
13 CHAIR TOLEDO: So you're in agreement with
14 Commissioner Sadhwani, as was Commissioner Turner.
15 Commissioner Andersen, are you in agreement with
16 that -- the balancing at this point? You're okay with
17 the split, and we're moving towards balancing population?
18 VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. That -- but I'm sorry.
19 So does that mean -- which one -- because there have been
20 several different options, here. Which --
21 CHAIR TOLEDO: So -- right --
22 VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- one are we saying that we
23 all agree on?
24 CHAIR TOLEDO: So we're all -- so I don't know if
25 we're all saying this, but -- that's why I'm gauging
this. It's essentially that we are comfortable with the split where it is now in Redwood City --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: In Redwood City.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- and that we would, at this point, be trying to balance population, and doing that in the way that -- that honors the -- the socioeconomic community -- keeping socioeconomic communities together.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Just -- I don't know -- you've given what Commissioner Sinay said. And she mentioned -- you didn't mention Woodside, did you? But there were other small areas in that -- in that area.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So we'd be --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Other small cities.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- balancing those areas, yes.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Would -- are those also included in this, or not?

CHAIR TOLEDO: The socioeconomic COIs that were listed would be potentially areas that we would try to keep together in balancing.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, I understand that. I understand --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yes.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- that's the goal, but I don't understand where those are. We know East Palo
Alto. We want North Fairfax, we want Redwood City. And then, Commissioner Sinay mentioned several other little ones. Except, I thought she said Woodside, which, of course, is not -- that Woodside is very wrong.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So let's hear from Commissioner Yee, because I think he's been looking at these COIs very carefully.

Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Just wanted to add a vote to move Belmont north. I think that will balance out the population.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Yee. So that would be moving towards balancing.

And Tamina, can you just highlight Belmont and see if we can -- what that'll do.

Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. And whichever way you go, I'm actually okay with. I just want to, if possible, Tamina, as we did note earlier that all of these districts are negative. If there's some way to try to balance it throughout, that'd be great. Like push -- push some of the population up, please.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So it looks like we'd be pushing population up, not down.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right, but then --
CHAIR TOLEDO: We get this --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- you've got your other -- you have --

CHAIR TOLEDO: So --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- your other districts, as well.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So what I'm saying is, this change -- if we go with the change with Belmont, we'd be pushing population up. When we -- and what your statement -- it wants us to -- well, we actually, at this point, need to push population down.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. And then continue down, in terms of --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Well, actually --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- some of the negative -- yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Actually, we would get us into compliance if we put population up at this point. But I do see your point, in terms of trying to get population down in the peninsula.

So Belmont is -- is everybody comfortable with putting Belmont -- Belmont has how many people? 28,000 people -- into the San Mateo district?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Resulting deviations to S. Mateo would be 0.21 percent. Resulting deviation to S. Pen
would be -5.89 percent.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Fornaciari? Are you comfortable with that?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: How are we going to fix an excessively negative outcome?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Can you explain this excessively negative outcome?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Well, it -- South Pen's going to be -6 percent. So I mean, we would have to -- so we would --

CHAIR TOLEDO: So we'd --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- split them --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- have to balance the population.

So at this point, given that this is something that we can have Tamina work on off-line, let's see if we can come --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Well --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- come to a consensus. I --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- yeah. I have suggestion, actually.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Let's hear your suggestion.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: We could move Belmont north, and then -- and then move more of Menlo Park back into South Pen.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And that seems like a fair
compromise. That would be what Commissioner Sadhwani and
Commissioner Sinay had suggested earlier.

Let's hear from Commissioner Andersen, and then,
let's make a decision.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. I agree with
Commissioner Fornaciari.

The other thing, though, I want to have a look at,
if we go a little further south, is we've got quite a bit
of testimony about Los Gatos and Sunnyvale and --

CHAIR TOLEDO: And we're going to be moving into
that direction --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- in that direction --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: But they're in --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Do you want to look at it now?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: But they're in South Pen. The
Campbel --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Um-hum.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- Saratoga, Los Gatos -- they
all want to be with Cupertino, who they share a width,
and north.

And so I'm just wondering, how do we do that?

CHAIR TOLEDO: So we're going to be getting down
there in a second.

So let's go back to the region that we're at.
Because we have to make a decision there, and then, we'll come down and make decisions down there.

Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So my question -- what did you say, men -- what did you say, Neil? You said --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Fornaciari, can you repeat your -- your recommendation for direction?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Sure. If we -- if we make the move to move Belmont into S. Mateo, then we can make up some of that population by moving the border of Menlo Park maybe up to Highway 84, and putting more of Menlo Park back in South Pen.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. I guess my -- so if we moved Belmont, we're going to get -- that district will be at about zero. So then, it'll go back to negative if you move Menlo -- is that right? Am I going the wrong way with that?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Right. They're -- but they're all going to be negative.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And I guess that was my concern. I was trying to fix all the negatives.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, but you can't --

CHAIR TOLEDO: There's --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- without pulling --
CHAIR TOLEDO: -- there's no way --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- all that population
down from San Francisco. And that was --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- that was something we
were struggling with before.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So we're --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: We're kind of getting there
if -- all right.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So do we want to shift more
population from San Francisco? Is that a direction that
we want to continue to give? Or are we -- are we
comfortable with --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: My -- my point with having
that entire side of negative means that there's going to
be some other sides that have to take in that additional
population somewhere. So then, you're going to have
these -- these offsetting. Be it in Contra Costa, or
these other areas, will have to be positive.

So I was -- what I was trying to do is just balance
areas as we go through. But that's fine, if everyone
else is fine with it.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So the question becomes whether we --
the difficulty in bringing down population in San
Francisco, which we've discussed, given that there are
some -- some communities up there that are challenging to
draw down population from.

Commissioner Turner, and then, Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you.

Tamina, can we drill in on Menlo Park? We've
mentioned moving a line there. Can you add heat maps for
first the Latino -- okay. Well, just -- can we use that
to determine where we're looking at splitting? Because
we're talking about moving Menlo Park into a very
affluent area right now. So I'm just trying to get an
idea of who we were moving.

So maybe someone else has a different way of doing
that. Okay. Well, then -- okay.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I mean, my -- sorry to
just jump in, but, you know, Menlo Park is the affluent
area, in and of itself. And I was -- I was moving it out
to keep -- you know, East Palo Alto would still be with
Redwood City.

But I was just trying to figure out a way to balance
population by reducing the split of Menlo Park.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Um-hum.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Well, we might be able -- well --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Audio interference) --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Andersen -- or

Commissioner Fernandez?
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So just -- Commissioner Fornaciari, so -- so if we move Menlo Park into South Pen, so then, East Palo Alto would still be connected to the Redwood --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And not all --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So you kind of go --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- of it, just --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- you kind of go around?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- go to -- just go to 84.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And then, there'd still be the area around.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. I was -- I was getting confused, because for some reason, I thought everything was going to move back down. Including East Palo Alto.

Okay, thanks.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Did you want me to try taking these blocks to the 84?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Just a reminder that Belhaven neighborhood is in that area that -- where -- it would make sense, but I believe that's where the Belhaven neighborhood is -- up to -- around the 84. That little triangle, or -- I don't know what shape it's officially
called.

But it connects to East Palo Alto. And that's a low income area, too. Yeah, just where -- where -- yeah.

But I do have a question/comment. It does look like, you know, there's some -- perhaps some readjusting that's going to be happening on east-based side, and I noticed that there's some higher deviations on the east-based side. Perhaps it's just a larger counterclockwise move, so that it might also enable some of the other adjustments that people are asking for on the east-based side to also occur. Without having to try to do it all on the -- on the peninsula side.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So we have to make some decisions. And I believe -- let's hear from Commissioner Turner and Yee, and then, we will move on.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. So Commissioner Andersen earlier was looking at the southern part of the same district. And I don't know that she was necessarily going to go to a different district --

CHAIR TOLEDO: No.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: -- but a southern portion of South Pen. I'm wondering if there are opportunities there to move population into South Pen.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Can we look -- can we zoom out and see if all of these are -- they're negative? Yeah,
they're all negative. So we have negative 5, negative 2, negative 3, negative 1. Alum Rock has a little bit.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Um-hum.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Sunnyvale, Sunny -- Sunny Tino has -- Sunny Tino has a 3.92 negative.

Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Chair.

Just to go back to that piece on balancing these populations.

So we made a commitment -- or we wanted to honor not crossing the bridge, right? So that puts a hard boundary for us on our starting point.

Working our way down the peninsula, it's going to be negative. I don't see a way that we can get closer to zero or in the positive without breaking up communities of interest and cities, moving down the peninsula. It's just the way that the population is distributed in this area.

And like, Commissioner Yee, you mentioned earlier. It's a narrow strip down the peninsula, with the more concentrated areas that are populated.

So I don't know -- as long as we're in the acceptable range of deviations, I think we should move on to the other criteria --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Um-hum.
COMMISSIONER AHMAD: -- on our list.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I would agree.

And so -- and we do have a sufficient population in San Francisco. We have positive population in San Francisco. It's just the difficulty of bringing that down. So we could bring it down, but it would be very difficult without breaking up communities of interest up there.

So it's -- at this point, we're dealing with -- we're prioritizing COIs.

Let's see. Commissioner Yee, and then Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. I'd like to propose giving direction to move Belmont north, and then, to adjust the split in Menlo Park, to move it to 101.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And while doing so, getting us -- balancing the populations, getting us into appropriate deviations, acceptable deviations, and also respecting socioeconomic communities.

Which at this point, I am comfortable, as long as the Commission is, that Commissioner Yee will work with Tamina on -- on getting those deviations to an acceptable level, and we will move --

And I see a consensus in the room, and also across the zoom. They'll work on that off-line. And if -- if
you have any feedback on that, please send it through staff, so that the consultant can -- so that Tamina is able to -- to have it. But it would go through staff.

Thank you. Let's move on to the next district.

Commissioner Sadhwani. Andersen -- I think Andersen had her hand up first, and then, Sadhwani second.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. I -- so which district are we supposed to be talking about now?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Now, we're in the district right below that. So this the district you were talking about, I believe.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: South Pen. So -- okay. Because actually, what we heard from communities of interest, several of them -- Los Gatos, Campbell, Saratoga, which is the red right below Cupertino -- those are all, actually, totally related to Cupertino, and up.

And so they -- you know, they all said, well, can we please be put together? And not -- you know, Los Gatos is in with Santa Cruz. And they're like, we really don't want to do that. We'd like to be with our sister communities. It was quite --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Do you --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- I don't know --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Do you have --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- if they --
CHAIR TOLEDO: -- a suggestion and a direction on how to do that?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Um-hum.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And then, while you're thinking about that, let's go to Commissioner Sadhwani.

Or we can go back. If we can just -- do you have the COIs, or access to the COIs, Commissioner Andersen?

COI --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I don't.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- testimony?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I don't right now. I'd have to try --

CHAIR TOLEDO: I can also ask staff to look through the COI testimony and bring it back to us. Because I think you're right. I think it's Los Gatos and other communities.

Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, I think that's right, also.

I just wanted to make a note before we move into a deeper conversation about the next district. I'm actually going to have to take off in, like, 1:15-ish.

But I just wanted to say it out there that yesterday, we were working in the Sacramento area. We were trying to get Vineyard in. We were, like, in this
process of shifting all of this -- this -- all of the
population around to create a more rural district.

A caller had called in last night saying, don't give
up on Vineyard. Please, please keep trying to -- I
wanted to see if there's agreement from the Commission.

It seemed like there was generally agreement
yesterday, and then, we kind of let it go. But just to
give Tamina the direction to try and work on that, to try
and get Vineyard back in, so it's not with a rural
district, and to create a more rural area.

And I don't think that's something we have to
necessarily work on now, if --

CHAIR TOLEDO: That -- that would impact Sacramento.
I think, yesterday, what we agreed on was that we could
live with that. If now -- if we're changing our minds,
and we can no longer live with that, then -- then we have
to have -- that's a decision point. And I think because
it impacts important areas in Sacramento, that would lead
to some potential contentious issues. I think we'd have
to work on trying to do it live line drawing.

So it's -- it's not something we can send -- Tamina
to come back, and then end up having a larger
conversation afterwards. Because we are running out of
time.

But I do intend to bring that conversation to the
floor. Once we're done with the Bay Area, then, we'll go back up to Sacramento for that very brief conversation. And hopefully, it's a quick swap. I didn't see a quick swap last time, though.

Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. I actually worked on that last night, and I was able to get Vineyard in. But the second piece of it was the Stanis. So I'd have to work on the Stanis part to bring --

So I was able to bring Vineyard in, and just work with those districts within Sacramento, and not have to shift something out. But I'm going to have to continue to work to bring something in with Stanis. I was able to do it. I just had to find a different -- I was trying to minimize cutting different COIs. Thanks.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. And hopefully, you'll continue to be able to work through that and draw down appropriate deviations. And then, we'll be able to have a conversation about it in a -- hopefully, in a few minutes.

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I just wanted to note, we received some additional testimony. I do want to acknowledge that I mentioned a guy from Rancho Cordova. He is the one. My apologies, Mr. Terry (ph.).
But he did send some input in it. He did note that part of being able to bring Vineyard in -- and also, retain other COIs in the Sacramento areas would mean splitting Sacramento. So I just wanted to point that out.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And we -- and that'll be later. And we did discuss any impact to Vineyard impacts Sacramento. We -- we know that. It's a big enough space. So reopening Sacramento is an issue.

But we'll get to it. Let's keep going.

So we were with Commissioner Andersen. She was talking about COIs in Gilroy.

Commissioner Andersen, were you able to get additional information on Gilroy? I think we lost Commissioner Andersen. There she is.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I wasn't talking about Gilroy. Gilroy is --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah, Gilroy.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. I mean, we're -- that's not here. Gilroy is --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Tamina --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- to the South.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- can you -- can you head down to Gilroy? Sorry. I meant Los Gatos.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, Los Gatos --
CHAIR TOLEDO: I'm sorry.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Los Gatos. Okay. Yeah, so I was talking about that one.

And --

CHAIR TOLEDO: All right, so --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- there were --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- were you able to figure out the COIs?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: You mean, like, to be able to read from that COI?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Or were you able to figure out which COIs, you know, wanted to be together?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, yes, I know. Yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Saratoga --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- excellent. Let's --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, Saratoga --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- hear them.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- Campbell, and Los Gatos --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Sure --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- wanted to be with Cupertino.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. Saratoga, Cupertino, and Los Gatos. So it's the three communities there.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct. And they had -- they
share water systems, they -- you know, of course, it's neighborhoods and schools. But I didn't catch the school. It's their shopping usual --

And they -- but they didn't -- they don't really have that much in common. They used to go to Cupertino, then they'll go up and around into Mountain View and Palo Alto.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So given the -- given that we have negative deviations in all of these areas, combining these three would be -- do you have any suggestions on how to shift -- or we can ask Tamina if she has any suggestions on how to keep these three communities while balancing the deviations.

It looks very --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, yeah --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- difficult.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, the issue is --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Almost impossible --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- we're taking --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- given the last --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- is the pivot. So many -- remember how many of them said it's Cupertino, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara, Milpitas, Freemont, which -- that --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah, there --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- or have, and --
CHAIR TOLEDO: -- there may be a way to rotate population, but I'm not seeing it.

Tamina, do you see any ways to rotate population to be able to unite these three communities?

MS. RAMOS ALON: To unite Los Gatos with Saratoga and Campbell, adding Monte Sereno? I think that's possible. Adding in Cupertino would be more difficult.

I think you could take Los Gatos and bring it into South Pen and adjust the line in -- over here in San Jose to bring more of that in.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So let's zoom out and go back and --

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yeah, no. We can't do that --

CHAIR TOLEDO: For the most part, I want to hear from the -- from the Commission.

Are we comfortable with this -- with this district? More or less -- is it more or less where we want it? We can't keep all the COIs together. We know that we were doing our best, and certainly, we've done a great job, I think, of these -- these visualizations are based on all the COI testimony we received over the summer and to the last couple of weeks.

We're now having to make difficult decisions, and we do have some splits. And so I just want to hear from the Commission. Are we comfortable with this map, as is? Or are there any minor refinements to the map that would
make it -- that would get us to be comfortable with the
maps?

Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: We're just talking about this
one right here, correct?

CHAIR TOLEDO: We're talking about this one, but if
you have general, then let us know.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, we haven't gotten into
East Bay yet.

CHAIR TOLEDO: No, we haven't. We will be going
next.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Or further south.

But I think one of the challenges I'm having, as
well as, probably, others are having is that we created
these maps -- the visualizations. We created the
visualizations based on communities of interest. And
then, those visualizations took -- we took the six
criteria, and we created our draft maps. We knew that
they weren't perfect, and that there was going to need to
be some tweaks.

And then, we've received some new -- new communities
of interest, because new people are learning about the
maps, and some new things are coming up. And I feel
like, on the whole, it might be good for us sometimes to
stay focused on what -- I mean, if we trust that we
created the visualizations based on the first 10,000 COIs we received --

I just feel like we keep going back and forth, back and forth. Like we've made a decision, and I do believe that we will constantly get new information, and we may need to tweak, or we might not.

But I think as we -- different ones of us have said it different times. We can all find a COI -- we're always going to find something that's wrong with our maps. We can always find a COI to tell us that we haven't gotten it right.

So as you were saying, Chair, we need to just be at a place where we're comfortable.

And remember that we have limited time, and we've only looked at a portion of the map, and we can't -- you know, that's one of my stressors.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. And I think -- and this whole -- the whole map -- all of the maps we have are based on COI testimony. That's where the foundation is, the COI testimony. And now we're having to make difficult decisions, because we have incorporated the -- the other aspects of it.

Commissioner Andersen, and then, Akutagawa, then -- at this point, I am seeing general consensus that we're all comfortable with this map and with the deviations.
So we're going to move on, unless there's something that you can't live with.

Commissioner Andersen or Akutagawa?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. On this note, I thought we were trying to move some population down. And we're still messing with the ones that are all negative.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So we --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Where we know the East Bay has almost -- there's lots and lots of positives. And I believe we will be pulling some population down from there, which we can then shift into these areas.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Good point. And we're going to be going to the East Bay very soon.

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, I'm not so concerned about South Pen. I do agree with Commissioner Andersen just said.

I do want to note, specifically, though, Alum Rock. I remember that we received quite a bit of testimony. On this particular one, the testimony -- several testimonies say that we're cutting a Latino and Filipino community in half, and they've asked us to move that line up, it looks like maybe a couple of streets, or a couple of intersections.

So I don't know if we could --
CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Akutagawa, if you have that COI, can you please send it to Tamina? Because she has access to all of them, and she's based her maps on that. So if you have access to that COI, or we can get staff to get that COI, so that that could --

Tamina, you probably already have that COI?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Just a note on that, there are several overlapping COIs in that area, and it is difficult. If I do that with Alum Rock, I will be splitting Berryessa.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. And that's in the East Bay area --

MS. RAMOS ALON: But happy to explore it.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Having the --

MS. RAMOS ALON: So I just wanted to put that out there.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So if you have that COI information, then Tamina can look at it as she tries to balance all the deviations that she's working through. But I do sense that the general consensus is that we're comfortable with these maps, and we have charged Tamina and Dr. -- or actually, it is Dr. Yee -- but Mr. Yee -- Commissioner Yee, to continue refining.

Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I kind of feel like we're
pushing a lot of decisions off that we could make --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So what decisions are -- do we need to --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Well, we want --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- make? Because we want to make decisions.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- you know, I mean -- so Commissioner Akutagawa just brought up a COI. Tamina said if we -- if we make that change, we're going to break another COI.

Let's make a decision on which COI we're going to pick and move on.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So my understanding is that what we're prioritizing is the deviations at this point, not so much the COIs, so --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Well, but --

CHAIR TOLEDO: So --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- okay. I'm sorry. We're -- okay.

CHAIR TOLEDO: But let's -- but what is -- what are the COIs?

So Tamina, tell us what the COIs are, and we can do some prioritization.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Sure. How much time do you have?

So this area is actually rich with a lot of
different COIs. So there is a Latino community COI that's right underneath this line, which separates it from the Berryessa COI, which is this purple area up here.

There's another Asian American COI, which is in this little area, and connects to Berryessa.

There's a downtown one that's over here. And then, the Punjabi-Sikh COI comes across this way.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: So Tamina, what I -- what I read is that if you move it up to Berryessa, it does look like -- there seems to be agreement between the different communities that if you move the COI -- that line dot over to Berryessa -- and it looked like it's about a couple intersections up, that it will -- it will balance, I think, community interests in that way.

And that's the way I read through -- I -- there's several testimonies, and they're similar, but that's what I'm reading about that particular area.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So let -- let's zoom back out, and let's come back to are we comfortable? Can we live with these districts as are in this count in this general, because, yes, we're at this point we're picking and choosing COIs. And so I don't want to get into that -- that's not an argument, but into that that area. I want to -- if we're comfortable with this -- these -- these --
the general direction, it's more about refinement, and
getting and balancing population, and trying to keep
deviations whole.

Now, if there's a minor devi -- a minor refinement
that we can make, then that would be acceptable. But
anything more than a minor refinement that would shift
and then cause other problems, we wouldn't want to do is
in my opinion. So I'm -- I'm -- that's where I'm at.
And let's hear what the rest of the Commission is at.
Commissioner, let's hear from Fornaciari, down to Ahmad.

Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you. I just want to hear
it out loud. The South Penn District visualization, that
deviation is acceptable as it currently is shown,
correct? Sitting in.

CHAIR TOLEDO: It looks acceptable to me.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Okay. Generally, I'm okay with
this region. I get it at this point, we are picking and
choosing between different COI testimony, because we have
met the other criteria on our list. So now we're at
cities, counties, communities of interest. So I'm okay
with where this currently sits.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Akutagawa, then
Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Sorry, I -- I -- it's okay.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah, I -- I -- you are correct we're at the refinement, but it sounded like the COI that -- communities of interest that Commissioner Akutagawa was talking about, I don't believe that's a conflicting COI. It has to do more with boundaries. So I would be open to looking at that, because that -- I would consider that a refinement.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And that's what I thought it was, until -- if it is -- if it's actually a refinement and it'll keep deviations aligned, that's why I was saying, can you please send it to me? And if it actually is a refinement, it's not going to cause other deviation problems, I -- I would be okay with that. Given that it comes from COI testimony. If it has other implications, then we probably should maintain our deviations as -- but that would be something that Tamina could look at either now, in line drawing, or later. So that's where I was at, and I'm hoping the rest of the Commission is -- I'm looking around. It seems like for the most part, everyone is okay with that.

Let's see, Commissioner Ahmad?

Okay. So it looks like -- Tamina, do you have that area in front of you?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes. I'm taking a look at it now,
and I believe this is the line which Commissioner Akutagawa suggests to move. And I'm sorry, which -- where are we moving it to?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: It says to move that line going north to Berryessa Road or Avenue, I think. Yeah, right there. And it seems like this is a mixed community there. The COI testimonies are speaking to Filipino, Latino, and Vietnamese communities. What they share in common is that they're all working class and low income. It seems like the COI testimonies do acknowledge that the Berryessa would still be okay -- the Berryessa neighborhood, but that -- that should remain to the north with Milpitas.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So if -- Tamina, if -- do you think this would be a refinement, or would it cause issues with other deviations?

MS. RAMOS ALON: I'm happy to try right now. If we go all across Berryessa, I believe we're going to go over the negative five percent for Fremont, but I'm happy to look at it.

CHAIR TOLEDO: All right. Let's see how much population is there. If it's going to be more than -- than -- then -- if it's going to push us -- if it's going to change the deviations in a way that is not in compliance, then we'll probably hold off.
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: It should decrease it, because you're taking away from Fremont and you're adding to Alum Rock.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Exactly. Fremont's already negative, and --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: But you might go above --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Oh, sorry.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes, you're right. I was thinking the other way around.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So let's just -- let's just get the math, and then we'll move forward. And let's remember that the Bay Area is very populated and very dense. Especially in the peninsula, and in San Francisco, and in the East Bay. This is thirty-three thousand people. There's no way; so let's move on. It's going to impact our deviations too much. We'd love to keep those communities of interest together. We just can't figure out a way to do it at this point, based on all of the other quotes that we're keeping together. We're moving on to the next COI. Or the next district, rather.

All right, so let's see. Tamina, where are we headed next? You're the line drawer.

MS. RAMOS ALON: We can either go up into the East Bay, or we can go south along the coast to Mid Coast.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Lots of decisions. Commissioner Andersen?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I would like to go up in the East Bay and I have --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Let's go to the east bay. Let's go all the way to Contra Costa County. And we're going to go down, and make sure -- we're going to make sure everything's okay --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- with Contra Costa, and then we're going to go down to the East Bay.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Actually. Hang on. Could I jump in here, though?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Sure.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- because I have one which --

CHAIR TOLEDO: But let's make sure everyone --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- let's just let's just hear from the whole Commission on whether we're okay with the current districts that we haven't agreed upon yet. Because it's Solano. Have we all agreed on Solano?

Let's move up a little bit.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: No.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And that's why you may have issues
with this, and that's the right place to voice this. So we have the Solano County, the Solano district, and let's get feedback on Solano. Andersen?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. We've got two very specific Hercules, Pinole, Vallejo, it -- they said, well, I guess for Vallejo and Benicia want to go with Solano, okay, but the rest of them don't. It's the very -- it's exactly the same argument about Albany being the one -- the one little area in the entire of the county.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So right now, we have Pinole, Rodeo, as part of with -- there with Vallejo, and you're saying?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: They want to be with Contra Costa. They want to be with their neighbors Eastridge Heights.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So they want to be with Contra Costa County.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Let's hear from Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah, that was -- that was basically what I was going to say to you, and that I believe I tried to do it off-line, and it -- the population was pretty high. But --

CHAIR TOLEDO: This is a dense population.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Sinay, and Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Would it be okay to give a bigger picture, versus just -- I think the idea here --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Sure.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- can we zoom out a little bit, please? And I know I'm going to sound like a broken record. Yeah, That's perfect. We've talked about this before, not too much more, because then I won't be able to -- go in a little. Sorry. And then --

CHAIR TOLEDO: And you only a minute, so.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Zoom --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, the idea here is to keep the East Bay, Oakland, that area together at kind of a whole circle around bringing in Vallejo and Benicia all the way to Antioch.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Mm hmm.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And that all, you know, it'll be different districts, but all of that is the working class, diverse, lower income communities. And then pull in like into the Contra Costa, Concord, and some of the other wealthier communities. So it's -- so it's a bigger picture, but I just wanted to share that. So then we
can --

CHAIR TOLEDO: And I think we're all alignment with that. That -- I think that's been our conversation.

Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yes. So along the same lines, my idea would be to bring in a Pinole, Hercules, and Rodeo into East Bay. And then for Vallejo, Benicia, and Martinez to have it in East Contra Costa.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Turner, can we -- so your -- your -- your specific direction is -- or request is? Because I'm not remembering which communities.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: My specific was the Pinole --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Pinole.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: -- Hercules --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Hercules.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: -- and Rodeo.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Rodeo.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Coming down into the East Bay.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Come -- Tamina, can you tell us how many people are in Rodeo -- Rodeo, Pinole, and -- and Hercules area?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Sure thing.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Because we'll look at the date and see if it's how we can, or if we can. And while we're -- we're trying to figure out data, Commissioner Andersen, did you have your hands up? Yeah, okay.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, I do. I really like what Commissioner Sinay said. Can we sort of back out of here just a bit, and everyone sort of say, what are our ideas in this area? So agree on those, and then work on draw -- drawing them, because right now we're doing sort of piecemeal, because we -- there are ideas in the whole area, which I think we should -- we should address. So we can -- if we need to do some restructuring, we can do that.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So let me -- let me ponder that in my brain for a minute, and then for now, let's look at how much -- and I do -- I do hear you, Commissioner Andersen. Commissioner Sinay? Okay. No. So we're talking about how many thousand? Fifty-four thousand people.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Fifty-four thousand, seven hundred and eighty-eight people.

CHAIR TOLEDO: What would be the impact if we shifted that population to the East Bay? There at .22; point two-two.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Right, so you shifted it, the resulting population to East Bay would be eleven-point
three one percent. The resulting population in Solano would be negative, 8.57 percent.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So at that point, we would be shifting population down, and we'd have to shift population. And we'd have to get population into this Solano district, which would mean pulling population either from Sacramento, Yolo, or -- or Napa counties. Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Well, I mean, or from Contra Costa North.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Or pushing some Contra Costa population into -- you're right. Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Right. But we -- but we -- but then we'd have to rotate this all the way around.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So there would be --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: We'd have to go through Oakland or -- you know, down through Oakland. And then we've got that Hayward, Tri-Valley thing going on, so I mean, in order to do this, we've got a ton of rotation to do around here.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So if we wanted to do this, we would have to rotate population through Solano, from Contra Costa, into Solano. It would achieve a couple of goals to do this. And that would be that we'd be rotating
population downward. It also is -- it's quite complex.

Commissioner Andersen?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. I -- because I have an idea which would require some of that. Because in San Leandro, Hayward, the part of Union City, they make that an area. So then we -- we need to rearrange and shift. That's -- you know, the Cherry -- the Cherryland area. Ashland, Cherryland, and all that. Which we -- and -- and it -- that's -- that's causing the problem on the other side, so that's why we talked so general so we can sort of see what everyone is thinking, and how to be -- of doing it.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So what I'm going to do is I'm going to ask every Commissioner to give me one, their top priority for -- for this area there. Just their top priority. And then so that we have a sense of -- of where we're moving to. If they have a -- a change, it's a priority for a change. And so that might be, you know, the Cherryland area for you, Commissioner Andersen. I don't know. I'm just making things up.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: No.

CHAIR TOLEDO: But --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: That is. Yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh. I was guessing. Well, it's actually a very contentious area, so I figured it might
be. But -- but so we'll ask one question from one -- one priority area for everybody, and that will help to focus the conversation. So let's go down the line. I'm going to go start with the Commissioner Andersen.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. I'd like to do the Cherryland of the San Leandro to the Afghan part of Fremont, including Union City. And then that -- I -- that would have some rippling through Oakland, which would allow us to -- require us to get Hercules, Pinole in there.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Andersen. Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: It would be what you have highlighted currently. The --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Hercules, Pinole, El Sobrante --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Hercules, Pinole, El Sobrante. All of that into the Richmond.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Perfect. So that is the priority for Commissioner Turner.

Commissioner Sinay, do you have any priority changes for this area?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: For the -- for East Bay, I mean, anything in the East Bay, because we're just in general.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Can you hear me or not?
CHAIR TOLEDO: Yes, we can --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Oh.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- (indiscernible) hear you.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Antioch, Pittsburgh, all the way to, if possible, Mission Vallejo, including Martinez.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So shifting population up to the north. All right, so Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Uniting San Leandro, San Lorenzo Hayward, and separating that from the Tri-Valley.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Would that include Cherryland, because that's the same area --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- as Commissioner --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- okay. Commissioner --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Uniting San Leandro, San Lorenzo, and the unincorporated areas there. Fairview, Ashland, Cherryland, and so forth, and Hayward, and then separating that from the Tri-Valley.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Great. And so we -- it sounds like we're -- we have a cluster. All right.

So Commissioner Sadhwani? Okay, so --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: She said she agreed with Commissioner Turner.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay, so Commissioner Turner.
Commissioner Vazquez? And I'll come back if I don't hear from you in the couple seconds.

Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I -- I -- I'm with Commissioner Yee, and I think with --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Andersen.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- or Andersen, but I -- I think it's also consistent with Commissioner Turner's desire to -- if we're going to go shift in that way, I think we can all get to the same place.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I'm hearing that we all want to -- that the general sense is the rotation of population through Solano County. And through Yolo and Sacramento.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Well, no, no, no, no, no, no, no. No.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Absolutely not what I'm talking about.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay, so what are you. So tell me what I'm -- what I'm hearing?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: What -- what I -- what I'm saying is if we were rotating population, Hercules, Pinole, down, and -- and -- and then uniting San Leandro, San Lorenzo, Cherryland, the unincorporated areas with Hayward, then we could, you know, put the Tri-Valley
together with Contra Costa County. But we're still going
to have to move some of Contra Costa County north
into Solano to balance that out.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yes. There'd be a rotation --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Not go further, not go
further north.

CHAIR TOLEDO: No. We're not moving anything north.
I'm just saying we --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- would be rotating population into
Solano as well, right?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Right. But -- but just to
make up for it, and not -- but not going to Yolo or
Sacramento.

CHAIR TOLEDO: No, we would be adding population to
the north. It would just be different Contra Costa
populations instead of Hercules, Pinole, it'd be adding
some other population to that area. And -- and likely
the only way up that I'm saying at this point there is
through Sacramento County, right?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I think we can look at
Martinez --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- you know, Pittsburgh --

CHAIR TOLEDO: We're getting into the details,
but -- but -- we're -- so then just making sure that we have -- that we know what we're looking at. All right. Let's keep going.

Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you. A lot has been said. I'm ready to watch this happen.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So you're -- you're ready for exploration. You're such an adventure.

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I -- I would also say I agree with what Commissioner Fornaciari and -- and others have said.

CHAIR TOLEDO: This is sounding like a consensus. So let's keep --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yep.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- going with -- with Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yeah. I'm -- I'm generally with Commissioner Turner in -- and hopeful that what Commissioner for Fornaciari has as laid out can satisfy everyone. And I see, you know, combining Martinez with Benicia as -- you know, how we might get there. So without going through Sacramento. Thanks.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Sounds like you're -- you're interested in exploration as well.

Commissioner Taylor?
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yeah, I -- I would agree. You know, I'm -- I'm -- well, I'm willing to see what -- to -- to lean towards Commissioner Turner's suggestion based on COI testimony. I want to see exploration. Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you so much, Commissioner Taylor.

Commissioner Le Mons? Okay. So it sounds like we have a consensus on -- oh, Commissioner Fernandez. Of course. Of course. Missed you on the list.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I am chopped liver. Pardon me.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I'm sorry. It's Zoom.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Wow. I am willing to go on this adventure with Commissioner Ahmad, too. I just am a little concerned that we just did some work up north, and we're trying to minimize that as much as possible. Although, I guess Lake Napa is a little low. So that could be a possibility. But other than that, if we can minimize how much is going north, that'd be great. Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So we're -- we're trying to minimize the -- the population going north. Okay. Let's go on the adventure. Tamina, take us to Rodeo and Hercules? The population that you've highlighted, let's bring it
down. And I know what the goals are. I know what the
goals are. We all know what the goals are. We're going
to try to minimize the impact into --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I think -- I think Crockett
should be included in that, too, or not.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: If you do that, you can't get
to Martinez.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah, we can't do Crockett, because
otherwise we're going to not be able to push population
up unless we go through Sacramento. Oh. There's another
bridge. Yeah. The Carquinez. Is that right? The
Benicia bridge, okay.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: The Benicia bridge.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So do we want to include
Crockett as well?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, so please.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So let's include as Crockett as well.

It's a small population. And while we do this, I just
want to hear from Commissioner Fernandez, because I think
we're -- it's around the -- if we move population through
the Benicia bridge up to Benicia, some portion of
Martinez County or some portion will be in the City of
Martinez or a surrounding area. Would you be okay with
that? Because ultimately, that is what we're looking at.
The end result is we're going to move population all the
way down, and it's going to have to come all the way up. 
And I don't want to end up in Benicia and not being able 
to cross the bridge. So is this a bridge that is 
crossable is what I'm asking.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: It -- it is crossable.
It's just the -- it's going to be a very odd line.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I want to have the conversation now, 
because I don't want to have it an hour and a half from 
now.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So tell us, this is something that 
you can live with? Because -- because if you can't, then 
I don't want to go on this journey. Or we have to figure 
another journey to go on.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. It's just an 
interesting -- I'd have to look at it, but it'll be an 
interesting looking downgrade.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So I -- let's start from there.
Let's -- let -- Tamina, can you highlight --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can you zoom out a little 
bit?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Can you -- can you -- let's add 
population to Solano County. So we can get the right 
population up there. It's going to be minimal, because 
it's -- we're already at -- at five. How much -- how
much of the -- can you take in some population in Contra
Costa to get us into -- and move it up and tell us how
much you'd have to move up to Solano? Just because I
want to make sure that the rotation will get us to --
that we actually are going to be able to finish our
rotation.

MS. RAMOS ALON: So I just selected the City of
Martinez. The resulting deviation to Solano is negative
one-point seventy-two percent, and to 680 CCC is negative
four-point one four percent.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So if we try to minimize the -- are
you -- so what I'm trying to gauge, Commissioner
Fernandez, are -- are you -- would you be comfortable
with a district that includes this area? Could you live
with this; could you live with this?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Do you know what,
honestly --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Do you want to minimize this impact,
or -- it is I will say, and I just want to get this up
before -- before you give your answer, Benicia does have
refineries. I don't think we captured the refineries
yet, but because they're right outside of city limits.
But this would allow the refineries to have -- to have a
voice. I don't know if we want to -- if that's a goal of
his Commission, but there was in there -- there was
testimony that keeping the refineries --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Um-hum.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- was together from these communities of importance. So you're -- I'm just --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can you

CHAIR TOLEDO: And I'm not. And I'm not in favor or opposed. I'm actually --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- I have no horse in this game. And so -

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Well, and if --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- other than unfair math. So unfair math. So it's all -- and that's what -- so I just wanted to see if we can cross this bridge, and do you need a couple of minutes?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I -- let me look at some more COI.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Let's give you like five minutes --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- while we look at this other stuff. And then because if we can't cross this bridge, I don't know --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Excuse me, Chair. Can I ask a question?
CHAIR TOLEDO: Uh-huh. Yes, Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: You know, it's my -- my recommendation was to add a little bit more than just Martinez. And I'm --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- just -- I'm just. Yes,
thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I mean, what other communities --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Oh. Hold on.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Hold on, Chair. I'm having a really hard time right now, because you keep asking Commissioner Fernandez, and we're fourteen people. And so I want to make sure that we're constantly looking at the full state of California, and that we all have forces in every single game. And that it's a fair map. So I think if one -- if one Commissioner is having -- is going to have a hard time, we will need to find a way to -- you know, we never discuss are we working on consensus, or are we working on being unanimous or whatever. But I'm not -- I was very uncomfortable with -- with one -- one Commissioner being asked if we can make this move versus all of us. And so I want us to be careful. And I've --

I've said this before throughout the map.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Remember, one minute, Commissioner
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. Let's keep going.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: We call you on it too, then?

CHAIR TOLEDO: You can certainly call me on it.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. Thank you. But I was chair

CHAIR TOLEDO: But as Chair I -- I get to the reverse. I can make the decision myself. Commissioner Andersson? Do you have a comment on this?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, I do. We can't -- remember, the -- the reason why we want to put this back is because it was tutelarius stuff within the entire of a county. So we can't just do that again. And I understand also if we're -- if we're thinking the -- the communitive interest here that we're trying to put together, is the refineries and that -- that sort of thing more than manufacturing? It is Vallejo, Crockett's, you know, across there. Because that's where the refineries are, and that an area that we actually are -- but with that whole area, that was an idea that we did indeed talk about. It goes all we have to Antioch, and not just Pittsburgh. And so it's either that way, or the other way is Oakley West, those either with Stanislaus or with the tail of Sacramento, and part of
Solano. Those are our options in that area, unless we're just going to again --

CHAIR TOLEDO: So you're saying you wouldn't be comfortable with the rotation if it didn't include more of the Contra Costa area; the eastern Contra Costa area?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct. Correct, because otherwise it's sort of been -- they've been grabbed for population.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So -- so you would be in favor of adding significantly more population into Solano County?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Or -- well --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Or?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- or pulling, you know, doing -- doing a little bit, you know, how we did things up north. Solano might have to grab a little bit more in some place.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: You know, to make this balance.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Also, could we please get the -- the Pinole, Hercules, the Crockett. What was -- what percentage did we take out of Solano? What -- what number --
CHAIR TOLEDO: We have a negative deviation of five point two three. If -- if I'm looking at it correctly. I can't really see it. Can you --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: That's negative eight.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh. Nine point two three. Thank you. So we would need to take a minimum, at least the City of Martinez. And there is a proposal on the table to add much more than that. So I think this -- and maybe it wasn't Commissioner Sinay's original proposal. Maybe I just didn't understand her original proposal. But Commissioner Sinay, Commissioner Andersen, Commissioner Kennedy, and Turner. Taylor and Turner. Commissioner Sinay, did you have a comment?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, you had asked, was it in the original -- my original --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- proposal. Yeah. My original proposal was to take the -- the --the crest from Antioch all the way to Martinez, and connect that with Benicia and Vallejo. Which might -- and then you might pull out Fairfield, and build in back of it, some of the others to go to other places that need it.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So this is the difficult conversation --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And I'm not sure --
CHAIR TOLEDO: -- we'd have to have, right, this is the -- this is the decision point. Whether -- whether the Commission, and you're right, Commissioner Sinay, it is the fourteen of us. The Commission is comfortable in moving in this direction. Commissioner Kennedy. Commissioner Taylor. Commissioner Turner. Let's hear.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. To me, the -- the issue is not so much combining the refineries in one district, as combining the communities impacted by the refineries in a single district. Just want to make that clear. Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. Oh, absolutely. Mr. Taylor?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yeah, just as a point of process, I absolutely agree with Commissioner Sinay. We should be working towards consensus -- consensus in that individual visualization. So I appreciate the path that you're going to, Commissioner Toledo.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I'm always -- I'm always looking for general consensus. Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you. What I'm hoping to achieve in an East Contra Costa district is that we're in -- and it's much like, if not exactly, what Commissioner Sinay says. So it's Vallejo, Benicia, going to -- oh, we got too small for me to see. We're going
down into -- thank you, Pittsburgh, and Antioch's. So
it's Antioch, Pittsburgh, going up this way. Bay Port --
Point -- Bay Point, Benicia, Vallejo. It's this
direction.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: It's exactly what I was saying.
Sorry, I wasn't being as clear.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: No. I'm in agreement with
you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So can you repeat that one more time
so that we also get the -- because we're trying to take
notes at the --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Our outreach staff is trying to take
notes.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yes. So it is starting
Vallejo, Benicia, then going into the -- I lost it again.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Vallejo, Benicia, and Martinez?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Martinez. Yeah. It got
small. Every time it changes, my eyes got to wait to
catch up. Sorry. So Bay Point.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I think Bay Point.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. Bay Point, Pittsburg, Antioch,
that --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Um-hum.
CHAIR TOLEDO: -- Brentwood area all are similar populations. All right. So let's have that conversation. Commissioner Yee, Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER YEE: I want to support Commissioner Turner's idea just now, but I'm not -- I just can't see how the population's going to work out. Because we need Martinez for the bridge, to make this happen, but once you add Martinez there's very little room left to add additional cities. You know, we can take a look. See if Bay Point, Pittsburg, Antioch, whether we're in anywhere close to deviations, but I suspect we'll be way over.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Wouldn't you be supportive of putting just Martinez over? I'm trying to find a consensus here, because it doesn't sound like we're getting --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Okay. We want to go from Vallejo to Antioch.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER YEE: We have to include Martinez, I believe, right?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Because of the bridge.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Because of the bridge.

COMMISSIONER YEE: We do that, I think Solano gets way too many people. Maybe there's a way to do it. If there is, let's try it.
CHAIR TOLEDO: So you're interested in just balancing the populations at this point. All right. If I'm understanding correctly; yes, that is correct. I got affirmation.

Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Thank you, Chair. Vallejo and Benicia they -- that's, like, 150,000. And plus it's part of Solano county. So right now the Solano is keeping Solano County whole. So that's one community of interest. And so what we're looking at is a different communities of interest. So my preference would be to keep Solano County whole. And if we want to pursue the Hercules through Dayo Crockett, splitting that out, I mean we can pursue that as well. But again, that would be challenging.

In terms of Martinez, I think I'd be open to having them go with Solano if we do move out Hercules, Crocket, and -- or Dale.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Fernandez. Any other comments on this? We do need to get to consensus. I'm not hearing consensus at this point. And that's where I'm -- we need at least general consensus. Commission Andersen, can you get us to general consensus?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm thinking, you know, more the -- just -- Commissioner Yee is absolutely correct.
In terms of population. And now, unfortunately, the Bay Area has been left blasted. Cut it all up. And so rather than trying to do that and grab Vallejo, because we're -- only a few -- the people in Vallejo, a lot of them, that's where we're getting exploits. People want to stay in Vallejo, they don't want to be in Contra Costa. And few are the other way.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So are --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: But if we add --

CHAIR TOLEDO: I guess a quick question for --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm thinking of going with the Antioch, Biron, Bethel Islands, through that tail of Sacramento, which doesn't have a lot of people. So it shouldn't affect Sacramento very much. But up into that, which for the -- trying to create that kind of Delta.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So the last time we journeyed and ended up in the tail of Sacramento it did not go well. That's why I'm trying to -- that's why I'm --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: But this isn't going to go well either.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- that's what I'm trying to avoid. So not avoid going up through that tail. I'm just trying to make sure that we have consensus. That we, as a group, that we're all comfortable moving in that direction. And it is as a group. Let's go Commissioner
Andersen, you were saying?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Sorry.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm saying you can't just take one little city and put it with another county.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So you're not okay with moving --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm not okay with that.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- with just adding either Vallejo down or Martinez up; is that what you're saying?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh. Well, Vallejo down, that's a huge change. You have to add more. Yes. And Vallejo down is what we were all talking about. But we didn't consider that -- rearranging --

CHAIR TOLEDO: So you are okay with Vallejo down?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I would do Vallejo down with the -- to reunite with all the other ones. Yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. All right.

So Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I agree that taking just Martinez and putting in Solano is not -- would not achieve the vision that we have. I would say Martinez would go in east Contra Costa and then take Concord and Clayton out. You know, again, trying to keep Martinez connected with Clyde, Bay Point, Pittsburg, Antioch.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. I think I understand where you're at.

Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I don't know.

CHAIR TOLEDO: But where are you at? Because that's important. Because I'm trying to understand where the -- where the consensus. Are you okay with just Martinez? Are you okay with breaking down Vallejo or going through the --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Well, no. I mean, so --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Or what are your comfort levels?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Here's where -- here's -- okay. Here's where I'm at.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Tell me where you're at.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: You know, unless we just figure out how to fix the Solano shortage only, we have to redo the north state. Okay? And so I'm just trying to grapple with that and what that might look like.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And I think that's important for all of us to understand. That if we do these major changes, it will impact the maps in the north that we just did. And so just shifting some population up would not require that type of architectural change. But this architectural change -- larger scale architectural change. One, doesn't mean we couldn't do it, just means
we have to have consensus that we want to.

Commissioner Turner, and then Fernandez, Kennedy, Sinay?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Um-hum. So another thought or opportunity would be to include the Vallejo, Benicia, you know, that Martinez, all -- Bay Point, Pittsburg, Antioch, and shifting it and including -- bringing up into Solano these areas over here. Bethel Island, Oakley, Brentwood --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yes.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: -- Discovery Bay, Byron, and bringing them up into Solano.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So that would be shifting some -- and I believe the -- Commissioner Andersen had mentioned that as well. That potentially we could go up through the Sacramento tail. It would mean including the Sacramento tail. Let's hear from Commissioner Fernandez, then Kennedy, then Sinay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I guess this is one of those situations where I would recommend that in the Senate and the Assembly -- Senate and Congressional, this is something that we can do. But right now we are talking about breaking up Solano, that's already whole, and then bringing in areas from a different county to try to balance it out. And I feel that it's something
that -- I guess I do have an issue with moving Vallejo and Benicia out of a county that's whole, and then bringing in other communities from a different county, into Solano. So breaking -- basically breaking up Solano, and Solano is a community of interest as well.

Again, I feel in the Senate and the Congressional, this is something that we can definitely work on because you have higher populations.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. Mr. Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I mean, the fact is we have to bring population from outside because Solano, in and of itself, does not have sufficient population to be an Assembly District. It is slightly short. I am okay with bringing in Martinez. I think that minimizes ripple effects. I'm not opposed to looking at moving some Delta communities, but if we were to move Delta communities I think that's going to involve a lot more architectural change. I think if we're going to start moving Delta communities, you know, I had previously advocated in favor of a Delta District, and I don't see that coming out of this. So I am happy with moving Martinez to get Solano population where it needs to be. And then we just have to rotate our excess population out of east Bay, around in Alameda and Contra Costa. Thank you.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you.
Commissioner Fernandez? Okay.
Commissioner Kennedy just went.
Commissioner Sinay?
COMMISSIONER SINAY: So I hear that -- I hear what's been said. I would -- if it was possible, I would like to see -- yeah. Martinez -- again, swapping Martinez and Concord, and then -- well, to go into Solano, go Martinez, at least Clyde and Bay Point, and keep Pittsburg and Antioch together. I don't want to see Pittsburg and Antioch split.
CHAIR TOLEDO: So -- thank you, for that, Commissioner Sinay. Tamina, can you please highlight -- and I'm hearing the direction from Commissioner Sinay, it's to highlight Mountain View, Clyde, and Bay Point.
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Martin -- Martinez.
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah.
CHAIR TOLEDO: That area. Can you highlight that? And let's see if we can get general consensus that this is something that would be acceptable to the group. To the whole Commission at this point. Or if this is not -- okay.
Commissioner Andersen, Commissioner Fernandez,
Commissioner Turner.

General consensus?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Martinez is the capital of Contra Costa County. So to take it and put it in another county would be like, well let's take -- you know, think of all the different counties. If we take the capital city, and put it with another county. That's just not going to fly. No. And that's because Martinez is the entire -- that's the capital for Contra Costa County. And part of the issue is here is, we did create a new district that now contains the outskirts of the -- you know, outside of Sacramento. And so -- remember, we -- and that's kind of has brought a lot of all these negatives in. Yep. Somewhere else has to get shrunk.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Thank you Commissioner Andersen --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: So I don't know -- I don't think we can do that.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Thank you Commissioner Andersen. And at this point we're trying to come up with something we can all live with. It doesn't have to be perfect. It doesn't have to be -- but it's what we can live with.

So Commissioner Fernandez and then Turner.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And there is quite a bit of
communitive interest with Martinez saying they want to stay with Contra Costa. So it's not like we can just arbitrarily move them and there's no affect do that communitive interest. Again, my recommendation would be to keep it as it is now. As how the districts are. And then in trade-offs.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So you're saying -- your recommendation is not to accept Rodeo, Pinole, and --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: My recommendation is to keep the districts as they are right now, before you make any adjustments. Because if you make adjustments, it's pushing -- it's having to push something from Contra Costa up and --

CHAIR TOLEDO: So at this -- with this, we currently have a negative deviation because we added Pinole, Rodeo, so we would have to push that back. So you're saying reverse all the changes is what you're advocating for? Okay. I know what you're advocating for. And let's see, Commission Sinay and Commission Turner.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. I guess I do have -- I was going to say I don't, but I do have something. This is where the map got priority based on what we did first. And so you know, and I've been saying that from the beginning. Because San Diego always -- yeah. The very southern part of California gets kind of pushed. And I
don't feel like we're sharing the pain at this point. I feel like, and from the very beginning, this was one of my top priorities, and I mentioned it as one of my top priorities when we were asked to put our top priorities on the map. And it was to try to help this community, this working class community in Contra Costa be more together. So I do feel that we need to think through at the -- there's bare minimum, which would be swapping Martinez and Concord. But I do feel that we need to really think this -- that -- you know, I don't think that there's any sacred red cows.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you Commissioner Sinay. And Commissioner Turner? And I appreciate you saying that -- the compromise. That you're willing to compromise here.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. So I would like for us, at a bare minimum, to consider what we currently have highlighted and split Martinez so that we are at least keeping a portion of Martinez into the east Bay. And then the top part of it. Still broader, would like to have the communities of interest really, because Hercules, if we go back, we have it outside of a county that it's part of. It's part of, I believe, Contra Costa. So what I'm looking for, ultimately, and perhaps it can be done in a different map, would be the
Vallejo/Benicia that I've talked about before. But if we leave it here, I'm thinking Martinez -- a split in Martinez that will allow for the -- keeping Pittsburg, because I'd like to still go as far as Pittsburg.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: And again, I think these areas, Bethel Island, Oakley, Knights, and I think they are actually in like communities that would not be disserved by being in the Solano Community -- Solano District.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you Commissioner Turner. We do need to take a break. So I'm going to ask Tamina to try to find a cut in Martinez that minimizes the amount of the Martinez that is taken, then. And to try to bring in more of Pittsburg, so we can visualize it when we come back from break. We are on a fifteen minute break. Thank you.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 1:50 p.m. until 2:04 p.m.)

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you so much. Welcome back. We are in -- looking at the Martinez, Solano, Contra Costa area.

Tamina, were you able to highlight the areas around?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes. So what I did here is balance Solano by creating a Martinez split as requested. This
split goes along Highway 4. Unfortunately, it did not --
it would be the wrong direction to add from -- to add
Pittsburg in, because we are already underpopulated here.
Instead, it would be needing to go this way to add
population.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. So that's helpful. So we
were able to keep Bay Point, some of the unincorporated
areas around -- is that the -- no. That's not Antioch.
But the surrounding area around Martinez, and then
portion of Martinez, and then in through the Benicia
Bridge, it looks like. Is that the Benicia Bridge? Or
Carquinez?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes.


Reaction from the floor?

Commissioner Fernandez, Andersen -- and we are
looking for a compromise or a general consensus from the
Commission.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I mean, you're trading
Hercules, Crockett, and Rodeo for Bay Point, Martinez. I
mean, it's okay. Do I love it? No. But it's okay.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Can you live with it?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And we're going to hear --
we're going to hear from Martinez. I'm just letting you
really know.
CHAIR TOLEDO: But can you live with this?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I probably could. I would prefer to keep the -- keep it the way it is though. Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So your preference is to keep it the way it is, but could potentially live with this.

Commissioner Andersen, Commissioner Yee?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. I believe the population that we took out of, you know, the -- it was Crockett, Rodeo, Hercules, and that's -- was it under -- just under 35- to 40,000? In which case, I'd really rather find that in another area, to put into Solano. I'm not happy with --

CHAIR TOLEDO: What other area would you be looking at, Commissioner Andersen?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, Yolo wanted to be with Solano. And now it -- you know, that was its number one thing. And now none of it is with Solano. And I'm, you know -- I -- unfortunately, I don't have the areas up north that we modified. Because that's the modified, and it's not anywhere. Yeah. Because now Lake Napa, those -- it has -- it doesn't have Glenn and Colusa? Or does it just have Colusa, now?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Just Colusa, at this point,

Commissioner Andersen
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Just Colusa. Okay. And so it could actually use a bit more.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So Commissioner Andersen, would you be -- could you live with the map as it looks like now, I guess is the question?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Not really.

CHAIR TOLEDO: At this point --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Not really.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So you can't live with this?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: No.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. These cut through Martinez, through the middle of Martinez, is really painful. I'm wondering, if we have to do this, whether we can cut higher. Maybe get -- making it with a little more deviation in Solano.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Except at this point we don't have much deviation in Solano. So maybe less of Martinez, maybe? Maybe that would make it a little more -- is there anything we can do, Commissioner Andersen, to make it more acceptable to you?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: What if we -- you know, American Canyon? You know, something like that? Put in, you know, all around Winters, Davis, you know, I'm just trying to get like an actual city --
CHAIR TOLEDO: So you're looking at -- you're not interested in adding --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm looking someplace else.

CHAIR TOLEDO: You're not interested in adding populations from Contra Costa?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Unless we could do the Vallejo across. Which was a real community of interest that we did start off with, right at the very beginning. I'm not interested --

CHAIR TOLEDO: That's 100,000 -- 150,000 people.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right. We would have to do some restructuring on some things. Yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner Yee?

Oh. I think you already went. Commission Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I know this may be small, but can Crockett be put back into the Solano District? Because all the COIs that I've read -- Crockett's not really necessarily mentioned as a must-have with Hercules and Rodeo. Hercules and Rodeo and Pinole were mentioned, but would that help if you moved some of that back, so that you could try to, you know, grab more from Martinez so it's not as split?

CHAIR TOLEDO: I believe it's under 5,000 if I remember correctly, Tamina. Do you know how many people live in Crockett and do we need it to cross the bridge?
Yeah.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: 3,251.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. That's what I was thinking.

That's why I said under 5,000. And do we need it to cross the bridge, Tamina? We don't need it? So we could potentially put it back.

MS. RAMOS ALON: There are two bridges, and it connects one and not the other.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. But we wouldn't need it to -- we would need it to cross Carquinez; we wouldn't need it to cross the Benicia?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Correct.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Thank you. I note Commissioner Turner had wanted to -- had suggested we add that. Let's see. But when she comes back we'll be able to see that. All right. Commissioner Fernandez, Fornaciari, and Akutagawa are still trying to get a general consensus. It doesn't look like Commissioner Andersen is in consensus with this map. But we'll continue to see if there's any more refinements that we can make.

Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I need to think some more.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Can I just ask? I think
there was one other big change that was requested. Including Emeryville together with Oakland?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I'm wondering if we're just better off maybe, just, leaving this for right now and then going to Emeryville and Oakland and seeing, you know, is there a reverse circle that we can make?

CHAIR TOLEDO: So my understanding, and correct me if I'm wrong Commissioner Fornaciari and Commissioner Yee, and others who have been looking at this, is that every rotation that we have at this point would require some population being shifted north through the Solano District, that we've visualized thus far.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: That's correct.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. So that -- I'm getting yes from both Commissioners. Which is why we're going to end up -- if we're going to end up here, we have to solve this before we go on a journey through the Bay Area, fixing all the Bay Area issues, and creating a bottleneck here. That's why we're -- that's why we're here. Because otherwise, we can't solve other issues if we can't come to a consensus here.

Commissioner Fernandez and this Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, sorry. I forgot to put
my hand down, but I just wanted to remind everyone, regarding the COIs, with the Yolano -- Yolo County, they said keep Yolo whole first, and then combine with Solano. So that was the priority order. Thanks.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yep. And we would need, of course, to rotate population in order to achieve that. Otherwise we're going to create a bubble. So Commissioner Fornaciari, have you some suggestions for us?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I've done a little thinking.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh, good.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: If you can zoom into Emeryville? So technically Emeryville is with Oakland right now.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: But I think the ask is for Emeryville to be with the other side of Oakland. The west side of Oakland. And so that would -- that change would just be an Oakland swap.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. If we go back to northern Contra Costa, so -- let's see. This community of interest, Vallejo, Benicia, Martinez, Bay Point, Pittsburg, just want to throw it out there, because it was -- it was brought that we've kept that together. Not
with Antioch, but we've kept the rest of it together in our current Congressional Maps. And I imagine we can keep it together or figure a way to change it with our -- in our Congressional maps to include Antioch, which was the ask.

One last thing, you know, somebody brought up Bethel Island, Oakley Knights, and Brentwood. If we -- if we take Bethel Island, Oakley, Knightsen, and a little bit of the tail there up into Solano, that would -- that would -- you know, and not do this Martinez-Bay Point thing, that would -- that would get the Solano deviation down to around zero, but, you know, I'm just throwing it out there. I'm not sure how much support that has.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So since we don't have much support for -- or since we don't have consensus on the Bay Point-Martinez area, I'd like to hear about support for -- for this suggestion that Commissioner Fornaciari just made. Let's hear from Andersen, Akutagawa.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I would support looking at that because that -- that area currently is with the -- goes all the way out to Stockton, you know, for that Bay Area. So that isn't -- isn't -- hasn't been, right now -- last ten years hasn't been part of, you know, Contra Costa.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So we have two in favor of
going through the tail.

Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I'm in favor too.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So that's three in favor.

Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: I am in favor.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I'm just looking for general consensus, so.

Sinay.

Okay. I'm just looking around the room at this point. Anyone not in favor, let's hear you.

Commissioner -- let's see. Who's in the line? Who's in the queue at this point? Commissioner Akutagawa just went. Turner just went.

Let's go with Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thanks. That would be splitting up Sacramento County again into another county, but can -- Tamina, can you zoom out, please? More. And then up. Okay. Hold on. I'm just trying to -- I'm trying to look at this real quick. I'm just looking -- I'm going down the delta right now.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Is there a portion -- and I'm going to --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I know. That's what I'm looking at right now. I'm looking at --
CHAIR TOLEDO: -- that doesn't have any population?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- the portion. Yes. I think --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Can we have Tamina put the --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- the layer on that has the population, you know, where is says zero people live in this --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- district?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: 'Cause right now, Rio Vista is on the delta, and it's in Solano. So I was going to recommend moving up maybe to Isleton.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Now, you can see how many people live in these areas, Commissioner Fernandez, Commissioner --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- Fornaciari, and the rest of the Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Maybe to Isleton. Can we take -- but then that would be so weird, but we are about weird right now, unfortunately. If we take it up all the way to Sacramento, it's going to be about 5,000, I believe. I think we did this last time.

Can -- can you zoom out a little bit more? I just need to see where it goes all the way up, please. Thank
you so much. Zoom out a little bit more. A little bit more. It's hard, because right now, it's split into two anyway. I would -- so let -- Tamina, let's try to go up to Isleton, if everybody is okay with that.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I see nod heading, so yes. We're all --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- okay with that.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

MS. RAMOS ALON: So give me one second to reverse the Martinez piece that we were at, and then I will start taking that.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I think that would be okay.

Or even if you just went up to Isleton, 'cause I think that will give you enough to cross over.

What's the population number that we need?

CHAIR TOLEDO: If I remember correctly, Tamina, would the population that we're looking for is around 40,000 people; is that correct? That would be shifting up.

MS. RAMOS ALON: I'm sorry. The --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Actually, Tamina, if you can take all the way to 12. You see where Highway 12 is? That might be a good boundary right there. Thank you.
MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. So I am adding this area up -- up to 12 from SSAC-STANIS into ECCC; is that correct?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, it's going into Solano.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Oh, going into Solano.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. Sorry. And it should have minimal impact on SSAC.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And let's -- let's highlight it. Let's highlight the whole area. The -- the little portion of the Sacramento tail.

(Pause)

MS. RAMOS ALON: This area is 1,161 people.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And how are we looking at -- in terms of deviations, what do we -- what does it look like?

MS. RAMOS ALON: We are at negative 8.99 percent in Solano and negative 2.67 percent in SACC-STANIS.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So now we try to grab Bethel Island.

Is that what we were doing, Commissioner Fornaciari? We're going to grab Bethel Island to go into Solano, please. And if you can zoom out just a --

Pardon? Oh.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So let's just try to figure out what the vision here is.

So Commissioner Fornaciari, can you tell us what the
vision is?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Sure. The vision would be move that part of the tail into Solano, and then look at Bethel Island, Oakley, and Knightsen into Solano. And that's your balance population. And then -- then we could --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So we're balancing population with Bethel Island, Knightsen, and Oakley; is that correct?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Right. And then we can go back to the vision of swapping Martinez and Concord and Contra Costa.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Got it. So I see Commissioner Sinay's suggestion as well. So this is how we're going to -- general --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can I just --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- general consensus on this before we move on.

Commissioner Fernandez, yes, of course.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. I'm sorry. No, one -- one of our visualizations, we actually had something like this that went to Solano. And we did receive input from the Bethel Island, Oakley, Knightsen community that said that they see themselves more aligned either with Contra Costa or with San Joaquin, not with
Solano. So I'm just making sure everyone is aware of that. We are breaking up another COI of interest.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. We -- this is -- I mean, this is a difficult conversation. We're going to have to break up COIs, either -- either cities, COIs, all of that.

So let's see who -- who's in the queue?

So Andersen, Kennedy.

Commissioner Andersen? Okay. No?

Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'm just checking with Commissioner Fornaciari.

Were we going to go all the way down to Byron and -- and the corner -- the southeastern corner of Contra Costa on this?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Can we give you a minute to think about that and ponder that?

In the meantime, we're going to go to Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: That was the vision that I had, down to Byron, Discovery Bay, Brentwood, and move it up into Solano, but it was -- I had to step away for a minute. So I'm not sure what we did with the other part and if we still need that much of population.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I think it's -- I think it would be too many people, but we can definitely look at
it.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So let's look at it, but we might have to cut -- we might have to cut -- we might have to up. So let's -- it was Brentwood, Discovery Bay, Byron adding that to the -- this population.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. So just so the Commission knows before I do that, the resulting deviation, it's into Solano, with this area currently highlighted is 0.75 percent, and SACC-STANIS is at negative 6.5 percent.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you.

MS. RAMOS ALON: I'm sorry. Negative 2.65 I meant to say.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: It looks like negative 2 -- yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Negative 2.65. If we add Brentwood, Discovery Bay, and Byron, what would the deviations look like at that point? I believe that was the -- I'm thinking that was a suggestion from Commissioner Sinay. Please correct me if I'm wrong. I know the rotation was in Concord, and Martinez was also -- yeah. So I'm trying to balance all the different COIs in my head, and there just too many.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Well, the resulting deviation after adding Discovery Bay and Byron is 4.22 percent in Solano, negative 2.65 percent in SACC-STANIS, negative
13.35 percent in ECC, and negative point -- oh, sorry. Let's ignore that. That's a spread that I'm going to clean up right now.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Turner wants to explore Brentwood too. Let's try.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I think it's -- let's see. Okay. So Solano goes up to 17.25 percent deviation. 64,000 people in Brentwood. So that is way too much.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: What did we --

CHAIR TOLEDO: So we're at -- at this point, we're talking about 131,000 people. So Brentwood, it was -- was it 65? I can't remember how many thousand. About 64,000 people in Brentwood alone. So that's about half of that change. Without Brentwood, that's about 67,000 people that we'd be changing, and would be within compliance requirements; 4.3, negative 2.65 in Stanislaus.

Commissioner Sinay -- or Yee and Sinay. Sorry.

I'm --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. I'm truly in favor of this. If we do this, do we put that bit of East Contra Costa between Martinez and Crockett?

CHAIR TOLEDO: That is my understanding is that we would be going back to that change.
Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sorry. I'm in favor of this change, and I'm in favor of what was just -- what was just said. I just wanted to remind people that Vallejo is very different than the rest of Solano. And yes, we did hear that Solano wanted to stay whole. A lot of times, it was politicians and also people -- we've also heard from people in Vallejo that they wanted to be with the others. So I just want us to remember, you know, who said -- you know, that we do have all sorts of different COIs at this point.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Appreciate your comments.

Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: I didn't look as close to see exactly who said what, but we do have COI testimony coming in as we're visual -- doing our current iterations. And so I love what we've done. And once we commit this, I would like to go back again and see what was the size of Vallejo to see if swapping it out with Brentwood, and I know it's probably a different population, but to see what that does with the deviations as well.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So -- so Vallejo is 150,000 people. So we would have to add -- it would look like to me that we would have to add Brentwood, Antioch, Pittsburg into a
swap, but I'm not 100 -- I -- so we'd have to add more of
the Contra Costa area to get to the hundred -- more than
150,000 we would need.
And is that something that you're interested in
exploring, Commissioner Turner?
COMMISSIONER TURNER: No, I don't want --
CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.
COMMISSIONER TURNER: -- Antioch, Pittsburg.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So let's -- let's see. Where
are we? Andersen, then Fornaciari. Then we can -- we
will -- after this, we will commit to this if that's the
general consensus. And I'm seeing a general consensus at
this point, but just want to validate that.
Commissioner --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.
CHAIR TOLEDO: -- Andersen --
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I would --
CHAIR TOLEDO: -- and Fornaciari.
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. I would like to
add this. And at the same time that I also want to put
back Contra Costa County because their numbers were not
looking the same. They aren't correct. So we put
back -- you know, right now, Solano has that extra little
bid in it. So --
CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah, that's the plan.
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. So --
CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- please do that. And I also
would like to look at the swap. If Brentwood goes in,
are we almost at enough people that Vallejo could come
out? What else do we have to do there?
CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you.
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. It won't work.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. It didn't work.
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI:
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I just -- I want to
repeat something I said earlier because Commissioner
Turner wasn't in the room.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh, thank you.
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: On the Congressional map
that we have right now, we've got Vallejo, Benicia,
Martinez, Pittsburg. We don't have Antioch in there,
but, you know, we have more -- I think populate, you
know, with our Congressional map, we have more population
of flexibility. So maybe that's -- we can accomplish
that goal in the Congress. I'm just --
CHAIR TOLEDO: We're in the State Senate where --
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- a comment.
CHAIR TOLEDO: -- we have a million too. And I
think we do achieve some of it in the State Senate.
All right. So let's commit to this. I see general consensus on this. And then we will give Tamina some direction so that she can work through -- while we're on lunch break, she can work through some of these changes that were -- that we've been asking for. So she's -- yes, please.

MS. RAMOS ALON: So Chair, you'd like me to move this section back with 680CC; is that correct?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Yee, can you please -- can you please give the direction?

COMMISSIONER YEE: That's correct. Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yes, please. Move it back.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: What is this area?

CHAIR TOLEDO: This area is right next to Crockett. And we're just adding it back to the -- putting it -- we had made the couple of changes to try to get -- go through the -- go through the Benicia Bridge, but we don't need to go through the Benicia Bridge wherein doing some of these changes. Crockett, Rodeo, Pinole is still fine and within the East Bay district. And this is for population purposes for the note takers.

We do want to take lunch in a couple minutes. So if we want to give directions to Tamina so that she can work through some of the architectural changes that we are exploring, in particular, the swap that's being proposed
between con -- is it Martinez and Concord is my
understand? That is the proposal on the table.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: You want to include
Clayton with Concord?

CHAIR TOLEDO: I believe the -- so the
recommendation was to include Clayton, Concord, and do a
swap with Martinez. So let's give general direction to
Tamina to do -- to do -- to swap Concord and Clayton for
Martinez, and to take in enough population so that the
deviations are balanced. And she can certainly do that
during break.

MS. RAMOS ALON: So Concord and Clayton, they're
already in a district that is negative 13. So just
making sure that you know that that's going to happen.
Martinez coming back will take up maybe this part of
Concord. So you're looking at coming down the 680
corridor. Would you like me to split into Walnut Creek
or into Lafayette?

CHAIR TOLEDO: So the adding or swapping would mean
going down into Pleasant Hill and other communities
around Concord.

And is the Commission okay with giving Tamina
discretion to move sufficient population in order to get
the deviation into alignment? Commissioner Fernandez,
Andersen, and Akutagawa. I see some nod heading. So
some yeses. So let's hear if you're -- if it's a no, let
me know -- let's know.

Fernandez, and Andersen, and Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Actually, I do not have
direction. I just wanted to go on record that I do not
agree with any of this, and I prefer the maps. It's --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: We're breaking up

communities of interest.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So there's communities of
interest that are essentially being -- COIs that are
being broken up.

Commissioner Andersen, Commissioner Akutagawa.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. I would like us to, you
know, do what we did with Solano, but then rather than
trying to work on balancing these, there is going to be
some reconstruction if -- down the San Leandro way. So
it will --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Uh-huh.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- require rearranging things.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: So I'd rather start looking at
it (audio interference). Also though, I think Crockett
would -- should be with Martinez.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Doesn't sound like we have consensus
on the swap, but let's keep going.

Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I guess my question would be instead of going down into Walnut Creek, that seems like a odd mix with this grouping, especially because the COI testimony says their working class should we be looking at including Benicia. And I would agree with Commissioner Andersen to move Crockett back in there. The other COI testimony did not say that Crockett had to be with Rodeo, or Hercules, or Pinole.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I wouldn't agree going into Pleasant or Walnut Creek as we're moving Concord and Clayton down there --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- because there's so much of pleasant -- yeah, Pleasant Hill and Walnut and stuff. I would go with the way that Commissioner Akutagawa was saying. Is Martinez going to Benicia or towards Vallejo?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So the recommendation here is to swap Benicia and Martinez; is that correct? Am I understanding this correct, Commissioner Fornaciari? I might not be understanding that.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I think the recommendation
is to bring Benicia down, but I'm kind of going where Commissioner Andersen is going.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I am, too.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: If we start in East Bay and kind of march down, you know, the changes we were looking at making, we're going to have to go through the gap here and come back around and fix this.

CHAIR TOLEDO: That's correct. So let's give everybody a lunch break including Tamina.

So Tamina, don't take any direction at this point.

Let's go have lunch. Enjoy lunch. We'll come back after lunch, and we'll start working in the East Bay. Thank you.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 2:36 p.m. until 3:20 p.m.)

CHAIR TOLEDO: Welcome back to the California Citizens Redistricting Commission. We are visualizing the Bay Area at this point. And we're shifting gears and moving towards the Alameda County -- going -- we're going to go through Alameda County and down into the South Bay.

So let's go, Tamina, to -- let's see. What's the next area we're in? Let's go to East Bay. Take a look at that district and see if we're all comfortable with it. East Bay includes Rodeo -- actually, Crockett, Rodeo, all the way down to Emeryville, Piedmont area, and
portions of the hills, the Oakland Hills if I see that correctly.  

Any concerns, Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Actually, I was going to start with Crockett and oppose moving it to 680CC.

CHAIR TOLEDO: We have a proposal on the table to move Crockett to the Contra Costa district, Commissioner Andersen?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Community of interest with Martinez.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Any opposition to that? No opposition. Let's add Crockett to the Contra Costa district. And this aligns with community of interest testimony we -- that we received that Crockett wants to be with --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Martinez.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- Martinez. Thank you. All right. We have united Crockett with Martinez.

Any other changes for this area or proposals for this area's request?

Ms. Andersen, Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Go ahead.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. I --

Oh, sorry. Mr. Yee, were you going to go?
COMMISSIONER YEE: No. Go ahead.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I would like to add to the --

I'm sorry. Which one are we talking about? We're always certainly talking about --

CHAIR TOLEDO: We're in East Bay right now. Just East Bay.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Because mine involves taking San Leandro out, in which case, Oakland -- the Oakland one will be much smaller (indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

CHAIR TOLEDO: We're -- we're not -- we're not --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: But we -- if we were to then --

CHAIR TOLEDO: We're going to next. If you're comfortable with this, we're going to be right there.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right now, I'm comfortable with it.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. You're comfortable as of right now.

Commissioner Yee, then Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. Well, obviously, we need to shrink the East Bay district. So --

CHAIR TOLEDO: So what is your proposal to bring it down to an appropriate deviation?

COMMISSIONER YEE: So we need about 50,000 people.
CHAIR TOLEDO: So that would probably be the Oakland Hills --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Oakland Hills, yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- and Piedmont. Or -- yeah. That would -- that would be it.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Well, let's see. We want to get Emeryville in with West Oakland.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh, okay. That's also an option.

COMMISSIONER YEE: So let's start with that.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Although Emeryville, if I remember, is how many thousand people, Tamina? Do we have a sense of how many people are in Emeryville?

Commissioner Yee.

He has his handy reference guide.

COMMISSIONER YEE: 12,911.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So only 12,000 people. So we will need more population than that. Any other -- should we look at the hills and Piedmont? And we have hands up. So I will be doing hands.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. I'm open to suggestions.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Open to suggestions. Let's see if we have suggestions from the floor.

Andersen, and Sinay, and then Turner.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. I do want to get -- could we -- so we're trying to make -- we're doing --
we're only doing -- pulling East Bay stuff out?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Correct.

CHAIR TOLEDO: You know, and we need to get that
down to five percent deviation or less. We need to take
out --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- this district.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Then can we ex -- let's
see.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And we're looking at potentially
Emeryville with Oakland, as that is a COI that we've
heard about. Potentially, also the Oakland Hills in
making Oakland whole, and potentially with Piedmont,
obviously, because it's -- for continuity reasons.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. I -- I would draw --
I -- I would -- this is not the way I want to go, but
okay. I would add Emeryville, but then I would go -- you
would go -- a point -- I'd go right -- let's see.

Because we need how many people, 50,000?

COMMISSIONER YEE: About 40,000 now.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: 40 --

CHAIR TOLEDO: 40,000.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Let's see. Because I'm
trying -- I'm trying to get like neighborhoods.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So let's see if people are okay
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Can we do --
CHAIR TOLEDO: -- Emeryville, and then we can --
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Let's see if everyone's --
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.
CHAIR TOLEDO: -- okay with Emeryville.
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sure.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Everyone -- I am seeing everyone say yes to Emeryville, so let's add Emeryville with Oakland. Okay. And then your proposal, Commissioner Andersen?
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: To --
CHAIR TOLEDO: We can come back.
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: It would be, actually -- okay. Can we go to -- well, that is San Pablo. Okay. How about if we go -- I'd like to take the portion from, say, Mills College -- ope. Sorry. Do you want to take --
CHAIR TOLEDO: So --
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Similar areas but they're all up in the hills. We want to stick with the flats? In which case it would be -- what's that one?
CHAIR TOLEDO: So okay.
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Go to Sacra -- go past Stanford. Why can't I -- go to Sacramento, the street.
Sacramento Street. Take that same line of Emeryville and
move it east to -- we'll see -- not quite that far.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Move it to -- yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So we'll try to find some population in that area, and let's continue to try to get some feedback from folks. So we'll be right back.

Commissioner Turner and then Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. I'd like to add more of Oakland and using Alcatraz west of College and Broadway into the -- Oakland.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So adding additional Oakland population into the Oakland district so -- and expanding the footprint of Oakland. Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. That's where I was going to go, too -- also -- was to add more Oakland. Piedmont if necessary, but I don't think we're going to need to go to Piedmont.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So Commissioner Andersen, are you suggesting that we keep the hills out of the -- out of this, or what's your suggestion?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I'm trying to --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Actually, what they're saying is similar to my suggestion. I wasn't quite --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- as much.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Are you in alignment --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- with the Commissioners to add additional of the flat space.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And do we have exact -- I'm looking for a direction so that Tamina has exact -- okay -- exact place to cut so --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Alcatraz is there.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So Alcatraz. Let's go to Alcatraz.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sorry. Don't we need an east-west line, like one that runs north-south?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. We need one that goes --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I was going to say Broadway.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- north --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Or Shaddock.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Shaddock? Let's go to Shaddock because --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- Shaddock is a little bit out --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Go west, please. Don't take that area but take -- you'll see the Broadway and Shaddock. That. Correct. Take that --
CHAIR TOLEDO: So that would be the Oakland -- is that Koreatown as well? No?

COMMISSIONER YEE: No.

CHAIR TOLEDO: That's further down? Korea -- or the --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. That's --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- coast?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. That's --

CHAIR TOLEDO: (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: No. This is -- this north Oakland. This is Bushrod.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Golden Gate.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh yeah. It says Bushrod there.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. I just see the Children's Hospital.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Right.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So this area would go in with Oakland, and that seems to make sense to me.

Does it make sense to you, Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I just had a question.

There some COI --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Absolutely.
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- there's some COI testimony about the KONO area, and it runs up into Temescal, and it's home to many Korean restaurants as well as Ethiopian and Middle Eastern stores in that area.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So my understanding is that the Koreatown, which is that -- the Kotown -- I'm not -- KONO, I believe.

COMMISSIONER YEE: KONO.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: KONO.

CHAIR TOLEDO: KONO area is already in the Oakland district.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Okay. Thank you. I thought maybe it was this corner -- that corner that --

CHAIR TOLEDO: It's not too far from there, but further down.

COMMISSIONER YEE: It's next to it, yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah, it's next --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- to it. Let's see. Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. I was actually wanting to go over to Broadway a little bit further.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So we're only looking at 12,000 people at this point, so yes, let's keep going towards Broadway.
COMMISSIONER YEE: If we go to Broadway we will cut that KONO district.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So going into Broadway does cut the KONO district, which is the Koreatown.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: And there's also many Ethiopian immigrant refugee communities in that area, too.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: But does it split it, or does it just move districts?

COMMISSIONER YEE: It splits it. It splits right along the freeway there.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Is there any way to not split it and add it into this population, Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. So like what Tamina's doing right now, to go no further south than 51st Ave.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So this would keep it whole?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And we're looking at right now 18,800 -- almost 19,000 people now. And we're --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: So --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Our goal is --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right. Let me just go on --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- another twenty. So we need another 20,000 people.
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Can we add more from the -- you know, not in this North Oakland area, but in the -- further in the southern area.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So let's see if everyone is comfortable with this addition, and then we will -- we'll keep moving and looking.

So Commissioner Akutagawa, Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. Oh. Commissioner --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Akutagawa --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- already went.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Um-hum.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: College? Where's College, Tamina, on the map?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: She's using College as that -- is the road.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. It's right there.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I don't see it.

CHAIR TOLEDO: That's College.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech) College.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And the KONO area is right in the -- right in where the space -- that gap right there.
COMMISSIONER YEE: I believe Tamina has the -- the shapefile for KONO.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh. Do you have the shapefile, Tamina? Can you highlight KONO?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes. One moment, please.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. In the meantime, let's keep thinking about options here because we need another 20,000 people. So Commissioner --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: See? So --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: See? So with KONO down there, you could still go --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. We'll go -- your suggestion, Commissioner Turner, is to --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. I was suggesting over to Broadway, down to College, which may not cut KONO if that's where the shapefile is.

COMMISSIONER YEE: That's right. I'm sorry. I was mistaken there.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So let's go -- let's go down College. Keep going down College and into --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: That does cut the Rockridge area in half.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Rockridge area is right there, no?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Um-hum.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Can you not -- can you try to not cut Rockridge?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: College runs right down the middle of Rockridge.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: That's why I'm saying can we take -- add more of Oakland from the southern areas instead of -- this is -- this area is -- basically, it's almost Berkeley.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Well, any -- Commissioner Turner, Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. I wanted to see what that looked like if she completed it.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So let's take a look at it and see how many people are there, and then we can decide whether this a -- something we can live with and how many people are there because -- well, right now we have 20,000 people. We need another 20,000. Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I've just kind of waiting to see how this turns out.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. We still need 15,000 people.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: As I'm looking at the scoreboard, Chair, I think we're trying to get East Bay first to an acceptable deviation, correct? And then we
are going to push -- when we get down to San Leandro, we can fix Oakland.

CHAIR TOLEDO: That's right. Okay. Let's do that. So East Bay. Do we want to add this space into the East Bay area?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Adding this to Oakland from East Bay.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yes, adding his to Oakland from East Bay which would, of course, increase the deviation.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Any objections to adding this area? Commissioner Andersen, Commissioner Fornaciari.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. I would like to look -- we're trying to keep similar areas -- similar -- this is all -- it's not "in the hills," but from the Shaddock -- Shaddock east --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Um-hum.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- in that area is very -- it's very, very gentrified and everything in the Rockridge area. I would rather go make sure -- in the southern area to make sure that because the hills start further east. We have a lot of area in there where I was thinking it's much more similar to the area we're looking for, for Oakland.

COMMISSIONER YEE: That's true.
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: So yeah. I'd -- I'd rather cut this one at Shaddock and then take more population from the further south -- further south area.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Let's hear from the rest of the Commission. Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So yeah. Commissioner Andersen, you're talking about moving more from East Bay to Oakland but further south in East Bay?


COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Oh. Okay. Okay.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. That's exactly what I'm saying.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So you think --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So you think we should just be from Shaddock west --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- and move that down, and then there'll be more population further south --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- in East Bay to go into Oakland. Okay. I just want to understand. Thank you.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: I support that.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Any objections around that?

Looking around the table, let's hear Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Just a question. I've seen some COI testimony about the Glenview neighborhood asking not to be split. Is that in any of these areas?

Commissioner Yee, you might know since you're --

COMMISSIONER YEE: That is full disclosure my home neighborhood. No, it is not. It's further south.

CHAIR TOLEDO: All right.

COMMISSIONER YEE: I couldn't afford this neighborhood.

CHAIR TOLEDO: All right. Let's see. Any other questions, objections to what Commissioner Andersen and Commissioner Fornaciari have said? All right. So let's hear, Commissioner Andersen, where would we be? So it's Shaddock, I believe, right? We're going to go --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Exactly. Yeah. And to me, that's doing -- that's exactly what she's up to.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So it looks like we have to take a required break for our consultants. And so 3:35 is what I'm being told.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Could the map move up
so we can have a look at the area just, like, in this so we can have a look so when we get back we can, you know, give a good idea.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So I'm going to clarify with Kristian. Kristian, when's our next required break? I have 3:35 here.

MR. MANOFF: You're absolutely right, Chair.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, no. Ask her --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Didn't we just come back from lunch?

MR. MANOFF: Oh. Yeah. 4:45 is --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yep. Tamina, I meant so we can see that southern portion --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yes.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- of East Bay.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So let's continue on until 4:45. 4:45 is our required break.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: At a bigger scale so we can give you exact directions. Perfect. Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So we have Shaddock, and I see consensus on bringing this area into the East Bay. Let's bring it down. And then we'll be looking at other areas to add in. We need about 20,000 additional people.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Are we continuing or are we taking a break?
CHAIR TOLEDO: We are continuing until -- till 4:45.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Then in that southern area there, Tamina, I would take the Redwood Heights.

Yes, that block right there.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Let's see if there -- let's see if there's consensus on that. Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Sure. We can go to 35th Ave. there.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So 35th Ave.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Right there. Yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So we're looking at 9,000 people. We need another 10,000 people at least.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Okay.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So where -- suggestions on where to get another 10,000. Commissioner Andersen. Commissioner Yee.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I would -- well, Commissioner Yee, do you think -- see where it says Lower --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Diamond?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: That's a good idea in through that area?

COMMISSIONER YEE: We could cut it right at the 580.

I --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Actually, no. I believe it's
already there. Above that, that is the MacArthur Boulevard.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right. And we cut at MacArthur or --

COMMISSIONER YEE: No. That divide is really --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: No.

COMMISSIONER YEE: -- 580.

CHAIR TOLEDO: No. And we do have -- it's pretty dense area on the lower end. Might just be adding a couple blocks here.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, I see. Yeah. Could we go -- this scale is great.

Thank you, Tamina. Could we go a little further west? So look at this same area but look further west --

CHAIR TOLEDO: So wait. Before we go west, can -- do we have consensus to add this 10,000 people into the district?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Sure.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I'm saying consensus. So yes, we have general consensus. So let's add this and keep moving forward, and we need another 10,000, a little bit more than 10,000.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And in terms of rationale, this is -- do we have community of input? Is it contiguity? It's
definitely population deviation. I want to hear from --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm considering economic --

CHAIR TOLEDO: These areas are economically similar, socially --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- and otherwise. Perfect. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER YEE: If we came down Lincoln instead of 35th, that would be about the same kind of cut.

CHAIR TOLEDO: All right. Let's look at the Oakland, Temple, all the way down to -- and the rationale for this? Commissioner --

COMMISSIONER YEE: We're trying to build --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- Andersen and --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- Yee, is this a similar -- I believe -- I mean, having lived in this -- near this area, it's similar in terms of --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- of --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Housing --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- socioeconomic --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: every -- yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- housing, transportation systems, but also do -- you guys are maybe more familiar with the
COIs.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Right. So we're just trying to make a cut somewhere that makes sense.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So it's deviation.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. For deviation.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Thank you.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Mostly deviation. Oh. That's 10,000.

CHAIR TOLEDO: 10,000. So how are we with our numbers, Tamina?

MS. RAMOS ALON: This creates the East Bay deviation at 2.04 percent, and --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Um-hum.

MS. RAMOS ALON: -- Oakland's deviation will be at 10.83 percent.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Let's take feedback here.

Commissioner Andersen, your hand is raised. And Yee and then Akutagawa.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. I'd say unless we were to take something a little bit further west, but I'd probably do this.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: I'm comfortable with this.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Andersen? I mean, Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Could I see the
whole thing? I did read about one COI that they've asked to ensure is not split in half. It's at the 580 --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Which way is that --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- (indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: It's a -- I guess it's a Korean -- a small Korean community COI in the area.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Is it --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: They said that --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- the KONO?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I don't know. It said it's along -- it didn't say KONO. It just said along Telegraph. It's cut --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. That's the KONO.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- at the 580? Is that KONO?

COMMISSIONER YEE: That's KONO. That's KONO.

CHAIR TOLEDO: That's KONO. Yeah.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: And could you remove --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Good.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: -- the would you like --

CHAIR TOLEDO: And we already put it --
COMMISSIONER TURNER: -- to plan?
CHAIR TOLEDO: We kept -- we preserved that.
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Thank you. Thank you.
COMMISSIONER TURNER: Tamina, can you get rid of would you like to plan so we can see more of the map?
CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. Let's take -- let's get down and see the map.
COMMISSIONER TURNER: It's the plan management -- is that on mines?
COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. It's not ours.
COMMISSIONER TURNER: Oh. All right.
COMMISSIONER YEE: You're special.
MS. RAMOS ALON: I'm sorry. What -- would you like --
CHAIR TOLEDO: Just on hers.
MS. RAMOS ALON: I don't have that on mine.
CHAIR TOLEDO: No, this is good. You can -- you can put it back, though. We can -- all right. So it looks like at this point, we do have consensus to move forward with this change, general consensus. So let's make -- let's go forward with this change so we can move on to the next area.
Okay. So East Bay is now within acceptable deviations. Let's move into the Oakland district which is now overpopulated and needs less population. So I am
open to suggestions on potential cuts in the Oakland
district. So Lorenzo's not in the Oakland area? We are
looking at Emeryville, portions of Oakland, West Oakland,
East Oakland, the City of Alameda -- full disclosure,
that's where I -- my hometown -- Bay Farm Islands, San
Leandro. Commissioner Andersen, do you have suggestions
on where to cut?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. I'd like I should take
San Leandro out since I'm trying to put that with
Ashland, Cherryland, all that.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Can you see how much population is in
the City of San Leandro, Tamina? It's a pretty dense
population.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm up to 50,000.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yeah. 90.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: 90?

COMMISSIONER YEE: 92,000.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So we're looking at 92,000 people in
the City of San Leandro. That would bring us to a
negative deviation of 7.61 and a positive deviation of
22,000 -- or 22 percent rather in Alameda.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So it's too much.

And Commissioner Andersen?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh. Then what I was trying to
do is actually add to this area to make -- once we take San Leandro out --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Um-hum.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- expand. We're not going to get a lot, but I would expand all the East Bay Parks should be in Oakland and --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Can --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- Berkeley.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Can we see how many people are in the East Bay Parks area? So that's the white space above -- yes, Tamina. There's not many people living in that area, Commissioner Andersen, so --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, correct. But I --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- let's look and see how many are there. In the meantime, let's talk -- let's get down and get some more feedback from Akutagawa and Yee. And if we have direction, we can give general direction to Tamina as well. Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. I'm thinking that we're going to have to split San Leandro --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.


CHAIR TOLEDO: We got 437 people in the Parks area, Commissioner Andersen. It doesn't really give us much
population.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Rugged people.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, I know. But then there's some areas just on the other side --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh. Okay. I see.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- because it goes all the way up and down.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh. Okay. So I see what you're saying.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, because a lot of them, they don't actually go -- it's easier to come back over into Oakland or Berkeley to do their shopping.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: It's not a lot of people, though.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So do we have any concerns about adding this area to the Oakland district? Let's hear from -- hands raised. Yee, Turner.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah, that's all good. And then, when we get to San Leandro, I'm wondering about that split at Davis.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Davis is -- might be a good place to split. Tamina, can -- do we have any opposition to adding this basin to the Oakland district? No?

General consensus is here, so let's add this to
Oakland. And then let's look at the Davis Street split. And this is for deviation, but also the population's within the -- that would be the western part.

COMMISSIONER YEE: It's the 112 there.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah.

Commissioner Yee, do have you have just the rationale for the split? Deviation?

COMMISSIONER YEE: So we're just trying this for deviation, yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: For deviation purposes. We prefer to keep these areas whole, but we're recognizing we may need to split for deviation and trying to find the most appropriate line. So Davis Street?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Right.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Which is the 112. There we go.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. And then above 580, I guess it would be a jog over to Estudillo a little bit south.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So Tamina, can you highlight the area around Davis closer to the Oakland area so we can start seeing how much how much population we have to take in? And we're looking at this point to get this to a -- to get Oakland down to an appropriate deviation, so shifting population up.

Commissioner Ahmad.
COMMISSIONER AHMAD: I am also looking at COI testimony that is speaking to an Asian American community of interest that reside in San Leandro just south of Davis Street. So Commissioner Yee's suggestion is respecting that COI as well.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. So we will --

MS. RAMOS ALON: May I ask if -- so I'm moving Davis Street and south in San Leandro into the Alameda district?

CHAIR TOLEDO: So you would be -- so if you're highlighting the area closest to the Oakland --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Moving Davis Street and north to the Oakland district.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. We're trying to --

MS. RAMOS ALON: They're already --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- get pop --

MS. RAMOS ALON: -- in the Oakland district. This is in --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh. Sorry.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Oh.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oakland. This is already in the Oakland district.

COMMISSIONER YEE: I'm sorry.

CHAIR TOLEDO: We're moving population down, or shifting down. You're right. Thank you, Tamina.
COMMISSIONER YEE: This would have assumed that we
had moved San Leandro into Alameda already, which we did
not actually commit to yet.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Got it. So let's --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh. Oh. So the ten percent
is not correct.

CHAIR TOLEDO: No. So let's do that. Let's move
San Leandro into the -- and then we'll work backwards.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. But when we looked at it,
I think it was too much. That was the problem.

CHAIR TOLEDO: But then we can go back and just --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- add the portion. Or what's your
thought on how to do this, Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Let's start by adding San Leandro
entirely to Alameda.

CHAIR TOLEDO: That's what I'm thinking. Okay.

Let's add San Leandro into Alameda and then we'll be
taking portions of San Leandro back into Oakland. So
Davis Street and then add --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Right. Claw that back.

CHAIR TOLEDO: We're going to claw back Davis Street
and onward to Oakland. Tamina, can you show us how much
population we need to get into appropriate deviations?

MS. RAMOS ALON: One second. Into Oakland? You
need -- we are under by 5.61 percent.


(Pause)

COMMISSIONER YEE: So Tamina, that southern border right -- correct -- is along Davis up to East 14th and then jogs southerly to Estudillo for the remainder. Yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Perfect. So this gets us to acceptable deviations.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. A little bit more of Estudillo, down to Estudillo.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Looking at the floor and seeing if there's anyone in opposition to this change. No opposition, so we will accept this change --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. A little bit more.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- and get it finalized.


CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. So it looks like we are in acceptable deviations for the Oakland district. We will be moving down -- we will be adding that. It has been added, and now we will be moving to Alameda -- the Alameda district. And Alameda district -- can you scroll down to it? And the Almeida district contains the City of -- portions of the City of San Leandro, most of the
City of West San Leandro, Castro Valley, Cherryland, San Lorenzo -- let's look -- Fairview, Hayward, Union City.

So we are looking for places to cut. At this place, we are over by seventeen percent here and we need to -- to reduce population. This area also includes Livermore and Pleasanton and portions of the eastern part of Alameda County.

Commissioner Andersen, Commissioner Yee.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: At this point, I would like to -- in this particular area, I want to cut out Castro Valley and Pleasanton on east. Cut that out.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So let's hear ideas from the floor. So your idea is to cut out Castro Valley and Pleasanton?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Correct, correct.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So you would like those in with Contra Costa or with -- what area would you connect them to? And this is --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: There's -- I can't see it. It's probably to go with one of the --

CHAIR TOLEDO: The options would be --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- which one we have --

CHAIR TOLEDO: The options would be Oakland. They would be --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: No.
CHAIR TOLEDO: -- or Contra Costa.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: It would be the -- the Contra Costas.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. And then we're talking about a lot of population, so let's keep getting ideas and see what other folks are thinking, too. Yee and then Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Okay. I would definitely actually have a different suggestion, which is not take Castro Valley because it's on the other side of the pass there. I would move Pleasanton and Livermore in with 680 Contra Costa. That unites the Tri-Valley area. And of course we'll have to balance population between the two Contra Costas.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And we already have some population issues on the top, but it might reconcile those.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So let's -- Commissioner Fornaciari and then we'll go back to Commissioner Andersen and see if there's consensus here.

Commissioner Fornaciari? We are talking about potentially adding Livermore and I believe Pleasanton --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Pleasanton, yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- up to the Contra Costa region.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Sorry. My computer just
completely freaked out. I'm with Commissioner Yee. Just start with Pleasanton, Livermore, and the rest of Alameda County up into 680CC. And then we can -- because I mean, now we've done the complete rotation. We should be able to balance between the two Contra Costas and come out even.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I am looking across the floor. Everyone is in agreement. Commissioner Andersen, I just can't -- I can't see if you are. Are you in agreement with this?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. This is a good step.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Appreciate it. So let's move forward. And with this change. We will be adding the City of Pleasanton.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And just to -- you want some clarity on why we're doing this.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yes, we do.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: This will enable us to keep the Tri-Valley COI together.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Mm hmm.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And then -- well, yeah. It will stop there. I mean --

CHAIR TOLEDO: So deviation, population --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- even pop -- population deviation (indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: But this is also the other -- because I started with the COI with Cherryland, Ashland --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Right.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: That's with also -- they don't have anything to do with Alameda and -- I mean, Livermore and Pleasanton.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Right. Yeah. We heard an awful lot about Hayward and keeping Hayward, Ashland, Cherryland, San Leandro, San Lorenzo all together on that side of the hill. So that --

CHAIR TOLEDO: All right. So let's take a look at what happens when we do this. We have in Alameda -- we would be having a negative 8.79 deviation. In Contra Costa, we're going to have an overpopulation of 30.75 percent. So we'll need to split something in order to get it, and I'm looking for suggestions. I'm going to go down to Fornaciari and -- or Andersen, Fornaciari, then Ahmad, and then Hernandez.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, we're committing this first, right, and then we'll --

CHAIR TOLEDO: We could do that.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right. And then --

CHAIR TOLEDO: We could commit to this if -- but it may be too much to commit, so that's why I'm raising the
question.

Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Just a quick question. What is that -- is that Pleasanton under Dublin that's already split?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Is Pleasanton already split?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes, it is.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Okay.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. My recommendation would be to split Pleasanton in order to get the deviation in Alameda. That's what we're going to do is move it in because -- because you can't keep all of Pleasanton --

CHAIR TOLEDO: So how about we do one at a time? Let's prioritize the COIs that we're going to move into Contra Costa County. So let's undo this and let's just figure out are we going to do Pleasanton first or are we going to do -- then we can cut.

Commissioner Andersen.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Actually, I did want to get rid of it all because Union City and the northern portion of Fremont have the Afghan population, which
they -- we got a lot of COIs about that. A lot of people calling in about please add Union City to --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- the temples in the northern part of Fremont.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Appreciate it.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- (indiscernible, simultaneous speech) bit of that.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. Commissioner Fernandez, Yee, Turner, and Akutagawa. Okay. We'll go to Yee, Turner, and Akutagawa. And I want to make sure that we are able to move this population and be able to rotate it if we move in this direction.

Commissioner Yee, do you have any thoughts about that?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. I would like -- I would like to -- yes, thank you. I would like to commit to this change first and then we can subtract population.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I'm comfortable with moving and exploring this so let's -- and I believe I have general consensus from the floor, so we will move in this direction. Let's commit this and we will explore.

All right. So now our deviations are off. Suggestions for getting the deviations back in line. And let's start with suggestions on where to fix first. I
would suggest Alameda first, so let's do Alameda. Is there a split in the Pleasanton or Dublin area or Sunol that makes more sense? Commissioner Andersen, Yee, any suggestions?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. I would like to grab a little bit from the northern portion of Fremont. I don't have the map of the Afghan community in Fremont, but they wanted to be with Union City. So I would grab a bit of that.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So I do want to note that if we grab a portion of Fremont, it will impact the City of San Jose if we zoom out. So let's zoom out so we can look at the whole region. So I just want to let the Commission know. And we have negatives all under there, so we will -- if we do, in fact, take from Fremont, we will be impacting San Jose. I just want to make sure everybody understands that. And we're open to all the options. Just want to make sure that we are understanding the options. Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. I'm sorry. I have to think more.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Let's think some more.

Andersen?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. I will do that.

CHAIR TOLEDO: You're interested in taking some of
Fremont and then -- and exploring --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- into San Jose.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct. Keep that other COI together.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Let's see what the floor thinks. Is everybody okay with going into Fremont? Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Just clarifying, are we trying to fix the deviations in 680CC first or --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Alameda --

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: -- are we waiting on that?

Okay. Alameda.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Because it's a negative 8.79 and the only place to get population would be through --

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Fremont.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- Oakland or through Fremont or --

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Or 680CC.

CHAIR TOLEDO: That's correct. And right now we have a overpopulation in Contra Costa of 30.75, which is a lot. So those are -- we're just looking at options.

Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. Concerning the Afghan COI, so I believe Union City is already split here.

Is that correct, Tamina? If so --
MS. RAMOS ALON: Union City is not split.

COMMISSIONER YEE: It is not split? Oh.

MS. RAMOS ALON: No.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Wow. Okay. I would like to see that exact COI before we try to make a change there.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Do we have the shapefile, Tamina, for that?

MS. RAMOS ALON: I'm not sure --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

MS. RAMOS ALON: -- of that. I know that there are COIs take Union City and Hayward into this area, but they come all -- the COI comes all the way down here, so they just don't for Assembly. We can put in Union City. It will split these -- all the COIs that we just talked about, the various Latino, Punjabi COIs, Alum Rock COIs down here at (audio interference).

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. Any split into Fremont is going to impact our COIs in San Jose. So let's --

MS. RAMOS ALON: Correct.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- let's keep talking about this. So Commissioner Akutagawa, Commissioner Fernandez, and Sadhwani I know will come up with a great solution for all of us, and I'm just waiting for the great solution to come forward.

Commissioner Akutagawa, what's your great solution?
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Actually, I was trying to see if Tamina could scroll up because I'd like to see -- no, the opposite way. See the bottom part. Okay. All right. Thank you. I need to think again.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh good. No, no problem. Yeah, it's good to think about these things.

Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Actually, I wanted to scroll the opposite way and zoom in a little bit, please.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.


CHAIR TOLEDO: We can zoom out a little bit so we can all think about this a little more.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I got to think about that, too.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Let's zoom out a little bit and let's hear -- see if Commissioner Sadhwani has some suggestions for this area which has a significant amount of COIs, especially as we move down. And so we spent a lot of time working on these COIs. I want to make sure that we are doing this fairly. Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you. From what I can
tell, we have fairly good feedback on keeping Union City whole. In particular, I think we were told -- oh, sorry. I just had it up. Where did it go? That it was a Punjabi community, I believe, in Union City that wanted to be -- have the city kept whole.

In terms of Fremont, we were given some thoughts in terms of possible cuts for the Afghan community, in particular keeping Centerville of Fremont whole. That being said, I think that we're in pretty good shape with the Fremont district. So I wouldn't -- I feel like perhaps we can expand outward where there's already a plus thirty percent deviation as opposed to breaking into the Fremont district where there were so many COIs that we worked to keep together because we've had actually fairly positive feedback about that Union -- about keeping Union City whole, from what I can tell.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Um-hum.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: And so if we were to split into Fremont, the key piece is keeping Centerville whole, which is the Afghan piece that Commissioner Andersen was raising.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Sunol? How many people are in Sunol? Is Sunol a COI with Fremont, Commissioner Sadhwani? Do you know or does somebody else -- Commissioner Yee I'm sure knows.
Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: It's about 900 people.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, it is a lot of people.

It's the major route with the trains went through. Sunol has a --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- (indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. It's hilly.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: It's about 900 people, yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh. Let's put the terrain on. We had a request for terrain, so let's look at the terrain. Maybe that'll help us, too. I don't see as many hills, but the train.

MS. RAMOS ALON: So Sunol's only about 900 people, so it's not going to help.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh. That's not going to help too much. Okay. All right. So suggestions from the floor on addressing the deviation issue in Alameda. Okay. We have some ideas from Commissioner Fornaciari. Let's hear them.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. We're going to have to put some of Pleasanton back because we have to have -- I mean, you have East Contra Costa at minus 13.5 and --
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Seventeen.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- 680CC at 30.75. Those two have to add up to --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Agreed.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- plus or minus ten --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Right.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- and they don't, so we have to move some of Pleasanton back.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Do you have a suggestion where we can cut in Pleasanton?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So full disclosure, this is where I grew up. Sorry to all my friends --

CHAIR TOLEDO: And I appreciate for all the --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- who still live there.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- the transparency. I think it's important for us to provide that transparency. So you probably know this area very well.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I do.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Great.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Can you zoom in a bit? Let's --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Actually.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Go ahead, Jane.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So okay.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Where 580 goes over, I
would take that chunk of Dublin as well because from
the -- basically the 680 -- I'm sorry -- the 680 west in
both areas. Correct.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Commissioner Fornaciari, is
that an acceptable --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, that's a reasonable
place to start.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Let's do that, Tamina. Let's
highlight that area.

Commissioner Yee and Kennedy, let's -- any
additional feedback?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. I'm just going to say
there's still about a plus two or three in the East Bay
that we could push down and then that would allow us to
reunite -- to make San Leandro whole. Maybe we can get
some population that way.

CHAIR TOLEDO: That's a potential as well.

Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I was
looking at the north end of this district and wondering
if we could shift Pleasant Hill from, I believe, it's
this district to the Eastern Contra Costa district, and
that would help resolve population on both sides.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Let's look at this after we
look at that. Look at that after we look at this. This
is 19,000 people right now. It gets us to an acceptable deviation in Alameda.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I think we need to go a little more because we're at --

CHAIR TOLEDO: 21,000 people.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: We got to be down to below twenty-six percent.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Let get down to below twenty-six percent. We're at 23,000 people.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: We could take a little bit out in the -- put it into Berkeley. Take those hills and that little section.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Oh yeah. Oops. Sorry.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: To help our 30-12 issues.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So let's clean this up a little bit. We're at -- below twenty-six percent. Okay.

Any objection to adding this to Alameda?

COMMISSIONER YEE: What's that little bite that keeps not wanting to come out where the "p" is?

MS. RAMOS ALON: It's already there.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Okay.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. I see no objection. If you do -- okay. So the general consensus is to add this to Alameda. Let's see what it looks like. So we're at negative 3.74 in Alameda, and we now shift over to our
overpopulated Contra Costa region with -- it's a pretty
large district from Martinez all the way down to
Livermore and down to the southern border of Alameda
County.

We're looking for suggestions on how to bring the
deviation down to acceptable levels, and I know
Commissioner Andersen has some ideas. One minute,
Commissioner Andersen. We're running out of time. We're
going to do it for everybody. What's your idea,
Commissioner Andersen?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, I'd add to the East Bay
the Tilton Park and all those areas. And there's a
little bit -- yes -- a little bit of that as well. A
little bit up -- the exact -- that area. I can't think
of the -- San Pablo Reservoir.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So do you know exactly where
in that area or which roads?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, actually, if I say
Tilton, will that come up for you, Tamina?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Does Tilton help, Tamina?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Tilton Park? Yeah. I take
that.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Do you want the blocks or what?
Yeah. Southern Park's here. Let me see what the blocks
look like.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. We're taking a look at that.
In the meantime, let's go to Commissioner Kennedy.
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Oh yes, Chair. My suggestion
had been to move Pleasant Hill east.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh, that's right. Okay. So let's
look at this and then we'll go back to Pleasant Hill. I
don't think there's going to be a lot of population
there, but --
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: No. And I'd also take --
CHAIR TOLEDO: -- (indiscernible, simultaneous
speech) --
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- (indiscernible,
simultaneous speech). Put all that in the Oakland one as
well because it's East Bay. I'm not sure. Sibley, all
the way down there.
MS. RAMOS ALON: What? Just --
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Keep --
COMMISSIONER YEE: That's west of Orinda.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So right now we are -- we have
eight people, eight individuals. We continue to be at
eight individuals who live there.
COMMISSIONER YEE: Those are the park rangers.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Fifteen.
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Keep on going to the --
exactly. That one. The one below it. That's Orinda.
MS. RAMOS ALON: (Audio interference) --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: And then I would go up -- yes.

I'm sorry. Go ahead.

MS. RAMOS ALON: There you go. Go up where?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sorry. You got that right.

And then up to -- let's see -- it says Grove Regional, that area up through there.

CHAIR TOLEDO: We're at 300 people, Commissioner Andersen, and we're trying to reduce this to get this down to five percent or less. Do you have --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh no. No, I'm sorry. We're looking for the combo of the twenty-five and the negative thirteen to be less than ten. So yes, we do need -- we did about 10,000 people.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So let's do this change. Let's get the borders cleaned up a little bit, and then let's move on to the next change. Okay. That looks good.

Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Recommending moving Pleasant Hill from 680CC to East CC.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. So let's look at Pleasant Hill and adding Pleasant Hill to Concord area? To the ECC. And how many people are in this area, Tamina?

We're looking at --
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Almost --

MS. RAMOS ALON: 34--

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: -- 35,000.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Negative --

CHAIR TOLEDO: So 35,000. This gets us to a negative 6.48 in the East ECC. Is there any cuts we can make to reduce the cut? Any suggestions, Commissioner Andersen? I'm sorry. You're always in the front of my screen. Go ahead.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh. Sorry.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I'm taking down your hand.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I have quite a lot for this area. I would grab everything from Crocket south and put it with ECC.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So let's think about that.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Martinez.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Is there any cuts with Pleasanton that would be helpful, let's -- Commissioner Sinay. And then we'll go to Fernandez, Fornaciari, and then Turner.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Can I understand why the move of Pleasanton to Concord?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. Let's get some feedback on Pleasanton --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Because --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- to Concord.
COMMISSIONER SINAY: And I'll say, for me, it's always been Concord, Clayton, Pleasanton, Walnut going south with --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Um-hum.


CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. You mean Pleasant Hill?

Pleasant Hill with Concord?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, I --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Can we --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I was just trying to figure out why we were thinking of moving Pleasant Hill --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Rather than?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- over to ECC.

CHAIR TOLEDO: At this point, we're looking at -- to shift population. So for population purposes but also taking into consideration COIs. So any COI input --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Because I thought --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- that we want to --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, I guess my thought --

okay. So I wouldn't do Pleasant Hill. I would do Martinez and Crocket, that area, and connect it to ECC.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So another suggestion is to potentially do Martinez and Crocket? Martinez, I believe, has less population than Pleasanton. Let's take a look at how much people -- how many people live in
Martinez at this point.

So Tamina, let's look at Martinez and see how many people live there.

And let's also hear from Commissioner Fornaciari and then Turner.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. That was kind of why we started down this road was --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Was for Martinez?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- to get Martinez, Pierpont, Pittsburg, and Antioch together.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Let's highlight that area. So that's Martinez --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And I agree with Crockett and the unincorporated areas across there, too.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So let's Crockett, unincorporated areas, Martinez. Would you include Alhambra -- or I believe that's a little community there, Alhambra Valley. Yes? Okay. And let's hear also from Commissioner Turner and Commissioner Sadhwani and Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you, Chair. All I was going to say is -- yeah, that's what we wanted to do earlier. Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I'm sorry. Um-hum. Commissioner Turner, what were you saying? Sorry to interrupt.
COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. I was saying that's what we wanted to do earlier was to try and bring that into the ECC so that's all. I'm in agreement.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner Fernandez, and then -- well, we have Turner and then Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I guess if we need more population, you can take Pacheco, but I think they might be attached to Pleasant Hill. That might get you under the deviation.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So it looks like we're close to the deviation now. Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I was just going to support the change. There's testimony that the cities of Martinez and Pleasant Hill have been a part of -- they get services, including healthcare services, from Concord and linking them together (indiscernible) 883.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. The cut here in East CC, it puts us over the acceptable deviations. We're at 5.07. So maybe take out some of the unincorporated areas? Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: If I understood Commissioner Sadhwani correctly, she said to include Pleasant Hill; is that right --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I mean --
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- with Concord?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- that's what the testimony suggests, but I think that would put us over deviation.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. It would. I believe. I don't know. We could check.

MS. RAMOS ALON: ECC would need more population in order to reduce the deviation because it's at negative.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So at this point, we need to take out some population of the highlighted area, so maybe --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, we need to add.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh. We need to add?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: We need to add, so can we maybe look at Pacheco and Pleasant Hill?

CHAIR TOLEDO: So let's add Pacheco in. Oh yes. I have the districts mixed up. More of Pacheco, all of Pacheco?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. All of Pacheco, please. Thank you. There we go.

CHAIR TOLEDO: All right. So now we have Pleasant Hill, this area. Should we include the unincorporated areas next to -- to the West? There's not going to be a lot of population, but just --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. There's going to be a lot, but we could do it, though.
CHAIR TOLEDO: And then we still need to cut down on Contra Costa. So if you guys -- if the Commission can start thinking about ideas for that while this is being populated. Commissioner Fernandez, did you have your hand up and do you have ideas for that?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I actually once we're done with this, if we can scroll down so I can -- we can see the entire district that we need to move population out of.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Excellent. And we certainly will. Commissioner Sadhwani, any thoughts around potential areas to be cut up? Nope.

Commissioner Andersen?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Wait. I'm sorry. You specifically asked if we want to go -- if we're doing more cuts where? Into 680?

CHAIR TOLEDO: In Contra Costa region, we need to cut and get down to under five percent. We're at 9.17 deviation at this point.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. So we're looking for what to take out of 680.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. So if you think about potential places that you would consider taking out.

Commissioner Fornaciari?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I --
CHAIR TOLEDO: We'll come back in a second.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. Well, Walnut Creek comes to mind off the top of my head, but I'd want to zoom out like --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So that's fine.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- Commissioner --

CHAIR TOLEDO: That's a potential.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- Commissioner Fernandez said.

CHAIR TOLEDO: That's a great idea.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. I think there's areas --

CHAIR TOLEDO: We're almost there.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- to the south we'd like to do.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh. Area of the south of Walnut Creek? Okay. So we'll zoom out in a second. We'll go to Commissioner Sinay after that. Let's see. Let's commit this. I think --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Wait.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- general consensus on this. Okay. We'll wait. Commissioner Sinay is not in agreement with Pleasant Hill.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, I just want us to think a second because we're -- we keep adding communities that
will work counter to the communities of interest that we were putting together. And so, yeah. I just want to --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Can you name those communities, please?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I know that we -- yeah, that there is a COI that says Pleasant Hill and Concord, that's where Martinez goes for services. But Concord, Pleasant Hill, Clayton, Walnut, those are all high-end communities in Contra Costa, very -- professionals. And I also -- most of these communities who -- Antioch and all that specifically said -- and the same with Oakland -- don't put us Lorendo, Lafayette, Morego, Concord. And so --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- it's a compromise, but I just wanted to put it out there so that we all realize that the reason they were saying to go to Vallejo and Benicia is that there was more commonality in working class that way, but now we kind of moved everything around to end up back in a similar --

CHAIR TOLEDO: And you're certainly right. It's a compromise. So let's see if others have objections as well. Commissioner Yee, Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER YEE: I was going to offer -- suggest adding the Reliez Valley there.
CHAIR TOLEDO: What is it? East Valley?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Reliez. Yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh. Reliez. Okay. And then Commissioner -- I'm going to go with -- Commissioner Andersen is the first on my screen, and then Commissioner Fernandez, and then Commissioner Turner.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. I'm sort of going along the lines of what the Petitioner Sinay was -- I'm sorry -- Commissioner Sinay was saying. I wouldn't take quite that much in this area, and I would go, again, further south and take more of ECC -- take more of 680 and put it in ECC a little further south than where -- what we're looking at right now.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. So if you could get -- look at the area on your map and then try to identify some areas. In the meantime, I want to hear about whether other folks are in agreement with you and Commissioner Sinay. Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I'm fine the way it is. I mean, we are swapping one COI for another by the very first move that we did, so it's -- we're breaking up some community of interest to get to whatever our goal is at this point.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So you're suggesting a compromise here? Okay. Commissioner Turner?
COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you. I am in agreement with Commissioner Sinay and would like -- would rather see Pleasant Hill and the Valley, Releiz Valley, left in with Contra Costa and perhaps take out Brentwood over to the -- with Discovery Bay and Knightsend. We tried to put Brentwood with Knightsend and we ended up with a deviation problem up in Solano because we're almost at 4.23. And if I remember correctly about an hour ago that was 75,000 people.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Okay.

CHAIR TOLEDO: If I remember correctly. 65,000, not 75,000. All right. So let's see where we are now. We can take out Pleasanton, but if we take out Pleasanton out of this then we will need to be looking at other communities to put into --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Can we look further south?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. Well, we'll see. Can we --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. Let's zoom out

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: She never takes her hand down.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I told her (indiscernible), she's always first. All right. Let's see. Let's take a look, Commissioner. If we can all just take a look at the map and see where we might be able to cut and then have
suggestions --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- for cuts.

And Commissioner Andersen, you're mic is -- you're on.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh. I'm sorry. Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I guess I'm just going to just kind of comment on some of these ripple effects. I did read some COI testimony earlier and I just didn't read quickly enough, but you had moved on. There was a place, and I think someone earlier referenced that there was a place to cut Fremont and move part of Fremont up that would possibly or could possibly take Alameda into a little bit more positive territory, which could then mean maybe you can move some of this other population into this Fremont district.

CHAIR TOLEDO: We did did consider that. And what would happened if we took any population out of Fremont, whether it be -- that there would be COI disruption in San Jose area. So we did actually consider that.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Even if you move those COIs into the Fremont district and kept them whole?
CHAIR TOLEDO: Because of the negative deviations across all of the south, at this point.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh. It's --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. There's so much there.

CHAIR TOLEDO: If we had a little more positivity, if there was more positive --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Looking for more positive.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- if there were more people in the South Bay then, yes, that would work. And unfortunately, we -- there's not enough --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: We can't push all these --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- concentrated population.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- twenty five --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. So let's go up to where we are and see if Pleasant Hill is a viable option for the Committee. And let's see. Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Then Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER YEE: I'm hearing everyone's considerations, but the fact is we need to build Assembly district-sized districts. So if we have to split here, I think this split make sense.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And potentially maybe this is something we can address in a Senate district and a
Congressional district. It's a tough compromise.

Commissioner Sinay, Commissioner Turner, thoughts about where else to add if we can't go to --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm guessing all the unincorporated area wouldn't have that much in it because it's kind of --

CHAIR TOLEDO: No.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- unincorporated everywhere.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Well, we're talking about -- we're talking about how many one thousand people?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Twenty.

CHAIR TOLEDO: 20,000 people that we're needing. No, we would need a city.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Or 30.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Or a town at least. Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: So I recommend we commit to this change and then move from there because we still need to move some after this.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So let's see if we have general consensus on a compromise here, the compromise being to shift this population in this map and potentially explore the keeping of Pleasanton in a different area in the next iteration.

Commissioners, let's hear from the group.
Commissioner Akutagawa, Commissioner Turner, Commissioner Andersen. Oh, thank you. Sorry.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Okay.


COMMISSIONER TURNER: Um-hum. I just want a name if we commit, we commit, but had we moved Vallejo in and Brentwood out, we would have been able to do something different in this area. And so I just wanted to state that I was still in favor of -- I think we're locked into this space as we are now, but with Vallejo in Pleasant Hill, Reliez Valley, Alhambra Valley, Brentwood out of the area, it may have worked.

CHAIR TOLEDO: It would have been 150,000 people; Brentwood would have been seventy-five. We would have needed another seventy-five, but potentially.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. We would have maybe just split Vallejo.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. Commissioner Andersen?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I believe if we pull Rodeo out of East Bay and -- wait, wait. Is that going to be -- no.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Wrong area.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Other way around. Sorry.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. Other way around.
Commissioner --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: No. I was looking --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- Kennedy.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- for 10,000.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Mr. Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you. This is just a cleanup. That small unincorporated area west of Crocket, do we want to include that as well? Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: It's not a lot of people, so we should probably include it if we're going to move in this direction. Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I just want to support and uplift the piece from Commissioner Turner. I know I found it and read off the piece around Pleasant Hill. It was one COI submission that was given. My understanding is that the piece around Vallejo is coming from numerous groups that represent multiple organizations that had many conversations with the communities they serve.

I wouldn't really want to split Vallejo if I'm hearing that there was a population change between -- that Vallejo's bigger than Brentwood. Does it make sense to bring Vallejo in and -- or a portion of Vallejo in and take out Brentwood and put it with that district on the other side and shift the population around? Does it make
more sense to take all of the Vallejo and split Antioch? I mean, I do feel like there's a case to be made for keeping a COI together that has been so strongly advocated for.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Commissioner Fernandez? Then Fornaciari --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- then Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And I'm against that because there's also community of interest to keep the whole county together. And right now, Solano County is together and whole. And as I mentioned before, it's something that we can potentially look at in the Senate or the Congressional.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. And right now, we're looking for consensus on whether to add this to the Contra Costa site. Commissioner Fornaciari. Commissioner Ahmad, you're next. And then Sinay and then who else is in the line? So let's go on to -- is it Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, so a couple things. I'll just go back to our Congressional maps where we have Vallejo, Venetia, Martinez, Bay Point, Pittsburg. We don't have Antioch, but we can figure out how to -- how to add that and make that whole. I think we've heard testimony in both directions for Vallejo. Some folks
don't want it to be south; some people do.

I know this is where we're headed is -- is a compromise. We're putting Martinez, Bay Point, Pittsburg, and Antioch together who want to be together. You know, we're putting together with Concord, Pittsburg. We're going to have to add Walnut Creek to make it come out. We're not putting them with Lafayette, Orinda, or Mirada. And you know, it's just at this point a compromise. But you know, I just want to reiterate that in our Congressional maps that we can accommodate that, the COI that wants Vallejo, Venetia, Martinez, and Antioch together.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. Let's see here. Commissioner Turner, Andersen, Ahmad, Sinay, and then Sadhwani. Is it on my screen? Commissioner Turner is a no, so Commissioner Andersen.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: There we go. So we're stuck because we made a lot of things and a lot of great -- everyone's happy for the north and the cuts and the pain is pretty much being felt right here.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Um-hum.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: And I still like the idea of trying Brentwood, Vallejo. Clearly, there's not enough take on that, so we're going to have to do the best we can. And I would certainly commit to this and then
trying to find a little bit more south. I still think we
need to get rid of about 10,000 people, though --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yes.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- from ECC and 680OC.

CHAIR TOLEDO: That's right. So you are in favor of

a -- of moving this forward as a compromise?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: So that portion just that

right there? Yes. I thought we already did that.

CHAIR TOLEDO: No, we haven't added it yet because

we don't have consensus. We still have a question around

the Pleasant Hill area. So Ahmad and Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Chair. I'm just

trying to understand what part of moving this highlighted

area into ECC is a compromise?

CHAIR TOLEDO: It's the Pleasant Hill area.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: So Pleasant Hill is the point

of --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Of this -- yes. It is my

understanding --

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: So whether to move in --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- is the COI between -- it is my

understanding (indiscernible) Commissioner Sinay because

I think she raised the issue initially. Commissioner

Sinay, and I believe Commissioner -- others as well.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: The compromise is a lot bigger
than just Pleasant Hill. Originally, we had wanted Vallejo, Venetia, take out Concord and Clayton. Concord, Clayton, Pleasant Hill, Walnut. All of those are predominantly white, professional, high-income communities. And the idea was to create a working class --

CHAIR TOLEDO: So --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- more --

CHAIR TOLEDO: I will remind the Commission that this is not a VRA area, and that really we're looking at --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: No. I'm --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- socioeconomic --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- looking at --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- transportation.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right.

CHAIR TOLEDO: We're looking --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And that's exactly --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- at housing.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- what I'm saying --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- We're -- okay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- is that this is working class, that Antioch, Pittsburg, Benicia, Vallejo. All of that is very working class all -- like you were saying.

Anyway, and the other -- it's housing issues. It's
school issues. Keeping Concord and Clayton will just
keep the issue -- so it's much bigger than just one. The
compromise is big.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Okay.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yeah. Can I just -- if we can
take a snapshot of this and then see the other proposal
that's on the table visually, I think that would help
me --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So --

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: -- look at population
deviations because right now I think that's where the
back and forth is. We are trying to --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Let's do that.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: -- get to population here.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Let's take out Pleasanton in this and
let's leave out the remainder of the -- I'm sorry.
Pleasant Hills. Pleasant Hill. Would we leave in the
Reliez -- is it Reliez Valley? I've never been there, so
I don't know how to pronounce it.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Let's take it out since it's
(audio interference).

COMMISSIONER SINAY: You can kind of keep Pleasant
Hill in there because we already have Concord and
Clayton.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So you would like to keep Pleasant Hill in there?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: It's a bigger issue than just Pleasant Hill is what I've been trying to say this whole time.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So are we all in agreement that this -- is there general consensus to add this to the East Contra Costa region at this point? I'm hearing a no. I'm seeing a couple nos, so let's hear about the nos. And you're saying it's not just about Pleasant Hill, Commissioner Sinay, so can you please explain?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: It's economic and socioeconomic priorities -- different priorities going by Vallejo, Benicia, all that.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So if we didn't move this area, then what area would you move because we need --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Vallejo and Benicia, and then -- I mean --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- I would do -- I would pull up exactly whatever -- take out Brentwood.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So that's where we're stuck --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Take out Concord.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- right? Remember we --
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Take out --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Remember we --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I'll go back to the beginning, right?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, let me ask a question.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Um-hum.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: You keep saying, do we have consensus and do we work on -- this is the question I asked way long time ago. Are we working on consensus or are we working on majority?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Well, if we do -- it's actually our break time, so I'm going to take a break right now.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Wait. Can I make one comment before the break?

CHAIR TOLEDO: You may, yes.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you. I just wanted to note we're making a whole lot of compromises here to keep Solano, Yolo, and Sacramento with as few of cuts possible. We have a crazy district from -- from Mono all the way up to the Oregon border so that Roseville wasn't cut. Now, we have this issue here in Solano because Solano doesn't want to be cut and they want to take the vote -- they want to have their voting power come from Vallejo. So I just want to recognize the number of compromises that we are making just for these three
counties alone.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. And actually, I was just informed that we can go up to 4:50, so we have a couple more minutes before we have to break. And I know we have a couple of hands up, so let's take the hands. Commissioner Andersen, Commissioner Fernandez, and then Commissioner Ahmad.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I was going to say if we're -- can we back out just a little bit or move -- actually move the map up a little bit so we can see what other areas we have to work with? No, no. I'm sorry. The map -- move south of the map, please. There we go. And then expand a little bit, please, so we can see that area so we can determine what --

CHAIR TOLEDO: There's certainly the Fremont area that we could go to, and that would lead us into San Jose and we could certainly start working on that area as well. So we can certainly move population from Fremont into this region, which would also get us to there, and it's been suggested by a couple of Commissioners -- Commissioner Akutagawa, Commissioner -- a couple of other Commissioners.

But we also have significant COIs down there as well that would be impacted. And we are -- a lot of COIs down there as well. So we have COIs throughout this whole
region and we all need to think about those, and that's
why I'm going to take a fifteen-minute break for us to
think about all the COIs in the different areas and where
we might cut. So let's take a fifteen-minute break and
just think through these issues. Thank you.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 4:40 p.m. until
4:55 p.m.)

CHAIR TOLEDO: Welcome back to the California
Citizens Redistricting Commission. We are looking at the
northern part of Alameda County, Contra Costa County
areas, and into the Solano region as well. We're looking
to transfer population from -- into the East Contra Costa
region. We are overpopulated in the southern Contra
Costa region, and we are looking for a consensus here.
Commissioner Andersen.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: About this particular one, I
understand now we're basically trying to work between the
25.6 and the negative thirteen.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Um-hum.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: And those two, of course, is
positive twelve, so it can't be. We have positive ten.
So we've got to take 10,000 people out of the whole area.
If we're stuck in these two areas, Bay Point, Pittsburg,
Antioch, Martinez, and stuff, half of it's with other
areas, and I'm trying to look for something for the south
that might be more comparable. But this area right in here, it's not -- it is not that similar. And so I understand exactly where -- why people are having an issue. Accept this as we are right now and then try and see if we can fix it. That's the best I think we could possibly --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Andersen.

Any other thoughts from the floor, Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I'll say it again. I don't like it, but I think in the spirit of moving on and sharing the pain, let's do it in the Assembly districts. We'll take a closer look when we get else -- to the other maps. I think we're still going to need to transfer additional populations somewhere else, and I don't have a plan for that yet.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. Even with this move, I just want to remember --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- reiterate that there's still 10,000 people we have to sift into -- about 10,000 people we have to shift in this -- to this district, and I'm not sure where we would find it. So if any Commissioner can take a look and -- and I know Commissioners are taking a look at trying to figure out where, but I need some feedback. Commissioner Akutagawa.
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I guess I'll --
yeah. I'll state the same thing. I mean, I'm a little
puzzled as to what we're trying to do here, and I think
that's been noted. I think there was somewhat of an
effort to try to link similar communities, and we don't
have it. I mean, we just have kind of like a -- bits and
pieces of different ones, and we're concerned about
breaking up other ones. I understand that. I think I
would just like us to just say let's just -- yeah. Let's
just move on. Maybe we just need to just kind of think
it over, maybe even if it's overnight, and then just try
to come back and see if we just be open to just maybe
trying to fix it because I think we've created a mess, to
be honest.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh. I don't think it's a mess. I
think it's pretty rational. I think it -- I don't --
we've had to split COIs across all of -- all the state,
and at this point, it's -- it's a matter of figuring out
which COIs we're going to -- prioritization and figuring
out which COIs we're going to keep whole and which ones
we're going to split.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I think that's what's
unclear. So I don't know. Maybe it's just me. I think
I'm just puzzled right now. So thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: No, thank you. Any other suggestions
on how to address the deviation issue here?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Well, we have to commit --

CHAIR TOLEDO: So okay. So is there anyone in opposition to commit this change? I know none of us like this change, but it is a compromise. Is there any opposition? All right. Seeing no opposition, I will take it as consensus to move forward. So let's commit this change, Trina -- Tamina. Sorry about that, Tamina.

So now this East Contra Costa is now an acceptable range and we can move down and shift population from -- we have overpopulation in the Contra Costa district. So we are -- we can shift population south. We can shift it east, and we can shift it west. And can you zoom out just so -- these communities seem very well-balanced in terms of this is a -- can you just speak to the district, Tamina, where it starts and where it ends?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Sure. The 680CC district takes the eastern half of Alameda County. We did go down the freeway here as a dividing line between Dublin and Pleasanton. And we have Dublin, Pleasanton, and Livermore. We go up through the 680 corridor: San Ramon, North Canyon, Danville, all the way up through Walnut Creek. The La Marinda area is kept together, and we have some incorporated areas on both the eastern side and the Oakland Hills side.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Perfect. So we need to -- how much population do we need to shift out of this district, Tamina, to get us to an appropriate deviation?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Sure. One second. So we are at 8042 percent --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Um-hum.

MS. RAMOS ALON: -- deviation. We're looking to get to five. So deviation is currently 41,000 over. It's 41,500. So if we could move 10, 15, we might be able to get there.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So about 15,000 people. 15,000 people, that's what we're looking at. Can you scroll -- can you zoom out a little bit? And do you have any suggestions on 15,000 people?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Chair, is it 15 or 50?

CHAIR TOLEDO: It's one five, correct, Tamina?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Let's see. We could look again at --

CHAIR TOLEDO: I believe so.

MS. RAMOS ALON: -- the Dublin/Pleasanton line over here. If we were to move that line up a little bit more, then we would be able to add that to Alameda which is currently underpopulated. We definitely could balance between these two.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Yee. We drew this line
here. Do you have any suggestions on -- suggestions on
where to shift this line, if that is your prerogative?

COMMISSIONER YEE: I defer to the hometown hero,
Commissioner Fornaciari.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh, that's right. Hometown -- this
is Commissioner Fornaciari's hometown.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I don't think they
call me hero. Zoom on in. We'll figure it out. I
agree. First of all, Tamina, it looked like some of
Orinda was in East Bay. So just so --

MS. RAMOS ALON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- we'll clean up down the
road. Zoom in on the border of -- oh, go ahead. I mean,
if you want to clean it up now. Just postponing the
inevitable.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Thank you. That was bothering me.
We'll move back down now.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Tamina.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes. When you're mapping,
you see all those little bumps. Yeah.

Go ahead, zoom in a little -- okay. Yeah. Move the
map -- pull down on the map. There we go. All right.
Yeah. So there's nothing super great here. I think -- I
guess it would -- let's grab the area kind of Val
Vista -- see where it says Val Vista Park? Go south of
Stoneridge down to Hopyard. No, wait. That's a business park. Okay. Go a little further down. See where it says Valley and Hopyard there? Right there. And start grabbing folks there.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Can we see how many people we're at? Thank you. So right there, we're at 2,000. And this will be for population alleviation purposes.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. Yeah. Okay. And then go north up into the area of Val Vista Park there.

CHAIR TOLEDO: We're at 5,000.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's a science experiment.

CHAIR TOLEDO: 7,000.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. And then can you scroll the map up to Dublin, please, so we can share the pain? This area west of Village Parkway, that area.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So -- okay.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I mean, socioeconomically, there -- there's a disparity but -- between this area and Hayward.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. We're at 12,000 people.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. What do we got there? All right. Yeah.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Could we just do a portion here and try to get like a thousand or so back into East Bay rather than Pleasanton and Dublin shouldn't be the
sacrificial to make -- if we added two more thousand up to -- to make that a five instead of a three, then this wouldn't have quite as many people there.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So where would you -- where you thinking?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I would go over to the base of those large --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Before we go on -- before we go on, can we finish this first? What other -- so can we do the first portion of this and then leave the Dublin portion for later, or what are your thoughts, Commissioner Fornaciari? Which piece of this do you want to commit to?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Well, I mean, I don't know what Commissioner Andersen is proposing.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Andersen, are you proposing a large number of people, a small number of people? Because we need --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm just proposing a bit of a small number all around to come up -- basically we looking for these two areas plus five and --

CHAIR TOLEDO: So if it's looking to do refinements around the whole area, then that we could give direction to -- general direction to Tamina to do that off-line. If we're looking at a large portion, then I would suggest
we do it now because we still have many pieces of the Bay Area to do. So --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So if we're looking at a large portion that'll --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- get us -- that'll fix our deviations, then I'd say let's do it now.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: They could be. I don't know. All those large unincorporated areas, if they have -- if the population is 2,000 people, that's going to need 2,000 people that don't have to come out of Pleasanton and Dublin.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So I'd like to hear from Commissioner Yee and see what he thinks as well.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. The North Oakland Berkeley district is overpopulated. We could make that cut a little deeper, push population through Oakland, out San Leandro back together, and that might be the two or three percent we need.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Is that -- is there consensus for that? So to not do these changes and move into Oakland?

COMMISSIONER YEE: No. I would include these changes also because --
CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. You want--
COMMISSIONER YEE: -- we still need--
CHAIR TOLEDO: -- to include these changes.
COMMISSIONER YEE: -- we still need more, yeah.
CHAIR TOLEDO: So the thought process here is to do the changes in Pleasanton, potentially also Dublin, and then to go on to Oakland for further cuts. Okay. It looks like we have consensus to do this. If there is an opposition, please let me know. Commissioner Sadhwani.
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: No. I mean, I thought that the whole point was that we try to minimize the cuts in cities. We're already cutting into Pleasanton and Dublin, so just make it one cut, right? We've certainly heard of strong COIs to keep Oakland whole with Emeryville. So we're going to make a cut anyway, so let's make the cut.
CHAIR TOLEDO: So your suggestion is one large cut that gets us into deviation and move on.
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: That's correct. And I feel like -- I'll be honest, I feel like this is something we can ask -- if we're all in agreement -- ask Tamina to do off-line. It's Friday and we haven't gotten to San Diego yet.
CHAIR TOLEDO: That's correct. So let's do one big cut here and let's move on. Let's see. Let's add this
area here. Does this get us into acceptable deviations? And then we can always ask for refinements around the other areas in Oakland if that's the desire of the Commission.

COMMISSIONER YEE: I'd be happy to work with Tamina on further cutting.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Appreciate Commissioner Yee for volunteering. And certainly if other Commissioners have feedback, please send it to the staff and then they can send it to the line drawers. Okay. This gets us to acceptable deviations right here. It's painful, but it's a cut that gets us into appropriate deviations. Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: This is good. When I work with Tamina later and if we can push that population near San Leandro, we may be able to get all -- most or all of this that way and be able to undo at least some of this, if not all of it.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And then we'll -- if we're able to do that, we will bring it back tomorrow for the Commission for review. Let's make this cut and then let's move down, recognizing that there will be some refinement after -- for now, this is the change that got us into appropriate deviations. All right. Let's keep going south. We're going to be in the South Bay. Why can't I
see anybody else there?

MS. RAMOS ALON: I'm sorry. Where would you like to go, Chair?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Let's go to Alum Rock. Alum Rock region. Can you walk us through Alum Rock really briefly, just the overview?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Sure. Alum Rock has neighborhoods of San Jose down through southern San Jose, the eastern areas, and those southern portions. Also, the unincorporated areas of Santa Clara County, and it stretches from the very top of Santa Clara County to the very bottom of Santa Clara County and abuts the VRA consideration district (audio interference).

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. And looking at the room, I don't see any hands up. Oh. Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I just wanted to ask Tamina about that area just above Hollister in very southern Santa Clara County. Is there a reason that that doesn't follow the county line?

MS. RAMOS ALON: That was part of Benito, so it was taken for -- because there's a Hispanic LCVAP there a few blocks in there which assist with that.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Any additional feedback in this area? If not, we will move on to the central coast.
We saw this already. We're just -- any feedback on this area?

Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I was thinking about this a little more.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Um-hum.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And if you can zoom in to the north end of Benito. And this probably just kind of off-line work maybe you could look at. I'm wondering if Watsonville is a critical part of this district is what it's called. But I'm wondering about these other little -- like this grey thing above Watsonville. What's that little gray blob there? And then I'm wondering about like Elkhorn, how -- okay. Aptos Hills. That's probably not a critical part of this district. And as we scroll down a little bit, maybe Elkhorn -- I don't know about Prunedale but -- and my general kind of thought would be I'm wondering if maybe some of these northern cities or areas might be able to come out and boost up the MONT COAST deviation. And then if you can go all the way to the bottom. We looked at this yesterday, and there's not a whole lot of -- oop. Not that far. I'm sorry. The bottom of the county. My fault. There's not a whole lot of population down here, but then -- I mean, if you could look at what we were trying to do the other
day is continue down the valley to the -- include all
those little cities along the river there in the
Benito -- and I would -- I think if you move some of
those northern cities into the MONT COAST and then you
add the southern part back, the population deviations
will be okay and we'll get those communities and cities
that are in the Salinas Valley in the Benito district
where they should be.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Can you remind us? Is this a VRA
district? It is a --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- VRA district. So let's --

MS. RAMOS ALON: This is. And we tried those
before, both of those options, and they didn't
(indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. Can we --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: You already looked at --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- also look at --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- the Latino CVAP here and take a
look at Watsonville area.

MS. RAMOS ALON: One moment, please.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Appreciate it. Thank you. Chair,
did you want to look at the heat map on the block level
or did you want to see the LCVAP for the different
districts?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Can we see the LCVAP for this district and also the heat map?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Specifically around the Watsonville area. Okay. We're at fifty-six percent. And Watsonville area. High CVAP area.

So perhaps -- any feedback on this, Commissioner Fornaciari? Oh sorry. Commissioner Sinay. I'm looking at the wrong screen. Commissioner Sinay and Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I was just going to say could she zoom out a little bit. It's hard to see. What is it we're trying to do right now? I'm sorry.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Fornaciari was suggesting potential changes to the Watsonville area and then incorporating some of the smaller -- smaller agricultural cities, the low Kings cities, if I remember correctly.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I was exploring maybe taking out Rio Del Mar or -- and/or Corralitos, but it sounds like they've already looked at that and maybe --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. I'm sympathetic.
Wondering if counsel has a comment about the narrow neck there and whether that's a compactness problem.

MR. LARSON: No. I'm not concerned with it as it is now.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Sounds like general consensus. Oh. Let's go to Commissioner Akutagawa and then see --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: No. I thought --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- if this VRA area with difficulties in shifting here.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I thought --

CHAIR TOLEDO: So Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- that the area above -- north of Watsonville -- I don't know what the town -- yes, that area right in there. I thought according to the CVAP, it didn't have a lot of population, certainly not a lot of Latino population. And so I would think that that would increase the CVAP by adding that to the MONT COAST district, which would make the neck wider.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So we'll ask -- we can certainly ask the line drawer to work with VRA council and others --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Can we just see that right now and see what it -- what that would look like and all the deviations, too? The CVAP deviations, please.

MS. RAMOS ALON: So the CVAP goes down to 56.37
percent if you move this city out.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. So let's have the line drawers take a look at this and see if there --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- are any options, but we have explored these opportunities in the past with a VRA council and I have not -- have not found any. But we'll keep looking and we could do it off-line. So let's keep going down. We can have the line drawers do it, actually. All right. Let's see. We have next district, Tamina. Santa Barbara. Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Just a quick question. I guess, are we just going to -- are we going to revisit this, some of the northern parts of the state tomorrow? I'm just trying to understand --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh. In --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- this?

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- process-wise? So from --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- process-wise, we are -- we have reviewed the areas. We're generally comfortable with these areas. If there's any refinements with the areas, we will -- we're certainly able to work with the line drawers individually and -- of course, individually -- through the line drawers. And if you have any
suggestions on any refinements, but these would be minor refinements, and any larger change would need to -- would be -- would probably -- we would be looking at those tomorrow, potentially one change in Sacramento at this point. But for the most part, we've been comfortable with most of these districts, not -- I'm not going to say that they were -- that they're perfect by any means, but we've been comfortable in moving forward as a group and there's been consensus to move forward and compromise.

So Commissioner Andersen, Commissioner --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. At the end of the day here, could we get a picture of -- because I don't -- I can't look at what's current. I'd like to be able to look at what's current so I could make suggestions.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So that's why I'm actually interested in going down to Southern California because if we can get down to Southern California, we would be able to post a picture of all of California with all of the latest data. And so that's why I'm trying to move down to Southern California so that can be possible tonight.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So that's why we're moving down this way. But for general purposes, what we're looking at right now is general consensus on each one of these districts, that they're -- that they have the right COIs,
that they're the right district, that the deviations are correct. And if there are some refinements, we can certainly explore those -- explore those with the line drawers. But they would be minor, minor refinements like the ones we're exploring in Oakland and in Sacramento. All right. So Santa Barbara. Any concern around this district? We've looked at it many times in visualization and through this process. So let's take a look at Santa Barbara. Can you go over it to me, Tamina, just general?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Sure. Santa Barbara, the SBARBARA district, keeps the Santa Barbara County intact, including its associated islands, and comes up into San Luis Obispo County taking Oceano south through Los Veros, Nipomo, Blacklake, Woodlands, Callendar along the coast.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you so much. And I don't see any hands raised on this area, so we're going to keep going south. This is an area where we have worked very, very hard on these COIs and I think it shows. Commissioner -- or Tamina, can you please walk us through VENTURA?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Sure. So the VENTURA district takes the north -- takes Ventura County from the north, western, and southern borders, keeps together the Port Hueneme through Piru COI, keeps this. Does not include the Simi Valley, Moorpark, Thousand Oaks area by putting
the split Camarillo. It does include Somis.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you so much. And this also
great work, Tamina. You've kept all of the COIs that
were -- that came in through testimony, that came in
through public comment over the summer and into this
feedback. It looks -- it's looking good to me. I want
to see about consensus in the group. I'm getting some
head nods. Commissioner Sinay, are you in concurrence or
comments --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- or feedback --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Just a quick --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- suggestions?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- question. Just a quick
question. Why did we have to split Camarillo?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh, that's a good question.

MS. RAMOS ALON: So if you'll recall, we actually
had a split in here before that came in and split Santa
Barbara County and we had that claw. And so there was a
trade to take Camarillo instead. This also has to do
with this MALIEVENT district here which leads into Los
Angeles. So that was the -- that was the compromise
coming from Los Angeles.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. We had also tried,
I think, Moorpark instead of splitting Camarillo and then
wanted to keep Moorpark and Simi together, so we decided
to look at splitting Camarillo.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And I just want to thank Tamina for
the great work here. It's been pretty impressive to
incorporate all of this COI and kind of get it to where
it is right now. Can we take a closer look at Camarillo
just to make sure that we -- the split is appropriate
there? Thank you. Very helpful. Any thoughts from the
Commission?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: You're not splitting the
outlets, are you?

MS. RAMOS ALON: No, the outlets are intact. We are
coming across the 101 freeway and heading up the 34.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So hearing no concern. This
is a great work, Tamina. Let's keep going south.

And by going south, we're going to be going -- we're
going to be reviewing some of the changes in Los Angeles
County. So I'm going to ask a quick change of line
drawers so that we can see Los Angeles County. Then
we'll be -- it's going to be quick -- so we can see the
changes that have been done. They've been pretty
conservative, I think, and then -- since yesterday. And
then we will be going to southern -- to San Diego.
Actually, Orange County, then San Diego.
30,000 right now in terms of comments through our public process, and then of course, in person and through the summer. And they've been able to reconcile those at our direction. And this is -- it's tough because the foundation of our maps is the COIs, and now, we're having to make difficult decisions based on all the other criteria.

So at this time, I'm going to ask for the public line to be opened. We're going to open -- we're not going to take public comment until we're done line drawing in Southern California, but at this time, we're going to open the line and we're going to give thirty minutes for individuals to join the line. We'll be accepting the line.

I anticipate we will be taking public comment around 8 o'clock, but we will open the queue now. You have thirty minutes. If we can do it sooner than that, I would -- it depends on how quickly we can get through Southern California. But if we can get through sooner with line drawing in Southern California, we will do it sooner. As soon as -- it depends on how quickly we can get through those and how comfortable we are with the maps as they are being presented.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: All right, Chair. Would you like me to read the instructions?
CHAIR TOLEDO: I do. I would.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much, Chair.

In order to maximize transparency and public participation in our process, the Commissioners will be taking public comment by phone.

To call in, dial the telephone number provided on the live stream feed. It is (877) 853-5247. When prompted to enter the meeting ID number provided on the live stream feed, it is 88465429407 for this meeting.

When prompted to enter a participant ID, simply press the pound key.

Once you have dialed in, you will be placed in a queue. To indicate you wish to comment, please press star-nine. This will raise your hand for the moderator. When it is your turn to speak, you will hear a message that says: "The host would like to talk" and to press star-six to speak. If you would like to give your name, please state and spell it for the record. You are not required to provide your name to give public comments.

Please make sure to mute your computer or live stream audio to prevent any feedback or distortion during your call. Once you are waiting in the queue, be alert for when it is your turn to speak. And again, please turn down the live stream volume.

Chair, we'll hand it back to you at this time.
CHAIR TOLEDO: I see Jaime has joined us. Jaime has been so busy and has been working so hard to get us Los Angeles County and to try to minimize the impact of our changes yesterday. So let's take a look at this and let's review it and let's go over it for all of the Commissioners and for the public.

Jaime, can you walk us through some of the changes that have been made?

MS. CLARK: Yeah, absolutely.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And this is Los Angeles County, for the public.

MS. CLARK: Yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Jaime.

MS. CLARK: Thank you, Commissioners, for the opportunity to present to you again today. I have been very busy, and just wanted to show you briefly what I've been working on, get some feedback about whether this is going in the direction that you would like to move towards, so that if not, I can pivot now.

And with that, I'm just going to review some of the changes that I've been working on. There's a lot throughout the county. And if I may, I'd like to start here in the -- where is this -- Los Angeles County-Orange County area. So based on counsel direction, or guidance rather, the direction was to include La Habra and La
Mirada in a VRA district.

Additionally, yesterday, Commission was unhappy with moving La Habra into the AD 60 Corridor District. So I took that out and put La Habra into the AD 5 Corridor District. For contiguity, East Whittier and South Whittier had to also be included in AD 5 Corridor. As a population trade, Pico Rivera is now in. This is a negative .18 percent deviation with 55.02 percent Latino CVAP.

Moving on to AD 5 Corridor, again, La Habra, La Mirada, East Whittier and South Whittier are included. And for population, had to move out Bell Gardens. This is negative .55 percent deviation and a 63.15 percent Latino CVAP.

Moving on to the AD Gateway, again, this now includes Bell Gardens for population purposes. Then had to split Lakewood. So now Lakewood and Hawaiian Gardens are with the NOC District. I had received guidance to look into splitting Long Beach instead. With this general structure of your VRA Districts, moving Long Beach into AD Gateway as opposed to splitting Lakewood would increase the Latino CVAP. This is the CVAP that the Commission had worked to generally bring down, and so that's why Lakewood is split as opposed to Long Beach being split in this iteration. Just really high-level
overview in this iteration of NOC, again, Hawaiian Gardens and part of Lakewood is in NOC. The split in
Fullerton is different as a result of just the population trade there and to get allowable deviation throughout all
of these districts.

I'm going to move on to what I've been working on more in the City of Los Angeles. I have been trying to
incorporate the direction that Commissioner Turner provided yesterday with other direction that I have been
provided by the Commission throughout this process.

So I'm just going to start with the AD South Bay District. Lawndale was moved out of this district and
Gardena is still split for population purposes. West Carson and some of the Harbor Gateway neighborhoods are
in the AD South Bay, as well as this part of Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council to the very west. The reason
that had to go in there is because I was directed to maintain the boundary between Long Beach and San Pedro to
keep the ports separate.

Moving north, I moved Watts Neighborhood Council into this Compton and Carson-based AD South LA District.
105 Corridor; I moved LAX into a district with Inglewood, Lennox-Hawthorne, Lawndale, the northern part of Gardena,
West Athens, Westmont.

I'm just going to continue north. On this 110 LA
District, this includes the part of downtown that is south of Little Tokyo, including South Central Neighborhood Council, includes USC, Florence-Graham, Central-Alameda neighborhoods.

Looking at N10, this includes Culver City in a district with Crenshaw, including West Adams, Mid-City, Pico, Koreatown, and Historic Filipinotown. Because of moving Culver City from this West Side District into N10, then Beverly Hills is moved back into the AD West Side District. Moving Beverly Hills from this district last time into the Glenn LA was something that the Commission did not like, and so that's -- or at least some Commissioners expressed hesitation with. So Beverly Hills is back with AD West Side. For Glenn LA, the LGBTQ COI here is maintained from West Hollywood to Silver Lake. Greater Wilshire is intact. Mid-City is in this visualization, Atwater Village, and then Glendale is split for population just north of 134, and Silver Lake, of course, included in this.

Boyle Heights is back in the NELA District. It's including East Los Angeles, Boyle Heights, El Sereno, Eagle Rock, Glassell Park, Echo Park, Chinatown, Little Tokyo.

And then also a change in San Fernando Valley that the Commission was interesting in seeing. This impacts
also Santa Clarita Valley, so I'm just going to start up there. This change includes Santa Clarita Valley with Chatsworth, Bell Canyon, Hidden Hills -- and zoom in -- and the Woodland Hills areas. This puts the rest of Glendale, the Sunland-Tujunga Foothills Trails, all of Burbank, Studio City, Sherman Oaks and POSO, Encino, and Tarzana all in one district.

In the Central San Fernando Valley District, it hasn't changed a ton. It does include Mission Hills, Arleta, Northridge Neighborhoods like Balboa, Reseda. And for East San Fernando Valley, Granada Hills, Sylmar, San Fernando, most of Pacoima Neighborhood Council. Foothill Trails District Neighborhood Council is split in this, and it includes Sun Valley Neighborhood Council, NoHo areas, most of Van Nuys, and that is -- those are the changes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Wow.

MS. CLARK: Just again, wanted to thank you for the opportunity to present this to you and would love to hear if this is the direction that you generally would like me to go in or if I should pivot now.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Jaime. Our appreciation to you for the hard work. I love it. I'm just giving you my thoughts. I love it. This is our direction. This is what we've been talking about.
I want to get some comments from the Commission, starting with Commissioner Turner, then Commissioner Vazquez, then Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yes, I just wanted to express my appreciation to Jaime. I do love it. I thank you for all of the work that you did.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you.

Commissioner Vazquez.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yes, thank you so much. This is great, great work. One, since we're --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Um-hum.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: I don't have any feedback on major structural changes. I would just like to note, if possible, for the Culver City change, rather than using the city boundaries, I really would strongly recommend that we use the 405 as a boundary for that district. It makes more sense in terms of communities of interest. The 405 is a really strong demarcation point. But otherwise, at least at this first glance, this is looking really good. Thanks so much, Jaime.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Jaime. And we'll take a look at that refinement in Culver City in a second. But let's hear from Commissioner Sadhwani and then Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, first of all, amazing.
Thank you. In broad strokes, I think it achieves many of the goals that we outlined. Definitely excited to see that COI on the southeastern side of LA County kept together.

A few things and questions; these are just general refinements that I want to mention, and we can think about whether or not we want to do any of them. I just want to note the Florence-Graham area. There's been a lot of testimony -- actually, a bunch of people called in last night, but it wasn't the first time they'd called in. So I wanted to uplift that. There was a strong desire to be with the Gateway cities of Huntington Park and Walnut Park. I know we're cut from Huntington Park. Can we zoom in to the neighborhood levels just to see where Huntington Park -- it's inside of there, right?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Um-hum. I think you're frozen, Commission Sadhwani. Yea, you're frozen. Now, you're moving. How about we wait for your internet to stabilize? In the meantime, we'll go to Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. And yes, thank you, Jaime, for some wonderful work. I just have a couple of queries. First of all, has Koreatown been adjusted to follow the COI boundaries or are we still using the neighborhood council boundaries?
MS. CLARK: For this, the neighborhood council boundaries.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Okay. We've had significant input asking us to respect the COI boundaries rather than the neighborhood council boundaries, so I would like to suggest that that be explored. Second of all, it looks like there's a slight incursion into Echo Park just from Silver Lake, the southeastern corner of Silver Lake pushes over into Echo Park, and I was just wondering if there was a specific reason for that.

MS. CLARK: Yeah, so -- well, I guess, two questions. So to clarify, you prefer following the neighborhood boundaries for Koreatown and splitting Greater Wilshire, as opposed to using the neighborhood council boundaries for Koreatown. Is that true?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Now, that I understand that involves breaking the Greater Wilshire Neighborhood Council, I'm not certain. So now, I have a better understanding. And that's why these were queries rather than instructions.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah, this is awkward.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Okay.

MS. CLARK: Yeah. And to answer your second question, my belief, in working with the neighborhood council boundaries for quite some time now, is that maybe
at one point they were drawn using census blocks. The
census blocks currently do not necessarily follow the
neighborhood council boundaries anymore. So the census
blocks don't exactly follow this. This is following a
major road. So I used that for this, and if the
Commission would like me to, I can explore changing that
boundary and moving it west.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Okay. No, I just wanted to
understand. So now, I understand. And finally, was
combining -- well, first of all, cleaning up North
Hollywood boundaries so that it's the 171/134 Lankership
Boulevard boundaries; and second of all, have we been
able to combine Toluca Lake with North Hollywood? Thank
you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. And just a reminder for
the public, at 6 o'clock, the lines will close and we
will take public comment once we are done line drawing.

In the meantime, I'm going to ask Kristian to --
we're going to do a one minute per Commissioner because
it's getting closer to 6 o'clock. So one minute per
Commission, starting with Commission Sadhwani, then
Sinay, then Fornaciari. And Kristian's going to be
helping just keep track of time, because I am bad with my
timer.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: You got it, Chair.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you.

MS. CLARK: North Hollywood Neighborhood Council is split in this. Part of that is for population. I also hadn't received specific direction from the Commission to include Toluca Lake with North Hollywood. That's something I can definitely explore. Thank you for that direction.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Is there consensus on that -- to explore that? I just want to make sure, before we move on, that there's consensus. I see heads nodding, so yes. Okay. Actually, we have a comment from Commissioner -- I just want to make sure that we have consensus before we move on and give direction. Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I don't have any issue with it. I just noticed that both of those districts are ones almost at four percent, so I'm not sure what that's going to do -- if it will take you over the deviation. Thanks.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So let's make sure, as we make these changes, to keep the deviations appropriate. All right. So Commissioner Sadhwani, and Fornaciari, then Fernandez. Okay, Fernandez is out.

So Commissioner Sadhwani, I think you had a comment before you froze.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, sorry about that. I can't believe I froze. So the Florence-Graham piece, I
think it's complicated, right, because that district is already at seventy-one percent in the Latino CVAP. So I wouldn't want to overpack it anymore, but definitely wanted to lift that. If we can think of any possible solutions there, I would definitely be open to taking a closer look.

I wanted to note: by putting Boyle Heights and East LA together, I think we're really bringing together a -- I think we could unpack that district, generally speaking, in general terms.

And finally, I'm looking forward to hearing some feedback from the San Fernando folks. Glendale to Tarzana is a pretty far distance, actually. So I'd be curious to hear what they have to say. Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. And of course, you can always get back in the queue.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Can you move north a little bit, Jaime, please? And thank you so much for all of your hard work. Yeah, up to the forest up there. So we've heard some desire for this -- you were good. Yeah, over here above -- right where your hand was -- this to be with the south part. Is that -- I think -- what we've heard? I'm pretty sure that's what we heard -- to be with Sunland-Tujunga. Is that something we can explore?
Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. Commissioner Vazquez, and then Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: I guess my comment is more of a question to Commissioner Sadhwani. I'm just trying to figure out where -- what could happen. What are now are options for NELA, in terms of especially the Boyle Heights and East LA? Pairing, if we were going to adjust this further, do you have ideas about where Boyle Heights or East LA would go? There didn't seem to be a strong appetite for linking Boyle Heights with South LA, so yeah, that's my question.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I can -- oh, sorry, I won't answer right now.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Let's respond, and then we'll go to Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: All right. I wasn't in the queue.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Just to make sure we capture that thought.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, just really quickly. I don't have a great answer right at this point in time. I'd like to take a closer look at it. I think the testimony had been Boyle Heights out to Pico Union and Rampart. Those are areas out along MacArthur Park, et
cetera, that are very much connected to historic communities and a lot of undocumented communities as well. So that would be one thought, but that's going to again send ripples through this map. So I want to acknowledge that, and we can think about if there are any opportunities to unpack this area.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you.

Let's go to Commissioner Sinay. Then after that, maybe Jaime has some suggestions on this area, on possible potentials. But let's let Jaime think about it while Commissioner Sinay gives her feedback. And then we'll go to Fernandez and then Jaime. So Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: This is just a question for all of us, and I don't really -- Angeles Forest. You'll remind me of this. We've had two different ways people have asked. One is to have one good steward of the forest and the other is every city -- go up five kilometers so every city gets to be a steward of the forest. And I didn't know which way we wanted to go.

CHAIR TOLEDO: That's a good question. Commissioner Fernandez, and then if folks have opinions on that.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Jaime, could you highlight the Boyle Heights and Pico Union area? I just want to see exactly what that is. Thank you.
MS. CLARK: So this is Boyle Heights and this is Pico Union. And up here is Rampart area that Commissioner Sadhwani was just talking about.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. I appreciate that.

CHAIR TOLEDO: That's a good segue. Jaime, do you have any thoughts about what Commissioner Vazquez and Commissioner Sadhwani have been suggesting in this area? Any thoughts on how we might be able to accomplish --

MS. CLARK: I think that really depends on the Commission's overall goals and priorities for the map. For example, moving Boyle Heights out of this district may not -- I would say would not be necessarily aligned with, for example, keeping the LGBTQ COI from West Hollywood to Silver Lake together.

And also, I guess depending on the priority of direction I've previously received around Los Angeles, for example, keeping West Side neighborhoods separate from areas like Pico and Mid-City, and yeah. It's a really big puzzle, and I think essentially trying to move Boyle Heights out could, again depending on how firm the Commission feels about previous direction that you've given, for example, potentially splitting some of the Black COI, splitting up the LGBTQ COI.

If the Commission is comfortable with Chinatown
being separate from Little Tokyo, if the Commission is
comfortable with San Pedro being with parts of Long
Beach, if East Los Angeles is moved out of the district,
if the Commission is -- which is 120,000 people. If the
Commission is comfortable with a "no district goes
untouched" approach to redrawing Los Angeles County. So
it depends on the goals and priorities of the Commission.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Jaime. And thank you for
that reminder that we have so many COIs in this area, and
any COI that we change impacts another COI. Commissioner
Vazquez and Sinay.

And before we go to Vazquez, I just wanted to remind
the public that our lines are closing at 6 o'clock.
Please be in the queue by 6 o'clock to be able to give
public comment today. Commissioner Vazquez.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Maybe I am a fool to think
that it could be this easy, but -- saying that in
advance -- I'm curious, especially given Commissioner
Sadhwani's possible suggestion which got my gears going,
I'm sure you've tried this. So would it work to put
Boyle Heights in with the N10 District? And for
population -- to keep the population balanced, moving
Culver City back into either probably the West Side,
maybe not the 105 Corridor but maybe the West Side
District.
MS. CLARK: So what that would look like would be if Culver City was moved into the West Side District, Beverly Hills would be back with the Glenn North LA District, and then it would be Boyle Heights, Downtown, and -- I think a way that we -- okay, so it actually involves a lot. So it would 105 Corridor would need to be back similar to how it was before, stretching across this way.

And then N10, I think I tried this and it was basically the Ladera Heights, View Park, with Boyle Heights and Downtown and South-Central Neighborhood Council, Central Alameda, and then it was Pico, Koreatown. Actually, maybe that was Downtown Boyle Heights. So it was -- the overall structure of this was just more across, if that makes sense, or east to west. And now, it looks how it does now.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you --

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- so much for that. Good reminder about how every COI is -- every minor change is impacted every other aspect, which is even more -- the work that you've done, Jaime, is so impressive that you're able to incorporate all of the direction that we gave you yesterday.

Just a reminder to the public that these are -- that
what we're doing here today is reviewing the results of our direction from yesterday. The map will be posted by tomorrow morning. The whole map of the state will be posted by tomorrow morning at where we are right now, and then we'll continue to -- of course, continue to working on it, but this is the direction that we gave the line drawers yesterday to implement, and they've gotten it back to us already. It means that they worked all night on it, and we really appreciate their work.

Next in the queue. I don't see anyone next in the queue. I do remember that Commissioner Vazquez has suggested one potential change in Culver City, and that was making a minor change on the left side of the city. Can you go to Culver City and tell us what the implications of that might be?

MS. CLARK: I that would be possible, and I will explore all of the changes that Commissioners expressed interest in this evening. We'll work on that and we'll work to send all of the files to staff as soon as possible to be posted by tomorrow morning.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I just want to make sure we have consensus on it, because I see some conflicting testimony and some conflicting direction. So I just want to make sure we have direction that doesn't conflict. So Commissioner Turner, and then we will go through and
outline the direction that we all agree to.

Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: I'm definitely supportive of
the line that Commissioner Vazquez mentioned about Culver
City. But Jaime, when you named going back and doing
other things, that's where you lost me. No, I'm not sure
about that part.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. What Commissioner Turner is
saying is she loves the maps. She loves the maps as they
are now. And didn't like the potential ripple effects of
changes.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Culver City, she said it's
doable and easy. That's a straight line.

CHAIR TOLEDO: But Culver City is potentially easy
and may not have as many implications. You'll be able to
explore that. I see consensus on that. Let's continue
on and see where else we might be able to get consensus
in terms of direction for the line drawers. Any
additional direction that we want to give to the line
drawers? This is for Los Angeles County. Let's give
Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I'm not sure if this is
impacting the Assembly, but I just want to make sure we
cleaned up the POSO boundary. It's the north border
along Oxnard to Hazeltine down the 405 West along
Sepulveda. I hope I pronounced that correctly.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I believed Commissioner Sinay had also brought up the question. It was a question for discussion about the parks and whether we wanted to include portions of the park in each one of the districts. I thought that was for the federal maps. I didn't think it was for the Assembly, but I just want to make sure that we talk about it because it was brought up. And I believe this is a -- correct me if I'm wrong, I believe this is federal land. I'm not 100 percent sure, but I believe that would be --

MS. CLARK: This is Angeles National Forest.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So yeah, federal jurisdiction. All right. Commissioner Andersen.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, I like the idea because they said this is essentially the only way in, and I believe there's virtually no population. So I think that it's a very good idea in terms of adding that because they do -- the whole fire zone right through there affects anybody right next to it.

CHAIR TOLEDO: You're right. There's fire management that may have some state issues. I don't know.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I actually don't know how much of
that is federal and how much of it is state.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, but Cal Fire ends up having to do quite a bit of the federal land anyway.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So that is an item for discussion, if Commissioners are interested in having Jaime explore including a portion of the national forest in each one of these districts. It doesn't appear that there's much population.

Jaime, can you put up the map that shows how many people in each district? Do you know how -- there's a lot of zeroes. I want to see here -- let's just make sure that there's a lot of zeroes here and we're not shifting populations.

MS. CLARK: Doing that, I can say from experience that --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh yes, absolutely. Give us your guidance.

MS. CLARK: -- there's not very much population in here. Right in this area, there is some population. I can look at moving this either in the populated areas, which it's not a ton but it's more densely populated here, can work on adding that in with the East San Fernando Valley Base District and then adding more of the forest itself and the unpopulated areas into South San Fernando Valley Base District if that is agreeable with
the Commission.

CHAIR TOLEDO: How much population are we talking about? And Commissioner Sadhwani.

MS. CLARK: I'll put it up.

CHAIR TOLEDO: This is just to try to get general consensus if we're all in agreement on this. So Commissioner Sadhwani, do you have comments?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I live literally right off of --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh, cool.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- Angeles National Highway there. The squiggly line coming down into La Cañada, I'm not too far from that, a couple blocks. So I don't see a problem with the splits that exist. We definitely do get fires in Angeles National Forest. To me, I don't exactly know a whole lot about fire management but my understanding is that it's a partnership between federal funds and state funds and Cal Fire and federal -- it's all hands on deck when we do have fires. So having additional Assembly members who care about that region makes sense to me. I don't feel like we need to add additional to that though.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. As I look at this, we're really only talking about 9, 17, 27, at most 100 there. So there's not much population in this area. The impact
would be negligible, I believe. Jaime, tell me if I'm wrong, at least in this portion that I'm looking at right now.

MS. CLARK: Yeah, I think it would be possible to add either to the South San Fernando Valley District or to just include up to the forest. I think either/or.

And if the Commission is comfortable with it, if there are larger implications with all the people added together, then I can just balance the districts if needed.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I'm going to look to the Commission and see if the Commissioners are comfortable with that. Yes? Okay. I don't see anyone in opposition. Anyone opposed? Commissioner Sinay and Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Just for my clarity's sake, would you go up five kilometers, ten kilometers, or you're going see all that and that's what -- let it go?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Do you have any recommendation, Commissioner Sinay? Okay.

MS. CLARK: I understood the direction to be add this part of -- or the direction that's up for discussion to be add this part of Angeles National Forest in with Sunland-Tujunga. So I would try to do that to the extent possible based on population requirements.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Kennedy.
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: My further recommendation would be to add the western part to the East San Fernando Valley District.

MS. CLARK: Do you mean just this part or all the way up to Santa Clarita?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I don't know where the census blocks fall. I would be fine with that east/west line continuing all the way out to the border of Santa Clarita, and then the southwest of those dividing lines, yeah, that segment going with East San Fernando Valley.

MS. CLARK: I understand, thank you.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So some of this would go to the East San Fernando Valley and some of it would go into the other district to the south. Okay. General consensus here? Okay.

So we'll ask you to do that as you have time and are able to do that with balanced deviations and not impact the communities too much.

Any further direction for the line drawers at this time? Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Sorry got get into this a little late, but services to the Angeles National Forest come from the Santa Clarita Sheriff Station area. So do we want to align them with where they get some of their
fire services from? As it is in tax schemes, it makes sense to me according to where they get their emergency services from in regards to fire management.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Taylor. Any suggestions on where the lines should be drawn? Keeping it in Santa Clarita?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I would keep it as is.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. You would keep it as is. All right. Commissioner Kennedy. No comment. So it doesn't sound like we have consensus on adjusting population.

Any other comments on this? It really is national forest, so maybe it is a federal issue rather than a state issue. Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: So I guess my thinking is that part to the northwest of the new cross there in the middle of the forest would be with Santa Clarita, a part with East San Fernando Valley, and part with South San Fernando Valley. So everybody has a part of it. Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Given that there's not a lot of population, I'm going to suggest we move on in a second. Let's just get clarification as to whether we're going to do this. So I want to hear from Andersen and Taylor, and then we're going to move on.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I really like that idea
because we're talking about representation. I'm sure that some of the firefighting has to come up from Tujunga. But we need three Assembly people on it to make sure it's going to get the proper funds and also be able to work with the Congressmen in this area. I like what Commissioner Kennedy said.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I would just say that this area does have representation. It's certainly represented. We're talking about more representation.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Commissioner Taylor. I don't want the public to think this area doesn't have representation. It certainly does. Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Right. Again, one of the things -- I just look at it from a sense of where they get their resources so they can have a vested interested in deciding how their resources are used. So actually, where Commissioner Sadhwani brought up that little line, La Cañada at Montrose, those services are split between Santa Clarita and it goes along the lines that you have now to the other side.

Sunland Tujunga technically does not participate in the allocation of the resources. The resources come from Santa Clarita along down to the La Cañada to the 210 Corridor. That's where the resources come from when
we're talking about fire management. So the Sunland
Tujunga doesn't necessarily participate in the same
manner in that fire management. Thank you.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Taylor. It
doesn't seem like we have consensus here. Again, since
the population of the districts do have representation,
let's move on to other areas of the map. Other direction
we want to give to the line drawers for Los Angeles
County? We are looking for consensus on direction just
so that the line drawers aren't spending time on
conflicting direction. Commissioner Sadhwani.
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I'm generally comfortable
with the direction we're moving in here. I would love to
get public comment on the maps. For me, I feel like --
CHAIR TOLEDO: Me too.
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- it would be helpful if we
can have an opportunity in our schedule to revisit this
after we've had a chance to post it, people have had a
chance to digest, I think that would be helpful. But in
generally, I'm generally pretty pleased with the
direction we're moving in, maybe some minor refinements.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So certainly, I think we
certainly want the public to weigh in on this. And there
are certainly opportunities to weigh in either through
public comment tomorrow and also if they're in the queue
today as well as through only public submission. And we'll take as much public comment as we receive. All right. Any other direction for this area? Commissioner Vazquez.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Not direction, but just because -- thank you for zooming out. Do we have the same -- where is the data box for the east part of -- sorry, the west part of the Antelope Valley? Oh, because it's up in Kern. Got it. Perfect. Okay, thank you.

MS. CLARK: Would you like to move on back to Kennedy's area?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Let's see. I just want to make sure that there's no other direction for Los Angeles County before we head up to Kennedy's area. Any other comments here on Los Angeles County? We will be going up to Sacramento, and we're going to be looking at the Vineyard area. If there's no other comments here, we'll going back to Northern California. We're going to give Jaime huge thanks, props, and appreciation for all your great work.

To the public, the maps you saw today are the maps that we're exploring for -- that we've been working on and visualizing and that we have for you, and they will be posted as soon as we possibly can, either tonight or tomorrow. We're working on that as we speak. And we
will be going up to Sacramento area for review of that area. And I think our staff needs a five-minute break, so we're going to take a five-minute break to switch line drawers and then we'll be back in five minutes. And that'll give us all time to --

MR. MANOFF: Chair, our next scheduled break is at 6:25. Do you want to just take it early? Take fifteen right now?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Let's just take fifteen minutes now, because we have a scheduled break in a couple minutes. So let's just take it now. We'll come back. Grab your dinner because this is going to be a working session. Thank you.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 6:17 p.m. to 6:33 p.m.)

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. Welcome back to the California Citizens Redistricting Commission. We've been visualizing all day and continue to visualize. We have just looked at the changes for Los Angeles County and are very pleased with them. We are now looking at Sacramento County. We worked on this yesterday. We're exploring some possible tweaks in this area.

Commissioner Fernandez, I'm going to ask you to -- actually, I'm going to ask Kennedy to go through some of these changes. This is a snapshot to the -- of course,
this is just the visualization we're exploring here. And we'll see where this visualization goes. You can see where the impacts are in the map. So Kennedy, can you walk us through some of the potential changes here?

MS. WILSON: Yes, one moment. Let me pull those up. I wasn't sure what we were starting with, so I moved, but let me put that visualization back up. Thank you, Commission.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. Thank you for joining us again today, and for working on this, this morning and this afternoon. So can you go through some of these changes?

MS. WILSON: Yes. Thank you also, Commissioner Fernandez for sending this over.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Before we move on to that, Commissioner Fornaciari has a comment. And then Commissioner Fernandez has a comment as well. And then we'll introduce this as -- this is a visualization of trying to get Vineyard into Sacramento and some of the challenges we have, just so the public and the Commissioners can see why it's so difficult to get Vineyard into a Sacramento-based District.

Commissioner Fornaciari and then Commissioner Fernandez, and then we'll go back to Kennedy to give an overview.
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Kennedy, I'm sorry, when you were zoomed out, this looked like our draft map and not the map that we wound up with yesterday. But you've just changed it that much. Is that --

MS. WILSON: Given the sheet file that was sent to me, I made changes that were on that sheet file, and I still have our version from yesterday too. This is just the changes with the sheet file that was sent over.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So let me give some context to this, and this might help us. We are exploring possible changes that Commissioner Fernandez has been working with our line drawers on to potentially move Vineyard into the District. So we're trying to visualize what it might look like if we included Vineyard into this area.

Certainly, this has been looked at before, but we're trying to see if there's a possibility of a path forward with this. This is for visualization purposes, and that's where we're at. And certainly, we have very high deviations that we have to work through, but this an exploration. So Kennedy -- actually, Commissioner Fernandez and then Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you so much. I'm not sure if everyone recalls, but besides the VRA Districts, trying to get Vineyard in was one of my high priorities. So I went home last night to work on it again. I was
having computer issues, and now, it worked out. So based
on the information, I moved in Vineyard.

I don't know, Kennedy, if you can maybe zoom into
that, that would be great. Thank you. And to address
Commissioner Fornaciari, some of the neighborhoods might
be different up top. I'm not sure from what you did
because I was working -- I didn't have the latest
information in terms of -- so what I did is I moved in
Vineyard plus that section above it just to make it
whole. And then what I did in terms of the boundaries, I
obviously had to move some of the population from the SAC
Elk Grove up to West SAC.

And so what I did there was -- if Kennedy zooms in,
I brought the western boundary down to 35th Avenue.
That's by the -- that draws the line from the airport to
99, I believe. And then on the West Side, I went
through -- that boundary came down -- yes, thank you,
Kennedy, that's perfect. And then on the West Side --
I'm sorry, the East Side, right about -- yeah. We went
through Broadway to San Joaquin to 14th Avenue, and then
just carried that across.

And by doing this, I was able to maintain quite a
bit of the communities of interest that wanted to be kept
together in the SAC Elk Grove community. And I did
not -- I don't believe I touched the West Plaster. I
might've moved some neighborhoods around, but for the most part, as you can see, the SAC Elk Grove does have a somewhat higher deviation of 4.83. We could theoretically move the boundaries, but I felt that I was trying to respect as many communities of interest as possible, and I felt fine having a 4.83. I do believe it's based on the communities. I don't think they're going to mind having a 4.83 if we can get Vineyard in. But of course, what's going to happen then is the SAC_STANIS now has a negative 10.49. So because I didn't have our updated maps, I wasn't able to work on that piece of it. So we'll have to -- in order for this to work, we're going to have to bring in some population to fill that gap of at least six percent.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you for that overview, Commissioner Fernandez, and thank you for drafting a map on the GIS system.

Kennedy, can you talk to us about the potential impacts and what we would have to do if we were to incorporate Vineyard into a Sacramento-based District with our current map? And I believe you're on mute.

MS. WILSON: So as you can see the surrounding areas, this is also due to Commissioner Fernandez using the older map, so I followed these boundaries that were in the sheet file. However, this impact with the maps
that we have now, I do not have.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Maybe if you and Commissioner Fernandez can take this back and start exploring that on your own, and if there's a path forward, I'd like to see it tomorrow. So please take this and we'll see if there's a path forward. It looks very complicated and very difficult to have a path forward, but if anyone is able to do it, it would be Kennedy.

MS. WILSON: Can we perhaps just put the new lines on top in the --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Sure, let's do that. Let's talk about that. Let's look at the new lines overlapping these lines, and perhaps there's some discussion that you want to have. And then after this, I do want to go to public comments. We'll be going to public comment earlier, given that it doesn't look like we will be able to work through this.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I think we can probably take the seat out numbers off so that it's not as confusing. I think that might be helpful, thank you.

MS. WILSON: Yes, I will do that now. I am going to make those changes now from this. As you can see, the green lines, I'll make them slightly bigger so they're a bit easier to see. So this would bring in Vineyard. It balances Sacramento; however, it does not balance all the
outside districts. So I'm just going to do this really quickly to show you it's not going to take very long.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Do this with the new district configurations?

MS. WILSON: Yes, with the new district configurations.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Thank you.

Commissioner Fornaciari has a comment.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: No, I already barged in and made my comment. Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh, okay.

Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Can I encourage us to put this at the very end of the agenda tomorrow, so that we can get to the Southern part of California?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh, absolutely.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Because we're going to need more than just a couple hours, and we have spent so much time in this area.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Absolutely. That is the priority for tomorrow is Southern California.

Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah, we can definitely --

CHAIR TOLEDO: And we're not doing Southern California today because the line drawers for Southern
California aren't here.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Oh.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- which is why. Otherwise, I would've done Southern California right now. But they're not here. They're going to be here tomorrow morning, and that's when we're going to be doing Southern California. Otherwise, I would definitely have done Southern California tonight.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, okay. Kennedy, actually just -- if you move back up real quick --

CHAIR TOLEDO: So that's why we're doing this right now.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Just for context for the Commission.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I wouldn't want to do it tonight anyway because it would be rushed because there's public comments waiting. So I just --

CHAIR TOLEDO: I would've wanted to start it, right? But I understand your feelings.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can I go now?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh, absolutely. Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I wasn't sure if I was going to be interrupted again. Okay, so notice that in terms of the maps that we had before versus these maps, I believe they -- North Highland and Antelope were, I
think, flipped. Is that right, Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: No, no cities were split in the county.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, was North Highlands with West SAC? That's what I meant.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I believe North Highlands was with the West SAC --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Oh, okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- District, but I am not sure. But right now, it's not super important. Anyway, so now, the negative 10.24. So as I mentioned earlier, we'll have to get about six percent. And we do have the Cal Inyo that's over quite about that we could potentially move in some of those areas.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Any feedback from the Commission to give direction to our line drawers on this?

Commissioner Andersen.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. With all the stuff we just did to the Bay Area, then to say, oh but now, we're going to try to fix this but cutting up the whole reason why it shifted that way, I'd like us to revisit that. If we're taking population from Cal Inyo and moving it to NorCal and taking it all the way around, okay. But this seems like --
CHAIR TOLEDO: This is an exploration --
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- out of place.
CHAIR TOLEDO: -- Commissioner Andersen. And it's because we don't have the Southern California map drawers, so we're entertaining it. But right now, the maps are what they were as of yesterday.
Commissioner Fernandez.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I think a somewhat simple fix might be to bring in the entire Amador County into that SAC_STANIS. I think that would even it out, if we could try that.
CHAIR TOLEDO: We have hands up from Commissioner Fornaciari and Commissioner Turner.
COMMISSIONER TURNER: Oh, I'd like to see it.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Turner and Commissioner Fornaciari.
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I'll wait.
CHAIR TOLEDO: Were you in consensus with wanting to see this? No, okay. Commissioner Turner does. So this would get us into the appropriate deviations. Something to think about. Let's hear from the floor.
Can you show us what the districts would look like so it would have -- it would go from Rancho --
MS. WILSON: Marietta, and then it would take all of
Amador County and then go down to Stanislaus where there's Oakdale and Knights Ferry and Lathrop, Manteca, Ripon, eastern farming towns of San Joaquin County. There's Lodi, Galt, Walnut Grove, Isleton.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I would want to hear community of interest testimony in this area before we move forward. So if Commissioners could bring community input and let us know what's prompting the change. Commissioner Fornaciari. Or it could be deviation too. It could be deviation. It could be -- the reason for this change.

So Commissioner Fornaciari, Commissioner -- and we'll go through.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I just had more of a couple general comments about Northern California --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Sure.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- and maps.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Absolutely. Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Let's see. I guess maybe some of the --

CHAIR TOLEDO: We can move the map. Is that what you -- would that help you or not?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: That's fine. I just want to check in with the rest of the Commission. I feel like maybe my reaction stifled some potential path forward, and I want to apologize to everyone for that if it did.
Well, either way.

I proposed this Inyo to Siskiyou thing because I felt like we were stuck and looking for a solution for that. I haven't seen the public comment on this yet, if we've gotten some, but clearly, it's -- well, we did get one from Chino, right? So clearly, this is a huge district. I guess, in reflecting on it, if I lived in Oramano (ph.) -- I don't know that we've heard from them yet, but I guess I'd rather be in the Cali Inyo District if I had to choose between the two. And I heard other feedback about it.

So I just want to say, I'm open to whatever outcome the Commission decides. I'm not married to this. I just want to bring that back because Commissioner Andersen was making a suggestion and I had a reaction to it, and I feel like I shut her down and that wasn't appropriate. So I want to apologize to her, first of all, for that. And secondly, just say that I'm open to outcomes.

The second thing I want to say is that I received an email directly with a suggestion for modifying the border in Santa Rosa, and I forwarded that to our BordersFirst email so it'll be -- and Ravi got it. But that was one person with one piece of input on how to modify it. That's input we've received and we'll take in, but I think it's appropriate to not react to that --
CHAIR TOLEDO: I saw it too, and I wasn't supportive of that change.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. So I just want to say that -- I want to acknowledge that we got it -- that I got it and I sent it to you all, and that we'll look at all the public feedback that we get and go from there.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So let's hear from Commissioner Kennedy, Turner, and Fernandez. Then we will go to public comment once we hear -- oh, and Sinay. So let's go through all the queue, and then we'll go to public comment. Fornaciari just went, so Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. Looking at a relief map of California, specifically Amador and Calaveras County neighboring this area, Amador, Calaveras, and Twilane (ph.), to me, it would make more sense to take the really Valley Flora areas of those counties, so pull west from the eastern boundary of Amador County and take the population from along the eastern boundary of that South SAC_STANIS, because I'm looking at a relief map on my computer -- Kennedy has brought up the relief map, and it just to me, seems to make more sense to have Valley communities rather than going all the way up the mountain in one county. Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy.
Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you. I would be in support of that, and perhaps cut down through Jackson and coming down all the way into Calaveras, down that way. But however Kennedy works it out. I think if we pull population going straight down, we run into where it's a whole bunch of little highways and things there. But maybe not all the way for all of Amador. I was in support of that. I'm like, okay, because at this point, I just want us to be able move forward in this area. And I think these areas make sense on both sides of court -- is that 50?

CHAIR TOLEDO: I believe it is.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. We can zoom in a little bit to make sure.

Commissioner Turner, then Fernandez, then Sinay.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Oh, another minute. No.

CHAIR TOLEDO: All right.

Commissioner Sinay and then Andersen.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sorry, I know I'm upset. I just want to understand process. We have been able to go back to every map on multiple days. We have not even viewed the far Southern California. So I want to understand the process of how we're going to have time to
go back and try to recreate a map or try to think about
something or come back, since we're supposed finalize --
not finalize, but we're supposed to be pretty comfortable
with Assembly. So what's the process now for Southern
California? The Southern part of Orange County,
Imperial, parts of Riverside, have not been looked at.
We keep coming back to the same parts of the state, and
we're ignoring the rest of the state.

CHAIR TOLEDO: To respond to that, we were -- the
plan was to do Southern California today. We do not have
line drawers for Southern California today. If we had,
we would've done Southern California. We will have the
line drawers tomorrow, and they're scheduled to be here
all day tomorrow. We will do it until we have Southern
California. Tomorrow is dedicated to Southern
California.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Can I just ask a follow-up
question?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Sure.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: We're not going to get that
breather time like we've had with every other part when
we go back and say, okay, now let's look and see how it
works.

CHAIR TOLEDO: If we --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And I know that tomorrow, we're
going revisit some of the parts that we talked about today. So I'm just -- I've said this from the very beginning of visualizations. Somehow or the other -- San Diego was supposed to be on Tuesday or something, and it kept getting pushed off and kept getting moved. So I would really like a commitment that we don't go back to parts of the map that we've already all said looked good and we've already looked at the VRAs and all that and really stay committed to looking at the whole state of California.

CHAIR TOLEDO: We are committed to -- of course, we're committed to fair amounts across all of California, Commissioner Sinay. If we need to, we will be working on Sunday, and into Monday if we need to. So that is our commitment. We will take the time that's needed to get these maps right. And right now, we're focusing on this, but certainly, we will make sure that we have fair amounts for all of California.

So right now -- let's see. This is what we're looking at. Our deviations are looking quite good. Comments from the floor. At this point, Commissioner Andersen, you had raised some concerns, so I want to hear from you. And then there's others who potentially this would achieve a couple of things, and I want to hear what those are from the floor as well.
Commissioner Andersen, Commission Fernandez, Commissioner Yee.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. This is not part of the Valley. This is really -- from Camanche on up, this is the heart of Gold Country. Jackson is the capital of Amador. Inyo is also elevated. This is what they call The Flatts -- not The Flatts. This is The Hills. This the Gold Country Hills. I understand talking about adding Central Valley, but that's down until you hit Tuolumne where you have a little bit before you go up to Chinese CAM. And this is, for one COI, one area, we're destroying how many others? I do have a problem with taking this.

CHAIR TOLEDO: We haven't made the decision to take it, so that's --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I would say it's not consistent --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- in our thinking.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you.

Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: I hear what the Commissioner has been saying. At the same time, I think one can make an argument that these are connected to the Valley in ways that other areas aren't. So I'm open to this.
I also want to follow up on Commissioner Fornaciari's comment earlier about the huge NorCal District. This might open up opportunities to rework that. I would welcome that.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Andersen and then Kennedy. And I do want to express that we are -- we do need to make sure that we address all of California, including Southern California, within -- that Southern California will take priority. But we want to hear from Andersen and Kennedy and Turner. But we certainly can work on Sunday, if there's time, to come back to this. And Monday.

Commissioner Andersen.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: These counties -- Amador has 36,000 people, and we're going to cut it? Then we better start cutting some other countries around here, and on the other side I'm talking about. This is -- it's not consistent. No, these counties -- the whole Gold Country is that. And to cut those up is literally -- we're just completely ignoring that whole portion of the state for a town. I have a real problem with it.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Kennedy, Commissioner Turner, and then Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. If the turning layer can come back on, that is much farther into
those counties than I had in mind when I initially suggested this. The idea was really to focus on the parts that were the flattest, and I think we -- as Commissioner Andersen said, we've gone up into the Hills. And I don't think we need this much population. Both of them -- South SAC_STANIS can be further negative than it is right now without causing problems. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you, Chair. I think that wherever Kennedy cuts at this point into this area, I am absolutely in support of having this area be part of SAC_STANIS.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I do want to raise the point that Commissioner Andersen, Commissioner Sinay, and others have raised -- I believe it was Sadhwani as well -- that if we do cut here, then why not in other places? I want to just bring it up because it is a discussion point and I just don't want to -- I'm not conflict-averse. I think the Commission knows that I am not conflict-averse.

We just want to air it out and have that conversation and resolve it and move forward. We just need to come up with something we can live with. It doesn't mean it's going to be perfect. And we have something right now that we can live with. It's the map that we approved yesterday for this area. We all said that we could live with it. This is just exploration at
this point.

Kennedy and Fernandez. Oh, Kennedy already went.

Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes, I just wanted to respond that Vineyard is not just one COI. It's actually multiple COIs. We have COIs from the Black community, the Asian, the Punjabi, the Mong, all of those. And relating to this COI was the schools, family, college, and business. So I don't want people to think that it's just one COI that's driving this. It's actually multiple COIs. Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. And no, I don't think -- I think we all know that there's so many COIs everywhere at this point. The foundations of the maps were the VRA and the COIs. In this area, there would be the COIs because there is no VRA. But you're right. There's COIs everywhere, including multiple in every community.

Commissioner Fernandez and Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you. So the reason for posting iterations and visualization is so that we can receive feedback from the community. And the fact that we stated we can live with something one day -- certainly, if we're not going to have opportunity to shift and change after we receive additional feedback, there's no point of receiving additional feedback. So I
want to say that.

Though, the other day, I didn't see an option as it relates to Vineyard and what have you. Actually, I don't know -- I agreed just because I didn't disagree. But beyond that, now that we've received more feedback for this area, I do think that we should be responsive to the degree that we can and I am not comfortable with ignoring all of those COIs. Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah, which is why we're here, exploring this right now.

Commissioner Andersen and then Akutagawa.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Again, let's find other areas. These areas have already been gone over quite a bit. But Sacramento and San Joaquin, for some reason, are sacrosanct. I really think we need to maybe take part of Stockton. All the COIs, all the people who called about keeping this area together --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: We're not being consistent.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. And we hear you, Commissioner Andersen.

Commissioner Akutagawa and Commissioner Sadhwani.

We don't have consensus in this area, so I'm just pointing that out. We don't have consensus at this point.
Akutagawa and then Sadhwani and then Turner.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I just wanted to plus-one Commissioner Turner. I agree with her. We're talking about several COIs. I know we're on Cal Inyo right now, but I'm just wondering if this is one of those things where -- and I apologize if I missed this part, but if we could just focus on that Sacramento part and try to just fix that, and then let's try to figure out this.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Right now, Sacramento is fixed. So the question is the deviations and how we're going to address the deviations. We'll go to Commissioner Sadhwani and then Commissioner Turner. Let's think through options and then let's go to public comment.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes, I support this change. I would feel very comfortable if we took it forward. I have a feeling we're going to be here on Monday as our "as-needed" day. For me, I feel like we started working through this the other day and we didn't finish it. We stopped halfway. Let's work on it. We can take a look at it on Monday so that we don't continue to spend additional time on this issue. I agree with Commissioner Sinay. We haven't looked at San Diego at all, and it deserves our attention very soon.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Absolutely. I agree with that as well.
Commission Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you. I just want to say, in response to Commissioner Andersen, I'm open for exploring anything. So don't threaten me with a good time. If we need to look at Stockton or any other area, let's do it so that we can have fair maps across the board. This one makes sense for me, and I don't think there should be any sacred cows or any other area that we can't touch. At this point, we're trying to balance maps.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you.

Commissioner Kennedy.

MS. CLARK: Commissioner Kennedy?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Ms. Kennedy.

MS. INKARI: Hello. This is Kennedy Inkari (ph.).

On these particular changes, we would really appreciate a little bit more guidance because these are some pretty major decisions. And if we just make those off-line, then I feel like there's going to be a lot of back and forth again.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I had heard you too. So yes, we definitely need a little more guidance if this is something the Commission is interested in exploring. I still don't see consensus here that this is something that we are interested in exploring. So I'm looking for
general consensus here, and I'm not getting it at this point. I have a majority, but I don't have general consensus. So I want to hear from Commissioner Andersen what areas -- if there's any portion of this that she would be comfortable in exploring. But before that, while she thinks about that, let's go to Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: One of the reasons we're going down this road is because we didn't want to split one of the cities in Sacramento. If you look at the SAC Elk Grove, they're high, so that is another option that we should be open to. But I'm open to exploring this and it exploring a number of ways to work this problem.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Fornaciari. I'm comfortable with us splitting Sacramento if the rest of the Commission is. I just want to ask for general consensus of the Commission if the Commission would be amenable to exploring splitting portions of Sacramento in order to achieve this goal of linking Vineyard to the city. And of course, that can be done off-line. I just want to hear everyone and see if everyone is comfortable with the potential of splitting Vineyard instead of -- because that would instead of making this change. It doesn't seem like we have general consensus on this at this point, but that would be a split in Sacramento. I'm
going to go through -- I want to hear anyone that is opposed at this point. Opposed to potentially not doing this but potentially making a split in Sacramento and getting population from Sacramento. That was expressed as a potential here from Commissioner Fornaciari. Any opposition? Oh, okay. You're expressing your opposition. No?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I don't want to say opposition, but I do remember saying that Folsom potentially we could move part of Folsom. I didn't have enough time to work on that piece of it, but I also felt that we could like at the STANIS also. There's different options that we have.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So at this point, what you're saying and what I'm hearing is that there is -- you would be comfortable with making a split in Folsom area of Sacramento instead of this here, so grabbing the population that area. Correct me if I'm wrong, because I'm just trying to understand.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. Unfortunately, what that would mean is Folsom either goes to STANIS or it goes to ECA, and then from ECA we have to push around to -- regardless, we still have to fill the STANIS.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. This is a difficult decision, and I want to hear from everyone, because if we're give
general direction and we're going to have staff resource spend time on it, I want to make sure that we have general consensus on this.

Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I had suggested Folsom yesterday. I think if it's not Folsom, then it's bringing West SAC back into play.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So you would be comfortable if we looked at Folsom as a split.

Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I would agree with -- I'm fine with what Commissioner Sadhwani also said. I would also want to point out that perhaps Rancho Cordova -- is that an option to split? And I'm also very comfortable splitting Sacramento too. I think that might need to be done.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. That's helpful. Thank you for expressing your opinion on this.

Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. There are colleagues that are far more familiar with this area than I am. It just seems to me that the area that is highlighted is more similar as far as livelihood and regional interests than Folsom or Rancho Cordova. Thank you.
CHAIR TOLEDO: I guess the question I would ask is, could you live with a Folsom cut instead of this one?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Could I?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah, would you -- in terms of opposition, would you be in opposition to a Folsom cut?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Well, I could live with it. I don't know how the people of Folsom would feel, and that's who I'd like to hear from on it.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Of course, we'd love to hear from Folsom. If Folsom has opinions on this, we'd love to hear from you, as well as the area in the shaded red area. But at this point, I'm looking at Commissioners, just because I'm looking for general consensus on this. General consensus doesn't mean that we love it. It means that we could live with it.

Commissioner Fernandez, then Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I would agree with Commissioner Kennedy. Again, I don't think that Sacramento is this sacred whatever you called it. I do get really upset when people say that, so just a warning. But I would agree that the part that is shaded is more similar to what STANIS is. Folsom is -- it's more of a city. It's connected to Sacramento, but we could push that out to Cameron Park and we'd have to find out how to get some of that population back into STANIS. And in
terms of Rancho Cordova, that's actually -- that would be my last option because that is connected to Sacramento. It should be part of Sacramento.

CHAIR TOLEDO: There's a potential for -- a path forward by rotating that placement from Sacramento this way and so that's what we're looking at and that's the direction would be to explore that if we have general consensus.

Commissioner Andersen?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, what if we, you know, in terms of moving around, but the City of Solano has extra population. You can pull some of that in. Trying to create -- because that area -- well, how many people do you need? Pull some from over there and maybe some from the unincorporated areas, those white areas in between Vineyard and I don't know what's above Rancho Cordova. You know, pulling some people out of those. You know, looking at things like that.

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Or even further south. I don't know.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Let's hear from the Committee to see if there's options around that as well, but we need to get to general consensus and move forward. I will ask Commissioner Andersen, could you live with Folsom? Is
that the only way you --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN:  I mean, until we work
something else out, yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO:  Okay, so you would be -- you would be
okay with exploring and you would -- if we are able to
find a solution through Folsom, you would be okay with
that?  Okay, thank you.  So it does look at this point
like we have general consensus on potential split in
Folsom.  It's not what everyone wants, but that would get
some of -- that would potentially get us population from
the Sacramento area in order to achieve the goal here,
which is to add Vineyard, which is a more urban area in
with Elk Grove.  And with that, we will -- oh,
Commissioner Sinay, and then we'll go with a public
comment.

COMMISSIONER TURNER:  Oh, I thought you were polling
all of us.  I'm sorry, Chair.

CHAIR TOLEDO:  Oh, sorry.  I meant to poll everyone.

COMMISSIONER TURNER:  I just wanted to say I am most
in consensus with that last highlighted area that Kennedy
has.

CHAIR TOLEDO:  With the longer area rather than
Folsom?  Okay, but you would -- if we could do Folsom,
you would be okay with that?

COMMISSIONER TURNER:  I feel so pressured to say
yes.

CHAIR TOLEDO: You can say yes or no.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: No.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay, so you're saying no. Okay.

So Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: This is exploration, again, so we may just go back to what maps were before, correct?

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yes, we would go back to -- if we don't have consensus here, we'll go back to the --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I would like -- where I would feel the most comfortable is if localized is possible so the cuts coming from as close, you know, from Solano or from Sacramento. You know, I just -- as localized if possible since we tried to do some things that had multiple COIs in it earlier today and that --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yep, so we will ask Kennedy if there's any Solano population that we might be able to bring up or other areas.

Commissioner Fernandez, it doesn't seem like we have consensus on Folsom.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. Can you just go down really quick, Kennedy? I was just trying to see if there was something down below that we could potentially do. No, I would, preference wise, I would not want to touch Solano. I do have a problem cutting into Folsom,
but I -- I think that with direction we can go back and
look at it and I do prefer what we initially were doing
with the Amador and Calaveras.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay, so we'll go back to
Commissioner Fernandez -- I mean, Fernandez just spoke,
so Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: And what I wanted to end with,
in my last twenty seconds, was to say that rather than
lose the change that we're attempting to make with
Vineyard, I will concede to anything else.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay, so with that we do have a
general consensus that we will add population from
Folsom. We'll explore the possibility and at this point,
I heard that everyone could live with this, and so we're
going to look at pushing population from the Sacramento
area, Folsom in particular, to get us across the
population to be able to make this rotation. Kennedy and
then Jane -- Commissioner Andersen, rather.

MS. WILSON: So I just quickly did that, brought it
to a negative for taking as little as possible. I'm not
entirely sure where a split directed by the Commission
would go, but this is a split that gets you to a negative
4.23 in SAC_STANIS.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So that's the split that potentially
could get us into appropriate deviations for the
district. So I'm looking to the Commission to explore that.

Andersen and then Fernandez.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, thank you. I actually just had a -- had a question in terms of for what will get posted for tomorrow. So first to look at the whole thing. So I certainly would really like to make sure we have a PNG file or something that we can blow up and have a look at so we can --

CHAIR TOLEDO: That's the plan.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: If there's just shape files, I don't know how much -- how helpful that's going to be.

CHAIR TOLEDO: That is a point, so the Commission and also the public can -- can see it, and we want the public to be able to see it too and be able to provide public comment tonight -- tomorrow night.

Commissioner --

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm sorry. So on this particular area, there -- I'd kind of rather stay south of 50 if possible and go to those other little areas. I don't know if there's any population though. But otherwise, this is (audio interference).

MS. WILSON: And just - I did, you know, that block of the 50. There is not much population here and that block of the 50 is not enough people.
VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you, Kennedy.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Kennedy. You're -- you're doing great. We appreciate all the hard work that you're putting into this -- put into this all day.

Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah, I was going to say, also, to go 50 and if we have to then go the other way towards Eldorado.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you.

And then Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Of course we don't like to split cities, but if we do the split in Folsom, the City of Folsom will still have representation in Sacramento and we'll also gain representation in the valley.

MS. WILSON: So does that mean to not go this high in Folsom and take the 50 out to Eldorado as well? Is that --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. Commissioner Fernandez and then Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah, if you can grab that piece of 50 from before you get to Gold River, whatever that is, Prairie City. If you can grab that section as well, between Rancho Cordova, yeah. And then if additional population is needed then we could go into Eldorado. And I want following the 50.
MS. WILSON: So do not go above the 50?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right, right. Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. And with that, it looks like we're going to be getting some more population and then we will see if we can live with it, then we'll commit it and then we will incorporate it into our maps that will be posted tomorrow.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: We're -- we're breaking the county line? Is that what's happening? I thought we didn't want to break --

MS. WILSON: Oh, sorry. I was going to say to go into Eldorado, that's in Eldorado County.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Fernandez, Commissioner Andersen, did you have comments?

And Commissioner Sadhwani, as well?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I do have comments. This is, you know, from this point forward, it's actually 50 is going up at this point. Eldorado, you know, Eldorado Hills -- we're pushing it to say, you know, Folsom south, but now we're talking about let's grab some of the hill country and put it also south and we're also crossing the county line. Wait a sec, why are we doing that? I would rather look, still, in Sacramento.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So I think that was, yeah, I think the general consensus was to get population from
Sacramento, but let's see if we still have general consensus on going into Eldorado.

Commissioner Fernandez and then Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: We can go into Folsom. I just, at this point, I have to have you zoom in to see where to cut because it's too far out right now.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Can you zoom in so we can see where in Folsom we can pull population? And I am sensing that folks are having difficulty with -- actually, Commissioner Andersen, didn't give an impression that she did actually, so let's take it out.

And then Commissioner Sadhwani, did you have comments?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, I just, I mean, I was under the impression that we were trying to minimize cuts of Sacramento County because it was so sacred. So to me, I thought it --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Well, not anymore, because they took a little bit more of it to another county.

CHAIR TOLEDO: We're not -- nothing is sacred here. Nothing is sacred.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Where Folsom, you know, maintains the county border, right? Isn't this the county line here in black?
CHAIR TOLEDO: I don't believe so.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah, so what I was saying was that we went ahead and moved another part of Sac County into Solano that wasn't there before. So that's another cut into -- oh, can you grab the part below? Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So are we -- are we cutting into Sacramento County or Stanislaw County? This is all Sacramento, okay. And what's the population that we need here?

MS. WILSON: About 26-, maybe 25,000.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay, and we're at 15,000. Okay, so let's just do this off-line, because we do need to get a public comment. So I'm going to ask that Commissioner Fernandez work with the line drawing getting -- well, you have 27,000 though. A minimum of 25,000 people to shift and to get into appropriate deviation from the Sacramento side, Folsom area, to be able to reach the deviations. Commissioner Fernandez, did you have comments?

It looks like we have the population now; is that correct, Kennedy?

MS. WILSON: That is correct.

CHAIR TOLEDO: It looks a little haphazard, but maybe we can refine the lines out? Okay, so at this point I do want to see what the Commission -- if the
Commission is comfortable with -- we will refine the lines around the borders, but comfortable with this change. Anyone that is not comfortable, please speak up now. We are exploring the possibility of adding this area to the ECA district. Sorry, the South Sac district. Sorry, I apologize. I have too many districts in my mind. And can you please zoom in so we can take a look at it, so that we can see the roads up above?

   MS. WILSON: Yes, and I can read them too, as well. It goes up to East Natoma Street, comes down by Folsom Lake College, goes to Oak Avenue Parkway along Riley Street, down to Natoma Station Drive, and then runs along Folsom Boulevard out to the border of Rancho Cordova into this -- this is the prairie land underneath.

   CHAIR TOLEDO: Let's look at the eastern border of this map, and then let's also look at the southern border. Let's just go around the borders and make sure that -- and can you please zoom out so we can see them?

   MS. WILSON: The eastern border here is along the county line between Folsom, Sacramento, and Eldorado Hills. We'll zoom out a little bit more. This is, I believe, it says White Rock, this street here down on the southern border, but that would dissolve into South SAC.STANIS district.

   COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can you grab the rest of
that Prairie City, just to at least make it -- thank you.

MS. WILSON: So I'm pushing this border up to --
it's not going into Rancho Cordova, but touches just at
the border of Rancho Cordova.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I'm looking to the Commissioners and
making sure that we are comfortable with this change. If
we are, we're going to commit this and this will become
the new border, replace the district that was in here
before, and this is for deviation purposes, but primarily
to add Vineyard into Santa -- into Sacramento. And in
the room I'm seeing a lot of consensus around this and
I'm looking at the Zoom windows. I'm not seeing any
opposition. Let's hear from Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah, after all this, I
would prefer to just keep Vineyard out. I know we went
through a lot, but it's -- it is what it is.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So you're willing to go with the
consensus? Let's see what the consensus of the group is.
So let's see -- let's just go through the lines.

Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I'd like to commit the
change.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner -- if you're not -- I'm
not going to go through everybody. If you're not
comfortable with this, just let me know. And I've heard
from Commissioner Fernandez. Is there anybody else who
is uncomfortable with this? Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I am happy combining Vineyard
and Elk Grove. I feel that taking a thin slice from the
area in Amador, Calaveras, and Tulare to make up the
population in South SAC_STANIS is the best way to go
because those are the communities that are most similar
to the communities in South SAC_STANIS. Thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: And I do want to say that this is why
we do it in live line drawing, because if we had sent
this to visualization, we would have spent a lot of time
and it would have come back and we would have gotten a
no.

So Commissioner Andersen, would you be comfortable
with these possibilities in Amador County, as suggested
by Commissioner Kennedy? I think that's the area we had
highlighted previously and I believe you were not
thrilled about those.

Is that something you would consider?

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm more than not thrilled
about cutting two counties up to do this. Yes, so I'm
more than not thrilled.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So if we don't have consensus, we're
reverting back to our previous map. I just want to go
through one more time.
Commissioner Fernandez has her hand up.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm sorry, I thought we were going to do this -- we're talking about committing this change.

CHAIR TOLEDO: That's right. We're committing a Folsom change. So right now we're talking about the Folsom change.

VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I could live with that.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay, so you can live with Folsom, and I believe Commissioner Turner she could live with Folsom as well, but let's hear from her and Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah.

CHAIR TOLEDO: But I think your preference was the Amador.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Absolutely. That is the priority. That is my preference and this just might be an area we eventually may have to get to a vote on.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. This may be an area where we all have to vote on.

Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah, and I also agree with Commissioner Kennedy and Commissioner Turner.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay, so this may be an area that -- so we will leave this to end and if we need to get to a vote on it, we will get to a vote on it. So for now,
this change -- we're going to snapshot, we're going to take a picture of it. We're going to take a snap of this area and at the end, once we're done with Southern California, because we're going to spend enough time to get the Southern California maps done adequately -- not just adequately, done fairly, and give them the appropriate time that they need and then once we get through that then at the end we will come back to this area and explore the possibility of a vote.

Commissioner Turner?

Fernandez, Turner, and Kennedy.

So for direction to Kennedy, we are not committing this. We're going to snap -- we're just going to -- and we're not -- we don't have any direction from the Commission on this and so we're not committing it. We're just taking it -- we know what it is. It's the Folsom area, 25,000 essentially shifting. This may be an area where we will need to take a vote on it at the end once we're through since we don't have general consensus. I just can't find general consensus of the Commission here. So at this point, we are moving forward with public comment, so we will be going to public comment now and until we're done with -- oh, sorry, Ms. Kennedy. I missed your hand, and then Akutagawa.

MS. WILSON: I was just going to ask. So I will
screenshot putting Vineyard in and having this negative
ten percent, but go back to the map that has Vineyard.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah, the maps right now we have --
we looked at this and this is something we will continue.
We will have a conversation at the end of this.

In the meantime, Commissioner Fernandez will work
with line drawers just looking at her particular other
options that they may have. We will take a vote at the
end of this process on this area if we can't get general
consensus.

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, I think I'm just
looking for some help in understanding. I guess, for me
I'll just say my preference would be to include Vineyard,
because to put them in the SAC_STAN just worse than
taking out a portion of Folsom, but I'm also curious as
to understanding -- I hear what was said that it's a
suburb of Sacramento County. How is it different from,
say for example, Roseville, which I understood it to be
also a, I guess, in a sense a suburb of Sacramento? So
I'm just trying to understand and I don't even know if
that's something that separately I could just have
somebody help me understand, so.

CHAIR TOLEDO: I think that's a good question and I
think at the end once we get to -- we're going to come
back to this area at the end because it may potentially -- it may potentially require a vote, and so we'll need clarification on all of this, and that will be at the end once we're done with Southern California and Southern California gets the attention it deserves.

So right now, let's go to public comment.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Right now, we'll be getting the caller 3241, and up next after that will be caller 6725.

Caller 3241, if you'll please follow the prompt to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: All right. I'm calling in just to say that adding Irvine to a coastal district in Orange County is a terrible decision because Irvine should be one of those anchor cities that you want to keep full and within their own district because they have nothing in common with the coastal areas. So keeping them separate would be a great idea and so that's just all I have as input for today.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. Right now we have caller 6725, and up next after that will be caller 3358.

Caller 6725, if you'll please follow the prompt to
unmute by pressing star six. And one more time, caller
with the last four digits 6725, if you'll please follow
the prompts to unmute by pressing star six.
I do apologize, caller 6725. There appears to be
some type of connectivity issue for you at the moment,
but I will come back to you.
We're going to caller 3358, and up next after that
will be caller 3995.
Caller 3358, if you'll please follow the prompt to
unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, everyone. I'm
calling on behalf of the Community of Florence Graham.
I've lived here for a number of years and I'm calling
regarding the 105 draft map. You'll be putting us with
the Inglewood/Hawthorne area. Those communities we have
nothing in common with. We have been neglected in all
levels to include the State Assembly, and I believe that
this new proposed map, it detaches us from the
communities that we have a lot in common with in other
words. So I'm asking on behalf of the Florence Graham
area that you stop the injustices of our communities and
place us, the Florence Graham community, with the Gateway
corridor map that includes Huntington Park and Walnut
Park. Thank you very much.
MR. MANOFF: Also, I just wanted to interject. I'm
not sure if we have announced this or just as a reminder, we will be enforcing a time limit of a minute and 30 seconds tonight.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: If I over spoke, I apologize.

MR. MANOFF: No, you were within your time. Thank you so much.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And right now we'll be going to caller 3995, and up next after that will be caller 0649.

Caller 3995, please follow the prompt to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. Good evening, Commissioners. I'm calling from San Bernadino County to express my disappointment with the new districts that are being done in the Assembly. It's very disappointing that you've decided to split up the Cities of Victorville, Hesperia, and group them with Los Angeles County. We share a familiar community of interest with San Bernadino County, and it's very disappointing that in the eleventh hour you could choose to do this as we're getting close to the conclusion of this process. Please consider changing it back and grouping our cities in San Bernadino County district as opposed to grouping us with Los
Angeles County. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we'll have Caller 0649, and up next after that will be caller 6625.

Caller 0649, if you'll please follow the prompt to unmute by pressing star six. And one more time, caller 0649, if you'll please follow the prompt to unmute by pressing star six.

Caller 0649, I do apologize for the connectivity issue for you at the moment. I will come back to you.

Right now we'll have caller 6625 and up next after that we'll have caller 5755.

Caller 6625, if you'll please follow the prompt to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MR. MARTINEZ: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Jerry Martinez. I'm calling from San Bernadino County. I actually live out in Hesperia. I really want to express my deep disappointment that we're splitting apart Victorville and Hesperia. I've been here my whole life. These two communities are interlocked and together, yet we're just saying to split it to make what looks like a VRA district, which I really hope you spend as much time as was spent in Sacramento down here on our area to make sure that we get our interests covered.

There isn't even a corridor that goes across the proposed
district that is being formed, so it feels almost like you're gerrymandering to get a VRA district into here, and it really doesn't represent what we'd like.

Anyway, thank you, Commissioners.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we'll have caller 5755, and up next after that will be caller 4201.

Caller 5755, if you'll please follow the prompt to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Hi. Thank you for taking my call. My name is Kat and I live in Santa Clarita. Your current draft Congressional map for Santa Clarita that includes a portion of the north San Fernando Valley is right on the money. It represents a fair district by including communities of interest. Some folks are asking for Simi to be tacked on, which makes zero sense. Simi is not a community of interest for the Santa Clarita Valley. We do not share resources with Simi that are unique between us and we are in two different counties as well. Politically motivated people calling in, asking for Simi to be hitched on to our district, never left actual common interest connections, but instead listing that all of California deals with, such as wildfire risk. Please leave the current draft Congressional map of Santa Clarita as is. You nailed it
on the first try and truly should be proud. It is a fair
and representative district. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And right now we'll have
caller 4201, and up next after that will be caller 7331.

Caller 4201, if you'll please follow the prompt to
unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MR. WALDMAN: Hi there. Stuart Waldman from VICA.
I appreciate the opportunity to speak. Lots of changes
in the San Fernando Valley. I took some screenshots so I
really can't tell in terms of detail. I do appreciate it
does appear you were able to do two Latino Assembly
seats. Looking at the others, still trying to figure it
out. It does look like Grenada Hills should probably be
switched with Woodland Hills. Grenada Hills in the
north, Woodland Hills in the south, but I'm looking
forward to the opportunity to see the shape file and kind
of be able to play with it and make sure communities and
councils are kept whole. But largely, I appreciate all
that you've done there and will make more comments, I
guess, tomorrow.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now, we have caller 7331, and up next after that
will be caller 4599. Caller 7331, if you'll please
follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The
floor is yours.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello again, and thank you, Commissioners. I'd like to speak about the great beach City of Santa Monica again on the Maps for Congress. And I want to urge the Commissioners to take the advice of the Santa Monica City Council who this -- earlier this week unanimously agreed that they should not be joining the valley district. Santa Monica would like to return the coastal district, and they have sent a letter and this has been sent upon the city council's request. Moreover, the Commission has also received a proposal from VICA, which accomplishes all of this through an even balance ship; it doesn't spill over to any of the rest of Los Angeles. The VICA map units West Hollywood and connects it with other cities in the west side council of governments. The VICA map puts Northridge back together into one district, which it should be. It puts almost all the San Fernando Valley people back north of Mulholland Drive, and most importantly, the VICA map puts Santa Monica back into the coastline district with our beach next door sister city, Venice. The VICA map solves a lot of issues. Thank you. And please check -- please check those out. Thank you for your time.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 4599. And up next after that will be caller 5038. Caller 4599, if you'll please
follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The
floor is yours.

MR. QUINONES: Good afternoon. My name is Steve
Quinones (phonetic). I live in the Florence-Graham
community. I have lived here for over fifty years. I'm
here to talk about the 105 draft map. For many years,
our Florence-Graham community has been neglected on all
levels to include the State Assembly. This new proposed
105 map has the tax slips from all the communities that
we have common in interest. We have been added to the
map that contains Inglewood, Hawthorne, two communities
that are completely opposite our community. People
twenty-five and older with high school diplomas,
Hawthorne and Inglewood, is seventy-seven percent, while
Florence and Graham is less than forty-five percent.
People with ambassadors over age of twenty-five is
Hawthorne and Inglewood over twenty-three percent, while
Florence-Graham we have only five percent with bachelor's
degrees. These examples are just to show you the
difference of what priorities these very different
communities would have. I am asking you to stop the
injustice to our communities and place the Florence-
Graham community with the Gateway Corridor map that
includes Huntington Park and Walnut Park. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now we have caller 5038. And up next after that will be caller 7175. Caller 5038 if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours. Caller 5038 double-check your telephone and make sure you are not on mute. You are unmuted in the meeting.

Caller 5038, you are unmuted in the meeting. Please double-check your telephone. Make sure you're not on mute.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can you hear me?
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi Commissioners. Good evening. I was already on the line when I heard the new changes to the SCV map. I was originally calling to say that I Agua Dulce and Acton in the High Desert areas in LA County should be connected to the SCV map, and that would reinforce communities of interest and resolve your overpopulation issues in the Victor Valley, High Desert Valley. My solution of adding those areas to SCV makes so much more sense than extending SCV to Woodland Hills. It's at minimum, an hour from Santa Clarita to Woodland Hills, and there's no way to drive between the two while staying in the district. So please remove Woodland Hills, West Hills, Northbridge and add Acton, Agua Dulce, Gorman, and Lake Andrews to the SCV map. This will make
SCV more compact, protect millions of interests, and resolve your population issue in the Victor Valley High Desert district. I hope you'll listen to me and other like-minded callers. So have a good evening. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. Okay, right now we have caller 7175. And up next after that will be caller 3812. And as a reminder to all those calling in to please take your time with the names, names of cities for our interpreter. Caller 7175 please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MR. PAYNE: Thank you. Good evening, Commissioners. This is Jeremy Payne (phonetic) calling on behalf of Equality California. I wanted to call in and thank the Commission for your ongoing work with the Glen LA Assembly district in Los Angeles and for the robust discussions about how best to unify our LGBTQ2+ communities and the neighborhoods from Silver Lake to West Hollywood in the Fairfax, La Brea, Larchmont, Miracle Mile, and Melrose areas and neighborhoods. By bringing in all these communities, we have one of the most empowering districts for Los Angeles LGBTQ2+ community now. And there's a historic significance for us as well. This district brings in Silver Lake, which was the site of one of the first demonstrations of
LGBTQ2+ liberation in the United States, the 1967 black cat riot, and ties of history with a district that includes the City of West Hollywood, the first city in the nation to have a majority openly gay governing board in 1983. And in present day, the City of West Hollywood is widely considered one of the nation's most prominent LGBTQ2+ hotspots with locations for nightlife, as well as just having many members that live in this community. And so I want to thank the Commission once again for creating a district that truly unifies and empowers our local LGBTQ2+ community, and this was one of the strongest considerations of this district that we have seen to date. So thank you so much for your work. And we look forward to seeing the final map for this area.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 3812. And up next after that will be caller 8743. Call 3812, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MS. VOGEL: Hi, I am Gail Vogel (phonetic), and from the Santa Clarita Valley, and I am really, really pleased with your Congressional district map that you've that you've drawn the first time. And because we -- these communities share -- we're mostly residential commuter cities and it's contiguous, and it has -- all these
communities have the same interests. And they -- the map respects the county borders. Now, I have heard that some people want to annex the Simi Valley, but it doesn't really have anything in common with us other than the other communities in overall the state.

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MS. VOGEL: Okay. And we don't share water resources with Simi Valley or any other resources that I know of. And thank you so much for all the work you've done. And I appreciate everything. And thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much, and right now we will have caller 8743, and up next after that will be caller 9747. Caller 8743, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners. I'm calling from San Bernardino County High Desert. I believe this is political manipulation and gerrymandering at its worst. We're disappointed with the proposal to split up the cities of Victorville and Hesperia. We all share similar communities of interest in San Bernardino County. In the past, we have been represented by population centers in LA County, which disenfranchised the voices of smaller communities like mine. In the eleventh hour, the Commission has drawn a VRA district
that disenfranchised our voice in Sacramento. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 9747. And up next after that will be caller 4527. Caller 9747, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six.

MS. SQUELO: Can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

MS. SQUELO: Yes, hi, how are you? Thank you for all the work that you're doing. My name is Marta Squelo (phonetic). I'm calling from the community of Florence-Firestone-Graham, and I've lived here for the past forty-eight years. I'm here to talk about the 107 draft map regarding our Florence-Graham community. This new proposed 105 map has the barriers from our community that we have in common. We are asking you to stop the unfairness into our communities and (indiscernible) the Florence-Graham community with the Gateway corridor map that includes Huntington Park and Walnut Park. The Florence-Graham community has nothing in common with cities like Gateway or Harvard. (Indiscernible) identified with Southeast Los Angeles communities.

Please make these small changes to the Gateway map. It will make a positive impact to our community. And I also have another thing too. Are you doing presentations from a different language besides English for the meeting?
Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. So right now, we have caller 4527. And up next after that will be caller 9048. Call 4527, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Hi. Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Tammy (phonetic), and I'm calling from Stanislaus County. I would like to thank you for all the hard work you have done and the work of those that have been drawing the maps and the people behind the scenes. The time and effort is really appreciated by many. At this time, myself and others understand that some would like to see vineyard lines being moved north. And my question is, is it really worth moving vineyard lines after all the work you have done to this point and then having to move more lines to fill the population void for Stanislaus and San Joaquin? With that being said, I understand all places cannot be kept whole. And if you have to move vineyard out of the Central Valley district that you would please keep the lines you've currently drawn as intact as possible, because the districts that are drawn for Stanislaus and San Joaquin counties meet our communities of interest and expectations. I know this has been challenging and
exhausting probably more times than not, with all the
time and work involved. And I think the current map
shows that. So I say thank you again and have a good
evening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now we have caller 9048. And up next after that
will be caller 7592. Caller 9048, if you will please
follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The
floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Thank you so much. I'm
Mike (phonetic) from North Hollywood. Thank you so much,
Commissioners. I cannot tell you what it meant to my
friends and neighbors to hear you all nod and to give
direction to unify our community with Toluca Lake --
huge. Thank you so much. This is what the process is
about. And just thank you for taking the time to hear
us. The maps are totally new, though, so not to be a
mouse looking for additional milk or additional cookie
here, but having said that, thank you. And if you're
going to draw North Hollywood and Toluca Lake, which
again, are like twin communities together, just asking
that, again, you draw us into the northern district. We
are really a below-the-line community. North Hollywood
really is the hub of renters in the San Fernando Valley.
And that ties with Valley Glen and Van Nuys and other
northern valley communities a lot better than these more
foothill-based communities, like Studio City, Burbank, et
cetera. So, again, drawing us north keeps our
socioeconomic groups together.

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Toluca Lake certainly
has more homes but really is culturally tied to North
Hollywood. So again, keeping that kind of poor, working
class below the line (indiscernible) entertainment,
Armenian communities together I think is really critical
moving forward. Again, thank you for hearing us. And
then as you update, please draw us north; don't draw us
south. Thank you so much, again. San Fernando Valley.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now we have 7592. If you will please follow the
prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is
yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, so I've heard
some of -- a lot of your presentation today, and so
I've -- I've -- I've been working on some -- some
modifications to the current -- the November 10th plan.
So my South San Mateo version would have both North Fair
Oaks and East Palo Alto in the San Mateo Assembly
district. The South 10 p district would have four of the
West Valley cities, and the Sunny-Tino district would
have the Asian majority, Sunnyvale and Cupertino, along
with Santa Clara and portions of San Jose. And I also
tweaked the Bonito district, as well. So I sent -- I
sent a file, and I guess I'll be sending an updated file
later today. In regards to -- goodness, I can't quite
recall the names of that, but I guess I'll address that
at another time. But yeah, I guess --

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. And as far as
Berryessa goes, if you were to divide it at Berryessa
Road, you would definitely be dividing the Berryessa
community. Thank you so much. I hope you'll see my maps
soon. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now we have caller 7365, and up next after that
will be caller 2515. Caller 7365, if you will please
follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can you hear me okay?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Just making sure. Perfect.

Thank you. I am calling because I'm calling for CD 25,
as well as SCVAD, and I want to voice my concern. I
would love to see those districts maintained and stay
intact. Part of the reason is you're not hearing that
those -- both of those communities are geographically
segmented. You have on the Simi Valley, you’ve got the 118, you’ve got the 23, and then on Santa Clarita, you got the 14 and the 5. Both of them are suburban communities, as well as bedroom communities. You’ve got commuters, and so they have similar needs in terms of transportation, similar shared resources in terms of water, fire, as well as you’re looking at being supported with it. Also with the Antelope Valley, Southern California Edison, and the rolling blackouts. And one of the other things is that when you have similar populations that are similar in density --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- as well as socioeconomic, you are also not getting allocated resources in terms of education. And so we’ve already seen the absence in terms of the Senate with the Santa Clarita Valley being split. You’ve got one senator that only owns a portion of the Santa Clarita Valley, and one Senator --

MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- that only owns the southern portion. And so we are not supported appropriately. So I'd like to see our AD, our Assembly district, as well as Congressional district.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 2515, and up next after that
will be caller 5719. Caller 2515, if you will please
follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Hello, my name is Sage
(phonetic). I'm calling from Santa Clarita, and I'm
calling because I -- I really like the Congressional map
for Santa Clarita that combines Antelope Valley, Santa
Clarita, and also San Fernando Valley. Simi Valley has
nothing to do with Santa Clarita. And I want to make
sure that they stay with Ventura County. I'm a disabled
veteran, and I can tell you that I know disabled veterans
over in -- in the Simi Valley that don't get the same
services because, you know, they're just an odd addition
to the current Congressional district. So it'll be
better for them and for, you know, not even just
veterans, but anyone in Simi Valley politically to be
with other communities in Ventura County and also
manufacturing. I work in manufacturing in Santa Clarita
and in San Fernando, and they are very much linked
economically. There's factories in Santa Clarita that
service other factories in San Fernando. There's a
factory in San Fernando

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: -- that makes vitamins
and packages those vitamins in Santa Clarita. You know,
there's a factory in Santa Clarita that makes autoclaves
for aerospace in San Fernando Valley and elsewhere. So please no Simi Valley with --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: -- Santa Clarita. And thank you for your time. Goodnight.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 5719. And up next after that will be caller 4149. Caller 5719, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MS. ROSEBERRY: Thank you so much. My name is Karen Roseberry (phonetic), and I'm a resident of (indiscernible) Valley, and I'm calling about the recent iteration changes to the outlet map and (indiscernible) Valley High Desert map, and the Santa Clarita Valley map. And it's my understanding that there will be some additional changes, and that there is still work to be done on the Southern California area, and I really hope that there's a lot of work to be done because the current iteration of the (indiscernible) Valley just being butchered. To use the 14 freeway as part of the boundary line is just literally dividing our community in half. It should not be a boundary line for dividing the Assembly districts that are there. It's cut school districts in half where you've got schools that are in the same school district that would be represented by
different Assembly members. And this is just doing a radical disservice to an area of Los Angeles County that is already underserved in so many ways --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MS. ROSEBERRY: -- especially in light of the population that's there. The Eureka Valley High Desert map goes from Lebec all the way to the state line. It incorporates communities that do not have communities of interest, and it excludes communities that actually do.

So please --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

MS. ROSEBERRY: -- rework those maps. We need to get them closer to what the (indiscernible) for. Thank you very much for your time. Have a great rest of your night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 4149, and up next after that will be caller 8037. Caller 4149, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners. Thank you for taking more of our comments and weighing all of this important feedback. My name is Kevin (phonetic). I'm calling from Long Beach. I'm a Long Beach resident here in Cambodia Town off of Junipero and PCH, 15th and Stanley to be specific. And I'm
calling in response to a number of calls that I hear come in to the Commission like almost every night about keeping Long Beach whole. It's really a strange thing for me to hear living in Long Beach, because, one, I don't really hear any reason why they want to keep Long Beach whole. It seems like a real strong, concerted kind of political effort. But when I actually think about the community of Long Beach, and particularly where I live here in Cambodia Town, which is very working class, my building is black, brown, Asian, working class, you know, the housing, homelessness issues, you know, violence and also the other things that we deal with in our community --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: -- and then I look at places like Naples where they have beachfront property -- not only beachfront property, they have property on canals with boats. We don't -- we can't afford boats here on the East Side or in North Side Long Beach. You know, we can barely afford our rent. Our issues that we're dealing with are very different. And it would make more sense to, you know, have -- have pairings of parts of Long Beach with other more urban communities of color that have --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now, we will have color 8037. And up next after that we'll be doing a re-try of caller 0649. Caller 8037, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi, Commissioners. As a resident of Laguna Niguel, I feel it's necessary to be in a district that is closely connected with the rest of Orange County cities west of the SR 73 toll road and the 405 freeway. Residents in this area share more interests in inland Orange County cities like Irvine. Also, the rest of the southern region of Orange County is currently excluded from the Orange coast and has been drawn in with San Diego cities. Cities like Dana Point should be together with Laguna Niguel and Laguna Beach. It should be the Commission's top priority to create one succinct Orange -- OC coastal district that goes from Seal Beach to San Clemente. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we will re-try caller 0649, and then up next after that, we will have caller 3135. Caller 0649, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MS. O'BRIEN: I'm here. This is in regards to Sonoma County. Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Molly Curly O'Brien (phoetic). I grew up in Sonoma
Valley. I live in Santa Rosa's Bennett Valley now with my husband and children. I'm actively involved in my community and work for an organization that both supports communities, navigate post wildfire destruction, and encourages more resilient communities in anticipation of the next wildfire. I bring up my work because when discussing Santa Rosa, your map, unfortunately cuts out -- off some of the neighborhoods most devastated by wildfire and most vulnerable to future fires. I'm talking about Spring Lake, Howarth Park, Annadel Park, just below Highway 12. This is where I live. Howarth Park is where I take my daughter to play. When we split away these regions, we duplicate for our stewardship services, fire suppression efforts, government services, and elected official leadership attention when the next catastrophic fire inevitably comes our way. This is -- this is not efficient nor effective. My suggestion is that the following communities should be reconfigured with the North Coast district --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MS. O'BRIEN: -- communities below Spring Lake between Summerfield and Hoen Avenue, as well as neighborhoods above Annadel, like Spring Lake Village Retirement Community, Stonegate, and Oakmont Community. Thank you.
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we will be going to caller 3135. And then up next after that will be the re-try of caller 6725. Caller 3135, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. And one more time. Caller 3135, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six if you wish to give comment this evening. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Hi. My name is Justice (phonetic), and I'm calling because I'm really concerned about how the Vallejo is being taken out of the Contra Costa map. You know, the black -- our community, the black community, we don't really have the political power. In Yolo, our voices will be muted because there are very few black people. And then also I feel that my Filipino friends, their voices will be muted if they're taken away from Hercules, and the Latino community will also have a difficult time. So I just really feel that it's unfair to take the black community out of -- the Vallejo black community and put us in Yolo County. We can't, you know, we have problems with brutality, and we --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: -- feel really alone. A lot of us, a lot of us work in Contra Costa County, and
we go to school in Richmond. And thank you for all --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.


PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And at this time, I'd like to re-try caller 6725. If you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MS. GARCIA: Hi, Commissioners. Good evening. My name is Heather Garcia (phonetic). I'm calling from up in the High Desert, San Bernardino County. I just wanted to kind of call in and express maybe some disappointment. I'm a little unhappy. A proposal to split up Hesperia and Victorville. It doesn't make whole lot of sense to me, especially given that all of these rural areas up here share like innumerable similar common interests. Also, it's already super difficult to travel across this district. Immensity, right? You only have the one major connecting road. And when there are accidents, I mean, it's just not functioning contiguous or efficient. Even more so, I know that in the past we've been represented by population centers in LA County, which kind of put all of us on the back burner, and it's ignored our voices of all these small communities. And it was all done in the eleventh hour. It just doesn't feel this is very well
considered. So I think I'm just kind of imploring you
guys to rethink this and accept my remarks and concerns
as a lifetime resident all throughout the High Desert.
You know, this is my home. So thank you so much --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MS. GARCIA: -- Hope everyone has a great evening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
at this juncture, that is all of our callers this
evening.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you so much, Katy, for working
down the queue. And thank you for the public for calling
in this evening and for the Commissioners for the
conversation and the discussion and our work through the
State of California. Tomorrow, we will be working on
Southern California, focused on Orange County, San Diego
and all of the southern Cal County, southern portions of
the state.

And in terms of the maps, the Los Angeles maps
should be ready. They're about to be posted if they
haven't been already, and they will also be on the
district viewer. So just to let the public know that
those maps will become available tonight at, hopefully
tonight, hopefully right now, and if not tomorrow
morning. But our intent is to post them tonight. We
have we have received them, and with that, we will be
starting with starting with San Diego or rather with Southern California, Orange County, San Diego area tomorrow at 11:00 a.m. Saturday, and we will be going until we need to, to get those maps where we want them and to get them as fair as possible and to work through Southern California.

And with that, we are in recess for tonight. And thank you all for you for everything and for all of your discussion and conversation. So thank you. And see you tomorrow.

(Whereupon, the 2021 Citizens Redistricting Commission (CRC) meeting adjourned at 8:13 p.m.)
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