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CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, good morning. And welcome to the California Citizens Redistricting Commission. This week -- well, now that it's Tuesday from now on this week, we will be dealing with the Congressional districts. I'm Jane Andersen. I'll be your Chair for this week with my Vice Chair Ray Kennedy.

So could you please take roll?

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Presente.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Here

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Kennedy?

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Le Mons?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: (No audible response.)

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Taylor?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: (No audible response.)

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: (No audible response.)
MR. SINGH: Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Vazquez?

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: (No audible response.)

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Here.

MR. SINGH: And Commissioner Andersen?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And I'm here. Thank you, Ravi.

MR. SINGH: You're welcome.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Before we jump into actual work, I'm going to give you an overview of what we're doing this week, today, and when public comment will be happening. So today is Tuesday, and it's a little tricky. Because this is the whole beginning of a new set of meetings. They start on Tuesday, Tuesday through Saturday. And what we have been doing in the last week and is on our original mapping plan, is we are going to one section of the state on one day, jumping to the second, and going back on the third and then back on the fourth. We're going to reverse that this time.

Today and tomorrow, we'll be doing the Southern part
of the state. That includes Los Angeles, Orange County, San Diego, Imperial, San Bernadino, Riverside. We're going to do that Monday -- Tuesday and Wednesday. On Thursday and Friday, we will be doing the Central Valley, the Bay area, the coasts, and the North. Then Saturday, we'll be revisiting everything that needs work and trying to wrap it up Saturday evening.

So that's the game plan for the week. For today, we're going to do a overview of the draft or draft map for the Congressional districts because we've been working hard and long on our Assembly districts. And so now it's time to change gears, remember what those draft Congressional districts looked like, and we will then also go through each Commissioner to say now what parts of this state do you want to work on. And we'll take notes on that. Get a whole list going. And then, we'll actually go into a quick closed session. And jump into line drawing in the Southern part of the state.

So and that's what's going on today. So now, what everyone wants to hear about is public comment. We're trying to be very consistent. We've been trying to take public comment every day. We value and appreciate the public calling in. And what we've decided is we will -- the lines will open for public comment at 5:54 and they will close at 6:15. At 6:15, we'll be back from a
15-minute break and we will start taking public comments. The plan is to do that every day, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, and Saturday.

Now, on some days, we will probably have to come back and continue working on mapping. When that happens, we will let you know, because we have to let all our vendors know. And we'll let you know during the day. Additionally, what I will try to do is several times during the day, I'll make the announcement about public comment so everyone has an idea. And I will also tell us where we are for the people who (indiscernible).

Additionally, for public comments, the time will be a minute and a half. And you'll be notified in the 30 seconds and notified at 15 seconds.

So with that, I'd like to have our staff -- well, first of all, any other announcements from the -- from other Commissioners, any questions about what -- anything I've said so far? Oh, yes. Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I was wondering, since we worked so hard on the Assembly map, can we put -- would it be smart or one way to start is saying what we liked and what we learned so that we make sure that we apply that to the Congressional? You know, so we have that list of what, you know, we spent so many hours, let's make sure that we learn from that.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. So I'll just offer, you know, in the sense that certainly, you know, Commissioner Fornaciari and I had worked on a plan for, you know, our approach to mapping at each of the levels. And I think the general thought process was that there would be time to discuss and review the priorities that were identified last week on Monday by everyone. As well as hopefully an opportunity for folks to reflect on Assembly and identify those key priorities before we jump into the actual mapping today.

So you know, I'm not sure if we'll have time for all of that. But I think I agree with you that having a little time to discuss what we've learned through this process thus far as well as what are our top priorities in mapping I think can help us not go so far down into the weeds in some areas and stay focused on those top level issues.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you for that. Yes, I -- if the Commission would like to review what we did and particularly process-wise what we liked, I -- in terms of returning to the pre -- previous priority list, I know myself, several of those were specifically for the Assembly districts. And so what I want to do is essentially update that now for the Congressional
districts. You know, there'll be certain areas that, you know, at different phases we learned different things.

And I know that working on the Assembly district, I realized, oh, we've worked that out on the Congressional. And so I'd like to -- we can certainly have a -- you know, pull that up. But I'd like us to make sure we look at what we want to change on these maps. So yes, let's -- certainly, let's jump in and get a -- sort of a bit of a round robin here on what we learned from the Assembly, what we liked, what we didn't like. And whoever wants to go first, raise your hand. Well, I think we're all quiet today.

Oh, Commissioner Sinay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I don't have all my thoughts in line, but I didn't want to waste precious minutes that we have. But I see that Russell has his hand up. So can -- I'm going to let Russell go first while I jot down my notes that I do have but I want them in one place.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Sinay.

Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you, Chair. What I learned -- I learned to appreciate you all, all the more. There's a lot of wisdom in having 14 of us and you know 40,000,000 people is a lot of people divide up fairly.
So just super appreciating of the process and the structure of our Commission and the fabulous staff. And how that all works out when we're actually drawing maps. And you know, what I learned is every area is -- has very, very specific considerations.

I'm a little hesitant actually to draw any widespread lessons because, you know, each region just depends on its own particular -- its own particulars. And that's what I'm learning is that we have to look at each region, take into consideration everything that we can, and make fair minded decisions. And I think we have.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Yee. You know, I might just say for myself, I appreciate Commissioner Toledo who was value -- you know, you valiantly led us through the entire process last week and for the first time. So that's always much more difficult and he did so with a cheery attitude, kept all working together, and cheered us along. And I really appreciate that, so. Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Sure, I'll jump in. I really like what Commissioner Yee just said. I think that was very insightful. I think one of the lessons learned right -- in addition to each area, the indivual and having individual needs and we have to focus on those
needs. I think just kind of, you know, we identified some big-picture issues that we needed to address.

And I thought yesterday, we were pretty effective at, you know, staying out of the weeds and -- for the most, and focusing on those big picture items that we need to address. And I left yesterday feeling a whole lot feeling a whole lot better than I went in yesterday. I was pretty pessimistic going into yesterday, frankly, that we would get somewhere where we were pretty happy.

Septically for Congress, though, there are a few things -- a few items that I want to put on the list. First item is, what is our target population for our districts? We've got to figure that out, right? Last time it was plus or minus one, I think, ish. Is it one, is it ten? Probably not 100, but what's that going to be?

Second thing is, we've got about -- according to my calculations, we have about 17,000 people in the North state to me. And so we have to march that up population down. And how are we going to do it? You know, we've got our VRA districts in the Central Valley so, you know, we need to think down the coast, marching down the mountains. And as you move population down, you move district lines up.

So you know, just something we need to think about.
A couple specifics, I mean, you know, we want to get our VRA districts right. We want to put Tahoe back with its counties. And then, you know, we got a lot of work in Southern California.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Fornaciari. At this point, I -- that's what I was sort of hoping to do. We're kind of mixing what we're doing here. I was -- we were going to do -- the staff have a look at the whole Congressional map, and the, do our -- let's make our priority list. And you know, that's sort of what you were saying is, we have Tahoe, and we have -- so if we want to do that at the same time, right now. Don't just talk about, you know, what we liked and didn't like from the Assembly maps, but make our list, then we can do that now. But as I see -- I might ask my Vice Chair on that one.

Do you have a comment on that? No? Okay. Sorry about that.

Okay. So I'd like to kind of keep this about -- I -- maybe I misunderstood. But this is sort of a review of what we -- what we did or a process that we want to bring into this week? Yeah. And then, we're going to do a quick overview of the maps and write our list up of the different areas. So that's okay. Thank you.

Commissioner Fernandez.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair. What I learned is that individually we can work with the mappers, which I feel really helped us move things along, especially yesterday. And I just want to say that I really appreciate our mappers and how responsive they are, and just their positive attitude. And I mean, I just can't say enough about that.

And in terms of moving forward, I think time was spent in terms -- I mean, in my opinion, there was wasted time in terms of how do I say this, questioning why we're going into a certain area if the Commissioner wants to go into a certain area. That's what the first few days are for. If we go into the week, it's for -- that's what the first few days are for. The last day, maybe not so much.

But what I learned is that we need to be respectful of each other's what we want to go into or not and we try to provide the same respect to all of them. So just because we're done with one section, we still have to show the same respect for the next section. So that's my lesson -- I don't know if lessons learned if that's a good way to put it. And I think that's a wrap because we're not talking about priorities yet. Thank you.

Oh, I'm sorry. One more thing was -- thing I learned is we're all dedicated to this process and we're all willing to go past midnight, so thank you very much.
And if it does go past midnight, you know, we've got to do it right. And we don't want to rush it. So thank you all very much.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Fernandez.

Commissioner Kennedy.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. In addition to what's already been said, I found it very useful to set some time parameters before we stared explorations. So that we knew going into it, that we're not planning to spend more than half an hour. If we got the end of half an hour and felt that we were very close, we could make a conscious decision to extend that half an hour. But I think it was very useful for us to establish that kind of time parameter before we started explorations and then, it kept us all focused on the time limitations that we are facing. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sorry. Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'd say, I love our passion, vision, commitment, persistence, and sometimes all of those also annoy me. Yeah, our good is sometimes our bad. I do appreciate everyone's understanding of local communities. But I feel that sometimes we take -- that we need to make sure we check that we're doing something collectively versus individually. And that what are other people's thoughts, and feelings, and what they have
heard because, you know, we've got thousands and
thousands of communities of interest and we all have
different perspectives.

I think Commissioner Vazquez was, you know, was
right yesterday that we -- you know, when you get draft
maps, you hear one thing and then -- but if you look
back, there might have been another perspective. And so
we can always find a perspective that's going to support
what we're saying. I think sometimes we need to look for
the perspective that's not supporting what we think to
really check ourselves. We need to constantly be
checking ourselves and our assumptions.

And checking each other. I really appreciate when
people say, wait, I just looked at this and it says that.
You know, when I'm trying to -- when I'm struggling with
a piece, I really appreciate that team work.

The other piece it think is really critical. It
should matter if we're in the room in Sacramento or if
we're online. We should all be getting equal voice and
our opinions should be seen and heard equally. And there
was -- last week there were times when those of you in
the room would be laughing and giggling and it looked
like conversation -- we could tell conversations were
happening.

And there were times we weren't being seen online
1 when we were raising our hands and try to disagree or
2 agree with something. So I think it's very critical
3 to -- for the Chair to maybe have two screens up or
4 what -- maybe no. But just to be able to see every hand,
5 every person so that we're all equally accessible to the
6 conversation.

7 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, thank you. Well, on that,
8 that's -- you got your wish because I'm chairing and I'm
9 here in by myself in my own house. So I can only see the
10 people who are on the screen and when they raise their
11 hands, they go to the top left corner. So that's how I
12 plan on doing it.

13 And I will -- if it turns out that you have your
14 hand raised and I cannot see you, please speak up. Say
15 Chair because I do not mean to not call on the people.
16 So it -- I appreciate everything that's been said so far.
17 Any other -- any other thoughts and lessons learned? I
18 actually like sitting -- I like -- oh, Commissioner
19 Taylor.

20 Commissioner Taylor? Okay. As soon as you are able
21 to get to come on, we'll let you in.

22 In the meantime, we'll take Commissioner Akutagawa.

23 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Thank you, Chair. I think
24 a lot of the things that my fellow Commissioners have
25 said, I agree wholeheartedly with. And I appreciate all
of their lessons learned. And I think my -- my one
lesson learned that I want to add to this conversation
right now is that aspect of teamwork and collaboration
that we all had. You know, I think what it comes down to
is there are 14 of us and, you know, I think all 14 of
use don't have to repeat the same things.

I think if there's disagreement, someone will say
it. But if there's not disagreement, it means for the
most part -- I guess, maybe this is wrong of me to assume
this. But I'm going to assume there's general agreement
on it. And I think we saw that when Commissioner Toledo
was also chairing in terms of finding consensus. So --
and on that note, I also want to just say that just
because someone on the Commission may mention an area, it
doesn't mean the any one of us have forgotten about an
area.

I think this is the teamwork. There's a lot of -- a
lot of information that we're working with and we're all
trying our best to try to be mindful and stay on top of
everything. But at some point, you know, it's not that
we're going to forget, but we may be focused on something
else at that moment or something else and someone else.
I think this is the teamwork where someone else on the
Commission is able to uplift areas where we have talked
about where that we want to make sure we don't forget.
So I appreciated that and I just wanted to point that out.

One other thing, if I could just mention too, is just establishing a consistency of process so that all of us and as well as all of the -- I guess, public, will also understand too. I think, you know, we try to do that. But I think we’ve just got to continue to work on that. And sometimes we -- it'll -- it will mean that we'll be kind of stopping ourselves and saying hold on, wait.

But I just wanted to lift that up as well because I think it just helps with clarity as well so that everybody knows where we are and what we're trying to do. So thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Yes. Actually, on the process notes, I do have an idea that what I would like to do here is when we actually say -- I mean, I know you'retrying to make some changes. I want you state the goal, and the steps to get to the goal. And then we can actually -- so we all know where you're going on that.

Like, you know, Commissioner Akutagawa had that marvelous idea of making the North coast section or a coastal section. And then she basically sort of said, okay, and then so I'm going to take this bit from the that, and that one from this. This one from that.
That's what I mean. I -- kind of walk us through where you're going.

And so we can have an idea of -- you know, we don't want to go halfway through it and not know, you know, why are you doing it and how you're getting there. And I think -- and also, I'd like us to keep that in mind kind of be a process. And we'll also probably talk about an area to get -- come to a kind of consensus idea and then draw it.

So there's are a couple of changes we might -- it's -- actually, it's what we've been doing. It's just kind of making it a little bit more -- not quite formalized but trying to get it a little bit more streamlined because we're trying to get a lot done in a little bit of time here. So I'd really appreciate everyone's input and how hard we're all working together on it.

At this point, I would like to have, I'll believe it's Alvaro, he's going to help us. He's going to walk through on the map viewer the -- our draft map for the Congressional districts. Just to kind of get us all familiar with, oh, yeah, that's what those actually look like. And also for the public who can follow us, and when they want to look at something -- wait, what were they saying, I didn't catch that. You can go back to
this map viewer and blow up the area that you're -- that we're looking at so you understand what we're talking about.

So with that, the Executive Director Hernandez, could you please just walk us through it?

MR. HERNANDEZ: Sure thing. First, you know, how to get the map viewer for those -- for the public. This is the home page, wedrawthelinesca.org. You'll want to go to the data tab. And then, select map viewer.

So it will load and it gives you some options on the right-hand side of what maps you want to look at. And so for this you'll see all the different options. We have the current day Congressional districts. That's what is actually what the districts are we are speaking.

Then we have the drafts, and I'm going to uncheck this right here. We have the counties that will come up and uncheck that. And I'm unchecking them because it's a little bit hard to see if they are checked. So we'll start with the draft Congressional map, if that's okay?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Actually, no. I'd I do want the counties on, please, because we want to see what counties are in each of the districts.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Okay. We -- when we tested it this morning, it's very hard to see because of the color. It's a very light color. Hopefully you can see that.
And then, we'll overlay the Congressional district map on there. I'm going to resize this so that you can a look at it. Hopefully it's a little bit bigger and I'll be zooming into the different areas as we go.

And we'll start in the North. And this here is the Congressional district North coast, this that you see here. And when you click on here, it will show you some of the information. The name, the deviation from the ideal value, the Latino CVAP, Black CVAP, White CVAP, and the Asian CVAP. So you can take a look at that information, it'll come up.

So again, this is the North coast draft map. And we continue in the North here. Go onto the next one. This here is the NORCAL -- NORCA I should say. This includes -- just second.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right, could you go ahead and turn the map -- the box off then?

MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. It comes up when you -- hold on a second. I need to get rid of this. This is the district, so I apologize. Let me try to move this. It's not working.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That's okay. Yeah. We're doing an overview of what they look like, so to get everyone familiar with it, so.

MR. HERNANDEZ: So this is Siskiyou, Modoc,
Shasta -- I'm not going to make it as a mapper.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, no. Alvaro, that's okay. We just want to have a look at what they are. You don't actually have to do -- you don't have to --

MR. HERNANDEZ: Okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That information, you can click that, then turn so -- just a little outline, and turn the box off. Yeah, see, there we go.

MR. HERNANDEZ: I'm trying to --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: But do name them as you -- thank you.

MR. HERNANDEZ: This is the Sierras draft right here. Now, we'll go over to the left; we have Yolo Lake. Here we have the North coast again. I'm going to go to the right. This is the North SAC. We have the Sacramento which includes Elk Grove, and then we have this down here. Over to the right here, we have the ECA draft. Now, we're going back into the Central Valley area, San Joaquin. We have the South Contra Costa, Alameda. We have Oakland. I believe we had -- I hadn't gone over here. This is the North Contra Costa area. And please feel free to jump in any time if I miss anything or if you want to look at something more specifically.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, you're doing perfectly. Thank
you.

Commissioners, this might help us jog our memory of the things that we wanted to work on. So feel free to write your list up as we're reviewing this.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Alameda again. BERRY SUNY draft. This is (indiscernible)CLARA draft. CLARBENITO. We have the STANFRESNO area.

MR. LARSON: Madam Chair, would it be useful to point out those that are currently designated as VRA opportunity districts, or should we, for now, just do an overview and leave that for later?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Actually, though, if you could do that, please, Dale. That would be --

MR. LARSON: Sure. This is the first one we've seen so far that we've designated as a VRA district.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

MR. HERNANDEZ: This is the midcoast. One second. This is the South coast. Here we have the Fresno-Tulare.

MR. LARSON: This is another VRA district, as is the next one.

MR. HERNANDEZ: And then the KING-TUOL-KERN. Then we have the FRESNO_KERN. The ECA draft, we've already gone over that one. This is High Desert SB AVSCV. We've got the Ventura and the South coast. I'm going to zoom in here because we're going into the very populated
areas. This is the Malibu-SFV. We have SFV, San
Fernando Valley. This is the GLEN 2BA. This one here is
the shoreline. We have the 10 corridor. Here is the --
I forget what that stands for -- STHLA. Now, we have the
shoreline down here. This is the 710. STHLA. 710
again. Long Beach North.

MR. LARSON: So this is another VRA district here.

MR. HERNANDEZ: CDNELA. This is CDCOV.

MR. LARSON: That one's also a VRA district. As is
South 60.

The NOC Coast. Inland OC. TVAL MENIFEE. I don't even
know what that stands for. And that's a large one there.
Zoom out a little bit. Riverside Moreno Perris.

MR. LARSON: It's also a VRA district.

MR. HERNANDEZ: High Desert, another large area.

SECA draft.

MR. LARSON: Also VRA.

MR. HERNANDEZ: CSVC draft.

South bay San Diego. We have San Diego coast.

There's Santee, SEDC. Another large area. And that's
just a high level overview. Hopefully, it refreshed your
memory of the different areas that we're going to be
talking about this week.

Any questions? Or any area that you'd like for me
to go back to, Commissioner? Or Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No. Thank you very much, Alvaro. I hope that helped everyone realize the areas that we need to work on, or that they particularly would like to work on. Because at this point, I would like to create a list of areas we need to work on. And we have both staff taping to make sure they get everything we say. And Commissioner Sadhwani kindly volunteered to kind of help with prioritize some of those. But as you know, as we sort of did, we end up doing most of them. But you don't just have to say one or two. You can say however many you think you need to say. And you could also say, if it's been covered, but you still -- that's one of yours, say, like everything Commissioner Fornaciari said, and such-and-such. So great.

Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Sure. I'll start off. Thank you, Chair. My priorities, first, get the VRA districts right, especially for me the San Benito one. In the Bay area I want to get Albany back into Alameda County. I want to try to put the Tri-Valley together. It's going to be hard. I've tried and not found a way yet. And then throughout the state, continue to recognize and respect tribal lands. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Commissioner Fernandez?
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. In terms of priority, VRA is always the top for me, but it's actually the entire state, because this is a Congressional. We've got to get it down to, like, almost nothing in terms of deviation. So that is my priority -- is to get them down to as close to zero as possible, but at the same time trying to have as clean lines as possible. Let's not cut through right in the middle of a neighborhood or something like that. So I can't -- I don't want to specify a certain area. I'm statewide. That's my priority. Thanks.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. Thank you. I don't see other hands. Any other volunteers here for their items? Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Sure, I can go. Many things throughout the state, but some of the top priorities for me is reuniting that Asian-American COI in the San Gabriel Valley along the 210 corridor. Definitely some cleanup in the San Benito area. In the Salinas Valley, we heard from a lot of communities of interest testimony that we did not serve them well in our draft maps, and I definitely want to take a closer eye towards agricultural workers in that area. Certainly, cleaning up the VRA districts, including in the Central Valley. My impression is that in doing so, that's going to lead to...
potential ripples further North in the map up towards Sacramento and other areas. So definitely the VRA districts are always a priority for me. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. Thank you. Before I call on Commissioner Akutagawa, I do want to acknowledge that there are system outages across -- I guess quite a bit of the state. We've had some issues with our livestream as people are saying it keeps on stopping, or things like that. So there's a bit of server issues going on, so. If it gets to a point where we need to stop to take a break because we don't have any public that can watch us, we will be doing that. Just thought I'd mention that. And I'm terribly sorry for the people who are trying to watch. We'll do everything we possibly can.

MR. MANOFF: The livestream is back up now, Chair.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. Thank you very much, Kristian.

Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Thank you. I would also agree with what Commissioner Fernandez also said as well, too. I think there's obviously going to be ripple effects throughout the state. I, too, also want to just state that I think there's work that is a priority for me in the San Gabriel Valley as well. That for sure is something. Also, in addition to the VRA districts --
because there are a number in L.A. L.A. is also a high priority as well, too, because I think there's a lot that we got to make sure we get right there. And then the Central Coast as well as the mountain Sierras area, and then just generally speaking, there are some parts in the Northern California area that we've also been hearing, so. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. Thank you. Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Chair. Just the VRA districts, I would start there, obviously, based off of our criteria. And then, more specifically, I feel like I'm at the stage of refinements and not major edits at this point, so really would want to focus in on the Sacramento area. There's a district that has a tail that I just want to revisit. Then, looking into the Sierras, so the ECA district. We have quite a bit of testimony on that district in particular. And then moving South to So CAL, the King-Tulare-Kern district as well as the North Long Beach area, I just wanted to revisit. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Overall, I really -- because it's Congress -- I really want to make sure that we get right what are the current communities and voices and
emerging and growing communities in certain places. Make sure we're really listening to the locals, and what they're seeing, because sometimes it's different than what others are seeing. So I want to just really make sure that we capture those voices. Everything everyone said is very accurate. As much as I would love to say, let's just do refinement, we left San Diego -- we just kind of dumped things in certain places and moved on. So there is some building that needs to take place in San Diego County. And on the whole, everything -- I've got my list, and I'll be checking it twice, since none of us are really doing the holidays, we might as well bring it in. So I'll just be looking at those, but I am really confident with this team that we're going to cover what needs to be covered.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. Thank you.

Commissioner Kennedy.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. Two things. One, we're never going to achieve our target populations unless we take a very serious look at where we have large pockets of surplus population and large pockets of deficit population. We have to have a clear understanding of that and have a sense of how we're going to move those large pockets of excess population to even out the population. Second of all -- and I think -- as
Commissioner Sinay just said, there are places where the map really -- at least gives the impression -- that we just got things to a certain point and left them without being fully intentional. And I think, for example, I know that we have VRA constraints both in Eastern Riverside County as well as Western Riverside County. But we have some areas that look like leftovers rather than intentional effort on our part, and I think we can take a look at some of those and clean those up. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. That was a very valuable addition. Commissioner Vazquez.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Thanks, Chair. I want to reiterate for me it's a priority to revisit the West San Gabriel Valley, and do what we can to unite that COI. And also feel like it's a priority, obviously, and we have to look at population deviation. That said, I'm hoping when we do -- when we do start refining the populations, that we both have evidence or community of interest testimony to support specific lines, but also remembering that both the Voting Rights Act and really our work at large is protecting people and representation for people and not necessarily cities or other political boundaries which we do have to consider. But especially when we're looking at such small deviations in Congress.
I'd like us to be really mindful that often, especially larger cities, concentrate and marginalize populations in certain geographies of those cities. And that may be helpful for us to consider when we are drawing lines. Thanks so much.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Excuse me.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Bless you.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Commissioner Toledo, do you want to go while Commissioner Andersen is catching her breath?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Oh, thank you. Yeah. So for me, people of color represented the largest population increase over the last ten years. It's one of the reasons we didn't lose more seats. And I want to make sure that that's recognized through this process. And certainly the Section 2 VRA districts are all a priority. And I am particularly interested -- my first priority will be the San Benito Valley area, making sure that that area gets the representation it deserves. Central Valley as well. And then, in the Los Angeles area, historically excluded populations -- making sure that those populations that have been historically excluded get the representation they need. Not just African-American but also Latino and Asian communities and others that are out there, working class communities that have struggled so
hard, and making sure that they are represented. I know it's difficult. We'll have to -- I know this is going to be an interesting process, and we'll work it out as a team. Thank you.


VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Has anyone not spoken that would like to contribute to this listing of priorities? Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you, Vice Chair. Nothing additive. I'm in agreement. I think we need to review all of it and ensure that it meets the VRA and the deviations, and that's it.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I believe I have my voice back now. Thank you. Sorry about that. Now, I also wanted to add, though, Commissioner Fornaciari did say -- now what did you say right at the beginning? And that's why I called on you or tried to call. Could you give us those items as well?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Sure. So I said, target population. We have to figure out how we're going to move about 17,000 people from the Northern part of the state to the Southern part of the state, Tahoe, and VRA districts. And also I want to concur with San Benito.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. Thank you. In the Bay area, there is also -- I did want to reunite the Ashland COI and the -- I don't know what we're calling it, but essentially from Vallejo across North Contra Costa. So with that, we've kind of done our whole list -- our list of items. Could we do a quick a -- Commissioner Sadhwani, were you able to kind of do a -- prioritize or just give us a quick review of it, please?

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Chair, before we do, Commissioner Sinay had her hand up.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh. I'm terribly sorry. Thank you very much. Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So last on my priority list just so that my neighbors invite me to some of their holiday gatherings, I would like to put Encinitas back together again. If possible.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. And Commissioner Turner, did I already call on you?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: I was called on, and I raised my hand again.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. Okay. Then, sorry -- I do have Commissioner Sinay, I guess, up first, and then Commissioner -- no, no. Okay. Commissioner Turner, please.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. I also wanted --
because I couldn't recall if this was already said, to
look at the three areas in the Central Valley, Fresno-
Tulare, Kings-Tulare-Kern and Fresno-Kern.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Perfect. Thank you very much. All
right. So moving on --

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Chair, Commissioner Akutagawa's
hand is up.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That's why you're a great vice
chair. Thank you. Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Thank you, Chair. Thank
you, Vice Chair Kennedy. Just two comments. One, I
don't know if Commissioner Taylor is available to
speak -- I know he tried earlier, so I wanted to just not
forget about him. Secondly, Commissioner Fornaciari, I'm
interested in what you said about the Northern part,
about 17,000. Did you look at any of the other parts of
California to see whether or not there's excess
population that we'll also need to think about? And at
what point and where those two places are going to meet,
or multiple places are going to meet? Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes. So what I did is I
took the spreadsheet from -- with all the population data
in it for our draft maps. I ordered the districts from
North to South. I figured out what the deviation was and
then I summed up that deviation as you go South. And
it's really kind of interesting, because we've got about forty -- what do we got? And I can share this with everyone, if you would like. But I got -- we got 4,700 too many in the North coast. And then you go down in the Contra Costas, we've got about 13,000 too many in Contra Costa. We got a couple thousand -- well, it averages out to not too many in Sacramento, but if you look at the middle of the state -- all the way across the middle of the state, the deviations are really close to zero all the way across the middle of the state.

So if you look at it, you sum up our plusses in the North state are about 17-, 18,000 too many. Then you've got a swath of very close to zero across the state, and then you go down further and you get up to, like, 30,000 total deviation. But the districts are so packed together, it's not clear how we have to move that population around until we really dig into it. And I guess I could -- oops, sorry. I guess I could look into that a little more closely, but we're going to be moving the districts around. I'm not sure exactly how to handle that in the South state at this point. Does that make sense? Does that help?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Actually, that really does. Thank you very much. Because that sort of gives us directions. You have to start where you have borders, and move in.
And the lines will shift as they do. So it does give us an idea of what lines are sort of going to move where, because there are too many people, as Commissioner Fornaciari already said. So those districts align -- will actually move higher or lower, depending on where you are. So I like that idea quite a bit. Okay.

Commissioner Sadhwani, I'm sorry, I had asked you to give us a quick review of the list.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. So what we did last week between Commissioner Fornaciari and myself, is we've taken notes on everyone's specific pieces and then we'll synthesize them into, like, regions of how many people kind of said what areas. But some of the things that are kind of generally popping up: Of course, VRA districts and ensuring that we get those right. Population deviations for sure. We heard San Benito numerous times from many Commissioners. We also, of course, had a whole lot of comment on our draft maps from the San Benito region. The West San Gabriel Valley was raised by several Commissioners as well. There were a number of pieces that were raised around cleanup. Cleanup in San Diego and Riverside in the Bay area with places like Albany and -- Commissioner Yee had another one -- the Tri-Valley, excuse me. So we will work to synthesize all of those. That's not a completely representative list by
any means, but certainly those were many of the pieces that rose to the top.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. Thank you. And the staff is also taking notes on everything, so if staff could sort of give all that information to Commissioner Sadhwani to put together, that would be great. Thank you. Now, well -- what we're going to be doing is we will be headed to the South for our line drawing. We're hoping at any minute now we might go actually to a short, closed session. As soon as our counsel comes. So we might just take a -- I think we might adjourn into closed session at this time, and we should be back -- actually, I'm hoping, right after our normal break. So at this point, we'll adjourn from this one -- not adjourn, but we'll leave this one and we'll go into a closed session for pending litigation exception.

(Whereupon, a recess was held)

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Welcome back to our California Citizens Redistricting Commission. I'm Jane Andersen, the Chair right now, for those of you just checking in. And my vice chair is Commissioner Kennedy. We just are coming out of closed session, and there were no decisions, no action was taken. So at this time what we want to do is a little bit about Congressional districts, which is different than the Assembly, and also the
Senate. And this is a Federal district. And where you've seen us talk about Assemblies and the Senate, the deviation can be plus or minus five percent, on Congressional you are supposed to have equal population, essentially zero deviation. Now, because the maps are put together by census blocks, which do not have -- well, some of them have zero people in them, but they're all different sizes. They're not all one consistent size, and they are not all with one consistent population. Consequently, getting to zero will be very, very difficult. And so what we need to do is, as a Commission, come up with what do we think we want our range to be? A realistic range to be, and then stick with that. So I'd like to have any comments from the public -- from the public, sorry. Comments from any of the Commissioners on where you believe we should go. And I see Commissioner Toledo's hand's raised.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you, Chair. I would be committed to, and in support of, getting as close to zero as possible, and would recommend a plus or minus one. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you very much. Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: I concur with plus or minus one, and just want to mention that we've been told by line
drawers that it's easier to go bigger if needed later, and it's virtually impossible to go smaller if needed later. So starting with one gives us a little bit of flexibility later if we need it. So one sounds like a good number to me.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Unless someone has another idea, I do believe we should go ahead with let's try for plus or minus one. There will probably be times where you can't get there because you have all your -- Congressional blocks are 320 people versus another 325, and you can't do the combination that's going to get you there. So if we run into that situation, I suggest we address it at that time. So any other thoughts? Or we'll go with that number and move into -- at this point, what we'd like to do is move South into -- we have Sivan and Andrew with us. So we'll be actually looking at the San Diego and Imperial, and the whole Inland Empire, and we want to go over the VRA districts first, please. So go ahead.

MS. TRATT: Thank you so much, Chair Andersen. I'm just zooming into our first VRA district here. It is called SESDELC, so Southeast San Diego, El Cajon. I believe it was at one point. Obviously, we don't have El Cajon in there anymore, so we'll change these names. But the composition of this district is similar to the VRA
district that we saw on the Assembly maps for this region. It includes Imperial Beach, the San Ysidro portion of San Diego City. It includes a little bit of East County, an unincorporated area outside of the city as well, all of Chula Vista, all of Bonita, National City, Lemon Grove, and La Presa. And then it also contains a portion of the City of San Diego, including the historic barrios, Barrio Logan, Logan Heights, and it goes as far North as -- let me turn on the streets layer -- yeah, so it looks like it cuts above the 94 as its Northern border. And currently, this district is underpopulated by 1,575 people, so we are going to need to add that many people, plus or minus one, to this district for it to be balanced. Chair, should I move on to the next district, or should we just jump into changes?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Please, go on to the next district. We want to have a look at all the VRA districts in this area --

MS. TRATT: Okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- so we have any idea what we're working with.

MS. TRATT: All right. The next district is SECA -- S-E-C-A. This district is currently overpopulated by 583 people, so those are 583 people we will need to remove.
And its Latino CVAP percentage is 51.81 percent. Oh, and I forgot to mention for SESDELC it is 50.62. Again, similarly to this district in the Assembly district map, this stops at the San Diego County border to include all of Imperial County. In Riverside County, it includes the majority of the Coachella Valley, excluding Palm Springs and some cities on the Western side of the Valley. It also dips into the Hemet area to pick up population, and then goes North into San Bernardino County to pick up the City of Needles. And as Commissioner Kennedy had mentioned, this shape is the same in all three district plans, so they are all matching Congressional lines currently.

The next VRA consideration district is moving into the Inland Empire. We have this district here, which has the City of Riverside, Moreno Valley, and Perris, so it's called RIVMORPER. This district is underpopulated by 4,463 people, and is at a LVAP of 51.1 percent. Just to the Northwest is district POMONTFON, which, as the name might suggest, is centered around the Cities of Pomona, Ontario, and Fontana. Again, this district is underpopulated, so we are looking to add 5,192 people to this district. And its Latino CVAP is at 58.56 percent.

The next VRA district in this area is RIASB, so centering around the Cities of Rialto and San Bernardino.
It also contains portions of several cities surrounding, including the Northern portion of Fontana, the Southern portion of Rancho Cucamonga, the majority of the City of Highland, Northern Redlands and the majority of Colton.

And then we also have the Santa Ana District, which is at negative deviation currently of 1,215 people. And currently the Latino CVAP is at 49.87 percent. This district is centered around the cities of Santa Ana and the Valley portion of Anaheim, as well as the Western portion of the City of Orange.

Does Counsel have anything to add about these districts, or the direction that we need to move for VRA in this region?

MR. BECKER: Can you please put all of the CVAP labels on, please?

MS. TRATT: Yes. For all these? One moment, please.

MR. BECKER: So I think we've seen several districts here that are in -- Santa Ana is below fifty percent. It is a VRA area of consideration. There are several districts that get into the fifties and even the low fifty-one percent. These are at the lower edges of Latino CVAPs for districts of this type. It might not be -- it's an open question as to whether it's possible to get higher in these VRA areas on that. As you adjust
for population equality between these districts, though, 
one thing I'll say, is in districts that are fifty-one 
percent or below, I would highly advise that they don't 
go any lower as you adjust for population with regard to 
the Latino CVAP. I can't see the whole map and all of 
the -- some of the districts are -- the Santa Ana 
district is one I think there might be some -- there 
should be some attention to trying to improve from a 
minority perspective, because of the Voting Rights Act 
considerations. If you can scroll to the East? And then 
scroll down, please. The other districts are stronger, 
given the demographic composition of those districts. I 
think as long as you attempt not to reduce the Latino 
CVAPs in those areas that you're likely well within range 
of compliance with the Voting Rights Act.

MS. TRATT: So just looking at this region again as 
a whole, we are at a net negative for these Southern 
districts -- all of the VRA, except for SECA, but also 
just the surrounding districts. So since there is 
nowhere to draw population from South, what we'll have to 
do in refining these district deviations is balance the 
farthest South one and then continue to balance as we 
move North. So that net negative is going to continue to 
accumulate and accumulate and it's going to look really 
scary, and then we're going to run into population from
the other end, and then that's going to be how it balances out. So if you'll bear with me, that's how I think we should go about thinking about tackling this.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Sivan. That is exactly how we'll go about tackling it. We have questions from Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So San Diego, as I mentioned earlier, is kind of a place where we need to do some architectural changes first, and then we need to balance it all out. Is it okay if we do it that way? I mean, I'm just trying to figure out -- I don't want people -- there's kind of two objectives here -- one is get it right and then one is get the right COIs and communities together. And then the second one is getting it right based on deviation.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That's correct. What I would like us to do is we are going to start -- well, the Commission knows that these have to get down to the right number. And as we have -- you can see here, we have in SECA, we have 583 people too many. We have to move that population, and this still has to be a VRA district. The same is true in our SESDELC. So I recommend that we start with those two districts and get those balanced. Then, because you're absolutely correct, Commissioner Sinay, if we go and work on the next VRA district like we
have done before, we'll end up creating a population bubble. So because these are the border, and they are VRA districts, I would recommend that we do these first, and then, actually, do live line drawing to do some reconstruction where we need to, and then we'll finalize those districts. And how does the Commission feel about that?

Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I think that makes sense, but some of the reconstruction is within the VRA district, and I think it'll keep it, but it's just -- some of it is within the VRA district.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Sinay.

Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah, that was my question. If the architectural changes are in the VRA district, we've got to address that if we decide to do something with it, and then we'd have to get the population down.

Thanks.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Absolutely. And as we do go through the maps, I would like us to address the architectural changes before we get into -- before we start working on any of those areas. So with that in mind, now -- I just want to make sure the public, who's following us -- we will be working in this area going
from San Diego up through Riverside, the Imperial Valley
and in the Inland Valley, I guess you'd -- Inland Empire.
And that's what we'll be doing today. I'm not sure how
much, if we can get all this done, then we'll -- Los
Angeles and we will identify the VRA districts, and
trying to do Los Angeles as well. But we have -- what's
different about the Assembly -- the Congressional from
the Assembly -- is we can't really work on just sort of
one area and jump around. We have to kind of move the
population either up or down to get our numbers -- to
make our numbers work. So with that, I -- let's see.
Commissioner Sinay, since you would like to do some
architectural changes on this -- in the Southern area,
how about if you lead us with one, what your goal is,
two, your steps to get there, and then we can talk about
that, and then we'll proceed with line drawing. So.
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. I would rather -- I
don't want -- I want to put it out there like I did last
time on San Diego and kind of -- so here's the overview:
I think our VRA district is okay. But we have Lemon
Grove and La Presa in that VRA district which the COI is
actually City Heights, which is not in this district.
It's City Heights with Southeast San Diego, Lemon Grove,
La Presa and La Mesa, to El Cajon. And so we kind of
split two pieces here in this area. And so there's also
that sliver of 94 that I would like us to put back into Sherman Heights. That's a little thing. So that's kind of the big picture, and I don't know if any Commissioners have been thinking about how they might want to see that COI -- I know we can -- I know we're going to have to split COIs, so don't get me wrong -- but the East County it usually starts at El Cajon and goes East into the rural areas, but as we've discussed, there's been kind of -- there's always been an immigrant and refugee communities that have moved from City Heights towards El Cajon. And so the question is, do we see El Cajon as a rural East County or El Cajon as urban part of the kind of the migration pattern of immigrants and refugees? And Latinos, because it has a large majority -- a large Latino community. We've got some wiggle room in that area. I mean, I think if we can fix this part down here, we can then move things around kind of in the University of Mira Mesa, Poway, similar to what we did in the Assembly district. It's just getting that corner done right.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Thank you very much. And that's what we're going to work on. Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes. So what I was thinking is we have this desire input to keep La Presa,
Lemon Grove, Spring Valley, La Mesa together. That's too much for this district, I think. And last time we had talked about the desire of some of the communities -- some Latino communities to have City Heights included with them. Last time, we decided to go City Heights East with the Assembly, so I'm wondering if this time we want to move out La Presa and Lemon Grove, and then go up and grab City Heights. Which would be up about to where that black line is going horizontally. And then fix the little blip below the 94, include that, too, and kind of see where we're at. If that sounds like a reasonable approach.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. I see where you're trying to go there. Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you. Similar vein to Commissioner Fornaciari, and I believe Commissioner Sinay, but perhaps a little bit different. I'm thinking potentially take out Lemon Grove. Add as much of City Heights as reasonably -- or as much as we can accommodate. As we get -- with an effort to try to get as close to zero deviation and trying to maintain the CVAP. So that would be my priority. And that's all based on COIs that I've seen. Trying to get as much of it -- we'll have to split City Heights, probably cut Lemon Grove. I'd keep La Presa, and try to get to zero
on the deviation so that we can move on to the next
district and have a strong VRA district. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yep. Actually, just plus
one on everything Commissioner Fornaciari and Toledo have
just laid out. We didn't get City Heights completely in
the Assembly map. Let's try and get it done here.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank you. Yes, and
I agree with that, because there's also some other COIs
with La Mesa with Lemon Grove. But also -- Sivan, could
you put the Latino CVAP heat map, because this is a VRA
district. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. And could we see all of this
district, please? You can just move that. Yeah.
Perfect. I'm sorry. Commissioner Fernandez, did you
want to -- something else, or no that was --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: No, no. I just wanted to
note that I agreed with the COIs, plus there was another
COI with La Mesa and Lemon Grove. I just want the heat
map on there just as we're making changes so that we can
see what the impact may be, and what areas we might want
to pull from. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. Thank you. Commissioner
Sinay.
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thanks. I think that that's a great direction to go in. If I could make some recommendations based on what you all said. I would actually pull out Southeast San Diego with Lemon Grove -- Southeast San Diego, Lemon Grove, and La Presa. And the reason I'm keeping La Presa and Lemon Grove together is, remember they said that the ZIP code it's basically -- I think it was with Lemon Grove -- maybe it's with Spring Valley -- that there's a lot of overlap. And I found out that there is also overlap between Bonita and -- the name is the same, but it's in two different cities. And so that's what's happening with La Presa as well. I don't know why they did that in San Diego. It's probably when it was incorporated. But my thought would be to do Paradise -- well, Paradise Hill potentially, but Southeast San Diego with Lemon Grove, La Presa, and La Mesa and El Cajon. And do as you all were saying about bringing in City Heights. And I think we can get to City Heights through Golden Hill. Golden Hill and South Park are very diverse communities as well. And yes, we will be breaking up some of the LGBT COI, but a lot of where the LGBT COI goes is a lot of places where it's very mixed communities where there's been a lot of -- again, mixed communities, and this is a VRA district.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. I want us to make sure
we're realizing that we're adding close to 1,600 people from somewhere. So I see where we're going to lose something and gain something else. Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you, Chair. I'm looking also at COI testimony that's suggesting taking out communities North of military facilities and putting them into the San Diego coast. Taking out some -- I think Commissioner Sinay said earlier, some Eastern areas of San Diego, but they're being perhaps -- that'll give some population relief as well. And this particular COI is looking for Lemon Grove, La Presa, North Park, Normal Heights neighborhood, Clairemont Mesa, Linda Mesa, Kearny Mesa, Serra Mesa, and UCSD, and the Mira Mesa-Convoy area to be together in a central district. And I see that's going in a different direction.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. I think, if I could just interject -- I think, Commissioner Turner, that's a good idea for step 2, because that's a lot of the Asian business COI and UCSD students and that other La Mesa COI. But I think for step one we first need to figure out the VRA, so I think you're on the right track for step two.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. So at this point, let's jump in here. I'm hearing that we'd like to remove Lemon Grove -- we're removing -- and La Presa? So that's a
yes? And then, what areas will we be adding?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Can we just remove Lemon Grove, La Presa, and Southeastern San Diego and put them with La Mesa for right now?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Yes. Let's have a look at that, please. And Commissioner --

Ms. TRATT: When you place Southeastern San Diego, do you just mean like this area that's kind of that I'm circling here, or is there a specific street you'd like me to snap to?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: The City of Southeast San -- the City of San Diego, so I think it's more like Bonita East of National City and South of the 94.

MS. TRATT: Okay. So that would be a lot of this area. Let me turn on the -- so that would be all of this that I'm circling here. Does that look right to you?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I believe that's right.

MS. TRATT: One moment, please.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And obviously, we're going to have to add and subtract anyway, and we're going to be breaking up things, unfortunately.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, but -- okay. Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I agree in taking out Lemon Grove. La Presa, I think, has more in common with
the rest of the anchor district. If our anchor is Chula
vista, as it looks like that's -- when I look at this
district that it's anchored in Chula Vista, moving up
towards National City, I would think La Presa has very
close cultural and affinities, and the communities are
very similar, including transportation. So I would -- I
would want to keep La Presa, so I would start with
cutting out Lemon Grove and leaving La Presa first. And
then if we need to take out La Presa -- unless we're
trying to include both City Heights and Chula Vista in
this, that might be -- I don't know if that's possible to
keep both whole, but if that's the case, then perhaps I'd
be in favor of taking La Presa. But that no longer has
an anchor in Chula Vista. That would be a different
anchor of sorts.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Commissioner

Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I was just going to offer
an alternative approach to add all the pieces that we're
committed to adding, and then seeing how much we need to
take out. That'll help define whether we're going to
take Lemon Grove and La Presa, and then if we're going to
take some of the city. But I think we're committed to
brining the black line I am pointing at -- bringing up
to the 94 just like we did in the Assembly, and then
moving North and grabbing City Heights. And then seeing
where we are in population and going from there, so.
Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you. So let me make
sure I understand this. So basically, one alternative is
you take out Lemon Grove and maybe La Presa, maybe not.
What I'm still wondering is, where are we grabbing the
population from? Where are we going with that? Now,
with Commissioner Fornaciari, I understand we are going
to take out Lemon Grove, and then we're going North.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. What I was
proposing is, let's add this area that's being circled
now. Then, slightly kind of to the right or the left --
East of the 15, go North up and get City Heights -- is
what it's called -- and add that in, and then we'll know
how much we need to take out. And then we can decide La
Presa, Lemon Grove, and --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- where we're going from
there?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: How does that sound, Commissioner
Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I think it's brilliant. I have
just a quick question to Commissioner Toledo around his assessment of La Presa. Because, I'll be honest, it was a community I didn't know existed until we started this. Obviously, I've driven through it and stuff, but when they called us they said, we're really with -- I have to look it up if it was Lemon Grove or Spring Valley, and to make sure that we were keeping them -- Spring Valley -- anyway, La Mesa or Lemon Grove, and they want to make sure we were keeping them with them because they share ZIP codes and such. So I was just wondering --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. And what area in here -- let's go ahead and add that, please. And what area in here are we talking about now?

MS. TRATT: So I have a couple COIs for City Heights I can turn on, but Commissioner Sinay, if you have a specific boundary we can look at that as well. These were two different community submissions defining immigrant and refugee community in City Heights. And you can see how they vary, but the core area is where they overlap, which I'm circling with my hand.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. So who's going to -- do we want to add this entire area? And how many people is that?

MS. TRATT: One moment, please. I can select -- do we want to draw population from up here or are we just
grabbing from this district?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I would encourage us -- again, well, the way the COIs are -- we're looking at the Latino CVAP right now for the VRA --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So is there a way that we can better look at -- I think what we'll want to grab -- where we feel we've got the strongest Latino --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Yeah. That does not look very dense.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: It's a very dense area and it's also an area that would've been really hard to count during the census, but that doesn't mean that -- we can't do anything about that -- but it is a very, very dense area.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. So at this point we need someone to say yes, no. We'll add these in?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. I would encourage us to add them in. And your question on where to go for City Heights, I think that we've gotten -- I don't know -- somewhere we do have -- let's just start with what you have for City Heights and then we'll look for the -- I'll look for the boundary that others have found for, kind of where the refugee and the immigrant communities separate.
It's not an easy split because they're very mixed.


COMMISSIONER TURNER: Um-hum. Thank you. I agree, it's not an easy split, and I'm wondering, Chair, if Sivan could overlay Advancing Justice that included some of the COIs that Commissioner Sinay is talking about. Can we see where those boundaries are?

MS. TRATT: I do not have that -- if someone from staff wants to email it to me, I can have it pulled up in a few minutes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't realize I had my hand raised.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, okay. All right. Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: This appears to be City Heights -- I mean, I think there's various different perspectives on it, but this appears to be close to it and I would say if we could perhaps pare it down to a lesser population, or keep this and begin the process of --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Removing.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- removing population from Lemon Grove or other -- I would start with Lemon Grove, actually. Thank you.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner Sinay, did you --

MS. TRATT: Oh, I do have a COI -- sorry. Sorry, Chair to interrupt. Just to address Commissioner Turner for Advancing Justice, is that also the Asian Law Caucus? I do have one of their COIs that they submitted which they defined as the Asian and AMEMSA communities.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: That's right. That's the one we're looking --

MS. TRATT: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And that's the part that wouldn't be in this -- in the VRA.

MS. TRATT: They wanted to exclude --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.

MS. TRATT: So there's two components to this Shapefile. There's this part that -- let me turn off these other two -- there's this portion in the City Heights area, but it also includes what they're defining as the Asian Business District, I believe, which -- the Convoy and Kearny --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah.

MS. TRATT: -- Mesa areas.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. You're not at that part yet.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, so --
MS. TRATT: So just focusing on the Southern part, correct?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes. And please just take the area that's in the city. Don't jump into La Mesa.

MS. TRATT: Okay. I will continue to grab blocks to snap to this border.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And I would go all the way down to the 94, so Fairmount and 94.

MS. TRATT: So the 94 is already in. Is that what you mean?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Oh, okay. All right. Sorry about that. It gets all confusing with all the different layers and colors.

MS. TRATT: I know it does. No worries. I'm going to continue to add blocks. One moment, please.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Then, we'll add this and then we'll remove Lemon Grove.

MS. TRATT: All right. So should I go ahead and commit this change? This is moving about 84,000 people.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. If we do that -- now, I'm sorry, which -- oh, I see that change. So what other areas, guys, are we taking out? Lemon Grove is 27.6.

So --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: We also said Southeast San Diego.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm sorry; you're taking out Southeast San Diego?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Um-hum.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Well, do they connect, then? I wasn't clear where Southeast San Diego is. But let's commit to that, please. Okay. So we have that one. Please, add that.

MS. TRATT: Based on the definition that Commissioner Sinay just gave, it's from the 94 North to the National City border, and then only what is inside the City of San Diego.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'm just thinking, since we have the heat map on, I hate splitting cities, but I do see portions of Lemon Grove that do have high LVAP, and perhaps keeping a portion of that city in the district in order to maintain it. But as I say, I hate splitting cities. If we're going to split a city, let's split City Heights. I think we included a very large portion of it. And take out all of Lemon Grove and put that in another district. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you. Yes. Let's at this point, take out Lemon Grove, please. And then, Commissioner Sinay, we're losing a lot of -- if we take that entire area of the South San Diego out, we're losing
a lot of what appears to be the Latino vote area in this heat map. No, I'm sorry; are we taking out La Presa as well? No. I don't believe so.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I would recommend we do take La Presa, or if we're concerned about the Latinos, we could --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- we could cut East of the 125. I hear what you're saying. There's also portions here of a traditional working class community that's not immigrant, and so I was trying to connect them as much as possible to Lemon Grove and La Mesa. But I can go whichever way that works best for the greater good.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Let's take out Lemon Grove. Let's commit to that, please.

MS. TRATT: All right. That change is committed.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. And then can we see -- what is the CVAP on that?

MS. TRATT: Let me make the font bigger so you can see it better.

MR. DRECHSLER: It is at the bottom so you do see --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

MR. DRECHSLER: -- 49.71 percent. We want to be showing the -- you have the wrong district. Yep. There it is.
MS. TRATT: Oh, yeah. Thank you. 49.71, but because it's still overpopulated by 56,000 people --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right.

MS. TRATT: -- I wouldn't worry too much about that until we --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

MS. TRATT: -- get closer to the population.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Got it. Now, I don't see -- how are we -- how are we taking out the Southeast San Diego if it's right in the middle? Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: When you had selected a preset to move out, we were over fifty percent LCVAP.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Ah, okay. I could not see that. Well, then I think that's -- okay, well, let's do that. Because that's a community of interest, correct?

MS. TRATT: -- on my screen for you to see. So ignore this whole column. This is what the district is currently, and this one is what it will change to be. So SESDELC will be at 50.15 percent Latino CVAP, but will still be overpopulated by 2.75 percent. Or -- yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right, so -- Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm sorry -- so we'll go ahead and take out La Presa?
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. That's fine.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So I would start paring down City Heights and try to get the CVAP up and closer to the deviation. If we can get closer to the -- because that's a population center -- there's plenty of population there to cut. And of course, because it's a VRA district, VRA trumps COIs and unfortunately, the city and some COIs will need to be split. But when I look at the heat map, that's one of the areas --
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- there's areas there that don't have significant Latino population, and maybe the best place to cut at this point. Thank you.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Just breaking it -- cutting it.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you. I agree. So can we go ahead and -- now, we're coming up on our -- the lunch break is at 2:15, so let's try to reduce. We need to take out, what, 20,000 people?
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Sinay?
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Could we start on the corner that's closest to La Mesa, and then move West?
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Start over here and move West.

That way, because we've heard this is a community of interest, so this way we're starting with the part that feels closest to it.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Please, Sivan, can you do that?

MS. TRATT: Absolutely. One moment, please.

MR. DRECHSLER: Sivan, I think we're going too much.

MS. TRATT: Oh, you're right. I was looking at the wrong --


MS. TRATT: We're at 0.02, so we have to get rid of 119 people.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

MS. TRATT: Is this an acceptable place to accept for now, and then I can go up and clean up some blocks? Perfect.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Please, do that.

Commissioner Sinay? No. Okay.

MS. TRATT: So that would be a deviation of --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Minus thirty-three people.

MS. TRATT: Let me see if I can find --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: There is a thirty-three right here.
MS. TRATT: Oh, there we go. Oh, not contiguous, though, but maybe we can swap it out. I feel like I'm --
CHAIR ANDERSEN: If you do that, we need to grab something elsewhere.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Chair?
MS. TRATT: Okay. Should I go ahead and --
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.
MS. TRATT: I can clean this up at the break, too.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Commissioner Fernandez?
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes, thank you. Sivan, can't we get these, like, the numbers that are showing up for the census -- can't we get them down to, like, the street level so you actually aren't working with such big -- if you're trying to get to thirty-three or whatever the number was. It might be easier to get down to, like, the actual street numbers or house numbers.
MS. TRATT: Right. So the building blocks that we're making these district maps from, the smallest unit is census block. I can turn on the Google map so you can see. Generally, they do roughly line up with what the street kind of looks like, but not always. It's just kind of --
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.
MS. TRATT: -- one of the quirks of the census, though.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. TRATT: And over break, I can turn on the borders of where the blocks are, if that would be helpful as well.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. I think so. Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I was just going to say, this is the smallest block?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: This is what makes it really hard.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right. It doesn't get smaller than this. This is why the Commissioners and for the public this can be excruciating, quite frankly, trying to get to that little number. This could take a devil of a long time. So at this point -- and we're supposed to be going into our lunch so we can jump back onto our schedule. Sivan, can you try to do a little bit of this just for a few minutes so you can also have a bit of a break, since we're coming back to you? Or we can just stop now. So where are we -- can you show us where we are right now, because that's the other district? The information for it.

MS. TRATT: Absolutely.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: What do we have?
MS. TRATT: SESDELCS we need 115 more people.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

MS. TRATT: And we are at a LVAP of 51.06 percent.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Andrew?

MR. DRECHSLER: Yeah. I just wanted to point out the Latino CVAP when we started this was at 50.62, so we've been able to raise that just a little bit. So I just wanted to put that in the picture.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you. At this point, let's pause here and actually -- Sivan, could you leave this on and actually even put our little blocks -- put that on so we can -- if we have our lunch, we can kind of be pondering what we might give you as direction to go next?

MS. TRATT: Yeah. So do you not want me to clean up this deviation over lunch?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh. Well --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: She has to -- she has to unshare --

MS. TRATT: I'm happy to --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- to work on it.

MS. TRATT: -- but, yeah.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. All right. Yes, but I also want to make sure that you have a -- get a bit of a break here, too.
MS. TRATT: No. I appreciate that. I think I'll just -- it'll just take a few more minute to --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Great. Then, please do that. And at this point, we're going to go to lunch. It's already 2:20. I don't want to push it too much further, but we do need to have at least half-an-hour, so we will come back at 2:50. Is that correct? Yeah, 2:50.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes?

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Sorry. If we are out until 3, then the next ninety-minute block goes to 4:30. Fifteen-minute break at 4:30 takes us to 4:45. That ninety-minute block would go until 6:15.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right. And then we'd be on break --

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- until 6:30, so --

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Right. So we're running five minutes late, basically.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Okay. Yeah. So let's come back at the 2:50. No, I'm sorry. Do people already have their lunches there? Anybody? I think they've already gone to lunch. Okay. All right. So thank you, Sivan.
If you could do a little bit of cleanup on that, and we'll see you back at 2:50. Thank you.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 2:20 p.m. until 2:50 p.m.)

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Good afternoon. And welcome back to the California Citizens Redistricting Commission meeting. We are working on the Congressional districts. We are in the San Diego area, and we are right down at the border. The next -- we will be proceeding with this San Diego today, moving up into -- and Imperial County, moving up into the Inland Empire, which is San Bernardino and Riverside.

So we'll turn this back over to our line drawers, who are going to give us an update on where we are.

MS. TRATT: Thanks, Chair, I hope everyone enjoyed their break. While I was off camera, I quickly grabbed a few census blocks to balance out the population. I grabbed a few census blocks just right outside of our border in the city of San Diego, and then one that was in unincorporated San Diego County. And we are currently at a deviation of 1 person, and our Latino CVAP is at 51.06 percent.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Wonderful. Great job.

Does anyone have a comment about -- I see Commissioner Kennedy's hand up.
VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. Again, I'm going to advocate on behalf of election administrators everywhere and say that grabbing one census block from unincorporated San Diego County is going to complicate their lives. If there's a possibility of finding another one somewhere inside San Diego, it just -- thanks.

MS. TRATT: I would note you already are including a portion of unincorporated San Diego County. So if you'd like me to address that, I think you would have to remove whatever population is in here as well. But my understanding is this kind of -- this is kind of how it goes with these districts when you get down to the wire in balancing, is it does become a bit of a population bubble at the block level.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Right. Or find one in that -- along that -- is that where that unincorporated block was, was along that far Southeastern corner?

MS. TRATT: It was a block with one person in it right outside of the city of Chula Vista.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Oh.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sivan, if we drop that, it only had one person in it?

MS. TRATT: That is correct.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Then we would be a zero. We're exactly at 760,000 --
MS. TRATT: So excuse me. There are four people in there. I was at negative 3, and then I grabbed this block that had four and it balanced to one person.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I see. I see. Yes. Well, if you could, at a later date, have a look at that and see if you can find that, but we won't stop right now on that one. Thank you.

Thank you for bringing that up, Commissioner Kennedy.

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I just have a question. Can you kind of, like, go pan out again and just see the -- there was -- I guess it's that little spot right there. Is that not captured? Because it looks like --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Are you talking about the area around that, it looks like a little hook to the right at the top on the right there, that has a little --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: No, I'm just talking about, like, it's right smack in the middle of the district, next to the pink?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You mean the white part?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: The white part? Does that mean -- no, go down, down, down. Yeah. Right there. Does that mean that that's not captured? It just looks
weird. Like it's not part of the district. Like it got missed.

MS. TRATT: Yep. That means it's an unincorporated area that's outside of a census-designated place. Probably has to do with property and land ownership in the city of Chula Vista, but it is inside the boundaries of the district. If it was excluded, it would also have a green outline around it.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Oh, okay. Good to know.

Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I just wanted to uplift Commissioner Kennedy's point about unincorporated areas, and just trying our best to, when practicable and when possible, to keep the unincorporated areas out to help support the electoral offices. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you.

Now, so I'm just wondering, yes, what does that little glitch? That's just in a census designated place that's outside of our area? That little gray --

MS. TRATT: I believe this is part of the City of San Diego. I think it is one of their reservoirs.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

MS. TRATT: Yeah, there's no population here, but
I'm happy to grab this block because it's technically part of the City of San Diego, if you'd like.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. If that would make a difference for the election officials, certainly.

MS. TRATT: I don't know that anyone lives here, that it would matter for those election officials, but let --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I don't know.

MS. TRATT: -- grab it for you anyways.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Are we ready to go to the next one?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. That's where I was headed, but -- Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. Just a process question again. Is this where, when we leave things to be figured out later, we get in -- later on, get into trouble behind not being able to match. I just feel like starting out with leaving maybe one, maybe four, maybe in an area we didn't want it to be in, is kind of going to start us out with gradually increasing issues. We said it was going to take time, so I'm just wondering about from a process standpoint, do we indeed leave things that we don't want to see for line drawers to figure out, and then figure
the next area perfectly, and then we can't go back? I thought that's one of the things -- principles we talked about, trying to make sure that we are as close as we can.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, yes. I'm sorry. But we are by one person. I might not be following you.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Well, so Chair, we're by one person, but we have concerns that's --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: yes --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: -- been expressed from Commissioners that the resolution to get to the one person, it may not be what we want to see.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, that's -- the idea is here now, we will review it all, and have a look. And if it isn't, then we would certainly change it. Yes. If there is something in here that you didn't want to be in here, or something that is not like, as Commissioner Kennedy happened to say, that was an unincorporated area. So yes, we're going to ask Sivan to do an adjustment, and not take that.

Should we review in a more detail of what -- what changes did you make, Sivan? I thought you did go through that with us.

Yeah. Andrew?

MR. DRECHSLER: Thank you, Chair. And to address
Commissioner Turner's question, I think if we could get down to -- I think if we, just as we did in this last break, where we went off and cleaned a couple of things up by going around the districts, I think if we're allowed to do that, that may be easier to do, but -- and I think the best one, I think we all take very careful notes, and as Commissioner Kennedy said, try not to go to unincorporated areas and we'll stick within the City of San Diego. I think that's something that we can do and be very precise on that during the breaks.

And I think, if that's acceptable, that would be the recommendation to moving forward, if we get close, and then clean these up at break. And then, once we come back from break, if we just wanted to say, here's where we cleaned up, and big picture, and then we will use -- we'll try not to cut unincorporated areas moving forward, as a principle.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Andrew.

Yes, I would like to -- I would like to proceed in that direction, because if we -- because fourteen people will probably say, no, no, the other block that's over here on the right, kind of down lower, we are never going to get these things done. So I would like us to get within, oh, we're, like, fifty people or something. Or if it is -- but and this is the area we'd like you to
work to adjust things in. Like say, this border right here.

And we'll do several of those districts like that, that won't cause problems with the other one. And then -- because Sivan can do that much, much faster than we can, and then come back, and she'll show us, I did this, I did that, I did this, to verify that it is what we were talking about. And then we can move on. That's what I would recommend.

But I'd like to -- let's talk about that and see if we come to consensus.

Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I just wanted to move on, but go ahead and finish this conversation.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yep. Okay. Commissioner Kennedy?

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair.

It's not so much that it's necessarily an issue of incorporated versus unincorporated. It's minimizing the number of jurisdictions involved in these things. So you know, if the choice is between adding another block in a jurisdiction where you've already added blocks, and going into a new jurisdiction, whether it be incorporated or unincorporated, it's always better to minimize the number of jurisdictions involved. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you for that
clarification, Commissioner Kennedy.

Yeah, Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I am a little concerned about just pushing too much -- put too much off too early in our process, especially because of the preciseness that we have to be at, zero or one. I mean, obviously, that's our goal. And as we move on and march on to other places, and as the line drawers try to then fix all these things during a break, there may be multiple changes that could really change the kind of analysis and calculations that we're making as we're creating these, and so I think we acknowledge that this is going to be work that's going to be very long, but it's necessary to just try to get to doing it right versus just kind of pushing it off and then coming back and trying to fix everything. Because given our time, I think it's better to just -- let's just get it as close to the zero deviation as we want -- what we're trying to get to and then move on.

So I do agree with what Commissioner Kennedy is saying, since there wasn't any just kind of reason for just going into one new location, let's just maybe go back to the previous location where Sivan grabbed population and try to see if we could find the additional three people that's needed from that same location.
Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you.

Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you, Chair.

The last thing I'd like to say, in all love and respect and appreciation, is I have very little evidence that we actually will let line drawers go off and make decisions in several areas and come back and be good with it. I think we'll still want to weigh in on it, and it'll just delay the process.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank you.

Although I appreciate what Commissioner Kennedy said, I guess, one, do we have that layer, in terms of jurisdiction? And then I also feel that we have so many constraints to begin with, to get it to zero. And then adding another constraint makes it more challenging not only for us, obviously, but for our line drawers. So I'm -- again, do we even have the layer that would show jurisdiction so that we could see that information? And I understand that it's more work for them, but it's also more work for us as well.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you very much. I see where -- it might be an unpopular call, but we really do need to -- we have to get these done, and when we're down
to literally a very small number, rather than spending another half hour trying to get -- we have one person. I believe it will be much faster if we say now, work in this area, like along this border and play back and forth to adjust the correct -- to the number, and then have them -- have the line drawer just kind of give us a quick overview. Go back and go, see I did this instead of that one, and that's where they got it, because we're not talking about different areas. We're not talking about large, large things. We're actually talking about little, tiny lines here.

And so what I'd like to do -- we like this district, okay? Why don't we go to the next -- the large VRA district, which I think is CECA (ph.), I believe it is. And let's see how that goes. And if we really say no, we actually have to all point at different blocks, we can start that at that point, but I believe it's -- do I have anyone who has an issue with our first block, our first Congressional district we put together.

I can't see everybody, so if you have an issue, please raise your hand and -- I don't see anybody.

Great. Let's move to the CECA and -- so we need 583 people to take out. Can we have a look at all our borders? And is there an area that jumps out in terms of -- in terms of the content -- ah, I'd like to put this
back.

So I see Commissioner Kennedy's hand is raised.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair.

Reprising yesterday, would we create problems for ourselves as far as VRA if we switched La Quinta in and Hemet, or what's remaining of Hemet, or enough of Hemet out to balance it? Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Well, why don't we have a look, because we're trying to get -- I don't know the difference there. So we'll keep this -- oh. Well, you have quite a population difference there.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Well, we had Hemet split, I believe, in the Assembly plan. I don't recall exactly where the split was, but we might look at where that was, and whether we can make this happen with a trade over there.

MS. TRATT: Here, I brought up the Assembly lines --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

MS. TRATT: -- that we (indiscernible, simultaneous speech) and this yellow line where my mouse is moving is where we split Hemet in those -- in those districts.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

MS. TRATT: Would you like --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So Commissioner -- oh, sorry.

MS. TRATT: -- (indiscernible, simultaneous speech)
split for La Quinta and see what it looks like?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, let's try that. Because we do know there was a lot of community interests said they're two completely different areas, and we don't necessarily want La Quinta in the VRA district.

(Pause)

MS. TRATT: So I think because of the shape of the district, it might have been a little bit confusing, but they're actually in the same district, so instead of splitting Hemet, which would lose population and put us further negative, we actually need to be looking at areas to add.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, I see. All right.

Commissioner Kennedy, did you have another area you'd like to add?

MS. TRATT: From the BEAVICVAL into SECA, preferably, if we're looking to reduce the impacts.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right. Sivan, could you please put the Latino CVAP on, the heat layer?

MS. TRATT: I definitely can. I also think that we could maybe take a look at adding Beaumont. It's currently separated from Banning, and if my memory serves, it's about the same population as La Quinta.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: And that does make the most sense. Thank you, Sivan.
MS. TRATT: Absolutely.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Let's try that again. Can we also see -- because it's easy to grab. So we'll grab that and see what our population is.

MS. TRATT: Woah. Sorry. Let me just make this -- I keep forgetting that it's probably showing up much smaller for you all on your screens.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

MS. TRATT: Okay. So adding Beaumont, and I believe I'll probably have to pick up this bubble right here, but we can look at that in one moment, adding that would make the deviation of SECA negative .25. So still looking to add about 2,000 people, and the Latino CVAP would become 52.63 percent.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And what was it before?

MR. DRECHSLER: 51.81.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: 51?

MR. DRECHSLER: Yeah. .81.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Oh, okay. So it's gone up?

So it increased?

MR. DRECHSLER: Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Wonderful. All right.

Let's see if there's another area, or Commissioner Kennedy, do you have a -- well, I guess we have to -- let's grab that little bit that's above.
MS. TRATT: Yes. Just one moment.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Don't want to leave it orphaned out there.

MS. TRATT: So it looks like a portion of Beaumont is included in this MORCOA district, so I can contain it to two districts, or if we'd prefer to see if we can try and keep the city whole, I can leave that in and see what happens.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sorry. So that little bit, is it the City of Cherry Valley? Is that what you're saying?

MS. TRATT: That's the city of Beaumont, but it's included in a different district.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh.

MS. TRATT: Just that -- just this small portion.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Was that district included in a VRA district?

MS. TRATT: MORCOA is not a VRA district, no.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Then yes, because that would keep the city of Beaumont whole, correct?

MS. TRATT: Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So yes.

Commissioner Kennedy, do you have a -- no?

Or Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Okay. I was just wondering, after the line drawer looks at this, if we can just zoom
out a little bit so we can take a look at the map a
little bit better? Thank you.

MS. TRATT: Sorry. That didn't help. Did you want
me to zoom in on any particular area of the map?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'm just wondering, the area
above La Quinta, if there might be some population there
that we might be able to -- well, actually, I think
that's already in. I was thinking of Bermuda Dunes, but
it's already in the district, it appears. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, it does. Thank you,
Commissioner Toledo.

So I'm sorry. Could we see what that did to our
district, please?

MS. TRATT: Yeah, absolutely. So adding that, and
again, the MORCOA is here because that small portion of
the City of Beaumont is inside that district, but adding
Beaumont and that small unincorporated area between
districts would still put us at a negative --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Okay.

MS. TRATT: --23 deviation, so we still need 1,734
people.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right.

MS. TRATT: Should I go ahead and accept this
change? It'll make --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, please.
MS. TRATT: -- the maps go a little faster.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, please. Okay.

So we need to have a look at an area that we might be able to do as an exchange for something like that.

MS. TRATT: Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Could you, sort of, either kind of go all around the areas --

MS. TRATT: Yeah, so we could (indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

MS. TRATT: Oh, did you --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Go ahead.

MS. TRATT: Did you want me to make recommendations or --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Please.

MS. TRATT: Just looking at areas that are kind of right on the outskirts, we have this Lake Riverside, California, which looks like it's about 1,300 people. We also have Whitewater, or we could add Cabazon, potentially, as well, or we could look to the unincorporated areas to see if we can grab 1,000 people from there.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: I would suggest Whitewater and
that unincorporated area South of it.

MS. TRATT: Cool. Let me go ahead --

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay.

MS. TRATT: -- and highlight that.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Also, Sivan, there's that little triangle, which that would be sort of natural to pick up.

MS. TRATT: Hold. I'm so sorry. The shape of the district is confusing me as well. Let me fill in the color so that doesn't happen. So I take that back. Whitewater is already in the district, so --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh. Okay.

MS. TRATT: -- potentially looking -- we could make a little finger and grab Lake Riverside. Does that seem like a good --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Actually, you know, possibly -- what's the population of the tribal areas here, which we really don't want to cut up? And there's also -- there's one there. So what was the population? If we do grab out to Lake Riverside, what population do we have there?

MS. TRATT: Forty-three people here.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, you don't have to like that. I mean --

MS. TRATT: Oh, you mean you want me to select it and see -- okay.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right, right. However we could do it faster, that's --

MS. TRATT: Yeah. Absolutely.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- what we want to do.

MS. TRATT: Yeah. Sorry about that.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sorry. I never know -- is your if hand still raised or? We have Commissioner Kennedy, Commissioner Toledo, Commissioner Akutagawa.

Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'm just looking at the -- maybe perhaps some of the -- I believe it's unincorporated areas, Ranger Park (ph.) and Indian Mountain, perhaps there's some land around there, or some people around that area, after we do this. If we still need population.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

MS. TRATT: Woah. So that would put our deviation at negative 1,161 people.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So if we grab Riverside, is there a, like a census block we could drop, make it down to plus or minus one?

MS. TRATT: To add --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Do you think that --

MS. TRATT: -- the city or the -- add Lake Riverside, you said?
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, correct.

MS. TRATT: Yes. Let me --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So Lake Riverside would have us then at, what, a positive --

MS. TRATT: Yeah. Again, the population numbers to swing are the pre-adjusted totals, so we will have to audit to see what that will do. So yeah, it looks like now we're at eighty-nine people over, so looking to get rid of eighty-nine people.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

MR. DRECHSLER: Sivan, can you just go a little bit North here and make sure that this reservation -- I think it's split. If you just want to grab that little box straight up, I think that's part of the -- thank you.

MS. TRATT: So actually, I don't think this is actually a native reservation. It's a little bit confusing. I have landmark areas. So this is probably a --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, it wasn't tribal?

MS. TRATT: This is not showing up as part of my tribal layer. Oh, yes, it is. Sorry about that.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. So please grab the rest of that --

MS. TRATT: Okay. This district? Okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Now, that is Anza, like as in
Anza-Borrego. So are we sort of dividing this right smack in half, or?

MS. TRATT: The city? So it looks like this is outside of the city of Anza.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Yes. Please add that. We'll see where we are.

Commissioner Akutagawa?

So now we have -- well, we needed to put -- we needed to keep that area together, so now we need to get rid of a 156 people.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Sivan, could you just -- yeah -- zoom, kind of, so we could see a little bit more of the area instead of so zoomed in, so we could just get a context for -- and can you go a little bit more North?

MS. TRATT: More North?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Well, actually, can you just -- so that we could see the whole district in its entirety now, with some of these changes. It's just such a huge district, and it's getting even more larger. Can you -- okay -- could you just kind of zoom in now in that Palm Springs area that -- just a little bit more, so we could see more of that detail? Okay.

So can I just ask? There's also, I noticed that it looks like there's a tribal area next to Valle Vista. I think that's in BEAVICVAL. Is that something that --
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Can we see -- can we just temporarily see what we -- so we're talking about adding. Could we, I'll go ahead and say this, what if we add that? Do we need to --

MS. TRATT: Yeah. You can definitely add it. We are looking -- we are over deviation by 156 people, but I could --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, that's if you add the other area --

MS. TRATT: -- (indiscernible, simultaneous speech) to know how many people we would --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct. But what if we -- what if we switch one to the other. So could we see --

MS. TRATT: I think the problem with that is that then the -- it would be noncontiguous because this is what connects it to the SECA district.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: No, I think she meant getting rid of that --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That little piece that we said we're going to put in, that gets us now to 156 people? What if, instead of that, we took the tribal land?

MS. TRATT: Okay. One moment, please.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Or actually, can you do it the same -- just not do anything with that. Could you go over and grab the tribal land, and we would see how much
that population is?

MS. TRATT: Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I think what we really need to do is, we need to step back and we need to look at the districts, and are we okay with what's in the districts now, and then maybe give the line drawers directions to go back to try to get it down to zero. Because if we're going to go through each district to this level, it's -- we're not going to get done. So I just think we just really need to step back, and if we're okay with what the major things that are in there, then we just hopefully move on to the next one. Thanks.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. I like that idea, but we do need to give them direction in terms of what to grab in something like this. Where to go -- like once we get close, then they can say yes, go ahead.

But I'm sorry. I did not review this district. I did assume that everyone was okay with it, and I apologize. I should have said that first.

MS. TRATT: so there are 563 citizen voting age population in this highlighted area in red, which includes, I believe it's the Soboba Reservation, but let me double-check. Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. So we'd still need another
1,000 people.

MS. TRATT: Would you like me --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

MS. TRATT: -- to accept this change?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, actually, I'm sorry. Do we already have part of that tribal area in our district? What's the little -- yes -- what's that one?

MS. TRATT: Yes, that is overlaps with the City of San Jacinto.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: But is it of -- or is this all one -- that piece and the one you just have highlighted, is that the same tribe? The same area?

MS. TRATT: I'm not sure.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Not contiguous?

MS. TRATT: It looks like the location of a casino, which I would assume is, yes, part of --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

MS. TRATT: -- the reservation.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. So do we think we -- should we add this, everybody, because we already have part of it in our area, or do we want to take the other one? And give -- basically, what I'm looking for is, at what point we believe we can say, Sivan, can you adjust this and fix it for us?

Andrew?
MR. DRECHSLER: Yeah. If we wanted to maybe take this and then go back down, I believe that was Riverside Lake, not going all the way into Riverside Lake, but there was the other area near the border that we could look at adding. So that -- I was just going to make that point.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, okay. Yes.

And Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Two things, Chair. One, I do agree, since where we have part of the Soboba, kind of, tribal enterprise, and also their tribal lands, they should be together, so I would agree that we should include that.

Secondly, Chair, if I can, with the utmost request, or respect for you, could I just request that you play the role of our facilitator as the chair, and not try to do all the line drawing? Otherwise, this will become a much longer process, and may not enable others to participate as much because you're trying to line draw instead of trying to facilitate. And it's with the most respect that I do say this to you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, thank you, Commissioner Akutagawa.

The reason I was saying, because -- the reason I was actually giving you direction is because we'd also gotten
1 public comment about everybody -- should it just be the
2 chair who is then saying, go ahead and please make that.
3 I agree that I can -- I'm looking for other people to
4 make the suggestions, and I will step back gladly. But
5 we do need to (audio interference) who's ultimately
6 leading the line drawers, I guess, is the way I would say
7 about that.

8 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: That is you, ultimately,
9 but you are in this role, and I'd like to suggest, the
10 main facilitator.

11 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, yes. I'll be happy to. All
12 right.

13 And Commissioner Fernandez?

14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. My recommendation
15 would be to accept this, and then all of the -- oh, my
16 gosh -- unincorporated area going North, we try to grab
17 as much as we can until we even out. So at least it's --
18 yeah. Thanks.

19 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. My understanding of that is
20 basically, make sure there's no gaps in between it; is
21 that what you were saying?

22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.

23 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Please do that. So Sivan,
24 could you go ahead -- great. Thank you.

25 Commissioner Toledo?
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I would support that, as long as the CVAP doesn't go down. So I'm just interested in making sure that we do that, as long as the CVAP doesn't go down. It appears to be maintained, so that will be fine. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Thank you very much. And everyone, this is a -- that is the important -- the big difference between -- we need to keep the CVAP up. We have to make other changes if the CVAP gets low, so. Thank you.

So what is another idea that the -- I guess we do have a -- Andrew gave us an idea on the floor. Would we maybe go over and have a look at that, please? And what does everyone think of?

MS. TRATT: I was going to start adding the population that was suggested by Commissioner Fernandez, but if that's what --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought you already did. Please do that.

MS. TRATT: Oh, no. And I just wanted to confirm that it was this area here; is that correct?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes, that's correct. Thank you, Sivan.

MS. TRATT: Okay. Perfect. I will start adding population, and you can keep an eye on the Latino CVAP
and the population in this box.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. And just a reminder to everyone that the prior CECA Latino CVAP was 51.81.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Looks like we're not getting a lot of population there.

MS. TRATT: Yeah. Do you want me to commit this, or continue grabbing unincorporated population?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I like that. Is there any way to put the numbers up again, Sivan, like you did, in terms of what the census numbers? Thank you.

MS. TRATT: On each census block?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.

MS. TRATT: Yeah. So only to zoom in to show that. Let me grab this. Let me -- I added a second layer that has the outlines only, so let me see if I can turn the labels on. Okay. Find. Are you able to see those? I can make them a little bit darker, if not.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can you zoom out, please? Just so I can see what the district looks like now. Thank you.

MS. TRATT: Oh, yep.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Commissioner Fernandez, do you have an idea here or?
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: No, not really, right now. It's just, I was thinking of going in and taking more of San Bernardino, but it's just -- yeah. I'm open for other suggestions. Thanks.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Could we put the heat map on, please? And then we can go around, say, the edges, to see if there's an area close by of Latino population, which we may have missed?

MS. TRATT: Where would you like me to start zooming in and looking on the edges?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Kennedy?

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Chair, I would take up Andrew's suggestion to look there South of Anza.

MS. TRATT: Would you like me to start bringing in population from the census box here?

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Chair, I would say yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sorry. I realized my -- I'm not on. Yes, please.

MS. TRATT: Would you like me to add the reservation or the -- I'm not sure if it's (audio interference) or this tribal area right here?

MS. TRATT: We did have -- that was Andrew's suggestion, so could you please put that on the -- you capture that and give us the numbers on it, please?

MS. TRATT: Yeah. So grabbing that, it looks like
we still need about 343 people.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Sivan, can you make sure you grab that little part that went up into the neighboring district to the North?

MS. TRATT: Yes. I'm just cleaning up the edges.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So Sivan, I'm sorry, do you have heat map on now?

MS. TRATT: Yep. The heat map is on.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And that certainly looks like an area where we should probably try, then. Can you put -- could put the block -- yeah. Thank you.

MS. TRATT: So now we're 4 --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Wait. Ooh. I'm sorry. Because there was a number 4 right next to you. Did anyone see it? Did anyone see that, or am I seeing things? Back over to where you just added stuff? Yeah. That's it.

MS. TRATT: (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech)

four over, so this is -- we need to get rid of a four that would be --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I made a mistake. Yes. So shall we -- at this point, shall we just say, hey, let Sivan do this, and we move to the next area, and when she finds that, she can get back to us?

I'm seen a couple of nods, but again, I don't really
see -- yes. I'm getting thumbs up. Yes.

So Sivan, would you please do that? And let's move on to our next area, which --

MS. TRATT: Also, I'd just like to note the CVAP, the Latino CVAP ended with was 52.52 percent.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. And that went up from the 51.8; is that correct? Yes.

MR. DRECHSLER: Correct. Correct.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Now, if you could back out, please? And so at this point, we could do -- we have two, sort of, options, we could go and work on the other VRA districts in Riverside, or we could do the architectural changes in San Diego. What's the preference here?

Commissioner Kennedy?

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Chair, I think we need to finish up San Diego before we start moving North, because otherwise, we are creating a possibility of a bubble that will cause us problems down the road.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I would agree. I think if we if we go up, then we -- there's less of a chance we'll have a bubble, given the plus one deviation.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. Wonderful. Then I say --
does anyone really not want to do that?

   COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I normally like to go through the VRA first, but I think this is the only way to ensure that we are in compliance with our equal population requirement, which is before VRA, right?

   CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

   COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you.

   CHAIR ANDERSEN: This is a different thing. So thank you very much.

   So with that now, who wanted to -- what was the architectural change in here? And can you give us a goal, and steps, and we can go through it and talk about it, and then to do the line drawing?

   Commissioner Toledo?

   COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Pardon? I missed that. I missed the question.

   CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, for the architectural changes in the San Diego, did you have an idea?

   COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I think Commissioners Sinay had some suggestions or recommendations. I think, let's start there, and then I think that we can add to it.

   CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Okay. I thought you had -- I didn't know if your hand was raised with an idea.

   COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Oh, sorry. I'm sorry. I meant to take it down.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you.

Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: This is building on what Commissioner Turner had mentioned for step two. So the long term goal would be to have all of the rural areas together like we did in the Assembly map. To get there -- can you zoom out a little bit, so we have all of San Diego together? I mean, you can see all of San Diego? To get there, we would need to -- my suggestion, and others may see a quicker route, but would be to take what's rural right now in SMESCPW and put it into Southwest Riverside.

And then, we're going to be pulling -- we'll pull some population from SD coast into the SE -- yeah -- SM, blah, blah, blah, and we're going to basically create a central San Diego district like we did with the Assembly, and then an East rural district. And then, so that would be kind of -- that's where my thinking is coming, and where we would cut -- we would need to look to see which ones of the big -- there's different cities that are bigger populations than others, to see which goes with the rural community to make sure that we get the right numbers.

But the first thing I would do is pull in all the South -- all along the border, the part that's not part
of the VRA district, along the border -- down, yeah --
along the border, and then start picking up the cities
and leave out what we just put in, which was La Mesa,
Lemon Grove, La Presa, make sure those are all -- it kind
of surrounds them.

Am I making sense, or does make sense to -- yeah --
because that's going to be coastal, so yes.

Oh, I'm sorry. I'm not making sense. So I guess
you would take the Southwest Riverside and go down to the
border, going around those communities.

Is that right, Andrew and Sivan? Am I sounding
better there?

MR. DRECHSLER: So we need to add just under 6,000
people to this SME district, so if you wanted --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, right now, we're still
doing structural changes --

MR. DRECHSLER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- then fixing.

MR. DRECHSLER: Okay.

MS. TRATT: Right. I'm just -- I guess I'm just
trying to get a better sense of what you're envisioning.

I'm going to put on the Assembly lines in yellow, and
maybe you could describe what you were picturing here.

Do you want it just kind of a East county, so instead of
splitting it here, just orienting population more North-
South? Am I getting that right?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.

MS. TRATT: Okay. So I would say we should, instead of going down, we should start with this district, remove the areas that you don't want in it, and then add back the areas that you do want, or vice versa.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And move it to which area? I guess that's where I was being confused -- I was confusing myself.

MS. TRATT: Well, it depends on your vision, I guess. If you want more of the City of San Diego in this district. Yeah, we'll just kind of have to see what it looks like, but yup.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. I guess I was -- yeah. That makes sense. I guess I was trying to figure out how one would kind of become more of a V. How do you move a horizontal line to a vertical line is where I was struggling.

MS. TRATT: So yeah. Maybe I can just start kind of reorienting the shape of the district, unless there's different direction from the chair.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And I think --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sorry. Go ahead, Commissioner Sinay.
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Oh, no. I wanted to encourage others to think this through.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. And Commissioner Akutagawa, could I get -- let's get a couple of ideas here, because I'm not clear what you're -- at this point, it might be good, like, I'd like to have these and these and these together. I'd like to have that and that and that together.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. I can say all of it if you want to see -- okay. So what eventually I would like is to have all of East coast -- East coast, sorry -- the rural community together, because they've asked to be together over and over again, and that would include, if it works, Valley Center, Pala, Jamul -- I mean, up -- yeah -- that one corner all the way down to Jamul, and all the way down to the border. Wrapping around the -- that one COI, that's El Cajon to La Mesa.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: The other -- we would want to have a coastal, and it looks -- right now, coming from Orange County down to San Diego, and then downtown San Diego. We'd have to see exact -- it's hard without knowing exactly what the numbers are, but downtown San Diego and some of the community -- well, wait.

So La Mesa and all that would be, as Commissioner
Turner said, with the Asian business COI, if it works, and then, downtown San Diego would go up the coast. And --

MS. TRATT: Yeah. Can we -- sorry -- can we just keep on this district. Do you want me to remove the portion of the City of San Diego? Are you trying to remove the City of San Diego from the East county district? Okay.

I'm going to add the portion of San Diego that's in SMESCPOW into SD coast, and that will give us at least a better sense of a starting point.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. And while you're doing that, can we hear from Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. So two things. One, we did just -- I just saw an email that our -- the maps that are being shown do not match what is on the map viewer, and we have individuals who are commenting on what's on the map viewer, not what's being shown here. So I just wanted to note that. I have let -- Fredy, I've let you know. So I wanted to just say that.

Separately, I appreciate what Commissioner Sinay is trying to do, but I think it might be good to just do more -- start with a larger overview of the area. I mean, the SMESCPOW, the San Diego coast has 7,000 under deviation. We're looking at SOCNSD at minus 4,200,
roughly under. So we're talking big bits of population that we're going to have to pick up here. So I think -- I wanted to just say that out loud, too, so that we're conscious of just the bigger picture of this area, and how that is going to mean, again, yet another large district moving up, most likely up into Riverside, up the 15, probably makes the most sense, because then that will keep a lot of the inland cities that want to stay inland and together with other inland cities together.

And then the coastal cities, we've heard a lot from San Diego that want a really, truly coastal area. So if it's a city touching the water, then it should be in a coastal district. So maybe that might be a good way to just break things down and kind of start pulling in the cities that need to be in a district. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I agree with that. I mean, I think we're going in the right direction. I just think we need to keep going, because --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- we've got to blow this whole thing up and then dial it in because it's completely wrong.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, exactly.
Commissioner Taylor?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yeah. Again, I would have to agree. I don't think we have to overcomplicate this. We have certain natural barriers that we created. We have a district we already created. We have water on the left side, on the West of us. So we either look at it vertically or horizontally, either it's the North and South or East or West. Just the sheer numbers is going to dictate that we have to do that. We have to fill in the space to the right bordering the district we've created, so --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Exactly.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: -- I think that's how we should -- how we should go.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. Yes, I completely agree.

Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. I just wanted to say I definitely was in support of what Commissioner Sinay is trying to do, and I think the deviations will look a little wonky. The numbers will look a little off until she completes shifting some things around.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, yes. Exactly. Yeah. The only thing I would ask is -- I'm sorry. Now, who's new on this?

Neal, you also want to say something? Commissioner
Fornaciari? No.

Commissioner Taylor, you just finished?

And then, so is it Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. So finish your -- yeah -- okay.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I'm finished. I'm finished.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I did a Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I think it is predominantly --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Wow.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: It is predominantly North-South, but there is -- it may be up by Vista, depending -- it depends once we see the deviations, but there is a COI that goes along the 78 corridor that's Escondido, San Marcos, Carlsbad.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So as we build it, it -- and, ironically, one of the -- one of the comments that we got was that folks in San Diego don't see it as one long coastal district. They see North coast and downtown.

But if it's one long North coastal, it's -- I mean, one
long coastal, that would be great.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you. So yeah. I understand where you're headed here on this one. And then, what I would appreciate is if then, if you started at -- because again, we don't want to lose any -- have a bubble. But the one at the coast, if you could go that one, and then if you want to -- what's in the middle of that, that's great. But can you continue on what you want to take out of this, or what you want to keep into it?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sivan, where you at right now? Sorry.

MS. TRATT: So I just removed the portion of the City of San Diego that's in this now East county district, and then that will give us a better starting point of knowing how much to add. So I'm going to go ahead and accept this, if that's okay.

And now we know that we're looking for -- unless there's any further refinements, we're looking for 192,956 people.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And since this is where -- can I recommend -- so we want -- and we need to add that many people, right?

MS. TRATT: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: All right. So let's put Poway
in that district, please.

MS. TRATT: Okay. You still need 48 --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yep. Can you put -- and we're going to go over, just so everybody knows. Can you put Rainbow, Fallbrook, and Bonsall in that district, please?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: While we're waiting on this, Commissioner Akutagawa, do you have something to add to this?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: No, I'll see where this goes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you. I mean, I do see the San Marcos, Escondido COI potentially being in this district as well. It would overpopulate, but that's a potential population center, and we are looking for significant population.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Is that in your vision, Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: It depends. Right now, I'm trying to grab all the different -- because we're now also pull out down below. So that is a definite potential. Can we also add all the unincorporated area all the way around -- down in Escondido and around Escondido?

MS. TRATT: To the -- on this side, or towards --
COMMISSIONER SINAY: On that side, and then up above. Yeah.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I'm sorry. I was going to say something earlier, but I'll (audio interference).

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm open for any help.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Chair, can I make a comment here, now?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, please.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: All right. I'll be honest. I think, instead of putting in Rainbow, Fallbrook, and Bonsall into this district, to keep it a little bit more compact, perhaps we should look at Escondido. I mean, it's 150,000 people right there, and to see what can we do with that, and then maybe look at -- I mean, I don't know. I don't think we can fit both Poway and Escondido, but maybe split part of Escondido to make it a little bit more compact.

And then the Rainbow, Fallbrook, and Bonsall, I think is better going into the Southwest Riverside district, because there was -- I know that there were some about not wanting to cross counties, but we've already gotten over that. And I think they are better paired with Temecula, and Lake Elsinore, and the counties
that go a little -- the cities that go a little bit more
North. Plus it'll be a much more compact district
because this is already a large district.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I hear you. This is similar to
the Assembly, and the COI, they specifically, Fallbrook,
those guys asked to be with all of it. But I'm okay
either way. So yes, let's go that way then.

I just want to remind folks that we are going to
pull still from this -- out from this district, because
we've heard often that Lemon Grove and all those, it's a
different -- that one goes more towards the city of San
Diego versus East county.

So go ahead and put Escondido, as everyone's asking.
I think that's great.

MS. TRATT: (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. As long as the chair
wants (audio interference).

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: You're muted. Chair,
you're muted.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Yes. On this, if we
could grab that? And then, I believe what I was --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Can I interject here real
quick?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: We're going to -- we're
going to redo this again if we go this route.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: What we need to do is go down to the bottom. We need to pull out of this district what we want to pull out because we've got to squish it up.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. That's actually -- I'm sorry. That's what I was saying about --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And then we'll have to figure out the balance later.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right. We'll do this part, stop on this one, go back down to the bottom, and grab in what we need to, or get out what we need to. So let's sort of start from that area, because I believe everyone's concerned that what's going to happen here is we'll have taken too much, and there's no area to grab the people.

So let's go ahead and do this one, please.

And then, Commissioner Sinay, I might have you start on a slightly different area, or pull out at the bottom, as Commissioner Sinay -- as Commissioner Fornaciari was saying.

And Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I mean, I definitely agree with the piece around pulling out and starting down at the bottom. But just conceptually, and kind of big
picture, and I think we’ve heard a lot from the Fallbrook folks, and some of it has been conflicting testimony, but in general, I would support them being in this district because it also, then, supports keeping the county together.

So to me, it's bringing in Escondido, maintaining that COI of Fallbrook, Rainbow, Bonsall, and keeping them all together within a San Diego based district.

MS. TRATT: So Chair, am I able to accept this change, and move to remove more population?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. So please, accept this change. And I believe we're going to hold on this one, and go down to the bottom and grab -- so Commissioner Sinay, you're grabbing stuff out of this area, down in this area, down to here; is that correct?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes. And this is where you all can help me on which communities do we want to pull out for the COI that we have heard of that -- this is the City Heights East COI.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Maybe we could start with the -- at the border and do that COI first, or that district first? Because right now, that's the hold, or are you only -- you're not doing anything on that side?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Oh, we're not doing anything on that side. Okay. I'm just going to --
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. It is a little --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I was trying to do this collaboratively, but I'm going to move us forward. So if we could do La Mesa, La Presa --

MS. TRATT: Adding (audio interference)?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. Move it to the coast.

MS. TRATT: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Lemon Grove. And I believe that's Spring Valley in this one, or not? Does anyone remember? In the COI? I think so.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Bring down (audio interference).

MS. TRATT: Just to note, we're intentionally moving population out of an already -- a district that already needs 30,000 people. We're aware of that? Okay. Just wanted to make sure. Should I go ahead and accept this change, or did you want to also add Spring Valley?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I think I would keep Spring Valley out unless we find a -- I can't confirm which way. Usually, these were the ones mentioned.

MS. TRATT: And what about El Cajon and Santee? Did you want to keep them in separate districts, or keep them both in East county?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Commissioners?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, yeah. Commissioner Turner?
COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. Before we respond there, I'm just wondering if we can address again, publicly, what's going on with the maps, because we're still getting information that's coming in that says we're working off of a refined -- or refining a map that's not posted. Different than what's in district viewer. Map names that they're not seeing posted. And I'm just wondering if we can address that, so that we're not confusing those that's trying to call in and follow the process.

So can we is there a way, staff, that we can line up, check, name what we're working on, as opposed to what is posted, and if that's different than what we're working from in our map viewer?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Turner. Yes. Is it literally just the names that are different, or do we know? Is it the same document?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: I don't know the specifics. I do know the names are different. There is apparently differences in South Bay, San Diego, or South Bay SD, and a BEAVICVAL that is posted in maybe map viewer, but not -- it doesn't appear in both places, so I'm not sure. I'm trying to look for it now.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Commissioner Andersen, can I suggest we take a break so that we can contact the line
drawn and just verify --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Yeah. Thank you very much for bringing this up, Commissioner Turner. This is extremely important. We do not want the public to be confused about what we're doing. There is only one draft map that we're looking at, and I believe it's just misnaming that's different. But this isn't another document that we've created that we haven't been posting. So we'll pause right now. Take a break, and figure out the differences, so the public is not confused, and we're all looking at the same thing. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Chair, just so that everybody is clear, for those who are using, like, some kind of program, could we also request that the Shapefiles also be posted, so that way then there's less confusion? Just looking at a PDF may not be enough, and it may be best to post up the Shapefiles, which I did (indiscernible), but they're not posted. I went looking for it myself, too.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And you say, go to our draft maps here, and it brought you to a page that had all of the Shapefiles, it had everything on there.

We'll take a break, and we'll come back, and we'll show the public where these things are on our website.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.
(Whereupon, a recess was held from 4:00 p.m.
until 4:19 p.m.)

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, welcome back, everyone. And sorry for that bit of confusion, but they are indeed the same files on our -- our map viewer is the same file. It's the same district. The names were made sort of easier to understand. There's a short name and a long name on our files. The short name is what we're looking at on our map, and it was the long name that was on the website.

So what we're doing is we're -- and the Shapefiles are indeed on our website. If you go to the very first page, the homepage, and you scroll down that homepage, and it says "draft maps", and you click on that, it's going to take you to the map viewer. And the very first thing on the map viewer is, we have our draft -- the draft maps are on this, if you want the Shapefiles, and click right there, and it takes you to all the listings of all the files.

So I'm sorry for the confusion. It was done, actually, to make it easier for people to understand. But we all know, if you put a label on something -- as long as it's the same label, we don't really know -- we don't really care what it is. So that's being sorted out now. I believe it is good, or at least I hope.
And could I have a staff -- has that indeed been verified? All right.

While we're waiting for that, we do need to move along here, so.

And Commissioner Sadhwani, I'm going to call on you first.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Sure. So I think where we left off was looking at what cities would move out of the SMESCPow. I believe -- I don't know if it was Commissioner Sinay or someone else who had mentioned La Mesa and La Presa -- I believe La Presa as well. I just wanted to also put in there El Cajon. We've heard quite a lot from the community about not wanting to be with other areas. We weren't able to keep the city whole in the Assembly maps, but it would be great to explore if that would be an option for us this time around in the Congressional maps.

I recognize, however, we're continuing to pull populations out of this district, so we're going to need to pick them up somewhere else as we move further up. I think there's some ideas about how to do that. So thank you.

Chair, you're on mute.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. So your recommendation, at this point, is to, what? To pull La Mesa --
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: La Mesa, La Presa, El Cajon, possibly also Spring Valley, and pull all of them out of this district.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Out of the --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: SMESCPOW.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And put them where? In SD coast?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: For now, into the SD coast. I think, actually, what I would like to see is, actually, two different districts in this area down here. One that's more centralized, and then the more coastal one that I think Commissioner Sinay was talking about.

So this has been a strong COI. We've heard a lot about these areas, and so pulling them into a district that's more centered in this center area.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. All right. That's an idea.

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I actually, I do like what Commissioner Sadhwani just said about, perhaps maybe it's creating a central inland district, but the thing is, is that it might just be another long district. So I don't know if that's going to be possible to do.

From the COI testimony that we've received, Spring Valley, I heard included often with La Presa, and La Mesa, and El Cajon. While their preference was to be with what is currently the VRA district, clearly from the
population numbers, we're not going to be able to do that. They didn't really want to be with the coastal district, but right now, perhaps that's where we put them, and then see what we can do about creating more of a central inland district on the Eastern San Diego side, going further North to try to pick up more population as we take those populations out.

I would also say then, we should -- we've taken out as Escondido. I would also like to see, in taking out El Cajon, La Presa, La Mesa, and Spring Valley, perhaps we could put in Poway and those unincorporated areas in between, just so that it, again, it stays a little bit more compact. We also heard or saw COI testimony, as well, too, about the connection between Poway and Ramona. So that would probably be good to at least bring them in. So I'll stop there. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I'm sorry. I did a

Commissioner Taylor.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Pull the trigger. Let's go.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. All right. So --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I'm just curious. Let's figure out what the coastal district looks like --
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- then we'll figure out what this central district looks like. Go, go, go.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I like it. I like it a lot. Okay.

So we've got that. Now, who's going to work on our next district? Are we still --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Pardon?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Can I keep going?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Do I still have -- is there time left --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- in my minute?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No. We've actually --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. Zoom in. Zoom in on the coast and go -- I want to look a little further South. South. The other South. Okay. So right now -- yeah -- zoom in just above Coronado, there.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sorry. Are we are all right down -- could you go down the border here for just one second? Just scroll that on down to make sure we're not -- okay, great. So Coronado is the last thing that the -- great.
Go ahead, Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. So we have SD coast there. So we want -- I mean, I think what we want to have is a coastal district like we have with the Assembly district, with the Assembly. And that was, I think, I-5 was the delineator at that; was that correct? So why don't we go ahead and just start grabbing everything that's on the East -- or the West side of I-5, and head on up. And put it in -- oh, it's already in SD coast. Where does -- okay. Yeah. I mean, just recreate that going North. The yellow lines are the Assembly district, correct?

MS. TRATT: That's correct. Yeah.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay.

MS. TRATT: So right now, let me turn it off again so you can see the shape of the SD coast district. Right now, it includes basically all of the City of San Diego.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Oh, I got you. Okay.

Well, we need to make a new district in the middle, then, or something. I mean, what happened? I guess the other districts are all way up there, huh?

MS. TRATT: Yeah. We're down by 300,000 people in both of these two districts.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. Well, we'll get that fixed when we get up there. I think we need to just
do the middle. Can you make, like, a new district that's like central San Diego or something, and put La Mesa, El Cajon, Spring Valley, and those other -- the other yellow and green one, and then a bunch of San Diego in there, or something?

MS. TRATT: Yes. One moment, please. So you would like me to unassign -- you said all of these cities, correct?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah.

MS. TRATT: Okay. Those are all unassigned to a district.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. All right. And then we'll zoom in to San Diego, and find -- let's just start -- yeah. Where were the roads? Oh, okay. There we go. So just --

MS. TRATT: You wanted me to keep adding to the --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Just keep --

MS. TRATT: -- unassigned population?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. Just keep adding.

Go all the way to 5 and add to that, and we'll see -- up to the 52, and see where we'll get.

MS. TRATT: Okay. One moment, please.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: This okay? Is everybody okay with where we're going here? Okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sorry. So basically, you're taking
this out of the SD coast --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI:  SD coast.

CHAIR ANDERSEN:  -- and putting it into this new district, correct?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI:  Right. And then we'll make sure we got the correct COI in the middle with the -- I don't know if the Convoy district and all that's going to be further North, but we're going to --

MS. TRATT:  This is Convoy right here, this little upside down triangle.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI:  Okay. So we're in a --

MS. TRATT:  I think it's all (audio interference) business district as well.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI:  No, we're good. Okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN:  Okay. While Sivan is doing that, is that's also what you're envisioning, Commissioner Akutagawa and/or Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA:  Yeah. I mean, either way. Either way is fine. I know that Commissioner Sinay said that there's a -- and there is, a North county and a South county split. San Diego's one and North County's another one, but perhaps for the sake of just trying to achieve the deviation that we need, and especially if we're going to try to create this more central district, as well, too, I think we're going to have to go further
up the coast on this as well.

The other alternative is to just split somewhere in the middle, that central area, and half of it is going to go to the coast and the other half is going to go to the East. Right now, I guess I'm just thinking about it in terms of the population deviations that we're trying to meet, and then at the same time, trying to also keep in mind the COIs that we want, so. Yeah.

I don't know, Commissioner Fornaciari, if this is kind of like what you were thinking as well, too.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I didn't know how -- I mean, we're almost there. We're 67,000 people sort of a district right now, so I think -- so you got -- I mean, we want to take out the stuff on the other side of 5, but I think we're getting so close, and I just -- I want to make sure we're -- then, once we get here, then we figure out how to massage it to get the COIs we want.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. And Commissioner Sinay, is this the -- are we taking too much, not enough, different area?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: No, I think it's great, and we do have alternatives if we want to pull out some of the neighborhood. I mean, I like this district. I think this is a great district. It brings in a lot of people.

What I want -- I do want to say that Ramona is
absolutely rural, so pulling it into this mid-city--this inland wouldn't be a good idea that--what was being said about Poway and Ramona. It's better to go Poway and Ramona out than Poway and--

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- Ramona in

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. But we're not there yet.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I think this looks great.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay, great. Thank you, Commissioner Sinay.

Commissioner Turner? No.

Commissioner Sadhwani? Wait. Oh. I'm sorry. One minute.

Commissioner Fornaciari, I did intend for you to--are you done with that? And you want to add that?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I do. We need 68,000 more people.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. And--

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I think Commissioner Sadhwani has an idea.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Sadhwani has a--

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I'm guessing that this area right along the 5 is probably highly populated, so my preference would actually be -- Sivan, are we looking at that Convoy district COI that we've heard a
lot about?

    MS. TRATT: Yeah. That was --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Is that the orange?

    MS. TRATT: Yep.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yep. So my preference, and I just want to feel others out on this, is rather than going all the way out to the 5, trying to spread this district slightly more Northward. And that might mean coming up closer along the border of that COI, but that way, we could attempt to keep it more intact. And picking up those areas, we had looked at this, also, on the Assembly side, of Mira Mesa and some of those neighborhoods that are further up there that include that Convoy district, that business and commerce area. I'd like to try and respect that COI to the extent possible.

    CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And are these --

    CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, I was just going to say, if possible. Just to remember, it's not the 56. But the Penasquitos Creek is what locals have told us. So that captures both sides of the 56. And I think there is a way to take some down from the lower part. There are some communities that we can move out into the coastal area that makes sense, because they're close to the
downtown area.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. So --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So that can be done.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. So are you saying -- because Commissioner Sadhwani is saying move the West line, instead of at the 5, move it basically inland to essentially right beside that COI district; is that correct, yeah? Okay.

MS. TRATT: Alternatively, we could try using the 805. That might be a more major dividing line. And then, once we've grabbed the majority up to the 56, if we still have -- we can try and accommodate those folks. But we don't want to leave a hole right here.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: If we do 805, my only concern is that we leave out Linda Vista.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. I'm not sure where that is. So basically, do we want to -- again, we're trying to move this bottom -- this West line into that, essentially COI area, and then, grab the rest of the COI? Yes.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: That would be my preference. And that could even mean cutting that lower -- is that what, the 8 fits underneath there?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: You know that lower portion of San Diego could even stay for the Coastal District,
potentially. But I don't know.

Commissioner Sinay, do you have thoughts on that?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. We could go 8 to the
805. And then, to the VRA District and put all that in
the Coastal. That would work.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So Commissioner Sinay, from where
we are right there and on the 8, that cut, you're saying
take that -- the portion that is now between the 8 and
the 805 and the 5, and remove that from this new
district?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. And was that -- do we have
consensus on trying to do that? Sort of? No?

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Just a quick
question, two questions. One, there's that La Mesa, I
believe El Cajon, Spring Valley, La Presa area, did that
get moved into this area, or did it get put back into
that East San Diego area? Because, I think from the COI
testimony, I think they would be better suited to be in
this, kind of, more Central Inland District.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: They are.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: The other thing --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: They are.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: The other thing too is
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Looks like they are.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: They are?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Is it fixed?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: No, they're not.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I think they got moved out.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Yes, they are.

MS. TRATT: They are in the --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: They're getting moved in.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: We're getting them moved in?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, so they're going to -- so we'll need to see them moved in, so that we'll see what the numbers are.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: The other --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes, they're already --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: They're on there.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay. The other thing is, is that along the 8, you have San Diego State University.

So I just want to caution where we're going to the cut, and just look to see and make sure we're not going to split the university, split dorms and other student housing areas, so.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Great.

MS. TRATT: So this can update you where are currently with the map. The area highlighted in red is proposed to be add to those areas that we removed from the East County District. So that's El Cajon, La Mesa, Spring Valley. And currently, the size of this population total is 7.18 percent over deviation. So we need to get rid of 54,593 people before -- yeah.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. So I say we accept it, and then, chop some out.

MS. TRATT: One moment, please.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And also, what is our -- oh, okay. Our coast is extremely low. Okay. Now, so what areas do we want to -- what, you know, according to COIs, what area are we trying to get rid of 54,000 people? What should not be in this area?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: That 8. That 8 slice we were talking about.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That little triangle that Sivan is pointing to?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: All the way to the 805. If you go to the 163, you'll cut the LGBT COI.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

Sivan, could you grab that and see -- give our numbers on that, please?
MS. TRATT: So -- yeah. So keep an eye on this number here. So it doesn't look like I'm going to be able to make it all the way out to the 805. Would you like me to abandon this change and look elsewhere, or accept, and then, refine? Because we are quite close to our deviation.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Sinay, Commissioner Akutagawa, ideas?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I think I would rather go all the way there. And then, we can fix it up above.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right.

Ms. Akutagawa, you're scratching your head. Was that not a good idea?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: That's good.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. So please do that, Sivan.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: What about --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So taking this --

Sorry, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: What about along the Santee border? Can we remove some of the unincorporated areas along there as well too?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, we also now notice we're going negative in this. So we need to then add. If we want to take areas out around the Santee border --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Oh, okay.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: — we also need to add someplace else. So if we -- does this look right, according to the -- our communities' interest?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: If we can clean up above the 8.

MS. TRATT: Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

MS. TRATT: I'm doing that now.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And Sivan, this will go into the SD Coast District, correct? Yes. Okay.

MS. TRATT: Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Can I just ask, what is that road that's right above the 8? Is that Friars Road?

MS. TRATT: That's above.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: It seems too far up, but.

MS. TRATT: Yes. That is Friars Road.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Yeah, that would make sense. That's also that big shopping and condos area too, so that part --

MS. TRATT: So do you not want me to snap to the 8 then?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: No. No, no, no. You should include that. That --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: No, no, no. It's better to stop at the 8.
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Oh, at the 8? Yeah. Oh, wait.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: You want -- but they need -- is it overpopulation now? We're under.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: But we're going to grab from up North.

MS. KLONGER: We're making it longer and skinnier.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: That's a -- well, it used to be a pretty, kind of -- it matches with the coast area. Okay.

Put your show -- could you share why you think up to Friars wouldn't work?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: The natural boundary for San Diego is the 8. And you -- it's North of 8 or South of 8, that's how the individuals talk. Because it's not just the 8, but it's also the river. So it's -- people just use the 8 as just, kind of, a harbor area. And my thought right now -- I mean, it could work. But I wanted before -- we're at negative right now in that Central District. And I think that's the first one we want to get right, before we look at the Coastal District, if I'm correct.

Right, Andrew and --

MS. TRATT: Oh.
MR. TURNER: That is correct. And we want to add -- to the Central District, we need to add 48,000. So we -- if you have suggestions of where to add population?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I would say Mira Mesa at the far right.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: It's in there already.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: It's in there.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. Great.

MS. TRATT: Perhaps Carmel --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Carmel Valley? So using again the Rancho Penasquitos Creek border.

MS. TRATT: Well, that's where the border is currently. Would you like me to move it up to the 56, and see how many people that would be?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sure.

MS. TRATT: Or -- oh.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Now, are these in the same -- you know, who -- is this type of people, same, you know, what -- is this a COI, or are we just doing this randomly?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: They're all -- they're very similar.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: My understanding is Carmel Valley is pretty relatively affluent. It's definitely
upper-middle class.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah, I wanted to go back down to the Southern border and see what was down there to add in, rather than Carmel Valley.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, okay. So we have a -- yeah, we need to come to a consensus on this. It's a -- so if we hold on Carmel Valley, and pop down to see -- and move to the South. Let's clean the -- let's clean up the areas, please. Okay. And so that meets -- that changes us to a just down negative 20,000. But before we add that, could we -- I'm sorry. Oh, I'm sorry.

MS. TRATT: Twenty-eight-and-a-half thousand.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Twenty-eight and a half? Sorry, I was looking at the wrong number. Thank you. So could we go down to the Southern area of this for Commissioner Turner?

MS. TRATT: Should I go ahead and accept this change?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I believe that's a no, because we're having some thoughts. So let's just go at the bottom. And before we -- okay. Can we go at the bottom and have a look at it, so we could see if there's areas that we do want to add at the bottom? Okay. So any ideas in here?
Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: We have a bubble right there, don't we?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I'm just wondering, over by the University of San Diego, it looks like we're potentially breaking up the university? Can we zoom in to that? Right up there. Yeah. My thought was maybe to go all the way to the 5. See, I'm saying the -- all the way to the 5 on that one. Yes. Or Interstate 5.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So is that a yes? I think we're --

MS. TRATT: I'd be happy to explore that. Let me start adding population.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: That's beautiful.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And then -- so Commissioner Sinay, are you of additional, or -- additional area here --

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, I guess, maybe this is just a question. I know that right now, we're trying to keep COIs together, but we're also trying to maintain population. In terms of San Diego State University, which is along the 8 there, I am wondering if we're better off -- and also, yes, that's right, with the 5, you see San Diego got split too. But along the 8, did we include San Diego State University in this, kind of, Central Inland District as well too? Because I think
they would be better off being in this grouping versus being with the East San Diego rural districts.

MS. TRATT: Oh, Commissioner, could you tell me where San Diego --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Do you see where it says college area, kind of, to the --

MR. DRECHSLER: It is.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- right of your screen? Yeah.

MR. DRECHSLER: There it is. It is in this district.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: It is? Okay. All right.

MR. DRECHSLER: This centrum, yes.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Thank you.

MR. DRECHSLER: Yes. Yep. We got it.

And if -- Sivan, you just put up the change population. And if we did add this, we would be 2,631 people short.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Um-hum. I think we should.

Oh, raise my hand.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Do you want to add this?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: You're adding it to the San Diego coast?

MS. TRATT: No. We're adding it into the Central
San Diego District.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: The UC San Diego?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm sorry. What Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: We're not cutting UC San Diego. We're fine. UC San Diego

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- is on the West side --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- of the 5.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Let's add that, please. Unless, is there people who do not want that added? I got some nods, that yes, we want to add that. Okay, so now, we need 2,000 people. Unless we back out and see the whole overall area, or --

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I know we just added this space. But I also just checked real quick, Rancho Penasquitos was also noted. It's up along the 15 there. Was noted as a COI that belongs with that, kind of, Central Inland San Diego area cities.

MS. TRATT: That's perfect. Well, should I grab 2,631 people from Rancho Penasquitos?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Is that a yes, Commissioner Akutagawa?
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And sorry, Commissioner Turner, you were there?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah, I said yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, thank you.

Commissioner Sinay?

Oh, now we're over.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Are we better off removing that other section that you just removed, so that at least more of the city can be in there?

MS. TRATT: I would say no.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So I was called on. So if I could? Rancho Penasquitos, all this area is really considered North County. It sometimes, Rancho Penasquitos, (indiscernible), 4S Ranch, Rancho Bernardo, Poway, Mira Mesa, all of those are looked together and sometimes as communities. And then, sometime the Asian community is separate. And what we've looked at is the Asian business community. So I think that the original, what we added, was great. And I would keep to the 5. And then, I don't know if adding, you know, if there's a road on this side of the 56 that we can use, since it goes up and down, just make it finer?

MR. DRECHSLER: Sorry. So --

MS. TRATT: So these blocks over here are COI
densely populated. So we're only going to be able to
add, like, one or two blocks probably.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Can you zoom out a second?

What do folks feel about just adding parts of Poway?

Yeah, 2,000 from Poway, or that's making that more
negative. But we're going to work on that.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Guys, what we're looking for areas
that have a similar, or similar to this, right? And
we're looking for 2,000 -- 2,600 people. So do we need
to back out and have a look at our overall and go in
someplace else?

MS. TRATT: Why is -- it won't listen to me?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Yes. Commissioner

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. So there is -- I
mean, there is COI testimony that has spoken about Rancho
Penasquitos being with Mira Mesa. Poway was noted as
being more of an East County rural, kind of, community
and should be then combined with communities to the East,
not this community here. And by the way, it also
mentioned Claremont, Mesa, UTC. It should be in more of
the kind of district that we're building right now.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay, so -- all right. So what are
we adding? We just took that out. So are we trying to
put it back in, or what are we trying to add here?
MS. TRATT: Let me back out and just see the whole piece that we've just built. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. Thank you.

So -- and in this district, this -- we need 2,600 more people now. And remember, we're also this -- the SD Coast, we have to, you know -- and basically, at some point, we're adding. We're combining these. And one district has to drop out. Because we added a district in here to create this. So where are we? Anyone have an idea of how to -- of what we should be doing here? If we like the area between -- you know, so we just have these two districts within.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I would -- I think the idea that Sivan had would make sense on -- underneath the 56, there's that parallel line, maybe just keep going up until you get some -- you get to that number.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right. Agreement on that one? Disagreement? Not seeing.

Okay, please do that, Sivan.

Commissioner Akutagawa, did you have a different idea, or you're going to talk about something a little different here?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I guess that little, kind of, square rectangular piece out to the 5 -- I know it's by UC San Diego, but you know, UC San Diego is
actually on both sides of the 5. They have close
connections to Scripps -- the Scripps Institute, which is
you know, further closer to the, you know, the coastline
and other areas like that. I see what you're doing. But
I'm just thinking, you know, since there is COI testimony
that is requesting that Rancho Penasquitos be with the
cities of Claremont, Mesa, Mira Mesa, UTC, in this
district, it just seems like it would make more sense.
But I don't know if you could put the whole city in, or
you know, you're just taking a little piece of another
area. But if you're going to do this --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- then, you've got take
the university too. But if you're not going to cross the
5, you're not going to take the university. You're
splitting it.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: The only thing I'd want to add
is that the students have asked that this part of
University, UTC, be separated from the university. They
don't want to be in it.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So you're saying don't do what we
were just talking about, but take from a different area;
is that correct?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Correct.
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: What if we --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm up for whatever.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Right. So why don't we

think about this for a minute. And do we need to move to

a different -- to the different districts, so we can -- I

mean, can we -- we need to have an idea of what we want

to add here.

Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yep, two things real quick. A

while back, you asked me about my hand, and I forgot

totally why. It's just sleep deprivation, that's all.

The maps, and we are already working on it, the maps have

different names. They still are not correct. They show

that BEAVIS (ph.) whatever, which is in our draft maps,

but it's not currently. We traded that out for a

Tminna -- T-val menaphie (ph.) or something. And so I

just wanted to say, so that we can -- and we appreciate

the comments. That has been flagged. They should be

working on it. And I understand that that may not be the

only one that we switched names on. And if we could

please carefully go through all of them to make sure of

that. It's not a matter of just the names being

shortened or lengthened. The names have been changed.

Thank you.

And then, I do think to the other question, the
current one on the table is, I think we should just find
an area here. I don't think we should move forward and
then come back to this. Because I think it's, again,
going to throw our deviations off.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right. Thank you, Commissioner
Turner. I appreciate that. And I did think that had
been fixed. The whole staff is working on our website to
make sure that we're using the same on all -- same name
all the way through. And we -- well, need someone to
say, yes, we want this area or we don't want this area.
We were trying to add up to the 56, then we stopped. So
are we going to do that?

Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Can you zoom in at the
intersection of 52 and 805, please? So we already got a
little funkiness going on here. Can you zoom in some
more? I don't know what's happening in this area? Can
we grab -- so this is University City. I mean, what if
we grabbed some population -- a couple thousand people
here? Would that be okay?

Can you see what that would look like, please,
Sivan?

MS. TRATT: Yes, absolutely. I'm going to turn my
heat map on, because it has the population at the block
level. One moment, please.
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Ahmad, did you also have something to add to this?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yeah. Actually, Commissioner Fornaciari took my idea. I think we should move that line up and to the left, since we are trying to reach our number one criteria of population deviation. I think we should start there.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

MS. TRATT: (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech). So it's not going to be very pretty. Oh, sorry, I'm looking at the wrong box. I'm so sorry. It's what lack of sleep will get you. Okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Wait. Now, we've gone positive.

MS. TRATT: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: It seems like that 1,000-person block is going to mess us up here.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Can we -- what if we go to the West a little bit?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Can we get the underside there?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, let's look at this -- Under Governor --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh.
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- Drive down there, if we go there.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, that's fine. Why don't you --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- maybe you can just get rid of the duck there, and just take everybody under Governor Drive.

MS. TRATT: Get rid of all of this?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Get rid of all of that. Because there's that -- it's surrounded by that 1,000-person block that we had just --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, we couldn't --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- go there. Oh.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, I see. Okay. We're almost there.

MS. TRATT: I can definitely take a look at cleaning this up off-line. Because this is where the --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

MS. TRATT: -- time comes in, if Commissioners would feel comfortable with accepting this. And then --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. So you'll play with that, either -- so either side? You know, dropping maybe a little bit over there and adding a little over hundred or
something like that?

MS. TRATT: Yeah. So we need --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

MS. TRATT: -- twenty-two people total.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Yes. I would say, let's accept this. And then, you could clean that up and bring it back to us, please. Okay. Now, we are going to be working on that. But can we go -- can you just go out? And we, folks, we need to work with the SD Coast. Because, otherwise, we're going to get a bubble. So any ideas of -- see how many people we need.

MS. TRATT: So right now, we have an extra district too, because we unassigned all of this population. And we're treating this like a district. So just to keep that in mind. It might make sense too, at this point, combine these two districts, so that we have a better sense of population.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. That's -- I mean, I realize that I was in favor of this idea. But what struck me is that if we're going to put one here, where are we taking away from? Unless we just decided we want to take our 53rd seat back?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: So --
CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, we're not doing that.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. So it does mean, you know, if we're going to add one down here, then that means somewhere else is going to get one less. And so just food for thought right now.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: No, we're combining, delete one. We're going to delete one. We're going to delete one here.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, we're deleting one. We're combining them to make it one.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: We're definitely going to delete one here.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: We'll just put a holder.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right. And so I believe what the suggestion on the table is to add SD Coast to SWRIV (ph.) and make that one. Is that correct?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I have a suggestion.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Mr. Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Can I make a suggestion?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: You certainly may. Please do.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Someway we have everything East of I-5 that's in SDCOAST right now to SWRIV, and then connect -- continue taking SDCOAST North.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sorry. What was that again?
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Zoom -- can you zoom in, please?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Ah. Just that one section there?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Just that section West of -- East of 5. Put that with SWRIV. And the rest -- the rest of the coast, just keep connecting it North.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I see. So the plan is to divide SDCOAST -- part of it is going to go SWRIV, and the other part is going to go SDCOAST or whatever that one is, SD. And what names do we want to take or keep?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Let's see this first, and then --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right. Neal, could you continue -- Commissioner Fornaciari, can you continue to take that for a minute? I have to step away for one moment.

Or Commissioner Kennedy, could you take over, please?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I'd rather take, like, a 20-minute break and do this myself and then come back. Can we do that?

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: No.

Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I think what I'm seeing Commissioner Fornaciari suggest is that we add the area
of Rancho Santa Fe and then some of those unincorporated
areas up above it. I think San Marcos is a COI with
Escondido. So Poway could go East, San Marcos could go
East, and then we keep going North to pick up the
population that we need. So that'll be Carlsbad, Vista,
Oceanside, and possibly, you know, Camp Pendleton up into
South OC.

Is that -- is that kind of what you're thinking?
Because then that does create somewhat of a coastal
district. This does not coastal, but I think they want
it to be together with Oceanside. And Rancho Santa Fe is
oftentimes together with Encinitas san dia, coastal
areas.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. Actually, SDCOAST
is 381,000 people short. So I was just going to -- I
thought we'd move SDCOAST and take Fair Oaks Ranch,
Encinitas, Carlsbad, Oceanside, and whatever else we need
to balance that out. And then just sort of eliminate
SWRIV_SD and merge, you know, population to the East.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay.

Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I'm a little
concerned about that plan. I think that's going to set
off a whole big impact as we continue to move further
North up the map. And I'm just cognizant that we've got
a lot of other things happening further North. So in
addition, I think we've had a lot of testimony about the
fact that there is a difference between North county and
South county, North coastal, South coastal. They have
their own, kind of, feel.

That was my understanding of that testimony. So to
me, I think it seems reasonable to bring this coastal one
inland and localize some of these changes so that we --
I'm just really cautious about setting off a major ripple
that's going to -- especially rippling all the way up to
Los Angeles, and even in Orange County where we have a
lot of VRA districts that we're going to start contending
with.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: We got -- I mean, it's
kind of a zero-sum game thought, right, here in San
Diego? We got this many people in San Diego that we have
to district. And whatever number of people we can't put
in districts in San Diego are going somewhere else, and
it doesn't really matter how we can figure the districts
in San Diego. That number of people are going to be shot
out the top.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes. And I think that we
have this population here in what is currently in green
that could help populate the SDCOAST district, right?
Like, we could be merging SDCOAST out to Poway to help
balance that population --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Oh, okay.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- or more localized, and kind of hopefully keeping folks a little bit more closely together as opposed to having these long districts where it's spanning all the way North where it's -- I mean, one of the interesting pieces here in that green district, right, is we already have this little, like -- it's kind of synched in between there. And I know that Rainbow, Bonsall, Fallbrook, they're all in that area and have wanted to go rural in any case.

I'm trying to think about, like, big picture the kinds of changes. So I'm thinking if coastal can go Eastward here, then it still creates opportunities to make shifts in other parts of the map and keeping those communities of interest together is my thought.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, Commissioner Sadhwani.

I mean, we have three districts where we need to have two. And we need to resolve those quickly before we start creating too many waves and ripples into either Riverside or Orange County.

Commissioner Sinay.

MS. TRATT: If I could make a suggestion. Maybe just so that we're not dealing with this extra district,
I could bring this Northern part into this East county district, and then allocate the rest to the coastal district just so that we are then balancing between the two districts rather than potentially cutting ourselves off in a bottleneck somewhere else.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Thank you, Commissioner.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: And then Commissioner Sinay, you're up next.

Commissioner Akutagawa. Sorry. I thought I had -- I thought you had just finished.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: No. I'd like to make a suggestion. I think we know that Poway has asked to be more with Ramona. So I think that that should be brought into the East county district. Since it's looking like we're going to have to right now cross the Riverside border, we have seen other testimony. I know Rainbow and some of the others don't want to cross, but there are others that said, you know, being with Temecula is not a bad thing either too. So could we also move them into this East county district and then look to see, you know,
what we have, you know, remaining to see how much more we need to populate the SDCOAST district.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you, everyone. Can we -- twenty-nine thou -- so can we go down to the border and make sure we haven't missed anything, like, down to the -- down South, and start from the bottom up, please.

MS. TRATT: Oh, excuse me. I was on mute. One moment. I'm just cleaning up a noncontinuous area. Which district did you want to look at in --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Let's start at the bottom and make sure let's, you know -- let's begin where are we, sort of, overall. As Commissioner Sadhwani said, let's make sure we have a district. We have one in the middle.

So what are we doing? Do we need to add more things to this SMESCPOW? You know, were we going to try to put Poway-Ramona in there? Let's put in San Diego what we want to put in San Diego. Is that -- and then we need to work on the coast one as well.

MS. TRATT: While you discuss, do you mind if I finish making that change where I'm getting rid of that extra district just so we don't forget about it?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought you had done that. Please do so. Thank you.

MS. TRATT: Thank you.

(Pause)
MS. TRATT: We now have the correct number of districts.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Sivan.

Now, so seeing that, which area do we want to work on?

Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. So the Southern California-North San Diego -- or South Orange County, sorry -- that one is 4,000 short, right?

MS. TRATT: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Could we see if we put all of Encinitas together if that would cover that 4,000? So it's at (indiscernible).

MS. TRATT: It looks like that would make SOCNSD 9,000 people over.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Darn. Okay. What we do want to do for sure in this -- okay. Can we move Fallbrook to the East? I guess, should we accept this for Encinitas since that's coastal and then move Fallbrook out and see where we are?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Yes. Could we please do that?

MS. TRATT: Would you like to move Fallbrook into the coastal district or into the East county district?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: East county.
MS. TRATT: One moment, please. Yeah, that would make your deviation in the East county district .44 percent or 3,357 people over.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. So where do we -- where -- you know, we still have in the -- oh, the SDCOAST now is a little over? We still have that -- by 22, which we're going to take care of. So where are we going to get more on the POW? Oh, no. I'm sorry. That --

MS. TRATT: Can we move -- if we move Bonsall over to the SMESCPOW, would that help that 29?

MR. DRECHSLER: Right now, no. We're -- so the number that Sivan is showing up on the screen under SM, we're now 7,000 over in the --

MS. TRATT: South Orange County.

MR. DRECHSLER: -- Eastern district. Yeah.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That Eastern district. Okay. So we need to take something out of Eastern district now. Is it -- unless we want to do a switch. Do we want to take something out, send those in, or --

MS. TRATT: What I would do is put those in and then shave off Escondido going inland. It is kind of weird to go from San Diego city all the way up to Escondido, that coastal. So I don't know if there's a way. I guess I would have to pull out if we can pull out so we can see better -- zoom out. Sorry.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Zoom out, please. Okay. All right. So we need to add -- let's -- okay. I'm sorry. That's not correct. I'm looking at the wrong map. So we --

MS. TRATT: Should I (indiscernible) change here before we talk about we're moving? (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, certainly.

MS. TRATT: -- (indiscernible, simultaneous speech).

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Please do. Please do.

All right. So we need to have this --

Thank you, Sivan, 'cause now the numbers we're looking at are correct. And the North coast is -- has -- that's over; is that correct?

MS. TRATT: No. The -- it's needing 23,000 people.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, no. I'm sorry. The one --

MS. TRATT: If you're looking to remove 3,000 people from the -- this East county district, we could look North in Riverside County perhaps.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Please do. But now what do we like -- do we like what's happening down in San Diego? No. I'm getting a no from Commissioner Sinay.

So Commissioner Sinay, what were you trying to do then? Commissioner Sinay, can you hear me, or can you freeze?
COMMISSIONER SINAY: No. For some reason, my --
it's -- I couldn't unmute. My apologies. I'm try --
what is the San Diego post one? Where's the -- oh,
there's the numbers. Oh, it goes all the way -- so it's
going up and into Carlsbad? No. Oh, there it is. So
652.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct.

MS. TRATT: And Bonsall is still in there?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct.

MS. TRATT: It wouldn't feel as weird if Bonsall
wasn't in there, but having Bonsall, which is very rural,
in with downtown San Diego and the beach is very strange.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right. So if we want to pull
that out. Commissioners -- okay. Why don't you think
about it for a minute.

And Commissioner Akutagawa. We'll come back to
Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I understand what
Commissioner Fornaciari wanted to do is to try to keep it
all in San Diego and keep North county with North county,
but that is definitely not North county. What you have
is a coastal to inland long district versus, you know, if
you went from down by Coronado perhaps up the way up the
coast, because at least you would keep the coastal
counties together. I know that there is a difference
between North county and South county, but I think what we have is even more weird, but we can do better. I'd like to try to see if we could do something a little bit different so that we could be better about this.

Perhaps -- perhaps we can look at moving --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- Poway, and then -- or not Poway. Let's -- let's -- let's go up to perhaps the coast to Carlsbad. Would that -- and then we could remove some of the, you know, North inland counties -- or cities to either the East county or balance it out with Riverside. Would that work?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. All right. Why don't you -- you guys think about that one. So are we going to try and do that? We're moving it now to the coast. So we're rearranging now.

Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I think we're going to create a very long district. I'm wondering if we can again localize a little bit. I definitely support Bonsall needs to go to that more rural area. What is, like, kind of a compromise, if we took in Solano Beach and Encinitas -- and Commissioner Sinay, I'll let you think about that. I know that's your hometown -- and try to localize this district and create -- make it a little
bit more compact extending and kind of ending at Encinitas and coming inwards and working it that way. And up at the top, Bonsall is going to be coming out.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. So why don't we -- we know that we want Bonsall out. So please take Bonsall and put it into -- what -- the SEMP (sic) or POW while we think. And so --

MS. TRATT: Would you --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- and now you want to go ahead and do this? Sorry. Go ahead.

MS. TRATT: Would you rather put Bonsall with Oceanside and --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, the other way.

MS. TRATT: Okay. And I accept this change or abandon it?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: We're going to accept that change.

MS. TRATT: Okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, please.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: And I'm also wondering about Hidden Meadows going in that more rural district as well.

MS. TRATT: One moment, please, and I will have that up for you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: We definitely want to put Bonsall in the SMEPOW.

Okay. And as Sivan's doing this, what is our other
revision? We're taking this and putting this in with the
POW so in that which we'll have too many people when
we're taking the people out up in Riverside. Is that the
idea, I believe? Or what else are we doing with our
SDCOAST?

Sorry. Sivan, go ahead and do that one, please.

Finish that.

Okay. Sorry. And -- sorry. Commissioner Sadhwani
or who is -- we're taking that other little piece too; is
that correct?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. The --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: The little piece that's --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. The seemingly --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- unincorporated area. I'm
also curious -- I mean, what are -- we're at what,
21,000? I'm also wondering about the unincorporated area
on the East of Poway.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And Commissioner Kennedy, do you
also have an idea here?

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes. Thank you, Chair. And
yes, I think there have been a number of voices saying
that we need to get Poway into the East county district.
So I would say continue not just the unincorporated area
there, but Poway. And then we look at rebalancing it by
moving some of Escondido back --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: -- into the SDCOAST district.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Yeah.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: I think that gets us closer to where we're trying to go. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Yes. Thank you.

Can you please do that one, Sivan?

MS. TRATT: So yes. So I've added all of Poway, and it looks like we're about 5,000 people over our deviation for the coastal district without Poway.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: But then you could move some of that Riverside up into Riverside. Great. Thank you. Please do -- yeah, please do. And make sure, did you get all the unincorporated area there? Is there a neck?

There's a neck.

MS. TRATT: This is the City of San Diego though.

And that's --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

MS. TRATT: -- the City of Poway, so.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, okay.

MS. TRATT: Should I start removing the unincorporated area around the city of San Diego?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, is that -- do we like the geography here first, you know, or the architecture? Do
we want -- you know, what town should be in -- what other
town should be over in the POW? Anything else that
should be over there or that's over there that shouldn't?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can you zoom out a little
bit, please, thank you, so we can see the entire
district?
MS. TRATT: Oh, sorry. I thought we were still
talking about SD COAST. I think we're going to -- or am
I -- are we talk -- which -- which -- I'm sorry, Chair.
Which district would you like me to focus on?
CHAIR ANDERSEN: I was thinking the POW, but no.
Commissioner Sinay, are you -- no. You're thinking
the other way.
MS. TRATT: I think we need to finish SD COAST, and
then we can worry about this (indiscernible, simultaneous
speech) --
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. Let's do SD COAST.
MS. TRATT: Okay. Thank you. So this highlighted
in red is the SD coastal district.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.
And Commissioner Sinay has her hand up waving
frantically.
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you. Is it -- can we
take -- can we add Escondido, San Marcos, to Vista -- to
the district that's Vista?
MS. TRATT: So yes. Again, we're talking about
different districts here. This is --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

MS. TRATT: -- (indiscernible, simultaneous speech)
county connecting --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right, but we're trying to make
this more realistic what this district looks like on this
side. So then we can move things around from there if it
works.

MS. TRATT: Maybe we can make, like, an arm going
down here.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, I was thinking San Marcos
to Vista, and then Escondido. If we take San Marcos and
we connect it to -- am I missing something? San Marcos
controlling -- putting it into that -- the district South
coast North San Diego.

MS. TRATT: So we'll move San Marcos from SDCOAST
and put it in SOCNSD.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right.

MS. TRATT: Is that what you're saying?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.

MS. TRATT: Okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No.

MS. TRATT: And so we're 5,000 people away from a
balanced district. Do you want me -- and then you want
to swap out Escondido? Sorry, Commissioner, I'm a little confused.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, I'm confused here. I thought we were working on the SDCOAST.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So what needs to be in that district? We need 5,000 more people.

MS. TRATT: No. We need 5,000 less people. We need to get rid of population.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm sorry. Yes. 5,000 less people. So what is in here that should not be in here?

And Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I just wanted to reorient us. And thank you, Chair for doing that. I think we need to finalize this SDCOAST piece and then think about additional changes. And I think at this point if Poway is with Ramona, then I think San Marcos needs to be in the SDCOAST district. Those are -- you know, those are the kinds of COI swaps that we have to, you know, be making.

So here, I mean, I'm thinking -- I have no sense of how populated some of these areas are, but I'm seeing a lot of what seems to be unincorporated areas between the city of San Diego and Escondido exactly, and then North of San Marcos. And I think wherever possible if we can
hug up against the city borders and see where that gets us, and then we can always start creeping into some of these cities if we need to do so, but I think that would be a reasonable place to start us off to shave off that 5,000.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Yes. So let's now -- actually, 'cause I have a lot of hands up. Do people all have other ideas about the same, should we continue on -- we've been doing this for a long time. So are we on the right path here? You have a different idea?

MS. TRATT: That works.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Does it? Where are we now?

MS. TRATT: So it's actually a little bit too much -- too many people. Now, we're needing 8,000 people, but I can trend this -- like, reduce the selection further.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Please do.

MS. TRATT: One moment, please.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And now the public, it is 5:30 where the lines will be -- getting in the queue will be open at 5:45, and then the queue -- the lines will close at 6:15.

MR. DRECHSLER: Too many.

MS. TRATT: Thanks, Andrew.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sorry. No wonder no one is
answering. So while Sivan is doing this and getting us down to -- within the right number on here, where do we want to go next? And we have --

Commissioner Akutagawa, do you have an idea? We're fixing this one. 'Cause then should we go over to and then fix the POW? That's our next -- isn't that sequentially going up, or are we headed to --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I think we should try to fix the East county San Diego and just, you know, try to --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- fix -- or finish up as much of San Diego as possible. And then I guess once Sivan is finished with it, then it'd be good to see just the big picture of this SDCOAST district that we've made these new adjustments to. Thank you.

MS. TRATT: So I got it to two people, and again, I can pull that up at a later time if this looks (indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Wow. What does that look like? We accept that. What are we left with?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: It'd just be good to know how far up we are or how far North --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- we are still.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Could you zoom out, please, Sivan?

MS. TRATT: Yeah. One moment, please.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. And we still have to work on the San Diego here, that unnamed. What is with the unnamed one? Call that central San Diego?

MS. TRATT: Yeah. I'm happy to name it whatever Commissioners would like. I can do that now as well.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. That would be great. Okay. So we need to clean that one up. And actually, our coastal -- so let's work on the Poway.

MS. TRATT: One moment, please.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And while Sivan is finishing this, I want people to come up with an idea of what they really want to do on that. Not just generally, but specifically what we want to do.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Chair, can I just ask you a quick question?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Certainly. Please do.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: So since part of this was to try to make the district a little bit more compact, I want to return to the suggestion or the comment that Commissioner Kennedy made about perhaps taking -- splitting Escondido and taking a portion of Escondido and then allowing San Marcos to move up into the SOCNSD
district, so then it would at least be together with
Vista and then part of Escondido. That may be another
way to make it so that it's slightly bit more compact and
you don't get that kind of head at the top. Because
right now it looks like, you know. Yeah, it might be a
way to make it a little bit more compact.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, and do we have -- is that the
COI testimony would like to have is -- are those two
cities together? Yes, I'm seeing some -- yes.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible, simultaneous
speech) --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Then yes, let's go ahead
and investigate that, please.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: There's a 78 corridor, which is
Oceanside, Vista, San Marcos, and Carlsbad. If they all
work together -- and Escondido, they all work together,
and their business and their nonprofits --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Then yes, we'd like to do that,
please.

MS. TRATT: I'm sorry. What was the direction from
Commissioners?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I believe it's to add San Marcos to
the --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: SOCNSD district and then to
split Escondido to balance out moving San Marcos out so
that, as Commissioner Sinay said, it preserves a COI.

MS. TRATT: Okay. Would you like to, I'm assuming, include Lake San Marcos as well?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes.

MS. TRATT: Okay. One moment, please.

(Pause)

MS. TRATT: Yeah, so it looks like you could add the majority of the City of Escondido. It would be over by 5.28 percent if you added the entire city that's selected in red here.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, I think you should split it so that you can achieve the deviation you want. I did also notice that there seems to be a kind of a square of a unincorporated area between San Marcos, Escondido, and Harmony Grove. And if that helps, maybe take that as well too.

MS. TRATT: I can absolutely take that.

(Pause)

MR. DRECHSLER: Sivan, since you're in that district, I was just going to make a recommendation. It looks like Carlsbad in the Southeast corner is split and maybe adding that --

MS. TRATT: (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech)?

MR. DRECHSLER: Yep. Just to keep that city whole.

MS. TRATT: Perfect. So it looks like we need
112,000 people from Escondido. Should I start adding that population now?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, please. Add the -- wait. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Wait. Wait. Where are we now?

We're trying to add --

MS. TRATT: We're trying to add the majority of Escondido into SDCOAST.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct. And can --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Can I make a recommendation?

I'm sorry.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Please.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Escondido is going to feel really weird being all the way down the coast. Could we instead add parts of Carlsbad, or am I messing up my numbers? And then add Escondido to the SOCNSD.

MS. TRATT: Chair, which direction would you like me to move in first?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm trying to understand what -- so right now, I thought we were trying to put Carlsbad, San Marcos, what the one above it is, Vista. I thought we were trying to put all those together.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: We are, but if we move -- the recommendation was move Escondido to SDCOAST, and that wasn't going to work.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: But --
COMMISSIONER SINAY: So my thought was if we end up splitting Carlsbad because there's a North Carl -- you know, the North part of the Carlsbad would go with the 78 corridor, and the Southern part of Carlsbad would go with the coast. And then Escondido could move into -- parts of Escondido could move into the North San Diego, which they -- they'll feel more comfortable with that coast, because they work with Carlsbad, than the coast that goes all the way to downtown San Diego.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right. Any other -- other ideas or additions like that? Ms. Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, I'm hesitant about that only because, as currently formed, this coastal district that includes Carlsbad is a coastal district that goes to the Orange County Coast as well. So I'm not sure how -- assuming we're maintaining that general architecture, I'm not sure how those folks are going to feel with Escondido. We've heard loud and clear, coastal, coastal. So I'm not certain about that. It gives me pause.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. We still need to add people to this district.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, I'm thinking stick to the plan of Escondido. I saw Commissioner Sinay give a thumbs up on that and --
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- not sure if she's going
to (indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So that's the end. Commissioner
Kennedy, were you saying -- nope. I guess you're saying
the same thing.

Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, can you zoom out a
little bit for me please, Sivan? So this is kind of a
critical decision point here that we're making. Are we
going to balance -- are we going to balance the coastal
district and leave the internal district unbalanced, or
are we going to balance the internal district and leave
the coastal more balanced?

Because that's going to decide the direction that
we're pulling people to balance these districts, whether
we go up into Riverside or over into OC. And I don't
know the answer to that or how to figure the answer to
that out without giving it a lot of deep thought, but I'm
just -- we're sort of at a crossroad here.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, you're absolutely correct. We
need to do something with the SDCOAST. And you're right.
Which way is it going? Is it going up? Is it going
inland?

Because we have -- are these still correct? They're
still negative? What is our value on the SDCOAST?

MS. TRATT: SDCOAST needs 112,888 more people to be balanced.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. So those are correct numbers that we're looking at.

MS. TRATT: Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. And I'm sure Carlsbad is only, what, fourteen -- how much is Carlsbad? Oh, 114. It would take the whole thing. And then we'd be the So -- that one's then extremely low.

MS. TRATT: So Chair, if we added Carlsbad into SDCOAST, we would need to get rid of then 2,064 people.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, I see what you're saying. Okay. So that versus parts of Escondido. What is -- COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And we'd need to pull 99,000 people from Orange County, other parts of Orange County, to balance that district unless we did something else in San Diego.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, I see what you're saying. Yes, absolutely.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And okay. Right now, so if we pulled 112 people from Escondido in there, then SMESCPow would be --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- whatever that minus
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- which would have to come from Riverside County. So yeah. And I don't know the answer, but that's where we're at.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, this is where we need to have a look at -- Commissioner Kennedy, have you been -- do you have an idea for us?

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Well, another caution for us. I think if Sivan could pull back even farther on the map, we need to make certain we know what our quote, unquote "escape route" looks like. Because if you look North in Riverside County with SECA to the East and the Riverside, Morongo Valley, Perris district there, the Pomona, Ontario, Fontana district, there is an excruciatingly narrow path through which to push population or pull population. So we need to be -- we need to be very aware of that. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No. Thank you very much, Commissioner Kennedy. Yes, I think we do need to have a look at this because, yeah, this is the part where we do -- we have to move people.

Commissioner Akutagawa, do you have an idea for us?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, I guess I wanted to just make two kind of cautions as well too. One, I
think, as Commissioner Kennedy has said, there is a narrow path up Riverside, but there is a path. My caution is, I know in the Assembly we put South Orange County with Riverside, but it was imperfect because, remember, there is a mountain range.

And so if we're going to push up through Orange County and into -- I'm thinking into Long Beach and L.A. County now, that is an option from just a pure population perspective. But remember, it is going to then be a fairly long district because we also have a varied district in Orange County as well too. So those are just kind of these now other ripple effects that I also wanted to just put out there.

And I guess the other last thought I have is looking at San Diego Coast, perhaps one option is to take that central SDCOAST District and maybe move it slightly a little bit more East in certain areas, maybe like, a city here or city there, so that we can pick up a little bit more population down at the very bottom of the San Diego Coast so that we don't have to push up so far North and may also make balancing out some of the other two districts a little bit more feasible. So that's just another thought there too.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you. And on that, I have to stop us for a minute. We need to have -- it is
5 -- well, it's actually past 5:45. We need to have the 
instructions read for people to get into the queue. And 
our break -- because we came back at 4:20, we then have 
to take a break shortly thereafter.

We'll take a fifteen minute break, but we did say we 
were going to take public comment at 6:15. So why don't 
we think about this while we read the instructions, and 
we'll probably have to -- at that point we'll probably go 
on break.

So if we can leave this on the screen so we can come 
up with ideas. And when we come back from our break, 
we'll only have about twenty minutes or so. Actually, 
wait. We'll only have ten minutes or so to try to wrap 
this up.

And because we've already sort of said tonight we're 
going to take the public comment. And then due to the 
late night last night, I think we need to think about 
this, and we'll come back again in the morning.

So Katy, could you please read the instructions for 
public comment?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Absolutely, Chair. In 
order to maximize transparency and public participation 
in our process, the Commissioners will be taking public 
comment by phone. To call in, dial the telephone number 
provided on the livestream feed. It is 877-853-5247.
When prompted to enter the meeting ID number provided on the livestream feed, it is 811-4925-9556 for this meeting. Once you -- when prompted to enter a participant ID, simply press the pound key.

Once you have dialed in, you will be placed in a queue. To indicate you wish to comment, please press star 9. This will raise your hand for the moderator. When it is your turn to speak, you'll hear a message that says the host would like you to talk and to press star 6 to speak.

If you would like to give your name, please state and spell it for the record. You're not required to provide your name to give public comment.

Please make sure to mute your computer or livestream audio to prevent any feedback or distortion during your call. Once you are waiting in the queue, be alert for when it is your turn to speak. And again, please turn down the livestream volume.

And I will hand it back to you, Chair. You are still on mute, Chair, I believe.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Pardon me. Sorry about that. Thank you, Katy. So with the lines -- I'm sorry. Did you finish with the lines, when they'll close?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: The lines will be closing at 6:15 still, correct?
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, correct.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Okay. Great. Well, we're right at a break right now. So I want to say, we'll go off for a break, and we'll be back at --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 6:05.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: 6:05. Thank you.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 5:51 p.m. until 6:04 p.m.)

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, welcome back to the California Citizens Redistricting Commission meeting. And today we're just finishing up because we are going to take public comment, maybe not exactly at 6:15, but shortly thereafter.

And so I believe we are just in the point we're adding Carlsbad to the SDCOAST. That correct?

So please take over, Sivan.

MS. TRATT: Yeah, so I think that if we go ahead and add Carlsbad into the coastal district, we will be able to remove either Harmony Grove or Elfin Forest and then trim the rest of the population from some of these unincorporated areas.

If that sounds like an okay plan, I can go ahead and commit this change and then move population out.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. Unmute.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- I thought that was the plan.
Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I'm so sorry. I thought that the plan was Escondido, a portion of Escondido, maintaining Carlsbad here because there's also things happening up -- there are communities that are more linked in Orange County that are also coastal. And after Escondido, then working on Poway.

Like, that more rural district in Riverside. Was I on my own in that thinking?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. I --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I thought that's what we had agreed upon, but I could -- maybe I'm wrong. Maybe I --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Well, let's -- maybe I misinterpreted.

Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So I just want to follow up on some comments I made earlier about population shortages. In total, we're about 19,000 short. In looking at the two pathways that those 19,000 people could come from, one is through the little, skinny thing down from San Bernardino, Riverside, but that's all short anyway.

The other way is through Orange County. So I guess my point is it probably doesn't matter which of these
cities we pick. It's probably all coming -- or most of it's going to go through Orange County.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Um-hum.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. So that's sort of a vote for let's take Carlsbad instead of --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I don't -- it doesn't matter. I mean, I don't think it's going to matter in the long --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Well, Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah, I was leaning towards Escondido, just moving through Escondido, and then pushing up. Hopefully, that'll get us the population that we need or at least shifting the population.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So you're saying leave Carlsbad where it is and grab Escondido?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, most of Escondido. Okay. And is --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Enough to be able to push population up, yeah, and get the district in line.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, yeah. No, that would -- we could take a -- we're missing 112, and Escondido has 151. So we've got 40,000 left in Escondido.

Okay. Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah, that was my thought
as well a while ago. I thought that's what we were going
to do. Thanks.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Commissioner Kennedy?

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Chair, to minimize the ripple
effect here, it looks to me like our best bet would be to
take the 78,128 that we need to reduce SMESCPOW by from
Escondido, put that into SDCOAST. That's still going to
leave us, what, 34,000 short. We take that from
Carlsbad, and then we've minimized our ripple.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, I see what you're saying. So
instead of a --

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: It's not hundred percent
either/or. It's let's balance the East County district
by taking the part of Escondido that we need to balance
the East County district that goes into the coastal
district. And then we balance the coastal district with
what we need from Carlsbad. And we've minimized our
ripple. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. That's an interesting idea.
That's sort of a combination of both.

And Commissioner Sinay -- or I'm sorry.

Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: No, I fully support that idea
just to get the deviations corrected in the Eastern
District and then start working up. Thank you.
CHAIR ANDERSEN: And Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I just want to remind everybody. I know it's ironic or hypocritical for me to say this, but Escondido is an East County -- the COI testimonies we've gotten from Escondido is either to keep them in East County or put them in the 78 corridor, but not to put them with San Diego Coast, even though the corridor, they accept because it's part -- they're okay with Carlsbad and Oceanside.

So we're doing the opposite of what they asked, but I will follow my colleagues.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Did you have a different idea? Do we want to back out and have -- yeah, where else would we --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: No, I want to -- I want to support -- if the majority is seeing it as a way to go, it's a team effort. I mean, I would have rather put Carlsbad with Encinitas and then Escondido over with the South, the South Orange County, North San Diego because that's a little closer to what Escondido would like. But I will go with my colleagues. I'm not --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Well, can we back out just a little bit and see -- because what we're trying to do is balance the -- we do need to balance the Eastern area. So like 78,000 has to come out of that. So where would
78,000 come out of that if it isn't there? I mean, it can come out at the top, but we still have to -- land has to go -- has to go into Carlsbad.

Okay. So we're talking about taking 70,000 out of above?

Okay. Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah, no. I mean, we're going -- our first requirement is population, equal population.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So let's balance out the Eastern portion -- the Eastern District through -- no one likes this. No one likes this because we're going to have to divide a COI, but divide Escondido as rationally as we can -- Escondido as rationally as we can, 78,128 people. Get that aligned and then move upward so that we can actually make it into Orange County sometime tonight.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sometime tonight.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. So okay. It strikes me as easier to -- okay. All right. But we want to get population. We'd like to get it back into there, kind of keep San Diego in San Diego.

Okay. So I believe what we're sort of coming down on is we want to do what Commissioner Kennedy said. I'm
sorry. Commissioner Kennedy, you have your hand up.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes, I want to make sure I understood Commissioner Sinay. And we do have -- if I understood her correctly, we do have another option.

So Commissioner Sinay, if you were saying that Escondido would rather be in that South Orange County, North San Diego district, then we would pull Carlsbad back into SDCOAST and move the portion, move 78,128 people from Escondido into South Orange County, North San Diego. I just want to make sure I -- whether I understood you correctly or not. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Wait. So you're putting Carlsbad in South DN coast and then you're getting.

Okay, let's --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: And then 78,128 people from Escondido go into the South Orange County North San Diego. That balance is --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, I see. You're putting it over there. Got it, okay. Great. And so and let's --

Commissioner Sadhwani, you don't want to do that.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I prefer Commissioner Kennedy's first option. I thought it was a very brilliant way of balancing out population between these districts and in the spirit of sharing the pain I thought
that that was a reasonable way forward.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. I'm kind of thinking though if we do this -- I can see where people -- we have two options if we do this last move. We can get some into Orange County as well as some into Riverside. So Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, I do not -- I do not support the idea of bringing Escondido into a coastal district that includes South Orange County. There was a lot of court testimony about, you know, trying to keep it more coastal on that. You know, I also just want to note that in an effort to try to, I think, group -- a group of other COIs, we actually have just dismantled some other ones and so I guess my question would be, you know, were we better off with the previous maps before we started this exercise. So that then the districts are more compact and also, we do, at least for the most part, preserve more of the COIs that wanted to be together and not create, you know, other kind of groupings that may not -- that may elicit, you know, a lot of public comment. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you. I'm just about to -- Commissioner Turner, we'll hear from you.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you. I totally hear and understand that. I do, however, like the central part
that in lieu -- if we reverse all of it - doggonit, did I
just -- did you lose me? You did?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: We lost your picture.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Can you still hear me?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, we can still hear you.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: And so I don't necessarily
want to reverse all of it if it means that we're going to
lose that whole central part we did a couple hours ago.

But I'm wondering if we can then split, take part of from
Escondido, part of it from Carlsbad, and still minimize
the ripple effect. And then talking about sharing the
pain, maybe that's a way we can move forward.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Okay. Thank you very much.

We've heard everything and we are just about time to go
to public comments. At this point I believe let's put
Carlsbad into SD coast and then we'll balance the SM, you
know, the Poway by taking that number out and putting it
also into the SD. The SNCD (sic), you know, that one.
The not back with the coast but put it into the OC North
SD.

MS. TRATT: Could you repeat that direction again?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Carlsbad into SD Coast.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Commissioner Andersen, I don't
think you have general consensus on that though. I think
there's quite a few of opposition at least with what I'm
hearing.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. All right. I'm sorry. I thought that we -- well, okay, nope, let's see. No. So are we putting part of Carlsbad in or none of Carlsbad in?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Starting with Escondido.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. So you want to grab all of Esc -- take -- put Escondido in, or most of Escondido to balance this? Let's see, I'm sorry.

MS. TRATT: If I'm understanding Commissioner Kennedy's suggestion, it was to take to take 78,128 people from the City of Escondido from this Poway district out. That was the direction and I'm not sure if you wanted it in the coastal district or in this SOCNSD district?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: You want to put it in SOCNS district?

MS. TRATT: Coastal.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No. No, not the coastal. I thought he wanted to put it in the --

MS. TRATT: Commissioner Kennedy, could you please verify what your instructions were?

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: If I could, yes. So the first option was to take 78,128 people from Escondido, put those into SD Coast, and then finish balancing SD Coast
by taking approximately, what did we say, 34,000 people
from Carlsbad, and that would leave both the SD Coast
district and the East County district balanced.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay, got it, thank you for
clarifying that. Andrew? Or no, sorry.

Commissioner Vazquez?

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yeah. I've been pretty quiet
through this conversation but just wanted to note as a
general strategy. I do think it's good strategy across
the state to try to balance populations through these
larger cities because you have a greater chance of at
least having a critical mass of population in, you know,
split, rather than sort of picking off, you know,
handfuls of neighborhoods from smaller communities. So
I'd like this proposal to balance between the two
districts.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great, thank you. So with that,
yes. Please do and then continue on Sivan for taking,
you're essentially getting your zeroing out the bureau
Poway.

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Can we also take some of
that unincorporated area right there, yeah, next to
Escondido to minimize taking away more from Carlsbad as
well too? And adding that to the SD Coast?
CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Any objection to that? I don't see any objection. Yeah, so if you would go ahead and grab the -- oh, sorry, Mr. Fornaciari, did you not want to do that?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: That's fine. I just want to remember that we need 22 people --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- to get to the SD Coast. Maybe you talked about that when I was gone.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, we didn't. Yeah, I don't know. I'm sorry. Sivan, did you get a chance to possibly work that out while we were on break? Or did you actually get a chance to take a break?

MS. TRATT: I was going to say I used the restroom; so no, I did not have a chance to do that.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That's quite all right. Thank you. Okay, where are we now. So at this point, I believe we know what we're going to do. We're taking the numbers. We're balancing the Poway area into the coast, and then we're taking -- then we're finishing balancing the coast with Carlsbad. Is that correct? I don't know if that's where we're headed?

Because what we can do now is we could have Sivan, because we're already past what we told the public we would start taking public comment, which we have eight
minutes until 6:30. We'll go -- we'll do this for eight
more minutes, then we're going to turn it over to Sivan
to clear up the 22 people, and clear this up, and bring
it back to us tomorrow.

Commissioner Akutagawa? Great. Okay. Now, we do
have the 22 people still, correct? And that's next to
the SD Coast? That's just below it, Sivan?

MS. TRATT: That's in the central SD so since I
think the Commissioner's instructions are clear to
balance population in the City of Carlsbad, I can first
balance the central and then take -- and then finish
balancing SD Coast. (Audio interference) if that's
acceptable?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Perfect, thank you. Commissioner
Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Just a question. Would it
be better to take a small portion from San Marcos so that
at least part of San Marcos and Escondido are together?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, I'm sorry. Take a bit of --
instead of taking Carlsbad?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Instead of taking from
Carlsbad take from -- a small portion from San Marcos so
that at least San Marcos and part of Escondido are
together.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And put that -- okay, put that into
the SD Coast? Opinions? Thoughts? Anyone against that idea? Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I would probably -- I think I would rather it go from Carlsbad so it remains on the coast versus pushing more of the inland to the coast.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Commissioner Sadwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, I would agree with that. We're already talking about splitting two cities and prefer not to have to split a third if we can avoid it.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'll support Carlsbad.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Moving up towards Carlsbad.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Do we have -- Commissioner Akutagawa, does that go with the Carlsbad?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, that's fine. I just wanted to ask that question since and I wasn't thinking of three cities, I was just thinking just take the remaining portion from San Marcos since San Marcos and Escondido are together, but I'm fine either way. It was just a question.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. All right. Great. So Sivan, yes, could you balance between -- get the -- fix the 22 and then get the remainder out of Carlsbad.
MS. TRATT: Yes, thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And then that's going to take us -- oh, sorry.

Commissioner Sinay, did you want to, you know, is there a particular line that you want to work on with -- for Carlsbad?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I can try to help. Do we want to -- can we zoom in?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Or just do a -- we have about five minutes and then we're going to go to public comment. We're wrapping this up.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: We need how many?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: 34,000.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Just try South Carlsbad down here, at the, yeah, closest to Encinitas that area and see how --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sivan, you want to go ahead and just grab that and kind of give us an idea of how much we might be taking?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And that makes sense because they're part of the same school district.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Great. So it would be looking something like that. Right. Okay. So I think we have an idea. Does that -- I think at this point we'll say great. Sivan, can you clean that up, and
balance it, and we'll get the how you did what first thing tomorrow.

Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah, I just to make sure that for Carlsbad, and also for Escondido that we, if possible, zoom in to make sure that the splits look right. Maybe there's a better way to do the splits instead of arbitrarily grabbing. Thanks.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you. Do you want us to do that tomorrow or in terms of -- because, you know, yes, we do need to be careful of where we're trying to clip neighborhoods and things like that. Oh, we might go -- Andrew?

MR. DRECHSLER: Thank you Chair, and I think that's a good idea and we will look a little bit closer at that just to make sure that we're not doing anything egregious along the way, so that's my suggestion. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. Okay. And we have one more.

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. If I can just suggest -- I know that the suggestion was to take that 78,128 or something number from the Poway district and take up that portion from Escondido, and then take the remaining from Carlsbad, but I think Andrew, as you and
Sivan look at the numbers, too, I would be supportive of if you had to take a little bit more from Escondido so that your cut -- your, you know, your separation makes sense, or the split makes sense.

I think I would suggest that we do that first to make sure that we're making sense where the cuts are, and maybe give you both the flexibility, or Sivan the flexibility, to figure out where the most sense makes, and the same with Carlsbad, too. So like if you needed to take a little bit more from Carlsbad so that a split makes sense, then you, you know, you give up a little less in Escondido.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, Andrew?

MR. DRECHSLER: No and I was just going to say, I think one big thing is making sure that the Eastern district is balanced which I think it is down to zero or minus one so -- but we will take that into consideration. Thank you very much.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. And Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yep, that was my thought, too.

Thanks.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. And yeah, if you could clean these areas up and then we'll review what -- how we got there first thing tomorrow. So with that, it's almost 6:30. And it's time to, let's see, recess -- no not
recess -- is it recess the meeting until tomorrow, I believe. Yes, it's to recess the meeting until tomorrow at 11 at the -- I mean, we're going to --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Public comments.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: No, public comments.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Public comments, correct.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: So we're not recessing yet.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No. Sorry. We're ending our -- what's our line drawing for tonight. And we're going to take public comments until the end, at which time we will just continue again and tomorrow morning. So thank you very much everyone. All mappers, and other people who are helping out in that regard, thank you very much. And we'll have Katy, can you take it away for public comment?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Absolutely, Chair.

Thank you so much. And I would like to invite all those that have called in that have not already done so if you'll please press star nine this will raise your hand indicating you've called in with a comment. We do have lots of raised hands. It's just for those who have not done so.

We will be beginning this evening's comment with caller 0123. And up next after that will be caller 0203. Caller 0123, will you please follow the prompts to
unmute. 0123, if you will please press star six one more
time. The floor is yours.

MS. SILKS: Thank you. My name is Connie Silks (ph.) I am of (indiscernible) origin and have been a resident of Orange County for thirty-six years, of which twelve have been spent in Costa Mesa. I am here on behalf of the (indiscernible) community. Though we are a small community in number, I am here to ensure that our voice is heard and present. With that being said, I would like to thank the Commissioners continual hard work with the redistricting process.

While the Congressional draft map generally looks good in Orange County, the division of Irvine and the splitting of Irvine, Costa Mesa, and Tustin, county of interest (sic) are major concerns.

You've written to people redistricting a life members about the importance of keeping these disproportionately immigrant communities whole and together. Especially as one of the fastest growing major cities in the state is coming into its own, the city can be kept whole by drawing the portion of Irvine North of the 5 Freeway into district North Orange County coast --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MS. SILKS: -- and balancing the population in the South County area between district inland Orange County,
North Orange County Cove, and South Orange County Northside. These (indiscernible) can be --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen seconds.

MS. SILKS: -- without distributing or without disturbing the federal Voting Rights Act district or overall architecture achieved in Orange County. (Indiscernible). Thank you.

MR. MANOFF: And as a reminder to our callers we will be enforcing a time limit of one minute and thirty seconds with a warning at thirty seconds, and fifteen seconds remaining. Back to you, Katy.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we will have caller 0203, and up next after that will be caller 2232.

Caller 0203, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MS. SALAVALENCIA: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Maria Salavalencia (ph.). I am a Santa Ana resident and a community organizer with Orange County Congregation Community Organizations. OCCCO works with congregations across the county on the issues of housing, and immigration justice, and education equity.

I want to acknowledge the Commission's hard work in this process and as you're finalizing the map, please keep in mind that the Santa Ana Latinx community. This
is a community that is low-income, immigrant mixed
status, and it's a concern for this community is
equitable housing and the majority of the residents are
(indiscernible). The same can be said with both West
Anaheim and South Fullerton.

In the Congressional maps we truly appreciate your
decision to maintain a federal Voting Rights Act district
for the Latinx community and South Fullerton and West
Anaheim communities of interest together with the
alliance Santa Ana community of interest. To improve
this VRA district and increase Latinx CVAP over 50
percent, please include the remainder of South Fullerton,
South Chapman, and West of Richmond Avenue, and remove
the parts of the City of Orange.

We know that there are remaining concerns in Orange
County about dividing Irvine, we understand Irvine can be
made whole and --

MR. MANOFF: Twenty seconds.

MS. SALAVALENCIA: -- drawn together with Costa Mesa
without affecting the VRA district or the overall
architecture you have achieved. Thank you for your time
and attention.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now we have caller 2232. And up next after that
will be caller 3175.
Caller 2232, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MS. ASASSO: Can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

MS. ASASSO: Great.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Hi.

MS. ASASSO: Kayla Asasso (ph.), a resident of the City of Orange, calling again. Thank you for all of your work on the Assembly maps, kind of the Congressional maps, and just generally up to this point. I don't think I need to say too much about Orange County Environmental Justice. We are Orange County's foremost environmental justice (indiscernible), addressing some of the environmental toxins and pollutants and racism throughout the county.

But I just wanted to take some time to talk about the Congressional district, the full VRA district in Orange County, and the importance of South Fullerton and West Anaheim and somewhere in between the two area. Both income, housing and secure Latinx, environmentally duck this burden, lots of things they share --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MS. ASASSO: -- a super fun site North of the (indiscernible) water basin. The maps are looking good for Orange County in the Congressional level. We want to
keep the Voting Rights Act (indiscernible) intact between South Fullerton, West Anaheim aligned with the South Fullerton --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

MS. ASASSO: -- and keep the Latinx CVAP over fifty percent just remove the parts of Orange and address the division of Irvine, keeping Irvine school and with Costa Mesa can be achieved with that --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we want caller 3175 and up next after that will be caller 3321.

Caller 3175, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MR. PAKE: Hello, can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

MR. PAKE: All right, hello. My name is Jonathan Pake (ph.). I am a lifelong Korean-American resident in North Orange County. I've lived in Fullerton for over thirty-two years, where my grandparents and extended family continue to live. I want to first thank the Commissioners for the work that you've all done this past year and that you for the district (indiscernible) and the Congressional draft map. As a lifelong Korean-American resident in Fullerton, I can share that the (indiscernible) community has grown significantly over
the course of the last thirty years, across North Orange County, and the adjacent city the (indiscernible). (Indiscernible) skyrocket in our region there's a critical need for a Congressional member that will address at how we need to venture through both cities. As working class Korean communities to seek to stay and remain in our Korean community hub, we will urgently need representation to ensure that working class communities can remain in the culture creative hub located in North Orange County.

In addition, many Korean-American high school students are connected by attendings schools --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MR. PAKE: -- with (indiscernible) high school district, many of whom are my lifelong friends to this very day and were Korean families of students from both Buena Park and (indiscernible) continues to merge, our communities must be kept whole to ensure that the most effective and culturally competent programs remain in place --

MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds.

MR. PAKE: -- in solidarity with our Latino, AMEMSA, Pilipino and other community districts. I strongly urge your citizens redistricting Commission to maintain the district (indiscernible) as outlined in the draft map.
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we will have caller 3321. And up next after that will be caller 4549.

Caller 3321, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MS. TRUNKLIN: Hello, my name is Ana Trunklin (ph.). I own the program associated for the community engagement in the emergency department at Latino Health Access, which is located in Santa Ana, Orange County. Latino Health Access has been proudly working alongside community residents for over twenty-seven years to prove (indiscernible) of health in our city.

We appreciate all the long hours you and the line drawers have put into balancing so many diverse communities of interest throughout the state. Santa Ana's primarily composed of low income and the immigration status Latinx families, meaning that their families include undocumented U.S. residents and citizens family members.

They are extremely hard-working families with as many employees and essential jobs quite often overlooked and underpaid yet they share a very strong sense of community with a large number of community organization and resident (indiscernible). The city includes Damaras communities of interest as mentioned before low-income
families, families without medical insurance, mixed
immigration status families. These communities of
interest assure with both West Anaheim and South
Fullerton. In the Congressional maps, we truly
appreciate your decision to maintain Federal --
MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.
ANA: -- Voting District Acts for Latinx communities
that draw the South Fullerton and West Anaheim community
of interest together with the Latinx communities of
interest. To improve this VRA district and increase
Latinx capital over 50 percent please include the
remainder South Fullerton --
MR. MANOFF: Fifteen seconds.
MS. TRUNKLIN: -- South of Chapman, and West of
Richmond Avenue, and remove parts of City of Orange.
Thank you for your time.
MR. MANOFF: As a reminder to our callers. Your
public input is being interpreted by our ASL interpreters
and live captioned by our live captioners. Please speak
at a steady pace and take your time with city names,
county names, and numbers.
Back to you, Katy.
PUBLİC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now we have caller 4549 and up next after that will
be caller 5056.
Caller 4549, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MS. KAROSSI: Hi, I'm Lori Karossi (ph.) and I'm calling from the Long Beach Economic Partnership. We're a 501(c)(3) that's focused on furnishing economic opportunities that exist in Long Beach. And we are part of the Keep Long Beach Togetherness Coalition.

I know you're hearing from a lot of people but I want to note and remind the Commission that our Coalition has been working with these since the summer. We represent groups that include the Local NAACP, business groups, LGBTQ groups, Latino organizations, Cambodian organizations, labor unions and (indiscernible) group. Our message has been clear. Don't break us up and keep our city as together as possible.

Despite being part of LA County, the Long Beach region is very different. We have strong connections with Orange County and a lot of businesses that we work with across the county line. It's also been part of our history of representation for as long as anyone can remember, that the Long Beach region has always shared a representative with North Orange County, and we totally support that. I know we are getting to the end of this process and appreciate that --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.
MS. KAROSSI:  -- the maps your drawing show Long Beach mostly kept united. Thanks again.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR:  Thank you so much. And right now we'll have caller 5056, and up next after that will be caller 7507. Caller 5056, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MS. CHENG:  Good evening. My name is Susan Cheng and I'm the organizing director of AHRI for Justice. I'll be commenting on the draft maps in Orange County. Specifically, I'd like to reference to two areas, the South Fullerton and West Anaheim area and the Irvine Costa Mesa area.

First off, I want to thank the Commission for maintaining the federal Voting Rights Act district for the Latinx community that draws (audio interference) South Fullerton along with the Santa Ana COI. In order to improve the VRA district and increase the Latinx CVAP to over fifty percent, please include Fullerton South of Chapman Avenue, and West of Richmond Avenue, and remove parts of the City of Orange.

The second area I'd like to reference is the Irvine, Costa Mesa, and Tustin area of Orange County. As an alumna of UC Irvine, I can attest that Irvine is one of the fastest growing major cities in the state. It's important that immigrant communities in and around this
areas are kept together. Please keep the city whole by
drawing the portion of Irvine North of the 5 Freeway into
District NOC Coast and balancing population in the South
county area between district Inland OC, NOC Coast, and
SOCNSC. Thank you so much for you time and have a nice
night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now we'll have caller 8816 and up next after that
will be caller. I apologize.

Right now we'll have caller 7507, and up next after
that will be 8816.

Caller 7507, if you'll please follow, the floor is
yours.

MS. VO: Hi, can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

MS. VO: Okay. My name is Phuong Vo and I'm here on
behalf of the California Healthy Nail Salon
Collaborative. Our organization works to improve the
health, safety and rising up of beauty care workforce to
achieve a more sustainable and (indiscernible) industry.
Today, a little under seventy percent of nail technicians
in California are Vietnamese and most of them are
concentrated in Little Saigon, meaning Garden Grove and
Westminster, home to the largest Vietnamese population in
the U.S. and so nail salon workers experience chronic
exposure to a host of chemicals and toxins that are known
to be cancer-causing agents. Beyond environmental
impacts, manicurists also experience (indiscernible)
labor. And because of these shared challenges, the
regions of Little Saigon should be kept together. So you
know, thank you so much for the Commission's hard work
and, you know, for the Commission's drawing the district
(indiscernible) next to the VRAC.

I do want to emphasize the importance of Garden
Grove and Westminster being kept together as a community
of interest. And that we should keep immigrant
communities together and remain whole. Thank you.

Also, that --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen seconds.

MS. VO: -- yes. And we know that the division of
Ervine remains a major concern in Orange County, and so
it's important to note that Ervine can be made whole and
joined together with Costa Mesa without changing the
district, (indiscernible) Brea, Santa Ana, or changing
over --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now, we have caller 8816, and up next after that
will be caller 8050.

Caller 8816, please follow the prompts to unmute.

MR. TAYLOR: Thank you and good evening,
Commissioners. This Mark Taylor. I'm a twenty-five year resident of Long Beach. I'm calling in first to thank you for taking on the thankless and enormous and time consuming task. I want to thank you, also, for keeping Long Beach mostly together.

As the second largest city in L.A. County, the one that's located on the border with Orange County, we have a long history of joint representation with Long Beach and Northern Orange County cities. You know, I think there's a big difference between state and federal issues, coastal protection, energy resources, climate change (indiscernible, simultaneous speech), and very much federal issues and issues that have a joint interest at the Congressional level. And so for the Congressional district in this area, I would support moving cities like Downey, Bell Gardens into the Southeast L.A. based districts and keeping cities like Long Beach, Lakewood, and neighbors in Orange County, like, Cypress, Los Alamitos, and Seal Beach together, where they had been for many years. Thank you for your hard work and have a good evening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we'll have caller 8050. And up next after that will be caller 5814.

DR. HACEGABA: Hello, Commissioners. My name is
Noel Hacegaba. I'm the deputy executive director at the Port of Long Beach. As all of you may know, we've been dealing with a supply chain crisis for months now and Congressional representation has never been more important. Consumer behavior has changed drastically since the internet-enabled 24/7 e-commerce and our decades old supply chain infrastructure just hasn't had the time or the investments to catch up.

Forty percent of America's goods moved through our ports in Long Beach and Los Angeles. California's economy depends on these two successful ports in L.A. County. As long as I can remember, both ports have each had their own Congressional representative, and I can't overstate how important this is. California ports traditionally get less federal support than East Coast ports. But thankfully, because we have two Congress members, we have been able to advocate for critical bridge and terminal projects that both ports.

So I urge you not to cut our port representation in half. America and California are depending on us. Thank you for what you've done in the Assembly map. The decisions you make --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

DR. HACEGABA: -- within the Congressional map will have a direct impact on solutions to the supply chain
challenges that we face today and for the next ten years. Commissioners, thank you so much for your service and your time.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we will have caller 5814. And up next after that will be caller 1435.

5814, please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi, there. I'm calling in regards to Rancho Cucamonga. Please keep Rancho Cucamonga whole, and do not split our city into multiple districts. Rancho Cucamonga has a strong sense of identity and has historically been all or mostly in one Congressional, Senate, and Assembly districts. And the proposed maps, unfortunately, split our community and lump us with communities that have no commonality with us. This diminishes our community power and opportunity for strong representation. So again, I please ask that you keep our city whole within San Bernadino County.

Thank you so much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we will have caller 1435. And up next after that will be call-in user 3.

Caller 1435, please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Good evening. My name is Judy, and I'm calling from South Orange County. I know the Commission will do some work in Orange County Congressional districts tomorrow, but once they finalize these VRAs, and I wanted to call in one final time to ask for a true Orange County coastal district.

I know you have heard this commentary quite a bit, but I just want it to be the top of your mind when you start addressing the communities of interest in Orange County. A district from Seal Beach all the way to Dana Point might seem long, but it truly acknowledges our coastal priorities, and allows us to have representation to protect our coast. Thank you for all of your hard work and all that you're doing. And I'm excited to see the next version of these Congressional maps. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we will have call-in user 3. And up next after that will be caller 89557.

Call-in user 3, please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MS. O'CONNOR: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Ann O'Connor (ph.). It looks like you're getting very close to Los Angeles tonight, and I'd like to request that you fix the North border of POSO, part of Sherman Oaks, in the Congressional map, by drawing a straight
line from the 405 Freeway East along Oxnard Street and then turn South on Hazeltine. It looks like the deviation will indeed allow for this.

We would like you to restore our businesses and residents who were arbitrarily cut out, but were part of our official renaming by the City of Los Angeles in 2009. We fought very hard for it -- for our renaming, and it took about two years to attain. Please keep us whole with Sherman Oaks and do not split us.

Thank you, Commissioners, for fixing the Assembly and the State Senate maps. Special thanks to Russell Yee for making it a priority last week. Thanks for all that you're doing. Bye-bye.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we will have caller 9557. And up next after that will be caller 1338.

Caller 9557, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute.

MS. TAURUS: Good evening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: The floor is yours.

MS. TAURUS: Thank you. Good evening. My name is Eleanor Taurus (ph.) and I work at the Port of Long Beach. I'm calling this evening to speak about the Congressional maps. In my day-to-day job, I communicate regularly with our federal elected offices and their
staff. And as you are aware, we are in a cargo congestion crisis and Congressional representation is critical.

I'd like to add that I agree with the previous callers who have said that they want the Port of Long Beach and the Port of Los Angeles to be in separate Congressional districts. Our twin ports move forty percent of all of America's goods, forty percent. They are the largest ports in the country.

We are a vital economic engine for the nation that requires exceptional Congressional representation. There has to be ample representation in this area that also understands the needs of our diverse neighboring communities and workforce. Please put the Port of Long Beach in a district together with Long Beach and the Port of L.A. in a separate district with L.A. Thank you very much for your consideration.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 1338. And up next after that will be caller 4967.

Caller 1338, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. Caller 1338, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MS. RIXBEN: Hello. My name is Tara Rixben (ph.) of
Aliso Viejo, and I want to thank the committee for their hard work during this redistricting process. It is my wish that we keep our own coastal district in Orange County. Our communities share common interests, levels of income, and ways of life.

I request kindly that we please keep the coastal areas of Orange County together. And that is my call.

Thank you so much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 4967. And up next after that will be caller 8224.

Caller 4967, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Hello, Commissioners. My name's Alita (ph.), and I'd like to reference the Fresno Tulare Congressional map. The City of Fresno does not belong in the Fresno Tulare Congressional district draft. As a resident of rural Fresno County, I understand how different the city's urban population is from the rest of the district's rural communities.

The City of Fresno should be included in a district like Fresno, where it will share with representation from the Fresno County Board of Supervisors and Fresno City Council, and not disrupt the Fresno Tulare district's rural communities of interest. Instead, the Fresno
Tulare districts should include more of the rural Kings and Tulare Counties, which are far more similar to the rural communities in the Fresno County like mine. Thank you. And have a nice evening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we will have caller 8224. And up next after that will be caller 6212.

Caller 8224, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello, do you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello? Okay. Hello, Commissioner. I called yesterday and made my comment after I listened in to your meeting yesterday. I have to call again to make another comment. I respectfully disagree with what the Commissioner Akutagawa was saying that about Little Saigon District. Ten year ago we were (indiscernible) should be with Little Saigon. We both denied this and (indiscernible).

Now, you are doing the same with the 49,000 people from North of Huntington Beach and Huntington Harbor to be a part of Little Saigon. Today, (indiscernible) had over thirty-five percent Vietnamese-American. Vietnamese is their (indiscernible) language other than language for (indiscernible) School District students in 205 council
member of Vietnamese-American.

Please don't deny our community the right Assemblymember and deny that Huntington Beach had a lack of Vietnamese-American community --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- as well. Ten years from now, Huntington Beach will be no (indiscernible) ten year later. Please go back to CCW map and make change. Thank you for listening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 6212. And up next after that will be caller 8112.

Caller 6212, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MR. HAIGE: Thank you. My name is Jacob Haige (ph.). I live in San Pedro. I'm a government employee. I work very closely with the Port of Los Angeles, and I agree with the speakers from Long Beach on having two Congressional districts. It's vitally important that we have the representation, especially these critical times with port congestion.

But I'm really calling about what is now Assembly District 70, which incorporates San Pedro. I understand our neighbors, Long Beach, want their own district, which we are good with, but that's affected San Pedro. In your
latest rendition -- your edition of that map, it cuts San
Pedro on the West, a small sliver and moves into another
Assembly district. We're asking that you include all of
San Pedro in one Assembly district. Don't cut our
Western portion.

San Pedro is a working-class community. It's a port
community. We love our neighbors on the hill, but they
are an affluent --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MR. HAIGE: -- community. There are no shared roads
that -- or access to Rancho Palos Verdes or Rolling Hills
Estates. It's a different community. We believe we will
be disenfranchised -- that small sliver will be
disenfranchised.

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

MR. HAIGE: And we ask that you make San Pedro
whole. Keep us in one Assembly district. And we thank
you for your time and all of your service.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now is caller 8112. And up next after that will be
caller 4201.

Caller 8112, if you'll please follow the prompts to
unmute. The floor is yours.

MR. DO: Good evening. I'm Councilman Tai Do from
Westminster. I have been living in this Little Saigon
area for over thirty years. So thank you very much for all of your efforts for making sure Little Saigon areas is whole. You're almost there. Ten years ago, no one would have thought that Fountain Valley is part of the core of Little Saigon, and today it is. This is exactly what is happening in Huntington Beach.

We are asking you to make Little Saigon complete by adding in the 49,000 residents who reside North of Huntington Beach at Garfield Street and remove Stanton, in the past, a majority Latino population. We have a very close community and Vietnamese-Americans in Huntington Beach also need an Assemblymember who understands their needs, like the rest of Little Saigon.

Well, thank you very much for your hard work and for your service. Have a good evening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we will have caller 4201. And up next after that will be caller 2546.

Caller 4201, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. Caller 4201, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MR. WALDMAN: Thank you. Stuart Waldman from VICA. I want to thank you for all you've done in trying to keep the Valley whole. Right now, the Congressional draft map includes three Valley Congressional districts, a
Glendale-Burbank district, an East-West-central San Fernando Valley district, and a West South Valley district. This happens to match the Valley's subregions perfectly, and we hope that we'll be able to keep those whole.

There's one of the changes that we have suggested and we've suggested a simple four-district change that would move Santa Monica out of the Valley District, which I know that Santa Monica wants to do that as well. It'll also make a swap that puts -- so it puts Santa Monica in the Shoreline District. And Malibu SFV district would now be almost fully North of Mulholland, except for a couple, obviously, you have to balance, but a couple of areas.

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MR. WALDMAN: It will unify North Ridge in a single Congressional district, which we would appreciate, and then it unites Studio City and Toluca Lake in the San Fernando Valley District. So it's pretty simple. We've sent the --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

MR. WALDMAN: -- Shapefiles. We'll send them again. We appreciate what you've done for the Valley. We hope that we can keep it going on the Congressional maps. And we know it's a little more difficult because of the
deviation. But just want to thank you and look forward to seeing what happens.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we will have caller 2546. And up next after that will be caller 3995.

Caller 2546, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello, esteemed Commissioners. I'd love to agree with that last caller. I'm calling on behalf of the beautiful beach City of Santa Monica again, and we are asking that the 93,000 residents of Santa Monica be returned to the shoreline district of Venice. It's a beach city. That's a key word. It's not a Valley city.

I ask that the Commissioners please consider the solution that Stuart Waldman and VICA have submitted. The VICA plan is a limited population swap between four districts and fixes existing problems with each. It puts almost all of the San Fernando Valley districts North of Mulholland Drive. It unites West Hollywood and connects it with Beverly Hills in the West Side cities. It puts Studio City in Toluca Lake together in a Valley district. It puts all of North Ridge back together into one district. And most importantly, it puts Santa Monica back on the shoreline district with Venice.
MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Santa Monica belongs to Venice. The VICA plan limits its adjustments to just the fourth -- the VICA plan limits its adjustments to just the four North LA districts and it is balanced so that it doesn't impact the good work of the Commission and the rest of L.A.

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you again for your work and for trying to keep Santa Monica in a coastal district. Santa Monica is on the beach, not in the Valley. It's a beach city. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we will have caller 3995. And up next after that will be caller 9841.

Caller 3995, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. Good evening, Commissioners. I'm calling to speak on the High Desert SV map. I just want to say that the Commission has done a fantastic job listening to community members with this draft. You've drawn a district that fully enfranchises our desert communities and its residents. Thank you for recognizing that our communities are impacted by these same issues, such as federal land conservation and rural
Another important detail of this map is how you've given a voice to the high concentration of veterans that are in the region by keeping together Fort Irwin with the Marine bases in Barstow and Twentynine Palms, Barstow Veterans Home, the Victorville VA Clinic and Loma Linda's VA hospital. You've guaranteed full representation on the federal level for these active military members, veterans, their families, and the communities that rely on these bases and the facilities with them. Once more, thank you Commissioners for acting in the interests of our desert communities.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 9841. And up next after that will be caller 8627.

Caller 9841, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Hello. My name is Amanda (ph.), and I am from Westminster. I just like to thank the Commission for all the hard work. I'm calling today to unequivocally declare my support for keeping the strong Vietnamese community in Westminster and Huntington Beach. I have heard essentially every Commissioner speak about the importance of keeping communities of interest together. This is your chance.
The Vietnamese community does not deserve to be broken up. It deserves to be kept together. The only way to officially do this -- effectively do this is to maintain and keep the whole Vietnamese community that exists in Huntington Beach and Westminster. Thank you for your time and dedication to getting this process right. Have a great night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we will have caller 8627. And up next after that will be caller 6789.

Caller 8627, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MS. MANANCHO: Hello. My name is Christina Manancho (ph.), and I'm here and I am with Asian Solidarity Collective in San Diego, California. ASC is a regional lead organization for the API and AMEMSA Redistricting Collaborative. I would really like to thank the Commission today for keeping our convoy COI whole, which includes Mira Mesa, Claremont, Kearny Mesa, Linda Vista, and keeping it with El Cajon, La Mesa, Lemon Grove, Spring Valley, and supporting the new configuration as it uplifts their most impacted communities and keeps their communities together. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 6789. And up next after that
will be caller 2931.

Caller 6789, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Heather, my name is Vincent (ph.). I'm a resident of Fountain Valley and a part of Get Rise, a nonprofit based in Garden Grove. As an organization and as a community member living in Little Saigon, we implore you to keep together West Santa Ana, West of the Santa Ana River with the neighboring cities, Westminster, Garden Grove, and Fountain valley, both in the Congressional State Senate draft maps similar to the layout of the Assembly draft map.

This is a large concentration of Vietnamese living in West Santa Ana, who are predominantly mobile home residents, seniors, low income and a large concentration of mobile home parks in Westminster, Garden Grove, and West Santa Ana should be kept together within the same district, rather than being separated. These maps should reflect what unites our communities, who are facing similar systemic issues. And keeping these cities together also respects the strong growth of Little Saigon, whose origins line West Santa Ana and later moved on to Garden Grove, Westminster, and Fountain Valley.

West Santa Ana continues to be an important gathering place for Vietnamese community members due to a
large concentration of large Vietnamese churches and
temples, many of which were built early on in the
development of --

MR. MANOFF: Twenty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: -- Little Saigon. And
furthermore, the socioeconomic background of West Santa
Ana is much more similar to the cities of Westminster and
Garden Grove and --

MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: -- the cities of
Huntington Beach and Newport Beach have much higher
median income and should not be placed together. Thank
you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now we will have caller 2931. And up next after
that will be caller 3480.

Caller 2931, if you'll please follow the prompts to
unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello, Commissioner. I am
calling again regarding a Little Saigon. I am frustrated
and confused. We sat through the listening to enforce
and protect Korean-American community, Fullerton, Little
Arabia, Fullerton College, (indiscernible) city, but
second largest minority group is being ignored. Little
Saigon has been advocating for months. And we still can
be here. And you keep adding cities that we did not ask (indiscernible) for the Assembly district GGW map. Please put a portion of Huntington Beach in with Little Saigon, either North of Garfield Street and (indiscernible) Street in Huntington Beach, and remove Cypress and Stanton.

Thank you for listening. Please listen to voice of Little Saigon. Please don't treat us like a stepchild. Treat us on equally. Thank you and have a good evening, Commissioner. Bye-bye.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 3480. And up next after that will be caller 0969.

Caller 3480, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MR. LI: Hello. My name is Richie Li (ph.). I'd first like to say thank you to Commissioner Linda Akutagawa for hearing and recognizing the community testimony for Little Saigon. Now, that we are on to the Congressional districts, please put Little Saigon in with Huntington Beach.

If we truly want to represent the Vietnamese community, this change should happen. Please make the change a top priority when you revisit the Orange County Coastal District tomorrow. Thank you guys so much for
your time. We really appreciate it. Having a good
night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now we have caller 0969. And up next after that
will be caller 9153.

Caller 0969, if you'll please follow the prompts to
unmute. The floor is yours.

Caller 0969, if you'll double-check your phone and
make sure it is not on mute. You are unmuted in the
meeting.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Yes. It was on mute.

My bad. Let me try again.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Good evening. Okay.

Good evening. My name is Taavi, spelled T-A-A-V-I, and I
am a Garden Grove resident. I also work for United
Domestic Workers, the in-home supportive service provider
union. IHSS providers and our UDW members need fair and
equal representation because the IHSS program depends on
funding from the State of California, County Board of
Supervisors, and Federal Medicaid.

A significant portion of our OC members and
providers belong to the Latinx communities of Santa Ana,
West Anaheim, and South Fullerton. UDW applauds the
Commission's decision to draw these communities into the
same federal Voting Rights Act districts.

We would like to encourage you to improve this map by incorporating the remainder of South Fullerton that is South of Chapman Avenue and West of Richmond Avenue. We believe that the parts of the City of Orange should be excluded. Doing so will help solidify the fifty percent Latinx population requirement for this VRA district.

UDW --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: -- thanks the Commission for your hard work, and thank you for your consideration.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 9153. And up next after that will be caller 1043.

Caller 9153, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Good evening. My name is Nancy (ph.), and I'm calling from Laguna Niguel. Right now, Laguna Niguel is slightly split in the Congressional maps, and I think if we focus on making an Orange County coastal district, we can rectify this and allow the coastal district to proceed down to Dana Point and San Clemente. There is an easy population swap to make this happen.

We just need to keep Irvine whole and let it anchor
the Inland Orange County district. I know these are small changes, but after you finalize the VRA requirements, I think these communities of interest make sense to address next in Orange County. Thank you for your time and your service.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 1043. And up next after that will be caller 5699.

Caller 1043, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

Caller 1043, if you'll doublecheck your phone and make sure it is not on mute. You are unmuted in the meeting.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. Dear Commissioner, I call and was able to speak to (indiscernible) just wanted to call again today, because we are very, very disappointed (indiscernible) Little Saigon. You are denying (indiscernible) community of true representation by removing Stanton and (indiscernible). The Assembly district would be well over thirty percent. We know how that it has been for use of (audio interference) ten years ago. So now we are getting involved to make sure it doesn't happen again. Please look at the Assembly district (audio interference) -- very simple, remove Stanton and add North of Garfield. Thank you. Have a
good evening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 5699. And up next after that will be caller 3700.

Caller 5699, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. Caller 5699, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. I do apologize caller 5699, you do appear to have some type of connectivity issue at the moment. We will come back to you.

Caller 3700 will be right now and up next after that will be caller 1007. Caller 3700, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours. Go ahead 3700, if you'll please doublecheck your phone to make sure you are not on mute. You are unmuted at the meeting.

MS. WILSON: Hi. Can you hear me now?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can, the floor is yours.

MS. WILSON: Hi. My name is Betty Wilson (ph.). I'm calling in for our coalition regarding Zone F, San Joaquin County. Just a couple of quick comments. I believe there was conversation regarding one of our Assembly districts discussing Mirada and Garden Acres. We would respectfully request keeping those sister cities with San Joaquin County.
We would encourage the Commission to look at submitted maps 1007, 202, 1124. I believe Mountain House could provide the same outcome. We encourage the Commission to trust your 11/10 visualizations and we would hate to disenfranchise our community by removing areas that have been part of our community for some time.

Also when considering changes, please consider submitted Congressional district map 1006, 202, 1112. We believe on that map we have met all the Commission's criteria.

Thank you for all your hard work. And I know I know -- we know you will make the best decisions for those communities wishing for fair and equitable representation. Thank you so very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 1007. And up next after that will be caller 5184.

Caller 1007, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Hi. My name is Chris and I'm a resident of Newport Beach. We have some serious concerns here in the coast about being lumped in with large inland cities like Irvine. These two communities are two different to simply lump us together. I worry our concerns on the coast will be washed out by a
city that has its own issues to worry about. This would propose a situation that's not fair for us, nor is it fair for the citizens of Irvine. We all deserve a Congressional representative who isn't splitting their time, focusing on two different communities. Please, keep Irvine out of Central -- or out of the coastal Congressional district.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 5184. And up next after that will be caller 6445.

Caller 5184, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MS. BUTRA.: Hi, my name is Chris Butra (ph.). I'm calling from Mammoth Lakes, California. And I just want to comment, because I know you'll be going to Congressional districts in Northern California in the next day or two. The current Congressional district EDECA draft just isn't just. You know, it still dips all the way to Modesto. That dip brought out a huge outcry from communities in the Central Valley and communities in the Sierra Nevada. No one from either community thought it was a good idea. It's just unfair to both areas.

In addition, the current configuration cuts the district off and it separates the Lake Tahoe Basin from Truckee. You know, it's not just a community of
interest, that's one community. The Commission should seriously consider returning to VCD_ECA 11-02 for all the reasons we have been outlining since the process first began. It keeps the community of interest together. It's geographically contiguous, even with the Sierra Nevada. It's as compacted as possible. We've watched for days. You worked so hard in San Diego, Orange County, and LA to keep communities together. A Sierra district is possible. And we just hope you'll take the same time and care to solve for our community. Thank you very much for your time.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 6445. And up next after that will be caller 6586.

Caller 6445, if you'll please -- the floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. Can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. I'm calling from Little Saigon. We are happy that you are prioritizing the Vietnamese community and hope that you can put Little Saigon with Huntington Beach in the Congressional district map. Huntington Beach is really a part of the greater Little Saigon. And our communities make sense together. Thank you for all your work in recognizing the importance of Vietnamese Congressional district in Orange
County and have a good evening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we will have caller 6586. And up next after that will be caller 2189.

Caller 6586, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you very much, Commissioners. I'm calling about the Commission's sort of arbitrary decision to completely divide Irvine from the Congressional district and not for the Assembly or Senate districts. Irvine is one of the more important cities in California from an economic perspective as well as from a united communities perspective. And the people who live here deserve their representation in Congress that understands our diverse range of problems. So dividing Irvine representation at the federal level does a major disservice to those who live here. And not only -- and it does not align with Commission's solid reasoning behind keeping Irvine together for the -- the state level lineage. So thank you very much for your time and thank you very much for all your hard work.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 2189. And up next after that will be caller 1816.

Caller 2189, if you'll please follow the prompts to
unmute. The floor is yours.

MS. RICHARDSON: Good evening. My name is Wilberta Richardson (ph.). I am a 35-year resident of Altadena and the president of Altadena NAACP. Our request is simple. We request that the maps that were drawn on November 10th be maintained. It keeps Altadena and Pasadena together, not separating. They're contiguous communities that lived together for enormously long time. And it maintains the voice of African American community in San Gabriel valley. To do otherwise will minimize the strength of our voice and impact us substantially.

Unfortunately, there's no attempt to split Altadena and things of that type, but we request that Altadena and Pasadena be kept together. Thank you for your time.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 1816. And up next after that will be caller 3405. Caller 1816, if you'll please follow the prompt to unmute. The floor is yours.

MS. LOPEZ: I'm Councilmember Quena Lopez (ph.) serving the North area, district 5 in San Leandro, bordering Oakland. Today I am speaking on behalf of myself and on behalf of our council. San Leandro's deep economic and historical ties to Oakland and should be considered a shared community of interest. Please move San Leandro into AD Oakland from ADE Spa (ph.). Also
please not split San Leandro between the two would have impact. The Commissioner Vazquez articulated concerns about this a bit ago. San Leandro and Oakland are tied together by shared employment, housing, health care, human services, a creek protecting endangered species, and retail establishments, as well as transportation and infrastructure with 58-880, International Boulevard, East Fortune, San Diego Boulevard, Sutton Avenue, and Doolittle Drive in common. The recently enlarged CRT (ph.) system called Tempo (ph.) with its high level of -- of ridership has one terminal (audio interference) community center in Oakland and the other terminal in downtown San Leandro. San Leandro's commercial and industrial corridor is able to thrive (audio interference) --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MS. LOPEZ: Oakland International Airport, but also shares impact environmentally so. San Leandro's unified district directly serves children who live in Oakland and there is a bus line that serves both San Leandro and Oakland children to get them to various schools --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

MS. LOPEZ: -- along the Bancroft Avenue Corridor. San Leandro under contact -- contact with Oakland human service agencies to provide basic services to San Leandro
residents such as tenant protection services (in Spanish, not translated), integrated health services through (in Spanish, not translated) --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 3405. And up next after that will be caller 8407.

Caller 3405, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you Commissioners, for your service. I have not been able to listen all day. However, earlier today, I believe I heard a couple of Commissioners speak that they would like to fix the issue of the City of Albany being the only Alameda County city in a Congressional district where the rest of the districts in Contra Costa County. I'm speaking to appreciate those remarks and to advocate again for putting the City of Albany back in the district with Berkeley and other cities in Alameda County. You can move population to the East or the South of this district in order to do this. I'm not sure I'm speaking at the right time for this in order for the issues that have already been resolved since I haven't followed all -- all day, which brings me to my next comment.

It's difficult to follow the daily accomplishments of the Commission. And I know the -- and I know the
detailed agenda for the next day. If there were textual
summary of each day and the likely agenda for the next
day published on the website, this would be very helpful
for the public. Or something to this affect. Or -- or
if this is available, please let us know where we can
find it.

Thank you for your consideration of the suggestion
and thanks again for your service and for taking on this
long and difficult task.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now we have caller 8037. And up next after that
will be caller 5832.

Caller 8037, if you'll please follow the prompt to
unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Hi. Good evening. My
name is Grayson (ph.) and I live in Dana Point and I'm
calling about the Orange County, San Diego Congressional
maps. I'm glad that you're taking so much time in the
Southern California -- in Southern California after some
of the hasty decisions in November. And I certainly
understand that we have to start with the VRA districts.
But over six hours today, you've only focused on San
Diego and you've not even considered that the changes you
just made have ripples with the many communities that you
have heard around Orange County all week.
The Commission has been so singularly focused on San Diego, I worry that they are not looking at the bigger picture. Please consider putting Dana Point and San Clemente in with Orange County before it's too late.

And --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. All right -- right now we'll have caller 5832. And up next after that will be caller 5179.

Caller 5832, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Hi my name is Lizzy (ph.). I'm a resident of Orange County. I'm concerned over the potential division of Irvine and the Congressional proposals. Irvine belongs with us in the inland section of the county. Our needs inland are completely different (audio interference) apart from the coast. And if Irvine is split, their voices will be drowned out by the waves crashing into Surf City. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 5179. And up next after that will be caller 7068.

Caller 5179, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute.

MS. WONG: Hello. Can you hear me?
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

MS. WONG: Great. Good evening Commissioners. This is Amy Wong (ph.) from El Monte. I urge you unite the AAPI (ph.) community of interest in the West San Gabriel Valley by following the November 2nd Congressional visualization with some modifications.

As a Chinese American, I grew up here and my family and friends see the West San Gabriel Valley AAPI community as a foundational cultural hub. And it should not be divided. Please extend the 27th, 28th, and 29th Congressional districts North into the National Forest so over a million residents have a member of Congress who represents them on National Forest issues. Lower income communities of color have different priorities that richer foothill cities when it comes to our public lands. We, people of color, deserve to have a say in our they should be managed.

I'd also like to express support for the December 3rd, LA Assembly district proposal by the AAPI and AMEMSA state redistricting collaborative.

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MS. WONG: For the El Monte border, please use streets South of the 10 Freeway instead of the 10 Freeway itself. Many AAPI families, including mine, live South of the 10 Freeway. So we should be included in the West
San Gabriel Valley Assembly district with the AAPI majority.

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

MS. WONG: Thank you for keeping El Monte and South El Monte united at the Senate and Congressional levels. Thank you and good evening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we will have caller 7068. And then up next after that will be caller 7175.

Caller 7068, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. Can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: All right. I'm calling from Little Saigon. I know you have heard a lot from our Vietnamese community, but I wanted to call today because you are focusing on Orange County Congressional map. Please put Little Saigon in with Huntington Beach, Westminster, Fountain Valley, and Garden Grove. Really consider Huntington Beach to be a part of Little Saigon because the Vietnamese population lives all throughout. Please make this change for the Congressional district. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we will have caller 7175. If you'll please
follow the prompts to unmute. The floor -- the floor is yours.

MR. PAYNE: Thank you. Good evening Commissioners. My name is Jeremey Payne (ph.) calling on behalf of Equality California. I would like to say thank you for keeping our San Diego LGBTQ+ community together by connecting LGBTQ+ communities in Balboa Park, Mission Hills, Hillcrest, University Heights, North Park, and South Park in your Congressional mapping today. I really appreciate the careful attention you've been giving to the LGBTQ+ community.

In an effort to strengthen the LGBTQ+ community and the diverse communities which we belong, I would recommend moving our LGBTQ+ community into Central SD district, the one that was being working on today. Doing so would unite us with the communities of Kensington, Normal Heights, and SDSU where we share some more values and concerns and have long worked together to elect candidates choice who will champion our civil rights' interests. This will also help in your efforts to keep SD Coast closer to the City of San Diego, because I know there's been a lot of work there today.

For my remaining time, I'd like to speak on Sacramento's Congressional district and remind you of our concern with the Congressional district --
MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MR. PAYNE: -- to divide downtown Sacramento and our LGBTQ+ district between two Congressional districts. The LGBTQ+ residence of these two proposed Sacramento districts are served by the same LGBTQ+ organization and institution, by the same LGBTQ+ community center and Lavender Library and go to the same LGBTQ+ citizenship community spaces and night life locations, many of which are in the LGBTQ+ district of Lavender Heights. But that --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we'll have caller 3241.

If you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. And one more time, caller 3241, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is --

Can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Awesome. Hello. I'm just calling about the Seal and Brea Congressional maps with some suggestions to make it better in the interest of Orange County's maps. With the Santa Ana district mostly in the center of the county, I think we just need to tweak the three surrounding districts in Orange County. Seal Beach should be moved in with the coastal district
with the other beach cities.

Then we can make the Seal Brea more compact by adding places like Yorba Linda back in order -- in which it really be with Brea. This small population shift should be relatively easy and a good place to start in Orange County now that VRAs are set. You can then grab more coastal populations for the coastal district and put that back with Brea in the OC district. These small shifts will better reflect the communities in Orange County and their interests. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And Chair Andersen, we are up against the break at this time.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Thank you so much, Katy. So it's time for us to take our fifteen-minute break. So if you are in line, please don't hang up. We will get back to you as soon as we get back from break. So fifteen-minute break. So please come back at 7:50.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 7:35 p.m. until 7:50 p.m.)

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Welcome to the -- back to the California Citizens Districting Commission meeting. We are taking public comment at this time. For those who were in line, we will be getting to you. The lines did close at 6:15, but if -- please stay where you are and we'll continue right on with your public
comments. Thank you very much.

Kristian could you -- or Katy, could you take that away?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Absolutely. Thank you chair.

Right now we have called in user 2. And after that we'll have caller 3166.

Call-in user 2, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. Call-in user 2, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. I do apologize, call-in user 2, and without your last four -- you do have -- it appears you have some type of connectivity issue at the moment. I will put you down as a retry and we will come back around to you.

All right. Now, we will have caller 3166. And up next after that will be caller 9805. Caller 3166, if you'll please follow the prompt to unmute. And one more time, caller 3166, if you'll please follow the prompt to unmute by pressing star six.

I do apologize, too. Caller 3166, it appears you may be having connectivity issue as well at the moment. I will come back around.

MR. MANOFF: As a reminder to those in the queue, please be alert for when it is your turn to speak.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: All right. Now, we will
have caller 9805. If you will please follow the prompts
to unmute by pressing star six. Caller with the last
four digits 9805, if you will please follow the prompts
to unmute by pressing star six.

Caller 7618, if you will please follow the prompts
to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, can you hear me?
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Sure can.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: All right. I'm just calling
and joining in the callers from Little Saigon that ask
that our community be kept whole and put with Huntington
Beach. We have long shared a Congressional district with
Huntington Beach because there is such a vibrant
Vietnamese community that lives in Huntington Beach.
Close to 7,000 Vietnamese voters are here. Please make
the small change in the Congressional maps. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now we will have caller 5038. And up next after
that will be caller 7592.

Caller 5038, if you will please follow the prompts
to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (In Spanish, not translated).
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. Right
now we will have caller 7592. And up next after that
will be caller 9517.
Caller 7592, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening. My modified December 6th, Santa Clara County area state Assembly districts including Bonita District are posted at public input 34704. That's 34074.

In regards to Congressional redistricting, the November 10th redistricting plan divides Asian adjoining cities in the West San Diego Valley between two districts. A transfer of San Gabriel Valley areas between CD 210 and CD Covina could solve this problem. Alhambra, Monterey Park, North El Monte, Rosemead, and San Gabriel could be transferred to CD 210. And Grassberry, Dwightay (ph.) Glendora, Laverne, San Dimas, and part of Claremont could be transferred to CD Covina.

In terms of redistricting, reducing Northern California, one of the decisions you'll need to make is that should North Fair Oaks and East Palo Alto be the Northern San Mateo County districts. So that can be done. But it does need to require a split, perhaps of Pacifica.

And in regards to the San Clara County redistricting, I'm not (audio interference) with the maps, but I hope to send some of my own very soon. Thank you so much.
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we will have caller 9517. And up next after that will be caller 0247.

Caller 9517, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. Caller 9517, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six.

And I do apologize, caller 9517, you appear to have some type of connectivity issue at the moment. We will come back.

And right now we'll have caller 0247. If you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.


Thank you so much for this opportunity. My name is Manny De Leon (ph.) and I'm a resident of Irvine. A UC alumni and a community organizer with the Orange County Alien Pacific Islander Community. OCAPICA for short. An organization in Orange County dedicated to centering in the personal needs of the Asian community in Irvine. On a personal note, I am also an organizer with the Overlook, the significant population of Filipino Americans in and around Irvine.

Speaking of Irvine. Irvine is one of the fastest growing cities in the state which is being filled by ongoing growth by growing communities. Growing numbers of Asian American Pacific Islanders and AMEMSA residents
consider Irvine and Tustin their home. And also homes in emerging low-income populations with needs so much those of the Latinx community in Costa Masa.

While the Congressional draft map generally looks at the Orange community, the division of Irvine and the splitting of Irvine Costa Masa and Tustin communities of interest is a major concern. You've heard from the People's Redistricting Alliance members about the importance of keeping these --

MR. MANOFF: thirty seconds.

MR. DE LEON: -- proportionate immigrant communities whole and together, especially one of the fastest growing majority -- major cities in the state is coming to its own. The city can be kept whole by joining the portion of Irvine North of the four -- of the 5 Freeway into the district of the North Orange County coast and balancing populations in that area between --

MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds.

MR. DE LEON: -- districts North orange county coast and South orange county, North San Diego. The -- can occur without disturbing the Federal Rights Act --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we'll have caller 6625. And up next after that will be caller 3849.

Caller 6625, if you will please follow the prompts
to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening Commission.

Overall, myself and my neighbors would like the High Desert SV map. It keeps together a vast majority of San Bernardino County High Desert. And it ties to the rural communities in our county. We all face issues dealing with transportation and the preservation of the environment. Particularly on lands owned by the Federal Government. This district will allow us to elect a candidate to advocate for our community based on these issues.

Thank you, Commissioners, and we encourage you to keep this map.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we'll have caller 3849. And up next after that will be caller 0945. Caller 3849, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute.

MR. YIP: Hi. Can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can. The floor is yours.

MR. YIP: Hi. Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Andrew Yip and I'm a resident in San Gabriel Valley. I urge you to reunite the AAPI communities of interest in the West San Gabriel Valley with the 27th Congressional District. I've lived in Monterey Park, Rosemead, and
Arcadia and continue to visit these cities for work and recreation. These are Asian American communities that are heavily intertwined in culture, public health, language, food, religion, and politics. All one has to do is just Google San Gabriel Valley, West San Gabriel Valley, and all of these cities pop up, whether it's about food, culture, or travel.

The 27th and 28th, and 29th Congressional Districts should also extend North into the National Forest so that over 1.5 million residents, particularly of those of lower income communities of color have a member of Congress who represents them on the national -- on National Forest issues.

MR. MANOFF: Twenty seconds.

MR. YIP: Please consider reuniting the AAPI communities and also ensuring they have representation for their public matters. Thank you and goodnight.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we'll have caller 0945. And up next after that will be caller 7778.

Caller 0945, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners, I'm calling on behalf of the City of San Leandro, respectfully asking that the Commission keep City of San
Leandro intact within one Assembly districts. This is the new drafted district that keeps the communities of similar interest with districts shared characteristics in terms of economic status, types of employment, and voting preferences. Separating the cities in similar communities like Oakland and pairing it with more affluent communities East of the hills will likely isolate the cities' residents and ultimately their voting power. Thank you very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 7778. And up next after that will be caller 5970.

Caller 7778, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Good evening. Thank you. Good evening. My name is Robin and I'm a fourth-decade resident of Newport Beach. I know you've heard a lot from our community so I promise to be brief. First of all I want to thank you for the acknowledgement that the OC Coast is an incredibly unique community as are so many. The recent and devastating oil spill is a perfect example of why it is essential to keep our beach cities together in the Congressional mask so that we actually get proper representation. I genuinely hope you'll prioritize adjusting the OC coastal district tomorrow and
make sure that the beach cities from Seal Beach down to San Clemente are all kept together. Thank you so much for your kindness and consideration.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we'll have caller 5970. And up next after that will be caller 6380. Caller 5970, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MS. NUNDAS: Hello. Good evening. Thank you so much for this opportunity and for your time and public service. My name is Kristie Nundas (ph.) and I am the chairperson for our local community advisory committee here in East Las Angeles. I'm a life-long resident and a third-generation homeowner in the unincorporated East Las Angeles area. I'm calling to share my concern with a false narrative out there that the Boyle Heights community and the communities of unincorporated East Las Angeles and Sedona, Lincoln Heights, and City Paris, that they do not belong together. That is false.

When we talk about communities of interest, these communities have a lot in common and share borders, culture, language, food, and other values and morals that I think and I believe should remain within this current district.

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MS. NUNDAS: And I also want to say that I agree
with Commissioner Fernandez when she said that we -- you
all need to really please take your time and do this
right and give each district the respect and time it
deserves across the state. And this includes East Las
Angeles who continues to marginalize. And I want to make
sure that is not the case.

So thank you again for your time. And I appreciate
it so much. Have a good night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now we will have caller 6380. And up next after
that will be caller 6659.

Caller 6380, if you will please follow the prompts
to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. I wanted to make some
comments on the Congressional map for Orange County. I
respectfully would ask to keep Orange County and Las
Angeles separate. They have different interests in
regard to cultural, economic, and tourism, and coastal
communities. I also ask that you keep the OCs, the
Orange County beaches together, like Seal Beach and San
Clemente and separate the coastal communities from the
urban cities. I also ask that you keep Huntington Beach
with Dana Point and San Clemente together because they do
share tourism, economic, cultural, and tourism interests.

That is all. Thank you very much.
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: All right, now we have caller 6659. And up next after that will be caller 0073.

Caller 6659, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Hi. Can you guys hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Good evening. My name is Hooey (ph.). I'm calling on behalf of the Little Saigon community. I'm happy that the Commission has heard our thoughts. That we have moved on to the Congressional maps. I wanted to make sure you continue to prioritize keeping Little Saigon together. Our community makes the most sense with Huntington Beach because so much of the Vietnamese community lives in Huntington Beach. I understand why this could not happen at the Assembly level, but I think it can at the Congressional level and I hope you can make this change.

Thank you. Have a good night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we will have caller 0073. And up next after that will be caller 1353.

Caller 0073, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MR. IDE: Hello, Commissioners. This is Mike Ide
from Equality California, the nation's largest statewide LGBTQ+ civil rights organization. I'm calling once again to ask the Commission, keep the LGBTQ+ community united both in the Long Beach coastal communities and in the Coachella Valley in the Congressional map. The LGBTQ+ group community and coastal communities of Long Beach, Signal Hill, Lakewood, Seal Beach, and Huntington Beach should stay in one Congressional district rather than going inland to Downey. These communities also share the same coastal issues which other communities of interest have also requested stay united in one Congressional district.

Uniting us in one Congressional district will better unite and power our coastal LGBTQ+ environmental communities elect candidates of choice who will advocate for their shared priorities and concerns in Congress.

As for the Coachella Valley, a reminder that the Coachella Valley is home to historic and vibrant LGBTQ+ community which includes many of our LGBTQ+ elders --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MR. IDE: -- in California now -- and the first generation of people living with HIV to live in their senior years. These communities need to be united. And we ask that you keep the LGBTQ+ community united in one Congressional district in the Coachella Valley as well.
Thank you so much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we'll be going to caller 1353. And up next after that we will be retrying caller 3166.

Caller 1353, if you'll please follow the prompt to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello Commission. I am a resident of Dana Point and I really find it insensible to place South Orange County in the district that is mostly in San Diego. Such Southern OC county such as Dana Point has much more in common with cities like Laguna Beach and Newport Beach as opposed to San Diego cities such as Oceanside or Encinitas. So there are 15 miles between Santa Clemente and Oceanside, yet we are not in the same district as these neighboring communities.

So these OCB cities that are along the coast have similar interests and we're in critical need of infrastructure. And being in a district, surrounding needs of San Diego, which subsequently -- actually leave us with little representation in the South OC. So we would like to have one representative focus on our concerns specifically related to -- to our Orange County coastal communities.

Please keep Orange County beaches in one district from Seal Beach --
MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- to Santa Clemente. Orange County coasts deserve one Congressional district. Our economy is so reliant on tourism in attracting visitors, both domestic and international. And we need one representative that shares these goals --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- being grouped with more inland areas diminishes our ability to prioritize these issues as that our livelihoods depend on.

Thank you Commission, we appreciate the opportunity to address our concerns and we -- we're very much appreciative. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we will be retrying caller 3166. And then up next after that we will retry caller 9805.

Caller 3166, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. And one more time. Caller 3166, please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. I do apologize caller 3166, you appear to have some type of connectivity issue. Please reach out to the Commission in numerous other ways or later this week.

And right now we will retry caller 9805, if you will please follow the prompt to unmute. And one more time, caller 9805, I do apologize. If you are able to follow
the prompts to unmute, if not, please reach out to the
Commission in other ways possible.

And right now we will retry caller 5699. If you
will please follow the prompts to unmute, if you can.
Not having much luck on the retries tonight. All right.
I do apologize, caller 5699. If you will please reach
out to the Commission in the other ways possible.

And I believe we have one more -- oh, two more.
Caller 9517, if you will please attempt to follow the
prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is
yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. This is
(indiscernible). I am calling to -- to talk about
something very important to me and my children, family,
and friends. Thank you for the hard work in this -- with
the process. We are asking you to add -- to look at the
Little Saigon Assembly district again along there and in
Huntington Beach. We want to be represented by the
Assembly member in the Little Saigon. We will yet not
and be ignored and we are with Little Saigon. We will
send -- materially -- they should have (indiscernible)
they should be with the -- community of interest. We
have no interest with them at all (indiscernible) --
Little Saigon and have none (indiscernible) in the
Assembly who understand and who have us (indiscernible).
Thank you very much and --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And we will be retrying call-in user 2. If you'll please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing start 6. I do apologize -- oops, there we go. The floor is yours.

Call-in user 2, you want to doublecheck your telephone, make sure you are not on mute? You are unmuted in the meeting.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: What number did you call?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: I don't have your number, but it is you. Your -- the floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: All right. Well, I -- I -- I think I'm having some -- my name is Xavier and I'm in the Harbor area, both the Long Beach and San Pedro. And I think that -- it always makes sense to follow natural borders, you know, when -- when you look at the current district it runs alongside the -- the coast pretty much from -- from Newport Beach up to the county line. And then up along the -- the Las Palominos up to Los Alamos and the edge of Buena Park. That's the natural district. And -- and it does two things. It covers the Orange County LA County line. And it also keeps together the beach cities that need to remain together.
Now, the other part of this equation that I think is important for you to consider, which has been mentioned before is that we have two ports, Long Beach and San Pedro. And they are the two largest ports in America.

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: They handle 40 percent of the trade. And -- and therefore, if you start, you know, breaking them or finagling up from Orange County, there is a reason that they call the border the Orange Curtain, okay, because it is completely different -- it is -- and so I -- I think it's important.

And also you have the Long Beach, the LGBTQ community and you -- you kind of want to keep that on the edge of Orange County with the other ones that were mentioned earlier.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And that is all of our callers at this time, Chair.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you very much, Katy.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: You're welcome.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So the -- we've come to the end of our list.

Thank you very much to all the -- those who -- all that commented. And for all those who are listening.

We really appreciate you and expect to hear from you again tomorrow. On that, we're done for our business for
today. And we'll resume the meeting again tomorrow at 11:00 a.m. Thank you.

(Whereupon, the 2021 Citizens Redistricting Commission (CRC) meeting adjourned at 8:18 p.m.)
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