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CHAIR ANDERSEN: Good morning, California. And welcome to the California Citizens Redistricting Commissioner meeting. I'm Jane Andersen. I'm your chair through this meeting, along with my vice chair, Ray Kennedy. We're going to be working on the Congressional districts -- continuing to work on the Congressional districts.

Today, there -- postage should be posted on our website is the run of show. And today, we will be having five drawing -- ninety-minute drawing sessions, similar to what we did yesterday, which means we'll be actually working until 8 o'clock. So at 8:15, that is when we will be actually listening to public comment. You must call in before 6 to get in the queue, and we will be taking public comment at 8:15. And this morning, we will be going into a meeting, and then having a -- then we'll be doing our roll call, and then we will be going into a closed session in short time.

So please have a -- please give us the roll call.

MR. SINGH: Yes, Madam Chair. Thank you.

Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Presente.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Fornaciari.
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Kennedy.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Le Mons.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Estoy aqui.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Toledo.

Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Vazquez.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Hi. Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Ahmad.

Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I'm here.

MR. SINGH: And Commissioner Andersen.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And I'm here. Thank you very much, Robby (ph.).

MR. SINGH: You're welcome.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: So sort of already gone through the run of show. And we will be taking a forty-five-minute lunch today, will be longer than our thirty-minute. So you guys, we will be having, as I said, quite a solid day. This morning in our first two sessions, we will be working on Los Angeles. After lunch, we will be starting on the North. And we -- 'cause this is our -- our schedule is two days on Los Angeles -- Southern California, two days in the Northern, and our last day we will be picking up everything else. So that is how we will proceed today.

And with that, do we have any -- do the Commissioners have any announcements or comments or anything like that?

Okay. Well, seeing no hands, I think we can continue. And at this point -- oh, yes. I'm sorry. One more thing to know.

We have -- up on our map viewer, if you go to our website wedrawthelinesca.org, and go into our map viewer, which I believe is in the data area -- yes -- then it will actually have the iterations for Southern California that we were working on yesterday. So when we come back out of our closed session, that is what we'll go to, and we'll have a review of what we did yesterday. And that will be for the -- oh. And it also has our -- the
Assembly iterations are also up there from last week. Well, actually, from Monday of this week. So -- and those will be dated.

So the way to determine which is which is go for the latest date. That is the correct version, because we have our draft maps, and then the other iterations are dated as iteration this and this. So for the public to make sure that you are able to follow along. And we also appreciate there was some confusion with what was posted on the website in terms of naming, being not consistent with what we were looking at. And that has all been cleared up. We really appreciate the public notifying us of that. And as I said, it's a -- it takes a whole -- all of California to get this job done. And we really, really appreciate all our comments from the public and their great participation.

So with that, we will go into closed session, and then we'll be back at -- let's see -- we'll just say we'll be back at 12 at noon. Thank you.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 11:07 a.m. until 12:45 p.m.)

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Welcome back, everyone, to the California Citizens Redistricting Commission. Our meeting is going to continue now with our map drawing.

Back out of closed session where no action was taken.
And moving on here. We are going to go through a
review of what we did yesterday in the Los Angeles area,
and actually, even a summary of, I believe, our line
drawing elves were active last night and helped us clean
up a few things.

So Jamie, could you please walk us through where we
are now?

MS. CLARK: Absolutely. Thank you, Chair Andersen.
And would you just like me to walk through what I did
overnight in LA?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Or if anything that happened
overnight that might've changed --

MS. CLARK: Okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- you know --

MS. CLARK: Yeah.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- the LA or the previous work.

MS. CLARK: So just like a broad overview, I did
balance the districts in Orange County. There -- we left
it, and there was, like, 398 people under in one of the
districts or something like that. So that change all
occurred within Orange County. So those have not changed
significantly since yesterday when the public watched you
do this live line drawing. Just a note that I did
balance those.

And then I will start in LA County in the CDCOV.
The direction I received was to balance this district by pulling in Glendora and Claremont if needed. So just pulled in part of the Southern part of Claremont. It's at a zero population, and the Latino CVAP is 54.68 percent.

Moving to South 60, I did add Commerce into this district. That was something that was discussed by the Commission yesterday in open session, and I did do that to balance this district to negative one people. I also slightly split Downey, the city of Downey. And the Latino CVAP in this district is 56.02 percent.

Additionally, in the LB North district, there is a split in Long Beach, and it includes Lakewood, Bellflower, Downey, and some of these Gateway cities, including Florence, Huntington Park, and Walnut Park being together. This was also part of Commission direction and was -- is similar to areas that were included in public input that the Commission had pointed me to in open session yesterday. And this is negative one percent deviation.

For SP710, this is balanced to six people. It includes South Gate, Lynwood whole, Paramount, Northern areas of Long Beach and Signal Hill. This area here, there's a COI of my speakers here. So just respecting that COI. This also includes Carson and West Carson, and
then Wilmington, San Pedro. Coastal San Pedro is split for population purposes. This is a similar -- or the same split in this area as to what we had in Assembly. And per Commission direction, the courts are not together.

Moving to shoreline, Santa Monica is included in this district now. For population reasons, Gardena is not. Gardena is included in South LA. STHLA hasn't changed significantly, just balancing population. This includes LAX, Inglewood, Hawthorne, Lawndale, Gardena, Westmont, Compton, Watts, and other areas here.

I will move North to 10 corridor. This also hasn't changed a ton. I did include downtown Los Angeles with Historic South Central. Adjusted this line to include the COI of Koreatown. So Greater Wilshire is split but included in this visualization. Additionally, to make up for some of the population switches, including the Shoreline area, yeah, just some slight changes here, and Culver City is whole.

I'm going to zoom out to the Malibu SFV district. Changes here that involve Santa Monica being removed, or that the Westwood North Neighborhood Council area is included, as well as Bel Air, Beverly Crest. Studio City is also included now. This is just for population.

I'm going to move on to CDNELA. This includes East
Los Angeles, Boyle Heights. I tried to add as much of
Eagle Rock as possible while still balancing population,
including more of Echo Park, I believe, and then also
including the COI boundary -- areas included in the COI
boundary of Koreatown.

Next, let's look at GLEN2BA. This includes Glendale
and Burbank whole, Glassell Park, Silver Lake, Hollywood
Hills, West Hollywood, Beverly Hills, and Mid-City. The
boundaries in the North changed a little bit for
population just in trying to balance these two closer to
zero.

Looking at CD210, this includes the same areas in
San Bernadino County as when the Commission left off-line
drawing with Sivan yesterday. The change here includes
this line in Glendora moving further North to balance
population. Pasadena is split. And that, again, is just
a result of total population requirements for
Congressional districts.

Looking at the district just called SFV, this
includes Reseda, Lake Balboa, Van Nuys, North of Oxnard.
So the POSO neighborhood is included in the district to
the South of that. This includes North Hollywood areas,
Pacoima, the City of San Fernando, and Mission Hills.

And then moving up to AVSCV. It's very, pretty
similar geographically speaking to what was here at the
beginning of yesterday. This includes Somar, Santa Clarita Valley, all of Antelope Valley. And then just for population -- consolidated population discrepancies between, you know, the rest of Southern California and Los Angeles County moved this area, which is East of Lancaster-Palmdale area and included that with MORCOA. That population doesn't necessarily have to go to MORCOA, but just going to zoom out. And this district then is a place that can accept that 17,000-some-odd people that will be coming from the Northern part of the state down to the Southern part of the state to balance all of the population. So just kind of to help us all visualize how that could work, consolidated the population discrepancies here in MORCOA, but again, it doesn't necessarily need to be there.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Wonderful. Well, thank you, Jamie. That was quite a lot of -- quite a lot of work. We certainly really appreciate that.

So at this time, what the Commission -- we still need to -- you know, do we like these? Do we want to look at these? And we were thinking about some architectural changes. And if there's any of that, let's -- we need to address now.

Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. Can we go back to the
Pomona-Chino area? I just want to take a look at that district a little bit more. Yeah, this looks good.

Okay. I'm okay with it. Thank you. Sorry about that.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No. That's quite all right.

Now, Commissioners, do we like this the way it is, we want to go through things, make changes? You know, I know we were concerned at some issues that areas weren't connected to the other areas. We wanted to see if we might be able to work with some of that. Now, is the time to do that. And if you -- if -- so let's bring up what you'd like to do or what you think you'd like, and then get very precise if we want to actually draw any lines -- move any lines.

Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you. Because I know there's so many different varying requirements in Los Angeles, would it be possible for legal to provide us -- you know, they gave us the VRA maps with all the Gingles requirements and all that, but it was kind of -- in general for the Los Angeles area, could we have a more specific map so we make sure that we are doing right by the folks who need to be protected?

MR. BECKER: Yes, absolutely. We'll make sure we get you a zoomed-in map (indiscernible) with assessment of the three Gingles preconditions.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. And I -- would it be helpful if we had the different cities on it for the different neighborhoods? That, I believe, yes.

MR. BECKER: We can do that.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Let's -- great. Thank you very much.

Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you.

And thank you, Jamie, for all of your work on this.

This is -- I mean, even just seeing the populations get down this low is pretty incredible stuff. So I really want to thank you.

And I think we've accomplished many of the things that we set out to do yesterday when we gave some priorities. Certainly things like bringing the San Gabriel Valley back together, taking Santa Monica out of the San Fernando Valley, keeping the two parts separate, which I think there had been some split on that amongst Commissioners, which is fine, maintaining historic communities in South LA. So I think this map does a lot of good things.

That being said, I do still think that there's -- I am a little concerned about how we're breaking up communities in the Southeast Los Angeles area. When we take in a closer look there at areas like Huntington
Park, Florence-Graham, South Gate, Lynwood, and how they are paired, all the way South down into places like Long Beach, which I think we've heard a lot of COI testimony that those communities are quite different. Definitely gives me some pause. In addition, I'll just say, you know, where we left off in our maps yesterday in our work on Orange County, you know, it -- I'm curious to hear where others land on what we accomplished yesterday. I think we heard a lot of testimony last night that it perhaps is not reflecting the desires of communities. And interestingly, those two areas are all near each other, and so I'm wondering if there are potentially some -- some minor reconstruction that could be done in -- throughout this area that could better reflect the communities on the ground as we move forward with this map. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Sadhwani. Jaime, did you want to address the issue that we -- of the Orange County, if we wanted to rearrange things here, would that affect Los Angeles? Would it not? Would you please discuss a little bit about that?

MS. CLARK: Yeah. So I am -- I don't know which specific areas you would wish to address, so hard to say -- hard to say, I guess. So I guess -- and, you know, as -- as I've mentioned to the Commission before,
we just go off the Commission direction. We don't necessarily, you know, last night I didn't stay on the line to listen to all of the public comments. So if you could give more specific information about exactly what areas you're looking to address, then I can give you a better answer.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you. But I do see, just casually looking at it that Orange County is -- it is, except for a few exceptions, it's essentially contained in -- within Orange County. So as long as we're making some even switches, that should pose no problem -- a fair statement to say?

MS. CLARK: Yeah. That's correct, yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner Kennedy.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. Three things. First of all, you know, we saw over the last couple of days how difficult it is to get these population deviations so close to zero, so yes, I want to thank Jaime for a lot of hard work. I do want to call our attention to the North Hollywood, Toluca Lake area because it seems like that's -- that's gone in the other direction from where I had hoped it would go. And I don't know that there's a solution. I just want to inquire if there is a way group, you know, North
Hollywood, Toluca Lake, Valley Village, Valley Glenn area a little bit better.

Second of all, if we could look at the Northern tip of the San Fernando Valley district. An look at extending that North, well into the forest. I don't know that there's population there, but I think that that would be a better way to go with that.

And finally, I response to Commissioner Sadhwani's question about Orange County, I think, particularly after hearing the input that we've received since yesterday, I would -- I would favor going back and rotating population in Orange County to remove Huntington Beach from SANANAANA. Putting all of it, or as much of it as possible into the North Orange coast. Rotating population up to OCSBLA and then back into SANANAANA by taking in, you know, theoretically the rest of Fullerton or Fullerton and Brea, not -- I don't recall exactly how that worked out, you know, I would like to preserve the Costa Mesa, Irvine, Tustin area as a -- as block, if possible, and make the population adjustments farther South between North Orange coast and OCSBLA. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy.

Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah, two things. First, looks -- Jaime, it looks like you took care of the postal
line. I think it's the last one we needed to move.
Okay. So I just wanted to confirm that. Postal folks, you can now relax. And Gardinia -- Gardena, I know we had a lot of COI testimony to try to keep Gardena or South Gardena with Torrance. Wondering if there was any way we could to do that. I -- but I don't know the area well enough to know what trade off would make any sense. I just wanted to mention that.

MS. CLARK: So yeah. That is -- there is a way to do that. It's the discretion of the Commission. If there is something else you would like to split or adjust. Bearing in mind that this current configuration of SP 710, there are some VRA considerations in some of the areas included in that district right now.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

MS. CLARK: And just another reminder that this was part -- like adding Gardena wholly to STHLA (ph.) was part of the trade-off of moving Santa Monica from the district with Malibu and San Fernando Valley.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you.

Commissioner Akutagawa:

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Thank you. Sorry, I can't see the map fully so I'm not sure if I can make a suggestion right now based on what Commissioner Yee has asked about Gardena. But I think if we could, it seems
like, hopefully, what would be a simple - simple fix. I do want to also just weigh in on Orange County and I agree, I think we heard quite a bit of testimony yesterday, feedback that we have asked communities to continue to provide us on our maps. And I think we heard loud and clear that there was quite a bit of -- maybe I won't say, just disappointment, but concern about the pairings that we created. So I do want to just point out the, I think the separation of Fullerton from Buena Park and Santa -- SANANAANA district. And also -- we also heard quite a bit, as we had spoken about previously about the -- I'm going to call it the economic differences between the Huntington Beach and -- even Seal Beach, I would say, with those groupings of cities in the SANANAANA district.

So if there's a way that we can be creative and figure something out. I think we've also said that, while we've receive lots of COI testimony that they don't want to cross over into Orange County -- mean into LA and into Long Beach, I think at this point, we just need to be flexible on something like that. I think to keep our communities together, seems like that's a small price to pay. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I think you just
following up in terms of the issues as I see them. I agree with both Commissioner Akutagawa and Commissioner Kennedy about the possibilities for swapping -- reorienting, let's say, some of those Orange County Districts. I think what I'm hearing on the one hand, as Commissioner Kennedy laid out is, there's a possibility of swapping out that Northern portion of Fullerton and putting it back into SANANAANA.

And then on the other side, trying to pull in additional population by hopefully keeping the City of Huntington Beach whole. So I think that could be one potential localized solution.

Alternatively, however, if we're looking a little bit more holistically at this region, and again, I don't know if others share my concerns about Southeast Las Angeles. Another possible option could be pulling together Long Beach, Seal Beach, Huntington Beach and attempting to keep Huntington Beach as whole as possible. We would continue to have a split in Long Beach, which I know is what they want either.

But it could at least be a coastal district, which could then open up additional opportunities to potentially pull Fullerton back in with Buena Park, as well as make some adjustments in Southeast Los Angeles to keep some of those communities who are compact and have
asked to be kept together closer aligned. That would be a less localized change, however. So you know, I want to lay that out and would love to hear thoughts from my colleagues.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER Sinay: I completely agree with what Commissioner Sadhwani said, just because it does open up some -- I am concerned about the Southeast part of Los Angeles as well. I did want to say that we have heard conflicting testimony about Gardena. So we have heard straight out, you know, that part of Gardena to go with Torrance. And we've also heard, keep Gardena whole and keep it with the traditional working class neighborhoods in Inglewood and Hawthorne and that area.

So this does allow us to, you know, to in some maps we're respecting one COI and in other maps we're respecting the other COI. I could be wrong with that, but I really do think that we do have those two different remarks.

I also -- if possible, it would be great to have San Pedro be whole. I think that what was done, was it was a great compromise, you know, you have Long Beach and San Pedro, but you have the two ports separate, which is really what they want, because that's where the competition is. That if we could have all of, yeah. But
I do think that that is as good a place where the --
where the cut-off is, having been there. And I -- and
I'm wondering if we could maybe start with the Long Beach
in Orange County and just to get, you know, feel like we
got something done before lunch. And then move on, you
know, continue move on from there. But if we could try
to finish up Orange County before lunch.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Sinay.
Okay. I've been taking notes and I believe we have six
different items that we'd like to address. And I'm --
I'll list them, but them I'm going to suggest which ones
we should do first, which one's will be easier, low
hanging fruit.

We have the Central LA -- these areas, which we have
been talking about yesterday as the area -- maybe I'm not
saying Central LA, but that interior -- it's, I don't
want it call it Central LA, because that has different
names. I mean the middle of that. So I don't want to
specifically say which particular neighborhoods I'm
talking about.

And then we also have that Toluca Lake, North
Hollywood issue. We have the Forest area, up into the
Angela Forest. We have the Gardena and the Torrance --
half it going down Torrance, the whole going up as it is.
We have the San Pedro, keeping the ports separate. And
we have Orange County.

And I believe what we should do, because once of the easiest ones is -- we can give direction to Jaime on the Forest area. Could you please go up to the Angels Forest where Commissioner Kennedy brought that up? I don't believe there's any conflict in that. There's no population, if we could extend that that border line up into the Angeles Forest. So again, we're looking for more -- Commissioner Kennedy, I'm -- I'm sort of quoting a little bit or producing that what you were saying -- oh, Ms. Clark.

MS. CLARK: Yeah. Thank you. I'm unclear if you are asking me to do that right now. I would say that probably addressing the bigger changes, like, first, maybe coming -- understanding what --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

MS. CLARK: -- oh, okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm just saying that, no, quite all right. I think this is an area we can just give you direction and say, could you please do that one up in the Forest area. And yeah, I think -- do you need -- we -- we had some thought over that before. I don't believe you need -- if you need more specific instruction, please let us know.

MS. CLARK: No.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Great. Okay, the Gardena Torrance area. That's an issue which we'll probably discuss, which is another easy one, one way or the other. These are also items that could ask Jaime to come back with us, you know, what would it mean. And also that Toluca Lake. Which would then put us into spend the time in the Orange County and the Central -- the middle of Los Angeles, which is where we need to spend the time.

So with that plan, do we want to spend a little of time on the Gardena, Torrance, Toluca Lake area. Mr. Kennedy, do you need to be more specific about what you were hoping to see. And we can give instruction to Ms. Clark to do this off-line? Or --

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I think I mentioned that the objective was to try to group as much as possible of Toluca Lake, North Hollywood, Valley Village, Valley Glenn, and Van Nuys together as possible. Again, I understand after the last two days how difficult it is to get these population deviations down to zero. But looks like we've -- that general area now sits in three districts and would certainly want to try to bring that down if we're not able to consolidate the entire area. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Ms. Clark.

MS. CLARK: I'm just -- thank you so much,
Commissioner Kennedy and just a question for the Commission. Is it, you know, happy to look at that and try and make those changes. It's certainly possible to balance everything to plus or minus one person. And a question is that yesterday the Commissioner also identified a goal of not losing any of the districts that are currently over 50 percent Latino CVAP regardless of whether or not there are varied considerations in those areas. And I will explore this change and just wanted to understand which is the -- which is the preference of the Commission if both are not possible?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Well, that's a very good point.

Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Just answering Jaime's question right there. I think that keeping the Latino, you know, 50 percent Latino, you know, any community CVAP, if it's over 50 percent, any minor community CVAP is over 50 percent is a priority for me.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. I think that speaks for the Commission.

Commissioner Vazquez?

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Oh, yes. I was going to agree.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner
Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Absolutely.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. That's a -- yeah. I don't think that was a I -- thank you very much for -- someone had to say it, Commissioner Sinay, and thank you very much. I think that's a direct -- a direction.

Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And triple, quadruple.


Another item down. The Gardena, Torrance. Do we want to discuss that for a minute or two? And who has the COI on that? Now, if we did -- I'm sorry, Commissioner Sinay, I think you were saying it was part of Gardena, or did we have that divided before? Part of Gardena going someplace?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: We have had it -- we've had Gardena -- yeah, cut right where Jaime was showing and with Torrance, because it's a strong Asian business community going down that part of Gardena and then going down the East side of Torrance, using Hawthorne as the street where kind of that COI ends.

The -- we've heard from Torrance -- I think it was our first public input comment back in September of '20 -- what year are we -- okay, 2020 -- that the first time someone called in saying, don't split us this year.
And the only reason I remember it so vividly is because I've grown up in the South Bay. And that's why I also -- the Hawthorne -- but we have also heard from traditional Black communities, then working-class communities, that there is a -- Gardena is -- is part of their COI as well. And I'm trying to get exact information. And I've been going through all of them. But I just want to say that there is different ways of looking at this one. We've kept it simple in the past, but it can be more complex.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you.

Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah, just quickly, and I apologize. I should have mentioned this earlier. I just to remind everyone that our draft maps were mainly put together based on the feedback and the communities of interest that were received prior to the draft maps. So I just don't want us to lose that, because it's so easy to react to the recent input that we receive, but let's not lose the input that we already have prior to November 10th. So I just wanted to make sure that we don't lose that piece of it as well. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Fernandez.

That's a -- very valuable information, because you're right. We really were -- those were based mostly on communities of interest and I think Commissioner Sinay
had said earlier, let's not forget all the input that we've heard, just because we hear something new.

So Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you, Chair.

The COI input that Commissioner Sinay may have been referring to, but certainly that I'm reading for this area, is that it's the Northeast portion of Torrance. And it is the -- with Inglewood. And their cut is at Hawthorne and North of Sepulveda, I believe. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Sorry, so the cut in Torrance is that area -- want to go up --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: That's a different COI, but --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: All -- all of Gardena and the Northeast portion of Torrance with Inglewood. And Torrance is cut at Hawthorne Boulevard.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So basically, we have two COIs here. And they're kind of -- they're competing to a certain extent and not, I mean, they're competing for Gardena. So there's that Asian business COI that is cut -- is done the way that we did last time, going down to Torrance, using Hawthorne all the way until Lomita. And then we have another Black business and cultural COI that is all of Gardena and then the Northeast part of Torrance.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Uh-hum. Would the Commission like to see the two different ways and so we can say which one, general consensus where we would like to go?
Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah, I was going to say, the COI that I'm reading from is coming from Advancing Justice, which is representing and respecting the AAPI, the AMEMSA, along with the Black citizens census in redistricting hub, and a few other groups consideration of the Pacific Islander group, et cetera. So I think they're representing the Filipino COIs as well. So I think they're representing a couple of groups. So we can look and see where there is a difference or a distinction between two different COIs, but they represent a wide group as well. So it shouldn't be too different, but we'll, let's look at it.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right. Jaime, could you -- could kind of have a look and give us what that would -- what that would do, like, where -- could we find where that is in Torrance, please?

MS. CLARK: Yeah. And just to clarify -- absolutely, I can. And just to clarify. Is the direction to include this area and Torrance into South LA or to remove the Southern portion of Gardena and include that in the shoreline?
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Actually, that's -- you've exactly described the two options. So could you sort of outline one and save the first one outline. So we take part of Torrance put it up. We're going to have a look just at the, like the CVAPS and what that would look like and how that would affect all the populations. And then we'll do the opposite. So we'll have the two different versions and come to consensus -- or, I'm sorry, two different version or, you know, leave it the way it is. That's the two different cuts or keep it whole, the way it is.

And is this the line -- oh, Hawthorne, I see.

MS. CLARK: So I'm going to zoom out. This area, this is the Northeastern part of Torrance. And this line is Hawthorne. This is Sepulveda. And these are the city boundaries. And this represents 67,098 people.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Ouch. That's a lot of people.

MS. CLARK: And just noting that for population purposes, there's this little census block group here that is currently also in shoreline that would become noncontiguous. So we have to address that as well. But, you know, that's okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. That's okay. And could we see the deviations for that please? Not deviations -- the actual CVAPS for that -- say if we add that to South LA --
MS. CLARK: So it will -- if this was added to South LA, then South LA would be over populated by 67,000 people, but --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right.

MS. CLARK: -- the Latino CVAP would be 42.42. The Black CVAP would be 35.46. The Asian CVAP would be 8.85. And the White CVAP would be 11.47.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

MS. CLARK: And I apologize to the interpreters, I will slow down.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, okay. And could you just pan out, please -- zoom out just a little so we could see the whole thing? All right. So to add that many people, something -- some other area would have to -- have to go -- some other -- sorry. Some other people would move to a different district. Okay.

Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I would recommend that we do go in this direction, just because, you know, we went in the other direction last time, and that might free up some areas -- yeah, we may need to do some things differently, as we've said in Southeast LA. And we might, you know, if it doesn't work out when we do the Southeast LA.

The other option is just leave this in our potential list and we come back to it after we figure out what
we're doing in Southeast LA. I just -- I don't feel good moving anything until we figure out what we're doing in the Southeast LA part.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Sinay. I was just going to say, I did not realize the size of the area you were talking about. I thought it would be a nice kind of simple thing. That's not the case. So I think we might, as you say, put that on pause for a moment, thinking this could be an area where we rearrange some population. And address our -- the middle of LA issue. And I think that instead of -- and then -- and the Orange County.

And so with that idea, I -- I'm thinking we look at LA and see how that, we might want to go there to see, like Commissioner Sadhwani said it -- she has an idea that could affect Orange County in that direction. Or if we do Orange County separately. So that's what -- that's what I'm proposing to the group. So let's decide which way we'd like to go.

Commissioner Sinay, do you have a different idea on this, or do you still have your hand up? Okay.

Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. Before we -- I agree with that, Chair, and Commissioner Sinay, I just -- before we move on, I just want to take a look at
shoreline. It looks like there's a tiny-tiny neck. And I just wanted to take a closer look at -- is that what we're looking at in corner of Westchester? And are we -- it looks like it's just a beach. Is that a problem for us at all?

Legal, we have a neck.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: We have Mr. Larson with us?

MR. BECKER: I'm on right now.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, thank you. Can you zoom out, please?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I mean, I'm assuming this is respecting the neighborhood council boundary? I'm not sure what the neighborhood council boundary is --

MR. BECKER: Yeah, I --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, I --

MR. BECKER: can you zoom out a touch more. I'm sorry. I'm sorry, Commissioner, Sadhwani, I didn't mean to interrupt. Thank you. Just a touch more as I -- so I can see a little more of the context here.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Is that a city boundary, Jaime?

MS. CLARK: This is not the city boundary. This is where LAX ends. Previously, the end -- this boundary has been here for a couple iterations, I think. This was created because the Commission would like to have LAX with Inglewood. And there is a strip of beach just West
of LAX and that is what is represented there.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

MR. BECKER: And am I right, Jaime, that the -- I'm sorry. Is the gray area there -- maybe you can zoom back in, please. Just trying to be responsive to Commissioner Sadhwani's question. The gray area in there, if you could zoom in at Westchester Playa -- where it says Westchester Playa where it's LAX, I think --

MS. CLARK: This is LAX.

MR. BECKER: Yeah. Am I right that the gray area is basically unpopulated? Unless Tom Hanks is still living in airports?

MS. CLARK: I am not sure exactly what you mean by the gray area, but there is some population in some of these census blocks. I think most of -- most of them are up here. But in this area, I believe it's -- I believe it's mostly zero population. I can turn on the census block layer and we can have a look. So there's four people in this census block. Up here there's 32 people, but largely unpopulated. There is one person assigned to this census block.

MR. BECKER: Someone go find that person. I think this -- likely, given the relative nonpopulation in that area, which is just created when you have an airport that's literally up against the Pacific, that probably is
justifiable and doesn't create significant contiguity or compactness concerns. If we want to go into more detail, we could probably discuss it in closed session. But I think it's -- I think this is likely not a -- not a significant legal concern.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: No. I was -- I'm sorry. I was just, like, looking at it and if there's zero population, why we just don't grab it so there isn't that border, but I guess that's okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Jaime can speak to this better, but the reason we had that little sliver is to connect the two coasts -- the coast because the airport is going inland and the coast. And really, having grown up in that area, that's a bypass. And it's used all the time in that -- in that regard. And some and dock while, you know, and the beach. So you can -- so I wouldn't grab it because that's what's keep us -- the coast all together.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. And you can't own the coastline on the West coast, it's public. Okay. So back to the matter at hand. Do we dive into the central LA area and/or just talk about what we want to do there. We want to do Orange County? Or a combination? I believe we should -- I think we should figure out what we're
trying to do in Los Angeles. What areas we're looking at.

Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, Chair, I was actually going to suggest we perhaps look a little bit more at Orange County. We've laid out a different possible options in that area. And it would be great just to see what the range of options are. And then also to feel out Commissioners on either one of those options, because, you know, the first one was that more localized option of swapping Fullerton and Huntington Beach, potentially.

And we have to take a look at the population and what would balance. The second one would significantly impact the Los Angeles map. And I think we need -- we would need to make a decision on which direction we might want to go to do that.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right. That's certainly a plan. And yeah.

Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, I -- Commissioner Sadhwani, I -- I'm not sure what the second option you're referring to was?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: The second one was, we've received COI testimony that would bring together portions of Long Beach, probably maintaining this sort of split
down into Huntington Beach, which might be another option. We had a lot of testimony last night about keeping Huntington Beach whole, as whole as possible. And then a coastal district. We had a lot of testimony about keeping it out of districts with some of the communities further up in El Cerrito and Bueno Park so that would be an option to do that and create a more coastal-based district.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, okay. So either way, though, if we're going to -- if we put Buena Park with Fullerton, you got to march that population around and then figure out and get that population -- get that population there in the -- in the Southeastern -- Western corner. And then figure out what we're going to do with the whole of this Southern part of LA County. So, yeah. I vote we start with Orange county and balance it out.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All righty. Orange County it is. Could we have a quick look at, oh -- sorry, Jaime, should be calling Sivan in?

MS. CLARK: Sivan has earned well -- a well-deserved day off. So I'm happy to map with you now.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you very much. In which case, let's make sure -- here we are in Orange County. And I'm just -- again, I'm going to summarize a couple of things that I've heard. And then we can sort of add to
that or detract from that. I believe we're talking about adding Fullerton and possibly parts of Brea to Buena Park. And then -- Jaime, back out for a minute, because I'm -- I'll do the kind of, what I'm hearing, then we can kind of do the whole thing. Yeah, the idea adding Fullerton, possibly parts of Brea to Buena Park. And then -- and so then, however that population is -- whatever that adding in, extend Huntington Beach down into that -- that's correct. Move that line down however far.

And then I'm also hearing that we still want to keep Irvine, Costa Mesa, Huntington Beach, Newport Beach, in together. And that's, I believe, someone had said -- maybe I just heard this, that maybe moving Tustin into the inland -- OCB -- OCSBLA or something like that.

Ms. Clark.

MS. CLARK: Thank you so much for that overview. I did hear, I think, Commissioner Akutagawa say she wanted to keep Costa Mesa, Tustin, and Irvine blocked in as one COI. And just a suggestion, depending on what the overall goal is, is to start at that district, you know, for example, moving in Fullerton, this Northern part of Fullerton is about 82,000 people. We know yesterday that this Huntington Beach move, I think was about 22,000 people or so. So just kind of thinking ahead in terms of
what's the overarching goal. And then starting at that
district to try and maintain a boundary around where
you're interested in maintaining a boundary.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you very much. Commissioner
Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'm still unclear about the
goal. So I'm just wondering what the goal is here. Is
it to make Huntington Beach whole? Is it to connect
Fortune and Buena Park together. All of which are --
would be fine. I'm just trying to make sure that I
understand what the goal that we're trying to reach in
this area is because I'm still not quite understanding.
And it may just be me because we've spent quite a bit of
time on this yesterday. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I've -- what I heard, and this is
just one idea. So I'll bring it up there and we can
shoot it down or rearrange it, however we want, is the
idea to -- Huntington Beach with Costa Mesa, Newport
Beach, Orange, gets -- which removes Huntington Beach
from the inland cities of, you know, Fountain Valley,
Garden Grove -- for economic reason. And possibly adding
Sea Beach to that.

So it could also be Seal Beach, Huntington Beach,
Costa Mesa, Orange, Newport. I think it was Commissioner
Kennedy that had said Tustin with them. And then that
would that, if we did it that way. It would -- is that Cypress, Buena Park, Fullerton, Brea, would connect up in that direction.

And then we'd probably have to grab more from the OCSB, would be more down in the South area. We would have to kind of do a rotation. Actually, kind of almost that way. Was -- that was what I heard. But -- does that sound like a -- Commissioner Akutagawa has --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I still don't understand the goal. I mean, I understand the movements of people, but what are we trying to achieve.

At the end of the day, if we do all of these things, what is it that we want to have happen?

Do we want, you know, a district that is -- and I -- we oftentimes think about it in terms of anchors. What's the anchor that we're trying to achieve or what's -- what, you know, what is this that we're trying to create --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I think the anchor?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- going to look like?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I believe anchor that I heard was, Huntington Beach whole and away from the inland areas. And then also with Costa Mesa and Newport, essentially the beaches. Except they also want to put Costa Mesa and Orange -- mean, and sorry, Orange -- Irvine. Irvine,
because I see the word Orange -- so with Irvine, that area wants to be together, is what I've heard.

And additionally, the Cypress -- or whatever is under Santa Ana -- that, Buena Park, Fullerton, also want to be together. That's what I heard. Anyone else?

Commissioner Kennedy.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. We -- we've received some input that should be posted, but input from OCET suggesting that the moves that might be made on this, moving the Northern portion of Fullerton, Brea, and Placentia West of North Kramer and South of Bastunchury into SANANAANA.

Move the Northern portion of Huntington Beach into North Orange coast. And the move, probably Laguna Hills, Laguna Woods, Aliso Viejo, and Tustin, North of the 5 into OCSBLA. But I would say, you know, let's avoid that split of Tustin, if we can. Looking at those other communities around Laguna Hills, Laguna Woods, Aliso Viejo, to see what we could do. Thank you. Okay?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy.

Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So yeah, I saw that but we can't just grab the Northern part of Huntington Beach, right?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No. To add that, which would make
Huntington Beach whole.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: It's physically impossible, right. Just to grab the Northern part of Huntington Beach without moving that line, the vertical line East away -- or West, I'm sorry.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: You know, I just -- I would acknowledge that.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right. I think the idea is, if we determine how much population is in -- is in the rest of Huntington Beach. And then match that with Fullerton -- plus Placentia, Brea, that part -- exchange, that's what I'm assuming, yes? No?

Commissioner Kennedy is that what you're reading? Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I was just wondering. I'm just thinking, we may want to put a time limit on this. Maybe it's until lunch, because it's -- 2:15 is right around the corner and --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Great.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- because we do have the VRA districts to do.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, okay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So let's give that a go please.
Jaime, could you tell us what the population is in Huntington -- the rest of Huntington Beach? Commissioner Kennedy.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY? Sorry.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, all right. Okay, no, I thought you wanted to walk us through this.

MS. CLARK: The highlighted area in Huntington Beach is 147,952.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Jaime, could you do a snapshot of this whole thing, because this is a trial. We'll see how this goes. And if could just hit click and reverse all, please. Now, we know it's 147, so could you then go up to Fullerton, Placentia, and see what population that would be?

MS. CLARK: So it's all of Placentia and all of Brea or what areas precisely?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Kennedy, do you have that -- well, just for --

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes. Thank you, I do.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: It's Northern portion of Fullerton, Brea, and Placentia, West of North Kramer Boulevard, and generally South of Bastunchury.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That's 130,000.

MS. CLARK: Would you like to see the entire area or
is knowing that this is 130,000 sufficient?
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. That -- that's sufficient.
Let's have a look at the area in Placentia, please.
Okay, this -- we're doing an explanation -- exploration, so we don't need -- we need approximately, let's say.
Even though it is Congressional.
MS. CLARK: So this is Kramer. I apologize, Commissioner Kennedy, could you please repeat those street names?
VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: West of North Kramer, and generally South of Bastunchury. So Bastunchury we've seen in Fullerton. So it would apparently be all of Placentia West of North Kramer.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh.
MS. CLARK: This line is Kramer.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Well, that is very close.
MS. CLARK: So this 146,655.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: That other one was 147,000. So what does the Commissioner think? Would you like to try that?
MS. CLARK: And then just a note that you would still need to -- oh know, that is an equal swap, pardon me.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Fornaciari.
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So I guess my question is,
what is the third swap going to be? We're going to split
Irvine then? Or what --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, I believe that's

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- Tustin goes North? Or
what was the third --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I think Commissioner Kennedy had
Laguna Woods, Aliso Viejo -- that area in there, is that
what you were --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Correct and if necessary,
Tustin, North of the 5 was mentioned. I'm hoping that we
can avoid that and make the trade down there around
Mission Viejo, like, for us, et cetera.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So yes. Can we -- let's try this,
because we have a snapshot, so let's do this one, please.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Well, and I'm thinking that we
may want to fill in a bit in Western Placentia, just
where it's kind of hollowed out there, to the left of
where it says Placentia.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: You know I -- where was that? You
know since this --

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: North West of the label.

MS. CLARK: If I may make a suggestion. I think it
could make sense to make this change. And then since you
know for sure if, like, the rest of Huntington beach,
then making that change, and just sort of seeing the
populations after that.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Perfect.

MS. CLARK: So shall I make this change?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Please. Okay. Then can we take the rest of Huntington Beach from SANANAANA and add it to No Coast? Mr. Fornaciari?

Okay, then, Commissioner Kennedy, could you read what the -- or tell us what area you were adding?

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes, the recommendation were Laguna Hills, Aliso Viejo, and then we'll have to see from there. We may need to take from the North part of Tustin.

MS. CLARK: So you're looking for about 50,000 more people -- I don't know the populations of these areas -- we could see moving them.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Could we check what they are?

MS. CLARK: Absolutely. Oops, you know what, that's in a different district, so maybe that's --

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay.

MS. CLARK: Yeah.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: -- oh, yes.

MS. CLARK: Sorry.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. It's going to have to -- it would have to be either Northern part of Tustin above the 5 or we'd have to look at some very far Northern
portions of Irvine.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Or -- yeah, is that -- sorry, Jaime, could you zoom out just a little bit here for a second? And is there a -- no, that's already -- that's already in the area. And what is the pink area next to our chosen area down here, is that also part of Laguna Beach?

MS. CLARK: That is Laguna Beach.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. So that other little, looks like a triangle, it's just --

MS. CLARK: Yep.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- for point of contiguity.

MS. CLARK: That's just part of Laguna Beach.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you. And I'm assuming that the area next to it, which is -- that probably has no population, that little triangle to the West of Laguna Woods? That isn't Laguna Beach?

MS. CLARK: This is three people in total.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. And the area in the little -- the area right above is the same? Yeah that's all --

MS. CLARK: It looks like there's about 16.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- yeah. Okay, never mind. Thank you. Okay. So it does look like the only other area would be part of Tustin.
MS. CLARK: Should I make this change and then move on?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, please. Because this is what we're trying. We're trying something, so. Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: I was just tracking with Commissioner Kennedy, waiting to see North of Tustin.

Thank you.

MS. CLARK: So this is everything in Tustin North of the 5. Is there a place you would like to pull population from next?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I think --

MS. CLARK: And shall I make this change?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- what is that little triangle there that's just South of the 5 but not in the same -- in our Santa Ana one?

MS. CLARK: This?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: To the West. No, the other way. In Tustin -- little area go West -- go West --

MS. CLARK: Oh, yeah. It's -- this is part of Tustin, yeah, yeah.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So if we grab that, would -- wait a minute -- were at 590 -- that's a couple thousand short --

MS. CLARK: So this is pretty close. I can make
this change and if in general, the Commission is happy with this architecture, we know that there -- in total in this area, you know, all of the districts were one or zero percent -- or one or zero total deviation, so this adjustment to something that we could work on off-line.

    CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Yes, I --

    MS. CLARK: Should I make that change?

    CHAIR ANDERSEN: I think the -- yes, please. And then we can decide if we like this or if we want to reverse it. This is a change that we can balance. That's what I'm hearing. Is that correct, Jaime?

    MS. CLARK: It is, yes.

    CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. And you just do some playing with the -- yeah, maybe -- yeah, something or other here. And that was one has -- do we -- what's -- does the Commission like this? Not like this? Want to go back to what we had before? Can I get some -- who -- get some kind of -- come to a general consensus. Yes? No? Start calling on people.

    COMMISSIONER TURNER: I like it better.

    CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay, Commissioner Turner.

    Commissioner Yee.

    COMMISSIONER YEE: I like it better. Just to know where Seal Beach is going to be excluded from the coast, but that may be the price we need to pay.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: True. Unless we want, yeah --
the -- okay. Others?

Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: It's like we're in school
again.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Exactly.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: I hopped on late this morning,
so I'm still trying to wrap my head around what happened
here but I do see a lot of change in response to a lot of
the COI testimony that we've been receiving over the past
twelve hours. So --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So these were options -- yeah,
these were options for us yesterday. We went with one
and this is essentially the other is I -- is my
understanding. I was away a little bit last night as
well. But -- Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. As I look at it then, if we
did put Seal Beach in with the coast, then could we then
put Placentia back the other, and then put Placentia back
together.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Ooh, ah-hah. I see a couple of
nods on that one. Could we grab the population of Seal
Beach. Because we know our -- North Tustin was -- what
was that again, around forty?

MS. CLARK: Seal Beach was --
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Seal Beach is twenty-five. We could probably make -- do we want to try that, attempt that? Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah, that's what I was going to recommend as well, trying to go around that way. Thanks.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. So we know what we -- that's twenty-five. Do we want to do that -- add that in? Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I mean, I think this Commission has consistently said we want a coastal region. I think that was clear in the Assembly. We did try it a couple of times and it was difficult to do, so I'm not sure if that's -- if it would be possible. But it's worth a try.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right. Okay. Oh. Jaime, did you have an in case on this one we could just jump back to just this, what we've done so far?

MS. CLARK: I can control-z the changes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Excellent. Okay. Then carry on, please. Let's add this one to NCCOAST --

COMMISSIONER YEE: And Placentia.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- and then go up and -- yeah. Yes. Commissioner Yee, do you want to go ahead and --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Right then. Put Placentia back
together and then put Tustin back together.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh. Actually, it was the other way, wasn't it? Oh no. You're right.

COMMISSIONER YEE: No.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. No. You're right.

MS. CLARK: So just a note that this is a little point contiguity part of Yorba Linda, and this is something that I could either include or we could have an area of Placentia in the Yorba Linda OCSELA. With making this change, SANANAANA would be over by about 10,000 people.

And again, this is something, like, we know that all of these districts balance together and this is something we could make this change, get some overarching direction from the Commission, and then balance to one person with the Commission's knowledge that there would be some city splits somewhere for total deviation purposes.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. I liked to let the line drawers try that.

MS. CLARK: Okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right. General consensus -- Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes, I agree as well. And my preference is if we're going to split a city, it would be a larger city in population versus a smaller city just
because it's -- well, that's just my preference.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Yeah. No. I think we might have even said that as one of our mapping -- one of our directions in the mapping playbook, I believe it was.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Just a reminder.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you very much. And then the idea is -- oh, okay. So can we back out here and see what that looks like and what our numbers look like? So we have 10,000 too many, 6,000 too little, and 4,000 too little. Okay. What does the Commission think? We like that and we want to do -- have Ms. Clark bring that back to us?

COMMISSIONER YEE: If she's comfortable with the direction we've given or if she needs anymore to ask exactly what she needs.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Kennedy?

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Chair, I just wanted to get clarification on where the balancing would take place.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, let's give direction on that. What would you -- where do you see?

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: I mean, my inclination would be to go back to Laguna Woods, Laguna Hills, and that area and balance this there.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. That could balance --

MS. CLARK: So --
CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- one.

MS. CLARK: -- to clarify. Yeah. So NOCCOAST and OCSBLA are both underpopulated. So we would need to be -- and SANANAANA is overpopulated.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right.

MS. CLARK: So we would need to have some -- yeah -- population move from SANANAANA ultimately into each of these -- each of these districts.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Well, I'm thinking for that I might suggest taking it all from SANANAANA into OCSBLA in terms of the Eastern portion of Brea and then rotate population through Laguna Woods, Laguna Hills area into North Orange Coast. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. I think that's a very good idea because we've heard quite a bit of public testimony about Rossmore, Alamedas, and of course, Westminster, so that I -- I think that's said. And I believe we -- it was because it was parts of Brea that wanted to be added to Fullerton. But Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'm just wondering if -- I mean, one of the reasons we moved to Los Angeles and didn't spend too much more time in this area was because we thought that there would be population we need to shift down. And so if we -- if that's going to be the case and we're going to be shifting population down, then
there may be additional architectural changes we'll need to make to Orange County.

But that's just going through my mind right now in terms of we still -- what I heard earlier was that there were -- there was some desire -- I don't know how much -- but there's some desire to make changes to Southeast Los Angeles.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. So I just kind of say we're -- this population is kind of in an island at this point surrounded by VRA districts, and there's not really a lot of -- I mean, we can go around in a circle, but beyond that, there's not a lot we can do.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you. Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I think we do need to solidify our VRA district because that's going to be our -- that's going to be another limiting factor, and so -- in Los Angeles, and then, of course, if there's going to be changes impacting this area as well. So just --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- going back to that. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right. So basically you're saying stop -- you're going to stop here and it's time to
go to Los Angeles. That's what I'm understanding. And because then we'd like to have -- Jaime can work on -- well, I think we've given you direction, and so we can change that if we're going -- if you want -- we want her to do that or not, depending on what we now do in Los Angeles. Is that a good summary?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I mean, maybe. But I also worry about the bubble we might be creating in the Southern portion of Orange County. Right now, we have this 4,000 and 6,000 negative population, and if we go up to -- so those are the two considerations we -- to some extent, we need to figure out what we're doing in Los Angeles, Southeast, if we're doing anything.

And then we need to push population down. And then there's this other issue of creating a bubble that we might not be able to get out of once we're -- once we've made changes to the VRA districts. So those are the two --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- considerations.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: It may be good to just balance out the population now, recognizing that there may need to be some architectural changes to the Northern OC later.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. All right. No, I --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: That's just my thinking, but I'm just sharing. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. No, I appreciate that. Thank you. Commissioner -- I'm sorry. Commissioner Sadhwani?

No, you already said --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. Oh. No --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- I haven't.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, no. They were just in different order, so I was thrown. Sorry.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: That's okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I think that was exactly my point from the get-go, right, is that the changes that I'm hearing we all want to make in Orange County can be localized, and I think that's what we've been looking at, right? And Commissioner Fornaciari said, right, we have these VRA districts, we can move them around in a circle. And I think that's what we're playing around with now.

If we're going to have additional changes, though, in Los Angeles and in particular in those VRA districts in Southeast L.A., right, and we've heard from our counsel about where our obligations are and are not, then
the alternative is greater architectural changes which may impact this, right? Which might change the approach to not simply being cycling populations around this circle around the Santa Ana district, but could --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- be taking in different portions of L.A. County in different -- could be various ways in which we might think about it to satisfy our obligations in Los Angeles might have additional impacts to the architecture where we're working right now.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you. And I think that's the -- probably Commissioner Toledo's point also, so we should just make these even so we know we're -- if we're coming in and taking something, we have to then remove something from the same side. It's of a balanced idea. I'm sorry. Ms. Clark.

MS. CLARK: Thank you. Yeah. Just a note that unless the Commission anticipates this having any changes outside of -- between L.A. and Orange County, then we will know that whatever areas we're working in will generally balance. And again, just a suggestion to not necessarily need to get everything down to a super-tight deviation live and in public just for the sake of time given that it sounds like there are large architectural
changes that the Commission would like to explore.

So just from a mapping perspective, as long as the exterior boundary, these areas in Orange County, aren't going to change, then it would be contained within whatever areas we're looking at together.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Commissioner Kennedy and Commissioner Fernandez.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. We are self-contained at this point in Orange County. Just also calling attention to the sixteen-person overpopulation BEAVICAL, let's not forget that because that would cause us some angst if we had to go back and clean that up after all of Orange County was fixed. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. I was actually just going to state what Jaime stated. Right now, I don't see the need to go -- us to take the time to go back to balance between the SANANAANA, the North Coast --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- and the OCS because it's already balanced. Between the three, it'll balance, and we -- I don't want to necessarily say I don't want to waste my time here, but there's going to be population that's pushed down, so let's not -- let's just go up and then later deal with balancing with whatever is pushed
down.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Yeah. Whatever we push down, we have to pull back up on the other side. Yeah.

Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Given that we're so close to lunch, maybe, I guess -- maybe you can assign the Commissioner to work with just -- to just balance this over lunch in Orange County while we come back --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- if you're interested in doing that.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Maybe not.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I think we gave Ms. Clerk -- or Commissioner Kennedy -- but we gave her direction on where to do the switching.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Okay. Okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: But now what I was hoping is we have four -- three minutes. Oh. Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I'm going to disagree with Commissioner Toledo because if -- I hate to say -- I hate to give direction to Jaime and have her go do this when we know it's going to change because that's going to --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Like, her energy's going to
be exhausted and --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- she also needs lunch.

So I would just recommend -- and maybe, Jaime, maybe that's not the direction you wanted to go in. You're probably going to say that's okay, but this would be iteration number 335.


MS. CLARK: Yeah. Thank you, Commissioner Fernandez, that's very thoughtful. And I don't think it'll take me too long to just do a general balance. I'm not going to get it down to one percent, but I could do that really quickly over lunch based on the direction that Commissioner Kennedy provided. That's totally fine with me. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I just worry about the bubble. I just worry about leaving a bubble there that might be hard to reconcile even after we make our changes wherever. So yeah.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. I was hoping for us to give a couple of directions to Jaime about Los Angeles. Does anybody have anything really fast that they want to see moved in Los Angeles, or are we waiting until after lunch? Because actually no. I was hoping to give Jaime
direction now because after lunch we're supposed to be heading to the North. Okay. Russell. Oh sorry.

Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you. We were exploring the idea of going into Torrance to bring Torrance up to Gardenia. If there's a way to do that, I think that's a good way to go.

MS. CLARK: Commissioner Clark. Commissioner Clark. Ms. Clark. I also need lunch.

MS. CLARK: I think what would be — what would be most helpful in terms of getting those types of directions -- thank you so much, Commissioner Yee -- is to get then also direction on what to remove or how to make the population swaps just as explicit and clear of direction as possible so that when we come back, the Commission sees something that they're expecting to see.


COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you, Chair. Jaime? Yeah. So the direction I would have wouldn't be anything new or different. I think you have the COIs that I've been tracking and following and as information is coming in new that we've been sharing so -- shared, it's -- I think she has all of that that she's saved and tracked. So I'd be interested in just seeing how she's putting it
together with the new direction she's receiving. You're on mute.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Do you know where you would take something out if that went in?

MS. CLARK: No, I don't. And I would anticipate that potentially, just based on the knowledge of COIs that the Commission -- I guess I would suggest maybe moving the Torrance thing in, making swaps here with 10CORR and then trying to take part -- this is South Robertson. I'm not exactly sure of the population swaps here, but I -- yeah, this is -- I would love specific suggestions. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: So to respond, Chair, I guess in what you're asking, I'm responding in a way that says to follow COI input that we've given before. And I understand that she needs something to know what to remove, but then we would need to be doing live line drawing to see which area she's in before I gave direction all over the map again.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. There wasn't something in this that would come out.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Right.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. All right. Well, then we can't really do that. Commissioner Kennedy.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. I'd be interested in
thoughts from colleagues and the community the -- this is not ideal, but the portions of Del Aire and Hawthorne West of the 405, I don't know whether that would be helpful. I don't know whether there's a -- whether the 405 does constitute a clear dividing line in that area. But that was something that I spotted that might be worth investigating. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh. All right. Is that something that -- I don't know if that has that many people, but we could maybe give that a go. All right. We're past break. Let's see. Commissioner Sinay, Commissioner Fornaciari, is this something sort of specific? Because we're past break.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, I would still put this Torrance piece until after we do the South L.A. piece because then I think we'll be able to understand and see where we might pull from and then go back to the Torrance piece.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right. That sounds good. And Commissioner Fornaciari, were you about to say the same thing?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Great. Thank you. Okay. Well, unfortunately, we don't have -- other than balancing, we don't have other direction in Los Angeles.
And I guess when we come back -- we did lose that time. I guess we're going to have to do another one on L.A.

Okay. Jaime, I think we'll probably be seeing after lunch, so thank you very much. Okay. All, we're on to lunch, and we'll see you back here at 3 o'clock.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 2:18 p.m. until 3:00 p.m.)

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Welcome back, everyone, to the California Citizens Redistricting Commission meeting. We will be continuing on with our investigation into drawing the Congressional maps, Congressional district maps in Los Angeles County. And we have the illustrious team of Ms. Jaime Clark and Ms. Karin Mac Donald helping us today. And could you, Jaime, give us the maps and give us a rundown on where we are?

MS. CLARK: Absolutely. So the Commission just reconfigured within Orange County some of these Orange County-based districts. Over lunch, I did balance them a lot closer to zero. And as a gift from me to you, I made the BEAVICAL district zero population so that's not a concern anymore. And these then are all within fourteen to eighteen people total, I believe. So looking pretty good. Your muted, Chair Andersen.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. I'd like to say to the public who's tuned in with us now, tonight we will be
having an additional drawing session. So while you need
to get in the queue before 6 o'clock, we will not be
hearing public comment until 8:15. So you need to call
in by 6 o'clock. You can then know that we are not going
to call on you until after 8:15. So as long as you don't
hang up and come back before 8:15 you'll be in the queue.

And with that, let's continue on up into -- we were
starting to look at what we want to do in Los Angeles
County for essentially architecture, and I'd like some
Commissioners to -- who have been thinking and have these
ideas in mind to please at this time give us what they'd
like to do and what are the goal, how would you like to
see the map look like, and then we'll go through how we
can get there. So Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Hi there. I'm back. I
have a question, and I apologize if this was already
asked and if there's a rationale for this. But can I ask
why we would have everybody call in before 6 o'clock to
then just wait for two hours, two and a half hours before
we start to take public comment? Could we not open it up
closer to the time and leave it open for the thirty-
minute time frame that we normally do so that people can
be off and doing other things?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, actually, it's because the
meeting officially ends at 6 and the lines are actually
open all day. They're open until we close them at 6. And so that's where we -- that's the time that we're required to -- before the official end of the meeting. Now, the meeting closes at 6 or until business is done, which is why we continue on. But for the official reasons, we need to close the lines at 6. So that's why. But that's why I want people to know that --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- we will not be getting on so they don't have to hang on there because they could be called on at any minute. That will not happen. It will be at 8:15. So thank you for that question.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Thank you. I think that that would also -- that's helpful for the public to know why we're doing it like that, too. So thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. No, thank you. And let's back to the architecture of the maps. So I know we want to get certain communities together because they are -- and they're naturally together, they have common interests, and within our -- we'd like to look at the VRA districts first and work out any changes that we'd like to do. We have any -- Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. Thank you. I don't have specific direction at this point in time. I just want to raise -- I think we've heard a lot from the
Southeast L.A. folks. We've heard a lot from the Long Beach folks. And so I think the pairing of places like Vernon, Huntington Park, Florence-Graham, all the way down to Long Beach, and in particular those areas that we're looking at in this district, I think we've heard quite a bit that they are very different in nature in terms of their demographics and that Long Beach very much sees itself not a part of the City of Los Angeles, not an appendage of Los Angeles, but as its own entity.

And so I think for me, seeing this combination just definitely gives me pause, especially given the vast amount of communities of interest testimony that we've received from both areas. So I'm not -- it's not my interest to blow up the entirety of the map, but I definitely want to name that as a concern because I do think we're pairing some communities together that are very much not alike.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you very much. Now, does anyone feel that way or does everyone like the map the way they are -- maps the way they are? Because we need to -- I don't mean blow up the map, but if we want to make some changes, now is the time to make those changes.

And yes, we have heard from communities who said, well, my community isn't together and it's kind of --
it's divided and so -- but we need to get some direction and ways to go. Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I would affirm that I think we've heard COI testimony, especially from the Northern part of this district, who feel that the North-South length is not necessarily combining communities that they feel have common interests together. And in particular, I think I've read some that cite Long Beach specifically that they feel quite different from just across multiple kind of, I think, factors that we've been looking at, especially up here in the North. So I would agree.


COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I guess I want to start with a couple of questions. Is the Commission generally happy with STH60, and what's the one with West Covina in it above it? CDCOV? We made some changes to that -- to both of those, I think, the other day and I just want to kind of understand the constraints before I make my comment. Are we going to -- are we going to think about changing those?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. Again, it's a matter of the pairings, right? So in general, I like CDCOV.
Looks good. The STH60, I do think that -- the way that that spans across, you're spanning -- well, Commerce is a very industrial area. Montebello, Pico Rivera, more densely populated, more urban in nature. And then when you get further out to places like Whittier, Hacienda Heights, Rowland Heights, very much more suburban.

So I think it was Commissioner Akutagawa yesterday who had suggested Downey going in with Whittier potentially as a potential swap, which would then -- we would have to look at all the populations, et cetera, but Pico Rivera, Montebello, Commerce coming into some other kind of configuration either with East L.A. above it in the CDNELA with Vernon, Maywood, Huntington Park, Florence-Graham, right?

I mean, I think there's a lot of different ways. And we've seen them, right? We've had numerous visualizations and iterations of this map over the last several weeks, so just trying to take a look at those neighborhoods and what makes the most sense there.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I guess on this, I think generally speaking, I think the CDCOV and the STH60 -- I mean, if we decided we did not want to touch it, I think it's generally okay. However, to what Commissioner Sadhwani also said, and I think I suggested
this, too, is doing some swaps. My thought is that on
the LBNORTH district --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Um-hum.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- there's some -- and the
SB710, there's some poor cities -- again, I think some
poor cities that if we could try to keep them grouped
together because they share so much in common that then
we could figure out from there what other cities we can
potentially make some swaps so that the ripple effects is
not going to go out to the other districts but will be
contained.

And I want to name -- and we've heard this
especially the last week and a half or so from Florence-
Graham, Huntington Park, Walnut Park, they for sure want
to stay together. I know also that Maywood, Bell,
Cudahy, Bell Gardens to a degree want to also stay
together, too. I think if you bring in Vernon with them,
I think that would be good although they really do belong
more with them. But one could argue that if needed for
population, they could go to the NELA district, but they
really do belong more with the -- these other Gateway
cities.

South Gate and Lynwood, I think we've seen some
testimony also stating that they would like to be with
Bell and Bell Gardens as well, too. Paramount depends on
who you ask, and same with -- at least in terms of these more core Gateway cities. But I would suggest Vernon, Huntington Park, Florence-Graham, Walnut Park, Maywood, Bell, Cudahy, and Bell Gardens, and then start with that. I think we could perhaps include in South Gate and Lynwood, too, if we could make the numbers work. Also --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sorry.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I was (indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I was just --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- Downey and Bellflower and Lakewood are very different from those upper cities, those Northernmost cities that I mentioned.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, thank you. I was saying -- you were saying those too quickly for me to gather them to give actual direction. But Ms. Clark, did you --

MS. CLARK: Yeah. I wrote those down and I generally understand where this could be going. I guess a question of mine is in thinking -- if, Commissioner Akutagawa, you wanted this to be self-contained, then are you okay, for example, with the ports going together? Is the intention to keep Long Beach in just two districts as opposed to putting it into three districts and et cetera? And if part of it -- if part of Long Beach were going to go into Orange County, which has been suggested by some
Commissioners, then what goes back into L.A. County to pair with some of the other cities?

For example, is that Cerritos and Artesia? Can Cypress go in? A lot of changes just happened to the map to be able to have this area of Buena Park and North Fullerton all be together and all of that would be changed likely. There's just a lot of changes that are potentially being discussed here, and just a question is how to accomplish all of those while maintaining some of the things the Commission has already worked out.

Additionally, right now, SB710 and LBNORTH are both over fifty percent Latino CVAP. The Commission again has identified not wanting to lose fifty percent Latino CVAP districts and just kind of thinking through the changes that are being proposed, I don't think it would be possible to have two.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Chair. I wanted to ask, Chair, is it okay if we turn on the shading for the VRA districts? I just want to see where they --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Certainly.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: -- fall into this piece.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Certainly. Yes, could we do that, please? Oh. Oh. I thought you meant for the -- are you
talking about the heat map? Yes, the heat map.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: No, no, no. This is what I was asking for, but if someone else wants the heat map --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: -- go for it.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Okay. I thought you were going -- looking for areas of -- that you wanted to do some combination of. Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I was just going to -- so Jaime, I just wanted to make sure, for clarification, did you -- so if I heard you correctly, you were saying that if we make some of these changes, including some of these other questions around which direction, it could result in one less Latino majority district. I just want to make sure I heard that. Okay. Okay. Thank you. So these are just some of the decision points then that we would have to discuss along with the -- putting the ports together, right? And --

MS. CLARK: Yes.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- (indiscernible, simultaneous speech)?

MS. CLARK: Yes.

And may I respond, Commissioner Andersen?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, please do.

MS. CLARK: Yeah. So those are just some of the
things -- when I'm hearing and trying to think of how to make the dreams of the Commission come true, these are some of the things that are coming up, right, where I'm like having a hard time conceptualizing how to accomplish everything that you want to accomplish while making these types of changes. So I think just that was -- those questions were more just kind of to frame maybe some of your decision-making process.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Jaime, if I could ask you one more question for perhaps clarification and understanding before we start making or trying to start making decisions. I guess when I said limiting it, I wasn't thinking about just the two districts, but perhaps taking parts of SOUTH60 if we have to. If that were in play, would that that give us an ability to maintain the VRA districts? If we brought down, say, Norwalk and La Mirada maybe down.

MS. CLARK: I think that that could be possible. Of course, you're going to meet your equal population and then your Latino CVAP is, of course, going to be in play for your second criterion. I think that is possible, but again, this is talking about a lot bigger changes than just to a couple districts, including undoing some of the changes that you just made.
And additionally, yeah. I don't know that just that
swap of moving Norwalk, Sant Fe Springs, for example, or
La Mirada out of SOUTH60 and adding other areas based on
the list of cities that you identified earlier as wanting
to be together or wanting to kind of group together.
That's the place where I would be -- I have a question as
to whether or not you could then maintain two Latino CVAP
districts.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I'm thinking now.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. All righty. I understand
that there's a -- Commissioner Fornaciari, you had your
hand in the air at one point.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I was just going to
kind of play out what Jaime just played out in what are
the trade-offs that we're talking about if we do that?
If we group those cities in the North, then we've got to
do something with the South. If we do a self-contained
thing and put the ports together, that's one outcome. I
mean, there's a little bit of swapping we could do with
maybe moving Lakewood into Orange County and Seal Beach a
part of Huntington Beach with Long Beach kind of thing.
But it's a little bit because we're kind of stuck with
surrounded -- Orange County's surrounded by -- basically
surrounded by VRA districts.
So I mean, if we really want to change things, then it goes up through STHLA and 10CORR and then the map is dramatically changed. So I just wanted to kind of play that thinking out with you guys to just think about what the impacts would be.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. To do something like that we'd have add in cities above like the Monterey Park -- further up, not just Monterey Park. Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yeah. Thank you. At this point, I think it would be helpful if the yellow shading was removed and perhaps the heat map so we can start to zone in on places where we can make those edits.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Ah ha. And could you scroll up just a little bit, please, Jaime? Then maybe up a little bit again so we can really see the tops of the -- the other -- the COV. Great. Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Actually, it's okay. I think I need to think through some more things first.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right. So I believe everybody's thinking about stuff. They're not quite happy but don't have a concrete idea of what to do, so we should maybe move to different areas so we could give you guys time to think. Actually, Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I guess I keep raising my hand and putting it back down. I understand the thought of
putting the two ports together, but I just want to share that Long Beach -- as you can see, the Long Beach along the coast is very different than San Pedro. San Pedro is more -- it's a harbor city more in line with Wilmington and Los Angeles. Just it's -- I think the move over up where it is makes more sense -- the North Long Beach with San Pedro makes more sense trying to connect it -- connect the two port areas.

I realize that part of a port area doesn't have any red because it's probably not very -- doesn't have people there. Okay. Jaime's shaking your head yes, so I figured that, but I just -- this corridor, the 710 and 110CORR is really hardcore working class, very diverse, very mixed, a lot of renters. So if someone had asked me, do the people who work in San Pedro and the ports live in San Pedro, and the answer would be yes. There are fishermen. There are port -- a lot of them.

So but having said all that, I think putting them together in a smart way, just in an intentional way to open up doing a better job up -- further North because as you can -- as we scroll things kind of -- there's much more dense Latino communities and are -- have different -- everybody has said this already, and I think the only way we can do this up at the top and really think about it is thinking through intentionally how to
pair San -- San Diego -- San Pedro, Long Beach, and maybe even Lakewood, some of the communities there.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Or if we go North, some areas that are not in -- you know how the Long Beach North has some very densely populated Latino areas and then some not, but it still creates a -- if you get the numbers over fifty percent. Why not do something like that up North?

So then we can do something to grab the Florence-
Graham, and South (indiscernible) Lynwood into an area, so we kind create one in a slightly above as well below.

Does that idea open any -- help anyone with how they'd like to combine communities? Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Could we see the CVAP for all of LBNORTH? Okay. So Latino is 50.83 --

MS. CLARK: Yeah. I could read those out loud for you, Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Thank you.

MS. CLARK: Sure. For LBNORTH, the Latino CVAP is 50.83 percent. Black CVAP is 8.23 percent. Asian CVAP is 8.80 percent. And white CVAP is 30.76 percent.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: So Jaime, is it possible --

I think I saw this happen when you select certain cities, and I don't know if you could just quickly just do a selection by city or do you have to go block by block.

But if you were to -- we heard a lot from Florence-
Graham, Huntington Park, and Walnut Park, and they spoke about -- one, about the three of them wanting to be together.

However, that they would -- for the Assembly district, that they would have been okay going into the 110CORR district and just kind of thinking about -- I'll stop here if you want to share the CVAP for this because maybe what I say may be moot if I -- depending on what the CVAP is.

MS. CLARK: Is your question about the CVAP of those --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: All --

MS. CLARK: -- three cities?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes.

MS. CLARK: The Latino CVAP in Walnut Park, Huntington Park, and Florence is 90.73 percent.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Whew.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: What's the Black CVAP in this area, too?

MS. CLARK: The Black CVAP is 6.56 percent.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: And Asian and white?

Probably really small then. Ninety percent.

MS. CLARK: Yeah. Asian CVAP is .46 percent and --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Oh wow.

MS. CLARK: -- white CVAP is 1.89 percent.
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay. I guess what I was going to try to -- I was thinking about what Commissioner Sinay said about the -- trying to keep that port of L.A., the Wilmington, Carson, and others, I was just thinking perhaps doing a swap and bringing maybe Rancho Dominguez or Compton in and bringing in Florence-Graham and Huntington Park and Walnut Park since they had spoken about wanting to stay together in the 110 if it meant being able to be there. But I think that that's going to blow the -- blow our CVAP, so that's okay. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I'm going to say something that won't be popular, but I'll say it anyway. If you can zoom out. We've got a couple of islands of low LCVAP in our VRA districts, right? Got Southern Long Beach, Lakewood in there. We've got Hacienda Heights, La Habra Heights, Walnut, all those guys with low LCVAP kind of pulleying the area in the middle with really high LCVAP Park.

So I know this won't be popular, but if we took those five cities, Walnut, Hacienda Heights and moved them South, Long Beach, moved it Southwest, then we have the whole concentrated area where the LCVAP that is highest to design some VRA districts. So just sharing an observation. I don't have an answer, but it's an
observation.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Jaime, could you move a little bit North, please? Okay. Okay. Sort of that kind of same idea, but the opposite way, can we -- yeah. Well, the issue is if you grab Lakewood and -- Lakewood, Bellflower, the towns that are under the SB710 -- thank you. If you sort of grab those and move those East -- well, SB710 -- well, no. We actually like some of the ones -- some of the ones that are in the -- North of that, of 710 are ones that we would like to actually combine with some in the Long Beach one. With South Gate, with Cudahy, Lynwood. Nope. No. Not that way.

You can tell I'm not -- I am really not that familiar with the downtown L.A., unfortunately, the central area. But I'm just thinking, can we do something like grabbing some of the Montebello. Well, I know we don't want to break up East Los Angeles, but some of those areas and add that -- a little bit like across the El Monte, kind of the Monterey Park, Rosemead-ish, kind of pull something up that way, and then that would leave you more like the Paramount, Downey, sort of across the center area kind of essentially like we have -- further South.

Oh wait. We did this once before. And we have East-West, but not completely like that. More like a --
something sort of like that because we have how many of them across here? Trying to do more of a combination, we would then have to -- the port would actually have to go -- combine with Florence, and we have never wanted to do that, have we?

Anyway, that's a sort of what I'm trying to do is -- okay, where could we move these so we could put this population together? This would be a little bit easier if you were all there and had our pointers. Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: The San Pedro and Florence, I think they would be better than San Pedro and Palos Verdes. But what I would like us to think about is we've got the VRA. I mean, we've got numbers, VRA, and then COIs are number four, and we're going back and -- we keep focusing on COIs versus the first two criteria.

And I think right now I would encourage us to look kind of -- the issue is, as I kind of agree with what Commissioner Fornaciari was saying, Lakewood, that East part of Long Beach, the South part of Long Beach, there's part -- Signal Hill. There's parts that we could put together -- I mean, I hate to say it, even Cerritos and Artesia, but anyway, I won't go there. It's Orange County. But there's things that we can put together so that we can start really looking at the VRA districts and
building some really strong VRA districts that are equal in number.

And then we may need to clean up later -- come back down to the South and the West to clean that up. But my concern is you can have a VRA district, but when you pair it with a really united large urban center that's a different -- that's not Latino -- yeah, we need to think about are we really sticking to our commitment -- I mean, not commitment -- obligations of VRA districts. And the LBNORTH is, I think, a little low.

So anyway, that would be my recommendation, which was similar to Commissioner Fornaciari's, is just starting by grouping the areas that can be together. There are some VRA -- there are some reasons to group them together, and then build the VRA districts up in the Northern part the way that the community has asked and the way that makes sense and is strong.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I guess I'm going to just maybe go the other way. It seems like there's some sticking points now in terms of do we combine the ports, not combine the ports? Then there's also -- I think given the numbers that I heard for just Florence-Graham, Huntington Park, and Walnut Park, I am concerned about, perhaps combining those cities together that would
perhaps maybe -- okay. So here's what I'm going to say.

I think maybe we just -- to ensure that there's at least two VRA -- or at least one VRA distinct, a strong one, in -- at least because that one's up fifty-two percent. LBNORTH is at fifty percent. Maybe we just leave it all alone and then we just try to fix -- it looks like the deviation numbers in Orange County still are a little off.

So we just look at where do we need to -- where do we need to readjust and rebalance because SANANAANA looks like -- it looks like it's a little over, as is OCBC, SBLA. Maybe that might be the -- generally speaking, I think if everybody's just okay with it, we have some decent numbers for the VRA district. Maybe we just kind of leave it and keep moving forward to the other areas that we need to work on.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Akutagawa.

Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I do think some of the VRA numbers, especially in the Long Beach area, are on the lower end, but -- and I am -- I would be in support of looking at the lower Latino CVAP areas and trying to move them towards more of a -- shifting population to increase CVAP. But I also recognize that that would take a significant amount of time to do that, so I am open -- I
would support Commissioner Fornaciari's concept of shifting population if it's something that the Commission wants to do. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Ah ha. I have an idea. What if the LBNORTH at Bellflower then dodged right and gets Norwalk, La Mirada, the Whittier, whatever, grabs that area, which would then have Downey. So yes. So instead of going up and to the East -- or West, it would actually go to the East. And then that would open up the Downey, those areas that could then join -- sorry, that reverb there -- that would then join -- that would sort of release those to then move possibly the -- through the other direction.

So then we might have to grab a few more areas to the North or the other direction a bit, or even -- that that could open up a few things if the -- the only issue, of course, would be -- well, might even have to grab part of Signal Hill again to get more Latino group -- Latino -- yeah. So anyway, I'm saying I see what if we open a different direction? Because right now, it was just going straight up and around, and then that's pretty much sort of locks everything in. I know everyone's talking about combining these areas, so maybe, sort of, doing a shift over without combining the ports. Keeping them separate, but they would actually instead of going
up and West, go up and East, but then would release some of the areas for the West to go up North -- go into that other area.

Now, you guys all know those cities better and you know what would pair with other pairs. You're more familiar with the COIs than I am. So I'm just spitting ideas here because we really only have about ten or fifteen more minutes and then we will have to move on. We're looking at this, looking at this. If we want to come back, we can, because I know there's some issues here. But we do have to keep on going.

Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I can see some of what you're suggesting, and the direction maybe is -- I can see Long Beach being underneath -- shifting that population. Keeping Long Beach, maybe creating -- pushing Long Beach District into Orange County and then moving the rest of it up as a potential way to bring population. But I can also see connecting some of the other regions if we're looking at options. If I understood correctly, that's an option that you were suggesting, Chair Andersen?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Maybe I misunderstood.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I was keeping this all within Los Angeles County. Where Long Beach, right now, LBN North
goes up, it goes Lakewood, Bellflower, Downey, Bell Gardens, over in that direction. I was suggesting it goes Lakewood, Bellflower, maybe Downey, but Norwalk, La Mirada, or even La Habra. Go over this way.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Oh.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So that would open -- then you could -- if we liked -- and it could actually -- this would be better. Long Beach would actually grab back a little bit of Signal Hill, that lower portion, exactly, and then would go up and go across the Norwalk, La Mirada. And by taking that portion out of SB 710, at South Gate, you would actually grab, say, the Florence-Huntington area, that subarea. That would release the Bell Gardens, Commerce, et cetera, to go over and replace what had been switched with the South 10.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I completely misunderstood what you were saying. The only options that I see at this point would either be Long Beach going West or Long Beach going East, keeping the -- in order to keep the -- because those are areas with lower Latino CVAP.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, no, I understand that. But really, what we do have to watch out for here is, yes, we could put all these Latino areas together and we'd be at eighty or ninety percent, which that is not our VRA obligation. That's the opposite of it. So we do need to
have some lower density areas with the higher density areas.

Now, what we also understand, because of just the sheer numbers and where people live in Los Angeles, that cannot always happen. And we do have some areas which just naturally have very high Latino CVAP, and that's just the -- you can't avoid that. But in areas where -- I think we do keep the area of Long Beach in it. And that's what I said, but we need a little bit more density.

So I would rather that area around Signal Hill -- because right now, just looking at the shading, I'd say, if we grab Long Beach, just go straight up the way it is, Lakewood, Bellflower, over to Norwalk and La Mirada, we wouldn't be at fifty percent. We'd be at forty-eight or something like that. Where if we grab that Signal Hill area, we might still make it because of the density. As I said, by taking that area of section of SB 10 out around Signal Hill, we wouldn't require more, which I've been hearing Lynwood, Southgate, I think, with the Florence-Huntington Park area. So that would open up, moving those forward. Did that help at all?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I think it confused me a little bit more. Maybe if we use -- it's the map, I think. I think there's just so much going on.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: If I had the pointer, it would actually --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I don't know.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Jaime, does this make sense to you? Are you seeing what I'm trying to -- what I would be doing --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: If we were in the same room with a pointer, I think it would help.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Oh, it would really help. But Jaime, could you see what I was saying that?

MS. CLARK: My understanding, Chair Andersen, of what you were saying was to have this area of Long Beach with Signal Hill, Lakewood, Bellflower, Norwalk, La Mirada, some of these areas, balance the population there.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct.

MS. CLARK: After that, I'm not sure about the other --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Let's go to that --

MS. CLARK: -- the act of balancing them.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Then after that, so now pretend that our North line does not -- essentially, that line across there, exactly, goes up and over at La Habra. So that's one district.

Then coming back down to the San Pedro port, it's SB
710, that would go up North, it can come across --
remember it's lots of little areas of Signal Hill. So we
come up there, and now -- exactly, come up to the top
area, and at South Gate, we don't have enough people
because we took a chunk out. So we would gather people
from -- it's Northbound who would then be coming there,
correct.

So then that would release the line between Vernon
and Commerce and that section up there would be open into
the Montebello, Hacienda Heights, Rowland Heights area.
Does that make sense? See what we're doing? We're
recombining those three districts. I'm only throwing
things out there.

Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Chair, I think I'm -- okay,
here's what I -- let me just share what I think I'm
hearing, or at least this is what sparked the idea in me,
in hearing what you were saying. So one thought is -- I
think what I heard you say is you take that SB 710 which
goes from the Port of LA and San Pedro, you keep it going
up to where it is but you also balance out by taking in
Huntington Park, Florence-Graham, Walnut Park, maybe with
Bell and Cudahy, potentially.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I don't think you can take that
much, but correct.
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Well, yeah, we could figure out -- but then that would mean taking out Signal Hill and putting some of Long Beach back in.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, no. The Long Beach North would grab that portion of Signal Hill and that little bit would come from SB 710 into LB North.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, I think we're saying the same thing. So anyways --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- I'm just saying, it would be a longer district that would go up, capture some of those Gateway cities. Then what I heard you say is then you take -- I guess I would actually recommend putting Bell Gardens in with Bell as well, too. So Downey, Bellflower -- I think I heard you say take Norwalk, Whittier, those cities, so then that would be that second VRA district, right?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct. That would be LB North.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, and then there's still the South 60 and figuring out what are some of the adjustments that we could make to keep that. But then --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- what I think I'm hearing you say is then you take the Southern part, like Lakewood and Long Beach, and then figure out how to combine it
with some of those OC cities.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No. No, no, I'm not saying that.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: No? Oh, okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, I'm saying no. You're taking
the SB 710 and getting rid of the Signal Hill area and
gathering, say, the Florence-Graham-Huntington Park area.
You're taking LB North, grabbing the Signal Hill area,
going up, maybe down and maybe not, and going across into
that direction, correct.

So what we're saying now, what has not been claimed
would then probably be the Bell Gardens, maybe Downey
which could go with Commerce, Montebello, Pico Rivera,
and across the Heights, et cetera. That's an idea. It's
how to rearrange, get that -- what I'm hearing is
everyone saying let's combine Bell Garden, Downey, into
West Whittier and they go across in that direction. So I
was trying to give us a way to do that.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Actually, Bell Gardens and
Downey are pretty different communities --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- economically.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm just trying to throw something
open that would get thoughts rolling here.

Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I'm just following up on
Commissioner Akutagawa's comment and Commissioner Toledo's comments. Commissioner Akutagawa said maybe we should just leave it the way it is. Commissioner Toledo would like a little more LCVAP. So I look at Hawaiian Gardens and it's very red. And I didn't know if we could -- what the effect of swapping Hawaiian Gardens in and then maybe a bit of Lakewood out would have, and maybe that would strengthen the LCVAP enough to be comfortable.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Just leave as is. That's a whole nother idea. Commissioner Yee.

Oh, sorry. Commissioner Toledo, do you want to --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I was just -- I think an option might be still to unite Long Beach, Signal Hill, Seal Beach, and Huntington Beach. I think going through the -- in order to create space. In order to push population from Los Angeles area into the South opening, we'll have the rope take through the other portions, but in order to open up -- in order to be able to effectualize some of the changes we potentially want to make in the Los Angeles area, but I don't know if we have enough time to do so. So that's the question.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. What I'm not hearing out of that is what areas we want to move around that are above Vernon Park. Maybe I'm missing the -- above Vernon Park,
I'm not hearing anything being wanted to be moved around with Vernon and Montebello. I'm just not hearing it.

Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: With Commissioner Akutagawa, I can live with these esteemed boundaries. Of course, there are tradeoffs. All tradeoffs are painful. I can live with these tradeoffs; however, I see the merit of your thoughts. I'm wondering, in terms of process, if you want to pursue them to take it off-line with Jaime and you could prepare a proposal. I especially like the idea that it could more of Long Beach together. But such a proposal would need to stay exactly within the combined boundaries of the three existing districts. If it could do that, I'd be open to considering that further.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Again, I brought that up because I'm not the one who actually knows what area should go with what. Like Commissioner Akutagawa said, Bell doesn't really -- they're very different. So I'm just trying to toss out an idea because I don't know to the extent, even above this area, that we'd like to make changes. So maybe they're much smaller.

Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: We lost the heat map, which I was hoping to get back on. But my concern is that we've -- I get that Long Beach and San Pedro, they don't
I want to be together in a Port City, but let's not keep acting like that's a constraint because I am looking at, if you combine Long Beach with San Pedro to Signal Hill, you might be able to get the VRA stronger. And then the middle portion right above it to -- yeah, that might be something that's lower, and then once you go further up, then you have a higher CVAP. So that's what I'm thinking. I'm just, you know, fifty minutes in and I would like to see some sort of visualization would be great. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And if they can work on it off-line, that would be great. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Vazquez.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: I just wanted to say that I agree that I think a strategic pairing of San Pedro and Long Beach could make sense, especially if you think about, on a more macro level, one Congressional representative advocating for the interests of Port communities generally, to me, makes sense, as opposed to two, potentially, maybe working at cross-purposes. I think this unifies the policy considerations of Port communities. So I would like to see something visualized that doesn't have Long Beach and San Pedro as constraints.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm going to jump in, because these are the Congressional Districts, and having two people in Congress on 435 people makes a much bigger influence than having one. And they are competitors. And this is a lot of -- the Ports up here by Oakland, the Port of Oakland, and it's a lot of federal issues going on here. And it's not state money; it's federal money. And I really do see why they should be -- as Commissioner Sinay was saying, the Port of Los Angeles has a very different type of approach than the Port of Long Beach.

Yes, they are competitors. And I don't really think it would be cross-purposes at the federal -- they would actually be two voices instead of one for the area. So I would like to see it together, which is one of the ideas why I spun that a different way. And I think this might be -- or the idea of maybe taking it down into Seal Beach. But whatever the Commission really wants to do.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: May I respond?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, absolutely.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: I'm wondering if we're now working off general consensus or how much --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, yes.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: -- opposition to one idea carries the day. I've heard mixed on this, so I would like us to make a decision and move forward. And I don't
think I agree, necessarily, that if you don't want the Ports together that that means we shouldn't visualize it.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, absolutely. This is what -- just as everybody gets a say, then I said as well. If the consensus is we put them together, that's what we do. Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I'll be honest. I could go either way. I see the logic in both arguments; however, I think what we've all been reminding each other throughout this process is we should not hold any line sacred. And if this is what's best, perhaps this is what we have to think about.

I do like the idea -- I think this is what Commissioner Vazquez was talking about, about if we were to combine the Port Cities. And I will also say, we've gotten some testimony that also spoke to there's an East/West divide in Long Beach, and if you take the Port side and then the more affluent West -- the West side which is the more Port side which is economically more similar to San Pedro and Carson and some of those other areas, and then you get the East side of Long Beach which is much more affluent, and I will say, more similar to the Seal Beach and the Huntington Beach as well as those cities along the LA border.

Options would be to create that middle section that
Commissioner Vazquez was talking about that would -- interestingly, Carson could -- and I'm sure this would be where it would be good to get feedback from the community. But perhaps move Carson into the South LA District because a lot of the population there shares a lot in common with Hawthorne and Lawndale as well too. Not as exact, but they could go into that and then look at combining some of those other cities, up as you go further North. So that's just a thought that I wanted to just contribute to this.

But I do agree. I think we should make a decision about whether or not -- are we going to hold it sacred like we did the Golden Gate Bridge issue? I don't know if we should.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. I'm hearing three options here. Maybe we'll get these working off-line and come back with it. Option 1 is combining the Port areas and making that a district, and then combining the Bellflower -- essentially the Northern parts of the SB 710, LB North, and if we need to -- for population purposes, and make just a whole different one in that area. But I don't know if also you want to put Montebello in one of those. If there's some switching around in there, I'm not sure. So making that one -- so that would be one option.
Another option would be that thing that I came up with.

A third option would be adding the Long Beach, Seal Beach, Huntington, and then we'd have to grab -- I guess we'd have to go in to get Brea or something else. If we take one, we have to put back in Orange County, and doing something like that. So that's the three -- that's one.

What I'd like us to do right now is come up with some options and then take them off-line and be worked on. So we'll do that between now and 4:15, our next break.

Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: That's fine. My preference would probably be to combine the Ports. Again, I understand why they wouldn't want to be together, but that would fall under Criteria 4. So we've got Criteria 1, which is population, and Criteria 2 is VRA. So we really need to focus on the higher criteria when they come in to play. Sometimes they don't come in to play, so that's fine. We can go down to number 4, but we really need to concentrate on 1 and 2 right now because that's what we're dealing with are the VRA Districts and getting those CVAP numbers higher. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. And the instruction on that would be to make sure that it's at least a 50.83 in
combining them.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Or higher. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Or higher, yes.

Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: So we've laid out many different options here. It sounds like one of the key goals is to increase Latino CVAP in some of our VRA Districts. I think that's a reasonable goal. I would be happy -- if Jaime is willing, I'm happy to work off-line on this because I think we've spent a lot of time talking about potential changes and not making any.

That being said, I think there's several options that -- if Jaime's down, that we could potentially come up with more than one snapshot to bring back to the Commission as perhaps two options.

One, it sounds like Commissioner Andersen, some of the changes that you are mentioning, to the extent that I could follow them, I think that some of them were making some sense to me. Making some swaps within some of the areas here to shift around so that communities that are more closely aligned can stay together, and as a result, increasing some of that Latino CVAP.

Another one is playing around with Long Beach. Long Beach is currently cut. They've been asked to stay whole, so one of those options could potentially be
bringing in Signal Hill, as has been mentioned. I'll say, personally, I found the testimony about keeping the Ports separate very compelling. It's not a preference of mine to combine the Ports. But if we work on this, I'm happy to look at both options and see what is available to us in order to meet this goal, this priority, of improving and boosting that Latino CVAP. But I do think -- my sense is, if we work on it off-line, we should keep the changes from a population deviation standpoint relatively localized within this area and not rippling out further into other counties. North in particular, because I think that that's where we're headed next, is to start working on that. So that would also be a major priority while maintaining many of the other pieces that we've already built throughout this map. So that would be my recommendation for moving forward, and that we should move on so that we can finish our Congressional maps as soon as possible.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Perfect. I'm so glad you volunteered to be our Commissioner to help out working out those options.

Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I just wanted to -- I'm noticing some of the OC deviations. I have some suggestions on how to shift a little bit, but then I
think it'll also depend on where these other changes to
the Port area, Long Beach, and others are. But I'll just
state here that, depending on what does happen, Los
Alamitos and Rossmoor have also requested to be with Seal
Beach. I think it looks like Savannah-Ana is under by
300-and-something. So it could be that we could take
those two into the NOC Coast and then maybe -- I don't
know. There's too many moving pieces, but I thought I'd
just mention that as a COI to help do some balancing if
we needed to, depending on which direction we go.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you.

Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you, Chair. I just
wanted to name I'm in support of having whichever
Commissioner work it off-line. And I also wanted to
acknowledge and thank the response of those writing in
from Long Beach in regards to the Congressional
representation for the Ports being separated. Just
wanted to assure them that I do see it. I do see their
correction that they're not intending ever to combine,
are proudly independent governed, and thank you for all
of that. Wanted to read that since I read out the other
information that I received that there was talking for
years about them combining. I wanted to make sure that
they know I stand corrected. I hear directly from you
that that is not your intent. So I wanted to name that for sure, and thank you for taking the time to write it in.

Now, having said that, I really can go either way. But because of the criteria for us, it can't be a sticking point for us. So we just do need to be open. We see the importance. We know how the Ports impact all of the Congressional Districts. We see all of the testimony. So just wanted to thank you for that and to say that I do think we should work it, at this point, off-line, have options, and we will have to move in one direction or another. And for sure, unless a miracle, it will not necessarily be satisfactory to everyone. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner.

Ms. Clark.

MS. CLARK: Thank you. Just a couple things that came up that I wanted to make a note of. First, in terms of the deviations in the Orange County-based Districts, just a reminder that I did balance BEAVICAL, so that zero population so the rest of these districts in Orange County right now are around sixteen people total out of balance. So no major reworkings or adjustments needed, in general, in Orange County. This will all balance out. And of course, I'm happy to work with any
Commissioner on different iterations. A note about some of these things is that I think that, minimally, six districts would be impacted by some of the changes that we're discussing. So that's just a note for everyone to keep in mind, that these are big changes that'll impact the map in the area. And it sounds like that's the goal. So just a note of that.

Another question that I have is, when would this need to be accomplished by?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That's a very good question. It would have to be -- well, so what we're going to do then is go to the North. After our break, we'll go to the North. Then our last drawing session with be on the North. I don't know if we'll finish that all. So say we do the morning session tomorrow in the North to finish it all up. So it could be even that second session but before lunch tomorrow, or say, it could be after lunch, whichever. I know that's a bit of a tight time frame.

If it has to be after lunch --

MS. CLARK: Yeah. Just thinking through, if it's two different redraws of this area, of course I will do my best just in consideration of the total population concerns and some of the other requests that I've gotten from Commissioners about other parts of the map besides this and thinking about balancing everything.
course, will do my best to have this prepared by tomorrow morning, and that is all I can do, is my best.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, yes. It's certainly better than -- that's all we ask, and that's what you give us, that and more. So anything you do for us, Jaime, we really, really appreciate.

MS. CLARK: I apologize. I do have one more question, which is, is the redraw of this area a priority over the other requests that the Commission has given?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I am not sure --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Could you just explain what you mean by that?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. What are the other requests?

MS. CLARK: Yeah. My list of requests that I have -- I can pull up my notes -- is extending Northern San Fernando Valley-based District into the forest, looking at that.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh.

MS. CLARK: Torrance --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. The one's that we -- go ahead.

MS. CLARK: The Torrance thing, and keeping San Pedro whole, working on -- I know that some of these changes would impact the CVAP for some of the districts, but just thinking about all of the direction that the
Commission has given, wondering in terms of workload, what is the priority for the Commission. Should I prioritize changing this or should I prioritize doing the list of direction that I've received from the Commission already?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I believe this, because those are small items that are more isolated. And this, we need to do to move the entire thing forward. So I would say this. Now, that's what I'm -- any others?

Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I think equal population and VRA in everything we do, if it's in the VRA area. So of course, if it's obviously outside of the VRA area, then communities of interest such as was just mentioned, those items would take priority. But outside of a VRA area, as long as we're speaking of VRA areas, I would put these requirements above the community of interest requirements.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. As I said, this is major work and those are minor works. So the possibility of -- if we say, oh, we really are going to go ahead and do this one, then we need to pursue that and finish it, or we'll go with this. In which case, then we can scale back and do those other minor things. But these options would be, I believe, number one in this area.
Now, having said that, Commissioners, is there any other area -- do we like the rest of Los Angeles County? Is there any other items that come to mind that we have not said? Speak now or forever hold your peace. Do we like the -- we got our POSO. We do have that other area, that Commissioner Kennedy item.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, thank you very much, everyone. I know this has been a long haul to get where we are now, but I think we at least have some plans. We can work out some options that we can agree to and move forward with the entire Orange County. And I appreciate all the hard work from everyone.

And Jaime, thank you, thank you, thank you, for all of the work that you're doing and about to help us too.

We're going to take a break, and we'll be back at 4:30, and we will go to the North. So if we could have Kennedy, please. Thank you very much, everyone.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 4:13 p.m. until 4:28 p.m.)

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Welcome back, everyone, to the California Citizens Redistricting Commission Meeting. We're still working on our Congressional Districts, and now we're moving to the Central Valley. As you can see
up here, we have the map of our Central Valley.

At this time, we'd like our mapper, Kennedy, to please walk us through the VRA Districts. Actually, you can even just do an overview of what we have here, but specifically, walk us through the VRA Districts, please.

MS. WILSON: I will begin, thank you. We do have a total of three in my area, starting with Kings-Tulare-Kern, going up to Fresno-Tulare, and then Stanis-Fresno here, and King-Tulare-Kern.

We have areas from Arvin, Benton Park, La Cresta, East Bakersfield, Cottonwood, Olde Stockdale all together, and we have received testimony -- you have received testimony to make this line a bit thinner and take out areas of Bakersfield Country Club and Olde Stockdale. So those are in and have been wanted to be moved out.

And then moving North, also in this area, we have Pixley and Porterville together, Terra Bella, not split, and then we do split into Kings County right beneath Lemoore Station, Lemoore, and Hanford.

Then we move into our Fresno-Tulare District where we have Tulare, Lindsay, Tonyville, Farmersville. We create a split in Visalia, having the Northern part go North, and then we do have the areas of Lemoore, Hanford, Home Garden together, going up into Fresno County. I've
been speaking very fast again. Sorry to everyone taking
notes and signing.

As I slow down, we go into Fresno County. We have
the areas of Kingsburg, Parlier, Reedly, Selma, Fowler,
Sanger together. Going up into the City of Fresno, we
have Sunnyside, and notably, Sunnyside and Old Fig
Garden, as well as this middle part of the city. And we
have this line at about Shaw Avenue right above cutting
across.

And then we go into Stanis-Fresno, which has the
Western portion of Fresno County, West Park, Southwest
Fresno West of the 99. And then we have the rest of
Fresno County to the West, including Cities of Coalinda
to Riverdale, Raisin City, Mendota, Firebaugh. And then
we continue to move North through this district.

And you'll find into Madera County, we have Madera,
Madera Acres, Fairmead and Chowchilla together, the
entire city -- of course, the entire of Merced because we
have the entire of Merced, which is what I meant to say.

And then moving up into Stanislaus, we do have a
split through Turlock and Ceres and Modesto to maintain
this CVAP at 51.66 percent. And then we have Patterson,
Diablo Grande, Grayson as well in that district. And
those are the three VRA consideration districts in this
area. And I will zoom out so you can look at all three
of them together.

And I did anticipate -- I guess, going forward, I will say anticipate those changes in Bakersfield and there is a Shapefile that I have, trying to map some of those lines that were brought up from before. So at any point you want to see that, let me know as well. It makes changes through here up into keeping Kings City whole, and then brings this CVAP in Kings-Tulare-Kern to at fifty-five percent from a fifty-three, and then goes into Fresno-Tulare-Kern and maintains and fifty-three percent and brings in Three Rivers as well, and does not touch the Stanis-Fresno because it goes through the Fresno-Kern.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Wonderful. Thank you very much.

Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Kennedy, thank you so much for everything you do. The first thing I was curious about, the Shapefiles, is that the communities that were moved out of this area in the Assembly, specifically Stockdale Estates, Stockdale Country Club, Sundale Country Club, et cetera? Are those the ones that you have in the Shapefile?

MS. WILSON: Yes. It's actually something I've created on my own, because following that Shapefile, it was the entire state so they made different priorities
than you did. But following within Bakersfield, I tried to stay as close to that Shapefile as possible, as from the same group of people that came and spoke about the Assembly as well. And it does remove Olde Stockdale and the Bakersfield Country Club.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: How about Rosedale and Seven Oaks?

MS. WILSON: Yes, it does remove -- the way I had to do it, Rosedale actually isn't in it the way it is right now. But for balancing population, it did cut through Rosedale --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you.

MS. WILSON: -- which I can show you also, if you'd like.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah, could you just show me -- if you have it in Shapefile, it'd be great to just take a look.

MS. WILSON: Yes. So I can show you what I did and the other Shapefile as well. I'm going to change the color of it to a blue because your background is blue. I'll do a dark blue and apply that and turn that on so that we can see.

So here in this green-black is what we had previously and what I changed it into. We move in -- let me move in a bit closer so we can see what's there. But
goes through Olde Stockdale -- and I'm going turn on the
train layer so that you can see what is around us as
well. So it goes through Olde Stockdale, down this --
I'm not sure exactly what this road is, but comes down
here, doesn't include Old River but does have Pumpkin
Center and Greenfield.

And the moving out to the East, the new version does
take out Bakersfield Country Club as well; however, it
does create a split through Oildale for population
concerns. And that is after trying to -- we're staying
here, but just wanted to also show that this in its
entirety does also go up North, and following the blue
lines, it does keep Kings County whole. It does create a
slight split through Tulare as well, but still keeps
Porterville and Terra Bella whole.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you very much, Kennedy.

Great job, as usual.

MS. WILSON: Thank you.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you.

Thank you so much, Kennedy, for the phenomenal work
you've done. This is exactly what I wanted to see. The
COI testimonies that reflect this, that you have a
Shapefile for, that removes the Stockdale Estates, et
cetera, and all those piece parts that we've talked about. So thank you for that.

Moving up, Kennedy, into the other area, were you able to move Fresno into the Merced-Fresno-Stanis-Fresno area?

MS. WILSON: So that area remained untouched, for the most part, because I was trying to keep the CVAP. A lot of changes here dropped the CVAP, and so it was already at a fifty-one percent, so trying to keep that relatively the same. And so I really tried with moving populations between King-Tulare-Kern and Fresno-Kern and Fresno-Tulare because they had a bit more wiggle room. And as you can see, the changes from the Fresno-Tulare-Kern, it was at a 53.11 and now it's at 53.7, and I was also able to bring in Three Rivers so that it is connected --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Good.

MS. WILSON: -- to Visalia.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah, Kennedy, fabulous work. And thank you for mindreading us and anticipating these suggestions. Just to recap then, in blue, we have an application of the COIs we've received that basically
maps the work we did in the Assembly Districts, correct? And that you've balanced these out. And I didn't catch any particular concerns or decision points that you raised, so I'd like to suggest we go forward with these.

MS. WILSON: And I did not -- I will say, I didn't balance them 100 percent, and maybe there are other splits you would like to do elsewhere. Maybe you don't want to split through Oildale and you want to split more through the City of Bakersfield, or you don't want to split through Tulare and you want to split through Porterville. I just chose some spots, so that might be choosing where you might want to split differently. That's just a note because it's not 100 percent balanced. As you can see in Kings-Tulare-Kern, it's still under 332 people.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Sure. I'd love to get the neck through Oildale larger, but I imagine you already played with that. So I don't have any particular suggestions.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, thank you for this. This is great. I really appreciate that you put Kings County whole. I'll just confess that I worked on trying to do that too, and I just made it worse. But you did that and you made it better. So I guess my reaction to
your question about looking at your splits is I'd rather
not go chasing my tail because I'm afraid it's going to
impact the CVAP. Great work. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes, echo everyone else's
comments. Thank you so much, Kennedy, on this work. And
as we were going through it, I did appreciate that when
you did have splits they seemed to be in the bigger
cities, so thank you so much for doing that. And then
just to Commissioner Fornaciari, thank you for your
efforts as a Commissioner. And thank you to Kennedy as a
mapper. Thank you both.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sometimes you find out where your
talents really lie.

Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I was wondering if the -- I
can't tell from the map right now just because of where
we are, but I just want to make sure -- I just wanted to
ask about the Lamont area. Did the Lamont area make it
into this map? Lamont?

MS. WILSON: Can you refer me to where Lamont is?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: It's a little bit -- if you
zoom out a little bit.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I guess, what county?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Oh, it would be --
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: It's by Bakersfield, just South.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Right by Bakersfield South.

MS. WILSON: Oh. I think Arvin and Lamont are --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah, Arvin and Lamont are --

MS. WILSON: Yes, I know that COI. We did hear that all together.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Looking for the Arvin and Lamont COI specifically, and just --

MS. WILSON: Yes, Arvin, Lamont, Benton Park, Cottonwood, Hillcrest, La Cresta, East Niles, Fairfax, those are all together in this area still.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate it. Sorry I was blanking. I knew it was below Bakersfield, but I just couldn't --

MS. WILSON: No, it's okay. I blanked too.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: The way it's being shown here is -- we've just been looking at maps for the past couple months. Everything's blurry. Thank you so much.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Kennedy, may I ask a question? So the blue is just a Shapefile of everything, or is this work you've actually done? If we say, hey, yes, I love all those, can you please incorporate those, do the new lines just become the blue?

MS. WILSON: The new lines -- I would have to do a
little bit of cooking, but they would become the blue and
not affect any of the other areas. I just worked --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

MS. WILSON: This Shapefile is just what I worked on
in these three areas together.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay, because I'm just noticing --

MS. WILSON: The black --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Go ahead.

MS. WILSON: I was just going to say that the black
are the previous lines, or what the current districts
are, that were posted as the draft. And this was just
work I had done off-line.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you very much.

Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Kennedy, I just wanted to
support Commissioner Yee's proposal that we move forward
with this. And I wanted to say that I support your split
in Tulare, and I'm hoping that split is going down the
99.

MS. WILSON: And we can take a closer look, right
this second, to see exactly what it was cutting through.
But it's right around that area. One moment while I pull
up that so we can see all in that area. So it actually
does not go out to the 99. The 99 goes in a bit more.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Okay. So when you're
adjusting, that would be a suggested place.

MS. WILSON: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Um-hum.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

MS. WILSON: And I would say that this line here, Tulare has a skinny bottom, so it just touches the outline of that and goes to this Eastern part of Tulare.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you very much. Wonderful.

Well, we do have a -- Commissioner Yee said, I recommend that we accept these changes, and I fully agree. So can we make those changes?

MS. WILSON: Yes, we can. I can do that right now. It's just clicking to meet the districts' match then. There's only three of them, so I'll do that right now.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Wonderful. Thank you very much.

It really jumped out at you on the blue.

(Pause)

MS. WILSON: This is just going to take another three or four minutes, just to let you know.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

So once we have these lines all in our area, then I'd like us to zoom out a little bit and then go through the rest of the architecture of the county and see what we like and what don't like. Hopefully, if we like all the architecture, then it's just balancing.
Now, are there any areas in the Central Valley that Commissioners particularly wanted to see?

Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Do we need to look at San Benito with the Central Valley, or do we look at it separately?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I believe we're going to look at it separately. We'll do the -- look at the Central Valley and then we'll probably go back, all the way up to the North, and have a look at the entire -- coming on down.

MS. WILSON: Okay. The changes have been made.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Wonderful.

MS. WILSON: And if you would like me to talk through that, just as far as deviations go, Fresno-Kern has zero percent deviation. It's minus eight people right now.

Kings-Tulare-Kern is negative 332, and did 0.04 percent deviation, and then 55.49 percent Latino CVAP.

And then Fresno-Tulare is at a zero percent deviation, positive 37 people, at a 53.17 percent deviation.

And then Stanis-Fresno remains unchanged, a negative one person, minus one person, negative zero percent deviation, and a 51.66 Latino CVAP.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Wonderful.
Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you.

So if anything in this area, Kennedy, I'd be interested in increasing the LCVAP in the Stanis-Fresno area. Is there a -- can you put on the heat map real quick?

MS. WILSON: Yes, one moment.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Yee, do you have a similar question, or something different?

COMMISSIONER YEE: I was just going to ask about how to move forward with zeroing out the deviations, whether Kennedy has enough instruction to go forward with that or whether we need to provide some instructions.

MS. WILSON: And I will say, so you know, I have a negative 332 population here. Depending on what we end up -- what you end up deciding to do with this area further, might further populate this and might make a probable swap between the two. I'm not too sure, but I think once we're done with this area, that might be helpful as far as balancing Kings-Tulare-Kern, at least, with Fresno-Kern.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Right. And I believe we get that deviation over to the ECA where we'll take care of it later. Yeah, thanks.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: And in addition to the
deviation, Kennedy, if you just would keep looking for opportunities to increase the LCVAP in Stanis-Fresno area.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Did we try -- I can see that one area right by -- it's in Madera. Yes, that little area right in there.

MS. WILSON: And we have tried that. There just -- it is red, but there just aren't very many people there. So it does not have too big of an impact.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Can we --

MS. WILSON: But I will --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Go ahead.

MS. WILSON: I was just going to say that I can try that right now quickly.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And also, are there areas that -- a bit of population where we could take some out in terms of switching?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: And Chair --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: For me, I'm comfortable in just seeing what -- because I know we've played around with this before and we could not. So I just wanted to name again, and I trust if Kennedy keeps working on it, if she can increase it, it will. I know we didn't have much success in it before.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct. We did try quite a lot.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: So we won't have to do it live now.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right. We'll try this one little thing.

MS. WILSON: Just to show, 570 people, the CVAP stays the same and our deviation goes up. That's one of the things we tried. And we tried multiple options, too, because at one point, the Ceres split wasn't preferred. So we tried moving things around there, and it kept dropping. But I can continue to look at that further, but we have exhausted a lot of options looking at that.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right. The question is trying to add this -- add that and take out the same area that's almost virtually no Latino population. Well, thank you very much. Yes, that is our goal. If we could do that, we would really like to. But barring that, how do we feel about the architecture here? Can we zoom out a little bit and have a look at the whole thing?

Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'm almost thinking that we should look at the San Benito-Monterey area at this point, rather than going to the North, just because it's connected to this, at least in our draft maps, connected to the Central Valley to some extent. When you look at
our draft maps, there's connection to the Central Valley. It's up to you, Chair. But I'm just raising that as a point.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. But what we would have to change and get Tamina in, and I don't know -- does she have these maps up and ready?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Well, wouldn't Tamina be coming in anyway if we go to the North? Isn't Tamina the map line drawer that helps with the North, or am I confused?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, Tamina's doing the Coast and the Bay Area. The North Coast.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Oh, got it. Got it. So we're looking at the Eastern part of the map.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, correct.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Okay. Got it.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Okay. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

Just we can have a look here and could you just walk us through? So we have -- the bottom is the Fresno-Tulare, the --

MS. WILSON: Yes, I can walk you through the maps.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, please.

MS. WILSON: One that I just -- in smoothing these
VRA consideration districts along, there's a Fresno to Kern, so what's left in Kern County that's not in the VRA District, and then we have into Tulare County, the Sequoia National Forest area.

Going into Fresno, we have Northern Fresno and Clovis all in one district.

And then moving to the East, we have Mono, Eastern parts of Fresno and Madera, Inyo, Alpine, Mariposa, Tuolumne, Calaveras, Gold Country. Into El Dorado, we have a split slightly in the middle of the county. El Dorado Hills is going North. And we have Lake Tahoe a part of this --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh.

MS. WILSON: -- going down into this area.

Going into San Joaquin, I'm going to zoom in closer so that we can see the cities. Oh, and another big part of this is that Modesto and Turlock are moving outwards into the Eastern California District, as well as Oakdale to Knights Ferry.

We also have, moving North, San Joaquin kept whole except for this Southern border, which Ripon is going South, and then we bring in Valley Home from Stanislaus.

And then continuing to move North into Sacramento, we do have Elk Grove and Vineyard together with Southern Sacramento, Fruitridge, Pocket, Lemon Hill, Florin.
Rosemont and La Riviera in another as well.

And we have this Northern Sacramento District that has Natomas area. Del Paso Heights is here. Arden-Arcade and Carmichael are together here. Foothill Farms, McClellan Park. We have Rancho Cordova. I believe this is Citrus Heights, Antelope, Rio Linda, all together in this Northern Sacramento.

And then going to Placer-SAC, we have the El Dorado Hills area to Cameron Park, Folsom, up to Roseville, Granite Bay, keeping most of Plaster County whole except for all that is a part of the Tahoe area.

Then continuing to move North, we have a portion of Yuba County that was split in half. There's the Air Force Base, Olivehurst underneath it. We have Nevada and Sierra Counties kept whole. And these County Districts are extremely small, so my apologies for that. Let's turn it up a little bit. And even more. Okay, that is better. And then we have Plumas as well in here.

And then backing out to view this Northern California, we have the portions of Yuba City that weren't a part of this district that I just went into. Sutter, Colusa, Glenn, Butte, Tehama, Shasta, Lassen, Siskiyou, and Modoc. The portion of Humboldt which we can bring in easily; there's not too much population there.
And that's an overview of the districts in this area. And I will zoom out again so we can just take a look at all of them.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you very much.

Well, I know that people want architectural changes in this area. I can think of a few, the Tahoe area, putting the area from Humboldt back into Humboldt, things like that. Do we have any Commissioners who have any ideas or changes?

Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Commissioner Yee and I worked on Plan FY that was distributed yesterday that makes a number of changes that take into account some of the things that were brought up, the Tahoe thing and -- Commissioner Yee looked at the Bay Area. I looked at the North State. And thought we could just -- so this was our draft maps. The plan changes a number of these things, and I was wondering if we could just bring that forward to talk through that proposal.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, please.

Commissioner Fernandez, Commissioner Akutagawa, do you have any objections to doing that?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, no. Yeah, let's do that and I'll leave my hand up in case I have any questions. Thanks.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. That's perfect.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I'll do the same.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you.

Oh, and Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yeah, I just wanted to verbally state aloud that Commissioner Yee and Fornaciari worked together because two people are allowed to work together, right?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: We didn't actually work together. We worked --

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Oh, okay, separately.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- separately with the mappers and then they --

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Got it. Got it. Okay, but regardless, it would still fall under the two people working --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, right.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Okay, cool. Thank you. I'm excited to see this.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you. I'm also excited to see this. I have seen it, because it's been posted publicly, but yeah, excited to see it and see more of the details because it's harder to see the details --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, exactly.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- on the posting. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, thank you.

And yes, for the public, this is posted under our handouts for today. And it is under, what, FY? Great.

So yes, could you show that to us, please? Do we need --

MS. WILSON: Yes, I do have -- so I'm going to turn on Commissioner Fornaciari's layer in green.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right.

MS. WILSON: And we can walk through some of those changes through my area.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Perfect.

MS. WILSON: And I'll have the black lines are, which are what the original district is, so that we can see that.

So starting in the North, he brought back in Humboldt.

And Commissioner Fornaciari, you can speak through this as well, or I can if you would like me to.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I can go or you can go.

MS. WILSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Maybe I should go because you don't know what I was thinking.

MS. WILSON: So yeah, we'll start with that one because that one's done, and I can --
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: She's a mind reader.

MS. WILSON: Yeah.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: You don't want to know what he was thinking.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay, I'm going to let that one go.

So just wanted to be consistent with where we're at with that change. If you can go South to Santa Rosa -- so we had over 4,000 extra people in this district. So we had a split in Santa Rosa, in black. I moved that up. It follows on a street.

And then, as you've all seen, to try get to zero, you're picking little blocks. And so to really try to get it to zero -- if you move a little further South, I went out there to get it to zero or one or wherever it was. But I'm sure our line drawers can maybe clean that up.

So I had 4,000 extra people over in this district. So if you can zoom out, yeah. So if you can see, I moved some of Vacaville into NORTHCONT. And I was picking stuff up where her hand is waving, and I didn't realize I was getting stuff down there. So that needs to be cleaned up.

And then, if you can go further North -- if we can clean up the details. So I think, if you zoom out, I
think the rest of it was the same in this district.
Yeah, I didn't change Yolo Lake other than grabbing a little Vacaville.

Oh, yeah, I added a little bit more of West SAC in to be in the Sacramento area. West SAC was already in there. I just added a little bit more for population. And then down by the W of Walnut Grove, I had to move a little more population over into the Sacramento County.

MS. WILSON: If I may, really quick, -- if I can just have a moment to screenshot the changes that we made of the Central Valley, then I can pull up -- so everyone can see the deviations and the cleaner lines.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Oh, okay.

MS. WILSON: I just need to screenshot the changes that we have now, because I couldn't easily bring the changes over to your plan. So I'll just do that really quickly.

(Pause)

MS. WILSON: Thank you for your patience. It's coming up right now. That way, we can see all the deviations and so forth that are a part of it. I just couldn't move those other changes, so I wanted to make sure we had everything that we solidified already. And I'll go right back to the area that we were talking about with the lines cleaned up.
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. So now, what I did is -- oh, there's no -- the other one is not here anymore, right?

MS. WILSON: This is your other one. This is just --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Oh, okay.

MS. WILSON: I made it into its own plan. And I just --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah.

MS. WILSON: It's with the clean -- everything's cleaned up a little bit more.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay, yeah. I wasn't seeing the green.

MS. WILSON: Yeah, so the black is the new green now in this plan.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. And the green is the old black?

MS. WILSON: The green is just what your Shapefile is. It's what you did. But I made it into --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay.

MS. WILSON: I changed it into --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay.

MS. WILSON: -- so that we can see deviations --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay.

MS. WILSON: -- and everything else with it.
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. So zoom on out.
Yeah, go ahead and zoom on out. Yeah, go up to Yuba City.
So to balance out NORTHCONT, I don't think I changed anything there. I just had to balance it, so I grabbed some population around Marysville and moved it over. I tried to fix this Tahoe thing by putting El Dorado County North with Placer-SAC, but it was just too many people and the deviations South wasn't working out. So I moved the rest of El Dorado County back South. There's other ways we can make that change, possibly.
It's a little bit difficult here because you have population North and East of Sacramento County, but then there's a big blank spot there just where her hand is, where the hand was, down South of the pink one, right there. That big blank spot. And so it makes it really hard to move population between these two districts, but we can think of something different.
But if you zoom on in then, kept NORSAC mostly together. But to balance the population, I moved Folsom and Citrus Heights into Placer-SAC -- I mean Folsom and Orangvale and then part of Citrus Heights.
And then if you go just North of Sacramento, if you recall, the line was a squiggly line right down the middle of Downtown Sacramento. So I moved that line, as
recommended, up to the American River. But I had to do a
little balancing right around Arden-Arcade to get
population balanced. So you can see, the river turns
South below Arden-Arcade, but I had to take some of that
South and a little bit of Arden-Arcade.

But Vineyard, Elk Grove, Florin, Fruitridge, Pocket,
Lemon Hill, Parkway are together. Rancho Murieta had to
come South into this Southern district. And that's as
far as I went. I didn't touch SAC-San Joaquin, but I did
balance out ECA as best I could with what I had. So when
I stopped, the population between -- you see NORTHCONT is
11,000 over and -- well, this doesn't all work out.

You'll see, when we get to Tamina's map, there's
17,000 people sitting in North Contra Costa County. The
work that I did was merged with that Russell had done --
well, me and Kennedy and Russell and Tamina. So there's
17,000 folks sitting there. Then we have a couple of
options on how to -- and those are the 17,000 that need
to go South. So we have a couple of ideas of how to move
those South.

MS. WILSON: And before you're off the mic, this is
the version -- this moves it through Inyo, so if you
wanted to speak about that as well, that's right here.
The 17,000 --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Oh, that move's already in
here? Oh, okay.

MS. WILSON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So in order to move the
17,000, we moved it through Discovery Bay. So we grabbed
Discovery Bay and Byron and moved that in with SAC-San
Joaquin.

And then if you walk that across, then we had to
move the Eastern portion of what was SAC-San Joaquin into
ECA.

And then where did you put it? Oh, you moved it out
of Inyo?

MS. WILSON: Yes, this version, like Commissioner
Fornaciari said, there's two, but this version pushed it
out through Inyo. So Inyo has a little over 18,000, and
so it did require splitting of -- no cities are split,
but the county is split up North to get it to 17,000 to
push South.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So the other option for
moving that 17,000 South could be to come in through this
Fresno-Kern and then we could move -- keeping Inyo, go
through Fresno-Kern and then we have parts of California
City, Mojave, Rosemont, maybe. I don't know what the
populations are.

MS. WILSON: Yeah, it was California City had to be
split, and then we had Boron and the Air Force Base out
to here, all moving that way. And I have a version of
that I could show you if it go to that point as well, if
that's something the Commission wanted to see. But
instead of -- so it moves this line here of Fresno-Kern a
little bit more North, and then takes in that 17,000 and
pushes it out through -- like Commissioner Fornaciari
said, through California City which does get split, and
then the Air Force Base out to the East goes into the San
Bernadino County.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So that's where I left it.
I don't know if we want to -- well, I guess we'd have to
switch mappers at this point, if we wanted to have
Commissioner Yee talk to his changes. But maybe we want
to just stay here and get feedback.

It's up to you, Chair.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Thank you for all the work
that you did in putting into this, and I see where you
made a few architectural changes and I see others where
you just balanced. And you can see the plan, how this
does -- this really gives us an idea of how we ultimately
need to move the population down, one way or another.

At this time, I'd like to take the hands, because I
think we want to stick on architectural changes first and
then moving population. So Commissioner -- oh, yes,
thank you.
Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair.

And thank you, Commissioner Fornaciari and Kennedy. And I should say, Commissioner/mapper Fornaciari, so thank you so much.

Kennedy, can you move up to -- oh my gosh, sorry. I'm losing it right now -- up to the Delta area, please, but Rio Vista? I just wanted to zoom in on that area that was brought in. Yeah. Can you -- yes, thank you so much. I was trying to figure out one of the many islands that we have. Can you zoom in just a little bit more? I'm just trying to actually see what the -- okay. I don't get to see anything. All right. Thank you.

And then, if you can zoom out of there a little bit and go to the Yolo Lake, I just wanted to look at that one more time. Okay. And I understand that the population had to move down, so thank you.

The only structural change, major -- I do like the way it looks, so thank you so much for this -- separating Lake Tahoe. That's a stickler for me. So if there's -- and I don't want to take the time now to try, because I do know that Commissioner Fornaciari -- as he mentioned, he did look at that. And you probably also looked at that. But that would probably be one of priorities would be to keep the Truckee-Tahoe area, preferably in the
Placer-SAC. But I think that would cause so much ripple effects to go around to try to do that. But that would be my architectural change.

If you wouldn't mind, really quick, if you could tell me what the population is in that South Lake Tahoe that was cut out, just so I have an idea of what the population is.

MS. WILSON: Yes, one moment.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you so much.

MS. WILSON: So that has a total of 30,532 people.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you. That's just a huge population for that part of the state. So thank you. Thank you both, again.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Fernandez.

Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: First off, I just want to thank everyone for all the work that you've done on here. It looks great. I was focusing on that Tahoe region as well, too, but I think there's going to be some going back to the drawing board there maybe.

One question that I wanted to ask; we came up with a semi-solution that worked for at least most people on the Eastern California where we went in and took Amador and I think Calaveras to a degree. If we could just go down on this part, it looks like we're still into Modesto, I
think, right? Is that correct?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, I didn't go any further South because I didn't know what was happening with the VRA Districts. This is a decision point for us. Our options -- it's a lot of population that we would have to get, maybe from Sacramento area, and then -- you've got to grab population from somewhere to fill out the population requirements for all those counties that aren't very populated. So where we want to grab it is, I guess, the question.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, because I feel like we heard quite a bit of, I guess, passionate testimony about not wanting to be connected to Modesto. And also, the Modesto folks saying the same thing. I'm wondering if we were to stick to the county line there and then move up through Tuolumne, Calaveras, Amador, would that enable the entirety of Tahoe to be included, including up to Truckee?

MS. WILSON: If I can also say one thing about that; that would under populate this district. And taking from these other VRA Districts, switching between this one, the options that are closer to this line lower the CVAP in this Stanislaus District. And then dealing with the population, it's a little bit difficult between those.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: If we were to take Lake
Tahoe all the way up to Truckee, if you took maybe parts of Madera, I think there's some of the parts of Madera, I guess the flatlands or on the valley floor itself, would that also pick up -- it may not pick up all, but would it be enough to pick up -- yeah.

And you said Stanis-Fresno would be under populated, or you're talking about ECA would be under populated if we removed Modesto?

MS. WILSON: If you stuck to the county line in Stanislaus, is that -- I guess I don't know if you meant North or South.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Oh, I guess I was thinking that we would just remove Modesto, and so go the opposite way, when I said county line.

MS. WILSON: Oh, yeah. So removing Modesto, also, this area is helping with the Latino CVAP as well. And so that's what -- we fiddled around with that before and we took out all of that. (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech)

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: And you can't go North on that into --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Can I interject here? I think what you're proposing, Commissioner Akutagawa, is to remove the Northern part of Modesto from ECA --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes.
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- at the county line. Let's play that out, right? So we have a couple hundred-thousand people -- I don't -- two-hundred-and-some in Modesto. So scroll back out a little ways, please, zoom back out. So then we would go into San Joaquin and combine San Joaquin until we've got enough population there to make a district. Then we'd march up into Sacramento and balance that out.

And then ECA would have to come up and then come into Cameron Park, all these towns there, to get the population needed. And we may be able to grab parts of Placer in there so Tahoe could be whole, but the balancing out -- you either get population in Modesto to fill out ECA or you get it up by Sacramento.

But you need a couple hundred-thousand people to fill out that district. So it's an architectural decision on where we want to get that population to complete that district, and then make the changes -- march the other changes up, if we do make a change.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: So I guess the question is -- I think we've heard from both sides of that Modesto-Stanislaus border with the Eastern Sierras area. The preference --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Well, I hear what you're saying, whether you take from Placer or you take from the Modesto area, although the Modesto folks did not want to be part of this district either, so. I know it's just shifting where we grab the -- or we take in and add to this district. I don't know, from my perspective, and from what I've heard from some of the COI testimony -- I mean, obviously, from the people in the Eastern Sierras, they would prefer to go North rather than make that left turn into Modesto. But I'm sure everyone else has opinions on it.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Yeah. I see where you're going there. You could almost, like, put it into Stanislaus, take Tracy and Knights Ferry, move those into Tracy. You could do it that way, and then you'd make the Tri-Valley together. And then you'd end up kind of having to take that out and you'd probably get rid of all that. You'd actually give Solano County back to Solano, and create a whole large delta area through there. Kind of take it up that way. And you'd probably have to grab Glenn down in there until you get up to Sacramento. There are ways to kind of do that. Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you. I just want to also give my thanks to Commissioner Fornaciari. I think the -- especially on the Western side of the North coast
districts certainly reflects the discussions that we've had over the last couple of months. The agricultural district to the East, the Yolo Lake district as well for the most part. It has an agricultural focus, essential worker focus. The only modification I'd make, and it'd be more of a refinement, if we are looking to swap populations, would be in the Santa Rosa area. I think we've mixed some essential worker populations with some populations that are a little bit -- that are different in terms of income, but in terms of -- it is the population center, and this is -- for the most part this looks great. And so I wouldn't change too much, because I know it's difficult to find the population in a rural area. So this looks great. And the other aspects of it also look very good. So thank you, and thanks for the hard work, and just wanted to show my appreciation.

Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Um-hum. Thank you again to all that's contributed to this current iteration. A couple of things. So for sure, Modesto -- I wish there was a different place. I understand the 219,000 plus population in Modesto makes it difficult with the constraints we have on the map. So should there be an exploration, I wouldn't mind going on that journey to do
something different.

However, I do understand and I don't think that they're that -- there are some differences in parts of Modesto but I think they also may be well served with some of the other Eastern communities that's in Central Valley. So we would probably have to find the best of the end result, I imagine. But what I do want to say is that, Kennedy -- when we were talking Tulare-Fresno-Kern, et cetera, and some adjustments that you still need to make -- I just wanted to -- I failed to say at that time, I certainly will work with you based on testimony that we continue to receive down to street level so that you're not having to guess and pick and choose. So I'll be able to help you with that as well. And then as it relates to South Sacramento area, up there I think the maps do a really good job for the most part. In the North Sac area if we can look -- okay what's already included? Okay. Yeah. And you've got that pretty balanced. Okay. Well, so I'll look at that a little bit more off-line as well to see if there's something that can be done to bring in Lemon Hill, Fruitridge Pocket, Parkway, from the Sacramento District into the North, and then see if that gives us more -- frees up space as we move down. But thank you so much.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Fernandez.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, you wanted those communities in the North to be in the North, oh. Yeah, no, right now you do have South Park, Lemon Hill, Meadowview -- you have the Pocket area, West Sacramento with Elk Grove, and that does -- those are quite a few communities of interest that are being filled -- having to do with cultural, education, homelessness -- all that stuff. But that's not why I raised my hand. Kennedy, just really quick back at the Tahoe? I believe it is split right at the county line, correct?

MS. WILSON: That is correct.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Yeah. So I would prefer for it to be together, but I am also okay with this. I'm okay with this iteration of maps. And again, thank you so much. If you're going to split it somewhere, splitting it at the county is a good place to be. Thanks.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you, Chair. And thank you, everybody who worked on this. Just keeping it here on the Tahoe area -- if we can't have all three counties in the same district, I don't think carving out South Tahoe to put it with Placer and Nevada would be a good solution, because El Dorado sees South Lake Tahoe as one of their assets. And so I think this is a great kind of
middle point. At least we don't have them in three different districts, which at one point, I think we did have Truckee, North Tahoe, and South Tahoe. So this looks great. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: At this time, could Katy please read the instructions for the public comment to call in?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Absolutely, Chair. Uh-oh. I made the mistake. Really? I'm clearly on island time.

All right. In order to maximize transparency and public participation in our process, the Commissioners will be taking public comment by phone.

To call in, dial the telephone number provided on the livestream feed. It is (877) 853-5247. When prompted to enter the meeting ID number provided on the livestream feed, it is 811 4925 9556 for this meeting. When prompted to enter a participant ID, simply press the pound key. Once you have dialed in, you will be placed in a queue. To indicate you wish to comment, please press star nine. This will raise your hand for the moderator. When it is your turn to speak, you will hear a message that says, the host would like you to talk, and to press star six to speak. If you would like to give your name, please state and spell it for the record. You are not required to provide your name to give public
comment. Please make sure to mute your computer or livestream audio to prevent any feedback or distortion during your call. Once you are waiting in the queue, be alert for when it is your turn to speak, and again, please turn down the livestream volume. And the lines will be open until 6 o'clock.

Please call in, and we will come back to you all later. Thank you so much.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Katy. Yes. For the public comment, now remember, you must call in before 6 o'clock, because that's when the lines will close. We will not be getting to public comment until at the earliest 8 o'clock. And it could be 8:15, but be on -- back at 8 o'clock, but you have to call in before 6, because that's when the lines close. So thank you.

With that, Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Chair. Looking at the map, which by the way, is great, so thank you, Team FY for working on this. I like the way that it looks. I also am very cognizant that there are some refinements in several of the draft visualizations that folks want to make. However, with that 17,000 district -- the one that's populated with 17,000 right now it's NORTHCONT. I think this map is not updated to show what's posted online. But moving that population through Sacramento,
through North Sac potentially into Placer Sac and then
down ECA to Southern California, might help us make those
refinements that quite a few number of people already
spoke about. So I would be in favor of exploring that
path so we can get to the needed populations for these
districts. But otherwise, I like it.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Ahmad.
Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. Following up exactly that
thought. I'm wondering, Kennedy, if you could zoom out,
so we could see MORCOA and just remind ourselves of what
the big picture is of population balancing that we're
going to need to do to zero out throughout the state.

MS. WILSON: And I would like to say, I do not have
Sivan's most recent version of the map in this area, too,
so it's moving it down. But this is most likely not the
right deviation from what you worked on.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Right. That's what I saw, and it
was confusing. That's the reason why. Perfect. Okay.
In that case, yeah. That's all I had to ask for. Thank
you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. I have a -- before we
get to -- I'm sorry, Commissioner Fornaciari, was that
directly about that?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, well -- no. In the
big picture, the maps that Tamina has, she's got the
17,000 in NORTHCONT, or yes. And then, like, we showed
you how we moved that. I think if just -- if you're
thinking of running it through El Dorado County, as you
move population South, the border of the district goes
North. So I think --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- it's going the opposite
direction of what we are wanting.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Just an FYI, but I think
what I'm hearing is -- maybe Kennedy and I can spend a
little time investigating potential opportunities to make
El Dorado more whole and how we can move that population
around. I'm not sure exactly how we do it, but maybe we
can look at that if you would like me to do that, Chair.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. I actually have a
couple of ideas. And one, I really appreciate -- yes,
this was a lot of work, and you really worked at
balancing and thinking about many different things. I
also agree, though, because I had been toying around with
this, but not on the QGIS. And yes, there is a way where
we can keep the Tahoe area together, and it does not
mean, like, just that little, tiny issue right around --
so that is an area I would really like to work on. The
other area that I've never been happy with is Solano County. Solano County, the way it is right now is --
most of Solano County is not in Solano County, and I never -- I mean, we're not hearing that much from --
well, I don't know -- from Solano, but literally, all of their county has been put into Contra Costa. And that's
just -- I believe the capital of Solano -- I'm not exactly sure. I thought it was in Vacaville, wasn't it, or? I don't really know exactly where it is.
Commissioner Fernandez, you -- but, I know we were talking about adding Vallejo or Benicia and everything to do Contra Costa County, but that has never sat well with me. And it certainly doesn't -- and I know that means we'd have to do something different in that area, but I would really, really like us to pursue that. And even if that means we're creating some of that other population we create a large delta area that actually includes several of those parts of North Contra Costa and even the bits of San Joaquin and the Sacramento and that whole area, something like that. But that has never been something that's appealed to me. And then, of course, there's the area -- but I believe if we worked out the Tahoe area we could then work out the whole ECA being with the Valley. And I believe, actually, that bringing the population down through the Valley is actually sort
of the easier thing to do rather than completely -- I think that would agree more with public testimony than it wouldn't -- than the other side.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Just, Chair, if I can jump in -- we really can't bring it down the Valley, because the Valley's all VRA districts.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Through ECA and then down into the Kern --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Oh, through Fresno-Kern, yeah, we can -- yeah.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct. The Fresno-Kern, that's what I mean.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: That's certainly an option, yeah.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. And that's the Valley, so that's Fresno-Kern. And then, Cathedral City, that sort of area. That would be my preference. I think it would be a cleaner -- but again, now, Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair. Just wanted to respond -- in terms of trying to move that population up and through to get Tahoe together -- along the way we're going to be breaking up other COIs as well. Again, I think the split is good in terms of county lines. And then also in terms of the Vallejo-Benicia, just as a reminder, in the Fairfield -- in the Assembly
map we did not put that with Contra Costa, and we said, okay, maybe in Congressional or Senate in some of the bigger districts. So I'm okay with having Vallejo and Benicia to go in with Contra Costa. I do agree with you, however, Chair, that I prefer to try to keep Fairfield in Solano County.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. But again, the Tahoe split, I think, is good. You're going to have different COIs that you're breaking up if you go up and over. Just the same way as if you go down, so it's a tradeoff.


VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. This may seem like a minor issue, but I hope that we can try to use terminology like grouped with, rather than moved to, because we've already had instances where people are putting out misinformation and trying to make people believe that their children will have to enroll in different school districts, that they'll be paying taxes to different jurisdictions, because people keep using this terminology of moving people from one county to another. We're not moving them from one county to another; we're grouping them with people from another county. So let's not feed the misinformation out there.
Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you very much, Commissioner Kennedy. That's a very, very good point. That actually didn't occur to me, so I'm glad you brought that up, because that's exactly what we're doing. What happens in the county happens in your county regardless of where your Assembly lines are drawn. So I didn't realize that information was going out there, and I'm really glad that you brought that up.

Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I just wanted to echo what Commissioner Fernandez said. I think we're okay unless we actually brought all three counties together. I don't think it makes sense just to bring North Tahoe, Lake Tahoe, and North-South Tahoe, and then Truckee together. The other thing about Vallejo and Benicia -- sorry, what Commissioner Fernandez said was accurate. We couldn't bring them in -- we didn't bring them in for -- there's a strong working class community that doesn't feel represented by Solano, and they've asked to please be part of the Contra Costa.

Ideally, if we -- can we zoom in a little bit in this area? I don't know if it's possible above, like, Vallejo and Benicia -- well, there's a place that's like a little dot where two freeways come in -- it's a
triangle, and ideally, we could stop there and maybe move
and still pick up Antioch. And then that would give --
put Fairfield and others back -- move that part up. But
I would -- yeah, if we could get -- if we could include
Antioch in North Contra Costa, that would be ideal. But
I hope that we don't take Vallejo and Benicia out from
here.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Sinay.
What I was talking about is Fairfield on up, because
that's -- Fairfield by no means thinks they're part of
the Bay Area. They're in Solano County, and that's what
I was talking about -- from Fairfield, Green Valley,
Suisun City -- that whole portion. I would really like
to put not with Contra Costa. But I believe we might
have made some changes in the actual East Bay.
Hopefully, we put Pittsburg with Antioch, Albany back
with Oakland and Berkeley and Emeryville. Things like
that. Tri-Valley. Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I can envision that we
will have that exact conversation when Tamina's map comes
up, so stay tuned.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. Well, so we've kind of gone
over the areas we would still like to work on here, and
we are going to be taking a break at 6 to 6:15.

Do we want to sort of -- Commissioner Fornaciari,
you said you would like to work on a couple of these ideas with Kennedy? I also can give you a quick --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I think --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- rundown on this.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- I mean, I think I'm pretty clear on what -- I mean, Commissioner Kennedy and I can work on some of these things off-line and come back. I mean, Senator Kennedy -- oh, I mean, Kennedy --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: The other Kennedy. Not Commissioner Kennedy. Yes, and I have an idea I can tell you pretty quickly of how you'd rearrange to do that one. In which case, do we have any other ideas about the North or San Joaquin, Sacramento, any of these areas? Okay. Do we want to do any exploring right now? Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Can we not move to the other map -- Tamina's map right now, or we have to wait until after break?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, we would just have to get Tamina in. I think that that would take a little bit of time.

MS. WILSON: After break would be preferable.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

MS. WILSON: I am a little unclear about what I am walking away to go do, so maybe -- I don't know -- if
there's direction that I could -- I will work with Commissioner Fornaciari about this Northern part. I'll work with Commissioner Turner about moving just some street lines in Fresno, but generally it seems --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. Can I jump in here?

So --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, please.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So we're going to have a conversation focused on North Contra Costa County and Southern Solano County, and the Commission has to make some decisions there on how we want to go. Because, we got 17,000 people parked there, and if we decide we want to add Antioch into that area, then we got to figure out how we're going to -- how we're going -- that's 110,000 people. We have to figure out how we're going to manage that. I'll just say it that way. And so I think we have potentially a few options to look at, and then we can figure out how we want to manage that at that point. But some of the options are going North, some are going South, some are going around in different ways, and so until we make that decision, I think going any further and making explorations in the North state should wait. And once we have a decision there, then we can kind of go forward with what we want to do.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Commissioner Fornaciari,
should we say -- I'm assuming that you have Fairfield and Dixon and all that -- is that part of the thing we have to deal with it?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Well, that's --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Or has that been removed?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. So that's an option that we'll explore.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. So assuming we do want to take that chunk out, that would have to go North. We'd have to rearrange North. Do we want it --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: It doesn't have to go North. So Commissioner Andersen, let's -- why don't we just switch maps and have that conversation, because we can --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- pull it up, and we --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- can see what the impact would be for a few different options. If that's okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Certainly, but I don't see how we'll do that without looking at the North as well.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, but Tamina has all that.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah, Commissioner Fornaciari, just for the members of the public, I'm wondering if you could -- if we could zoom into North Contra Costa. If you could explain the 17,000 and where they are, and what the challenge is there?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Because the number 17,000 doesn't appear there.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Right. The numbers aren't correct on this map, so when we left our draft maps, there were about -- between NORTHCONT and the one below it, I -- there were 13,000 people left in this area, and then there were about 4,000 people on the coast. And they kind of all wound up there. And so as the Bay Area got balanced and they all wound up in that area, and then we've come up with an option for moving them. But then the other thing is, if we put Antioch with Pittsburg and Vallejo and Benicia and the rest of it -- then that's adding 100,000-110,000 people into that area. And then we have to move that population elsewhere.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right. Thank you. And I have Kennedy, and we have Karin in there. Do you guys want to say something now?

MS. MACDONALD: Yeah. Hello, this is Karin.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Hello.
MS. MACDONALD: And I just wanted to ask if we could please just wait for Tamina to come up. We're just PDFing the changes for the map that Commissioner Fornaciari has just described. And as soon as that's sent up, which is just a few minutes from now, then Tamina can come up. We have it posted for the public to follow along, and then we could discuss it. So we need a tiny little bit of time to actually --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Perfect.

MS. MACDONALD: -- show this.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No. Thank you. And we can just sort of just finish this discussion, and we'll go on a break. We're going to come back at 6:15.

But I just want to say right now for the public, the lines are open. They will be closing at 6. So if you want to make public comment tonight, you must call in before 6 o'clock when the lines close.

Now, we will not be getting to public comment until 8 o'clock at the earliest. But you must call in, then you can -- as long as you don't hang up, you're still in the queue, and make sure you're back by say, 8 o'clock. We might not go -- it'll be between 8 and 8:15 when we get to public comment.

So Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I think Kennedy was
requesting clearer direction as to next steps on her end, and then I also just wanted to suggest maybe after we do that we can go to a break and then while we wait for Tamina. Just to --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. That's sounds --
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- wonderful. Thank you.

Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I was just wondering, why is it that we open up the phones and then we make people wait for two hours? I haven't chaired and I haven't been part of the creating the plan, but it just seems kind of unfair to make people wait for two hours.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sorry, that question was -- that very question was asked earlier by Commissioner Akutagawa, but the public who were on the call at the time might not have heard it now. And so the reason why we must do this is because the meeting is scheduled to end at 6. So that is when we need to close the line. Now, the only reason that we are able to continue our meeting and continue getting public comment -- because it's at 6 -- or as business ends, and we are not finished with business. So you need to get in the queue. But what has often been the case is, get in the queue and we'll get to you -- we'll get to you.
Well, I'm telling people right up front, no, we aren't going to get to you until after 8 o'clock. So they can get in line, then they can go off and eat their dinner or something and then come back. That's the reason why. I don't want them having to wait in line and thinking they could call -- I could be called at any time. So I'm trying to accommodate -- keep within the rules and help the public as well. So thank you for asking the question.

Now, Kennedy, for direction on this, the areas that we are looking at is can we do some rearranging such that we can actually get Truckee, Tahoe, and all of Tahoe into a district? So it would be parts of El Dorado, Placer, and then Nevada, and then because Sierras part of Nevada, essentially that's an area that everyone would kind of like to look at that. The other area is -- well, that has to do with that area in Solano, from Fairfield up. Say that population -- how would that fit if that has to be part of Solano, some areas like that? So those are the areas -- that's what we're trying to look at in terms of can we rearrange those upper districts.

Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I believe the two or three of us that brought up the Tahoe-Truckee, I think the three of us were okay with how it is. So I'm just
wondering if -- I don't want to have Kennedy go and do something and then it's not necessarily what was agreed to. Thanks.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you. Okay. But I know, like -- Sutter and Yuba wanted to be together, and they're not in this plan. So there's some areas that we might be able to not break up certain COIs and put other COIs together. So that's kind of what I was thinking -- some of those ideas like that.

But again, Commissioner Fornaciari has been sort of paying attention to all these things; he's been looking at the numbers. And so I think if anyone can come up with a possible solution it would be him. So at this point -- and he volunteered to work with Kennedy, so. And with that -- Kennedy, does that -- other than that -- another item that's -- is that worth pursuing to try to get Modesto, say, with San Joaquin or something like that? Just so the Modesto people would not be with the Sierras and vice versa. So thank you very much. With that, I say we'll go on our break. And as far as -- Kennedy, do you have enough direction? You'll be working with another Commissioner, so I think that might help.

MS. WILSON: Yeah. I believe so. As far as that Northern area maybe looking at a version of that. As far as Modesto goes, I think that we'll make a lot more
changes, like, I've tried doing that and it would just make a lot more changes North that you not really be happy with, but I will continue to work with other Commissioners to get everything done.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. Thank you very much, Kennedy. And with that, we are going to go on our break. The public again, the lines will be open until 6 o'clock to call in, but public comment will not be taken until at least 8 o'clock. We'll be back at 6:15 to continue working, and hopefully, at that time we will be looking at Tamina's map, and we'll be doing the coasts and the Bay Area.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 5:56 p.m. until 6:14 p.m.)

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Welcome back, everyone, to the California Citizens Redistricting Commission. We are continuing on with our exploration and drawing of the Congressional district lines. And at this point, I just want to give a quick comment for those in the public who are in our queue. Thank you very much for all those who have called in, and we can't wait to hear all your comments, but we are going to continue. We're going to have another ninety-minute drawing session, a fifteen-minute break, and then we will get to you. So we will not get to you before 8 o'clock. Between 8 and 8:15 is
when we will be taking public comment. So please stay in
the queue. But again, you can go off and do something
and come back, because we will not be getting to you
until about 8 o'clock.

So with that said, Tamina we have the whole East Bay
here and the San Francisco Bay area we're looking at. So
could you please walk us through the map that we're
looking at?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes. Absolutely. Oh, one moment,
please. Sorry about that. Can everybody hear me now?
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. We could hear you before.
MS. RAMOS ALON: Oh. Okay. I couldn't hear because
I turned my volume down to not interfere with Kennedy's,
so, that's why I can hear now. So where we were last --
if you'll remember, we're going to go over the end of
Plan FY. We started talking about Commissioner
Fornaciari's changes with Kennedy and so just wanted to
go through the ones that Commissioner Yee was able to
make in my areas. What you're looking at now, is
actually Plan Y2, which has just been sent up in PDF
form, so you'll be able to look at it. The only
difference between Plan Y2 and Plan Y1 is that there used
to be this bubble the last time you saw it of 17,000
people sitting here in NORTHCONT. It has been moved
through and in the way that Kennedy explained, and I can
show you kind of what those pieces look like because
they're on the map here. And so I am happy to go
whichever way first. If you want to take a look going
down at -- zooming in on some of these areas that you
just discussed, or if you'd like to see the changes that
Commissioner Yee made in the Bay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: We would like to see them. One
thing is the way the map is shown right now, we cannot
see the cities. If you zoom in, we can see the cities
and things underneath it?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Can you see -- let me take those
colors off.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Ah. Thank you. That's definitely
a little better. Yes.

So I see Commissioner Yee's hand up. Could you
please give us what you have changed here?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Sure. Tamina, I'm wondering if
we could pick up the changes on the peninsula first so we
can just get past those? You'll recall, we'd spent quite
a bit of time in Assembly plan to make some changes,
bringing East Palo Alto and the Belle Haven neighborhood
up to -- farther up the peninsula. And so working with
Tamina, we've matched that change. This involved the
same tactic that we used in the Assembly plan, which is
to move Pacifica to Half Moon Bay into a district with --
that goes all the way down to Mountain View and South.
So that doesn't match exactly the Assembly, but it's
pretty much the same move. Remind me, Tamina, did we do
anything else? I don't think we did anything else here.

MS. RAMOS ALON: A couple things.

COMMISSIONER YEE: All right. Please.

MS. RAMOS ALON: So just a few lines to correct some
COIs in San Jose, but --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Oh, that's right.

MS. RAMOS ALON: -- and we put this area of Fremont
back together. We corrected the line in Dublin to be
closer to what we had in the Assembly. And then the big
news up here, we got Albany back with Berkeley, and
Albany is now with Berkeley and Oakland, and there is a
new San Leandro split in the South of San Leandro.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. Thank you. I see it has
the Cherryland together.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Right. So the far South of San
Leandro is with San Lorenzo, then Ashland and Cherryland
and so forth, Eden, and the unincorporated areas.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Unfortunately, yes. I see we were
not able to do any work with the Tri-Valley.

Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I just want to see where the
cut in San Leandro is.
Does it go along a road, Commissioner Yee, or is it --

COMMISSIONER YEE: It does.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- per population?

COMMISSIONER YEE: It follows a waterway for a time and then --

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yeah. So we were trying to take kind of this -- the Bay Fair Area and go over and then we had to adjust for population, so we used the Bay Fair Area and the Lakeside Village area first, and then came to 880, and then came down to the Escondido Canal. And then this was for population balancing.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. And any other -- okay.

And can you go across so -- we still have -- could you go up to the top a little bit, please? I see. So we still have the Antioch that we'd like to do, and I see how -- yes. So could you walk us through, now that we can see a little bit closer, how you've sort of moved the population?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Certainly. So as I mentioned, we started with the 17,000 plus population bubble that was in NORTHCONT that was just from balancing out both Kennedy and my areas to plus or minus one or sometimes two, and there's a three. And so the plan was to move it
through Contra Costa. So the first move was changing the
line in Pleasant Hill where the split was. The second
move was to take Byron and Discovery Bay and some of the
unincorporated areas surrounding it, and moving that in
with Stockton. I'll zoom out a little bit so you can see
it. The third move moved this area that used to be a
part of the San Joaquin County in with ECA. The fourth
move is really one that we are still contemplating, which
is whether to push it -- and I'm sorry. These are not
what you just saw in Kennedy's area, so please ignore the
numbers in these areas. But out of ECA our two options
were to either continue to go straight down South into
San Bernardino, or to resolve the population in the
Fresno-Tulare area. Oh, sorry. I'm sorry. The
California City area. Right.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Right. So two things in play
here. One is, balancing out the North and South of the
state, and zeroing all that out involving that 17,000
population that we need to move from North to South. So
the two options, California City or moving Inyo whole
with San Bernardino. The second issue is, the whole
question of Fairfield and Antioch, and whether we think
about just a swap there. There are possibilities. The
possibilities would lead to more and bigger splits in 
East Bay. Not impossible. Not inconceivable, but quite 
a bit more complicated. So I believe Commissioner 
Fornaciari worked on this. And I don't know if he wants 
to talk through them.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Thank you.

Before we call on Commissioner Fornaciari, 
Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I like the map the way 
it is. I think it captures many of the COIs that we 
want, although I'd be supportive of exploring the Antioch 
swap -- the Antioch and Fairfield swap, given that the 
populations are quite similar as well. So I think it 
would be comparable. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. I might put myself in 
the queue, because I understand that Fairfield is the 
capital of Solano County, so I would really like to put 
that back in Solano County. And the COI that we were 
trying to get that we did not get in the Assembly or in 
the Senate is the Vallejo, Benicia, all the way out to 
Antioch -- Pittsburg and Antioch. So I would really like 
to see that explored. But that's my opinion. So do we 
have other items that we want to -- in this East Bay 
area? I'm going to call on Commissioner Fornaciari, but 
are there other areas here that we wanted to address?
That anyone wanted to do some architectural changes on
before we --

MS. RAMOS ALON: I'd just like to note that the
reason that we did not get the Vallejo through Antioch
area together in the Assembly is because it's more than
an Assembly district, so it would not have fit.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No. We also had other items in
there, I thought.

Commissioner Kennedy.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. Yeah. The
idea of moving Fairfield out and Antioch in is an
interesting idea to me. My question then for Tamina,
Commissioner Fornaciari, and others is, how do we carry
that population of Fairfield around and through to
wherever it needs to go? I mean, I do like the idea. I
just want to understand a little bit better the mechanism
of making it happen. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy.

Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. I was going to say,
Commissioner Fornaciari, if you want to proceed with
this, fine. If not, I was thinking -- actually I should
not just hand it off like that, but you're welcome to.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. No, that's fine.
If it's okay, Chair, I'll go.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. No, that's because -- I was going to call on you next. So thank you --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- for volunteering.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So the simplest fix that I could think of that I asked Tamina to look at was put in Antioch and then move Richmond, El Cerrito, Kensington down into Oakland. Move that population out of the bottom of Oakland, send it over into Alameda, and then back up into Contra Costa because we have to -- if we take Antioch out of NORTHCONT, we have to replace population. So that was the first thing we looked at. And then subsequently, Tamina has explored the idea of taking Fairfield out, I believe -- swapping Antioch and Fairfield and what those would look like. So I haven't seen those, so I would have to defer to her to walk down that road.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. So then if I could be a little bit more specific, I am specifically interested in that Fairfield for Antioch swap and then how to handle the Fairfield population once it goes into Yolo Lake.

Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes --

MS. RAMOS ALON: I'll look at my map for a second and see if I have some visualizations which may address
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Ooh. Thank you very much. In the meantime, Commissioner Sinay, did you have -- you also had a question?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I was just going to second what Commissioner Kennedy said, since we work on consensus.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. And it's a lot easier when I can see faces here. So people want to see that. Who'd be interested in seeing the Vallejo, and who is not interested in seeing that whatsoever? I'm sorry. I should say, who wants to just keep -- likes the maps the way they are and rather not change anything?

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Sorry. I was just slow, so I just wanted to say I'm in support of exploring that swap.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. Thank you. In which case, Commissioner Fornaciari, are you ready to walk us through it?

Or Tamina, you were doing these visualizations.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes. Give me one second. I almost have the map up.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, no, no. Not a problem. I was just getting ready to call on Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I haven't seen them
yet, so Tamina can walk us through.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Chair, just quick. I don't want to say I'm completely against it; I just feel that that's a lot of population you have to move around somewhere up there, so this'll be interesting. Thanks.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. I think we've done a lot of exploration in the South. I can do a little exploration up in the North here. This little fun and games up here.

COMMISSIONER YEE: I can mention a few items while we're getting it loaded up. Some of the splits that this would involve, it would involve splitting Richmond, but then part of Richmond would be paired with Vallejo and part of it would be paired with Oakland. And then it would be spitting Oakland instead of San Leandro. But you would be able to get more of the Tri-Valley together. So that's one route we can go.

MS. RAMOS ALON: So there are three visualizations that -- oh, I'm sorry.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, go right ahead.

MS. RAMOS ALON: There are three visualizations that might be interesting to look at. I didn't really address the Fairfield issue in all of them. In all of them, we had the question of how do we get Antioch into this circle. But this one I think addresses most of
Fairfield. We'd probably be able to clean it up somehow.

So this visualization puts Antioch in with NORTHCONT. Antioch goes up through Vallejo, Benicia, and then takes this part of Fairfield on the West.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: You're not sharing the map yet.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Oh, my gosh. I'm so sorry. Now you have everybody over here laughing at me, too. Sorry about that. Okay. So --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: We'll call it doing a

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Can we have the city lines darkened maybe a bit, because my eyes aren't quite as young as they used to be. So it's a little hard for me to see them.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. So here with the cities being the ones in color, the first move was to take Antioch. Antioch is 115,291 people thereabouts. In fact, I'm going to take those numbers off so you don't have to stare at them.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: We like the numbers.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Do you? Oh, okay. I'll leave them. So Antioch had 115,291 people that was moved into NORTHCONT. This particular visualization -- so there were several ways to resolve this 115,000 people, right. So one is you go up through Fairfield, you hang a left,
you go through Yolo Lake, down North Coast and over the bridge. So that's option number one. And I have done that if you'd like to see it. Option number two is you go up through Fairfield and instead you come through the Elk Grove area and you come back around through San Joaquin, which is what this is. Option number three is, you cut out Richmond and you move the Oakland line and you come downwards, but anything that -- the downward move does not address the Fairfield. And then option number four is to do a rejigger of Contra Costa itself up here, move more of East Contra Costa in and come down and change the split down here, which again, does not address the Fairfield part. So I will go through this one, because it addresses the Fairfield part and then you can see where you'd like to go from there.

So we moved Antioch in, which brought us into NORTHCONT overpopulated by Fairfield, so now the majority of Fairfield -- excuse me -- is back with Solano County. So then Yolo Lake had to give away something. So Yolo Lake gave away part of Woodland and Davis which were joined to the Sacramento area. The Sacramento area split Elk Grove, joined the Southern half of Elk Grove to the Sac San Joaquin District, which came around to the SCALA TRACY District, adding Tracy and Mountain House. Tracy is split in this visualization, but they were added to
the SCALA Tracy with Livermore. This helped reunite part
of the Tri-Valley area with Pleasant and Dublin being
pulled, going up the 680 and part of Livermore. And then
that completed our circle.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: And that was option one,
right?

MS. RAMOS ALON: And that was option one. Please
don't shoot the messenger.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: It's okay. You aren't in the room,
so.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Chair, if I may. I suggest we go
through all four options so that we have them all in our
minds, and --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, yes, please. Yes.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. One moment.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And then, I'm sorry.
Commissioner Kennedy or Commissioner Fernandez, do
you want to say something about this particular one
before we move on?

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. Thank you, Chair. I'll
just say at this point that I am not a fan of the Elk
Grove split, but I was hoping and was happy to see that
this enabled us to perhaps improve the situation down in
the Tri-Valley. So I don't know if there's any way in
this to reunite Elk Grove, but to me that's the issue
that I would have with going this way, which otherwise I like. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. And Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I do not like this one at all. I know that's shocking. It's splitting up -- if you move up, it's splitting up Yolo County. We've already taken out West Sacramento from Yolo County, now we're taking out the other major city that -- of four cities that that county has, and we're breaking it up and we're splitting Elk Grove, and we're also then moving Tracy back into a different district. So it's tearing up so many COIs -- other COIs. So yeah. Not a fan of this one. And even if you were here, Tamina, I would not harm you in any way. I love you.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Much appreciated, Commissioner.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I'm just wondering if, Tamina, if there's another option through the tail -- through the Sacramento tail without having to go through and interrupting Yolo County, which I'm not a fan of either. But if we could go through the bottom part -- the delta portion of the Solano County instead of down -- I don't know if that's an option, so I'm just asking if that could be potentially an option or no. I see no, and so just was asking.
MS. RAMOS ALON: Unfortunately, there's just no -- we're looking for 115,000 people. So I need Davis and Woodland in order to do that in this visualization. But stay tuned. There are more.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, wait a minute. You took Woodland, too. So actually three of the four major cities in Yolo are now in a different county, so --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Two-and-a-half.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Commissioner Sinay just has -- we'll go through all four, and then -- unless it's a clarity question about a particular one -- and my hand is up because I do have a clarity. Tamina, I'm sorry, but can we -- can we just see the cities a little darker? I mean, because it's like there's a haze layer over them. Because it's -- yes, when you clear it, we get in closer, you can kind of see them, but -- that's wonderful. Yeah. Did you just change the color of the lines?

MS. RAMOS ALON: I just made their lines darker. Is that any better?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, it is. Thank you very much. Okay. So now you're going for option 2, please.

MS. RAMOS ALON: One second while I remove the color.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: For those that's not in the
room, we're just saying this one's going to be great. We're going to love it.


MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. So this is visualization number 2, or this is the Richmond split visualization. I won't worry you with the numbers. So as I said, this does not touch the Fairfield issue at all. This one was really looking at just Antioch, and what would happen if we did a Bay Area rotation to get Antioch in with Vallejo and Benicia. So the long and short of it is that you have a split in Richmond and through part of San Pablo. This is along the freeway, so this is the 80, and then this area is along Macdonald going back out toward the 580. I would welcome a better split for that.

Richmond, unfortunately, could not get by itself because it is noncontiguous and has El Sobrante, San Pablo, East Richmond Heights, all of these other smaller cities and census-designated places all wrapped together. So even though it is just 116,000, it would have to be split in order to be a trade with Antioch.

So then the Northern area of Richmond and North goes up with the NORTHCONT district, the Southern area of Richmond going South, including El Cerrito, Kensington, the Heights, are going to come in with Oakland, and it's
going to create a new Oakland split down here in the South, which then pushes this open population into Scalrey Tracy, which creates a new split here in the Tri-Valley area, in the Pleasanton area. So this used to go down further, and now it takes in more of Pleasanton in order to balance that. And then that pushes that back up, more of Pleasanton area comes back up — and Livermore, sorry, Pleasanton and Livermore. So Livermore is taken out of the Tri-Valley area and put up with this area that goes up through Bethel Island.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. On this one, Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. Just as we're weighing our options, Tamina, would you be able to put up all of the different CVAPs for these different configurations?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh. Commissioner Kennedy?

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I guess, from Tamina's description, what I'd be interested in seeing is acknowledging that Richmond kind of goes in all sorts of different directions. If there's a way to split it so that the center of Richmond isn't affected? Yeah. I don't want to split in the center, but if there's a piece of it way over here and we can divide there, then to me, that makes more sense. Thank you.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right. Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah, I think, in making splits, I think if we are making -- we're prioritizing some communities over others, then I would hope we would prioritize the communities that are least likely to -- the most underserved and the most -- the ones that are -- our least resourced, and just to keep them together, as they would be the ones who lose the most, I think. And there was other communities that might be able to advocate for themselves better, but I mean, ultimately --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- I would agree with Commissioner Kennedy. There might be a better way to cut --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- in Richmond if we go in this direction. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. Tamina, if you can just zoom out a little. And I would agree with Commissioner Toledo. The way I look at it is, try to keep those communities that, unfortunately, don't have time for their voices to be heard because they're busy just trying to make it from one day to the next. Thanks.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: And thank you.
Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yep. I sure want to zoom in to Richmond and see what streets those are. I know you said Macdonald, but --

MS. RAMOS ALON: I can actually tell you exactly what this is. So this is the bottom of the Iron Triangle. I was trying, at least, to preserve that part of the poorest part of Richmond. But for population, I had to take more of this area, West and East of Macdonald and Barrett going up. I can turn on the streets for you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, please.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: So City Hall is Macdonald.

MS. RAMOS ALON: This is why I said I really welcome another way to split --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

MS. RAMOS ALON: -- because the problem is right where we need to split is right down the center of Richmond. So I tried taking all the hills area instead, and that wasn't even enough.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

MS. RAMOS ALON: So you can see Macdonald's here, and Barrett, where the Kaiser is. This is Garrard.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah.

MS. RAMOS ALON: And then this is Cutting, the
bottom of Cutting.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right. Commissioner Turner, would you like to keep on seeing this, or?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: I will go into, I guess, the map viewer and look at the --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, this is -- remember this is one of -- this is the second option --

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yeah. And I --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So there are two others that you might like better.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. I never anticipated a Richmond split, so I haven't given it much thought. So yes. Well, yes. Let's see the other options.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Katrina (sic), one thing. If you could go over, in this one, and actually in all of them -- I put myself in the queue here -- I've noticed that you still left Pleasant Hill in it. And you would certainly take that out. That -- yeah. Pleasant Hill. Yeah. There are areas that are in this area that we really don't need to have in that area. So that would -- so if we took Pleasant Hill and put it -- took it out of the area and put it with the one in the South, whatever that says, and we don't have to take quite as much out of Richmond or those areas. And I'm just noticing what cities we've gathered at the top here, and
Pleasant Hill certainly should not be with that. I'm thinking about Alhambra Valley, too. I'm not sure if that should be either.

I was just wondering if there's areas that are -- we don't necessarily want together, because I'm think these are the ones that are affected by the refineries and definitely different working class, and separate the others out, and we don't have to take quite as much. The grab isn't as great. If you can do that, please?

Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah, it was along the same lines, just perhaps taking what's left of, I think it's Pleasant Hill.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: And then maybe even Pacheco, and seeing if we can get more of Richmond into the district, or all of Richmond, preferably, into the Northern district.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: You know, Tamina, just because that might happen in each of the options, could you just give us a population of just that, yes, that area right there, please? See if that would affect us at all.

MS. RAMOS ALON: That is 17,488, so not really.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: We'll, it's something, so. Okay.

It might be -- like a switch of it might make the cut in
Richmond much easier, but okay. Thank you very much.

Commissioner Toledo, do you still have a question?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Just how many people are in Richmond? How big is the city of Richmond? I don't have my handy dandy guide with me.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No. I ---

MS. RAMOS ALON: The City of Richmond is 116,000, but it has other communities that are interwoven throughout it. So you have -- see this purple area is all Richmond over here. So you can take the city of Richmond, but it's noncontiguous. You have to also include El Sobrante, San Pablo, Rollingwood, East Richmond Heights, and North Richmond if you want to take all of Richmond together.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. That's rather tricky.

Commissioner -- I'm sorry -- Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Chair. Just thinking about that change that you just proposed. If we're moving any -- let me rephrase that. If we are moving the line to encompass more of NORTHCONT into Concord so that we can move the line to encompass a chunk of Oakland more into NORTHCONT, we would be under in Oakland more, so we would have to move population through, since that change recommended, it touches three different districts. Just bringing that up. It's not --
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh. Oh, I see. I see what you're saying.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yeah.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Because you'd have to -- well, yeah. But actually, in that area, what I was thinking is, we'd like to get more of the -- oh, no. If we took area out of that, then we'd have to take more area out of the Tracy. Okay. Thank you.

Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. So if we, Tamina, were able to keep Richmond whole, can we bring in this Reliez -- I don't even know how to say that -- Valley and part of Pleasant Hill to unify them with the nearby communities of Concord and Walnut Creek?

MS. RAMOS ALON: It would not be -- there would not be enough population to do that.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Green Valley. What about --

MS. RAMOS ALON: You would need to split Concord, which is an option, or (audio interference).

COMMISSIONER TURNER: I think Concord, maybe, can take a split better than the other area, but Concord, Walnut Creek, Green Valley --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Audio interference).

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Is that still in the Contra Costa area? So let's see the other options, and then
maybe we can explore some more of how else we can do this. I really would prefer not splitting Richmond or Oakland.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. I can move on to the next one.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Audio interference).

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. I'm sorry. I missed that.

Commissioner Turner, were you asking for --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. Yeah, I was asking for us to move to another option.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, thank you. Yes, please.

Tamina, could we see the next option?

Now, this was option 2; is that correct?

MS. RAMOS ALON: The first was the Elk Grove option. Then that was the Richmond option. Now, we're moving on to the Oakland option.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

MS. RAMOS ALON: And I will remove the color.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.

(Pause)

MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. So now we're into the Oakland option, which again, comes South. So it did not affect Fairfield at all. Starting here. This visualization actually took in Antioch, Knightsen,
Oakley, Brentwood, and Bethel Island, so it goes all the way to the border. If you'll remember, Discovery Bay and Byron were in the 17,000 move that went out. So this goes all the way to the border.

And instead, it takes the Hercules, South through Richmond-Kensington area and puts it down with Oakland. There's a split in Oakland here, which ends the Southern part of this district and joins it with the Southern Contra Costa I-80 corridor. So all the Oakland Hills are together with Lafayette, Orinda, Moraga. This also keeps Dublin and most of Livermore together in that district, which then creates the Scalrey Tracy district, which has Pleasanton and part of Livermore, the same split in Fremont that we saw before, but has San Leandro whole, with the Eden area and all of the small unincorporated guys over here.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Chair, you have hands raised. You're muted.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sorry about that. Yeah.

Tamina, could you please put on the highway map, because I'd like to see where -- we know where 24 is, but where are the 680 and -- right. Yeah. So just an observation here. So the portion of Oakland, you can't actually get to the rest of it unless you go, kind of, real squiggly -- actually, I don't know if there is a
road directly over that area.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: One road.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So I just thought I'd bring that up.

Commissioner Fornaciari?

Thank you, Tamina.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. Okay. This is different.

How many people are in -- what is the population of Oakland in this split?

MS. RAMOS ALON: One moment, please.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oakland itself has 441,000, but.

Sorry.

Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you, Chair. We could explore this more. I'm thinking this one, for me, just falls off the contender list because you're taking Richmond out of the Vallejo to Antioch COI, and pairing it with only half of Oakland and if you're going to pair Richmond with Oakland, then the COI is Richmond, West Oakland, East Oakland. And you're not getting that here. So I'm not feeling this one.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. No. I think we'll go ahead and weigh them all at the end, but yeah. Thank you.

I'm sorry, Commissioner Fornaciari, were you done?
I just thought you were waiting for something to -- so I went to Commissioner Yee. I did not mean to --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: No, that's fine. I was just curious as to what the population of Oakland was. I'm not a fan either, but I just was wondering.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you. I'm just wondering if there might be an option through -- and you caught me while I was eating, sorry.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, sorry.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: No, it's all good. If there is an option either through -- I've been saying the Sacramento (indiscernible), but maybe other portions of the Sacramento area, or is this -- so there is --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- in terms of population, there is more population up there.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Just curious. Just curious.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. If I put myself in the queue, I'd say that was another option that I would have also looked at -- wanted to look at.

But you do not look into that one, did you, Tamina? We don't have visualization of doing something like that?
MS. RAMOS ALON: There is not enough population, Chair. It's 115,000 people. These are some pretty massive moves. So Kennedy and I, these were the visualizations we could think of.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Yeah. It would be a -- the restructure would be grabbing counties, and that sort of stuff, I would say that you need to do. Okay.

And that was option 3. Would you give us option 4, please?

(Pause)

MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. So here again, we're starting with Antioch. And again, I will ask you not to shoot the messenger. So this was actually a turn which involved only the North and did not go into the South, so -- actually, it did. I'm sorry. This was the turn -- this was the long one. This is the one that went up and then went over the bridge. So this is going to take a little bit longer to go through, but I wanted you to see it.

So Antioch goes into NORTHCONT. NORTHCONT splits Fairfield, which goes back with Solano. Instead of hanging a right into Elk Grove, we hang a left. We changed the split in Santa Rosa, which brings us down to Southern Marin County. Takes everything South of Sleepy Hollow without splitting San Rafael, joins that with San
Francisco.

This creates a different split in San Francisco that's similar to our Assembly split. Since we already had all of this in the greater SA district, I couldn't draw from anymore, so Santa Clara had to come up and take parts of Redwood City, Menlo Park, and East Palo Alto. So this COI is still intact, and the COIs in Redwood City are still above this line, but this Southern area of Redwood City is with the greater SA district.

This shifted over and added Campbell and Saratoga to the Cupertino-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara district. This split is for population in Cupertino. This pushed up this population to take out to this Northern section of San Jose, so it ends with this downtown area of San Jose and Milpitas, but they are whole. Pushing that up allowed for the reunification of Fremont, so Fremont is whole in this visualization, along with all of Eden and the lower part of San Leandro. Livermore is in with this Scalrey Tracey area, but Pleasanton, and Dublin, and part of Livermore are reunited with the 680. And that, I believe, yeah, that brought us full circle here.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm sorry. Those are definitely four options. Thank you, Tamina.

Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So what I like about option 4
is that it is -- I didn't look -- obviously, I didn't
look closely into San Francisco and see how that split's
affected, but on the whole, it's keeping a lot of the
working class COIs together throughout the North and
South and the whole Bay Area. So I know it's not going
to be a popular one, but I just wanted to put that out
there, that it's pretty impressive what it did.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. Thank you, Chair.

Thank you, Tamina, for these four options. That's a
lot of creative thinking, and it's a real puzzle.

There's going to be pain no matter which way we go.

I'm wondering -- I hate to do this, but I wonder
about a fifth option. And maybe you can even tell me
quickly, Tamina, what this would take, or why it's
impossible. But what if we took Fairfield whole and put
it all back in Solano? We'd probably have to do the
North -- Vallejo to Richmond to Antioch, and go even
farther out to Redwood, Knightley (sic), and so forth,
take all of that. Then what else would we have to do?

MS. RAMOS ALON: I don't think you'd actually have
to go that far. You could, yeah, it would probably be to
the end of Contra Costa right here, plus or minus a
little bit of Brentwood, maybe.

COMMISSIONER YEE: But that's more people to march
through Sacramento, right? That's the big --

MS. RAMOS ALON: Not in this visualization. That'll be more to march through -- this will be more to march through San Francisco.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Right, right, but not for visualization 4, but I mean, just as a fifth option from scratch. Start with --

MS. RAMOS ALON: Oh, I see. Yes.

COMMISSIONER YEE: -- (indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

MS. RAMOS ALON: If you --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah.

MS. RAMOS ALON: -- wanted to take out all of Fairfield and push it through Sacramento that way, then yes, it would be -- if that's the rotation you wanted to make, then that would push into here. You may be able to get all of Elk Grove in (audio interference) explore that. Coming down here is going to be the tricky part. There's an option to split Stockton. There's an option to take all of Manteca, Mountain House, Tracy, through Manteca, maybe French Camp, around this area. Trust me, you have another.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Yee, did you have another --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. I mean, I --
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER YEE: -- would -- I'd love to explore that, but that would probably be an overnight kind of --

MS. RAMOS ALON: I'm happy to try it. I'm open to ideas.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I just want to thank Tamina for all this creative thinking, and certainly, I'm sure it's a lot of work to work through all of these visualizations. I mean, at this point, I like the original one the best, that we all came up with, and Tamina certainly put that together, but -- or the second one. I'm open to exploring the option Commissioner Yee posed. I think that might be a solution, as well, but I don't -- I do like the one that we currently have, based on what I've seen thus far. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I also like the one that was proposed by, was it Commissioner Fornaciari or Commissioner Yee? I think that kept quite a bit more communities of interest together. So yeah.

Thank you for all of the different options, Tamina, but yeah.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Fernandez.

Commissioner Fornaciari?
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So just a little context here. When did we have this conversation? It was late this morning, just, I think, before we started. And so I just bow down to you as an awesome, incredible mapper because you get, I mean, three or four visualizations together and have it plus or minus one person? It's just -- wow. It's amazing.

So but context, this was just off the top of our head, four options that we could go down the road and just look at, and the idea was to take the temperature of the Commission and see which way the Commission would like to go. I mean, option 0 is not to include Antioch, I guess, but take the temperature of the Commission, see which way the Commission would like to go, and then, we can certainly do some off-line exploring of other iterations of options that the Commission likes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Fornaciari. Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Thank you. Sorry. I was just eating something. I think, one, I would --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Your mic is very -- it's breaking up sort of.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Oh, I don't know what's going on with it. Can you all hear me now?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, that's better. Yes. Thank
1 you.
2
3 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Is that better? Okay. I don't know what was going on. Okay. One, I would agree with what -- well, I agree with the part that Commissioner Fornaciari said, and if I haven't already said it, either too, Tamina, I'm just amazed at what you've done. Thank you for all the options. I'm just kind of like, wow.
4
5 And I'm still kind of thinking through all of them, but I think it's just -- to me, I think there's some more work to do. I think I also, and I think this has been said that I want to repeat it again. I'm just very wary about sacrificing, or perhaps maybe splitting one, I'll call it vulnerable community for another one. I think if there's a way that we could try to ensure that we don't really inadvertently just move the pain in a way that is from one community to another, that doesn't -- I mean, there's going to be pain for all communities, but.
6
7 I'll just give you an example. The Redwood City split that I think it was in that option 4. Sure, they're whole for the most part, but they just got -- you've got, again, a community that is very low income, primarily immigrant, low wage, essential workers, across the spectrum in terms of Latino, Pacific Islander communities particularly, and into, again, one of the
wealthiest -- I'm going to say one of the wealthiest
districts, because it includes a lot of the Silicon
Valley. So we're just kind of -- I don't want to call it
a shell game, but I just want to be careful about where
these splits are.

I think I agree with what Commissioner Toledo said
earlier, let's try to maybe limit them -- not that any
place wants to be split, but there are some places that
have the ability to advocate for themselves a little bit
more effectively than some of the communities where they
really can use the kind of help to ensure -- that comes
from being together with like communities, so. Thank
you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Akutagawa.
I put my hand up here because I also -- there were so
many communities of interest that were cut in half and
rearranged on almost each of those options. It was a
little startling. So yes, I like -- if we can't do --
I'd almost like go back with what we had.

But I do think a different option that I would like
to see -- well, one, I was hoping to not (sic) keep
Fairfield whole. Absolutely. And leave it in Solano.
One thing that I just thought of is a different -- and I
know people will shoot this one down, but put that up --
put that in -- leave it in Solano, and so that would take
a bit more, and then we could actually hopefully get more of the Delta communities along, like, the Brentwood or something like that, in the Contra Costa, North Contra Costa.

And then, what to do with it up in -- put in Solano, and then -- and fortunately, it's about the same, probably, number. I think, if you have -- it's all of Fairfield, but parts of Yolo would have to go up with Glen, or up with Colusa. Or yes, something like that, because -- and then, that could shift up and move things around on the other side, or through Sacramento, where the more population is, because it's -- I mean, I hate -- Solano County is supposedly there, but most of it is cut in half. I mean, there's very little left of Solano County. So I really don't like the way we have it, or just the way we first drew it up, and that was an area that I was hoping to come back to.

And yeah, I would like to see a -- I mean, there's a huge amount -- first of all, I am -- Tamina. Wow. That you did all of those since first thing this morning is extremely impressive. Thank you very much.

But I would like to see something slightly different. I would vote for the original over of those different options, was my personal opinion.

But now Commissioner -- I'm sorry. Commissioner
Akutagawa, were you -- did you have one more question?

Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you. It's hard for me to say if we have split up a lot of COIs because we went really quickly. And I think what you had said in Redwood City is that the COIs that were given to us of a lot of the working class communities were kept together.

What I was hoping is, if you can answer that, obviously, and then if you could zoom in to San Francisco just so we can see how you split San Francisco, because I don't want us just to say no without really looking at the details, because it's pretty amazing work you've done. Thank you so much.

MS. RAMOS ALON: I can certainly answer those. So in Redwood City, yes, all the AAPI COIs that were given to us, including -- here, in fact, let me go down a little bit -- including the North Fair Oaks COI, are all together in this visualization. However, Redwood City the city is still split. In San Francisco, let me turn on this layer. So the pink line is what we did for Assembly. We came down and broke down this way. And what we had before was this area of Excelsior, Visitacion Valley, Crockett, and Amazon. So came up across West of Twin Peaks and then the split is in the outer Sunset.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: That's pretty impressive.
Thank you.

MS. RAMOS ALON: yeah. I take absolutely no offense if everybody hates all of these visualizations.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: No. Okay. I would say I still like option 4. I think you've done an amazing job. The biggest COI that we've broken is not crossing the bridge. But again, there is no -- nothing is sacred, and if it's helping other smaller COIs in underserved communities -- not even underserved communities, working class and others. Yeah. I'm okay with it, but I'm sure the Bay Area's taking away my Bay Area card, but that's okay because I think the South Bay of Los Angeles took my be my card away from there, too. But yeah. I see this, and I completely understand this.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Thank you, Commissioner Sinay.

Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I mean, this option out of all of them, I guess, was the one I would prefer, but again, I prefer what Commissioner Fornaciari and Yee, and yet, I did like our draft maps, but the problem with our draft maps is we needed to push population down in the way that Commissioner Fornaciari and Commissioner Yee, I'm not sure which one of them worked on it. I
appreciated that.

And then, I guess my question to you, Chair, is how are we going to proceed? I mean, if most of us are maybe good with what it was, but you want something different? I mean, I don't know how -- I guess I just don't want to send Tamina off with twenty different options.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct. Yes. Do we have a consensus on this? I mean, do the people -- I mean, I guess, Commissioner -- we have a few that like different ones. Okay. So this is a sort of start again, or do we want to just leave it and go back with the draft?

Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Chair. Just off the bat, I don't like this one. I'm sorry, Tamina.

However, I would like to see all four of the options, if there's a way that we can post it or share the files so I can compare and contrast, I do think that it would be nice if all of us can come to a consensus on which option to start from, as I know we'll probably make changes and refinements. That would be very helpful, Chair, if we can get a copy of the different options.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you.

Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you.

Tamina, for this fourth option, I did not like it
initially. I may still not like it, but can you walk me
through again what the fourth option did so that I can
reconsider perhaps?

And then, while you're moving it a little bit,
Chair, as far as the question about how do we move
forward, because it seems like we're all over the board,
I'm wondering even if we're in a place to start with
saying which one we collectively absolutely don't want,
and maybe kind of come from that perspective? May be a
way to proceed. So let me just look at this one
currently.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you for that idea.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: So this one (indiscernible)
what?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So Tamina -- wait, I'm sorry. We
want Tamina to walk through this one again, please?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: I'd like to see it one more
time.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Certainly. So this one started
with NORTHCONT -- Antioch going into the NORTHCONT
district. This resulted in this split in Fairfield, and
most of Fairfield being put with Solano, which resulted
in a different line in Santa Rosa, which was currently
split before, and so it just changed the line of how it
was split, which then pushed population down, and we
have -- or rather, pulled it up and created an
overpopulation in North coast, and so this area of Marin
County, none of these cities are split here, but this
area of Marin County, Sleepy Hollow, pretty much
everything West of San Rafael, and South, join San
Francisco.

In San Francisco, we kept together, West of Twin
Peaks. This is where we had the four, the outer Richmond
through Visitacion Valley, and the one neighborhood split
is in the other Sunset. This allowed the Daly City area
to be whole with all of South San Francisco, and going
down the 101 corridor --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Okay.

MS. RAMOS ALON: -- which then, we couldn't fit all
of Redwood City in here, but Redwood City and its COIs in
Menlo Park, and East Palo Alto, and North Fairfield were
able to be kept together in the Santa Clara district,
which comes down from Pacifica, which allowed for
Cupertino, and Campbell, and -- sorry -- Saratoga and
Campbell to join the Santa Clara-Sunnyvale-Cupertino COI
that is here.

The San Jose COIs, as we mentioned before, are
maintained. The top head of San Jose was joined with the
Scalrey Tracy district, which allowed Fremont to be made
whole and kept with the rest of Eden. Eden is all whole
and together, with the exception of the division here in
San Leandro. Some COIs consider that Eden, some don't.
But that's there. We do have Albany with Oakland and
Berkeley in this visualization, on the West side. On the
East side, Pleasanton, part of Livermore and Dublin,
joined the 680 corridor going up Contra Costa. Albany is
the end of the OAKLAMORI district, which is also the
county line, which brings us back into Concord TR, which
picks up the Eastern part over here, instead of -- which
it had before and just didn't have Antioch, and maintains
its same split in Pleasant Hill.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Tamina, thank you so much for
sharing that again. I think a nonstarter for me on this
is back at the bay point with Marin and all of those
pieces and the splitting of the COIs for LGBTQ in the San
Francisco area. I don't think those lineups felt like we
split through those as well. Thank you.

If you work backwards, this would be one that's a no
also.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Oh, I see. Yes. We could
do the -- shall I -- do we want to just go through -- is
there an option, like, sort of consensus on 1, 2, 4, 3,
2, 1, or -- actually, I'm sorry. Tamina, you did four
options. Was that in addition to, Commissioner
Fornaciari, what you first did?
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So in my mind, option 0 --
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- is we move the 17,000 people we had to move through San Joaquin County into ECA. So we needed to do that, so that's where this all started.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And everything was balanced, and we could move forward from there.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Then these four options on top of that were, if we want to add Antioch to NORTHCONT, how do we -- how do we manage that?
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So we could just start -- stay with option 0, and not try to move Antioch into NORTHCONT, or further explore some of these options that we've come up with.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right. Or as Commissioner Yee, and then I said, another -- we'll go in to get North, or into somewhere on the other side. Okay. So yes. So there's sort of five options we have right now, unless you want to -- well, six, because we can just go with the draft. Well, no, you're right. We can't because we have to bring the 17,000 out of it, regardless. Okay.
So Commissioner Sinay, you said -- so are you -- do you have another thing you want to say, or we should I go to Commissioner Toledo? Okay.

Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I just can't support this map. I mean, it breaks up the coast. The LGBT community and the Asian-American COIs in San Francisco are broken up. And it's not so much the crossing the Golden Gate Bridge as it is breaking up all the COIs in non VRA areas, so. And then, of course, crossing county borders and all that, so. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: There may be -- one of the other options may be viable --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- at this point.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. So I think we are, like Commissioner Turner mentioned, let's talk about -- unless you are -- should we save that option 4 and eliminate some of them? Going 4, 3, 2, 1?

Commissioner Yee? Or do you have something else to say?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Two things. One is, weighing in on option 3, I think that's a nonstarter. That's the one that separates Richmond and Vallejo and joins Richmond
with only West Oakland. I don't think that gets us enough to be worth that.

And then I would reiterate, I'd love to cook up an option five that involves keeping Fairfield whole in its county, as the county seat. I'd be happy to work on that.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, okay. Okay.

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Just one clarification that I want to make about option 4, that I don't like it. I want to make sure that there's this understanding. I think the -- there's an Asian-American COI in San Francisco, but I want to be clear that the COI in East Palo Alto, North Fair Oaks, Belhaven, and Redwood City, the term AAPI becomes a rather catchall phrase, but in that particular area, it is not an Asian-American COI per se, it's more of a native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander COI. They have social determinants that are very similar to African-American and Latino communities., and so that's one of my great concerns about that.

And I want to just say this out loud now so that all of the Commissioners also understand why I'm really concerned about having them be in a place with, like, Pacifica, and Palo Alto, and all of those. I mean, this is what I meant by we're trading one vulnerable community
for another, and they're very small. And so again, it goes back to if we can try to keep them in a place where they're more likely to be -- especially like in that greater SA, when there's that mix with the Filipino community to the North of that, I think there's more of an option for them to ensure that their needs will be at least addressed. In a district like Palo Alto, they're going to be completely ignored and completely marginalized. So I just wanted to share that part about that district and why I'm so opposed to option 4.

And I would support Commissioner Yee with this option 5 if it comes up with something that we can all agree upon, or at least be comfortable with. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you.

Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Nope. Let me find it on the map first so I can give you your change this for that. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right. I had my hand in here. I also don't like this one. On this one there are multiple COIs broken up and other COIs put together that specifically said they don't, like East Palo Alto, those areas did not want to be with Palo Alto and the Stanford. And as I see it, there's a lot of wealthy mixed with very poor in multiple areas on this map.
And also, San Jose is cut six times in that one.

And that's just -- I had a problem with that. But that was mine. And I would actually -- I kind of like the idea of Commissioner Yee, but that's my personal, so.

Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I do like to look at other options. Potentially, including Commissioner Yee's option, although I'm not opposed to Fairfield -- I'm not opposed to the original map, where we have Fairfield and with Vallejo and the Contra Costa area. I think, certainly, we've received some commentary that there are populations that want to be -- that these communities are very similar. As a former resident of Fairfield, I see that connection as well. I see Fairfield being very connected to Vallejo and some portions of especially Richmond and other portions of the Contra Costa area.

I also see Commissioner Andersen's point about Fairfield is the county seat. But just looking at population, what we're really thinking about here is people. The people, the COIs in Fairfield and that area are very similar to the COI, the population, the residents of Vallejo and Richmond and the Northern Contra Costa area. So I'm not opposed to keeping Fairfield in this NORTHCONT district. Might there be another option?

Maybe, and I look forward to seeing Commissioner Yee's
1 proposal.

2 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you. All right. I'm hearing that -- I didn't hear anyone said, "I like this one." I heard a couple of well, this would be -- I'm sorry, Commissioner Sinay, you said out of the four you did like the number 4. I thought everyone was a bit like, well, well. So I am sort of inclined to like, why don't we try option 5, or another option? Or does someone like particular one and want to work with a different other one?

3 Commissioner Fernandez?

4 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I like option 0. And --

5 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

6 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- so I want to make sure I'm --

7 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

8 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- on the record for that.

9 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Option 0 is essentially leave it as it is, and balance the population through?

10 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Well, option 0 is what Commissioner Fornaciari and Commissioner Yee worked on to balance the districts.

11 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Yes. So I'm sorry, Commissioner, just to clarify. On that one, you did -- the 17,000 a bit of -- a bit of Fairfield was put into
Solano on that, or not? Option 0.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Bring that map back up, Chair.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, thank you very much.

And while Tamina is moving the map, Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. If we do go with option 0, would we still need to move Albany back out, which means splitting (indiscernible) and then rotating that population through Contra Costa somewhere. It would do nothing for the Tri-Valley?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, I'm sorry, I thought that was option 0.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. Commissioner Yee, I was considering that option 0, because I considered that you had worked through the whole Bay Area and that 17,000 and you were done. You'd already moved Albany and that. And then we moved the 17,000.

COMMISSIONER YEE: I see.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And that's where I thought -- for me, that's what the options here, where the baseline was. Then the question came up, what do we do with Antioch?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Sure. Okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.
COMMISSIONER YEE: Well, we'll get into that and get that straight.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you. Thank you very much. I'm glad we're getting that clear.

So Tamina, this is our option 0, correct?

MS. RAMOS ALON: This is option 0.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. And so could we walk through this one again, please? Now, I'm confused, but I keep on thinking of the five different options here.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Sure. And they all are very similar, so I'm happy to just explore what's going on in these different areas.

So starting in NORTHCONT, we have this area unchanged. This goes to Pittsburgh and does not include Antioch, but this was the one that had the bubble, so we were able to move that out. That's where this split came from, which then caused an overpopulation in Concord TR, and that pushed out population through Discovery Bay and Byron. Discovery Bay and Byron then joined the San Joaquin based district.

The San Joaquin based district then lost a corner over here, Ripin, Escalon, Valley Home, and I believe some of these areas as well, which gave it to ECA. And ECA, as you know, is the large district on the East, which then left you with the two options of whether you
wanted to feed that 17,000 into San Bernardino or into California City.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. Okay. Thank you very much, Tamina.

Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes? Did you have a question for me?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Your hand was up.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Oh, it is? Oh.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I keep forgetting. Sorry.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Chair, I'm wondering, the four drafts that we just put up, it doesn't look like we're going to move on those, but -- oh, Anthony's not here. Do we need to post those since we've had discussion, so that the community can weigh in on what we've talked about? Are they already posted, or? Okay. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I actually did -- are they posted?

MS. RAMOS ALON: According to Anthony, they are posted.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, okay. All right.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes, they're up. I just saw them right now.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, thank you very much. Okay.

Commissioner Turner, did you have a follow-up? No.

Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Tamina, can you zoom in to the Contra Costa -- now I can't remember what -- Contra Costa area again?

So I'm wondering -- okay. I know the communities of interest has to do with Pittsburgh and Antioch, which I completely agree with. Is there any way to bring in Pittsburgh to the Concord TR, and then possibly split Concord in terms of the population difference, so then you're only impacting those two districts?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Concord has a larger population than Pittsburgh, so --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.

MS. RAMOS ALON: -- (audio interference) while I do that.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. So there would be a split. Sorry. It has to do more with -- I'm trying to not split the smaller communities, and not that that's fair to a big city, but I just feel, if you try to loop everything around through Yolo, Sacramento and those areas, you're talking about really small towns that you're breaking up potentially. And so I'm trying to keep it within the two districts that we have right now.
So that would be something that I think should be looked into.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Just to be clear, the COI is not just a point in Pittsburgh, it's Vallejo all the way to Antioch. I mean, it's not just Pittsburgh and Antioch. It's the whole working -- yeah -- the whole bay -- that whole along the bay there, And --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right, but there's also another COI that is Pittsburgh and Antioch. So they're separate ones.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. I mean, you if those two were together, that would be good, but if all of them are all the way through, that would be awesome.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: You know, one thing just occurred to me, and I don't know if it's a satisfying idea or not, but we could put all of Pleasant Hill in Concord DR and put that population from Antioch into NORTHCONT. It doesn't get us all the way there, even close to all the way there, but gets us partway there.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I put my hand in because I was going to say that same thing. And there's also, I think
there's Pacheco and there's a little of Alhambra Valley.
Any population, I think, that we could put into the
Concord from the North Concord. I think I would rather
do that, and then try to -- then get all the extra out of
Antioch to try to get as much of Antioch as possible in
it. Yeah. So like all of Pleasanton, the Alhambra
Valley. I don't think there's any people under the
unincorporated, but if there were, grab those, and the
unincorporated area between Concord and Pittsburg, grab
that. Oh, it looks like a little bit of Concord. Yeah.
So any of that stuff, I would pull that into the Concord,
so we get as much as Antioch as possible.

Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I also would be open to
splitting Martinez, because Martinez is different than
many of these other communities when you look at who
lives Martinez. And so I would be open to splitting
Martinez, as well to get more of Antioch.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I mean, if we wanted
to go the opposite way, which is fine, that's what I was
going to recommend, too, was splitting Martinez. Thanks.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Is Kensington also one that
could be moved out, or is it more similar to, like,
Richmond and -- I'm not familiar with --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, it is. And the thing is, you
can't get -- Kensington, El Cerrito, those are -- well,
Kensington in particular, you can't get there from --
you've got to out through El Cerrito or you have to go
through Berkeley. There isn't a -- you have to come down
and around and then go up through Oakland to get into
that whole area. So they're kind of -- they're sort of
together. And they're definitely considered -- they're
West of the hills, so it's hard for those to -- also,
completely different economic class.

Commissioner --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Chair, also, if I can
correct myself on the on these iterations. I thought
that they were up, but they're not.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: So just wanted to mention
it. I think we should probably try to get them up onto
the website.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: We can certainly see -- okay. I
can certainly see if we want to have those up, and
they -- well, we did talk about them, certainly, so.

MS. RAMOS ALON: I'll be happy to look into that,
Chair.
CHAIR ANDERSEN:  Thank you very much.

And Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY:  Did we stop looking at Martinez, or?

CHAIR ANDERSEN:  Oh.  Well, let's just see what --

COMMISSIONER SINAY:  Oh, that is.

CHAIR ANDERSEN:  -- (indiscernible, simultaneous speech) here.  Yeah.

COMMISSIONER SINAY:  No.  There is parts of Martinez that are working class.  Well, it's gentrified as well, so it's gotten kind of difficult.  But if we can just -- the closer we are to the water, leave that part, if possible.  I don't know where the split is in Martinez.

CHAIR ANDERSEN:  It's hard because there's a lot of Martinez which is very, very -- it's mountainous, but it's sort of, it's very hilly, but it's actually quite rural, so.  And it's really not like -- it's like parts of Eastern Contra Costa, but it's certainly not like the areas right around it.  So in terms of cutting Martinez, I really, really want us to be extremely careful about where we cut it.

COMMISSIONER YEE:  And it is the county seat, too.

CHAIR ANDERSEN:  Right.  So could we see, if we put this into -- how much do we have, 24,000?

COMMISSIONER YEE:  Yeah, it is.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: It's less than a quarter of Antioch. Okay.

Well, other ideas we were -- do we want to -- that's, of course, keeping all of the -- is there any parts of Solano we could put back with Solano? So then we could easily grab Antioch. That's just so -- oh.

Yeah. No, we'd have to bring it out the other way, wouldn't we? I mean, could you -- I'm trying to think of a different -- another alternative.

Commissioner Akutagawa?

Commissioner Sinay?

Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: I just want to mention, break is in less than sixty seconds.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Less than sixty seconds. All right. Well, so we have -- this is an idea. Do we want to explore just cutting up cities until we can get Antioch in? Do we want all of Antioch? There's a question. I mean, the COI, is it all of Antioch?

Actually, come to think of it, I don't believe it is. This one is actually one of the wealthy parts of Antioch. There's a whole swimming pool area. That's an item we could look up.

Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: There's a what? A
swimming pool area? What does that mean?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: There's a whole --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Oh, area where homes have swimming pools?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, no, it's an entire, like estates.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Oh.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I don't believe it's gated, but --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Oh, okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. It's --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Well, I don't --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- it's not the working class, as Commissioner Sinay's been saying.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. Certainly the South is large, single family homes. And so before we go haphazardly splitting Antioch, I'd want to make sure we understood where --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- would be appropriate. So part of the challenge here is, there really isn't much population to grab near the edge here of Concord TR to bring in to move Antioch out. And so that's --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- our struggle.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: It is a struggle. All right.
At this point, it's just about time -- it's time for us to go to break.

So could we -- worry -- I think we're working with two different options here. Commissioner Yee would like to work at -- that's his option 5. And if we could -- if someone could actually look more into the COI in this area to see what parts of towns or things we really want to get so we could match the sections that we're talking about so we're really -- we're not just sort of arbitrarily grabbing an entire city here and cutting the city there. Let's try to get the -- what areas we're really looking to keep together.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Chair Andersen, I can work with Tamina on just making sure the splits in Martinez and in Antioch and seeing if they're appropriate.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And other tasks? Nope. I think that's -- I think we need to go on break.

Any other items that we should address?

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: One, I do like the new redistricting term "swimming pool areas". Secondly, I just wanted to let everybody know that we cleared up the confusion. I think the versions that Commissioner
Fornaciari and Yee did, there was confusion, thinking that that was the four options that we're talking about. They're working on trying to get those four options up so that, for all the Commissioners and all the public.

That's the message that I got --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great.
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- is that they're --
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- working on it to get it up.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And yeah. Marcy would be happy to help out with that. Okay. Thank you very much, everyone. We'll go on break, and we'll come back -- let's see -- at let's say 8:05. Thank you very much.

MR. MANOFF: Thank you so much, Chair. We are on break until 8:05.

We would also like to welcome the members of the public who have called in to give public input. We see your calls. We see your raised hands. As a reminder, if you've called in to give public input, and you --

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 7:48 p.m. until 8:04 p.m.)

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Welcome back to the California Citizens Redistricting Commission meeting. We've just sort of wrapped up our line drawing events for the day.
And I want to say we're just about to go into public comment right now.

So to the line drawers, I want to thank you very much for all your work today, and also for all the assignments that we've asked you to do between today and tomorrow, because the public should know how much our line drawers are working. When they're not on here, they're also working. And that sometimes means late into the night, early in the morning. So I think the whole state should really appreciate them. And I'm going to appreciate -- so thank you and congratulations to a job well done for all of the people who been working with us, including the public. Thank you very much for all your participation.

At this time, I'd like to have Katy or Kristian read the instructions for the public to come on in. We'd love to hear them.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much, Chair.

To all of those that have called in, if you have not chose to, please press star nine to raise your hand indicating your wish to give comment. Our comment time this evening will be two minutes -- one minute and thirty seconds. I apologize for that. And you will be receiving a verbal warning at thirty seconds and fifteen seconds remaining.
Right now, we'll be going to caller 2948. And up next after that will be caller 2588.

Caller 2948, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute.

And again, for those in the queue, please be alert. We are in public comment time. Please be alert for when it is your turn to speak.

Caller 2948, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six.

Caller 2948, you appear to be having some type of connectivity issues at the moment. I will come back to you.

Caller 5958. Up next after that will be caller 1043.

Caller 5959, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Good afternoon, Commissioners. Hello, I'm Jane (ph.) from Long Beach, and I just want to remind the Commission about the large LGBTQ plus community that stretches from downtown Long Beach to Belmont Shore on the Orange County border. This is really important because while I support keeping the ports separate, more important to me is that if you combine the ports, which sit in downtown Long Beach, you are breaking up the historic LGBTQ plus community.
Please do not do this and support us.

The Equality California LGBTQ heat maps show the community density. Please look that up and do not remove -- do not remove downtown or the port from the greater Long Beach gay community. Thank you, and good night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we'll have caller 1043. And up next after that will be caller 2927.

Caller 1043, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello, Commissioner. First, I would like to thank Commissioner Andersen to your comment to protect Little Saigon. (Audio interference), and I'm grateful to hear your comments. The comment of our Little Saigon areas and removing Stanton and putting a portion of North Garfield Avenue in Huntington Beach is there -- is almost there. Why are we advocate for months to ask you to add North Garfield Avenue in Huntington Beach with Little Saigon. We were asking for the entire portion of North Garfield Avenue in Huntington Beach, and not asking just for a portion of it. Please, add all of North Garfield Avenue to Seapoint Street of Huntington Beach 2GGW part of Little Saigon community of interest. Please don't stop at the Beach Boulevard. This will
finish Little Saigon, and we will be forever grateful --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- for you to give us the Assembly district we want for our community for the next decade. Thank you very much. God bless Little Saigon and bless our Commissioner. Good night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we will have caller 2927. And up next after that will be caller 2922.

Caller 2927, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. And one more time. Caller 2927, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. Caller 2927, you appear to be having some type of connectivity issues at the moment. I will have to come back to you.

And right now, we'll be going to caller 2922. And up next after that will be call in user 1.

Caller 2922, if you'll please follow the prompts unmute

And again, for those in the queue, please be alert for when it is your opportunity to speak.

Caller 2922, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MS. WEISSMAN: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Sharon Weissman, and I'm the vice president of the
Long Beach Board of Harbor Commissioners. I believe you received a letter from our executive director this morning asking for strong Congressional representation.

I would just like to highlight a few key points.

Please ensure two Congressional representatives for this region, one for each port. The ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles are two separate entities. We need two representatives for this region. And one of the reasons for that is to continue making progress while getting our fair share of federal funding for supply chain projects in this region. We move forty percent of the nation's imports to every Congressional district in America.

Please ensure California has two representatives for this region. As someone who works on important issues every day, please trust me. The port workers, the truck drivers, the terminal operators, we all lean on both members of Congress. I thank you for your time and your hard work. Good evening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we will have call in user 1. And up next after that, will be caller 4361.

Call in user 1, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. Call in user 1, they do not have the last four digits of your phone number. If you'll please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six.
If you're calling in with a blocked phone number from an office line, I do apologize, you appear to have some type of connectivity issues at the moment, and I will try to come back to you.

And right now, we will have caller 4361. And up next after that will be caller 6590.

Caller 4361, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

MS. ROSSI: Hi. Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Deedee Rossi (ph.). I've been a Long Beach resident for many years and retired after an incredible career in athletics at Cal State Long Beach. I also served as the executive director for the Belmont Shore Parking and Business Improvement District, and the Long Beach Airport Commissioner. Needless to say, I am very involved and care deeply about my community.

As you know, our city has been dealing with a congestion crisis at our port for months now, and our Congressional representation has been more important than ever. As you have likely seen covered in the news, both ports each having their own Congressional representative has been extremely important in tackling this crisis. Not just because the impact the backlog has on our supply chain, but also because the backlog impact on our environment. I've seen and heard several potential
options for our area over the last day. One of them
being to cut the LA-Long Beach Port representation in
half. Please keep Long Beach --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MS. ROSSI: -- as together as possible in your
Congressional maps, as you have done your best to achieve
thus far. And please, do not move our port into a
district with our sister port.

Leaving the map in the iteration posted this morning
is completely --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

MS. ROSSI: -- fine with me, but as long as you keep
the ports separate. Thank you. Have a good evening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we will have caller 6590. And up
next after that will be caller 7672.

Caller 6590, if you will please follow the prompts
to unmute.

MS. SHANNON: Hello?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: The floor is yours.

MS. SHANNON: Good evening, Commissioners. This is
Shannon Shannon with the Greater High Desert Chamber of
Commerce. I'm the chair of our legislative affairs
committee that works with governments at all levels to
address the need of our local businesses and community.
We feel very strongly that you should respect the county boundary between us and Los Angeles. We have a history of our community being treated as a redheaded stepchild by Los Angeles, and putting us into a district with them would mean our representatives would have not only different, but conflicting interests, and interests in addressing issues like education, transportation, and public safety. Please work to keep our San Bernardino County High Desert separate from LA County, and with other rural communities near us that we can work collaboratively with to address the needs of our community. These maps make us feel like you are not listening, and I ask you to please hear us. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 7672. And up next after that will be caller 2153.

Caller 7672, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MR. FUNG: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Henry Fung (ph.). I want to thank all you guys for spending all this time on redistricting. I just want to remind the Commissioners of the need to put Cal Poly Pomona and Pomona in the same district, as a Cal Poly Pomona graduate, and I very much support the university. And it is in the same district in the Assembly, but
according to the draft that I saw while I was watching the meeting yesterday, I don't -- and today -- I didn't see Cal Poly Pomona and Pomona in the same district in the Congress. So it needs to be in the same district Congressionally, and it also needs to be in the same district in the Senate.

And there is a small portion, just as a reminder, there is a small portion of unincorporated Los Angeles County that comprises the Cal Poly Pomona campus. The Cal Poly Pomona campus is split between the city and the unincorporated area, and they need to be connected.

And I just want to also elevate the Commissioner Sinay's concerns about the call in -- of people calling in, having to call in before 6:00 p.m.

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MR. FUNG: That is not acceptable. And we need to allow call ins until the public comment period begins at 8:00 p.m., or whenever the public comment period begins. So I very much appreciate Commissioners Sinay elevating those comments. I thank you so much.

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

MR. FUNG: And I hope that those changes can be made. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we have caller 2153. And up next
after that will be caller 8209.

Caller 2153, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you. I appreciate the hard work that the Commission has been doing, and I'd like to look at the San Gabriel Valley of Los Angeles County for the Congressional districts. I have served on a city redistricting Commission, and currently serving on a school district redistricting Commission, and live in Pasadena.

For CD 210, I would strongly urge that you return to the foothills configuration of the draft maps from the week of November 7th. The pandemic has reinforced the importance of access to public open space, and the San Gabriel Valley -- San Gabriel Mountains supply seventy percent of our public open space in Los Angeles County with 2.5 million visitors. I would urge that you keep the foothill communities to the South of the San Gabriel Mountains together as a community of interest, and keep them connected to the mountains. A legislator for this area can consider the recreational needs, management of forest, fire prevention, wildlife watersheds --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- and their constituents in mind. So I would urge that you, for CD 210, go back to
the November 7th configuration. Thank you so much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we will have caller 8209. And up next after that will be caller 9216.

Caller 8209, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. And one more time, caller 8209, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six.

Caller 8209, appears to be some type of connectivity issue for you. I will be coming back around to these retries shortly. Just trying to give everybody an opportunity, either to get back to their phone or get different reception.

And right now, we'll be going to caller 9216. And up next after that will be caller 5777.

Caller 9216, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, hi. I appreciate all the work -- hard work that the Commissioner is doing right now. I heard that a group of students from (indiscernible) call in last night and make some sort of statement against Little Saigon and Huntington Beach. I'm a Vietnamese American and live in Huntington Beach. How am I racist against myself? If the people that I've (indiscernible) as described by the (indiscernible), I would have moved already. The people in Huntington Beach
are great and friendly people. I live in Huntington Beach for over ten years, and more and more Vietnamese American have moved here. Housing prices and everything in Westminster -- to Westminster and Fountain Valley are roughly around 700,000. Huntington Harbor alone has over forty percent Vietnamese American resident living there.

I'm asking you to listen to the hundreds of callers, emails, and COIs that have been submitted for months, and not just a few organized groups who have their own agenda.

MR. MANOFF: 30 seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Assembly put North Garfield Street all the way to Seapoint Street in Huntington Beach. We need Stanton and East Garden Grove at Euclid Street. Congressional --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- at Huntington Beach and Seal Beach. Keep Little Saigon together and allow the growth for the next decade. Thank you so much, and thank you for all your hard work, Commissioners. Good night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we will have caller 5777. And up next after that will be caller 2931.

Caller 5777, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. And one more time, caller 5777, if you please
follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six.

And caller 5777, I do have you down as a retry.

And caller 2931. And up next after that will be
caller 3192.

Caller 2931, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Dear Commissioners, thanks --

thanks, Commissioner Akutagawa, for waiting, created the
coastal district in Orange County. You can still do
this, and make Little Saigon whole by putting the inland
park up on North Garfield Avenue in Huntington Beach and
(indiscernible) Park will be included in (indiscernible)
Park where it belongs. This is satisfies the
(indiscernible) of this area and the Little Saigon
community. The Inland Park of Huntington Beach, that's
on Garfield to Seaport Street (sic) needs to be with
Little Saigon, because the majority of Asian population
in Huntington Beach live in the North of Garfield Street
then stop at Beach Boulevard. Little Saigon is asking
you to please listen to our voice and do the right thing.
Thank you, and have a good evening. Bye-bye.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we will have caller 3192. And up
next after that will be caller 8037.

Caller 3192, please follow the prompts to unmute.
And one more time, caller with the last four digits 3192, if you will, please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. And caller 3192, we have you on the retry list.

Caller 8037. And up next after that will be caller 8549.

Caller 8037, please follow the prompts to unmute.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi, can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. I've watched the process from the start, and it's been exhausting, but after last night, I was actually believing in it. I watched as the Commission thoughtfully considered testimony, weighed the benefits, and acknowledged not everyone would be happy. It was a high-level, focused discussion.

Today was the opposite. The Commission hastily reverted every thoughtful decision they made and ruined fair Orange County that's built on months of COI testimony. I'm not sure if it was because two democrat callers called you racist or because you forgot the many months of calls. I'm just so confused because you had fair maps. Please reverse your course. We know the populations even out. So bring Orange County back to where you were Wednesday night. Thank you.
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.
And right now, we will have caller 8549. And up
next after that will be caller 4201.
Caller 8549, please follow the prompts to unmute.
And one more time, caller 8549, the floor is yours.
MS. RUSSO: Yes. Thank you for taking my call. I'm
from Canyon Country. My name is Kimberly Russo (ph.),
and I wanted to voice my concerns over the map change in
District 25. And a pressing issue why Simi Valley and
Santa Clarita should be together.

There's no logical reason for a change, and the map
change would be a considerable one. And it would not be
a representative one for sure. First, Simi Valley and
Santa Clarita have a population that includes a majority
of working-class people in LA County, not the super-rich
of Agoura. So we must stay together on this map.

And two, Simi, Santa Clarita, and Antelope Valley
are homes to people in the aerospace industry, a major
economic engine that is a community and many are homes to
people who work in LA, such as firefighters, police, and
teachers. And so there is definitely a working community
here.

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MS. RUSSO: And it works and has worked well.
Three, when it comes to population, San Fernando
Valley is part of LA, which is the largest city in the U.S. And Santa Clarita and --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

MS. RUSSO: -- Simi Valley are outside of LA. And I don't think a representative can represent -- merge with San Fernando in such a massive valley. There's --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we will have caller 4201. And up next after that will be caller 5184.

Caller 4201, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

MR. WALDMAN: Thank you, Commissioners. Stewart Waldman, from VICA. I want to thank you. I really would like to complain about the maps in the San Fernando Valley, but I can't. I know how hard it is to -- with districts this size and with the deviations, and I appreciate the -- all that you've done for the San Fernando Valley Districts. You listened. We asked for some changes. You made them.

Obviously, it's not perfect and how could they be with all the problems, trying to put everything together. But we want to thank you for listening and we're okay. Don't change them, just change everything else on other places, but don't touch the Valley -- the San Fernando Valley. So thank you.
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.
And right now, we have caller 5184. And up next after that will be called 3580.
Caller 5184, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MS. SELTZER: Hi, Commissioners. Chris Seltzer (ph.) from Mammoth Lakes. I just want to say that I've been watching as you have gone to great, painstaking lengths to protect communities. Your tenacity and commitment are truly impressive. I just want to point out that when you addressed BCA earlier, not a single Commissioner mentioned our community, whether its Mono County or neighbor Alpine County. Those counties weren't even mentioned at all. It's like we don't exist. You did briefly Zoom in on Inyo to show how you're going to split it, and there's no mention that the Eastern (indiscernible) want community.

Many people who work in Mammoth Lakes live in Bishop and now they won't be in the same district. Our environmental groups (indiscernible) here work as one to protect our wilderness areas and our federal and indigenous land. I know you care deeply about leaving vulnerable communities with no voice, but the current configuration does just that to us. We know our populations are small. All three counties together are
33,415 people. Please try and keep us together. I'm imploring to please give Alpine, Mono, and Inyo the same painstaking care as the rest of the state.

And finally, just to remind you that --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MS. SELTZER: -- if you proceed with connecting Mono County to Modesto, you create a situation where we will have to drive through multiple other Congressional districts to visit our Congressperson. No one can say that is acceptable. So please hear us. Please go back to back to VCD --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

MR. SELTZER: -- ECA and (indiscernible). Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we will have called 3580. And up next after that will be called 4125.

Caller 3580, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. Yes. I just want to thank you all for the all work that you've done. I really do appreciate it and know so many of us do with all your conflicting interests that you have to deal with.

I'd like to reiterate that Simi Valley should please
stay with Santa Clarita and Antelope Valley. These are working-class families and, as we stated beforehand, Simi Valley is at the end of the Ventura County and Santa Clarita is at the end of the Los Angeles County. And if they're actually changed, then we will not get the fair representation.

And then I know that some of the prior maps have actually made Simi Valley be part with, you know, Agoura and Calabasas with the uber rich. And we know that our rights will not be actually listened to if they actually are going to be moved with the uber rich, like the Kardashians in Calabasas. And, you know, we're working-class families that have many, many shared interests that we have. We share the same forests, the same --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- power. And I just wanted to say, please keep Simi Valley with Santa Clarita. Thank you so very much, and I wish each of you very happy holidays. Merry Christmas. And hope that you're able to enjoy them with your families and friends. Thank you so much for this time. We do appreciate it.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we will have caller 4125. And up next after that, will be caller 3311.

Caller 4125, please follow the prompts to unmute.
The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi, Commissioners. I just want to thank you all for your hard work throughout this process. You got the three Congressional districts for the San Fernando Valley right, and I just wanted to encourage you not to change them. Don't let those with the political axe to grind cause you to change them. You listened to the Valley, you drew districts that address our most important concerns.

I thank you especially for keeping my area in the North Valley together with Grenada Hills, Chatsworth, and West Hills. The Porter Ranch natural gas blow out was the biggest natural gas leak in the history of America and affected not only Porter Ranch, but Grenada Hills, Chatsworth, and the West Hills. And we need to push a Congressmember to enforce the EPA to strictly enforce natural gas storage safety regulations today, and then shut down the facility soon.

Thank you again for all your work. Have a great night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 3311. And up next after that will be caller 4258.

Caller 3311, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Good evening. My name is Gene (ph.). I'm calling today to point out a few mistakes you've made in Orange County. Irvine and the Coastal District should never have been grouped together. There was never any testimony behind this. Everyone knows this. Completely partisan politics.

Rather, I believe Newport Beach and Huntington Beach should have been kept together, as there has been significant public testimony for this. I am a resident of Laguna Niguel, which is in Orange County. I am dumbfounded as to why you placed us in San Diego County. We have absolutely nothing in common with San Diego County. I find it ridiculous to be drawn in with cities such as Oceanside.

Please fix this. It's not too late. Follow the desires of the general public and not paid Democratic operatives.

I have one question for you guys as I leave. If you lived in Laguna Niguel, who would you want representing you? Someone down in San Diego or someone in Orange County?

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Who would have your best interest? Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.
And right now, we will have caller 4258. And up next after that will be caller 3338.

Caller 4258, please follow the prompts to unmute.

And one more time, caller with the last four digits 42, the floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you. Good evening, Commissioners. Getting a little hung up on those buttons. I appreciate the hard work you're doing. It's been a challenge. I'm concerned about the Simi Valley not part of the Santa Clarita and Simi Valley anymore. My friends that are dealing with the fires and the power shut off issues. And I'm concerned that including Sylmar and other parts of the San Fernando Valley with Santa Clarita would dilute a representation on those issues.

Also, I think Simi Valley would be a better match to go to align with us in the Antelope Valley because there's more of -- it's got more of a working-class feel compared to Del Mar and the San Fernando Valley, which is really part of Los Angeles Metro, different character to that, which I think some of the prior callers mentioned.

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So I think Simi Valley would be a benefit to align with Santa Clarita and the Antelope Valley. Thanks for very good work. Good night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And right now, we have
caller 3338. And up next, after that will be caller 7175.

Caller 3338, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

MR. FORSYTH: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Mike Forsyth (ph.). I want to speak to what I feel is the primary concern of the Commission, which is to assure districts serve competitive democracy and represent the character and common interests of district residents. So we're back to the Simi Valley thing and Santa Clarita. Simi Valley and Santa Clarita, they share--closely share key demographics. They should be together. Both share a large population of working class, including first responders and public servants of Los Angeles. This contrasts with the wealthy communities of Calabasas and Agoura Hills, where Simi Valley would otherwise be located.

The aerospace industry is another convergence of interests among Simi Valley, Antelope Valley, and Santa Clarita. Many residents of these communities are employed in aerospace. Please give due consideration to the shared and unique interests -- shared and unique interests of the growing communities in Simi Valley, Santa Clarita, and Antelope Valley. We deserve to have our interests represented.
MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MR. FORSYTH: Thank you very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 7175. And up next after that will be caller 3841.

Caller 7175, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

MR. PAYNE: Thank you. Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Jeremy Payne (ph.), calling on behalf of Equality California. Regarding the Bay Area options that were presented today, I would like to thank Commissioners Turner, Toledo, and Akutagawa for opposing option 4, which would have left the LGBTQ+ plus community of interest in San Francisco by removing densely LGBTQ+ neighborhoods like (indiscernible) Twin Peaks.

I would also like to speak on the Long Beach region and reiterate our support for Commissioners Sadhwani, Toledo, Akutagawa, and Sinay's proposal of a Long Beach, Signal Hill, Seal Beach, Huntington Beach, Coastal District that would better unite the LGBTQ+ community and coastal communities with environmental interests. A configuration that would also strengthen Latino VRA districts in the gateway cities and strengthen API opportunity in Little Saigon.

We also want to echo the overwhelming testimony to
not combine the ports of San Pedro and Long Beach,
particularly because the LGBTQ+ community of Long Beach
extends from downtown Long Beach, where the Long Beach
port is located to Belmont Shore. And unnecessary merge
of the Long Beach --

    MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

    MR. PAYNE: -- and Los Angeles ports may jeopardize
unification of our LGBTQ+ community in greater Long
Beach.

    Thank you for your careful attention to our
communities of interest. And we hope that you continue
to oppose districts that break up the LGBTQ+ community
where possible. Thank you, and have a good evening.

    PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now, we will have caller 3841. And up next, after
that will be caller 7803.

    Caller 3841, please follow the prompts to unmute.

    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. Can you hear me?

    PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can. The floor
is yours.

    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Dear redistricting Commission
members, I really appreciate the work that you've done
through this long process. I'm the former transponding
board member of Silicon Valley Clean Energy and former
chair of the Bay Area Air Quality Management District, as
well as the former mayor of Cupertino. And I happen to be president of the Rotary Club in my town this year, but I'm speaking on my own behalf.

The map that you've created that rings the cities of the South Bay, called Greater ED in your draft, that includes cities including Cupertino at the South end of the San Francisco Bay does a very good job, I believe, in retaining a nexus in cities that share common infrastructure. And that's infrastructure that will be inundated by sea level rise.

If, you know, it's going to take a major lift from our Congressional representative to deal with the fact that those plants are going to be inundated in perhaps as soon as ten years. Wastewater treatment so that we can recycle and become --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- less dependent on water imports is going to be super important. So then, in short, I just thank you for the draft map and urge you to leave it as you do final adoption. Thank you so much and thank you for all your work.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 7803. And up next after that will be caller 1339.

Caller 7803, please follow the prompts to unmute.
The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Thank you very much. My name is Georgianne (ph.), and I'm calling from -- I'm calling in regards to Simi Valley and Santa Clarita and why should they be kept together? Simi Valley has nothing in common with the super-rich cities of Agoura Hills and Calabasas. We are working-class city like Santa Clarita. Please bring us back together with Santa Clarita on the Congressional map.

Simi Valley, Santa Clarita, and the Antelope Valley are home for the workers in the aerospace industry, a major economic engine in the area. Simi Valley and Santa Clarita are both bedroom communities for people that work in Los Angeles, particularly public sector workers like law enforcement, firefighters, and teachers. The Simi Valley portion of Ventura County and the Antelope Valley are better fit to include with Santa Clarita --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: -- rather than the San Fernando Valley. The San Fernando Valley is part of the second biggest city in the United States, Los Angeles. Simi Valley and Santa Clarita are both small suburban towns outside of Los Angeles --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: -- so a representative
cannot properly represent a merged district if they are 
that different. Thank you very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And 
right now we have caller 1339. And up next after that 
will be caller 4218.

Caller 1339, please follow the prompts to unmute. 
The floor is yours.

MS. THROWER- CONYERS: Good evening, Commissioners. 
My name is Tracy Thrower-Conyers (ph.), and I spent most 
of the day with you and completely understand the 
enormity of your responsibility and appreciate what 
you're doing. I'm calling tonight about the 
Congressional map for the Los Angeles area with LAX in 
it. I think that's STHLA5. I have a small, simple 
request. This is the area that one panelist this 
afternoon called the gray area above LAX and asked if 
there was any population.

I'm speaking as a resident of the area. The area 
may not look that dense because it's primarily single 
family homes. The boundary line on the Northwest corner 
currently bisects a 3,400-member homeowners association 
in the neighborhood known as Kentwood and bisects the 
larger Los Angeles community of Westchester. I'm asking 
you to keep the HOA and Westchester together and bring 
them in with our sister cities in the Shoreline District.
This would involve drawing the boundary to include all of the ZIP code 90045 in the Shoreline District.

I think this is a minor population change and may help your Torrance --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MS. THROWER- CONYERS: -- quandary to a limited extent, too, if I understand that suggestion correctly, to mean that you want to move Torrance out of shoreline.

Thank you and good night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we have caller 4218. And up next after that will be caller 9819.

Caller 4218, please follow the prompts to unmute.

And one more time, caller with the last four digits, 4218, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six.

I do apologize, caller 4218, appears to be some type of connectivity issue for you at the moment. I will come back to you.

And right now, we have caller 9819. Up next after that will be caller 7039.

Caller 9819, please follow the prompts to unmute.

And caller with the last four digits, 9819, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six.

And we will come back to you.
Caller 7039, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. And up next after that we caller 1327.

Caller 7039, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello, Commissioners. There have been over 500 submissions in the last two months asking for a coastal district on top of a petition that has been signed by over 1,000 people, and not one of these requests include Irvine with that district.

We want a real coastal district that can fight against climate change, counteract oil spills, and protect our beaches. Irvine is and never was a part of our coast. I certainly hope it is not included now because of political reasons. We have to consider our maps and rectify the political influence that has happened tonight. We have had 5 resolutions passed, over 200 calls and over 500 emails asking for an actual coastal district. None of this has happened. It's not too late to disengage from the liberal activist groups and make a district that the public actually wants.

Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we will go on to caller 1327. And up next after that we will try call-in user 1.

Caller 1327, if you'll please follow the prompts to
unmute. Caller with the last four digits, 1327, please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening. I live in Santa Clarita and appreciate the opportunity to express my concern about separating the suburbs of Santa Clarita Valley from Simi Valley on the 25th District map, and grouping Santa Clarita with San Fernando Valley, which is part of the City of Los Angeles.

Both Santa Clarita and Simi are similar. They're situated beside hills and a national forest and are prone to devastating wildfires that sweep through the mountain ranges. San Fernando Valley uses the Department of Water and Power, while Santa Clarita and Simi Valley use Southern California Edison for electricity and have to endure Edison's public safety power shut off, which for the residents means no lights. They can't charge cell phones. They can't watch TV for safety announcements. Students can't attend classes online. People can't conduct business. And for those who depend on wells, there's no water. They can't even douse the fire. Some have turned to generators during these devastating shut offs, but generators are blamed for starting fires or exploding if they catch fire. After the Tick Fire --

MR. MANOFF: Twenty seconds.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- burned through our neighborhoods, burned it to a crisp during the power shut off, I turned to our representatives for help. They should be able to focus to regulate and prevent --

MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- power shut off. They can't afford to get entangled with different departments when shaping public policy. Please keep Santa Clarita and Simi Valley grouped together. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. Right now, we will retry call-in user 1. And then up next after that will be caller 3241.

Call-in user 1, please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MR. MUNSON: Thank you very much. I didn't realize that I was user 1. My name is John Munson (ph.). I represent Nature for All. And I'd specifically like to thank Commissioner Ray Kennedy and the rest of the Commission for your willingness to extend the boundaries of SFV and GLENN2BA North so they can include national forest land, as do their counterparts, the 29th and 28th Districts right now.

I have updated our recommendations and the maps for them today based on the new districts that were drawn yesterday, and I submitted that. It might be helpful for
your line drawing team to be able to see that. These changes will empower the Congressional representatives of those two districts to represent the Foothill community constituents and the diverse communities South of the Foothill Cities community of interest on public lands issues. These improvements put more communities in the Foothill Cities community of interest in Congressional districts and public lands, like Tujunga and Pacoima.

These changes are very important, even though they do not alter your district numbers. Members of Congress who do not have public land in their districts have almost no say in how public lands like the Angeles --

MR. MANOFF: Twenty seconds.

MR. MUNSON: -- National Forest are managed, and neither would their constituents. Note that ABSC maintains a presence in the main section of the Angeles National Forest, even though almost all the gateway cities are in the South, and they have 100,000 acres of forest in the district. And so they'll be represented well even with these changes.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 3241. And up next after that will be caller 3480.

Caller 3241, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor --
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi, there. I would just like to say that adding inland cities to a coastal district in Orange County is a horrendous idea because none of these communities have anything in common because what does an inland city and a city like, say, Newport or Huntington have that are similar? I mean, does Irvine have sand? Does it have an ocean? No, it doesn't. So they have different, completely different interests. And so keeping them separate is a paramount idea.

And not only that, Irvine -- it's good you guys kept Irvine whole, but you should put Irvine whole in its own district rather than adding it to a coastal because it just -- it doesn't make any sense. And they don't even -- and they honestly, beach cities should just stay with beach cities because they're all similar. The have the same economies, cultures, histories, and they share an environment. And so just please keep the beach cities together and keep neighboring counties, neighboring inland cities out of it. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have Caller 3480. And up next after that will be Caller 6795.
Caller 3480, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

MR. VIETLE: Hello. My name is Richard Vietle (ph.). I am a Vietnamese voter living in Huntington Beach. And I'm calling because there's a political effort to separate Little Saigon and Huntington Beach. It's like a community that long-shared interests with the Little Saigon cities, like Westminster, Fountain Valley, and Garden Grove. It's disappointing to see and I hope the Commission sees this for what it is. Our residents have been calling for months asking you to put Little Saigon with Huntington Beach, and you did. So please keep it that way. Please keep Huntington Beach whole. And please do not let this last-minute political coup by a special interest group sway your opinion. You know, there -- it's just Huntington Beach is a city with 20,000 Vietnamese residents and anyone calling to say otherwise doesn't live here or isn't actually a part of our Vietnamese community. I hope you can keep maps this way. Thank you so much for your time. And we really appreciate the hard work that you guys are doing. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right we will have Caller 6795. And up next after that will be Caller 9194.
Caller 6795, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Hi. My name is Mia (ph.). The Commission has been hearing a lot of complaints tonight, so I thought I would break it up and say a thank you. Little Saigon has asked for months to be included with Huntington Beach, a city that we share an economy, community, and residence with. And the Commission did just that. I am proud that our community of interest has been recognized, and I hope that the Commission will keep it this way when they realize the map from Saturday and Monday. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have Caller 9194. And up next after that is Caller 3434.

Caller 9194, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners. My name's Ryan (ph.). I'm deeply upset about the Commission has the summaries and decided to pick politics over doing the right thing. Yesterday, clearly, individuals associated with the paid democratic group demanded that the Commission spend today redrawing Orange County lines because of fake and false claim of racism. Unbelievable. Revert OC lines to what they were
drawn yesterday. This is purely based on truth and
public input, not political influence. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now, we have Caller 3434. And up next after that
is Caller 8939.

Caller 3434, please follow the prompts to unmute.
The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Hello. My name is
Eugene (ph.). And I would like to talk about the people
who are saying that it would be racist to place Simi
Valley in the same district as Santa Clarita. That is
basically false because Simi Valley shares the same
demographic characteristics as Santa Clarita, Palmdale,
Lancaster, having all those cities have an above twenty
percent Hispanic population. And Simi Valley does not
belong with the other wealthy communities in Ventura
County like Calabasas, Thousand Oaks, and Agoura Hills,
which all have the median household income of above
100,000 dollars, while Simi Valley, Santa Clarita,
Palmdale, and Lancaster all have a median household
income of below 100,000 dollars. So please do not listen
to these interest groups and people who are trying to
make racist -- claims of racism. They are just acting as
democratic allies and interest groups. So please, the
right thing to do is to keep --
MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: -- these cities on the outskirts of Los Angeles together and not place it with the second biggest city in the country, Los Angeles.

Thank you and have a great night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have Caller 8939. And up next after that will be Caller 2714.

Caller 8939, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours. Caller 8939, if you will please double-check your phone and make sure it is not on mute, you are unmuted in the meeting.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: My apologies. I have been following this process for many months now, and I'll make this quick. It seemed that we started with a noble goal of understanding communities of interest. And now that the rubber hits the road, we've abandoned a lot of that, and have broken up rational communities of interest.

Specifically, I'm calling from Mammoth in Mono County, and we've been saying for months that creating a district where the leader of the district is going to be a five- to seven-hour drive away, unacceptable during the winter months, makes no sense for anybody. And so I would just urge you to go back to the earlier maps that had been proposed; I think it was 1102. And instead of
going East, which does not make rational sense, respect the needs of the rural communities of the Eastern Sierra and go North, and keep those communities together. Thank you very much for your time.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we will have Caller 2714. And up next after that will be Caller 3995.

Caller 2714, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. I -- hello, Commissioners. I was at work yesterday and were not able to stay on the call for too long, but I heard some of the public comment who learned that they are from Little Saigon and say that Huntington Beach doesn't belong to our community. They forgot to mention that the accurate town rights in Huntington Beach as similar to Fountain Valley and Westminster around 700,000 to 600,000.

On education now, Huntington Beach High School District has school in Fountain Valley and Westminster. Oceanview School District in Huntington Beach has school in Westminster, Midway City, and Fountain Valley. Fountain Valley School District has school in Huntington Beach and Garden Grove. For the Assembly District, please put up North up Garfield Street in Huntington Beach, Long (indiscernible) at Beach Boulevard. Go to
Seapoint Street, remove Stanton and East --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- Garden Grove. You leave the area, a majority Hispanic. Please hear our voice. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we will have Caller 3995. And up next after that will be Caller 8224.

Caller 3995, please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners. I'm calling from the high desert in San Bernardino County to comment on the MORCOA map. My question to each of you is, why have you grouped the high desert community of Wrightwood into Los Angeles County despite Wrightwood and Phelan, which is in our district, literally sharing a school district and public safety services in San Bernardino County. Instead, you've given parts of Los Angeles County to this high-desert district.

I don't know why you're ignoring the concerns of our high-desert residents. It's really unfair to us. So all we're asking is that you please keep our high-desert community together and also in this public comment process, please, please help us out and just not let us wait this long. Thank you.
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have Caller 3783. And up next after that will be Caller 7517.

Caller 3783, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi there. Can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Okay. My name's Irene (ph.). Hello. I'm a Vietnamese resident in Orange County, and I live in Huntington Beach. Little Saigon is the home of the largest Vietnamese population in the United States. It is a vibrant and growing beyond just Westminster and Garden Grove. Huntington Beach is now home to over 20,000 Vietnamese residents.

Politically motivated callers have decided to call in and call the Commission racist for putting Huntington Beach and Little Saigon together. I wanted to call them out on behalf of our community, and again, emphasize that Little Saigon in Huntington Beach have always been connected communities with many Vietnamese residents now living in the city, making Little Saigon crossover. I wanted to make sure you all knew that the actual Vietnamese community is excited to be included with Huntington Beach, and we are happy our larger community is all in one Congressional district. Thank you.
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have Caller 7517. And up next after that will be Caller 1234.

Caller 7515, please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. Good evening. I'm in Huntington Beach in Orange County, and I want to start by remarking on what was said the other evening, and it's recklessness to label Huntington as racist. It's a blanket statement. It's irresponsible and outright wrong. We love the diversity here and the beach welcomes everyone. And I've certainly enjoyed a multitude of diverse restaurants all over our city.

That being said, I hope that you are drawing the maps for the Assembly, Senate, and Congress, and that you keep in mind our biggest community of interest, Little Saigon, which is certainly intertwined into Huntington Beach, without a doubt.

Our current representative in the Assembly is Vietnamese-American and does well in representing all of our communities and bringing us all together. So I ask that you keep us in one district for each office that will allow us to have that person in office to support that diversity. Based on what I've seen on the Assembly map, I think the Commission should add all of the
North -- add all of North Huntington Beach starting North of Garfield in Huntington. The East Garden Grove and Stanton, I don't think --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- we have anything in common with Stanton and I believe that I've never been to Stanton for anything really. And then add all of Huntington Beach and Seal Beach into the Congressional district with Little Saigon. So thank you for all of your hard work. And please keep our Little Saigon community --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- together and have a great evening. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we will have Caller 1234. And up next after that will be Caller 6542.

Caller 1234, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

MR. LITTLEPAGE: Hello. My name is Michael Littlepage (ph.). I'm from Turlock, California. I'm calling in because I'm really concerned with the Congressional redistricting for Stanislaus County. I mean, the border's drawn, I guess -- when I first saw them, I had to take a minute because the division is so
unnecessary, the way it like, continually crosses Highway 99. I live in Northern Turlock, and I did a quick -- like a route of how long -- or I just did a route of driving to my hospital. I would cross Congressional district five times just to go to the doctor's office that I need to go to get my daily -- not daily, but you know, my check-ins. So I really don't understand why they're being divided up so much except --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Caller 1234, you might want to double-check, maybe you hit your mute button. We are not hearing you.

MR. LITTLEPAGE: -- completely different, though. Hello.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: There you are.

MR. LITTLEPAGE: Oh, okay. I'm sorry.

MR. MANOFF: Please, continue. You have --

MR. LITTLEPAGE: Where did I get --

MR. MANOFF: -- thirty seconds.

MR. LITTLEPAGE: -- left off at? Oh, okay. I'm not sure I got left off from. But basically, yes, please re -- keep Turlock and keep Modesto whole, preferably group us (indiscernible) said, I think our Congressional district is really good as it is right now. And all --

MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds.

MR. LITTLEPAGE: -- this unnecessary dividing is not
needed. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have Caller 6542. And up next after that, we will have Caller 7618.

Caller 6542, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello, Commissioners. I am a resident of Orange and will be talking about North Orange County. I was originally going to call today to express my appreciation for the Commissioners that stood up in the interest of Northern Orange County and maintain our important region. And I truly appreciated the changes that were made last night that kept Orange, Yorba Linda, and Anaheim Hills together with Brea in North Fullerton. I know it wasn't easy, but you had respected the VRA boundaries while keeping our North Orange County communities of interest together to make everything work.

And this morning, all of that great work was destroyed by splitting up North Orange County and putting parts of Brea, Placentia, and Fullerton separately from Yorba Linda and Anaheim Hills in Orange. Please fix this by going back to the OCSBLA maps that you drew last night. Keep North Orange County and all in the same district. Thank you so much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now, we have Caller 7618. And up next after that will be Caller 3700.

Caller 7618, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Hi. Can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Hi. This is Fone (ph.). It was really important for me today to wait this long line and call in to emphasize that Little Saigon wants to be in Huntington Beach in a Congressional district. Separating us will actually disenfranchise the Vietnamese community because there's so much crossover in our communities. Commissioner Taylor said it best last night when he explained that the inland Vietnamese Huntington Beach community is completely connected to the coastal community. We shop there, eat there, work there. I'm not sure what organization is telling people that Little Saigon is disenfranchising them by putting -- by being put with Huntington Beach. That's just not true. And anyone that lives here would know that. We are happy to do be with Huntington Beach. Please, please keep it that way. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we will have Caller 3700. And up next after that will be Caller 0011.
Caller 3700, please follow the prompts to unmute.  
The floor is yours.  

MS. WILSON:  Hi. My name is Betty Wilson (ph.).  
I'm calling in for our Coalition Zone F (ph.) San Joaquin County listening to the discussion tonight, we know you folks have had some hard decisions to make. Please don't break up our strong working class and Latino population or our essential workers that work day-by-day to make a better way for their families. We have concerns about splitting up areas that have been together for years or adding areas with no common interest. We would once again refer back to the map dated 11/10 or review and consider our submitted Map 1006, 2002, 1112.  
It just seems like tonight so many communities were split, and many moved around. One of the Commissioners shared, we are not just looking at populations, but people. Please consider the voice of our community.  
Thank you so very much.  

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR:  Thank you so much. And right now, we will have Caller 0011. And up next after that will be Caller 7331.  
Caller 0011, please follow the prompts to unmute.  
The floor is yours.  

MR. RAMIREZ:  All right. Good evening. My name is Salvador Ramirez (ph.). I'm a resident of Eagle Rock, a
community in the Northeast portion of the City of Los Angeles, the community advocate of NELA, as we have called this geographic area for decades. It's not just a redistricting designation of 2021. I thank you for the work you are doing in CD NELA draft map. But I must insist that you include the entirety of Eagle Rock to the 134 Freeway, including Occidental College, and West to the -- to at least the 2 Freeway, and down to Garfield Park by way of the 5 Freeway to Stadium Way. We share school districts, businesses, business corridors, CVL (ph.) service areas, and municipal services. This would ensure a voice for our community and the region we call NELA. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have Caller 7331. And up next after that will be Caller 6659.

Caller 7331, please follow the prompts to unmute. And one more time; Caller 7331, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. I do apologize, Caller 7311, it appears to have some type of connectivity issue for you at the moment. We will be coming back to those retries after our next break.

Right now, we have Caller 6659. And up next after that will be Caller 7592.

Caller 6659, if you will please follow the prompts
MS. CHAN: Hi. Can you guys hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

MS. CHAN: Good evening. My name is Wi Chan (ph.). And this is not my first time calling in. Since the start of this process in October, I have worked with the Vietnamese leaders in Orange County to protect Little Saigon in redistricting and ensure our community was empowered.

At the eleventh hour, there's now a political organization with a clear and deliberate political agenda trying to call the Commission racist for doing exactly what the Vietnamese community has been asking for, for months. Keep greater lower Little Saigon together, which includes Fountain Valley, Westminster, Garden Grove, and leads into Huntington Beach. Please don't take these comments seriously and empower the Vietnamese community, and not the special interest groups by keeping us with Huntington Beach, a city that is completely connected to our thriving economy. Thank you and have a good night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we will Caller 7592. And up next after that will be Caller 4351.

Caller 7592, please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening. In regards to calling times, I would ask that the phone lines be opened from the beginning of the meeting. And for today, if the lines did actually close at 6 p.m., it seems too early.

In regards to redistricting, it seems that Diamond Bar, Rowland Heights, and Walnut would be in a Hispanic U.S. Citizen VAP District for both State Assembly and U.S. House of Representatives. This is not how it is currently, so I hope you can reexamine perhaps having a Diamond Bar, Rolling Heights, and Walnut not in a Hispanic U.S. Citizen VAP District for both State Assembly and U.S. House. Coming to Congressional for Santa Clara County, seems like a big change for the Clara San Benito district, or I guess it's also known as the Cupertino district. So I'm sure I'll have comments to say in regards to redistricting of the Congressional districts in Santa Clara County --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- when I exceed the appropriate, I guess, Shapefiles and CSV files. Thank you so much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have Caller 4351. And up next after that will be Caller 1002.

Caller 4351, please follow the prompts to unmute.
The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners.

Thank you for all the work that you're putting in in this endeavor. I'm not sure if you've -- the Commission understood the comment that I made yesterday, so I just wanted to repeat it. As a lifelong resident of three -- generations in San Bernardino County who lives in Yucaipa, I'd like to make two brief points. First, we appreciate the Commission's efforts to group Yucaipa with Big Bear in the MORCOA mapping. We're two communities who share wildfire concerns and need representatives who will fight for the critical resources we need to combat wildfires.

Secondly, please consider regrouping Calimesa into this map. Our two neighboring communities that are adjacent to each other share a school board and a water board. The housing is the same in both communities. The demographics are the same. The business interests are the same. Their addition into this map would be greatly appreciated. Thank you very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have Caller 1002. And up next after that will be Caller 8412.

Caller 1002, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.
MR. DING: Hello.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: The floor is yours.

MR. DING: Hello. Can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

MR. DING: Oh, thank you. Thank you so much to the Commissioners. My name is Nam Ding (ph.). And I'm calling in today because I couldn't keep -- this is -- any -- quiet anymore. I heard some of couple of the young people speaking during the public comments yesterday saying that the Huntington Beach does not belong to the Little Saigon because the Little Saigon have more -- I'm sorry, low-income working class, while Huntington Beach is welfare, and that is ridiculous. And that is not true at all. Huntington Beach median household income is at 95,000 dollars, while Fountain Valley is at 93,000 dollars. And Fountain Valley just passed a resolution this week to ask you, to ask Fountain Valley what Huntington Beach in the Assembly, Senate, and the Congressional. So please, please listen to the Little Saigon's voice and add Huntington Beach to the Little Saigon. Please do not listen to the advice. That is the political group --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MR. DING: -- funded by the political party. They have their own agendas, and they are not protecting the
popu -- overall population for the community of the (indiscernible) for the Little Saigon at all. So please do something. This is now or never, too late. Thank you --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

MR. DING: -- so much to the Commissioners. Thank you so much for your hard work.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right, now we have Caller 8412. And up next after that will be Caller 9947.

Caller 8412, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Hi. This is Gina (ph.). I'm calling from Manteca in the Central Valley. Thanks for your efforts towards fair and equitable boundaries and districts. Several proposals significantly disrupt and carve up the current California 10 (ph.), which includes the Central Valley communities of Modesto, Manteca, Tracy, and Turlock. I'm calling to please keep the Modesto area whole and the Central Valley intact as these communities have common interests unrelated to areas that have been proposed that are adjacent to Nevada. And we would like to keep these areas together. Thank you. I appreciate the opportunity to comment.
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we will have Caller 9947. And up next after that will be Caller 4150.

Caller 9947, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners. I'm calling regarding the comments from some folks regarding the Little Saigon community. I've lived in Orange County for over fifty-eight years. I'm currently a realtor and I have been for over thirty years. My office is in the City of Garden Grove, and I service all the surrounding cities.

Given the population growth in this area, a lot of my clients are Vietnamese-Americans. In my experience, their interest for homes are within the cities of Westminster, Garden Grove, Fountain Valley, Midway City, Huntington Beach, Seal Beach, Los Alamitos, and Rossmoor. I've had the opportunity to learn, witness, and enjoy Vietnamese-American culture, food, and festivals. I have seen this community grow dramatically in the past few decades.

Regarding the Assembly District GGW Map, I am asking you to please to look --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- at and consider removing
the City of Stanton and adding North of Garfield, Seapoint Street in Huntington Beach. This will give Little Saigon a true representation due to the many Vietnamese businesses and restaurants that are located on North of Garfield Street to Seapoint and Pacific Coast Highway. I hope you will investigate and/or research this area, protect the Little Saigon community of interest.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we will have Caller 4150. And up next after that, will be Caller 3746.

Caller 4150, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you. Good evening, Commissioners. Thank you very much for the opportunity. I've waited a very long time to talk to you about this. I'm a twenty-year resident of the San Bernardino County in the high-desert communities and I would like to -- as you understand, we are very close up here in the high desert. And we love our region, and we want our -- it's important that we keep our communities oriented. I'm not trying to say anything bad about Los Angeles County. I grew up in Los Angeles County. But there's a reason I
live in San Bernardino County now. And I really, really
would like to hope that you keep the Moraga, I believe
it's pronounced district within San Bernardino County
boundaries. You currently have that district going into
Los Angeles County and Lake Los Angeles. And may I
suggest that maybe swapping out Alta Loma and --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- maybe San Antonio Heights
which is North Upland and the Wrightwood area to
compensate for the population. We have deep ties in our
communities, and we just wish to keep our communities of
interest intact. Thank you so much for this --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- opportunity. Good night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now, we will have Caller 3746. And up next after
that will be Caller 3770.

Caller 3746, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

MS. TOM: Hi. My name is Fay Tom (ph.). And I'm a
resident of Little Saigon, and my brother's actually from
Huntington Beach and he lives there for over almost
twenty years.

And I feel like the Commission -- thank you for the
Commission for listening to our community voice for the
past month or so and kind of give us a Little Saigon in Huntington Beach together. And I don't know what's going on, why they're -- all of a sudden, we don't know where this group thinking their racism, making all these claims. But if you notice all the voice calling, you have many different broken English, fluent English. You have mom, you have grandpa, you have -- this is what a true community is. You have a variety of interests, a variety of people.

And it's not just organizations because our community needs to stick together for us to have a voice. And by dividing us, we will lose a voice for the next ten years. And I think by losing a voice defeats the intention of the Commission of protecting it. So please go back and protect our community, our voice by keeping --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MS. TOM: -- by keeping Little Saigon and Huntington Beach together.

And then another thing I want to mention is the way you guys have the system set up. Tonight, you know, a few people that's trying to call in basically got dropped, all the PTA moms I know got dropped because of the system. So if you guys could --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen seconds.
MS. TOM: -- kind of fix it because it's not fair for them.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have Caller 3770. And up next after that will be Caller 9736.

Caller 3770, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. And one more time; caller with the last four digits, 3770, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Yes. My name is Cheryl and I'm from Laguna Niguel. And I was disappointed to see Laguna Niguel removed from Orange County coast yesterday. I feel like there's been significant testimony asking us not to be placed with San Diego. And the only reason we were was because you started in San Diego and had to even out the population.

You then decided to place Irvine and Tustin in with largely coastal community of Newport Beach. This was never discussed until yesterday. And as a resident in Laguna Niguel, it is closely connected with the Orange County coast. It does not make any sense. We should be together with the OC coast and Irvine should be back together with the inland region.

This was just arbitrary, and I suppose, I would ask
you to revisit this on Saturday and make decisions that 
start with VRA districts and communities of interest, not 
just what you determined the schedule to be. Thank you 
very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And 
right now, we will have Caller 9736. And up next after 
that will be Caller 3752.

Caller 9736, if you'll please follow the prompts to 
ummute. Caller with the last four digits, 9736, if 
you'll please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing 
star six. Caller 9736, you appear to have a connectivity 
issue at the moment. We will be coming back around to 
our retries shortly.

Right now, we have Caller 3752. And up next after 
that will be Caller 0469.

Caller 3752, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you. I'm an interested 
person who lives in the 42nd Congressional District, and 
my interest is to keep the cities along the I-15 corridor 
together because they belong together, they're 
economically similar, and they work well together. We're 
so different from the desert cities like Coachella. And 
that's all I have to say this evening. Just if you -- we 
can keep those as they were, I'd really appreciate it.
Thank you, and appreciate all your hard work, and have a
good evening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now, we will have Caller 0469. And up next after
that will be Caller 7087.

Caller 0469, please follow the prompts to unmute.
The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Hello. Can you hear
me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Hi. I'm Nahid (ph.).
I'm calling from Santa Clarita Valley, and I've called a
few times before. And again I want to thank the
Commissioners for working so hard and putting all this
together. My -- I wanted to reiterate my concerns that
I've raised in the past to keep areas of similar
population density distance to metro areas, and income,
and other demographics together.

And right now, our Congressional district in Santa
Clarita is being lumped in with Sylmar. And Sylmar is
really part of San Fernando and Sun Valley areas. It
borders Pacoima, and Sun Valley, and pulls and every --
and all of those neighborhoods are very close together
and they have nothing in common with Santa Clarita. So
Sylmar makes -- putting them with Santa Clarita makes --
MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- no sense. Sylmar is high -- you know, high Hispanic population as well. Santa Clarita belongs with Simi, not Sylmar. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have Caller 7087. If you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Hi. Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Hayden (ph.), and I'm a resident from the high desert. I just want to call in tonight and let you know that you guys are looking at the Mar -- MORCOA draft earlier. And I think that that's a good draft, but it's not quite perfect. And I think it could be a lot better.

So as a resident of the high resident -- as a resident of the high desert, sorry, my one -- like I had two issues with the map, and one of them is that I don't believe that they should be grouped in with Los Angeles County. There's a part of us that's looped into the map, and I don't believe that that's fair to the residents of the high desert.

These people are my neighbors and friends, and I believe that when we're grouped in with Los Angeles, we get our voice drowned out. And I believe these maps should be fair and give these people a voice. And
another thing is that this district is not functionally contiguous. As many of the residents know in this area, Highway 18 is often closed, which means that it's really hard for this district to --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: -- be connected. And again, our voices get drowned out by communities such as Los Angeles, so I believe that that community should be separated from that map draft. Thank you so much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And Caller 2902, please follow the prompts to unmute. And one more time; caller with the last four digits, 2902, please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six.

Well, at this time, we are up against a break, and Caller 2902, we will be coming back to our retries after this break. And for all those who have called in, please do not hang up through this break. You are in line, and I will be coming back around to the retries and people have not spoke.

Chair, pass this over you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you much -- very much, Katy. It is indeed time for our break. It's not -- it will be 9:35 and we will come back at 9:50. And so as Katy said, anyone who's still in line, please hang on.

We will be back. Thank you.
(Whereupon, a recess was held from 9:35 p.m. until 9:48 p.m.)

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Welcome back, all, to our California Citizens Redistricting Commission meeting. We are taking public comment at this time. Thank you to all those in the -- in our queue, all the public who have been waiting a long time to give us their comments, and for all those people who have already participated and will continue to participate. Thank you.

With that, please let in the next caller.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much, Chair. And right now, we will go ahead and retry caller with the last four, 2948. And then up next after that will be the retry of Caller 3192.

Caller 2948, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

MR. MOORE: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Tom Moore, council member for Seal Beach. Thank you for keeping Seal Beach as part of Orange County and making the county line a firm dividing line for all three draft maps that I can see.

As a person who represents a coastal Orange County city and in conversations with my peers down the OC coast, Seal Beach should be in a district with closer Orange County cities that have more in common, like
Newport Beach, Huntington Beach, and Laguna Beach. We are a small beach city with common aspects. The recent oil spill is an example where our representative was important for us and represented these common areas.

Seal Beach shares Pacific Coast Highway, sand replenishment, parking, traffic, lifeguard issues, potential sea rise, and other common ocean-related problems with these cities. Why not have the representative that could be --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MR. MOORE: -- familiar with similar types of problems? It just makes sense.

This Monday, the Seal Beach City Council will vote on a resolution to keep Seal Beach with the other beach cities in Orange County. I ask at least that you look at that --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

MR. MOORE: -- and keep that in mind as it will represent the interests of Seal Beach. Thank you for keeping Seal Beach in the Orange County area. And I hope that Seal Beach is aligned with Orange County cities with similar interests along the coast. Thank you for the consideration.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we will retry Caller 3182. And then up next
after that will be the retry of Caller 2902.

Caller 3192, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MS. HENRY: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Susan Henry, and I am an elected school board trustee with the Huntington Beach Union High School District in Orange County and a past president of the California School Boards Association. I've been a resident of Fountain Valley and Huntington Beach for over forty years.

I want to address some of the public comments that were made by callers last night regarding Huntington Beach. Those comments do not reflect the reality and celebration of diversity in our community and were inaccurate and misleading.

The Huntington Beach Union High School District has an enrollment of just under 16,000 students in grades nine through twelve. Our district serves students in the communities of Huntington Beach, Fountain Valley and Westminster with six comprehensive high schools, two alternative education campuses, and the Huntington Beach Adult School.

Our student demographics are 34.9 percent white, 28.9 percent Hispanic, 28 percent Asian, .3 percent American-Indian, 1.3 percent Filipino, .9 percent
African-American, and 4.5 percent two or more races. 37 percent of our students are socioeconomically disadvantaged, 10 percent are English learners, 2.3 are homeless -- 2.3 percent are homeless, and .3 percent our foster youth.

MR. MANOFF: Twenty seconds.

MS. HENRY: In order to advocate for the students and families that I represent, I am asking that you draw the Assembly, Senate, and Congressional lines along school district lines. It is extremely important not to split the representation of our school district so that we can maintain one strong united voice in the Assembly, Senate, and Congress. Thank you for your time.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we will have Caller -- retry Caller 2902. And then up next after that will be Caller 8797.

Caller 2902, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MR. NGUYEN: Hello. Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Ling Nguyen (ph.). I am a long-time resident of Orange County and in Westminster in particular. I have participated in a lot of activities within our Little Saigon community. I've seen so many children and students sharing the Huntington Beach High School District. And I go to the beach almost every day. I
enjoy the beach and I've seen so many friends of mine and residents of Westminster, Fountain Valley, Garden Grove, they enjoy Huntington Beach. I also have a chance to listen during the past four hours --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MR. NGUYEN: -- waiting for my call that I understand there are besides me, there are a lot of Vietnamese and people living in Little Saigon truly want the Huntington Beach to be with Little Saigon as before. I am so disappointed that today the Commission separated the Little Saigon community in Orange County.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have Caller 8797. And up next after that will be Caller 2667.

Caller 8797, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MR. LI: Hi, guys. My name is John Li (ph.). And I've been with Orange County over thirty years, and the -- I work in the Westminster and my residence is in Huntington Beach. Okay?

So just to be short, if things not broken, don't fix it. So I like what we are right now, then just keep it the way it is. Don't change it if it's not break. So I hear a lot of people talking about things and most of them complain, they're not happy with these maps, with
the change so.

And also, there's another things here. I just want to say, relisten to the public input first before you enter the change. So we -- the thing about this right here, right, you got a new public change --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MR. LI: -- and you listen to the public comments at the end, not -- it's like what, 10 o'clock. That's very late. Okay? That listen to it in the morning, and you know.

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

MR. LI: That's it. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have Caller 2667. And up next after that will be Caller 5736.

For those that have called in that have not spoke this evening, if you please press star nine, so raise your hand indicating you wish to give comment. And for those of you that have raised your hand previously and we need to retry, if you press star nine, it indicates you are near your phone and ready to give comment again. Makes my job a lot easier.

And right now we have Caller 2667. And up next after that, we have Caller 5736. And I do see all those hands. I'll be addressing those shortly.
Caller 2667, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Deanne (ph.) and I am a resident of the high desert. I'd like to ask each of you to please keep the high desert together and do not group us with communities who do not understand our struggle.

We often have -- we often have to address issues like federal lands, conservation, and rural transportation in our community. That's something that more urban communities do not have to deal with. Please leave the high desert whole and do not group of communities who do not experience the same issues.

Thank you very much. And I have lived in the high desert for sixty years, so I'm very concerned that our high desert stays whole. Thank you very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we will have Caller of 5736. And then up next after that, we will retry Caller 2927.

Caller 5736, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. Good evening, I'm calling in to set the record straight. There's a pending lawsuit against the Commission for partisanship and that's exactly what we saw today. Democrats testimony
outweigh weeks of public commentary to get what they want, and it is the partisan sham. I've seen articles written in CalMatters and So-Cal Daily Post (ph.) explaining what it's -- what exactly is happening here. Democrats are mad because the lines are not drawn in their favor, so the Commission destroyed the Orange County line today.

We have solid lines based on months of testimony, but the Commissioners decided against compromise in favor of the Democrat operation. It's embarrassing and we need to revert back to the Wednesday night lines. Thank you very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. All right, now, we have Caller 2927 as a retry. And then up next after that will be Caller 3970.

Caller 2927, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MR. SCHULTZ: Yes. Good evening, Commissioners. My name is John Schultz. For identification purposes, I'm a nonelected city official serving on the nonpartisan Equity and Human Relations Commission for the City of Long Beach, but today I call you as a small business owner in the Long Beach area.

My business and income have been directly and severely impacted by the nation's supply chain crisis, a
big part of which can be seen by anyone looking out to our local ocean waters to a backlog of containerships.

First and foremost, I ask that the ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles be kept in separate Congressional districts. Our ports move about forty percent of America's imported goods, so your decision on our boundaries down here are much further reaching than just my warehouse. The ports are in crisis; we are in crisis. And we can use all the help we can get in regards to Congressional representation.

In short, the two ports should have two representatives. Some of us are still reeling from our own recent citywide independent redistricting process. Thousands of residents and businesses are trying to navigate new representation on the local level. I submit that it's more important than ever to keep consistency of Congressional representation for the City of Long Beach. As a fellow Commissioner, I thank you for your work on the Commission, and I hope you all have a happy --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

MR. SCHULTZ: -- happy, and healthy rest of the holiday season. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we will have Caller 3970. And up next after that will be the retry of Caller 4218.
Caller 3970, please follow the prompts to unmute.
The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello, Commissioners. Last night the Commission started with reasonable Orange County Congressional maps, sure. Not everyone was happy, but it was a compromise that actually took into account months of public comment. For some reason, the Commission decided to completely reverse every thoughtful decision they made over the last twenty-four hours. Coincidentally, after the Democrat offered it, began calling to influence a supposedly independent Commission. I'll say it, it's a joke. The second Democrat offer it is, get involved. The Commission completely disregards the actual public input. It is an embarrassment to our state, and I hope the Commission can go back to the maps they settled on Wednesday night. Thank you, and goodnight.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

All right. Now, we will be caller 4218. And up next after that will be caller 0565. Caller 4218, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Hello, thanks for getting back -- thanks for getting back to me. Good evening. Yeah, my name's Bob, and I live in Orange
County. And I want to echo some of the thoughts that people have been calling in about. I'm just amazed at what happened today in Orange County Congressional maps, you know. We had fair maps that represented the inland communities and put the coastal communities together and had one-third Asian district and -- obviously, we -- we kept the VRA intact, and -- and today for no apparent reason, it just got all blown up. And you had great maps, and you decided to ignore the -- all the thoughtful work that -- that you did yesterday and -- I'm not asking to spend more time on it, but -- I mean, just -- I know it's been a long day, just go back to the work that we did and -- and listen to all the calls that have been coming in. I mean, it's -- it's a hundred percent in favor of going back. So --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: -- I'm just asking you to revert back to those maps that were concluded on Wednesday night, and let's not play politics with the -- you know, we're better than that, aren't we, in California? Listen to the people. Listen to our communities. That's what should be --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: -- focus of redistricting. Thanks. Thanks, and have a great
evening. Bye.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we will have caller 0565, and up next after that will be the retry of caller 5777. Caller 0565, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MS. JONES: Yeah, hi, can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

MS. JONES: Yeah, hi, my name is Jennifer Jones, and I am a resident of Simi Valley. And first off, I would like to thank everybody from the host to the line drawers to the redistricting committee for taking public comments this late at night. I know you probably all are very tired and would like to go to bed. So with that being said, I feel strongly that Simi Valley and Santa Clarita should be kept whole. I feel strongly that they should be kept together. We have a lot in common, such as the aerospace industry, film industry, and whatnot.

One thing that I did notice recently in regards to your map drawing is that you have San Fernando split up, and you're also taking Sylmar and putting it closer into Santa Clarita, and that concerns me because that is a Latino stronghold, and I really feel that with the --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MS. JONES: -- heavy Latino population in the entire
State of California, dis -- disseminating their voices in any way just totally harms them. And one last thing. Agoura and Calabasas --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

MS. JONES: -- Simi Valley really has nothing in common with them. Have a good evening. And thank you for letting me speak.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we have caller 5777, and then up next after that will be caller 5621. Caller 5777, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi, Commissioners. Thank you for what you do, and you did a great job. I know you are not talking about the Assembly -- Assembly (indiscernible) tonight, but I'm asking you to please revisit it. You are just so close. You got part of North of Garfield Street in Huntington Beach with the Little Saigon District, but we have been asking for you to add the entire North of Garfield Street, which is roughly about 49,000 people. Twenty percent of Huntington Beach residents are foreign born in that, and mostly in the Northern part of Huntington Beach, where majority of the 8,000 residents in Huntington Harbor Vietnamese American alone. For the Assembly district, add all the North of Garfield Street all the way to
Seabourne Street, which has 49,000 people. Remove that --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- then which has (indiscernible) people, and East of Garden Grove at Euclid Street, which has 20,000 people, enough, an exact population for you to do this. Protect Little Saigon, please. Thank you and goodnight.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now will be caller 5621, and then up next after that will be the retry of caller 9736. Caller 5621, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Hi, can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Hi, my name's Robert, and I've worked in Huntington Beach for a few years. So I was shocked to hear the other night when people lev -- levied allegations of racism against our city. That could be farther from the truth.

As many people have called in and -- tonight and said, Huntington Beach, Westminster, Fountain Valley all share common interests. There are school districts between Huntington Beach and Westminster. The Little Saigon area has asked to go with Huntington Beach.
Callers have called the last two days to do it. Your maps last night were perfect. Even though they didn't achieve that coastal district people wanted, I think it was a fair compromise to that. And on top of that, it all changed this morning -- or early this afternoon when all of a sudden you reverted everything and went back. Now, if you don't want to go back, I can understand that, and I would just stick with that. It -- I think it's a fair compromise (indiscernible) that as well --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: -- however I think a lot of callers tonight have advocated for putting Huntington Beach with Westminster, and you should listen to them instead of the partisan special interest groups who called in last night and tried to change your minds. On top of that, another partisan special interest group trying to influence you to put Long Beach into Huntington Beach and everyone has said --

MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: -- not to do that. They are the only ones who want to do that. Please do not do that. The beach has nothing in common with Long Beach. Long Beach is a majority minority city, putting --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we will have caller 9736, and up next
after that will be caller 3378. Caller 9736, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MR. MARTINEZ: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Jerry Martinez. I'm calling from San Bernardino County's High Desert. It seems that over the past week you have continuously ignored testimony given by our community members here in the High Desert. You've now decided to undermine the High Desert again by grouping parts of Los Angeles County into our map, after numerous public comments from the High Desert voice who have requested not be grouped with the Antelope Valley. During this process you've gone as far as grouping things like Wrightwood with Los Angeles, even though they have so much more in common with the High Desert. Wrightwood shares a school district with Phelan, and public safety fairs with San Bernardino County.

This is blatantly disrespectful to the High Desert and ignores our wishes. We're asking you to strongly consider the wishes and the voices coming from the San Bernardino's High Desert. Thank you, Commissioners.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we will have caller 3378, and up next after that will be the retry of caller 8209. Caller 3378, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute.

And one more time, caller with the las -- there you go.
The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. I'd like to ask that you please keep Santa Clarita and Simi Valley together. I've lived in Santa Clarita for thirty-six years and have friends in Simi Valley. Our communities are mainly working class and have many shared concerns. Thank you for considering my request. Goodnight.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 8209, and then up next after that will be caller 9517. Caller 8209, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MR. HARPER: Thank you. My name's Patrick Harper. I'm the mayor of Fountain Valley in Orange County. And just wanted to let you know on Tuesday, our council passed a resolution that we would request to be included in the -- with the Cities of Huntington Beach and Westminster and any districts that are drawn. We share a South -- Southern and Western border with Huntington Beach, and as the high school district person mentioned, we share high school district with Huntington Beach, Fountain Valley, and Westminster.

About a third of our population is Vietnamese, and so we share a lot of common interests with Little Saigon.

And so what I would suggest is use the Santa Ana River as
the East boundary, and that way you could include all of Huntington Beach and Fountain Valley together. We even have -- our Boys and Girls Clubs is called the Boys and Girls Club of Huntington Valley. So we have a Huntington --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MR. HARPER: -- Valley (audio interference) and -- and many other such things. So I appreciate, again, all that you do. Also, would recommend similar lines for the Assembly district and the Congressional district. Thank you, again, for your efforts, and appreciate the time.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we will have caller 9517, and up next after that will be caller 4867. Caller 9517, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MS. VOUGH: Yes. This is Min Vough (ph.) I am -- hello, Commission. Ten years ago the private restriction Commission add Huntington Beach to Little Saigon for Assembly, Senate, and Congressional because they see that Huntington Beach belong to Little Saigon. Over the years the Asian population is growing. In Huntington Beach, especially Vietnamese people. Just so you know our school system crossover within Huntington Beach, Fountain Valley, Westminster, and Garden Grove, and is all the North Garfield and Huntington Beach stop at the Seabourne
Street is the right move to make sure Little Saigon has a true representation. Thank you very much. Goodnight.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we will have caller 4867, and up next after that will be caller 4102. Caller 4867, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening. What we have witnesses today is an assault on democracy. We (indiscernible) last night with four solid Orange County districts; a VRA district, an Asian centric district, an inland district, and a South coast district. Though not every coy was accommodated, just listing the description out shows just how much was actually considered. Now, the Commission completely blew up these maps for no reason. The line drawer said it best, Control V these lines. It's a joke. We had a compromise, and I'm not sure if it's because liberal groups started calling in, but the Commission completely reversed their solid direction. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 4102, and up next after that will be caller 8408. Caller 4102, please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MS. NGUYEN: Hello, Commissioner. First I want to thank you for your hard working, and I know you are very
tired group. I want to talk fast and (indiscernible) Little Saigon communi -- my name is Elan Nguyen. And I live in Huntington Beach. The ZIP code is 92647. Little Saigon community has been paying close attention to this redistricting because it is very important for us to keep our community of interest together. So we are please asking you please for one more chance. Please thinking and -- I -- why did you stop at West Boulevard to add not --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MS. NGUYEN: -- (indiscernible) Huntington Beach for Little Saigon to (indiscernible) Westminster Assembly map. We please asking you to add all of (indiscernible) Avenue --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

MS. NGUYEN: -- in Huntington Beach to Seabourne Street, please, please. Complete and (indiscernible) your attention (indiscernible) at the (indiscernible) Assembly as represented (indiscernible) ask --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we will have caller 8408, and up next after that will be caller 5490. Caller 8408, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MS. SASOON: Good evening. My name is Lori Sasoon (ph.). I'm calling on behalf of the City of Rancho
Cucamonga to urge the Commission to reconsider its proposed districts and keep the City of Rancho Cucamonga whole. On the newest map, the City of Rancho Cucamonga is now split into three Congressional districts, three districts for one medium-sized (indiscernible) community in — in an empire. We have a strong sense of den — identity, and it's historically been all or mostly in one Senate Assembly or Congressional district.

The — the maps — depending on the map split us, across the Foothills, largely into Los Angeles County, with which we have no identity. Some of our were also — and other maps portioned off with High Desert regions in San Bernardino County. Some of those communities are more than seventy miles from us, include rural communities, which are in stark contrast to our more suburban environment. We have virtually no commonality between our residents with those of LA County and the remote mountain and desert areas. We really ask that you consider the unique diversities of our community and —

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thirty seconds.

MS. SASOON: -- how that gets deleted when you're lumped in with other districts of competing priority. We respectfully request the Commission keep Rancho Cucamonga whole and revise the propped Congressional Assembly and Senate district maps to include all of Rancho in one
district. And we thank you so much --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

MS. SASOON: -- for your consideration tonight.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we have caller 5490, and up next after that caller 7507. Caller 5490, please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Good evening. My name is Kim, and I'm from Pinon Hills, which is in the San Bernardino County High Desert. I was shocked to watch you add our community to a district with Pasadena last week in the Assembly. Now, you're combining us with LA County at the Congressional level. Our community is rural Mohave Desert. It cannot be anything different from Pasadena. Most of our streets are dirt roads. Our houses are cheap. There's no million-dollar mansions here. And we work with San Bernardino County for public safety and for other services, such as healthcare and public transportation.

Putting us with LA County communities for representation purposes will be a detriment to our community. How is a representative from Pasadena, one of the most urban areas and most expensive areas in Los Angeles, going to be able to advocate for our needs? The Commission has spent multiple hours discussing other
rural areas, like the Eastern Sierra, but almost no time
with the concerns of our area.

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: You are supposed to be
the voice of all Californians, and right now we feel left
behind. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we have caller 7507, and up next after
that will be caller 8888. Caller 7507, please follow the
prompts to unmute. Caller -- the floor is yours.

MR. GUYEN: Hi. My name's Ben Guyen (ph.),
representing the business community of Southern
California. And I just want to make a -- a comment about
Huntington Beach being in the redistrict. Besides from
all the political and demographic reasons, it is just a
simp -- very simple reason why without Huntington Beach,
there will be a big hole in this district. And that hole
can be -- only be (indiscernible) by the City of
Huntington Beach. That's the only one to make the --
this district compact. And so for that reason alone,
a -- the -- for me is the main reason why the Commission
should keep Huntington Beach within this new district to
make it compact and whole. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we have caller 8888, and up next after
that will be caller 4608. Caller 8888, please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MR. BUI: Good evening, Commissioner. This is Councilman Ted Bui from the City of Fountain Valley. We passed a resolution this week on 12/7 to ask your Commission to make assured that Fountain Valley is with Huntington Beach in the Assembly, Senate, and the Congressional district. Fountain Valley and Huntington Beach share many commerce and cultural overlap. Fountain Valley school district has school in Huntington Beach. Huntington Beach Union School District oversees Fountain Valley High School. Oceanview School District has school in Fountain Valley. Boys and Girls Clubs of Fountain Valley serve tutoring in Huntington Beach and Fountain Valley.

I'm asking you to keep Huntington Beach, Fountain Valley, Seal Beach, Newport Beach, and Westminster, our city, within Orange County. They have common interests and concerns, as well as similar challenges, and would benefit from having the same representative. Particularly, the City of Huntington Beach and the City of Fountain Valley have many share commerce --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MR. BUI: -- and -- and cultural overlap. And should there be drawn together in the same Assembly,
Senate, and Congressional district. Due to population
limits, just add all North of Garfield Street to
Seabourne Street in Huntington Beach --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

MR. BUI: -- for the Assembly, and all of Huntington
Beach for the Congressional district. Thank you for
giving Fountain Valley a strong voice in this -- its
district so we can protect family (indiscernible).
Lastly, thank you for all you do, and good evening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we will have caller 4607, and up next
after that will be caller 1945. Caller 4607, if you'll
please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.
Caller 4607, if you'll please double-check your phone and
make sure you are not on mute, you are unmuted in the
meeting.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi, can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners.
It is very unfortunate that there were people last night
who call in and made statements that are not true about
our community. These people were (indiscernible)
political organization paid for by political party
leaders who decided to start calling in just yesterday.
From day one, our community has been involved and
submitted hundreds of emails (indiscernible) and call in almost daily to make comments to ask for your support to protect Little Saigon. Our interests are our families, children, and friends, not a political party or leadership.

It is very clear from all of the comments, you have heard from our community, minus the few from last night that our community of interest lies with Westminster, Midway City, Fountain Valley, Seal Beach, Rossmoor, (indiscernible) and West of Garden Grove and North of Pleasanton Beach. This city and community share culture, tradition, healthcare systems, education and --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: -- for the -- for the Assembly map, please add all of North of Garfield Street all the way to Seabourne Street in Huntington Beach. Remove (indiscernible) and East of Garden Grove, the majority of the residents here are Hispanic and they belong with the --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: -- Latino districts. For the Congressional map, please add Seal Beach and all of Huntington Beach in with Little Saigon. Thank you for listening to us.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.
And right now we have caller 1945, and up next after that will be caller 3257. Caller 1945, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. Caller 1945, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MS. GREEN: Hi.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We can hear you now.

MS. GREEN: Hello? Can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes.

MS. GREEN: I am Cathy Green, and currently serve on the Orange County Water District Board of Directors. And I'm a former mayor of Huntington Beach. My husband, Peter Green, also served as mayor of Huntington Beach. I've been elected to many positions within the Fountain Valley, Huntington Beach, and Westminster areas in the last twenty years. There were comments made yesterday to claim that the people of Huntington Beach are racist. It is really unfortunate that these false statements were made, and that someone feels that way.

I am a board member of the Boys and Girls Club of Huntington Valley, where we serve children from Fountain Valley and Huntington Beach. Many other youth programs, like the Huntington West Little League Baseball, serve children from Huntington Beach and Westminster. It is a disservice to make these reckless statements to try to incite unnecessary targets against Huntington Beach and
its residents.

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MS. GREEN: For the GGW map, please put North of Garfield Street to Pacific Coast Highway in Huntington Beach and remove Stanton and East Garden Grove. For the Congressional district, keep all of Huntington Beach, Seal Beach, Westminster --

MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds.

MS. GREEN: -- and Fountain Valley together with Garden Grove, Rossmoor, and Los Alamitos together. Thank you very much for your time.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we will have caller 3257, and up next after that will be caller 2846. Caller 3257, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours. Caller 3257, if you'll please double-check your telephone, make sure you are not on mute. You are unmuted in the meeting.

MR. BOUIE: Hello? Hello, Commission, can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

MR. BOUIE: Okay. Hi, Commissioner. I am John Bouie (ph.). I own a business in Westminster, and I have lived in this area for thirty years. I'm involved in Rotary Club, Kiwanis Club, Chamber of Commerce,
(indiscernible) festival, and many throughout the years. Last night we heard from a student who spoke perfect English and tell you that Huntington Beach doesn't belong to the Little Saigon area because of income level, and that Little Saigon meets a different government program than Hunt -- Huntington Beach resident. If this is the case, then why did the county board supervisor put Westminster, Fountain Valley, Rossmoor, Los Alamitos, Seal Beach, West of Garden Grove, and all -- all of the Huntington Beach into the first district (indiscernible) district and approve redistrict map if it's clear of fact that Vietnamese American who live in Huntington Beach share many social, economy, culture, education, and business interest with the Little Saigon community of interest. For your Assembly map, at North Garfield Street to the Seabourne Street in Huntington Beach, and remove Stanton and East Garden Grove at Euclid Street after GGW map --

MR. MANOFF: Twenty seconds.

MR. BOUIE: -- and thank you for your time and help.

Happy Holiday to all of you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we will have caller 2846, and up next after that will be caller 0345. Caller 2846, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello, can you hear me?
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Yes. Good evening, Commissioners. Even though I'm moving my mom today to a hospice place, but I'm still calling in because this is about the future of my family, my children, and grandchildren. I am Vietnamese American and I have lived in Huntington Beach for over thirty years. I have called in before and I have sent in comments in the past. While I respect other's opinions, I have to say that the young voices who claim they know Little Saigon are not telling the truth. Here are the facts. Vietnamese is the number one language other than English spoken in Huntington Beach, just like Westminster and Fountain Valley. Cow sales average are the same. The largest minority group in Huntington Beach are Asian Americans. Our youth programs serve Huntington Beach, Westminster, and Fountain Valley. We are begging you to allow Little Saigon the growth in the right Assembly, Congressional, and Senate seats, to include Huntington Beach --
MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- with Little Saigon.
Please remove Stanton and East of Garden Grove at Euclid Streets. They should go with the Latino districts. Add all the North Garfield Street to Seabourne Street in
Huntington Beach to Little Saigon.

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Don't stop at Beach Boulevard, please. Make Little Saigon whole and add all of North Garfield Street in Huntington Beach to the GGW Assembly district. Please keep our Little Saigon community of interest together. Thank you, and goodnight.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we will have caller 0345, and up next after that will be caller 0983. Caller 0345, please follow the prompts to unmute. And one more time. Caller with the last four digits 0345, if you wish to give comment this evening, please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. Thank you so much for joining us, caller 0345, this evening.

Right now we have caller 0983, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. And then after that will be caller 1926. Caller 0983, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Speaking foreign language) Little Saigon (speaking foreign language) Huntington Beach (speaking foreign language) Little Saigon (speaking foreign language) Huntington Beach (speaking foreign language) Little Saigon (speaking foreign language)
Huntington Beach belong to Little Saigon (speaking foreign language).

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we will have caller 1926, and then up next after that will be caller 2212. Caller 1926, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MR. MENDOZA: Yes. My name is John Mendoza. I live in the City of Pomona, 35th Congressional District. And I'm speaking on behalf of my family who live in Up -- Upland, California, and also an article that came out on the newspaper re -- regarding redistricting. I'd like to see a modified change in map with 35th Congressional District and have all of Upland crafted into the 35th Congressional District and take Eastvale out of the 35th, which is located in Riverside County. Eastvale does not share community interest with Upland, Ontario, Montclair, Fontana, from Po -- and Pomona, who have a shared community interest with water issues, transportation, environment, and public safety. Connecting Upland into Eastern San Gabriel Valley makes no sense because it splits community of interest for Upland and undermines Upland's effort to influence or elect candidate of their choice. Please put all Upland within the 35th Congressional District. Thank you.
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.
And this time we will be going to caller 2212. If you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MR. CHO: All right. Good evening. My name's Edward Cho (ph.), resident of Fullerton since 1979. Practiced law in Buena Park since 2006. So yesterday -- yesterday's OC map made perfect sense. Kept the areas of North Orange County COIs together, but the drastic changes obviously separated Placentia and Brea and actually split Brea from contiguous Yorba Linda and Anaheim. So the timing is kind of suspect. The changes were big and -- and obviously in reaction to these false allegations of racism.

So I'm advocating and take the position that the common interests of North Orange County, tens of thousands of residents on the current map should not be divided in North Orange County. And the COI testimony has been clear that Fullerton, Brea, Yorba Linda, Placentia, Chino Hills, Anaheim Hills, they're all --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MR. CHO: -- the community of interest that want to be kept together. So the -- the map was great last night but got completely ruined this morning. It was actually tortured. And really it did put the communities of
interest together last night but --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

MR. CHO: -- it just makes no sense to split up North Orange County. So I'm asking that you please revert back to yesterday's map. It's the fairest district for North Orange County. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.
And Chair, at this time, that is all of our callers.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, thank you very much, Katy.
Thank you very much to everyone who has called in tonight. We will be starting the meeting again tomorrow at 11 -- at 11 a.m. And we will go into recess now.
Thank you very much.

(Whereupon, the 2021 Citizens Redistricting Commission (CRC) meeting adjourned at 10:40 p.m.)
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