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CHAIR TURNER: Well, good morning, California, and welcome to our California Redistricting Committee meeting today. This is Wednesday, November 10th, and I'd like to go directly -- I am Commissioner Trena Turner. Tried to decide, full name? One name? And we're going to go straight to roll call this morning.

Ravi?

MR. SINGH: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Commissioner Vazquez.

Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Akutagawa.

Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Presente.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Fornaciari.

Commissioner Fornaciari.

Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Le Mons.
Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Taylor.

VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Presente.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Here.

MR. SINGH: And Commissioner Turner.

CHAIR TURNER: I am here.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Commissioner Vazquez --

MR. SINGH: You have a quorum, Madame Chair.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Ravi.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Commissioner Vazquez is also here. Sorry about that.

MR. SINGH: Thank you, Commissioner Vazquez.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: As am I. This is Commissioner Akutagawa.

MR. SINGH: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Me, too.

MR. SINGH: Great. Thanks.

CHAIR TURNER: All right. The gang's all here. Wonderful. Thank you. And just, today being Wednesday, November 10th, tomorrow, we will not be meeting.

Tomorrow is Veteran's Day, so I'd just like to begin by
acknowledging and honoring all of our military personnel, past and present, wanting to say how much we appreciate your service to our country. We salute you, so happy Veteran's Day tom -- for tomorrow, to all.

We're going to, today, move straight to our agenda item number 6. We've talked about -- yesterday, we were in our process of drawing lines for the Senate. We were able to move through our VRA Districts, and we're going to pick up today, we're going to start at the top and go all the way down through our Senate Districts. The desire is for us to complete those, and then move into our board of equalization. So we are moving and excited about the process.

Thank you, all, for monitoring. Thank you, all, for calling in, writing in, contacting us, utilizing the visualization forms that are on our website. We are viewing it all. We are seeing a lot come up real time, and we will have an opportunity to continue reading your comments, and thoughts, and feelings on how we're moving in this process.

So with that, Tamina, let's start in the North.

Thank you.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes. Good morning, Chair. We are -- and good morning, Commission.

We are going to start with our 1107 Senate
visualizations handout, and we're going to be starting on page 9 with NCOAST. Welcome to Senate.

So NCOAST, as you'll recall, is the Northern coast visualization district. We used to have Trinity in it. The direction was to take out Trinity from this visualization, and so we went from a 2.96 deviation to a negative 3.88. That was the only requested change for this particular district.

We'll now be going to page 12, NAPABYRON. The direction for this visualization was to add Yolo with Solano. Yolo is whole with Solano in this visualization, as well as with the Sacramento Delta area. Also whole is Napa, and then at certain parts into Rohnert Park that were requested from Sonoma County. I was asked to take out Vallejo and Benicia for this, so Va -- take -- sorry -- Vallejo and Benicia out. They used to be in with -- down here with Contra Costa. I was asked to take them out in exchange for West Sacramento, which I lost over here. So when West Sacramento was taken out, it was part of the trade for these two. So now Solano is whole in this visualization.

Moving to page 15. This is COCO. I am sorry. And before I completely leave it, this is a COCO NAPABYRON, but you'll see that for NAPABYRON, we do come down from into the COCO area, and have Bethel,
Oakley, Knightsen, and Brentwood as part of this Senate area. So that was page 12. We're going to be doing kind of 12 and 15 together right now.

Page 15 is COCO, and you'll see that area I just mentioned, which was taken out of Contra Costa County. The rest of Contra Costa County South and central are together all the way along the four corridor through Antioch. You'll remember that visualization last time actually used to include Lathrop and Manteca. This went all the way out this way, took Lathrop and Manteca, and added it to the COCO District, and I was directed to cut at the county line, so that is what's happening here.

Another direction was to keep Pittsburg and Bay Point together, and they are together in this visualization. This also adds Vallejo and Benicia, as I said, took them out of this area, brings them back into Solano, and it also allows for a in -- completely intact Tri-Valley from -- even Sunol, with Pleasanton, Dublin, Livermore, all the way up through San Ramon, and then up the entire 680 corridor.

Next we'll be going to page 13, which is Alameda. Alameda is our Oakland-based District, which keeps Oakland whole in this visualization, goes up the 80 -- let me zoom out a little bit -- all the way up through Rodeo, so takes the Alameda County North of Oakland all
the way up the 880 through Contra Costa County to Rodeo. This also preserves the Oakland Hills line, which I was directed to preserve.

We're going to go to page 16, which is EDENTECH. EDENTECH keeps all of Eden together. We are able to take Pleasanton out. You'll recall it was part of this in the previous visualization, so we were able to take that out.

And we traded -- the trade for that was the Berryessa neighborhood down here in San Jose. So we have the Eden area complete with San Leandro, Hayward, Castro Valley, and all the unincorporated areas together. Fremont is whole, with Newark, but the trade was -- for Pleasanton, was to come down and take Milpitas and Berryessa -- the Berryessa area over here, and several San Jose -- Northern San Jose COIs. This area here of Northern San Jose was also taken out and added to this next district we're going to talk about, but there -- before, in the previous visualization, this section of San Jose was with EDENTECH, so this was part of the trade as well.

We're going to be going to 18, which is SCRUZ. So named because this used to be in Santa Cruz, and if you'll recall, we used to have the neighboring district of SCLARA, which came out to Modesto and Turlock area, and so in order to switch that out, we -- I -- I was asked to preserve the county line here, so this is the
top of Santa Clara County with SCRUZ. I was asked to
stop at the county line, so this is really looking at all
of Southern San Jose, that's what all of this purple area
is here, with the exception of a few neighborhoods West,
early Cambrian Park and a tiny little bit around Burbank
over here. And then, of course, the areas that I just
mentioned in the previous visualization.

We also have East Foothills and Alum Rock in this
area. They are split for the COIs that were state --
that were given, as I believe I've mentioned before, the
Alum Rock neighborhood COI looks a little bit different
from this Alum Rock census-designated place, and so
that's what was followed, and that's why this line is the
way that it is. But the North San -- North San Jose,
Berryessa COI, Latino Alum Rock COI, Punjabi Sikh COI,
Japantown COI, and they're all -- they are all whole in
this visualization, as well as the Sunnyvale, Cupertino,
Santa Clara COI.

And we'll move to page 14, which is SANFRAN.
SANFRAN is San Francisco whole, and this is actually
untouched. This is what we did before with the San
Francisco split. It's 35 East to Kings, goes down Geller
to Noroyo (ph.), then goes to the 82 to Westborough, and
so finally, ends up on Memorial over here. This is --
like I said, this is untouched from what we had last
time.

So we'll go to page 19, which is PENINSULA. With PENINSULA, I was asked to stop at the county line, and to keep Santa Cruz separate from San Mateo County. And so this visualization trades Santa Cruz County for the Saratoga/Campbell area down here in San -- in Santa Clara County, as well as the parts of San Jose that are right around it. So I can zoom in on those areas. So I mentioned this little purple area around Burbank and Fruitdale is also San Jose City, and so that has been included in this visualization as well with Saratoga, and those were the trades for Santa Cruz County. Aside from that, we have the rest of San Mateo County, and the El Camino, 101 corridor coming down through San Mateo into Santa Clara County, all the way up to the Sunnyvale border. So we take Mountain View, Los Altos, and Loyola, and then the line stops there.

Next, we'll be moving on to SCLARA, page 17. And I'll zoom out a bit so you can see the whole thing, and then we will -- we'll go in a little bit. The bottom architecture is very similar to what you've seen before. What is different here is the addition of Santa Cruz and Los Gatos, which have been added to the Central Coastal District. What happened is, we traded San Benito when this was requested to -- the direction was to move San
Benito and the 101 corridor of Monterey out into a possible VRA experimentation. What happened was, up here, we were able to gain Santa Cruz, as the whole Santa Cruz County intact, as well as the Highway 17 corridor up to Los Gatos. We also lost Salinas out of here. Like I said, this 101 corridor, Salinas used to be a part of the Monterey District, so this was paired with San Benito.

And then moving South through Monterey County, we get to San Luis Obispo, where we come down to Arroyo Grande. Arroyo Grande was requested to be kept North with San Luis Obispo County instead of moving South with a Santa Barbara-based county. And so that is accomplished in this visualization as well.

And then we move to page 20, which is SCOAST. SCOAST is our Santa Barbara and Ventura-based District, which includes the Southern half of San Luis Obispo County. Southern Santa Barbara coast here is whole with Santa Barbara. You'll recall that before there was a carve out in Santa Barbara coastal area, so the direction was to bring them back with Santa Barbara County, and that was accomplished. All of the islands here are together, since Ventura and Santa Barbara are together, then all of the islands are together in this particular visualization. And the Ventura split is still the same.

We have Camarillo joining the Port Hueneme to Piru COI in
And aside from -- I believe the only city split in my areas is the one in South San Francisco that we were looking at. The rest of the cities are whole. And that concludes my area.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Tamina. I appreciate, always, the work that you all are doing. And at this time, Commissioners, I'll open before we move to comments for this area.

Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. Just two issues that I want to check with mappers on. First of all, would it make sense to go ahead and move Discovery Bay and Byron to the Contra Costa area? The population looks to be less than 20,000, and they just, to me, feel a little bit isolated out there. So I'd move them -- move them to the NAPABYRON. Yes.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Sure. I can take a look at that right now.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'm certainly interested in community input on this as well.

MS. RAMOS ALON: So this changes 16,935 people. The resulting deviation for NAPABYRON will be 4.73 percent, and the redu -- resulting deviation for COCO will be 2.17 percent.
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I would propose to go ahead and make that change.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Commissioner Kennedy, you mentioned, also, unless there was any type of COI input -- Marcy, I don't have my email quick enough. Who's -- who's on today?

MS. KAPLAN: Kimberly is on.

CHAIR TURNER: Kimberly, can you just quickly see if there's anything for Discovery, Byron that had a preference, and if not, we certainly can make the change.

MS. BRIGGS: Let me check.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: In the meantime, Chair, the -- the other area that I'd like the mappers to take a look at is, I'd like to get what the population split is between the Ventura County segment and the San Fernando Valley segment of -- of that area --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I -- I'm sorry. Who --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: -- where the two are joined.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Before we leave this area, could -- could I wonder why we're not going -- why the curl back under Byron? You know, normally, the -- the -- it -- it sort of follows that -- that angle straight do -- you know, why that little section in -- right in there?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Thi -- this is a census track
shape. I can change that, if you like, and go to census doc.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, I -- I'm just, I'm -- yeah. I'm just sort of wondering. I don't know that -- that area very well. If anyone does -- yeah. It struck me as -- I haven't seen it ever drawn like that. It's more, kind of, following the line of Franklin/Byron on down. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Kimberly, has anything come up?

Commissioner Sinay, you have something?

Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Doing a quick look at this, that -- the input we've gotten for Discovery Bay and Byron, they are considered part of the Delta and -- and these groups, so most of it has said to try to keep them together with the -- with the groups that they were just put with.

CHAIR TURNER: The -- the pending change that we have not yet made? They want -- is that supportive of that?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I believe so. Can I see it one more time? I'm sorry. It's moving Byron and Discovery Bay North, right?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.
CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So when you -- Tamina, when you finish the census change, we will accept the other change so that, Commissioner Kennedy, we'll move to your next point as well.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you.

MS. RAMOS ALON: I'm sorry, Commissioner Kennedy.

Your second change?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I -- I wanted to know the breakdown in population between the Ventura County portion and the San Fernando Valley portion of that area where they're linked. Thank you.

MS. RAMOS ALON: One moment, please.

(Pause)

MS. RAMOS ALON: So this population is 522,251 people. That is the population of Ventura County versus the Simi Valley -- sorry -- versus --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Okay. Let me -- let me rephrase. So what I'm -- what I'm looking at is that area that combines Simi Valley and those other portions of Ventura and portions of the San Fernando Valley, and I'd like to get the population breakdown between the Ventura County portion of that area and the San Fernando Valley portion of that area. We've been -- we've been asked multiple times to, if we're not able to keep the San Fernando Valley whole, at least ensure that the San
Fernando Valley population is not swamped by population from outside the San Fernando Valley, so that's what I'm looking at. Thank you.

MS. RAMOS ALON: So this area in the red, this selection currently, is 323,139 people.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: And then the San Fernando Valley portion would be something around 700,000, then?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 6,070.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 6,070.

MS. RAMOS ALON: 6,070 people.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: For a Senate District?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 670,000.

MS. RAMOS ALON: 670,000. My apologies.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Okay. Very good. Thank you so much.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy.

Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: I just want to move back to San Francisco. Does Commissioner Akutagawa want to stay in this area?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes. I -- I just wanted to ask a question. I guess, either of Commissioner Kennedy, or I guess, everybody. I do know that we heard -- and I -- I read some of the testimo -- COI testimony as much as I could, the desire number 1 was to try to keep the
San Fernando Valley as -- as contained in -- in their own districts as much as possible. My question would be also, the SCSFW, the -- that map that put Santa Clarita with parts of the San Fernando Valley, you know, there's been, also, COI testimony. It's been mixed. Some from Simi Valley do not want to be with Santa Clarita and vice versa, and then there's also a number that want Simi Valley and Santa Clarita Valleys to be put together. Would that make sense, both from a numbers point of view and also from a COI perspective, as well, too? I just wanted to ask, given the desires of the San Fernando Valley to -- to remain contained within a -- a district as they can. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Jaime?

MS. CLARK: Hello. This is Jaime, for the court reporter.

So this question -- I'm just going to zoom out a little bit -- and the question is keeping Santa Clarita not with San Fernando Valley; is that correct?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes. That was just -- that was just the question I guess I was asking because we did get COI testimony that desired to put Simi Valley and the Santa Clarita Valley together, not -- it was very mixed. There was also quite a few that did not want it that way. And then, in addition, there was COI testimony to try and
keep the San Fernando Valley as, you know, contained in a district as much as possible. However, looking at the two halves of the San Fernando Valley communities that we have, would they be better off together in one commu -- in -- in one district, sharing enough commonalities and communities of interest that it makes more sense, or is it -- or based on -- on -- I guess this is really just a question, but also a question for the Commissioners, too, based on their interpretations, what they've read about the -- the COI testimony, because I don't know enough in detail about these communities in the San Fernando Valley. I -- I -- I -- I've read through it, but I'm still trying to -- to really understand it. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Jaime?

MS. CLARK: Just from a population, numbers perspective, the Santa Clarita Valley is just over 300,000 people, and we just heard that the -- this area, which is currently in East Ventura County is similar population, so together, they would make about two-thirds-ish of a Senate District, meaning that they would need to pick up, you know, 300,000 and change people somewhere else to create a -- to be together in a Senate District.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Yee?
COMMISSIONER YEE: If we're done here, I'd like to go back up to San Francisco.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER YEE: So I'd like to try the same change that we did yesterday to the Assembly. So for Daly City, adding the neighborhoods of Westborough and Buri Buri, respecting COI testimony to keep the Filipino American community united there.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Sure. Just a moment, please.

CHAIR TURNER: While she's working on -- while she's working on that, Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I think -- I -- I support this -- I believe that that would put more of South San Francisco together, but I'm not sure. But South San Francisco is a really tight working -- working-class community. And I'm -- and they -- the more, you know, we can unite South San Francisco, the -- the better it'll be for -- for them, obviously. But I just wanted to put that out there as well.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: My comment's about a different district.

CHAIR TURNER: Coming your way.

(Pause)
MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. So the first part of this change is 27,497 people. This is taking the two neighborhoods that were mentioned and adding them to the SANFRAN District. This creates a deviation of 6.19 percent, but knowing that we're moving over to Brisbane next, and resulting to PENINSULA is negative 0.58 percent.

COMMISSIONER YEE: You read my mind. So can we next move Brisbane to the PENINSULA, respecting COI testimony for Brisbane to be part of the peninsula?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes. One moment, please.

CHAIR TURNER: While she's doing that, Commissioner Andersen, a different area or the same?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Same area. Actually, and it's a small thing, but it's -- it means a lot to people in San Francisco. When you -- you know you're going to rename a few of these areas so they really reflect the area -- what the areas are called? No one in San Francisco uses "San Fran". It's -- so if you could just switch to "SF" or something like that, but not "San Fran". Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Duly noted. Thank you.

MS. RAMOS ALON: The addition of Brisbane to PENINSULA is 4,858 people. Resulting deviation of PENINSULA is negative 0.09 percent. Resulting deviation
of soon to be called SF will be 5.7 percent.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Okay. So we need a little bit more population. What are some suggestions?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: The unincorporated area, that's San Bruno Mountain?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Perhaps we could pick that up along with Colma?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Or just -- yeah -- just try the one first.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Shall I accept Brisbane first?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes, please.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: How about including -- oh, wait. I think I'm wrong. Are there are any split cities down -- South San Francisco's split. Is there anything else that's split?

MS. RAMOS ALON: San Bruno is split, according to that -- that neighborhood that we just included.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I think before moving inland and trying to grab Colma, maybe we could add more --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: The unincorporated area. The -- the white section.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. As I was saying, before we do -- I think I would try to make South San Francisco whole.
MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. For population reasons, I won't be able to make it whole. However, this is the line we used for the Assembly, which created more of an even split. Did you want me to try to start in this area and see how far I can get?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Sure.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: That sounds wonderful.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. One moment, please.

CHAIR TURNER: And for the record, I don't know if you picked up, Commissioner Yee said "Sure" as well.

(Pause)

MS. RAMOS ALON: This changes 15,537 people. PENINSULA is now at 1.49 percent, and SF is at 4.13 percent. Would you like me to keep going?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Let's try to match the Assembly line. Okay. I -- I like that.

Any other reactions?

CHAIR TURNER: We'll accept, please.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner -- Commissioner Ahmad, on the same area?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Just a quick question on that little tail that pops out. Is that unpopulated area, or?
MS. RAMOS ALON: There are 15 people in this selected area. The census block looks like that.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: It's San Bruno Mountain.

MS. RAMOS ALON: This -- this -- the little tail area is part of this unincorporated area here.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. Are we good where we -- where they are? Okay.

Thank you, Commissioner Ahmad.

Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes. Thank you. I -- I -- I actually wanted to go back to the district that Commissioner Akutagawa was looking at, the Simi Valley, San Fernando Valley. And I know -- I think Commissioner Akutagawa asked some questions. I -- I -- I think that she's right. You know, we have conflicting testimony in this area around Simi Valley and Santa Clarita. Where we have less conflicting testimony, however, is in -- in the San Fernando Valley.

And this is both a direction and -- and a process question for all of us, really, is, you know, we've been taking this approach for the Senate Districts of looking at them individually, but Senate Districts can, and not in all areas, be nested, and I know I brought this up last night, but I think it, from a process standpoint,
it -- it could help us answer some of these questions. I understand that you can't simply nest two VRA Assembly Districts, but when I look at a map like this, I'm thinking, yeah, well, we could certainly take a look at a San Fernando District. We have two pretty good -- I think we're in pretty good shape on the Assembly Districts.

This is an area where, from a process standpoint, I feel like we're -- we're missing the boat, and we have an opportunity to make our lives a little bit easier. As we continue to refine the Assembly map, it can help us at -- at least serve as a guide to where we might be drawing some of these Senate Districts because to me, if we're already (audio interference) those Assembly Districts that we're building out in the San Fernando Valley, it can help us answer some of those questions about whether we're going to put Simi and Santa Clarita together, or where the breaks could be.

So you know, for me, I would still want to see the Assembly Districts underneath this. This is an area I definitely would be curious about using the Assembly Districts as a guide for nesting. I have no sense, at this stage, when we're about to approve a map this -- this afternoon or evening, where to begin approaching that without a total redraw, so I'm certainly open for
suggestions, because I don't -- I don't think Simi Valley with San Fernando is the answer. I think we've heard loud and clear that they are not the answer. They do not go together.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Ahmad?

So with that statement, and just a process question, Commissioner Sadhwani, how do -- do you want to take the lead on this?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I -- I -- well, this is -- this is a process piece, right? I mean --

CHAIR TURNER: Sure.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- I -- I think on the one hand, we could approve what we have. You know, making some minor refinements and -- and come back in December and say, hey, let's -- let's take a different approach to this Senate map, or we can revisit numerous areas here. I don't -- I -- I mean, we can center ourselves here in the San Fernando Valley, but that's going to have reverberations through -- through the rest of the L.A. County area, so you know, to -- I'm happy to go in either direction because I don't think that what we're -- we have in front of us is the -- is going to be our final maps.

And -- and for me, I -- I'm really approaching this of if, at least in my mind, is if we can sort out some of
the communities of interest testimony at the Assembly level, it's going to be a guide to the Senate. It's not going to be a perfect nesting, but -- but it will be a guide for -- for how we pull things together. So we can -- we can look at this, I mean, we could anchor L.A. County and San Fernando Valley and the VRA District that we had look -- a coup -- there's a couple of VRA Districts in L.A. County, and work from there, but I think that would ultimately be a major architectural reconstruction of this area, and I'm -- I don't -- I'm also pragmatic, and I think we need to keep it moving for today.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I mean, when I -- when I first saw the Senate visualizations here this morning, I immediately recognized some of the underlying Assembly Districts. No, they're not perfectly nested, but they were close enough that I could recognize what had been set out on the Assembly visualizations, you know, as underlying some of this Senate architecture.

You know, I would like to take us back to the point that has been made on a number of occasions. Yes, we could combine most of the San Fernando Valley in a single Senate District, but then we're going to have a small remainder that then gets joined with something else,
versus dividing it essentially into two or two and a bit, but the -- the -- the two would be predominantly San Fernando Valley Districts. And my understanding of the community of interest input from the Valley has been that, you know, given -- given the options, they might prefer two majority districts to one, you know, exclusive district and one where the San Fernando Valley portion is a minority. So that's -- that's my thinking on this.

Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you for that.

Commissioner Andersen?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: This is a -- again, sort of a process issue, and it's for next time. It's not right now. But as we think, if we are considering nesting for the Senate, we should consider and work on our Assembly Districts, and ensure that none of them are greater than either -- are within plus or minus 2.5. If you keep it less than that, you could always add to them, and you'd be less -- you'll be within -- for the Senate, you'll be within plus or minus 5. So as we consider and work on Assembly Districts with that in mind, and then we want to pivot and grab them, we will be able to do that, because if you look here, just looking around, you know, you've got the negative -- negative .633. You know, okay, you can have those. See? I mean, I'm not
proposing this, but when you look a little further over, not in this particular picture, but you know, 4, and 4.3, and then 2.2 next to each other. Oh, can't do that. So if we -- if we think about, in that direction, as we work through this for later, that will help us give us that option.

CHAIR TURNER: Very good. Thank you.

Commissioner -- okay.

With that in mind, it looks like we're going to accept these current changes that we have, and it sounds like we're going to come back and have more discussion once we have the broader and deeper conversation in regards to our Assembly Districts.

Mappers, we're ready to move.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Where would you like to move to?

CHAIR TURNER: Los Angeles. Oh, oh, no, no. Wait. Where are we? That's right. I'm stuck on yesterday. So we're going to continue.

So Tamina, you were finished?

MS. RAMOS ALON: I'm finished, Chair, yes.

CHAIR TURNER: Any of the Commissioners would like to see any other parts of this area? Okay.

Jaime, you took the helm and took me to L.A., I'm like, oh, it must be Los Angeles next.

Okay. So I think it's Kennedy.
Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. You know, I -- I -- I can't -- I'm sorry if I'm just going out of order here. I just wanted to bring up -- I know that we've heard from quite a few callers about Fresno, Kern, (indiscernible). You know, it's a Senate District and it's extremely, you know -- we know the Senate Districts are going to extremely large. I -- however, I just wanted to at least acknowledge that we did hear them, and -- and at least ask, is -- is -- is there any other possibilities that take into account some of the other changes that were made to the Assembly District yesterday that could maybe make the district a little bit more compact? I think we, at least, you know, owe it to the callers who called to address this question. And -- and maybe there isn't. It's just going to -- it's just, as -- as we've been told, it's a million people and, you know, when you're in areas where there's not a lot, it just means more area, so wanted to at least just bring it up. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Akutagawa, and we may come back and have you restate that in a bit.

Kennedy, let's have you give us an -- tell us what -- what you have so far, and then we'll come back to this.
MS. WILSON: So far, we went over the two VRA consideration visualization districts, and we went and saw Kings and Kern and the San Benito/Merced/Fresno District, and that is where we left off, from yesterday.

CHAIR TURNER: So what I'd like for you to do now for this area is to take us through the Senate Districts, starting at the top from where you are, and all the way through.

MS. WILSON: Okay. So I will -- one second while I get those page numbers.

So moving to page 30, would be that Fresno/Kern District. And I'll zoom in so that we can see what is here in Kern. What is not in the City of Bakersfield in the VRA consideration visualization is what is in the Fresno/Kern. We have -- we have Oildale, Rosedale together, and then we have Old Stockdale taken out as well. And then zooming out, we have the Bear Valley Springs, Tehachapi area, Rosemont, Edwards Air Force Base, up to California City here in the southeastern corner. And then, moving North in Bakersfield, we have Ridgecrest, and Inyo/Kern, as well as the Lake Isabella area in Kern as well. And then moving up, we have what is left in Tulare, and this does --

CHAIR TURNER: Kennedy, excuse me one moment. Can you put the county lines on? Are they there? They are
MS. WILSON: Yes, they are on, but I can make them thicker. They are the red line, and I'll make it thicker right now. How does that look? Would you like it thicker?

CHAIR TURNER: No, that's really good. Thank you.

MS. WILSON: Okay. Good. So again, I'll point that out for anyone else who might be able to see. My hand is following the county line here of Tulare. And then we have Visalia and Three Rivers kept together. There are no splits in Visalia. From I -- and then we have Ivanhoe, Woodlake, Lemon Cove, Lindcove, to Three Rivers. And then moving North, we were able to, as I mentioned yesterday, split Fresno, the city, once, and so we have the City of Clovis, and then northeastern Fresno, it stretches out the 99 border, right above Shaw, and that is in this district as well, for Fresno/Kern. And again, page 30.

Now we are going to move North in the Central Valley to page 28. This has parts of South Sacramento County, which include -- let me move this one towards the middle -- Vineyard, above Elk Grove, Waldon, Galt, Herald, Clay, and then, we have San Joaquin County kept whole. And then it also dips in to include some of Stanislaus County, to -- the Western part of Stanislaus
County, and Riverbank to Salid -- Salida are kept in here, and we have Patterson and Diablo Grande and Newman as well.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Kennedy, what page was that again? I missed it. Sorry.

MS. WILSON: This was page 28.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

MS. WILSON: You're welcome.

Now, we will continue moving North, and next, we'll be moving to page 27, titled SOUTHSAC. Stanis -- I made a mistake. My apologies. That's why you're lost, because page 28 was not that one. That was page 27. Page 28 moves out here. That's -- that's my bad. Okay. So let's go to actual page 28 now. That is the San Joaquin/Stanislaus, and so here, we have Merced, which was split into two, we have the City of Merced, Atwater, up to the Delhi County line here, of Merced. It's split in the middle. And then we have Modesto, Turlock, Oakdale, to Knights Ferry going East, which is something similar to what we did in other visualizations as we were working. And then we have the rest of the foothills side of Madera, Yosemite Lakes, to Oakhurst, Mariposa, Tuolumne, Calaveras, and Amador kept together. And then Alpine, Mono, and Inyo kept together in this as well. And there are parts of El Dorado taken out, as well, for
population. As you can see, it's still under, negative 2.07, but we have Placerville, Diamond Springs, Cameron Park, and Shingle Springs, as well, brought down into this visualization for population. And that was page 28.

And now, page 27 is what I accidentally explained before, but let's just take a look again. And so here in Stanislaus, again, we have the Western side of it, including Diablo Grande, Newman, Patterson, and then we have, in the Northern border, Salida, Del Rio, Riverbank, as well, going North into San Joaquin, which is kept whole, which therefore keeps together Mountain House and Tracy with Stockton, Lathrop, and Manteca. However, Lodi is in this as well, with Stockton, but also, the Eastern farming cities are together within San Joaquin as well.

And now, moving on to page 24 is Sacramento. Here, we have Elk Grove going North with Florin, Parkway, Lemon Hill, Fruitridge, Greenhaven-Pocket area, South -- Southeastern Sacramento, and the City of Sacramento is kept whole. And then we have cities North, including Rio Linda, Elverta, Antelope, McClellan Park, North Highlands as well. And also Rosemont and La Riviera.

Now, moving to page 22. ECA, this Eastern California, which again, turned out when we were going through Congress and making some of those changes, looks similar to what we have here, so we have the Lake Tahoe
area kept together, Kings Beach to the North, South Lake Tahoe to the South. We also have Grizzly Flats, a part of this visualization that doesn't go South, it continues to go North. And then, within Sacramento, we have Arden-Arcade, Carmichael, Rancho Cordova, Mather, Fair Oaks, Folsom, Citrus Heights, Orangevale, are the cities in Sacramento going up North and East. And then we have Placer kept whole.

And lastly, we will move to page 8. This is Northern California. We'll zoom out so we can see it in its entirety. We have Nevada and Sierra kept together, Butte, Sutter, Yuba kept together as well, and we moved -- we brought in Del Norte -- Del Norte -- Del Norte and Trinity, and then we also have the part cut out of Humboldt that intrudes the Karuk tribe lands. And as you can see, this one has a negative 4.88 deviation, and Eastern California has a 4.84, so these two could balance each other, but it would probably mean splitting Placer further. And those are the Senate visualizations in my area.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Kennedy.

Commissioner Akutagawa?

Kennedy, I'd like to go back just to take a quick peek at Merced where the split occurred to see what the numbers and where that split is, and if we can put
Merced -- make Merced City, I think you said. Was it the City of Merced or the County?

MS. WILSON: The county is split. Merced -- the City of Merced is kept whole.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. And so where Merced County is, let me -- let's see where that -- where the split is occurring, and if you'd tell me about --

MS. WILSON: So the split is right here, right in the middle of the county. The county line takes a dip and right at that dip, it goes down, so Dos Palos, Los Banos, Wilton, Santa Nella, Gustine are all on one side to the West, and then to the East is where we have Stevenson, Alito (ph.), Merced, Atwater, Winton, Livingston, to Delhi. Those are all on the other side.

CHAIR TURNER: What's the population of the Gustine, Los Banos, Dos Palos side?

MS. WILSON: I will take a look at that. One moment. That has a population of 69,714 people.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Thank you for checking. No changes.

Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair.

Kennedy, can you go to NORCA, and I -- if you can go down to, like, the northernmost part next to -- I'm looking at my -- kind of the ECA -- yeah. Like right
there. That's perfect.

So Placer, you said, is whole, correct?

MS. WILSON: Correct.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can you zoom in just a little bit more? Kind of, like, in that area, like where Sheridan is and --

MS. WILSON: Oh, yes.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, sorry.

MS. WILSON: So Sheridan is to the left of the screen --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. WILSON: -- at the moment where I'm circling.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. And that is -- hmm.

Okay. So what I was -- if you can zoom out just a little bit? What I was going to try to do was move some of that ECA up to NORCA, because as you did mention earlier, they're almost the same -- one's negative, one's positive. So I was thinking of -- and then, ultimately, if you can zoom out just one -- just one.

MS. WILSON: One zoom.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. One zoom. I think one zoom. It might be -- okay. That's fine.

What I was trying to do is next to Elk Grove, we have Vineyard, and I don't think in any of the visual -- visualizations we've been able to keep those two
together. Again, that's part of the Elk Grove, Florin, Greenhaven, Lemon Hill community of interest, so I was kind of hoping to try to do that, so I was going to try to shift some of the population around. So in ECA, could you grab -- yes. Yeah. There you go. Could you grab those two communities? What is that, George --

Georgetown and -- yeah.

MS. WILSON: Did you say --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, wait, wait. No. I'm sorry. Not Georgetown. All the way up to the -- yes. I was -- that's the county line.

MS. WILSON: Yeah. Okay. So grab Meadow Vista, Colfax, Alta?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. I'm -- I'm not sure if that's going to be enough to be able to shift it. But somebody else had a question or -- did somebody else --

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sinay and then Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So we received a lot of testimony to keep Sierra and Nevada together, but we've also received some testimony -- I was just looking it up -- that Truckee -- you know, there's the Tahoe/Truckee area that they engage more, so I just wanted us to think before we move things down and -- on the -- in the Valley, if it made sense to look at bringing Truckee in
with Tahoe.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Commissioner Andersen?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I was actually kind of going the other way. I -- I was thinking similar to Commissioner Fernandez, in that, taking just a little bit of population out of Placer, about 16,000 --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- putting it into NORCAL, so we could put Trinity back into the North -- into the North coast and I'm trying to align the Assembly areas because I hate when, you know, a county's in one Assembly area, but then separated from its Assembly area in the Senate. So that's what I was trying to do. And I was just thinking, if we can find about 16,000 in Placer to add to NORCA, then you can pull Trinity to, I think, to North coast or that one.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So let's hold that and let's finish the direction, then, Commissioner Fernandez was going, and then we'll add in those pieces and see where we are.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: How much is the Sheridan, Kennedy? If you go --

MS. WILSON: I will check that right now.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: If you go that way, I guess.
MS. WILSON: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

MS. WILSON: So right now, adding Sheridan, I can keep clicking some blocks, but we have a population of about 19,000. This brings the NORCA visualization to a negative 2.92 and the ECA to a 2.88.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. But it's only getting us down to 2.9.

MS. WILSON: I can -- well --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Can you keep -- I don't want to take Tahoe out, that's for sure. I like where it is. Although, I guess we could go opposite of what Commissioner Sinay said, move Tahoe up to be with Truckee.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Think you're going to get there, Commissioner Fernandez? Want to still keep -- we're there or we're going to try the other visualization.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: The only --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Exploration.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. It'll look kind of odd. Can you grab Foresthill?

MS. WILSON: I will try that.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Will Foresthill help us out? No, it's not going to be that much.
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sorry. We do have a lot of testimony, just saying, to keep the East side of Donner Summit together. So that would be Truckee and all of Tahoe, and just to think differently than what we've been doing.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can you grab Auburn, right there?

MS. WILSON: Yes. One moment.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Those are Auburn, Penryn, Newcastle.

MS. WILSON: Grab all of them?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Well, just go down?

MS. WILSON: Or just --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

MS. WILSON: -- start with Auburn?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I think --

MS. WILSON: And -- so North Auburn is above and Auburn is not contiguous, so there's a part that's above.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. You know what, I'm going to scratch it for now, but I'm still going to look at it because I really do want Vineyard and Elk Grove, because they are -- you can't really tell the difference between where Vineyard starts and Elk Grove ends. And plus, it's -- we've received, I forget how many communities of interest for that area to include, also,
South Sacramento. Thank you. And just out of curiosity; when you back out of this, can you see what the population is for Tahoe; the entire part?

MS. WILSON: Oh, yes. So take -- take this out of the equation.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Thank you.

MS. WILSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you for entertaining me.

MS. WILSON: And then all of Tahoe.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: To include Meyers. Well, let's do Tahoe first, and then see what Meyers would be. Thank you.

MS. WILSON: So actually, Meyers got caught in there, so let me take it out first.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, wow.

MS. WILSON: So population without Meyers for this selection --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Um-hum.

MS. WILSON: -- is 39,000 people; 39,723. And adding Meyers --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Um-hum.

MS. WILSON: -- it jumps to 41,888.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. And if you connect it to the -- yeah. Thank you. Just clean that up. If
you can connect it --

    MS. WILSON: Oh, yeah.

    COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- all the way through the
district line because there's probably a little bit of
population there? Okay.

    MS. WILSON: That goes to 43,405.

    COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. I will
think about that. So I just wanted -- what was it,
43,000? Thank you.

    MS. WILSON: I also would like to point out, that
brings the deviations to a negative 0.49 and a 0.45.

    COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right, which is --

    MS. WILSON: It would be --

    COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- really good.

    MS. WILSON: -- would be connecting Truckee to --

    COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Um-hum.

    MS. WILSON: -- the old Tahoe area.

    COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.

    CHAIR TURNER: And this looks good.

    COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I think that looks good,
but I -- yeah. I don't know, Commissioner Sinay, I think
you're the one that brought up that area.

    COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm basing it on the 100 and
something COI that I'm reading really quickly from that
area. It feels good. It feels right --
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- to join communities,

especially, in areas like this that are --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Remote.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- can be --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- really remote and isolated.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And you know, any time you're in Tahoe, you see Truckee signs. I mean, they are completely connected.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Um-hum. They are.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

Commissioner --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah, one's on 50, one's 80.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Andersen, we're wanting to accept this. Were you going to have more for this area?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, if we've added all that to NORCA, then I can certainly ask to have Trinity taken out and put with the North Coast.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Which might conflict with my wanting to do that for the Vineyard.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: It's only 16,000 people, so.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, how many?
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: 16,000, so it won't --
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I know. I'm trying to think of how big Vineyard is. I don't know. Okay.
MS. WILSON: I think Vineyard is about 44,000.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I think -- yeah.
CHAIR TURNER: Kennedy, let's accept this change.
MS. WILSON: Okay.
CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Fernandez, your hand's up.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh. I still have more, but I think Commissioner Andersen --
CHAIR TURNER: Yep. And she's next.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- and I -- okay.
CHAIR TURNER: Okay.
Commissioner Andersen.
MS. WILSON: Can --
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, did you want to put it down and let her go first, and then I'll -- because we kind of have conflicting places. She's going to go --
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- she's going to go Trinity, and I'm going to go --
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Right.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- South.
CHAIR TURNER: I'm trying to keep a county that's in the Assembly district that, then, it's separated from its Assembly district to be put in with another whole grouping for a Senate.

CHAIR TURNER: Let's --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: That's why I'd like to -- and we've certainly heard community testimony that it's the entire Klamath watershed, Trinity does connect with Humboldt and we've had it that way in the Assembly and also the Congressional. So I'd like to put Trinity -- I'd like to go from North Coast and add Trinity from NORCAL (sic).

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, yes. I'm sorry. I thought you were -- yeah.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Please.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: That should be -- that should be about right, negative.

MS. WILSON: That brings North Coast and North California both to deviations of negative 2. North Coast is negative 2.25 percent, and Northern California is negative 2.06 percent.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: All right. Yes. Yeah, if we could --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. We'll accept it.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Great. Thank you.
CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I'm just thinking. I'm just looking at the coastal region and Del Norte. So I mean, we have -- I think this -- adding Trinity makes sense to me because they've always been together, but -- or have been for -- and they do quite a bit together, in those communities, and we have COIs. But Del Norte and Humboldt have drawn connection as well. So I'm just thinking about choices, but at this point, I -- looking at -- the deviations look good. Since we just added Tahoe, I'm just wondering -- to the other region, I'm thinking it might be a good opportunity to add Del Norte to the coast for population purposes.

CHAIR TURNER: Shall we see what that looks like?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Let's take a look at what it looks like. If it's too much population, I would support leaving it, but we do have Del Norte with all of our other visualizations.

CHAIR TURNER: Kennedy, that is -- that takes our deviations to negative 4.61 in NORCA?

MS. WILSON: Yes. Negative 4.61 and then North Coast balances to 0.29.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: But North Coast would be almost where it's supposed to be, right? But the NORCA would have a negative 61 --
CHAIR TURNER: And can I get Commissioner Yee --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Of course.

CHAIR TURNER: -- to weigh in, please?

COMMISSIONER YEE: I'd like to support moving Del Norte into North Coast because of significant COI input from the Yurok tribe, whose ancestral lands and current reservation lands both expand Del Norte and Humboldt, so.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank --

COMMISSIONER YEE: I support that.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I support that, as well.

And NORCA will be under, and I think I have some suggestions for that too.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

Commissioner Toledo, do you accept -- you're --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Sure, I'd like to --

CHAIR TURNER: -- are you good?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes. I'd like to --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- get that added.

CHAIR TURNER: Kennedy, we'll accept this, please.

And then Commissioner Fernandez, while she's doing that, you want to get started on your next -- you had an idea to reduce the deviation for --
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: North -- yeah.

CHAIR TURNER: Beautiful.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So if we can go, Kennedy, back to where we were at? We were at the Tahoe area. And I'm thinking what -- where am I; there we go. I was thinking of maybe bringing that line more towards the West, so that the more remote areas of Placer and El Dorado are together, versus -- you know, once you get to -- once you get to Lincoln and -- what is that -- El Dorado, it's more of city. So I was just trying to keep the smaller towns together.

MS. WILSON: So could that line potentially be at the Meadow Vista?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: There you go. Um-hum.

MS. WILSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: It's not going to be -- the numbers aren't going to be huge, but at least the smaller towns will be together and you won't have the -- hopefully, you don't have as many conflicting priorities.

MS. WILSON: This would bring the Northern California deviation to a negative 2.18 and the Eastern California reservation to a negative 2.04. And it would include the cities of Alta, Colfax, Meadow Vista, and Foresthill, and comes right to the border of North Auburn.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. That looks good. I was just wondering if we could -- no, that's fine. That's fine. That way we're not splitting too much.

MS. WILSON: Shall I commit this change, Chair?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Can I just get the terrain layer on?

MS. WILSON: Yes, one moment.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: It's all mountains.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: It's all mountains, I understand.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: It's all mountains. Yeah.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: And it does connect around the --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: The county lines.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: The county lines --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- and the major highway, so --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- that makes sense to me.

Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Then makes sense; we're accepting.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: All right. Okay. And then if we can --
MS. WILSON: There was just a little noncontiguous block hanging out there. Now, there we go.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Beautiful.

MS. WILSON: Zoom out just a little bit, please. So I'm trying to --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. And then if we can go to -- yes, the -- yeah. We're going to be -- and then go in -- wait a minute. What happened here? What happened here? We don't have what I thought we would have, unfortunately. Move that up.

CHAIR TURNER: Are you thinking, Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I am. Sorry.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Kennedy, run this through again, in terms of what we did, because for some reason I thought we would have -- we would be heavily under in the --

MS. WILSON: You want a bigger --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- ECA.

MS. WILSON: -- negative deviation here.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I thought that was --

MS. WILSON: So we didn't -- we just took the Tahoe area and this area out, but we were more so balancing
these two together. Instead of making this one more
under, we were balancing these two. So we didn't take
enough population out of here, we were just doing enough
to balance Northern California and ECA. But to move
Vineyard in, then it's -- you want to move some of these
cities up, so then you'd have to take more of Placer out
and continue moving it up into Northern California.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. That's not what I
was hoping for.

CHAIR TURNER: You want to keep looking at while we
move and come back, or --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I do because I'm going to
be bad and I might reverse part of it. So thanks.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. We like what you've done so
far.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. I just had one
question though, quickly. What was the Truckee
population, again?

MS. WILSON: I would need to check one more time.

One moment. Oh, just Truckee, or --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Just Truckee. Yeah.

MS. WILSON: Okay. Truckee itself. Truckee has a
population of 16,740.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Andersen.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I see where Commissioner Fernandez is trying to go. I just want to make sure that we realize that COI testimony has extensively through this area -- keep Sierra and Nevada together and keep Placer and El Dorado together and we are definitely not doing that here. So I just want to, you know, bring that forth because we've certainly gotten lots of community input testimony about keeping these counties together, so I just want to mention that. I understand Commissioner Fernandez is rethinking everything and we'll see what ends up coming out of it, but I just want to mention that, please.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. Just in thinking about that, I'm just wondering if the line drawers could maybe provide some suggestions on how we could connect Vineyard to -- or make Commissioner Fernandez's vision a reality. Is there a way to -- so what would be the easiest way to do this, is I guess, the question for the line drawers, and keep the deviations within acceptable ranges?

MS. WILSON: Yeah. So what I would do would just be to bring Vineyard in to see what the deviation is and just keep riffling population North.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And my thinking, also,
Kennedy, was everything that I did with the Tahoe and Placer, I would reverse that -- I would reverse everything out to keep Commissioner Andersen's recommendation and Commissioner Toledo's recommendation. I would keep both of those. And then possibly move Truckee in, though the opposite way, so we're not -- so I don't know. That's my thinking at this point because it would keep Placer whole if we did it that way, which is what Commissioner Andersen brought up.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Here's an idea, though, because to take, is it Fruitvale or no, it's Vineyard. Aren't you trying to add Vineyard to Sacramento? You need to then find population for SACSTAN and I would grab that from NAPABYRON because see you're taking -- SACSTAN would go more negative, grab it from NAPABYRON, which is right next door, would be a much easier way to do that.

MS. WILSON: Also, we have --

CHAIR TURNER: Oh.

MS. WILSON: I was just going to say, we have the pending changes window, so we don't have to -- we can see what it looks like before making that change if you want to.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Two things: one, are these changes based on communities of interest and requests that we've gotten, versus, you know, that's its gut? And then second, on this whole point that, you know, we've heard this about big counties, we also, you know, people start big and then go small, and that's going to happen very regularly. And so I could easily see people say, keep Placer, El Dorado -- you know, it's easy for some people to say keep Nevada and Sierra together, but then when the time comes, a community who says, hey, keep our valley together, Truckee with Tahoe because that's how we work. And so things aren't going to be clean cut and we kind of have to take the different communities of interest that are coming in, and not just get stuck on the ones we heard first or the ones that make it easier, but kind of see how do we balance all that. But I would like us, when we're making these changes, just to really think through -- you know, go back to the database and look at those type of things because, you know, we are getting a lot of different inputs and some stuff is conflicting, and we've done a lot of changes down here in the Sacramento and in that area. While I don't feel like we've listened to a lot of the people that are closer to the other border, you know, up on the mountainside where
they keep saying, it doesn't always make sense to go East/West, sometimes we need to go North/South.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Commissioner Sinay.

Commissioner Akutagawa, then Fornaciari; then we're going back to Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. So to Commissioner Sinay's point; I do want to -- I am happy that Commissioner Fernandez is trying to pull Vineyard in. I did read about that particular community. There is a particular community of interest amongst the Filipino community there, and they have noted that Vineyard and Elk Grove have very close ties. That's one of the reasons that they have close ties, and so I do believe that it would be good in keeping a COI together, as well too, that that would be something that, if it can be achieved, I believe that the direction in which we're going with trying to incorporate them in would be one in which would keep a community of interest together. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: You're welcome. Thank you.

Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. Thanks. Can you pull the map up, please? I'm sorry. The other up. Okay. That's probably good. So it looks like Oakley, Brentwood, Byron are in NAPABYRON, SACSTAN is low. They
want to be with San Joaquin, so maybe there could be a
trade there taking Vineyard out and putting it into
Sacramento, and then moving some population from there
into SACSTAN and make that trade.

CHAIR TURNER: Kennedy, let's -- Commissioner
Fornaciari, give that as direction to Kennedy so we can
see what it looks like.

MS. WILSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Grab that whole part of
Contra Costa and move it into SACSTAN's beat. Or just
grab it and let's see how many people.

MS. WILSON: So this has a total of 130,985 people.
It would bring SAC Stanislaus to a 10.61 deviation, and
the NAPABYRON to negative 8.53.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And Vineyard is only
40,000?

MS. WILSON: Yes. I would need to check. I think
it's about 44,000.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And WESTSAC is already
in --

MS. WILSON: Yolo. Yes, WESTSAC is connected with
Yolo.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. But we can't -- we
certainly couldn't move them all.

CHAIR TURNER: Well, with that, Commissioner
Fornaciari, do we want to move -- never mind; they're already up there. Okay. Lodhi.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Well, we'd have to move Lodhi to NAPABYRON, which -- well --

CHAIR TURNER: Nope.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. I guess that's not going to work.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Kennedy, let's do your suggestion. Let's move Vineyard in, and then see what the affect is. Thank you. And thank you fellow Commissioners for going on this journey with me.

CHAIR TURNER: We're at break in two minutes.

MS. WILSON: Okay. Before break, you can see that there's 44,071 people. This would bring Sacramento to a 4.15 deviation and SAC Stanislaus to a negative 7.11.

Sacramento would still be in an acceptable deviation of plus or minus five. Oh, sorry, to the reporter. I'm speaking fast for break. And SAC Stanislaus would be a negative 7.11 percent.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So then SAC Stanislaus, we'd have to find a couple of percentage?

MS. WILSON: Correct. Possibly, the tail of Sacramento. However, that does connect to NAPABYRON.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: It does. Yeah. Can you go
down a little? Can you go South, just so I can see
what's on the other side of SACSTAN? Thank you. And
right now, San Joaquin is whole, correct?
MS. WILSON: San Joaquin is whole.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.
CHAIR TURNER: Can we --
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Just a quick clarification
because the lines are the same size and I'm getting
confused. The black lines are our district lines and the
red are the county and they're -- okay. So the tail of
Sacramento is part of NAPABYRON; is that correct?
MS. WILSON: Correct.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So why don't we add the tail
to SACSTAN to make up that population difference?
MS. WILSON: That's because in Contra Costa we do
have Bethel Island --
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh.
MS. WILSON: -- down to Byron --
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I see.
MS. WILSON: -- connects it together.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. You've added that
together, right? Okay. Thank you.
MS. WILSON: But if you wouldn't mind --
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: If you go down a -- you go down a little bit, Kennedy. I know, I'm getting confused by the district lines versus county lines.

MS. WILSON: Would you like me to make one of them thicker than the other?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Or could you make the red one, the county line, just a little thinner?

MS. WILSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So is Oakdale in -- which one is Oakdale in? In SAC Stanislaus or is it in --

MS. WILSON: Oakdale is in Stanislaus. It goes from -- this was a previous name, but it -- it's from Stanislaus to Merced, and then goes out to Mariposa, Tuolumne, and Calaveras Amador.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can you zoom into that area right there with the riverbank and -- Chair, Salida is probably connected to Modesto, I'm thinking. Is that a community of interest?

CHAIR TURNER: Salida is connected to Modesto.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. And Del Rio and Riverbank, and Home Valley (sic) as well? Pardon?

CHAIR TURNER: All of those connected to Modesto.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: (Audio interference) -- actually,
Ripon is too, but.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: What about the -- that's not going to get you -- Home -- Valley Home?

CHAIR TURNER: Never heard of it.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can you see what that population is, please?

CHAIR TURNER: Sorry. Invitations. I'll come visit.

MS. WILSON: I can. One moment.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: It's got to be --

MS. WILSON: Valley Home has a population of --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: 285?

MS. WILSON: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Can you keep going down a little bit, so the -- okay. So my --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. And now we're passed break. I was so on top of it and we're three minutes in. So we are going to go break now.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. I'll keep looking.

CHAIR TURNER: And we'll be back at 11:18. Thank you.

(Off the record 11:03 a.m.)

(On the record 11:23 a.m.)

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, and welcome back. Before we get started, I'd like to ask, Kim, I'm looking for
some research information, so if you'll check the chat and get back to me I'd appreciate it. So we were in the process of having you check some things for us, and so yeah, please, Kennedy.

MS. WILSON: I think before we move forward, I would like to note that Modesto is not kept whole. It's noncontiguous, so there's a part of it here and a little part up there. So maybe before moving forward, I should reunite that before making more changes. Obviously, your decision, but I thought I would point that out. And as far as deviations go, it doesn't change too much at all.

CHAIR TURNER: Oh, I thought I was still waiting for you. Are you waiting for me?

MS. WILSON: I was waiting to see if you want me to do that.

CHAIR TURNER: Oh, yes. Yes.

MS. WILSON: Okay. I didn't -- I figured. I just --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's like an impasse.

CHAIR TURNER: I'm like, um-hum.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Audio interference) --

CHAIR TURNER: Right. Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Do we want some (audio interference)?

CHAIR TURNER: That's fine. Thank you.
MS. WILSON: Okay. So reuniting it brings us from a negative 2.07 to a negative 2.01, and that's from a negative 2.65 to a negative 2.71.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Let's accept that.

MS. WILSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So can you go up -- oh, no, right where you're at. Can you (audio interference) to the left of Modesto, like, the left of all that -- yes. Thank you. Maybe all the way down to the population of 400 or what was that -- yeah.

(Pause)

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And maybe capture, like, also, Monterey Park Tract, like, the Cowan, like, that area right there, please? Thank you.

(Pause)

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And then whatever that -- is it Monterey Park to the left?

MS. WILSON: It's actually, a really tiny little -- I'm not sure -- city, CDP, but it's right there, captured in there.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And then what -- and then it says Modesto there, but that's not Modesto, right?

MS. WILSON: That -- the City of Modesto is --
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Is it split?
MS. WILSON: It's not contiguous.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, really? Oh.
MS. WILSON: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Interesting.
MS. WILSON: So there's a part of Modesto --
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.
MS. WILSON: -- that goes down here.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Can you see what
that does to our --
MS. WILSON: The -- the selection I have here?
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, yes, but then we had --
did we already do the -- I wanted to see how it was going
to impact when we moved the Vineyard.
MS. WILSON: Oh. Yeah, I -- so I can only do one at
a time --
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh.
MS. WILSON: -- and we didn't commit the changes to
moving Vineyard, and we just took a look at its pending
changes. So I can do it -- I can still accept this, and
then move Vineyard and we can still see that way as well.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, that'd be great. Thank
you.
CHAIR TURNER: And Kennedy, before you do that;
remind me, this change is -- we're putting them, in, out?
Tell me, what are we doing with this?

MS. WILSON: We're -- oh.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So we were going to put that into the SSACS thing.

CHAIR TURNER: STANIS?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Because we were trying to move Vineyard in with Sacramento to keep it whole -- or to keep it with Elk Grove for the communities of interest up there. Because, again, I don't think that community's interest was kept whole in any of the other -- in Congress or in the Assembly. I think it's always been split out. I was trying to give them at least one district that they're connected.

MS. WILSON: And Monterey Park Tract is a CDP that has 165 people.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Let's see what this looks like so you can move to Vineyard, and I'll try and bring up some COI for this area.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: See what we're doing to these folks. Thanks.

MS. WILSON: And I would like to note that this does not touch -- it touches the city border, but it doesn't take in any of Modesto, West Modesto, or Riverdale Park.
So I will commit that change now.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. Did you say Riverdale?

Riverbank? What did you just say, Kennedy?

MS. WILSON: I said this does not take -- this just

touches the city boundary. It doesn't take the City of

Modesto, West Modesto, or Riverdale Park. None of it is

split or taken out, it just touches the border. So now

should I move up and make that change to Vineyard?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please.

MS. WILSON: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I don't think it's going to

stay in there, but.

MS. WILSON: So moving Vineyard in again brings

Sacramento to a positive 4.15, and then the SAC

Stanislaus to a negative 6.54.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. WILSON: Would you like me to make that change?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Give me just one quick --

can you move down again, real quick? Comanche Village,

that's in a different -- is that a different county?

MS. WILSON: Yes. Comanche Village is in Amador

County.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Can you keep moving
down a little bit? Thank you. Just a little bit more.
Okay. Hold on. Where's the end of this? Just one more down, I think. I just want to see where the bottom of this district is.

MS. WILSON: It goes to the end of the Merced County line.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, it's on -- wait. I'm getting confused. No, the SSACSTANIS.

MS. WILSON: Oh.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I was looking for that one. Thank you.

MS. WILSON: Yeah. Sorry. That's here at Newman, at the Merced County line, also, but to the West side of the county.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, I see. Oh, I didn't realize that. And Gustine is a different county, right?

MS. WILSON: Correct. That's in Merced County.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Show me where Merced County is. Oh, so Merced County's already split?

MS. WILSON: Yes, it's split once. Down the middle where this black line is, that my --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. I don't want to split it again. Okay. I am going to, for now, abandon this. I will definitely come back to it in December.

MS. WILSON: So shall I undo the change I made?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes, please.
MS. WILSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. And then, I want to go back up to the Placer and undo some of that stuff since it's not working out and I want to try to keep Placer whole.

MS. WILSON: So shall I -- you want me to undo those changes entirely, or would you like to make it a little different than before?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: The changes I want undone are the ones -- not the Trinity of Del Norte; I want to keep those.

MS. WILSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: But then the other changes, and then I want to try to move Truckee --

MS. WILSON: In?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.

MS. WILSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

(Pause)

MS. WILSON: So committing this change, brings the Eastern California district to a positive 4.84 percent.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Um-hum.

MS. WILSON: But then brings the Northern California district down to a negative 9.04.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.
MS. WILSON: 9.06 percent.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Yes.

MS. WILSON: Okay. Is that okay, Chair?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, yes, please. I'm sorry, Chair.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So this is another step to process, right?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Then, yes, please. While we're doing that, Jane?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you, Chair.

Just while we're in this area, I just wanted to mention the -- Alpine and Sierra, they're counties, but Alpine is 1,200 people, Sierra has 3,200 people. So you know, and we're often talking about, well, it's a county, it's a county, but if we could kind of consider those, since they are the size they are -- they're about the size of a small town, so when they say, you know, our economy depends on such and such, it's like saying, this town is part of another county. So I would like us to keep, you know, Alpine wants to be with Mono; Sierra would like to be with Nevada. So if we could kind of consider that it's not just two counties that want to be together,
it's -- you don't want to isolate those from, you know, like you don't want to isolate just a small town. So I just kind of want us to keep that in mind and when we work through this area. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Um-hum. Thank you. I have me in the queue, but I'm going to go back to a different area. So Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. I hear you, Commissioner Andersen, but there's also quite a bit of COI testimony. My other option, though, is Placerville. Is Placerville currently out of that?

MS. WILSON: Yes, Placerville is out.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, but it's not helping my NORCA.

MS. WILSON: Yeah. And one change we made there was bringing Del Norte back to the coast.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Um-hum.

MS. WILSON: And that -- we brought Del Norte and Trinity back to the coast, so that's no longer in this Northern visualization, which is why it's lower than when we started.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So NORCA, we have to bring down. So that we have to bring down. That's due to the Trinity and the Del Norte, correct? Yeah.

MS. WILSON: Correct.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Okay. Let's look at Truckee, please. And those are, Truckee and the Tahoe area, they do have a community of interest. Tourism, skiing, summer, all of it. One's on the 80. See, now I'm doing the -- one's on Interstate 80 and one's on Interstate 50.

MS. WILSON: So Truckee has a population of 16,740. Bringing it into the Eastern California visualization would bring the deviation to a 6.53 --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, that's right. It's going to make it worse.

MS. WILSON: -- percent.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

MS. WILSON: Yeah. And then Northern California further down to a negative 10.75 percent.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: It's too short, so I'd have to -- I'd have to go the other way; that'd have to be Tahoe. Yeah. Can we try the Tahoe?

MS. WILSON: To try moving Tahoe North?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Up, yeah.

MS. WILSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. I went the wrong way. Those that had ridden with me, know that I go the wrong way a lot, so. Okay. I think that -- yeah. Thank you for cleaning that up. You see, I'm comfortable
with that. Okay. That's all I have. I would recommend, so that we do keep those tourist snow communities together.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. It looks like we're going to accept those changes when you get there.

MS. WILSON: Okay. So I was just cleaning up around the edges.

CHAIR TURNER: Um-hum.

MS. WILSON: Moving this Tahoe down to Meyers North into Northern California, would bring the Northern California deviation to a negative 4.61 and the Eastern California to a 0.4 percent.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. So we are accepting. Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

Just out of curiosity, what's the population of Placerville? Or I could probably look it up in my handy-dandy paper here. 10,000?

MS. WILSON: Yes. Placerville has a population of 10,783 people.

CHAIR TURNER: (Audio interference) --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: They're with Diamond's -- they're Diamond's -- okay. Nope. I'm just going to --

MS. WILSON: This also --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- leave it. I'm just
going to leave it. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Kennedy, if you would please go back down to the SACSTAN area and we were there for a bit. I'd like to take a look at Ripon and see if we cannot bring it into the same Senate district as the VSD/San Joaquin, so that we have Modesto, Oakdale, and Ripon together.

MS. WILSON: Okay. I will try that now.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Chair, while we're waiting for that could I?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you.

Commissioner Fernandez, I know you're trying to get Vineyard in. West Sacramento is 54,000, Vineyard's 44. So if you want to put West Sac back with Yolo County --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: West Sac is with Yolo County in this visualization.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh. Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. But thank you, though. I appreciate that. And that keeps Yolo County whole.

(Pause)

MS. WILSON: So here, for contiguity, moving it North, I added Salida as well.

CHAIR TURNER: Um-hum.
MS. WILSON: Which I -- I don't remember if you said to it or not, but that would bring San Joaquin, Stanislaus, the one with -- bringing it into Modesto, would bring that to a deviation of 1.11 percent. And then North, the South Sac Stanislaus would be a negative 5.83 percent.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Let's lock that in for now, and let me see if I can pull in --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Can I try to help, Chair?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Prior, we were bringing -- oh, but she's -- oh. Maybe I can't help.

MS. WILSON: Sorry. I just -- there's a census block. I'm assuming a river of some sort that --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. WILSON: -- I had to add it, or else it would split this at the county line. So I'm just adding a few more to even that out.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: On the prior, when I was trying to get more, we went to the -- is it Modesto -- went to the Modesto city lines and that might be enough population to move. That's just a suggestion.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, ma'am.

MS. WILSON: So I just delete it? So would you like
me to commit this change or try --

CHAIR TURNER: Let's make this change, and then let's see what the other -- because we still need more population in SACSTANIS. We can take a look, as well as -- because we, ultimately, did not make Modesto whole, right? Is that where we were at? I think that was too many.

MS. WILSON: Yeah. I'm just checking here.

CHAIR TURNER: Wait for the map to --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Sorry. Did we undo the Modesto whole?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: No, Modesto's whole.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Modesto is whole.

CHAIR TURNER: I mean, there were some things around there, though, that we --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh, the area.

MS. WILSON: Okay. So is this a change you would like to make, Chair?

CHAIR TURNER: This is a change I'd like to make.

MS. WILSON: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: And then I'd like to see SACSTANIS, the lines where they are to see where I can pull in population for SACSTANIS area. Just in.

MS. WILSON: So moving this line closer to Modesto?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.
MS. WILSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Kind of what we did --

MS. WILSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- a little bit ago. Thank you.

(Pause)

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

(Pause)

CHAIR TURNER: Wow. I'm thinking this is a whole lot.

MS. WILSON: So this section here gets it to a negative 4.92 percent.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Let's do that and we'll see if these communities can give us a response to this area. Um-hum. And then, Kennedy, let's go, please, to -- I'd like to look back at the Merced area again. There is -- and thank you, Kim, for researching it. There's a substantial amount of COI testimony requesting that Merced, which was split in the last Senate district, to be kept whole. And I think you told me there were 60 some thousand people on the one side. 60 some thousand people on the Santa Nella/Los Banos/Dos Palos, and then we're at a -- let's see what it does to make them whole on one side or the other. And (audio interference) can check the VRA numbers, as well.
MS. WILSON: So this would put the San Joaquin/Stanislaus over at 7.26 percent. And then bring the San Benito/Fresno to a negative 4.6 percent, which it says in deviation and the Hispanic CVAP -- the Latino CVAP is at 55.31 percent. And I would like to mention that North, in this district, there were the -- that's where Placerville and Diamond Springs are put in. So if you're thinking about things out, there's stuff North in this, as well, that wouldn't split counties more than they're already split.

CHAIR TURNER: What was the previous CVAP? Latino CVAP?

MS. WILSON: One moment.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: 55.19, I believe.

MS. WILSON: Yes. So it actually brings it up from 55.19 to 55.31 percent.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Mine was not this particular area. It's just I want to see the greater Sacramento area.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. Are there any disadvantages of moving towards the West in this, from the line drawer perspective, in terms of other districts
that might be impacted?

MS. WILSON: Well, if we take a bigger look at the district it's going in to, I think there's potential to balance in the North.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So you're saying it's a positive? That it allows -- give us flexibilities in the North?

MS. WILSON: I wouldn't -- I wouldn't say one or the other.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: No, but just from putting the deviations together, not so much --

MS. WILSON: Well, so it -- I mean, it brings this over, but you do have flexibility in the North because this is already at a negative, and this one is at 0.4 percent. So balancing a 7 percent with 0.4 would mean a 3 and a 4 percent, which are both within deviation.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: And does Counsel have any advice here in terms of VRA, because it is a VRA area?

CHAIR TURNER: But it increases our CVAP and we also have, at least, a couple of comments requesting that we increase CVAP in this area.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Um-hum.

CHAIR TURNER: But I'm sorry, I'll now let Counsel answer.

MR. BECKER: Yeah. I -- I don't see that this --
that this change implicates voting rights and concerns.

CHAIR TURNER: So let's explore further, Kennedy. So let's accept this, and then see how this does impact the North.

MS. WILSON: So the Northern border of this is at -- taking in from El Dorado County, takes in Placerville, Diamond Springs, Shingle Springs, and Cameron Park.

CHAIR TURNER: And we can, now, remove some of that, right, to reduce. Okay. So we're back up in the area that you were working with before, Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So we wanted to -- okay. Okay. Let's go with Placerville.

MS. WILSON: Okay. We will start there.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Please? I apologize for not saying, please, Kennedy. I love your work. You're doing great.

MS. WILSON: Thank you.

(Pause)

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And actually, maybe Rancho Murieta down at that corner. Does that help? Is that the same district?

MS. WILSON: It's in the --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Or no?

MS. WILSON: It's in the one below it --
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, never mind.

MS. WILSON: -- and that's one's at a negative 4.9.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Never mind. Wrong district.

MS. WILSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Actually, you know what, can we move from El Dorado Hills East? I'm thinking that -- and try to pick up that; does that make sense, Kennedy?

MS. WILSON: Yes. And I would also like to note the deviation with just Placerville alone for you.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. WILSON: That would bring the Eastern California to a 1.68.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. WILSON: And then the San Joaquin/Stanislaus --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. WILSON: -- to a 5.98 percent.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. WILSON: So -- so --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So if you could do, like --

MS. WILSON: -- pick up Diamond Springs as well.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Or I was thinking of, like, Shingle Springs, and then move towards Placerville. Does
that make sense? You know, go ahead and do Diamond Springs. I don't think Diamond Springs is going to give you a lot of -- so I'm thinking if we can actually pick up Shingle Springs and Diamond Springs and move it up, that would be great.

MS. WILSON: Okay. So I will start with, also, clicking --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Sure.

MS. WILSON: -- Diamond Springs and see where that gets us.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

MS. WILSON: Um-hum. So with Shingle Springs and Diamond Springs, then we're at a positive 4.35 down in the San Joaquin/Stanislaus and a 3.33 for Eastern California.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Could you just -- yeah --

MS. WILSON: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- click on that one too, just to see what it looks like? Oh, it brings it over. Okay.

MS. WILSON: It -- it does bring it slightly over, and I'll zoom out.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Um-hum.

MS. WILSON: One suggestion you spoke about before was pushing Sheridan North.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. WILSON: I don't know how many people are there or -- exactly, but if this was slightly over populated, that was a direction you had given earlier, but it would split Placer County once putting in Sheridan North.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Can we try that, please?

MS. WILSON: Yes. So I will start with this one, and even that out. Should I add the rest of the county up there?

CHAIR TURNER: I would like it.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: You'd like that? Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Um-hum.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Well, it fixes the San Joaquin --

CHAIR TURNER: Right.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- for sure.

MS. WILSON: So I was -- there's no more cities or CDPs in this area, so with your permission, I would add the whole county back in.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. That'd be great. Then we got to fix the other one though.

MS. WILSON: And then I will move up to Sheridan and Placer.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And show me where the --
those counties are kept whole, though, right?

MS. WILSON: Well, Placer and El Dorado are split to
bring the Tahoe area --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, that's right.

MS. WILSON: -- North.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: That's right. Okay.

MS. WILSON: Would you like me to check the
population of Sheridan?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. But that would split
Placer in three. No, but if we took part of Sheridan
out, that would -- oh, go ahead. I'm not sure what
Commissioner Andersen was saying. I couldn't hear you,
sorry.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Sorry. There are both
sections of Placer going up to NORCA.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, okay.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Just different areas --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: You're right. I'm sorry.

Yes. Thank you. I'm glad I phoned a friend. Because we
could look at, maybe, Sheridan, if that's not in the
Meadow Vista and Colfax and Alta. Connect it. That
looks like it's at the deviation, Chair.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, it does, actually.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yay, we did it.
MS. WILSON: The blocks are a little jagged over here, so I'm just trying to straighten that out. So bringing in Sheridan, Meadow Vista, Colfax, and Alta would bring ECA to a positive 4.51 percent, and then Northern California to a negative 2.62 percent.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please accept that.

MS. WILSON: I'm wondering, shall I connect this part as well? Just, there's the -- just at the county line, this is taking at the county line; shall I take more of the county line to keep it together, as in, like, right under here, keep clicking the blocks under here or to not do that?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Kind of even it out. Is that what you're doing?

MS. WILSON: Yes. Yes.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I don't even know what's up there, actually, to be honest with you.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Could you show us where Interstate 80 is on this, please?

MS. WILSON: Yes, one moment. Well, the label is a little small. Let me try to make that a little bit bigger. I'll turn this up. So the 80 runs through here.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Or you could probably then continue it on --
MS. WILSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- until you get to Truckee because Truckee is on Interstate 80. Is that where you were going, Commissioner Andersen?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, yeah.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Because then Interstate 80 will go down to Auburn and --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Into -- yes, that's correct.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: No, I mean, if we're doing this, I would go ahead and take the 80 border, yes. That we're doing this, I am wondering, you know, I'm just a little concerned. This looks like to manipulate the large cities, the whole outer-lying area is being kind of sacrificed and cut up. That's why I'm just -- I'm concerned about that.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And my hand's up just to talk about something else.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh. I guess I'll respond because Chair is busy right now, so. I guess on -- the flipside of it is, I see some positive in keeping some of the smaller communities in the same district because this area that's shaded red right now, they're obviously the smaller communities of Placer County. And plus I don't
know -- I have to do some research in terms of which
other areas we can pull from to try to get the ECA
numbers down.

CHAIR TURNER: So Commissioner Fernandez, do we want
to stand on this and wait a bit, or where are we at?
And while you're thinking about it, Commissioner
Toledo, are you weighing in on this?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah, I was going to weigh
on -- if we're going to move in this direction, I would
even it out a little bit through the highway. So I would
add that, and then at this point, if we're considering
it, I would just approve it because then it helps our
deviations. Our deviations are in acceptable ranges for
both of those districts at that point. Thank you.

MS. WILSON: Okay. There it is evened out. Would
you like me to make this change, Chair?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please.

MS. WILSON: Okeydoke.

CHAIR TURNER: And now we'll move to Commissioner
Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you, Chair.

What I'd like to do is just back out a little bit
and have a look at the whole San Francisco area again,
please. Okay. Oh, not quite that far. If you could
zoom in a little bit on the Sacramento. Okay. There
were some areas that I couldn't quite see on the smaller map and I was just trying to have a look and see what's going on there, but. Okay. Thank you very much.

CHAIR TURNER: Then with that, Kennedy, I'll ask you to go, please, one more time, let me look at the outskirts of Fresno, and then I think we're done. I'm done, anyway, with this part. Thank you.

Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. I'm just saying, before we leave the whole central valley area, which I think we're about to do, I just did want to mention about, is it -- I believe, yes. Could we back out just a little bit, please, Kennedy? I just want to make sure I'm talking about the right area. I guess it's the Fresno/Kern. You know, we've been getting a lot of testimony from this area, how, you know, they don't like certain things and we are hearing you, and I just want to say, unfortunately, you need a million people to get together on this and there's a VRA district, which is required to be drawn, and then there are mountains. And it's literally stuck between a rock and a hard place because a mountain is a hard place, and there are different areas and there are different interests, but the one thing that you -- in terms of going from Bakersfield to Fresno, it's a long way going over the
mountains, which would be kind of the only area to grab population for. That's even longer, particularly in the winter, so. And if you don't have broadband, you really can't get there. So it's unfortunate because of the -- we just have very, very large Senate districts in the State of California, and so we appreciate all your input and we expect to hear a lot more from everybody, all over California and we are considering it very, very carefully, so. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you for that, Commissioner Andersen. And there is substantial COI testimony that has a greater concern for Assembly, more so than the Senate. So when we have the continued conversation around Assembly, I will want to lift this again and look at how we are separating Fresno from Kern in the Assembly district as well. So thank you for adding that in.

Commissioner Toledo, please.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: If we could just take one last look at San Benito and Monterey because we've given the feedback and the changes we made to the VRA district. Just to look at it one last time before we go to the next portion of the state.

MS. WILSON: Also, Chair? I just wanted to bring up; in Assembly, Fresno is not going to Kern.

CHAIR TURNER: Fresno County/Kern County is
separate?

    MS. WILSON:  In Assembly, they're not together.

    CHAIR TURNER:  Oh. And that's what they wanted.

Beautiful. Thank you.

    COMMISSIONER TOLEDO:  I think we did the best job we could, given the VRA considerations and keeping as much of San Benito and Monterey whole. So with that, I'm okay to move forward to the next map. Thank you.


And I think this is it for this area. But before we go forward, we need to go backwards just a quick second.

Commissioner Yee.

    COMMISSIONER YEE:  I wanted to propose a small change to San Fernando Valley. I apologize for not catching this earlier. So this is at the border of Van Nuys and Sherman Oaks, along the 405, right where it dips down there. So it's a small change, but we've received, literally, hundreds of COI testimony about this, as well as calls. I thought we should fix it now so that folks will not need to continue calling. So zooming in more, let's see.

    MS. CLARK:  This is Oxnard.

    COMMISSIONER YEE:  Right.

    MS. CLARK:  Is the -- is this the boundary you're
looking at?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. Oh, my goodness. It looks like it's already been straightened. So Oxnard to Hazelton.

MS. CLARK: So yes, in the Senate. So there have been a couple different versions of this COI sent in. Originally, members of the public said it went to Kalifa Street, which the census blocks don't line up with exactly. The Commission more recently has been getting testimony saying that this area goes up to Oxnard, which is the straight line here.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Right.

MS. CLARK: So in Senate right now, it's up to Oxnard and the other versions, I think, is up following Kalifa as best as possible.

COMMISSIONER YEE: That's right.

MS. CLARK: So that -- so that's the difference in the boundary.

COMMISSIONER YEE: I see. And I did confirm that it should be on Oxnard, that is the official neighborhood council boundary now. It was changed in 2009. You know, I should have caught this yesterday, but if that has not been changed for the Assembly, also, we'll want to go back to that, but this may not be the time for that, I guess. Okay. Okay. This is all good, then. Thank you.
MS. CLARK: Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: All right, Jamie. All right. So we are ready, Jamie, for you to talk to us about your Senate maps.

MS. CLARK: Okay. Of course. Thank you. A little tired here. One moment, please, we're just pulling up the page number.

Okay. Could we please start on page 34? This visualization includes Santa Clarita Valley and parts of San Fernando Valley, it's neighborhood council areas of Sylmar, Pacoima, Mission Hills, the City of San Fernando, Arleta, much of Sun Valley, North Hollywood areas, Van Nuys split at Oxnard, Lake Balboa, and Reseda, and this is a percent deviation of 1.67 percent.

Next, page 35. In East Ventura County, this visualization includes Simi Valley, Moorpark, Somis, Thousand Oaks, Oak Park, and Bell Canyon. In the San Fernando Valley, it includes Granada Hills, Porter Ranch, Northridge, Chatsworth, West Hills, Woodland Hills, Tarzana, Encino, Sherman Oaks, part of Sherman Oaks neighborhood, Studio City, and Valley Village. This is a percent deviation of .63 percent.

Moving on to page 36. This includes the Western most part of Los Angeles County, in the Malibu area, including Westlake Village, Agoura Hills, Calabasas, and
Topanga. Additionally, includes Palisades, Bel Air, West Hollywood, Beverly Hills, Westside Neighborhood Council, Westwood, Santa Monica, Venus, Marina del Rey, and this very Northern area of Westchester. And additionally, includes just the shore, here, near LAX. Including South of LAX, El Segundo Beach, Manhattan Beach, Redondo Beach, all of Torrance, Gardena, South of Rosecrans, Lomita, Rolling Hills, Palos Verdes. This is a percent deviation of 1.4.

Next, moving to page 37, please. This visualization is a deviation of .6. And again, this is page 37. Includes LAX, Del Rey, Mar Vista, Palms, Culver City, Inglewood, South Robertson, Pico, Mid-City, West Adams, Jefferson Park areas, also, including South Central Neighborhood Council, areas of Westlake. And the border here is at the Southern boundary of Historic Filipinotown, this does not include the Historic Filipinotown, COI.

Please join me at page 38. Zooming out to see the whole thing. This is a percent deviation of negative 1.54. It includes San Pedro, Wilmington, Carson, West Carson, Compton, Harbor Gateway South and Harbor Gateway North Neighborhoods -- Neighborhood Council areas. Includes West Rancho Dominguez, East Rancho Dominguez, Compton, Willowbrook, the Watts COI, Westmont, West

We discussed some of these yesterday. So we discussed on the one called 710 to water yesterday, that's on page 139. So page 40, please, the SDNELA visualization. This includes Greater Wilshire, Koreatown, East Hollywood, Silver Lake, Echo Park, Historic Filipinotown, Glassell Park, Highland Park, the LA-32 Neighborhood Council area, Lincoln Heights, East Los Angeles, Boyle Heights, downtown LA, Vernon, Commerce, Maywood, and Bell. It's a percent deviation of negative 2.04 percent.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Do you have the page number for that?

MS. CLARK: That was on page 40.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you.

MS. CLARK: We discussed page 41 yesterday. So we will look next at page 42, please. This visualization includes Claremont, La Verne, San Dimas, Glendora, Duarte, Monrovia, Northern parts of Arcadia, Pasadena, South Pasadena, Altadena, La Canada Flintridge, La Crescenta, Glendale, Burbank, Eagle Rock, Atwater Village, Hollywood Hills, NoHo Neighborhood Council, Foothills Trail, and Sunland-Tujunga, and areas of
Angeles National Forest.

We already looked at the visualization on page 43, and so next, we're going to look at page 44, please. Zooming out because this is geographically large. This visualization includes Antelope Valley, with Victor Valley, and areas of Northern San Bernardino County. Additionally, includes areas in Northern Upland and Rancho Cucamonga in San Bernardino County. And those are the current Senate visualizations in Los Angeles County.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Jamie.

Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you.

I was curious to know in SD210, what is the population of, I believe it's Hollywood Hills, below Glendale?

MS. CLARK: One moment, please. Just -- just verifying this is the area you're looking at?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes.

MS. CLARK: Okay. Thank you. So this isn't the exact boundary of the neighborhood council area, but pretty close, and this is 17,967 people. So just shy of 18,000.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Okay. Thank you. That's all.

MS. CLARK: Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Kennedy.
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair.

My understanding from all of the input from Equality California is that the preference would be to move West Hollywood into the SDNELA area to reunite it with the rest of Hollywood. So I would propose that we undertake they do that.


Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: This was an area that has a CVAP for Latinos at 49.21. So one of the thoughts we had had was actually moving Hollywood up to SD210, and then bringing Eagle Rock in to SDNELA because we've gotten feedback that Eagle Rock wants to be -- that Latino community wants to be together. So I just wanted to check in if it mattered which way we were looking at unifying Hollywood and West Hollywood.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. We'll explore some of these.

Commissioner Vasquez.

COMMISSIONER VASQUEZ: Yes. I was going to say I'd like to explore moving Eagle Rock into SDNELA. And I'm also wondering -- I've given it a little thought, but I'm wondering when Commissioner Akutagawa gets back if maybe we will be in a place to think a little bit more about SDNELA. I know we cut short a conversation; I still
think this is a good place to start, but I did want to
give Commissioner Akutagawa an opportunity that she
wanted to study this more.

So yeah, I think we should -- I don't know that I've
seen a preference as to whether West Hollywood and East
Hollywood -- I know we have heard from Equality
California that they go together, to not split the LGBTQ
community, but I am curious about potentially moving them
into SD210.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

Commissioner Toledo. Nope. Okay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'll go back. Sorry about
that. So I am in support of --

CHAIR TURNER: Your hand's up and down. What are we
doing?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I am in support of unifying
the two. I'm also in support of adding Eagle Rock. The
question in my mind is which to do first, so that we are
careful with the deviations and try to get to a balancing
of these because there's significant population that
we're shifting.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. And as we're having this
conversation, for the line drawers, for Jamie, I'll just
mention, so we're also getting testimony comments in
regards to our Californians that may have a challenge
with color blindness or what have you. So as far as being able to differentiate the lines, and so I'll put that out there and I'm not sure what suggestions to make about it. However, I'll name it to see if there's something that can be done. Jamie --

MS. CLARK: Could I ask a clarifying question of which lines are difficult to differentiate according to the comments?

CHAIR TURNER: Well, I hope they continue to write in and answer that. It's just that the testimony is that it's difficult when trying to discern which lines go where in redistricting with color blindness.

MS. CLARK: I'm going to make the district boundaries larger, and I hope that this helps and if anyone finds comments with more details.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: So where to begin. Can we pan out just a little bit so I can see more of the entire area? So please correct me if I'm wrong. Currently, the Northern parts of the City of Los Angeles, including, Los Feliz, North Hollywood are paired with the 210, including Sunland-Tujunga?

I'm trying to figure out this piece that Equality California is calling in about. And I've mentioned this
before, I live in this sort of area. Sunland-Tujunga is a fairly urban/rural sort of location. I mean, you definitely have folks up there that are having horses and much more open space. There's that connection to the mountains for sure.

I'm concerned that many of these cities in the Northern parts of Los Angeles would be better served not in that district. I'm not sure how we get there. So I definitely -- I'm okay for now with this West Hollywood switch to that area to support that COI. But I'm also wondering if there's a larger readjustment to these maps that better keeps the City of Los Angeles whole, and maybe would split some, like, Burbank, Glendale, Pasadena as needed, only if needed, for population purposes, and orienting West Hollywood, Los Feliz, and other parts of that COI with the beach areas.

And in particular, they look further down in the beach areas. You know, I see Gardena and Northern Torrance as a part of this district. Gardena and Northern Torrance, we've had quite a bit of testimony, I don't know if it's specific to the Senate maps, but in general, about historic African American communities that have cultural centers throughout that area, as well as some of the testimony from AAPI groups that wanted to see, I believe, it was Gardena and Northern Torrance; and
I would need to go back to my notes.

I'm wondering if there's swaps that can be made here, and this is a very sort of classic West L.A. district -- West L.A. coastal, sort of district that we're forming here and to that end, I think that perhaps there's larger structural changes. But in general, to get us started, I would support the switch from West Hollywood for now, but I think in the long run there might be broader changes to be made.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes. Thank you, Commissioner Sadhwani.

Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes, real quick. I know you asked, where shall we start. I was going to suggest that we start with the Eagle Rock switch and I am in support of what Commissioner Sadhwani just said. I wonder if that Los Feliz community is best served in the NELA district. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Taylor, you want to walk through the directions for Jamie?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yeah. To begin, much as Commissioner Vasquez suggested to put the Eagle Rock out of SD210 into SDNELA.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

And while --
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: You got it.

CHAIR TURNER: -- she's clicking on that, Commissioner Vasquez.

COMMISSIONER VASQUEZ: I will wait, I think -- I will wait because my next is, I think -- well, actually, I think this opens up opportunity -- I'm, in some ways, trying to stall for Commissioner Akutagawa. This does open up opportunities to explore maybe reworking some of this, like, Vernon/Commerce piece.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER VASQUEZ: What in previous maps was the Gateway cities -- some of the Gateway cities. So just wanted to flag that, but I think this addition of Eagle Rock -- can we, actually -- can you widen this data box so that we can see the -- we don't have another readily accessible ethnic community indicator, so I'm just sort of using the Hispanic CVAP as a proxy for the Hispanic community. Okay. I think we can accept this change.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: That was a lingering hand, but I want to go ahead, Regis, and accept the change as well.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

Commissioner Kennedy. Still on mute, sir.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thought I had unmuted it and
was waiting on unmuted, but maybe somebody muted me. The issue with West Hollywood is that the LGBT community is not just West Hollywood and Hollywood. It goes beyond that to Silver Lake and other areas as well. So to me, it doesn't make sense to push Hollywood out of SDNELA. It makes more sense to bring West Hollywood in. So that's where I'm coming from on this. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

So let's accept the Eagle Rock change. And then let's look at, Commissioner Kennedy, adding in West Hollywood and you want us to go from there?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Sure. Thank you.

MS. CLARK: Adding West Hollywood into the --

CHAIR TURNER: SDNELA.

MS. CLARK: Yep. That would make the percent deviation of the NELA district, 4.66 percent, and the larger coastal district, negative 2.22 percent.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I completely agree with Commissioner Kennedy on that one. And I mean, there's two options if we want to go here now. One, put the LGBT community in the SDNELA, which I could see. Or moving them to that further West L.A. region. And I think the answer will come with, you know, as
Commissioner Fernandez began to point out, what we do with those lower portions of SDNELA. Jamie, could you please remind us of where the VRA district was in this area -- or districts?

MS. CLARK: There are VRA considerations in these areas.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Those three are VRA?

MS. CLARK: Did you want it shaded in, or?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: If you could, yes. That would be really helpful for me; I don't know about for others.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Audio interference) --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: And again, I don't have all the populations in front of me, but some of these VRA districts could potentially be reoriented so that they can work better. I mean, I think we're hearing from a lot of different communities that, like, the San Gabriel Valley one is some odd combinations, having Pico, Rivera, Montebello with San Gabriel, Rosemead, Alhambra, Arcadia, et cetera.

East L.A. Boyle Heights, as we've discussed in previous weeks, could be a part of a NELA district. It could also, potentially, be a part of a more Southern district. Like, that could potentially be a boundary that, you know, pairs with Vernon, Commerce and further
down into the Gateway cities. So I think there's potentially different options that could be explored and I'd be curious to hear what other Commissioners think about any of that.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. We do have one proposed change that we'll either accept or stand down from. Let's hear from Commissioner Vasquez and Toledo.

COMMISSIONER VASQUEZ: Yeah. I think I'd like to accept this change of moving West Hollywood. It actually doesn't change the deviation. It doesn't push us wildly out of bounds, even with both of these changes. So that feels like a better landing place than I think I was afraid it would be. So first, I'd like to accept this change as long as there are no objections.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'm fine with that as well. And then I had a question about Eagle Rock for Commissioner Vasquez, maybe Taylor as well.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

So let's accept this change. And Commissioner Vasquez, you wanted to move a different area? Do you want to hear the question that Commissioner Toledo has?

COMMISSIONER VASQUEZ: I can do the question.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'm just curious; in terms of
the Eagle Rock area, is there a differential? You know, are there sections of Eagle Rock that are more working class? Because if I remember correctly, I think we talked about this earlier and that there was some kind of a divide in that community, and I'm wondering if, for deviations purposes, if we can, you know -- so just curious about that. Thank you.

VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Vasquez, I can work on that answer to that, too, if you don't want to.

COMMISSIONER VASQUEZ: Yes.

VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: So I mean, Eagle Rock is an interesting community in that it's the gateway to Pasadena, it's a college community around Occidental, but it's the Northern end of Glassell Park and people also use it as a transportation route to Highland Park. So it has a lot of characteristics. It's an older historic neighborhood. So you know, like a lot of communities in California, it bears a lot of attributes.

So yes, you can see some of the culture, some of that Latino culture, while you still have some of the culture that comes along with Occidental being in the center of the city. And you have the outskirts of Pasadena that are on the Northern -- I guess, you have the Northern border, and there's a bridge that leads -- and then there's a mountain range to the North. So it's
COMMISSIONER VASQUEZ: If I can add -- thank you for that, Commissioner Taylor. If I can also add, I think at this point, for deviation, I'm less worried about that. What is concerning me a little bit is, again, this is not a VRA district, but we don't have an easily accessible proxy for Latino communities of interest and again, we've talked about how much many of these communities are being impacted by gentrification and changing demographics.

And so my concern is that we've added, now, two communities, West Hollywood, and Eagle Rock, that have lowered the Hispanic CVAP, which, again, even if it's not in play for voting rights, tells me that there may be more we can do to strengthen and keep together Latino communities of interest in, I think, this really important, but shifting, district.

I don't know what those are yet, but there's a lot of Latino communities, as you head South, really, across this whole district. So maybe the next step is to turn on the Latino CVAP in this area and maybe we can make some adjustments around based on that heat map.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So can I just ask? The Hollywood United Neighborhood Council, is that not part
of Hollywood as well? I mean, that's why I was looking
at moving pieces up North into that district. You know,
there's Hollywood Hills, Hollywood United North
Neighborhood Council, and so I'm just trying to figure
out, you know, we can make the move that we did and then
I was going to still hear that we've broken up the LWT
community -- the heart of the LWT community. And the
reason I'm asking that is I know -- is there a division
between the Hollywood United Neighborhood Council and Los
Feliz Neighborhood Council, or are they all just kind of
the same boundaries?

COMMISSIONER VASQUEZ: They are not the same
boundaries, but they're very distinct communities, but
they're similar-ish. But they very much take pride in
their own individual communities, I would say.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. So it's just -- and does
anybody know, is the Hollywood United Neighborhood
Council different? I mean, should that also be part of
the other Hollywood's? I mean, that's where I'm
getting -- there's four different Hollywood's on this
map, in this general area, and that's why my thought had
been to move it all into 210, because two were already
there. And so I'm just trying to figure out exactly
what, you know --

VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Sinay, those are
distinct communities. So there's not, you know, like, pieces of, like, this block considers themselves part of this neighborhood council and also part of that neighborhood council. They are distinct unto themselves.

COMMISSIONER VASQUEZ: And I think this Hollywood United piece, I think that's the Bowl. I think that's, like, Hills and the Hollywood Bowl and -- yeah. So we might not -- I mean, I guess we could -- I think probably the bigger question mark in terms of the LGBTQ community is maybe this Hollywood Hills section because that's residential. But I don't have the Equality California maps in front of me, but that would be, again, potentially, where we might still be breaking populations.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: There's Universal City, too.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Oh, I think, Commissioner Akutagawa, you were before me. Did you want to go first?

No?

CHAIR TURNER: She doesn't have her hand --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Oh, sorry. Yeah, I mean, I -- so I guess, my question is, are we good like this? Right? Because I think what I'm hearing is there's a desire to keep together the LGBTQ community, as well as a
desire to keep together Latino communities of interest. Not necessarily from a VRA perspective, and I think that those are the -- I agree with that. If we leave it as it, we're within our legal bounds here, because we're at 4.66 percent. If we want to try bringing in these other parts of L.A. City, we're probably going to be going over, and so then I think we would have to make some decisions about, is this a district more centered around the LGBTQ community or is this a district more centered around Latinos.

I mean, we can see that East L.A. Boyle Heights, you know, with the Latino CVAP on is where the bigger concentrations are. I would be curious to hear more from folks about what they wanted to try and achieve here as we try to make decisions because that's going to ultimately have effects as we move further down and out on this map.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Commissioner Sadhwani.

Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I apologize that I had to step away. I am curious if you've had a further conversation about the West San Gabriel Valley because I think what happens in NELA also impacts the West San Gabriel Valley. And as I said last night, I mean, there is a significant COI in that San Gabriel Valley and you
know, at the same time, I think we need to figure out how
do we honor the VRA districts. And one thought, I'll
just throw out there, and I don't know if you discussed
this, but similar to -- I think there was a conversation
about, I believe it was the -- maybe it was the San
Fernando Valley earlier, about is it better to have two
strong VRA districts versus three semi-strong VRA
districts in this area. So I'll just stop here.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Given the conversation earlier
about potentially shifting our VRA districts a little bit
later on, during the next phase, I would recommend
accepting West Hollywood in this district, keeping it as
is for now, and waiting to hear from community input to
help us guide any future changes that we might make. And
it sounds like that's something that the Commission is
interested in exploring in the future.

CHAIR TURNER: So Jamie, are we still sitting
with -- we did not approve that last West Hollywood?

MS. CLARK: We did. It's currently in the NELA
district.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Thank you. Okay. At this
point, we're going to go to lunch and we'll be gone to
lunch for forty-five minutes, and we'll pick this back up
at the end of lunch. Thank you.

(Off the record 12:50 p.m.)

(On the record 1:40 p.m.)

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you so much, and welcome back from lunch. Would like to kind of set expectations for us for the balance of our time today. We are going to continue with our agenda item number 6, which is the live line-drawing for the completion of our Senate maps. I do want to let you know, at this time, we are going to go ahead and post what we have for reaction. We are going to post our Assembly maps and the Congressional maps at this time.

From there, we will continue working to complete our Senate map, at which time we will require just a short break in between so that we can set up in preparation for the discussion for our Board of Equalization. We will complete those today and see if there are motions to accept, at which time, we'll go to public comments. And at the conclusion of public comment, we will, hopefully at that point, be able to take a vote on our maps.

So that is what we're going to try to and work through, a very aggressive schedule, but it's what is before us is what we're going to make happen. All right. So at this time, we will go -- Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Are we -- I -- that
break just really did me in. So are we ready to go this VRA district, Chair?

CHAIR TURNER: We -- yes. We are in now the Los Angeles area on all of our Senate maps at this time.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. So -- but the one that is next, I think we started this discussion around these VRA districts. Is that -- no?

CHAIR TURNER: No.

MS. CLARK: I apologize. I didn't know the direction was towards me, but we had sort of looked at all of the visualizations in Los Angeles County, and we're talking about the SDNELA visualization.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: We -- yes, but I want to ask about the ones that are next to it because I did express concerns about the -- particularly just -- I guess I -- when we left off, I asked the question, is it better to have two strong VRA districts or three semi-strong VRA districts? Because I think we did leave off with that question.

And I'm also asking because the -- you know, we have been receiving and we have received quite a bit of testimony from -- about an Asian COI in two of these VRA districts, and I know that the dilemma that we left off with yesterday was how do we honor other VRA districts and also still, as we try to deal with other COIs, honor
this COI too? So --

CHAIR TURNER: The question, Commissioner Akutagawa, that you're asking about the preference -- I see Mr. Becker has come on.

Mr. Becker.

MR. BECKER: Yes. Thanks. I'll just make a couple of quick points. We shouldn't be talking about strong and weak VRA districts. The point of a VRA district is to provide an opportunity for the minority communities that have met all of the preconditions in the totality of the circumstances to continue to have the opportunity to elect candidates of choice, first of all.

Second of all, I'd just point out, we're talking about the Senate maps right now. It is perfectly appropriate to consider a variety of different COIs; however the Asian communities nowhere in California were -- were numerous and geographically compact enough to form a majority in the largest of these -- of the three maps, which is the Senate districts outside of the Board of Equalization, obviously.

So VRA concerns did not protect Asian voters in Senate districts only and in Congressional maps as well because of the numbers because the first Gingles precondition was not met. It did not require a particular district to be drawn because they could not
meet the first Gingles precondition.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I understand that, but as a
COI, is there a way to be able to -- I -- I'm just asking
these questions because I just want to make sure I
understand, but also those who are listening who are
interested can understand and also give us testimony or
inputs as to what they may see may be a better way
forward given what we're presented with, and so we did
see yesterday during the Assembly maps that there's some
pretty large CVAPs in certain areas. We've also talked
about some communities being more affiliated with other
communities.

Do you believe, David, that there could be a
different look to these maps?

MR. BECKER: So I'm not a line drawer. What I can
tell you is there's no one -- there's no one boundary
that defines that is the on boundary that will work for
VRA considerations. What I can tell you is, and perhaps
the line drawers can put the CVAPs on the three yellow
districts right now. I'm sorry. Not the block bubble.
I just needed the percentages. Thank you.

MS. CLARK: So what's up on the map, the label right
now is the name of the visualization, the percent
deviation, percent Latino CVAP, percent black CVAP,
percent Asian CVAP, and percent white CVAP.
MR. BECKER: So Latino populations in this area satisfy all three Gingles preconditions, and -- which means the Voting Rights Act requires that we attempt to draw districts that will enable them to have the ability to elect candidates of their choice. We have seen rationally polarized voting here.

These percentages are probably slightly on the lower end. The -- the one where I think -- the one where I think the percentages might be in the range that we should certainly solicit additional community testimony about and determine whether or not it's sufficient is probably SD10WE, the Northern-most district here, given the other percentages that we're seeing there, but we have seen adequate evidence and data to suggest that the Latino community is large enough and geographically compact enough and given racially polarized voting that there likely should be three Senate districts where they can continue to have the ability to elect candidates of their choice. The boundaries are entirely up to you as long as they afford Latinos that opportunity.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Mr. Becker.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: You're welcome.

Jaime, did you have -- were you complete with Los Angeles? Okay. We'll move to Southern California,
please.

So at this time, we're just waiting as the mappers make a shift and get set up.

MS. TRATT: All right. Thank you so much for your patience, Chair, Commissioners.

So I believe last night, we left off covering the visualizations that potentially have VRA considerations. Is there a preference as to going back or just starting with the rest of the visualizations?

CHAIR TURNER: Take us through the rest of the visualizations, please.

MS. TRATT: Okay. Perfect. One moment while I find the page number. This is Sivan Tratt. Is -- is my camera on? One second.

Okay. So the last visualization -- let's see. So we will be on page 50 starting with the visualization SES/DCEC. So this visualization was -- we added Bonita to it following Commissioner feedback, captured as much of the Southern portion of the City of San Diego, including keeping intact many of the LGBTQ COI neighborhoods that we identified in testimony. It goes up to including Santee, Eucalyptus Hills, and then cuts between Harbison Canyon and Crest, goes around rancho San Diego to Spring Valley and La Presa.

The -- one moment, please. Sorry about that. All
right. And then let me just turn on the layer for tribal lands. The other change that was made -- so the other change that was made is this is the Sycuan, I hope I'm pronouncing that correctly, Indian Reservation, and again, this was from the feedback, the directions to consider the letter from the Pala Band of Mission Indians, but it was talking about considering associating tribal lands with the place where the people there are most likely to get their services.

So considering we've had a lot of conversations about this, so obviously I haven't made changes to the Senate, I haven't gotten direction yet, but that's just the current standing of this visualization.

Next would be on page 51, SDC, and this is the visualization that includes the rest of the City of San Diego. It goes as far as Coronado. It does not include Imperial Beach, National City, or Chula Vista, but it does connect Coronado to the downtown area of San Diego City. It goes as far North as Escondido, and it keeps the City of Escondido intact as well as the entirety of the City of Poway.

On page 52 is SOCN/SDC, and again, some slight changes were to incorporate Pala and the Pala Indian reservation. It also removed the Pachanga Reservation, and paired it with Temecula just kind of making an
educated guess as to what the closest urban center would be for those folks living there, and then it goes far North as this Southern cities in Orange County. It includes the coastal cities of Dana Pointe and San Clemente, and it goes as far North as Rancho Santa Margarita and includes Rancho Mission Viejo as well.

Should I continue, or are their comments so far?

CHAIR TURNER: No comments. Yep. One comment.

Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you. Thanks for all your hard thinking on this and where things can go. This map is eliciting a lot of attention. I think the main concern that the community has is going from the coast all the way up and around, you know, from Orange County Coast, San Diego Coat, and then -- Coast, and then up and around Camp Pendleton. That -- is there a way, instead of going up and around, just to go down the -- keep all the coast together, the San Diego Coast and the Orange County, just keep traveling South, and then take the -- the in -- inside part? I guess you need my direction.

MS. TRATT: Yeah. So you would be talking about potentially removing these more inland cities from visualization?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. I think -- exactly. So Valley Center, Pala, Rainbow, Fallbrook, kind of
everything East of Vista and putting it in the group with
Escondido --

MS. TRATT: Yeah. Commissioner --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- or --

MS. TRATT: -- if you'd like to give me direction, I
would be happy to try that change.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So my -- is it -- it's -- is it
better to take things out or add things in?

MS. TRATT: It's the same either way, whichever way
you want to --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. So let's --

MS. TRATT: -- try it.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Can we go from Del Mar, go
ahead and add the Torrey Pines and La Jolla.

MS. TRATT: Sorry, Commissioner. If you could refer
to the name of the district you're wanting me to add --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Oh, sorry. So --

MS. TRATT: -- and take from, that would be helpful.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: We are -- so SOC/NSDC. It just
happened to be the longest one. I guess they're all
long. Sorry. So go to the South part of that district,
southwest, and just continue to go down the coast.

MS. TRATT: Okay. So this would be adding to this?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Adding to that, yes. Trat tat
adding to the coastal areas of the City of San Diego into this South Orange County/North San Diego --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right.

MS. TRATT: -- County? Okay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And making sure, you know, around a lot of the beaches, there's waterways, there's lagoons, so we need to make sure the lagoons are staying with the cities that they are part of, and I'm only bringing that up because I've gotten several letters saying that we cut a lagoon that I don't think we did, but --

MS. TRATT: Commissioner, sorry. I know that I said that it would be the same either way, but it might actually, now that I'm thinking about this --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Better to go the other --

MS. TRATT: -- make more sense to start by removing the cities so that we can --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Perfect.

MS. TRATT: -- judge how much population to add from San Diego.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: That makes absolute sense. So from the East boundary, you know, Valley Center -- you know, Valley Center the tribal lands, Pala, Rainbow, Fallbrook, and Bonsall.

MS. TRATT: Would you like me to add Hidden Meadows
and San Marcos as well? And let me bring over the --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: No.

MS. TRATT: -- (indiscernible, simultaneous speech)

, too.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: You can keep San Marcos there.

That will be fine.

MS. TRATT: Okay. So --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: But Hidden Meadows, yes.

MS. TRATT: Okay. And obviously the unincorporated areas.

MS. TRATT: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And then if you can put them into -- SEC is the VRA district, right?

MS. TRATT: Yes, that's correct. So I was going to put these cities temporarily in the --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: At SDC, yes.

MS. TRATT: SDC as that's where we'll be bringing population in from.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.

MS. TRATT: One moment while I clean this up a little bit.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Of course. Remind me that --

CHAIR TURNER: I think you should also look at those unincorporated areas as well around Vista.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Actually, those are really
connect -- well, we'll see.  Yeah.

MS. TRATT: Okay. So acknowledging that this could potentially use some further refining, is this generally what you were thinking of adding?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.

MS. TRATT: Or rather taking out of this coastal visualization?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.

MS. TRATT: Okay. So in that case, that would turn the deviation of this South Orange County/North San Diego County visualization to a negative 10.48 deviation, and the SDC would be overpopulated by 8.52 percent. Would you like me to commit this change?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please.

MS. TRATT: All right. So next we can go down. Let me just clean this one straggler up. One moment.

All right. So at your direction, I'll start grabbing from this coastal areas. I'm assuming these water areas are the lagoons that you're talking about?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, I (audio interference).

MR. MANOFF: Microphone, please. Microphone, please.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm so sorry about that. So yeah. If you can just go, kind of follow the 5, but the -- so Del Mar, there's a lagoon right outside of Del
Mar where it says, "Del Mar," to the right, and yes. So all those waterways should be also with the coast.

Okay. And if we -- is it possible not to go into the 805 triangle?

MS. TRATT: I don't know.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. Can we zoom in a little bit? Is it possible? Just to be able to see.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Let's see.

MS. TRATT: Which part would you like to zoom in on, Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm just -- so I was just trying to figure out where -- so you just grabbed La Jolla, right; do you know?

MS. TRATT: Yeah. So again, we don't have the official neighborhoods, but I believe La Jolla is generally here. So I have not grabbed the entirety of La Jolla, no.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Again --

MS. TRATT: Would you like me to continue adding --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I think you have --

MS. TRATT: -- population?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- grabbed -- well, could you go up at the -- where we started and can you fill in kind of from -- you see where Fairbanks Ranch kind of goes?

I'm just trying to figure out exactly where we are. Can
you put the Google --

MS. TRATT: Yes. One moment.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sorry.

MS. TRATT: No. No worries.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you. Yeah. So if you can kind of maybe follow --

MS. TRATT: Would you like me to fill in from this --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. Up to the --

MS. TRATT: -- like 56 --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- 56, yeah.

MS. TRATT: -- triangle? Okay. One minute.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Where are we at on that now?

Oh.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Wow. I fixed it. You can keep the Carmel Valley going West.

MS. TRATT: Okay. Sorry. So Maptitude is not cooperating. So I'm going to --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: All right.

MS. TRATT: -- commit this change, and then remove this area. Sorry about that. One moment.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I don't think you need to remove that.

MS. MACDONALD: I'm just going to clean up the line a little bit. There are a couple --
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sure.

MS. MACDONALD: -- noncontiguous blocks. Thanks for your patience.

MS. TRATT: Commissioner, how does this look?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: It's looking good. And I would say to accept that, but I'm going to turn to the Chair because that's her job.

CHAIR TURNER: Before we accept, Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: We did receive community of interest testimony about keeping El Cajon separate from Santee, and it's a little bit lower down, so I'm not -- and --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Can --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- can --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- we wait? We're not done with this one yet.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: But just in terms of population and as we're shifting population, it might make sense to consider it.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And we've already received significant --

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sorry.

CHAIR TURNER: Let me let Commissioner Toledo
finish.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. So we did receive community of interest from the African-American community, the black hub specifically, to keep El Cajon with La Mesa and Spring Valley and not with Santee. Santee is such -- it's such a large population center that we -- it would have ripple effects on these areas too. So just something to consider, but there was drawn concern about keeping Santee with El Cajon. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: There's -- there -- yours was that -- to separate them, but we've also received a lot of requests from the East County, the rural communities to keep them together. So that's why we've been doing the half and half, but I can go either way, wherever it's needed.

So if we can -- with the -- going up to -- I just wanted to capture Carmel Valley because they're in the same school district as Del Mar. So I didn't know if you had got -- oh, so wait. Now, I'm confused. We accepted the first change, or did we not, where we took it out?

MS. TRATT: Yes. So both changes have been accepted. So now the deviation of this SOC and SDC --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay.

MS. TRATT: -- district is negative .59, and the SDC is negative 1.36.
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Gotcha. Okay. So it doesn't make sense to add any more to this right now for the -- just make it go negative.

MS. TRATT: Unless you have another area that you wanted to remove, but now the districts are balanced, so everything will have to have an equal on the other side.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right. So I would take what Commissioner Akutagawa recommended and take the unincorporated area outside of Vista and add it to the SDCC -- I mean, SDC.

MS. TRATT: All right. One moment while I do that.

MS. MACDONALD: We're getting there.

MS. TRATT: All right. So with those proposed changes, the SDC visualization would be .59 over deviation, and the SOC/NSDC would be negative 2.54 percent under deviation. Would you like me to commit this change?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, commit the change, and while we're there, can you let me see the communities that we have? Go ahead and accept it first.

MS. TRATT: Yes. So that change is now accepted, Chair.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. And can you review the cities that's in the areas that's there?

MS. TRATT: Yes. So now the SDC visualization goes
South from Coronado up through the City of San Diego, still includes all of Poway, still includes all of Escondido, Valley Center, up to Pala, Rainbow, Fallbrook, Bonsall, and now the SOC/NSDC visualization includes the coastal -- the northernmost coastal area of the City of San Diego, and it goes as far inland as San Marcos and Vista.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you. Can we go down towards downtown?

And guess, before we do that, let's do what -- look at what Commissioner Toledo had brought up with the El Cajon and Santee and make sure -- I think with the Senate district, it makes sense to keep El Cajon whole, and we can --

MS. TRATT: Would you like me to move Santee out of the SES/DCEC visualization?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: If we did that, it would be going into?

MS. TRATT: SDC since SEC is a CVAP, is -- has a --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right. Okay.

MS. TRATT: VRA consideration.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I just wanted to make sure it wasn't going into the VRA district. Yeah. So I would
say Santee, Eucalyptus Hill, Winter Gardens, and Lakeside.

MS. TRATT: One moment, please.
No. That's the VRA one.
All right. So moving Santee Eucalyptus Hills, Winter Hills, and Lakeside into the SDC visualization would make that 11.78 percent over deviation, and SES/DCEC would become negative 13.1 under deviation.
Would you like me to commit this change?
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes, if the Chair says so.
MS. TRATT: So now looking at areas that you would like to move into this visualization from SDC, where should I begin?
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sorry about that. My thought would be to move in the -- okay. I'm trying to -- so going -- let's see. It's going up there. I would move in probably -- if you take the Pacific Beach, Ocean Beach, Point Loma -- where is Coronado now? Is it in this one, too? Would it be all by itself?
MS. TRATT: Yes. Coronado --
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. I'm sorry.
MS. TRATT: -- would be -- yeah.
COMMISSIONER SINAY: I was like, I don't have to --
MS. TRATT: You have to take Coronado from --
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right. That's what I --
MS. TRATT: -- for it to not become -- yeah.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- was thinking. So if we take all of that and put it in with SES/DCEC.

MS. TRATT: One moment while I try that.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Commissioner Sinay, we're still working on your vision, but Commissioner Toledo and Commissioner Sadhwani, are you in the same area?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes. Now, that we're back in the downtown area abouts, Equality California did send us shapefiles. I just want to make sure that -- because I do think it's an opportunity to unify the LGBT community. I'm not sure if we captured it correctly last -- yesterday because we were working off of, you know, the information they had sent us via PDF, but I believe we have shapefiles now. Just want to make sure that we are able to, especially since we need population, keep those two -- that community unified. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yep. Mine was going to be along similar lines. I -- if I -- my notes are correct, I think those LGBT COIs ran between the 5 Freeway running North/South and the 8 Freeway running East/West, that area below them. I think we should continue this exploration.

I do remember receiving COI testimony about keeping
Coronado out of some districts. So I myth think it might be worth -- it might be valuable just double-checking that as we explore the opportunities here. It could also be reasonable to potentially cut along the 5 Freeway, and again, I would defer to Commissioner Sinay on this because, you know, I'm just looking at physical barriers here, but potentially along the 5 all the way up to the 52, that would keep Kearny Mesa, where we've also had COI testimony, along with those LGBT COIs together and possibly also keep out Coronado, if that -- if SOC/NSDC linked all the way down, but I'd need to see the full picture of that. I -- I'm not sure where exactly that goes all the way up to.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Do we have staff that could check the Coronado --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Coronado, they don't -- the Barrios don't want to be with Coronado.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And why -- what my vision is here is if we can put the Barrios in with the C -- with SEC in the -- I mean, in the VRA -- in the VRA community and pull out some of the other groups, the other cities that are more East County and put them in with the East County groups. That would allow National -- because
right now, they're not -- that would allow Bonita and the Barrio -- because the Barrio is not in -- Barrio Logan and Logan Heights, Sherman Heights, are they in the VRA? Okay. They're already in there, and so we are keeping Coronado away from the Barrios.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Okay. Great. Thank you. I just wanted to check on that.

MS. TRATT: So unadding this area to the SES/DCEC visualization, that visualization's deviation will become 2.95 percent, and SDC will be negative 4.19 percent. Would you like me to commit this change?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Uh-huh, yes. What I am --

MS. TRATT: All right. So now just zooming out to give a picture of the greater county area, I will await your next direction.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I would like to see the -- I can't tell here if -- I feel like we're missing a piece right now of the Asian COI, the Asian business COI, and I think that was Kearny Mesa, Mira Mesa, and I don't know if we can add everything in, so I completely understand that, Kearny Mesa, Mira Mesa, and Linda Vista.

MS. MACDONALD: There we go.

MS. TRATT: So it looks like Kearny Mesa might currently be split. Would you mind naming those neighborhoods one more time?
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sure. Kearny Mesa, Mira Mesa would be up above it on the 15 right there, and then Linda Vista, which would be by the 8 and the 163.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: This was what I was talking about earlier, Commissioner Sinay. I'm sorry I'm jumping --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- in here, if that's okay, was, you know, bringing that further out to hit the Kearny Mesa and Linda Vista. I believe we have --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Perfect.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- testimony about a business district in that area.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. That's what I was talk -- I was looking at the --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. So moving that, I would say to the 15.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Right.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes. That -- so that that --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Or to the 5 or to the 15?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Wait. Which one? I'm looking at -- which one are you looking at?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I don't know if we're going to get Mira Mesa in this. That's why I thought perhaps
we could start by keeping Kearny Mesa whole and trying to include Linda Vista, maybe starting there. If we go all the way up to Mira Mesa, it might throw our deviations off.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Oh, so you're -- okay. So you're pulling that -- going that -- okay. That sounds good. Sorry. I was looking at it a different --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: So at least as a starting point.

MS. TRATT: So could I recommend keeping them whole within the SDC visualization rather than the SES -- DCEC since this already needs population?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah, that's fine.

MS. TRATT: So we can bring this down to capture the rest of Kearny Mesa. Linda Vista is mostly in it as is Mira Mesa, and we could maybe bring it down to the 8 and to the 805.

Does that sound like a direction that you would like to give?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: That makes sense to me. Does that meet what you were looking at, Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I think so, but let me double-check my notes. Let's move forward and try it, and I'll go back to some of my notes.
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Because then it hits all of them except for Linda Vista.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I think actually this would keep Linda Vista, right, if it's in SDC?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Oh, yeah, it does. Sorry.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: All the freeways confuse me sometimes.

CHAIR TURNER: While she's clicking there,

Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yeah, thank you. I do see COI testimony asking to keep Serra Mesa together with Linda Vista, Kearny Mesa, Claremont, and not -- and separated from Escondido, Poway, Santee, and Lakeside. So I wonder what the population would look like if we, yeah, pushed the border all the way to 15, and then, what is that, 8, Highway 8?

CHAIR TURNER: 8. 15 and 8.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: .8, Sorry? So Cal?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right.

MS. TRATT: So bring that all the way down to the 8 would make the deviation of SDC .59 percent and SES/DCEC negative 1.83 percent, and that would put them in the same visualization district as Linda Vista. It would reunite Kearny Mesa, Serra Mesa, Mission Valley, and it
would also maintain Mira Mesa in a single district.
Would you like me to commit this change?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. So I just -- let me --
CHAIR TURNER: While she's checking that out,
Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I'm just wondering
if we wanted to check that border where that little red
dot is to make sure that border isn't a place that makes
sense, and then I was also wondering about UC-San Diego.
Did we split it in half, or did we catch it all?

MS. TRATT: Yes. One moment, Commissioner
Fornaciari. Let me just zoom up. So the University of
San Diego -- or sorry. Pardon me. The University of
California-San Diego campus is right here. Would you
like me to move the line to go around the rest --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I --

MS. TRATT: -- of the campus?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: That would --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I wouldn't presume. I
don't know anything about it. I just saw it sticking out
and asked the question.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.

MS. TRATT: Okay. One moment, please. All right.
So I grabbed the rest of the campus blocks, and this is
now an overview of what the changes look like.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Sivan.

Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I just want to verify
that we didn't split the LGBT community. Were we able to
get the shapefiles? I know they were sent to voters
first emails, but I don't know if we -- if they made it
to the line drawers.

MS. TRATT: I do not have them up, but if someone
would email them to me, I could potentially pull them up
right now if you're willing to wait a few moments.

CHAIR TURNER: We are willing to wait for this.

MS. TRATT: Marcy, do you have those?

MS. KAPLAN: Let me look where there's the
shapefiles. I also know we sent the PDF files to her
yesterday. So I'll connect with Tony on the shapefiles
because chose should be in there (audio interference).

COMMISSIONER SINAY: While we're --

CHAIR TURNER: Yes. Andrew?

MR. DRECHSLER: Yes. Just based on the PDFs that we
were looking at, it looks like we do have the LGBTQ
community together in the City of San Diego.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

Commissioner Sinay.
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Is it possible to swap Jamul for Bonita in the VRA district?

MS. TRATT: I believe we're trying to get the LGBTQ --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Oh, other -- sorry.

MS. TRATT: -- those up first, and then I will take a look at that. Sorry. I have to two-step verification my mail to get it, so one -- thank you for your patience, everyone.

Okay. So while we look for that, we will return to the LGBTQ COIs, and first let -- we can look at swapping Jamul and Bonita. One moment.

Okay. So adding Jamul to SES/DCEC would make the deviation negative 1.2 percent, and for SEC, which is our VRA consideration district, it would be negative 2.62 percent, and it change the Latino CVAP to 57.12 percent.

Would you like me to commit this change?

CHAIR TURNER: What was the CVAP before?

MS. TRATT: One moment. It was at 56.8 percent.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I'm sorry. I probably missed it. Commissioner Sinay, that was -- the swap was for community of interest? I --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes. Bonita has asked to be
part of South Bay, and Jamul has written asking to be
part of El Cajon and Spring Valley, I believe.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Chair.

I do see the COI testimony, Commissioner Sinay, that
you're referring to, but I also see COI testimony asking
to keep Jamul, Ramona, El Cajon --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: -- Santee, Poway together. So
I think it's fine for now; however, this is an area I
definitely need to study further to see what we can do to
try to accommodate as many COIs as possible.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. I agree. I agree. I
was just -- I think I was more concerned about Bonita
because it's one of the few South Bay communities that's
not in with South Bay.

MS. TRATT: Would you like me to commit this change
and continue removing Bonita from this visualization?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Let me ask my Commissioner --
my Commissioners, my colleagues.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. We'll commit the change.

Sure. And can you zoom in so that some can see Bonita?

MS. TRATT: Yes, absolutely. So Bonita is this area
in pink right here, and I'm going to add it to the SEC
visualization. One moment, please.
So it looks like that would put the Latino CVAP back where it was initially at 56.87, so just slightly higher than it was. Would you like me to commit this change?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please.

MS. TRATT: Chair, where would you like me to move next?

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioners, are we finished with this area?

Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I think we're just waiting for the shapefiles, but I'm not sure if we were able to locate them. We did? We located them?

MS. TRATT: We can come back once we get those, but it might make sense to move on to Orange County, if that's all right with you, Chair.

CHAIR TURNER: It does make sense.

MS. TRATT: Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Because I was going to say we're done, so yes, we need to -- we need Orange County.

MS. MACDONALD: We reviewed a number of those visualizations yesterday.

MS. TRATT: So the next visualization you can reference in your PDF is INC, and that's on page 53. This encompasses most of the coastal areas of Orange County going as far as North as Seal Beach and the Orange
County/LA County line. Also includes both Los Alamitos and Rossmoor, all of Huntington Beach, all of Costa Mesa, all of Tustin and North Tustin, and all of the City of Irvine, so lots of COIs being kept intact there. It includes Aliso Viejo and as far as South as Laguna Miguel and Laguna beach, and this is currently at a 1.39 percent deviation.

The next one would be SAA on page 54.

CHAIR TURNER: Give me one minute, please. Thank you so much. Just real quick. I just want to say for those that's following the process with us that the proposed draft maps are updated for Congressional district draft maps and Assembly draft maps. They're under the 11/7 -- excuse me, November 7th through the 9th, 2021, and it's under 11/10/21, proposed draft maps.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Chair Turner?

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. Yes.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Can I ask if the shapefiles are also up or just the PDF.

CHAIR TURNER: Just the PDF.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: And I'm guessing we do plan to eventually upload the shapefiles as well?

CHAIR TURNER: When they're -- yes. As soon as they're --

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yeah.
CHAIR TURNER: -- ready, yes.


Thanks.

CHAIR TURNER: Sivan. Thank you. Excuse me.

MS. TRATT: Yes. Thank you so much. The next visualization is SAA on page 54. This keep intact the City of Santa Ana. It does cut into the -- this kind of westernmost part of Anaheim, includes Buena Park as well as La Palma and Cypress, Garden Grove, Westminster, Midway City, and that Little Saigon COI in those cities right here, and it also picks up Fountain Valley as well. This is as a negative .53 percent deviation currently.

Following that would be visualization IOC, and you can find this on page 55. The changes from last time are that it follows Commissioner direction to move Rowland Heights, Walnut, Diamond Bar, La Habra Heights, and Hacienda Heights into this L.A. County district right here, and it also removes some of the -- these Southern OC cities right here, and with that was to accommodate swapping around the Little Saigon cities in the SAA visualization as that's a change that was made from last time as well, to reunite those cities in a single visualization.

So IOC is at a deviation of negative .26. It includes all of the City of Orange, the majority of
Anaheim, including Anaheim Hills, Fullerton, Brea, all of Chino Hills, and it goes South to capture the mountainous area and the inland Orange County and also picks up Lake Forest, Mission Viejo, Laguna Hills, and Mission Viejo as well.

Should I continue or pause here, Chair?

CHAIR TURNER: One moment, please.

Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair.

I'm just wondering -- I mean, that looks like a fairly random diagonal through Anaheim, and I'm just wondering what the basis of that was. Thank you.

MS. TRATT: Let me turn on the streets layer. I believe it actually follows the 5 Freeway.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I'm -- I'm also concerned about the way we're cutting through Anaheim. It is certain we -- I think this isn't the best way to do this. Based on COI testimony, I'd actually like us to rethink our approach in the middle of Orange County there keeping together some of those key communities of interest. We had a lot of testimony from the Santa Ana area about multiple different communities that are -- that exist in that region, many of whom are essential
workers, some tied to the entertainment industries as workers working -- you know, working-class folks.

So I want us to be a little bit more cautious in the approach here and would like to see a reorientation of these Senate districts. I don't know if the best -- if we want to dive -- are we diving in?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: All right. Then I would need to go back and look of some of the COI testimony. Do we still have the Assembly maps up in this area?

MS. TRATT: Yes. One moment while I pull up those boundaries.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thanks. Maybe if Mr. Becker could also remind us about whether or not we have VRA considerations in this area as well.

MR. BECKER: One moment. I'm just going to be looking, reminding me -- myself of some of the data. And can we get the -- can we get the Latino CVAP up for SAA, please?

MS. TRATT: Yes. One moment, please. The Latino CVAP for SAA is 36.4 percent.

MR. BECKER: Can you scroll down so we -- so I can see -- okay.

MS. TRATT: The blue lines that are filled in are the Assembly visualizations.
MR. BECKER: Okay. So there were -- I'm still calling up some of the data. There -- there were Voting Rights Act's concerns here. And some racially polarized voting. I'm just trying to get the most recent data up for myself.

Why don't you -- you guys continue, once you -- why don't I run -- and you discuss it. I've got to find a file real quick.

MS. SADHWANI: Yeah. No problem. So if I may. Just to kind of conclude my thoughts before we move into making any changes. I think we're -- what this -- these districts looks like is, we're kind of plowing into some key community of interest testimony through this region. And I -- I definitely want us to take a -- a more precise approach as -- as -- so that we're in a better shape to -- to make those further adjustments come December.

Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Commissioner Sadhwani.

I'm wanting to just say for Commissioner Toledo, I'm understanding that the -- we have not received -- we did not receive Shape files from Equality California, just the PDF files is what I'm being told.

MS. TRATT: Chair, if I may, we did -- we did reference the PDF images of where those areas of interest were. And to the best of my knowledge, obviously, having
the Shape file on top would be the most exact way, but
from my knowledge and my observation, it does appear that
we keep the majority intact.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. Just wanting to close the
loop on that. I appreciate that, Sivan. Thank you so
much. Commission -- oh, Mr. Becker, you ready?

MR. BECKER: Yeah, thank you. Thank you, Chair. So
in reviewing the data that we have, there were some
Assembly racially-polarized voting as used here. But for
the Senate district, it appears that we did not see
consistent -- constantly a third Gingles precondition
here, meaning that non-Latino communities were voting
cohesively against Latino candidates of choice.

So for Senate, this is probably a -- a lower
priority for Voting Rights Act's concerns.

MS. SADHWANI: If I can just respond to that. I --
I think that's really helpful. So thank you for that,
Mr. Becker. I -- I think regardless of that, that we
have received a lot of testimony, or in addition to that,
we have received a lot of -- of testimony from that
region, as well as from community groups throughout that
area. And I'd -- I'd really like us -- to see us move
closer in that direction.

What I'm seeing here is keeping, not all of Anaheim,
but much of Anaheim in a -- in a greater compact region.
Possibly even going up towards La Habra and East Whittier, as well as keeping much of Santa Ana and Orange. Possibly, even including Stanton.

So I think, you know, we -- we've receive a whole bunch of testimony throughout this summer from those regions. I'd really like to see us attempt to move towards keeping them together.

MS. TRATT: I'm ready to take your direction. Whichever way you would like to start trying to do that first.

MS. SADHWANI: Sure. Do you want me to go ahead or do you want to get -- I see other hands also --

CHAIR TURNER: You probably can go ahead, but before you do, I want to hear from Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I -- I -- again, I -- I do want to say I think there is testimony, COI testimony we've received from community groups that takes a more, you know, that takes one perspective of -- of -- of certain communities. And then there's other communities testimony that speaks to similarities in terms of essential workers. But also other communities that tend to be a little bit more working class and low income. Particularly in areas, like, that South Fullerton area. So I just want to lift that up.

There was also, I've seen some testimony about
Placentia and parts of Placentia also having some of those same working class, you know, lower-income immigrant. Also communities in those areas. The 57 Freeway being the dividing line for Anaheim, what they -- I guess I've seen some say the flatland versus the valley area.

And then, same with Orange. Although for Orange, the 55 Freeway seems to be the dividing line. And so I -- I wanted to just lift those up as maybe considerations to look at for what Mr. Becker was talking about that, you know, similarities in profiles of communities that may share similar interests that may benefit from, you know, having a more cohesive voice in the -- in the region. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Commissioner Akutagawa.

Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. Looking at SSA and INC, you know, I'm -- I'm understanding INC as an effort to have a coastal district. And I'm thinking that given the -- the current variances it might make sense to move Los Alamitos and Rossmoor into SAA. It wouldn't necessarily satisfy all of the community of interest testimony. But it seems like it would be headed in that direction. And they're not coastal communities in and of themselves. So thank you.
CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner Sadhwani.

MS. SADHWANI: Yeah. I just want to say -- sorry about that.

I -- I agree with everything Commissioner Akutagawa had suggested. So I mean, can we start by -- by -- I don't know how from a practical perspective you want to start doing this, but I think the SSA districts right now is kind of focused on the -- the Little Saigon area, which we've also heard a lot of testimony -- I think -- my sense is if we move -- move the -- start reorient -- start reorienting the SSA further East, we can preserve Little Saigon to the West and kind of come up through Buena Park.

Alternatively, potentially, we could -- the IOC, I feel is of course, going to need to be revisited as we make these changes. So I guess I'm looking to the mappers on how to best start achieving this. I mean, I think you've heard we want -- we want to focus Anaheim, Santa Ana, Orange, parts of Stanton, La Habra, parts of South Fullerton, Parts of Placentia, potentially. That's where all of that COI testimony had -- had come from.

You all can tell -- tell us also what's the easiest way to -- to start moving things around, because I think this is a -- this is a fairly larger construction --
reconstruction.

MS. TRATT: So if I'm understanding some of these, like, larger goals correctly, it would be moving Anaheim Valley into SAA as well a portion of the City of Orange, correct?

So I would probably, I think if I'm remembering correctly from COI testimony as well as where we've split in other visualizations, using the 56 as the dividing line -- or sorry, pardon me -- the 57, we could also take the 91 and then go down, using the 55 to capture the Western portion of the City of Orange. Or if you wanted to just start with Anaheim and see where there would put us, we could start with that.

MS. SADHWANI: I'm -- I'm definitely thinking the 91 and -- and to the -- potentially the 55. Commissioner Akutagawa, would you be okay with that as a starting point?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Yes. I would agree.

MS. SADHWANI: Thanks.

(Pause)

MS. TRATT: So adding that portion of Anaheim in the City of Orange would turn SAA to 37.91 percent over deviation. And IOC would be at 21 -- oh, I'm sorry, that's the Latino CVAP, would be at 22.81 percent deviation. And IOC would be underpopulated by almost the
equal amount of 23.61 percent. Would you like me to start by making this change and
then look at areas to remove?

MS. SADHWANI: I would feel comfortable with this change, yes.

MS. TRATT: All right. One moment while that processes. Where would you like to start looking at removing population from SAA? Or would you like to continue adding population first?

MS. SADHWANI: I would be open to continuing to add. I know Commissioner Akutagawa had mentioned South Fullerton and potentially components of Placentia. That would make sense to me too if she in agreement -- if everyone's in agreement.

MS. TRATT: So do you have an idea of where you would like to draw that line in South Fullerton?

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I'm sorry -- sorry, are you asking me a question or -- I was just going to make a comment, too.

CHAIR TURNER: Nope your -- just your hand.

COMMISSIONER: AKUTAGAWA: Oh, sorry, I didn't realize I still had it up. I was just going to mention, on one of the COI testimonies that I saw, for South Fullerton, I saw what looked like to be Malvern, which
turns into Orangethorpe as the dividing line for that South Fullerton section that we were asked to keep in mind as a -- as a specific COI that separates the more affluent parts of Fullerton from the more, like the apartments the -- the -- the working-class folks and others -- yes, thank you.

MS. TRATT: Should I begin by adding census blocks up to this Chapman Ave.- Malvern Ave.? All right one moment please.

(Pause)

MS. TRATT: Commissioner Sadhwani, how is this looking to you?

MS. SADHWANI: It's looking good to me.

Commissioner Akutagawa, did you -- did you have a sense of the -- the components of Placentia that you would want to add in here from the testimony?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I was trying to check on that right now. I'm still looking for it. I -- I saw it.

MS. SADHWANI: It almost seems like it's -- I don't know if that street continues all the way over into Placentia and just taking that small piece that's centered around the 57 Freeway there. Just kind of extending this line outward.

MS. TRATT: So it does look like Chapman Ave.
continues into Placentia. Would you like me to continue grabbing blocks from here?

MS. SADHWANI: I -- I think so. I think that that would seem to be a reasonable cutoff point and including that component of Placentia.

MS. TRATT: Perfect.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, it's a good starting point.

MS. TRATT: One moment please.

(Pause)

MS. TRATT: All right. That portion of Southern Placentia is now added to this selection. Would you like me to commit this change?

MS. SADHWANI: I would -- this looks beautiful to me.

CHAIR TURNER: Yes. Thank you.

MS. TRATT: All right. One moment. One moment while I just clean up a few straggler blocks.

(Pause)

MS. TRATT: All right. So now SAA is overpopulated by 30.29 percent and IOC is underpopulated by 31.09 percent.

MS. SADHWANI: Commissioner Akutagawa, I'm -- I'm curious, your thoughts, I mean, it looks like as we're panned out here that right now we have the borders of
the -- the -- the districts from up above ending at La Habra. I think in the future, it -- it might make sense to rethink those areas. But as we're already done with Los Angeles, I -- I'm - I'm cautious not to go breaking into them at this point in time, though perhaps as we come back to this, and as we continue to collect additional testimony. So I might -- I might -- I would love to get your thoughts -- and I think keeping this and now trying to balance out within in Orange County.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I would agree with you. Do you want to keep -- now -- we need to remove, right?

MS. SADHWANI: Correct. And the -- my sense -- my thought on this is we can bring this -- this, you know, the Little Saigon areas out of this district and potentially, you know, help keep them whole. I know we've -- we've had a whole lot of testimony form that area as well.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes. And bring into the INC.

MS. SADHWANI: Yes, exactly. Which is already overpopulated. So we're going to have to some additional -- additional tinkering here. And I'm open to --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I have some ideas on that
tinkering, too. Then could we bring in, then, Westminster, Midway City, and Fountain Valley? And I think that would keep Little Saigon, the core of Little -- oh, and Garden Grove, sorry, I forgot about them -- keep the core of -- of Little Saigon together if we move those out.

MS. TRATT: All right. So that would put SAA's deviation back in the range of legal at negative 3.04. And INC would be overpopulated by 34.73 percent.

Would you like me to commit this change?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

MS. SADHWANI: Yes.

MS. TRATT: All right, Commissioner, I'm ready for your next direction.

MS. SADHWANI: And from here out, we would need to balance between INC and IOC.

MS. TRATT: That's correct.

MS. SADHWANI: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: One thought I have is to move -- perhaps we can start with North Tustin and Tustin. I am reluctant and concerned about removing Irvine or -- or maybe let me take this back. I am concerned about splitting Irvine. I know that there are several COIs there. We've gotten several testimonies to keep it whole. We've also received testimony that they
should be split at the 5 or the 405, too. So I do want to acknowledge that, too. And it is mixed. But if we could keep them whole, I think that'd be nice.

MS. SADHWANI: And -- and I'll just note. I think as we -- this is major reconstruction that we're doing at this point. But I think when we come back to this in the future, when we receive additional testimony on our drafts, you know, the LAOC border is not sacred to me. We're keeping it whole at this point, I think for time's sake. But I think -- I think that crossing that border would -- would make sense in many of these areas.

MS. TRATT: I would just, Commissioner, I would just --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I concur.

MS. TRATT: -- point out that these are VRA considerations that kind of box in all of these Orange County visualizations. So that will just be something just to consider as you give those directions in the future.

MS. SADHWANI: Absolutely. Thank you so much.

MS. TRATT: Yes. So would like to have me start by moving out North Tustin and Tustin into IOC?

MS. SADHWANI: Yes.

MS. TRATT: All right. One moment, please.

(Pause)
MS. TRATT: All right. So it looks like that is not enough population yet. Still at negative 20.31 percent and 23.95 percent deviation. Would you like me to start pulling in blocks North of the 5 and Irvine or look somewhere else?

MS. SADHWANI: Yes, I think that's right.

COMMISSIONER: All right. I will start doing that now.

(Pause)

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yeah, thank you. And while they're working on this, I just wanted to reiterate what Commissioner Akutagawa said about Irvine. We do have conflicting testimony. There's pieces that say keep it together. Other pieces that say separate. So that's definitely a discussion we'll have to have in the future.

MS. TRATT: So I've now added all of Irvine North of the 5 and that is still not quite enough population. Would you like me to continue adding in census tracks?

MS. SADHWANI: I think for today we will have to, yeah. And definitely, this should be an area that we're going to -- we will need to revisit next -- I have a feeling we'll probably going to get some testimony in the coming weeks.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sadhwani, I'm -- I'm
looking at a lot of COI testimony as well that wants
Costa Mesa with Irvine and Tustin.

MS. SADHWANI: I -- I think that's definitely right.
I -- I believe as we re -- reconstructed the Assembly
maps that we did keep Costa Mesa whole with -- with
Irvine and Tustin. We're not doing that here today, but
I -- I think in the future, it's certainly something we
can come back and revisit as we continue to work.

MS. TRATT: So this splits Irvine at the 405 and
includes everything in the City North of the 405 and that
would be added to the IOC. If this selected area in red
is added from INC to IOC, the deviation of IOC would be
2.36. And of INC, 1.27 percent. Would you like me to
commit this change?

MS. SADHWANI: How are folks feeling about this?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Either way, we'll get
comments. But if you feel better about it.

I think this is good because I think North Tustin
and Irvine -- I've seen some comments also saying that
they have a lot in common with, like, the Yorba Linda,
Anaheim Hills, that Villa Park area, and Orange.

CHAIR TURNER: I -- I agree. I see enough COI
testimony that supports the 405 as the dividing factor.
So why don't we accept it for now.

MS. TRATT: Very good.
CHAIR TURNER: And we have a -- coming up on a break in three minutes.

MS. TRATT: Are there further comments on visualizations in Orange County?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: What -- what were the deviations again? Sorry, you kind -- I -- I didn't see -- look at it fast enough.

MS. TRATT: Yeah, so INC is at a 1.27 percent deviation and IOC is at a 2.36 percent deviation.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: So zoom in one more time on that South Orange County. There's that weird, like, hand that sticks up there. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you so much. Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Sorry about that. No, I was going to ask the same thing as --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- Commissioner Akutagawa. I wanted to look at that other district. So thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: And all hands are down. Sivan --

MS. TRATT: Yes.

CHAIR TURNER: Is that all your areas?

MS. TRATT: So no. There are two more visualizations that we should take a look at. That is the SWRC and MCV. Would you like me to start or do you
want to go to break?

CHAIR TURNER: We have two minutes, let's start.

MS. TRATT: Okay. So I'll give a very speedy overview. SWRC is on page 56 of your PDF. It goes South to the San Diego County border with Riverside, including Temecula, French Valley, Menifee. It also includes the City of Riverside — or, pardon me, the Southern part of the City of Riverside, it does split Riverside, including Eastvale, Corona, as well as Temescal Valley. And this is at a negative .91 percent deviation.

And then the last visualization would be on page 57. And that is MCV, which is currently at a negative 1.25 percent deviation. This goes South to the San Diego border, again here to pick up the cities of Anza and Sage. It goes North to Redlands and Highland, wraps around Lake Arrowhead and Running Springs, Lucerne Valley. Includes the Morongo Basin, Morongo Valley cities right here. And goes out to that border that we have with the SEC visualization near Needles, as well as the Northern part of Coachella Valley.

CHAIR TURNER: Outstanding. Okay. Thank you so much for that. We will go to break. And we'll be back at 3:25. Thank you.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 3:10 p.m. to 3:28 p.m.)
CHAIR TURNER: Thank you and welcome back. We are in the process of going through our Senate maps on completing our Southern California area. Thank you Sivan for just taking us through those maps for the Senate.

Commissioners, if there are no comments or questions in regards to this area, I think this will complete our Senate maps. Pause for a moment. Okay. And we're done.

All right. So process from here -- wonderful.

Okay. Thank you so much. And so next on our list today is to go through our Board of Equalization maps. And it will require a -- a pause a time to be able to set up for those. So it is currently 3:30. I know we just got back from break, but it is required that we have time to set up the maps to be able to move into Board of Equalization. So we will be back. We're going to go into another pause or break. We will be back at 4:15.

Thank you.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 3:30 p.m. until 4:15 p.m.)

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Kristian. And welcome back everyone. Thank you to our line drawers that has been working furiously for this particular point on our agenda. I would love to be able just kind of set expectations as far as what's going to happen at this point.
Right now we're going to move into our Board of Equalization maps in hopes of a combined motion on all four drafts. After which time, we will take public comments. The queue is open now for public comment for those wishing to get into the queue. We are anticipating a high call volume this evening. And to ensure that we get to hear from every one of you, as many as possible, we're going to limit public comment to one minute and thirty seconds. And wanting to assure you that the line will remain open until we complete our discussion on the Board of Equalization.

So Board of Equalization discussion now. We're hoping for a combined motion on all four of our draft maps. The queue is open right now for public comment. We invite you to get in to the queue if you so desire to comment. Please note so that we will ensure to hear, or we are sure of hearing most of you, or as many as we can, the public comment time is being limited today to one minutes and thirty seconds. And we will keep that line open. That will give you an opportunity to comment throughout our discussion on the Board of Equalization.

So with that, Commissioners, I think we are at appoint now where we're ready to move into our Board of Equalization.

MR. MANOFF: Chair, before we go to that
conversation. Would you like our comment moderator to read the instructions for public comment?

CHAIR TURNER: We're just letting them get in the queue for right now. So we can read it a little bit later.

Thank you, Kristian.

MR. MANOFF: Sounds Good.

CHAIR TURNER: You -- you know, you can go ahead and read the instructions so they'll know how -- I'm sorry. Thank you. I'm following you now.

MR. MANOFF: Very good. Go ahead, Katy.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, Chair.

In order to maximize transparency and public participation in our process, the Commissioners will be taking general public comments and public comments on the votes for the upcoming maps -- draft maps. To call in, dial the telephone number provided on the livestream feed. It is 877-853-5247. When prompted, enter the meeting ID number provided on the livestream feed. It is 875 2728 4951 for this meeting. When prompted to enter a participant ID, simply press the pound key.

Once you have dialed in, you will be placed in the queue. To indicate you wish to comment, please press star nine. This will raise your hand for the moderator. When it is your turn to speak, you will a message that
says, the host would like you to talk and to press star six to speak. If you would like to give your name, please state and spell it for the record. You are not required to provide your name to give public comments.

Please make sure to mute your computer or livestream audio to prevent any feedback or distortion during your call. Once you are in the queue be alert for when it is your turn to speak. And again, please turn down the livestream volume for all future public comments.

Thank you, Chair.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Katy. Okay. At this point we are going to start our discussion on the Board of Equalization. And so we are in the hands of our line drawers.

Is that Jaime?

MS. MAC DONALD: Thank you so much, Chair Turner. Jaime is going to share the map in one second. And as you know, of course, there's four -- four districts that need to be drawn and what we will have on the map and what's ready to go is the Senate districts that you just completed. And then you can move from them if you wish to, for example -- nest, that could be done. So just one moment, please, and your maps should be coming your way in one second. Thank you.

MS. CLARK: So Commissioners, what is on the screen
now is, of course, State of California. And as Karin noted, the Senate districts that you just were working on. And there each filled in a different color.

We also have the current Board of Equalization boundaries, if you wish to reference those throughout this process, and whatever you would like to do, please just let us know. And we can go from there.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Jamie. At this point, we will entertain comments from Commissioners. If there is a desire of where we'd like to start. I see hands.

Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Before we start, I was hoping we could take a step back and really think about the Board of Equalization, its role, and -- and with that, what -- what -- what make sense for what -- the work that they're doing. And I know it sounds kind of funny, because we've been thinking about this for so long. But I just think it's a good place to shift from the political stuff we were talking about to taxes. If -- and someone had said to me that a good person, maybe our director -- our executive director, Alvaro, might be able to explain to us the Board of Equalization's roles and some of the things we should be thinking about as we are creating these districts.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Commissioner Sinay.
Director Alvaro, I'll punt over to you.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Wonderful. Let me see if I can -- I -- I've never worked for Board of Equalization, but I did interact with them on a regular basis with a lot of the outreach that I did. As it stands, my understanding is that the Board of Equalization is responsible for property taxes -- administer that part of the tax law.

And so essentially, you have the different districts, you have the different representatives, and --

CHAIR TURNER: Director Alvaro, can I have you turn your camera on?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Oh, forgive me. There we go. Okay.

Again, and I haven't been as -- not as familiar with the actual roles of the board members, what they do on a regular basis, other than administer the property taxes. So I -- I apologize. I wish I had more information for you, but I do not at this point.

MS. SINAY: I -- I didn't mean to set you up to fail. I think you were helpful. No, no, someone else had said we should ask Alvaro. So apologize.

I think what you gave us is really critical.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

Commissioner Fernandez and then Commissioner
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Wow, okay. I was -- actually just pulled up their, real quick, the website -- establish -- let's see, in the constitution, to regulate county assessment practices, equalize county assessment ratios, and assess property taxes for inner-county California -- railroads.

So anyway, that's really not why I raised my hand, but I think there's a couple of ways we could do it. One would be, you know, go East and West, either from North to South. Or I mean, that's -- you either go East to West, cut up California in half. You have Northern California, then maybe central, and then you're going to have LA, and then you're going to have the Southern. Or you go North to South.

And if it's talking about property taxes, maybe that kind of makes sense to try to keep the -- maybe some of the counties together, too. So I'm really not helping. I'm just kind of throwing things out there in terms of how we can do that.

CHAIR TURNER: No worries. It's absolutely time to discuss it. We've not spend much -- spent much time at all talking about the Board of Equalization, so this is the right forum.

Commissioner Andersen.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. It's basically, yeah, the BOE does -- there are all sorts of -- it's not just property tax. It's alcohol tax, it's insurance tax. But -- and it's both county and individual taxes. And so it does make sense, because it is -- a huge portion is indeed collecting property taxes, which is county-based, to look at -- basically to group our, you know, our four groups, picking ten Assembly district -- I'm -- I'm sorry, Senate districts, nest them. And it does make sense to do it regionally. Because they're, you know, you try to get a county, a county, this makes, you know, it makes a lot of sense to group it that way.

Also, in pre -- that's how it's been done, typically. So the groups are a little more acquainted with their areas. And I would -- just, I would recommend the way that we start would be actually -- because going on numbers, I would start in the very southeast corner, San Diego, getting that county, you know, basically, picking ten Senate districts --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- putting together -- but then they do have to have the plus or minus five percent. So when we add them, if it's over, we have to drop one Senate district or grab another. And try and keep them as close as possible. Then say LA. And -- and then say
up the coast, going inland from -- from the Bay Area
until we have those. And then the entire North through
the central valley.

CHAIR TURNER: I like that as a suggestion. We'll
take a look at it. But let's step back since
Commissioner Sinay introduced this for us. Let's just go
through a fact sheet and make sure that we're all on the
same page with the Board of Equalization.

"The State Board of Equalization, BOE, was created
in 1879 by Constitutional amendment and charged with the
responsibility for ensuring that county property tax
assessment practices were equal and uniform throughout
the state. Through the years, legislative changes
expanded the BOE's role to administer the additional
taxes and fees. Effective July, 2017, the BOE returned
to its constitutional responsibilities. The BOE is
responsible for property tax programs, alcoholic beverage
tax, tax on insurers, and private railroad car tax".

Thank you very much -- fact sheet. You can Google
anything. All right.

Commissioner Andersen, you do have a proposal, we're
going to take a couple of other hands and then we're
going to let you start with your proposal. Commissioner
Yee and Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER YEE: I was going to start looking at
the map. But if Commissioner Andersen has a plan in
mind, then she should go first.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I also was going to suggest
starting off by looking at the existing map and -- and
as -- and then as needed, depending on -- and to adjust
that map as needed to reflect the changes that we have
made with the State Senate districts.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Can you flash for us the
current Board of Equalization and then we'll go to
Commissioner Andersen.

MS. CLARK: Yes. One moment, please. I'm going to
take off the Senate districts you just worked on, just so
there's little more clarity as to what's on the map. And
I just put on the map the current Board of Equalization
districts with their numbers. They are outlined in blue.
So one of them, district 4 is mostly Southern California,
not including San Bernadino County. There is some San
Bernadino County included in this district.

District 3 includes Ventura County and Southern Los
Angeles County with some little -- a little corner of the
southwest San Bernadino County.

District 2 is Santa Barbara County, moving North,
including some of the more inland counties.

And then district 1 is northeastern California and
areas in the Central Valley and along the Sierras.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you for sharing that.

Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes, thank you. So -- so just to be certain -- to be clear, we're concerned with population and a desire for nesting. Would you say that that's our overall goal?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes. Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, I believe they actually have to be ten Senate districts -- we nest them ten -- so we can't adjust the Senate district. We have to change the Senate district to have them plus or minus five percent.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Andersen, they don't have to be ten Senate districts.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I -- I'd like to get official read on that, please.

CHAIR TURNER: Sure. Line drawers.

MS. CLARK: Karin.

MS. MAC DONALD: Yeah. Thank you so much, Chair Turner.

So one way to look at this is, you could use the Senate districts and then just start nesting and see what it looks like. And then when you can't nest or you feel like the Senate districts are not very helpful anymore,
then you can, of course, take portions of the Senate district -- or you can go back to just any kind of geography and just start mapping and -- and working them out that way.

So using the Senate districts to map is basically just a starting point. If it works out, then you can just use the Senate districts, that's fine. But if not, then you can just start with that, perhaps, just get to your ten million -- roughly ten million threshold and then, you know, make adjustments based on that. Does that make sense.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: It does. I just want to make sure -- that was not my understanding of the -- of the actual, specific rules of it. Is it -- so it really is just plus or minus five percent of -- what, 900 and --

MS. MAC DONALD: Yeah --

CHAIR TURNER: Nine million --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- yeah, nine million --

CHAIR TURNER: 880,859.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- no, no, 9 -- yeah, 90 million -- 90 million, 8 -- 98 million --

CHAIR TURNER: It's nine million

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes, I'm sorry. 9 million, 880. Okay. I'd still recommend we try it -- we try it with the Senate's because that's an easier way to do it
then adjust from there.

    CHAIR TURNER: You have the floor, Commissioner Andersen.

    COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh, okay. Well, thank you very much. But -- so with that in mind, I was hoping -- can we see the Senate districts. And I was hoping that they'd have the deviations on them.

    MS. Clark: Yup. One moment, please.

    COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Great, thank you.

    MS. CLARK: I can add the name and deviation. And just a note that because all of the Senate districts that you're working with are within plus or minute five percent deviation, you should be able to nest any ten of them and they would fall within plus or minus five percent deviation.

    CHAIR TURNER: While Commissioner Andersen -- while you're thinking about that, we have Commissioner Taylor, Fernandez, and Yee, and Sinay, and Toledo. Commissioner Taylor. Commissioner Taylor.

    COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. So slow hand. Thank you.

    CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Commissioner Fernandez.

    COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I'm just ready to draw.

    CHAIR TURNER: All right. Commissioner Yee.

    COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. Just back to the nesting
question. I believe it's the same relationship as the Senate -- the Assembly to the Senate districts. Nesting is the sixth criterion. So we've generally, you know, thought about having two Assembly districts per Senate district, but there's no requirement that they actually -- that they actually nest. It's just where possible. So ten Senate districts into one BOE district where possible, but that -- that's the lowest criterion. That's my understanding.

MR. LARSON: That -- that -- this is Dale Larson. That is accurate. The main difference is that because the populations are so big we -- we don't any VRA considerations at this level. And -- and you've received far less community of interest input as to Board of Equalization. And so it just -- it's easy to get to that criterion and use it.

CHAIR TURNER: Great. Commissioner Sinay.

MS. SINAY: On the topic of community of interest input. We did receive some but not a lot. So I just wanted to -- I was just about to -- I did check and we have received some. It's interesting what we have received. But yes, you are right.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: In the interest of fair maps, I think starting in what -- deciding on where to start the mapping process would make sense. Whether it's the
Central Valley or North California, Southern California, so just picking a place, getting to the ten million and then identifying others would be my suggestion. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Commission Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. If -- if we could sort of blow up the little map, please so we can -- yeah. And then just -- I can start, you know, say, how about this one -- pick ten and we can get a number. Or anyone can --

MS. CLARK: Okay and would like to start, please?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: In the southeast corner, please. So let's start with SECA.

MS. CLARK: Okay. One moment. I'm making these first selections -- the first selection were making with this map, it might just take a minute for the program to catch up with this.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you. Just --

MS. CLARK: It's up because -- I'm just ready to go. And I can help if I need --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I would suggest -- I would suggest starting -- grab all of L.A. and see what that is.

I -- I think, because I don't want to get anybody left out. So there's one -- now grab Core -- Core Cojon.
Go -- go -- go right up the -- the coast, please.

MS. CLARK: One moment please. We're not going to miss any -- it's going to be contiguous.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Commissioner Andersen. I think what -- maybe I can try -- I think what folks are trying to say is, if we start where the population is more dense, we can lock one BOE district in and then work outward.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: But, I mean, I -- now how many do we have, we have three, correct? We have one, two, three. Now, add the --

MS. CLARK: Is this -- so if I could just pause for one second, please. And this is just for a technical purpose. I apologize for interrupting. Could I just make this change. It's just a huge selection. There's so much data associated that we might lose it if I don't just say let's assign this to -- maybe we can name them A, B, C, and D for now as a draft?

CHAIR TURNER: Sure.

MS. CLARK: This could be A, if that's -- unless anyone has a strong preference otherwise.

CHAIR TURNER: X, Y, Z -- no, this is fine Jaime, yes.

MS. CLARK: So I've committed this change and just
one moment, please while the program works.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sinay.

MS. SINAY: Sorry. Mine's just, again, philosophical or big-picture type question. Because we've heard so much of the difference between rural and urban communities, does it make sense at all when we're looking at the Board of Equalizations to think about coastal versus inland, because when comes to taxes and all that, there's very different philosophies. So I just wanted to put that out there.

CHAIR TURNER: And I think that might be what Commissioner Andersen is going for.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. Because it's also county-based. Because, you know, you do -- you -- the county collects property taxes. So I'm trying to get the ten, including, like, I'm -- we have Imperial County with San Diego County, county at -- Riverside County. We'll probably get Orange. And we might, depending on if -- I'm trying -- I'm trying to grab the closest adjacent ones. And then that's that. Then we do LA.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I'm just waiting for the baton to be passed. Again, I really think we should grab LA.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: We -- we're -- we're --
we've done three. Can we just -- you know, it's a --
CHAIR TURNER: So Commissioner, Andersen, I -- I
just hear dissention.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. All right, stop.
Hold -- fold, throw it out. Do it again. Someone else.
Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Fernandez --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I know I was chomping at the
bit here, but --

CHAIR TURNER: -- and then Sadhwani. Commissioner
Fernandez and then -- Jaime, we're going to scrap this
for now.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: No, you can keep that as an
A and we can start another one, right Jaime?

CHAIR TURNER: But it only has three counties in it.
I mean we only have it --

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Andersen -- okay, we're
going to work through the Chair for this meeting and
not -- and not have the crosstalk.

We have three counties in it. You said we can save
that as a -- so save that as A for now.

MS. CLARK: Thank you. I -- I committed the change.
You can see the progress bar at the bottom. It's going
very slowly. It's like our personal jeopardy song, kind
of. So I -- I already committed this change. I can't
undo it until it's already done, so.

CHAIR TURNER: Until it's done.

MS. CLARK: Thank you all for your patience.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. We're learning the process.

Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, I -- I can go now?

Jaime, I can go? Oh, LA, please. Can you -- no. It's
still loading, right -- yeah, that's what I thought. I
was waiting.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So we'll go to the other
comments. Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So yeah. So maybe while we're
waiting for the system to load. And I'm anticipating
it'll take some time, every time we do a district, just
because of how many thousands of people we're talking
about. Maybe we can start thinking about which districts
we want to include that'll get us to ten million. So --
and -- so just so that we can move this along faster,
right, so we can just lump the districts.

I'm also thinking that Los Angeles County and the
areas around there can -- we'll get to the ten million
pretty quickly if we -- if we grab those. And then, you
know, here in this area it's probably Orange with what we
have here. And going up San Bernadino and into the
Central Valley to get the -- it's going to probably high
up into Central Valley in order to get the ten million.
But -- but that'll be the second.

And then, of course, the Bay Area district that goes
up, probably, or -- or into the central coast. But if we
can go through the exact county -- well, exact State
Senate district is what we're looking at, at this point,
and just kind of get them -- just organize them so that
we have the four districts ready for them to be populated
into a map.

And I don't have them, the districts in front of me,
but if -- maybe we can just pull those up while we're --
and start listing them.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Chair, could I just -- could
I list the ten that was going to put in -- in this one?
Just list them.

CHAIR TURNER: Just list them, okay. List your
name -- yes, please list yours.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. So we already have
SECA. And then the Core Cajon. The SO -- the NDS. Then
I was going to grab the MCV, the CBRC, SWRC, the POF --
that -- that's seven. And then was -- I believe they're
two -- there's the two in Orange County. One is SA -- I
think -- well, there are three in there and I can't -- I
don't know -- can't read the names of them. But those --
those. The Orange County ones. So it's SSA and --

CHAIR TURNER: SSA and what else?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: There's -- they're not all named, I can't -- you'd have to blow it up a little bit. But that would be -- those would be the ones that would make the ten in this corner.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Great. Commissioner Toledo, did you have some that you're naming? Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So here we go. So we'll start with -- sorry about that. Yeah, so let's just go with -- I'm just going to name the page number of the map if -- if that -- if that'll work? Or maybe -- well, here, I'll do the actual map. SCSFV, and then VSDEVN TSSV, which is --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay -- okay. Is that all one? No?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: There's two maps. So the first one is --

CHAIR TURNER: SCSFV.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO. Yes. SCSFV. Second would be EVENTS -- V as in Victor. Third would be L.A. Bay Area. Fourth would be West of 110. Fifth would SPCC. Next would be 7102 Water. The next one would be SD NELA followed by SD60X805. And then SD210. And lastly, would have SD10WE. And -- and I believe that will get us to population. But if we need another one we can add -- or
partial amount, we can add a partial amount of population from ANTVICAL, which -- because of the deviations are slightly different, need a little bit additional population.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Mappers are questioned on the process for the time that it takes. So we have a couple of different maps that are named. And what works best at this point?

MS. CLARK: I think just letting us know which Senate districts you would like to be in each -- each Board of Equalization district, then we can add them and go from there. Perhaps we could finish this one and then move on to the next one. Finish this district, which is right now negative sixty percent deviation.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

MS. CLARK: Would that work for you?

CHAIR TURNER: I'm just looking here to see if any of them cross, and I don't think so.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So should I -- should I leave --

CHAIR TURNER: So -- yes.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- leave that list?

CHAIR TURNER: Continue -- continue --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah.

CHAIR TURNER: -- with your list.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So the MCV, please. And --

MS. CLARK: One moment, please.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh, I can see better now.

Thank you.

MS. CLARK: So -- so it currently has four assigned to it. It's picking up this MCV.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So this is five?

MS. CLARK: This would be the fifth. Yes.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah.

CHAIR TURNER: Jamie, name the communities that are included, please.

MS. CLARK: The Coachella Valley is here.

CHAIR TURNER: I'm sorry. Just your -- your tags that there. SECA --

MS. CLARK: So it's currently SECA, COR-CAHON, SD-POW-ESC, SOC-NSD, and this is MCV.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. That's -- was that five?

MS. CLARK: This is with this addition. There are -- there would be five Senate districts --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Great.

MS. CLARK: -- in here.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Could we then add SWRC?

MS. CLARK: Are there any others you have in mind right now that I could select all at once?
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. You said SD --
CHAIR TURNER: SBRC? PO -- POF? Then IOC.
MS. CLARK: Thank you. I'm going to add all of
those in due time. I just clicked on IOC as well, and
then I will commit this change once IOC is included this
selection.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay.
CHAIR TURNER: One moment before you click, because
then we'll need to wait.
Commissioner Andersen was there anything else you
wanted in this?
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. We -- we needed to get
ten. So we have --
CHAIR TURNER: Okay.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- one -- two -- three --
four --
CHAIR TURNER: Jamie, can we add them all in before
you commit?
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- five -- six -- seven --
eight -- nine -- so I would go for the -- the -- I --
I -- I don't know what's -- it's not na -- the ones
that's at the coast.
MS. CLARK: This one?
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. And then here's a
question. Do we -- would we rather add SAA and delete
M -- essentially the -- the one that goes up into -- in San Bernadino? Will that be more compact? So right now, we have a small SAA. We can switch the small SAA for the large m -- MCV since that's more rural. And then we -- that way we would have all of Orange County, all of Imperial San Diego, and -- well, parts of Riverside. Oh, we wo -- we'd only have a tiny bit of -- of San Bernardino.

CHAIR TURNER: Well, we can select what you desire, and we'll commit it and see what it looks like and keep going.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay, but -- it -- it -- which -- actually, in terms of compactness, would it make a difference if we go -- or our population -- what population we have right now? We're minus --

MS. CLARK: These all together would be a percent deviation of negative .52 percent.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Per -- so wo -- that -- it's -- it's that or switch the MCV for the SAA so all of Orange County is in it.

Any preference from anybody?

CHAIR TURNER: I'd like to select this.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. Then that'll be the A grouping.

MS. CLARK: I committed this change in just leading
through this change to be enacted.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

And Commissioner Toledo, I -- I think I captured yours. You have the ones you gave ((indiscernible, simultaneous speech) -- .

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes. And --

CHAIR TURNER: She's going to wait until it -- it accepts though. So don't --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Could I -- could I just say if you do capture it, could you please get SAA so it's not lost?

(Pause)

CHAIR TURNER: So it's a pretty long wait time. So in the meantime, we can maybe consider what will be next after we have grabbed the SVSFB, EBENSF, L.A. Bay. We got your whole list going there. And so do you have a third list for us? Okay. Let's hear it.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah, I do. Let's go through it.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Let me --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Where is it?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Right here. So NCOAST. So starting off in the North, then followed by SD80 corridor, EDEN TEC, San Jose, MIDCOAST.
CHAIR TURNER: There's one called MIDCOAST?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: That's correct. SCOAST. Some of the names are a little bit different if you look at the map that was posted to the public.

CHAIR TURNER: Oh. I'm looking at the list. That's right. Okay. NCOAST and start again then.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So MIDCOAST, then SCOAST. Then we have SCSFV and West -- which we start getting into Los Angeles. So we're -- we're -- it depends on -- on where Commissioner --

CHAIR TURNER: Andersen.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- Andersen's map finishes.

CHAIR TURNER: Do you have another list, Commissioner Andersen? You have a --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. And this will be going to LA. Will be SSA, then it would be --

MS. CLARK: (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: No. We would -- we didn't put -- yes. I'm doing LA, right. So it's SSA did not get into the -- into quote or A-1 -- so it's B -- B or whatever -- SSA, and it was the seven -- sorry -- 710 -- no -- 710 dewater I think it's called. And then the SPCC, and then the L.A. Bay area. Then it would be SD60X605. Then West of 110. I think that's one --
two -- three -- four -- five -- six --

CHAIR TURNER: Question for you. Are you using the new labels?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I don't know. Where -- where -- where would one find the new labels?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: (Audio interference) posted for the public so I have the new labels on them. So we're using those maps.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. Let me -- let me -- and so that is under our --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Handout.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh. Handout. And it has a list of -- that got posted as well? No?

CHAIR TURNER: So if you go onto -- under today's meeting --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Right.

CHAIR TURNER: -- under handouts, and then scroll all the way to the bottom, it'll have the Senate.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Ah, the Senate. Oh, I see. Okay. Well --

CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- even I can't -- how do you -- let me see. Okay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I do suggest that on the -- on the Southern California district we've just drew that we
add SSA given that that's in Orange County and -- and not part of Los Angeles.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Ri -- so -- so I -- 'cause I was asking that. So do you want to take out MCV?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes, 'cause that'll be part of Los Angeles.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: No. I'm sorry. MCV is not part of Los Angeles. MCV is -- is -- is part of half of Riverside and half of San Bernardino.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: But the -- yes, I understand. And that would make more sense with Los Angeles, I believe, rather than --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: No. That would go with, like, ANTVIC valley, or -- and then ECA going up.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes. So that would make sense with the Central Valley district is what I meant. Sorry. Lots of districts here.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh, okay. Okay. So -- I'm sorry. I -- I can't -- I can't read that. It's to read -- to -- how -- how are you able to -- are -- where did you have a list of the names of them? Where are you getting the proper names?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: You can -- if you pull it off of the handouts --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Right.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- we have a map, and the map has all of the district panes.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I -- I can't get them big enough.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: And then you can -- you can expand it and magnify it so that it's large enough that you can read it.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, I expand it, it goes off the -- off the table -- I mean, off the -- off -- I can't get it over there.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And we did go off the list from the -- this week's. So if you go back to this week's, it'll have the -- most of the names stayed. There's just some changes.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, I'm -- I'm trying to read inside LA.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Think we -- I think we already gave them the L.A. ones.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh, okay. I --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I thought that's --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. We gave them ten of -- from the LA. And so we were -- now we're working on the other ones.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. So did you include
SSA in that?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: No, we didn't, because SSA should be with Southern California.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. I was asking about that when I added the SSA or MCW and no one said anything. So --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So if we can add SSA to Southern California -- we -- we can just make the change really quickly. It's not going to be a problem.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. We -- we'll have to change that one.

CHAIR TURNER: Jamie?

MS. CLARK: Thank you, Madam Chair. We are switching to four different mapping computers so we could just get a list --

CHAIR TURNER: Beautiful.

MS. CLARK: -- for each potential Board of Equalization district. And we'll all -- we'll watch the spinning circle going on our individual computers instead of everybody as a group together. So please let us know which Senate districts you would like to be nested. If we could get maybe a list in writing to share amongst ourselves, that would be helpful. And then we will come back to you once we've merged all of those layers together on one computer.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. We'll --

CHAIR TURNER: You could read it off.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: We'll need to read it off. I don't want to give it in writing. Okay.

CHAIR TURNER: We can do both. We can do both perhaps, but I definitely want it make sure that we're saying it out loud. Okay.

Okay. They got the pens ready. We're ready.

Okay. Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So should we start from the stop?

CHAIR TURNER: Start from -- yes.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So let's start with the NCOAST, then SD80 corridor. And I know you're writing, so I'll be slow. EDEN TECH, San Jose, MIDCOAST, SCOAST, SCSFV, West of 1-1-0, so that's West of 110 --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Wait -- wait -- wait -- wait --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- and right here where we're starting to get into Los Angeles. So I'm trying to avoid that.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: West of 1-1-0 was LA.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. So that gives you the bulk of them.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So that's -- the Northern 
ca -- the coastal districts. In addition to that, we did 
the Napa district as well. That is --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Where's that?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Right here. So it's the Napa- 
Yolo district.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: What did you do -- what did 
you do with NORCA, N-O-R-C-A?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So that'll be in the next set. 
So the next set -- so that's the first set, coastal. 
Second set would include NORCAL, Sacramento, ECA, 
Esbenito Fresno Kings Kern. Then the district from 
Fresno to Kern County, as well as ANTVICAL.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I think -- and then we got 
to figure out the rest because it's too --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: And because the deviations 
will have to -- will have to work through the Los Angeles 
and --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh. She's saying MCV also. 
MCV. MCV? Okay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So we'll include MS -- MCV in 
that if the population allows, whereas population allows, 
then the rest of the Los Angeles counties would be lumped 
together, and we can go through those -- those --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And disperse.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah, and --
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- disperse. And we can go through those one by one just so that the public is aware what they are.
CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Before you do that, I'm showing the MCV as part of that first visualization that we already have. So it's not left hanging right now. Part of the A that was offered up.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: But we may need some of that population to get to the (audio interference).
CHAIR TURNER: Right. I was just responding to the -- it hanging.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. And I'll list out the Los Angeles counties so that we have those as well and that the public is aware which ones we're considering for the Los Angeles region, and that's SCSFV --
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Hold on. Uh-huh.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- event SFV, L.A. Bay area, West of 1-1 -- or West of 110 rather, SPCC, 710-2 water, SDNELA, SD60X605, SD210, SD10WE.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Uh-huh. Yeah.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: And that's the ten for -- for Los Angeles.
MS. WILSON: I was just going to say from writing my notes in B from what I wrote down, you also said West of 110 in B. And so maybe I heard that wrong.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: That's -- if -- if population allows, we'll use that. That way can -- I think we'll have to take some population and include it in -- we'll have to split that potentially if we need to. If we don't, it'll go with Southern California -- or rather Los Angeles. Does that make sense? So if -- if we need to, we can split that -- that district.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And then we -- we might've missed one or -- one of the districts because they are -- it's hard to drill down to it. So -- but that would probably be in the L.A. area. Thanks.

MS. CLARK: Madam Chair, could -- would it be okay if Kennedy read back the districts just so that we are selecting the correct ones and adding them?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes. Please.

MS. CLARK: No overlaps? Okay. Thank you.

MS. WILSON: So I'll start with the North Coast. Is that -- so we have North coast, SD80 corridor, EDEN TECH, San Jose, MIDCOAST, SCOAST, South Coast, SCSVV, SF --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: S --

MS. WILSON: SC --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: SCSFV.
MS. WILSON: SCSFV.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank you.

MS. WILSON: -- Napa, and West of 110 possibly or some of it.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: That's correct.

MS. WILSON: And we also have the transcript. So that is okay.

CHAIR TURNER: Is there another one you want to read back?

MS. WILSON: It was okay because we had it in the transcript. So that's okay. Thank you.

(Pause)

MS. CLARK: Could we please ask a clarifying question? Which visualization should the shoreline go in? This is, like, the Malibu, Santa Monica, Torrance area. I don't think that we have that captured anywhere.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I -- that's Los Angeles if we need the population. Otherwise, the North coast --

MS. CLARK: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- or the -- the coastal.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Actually, Kennedy? Did we give you ten for each one? 'Cause I couldn't read -- we can't read down to all of them. So I was thinking that we might be short.

Is that the San Jose one, Commissioner Andersen? Is
there one -- oh, there's an Oakland one in there? Right.

Yeah. Yeah. I think we missed some because we can't see
the layers. Some of them -- yeah.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioners, so let's see. We're
going to go to a quick break to allow the maps to
continue to load. And we'll be back in fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 5:26 p.m.
until 5:45 p.m.)

CHAIR TURNER: Well, good evening, and welcome back
into session, Commissioners. And thank you so much for
waiting. I can't even imagine the patience that it
requires. I appreciate each and every one of you. We
needed to wait until the visualizations, the map was up.
And just technology sometimes does not work the way you
think it wants to. It takes a little bit longer, but we
are indeed working with forty almost million people. So
that is quite a number of people that we needed to wait
on.

So thank you. We do have it. By the way, wanting
to let you know those that have not yet found it, we do
have all three images that are up on our website now,
PNG. So you're able to see the Senate maps,
Congressional maps, Assembly maps. They're in PNG
format. So if you download those, you'll be right with
us, as we're able to see what we're looking at.
And at this point, we are ready for you to show us.

MS. CLARK: Thank you, so much. I'm just going to zoom in to the different Board of Equalization districts that are shown on the map. Just a broad overview is that district A, which includes a lot of Southern California, is negative .7 percent. B, which includes a lot of Los Angeles County, is negative .21 percent. C, which is all of these coastal areas here, is 1.72 percent. And D, which is a lot of northeastern California and the Central Valley, is negative .81 percent. So all of those, of course, are within the plus or minus five percent deviation.

And then I'm just going to zoom in to each of the districts that I just described with the Senate districts. Also shown on the map so that the Commission can just look at which Senate districts are in each Board of Equalization district. These are the districts that were requested by the Commission to be included in each district.

So district A, which is here in blue, includes SECA, COR-CAHON, SD-POWESC, SOCNSD, SWRC, SBRC, POF, IOC, NOCCOAST, and SAA.

Moving on to district B, this district includes SCSFV, East Ventura, San Fernando Valley, SD shoreline, West of 110, SD-NELA, SD-210, SD-10WE, SD60 by 605, 710
to water, SPCC.

Moving on to district C, this includes SCOAST, MIDCOAST, San Jose, Peninsula, SF, EDENTECH, COCO, SD80 Corridor, the North Coast, NAPABYRON, and that is it. I'm just going to zoom out so we can all see this district C in its entirety. It's going to have to get zoomed out a long way. It's big geographically.

And next, moving to district D, this is the final district in this plan, Board of Equalization plan. It includes the North California Senate district. Zooming in, it includes Placer-Ed, Placer, El Dorado; Sacramento; SSACSTANIS; ECA; FRESNO-KERN; SBENFRESNO; KINGS-KERN; BFRESNO-KERN, Antelope Valley, Victor Valley visualization, and MCV.

Going to zoom out one more time just to get a look at the whole Board of Equalization plan in its entirety. I will take off the Senate districts just to get a good look at everything.

CHAIR TURNER: Woohoo. Good job. All right. Okay. I would love -- I'm looking around. I'd like to lock it in.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I think, looking at this, it reflects the direction that was given by both myself and Commissioner Andersen, and I'd like to lock it in.

And with that, I also want to motion to approve the
draft maps for the state -- I'm not waiting because I believe all of these maps fairly reflect the -- would create fair maps that would allow us to get a reflection from the State of California. So I am motioning to approve the draft maps for the State Senate, state Assembly, the U.S. House of Representatives, and Board of Equalization.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: I second. This is Isra on the phone.

CHAIR TURNER: Beautiful. Who was the second from?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Isra.

CHAIR TURNER: Isra. Yes. Commissioner Ahmad.

Yes. Okay. One moment, please.

So at this point, we have a motion and a second. However, we are going to go to public comment before we vote and get this up and posted.

MR. MANOFF: Sounds good. So if we could please -- you can please stop the share at this time, and we will prepare to begin to receive public comment.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you so much for waiting. We have a proposal, and we have a motion for our maps and a second, so we are getting those up and posted while we prepare to take public comment so that we can move forward on a vote on our maps.

And so at this time, Kristian, it will be in your
hands to open the lines.

MR. MANOFF: All right, Chair. And those lines have been opened as they were opened earlier, and we do have some callers.

And Katy (ph.), are you available?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: I am. Can you not hear me?

MR. MANOFF: I can hear you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Okay.

MR. MANOFF: And go ahead.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: All right. Well, we will go ahead and start with caller -- real quick, I would like to announce there, most people have raised their hands. For those that have called in, if you do wish to give comments on the motion on the floor, or general public comments this evening, please, press star nine. This will raise your hand.

MR. MANOFF: I had one more --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: So those --

MR. MANOFF: -- I had one before we get started.

There was direction from the chair that the time tonight for public comment will be a minute and thirty seconds, so we'll be giving you a warning when you have thirty seconds remaining and when you have fifteen seconds remaining.
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Perfect. Thank you.

All right. Now, we will start with caller 2232.

And up next after that will be caller 6058.

Caller 2232, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MS. ASANTO: Hello. My name is Kayla Asanto. I am an organizer with Orange County Environmental Justice. And I just wanted to say, thank you for all of your hard work in drafting all these maps and everything.

Admittedly, a lot of my public comments were back when there were visualizations. And the website has given me a lot of difficulty with viewing the draft map proposals. So just wanted to say that I would very much like us to keep Santa Ana, Anaheim whole and West Anaheim and South Fullerton whole, South Fullerton being South of Chapman instead of South of Melbourne in Orange County.

I don't recall -- I think it's 1105, but I don't know if that's relevant to the graph maps and everything. I think that the Assembly maps are more or less okay, but there are some things that really could be changed for our communities of interests, for our Environmental Justice communities between South Fullerton and West Anaheim.

And for the State Senate maps, I don't know where to begin. There are a lot of things that are -- that are
just weird on the visualizations. But again, I haven't been able to view the draft map proposals very well. But, yeah. Bottom line is keep South Fullerton and West Anaheim whole. And for State Senate and Congress, keep them together with Santa Ana so that we can have Voting Rights Act districts in Orange County for the Congressional and State Senate level.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we will have caller 0658. And up next after that will be caller 8499.

Caller 6058, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. One more time, caller with the last four digits, 6058, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. I do apologize caller 6058, I do know you have been waiting on hold for quite some time. I will come back to you. There may be a connectivity issue at this time.

Right now, we will go to caller 8499. If you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. I was listening to the meeting this morning, and I would like to thank the Commission, specifically Commissioner Akutagawa and Commissioner Andersen for hearing my community and current concern about being combined.
I appreciate you all taking our input and want you
to encourage to find a solution that makes both counties
happy. Thank you so much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.
And right now, we will have caller 2668. And up
next after that will be caller 3700.

Caller 2668, if you will please follow the prompts
to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi Commission. Thank you so
much for hearing me today. So I've been listening in,
and I appreciate that you guys are kind of taking our
concerns in because I'm from Kern County, and our
concerns about being combined with Fresno.

Honestly, my concerns are that the district is just
too large. It spans a huge amount of landmass that would
take forever to travel to for any -- anybody, really.
The counties are really unique, and I think they deserve
their own representation.

Obviously, someone from Fresno wouldn't be able to
represent Kern County all that well. And same, someone
from Kern County couldn't represent Fresno that well. So
I think it's really, really important that they get their
own representation, and honestly, knows the needs of
their community there.

So I urge the Commission to continue taking our
concerns into consideration and, like, find the solution for us. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Right now, we have caller 3700. And up next after that will be caller 7693.

Caller 3700, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MS. WILSON: Hi. My name is Betty Wilson. I'm the executive director for the business counsel. I'm calling in today regarding zone F. I just want to thank each Commissioner for you hard work and for those Commissioners that have heard the voices of our diverse community.

We've been very happy with the proposed map on the Congressional draft map. We just want to make sure that you reference our submitted map, 10062021112. But so far, it looks very promising, and we're real excited about that.

Just wanted to share early on, our community has been very engaged in this process. And I know you have seen the numerous emails regarding the Congressional Senate and Assembly districts, so thank you.

The Assembly districts are also moving towards our submitted map, 100720211124. Again, designed with our large Latino population and other communities of interest
in mind, while balancing the success of collaborations over the last ten years. We encourage the use of our proposed Assembly districts, 10072021124, as the architecture for our Senate district. That map submitted was 10062021123. This would allow for nesting and meet the Commissions criteria.

Thank you so very much for hearing the voice of the people. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. Right now we will have caller 7693. And up next after that will be caller 1898.

Caller 7693, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MS. ROWE: Hello. This is Chris Rowe. I submitted testimony online. I'm calling for the VFD events, SFV 1107 (ph.). Please do not divide the San Fernando Valley into Ventura County. There's a population of over 10,047,926 people in L.A. County. This would mean that you would have a whole Board of Equalization district in L.A. County alone greater that your population requires, and we should have this district event, SFV 1107, completely within the San Fernando Valley.

And I've submitted similar testimony regarding the VFD L.A. Bay Area, 1107, how it should be designed. It should stop on Sunset before Santa Monica and move to the
North and take the area that you have West of the 405 Freeway and put it in with the Eastern portion. And if you read my written testimony, it will explain it more, clearly.

And I just want to say, thank you. I've been spending the hours with you this week. Eleven hours, I believe, on Monday and ten on Tuesday and since noon today, so I know the time that you guys are putting in, and I great --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Right now, we have caller 1898 --

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Oh -- Thank you so much.

Right now we have caller 1898. And up next after that will be caller 4984.

Caller 1898, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you. I know it's been kind of crazy for you guys this evening, so I'll get right to the point. My name is Sandy. I'm a resident of Fresno. I want to thank all of you, the Commission, for acknowledging my concerns on today's meeting. I appreciate that you're thinking of us while you're making these decisions, and I just want to make sure that you know we're grateful for your consideration.
That being said, I want to ask you again that you not link Fresno with Bakersfield. I understand the considerations that you're having to balance, and I do not envy your task, but, please, find a way to separate my community with Bakersfield on the Congressional and Senate maps. We're different communities. We have different needs, and they're very different.

So thank you for your time and, again, for recognizing our concerns. Have a good night, and thank you from my heart.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Right now, we will have caller 4984. And up next after that will be caller 0053.

Caller 4984, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. I just wanted to start by thanking the Commissioners who mentioned my concerns while talking about the central valley today. Thank you for -- sorry. Thank you, Commissioner Akutagawa, Commissioner Andersen, and Chair Turner. It means so much to me that you are with me in my concerns. I know it's hard to find a place for Kern County, but I appreciate that you all are trying.

One possible way to give us somewhat accurate representation would be to keep Rosedale and Stockdale
together in all the districts you're drawing. I know on
the map it might look like we are two different
communities, but if you were to visit Bakersfield you'd
see that we are really one cohesive community.

The way you've drawn the district now is you've put
Rosedale together with Oildale, which I think is correct.
But what's incorrect is disconnecting Stockdale and the
rest of southwest Bakersfield by putting them in with
downtown East Bakersfield and some of the smaller farming
communities like Arvin and Delano.

It makes much more sense to treat Rosedale,
Stockdale, Southwest, and Oildale as one community and
put the rest of Bakersfield in the smaller -- in with the
smaller farming communities. I'm asking that you do this
and continue to hear my community as we speak what we
have to say.

Thank you, for hearing me, and thank you, again for
all the work that you're doing. And have a good night
tonight.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.
Right now, we will have caller 0053. And up next
after that will be caller 6836.

Caller 0053, if you will please follow the prompts
to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MR. HAYDUKE: All righty. So my name is Blaine
Hayduke (ph.), and I'm actually from Bakersfield. I was just actually calling -- I understand you guys have a huge issue -- like, huge problem with putting Kern County in a specific area. Really, the big problem that I have with it is you guys are dividing Bakersville, Haggin Oaks, Seven Oaks, Gosford, and the Stockdale area from the rest of the communities -- from Rosedale and even Oildale.

I live in Haggin Oaks, on the southwest side of town. My parents live in Rosedale, cousin lives in Rosedale, and some of my best friends live in Oildale. Really, everyone I know lives, like, in these areas.

Now, the problem with it is, it's true that a lot of people who live, live kind of in our separate communities, but we are just one big community, and I believe that splitting Rosedale and Oildale from the rest of Kern County would be a huge mistake.

Again, I just want to say thank you so much. I don't envy you guys for having to make the decisions, but I really would want you guys to take into consideration.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Right now, we will have caller 6836. And up next after that will be caller 0073.

Caller 6836, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good afternoon, Commissioners. I'm an elementary school teacher here in the Rosedale Union school district. I've taught second graders for seven years now, and I'm calling to object to the way that you've divided the districts in Bakersfield.

I've got to know a lot of faculty members and fellow educators over the years, and one thing I've noticed is many of them who live here in southwest Bakersfield work in northwestern Bakersfield. There are a lot of issues that a legislator or representative has to understand relating to public education in our community, and we need to be able to choose a representative that's one community who really understands the needs of educators.

If you draw this artificial separation between our communities, you're going to split the vote of educators like me who need to be able to elect a representative that understands our needs. So please, take this into consideration. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Right now, we will have caller 0073. And Up Next after that will be caller 6207.

Caller 0073, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MR. AI: Hi, Commissioners. My name is Mike Ai with Equality California, and I'm calling to ask again that
the LGBTQ plus community of interests in the Coachella Valley be kept together.

As a reminder, for the Coachella Valley Congressional and Assembly visualizations, we ask that you unite Coachella Valley's LGBTQ plus community on both sides of the 10 freeway as a single Assembly and Congressional district, as was done in the Senate visualization, rather than putting communities North of the 10 freeway like North Palm Springs, Cathedral City, Desert Hot Springs into a district with Imperial County.

For the Coachella Valley Senate visualizations, we greatly appreciate how you've united the LGBTQ plus community. We think the San Bernardino communities like Big Bear Lake and Yucaipa would belong better in San Bernardino district rather than with the Desert Coachella Valley district.

We respectfully ask that the Commissioners not vote to accept the draft maps and instead fix these errors first. Thank you so much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we will have caller 6207. And up next after that will be caller 5056.

Caller 6207, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. And thanks for
I'm voicing my strong concerns over combining Fresno and Bakersfield. These are totally different communities that cannot be adequately represented by the same representative. Combining us will be diminishing the voice of the citizens in both Fresno and Bakersfield and will weaken our ability to elect candidates that will take the tough issues facing both of our communities.

Thank you for mentioning our concerns during today's session. That did not go unnoticed. We're simply asking for you to find a way to make sure both of our voices are heard on the Congressional and Senate side. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we will have caller 5056. And up next after that will be caller 7625.

Caller 5056, if you will please follow the prompt to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MS. CHANG: Good afternoon. My name is Susan Chang, and I'm the organizing director at (indiscernible) for Justice, a nonprofit organization focused on youth organizing, civic engagements, and providing immigrant legal services.

Before anything else, I would like to thank you all for your commitment to this crucial process. We understand that planning, executing plans, collecting
data, and organizing data, and making sense of all of it
takes a lot of work, communication, time, and
dedications.

Today, I wanted to comment on the communities of
interest in South Fullerton and West Anaheim, low-income
immigrant communities in South Fullerton, South of
Chapman Avenue, and West Anaheim share common challenges
like environmental justice and access to affordable
housing. And these parts of Orange County should be
drawn together.

For the Assembly map I wanted to thank the
Commission for drawing South Fullerton with parts of West
Anaheim. There are some minor line changes that I think
would better reflect our COI, which will be provided in
an email.

For the Senate drafts maps, thank you for moving
towards creating a VRA district. And then lastly, for
the Congressional maps, thank you for drawing parts of
South Fullerton in (indiscernible) VRA district. Thank
you so much for your time, and thank you for your
commitment.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.
Right now, we will have caller 7625. And up next
after that will be caller 5944.

Caller 7625, if you will please follow the prompts
to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hey there. My name is David, and I'm calling with the (indiscernible). I want to echo all of the people saying thank you so much for all of your work. Just sitting through trying to get on public comment has been harrowing. So all the work that y'all are doing is really quite amazing.

And I'm calling with (indiscernible), as I said. And, you know, last night we called in to talk about the areas of Hayward and Ashland on an unincorporated areas of Southern Alameda County.

And I'm pretty sure Commissioner Andersen referenced it, and then, you know, y'all went and talked about Vallejo for a while last night, which we also very much support the work that y'all were trying to do to connect Vallejo to those areas as well, but we just want to make sure that the areas of Hayward and Ashland, all those areas are not forgotten.

We -- those areas currently are put in with the Tri-Valley area East of the hills, which is a much richer, much whiter part of the district that belongs with areas that are closer over to there.

There is a really amazing map that was submitted by AAFRC (ph.) that has Assembly maps, and it includes a district that has San Leandro, San Lorenzo, Hayward,
Union City, and Newark, and is a lot closer to the areas that reflect this district. Again, it's AASRC.

Thank you so much for all of the work that y'all are doing.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

So right now, we will have caller 5944. And up next after that will be caller 3033.

Caller 5944, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening. Thank you.

Hey, I just wanted to draw the Commissioner's attention to the submission that the Delores Huerta Foundation has sent to the Commission about the central valley VRA maps, and specifically their legal analysis on the Latino CVAPs being -- for the Congressional maps, being inadequate -- just be on the low side.

In alignment with what a lot of folks have said, the Kings County VRA district that's connected, they -- that -- really, that Stockdale Estates really can come out of there to increase Latino CVAP for that district, as well as the Seven Oaks Country Club, Sunnydale Country Club. There's just a lot of extremely white, very affluent areas that are currently included in that district with Delano, Arvin. It really just doesn't match up, and I think you guys will find significant
increases to the Latino CVAP if you guys separate that along the 99 in Bakersville.

Thank you, very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Right now we will have caller 3033. And up next after that will be caller 0313.

Caller 3033, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes, we can. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: My name is Ralph Sudenfeld (ph.), I live in Santa Cruz, and I just wanted to comment on the proposed Assembly district for my region. You know, I understand that, you know, these are hard to draw. And for years and years, we have been associated with the, you know, we're up in Northern end of the Monterey Bay, and we've been associated with communities around the Bay. I understand if it's not possible to continue that, but I have a particular concern with the way that the line has separated the City of Santa Cruz from parts of unincorporated Santa Cruz County in the Northern part of the county including Live Oak and Soquel. And partially the reason for this is, you know, these are -- this is a line that would actually separate
people who are in the same high school. You know, kids who live across the street from each other would be in separate Assembly districts even though they're going to the same high school, they have the same -- every other thing that their families vote for would be the same on the ballot. So I hope that their -- I don't understand why, you know, the unincorporated portion of Santa Cruz County, including Pleasure Point, Soquel, Aptos, and -- as well as the City of Capital has been separated from the rest of --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. Right now, we will have caller 0313. And up next after that will be caller 5701.

Caller 0313, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Howdy, Commissioners. I'm calling out of Salinas, California today, and I wanted to comment on the State Senate maps.

I know I saw -- I was watching last night. I know you guys tried to separate my county from Fresno County, but I hope you guys will try harder and make it happen. I know the draft maps that were published on 10/27, they included a separate district for my county and San Benito County. And then it let all those Fresno County people have their own district with Madera, Merced County, and
those districts where there's already Congressional maps that go up from Fresno, Madera, Merced. There's an Assembly map this cycle that you guys put out -- that has, you know, Madera, Merced with Fresno. Just doesn't make much sense for my County -- and just my part of my County, to be with -- with Fresno. It's way too far. Our representation doesn't, you know -- a Fresno representative is not going to look out for our interests. Those mountains are hard to travel across, and we need our own cultural County out here.

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So I hope you guys take a hard look at that one more time. It just doesn't make any sense to have us with Fresno County. Our communities are very, very different. So I urge you guys to take a very hard look before approving the Senate maps.

MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They're not a good look. It's not a good look to have our counties continue to be represented by Fresno. And those electives out there don't care about what's happening in the cote. They -- they -- they have all their people over there, and they all vote for their people --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

And right now we will have caller 5701, and up next
after that will be caller 5363.

Caller 5701, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MR. KINSEY: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is John Kinsey with Wanger Jones Helsley, on behalf of the Fresno Chamber of Commerce. Earlier today, Commission staff acknowledged the expense of public comment for Fresno and Bakersfield residents regarding the State Senate map. I think you're continuing to hear those comments this evening. Specifically, that Fresno and Bakersfield should not be combined. I think this was followed earlier today by a comment from the chair that the Central Valley Residents got what they wanted, so the Commission didn't need to discuss the issue further.

I wanted to set the record straight. That's not accurate. Those statements appear to be based on the Assembly map, not the State Senate map. In the current visualizations we continue to have Fresno and current -- combined as the Senate district. And the commenters did not get what they wanted.

We have significant concerns regarding this proposed district. And I urge the Commission to review my legal comment submitted yesterday. Fresno's the fifth largest city in the state. It should have local representation in the Senate. Despite this, the city's split up with
other larger --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds

MR. KINSEY: -- far-flung communities, such as Bakersfield and -- and Salinas. This is a significant danger, that the map will result in Fresno having no local representation. The map violates Prop 11. And I strongly suggest that the chamber consider moving Fresno to the North, consistent with our comments.

Thank you, very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

And right now we will have caller 5363, and up next after that will be caller 1701. Caller 5363, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six.

The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. Well, good evening, everybody. I just want to say thank you for giving me the chance to voice a few concerns, and I wanted to, sincerely and honestly, thank you guys for doing what all of you guys are doing. I know it's difficult, but it's so incredibly important.

I live in the Southwest area of Bakersfield, and I just want to let you know that Northwest Bakersfield really belongs with us. And for that matter, we really belong to them, you know. Streets connect to one and each other, all that. I can bike from one part to the
other in, like, ten minutes. Easily. We go to the same 
shops, same grocery stores. We go to the same Starbucks. 
We see the same movies at the marketplace. It's really 
just one community, and I really believe we belong in the 
same district, so that we can choose candidates to run 
and represent our whole community. Not just half of it. 
Please consider my comments as you're making your 
important and difficult decisions. And have a good 
night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.
And now we will have caller 1701, and up next after 
that will be caller 3171.

Caller 1701, if you will please follow the prompts 
to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, dear 
Commissioners. First, I would like to thank you for all 
your time and letting me speak. But I have to express my 
opinions, and I'm very disappointed, because what I have 
heard and seen the past few weeks. One of the 
Commissioners, who represent Orange County, is getting 
really popular in our leader's type of Committee, these 
days. Most of Saigon-Americans, have heard about your 
name by now, because of what you are trying to do by 
splitting our Little Saigon community apart. Because of 
your suggestions on the draft map, our communities will
not have a true representation in the next decade. Because of you our accomplishments, and what we have built as a whole community, in more than forty years, will now be destroyed. So I hope that you put your personal interests or agenda aside, and truly do what's best for the public. I ask --

MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- for all the Commissioners to please relook and consider our proposal for Little Saigon community. It's important that we keep Little Saigon whole by --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much. And right now we'll have caller 3171, and up next after that will be caller 3321.

Caller 3171, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute, the floor is yours.

MR. ESQUIVEL: Thank you. I'm calling you from the County of Riverside, actually. The City of Riverside. My name is Gilberto Esquivel. I'm with LULAC of Riverside. And we have been working on these districts since a decade ago. But you have done with the -- with the State Senate district is unbelievable. You have joined it -- us into the San Bernardino County. Riverside and San Bernardino counties are both County
seats, and they both have unique problems. And we have
to individually look into our problems as we have them.
As we have in the past ten years. All our representation
is in the Riverside County. We don't have any
communities of interest in San Bernardino County
whatsoever. We need to work individually with the
Riverside area. We need to have the Assembly district,
and the State Senate district, to show Riverside County
only. We cannot go across into another County seat.
That would be very --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MR. ESQUIVEL: -- difficult for them, as well as for
us. Thank you, very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, very much.

Right now we will have callers 3321, and up next
after that will be caller 1043.

Caller 3321, if you will please follow the prompts
to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MS. CHALCO: Hello. My name is Anna Chalco (ph.),
and I am a resident of Santa Ana. I also work in Santa
Ana, a program of (indiscernible) Latino Health Access.
Latino Health Access (indiscernible, simultaneous speech)
with community residents for over twenty-seven years to
improve the social (Indiscernible) of health our city.

I appreciate all of your long hours, and line
drawers have put into balancing so many diverse communities of interest throughout the state. I first want to mention that Santa Ana is really composed of low-income and mixed immigration and mixed families. Meaning that their families include undocumented U.S. residents and citizens family members.

They are extremely hard working families. When many are employed in essential jobs are often overlooked and underpaid. These cities include numerous communities of interest, such as immigrants, low-income families, families without medical insurance, and mixed-immigration status families. These communities of interest are Sherwood (indiscernible) of West Anaheim, and Southern -- and South Burlington. Therefore I want to acknowledge and thank you for drawing a VRA district around Latinx communities in Santa Ana, both in the Assembly and Congressional draft.

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MS. CHALCO: And for exploring a VRA district in the -- in the Senate draft map. Once again, thank you for your time and your commitment to acknowledging everyone's input.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

Right now we will have caller 1043, and up next after that will be caller 2087.
Caller 1043, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.
Caller 1043 -- the floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Howdy. How you doing? I just want to, you know, indicate that, you know, why I appreciate comments to make sure that Little Saigon stay as a whole. We need a representation that will understand our unique culture, community, (indiscernible) Congressional district.

Santa Ana (indiscernible) has no community of interest with us. So I hope you don't listen to just one Commissioner who is just supposed to be an expert for (indiscernible). But someone who stays (indiscernible). And show multiple (indiscernible) boys and girls clubs of (indiscernible) --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- (indiscernible). Please don't separate us. Huntington Beach does not belong to Riverside.

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We continue to fight to see that true representation. Thank you for allowing me to speak (indiscernible). Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

Right now we will have caller 2087, and up next
after that will be caller 6812.

Caller 2087, if you will please follow the prompts
to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MS. VANG: Good evening, Commission. My name is Cha Vang. I am with the API (indiscernible) Education Fund. I'm a long-time Fresno resident, and a part of the Hmong-American, Asian-American, immigrant, refugee, and under-invested communities in Sacramento. Therefore, today, I will share something back on the Sacramento area as a -- and as a resident of the Bayard community, to which I hope will be valuable to you. Again, thank you for all the work that you all put into the Sacramento Congressional line. While not perfect, it looks much better and closer to what communities want to see.

However, we are still very concerned about the Assembly and Senate maps, and wish -- I wish you had worked harder in the Sacramento area before releasing the draft map -- the drafts. The Vineyard area is cut out of both Assembly and state maps, and put into a district with San Joaquin, which has very little in common, and has a large Asian-American -- communities of interest.

And the current maps will split the Asian-American communities from Vineyard --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds

MS. VANG: -- in Vineyard from South Sacramento and
Elk Grove, which is deeply connected to each other; whether it's for school district, family, college, work, business, or other purposes. The Senate map is also cutting out the vineyard, so we hope that you can --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen seconds.

MS. VANG: -- figure out a way to put back Vineyard into the Assembly and State maps to keep the API community's of interest together.

Hopefully, you all can refer back to the maps that are submitted by the Asian-American (indiscernible) Justice, that actually reflect the API --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.
Right now we will have caller 6812, and up next after that will be caller 5178.

Caller 6812, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. I am a Yorba Linda resident, and I have spoken to the Commission before about how North Orange County faces the same fires -- wild fire issues, and how important it is to keep our North Orange County communities together.

After weeks of testimony that stress importance of keeping North Orange County districts together, I was really confused last night when this area somehow ended up in three Congressional districts. These areas are
faced with fire fires (sic), housing affordability
issues, and infrastructure issues, yet the Commission
drew arbitrary lines to meet population tolls instead of
focusing on the community of interest.

I hope you reconsider and keep North Orange County
cities like Yorba Linda, Brea, Placentia, Anaheim Hills,
and Orange all together. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

And right now we will have caller 5178, and up next
after that will be caller 1013.

Caller 5178, if you will please follow the prompts
to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MR. WONG: Thank you, all, Commissioners. My name
is John Wong. I am, like, I'm born and raised in Santa
Luco. I called in yesterday. Just wanted to express my
disappointment today, when looking at the Senate maps,
and I see that some of my hopes to keep Northern San
Diego -- City of San Diego communities together was kind
of -- seemed to be frugally dismissed. When,
unfortunately, Commissioner Sinay allowed Sadhwani to
separate Pamela Valley as well as allowed the SOC edit
the district to cut in and take a portion of
(indiscernible).

I just wanted to, again, note that North City of San
Diego communities, like (indiscernible), Come Along
Ranch, and (indiscernible) are communities of interest because they are in the (indiscernible) unified school district, North of (indiscernible) creek.

So again, if you could please --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MR. WONG: -- make sure that, you know, in future maps when you're, like, tinkering and editing for later drafts, to make sure that the North City of San Diego communities are kept whole. Like, as in --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen seconds.

MR. WONG: --(Indiscernible), to make sure that that is whole within the community of (indiscernible) Valley. Also, thank you Commissioner Sadhwani for continuing to advocate for the LGBT community. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

Right now we will have caller 1013, and up next after all that will be caller 0597.

Caller 1013, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners. I'm calling in regards to the Assembly seat in -- located in Inglewood. The Congressional map and the Senate map have the Cities of Hawthorn, Lawndale, and Inglewood together, but the Assembly seat separates Lawndale, Hawthorn, and Inglewood. And I was just curious as to
why that happened in the Assembly district. Lawndale unified school district serves the children of the Cities of Hawthorn and Lenox.

And I would like to see -- ask respectfully if one of the Commissioners would be willing to ask the draw-liners to put the Cities of Lawndale, Hawthorn, and Inglewood together in the Assembly map. It's already done in the Congressional one and the Senate one. And I'm just concerned about separating Lawndale from the City of Inglewood in the Assembly maps. So if I would -- if someone can ask why that is, I appreciate that very much. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much. And right now we will have caller 0597, and up next after that we will retry caller 6058.

Caller 0597, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. I was looking at the visualizations that the Commission has online, and I just had a couple of concerns for Bakersfield. I think you guys were on the right track on Tuesday, when you put Softfield Country Club in with the Kern-Telare district. Which I think just makes a lot more sense to throw that whole area of Bakersfield in with the community that's in that Assembly district, since that whole region is really
more similar to those regions than Arvin, Delano, Shafter, even downtown Bakersfield. So I hope that you guys will consider my considers. And I hope that helps and encourage you guys to take a closer look at my community.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

And right now we will be retrying caller 6058, and up next after that will be caller 6855.

Caller 6058, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. Yes. Hello?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes, caller 6058. We can hear you.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: This is -- this is -- yes. This is regarding Senate visualization on page 53 of VSD_1107. I live in the City of Cypress, and I'm speaking on behalf of many residents of Cypress. We're happy with the visualizations page 53.

The City of Cypress was in VSD_INC our sister cities, Los Alamitos and Rossmore, along with Huntington Beach and Seal Beach. We share many common interests as we shop at -- shop at each other's cities, attend each other's festivals, and churches. Plus Alamito School District cross-over with Cypress, where many of Cypress kids go to Los Alamito's school.
VSD_INC is the Cypress community of interest. On the latest visualization, Cypress was moved to CSDSAA, with Santa Ana, Anaheim, Buena Park. We have nothing in common with these cities. We are two worlds apart.

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's not right that the City of Garden Grove, West Minister, gets added to VSE Inc, at the expense of Cypress, only because they scream louder. I implore you to please move the City to -- of Cypress back into VSD_INC. Thank you, very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much. And right now we will have caller 6855, and up next after that will be caller 1619.

Caller 6855, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MR. SUKATON: Commissioners, good evening again. Samuel Sukaton from Californian Environmental Voters Educational Fund. I want to congratulate you on coming up to draft maps. I know that you've got a considerable load to bear, and a minute and a half will not kind of capture most of my comments. I will direct you back to the map presentation I made three weeks ago, but I do have some comments first.

I'd love to see the shape files, as soon as you can put them up, so we can do some numbers crunching. Some
things that we testified about got in. The San
Bernardino, Inyo hardline where the Sierra begins. Two
Congressional seats at the border, one in Imperial,
actually got four in. My compliments. Combining
Pasadena, the 2-10 corridor, and EPI West San Diego
valley in the Congressional maps. The North coast
architecture that we argued for in 2011 remaining
generally intact, and getting seen -- creating a hardline
between L.A. and Ventura at the Congressional level.
Definitely appreciate those.

A couple things to, like, to look at. I don't know
if you saw the Karok letter about wanting to be drawn --
the Karok and Yurok tribes want to be drawn in a North
cost Congressional district. And so we'd ask you, and I
think --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MR. SUKATON: -- the native project would agree.

Take in Siskiyou. I see that Modesto dip in the Senate
level at the Sierra -- in the Sierras. We did ask you to
dip once for population. Do it in Truckee or El Dorado
Hills, communities that are more affiliated with kind of
the Sierra and the community -- and that -- the
environment economic needs there.

Fallbrook out of the --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen seconds.
MR. SUKATON: -- CDS -- OCNSP. And I'll have more
later, but thank you, so much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.
And right now we will have caller 1619, and up next
after that will be caller 0613.

Caller 1619, if you will please follow the prompts
to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Hi. My name is Joshua.

Thank you for taking the time to listen to our
testimonies. I'd like to make a public comment on one of
the communities of interest. King's County communities
are separated from larger cities, and they instead rely
on Hanford as a center for shopping and meeting for
household needs. King's County is a former section 5
jurisdiction, and should be kept whole out of
consideration for that former status. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.
And right now we will have caller 0613, and up next
after that will be caller 9399.

Caller 0613, if you will please follow the prompts
to unmute by pressing star six.

And one more time, caller 0613, if you will please
follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six.

I do apologize caller 0613, appears to be some
connectivity issues at this time. I will try back in a
Right now we will have caller 9399, and up next after that will be caller 2223.

Caller 9399, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you. I'm calling to remind you all, regarding the Congressional and the Assembly districts here in the high desert, AD33, and CD8. We've been striving to try to exclude the mountain-region from the desert communities. The equivalent of maintaining that same district, as you've pointed out -- as you've shown, is the equivalent of adding -- having Beverly Hills added with East LA. Two very, very different communities.

Those -- the desert community is basically a blue-collar community. The region -- the mountain region of Big Berry, Lake Arrowhead, you're talking about millionaires that live there. Multi-millionaires. And they have no -- nothing in common with the desert community. We've made every effort to try to submit maps to you from the Black-Brown Alliance that have --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- thrived and worked hard to create the map that would be more conducive to having our communities whole. I would ask you to reconsider. Thank
you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank, so much.

And right now we have caller 2223, and up next after that will be caller 0011.

Caller 2223, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six.

And one more time, caller 2223, if you would please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. Thank you. I'm a resident of Brea, and I want to thank the Commission for all your work. I've been following the hearings, and heard weeks of testimony about keeping North Orange County together. And I was disappointed in the latest Congressional visualizations that split up North Orange County. North Orange County faces similar issues and share common interests. Like we tend to be one community. We all, like, shop, eat, and work in the area, regardless of city-lines. So I hope we can find a way to keep Brea, Yorba Linda, Anaheim Hills, and Orange all in this North Orange County together. And that we can have one Congressional representative to represent our concerns. Thank you, very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

And right now we have caller 0011, and up next after
that will be caller 3770.

Caller 0011, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MR. AVALON: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Daniel Avalon and I live in Northeast Los Angeles. Many thanks for the work that you've done these last few days. Particularly the 7th through the 9th. We want to thank you for the work you've done this far on the Congressional district and on the Senate district.

I'd like to bring your attention to the visualizations of -- two Assembly districts that have historically represented our Latino communities. Communities that have been a part of -- of these districts for decades. Which have -- which they have fought for. We are asking you to please look at the guidelines and the criteria used for the Congressional district that represents these communities from Eagle Rock to White -- right South of downtown Los Angeles. From Pico Union of Westlake to the eastside and unincorporated --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds

MR. AVALON: -- East Los Angeles. These -- this -- these neighborhoods deserve -- these two Assembly districts, no matter how this process to decimate what we've worked so hard for --
MR. MANOFF: Fifteen seconds.

MR. AVALON: -- these past decades. Thank you for your time. And I appreciate the attention to these districts of Los Angeles.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

And right now we will have caller 3770, and up next after that will be caller 7175.

Caller 3770, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MR. GARRETT-PATE: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Sam Garret-Pate, and I'm calling on behalf of the Equality California. I'd like to thank Commissioners for working to unite our L.A. LGBTQ+ community in one Senate district, today. And in particular, we want to thank Commissioners Kennedy, Sadhwani, and Toledo for their recognition that the LGBTQ+ community is not only in West Hollywood and Hollywood, but also extends to East Hollywood, Los Phillies, and Silverlake.

Unfortunately, the draft Assembly maps divides Hollywood and West Hollywood and our community in half, putting Hollywood with Glendale, and West Hollywood with Santa Monica. Hollywood and West Hollywood share an LGBTQ+ community, housing concerns, business interests, the entertainment industry, and more. They are inseparable and should not be divided and lumped in with
major cities like Glendale and Santa Monica, which have far less in common. Respectfully, we ask that you not vote to accept the draft Assembly maps, and fix this division to unite Hollywood and West Hollywood first. In San Francisco, we ask that you relook at the draft Assembly map as well, which currently divides the LGBTQ+ --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds

MR. GARRETT-PATE: -- community by separating Bernal Heights and parts of Twin Peaks, in West SF, from the rest of the LGBTQ+ community, which is currently united in East SF. There has also been COI testimony that these maps divide the Latino, black --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

MR. GARRETT-PATE: -- and API communities. Again, we respectfully ask that you unite our community before voting to accept the draft maps. And finally, I just want to reiterate our deep appreciation for Commissioners and the staff for all of your hard work and for your focus over these days --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we will have caller 7175. And up next after that will be caller 5046.

Caller 7175, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.
MR. PAYNE: Thank you so much.

This is Jeremy Payne calling, with Equality California. Thank you to the Commissioners and staff for having us. I'm just calling to express my deep appreciation for the work you're doing to unify our LGBTQ+ community in San Diego. It was a lot of work today, and I just want to highlight that I really appreciate the effort made to locate and view the shape files we submitted yesterday. These shape files are extremely useful and should be used before voting on a draft Senate map because they will allow you to see our LGBTQ+ community's geographic boundary with San Diego County, which will be helpful. And it provides a helpful visual of why our community would be better served in an SD POW ESCO Senate District, rather than an East County core Cajon Senate District. I'm referring to the draft Senate maps now. Moving our community into the SD POW ESCO District will solidify our LGBTQ+ community in the Senate District that not only unites us but empowers to support candidates of choice that are representative of our community. To negate the population added --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MR. PAYNE: -- we can move the City of Santee, an East County neighborhood of Lakeside -- of Santee and the neighborhoods of Lakeside and Winter Gardens into the
core Cajon District. And that will help strengthen our LGBTQ2+ community and keep East county communities --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen seconds.

MR. PAYNE: -- in San Diego together. Thank you so much. We hope that you are able to make these changes before we move forward with the draft Senate maps.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Right now, we will have caller 5046. And up next after that I will be retrying caller 0613.

And at that time, we will not have any more raised hands for those who have not spoke this evening. So if you wish to give comment, I'd like to give you one more opportunity to please press star nine to raise your hand, indicating you wish to give comment.

As for those who have not shared their comments this evening, please press star nine to raise your hand, indicating you wish to give comment. Right now, we will have caller 5046. And then we will retry caller 0613. And I did see some of those hands come up, so we will be coming to you after that.

Caller 5046, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Okay. Thank you very much. My name is Drew (ph.) I live in Culver City. A bunch of folks have provided community of interest that
ties us to Marina del Rey and Venice. And originally,
there were a number of districts that had that
possibility, and for some reason, despite -- I know at
least one Commissioner had made the request to unite all
of Culver City -- and at least for the Assembly
District -- find a way of tying it into the West side
where it shares being in the West side council of
government, shares the Ballona Creek, shares common
shopping. It's still part of this district that's called
East of the 110. It's very important to preserve -- make
sure we've got African-American representation, but
Culver City only has a seven percent African-American
CVAP. So what you've done by putting all of them into
one district like this is basically ensure that it's
going to be --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: -- incredibly difficult
for Culver City to have anyone ever run for office
because the demographics don't match the rest of the
district, and can make it very difficult. I think that
you can certainly -- and it should be a goal to preserve
and making sure that you got us that seat that can
represent African-American, but --

MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: -- you can do that by
picking up the Mid-City Neighborhood Council area or Westchester, which has similar demographics and --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.
And right now, we will retry caller 0613. And up next after that will be caller 0203.

Caller 0613, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Hello. And first off, I want to say thank you to the host and all the Commissioners for giving me a second opportunity -- totally missed it last time. I'm calling to -- my name is Jimmy (ph.). I'm calling today out of Eastern California and I wanted to comment on the State Senate map for Fresno County. We've had a number of local residents, both online on the forum and calling in, express how we would not like to be linked to San Benito County and Monterey County. So I just wanted to call and encourage the Commissioners to take one more strong, hard look on having a Fresno County-centric -- without the coastal community states in a district. I know that another person earlier mentioned State Senate map that were released on October 27th or around that date, where it had a Fresno-based district that went up to Madera and Merced, and a little bit even higher than Merced. And that was a little bit more reflective of how the
Congressional and the Assembly map looks for Fresno County. And I think there's a way we can draw a VRA district based around Fresno County with Merced, Madera --

MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: -- and creating two VRA districts within the Central Valley. So thank you so much, and I hope you guys take one more look at trying to make a Fresno-based State Senate district.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we will have caller 0203. And up next after that will be caller 0983.

Caller 0203, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MS. SELA VALENCIA: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Maria Sela Valencia (ph.) I am a resident of Orange County. And I'm a community organizer with Orange County Congregations Community Organization. We appreciate all the long hours and the line drawers that you guys have -- the time and dedication you've put into balancing so many diverse communities of interest throughout the state. I just want to highlight the importance of South Fullerton and West Anaheim to be kept together along with Santa Ana, because of the similarities in communities of interest, such as they all
have a Latinx low income, immigrant mixed status community. They are not similar, for example, to other neighboring communities, such as Yorba Linda and Anaheim Hills. Again, thank you so much for the time and dedication. I also want to uplift for the Assembly. We will be providing minor line changes between two districts --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MS. SELA VALENCEIA: -- that better capture our community of interest. Same for the Senate and Congress. Again, thank you so much for your time and dedication in this.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Right now, we will have caller 0983. And I'd like to reach out to caller 8224, if you did not mean to lower your hand, please press star nine again.

Caller 0983, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute at this time by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners. Your visualization for Assembly by northwest Orange County are completely wrong. I am Vietnamese-American and I have lived in (indiscernible) Little Saigon, and we deserve to have our community protected and under one Assembly District. Please respect the community of
interest -- that is Greater Little Saigon -- by keeping Garden Grove, Westminster, Rossmoor, Seal Beach, Los Alamitos, Huntington Beach, and Fountain Valley together. This is how it's now, so please don't make any change. Thank you for your time. Have a great night. Bye-bye.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And at this time, we have reached the end of our raised hands. For those that have not spoken, there'll be one last opportunity before I hand it back over to the Chair.

And we do have one more raised hand. Caller 7311, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello, esteemed Commissioners. Thank you so much for your time and your important work. I just wanted to reach out, because when I'm not working I'm spending most of my time surfing in Santa Monica and Venice and the other beach communities. I've been surfing that area for about thirteen years. And I understand life moves in ways we have to adapt, but I caught a bit of an ankle-biter the other day that knocked the wind out of me, and I heard you were thinking about including Santa Monica with the San Fernando Valley. I mean, I have never caught a wave in the San Fernando Valley. And one thing I know is, the clear
geographic line is Mulholland Drive. I've probably been
to Encino all of three times in my life, and I still
couldn't point it out on a map. So let's keep the beach
communities with the beach communities, and let's keep
the Valley with the Valley. Full-tilt boogie.

Appreciate it all. Thank you so much.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you so much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so -- 8224 does
have their hand raised.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. This'll be our last, Katy.

Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Okay. The floor is
yours, 8224. Please follow the prompts to unmute by
pressing star six. The floor is yours.

MS. NGUYEN: Good evening. My name's Bethany Nguyen
(ph.). Orange County and of Little Saigon.

(indiscernible) and education (indiscernible) between
Garden Grove, Westminster, Midway City, Fountain Valley,
Huntington Beach, Rossmoor, Los Alamitos, and Seal Beach.
Huntington Beach, Union Heights School District,
(indiscernible), Fountain Valley High School and
Westminster High School, Ocean View School District of
Huntington Beach, (indiscernible) Middle School in
Fountain Valley, Star View Elementary in Midway City, and
Westminster Elementary in Westminster. Then,

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MS. NGUYEN: Seal Beach (indiscernible). Hello?

MR. MANOFF: Hello. Twenty seconds remaining.

MS. NGUYEN: Yes. Al Westminster, Midway City and Rossmoor belong together. Don't split our school district into different Assembly, Senate and Congressional District. Allow our children to be able to benefit from representatives that will give them the full attention they need instead of someone who represents one or two schools. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: And thank you.

And thank you, Katy.

At this point, we are going to -- we have a motion on the floor and a second. I'd like to thank all of you for all of your comments and input into the process thus far.

We do have a proposal to approve the display of our first preliminary statewide maps for the Congressional,
State, Senatorial Assembly, and the State Board of
Equalization Districts. We do know that we will come
back and continue to refine based on information that’s
been received, but at this point, we are going to go to a
roll call.

Commissioner Andersen?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: The way it's written, could
we also say State Assembly, please?

CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Beautiful.

Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. And it's
not that I feel like engaging very deeply right now, and
I appreciate everyone's patience as I've taken a bit of a
personal health day -- but the community has had the
chance to comment. I feel like the Commissioners also
deserve the chance to comment before the vote. Thank
you.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Kennedy?

Oh, that's it. Commissioners?

Commissioner Ahmad? I'm sorry.

I didn't see Commissioner Sadhwani first. Are you
good?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you. Thank you, Chair.
I have about eighteen minutes for these comments, so hopefully, they're lightning round. I am so honored to work alongside and learn alongside all of you. Although these are not our final maps, these are draft maps, I look forward to continuing to making them better to represent the people of California. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Commissioner Ahmad.

Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you so much. I wanted to echo Commissioner Ahmad's comments and definitely lightning round before you have to make a trip home, but I wanted to thank you, Chair Turner, for your leadership and guidance through this process. I think we achieved a lot and we still have a whole lot of work in front of us. I have a laundry list of areas that I think are going to need a whole lot of refinement. I know we didn't touch much of L.A. County in our Assembly and Congressional districts, and I think we'll be taking a fine-tooth comb through that area in the future. And I very much look forward to hearing from the communities on the ground about how we can continue to bring improvements to these maps as we head towards our final. Thank you so much.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: While these maps are not perfect by any means, as Commissioner Sadhwani
referenced, they do meet the compliance requirements for all the legal requirements that we've reviewed. We've taken into consideration the tens of thousands of community input that we've received, and at this point I would urge my fellow Commissioners to support these draft maps. It'll give the public the most amount of time to comment over the next fourteen days prior to the holiday. So really, by approving these maps moving forward, it gives us fourteen days to get community input from communities before we hit the holidays, and I think that's really critical, to me, at least. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sinay and Commissioner Fernandez. Commissioner Vazquez, Commissioner Yee --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you so much, Chair, and fellow Commissioners. I am a civic engagement junkie, and I'm kind of in awe by this whole process. I always say that it's an honor to serve the State of California, alongside the fourteen most diverse group of people I've ever worked with, and feel so honored to work with. But I'm also in awe by the public and just the diversity of people who have called in and just -- being someone who had immigrant parent -- someone who's an immigrant and to call our grandparents was a big deal. Just being able to listen to people calling from all over California -- all
parts of California, and submitting public comments is just really inspiring. We're up to almost 15,000 comments, and that's not including -- we're still missing a lot of them in our database, but that is really impressive. So thank you, fellow Commissioners, as well as the State of California.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. We are lightening round. Thank you, California, for all of your feedback. We are continuing to refine these maps.

At the beginning of the week, I wasn't sure if I could -- I was comfortable with them, but we've done a great job to get us this far. Again, they are draft maps. We are wanting your feedback. And just remember, please tell us what you don't want in and what you do want in. There is a number that we're looking for in terms of equal population, so please keep that in mind when you provide your feedback. If you don't want something in your district, we have to see what we have to then take out and bring in.

And then I just wanted one -- again, thank the Californians. Looking forward to more comments. Thank you, my fellow Commissioners, staff, our awesome line drawers, and our VRA experts. So thank you, all, and please remember we do have competing communities of interest, and we're listening. Thank you.
COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: I'll keep it short and sweet. Thank you to our Chair for encouraging us to put our best foot forward for these draft maps. There were long nights, and I couldn't hang with some of you all late into the night, but it's because I trusted you all and I trusted California to get this iteration of draft maps in a place where we can get real meaningful feedback. So thank you again, and look forward to approving these draft maps, and your feedback.

COMMISSIONER YEE: I want to thank the Commission for deciding to approve the release of these maps five days before they were legally required to be completed -- these draft maps -- and to honor the time going into the holidays. And so I hope the public will make a good use of that time, and I'm glad we were able to make that early deadline that we set for ourselves. This is not the most efficient way to draw maps by far, but it's the fairest way, and I really admire what we've been able to do together and appreciate the trust of California to -- forty million Californians to let us do this on their behalf, and I hope our work will serve you well.

MR. Pane: Vice Chair Taylor, if you could continue, that would be helpful. Thank you.

VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you. You guys know me. I like to be short and concise. So again, thank you,
Californians, thank you, Commissioners. It's nice being a witness in the room to the process. I think that's a blessing and a commitment that I'm extremely proud of. The maps were drawn with all of Californians in mind. I think we're trying to make room for the most engagement and opportunity, so I appreciate if the public would keep the input coming, and I think this will be a great springboard to some good final maps. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: All right. First off, I just want to acknowledge that, yes, this process as has been said by some of my fellow Commissioners, it's messy; it is very slow, but it do believe that it is a process that has enabled as many people who seek to be engaged in this process to be engaged. And I just want to say, we hope that we will hear from even more in the coming weeks.

I want to also acknowledge the hard work that has been done by the staff, the line drawers, our counsel and the Commissioners and while I believe that our maps are not yet perfect, I believe that we have a lot more work to it, and we've heard that from some of the comments that we heard just tonight. But we are working to ensure that we are going to come to a place -- when we turn in these final maps -- that will best reflect everybody. I just want to encourage everybody who's listening, and for
those of you who are also encouraging others to call
in -- to please ask them to send in their comments on the
maps -- but I also ask you to be helpful. Tell us what
you like. If you don't like something, tell us why.
What would you change? What would you swap? Because
there is requirements that we have to meet. Specifically
and first off, the numbers. An Assembly district has
nearly 500,000 people in it. A Senate district has
nearly a million people -- 988,086, to be exact.
Congressional, 760,066. What are the hard choices that
you would make? And then also think about what those
ripple effects would be to the other parts of California?
That's what we're grappling with, but you help us get the
nuance by telling us what you think would work. So to
the forty million Californians that have entrusted us, we
are each one voice, but we do this work on your behalf.
So just want to say thank you for the privilege.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Commissioner Ahmad has a
plane to catch, so I'll keep it short. Ditto to
everything that's been said. Thanks to the Chair, and we
just remind the public that we have public input
meetings, give you a little time to review the maps --
seventeenth, eighteenth, nineteenth, and twentieth, so we
look forward to hearing your feedback then. Thank you.

VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Absolutely. Commissioner Le
Mons?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Good evening, everyone. I just want to echo, I know our fellow Commissioner has to be on the road, so I wanted to say thank you to everyone. And I'm very proud to have been a part of this process with my fellow Commissioners. This has been quite a journey in the heart of a pandemic, and I think we should all feel very good. Despite the fact that everything isn't finished yet, we're well on our way. And I think we've given the public the optimal amount of time to really help us do this final refinement, and we look forward to doing that. And thank you to the Chair and the Vice Chair for shepherding this portion of the experience.

VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you. And with that, we have a motion that's been properly moved and seconded. We've had word from the public and from the Commissioners, so we now will move to a vote. And my understanding is that it is a majority vote, no special majority.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair. I'll begin. Commissioner Toledo?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Isra. Do Isra.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Thank you. All right. Commissioner Toledo?
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Turner?
Commissioner Vazquez?
COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yes.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Yee?
COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes.
CHAIR TURNER: I'm sorry. Yes. I couldn't get off of mute. Yes.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Commissioner Turner. Commissioner Yee, once again?
COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Akutagawa?
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Andersen?
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Fernandez?
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Si. Yes.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Fornaciari?
COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Kennedy?
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Si. Yes.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Sadhwani?
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Sinay?
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Taylor?
VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Since I stand in the path of unanimous, yes.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Thank you. The motion has passed.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible) his microphone on.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We should have those little cups.
VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: All right, ladies and gentlemen, Commissioners. So I believe that is all of our business for this evening. I feel somewhat cheap in that I feel Trena should have been able to usher in that final vote, but she did an outstanding job. We appreciate her kindness and understanding in the room she gave us all to
debate and go over that very difficult process. We all can take a deep breath. There'll be no -- more heavy lifting is coming. So let's enjoy our evening, let's enjoy our success. Let's take the respite, and let's adjourn this --

CHAIR TURNER: I have one thing, Commissioner --

VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: -- meeting. Excuse me --

CHAIR TURNER: I'm sorry. I just have --

VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Go ahead, Trena --

CHAIR TURNER: -- one quick thing --

VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: -- Commissioner.

CHAIR TURNER: -- before we -- so we are currently agendized for a meeting on the 13th and the 15th, and my fellow Commissioners, and if Vice Chair you'd agree, I'd like to recommend that we just take our 15th meeting. I don't think we have any business that can't wait until Monday, the 15th, as opposed to the 13th. But we do need to determine that, and then just as -- I'll see if I can get off and go back on mute -- as a reminder to all of us that's utilized the facility, to ensure from a housekeeping perspective, that all items are cleaned up and put away and taken away appropriately. I've been asked to announce for us, and that's all I have. Thank you.

VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: So then, if I'm hearing
correctly, we are not going to meet again until the 17th?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: The 15th.

COMMISSIONER YEE: The 15th.

VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: The 15th. Okay, so we will meet again on the 15th for a regular business meeting?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Yes, Chair.

VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: All right. Given that we're all in agreement? All right. So then, we --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Mr. Chair, can you confirm that you will be chairing that meeting on the 15th?

VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Let me see what day that is. Monday? What time would that meeting commence?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: 9:30.

COMMISSIONER YEE: 9:30 to 4:30.

VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: So I would need one more day before I could take over as Chair.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: We'll figure it out.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Okay. We'll work it out, then.

VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: But we can figure it out?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes.

VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Any other business pending? So we get to take a deep breath for a couple days longer, and again, congratulations, Commission, and this meeting is adjourned at 7:17. Thank you.

(Recessed at 7:18 p.m.)
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