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Sunday, December 19, 2021          11:01 a.m.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Good morning, everyone, and welcome to our full continuing live drawing business meeting. Today's Sunday, December 19th. And before we get started, is Ravi going to take roll today or is it Alvaro?

MR. HERNANDEZ: I'll be taking roll, Chair.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Alvaro.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Okay. Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Here.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Here.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Le Mons?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Here.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Here.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Commission Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Here.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Taylor? Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Presente.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Here.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Vazquez?
COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Here.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Here somewhere.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Okay. Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Here.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Here.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Andersen?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Here.

MR. HERNANDEZ: And Commissioner Fernandez?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Presente.

MR. HERNANDEZ: We have a forum --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Although I have to admit that Commissioner Toledo said it with much more force and vigor. I'm a little jealous right now but that's okay.

So welcome back. We are continuing on our journey. We had a super Saturday yesterday. I have to think of something for Sunday. I haven't thought that far ahead yet. So I just want to give you a little road map of where -- what order we're going to go in today. We do have the (indiscernible) posted, and I believe we do not have anything for -- on the business side of it, so we'll be able to go straight into the line drawing.

And although, the (indiscernible) notes that we will be going to Assembly, I am actually -- we're actually
going to change that and go straight to Board of 
Equalization. We do have some iterations that have been 
posted. So we'll do that first. Then, we will continue 
on with the Assembly. And we will go through the list 
that we put together yesterday in terms of priorities, 
and we hope to be done by -- before our lunch break -- or 
our meal break. And then, after our meal, we'll come 
back and we will start. We will create a priority list 
for Congressional, and we will finish Congressional 
tonight.

So with that, although it notes that we will start 
public comment at 6:30, it all depends on when we get 
done with Congressional. We will get done with 
Congressional today. We'll get done with Board of 
Equalization, Assembly, and Congressional.

Are there any announcements by the fellow 
commissioners or staff at this point?

Okay, doesn't look like it. So we will go straight 
into Board of Equalization --

Oh, Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you, Chair. I did 
have one item that I really did want to go back to on the 
Senate, and I know that's not but I would like to address 
that. That San Leandro/Ashland group. Also, putting 
Gilroy back into MIDCOAST.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: On the Senate, that has been finalized. That requires a whole day of deferrals and numbering and everything else that is needed.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I know this was an item that was -- could not be addressed given the time frame, and then there were hard -- I could not come up with an option that would really work. I did. And it would put 130 people at the -- in franchise who have not been. It would additionally give us Gilroy into our MIDCOAST district, which is something we were trying to do.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Again, we're -- we finalized our Senate maps already. Unless there's other commissioners that -- I'd have -- at this point we cannot go back.

Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Chair. I just wanted to ask Commissioner Andersen what that change was, because I thought we did try to explore Gilroy back into MIDCOAST and we weren't able to get the population deviations in the acceptable range.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: It would entail putting that into the MIDCOAST, taking parts of the Rio Grande, about 30,000 people -- 20- to 30,000 people from MIDCOAST into the Santa Barbara one. Taking about that same number of Camarillo. It would split Camarillo, put that into the LA one. That would still keep them all within five
percent. The one in the Ventura/Santa Barbara area wouldn't change much. MIDCOAST would be just below five percent. It would entail -- and then Gilroy would fit.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: I thought --

Commissioner Yee, please remind me, but I thought in our extra credit portion of our project we did try that and we ran --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I thought that was in the Senate -- in the Congressional, not the Senate.


COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Commissioner Turner?

And again, there was general consensus yesterday, and you know, at this point we can keep looking, and there's going to be pieces that we might -- I think it's like whenever I would write a report. I would turn it in, and then after I see it, oh, I could have done that or that, but at the end of the day, there's general consensus and we agreed to close it out.

Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you, Chair. Just a quick question in regards to that. With what's being proposed, does it require a change of numbering again, or is it just moving population within numbered districts?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I'm not sure what the change would
require, but I do know that in terms on the back end of this, this is going to take a whole day to put together all of the files and everything that's needed in order to bring this forward for tomorrow. I could ask Karin but I do know we -- it's a day.

Commissioner Vazquez?

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yeah, I was just going to explain -- I can't explain the concept of deferral very well, but there are sort of really important sort of workings with numbering that come into play. And so in addition with the files piece, yes. If we elect to incorporate this change, I do think we risk not meeting our deadline tomorrow.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: It would look -- would require looking at the shift in population, these -- the population that does get shifted, to make sure where they voted last.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: That's --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And I understand --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN -- as to the referral --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- that, and I understand that, again, we'll -- there was general consensus. And at this point --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: It was rushed consensus.
And if you want to do that, Chair, that's fine.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. We're going to move forward, thank you.

Okay. Any other questions before we -- okay. Let's move on to Board of Equalization. I'm not sure who our line drawer is. Is it Jaime, is it Tamina, is it Kennedy, is it Sivan? I'm just -- I just like to name them all.

MS. WILSON: Yes. Hi. Hi, Chair. It is Kennedy, and I will be here with you today looking at Board of Equalization and the iteration that Commissioner Vazquez and Commissioner Fornaciari worked with me on last night.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So great. We're excited to see what they came up with. Thank you.

Are you going to lead us through that, Kennedy?

MS. WILSON: I can, or the commissioners can.

Whatever they feel. Whatever they want, I will follow.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioners Vazquez and Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Maybe, Kennedy, if you could do the tour, and then I can provide sort of like a high-level description of sort of how we approached getting here.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Great.

MS. WILSON: Okay. That sounds great.
So we are going to start -- well, A is down in San Diego Counties, Orange County, Riverside. So let's start here. We -- and I can put on what the draft was before that. So in our A area, it was following, you know, ten Senate districts in this area, and it went here. And so we went -- they went and made Riverside County whole. However, when doing that, the deviation was still far too under. So we moved in Ontario, Chino, Chino Hills, and Montclair into this Riverside, Orange, San Diego and Imperial County Board of Equalization District.

Then, they went and made Los Angeles County whole. And so I can, again, remind you of what it looked like in the draft. So there were parts of Ventura County in here, some of LA county going north, and some parts of Riverside -- or San Bernardino County coming into here as well.

And so now moving on to C, you have the coast. You have the whole -- the entirety of Ventura County, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Monterey, San Benito, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, Santa Mateo, going north up to Del Norte.

And previously in the draft of yesterday, following the districts Yolo and Solano were in this C region but they were moved into D on the more eastern side to balance population, because when we took out parts of
Ventura County -- put back in parts of Ventura County to LA and make those changes, this was a bit underpopulated. Our D was underpopulated when we took stuff from there. And so we moved those in.

And then we have D. Siskiyou, Modoc, going down. And we went and made Stanislaus and Alameda. Previously -- oh, this line doesn't reflect that -- but previously, we had parts of Alameda going in the eastern side. So now of Alameda's on the C side of this.

And then, moving down, we have San Bernardino, which, again, parts of San Bernardino before were -- and Riverside were together, but making that county line whole, San Bernardino is now going north, and we just took those cities that I mentioned before.

So that is a grand tour and I will pass it over to you, Commissioner Vazquez.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Thank, Kennedy.

So we thought that Commissioner Andersen made great points sort of about the necessity of keeping counties whole, to the extent possible, in relation to Board of Equalization. So we sort of -- as I was saying yesterday when we took this on, I think Commissioner Toledo started off with a great foundation in terms of an overall foundational architecture for this Board of Equalization map. And so we, then, just went -- we started in the
south and went north to try to make counties whole to the extent possible. We weren't able to do that. So the only county split is in that area that Kennedy showed in San Bernardino County. So a portion of -- so San Bernardino County is currently split across two Board of Equalization districts.

I imagine it was getting a little late. There may be a complicated way to shift population south, but because, again, this district is bordered to the south by Mexico and to the east by Nevada, it -- yeah, it's going to take a bit of shifting, because you need to pull population from the north, and if we're still trying to keep other counties whole.

So I have no pride of authorship in this. If folks have a better strategy to tackle, more than willing to hear what that is. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Great, thank you.

Thank you, Commissioners Fornaciari and Vazquez, and also Kennedy, for bringing this forward.

Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Bravo, I think it accomplished what we wanted it to, and so I just want to say I appreciate the effort. I appreciate the outcome, and I'm satisfied with it. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.
Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah, thank you. I also appreciate the hard work on this. And I'm supportive of it. Yeah, I think -- it would have been nice to put all of the counties in each district, unfortunately, it doesn't seem like it's possible, given the population and deviation requirements. But thank you. Appreciate it. I think this is great work.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Agreed with everything that's been said. I think this is looking awesome. And I'll just -- I just wanted a lift. Earlier on in this process we had heard, actually, from Chino and Chino Hills about wanting to be kept together. And I don't think we've done that in any of our other maps. So I think we did it here, and I think that this looks like a really great solution. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I just want to make sure that Commissioner Vazquez gets credit for identifying the Sacramento County tail was split off, and ensuring that Sacramento County was kept whole.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: That one was for you, Chair.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I would have never heard the end of that tail. Thank you for catching that.

Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. Yes, in general, I like this architecture much, much better. I think this is something that I could certainly support.

I do have one small suggestion as far as San Bernardino County. And that would be to move Upland and Rancho Cucamonga into A. They are bordering Ontario, and it would better balance the population. I think, you know, that also corresponds to some of the splits that we've seen at other levels, is having them with Ontario rather than Fontana, so.

You know, if I had my wish, you know, we could go all the way to San Bernardino and Redlands and cut it off at the bottom of the hill, but population won't allow for that. But I think moving Upland and Rancho Cucamonga into A could make sense. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. And that was to balance the districts?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Moving Upland and Rancho Cucamonga, that would be about 250,000, 260,000 people. So D would be a little bit under, but A would be closer to zero.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Commissioner Ahmad?
COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Chair. I am in support of this map visualization, generally speaking. Thanks.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you, Chair. Could we go up and see through the -- I guess, the -- C, and particularly the -- around the Bay area there. Just kind of how -- it was the old -- the big U. So could we have a look kind of at the areas of what was in C? right.

Great. And can you go up to the top, then, as well, please. Wonderful. Thank you.

Yes, I like the map. Good job.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Great, thank you.

Commissioner Vazquez.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: I support Commissioner Kennedy's suggestion to put in Upland and Rancho into A. I think -- especially since we have to make a county split. I think better balancing the populations between A and D feels more toward the goal of equity between the two districts and regions. So yeah, I support that change.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: What about -- is that San Antonio Heights? I can't see what that is right next to it. Right next --
COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yes, that is San Antonio Heights.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Should that go as well? Yes? I mean, it's -- you kind of leave it hanging.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yeah. I'm a little -- I think it's fine. It looks like there's just a sliver left -- that would be left in LA County, so San Antonio Heights would be split as a city. I'm okay. It's probably more associated, I would say, with San Bernardino and the Inland Empire, so I'm comfortable. But maybe if Commissioner Kennedy has a thought.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: It -- okay. So that part up at the top is actually Clairemont. That's -- so San Antonio Heights is fully -- if San Antonio Heights is fully in San Bernardino County, then I would say -- I would go ahead with it. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: It appears to be. And that would --

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: That would almost put them even. Do we have -- oh Commissioner Vazquez?

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Oh, no, I was -- I was ready to say lock it in.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. I'm glad someone else is
going to say it today.

Are there any concerns with this move? Okay.

Commissioner Vazquez, you can say it.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Lock it in. Let's lock it in.

MS. WILSON: Locking it in.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. So we've got the tour of California with the Board of Equalization. I just want to kind of go back. So for those of us that may not have been here yesterday, we initially started with our draft of Board of Equalization by nesting ten of our Senate districts into each district. And of course our Senate districts are populated with all of our communities of interest and some Voting Rights Act. Okay.

And then, from there, Commissioner Vaz -- we had a great conversation regarding the Board of Equalization maps that we had. And from there, Commissioners Vazquez and Fornaciari went and worked with Kennedy on this iteration. Again, trying to keep communities of interest together, which is a higher criteria than nesting.

So thank you all for your efforts and your extra time in this iteration and for the conversation today. And since I don't see any other hands, we are locking this in. So -- as Commissioner Vazquez said.

So Karin, can you please number it and please ensure
that it's posted onto our website. Thank you.

Thank you, everyone. So now we're moving back to finalizing the Assembly maps. And we started yesterday. We actually made pretty good progress but we actually have a quite bit left to do. And I'm just going to do a quick little run of what we have. We've got Carson we're going to look at. We're going to look at Maywood. We're going to look at Pinon Hills, Century City -- let's see -- Florence-Graham and West Park. We're going to look at UC Riverside, Kensington, I believe, Highlands, and Cupertino -- oh Cupertino, and -- oh, actually, if we can -- if we can first go to San Francisco to the Chinatown. We had started this conversation yesterday. It had to do with Chinatown.

Oh I'm sorry, Karin, was there --

MS. MACDONALD: Yes.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- a different you want to go in?

MS. MACDONALD: No, no, that's fine. I -- we just need a minute to just switch computers around. So just one second --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh that's fine.

MS. MACDONALD: -- please.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: That's fine.

MS. MACDONALD: Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Um-hum. Commissioner Sadhwani?
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, Chair. Is it possible that we could also go back and take one final look at San Fernando Valley?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes, let me -- hold on, let me -- San Fernando Valley. I think it's on -- okay. Yes, of course we can. We're going to be in that area.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Great. Thank you very much.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So we're going to go. So Commissioner Akutagawa, we're going to go back to San Francisco because we did get some information from the LGBTQ community for the Chinatown. I believe they provided us additional information. So we -- I am stalling right now because we need some time for the computer to be up, which is okay. So with that, I'm hoping that commissioners will be ready to discuss Congressional this afternoon. So I'm just making sure we've got our lists, and we'll check it twice, and we'll see how it goes.

Let's -- oh we've got some hands. Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. I do have some information on the -- that area of west of Twin Peaks for San Francisco. But one other item for the Assembly list is Alpine County, please.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Let's try not to add too
much to the list.

Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I think we mentioned this before, and I think it's on the list, but I just wanted to make sure. Central Coast, just the San Benito area, we have minor refinements. Just minor, tiny in the Assembly.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: San Ben -- you said San Benito?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah, the San Benito/Monterey area.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And did we still have the Bell Canyon -- sorry, I just saw that -- Bell Canyon? That was -- I can't remember what that was. Did we do that one already?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: That was the San Susana (indiscernible), but then we got testimony last night, a caller said we went the wrong way.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So we're done with that one.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: We might be able to look it --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- San Fernando Valley.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh true. Remind me.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. I already got Commissioner
Toledo. It's done. There we go.

Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I just wanted to ask -- I think you might have it on your list still, and it was about -- do you have Pinon -- okay. Pinon Hills is still on there. It was about the business district in San Jose Calle Willow in Assembly District ALUMROCK. There was some testimony that said the business district -- a Latino business district was split, and so it seems like a small -- I guess maybe we could look at it and see. It would probably --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: We'll be in the area.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: How's that?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Also, just to note, there were -- I don't know how you all feel about it. There was just some testimony about Redlands and Highlands and being split three times. And those may be things that we can't do much about, but I thought I'd just lift that part up.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you for lifting that up. We're going to go to -- Tamina's going to share her map. Because, Tamina, we don't want them to laugh at you
again for not sharing your map with you. Not cool. Not cool at all.

Okay. So Tamina, I believe you hopefully took a snapshot of what we were working on yesterday, and I believe we might have received additional information on the boundaries.

Am I correct, Commissioner Akutagawa? Or is it the same boundaries that we had?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: For San Francisco?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. Yes.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: We -- we got -- for the Chinatown boundaries are the same, but for the swap, there was some new areas that were suggested in lieu of the original request. So that was what we'll be looking at, I think.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. So that's your recommendation is we're going to look at the new boundaries?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Great. So here we go. She's going to catch up with us in a second.

Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Just reminder that when we're
looking at Maywood and that area, we wanted to look at
Walnut Park as well.

    COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: It's all part of the same
swap.

    COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yeah, thanks.

    CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. The space I gave
myself on this paper was not enough, so thank you for
that reminder.

    Yes, it was on the board, thank you.

    Commissioner Andersen.

    COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Now, this was as
of -- you know, I didn't go in and look at all --
everything that's on the input this morning, but I do
have that area that -- the Chinatown area, we agreed
with, it was what had been asked for at the other side,
and it was my understanding at the last, is that please,
add the area west of Twin Peaks to AD-17. And I do have
that area. But there was also -- I believe it was remove
Outer Mission. And I'm -- I was still --

    CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Fornaciari, did you
have a comment as well?

    COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I was just wondering if we
were going to move that little piece of Alameda into
Alameda.

    CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Per Commissioner Yee, it's been
moved. Is it on the map? He's saying no. We will look.
He did just get in from the train, so there might be a
gap right there, just saying.
Okay. Commissioner Akutagawa, did you also --
so both Commissioner Andersen and Akutagawa, I think
you both were working on this, but Commissioner
Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Oh, actually, I was just
going to mention I forgot one more thing. I notice that
there was a comment --
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Did you see my look, like --
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: You know, I did, I did, but
I have -- I feel like I have to, since we talked so much
about it, but Oak Park in Sacramento seems to be split.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. And I'm trying to think. I
believe it's -- we're at, like, 4.9 per -- deviation
right now, so.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Okay, thank you.
Okay.

MS. RAMOS ALON: So Chair, this is where we left off
if we were going to balance. Would you like me to accept
this change so we can work on the other side?
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. So this was the correct
boundary for Chinatown, correct?
Yes. Okay. So yes. Thank you, Tamina.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: It's actually North Beach with Chinatown.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yeah, those were the boundaries that were given to us. That --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: That --

MS. RAMOS ALON: -- that right triangle, I think, is the proper term for the triangle.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And I believe Commissioner Taylor said it was an acute triangle. Yeah.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. And then, to repopulate West SF, I'm sorry, where -- which neighborhoods were looking at?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And just hold on. Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Just -- are we going to do a swap out of East SF now? Okay. Because I saw the deviation was --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: -- under five percent and I was, like --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. That's --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: -- we can't leave --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah. That was in --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Okay.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- the information --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- that we got on --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Sorry.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- received on the boundaries.

That's okay.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: The portion --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Do you want to provide direction?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, the portion coming out, I know on this side, did not look up as closely. It said the rest of Outer Mission. So I'm assuming that if we do that area to the south, is that -- Commissioner Yee's there -- but let's see what Commissioner Yee has to say about this one.

Was that what your understanding is too? That that --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes, Commissioner Andersen.

The input that we got was to move the Outer Mission because it has a smaller LGBTQ community, and they also did make a request to try to move Twin Peaks into East San Francisco, but I don't know if that's --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: No, no, it was -- that was the part they said, no, leave that, but add west of Twin Peaks in. And my -- I'm not sure. I think that area that's -- looking there west of Twin Peaks might be
larger than it is. So let me check, please.

MS. AKUTAGAWA: Let's just start with Outer Mission because I think that was the swap.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. And remember --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- we --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: We're taking it out.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Hold on. I don't believe --

Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: That was the change proposed by those proposing this change, but I believe that Outer Mission was included specifically in the regional split of San Francisco because of Latino populations on the east side, you know, to include the traditional Mission and now the Outer Mission.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Just to -- we had looked at this in several different ways and got it wrong several different times, and the COI -- the COI testimony we got was that Mission, Excelsior, Visitacion Valley, Bayview all wanted to stay together, and that does include the Outer Mission. And so I would not support this change.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So right now we are slightly off balance by 5.03 percent. In terms of -- do we have any recommendations in terms of where we could get that?
Commissioner Andersen? And with the recommendation.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I -- in terms of our looking at this -- could we possibly see all the different heat maps because they were talking about communities of interest that were culturally based but they weren't talking about, you know, the -- I think in looking at the different heat maps might help us in this area in terms of identifying where those areas are, because there's a lot of mixed diversity all throughout San Francisco.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So I think the what's critical here is to hear the COI testimony and what the -- what individuals are asking for. I -- if we need to -- we need to take from the right and go to -- well, can we not split Russian Hill? Is that an opportunity as well, or is that the line you guys just made? We just made, sorry. I'm just -- yeah, that's the one -- I'm just trying to think of another area. The south part of San Francisco, southeast part of San Francisco, has always been kind of the more working class, the more -- the community that doesn't always get as much attention. And so that's why they are -- have really specifically asked to be kept together. And so I would probably look more
towards the north.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. And again, a reminder, we are -- I was hoping this would be a quick move. Is there a different area -- again, there's a -- we have to get a .05 percent population, and if not, we will revert to our current map.

Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I was just going to suggest perhaps Tamina or Commissioner Yee might have suggestions, given that they've been working so closely with this in this area. Or Commissioner Akutagawa, of course.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Chair, could we zoom in a little bit closer so we might be able to see it a bit better?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I was just going to suggest that perhaps while -- maybe one solution is not to take the entire triangle. There was a certain section where they said that there was a particular concentration. I do also want to just say that the Chinatown community in San Francisco is very, very low income and very, very working class too. So --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Um-hum.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- you know, we're not
trying to, you know, pit one working class community or one seemingly affluent community against a working class community. So I do want to just say that too.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes, thank you for that. And you're absolutely right.

Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah, I was just noticing the Crocker-Amazon neighborhood at the very bottom of San Francisco. Conceivably that could go to San Mateo. I was just checking the COI testimony. I didn't see anything in particular from that neighborhood, but I mean, the westside also has, you know -- comes over into San Mateo. So that would be another piece that could go down perhaps.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Do we have any testimony on that part? You said you didn't -- Commissioner Yee, you said there wasn't any?

COMMISSIONER YEE: I didn't see any just looking just now.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Did you say Crocker-Amazon, is that the name of it? It's hard to see on the map.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. Can you zoom in just a little bit, Tamina, please. Thank you so much. That's great.
And that would bring us in -- within our deviations.
Is there anyone that --
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Could we see --
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- is not --
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- please see the heat maps --
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- supportive of this --
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- on that first before we say yes or no? Thank you.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Again, this is not a VRA district.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: It's just -- it's culturally based. It's -- there are different groups who have -- they shop together.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: You know, they're -- that's what --
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I think that's entirely San Francisco.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, well their --
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: That's why I love it -- I love the city.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: The freeways go through here, so that's why --
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Yee --
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- I'm asking.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you.

Commissioner Yee, did you have another?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah, just looking at the street map itself, I mean, the streets in Crocker-Amazon flow right into -- right over the city border there. So I think that's --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So it appears to be a good --

COMMISSIONER YEE: A good fit, yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Are there any concerns with making this change? Okay, let's lock it in. Thank you.

Okay. And we are moving to -- are we moving to Alameda, is that where we're moving to? And Alameda, that was -- was that Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, just that little tip thing. We were going to put that -- can we -- oh it is over on that side of it. Okay. Sorry, my mistake.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I believe that's what Commissioner Yee said. Just -- you know. The next --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: It was pink, it threw me off.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Toledo, should we go to Cupertino -- no, should we do Alum Rock first or Cupertino?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Whichever you want. Both are
work. Your choice, Chair.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Tamina, which one's easier for you to go to?

Oh wait, Commissioner Andersen?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Just on that -- that Alameda, did we do, please, do that same thing in all of the maps? I believe that was asked for, and I'd like -- I'm hearing a nod -- I'm seeing a nod --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- from Tamina. Thank you very much.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. Yes. Thank you. And thank you for that reminder, Commissioner Andersen.

Okay. And Tamina, where are we moving to?

MS. RAMOS ALON: I'm happy to go Alum Rock. I also would --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. RAMOS ALON: like to add Santa Rosa if that is not already on your list. Wanted to just clean up a block.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. All right. Thank you for that reminder.

Was this yours, Commissioner Toledo? Alum Rock?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah, it was --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- a couple of us. And I believe it's just cleaning up, as Tamina said, a block. MS. RAMOS ALON: I'm sorry, what was the direction for this one?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Oh for Alum Rock, I don't have anything for Alum Rock. Mine was in Cupertino -- or rather in the San Benito area. It's the --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- the --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I had the business district --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I believe the --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Was that Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: That was Commissioner Akutagawa --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Sorry. I forgot --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- in this area.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- to put those initials.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, I just noted that -- And Tamina, I'm not -- I mean, the -- the testimony didn't speak to a specific street. They just mentioned an area called Calle Willow, C-A-L-L-E Willow. And they mentioned that it's a Latino business district. That if possible, it's being split, and if it's possible. But I don't know the area well enough to say where exactly that is located.
MS. RAMOS ALON: I'm sorry, I'm not familiar with the area of Calle Willow.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Why don't -- Commissioner Akutagawa, could you look it up while we go to Santa Rosa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I will Google it.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

Can we go to Santa Rosa, Tamina? Is that -- that's what you said, we had a one block cleanup, right? Okay. Thank you. And Santa Rosa, was that Commissioner Toledo?

Or was this something that you found, Tamina?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So we've been getting some requests from the community to shift a neighborhood. Or -- yes, a neighborhood. And this was with regards to Santa Rosa Memorial. The input has come through Voters FIRST, and I believe Tamina has made the change --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- and we reviewed it, I believe, yesterday, but we just need to approve it.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes. So this was a change that was approved, and there was just an area that was left out. So you are currently looking at what it should be. I'm just asking for Commission approval so we can move on with it.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Do we have any concerns?
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: There's a little tiny corner in the side.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Looks good. Lock it in. Thank you. Thank you for bringing that back. And so now we are moving to Cupertino to allow extra time for Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: And those changes in the San Benito-Salinas area that we're looking at, potentially making -- and Tamina has been -- we've received community input as well to try to incorporate a little bit more of the Salinas Valley. It's a pretty rural area -- not a lot of people, and you can see the highlighted area here. So bringing down slightly the -- this is a VRA district in the Assembly. So bringing a little bit -- capturing a little bit more of the Salinas Valley, Monterey area. And this is coming from the Salinas area, Monterey County advocates that are coming -- that have written to us. It makes sense to me to incorporate this area since it's not highly populated, has large numbers of agricultural sectors. And really, the comment is that we didn't capture all of the little farming communities on the west side of the divide. So not a lot of population, not an impact to the CVAP. It brings in a little bit more of Monterey, and we've actually -- it aligns better with our Congressional map as well. So it flows pretty well.
Tamina, I don't know if you have any other things to say, because the comments were -- so that's the area.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And you mentioned that it does not lower the CVAP?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Tamina, can you speak to that?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Sure. So the CVAP currently -- the Latino CVAP is 55.32 percent. And this actually -- the change actually brings it up to 55.34 percent. So we are bringing it up by 0.02 percent. This does result in a slight underpopulation of MONTCOA which I -- which we looked at, and would suggest remedying by -- moving south over here.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Tiny change, too.

MS. RAMOS ALON: By this tiny change of reuniting Oceano with its five-city COI.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: And that is a COI that was requested, so I wanted to reunite that as well. So it accomplishes two goals: bringing more of Monterey, uniting this COI, and increasing the CVAP, although very slightly, but it doesn't lower it. The goal was not to lower.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. That is always the goal.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: And I tried to keep it to five minutes, Chair.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Ahmad?

VICE CHAIR AHMAD: Thank you. My question was actually answered regarding the CVAP, given this is a VRA area, but I am in support of these changes. Thanks.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: All right. Thank you.

Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Definitely in support of the area. Question for you before we lose Tamina as well. Chair was on your list -- did anyone bring up Edentech that kept coming up last night?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Edentech?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: No. No, that's not on our list. We have an extensive list right now.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: We received a lot of public comment about Edentech last night in just in unincorporated areas. So if we can add that to the list to quickly take a look at to be responsive to. It sounds to me like something unintentional, so I just want to check on it.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you. And I think that's in the Congressional, so we should definitely add it to the Congressional list for the --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, okay. Congressional?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- San Jose area. Yeah.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Commissioner Turner, can you remind me for Congressional to put it on there?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Oh, yes.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Andersen and Yee.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: The Edentech is the Senate, which I brought up earlier. We have received a lot of testimony about that. It was sixty yesterday. I don't know how many more it is today. We heard about it last night.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. Okay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Can we lock this in, Chair?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, yes. Do we have any concerns with this? Okay. Let's lock it in. Thank you so much. Commissioner Akutagawa, are you ready for us?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I found it.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. We're going back.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: And --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Good timing, good timing.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: The official name is called Willow Street, but the Latino business district calls it calle, as in street, and Willow. So it is -- Tamina, it is near the corner of where the 280 and the 87 meet, and what they're requesting to have happen is the -- I guess the border between AD_ALUMROC and ADGATOS or GATOSCR or
something like that is the dividing line. But it cuts
basically that Latino business district down. They're
asking to be put into the ALUMROC District. So what it
looks like is if we just move it down one block, down to,
it looks like, Keyes Street, that border, then that will
encompass the entirety of that Latino business district
in the ALUMROC District. But I don't know what the
impacts will be, so.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Let's take a quick look.
And then also, at some point if we could add the CVAP
numbers to our district names just so that we know if we
are getting into a VRA district. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes. Right there.
Perfect. And on the map viewer it looks like Keyes
Street cuts across just right below Willow, and it looks
like if you move that line down then that -- not the
entire line, just where the border line is. Yeah. From
that point, if you just move that one line just down to
Keyes it would then encompass the area that they're
requesting.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. Let me give it a try. Does
this encompass the whole area you were talking about, or
do you want me to go further south?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: No. Just up to the
freeway. It looks like, according to Google maps.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And that was the section?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. And we're still within deviations. Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. Just wondering if at this point, once we make this move, there's actually a reason for that protrusion. Or should we just get rid of the entire protrusion? Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I believe we have that. Because then we would be -- our deviations would probably go over negative 5. Right, Tamina? If you highlight that whole area.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes, Chair. The deviation would go over negative.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. So we will leave it for now. Actually, we'll leave it. Okay. Any concerns with this? Commissioner Akutagawa? Okay. Let's lock it in. I believe that's all we have for the north coast and Santa Cruz. We have something in Santa Cruz. All right. Let me look. Oh, yes. We have something in Santa Cruz. Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I just wanted to bring it up. I don't have a suggestion. We kind of -- Santa Cruz has been split multiple times in this map, and it is a small county. I've looked at it, and it's difficult because
there's two districts that are in negative, and then we also have a VRA district. So it's very difficult to kind of come up with any solutions for the three -- for the three splits just because of where it's located. But I wanted to put it out there to see -- I know others of us have thought about it, and if anyone had come up with something, I just didn't want to lose the opportunity to -- if we had a solution for the three-county split, or three-split.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Akutagawa. You did it, Taylor. Yeah. That's okay. I think we did it on purpose so we can keep bringing his name up. He likes his name being announced, so that's okay. And like you mentioned, the districts are in negative -- actually high negative, so if you take from one, the other one's going to be out of compliance and then it will have a bigger ripple effect. So yes. Thank you for bringing --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And then we have the VRA district, so.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. Exactly, so -- unless --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I didn't want them not to know that we have looked at this a couple of times and we just, unfortunately, couldn't make it work.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. Unless our miracle worker, Tamina, can think of something quickly, I think we are
going to have to keep moving. Oh, she's saying, no.

It's a negative. Okay. That's okay. All right. So I believe that's it for northern coast in the Bay Area and Contra Costa; right?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Chair?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, I'm sorry. Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you. Did we go back and look at the west of Twin Peaks, or did we simply finish balancing Chinatown and move on?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: We balanced Chinatown and moved on. What was with the west of Twin Peaks?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: We were looking at shifting west of Twin Peaks from WESTSF to EASTSF. That was brought up earlier.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, right. Because of the mission. That's right. We didn't do it. Because the mission was there; right? Oh, can you please go on mic, please?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: My understanding and recollection is that there was a Commissioner who opposed the swap with the outer mission, because I think it would require a swap. But if there was a potential other swap opposed that might be something that can be considered.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Sadhwani and, I
think, Commissioner Toledo, you're done? And Andersen.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I have no suggestions on how to move -- how to move San Francisco. I know we've thought about it so much and been working through it, and so I defer to my colleagues.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Same for me. I would love to be able to bring in west of Twin Peaks, but I don't know where we would swap from. When the map was further down, I was actually just going to ask if Tamina could go back and just take a look at San Jose really quickly.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Since we're in San Francisco, can I --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Andersen?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I -- oh, my -- sorry --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, oh, wait. It might be related. Hold on.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: No, no, no. My San Jose thing was -- I just wanted to take a closer look because it looks like the City of San Jose is cut four times in the Assembly, and I just wanted to note that. Is that correct?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And our Assembly districts are smaller.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: It's split five times?
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: One, two, three, four, five. You got a little piece over there. And --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: But that wasn't concerning to the City of San Jose? Oh, okay.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: If we're not on the Assembly --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Okay.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- there was no concern, apparently.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Got it. Noted. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. And we do have negatives there, so thank you so much for that. Let's go back to San Francisco really quick, because we got a lot of things on the list, people. Okay. Commissioner Andersen, and then Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: We were talking about this area, and we could now -- looking at the numbers that are -- these are current, I'm assuming. We could take portions west of Twin Peaks to balance it. We have a positive 2 and a positive 4.5. We could add parts of west of Twin Peaks into AD17 from AD19. If anyone is interested in doing that. It was trying -- it was trying to reunite the LGBTQ community.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I'm going to defer to those who know the city a little bit better. I know that
Commissioner Sinay did talk about some of the working-class communities down at that, like, the outer mission, in that area. I'm wondering, since Daly City does share a similar profile where it is more of a working-class community -- I know that we talked about this when we first started crafting the Assembly maps -- I'm wondering if that might be a revisit that we could look at to try to then bring in the west of Twin Peaks section so that the LGBTQ COI would be reunited, or united in one grouping. And also then having similar communities also grouped with Daly City as well, too, and south San Francisco. But, those who know the city better, as well as also -- I know we've gotten lots of COI testimony. I'll defer to them. But just an idea. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. And I believe, Commissioner Sinay, there was an issue with that -- a concern with that? And again, we've spent half-an-hour on this, and we've got so many other areas to go to.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So the thinking is to move Daly City to the east? Or I guess --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Daly City is already in the EASTS -- I'm sorry -- the WESTSF. I was just thinking some of those other areas that are in EASTSF, we've already moved --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right.
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- Crocker, Amazon. Would it make sense --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: That's how we had --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- to move some of --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- it set up originally, and that's when we received a lot of COI testimony asking us not to split up Bayview, Mision, Excelsior, Visitacion Valley, Outer Mission. So yeah, that's the only reason -- I remember it all because that was my initial instinct was to move it kind of that way. And we had been -- we received a lot of pushback on that.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Commissioner Andersen and Akutagawa, we have anything else? You've got your hands up.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I guess I would just say that I would support, perhaps, maybe -- I don't know if moving even a portion of it is better than none of it.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. That was my question exactly. I might ask if another Commissioner has a really good idea on this -- I could make a pretty good guess, I believe, at what portion to possibly add, but I would really like other confirmation. You want to come -- I don't know if there's anyone listening and wants to give us a suggestion for about --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So we will continue to move on.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- 15,000 people.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: If we have time, we'll come back.

And if anyone has updated information. So with that, we are moving -- I think we're moving south now? Right? Lots of things in south. And I don't know the order of this, so I'm going to rely on Jaime to guide me. Oh, Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Sorry. There's one still in the north. It's actually Kennedy. It's Alpine. It's a very small change. It is an Assembly district.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: No.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And this is based on COI testimony from Alpine itself. Alpine has -- in the winter, it has only a few ways in and out, and you have -- they are into El Dorado with Tahoe where Murphy Village, which is not a village -- there's no incorporated areas in Alpine, but they go there through 89. And then through Amador, 88 into Kirkwood. That whole area. They have all been moved into NORCA. So they will abandon the couple hundred people who can only get in and out through Calaveras on Highway 4 into that little Bear Valley area -- really pretty area by the way, it's big pines --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. So what's your recommendation?
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: The recommendation is please, add Alpine to NORCA. It's a thousand people, so it will not affect the deviations either way.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. I thought the community of interest was always to keep Inyo, Mono and Alpine together.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Alpine would like to have Inyo, Mono, Alpine -- as I've been saying all along -- with Amador, Calaveras, El Dorado -- the group. But in this case, they've been cut off from the only way that they can really get in and out of the county in the winter. So they'd like to be, in this case, added to NORCA. With Amador. Amador went up, so that's why they wanted to do this.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you, Chair. I'm wondering, will this impact the Board of Equalization?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I could not hear you. You were cutting out, Commissioner Turner. Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Will this move impact the Board of Equalization and starting to change some of that -- just wondering about that?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: No. That's a separate map.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: I know it's a separate map, but if we move Alpine -- it's just 1000 people, with the
deviations and what-have-you -- I'm asking if it will
have any impact?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, no, because that whole
section -- the whole Sierra is in the same Board of
Equalization District.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Do we have any
concerns moving -- I mean, my concern was that all along
we kept saying, Mono, Inyo, and Alpine, but if they're
asking to --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Again, this was the entire
testimony was the whole group. It wasn't just the three
up. It was with Calaveras, but in this case, they are
now -- it's okay if you cut them off from one, but you
can't cut them off from both. This time, you're cutting
them off from both. So they're requesting
specifically --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- in the Assembly, to be
please be added to NORCA.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. Thank you, Commissioner
Andersen. So appreciate your detailed knowledge of the
area. So you're saying that there's actual COI testimony
from Alpine to be moved; is that correct?
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes, there is. And I was trying to get the number of it, but most of Alpine -- I was trying to quickly look it up again -- most of Alpine's are connected to San Diego County, although they say Alpine. Because there's a City of Alpine in San Diego, and I was trying frantically to get that number this morning, and I couldn't find it this morning.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I will get that up and give it to everybody.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Ahmad?

VICE CHAIR AHMAD: Thank you, Chair. Based off of my review of the COI testimony, regarding this change, I don't think I would support this change. I think from what I've seen, Alpine should remain where it currently is. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner Andersen, if you find that, can you bring it back to us? The communities of interest?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes, I will.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And can I please ask, why on that one?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Based on the communities of interest information that we have, it appears that
Alpine --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: It says --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- is where it wants to be.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: It says, it does not want to be in the Assembly, does not want to be with NORCA.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: No. It's saying who it wants to be with. The communities of interest information. That is the -- well, that's what I've heard all along, but then, also Commissioner Ahmad -- based on the information that she has reviewed. But you're saying that you've seen different testimony, so if you can find that, that would be great. And so we'll move on, and of course, we can come back. Let me circle that one. And we are going to south. Okay. Jaime, are you here? Oh, Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'm supportive of the change. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Jaime, are you there? Or who's -- oh, I see you.

MS. CLARK: I am here. Yeah. We just weren't sure if you were ready to move on yet or not. So --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I have a huge list. So why don't you tell me which order, because you would know how to navigate better than I would. We have Carson that we want to discuss, Maywood, Pinon Hills, Century City,
Florence-Graham -- UC Riverside's probably later --
Victor Valley, Kensington, and Highlands. I hope I captured -- I believe that's everything. So what's your -- how should we run this tour?

MS. CLARK: Sure. Yeah. Thank you so much for that list. I think some of that is in Sivan's area, and happy to work through all of that together. And I would suggest maybe starting with Carson and then working north from there. So it would be Carson, then -- unless I'm forgetting already -- but Carson, and then the Maywood one, and then Century City, and then up through Victor Valley. I might be --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, and San Fernando Valley, too.

Did I say San Fernando Valley?

MS. CLARK: I don't know --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

MS. CLARK: -- but we could go there also.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. All right. Great. So let's start with Carson. Right? Or go with Carson?

Okay. And the testimony that I remember it wanted to be intact and with west Carson, but then there was other testimony that it wanted to be with San Pedro.

MS. CLARK: So Carson --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Sinay had this one as well.
MS. CLARK: So Carson right now -- so Carson is split, and moving it -- making it whole would make all of Carson both with San Pedro and with west Carson. And additionally, Long Beach is split, and that would be an equal population trade; if you remember, we had flipped an iteration that was that with each whole, and then ended up making this split. So we know in advance that it works in terms of population to make them whole.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. And can you do me a huge favor and put the CVAP number so that --

MS. CLARK: One moment, please.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- we have that. For all of the districts, not just -- yeah. Commissioners Akutagawa and Sinay?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Oh, I was just trying to ask before we moved away from northern California, there still seems to be a couple on the Sacramento list, so that's why --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah. They're added late, so we're going south, and then we're going to go back north. Okay? Thank you. Okay, Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: No, I think Jaime answered the question. You had asked me if they were together or not -- they're both split, but yeah, Jaime answered it. Sorry, I'm slow today.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Can we see what that would -- could you highlight that and we can see what that would look like, please, Jaime?

MS. CLARK: So of course, we would also be making a different population swap in the Long Beach-based District, but just with this swap -- yeah. So I guess maybe we would need to do both and then be able to assess --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right.

MS. CLARK: -- how it impacts. So should I make this change? And we can always revert back if --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. Yes.

MS. CLARK: -- needed? Thank you. One moment.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

MS. CLARK: Okay. I'm just going to make the change and then we can all see what it looks like. And we can always revert if the Commission wishes. So with this change, the ADSTHLA, the percent deviation would be 0.63 percent. Latino CVAP would be 27.96 percent. Black CVAP 27.11 percent. Asian CVAP 12.03 percent. And White CVAP 10.62 percent. For the Long Beach-based District the percent deviation would be negative 1.6 percent. Latino CVAP 31.65 percent. Black CVAP 14.69 percent. Asian CVAP 14.29 percent. And White CVAP 36.85 percent. And just looking at these numbers, I'm actually not sure that
this is the exact iteration that we had previously. But that's what it would be keeping Carson and Long Beach whole.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Oh, do we have any concerns making -- or anyone have any comments? Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Just confirming that neither of those two districts are VRA districts; correct?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right.

MS. CLARK: Right. Yes.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Neither one is.

MR. LARSON: This is Dale Larson. That's correct for the Assembly maps here.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Dale. Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Just a quick question. Jaime, does the -- I know I've asked this before, but does the Asian numbers also include Pacific Islanders, or are they included under the indigenous numbers?

MS. CLARK: I believe that based on the DOJ tab -- the Department of Justice guidance for tabulation, that Pacific Islanders are under indigenous.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: I support the change.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Any other comments regarding the change? Commissioner Vazquez.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Jaime, can you put back the change -- the tracker box? Yeah. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Any follow-up, Commissioner Vazquez?

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Well, I think we changed -- we also changed AD65; correct? So that's -- I was --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: -- looking for how AD65 changed.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right now it would show what it changed to. Can we get the --

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Oh, I see.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Can we get the information of what it was before, please, Jaime? And then Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I was just going to add my support for this change.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

MS. CLARK: So this -- it was 1.89 percent. Deviation 49.31 percent Latino CVAP. 29.23 percent Black CVAP. 8.49 percent Asian CVAP. And 10.91 percent White CVAP. And it changed -- well, and then it changes with 15-percent deviation. So we can't show both at once.
COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: I see. Sorry, folks. It feels like we should've, like -- we should've (audio interference) change, and I'm just (audio interference) make sure that there's not, like, a thing we're missing for why we wouldn't have (audio interference) time around. But I'm generally supportive. I just don't want to realize later why we had it like this. Okay. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. And again, these are not VRA districts. Do we have any concerns? Commissioner Sinay, your hand's still up. Okay. So with that, let's lock that in. Thank you.

MS. CLARK: If I may, I believe that actually previously -- I'm trying to recall exactly what the previous iteration was. I don't recall if it was keeping Long Beach whole, but I do recall that this wasn't the exact version that we had before -- yeah, just in this area in terms of the iteration. So just a note that this isn't precisely what we had before, but similar.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. Okay, Jaime, if you can please lock it in. Thank you.

MS. CLARK: Okay. Thank you. And if you wish, I can try and look up exactly what it was before during the break.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you.
MS. CLARK: Just in case.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And then --
MS. CLARK: So one moment while I'm --
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.
MS. CLARK: -- making this change.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: We already did. Yeah. Thank you.
We already made the swap. Okay. We've got about -- are
you ready, Jaime? We've got about fifteen minutes to
break, so I think -- is the next one -- excuse me, next
one Maywood? Is that what you suggested. Or wait, San
Pedro -- hold on. Wait. Go back down. No, we did --
didn't we do that?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Is San Pedro -- San Pedro is
still split on this one; right?

MS. CLARK: Yes. The neighborhood council boundary
of Central San Pedro is split and then --
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah.
MS. CLARK: -- but just all of the neighborhood
councils are not together.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: The issue with that would be if we
try to make them whole, then the one next door to it
would be too much under deviation. So we're going to
keep moving. We're going to go to Maywood.
MS. CLARK: Okay. What would you like to explore
with Maywood?
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So on this one we have Commissioner -- so Maywood -- wait, let me see where Maywood is. Maywood wants to be moved in the Gateway; right?

MS. CLARK: Yes.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I believe that's --

MS. CLARK: Yes.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Can you see what that population is, Jaime, please? Thank you.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. So Maywood wants to be in Gateway. Walnut Park wants to be with Florence-Graham. And the suggestion was to move Walnut Park into the 110 District with Florence-Graham. Move a portion of downtown L.A. that I believe is in there, to NELA, and then move Maywood out of NELA into the ADGATEWAY.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So do we know that this will work before we go through all of this?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I think that is the Jaime question.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, Jaime, do we think this will work before we go -- and then we also have Commissioners Sinay, Toledo, and Vazquez.

MS. CLARK: So it's moving Maywood into ADGATEWAY. And then Huntington Park into 110LA. And then what was the final move, please?
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes. So move Maywood into -- I mean, it depends on which order you want to go in -- Maywood into ADGATEWAY -- or GATE, I guess it's showing up there -- ADGATE or GATEWAY. And then Walnut Park, which is right next to Florence-Graham -- they would like that to go into AD 110LA. And then there's a section of downtown L.A., perhaps enough to offset both the move of Walnut Park and Maywood into the NELA District. And then that way it would enable Maywood to leave NELA, which they've been very vocal about not belonging to.

MS. CLARK: Thank you. Let's give it a try. I do not think that this move would negatively impact or bring ADGATEWAY, which is a VRA district, to below 50 percent. So I can just give it a try. So one moment, please. So I will just zoom out to show the impact. Everything is balanced. Maywood is with the GATEWAY. Walnut Park is with 110LA, and then I made the split in downtown Los Angeles at 6th Street.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. I was just noting down the different CVAP numbers. And if my calculations are correct for the ADGATE, it went from 68.18 to 68.53. In AD110, it went from 56.94 to 59.53. And then in NELA, it went down from 55.46 to 52.34. I will want our VRA
Counsel to please weigh in on this. And then we've got Commissioner Vazquez and Kennedy.

MR. LARSON: Thank you, Chair. So the only one of these districts that we've identified as having a strict VRA obligation would be the GATEWAY one and it got plenty of wiggle room there. I wouldn't want it -- you don't want it to go too high, either. And this is pretty much the upper limit you would want to go here. You don't have any -- I would not make -- I would not prevent this on a legal basis. This becomes more of a policy in communities of interest decision on your part.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. And GATEWAY did just go up slightly. Okay. Thank you. Commissioners Vazquez, Kennedy, and Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yeah. I'm not opposed to this change overall. That being said, I'm wondering instead of moving the downtown L.A. line to 6th Street, if we could actually bring in more of Lincoln Heights into NELA. Again, thinking about historically immigrant communities, especially in -- this is now called NELA, but it's really, like, downtown plus some of south -- southeast L.A. -- so yeah, I'm wondering --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I was wondering if Jaime could just show us along that line there -- the downtown line -- what our lines are in Senate and Congress. Because it seems like we have some lines in very close proximity, and if we're able to adjust them all to the -- I believe there's a line going through there in Congressional, if we could just make them all congruent, that would be helpful. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I'm just waiting to see what the change that Commissioner Vazquez is proposing was.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Jaime, did we do that?

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Chair?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes, Commissioner Vazquez?

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yeah. Sorry about that. I'm assuming you all can hear me now. In zooming out, I actually think this is okay, and I'm okay with the change.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: And I do agree with -- sorry -- I do agree with Commissioner Kennedy that if we
could --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Um-hum.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: -- sort of align the lines in downtown, that'd be great.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, is that the line --

MS. CLARK: About here --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- Jaime?

MS. CLARK: Yes. In Congress. This is at 7th Street. And I will select that area so that you can see -- oops -- so that you can see the changes, so just one moment please.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: But that takes us out of -- yeah, it'll take us out of the deviation, I believe.

Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you, Chair. I'm not supportive of this change. It's appearing that it's breaking up some other COIs to get this done. I know that we had public comment suggesting various ways of shifting this. And so again, wondering about what are we accomplishing? I mean, I know we want to bring Maywood in, but in the manner and how we're bringing it in --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I believe it wasn't just related to Maywood. Was this also the Walnut Park and Florence-Graham? Right. So it was actually a few of the communities of interest information that we've received.
COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah, and so I --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So it wasn't just one piece of it.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Right. And so I guess I was supportive of trying to bring Maywood in, but to me it appears to be splitting through some of the other COIs of like communities that need to be supported.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. And which communities were those?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: It's going through some of the historical Black districts that basically have worked together to build -- they're disenfranchised on a few fronts, but from a building standpoint, infrastructure, and what-have-you. And so we had that COI testimony for a while, and I think this is separating those communities.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I do want to say that my understanding of that particular COI testimony was specific to the Skid Row area of downtown L.A. which that highlighted area covers. But my also understanding of the historical Black communities is actually further south. And that I believe that this change is, I think, one that is a -- I'd say it would be an appropriate tradeoff to ensure that communities who have asked to be put together, especially Maywood is more of a Gateway
city. I mean, I think I'd welcome further community 
input if we need to, but my understanding is that at 
least at 6th Street, going further north, it is a Skid 
Row community, and it is also very diverse as well, too. 
Although it has been one that does include the Black 
community, but the historical Black community is more in 
the center of that district, is my understanding. But if 
anybody else wants to comment and has a different 
opinion, then I'm open to that, too. I'm not 
necessarily -- has to be this way. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. We're about one minute from 
brake, so we'll go -- Commissioner Vazquez?

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yeah. I'm just trying to 
recall sort of why we had -- I believe there was, like, 
an intentional choice to split Carson where it was, and 
now I am also trying to find that community testimony. 
Because I think, by default, we were trying to keep Long 
Beach together and so the fact that it's not, feels like 
we made a conscious decision to draw these lines where 
they were. So I'm trying to find that community 
testimony.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. We'll go quickly to 
Commissioners Toledo and Sadhwani and then we have to go 
to break.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. Thank you. And I was
okay with the draft of the maps as we had them. I'm okay with further exploration in this area. Perhaps to try to -- I'm just going to throw this out there -- to even out the deviations between the GATEWAYS District and the NELA, perhaps moving Bell up into the NELA area. I know that there's COI testimony. And there's COI testimony either going both ways, but just throwing that out there. I'm also fine with the maps as they are. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you for that. Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I agree. I think we've actually spent quite a lot of time in L.A. when we were going through the Assembly that first week of December. And my sense was the map didn't make everybody happy but was doing its best to keep as many communities of interest together as possible. I'm happy to explore, but I was in support of the original maps.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Great. Thank you. We will be going to break. When we come back -- so please, leave the map up. That way we can kind of look at it and let it soak in. So we'll go on break, and we'll come back at 12:46.

(Whereupon, a recess was held)

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Kristian. And welcome back. We were at the end of our conversation
regarding -- let's see, was it Carson? No. Maywood.

Oh, my goodness. It was a lot of swaps that we did. So

do we have any new information? Or anything that we want
to share? The last position was, I believe, most were
okay with it. Commissioner Turner was not supportive.

And we have -- Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'm on the fence on this. I
mean, I like the maps as they are. I can see us moving
in this direction, but I'm on the fence. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Any other discussion?

Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. Thank you, Chair. I
just wanted to say that we certainly are wanting to be
responsive to testimony that we're getting, but I think
because we're pressed for time, we're making some quick
changes and we are impacting COIs negatively. And we're
not taking the time to see what the impacts are. And of
course, yes, I just want to name that I'm trying my --
I'm really trying to fully embrace all of it, understand
all of it, take in the testimony, probably a little bit
more slower than others -- but the testimony coming in
and trying to see the maps, retain what was there.

You're moving at a pace that is dictated by the fact that
we didn't move quicker earlier. So I get why you're
pushing it. And so I don't have any issues with that,
but I just want to name that I'm really concerned that we're making quick judgment moves and adjustments now that I think is going to cost us. And so I don't even know how to tell you or what to suggest. We do have to keep moving. But yeah, I just want to name that we've got to be really clear and ensure that we are not negatively impacting communities in some of these changes that we're making now.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Toledo, did you have something else? And then Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I mean, just hearing that from Commissioner Turner, we worked so hard on these communities of interest, and we've done our best to try to keep communities of interest together. I'm also leaning to just keep what we have. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Akutagawa, and then Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I guess I'm a little bit on the other side. I think we did make changes according to some COI testimony, and of course, once we make changes, then we start to get other COI testimony because we hadn't changed other things and people hadn't thought to write in or give input on the changes that we made. But as the process went along I think we started hearing
directly from community members in the affected communities itself and have asked for changes. I think the Maywood change -- it is a southeast L.A. community, and I think -- I very much understand and support that distinction. And I would say that it's not unlike some of the earlier conversations that we had about NELA as well, too, or the northeast L.A. communities, and how they identify themselves as part of the northeast L.A. community. And I think -- that's why, I mean, for me I would just say I think I would be very much in support of moving Maywood in with the other southeast L.A. communities. These are very much Gateway cities. Walnut Park we've also heard -- I know that they wanted Huntington Park, and I know that everybody's not going to get everything of what they need. But I think in this particular case it is about balancing the different COIs. And in this particular case it's also about which COI are we going to try to ensure stays together over another? And I guess, to me, I think the southeast L.A. COI of including Maywood in with the other communities of Bell, Bell Gardens, South Gate and Huntington Park, is an important one, given the communities that are there. So thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Taylor, and then Vazquez, and then Turner.
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yeah, real quick. And I know we have so much conflicting COI testimony that conflicts with one another -- it was a different map, but we just had the rationale that supported having Maywood with Commerce, and we went through the struggles with that, so. I like to try veins of consistency, so. There's a lot of COI testimony that conflicts and it's hard to wager that in the final minutes. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So you would not be in support of the changes? Is that --

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes, correct.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner Vazquez.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: I think Commissioner Turner was in front of me.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you. Yes. And I'm not so much as against trying to find a way to get Maywood in with the Gateway cities, it's just that when they start splitting the other COIs. So that's, I think, what the -- as long it's not conflicting with those COIs. And we've also received testimony and COIs about the different ways of doing it. I think the way we were moving the maps there started breaking up COIs. That's all. So it's not Maywood.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Vazquez.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yes. Exactly that. So I would be supportive of a very minimal change of putting Maywood in with the southeast cities, but not attempting to keep Carson whole in the process. And therefore then, not the whole big rotation. So if there's a way we can get Maywood easily in with the southeast cities -- that's the change I'm in favor of.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. And Carson was not involved in this rotation.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Oh, sorry.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: That was the prior one. That's okay. They're all meshing to me, as well.

MS. CLARK: May I just make this --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, yes. Go ahead.

MS. CLARK: -- quick note? Just a note about this whole area is that there -- as you all are very aware, maybe more than anyone else, that there's so many considerations in this area. In, like -- and just kind of looking at all of these districts, and keeping all of the COIs intact the Commission worked really hard to keep intact during our round of line drawing and getting that for Assembly and getting them to this point, was very rigorous round of line drawing. And I think the types of tradeoffs that you're talking about and just keeping all
of the COIs together that you have previously identified as keeping together, is not necessarily something that can quickly be done, especially not in live line drawing. So just a note about that. That's all I wanted to add.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah. That's what I figured. I figured it wouldn't -- if we're going to try to find an alternative, it would not be a quick -- something we could do live. Okay. So we do not have general consensus for this, so we will be moving on and not make the changes unless there's something else that comes up. But we have -- Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: So could we just walk through where are we right now? It looks like we do have Maywood in there with --

MS. CLARK: We have --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Well, we do, because we just made the changes.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Oh, okay. So we adopted that.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So we adopted the changes, but now we have to reverse them, because there was not general consensus to move forward.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: If we're just -- but I think that's -- Maywood in -- is that correct?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. Maywood is in right now.
MS. CLARK: So just really, really quick? I can just explain where we're at. So Maywood is in with the GATEWAY cities district. Walnut Park got moved in with 110LA. We did not make the change in downtown Los Angeles. As such, the 110LA District is overpopulated 5.76 percent deviation.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you. I see the dilemma now.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. There is a dilemma. So --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- we'd have to find a different way. Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I'm just wondering if there's a way to balance out maybe the 110LA and perhaps slightly moving up that southern boundary just to at least get up to the 5-percent deviation. It's about 0.76 percent. It looks like -- and I'm just mentioning that because it does look like there's already a split, and I don't know if it makes -- if it would be better to just try to bring it up to, looks like the border of that neighborhood council. Would that give us enough population to get us to a 5-percent deviation so that we can still maintain the various COIs and also honor the community request that Florence-Graham and Walnut Park residents made, as well as the Maywood residents have
made as well, too?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. So that was right at the southern border; correct?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. It looks like --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Do you see that southern line down there?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. Right above the AD65? Yeah, right there. Um-hum. Do we have the -- I'll call it the statistics box? Thank you. And is that -- those lines that are there -- is that a neighborhood council boundary line?

MS. CLARK: Yes. Those are the neighborhood council boundary lines.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So yeah, maybe if we can just mimic them?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. And Jaime, I mean, perhaps to minimize the change, I mean, just take just enough population to get to just under 5 percent, or at 5 percent.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And just so I'm not confused, in terms of this neighborhood boundary -- is the neighborhood to the north or to the south of those lines?

MS. CLARK: There is one neighborhood to the north and one neighborhood to the south. So in the north it's
Empowerment Congress Southeast --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: But the part that you have highlighted, what is that associated with? Or is just a different neighborhood?

MS. CLARK: I think the neighborhood boundary lines -- some of them have -- I will check right now. One second.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Vazquez.

MS. CLARK: That one is not -- that area is not assigned to any neighborhood.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Vazquez.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: I think I am comfortable with this change. My concern would just be that perhaps it seems like maybe the split in Harbor Gateway that is in the current iteration was maybe done for population purposes. So I'm okay with sort of moving it for the same reasons, but I'm hoping that we're not breaking up a COI, especially in Empowerment Congress to do it. For like in this area.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. I lost my numbers. Okay. It looks like they are balanced now; correct? Okay.

MS. CLARK: They are.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So with this move, we were able to move Maywood; correct, Jaime? And --
MS. CLARK: That is correct.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And was it just Maywood? Or was it -- I think I'm a step behind you.

MS. CLARK: Maywood is in the GATEWAY District.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Um-hum.

MS. CLARK: Walnut Park --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Walnut Park --

MS. CLARK: -- is in 110LA --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- is with Florence-Graham. Uh-huh. So we --

MS. CLARK: We did not --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- got those two --

MS. CLARK: We did not change the boundary in downtown L.A. --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. CLARK: -- and we are balancing this overpopulated 110LA by moving the border of the STHLA north.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And on the -- hold on. I'm sorry; I'm catching up to you right now. The ADGATE is 68 -- okay. So that's all right. I was just confirming. Are there any concerns with this move? I've got Commissioners Akutagawa, Vazquez, and Turner.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Jaime, could share that statistics box again with the various deviations and
CVAPs? I just want -- I'm trying to understand -- what is the deviation, and can you take a little less? I know that it looks like there's a neighborhood council line in there. Are we at the neighborhood council line? I just want to make sure that -- we've already split them. I just want to make sure we're not splitting them further if it's unnecessary.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. It looks like that balances it as well? Yes. Okay. So that's a smaller move.

Okay. Commissioner Vazquez?

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yep. That was going to be my suggestion. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Great. Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Reading statistics, Chair.

And so --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And again, this is not -- these are not the VRA --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Right. They're --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- districts; right? It's just the Gate --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: They're not VRA, but unless we're going to put up the COIs for all of them, they're an indicator for who's in the area and COI testimony that we've received. That's all. But thank you. I think we're fine.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So you're okay with this, Commissioner Turner? Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Can we just take a minute before we accept these changes? Can we span out? I'm just trying to really think about the full impact that this is having, because there are so many COIs in this area. I don't want to make any changes too rapidly.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Let's zoom out. And so once again, we took Maywood -- we moved it from the NELA District into the GATE District. And then we moved Walnut Park into the 110LA. And now that is with Florence-Graham, which was another communities of interest we've been hearing. And then adjusted the boundaries slightly in the 110LA on the south side. The southern boundary. Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. And I just want to note that it does reunite or make whole a neighborhood council. Because that little strip there was broken in half, so it does just bring the entirety of the neighborhood council back into one district.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. Any concerns?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Is it possible to get the stats from what it was before? Does anyone have that handy?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Jaime, do you have the statistics
from what it looked like prior to the moves? Oh, there
we go. Can you put it in a different color so we know?
So the orange would be what it currently is, Jaime? And
then the ones in the darker boxes would be the proposed?
No?
MS. CLARK: Opposite of that --
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Or is opposite? Dang. Okay. So
the orange is what's -- Jaime, you say it.
MS. CLARK: The orange is what we started with
today.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Um-hum.
MS. CLARK: And what's in black is what it currently
is with these updated changes. And what's in the
statistics box is what this change between STHLA and
110LA would make the numbers in the black boxes become.
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I think I prefer our
original draft. Orange was what we had in our draft
coming in to today.
MS. CLARK: Orange is what we had coming in to
today; yes.
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: And the gray would be --
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- the move.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Got it. Got it. Thank you.
Commissioner Le Mons.
COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I prefer the orange what we had when we came in today.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. And I believe Commissioner Sadhwani said the same thing, so I'm just writing it down. Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yep. I prefer what we had coming in. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I prefer what we had before the changes. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. So we will stick with what we have. Thank you.

MS. CLARK: So I will reverse --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Can you reverse -- yes. thank you. And let me look at my list again. And so Jaime, let's see -- we still have Century City, Pinon Hills, San Fernando Valley, Victor Valley, Hesperia, Kensington, Highlands, and UC Riverside, so --

MS. CLARK: I didn't -- pardon me, Chair.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes?

MS. CLARK: Would you also like to revert the Carson and Long Beach change, or leave that --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: No. That one we locked in. Thank you. Where we put Carson with -- yes, yes, made it whole. Thank you. Okay. Let's see, Commissioner
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I think I'm just trying to understand with a small change it wasn't really taking away a lot. I think I'm just trying to understand why the preference for the original when it does keep communities that did want to be together? And it was also bringing a neighborhood council back in. And it's not a VRA district.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner Vazquez?

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: For me -- and I didn't say this, but I do think I ultimately prefer what we had before. I think we just spent so much time in this area in particular trying to keep Black communities of interest together, given just the historical disinvestments all across south Los Angeles, and just how historically marginalized these communities have been. And we got a lot of input in our first sort of round of comment as we were creating the draft maps. And I'm also now, again -- because I'm, like, I still wasn't particularly sold that we made the right decision to make changes with Carson and Long Beach. But I also would like to say that I prefer our drafts in Carson and Long Beach as well, because I think I'm now reading community of interest testimony that talks about communities of
interest -- in particular north Long Beach, being
associated with the communities of south L.A. and not the
rest of Long Beach. So I would like to revert the
changes that we made in Carson as well.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Le Mons.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I support Commissioner
Vazquez's position. I was going to say the same thing.
And I also just wanted to say that I think for future
ones -- I wanted to lift up Commissioner Vazquez's
question earlier, is what was the thought process before?
And I think that's really important because there was so
much time spent, and a lot of thought went in. And to
Commissioner Turner's point, I think subsequent to that,
that these may seem small, but they're happening quickly.
And when that's being held against all of the real
intentional effort and thought that went into it
previously, that makes me a little nervous. Thank you,
Chair.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah, Chair. I raised my hand
again. When you said about locking it, I thought we were
reversing all of it. So I'm just in support of Vazquez
and Le Mons in reversing Compton. Thank you. Carson.
Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Sadhwani?
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I would support reverting or keeping -- I mean, reverting I think seems reasonable to me. I had actually worked on that area with Jaime when we were in the Assembly maps, so I'm happy to share some of that background if we need that refresher. We had had a very long and lengthy debate about the various COIs in this area and region, also spanning across multiple maps. Right? So one piece has been the Long Beach piece of keeping Long Beach as whole as possible. We had also had testimony that the northern part of Long Beach north of Del Amo Boulevard does have a lot of affinity with some of the other communities in south L.A., and they wanted to also be kept together. Similarly, there was COI testimony about the two different ports and wanting to keep those separate. In addition, COI testimony from San Pedro all the way up through the Gateway cities about keeping them together. So there was also, of course -- and I don't want to overlook the fact that there is a -- I believe it's a Tongan Community of Pacific Islanders in Carson and west Carson. In the iteration that we had coming into today, that community was not kept together. That had been one of the tradeoffs of all of the things. Long Beach also was not kept together. So it was -- we arrived at our original map through trying to respect as many
community of interest as possible while recognizing that we didn't meet them all. So I would be happy to revert back as well. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So revert all the way back -- Carson going back. Correct?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I'm okay with that.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I wouldn't be opposed.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm not opposed to either. I mean, I'm good with what we have right now, as well as reverting back. Of the list that was given, this new iteration keeps the ports apart, brings the Tongan community together, keeps Carson whole, keeps Long Beach whole. The piece that's missing is the north Long Beach with the south L.A., but we've also heard mixed testimonies on that. We've heard mixed testimonies. I mean, a lot of people in north Long Beach also submitted, saying, keep us whole, but if you have to, it's okay to cut us. So they did rather be whole, but if they couldn't they agreed that they were the best place to cut. So I just want to see the totality of it and all the different communities. I mean, I understand. The first one -- to me that was hard, because it is the individuals who are living there who are calling, saying,
please put us back together. And it wasn't just the mayors or whatnot.

And the same has happened in Carson and Long Beach. And yes, this is later, but all testimony is equal from way back when to now. And so I don't want us -- I just want us to be careful on how we're weighing people. Where we're getting the information, because everybody has the best -- well, okay, not everybody has the best intentions when they're giving us information. But I just wanted to make sure that we heard your list that you gave and how much was accomplished from that list with this change today.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. So with that, we're going to -- because there is not consensus, we're going to reverse the Carson. Jaime? Thank you. Okay. All right, Jaime. So do you remember the list I gave you?

MS. CLARK: I think Century City was next?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. And that was Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Um-hum. Thank you. So with this particular -- what we're attempting to do is a two-district refinement in Los Angeles. And I'll count on all of you to help me with watching COIs and what-have-you. The goal is to bring communities in the Century
City area into the Crenshaw south area. So similar to the work that was done on the Congressional map. The ask is is that we're bringing in AD -- yep, those areas right there. They're wanting to bring Century City into -- bring in Century City, Cheviot Hills, and Rancho Park areas. So for Century City it's the area east of Beverly Glen, south of Santa Monica and west of -- can't read my writing. It's that area. And then Cheviot Hills and Rancho Park, areas north of Palms, east of 405 south of Pico Boulevard. And we would be swapping it or moving for ADWEST. Bringing in more of the mid-Century area. And it's the area north of Olympic Boulevard, west of Fairfax and areas that's east of Beverly Hills.

MS. CLARK: So the highlighted area is Century City. And then the Chevio or Cheviot Hills area -- I believe this is generally the area you are speaking on. This is Pico and Overland. And then up here is the Fox Studios and Century City. So I believe this is what you were describing.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: I'm checking to see --

MS. CLARK: And --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yes.

MS. CLARK: And making this change is within the percent deviations. And here is the statistics associated with that change.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Are we breaking up that neighborhood council? If you zoomed out it looked like there was a neighborhood council, or --

MS. CLARK: Yeah. So Century City is in the Westside Neighborhood Council area, as is the Cheviot Hills area. And additionally, my understanding, which -- Commissioner Turner, thank you for that description, and I might need a reminder when we get to it -- but I think that then -- because Mid-City is also a neighborhood council area -- it's currently split and my understanding is that it would be bringing in these areas and then reuniting Mid-City more in the west side.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: It does reunite them, yes.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So we're breaking one up and reuniting another one. I just want to -- on a higher level. Okay. Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. Can we zoom out a little bit just so that we can see the areas around here a little bit more on our screen?

MS. CLARK: So what's currently in the N10 District is south Robertson, Palms, Mar Vista, Del Rey, Culver City, Ladera Heights, View Park, some of the Empowerment Congress West Neighborhood Councils. I think this is Vermont. I can scoot in, though, to check. And then this is Jefferson Park, West Adams, Mid-City, Pico
neighborhoods.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. And Chair, the Westside Neighborhood Council is huge, the parts that we've highlighted are the ones that's historically been a part of the South LA district.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Commissioner Turner -- Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Quick question on this. So the -- I understand the first part of the rotation, which is the unification of these areas highlighted. And then the next part of the rotation would impact which districts? That's the piece that I'm not understanding at this point.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So the other piece would impact the AD51-WEST. So we're going to -- right, Jaime? Go ahead, Jaime.

MS. CLARK: Yep. It would be moving -- it sounds like it would be moving these areas in southern Mid-City into the Westside-based districts. So then the Mid-City area would not be included with N10. The swath is --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So -- yeah, it would take all of Mid-City and move it into the AD51-ADWEST.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Appreciate the clarification. Thank you.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay, Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: No, I didn't have anything to add, that is how it is.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Any comments, concerns regarding this swap? Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Can we just see the more up close of that district there or that Mid-City Neighborhood Council? And I guess the same question -- I guess the same question that's been asked before. What COIs -- other COIs would be broken up as a result of this?

MS. CLARK: So this area in Mid-City was just included for population. The area currently in N10 that's in -- that's in Mid-City included in N10 is like Cedar Sinai. This -- the line that I'm highlighting here with the mouse is Wilshire, so it's areas north of Wilshire. It does not include The Grove, for example, that's in the Westside, but the Westside district. But this is Beverly, Fairfax, 6th Street and La Brea. So the areas south and west of those streets are what's currently included in the N10. And again, that includes, for example, Cedar Sinai, San Vicente runs through it, et cetera.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. And then on the other side, did you have any information on that, Jaime, of
what we were moving in?

MS. CLARK: Yep. So that includes Century City, where the hand -- or the mouse is running now is Avenue of the Stars. Right here is the Fox Studios. This makes the Country Club whole. And then this is Pico and Overland.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. And as far as we know -- well, I'm just wondering if we're breaking up other communities of interest. Commissioner Sanai and then Vazquez.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: The community of interest that we're breaking up is the north -- the Westside Neighborhood Council, which in the summer they were very, very active in telling us they wanted to be kept together. The -- you know, and at every iteration, a lot of it is, you know, the same form -- kind of a form email-type thing in our database. But I did want to bring up that we did receive input over the summer that the council wanted to stay together.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you for that.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And I'm not opposed. I'm just answering that question.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. And that was my concern is normally if you have a neighborhood council, there's a purpose for it. They draw their boundaries and they want
to stay together. So that that was my big concern.

Commissioner Vazquez?

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: I'm not wholly opposed to
this change. However, I do know -- again, because you'll
remember from the last conversation, I was really
protective of Palms. We weren't able to keep Palms
completely together, although we were able to keep more
of Palms in this district. The district to the north,
the Westside Neighborhood Council is very much
multimillion-dollar homes and a fairly wealthy district.
You'll note that there is a country club right there in
the middle of the portion that we're talking about.

That being said, it is a historic Jewish community
in this area, Beverlywood, the Museum of Tolerance. I
don't know actually where the line is. It may be
separating the Museum of Tolerance from the surrounding
Jewish neighborhood. So there's that consideration.

My proposal to Commissioner Turner would be this.
This maybe looks like an easier get to get Century City
into AD-55 without having to take the whole neighborhood
south of it. So we may be able to make an even smaller
change to get Century City. We might have to cut a
sliver into the Westside Neighborhood Council, but that
would be my proposal. And then we'd only have to shift
the Mid-City line a little bit to balance out for
COMMISSIONER TURNER: Please direct it. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

MS. CLARK: Just so we could visualize that together. I remove the neighborhood -- the neighborhoods in Westside. So this just includes Century City. Again, this is the Fox Studios. And then where the hand is going now is Avenue of the Stars. And the percent deviations would be within five percent deviation. The -- and it looks like the CVAPs are not significantly impacted by this. They're all within their same percentage point across the board.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: It just seems like an odd split to me in terms of what that district's going to look like. Again, we're -- we are trading one communities of interest for another. Commissioner Vazquez?

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: I'm okay with this change, knowing that this was mostly my running route, knowing the geography of where this is, I don't see a huge concern. The residential portions are mostly south of Pico. And then as you go north of Pico, you get into more businesses, industrial, et cetera, and some apartments.

So I don't think -- I think you're keeping the nature of the Westside Neighborhood Council very much
intact even if you remove Century City.Century City is also a pretty well-defined portion of this neighborhood council.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And Commissioner Vazquez, just for my own knowledge and education, when you say Century City, is that the -- are you referring?

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Sorry.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yes. That's the historic -- I believe that's the historic portion that this community is asking for, is Century City. It's not actually a city, but it's a hood and it's mostly businesses.

It's very, very much businesses.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And that's the highlighted portion right there, correct?

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yes.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. I just wanted to confirm for everyone. Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I'm just trying to look through all of the COI testimony that we've received on specifically the Westside Neighborhood Council. There are requests to keep it in its entirety, although there are specific also notes about the boundaries of it. I do also want to note that we're breaking up Jewish communities as well in other parts of the city.
So I just want to be cautious about that. We've already done it with Congress. I don't know if we should try to keep them more whole, you know, at least in one of the district maps. So I'll continue to look through. But there's mention about some specific boundaries that they -- I guess people who are writing in about this neighborhood council are saying that they want to make sure that they stay within two. So perhaps like some of the other maps that we've done, if maybe we should just leave this the same. I think that would be my preference at this point.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank you. Commissioner Turner and Vazquez.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. I'm wondering, Jaime, are there any Jewish COIs that's conflicting with these particular streets that that we've requested?

MS. CLARK: The COI -- so today I'm working off Kennedy's computer, so we don't have all of the LA COIs on this map right now. And the COIs that I had loaded up, I think that there was definitely notes about south Robertson in that.

And then also the one that we saw when we were talking about this very topic for Congress. Kind of noted like Palms, Culver City, Del Rey, kind of these areas. And again, I don't have every single piece of COI
testimony loaded up and immediately accessible to be able to highlight on the map. But that is -- that is what I recalled when we looked at this during this conversation for Congress.


COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yeah, just wanted to reemphasize, like I said, I ran all of these -- all of those streets in the West Neighborhood Council -- Westside Neighborhood Council. The Jewish community is very much in the residential portions. I would, if we're looking for community of interest testimony, I would look -- I really would look specifically for where folks feel Century City should be. Because like I said, it is -- it's a very distinct -- you can literally cross the street and the whole nature of the neighborhood council changes. It's very much businesses and apartments. It's not sort of the residential, very quiet neighborhood streets of Westside Neighborhood Council. Which is, like I said, the residential portions are south of Pico, right around the country club.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. But what we also don't know is maybe some of those business communities are also tied with the Jewish committee -- community. So, Commissioner Akutagawa. Are you -- no more comments?
Turner -- Commissioners Turner and Vazquez still have hands up.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah, I put mine back up again. I was just saying before the -- I do see this as a little bit different in a small portion in that area. And I think to reunify these historical COIs that's been in the south. I think that the southern part of Los Angeles, I'm hopeful that we'll be able to support the changes that they're requesting.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And Commissioner Vazquez and then Commissioner Le Mons.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yes, and to your point, absolutely, I was the one who brought up the Jewish community aspect of this. And the Jewish businesses are much more as you head toward West LA. Again, the Museum of Tolerance is over on the west side of this district. Lots of Jewish businesses, synagogues, et cetera are more sort of on the west -- western side.

Like I said, Century City is very, very well defined. And in my mind, I think it very much makes sense to unify historical communities that have been associated together politically. And I don't think this breaking up this neighborhood council will -- I don't think removing Century City will be a huge detriment to the Westside Neighborhood Council's residents. The
majority of whom will continue to be together.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you. Commissioner Le Mons.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yes, I wanted to weigh in on that as well. I agree with Commissioner Vazquez and her characterization of what Century City is versus where the bulk of the Jewish community is from a residential as well as business perspective. It is run along Pico, Robertson -- well, further east than Robertson, but that's usually the corridor east to west. And then Century City is its own little thing. But most importantly, I want to support keeping the historical relationship that's existed there whole.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. And then Commissioner Sinay. Oh, and Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I think -- I think what everybody has said is actually supported by the COI testimonies in that we have received from the LA Jewish community. Most of it -- most of their testimony is just giving broader, like, this city, this area, whatnot. I do -- I think that this, you know, this piece -- what the proposed change makes sense. And maybe we can see if there's consensus or not.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Sadhwani, did you have anything else? Okay. Commissioner Akutagawa. And
we do -- we do need to move on. It's -- yeah, we need to settle on this. Thank you. And so at this point and I'll go to Commissioner Akutagawa. If there's general consensus, we'll accept it. If not, then we will move on. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, I -- I'm -- no, I just wanted to read something that I found in terms of this area. In terms of the Jewish community, they also speak about Orthodox Jews being the second largest -- Los Angeles being home to the second largest Orthodox Jewish community in North America. They tend to live within walking distance of Orthodox synagogues.

They specifically name communities of Pico, Robertson, Beverly Fairfax, Hancock Park, Beverly Hills, Beverlywood, and West LA neighborhoods. And they also note that in all the current maps, the members of this community of interest are divided.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. So it sounds like from what you read, if I got it correctly, it corresponded somewhat to Commissioners Vazquez' testimony. Commissioner --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, I think if we limit it just to that distinct Century City location it is.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Um-hum.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: There are condos there,
like, very high rise condos. But a lot of businesses, big hotels, big shopping areas. Santa Monica Boulevard is also a main thoroughfare for different Jewish organizations.

But I think the area that if it's limited to that, then I think we can minimize any impacts to, in this case, a historical Jewish COI as well too that live in that area. And I think, again, it goes back to -- I'm not saying I'm kind of neutral on this, but --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- I think it's just which way --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- do we want to.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right, exactly. And Commissioner Toledo, this will be it. And then we'll decide.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I just wanted to -- I can go either way. So I would support this if we can if there's consensus. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. We will go with this. I just want to remind everyone that where -- we really need to be intentional in what we're doing as we move forward, we are behind. Of course, we don't want to rush too much, but also just expecting everyone to have done some homework before coming here today. Thank you. Jaime,
can you make that move? Thanks.

MS. CLARK: Yep, that is implemented and ready to go wherever is next on your list.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: We've got Pinon Hills, San Fernando Valley, Victor Valley, this area, Kensington, Riverside, Highlands. So which one are we going to now?

Yes, lunch will be at 2:15. And I was really hoping we could be a lot closer than we are right now.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: San Fernando might take a little --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- a little bit longer. I don't know if we want to try and get into that before lunch. No? Maybe?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I'm just wondering if we --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Be done before --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- oh, wait, where did you --

yeah, I know. So we're going to Pinon Hills?

MS. CLARK: We can go anywhere you'd like. I zoomed to Pinon Hills because that's what you named first.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. CLARK: But I can --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay, that's fine.

MS. CLARK: -- go to anywhere.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And that was Commissioner
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: It was just one of the COI testimonies that we got asking not to be included in the 210 district and wanting to be in a San Bernardino district. Thank you. Commissioner --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Can you see what the -- can what is the population of Pinon Hills?

MS. CLARK: One moment, please.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I like the little tilde Pinon.

Sorry.

MS. CLARK: And just a -- just a clarification.

Commissioner Akutagawa, did you have a suggestion of where it would go?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I think I just wanted to lift it up. It does look like -- I mean, looks --

MS. CLARK: I -- I --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- like the only option would be to go to --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: To Antelope?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- to Antelope.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: But I don't know what -- what's the population of Pinon, please?
MS. CLARK: Yep. I will pull that up --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

MS. CLARK: -- right now and just a reminder, that Antelope is one of your VRA districts.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: It is. You're absolutely right. And thank you for reminding us on that. So it looks like it's about 7,200. I have a sense that it's not going to work, I think it's going to throw off the VRA -- I mean, not the VRA, the LCVAP, if it's a VRA district. But we won't know unless we try. So here we go.

MS. CLARK: Yep. So this would fit in the total deviation or the percent deviation of each district, and it would make the Latino CVAP in that AD39-Antelope, the Antelope Valley, Victor Valley District, 49.91 percent.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, no, we will not be doing this. So we will move on. That was a nice quick one. Thank you. Thank you, Commissioner Akutagawa. And we will move on. Let's see. What else did I say?

San Fernando Valley, Riverside, Victor Valley, Kensington, and Highlands. Okay, San Fernando Valley, it is. So with this one, I will pass it on to Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: All right, thank you. So here we are again. Definitely hearing a lot from communities last night. And in all fairness, for the
last several weeks. Right? So I did want to bring us back. You know, we looked yesterday at the Agua Dulce, Acton. I think we've settled that that's going to stay put.

Communities were asking in the calls last night about bringing in West Hills into the central district and removing portions of Northridge and North Hills to put that with Santa Clarita. I believe a portion -- from what I could gather from the testimony, a portion of this has to do with Cal State Northridge being in that area.

Certainly, we've taken a look at those kinds of considerations in the past. So I think it seems like a reasonable swap and certainly would unify communities that are near each other and share similar profiles. So I'd like to start there with West Hills moving into -- and it looks like that might require Bell Canyon moving it into --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah, you'd have to take Bell Canyon.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- yes, into Central and --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: And don't forget about Santa Susana.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Well, I don't think it's the City of Santa Susana, is it? It's the lab, right?
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: It's the lab.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Is that in that city -- or I thought it was, like, out in the mountains?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: It's up to the north of there. That's the area that we had moved.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, let's start -- let's just start with --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- build in Bell Canyon first, and then we can see where, from a population standpoint, Santa Susana can come back into one of these districts. So this is going to central.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Toledo, did you have a question?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah, I'm just trying to understand the rotation that we're trying to do. So here we have Bell Canyon. We have the other area that's highlighted. Where -- what -- how are we rotating the population given that the deviations are so -- I mean, we're out of the deviation.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: They are tight.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: They're very, very, very tight. So I'm just trying to understand the ripples that'll happen and how we plan to rotate the population
through so that we have a holistic picture of what we're planning.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yep. I haven't worked this out in advance so I'll forewarn you that. But the testimony that we received last night was making some swaps at least to have communities that are near each other kind of stay together. Right?

So on the one side, you have more West Valley communities, whereas Northridge and North Hills, according to the testimony, were more North Valley-ish. So it would be swapping in West Hills, Bell Canyon into the Central District and Northridge, North Hills, going north to 8040. We have numbers.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: We have numbers.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Maybe we can get Jaime to just help us think through some of the rotation. What are the options that might --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- that we might have given that we are still thinking through this.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And given that Jaime has worked through this many, many times. Lots of knowledge. Thank you, Jaime.

MS. CLARK: Yeah. So I think that this kind of swap could work, you know, and if not this exact swap of
adding Northridge into North Hills. You know, certainly there is opportunity for both of these as they are currently within the five percent -- plus or minus five percent deviation. They could remain that way. Whether or not that's, you know, exactly Northridge and North Hills. I can't say off top of my head, but we can certainly try it.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Do you think -- oh, so you actually think that we can isolate this change to these two districts?

MS. CLARK: My understanding was that that was the proposal.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Oh was that -- okay, sorry.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Just between two districts.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Okay, so let's try it.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, he got excited about this.

Let's go. Commissioner Andersen, you have a question, comment?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, I do. Just and it's -- this is not the area I know, but we were just talking about the Jewish communities. And they have submitted several requests in this area, the communities of interest. And it's -- I should to add, Woodland Hills into this from the central into the south San
Francisco -- oh, it's not San Francisco -- in here, it's San Fernando Valley. And its Verrazzano and Encinitas. And I don't know the area. So I would really like other commissioners to comment on that. But I did want to highlight that in terms of, you know, is that much too big. They were saying that to go with other committees of interests or what areas need to be included for the Jewish community.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: So I wanted to -- my hope is to start with this one. And then if there's appetite from the Commission, you know, the Glendale to Tarzana always felt a little off to me. So that was where I thought we could explore how much of Tarzana and possibly Encino could also be rotated in.

That's where -- if we wanted to go in this direction to -- yes, Commissioner Anderson, to keep more of the Jewish community together from the testimony that we've received. My sense is Tarzana, Encino coming into the central. Sunland-Tujunga going with Glendale, Burbank. And then there would need to be some balancing on the center part.

But that's where it's going to start getting a little bit more messy, which is why I wanted to just start with this first one --
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Let's see --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- which is what the
community was asking for last night.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: All right. Perfect. Let's do
this first one, please, Jaime. Thank you.

MS. CLARK: All right. So I added West Hills and
Bell Canyon into the central San Fernando Valley
District. And I will start making that population trade
right now. One moment, please.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: It -- the south, yeah, so
where we're cutting that neighborhood council, it would
be bringing all of that back into the SCV. And then
probably the North Hills west.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And then -- there you go.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Let's see how we do on
population. I apologize, I didn't work on the -- I know.
Well, I went back and reviewed everything and reviewed
all of them.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: How about North Hills East?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, we could do North
Hills east, yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. I think so.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Perhaps there's in North
Hills east, there's --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- I can't see the name of that road, but maybe going north south there. All the way down or we could go that way, too. Yeah, no that's fine.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I was thinking of the -- if the -- oh, wait. I can't see the -- my stats. Oops, you went a little bit too much. Maybe to the freeway?

MS. CLARK: Yeah. So because these districts were 4.4 percent deviation and 4.9 percent deviation, this is going to just take me a second. So I will get those both within percent deviation. And then let the commission know when it's ready. So just one second. Okay.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Maybe one more block up, because it looks like the street right above, it looks like more of a major street. Oh, we are balanced, okay.

MS. CLARK: Yeah, so --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, never mind. Okay. I should just let --- you know, you're the one that knows the numbers. Okay.

MS. CLARK: So I'm just going to zoom out. And show the total impact here. AD40 would include Northridge south, north hills west, and then just on the other side of 405, a little bit of North Hills east. And then the central would include Bell Canyon, West Hills, Woodland Hills, Canoga Park, Winnetka, Reseda, Lake Balboa, Van
1. Nuys, north of Oxnard, Panorama City, and much of North
   Hills East.

   CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Do we have any
   concerns making this change? Okay. Let's lock it in.
   Thank you.

   And then commissioner Sadhwani, you had mentioned
   potentially other swaps. Are -- do you have the
   
   COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I think that's a question
   for the Commission. You know, and I think others know
   this area a little bit as well. You know, the other
   commissioners from LA, Commissioner Taylor, Commissioner
   Le Mons perhaps. In the Glendale to Tarzana is -- it's
   really long and pretty different kinds of community. I
   mean, they're -- well, they're all suburban, I suppose,
   but fairly different.

   So a part of the thought was bringing in
   Sunland-Tujunga with Glendale, Burbank. And then
   starting to move -- which would decrease the population
   in East San Fernando Valley. So we would need to pick up
   potentially Panorama City or that other portion of North
   Hills at minimally. And it might allow you to then in
   AD46-CENTRAL bring in, at minimum, Tarzana, maybe a
   portion of Encino as well.

   The population in Sunland-Tujunga, my guess is, is
   not that high. So it would be a modest shift of
populations.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I was trying to follow you and I got lost a little bit.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: It would be a three-district swap.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Tarzana to Glendale, okay.

MS. CLARK: Can I try to restate what --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes.

MS. CLARK: -- I think the proposal is?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes, please. So I can follow you.

MS. CLARK: So bringing Sunland-Tujunga into this area with Glendale and Burbank. Moving, potentially, some areas of Tarzana or Encino into A-D central and then moving some areas of Tarzana or Encino into a AD-CENTRAL. And then moving some areas, for example, Panorama City into the East SFB, is that correct?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes, that's correct.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Could we see what the population is of Sunland-Tujunga? That's a cool name, too. I'm just saying.

MS. CLARK: Yeah, happy to do that.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Toledo --

MS. CLARK: And Commissioner Sadhwani --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Um-hum.

MS. CLARK: -- does that include -- would you want
to include Foothill Trails also, which --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah.

MS. CLARK: Okay. Thanks. One second.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Toledo, do you have a --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I'm just thinking about all the COIs that are in this area and the amount of work that we put into this area. And all of the -- I mean, we -- although I mean, there was testimony last night that we didn't spend very much time at this -- on this area. But they were only looking at the last portion of our draft, a draft map -- or drafting.

We had actually spent a lot of time during the visualization process on this area. And then we came -- what we ended up with was a compromise that no one particularly liked. And that -- I know I didn't, but that we all could live with. And so I'm just -- you know, and thinking about compromise. And I was looking and all the COIs that are in here. And this is going to be more than a fifteen-minute conversation.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: But willing to explore, I'm going to keep an open mind.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. Thank you for that.

Commissioner Sinay? I mean, I agree as well, but it's --
sometimes we go on an exploration.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes, sometimes we go on an exploration, but we are on our last day and so could we put a time limit on it? I'm just -- because I'm -- you know, when you look at -- on the whole, we've been -- we did pretty well in keeping neighborhoods together and such. But once you start moving big chunks, we are going to have to break more, you know, make -- anyway. Just if maybe we can just have a limited exploration. And I'm guessing -- oh, the other thing I want to ask is, Commissioner Sadhwani, can you explain what the COIs wanted? What we're moving them, and why? And then and then how you prioritize based on -- because we've gotten just maps, and tons of stuff. And there is no priority --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: That's right.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- so how you prioritized it.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: That's right. You know, the number one piece, of course, that we already did was the swap that the numerous callers called in yesterday and suggested. I went back and looked at the various maps that were submitted. There are pros and cons to all of them. Some of them would require a whole lot of architectural change, which I didn't think that we wanted to go there.
So here, you know, my purpose here is just to try and keep communities that are compact, and close together, and that are aligned in a district with one another. That's the overarching goal only. So if it doesn't work out, I'm happy to revert.

You know, we've certainly heard from the Tarzana Encino folks about having more connectivity to the western portions of the San Fernando Valley as opposed to Glendale, Burbank. Certainly Sunland-Tujunga, I do live in that area, sort of, not too far away in La Canada. The fun thing for many of us in that area about Sunland-Tujunga, it's a beautiful area right up along the mountains. And also has a -- the back road to the Burbank Airport. So, you know, there's certainly some connection there between the Glendale Burbank area as well.

And I think -- and my hope is that this would allow many of the more working-class communities that we've worked really hard to keep together in -- I'm losing track of the names of these at this point, but the one that includes Pacoima, Sylmar, and San Fernando would allow us to keep them together is kind of a goal for me too.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioners Akutagawa, Toledo, Le Mons, and Kennedy.
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, I think to the question that Commissioner Toledo asked, I think, you know, we did work on it, but I think we've also been hearing quite a bit that there's unhappiness with some of the maps that we have. But particularly, again, I think it comes down to which communities are we prioritizing? There is a significant Jewish community that we're now hearing from. And again, it's because of the changes that we've made that we're hearing from communities. You know, some communities didn't bother to write in earlier because they didn't think that they had to. And, of course, you know, that's just the nature of people, right? Human beings.

We're also hearing that doing this will also unite a sizable Armenian community that is the largest, at least in here in the U.S. And if there are ways that we could do it without also disturbing other COIs to a degree -- And I think I want to just remind everybody again. I mean, we've had these conversations about not wanting to break up COIs. But we've had to break up COIs everywhere.

And whether they're historical or not historical, we've also heard, you know, Commissioners also say we have to share the pain. They'll meet new neighbors, you know, introduce themselves to their new neighbors. I
mean, I think those are all things that -- I think, you know, we're trying to do the best by everybody. And if this exploration doesn't work, then it doesn't work. But I'm hearing what Commissioner Sadhwani is asking for.

It does reflect the Woodland Hills. You know, and some communities come along with others. So like West Hills, Bell Canyon comes along with Woodland Hills, Woodland Hills. We've gotten a request from the Jewish community to be together with Tarzana, Encino, Warner Center, Sherman Oaks, and Valley Glen, I believe it was. But I know that there's also an LGBTQ COI that has also asked to be able to stay together. That includes, I think, Valley Glen. So right now they're not together.

Then the Burbank Glendale move with Sunland-Tujunga would at least unite the Armenian community. And then we just have to then decide, you know, based on those merits, what -- you know, is that something that we want to be able to do in balance with the other COIs that are in the area. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. I am going to implement something that Commissioner Toledo did when he was Chair. A one minute -- a one-minute time limit for Commissioners to provide feedback. Kristian, could you do them? And let's just do a fifteen-second warning.

Okay? Thank you. Commissioner Toledo.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you. And I'll be brief.

You know, I'm thinking of Los Angeles as holistically. And when we came to Los Angeles, we set goals for Los Angeles. We all agreed to those goals for Los Angeles. And I'm happy to explore as long as those goals continue to be met for all of Los Angeles County.

And so -- and that involved the historical communities. That involved maintaining the CVAPs and our VRA area. That involved Latino majority districts and keeping as many COIs as possible whole. And so I'm just hoping that we're able as we go through this exploration, I hope it works out and keeps more working communities together, as well as meeting all the other goals. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Le Mons?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I think this is an exploration worth doing. What Commissioner Sadhwani laid out, definitely. The only concern I think I really have is where the Sherman Oaks and Studio City, but I guess still with Burbank and Glendale. But I'll wait and see. But I think this is worth exploring.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I'm in favor of the exploration as well. You know, I've heard going way back that we needed to be looking at more of an
east/west divide along the 405. And I think the
direction that this exploration is going may better
reflect that. I had also said way back when we first set
our principles on which we were going to proceed with
this, even before the mappers produced the first
visualizations, that I had hoped that the San Fernando
Valley could be treated, as much as possible, as its own
city, you know --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen seconds.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: -- conceptionally, for
visualization and mapping purposes. So I'm fully in
favor of the exploration. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

And Commissioner Vazquez?

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yes. Very much in favor of
the exploration. Looks like we'll be going down that
route. Just wanted to also flag that I totally hear
the -- and agree that folks are calling in to give
feedback because they've now had something to react to,
their communities are changing. And so here's my
feedback on how, you know, my community -- how you
changing my community will impact me.

That being said, what we don't have, especially in
this eleventh hour when we're making these changes, are
those folks whose communities we kept together that we
would be splitting. They don't -- they think the map is fine. So -- and we don't usually get positive feedback anyway. So they're not also knowing to come in to protect their --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: -- community of interest to say, hey, don't split us up. So I'm also keeping those folks in mind, but it's not just who is calling in now to react to existing iterations, but that we also have to hold those in mind -- the others in mind as well.

MR. MANOFF: One minute.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. Right. And I thought of that as well. It's, like, well, people are reacting. They're reacting to what it is, and any changes we make, they're -- unfortunately, they're -- they can give us their feedback, but we're done. Yeah. Fortunately or unfortunately, however you see it. Okay. So let's go on this.

MS. CLARK: All right. So --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I think Jaime.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay, Jaime.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Take it away.

MS. CLARK: Yep. I was just going to make this change. So I made it. And then about now, SOUTHSFV is
overpopulated. And just a reminder, the exploration is around moving population this way. So now, SOUTHSFV is overpopulated and then we're going to add some population to CENTRAL and then balance between EAST and CENTRAL.

Commissioner Sadhwani, could you please guide me? Would you like me to start taking, for example, all of Tarzana? Or should I look at going, you know, north of the 101? Is there a specific vision you have in mind?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: My vision was to keep the neighborhood councils whole, to the extent possible. And recognizing that we've already cut several in the San Fernando Valley, you know, I would start with Tarzana and see what it takes to balance.

Again, I don't think this is going to be, you know, a favorite of everyone's, but I think it gets us closer to what folks were looking for.

As Jaime's doing that, I'll just note some of the testimony that we had received. And I apologize, because I had thought that the firefighters map was the community unity map, and I went back and realized my error. So my apologies for that. You know, in some of the maps, you know, I think we've talked a lot and we've received very specific testimony about, you know, creating a Latino district or two Latino districts. So you know, let me -- I'll be very open and frank about that, right?
We've tried that. And we had a lot of bad feedback on that. The community unity map actually explicitly asks for a Latino supermajority district. I'm not sure that I have -- I would necessarily want that either. But, again, I think some of these population shifts could allow us to just bring communities together in a more compact way.

And I guess, just to add, as I say all of that, it's also that reminder that this was not identified as a VRA area.

MS. CLARK: Okay. So what is highlighted is all of Tarzana, much of Encino -- or excuse me, and just a little bit of Encino here. Oh, and actually, I'm going to add a little bit more population because this is at five percent. So just one moment. And I can zoom in if anyone has questions about where these lines are.

Okay. So this is 4.86 percent or the South San Fernando Valley district would become 4.86 percent. That would include Sunland, Tujunga, Foothills Trails, Glendale north of 134, most of Burbank, greater Toluca Lake, North Hollywood Neighborhood Council, Valley Village, Studio City, Sherman Oaks including POSO, and much of Encino.

Should I -- I'm going to make this change just to continue on this exploration and then --
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. Yes, please continue and then we might have to revise some of the lines so that they'll make more sense but yeah. Thank you.

MS. CLARK: Okay. And so I highlighted all of Panorama City moving that into EASTSFV. Would you like me to also look at adding this part of North Hills?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I -- yes. I would see if that fits as well. Thank you. Thank you. I -- yeah, I didn't think it was going to work but it looks like it --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Wow, great.

MS. CLARK: So with --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Can you -- I'm sorry.

MS. CLARK: Go ahead.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Can you zoom in really quick to the AD43? Yeah, right where your hand was. Zoom in. So North -- oh, gosh. North Hills east is -- okay.

MS. CLARK: So North Hills --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: It just -- it won't fit, huh?

That little piece will not --

MS. CLARK: This was --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Uh-huh.

MS. CLARK: This was part of the balancing between 40 -- the AD46 and the Santa Clarita Valley based district. That was part of that balancing, if we recall. We could look at maybe moving the line here and
additional -- yeah, so --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: That's right. Because we need --

MS. CLARK: -- we can look at that.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- to need to take a little bit of it. That's right. Okay.

MS. CLARK: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Okay. So it -- can we zoom out just a little bit? And if you wouldn't mind, Jaime, just going over quickly what we've done. We've -- we're balanced.

MS. CLARK: Yes.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

MS. CLARK: One moment, please. So in the Santa Clarita Valley based district, again, that includes Santa Clarita Valley, and includes Granada Hills, Porter Ranch, Chatsworth, and all of Northridge, with West Hills west of the 405 and a little bit east of the 405.

And the East San Fernando Valley Assembly District includes Sylmar, the City of San Fernando, Pacoima, Mission Hills, most of North Hills east of the 405, Panorama City, Arleta, Sun Valley Neighborhood Council, North Hollywood-West Neighborhood Council, greater Valley Glen, and this portion of Burbank that includes the airport.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. Very tight deviations. Comments? We have five minutes left. So enough time for five comments.

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Sorry. I am going to have to -- I don't think this is going to work. I'm reading through some of the COI testimony on the Jewish community and Tarzana is part of that -- what they call the Ventura Boulevard Corridor. So Tarzana, Encino, Woodland Hill, Sherman Oaks. What they had asked for is to bring in Woodland Hills and West Hills as well as Valley Glen. But I think most is better than none at this point because the only other way to make, I think, that part work and then also if you were to move in West Hills, Bell Canyon, and Woodland Hills into it, you'd have to move that Canoga Park, Reseda, and all those other ones in that CENTRAL-SFV up into the SCV.

It could work if you wanted to revisit the Agua
Dulce and Acton move. And it would unite the Jewish and LGBTQ communities because they had asked for North Hollywood and Toluca Lake to be together. But it is a lot of moves and this might be one of those where we just might have to go back because at least most of that core community is together.

And I now -- you know, in reading through the testimonies, I understand where they said that they were split up into three different communities. But at least the core of most of the communities is in that -- what was the, I guess, the CENTRAL district or yeah. Anyways, that's what I'm seeing. But if anybody else sees differently.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Kristian is failing in his duties.

Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Well, I guess, if we're going to stay with what we got, my question would be how much more of Encino could we move into at AD-CENTRAL to try to unsplit that city?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: We might be able to swap it for Van Nuys.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you.
Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'm not opposed to this change. This change looks -- I mean, it's another compromise. It ends up being another compromise. I think as Commissioner Akutagawa pointed out, though, it's really hard to capture all of the COIs given all of the restrictions in this area. So -- but just wanted to say that. I'm not opposed.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: You know, looking at a lot of the Armenian COIs and what have you, the testimony, public comment that we received, to me it feels like this accomplishes for the Assembly exactly what they were asking for. So I am in favor of this move.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

Commissioner Le Mons?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: In favor as well. Support the -- I was going to suggest Encino, seeing what we could do with Encino. And if we needed to, I'd like us to be able to move all of Encino, if we could move Encino. And if so maybe balance by removing Van Nuys. So let's see where -- we have to see what Encino takes us. I think that would be a good -- because right at the Sherman Oaks, Encino, the 405 runs right through there.
So that's a good natural boundary, actually.

MS. CLARK: So adding all of Encino to the Central San Fernando Valley district, the percent deviation of the Central San Fernando Valley district would be 1.96 percent and the SOUTHSFV would be negative 1.73 percent. Would you like to make this change?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: That's good.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Fornaciari and Commissioner Le Mons? Big thumbs up.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So one thing, though, I seem to recall some testimony very early on from Sherman Oaks that there's a little tiny sliver of Sherman Oaks on the west side of the 405 freeway; is that right?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Was that POSO? I thought we fixed it.

MS. CLARK: If I -- so POSO is up here, north of sort of north of the Sherman Oaks Neighborhood Council lines. And if I recall, Commissioner Fornaciari, that -- and I remember that too. And if I recall, when they called in the -- they would sort of say, like, where the lines are on the map. So I believe that the neighborhood council boundaries might reflect --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay.

MS. CLARK: -- what they were discussing.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. Yeah. I just
wanted to make sure we didn't inadvertently cut that. So thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

And we are at time, Jaime. If you wouldn't mind zooming out. That way we can maybe ponder this during our meal break. And we will be back at 3 o'clock. Thank you so much.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Could we do the Van Nuys swap, though, just so that we could see it?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Or maybe she can do it dur -- you know, real quick, during the -- wasn't that the plan?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: But we met -- we have all of our deviations correct.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Oh, we don't have --

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yeah. We don't need it.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: We don't need it.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. We don't need the Van Nuys.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Got it. Perfect.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: All right. So we are going on break. We'll be back at 3 o'clock.
(Whereupon, a recess was held from 2:16 p.m.
until 3:00 p.m.)

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Welcome back everyone. Where we
left off is we made some changes, some tentative changes,
to the San Fernando Valley. And we left it here so that
everyone can contemplate some of the changes that we've
made.

Jaime, if you wouldn't mind just going through those
changes one more time and then we will take comments from
the Commissioners, please. Thank you.

MS. CLARK: No problem. So in this SCV district, we
have Santa Clarita Valley with Granada Hills, Porter
Ranch, Northridge, North Hills West and Chatsworth.

For the Central San Fernando Valley district, this
now includes Belle Canyon, West Hills, Canoga Park,
Winnetka, Woodland Hills, Reseda, Lake Balboa, Tarzana,
Encino, and Van Nuys north of Oxnard.

The South San Fernando Valley district includes
POSO, Sherman Oaks, Studio City, Valley Village, North
Hollywood Neighborhood Council, greater Toluca Lake, much
of the city of Burbank, and Glendale north of 134 with
Sunland Tujunga and Foothills Trails.

And EASTSFV includes Sylmar, San Fernando, Mission
Hills, most of North Hills, East Pacoima, Arleta,
Panorama City, North Hollywood West, Sun Valley, and
greater Valley Glen.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Jaime.

Commissioner Toledo and Le Mons?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: What I like about these districts is that they're a little bit more compact than they were previously. You know, overall, we're keeping together more COIs. That's what it's seeming like. And from the feedback that's coming in through Voters FIRST, it sounds like there's support for this. So I'm supportive of these changes.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

Commissioner Le Mons then Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Likewise, like where this is gone. I do have a question since we have that negative 1.7 deviation in the South San Fernando Valley. Would it be possible to include that at -- the rest of that Burbank area? Or is the population that's in that little chunk too much?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I think, I believe if we take the rest of Burbank, it's going to put East San Fernando Valley, it will probably put it over five percent.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Well, it'll reduce it, won't it?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, never mind. It'll reduce it.

Sorry, right after lunch.
COMMISSIONER LE MONS: No worries.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, okay.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: So that's the only comment that I have. That might be that last little cherry if we could have Burbank be whole.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah. That would be a good addition. Thank you. Let's make that change also.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: And I believe it's the Burbank Airport that is moving back into the City of Burbank, which makes a whole lot of sense. So thank you for that.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. A lot of sense.

Okay. Commissioner Turner and then Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: I didn't find it quick enough. I wanted to ask in regards to La Crescenta or whatever. Was that -- the way the name is so long it was -- looks like it's in both districts, but it did not make it with Burbank. Was that part of the testimony we've been getting to -- that as well?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: La Crescenta.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: It's not currently in. It's about La Crescenta.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Oh, yes.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: And I do know that La
Crescenta is a part of the Glendale School District. I will just note that.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. So I think --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: What's the -- what is that population, Jaime? It looks like it might be more than what our deviations would support. But then again, I got it opposite last time, so we never know.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: No.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Oh, it does.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: It does.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yep, it does.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: It looks like it'll work. And it sounds like that would be a good move because it's part of the Glendale School District. Okay. Lots of great ideas -- lunch -- that lunch period seems to have worked for all of us. That's great. So let's go ahead and make that move, please.

And Commissioner Akutagawa and then Commissioner Andersen?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I'm also seeing COI testimony from the Jewish community that they're happy with the groupings as it is right now. I also saw additional COI testimony, I believe the LGBTQ community had to ask for Valley Glen, I believe, to also be
included with -- is it already included?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: With which one?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay, so there's -- I thought Valley Glen was asked to be put with North Hollywood and Toluca Lake. I know that we heard some callers ask about that. And then there's also -- I've seen additional now -- COI testimony around keeping all of the North Hollywoods also together and not split. So I don't know if there's a way to balance all of that as well too?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: The North Hollywoods, it looks like they are -- are they not together? Wait.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: It's hard to see.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I know.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I know.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I'm just trying to look at the lines too.

MS. CLARK: So the COI testimony, requests that greater Toluca Lake goes with North Hollywood, Valley Village, and greater Valley Glen. All these three areas are all North Hollywood Neighborhood Council areas. Valley Glen, North Hollywood West and North Hollywood Northeast, are currently in the East San Fernando Valley district.

North Hollywood Neighborhood Council, greater Toluca
Lake, and Valley Village are currently in the South San Fernando Valley neighbor -- or district.

And also just a quick note, is that there is a little piece, a little part of Bob Hope Airport. That's the airport in Burbank that is included in City of Los Angeles. Just a note for you to know. And right now it's currently split by this district line.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: So can -- I know we just moved in La Crescenta. But to keep an LGBT COI together would -- if we were to move in, at the very least, Valley Glen, however, there was COI testimony saying that keeping all of the North Hollywoods together would unite poor working class communities and I wanted to just note that. And lastly, I think Burbank should -- Burbank Airport should be all together.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. I would agree with the Burbank Airport being together. That would be a quick fix. In terms of the North Hollywood, did you say also with the greater Toluca because we could not do that, because that would split that. That would split the district.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I do -- what I saw is that all of the North Hollywoods wanted to be kept together instead of prioritizing wealthier areas. To instead, you know, keep the working class communities together. I
don't -- I do know that North Hollywood Neighborhood Council Proper wanted to be with Toluca Lake. So if we were to bring in the rest of the North Hollywoods along with Valley Glen, it could satisfy both. But I don't know if the numbers work.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

Let me go to Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Can we start with the airport? And then -- I did see that Equality California had given us support on the Encino piece and was -- and seemed to be in support of our move to add all of Encino into -- and unifying the LGBTQ community. So I'm hoping that we have unified those communities as best as possible given the testimony that we're hearing from them. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Jaime.

Do we have any opposition of including the airport, moving that in? Okay, let's go ahead and do that.

And then we have Commissioner Le Mons.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yeah. I'm trying to consider what Commissioner Akutagawa is saying. I'm curious as to what she's seeing as the tradeoff because I do think that the Glendale, La Crescenta, Montrose should all -- Burbank, that should all stay together. So I'm just curious as to what she sees as a tradeoff because we need to do it probably -- can you scroll up just a little bit,
Jaime?

Because we definitely want to keep North Hollywood and Toluca Lake together. The two that are next to each other, they need to stay. So if they -- if they're moved, they would have to both be moved. And then what would come out? It looks like the only thing that could potentially shift might be Van Nuys. And --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And if you take the North Hollywood with Toluca Lake, you'd have to take the Valley and the Studio City and Sherman Oaks because you'd --

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Oh, that's right, because they're all in with Burbank.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. You'd have to take all of it. Right.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: That's right.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I guess the other possibility might be -- does the line -- is Van Nuys in with Lake Balboa?

MS. CLARK: Yes.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: So what might work -- oh, no, no, no. Okay. I pass.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. CLARK: Chair, if I may?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. Yes, Jaime?
MS. CLARK: I believe that the proposal was to look at including the North Hollywood areas and greater Valley Glen in with the SOUTHSFV so then you wouldn't have to move Sherman Oaks and Studio City.

And then the population tradeoff would be around, you know, moving areas out of SOUTHSFV, somewhere else. And then either doing a two-district trade between EASTSFV and SOUTHSFV or something else.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for clarifying that.

Commissioner Vazquez, then Akutagawa, then Andersen and Toledo.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: I'll hold my comment because it's not specifically on this issue.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Andersen?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: To do -- to make this, would it help if Sherman Oaks -- and I again, don't know if population might blow it up. If that went to CENTRAL so then you could actually have the Studio City -- you know, Studio City and all the North Hollywoods together in, I guess, EASTSF, and then possibly -- I don't know the populations, again, but the Sunland Tujunga go over to EASTSFV. Jaime, I don't know if -- you know populations. Would -- is that a possibility at all?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I was trying to look up the
population.

MS. CLARK: Yeah. Off the top of my head, I'm not entirely sure. I do remember from just moving this area in from EASTSFV into SOUTHSFV that that was about 60,000 people. And that's about, you know, fifteen percent of an Assembly District. So yeah, off the top of my head, I'm not quite sure.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Then the other idea would be -- is -- well, no. It still re -- I was talking about putting the -- taking things out of SOUTHSF and putting them up with EASTSF but I'll stop.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you.

Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I'm -- at this point, I feel I believe we have looked at many options, created a more compact area. It's -- you know, no area's going to be perfect. But -- and we've united some of the Jewish and Armenian COIs that were important to us, kept other COIs together, and created working class district. I'm comfortable with this map and I'm ready to move on to another area. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. And I believe we could keep moving on, and on, and on, and switching things out for hours, and hours, and days, and weeks, and months.

Commissioner Vazquez?
COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yes. So small -- I actually -- I don't know that I disagree but I'm curious just in terms of our referencing our conversation about community assets. I'm wondering if folks would be really opposed to actually reverting the line that divides the Burbank Airport back to the city boundaries of Burbank and LA, just because, again, when we think about state issues this community and the San Fernando Valley does have, you know, some stake in the airport which does, you know, impact -- have a lot of, I think, community impacts by living right next to it.

So that would be my recommendation, is to actually move that line back so that that small portion of the airport is in AD43.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. I guess, I can see the benefit of having -- of doing it both ways.

Any other comments regarding the airport line? And we will -- we really do need to move on. And hopefully we can get to a point where there's consensus and we can move on.

Commissioner Akutagawa, did you have another comment? And again, one minute, Kristian, please.

Akutagawa, Turner, Andersen, and Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I was just going to say, you know, if we had not made the La Crescenta move
first, you know, the possibility of making these other
moves could've been, you know, considered as well too.
But you know, I can live with this. I mean, I'm fine.
I'm just trying to unite -- you know, because -- and I
just want to note that at one point, when I had raised
bringing these communities together including La
Crescenta and La Cañada, the Commission felt that it was
not necessary or that it -- there wasn't a desire to make
a move. And that there was also a desire to keep other
communities together too and that Burbank and Glendale
will unite, at least a core part of the Armenian
community.

I'm just also thinking about -- at least thinking
about what the other COI testimony that we got around
Valley Glen, particularly being --

MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- together with North
Hollywood and Toluca Lake. Thank you. But otherwise,
okay.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.
Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. I was going to give my
support for the Burbank Airport. I actually see it both
ways. And when Commissioner Vazquez lifted the one
community needing -- having a voice also in the
administration of, and the impact of the airport, I can see where it might make sense for them to also have it. So maybe a split isn't a bad thing.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

Commissioner Andersen?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I do appreciate the idea of, you know, sort of joint use -- joint sort of custody of an airport. However, just for regular day-to-day operations, in terms of just regular filing of reports with the FAA, that means you have to get both counties to just sign off on -- it doesn't have to do with your changing things. It's just, this is how we operate. These are the reports. Now, it takes twice as much time. And for -- so I would really not -- I'd like it -- I like the way it is. I would not like to change it back.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. Having lived under an airport flight path, I'm always in favor of having airport-impacted communities fully engaged with the airport. So anything that fully engages the airport-impacted community with the airport, I'm good for. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So you would be in favor of bringing the line back?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yeah.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Correct? Okay.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'll support that as well, given the -- that more engagement is better. And so hopefully we have consensus on the airport.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So general consensus for moving it back? I do know that Commissioner Le Mons had suggested it. And I did hear Commissioner Andersen's objection to it.

And Commissioner Taylor?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yeah. I would be for moving it back. And I think we have COI testimony that spoke to the impacted communities of the flight path.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

Commissioner Le Mons?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: No objection to moving it back at all.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. And so with that, we will -- appears to be consensus, general consensus. So let's move it back.

And then, at this point, I would like to see if there's general consensus to accept what we have right now. If there's any objections to that, or any comments
or concerns --

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. So I would like to get a response on Valley Glen versus La Crescenta, Montrose because I want to remind everybody that there was a comment, actually several comments, that it was okay without it being in this district. So is it -- is -- there was quite a bit of COI testimony also to bring in Valley Glen to unite the LGBTQ COI as well too. And you could see that it could balance if you take one out.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Jaime, could we see the population for each one, please? Thank you.

And then while she does that, Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you. And I just wanted to -- because I want to support, particular I think I hear you saying, Commissioner Akutagawa, this is for the Armenian COIs and testimony. And that actually is what I was trying to do in bringing in La Crescenta. But perhaps -- I want to make sure we have the right area. And so you have testimony wanting them not to have this portion, and it's La Crescenta Valley. So it says La Crescenta -- and it gives some specific areas, but maybe we're working on the same way from two different perspectives. But I just wanted to kind of determine
which -- what are we trying to do? And they both seem to be for the Armenian population.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

MS. CLARK: La Crescenta 20,000 people, and I'm going to highlight those other neighborhood areas right now.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. So thank you, Commissioner Akutagawa for raising that. Again, I live in this area, so whatever the will of the Commission is, is fine with me. I can see this about La Crescenta. Definitely I would -- I can -- if COI testimony is saying that it's a part of the Armenian community, I would completely believe that. There is a large community of Armenians and certainly Armenian schools, for example, in La Crescenta.

That being -- and La Crescenta is a part of the Glendale School District. That being said, there's also a lot of affinity between La Crescenta and La Cañada. They are definitely different communities, for sure. And La Crescenta, you know, certainly connects further up with Tujunga and Sunland. There's a lot of connectivity there. That being said, if it goes back with La Cañada, I don't think it's the worst thing in the world for the
community. There's also a lot of cross pollination there also. So either way.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. And it looks like the other area is 110,000.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. If you were to just move Valley Glen not -- I don't think -- I didn't think all of North Hollywood was going to be possible, but --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So just the greater Valley.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- I didn't think it was going to be that much more. It's very dense, it looks like.

Okay. That's okay. It looks like it's just without all of it, it's already 42,000. That's not going to work, I don't think. I think it'll throw the deviations off way too much. Okay. No, that's okay.

And even going the other way just trying to unite all of the Hollywoods, I don't think is going to work. That's much, much more people. So thank you for the exploration. I think at least the community knows we tried. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes, definitely. And thank you. Okay, so the question now is, are we comfortable with this? Do we have any issues with the changes that have been made?

Commissioner Sadhwani and Commissioner Turner?
No. The airport is split. Yes. Oh, okay. No more hands. Okay. Do we have any concerns? Anyone have any concerns or comments regarding the changes? Is there general consensus that we are comfortable with the changes that were made? Okay. We're going to lock it in. Thank you.

MS. CLARK: Where would you like to go next?
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. I'm going to my list. Sorry. I've got Highlands, was split three times. And we've got Victor Valley with Hesperia, Riverside and then to, I believe, San Diego, Kensington. And then we move north.

MS. CLARK: I'm happy to look at this Hesperia split with you and the rest of that is Tivan's area.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay, great. And so the -- ooh, who was -- was that you, Commissioner Kennedy? Yes.
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes. Thanks. It was just to look at that squiggle between Oak Hills and Hesperia and see if there's a reason for that or --
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I like that word. The squiggle.
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Okay. So that's -- those are the actual city limits. Then I'm fine with it. Thank you.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. You get the prize. You get the bonus today.
MS. CLARK: Chair, would you like to move on to the rest of Southern California now?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. What do we have? We have Highland and UC Riverside. And then in San Diego, Kensington. Is that Sivan? Hello, Sivan.

MS. TRATT: It is. Hello.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Welcome.

MS. TRATT: Thank you, so much.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: That was Neil. Neil let us know that he was around. So thank you, Neil. You're driving. Please be careful when you're driving. We want you here safely. Okay. Okay, Sivan, so where are we? I'm sorry.

MS. TRATT: Yeah. So I just zoomed into the districts that have a portion of Highland.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, Highland.

MS. TRATT: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. So let's --

MS. TRATT: So as you can see, it's split between three districts, AD44, 34, and 47. Both the 34th and 47th districts are at a 4.98 and 4.99 percent --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. TRATT: -- deviation respectively. And then SBCHR is a VRA district. So those are -- just setting the scene for your discussion.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. So thank you for the
reminder of the VRA. Is there a possibility of that part of Highlands that is going into the AD34, is there a possibility that the population could fit into AD44? But of course, that is a VRA. So I don't know if, Commissioner Akutagawa, if you were -- I believe this was yours. I don't want to take it away from you.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I was only asking because I saw COI testimony just noting that it was split three times. And I know that we're trying to avoid splitting smaller cities three times. So it was just to look at it and to see if it was and if it's not, then we can move on.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. But it's -- it seems like that would be the only -- because the other -- the other two districts are just about five percent. So it looks like we'd have to see if it can go into the AD44.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: And then there was also a question -- there was also similar testimony about Redlands being split three times too. But again, it might just not be possible.

MS. TRATT: So Chair, I've just highlighted the portion of Highland that's in district 34 to see what it would do moving into district 44. It looks like if that population was moved into 44, the resulting deviation would be acceptable on both ends at 1.94 percent and 2.35
percent. The resulting Latino CVAP for SBCHR, which is our VRA district, would be 54.03. And it is currently at 54.75. So potentially, we could consult with VRA counsel --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes, definitely.
MS. TRATT: -- to see if that is --
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes.
MS. TRATT: -- still acceptable.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Thank you, Sivan.
Do we have counsel here? Dale -- is Dale here?
COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Sivan, would you mind showing the rest of the numbers in the statistics box? I like that, Chair.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Toledo.
Thank you, Commissioner Vazquez.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. Thank you. I was going to look at the other boxes as well. Thank you.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Sivan, could you read those off to me in terms of what the numbers would be?
MS. TRATT: Absolutely. So the black CVAP currently of district 44 is 15.64 percent. It would become 15.51 percent. So a slight decrease.
Asian CVAP currently 4.8 percent, would be raised slightly to 4.96 percent. And Indigenous CVAP currently .6 percent. And it looks like it would remain .6
percent. Did you want white CVAP as well? I don't have it on the labels but I can add it to the label if you would like.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I think that's okay. I was kind of able to add it in my head.

MS. TRATT: Okay.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Unless somebody else wants it, then that's fine. Why don't we go ahead and put it?

MS. TRATT: And commit the change?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: No. I actually wanted it --

MS. TRATT: Oh, put it on the label. Yes. Yes.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: On the label. Yes. I'm sorry.

MS. TRATT: No. Thank you for clarifying.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And then, is Fred here?

MS. TRATT: Yes. Just one moment please.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. Mr. Woocher's on.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, Mr. Woocher, could you please -- I'm not sure if you are following along what we're doing here. We were trying to see if we could minimize one of the splits in Highlands. And the only place that seemed to have the room or the capacity for it would be to move it into the AD44 district which is a VRA.

MR. WOOCHER: Okay.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: It would decrease however, the
Latino CVAP from 54.75 to 54.03. So I'd like your opinion on that.

MR. WOOCHER: I think your --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: It also decreases the African American.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, and it decreases -- yes. I'm sorry. It decreases the African American from 15.64 to 15.51. And it increases the Asian from 4.8 percent to 4.96 percent. And the Indigenous stays about the same and the white CVAP increases from 22.98 to 23.7.

MR. WOOCHER: Yeah. I saw those figures. I don't think that presents a problem. We have evidence there of some cohesive voting between the -- at least between Latino and black communities and you have pretty comfortable margins still to make that an effective opportunity to district. So I think reducing that slightly is not going to be a problem.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Any -- I do have a concern. I don't like decreasing the Latino CVAP. And we're also decreasing slightly the African American CVAP. So that's my concern at this point.

Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Can you say quickly, Chair, remind me, what is the gain? Why did we do this?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, because right now Highlands is
split three times. And so we were trying to minimize one
of the splits. And the only district that had the
capacity to take in the extra population at this point
was the AD44 district, which is currently a VRA district.

Commissioner Toledo and then Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I would support what we have.
I mean, this is a VRA district, and we did work to keep
the VRA numbers as high as we could. So reducing it
after so much work because we did put a lot of work into
keeping it at this level, in the last minute, you know,
it's -- I would just keep what we have. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Ahmad?

VICE CHAIR AHMAD: Thank you, Chair. I too, would
support what we originally had. And I understand that
Highland would have three splits in the city. However,
VRA is our number two criteria. So I will be prior --
like us to prioritize that prior criteria.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

And Commissioner Andersen and then Commissioner
Sinay?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I do have a question. I
understand that, you know, the AD44 -- wow, I remembered,
great, kind of squeezed SBCHR VRA. Is the one that
drives the other part of Highland down into Yorba Linda
[sic], Calimesa, is that also a -- I don't even know what
that one is.

MS. TRATT: That is not a VRA district.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: That one's not. So --

MS. TRATT: But it is at a 4.98 percent deviation.

So we would have to look at moving population out.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. It wouldn't be --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: The population of which

one -- that this contains is 13,000? What was the --

what's out Highland population?

MS. TRATT: Yes, 13,064.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Could we possibly find

another little area to take out to make -- to do this,

not in the VRA district but in the MBCV? It's not a lot

of population. I'd like to minimize those splits in

Highland if at all possible.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. But then -- remind me,

Sivan, AD60 is -- that's a VRA district? Is it? I

can't -- I'm sorry.

MS. TRATT: Yes. That is correct. AD60 is a VRA

district, as is AD58.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. And that's the challenge

that we get into, is once we move it, there are VRA

districts that we are very protective of. So --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. And those are VRA all

the way around it except for VVHD; is that correct? Is
that what we're saying, that surround MBCV? So that's
the only area we have to exchange any population with.
That's a question.

  CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right.

  COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Can we scoot out just a bit
further, please, Sivan? So we can see the borders.

  CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

  Commissioners Sinay?

  COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. This is very similar to
what we looked at for Santa Cruz. And, you know, we all
just collectively agreed that we wish we could do more,
but we can't, because VRA is -- you know, we're proud of
the -- our VRA districts and really, we could probab -- I
think we should be consistent.

  CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

  Commissioner Vazquez?

  COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yes. I would also like to
move on. We spent a lot of time getting particularly the
numbers in Riverside up to that fifty percent. And I
think a lot -- I think we'd be undoing some of that work.

  CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Any other comments?

  If not, we will move on to our next item. Okay. So
let's move on to UC Riverside, please. And that will be
Commissioner Yee.

  COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you, Chair. So we got a
request from UC Riverside to be included in with the
greater part of the City of Riverside with whom they have
many operating arrangements and shared interests. So
they actually had the campus architect send shapefiles
which had been forwarded to Sivan. So perhaps she has
them ready to show us.

MS. TRATT: Yes. One moment, please. So did you
want to explain the swaps, Commissioner Yee, or should I
point them out?

COMMISSIONER YEE: You can point them out, please.

MS. TRATT: Okay. So these -- the submitted lines
are in purple, and the Commission's district lines are in
black. Again, I would note, JRC is a VRA district. I
have the Latino CVAP displaying below the district
number, but I don't know what the decimal value is. So
it might be 51.6 -- it might be lower than 51.63 or it
might be higher. I'm not sure how that's rounded in
their labels. So we would have to make the swaps to see
what it exactly would be.

But you can note no major changes in population,
mostly just differing splits. So you can see in the City
of Eastvale, the split is slightly different. It splits
Corona slightly differently. It excludes El Cerrito.
This is, I think, the main area of interest here where
the UC Riverside campus is. My assumption would be that
some of the other splits and changes were to preserve the Latino CVAP at the same level that the Commission has, although I did not make these lines, nor have I tried these swaps myself. So we would have to go on that journey together.

But yeah, other than that, just an exclusion of the Air Force base here. And the inclusion of Meadowbrook would be the main differences that I'm seeing in this change.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you, Sivan. So this -- these were the lines submitted by the campus architect. I didn't realize it was so extensive. I thought they just involved the campus itself.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And I believe that the testimony was it wanted to be connected to, like, the downtown area or -- so for me, in my mind, I thought it was a more extensive area. I just didn't realize what the population was going to be. Any comments regarding this?

Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. So I -- in general, I'm very supportive of bringing UC Riverside into that district. Is it actually -- oh, it's -- the issue is that we have it cut; is that it? I see.

COMMISSIONER YEE: It's not -- the campus is whole in our district, but it's in the AD63 rather than 58.
They want to be in 58.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Was AD63 another VRA district? No. No.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: No. AD63 is not a VRA.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Got it. Okay. So they want to be in the JRC.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I mean, in general, I support the exploration to try to do so. I -- what -- from what I know of UC Riverside, and I think maybe Commissioner Vazquez has more on this. But, you know, certainly a lot of local community members end up going to UC Riverside. So I do think it's a community asset that makes sense to be kept together in this district.

My only concern, however, is when -- Sivan, when you had up the -- or I can see it now. It says it's at .51. I'm curious what happens after the one. They've rounded down to two decimal points, because we're at 51.63. So we're in a lower margin there. So I would want to just be a little cautious as we proceed.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. I think if we -- just commit to it. I mean, we can always back it out. Once we commit to it, we could actually see where the decimal point -- where it goes.

MS. TRATT: I would assume that it is rounded down,
though. Commissioners Sadhwani, I think you're correct.

It is, like, 51.5 or lower, I would assume.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. Right. It has gone down slightly.

Commissioner Toledo and Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I would support the change as long as the CVAP in the VRA district doesn't go down. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I was thinking initially, you know, we certainly want to entertain requests for institutions like UCR to be united. What we don't normally take on is requests to be specifically in one district or another. But what I can say is that my understanding of this request is because UCR has facilities in downtown, including their art facility and so forth. That's the basis for their request to be in AD58. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So you would support looking at it, correct?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. So --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'm supportive of keeping them whole. And if keeping them whole involves moving them into that district, then, you know, bottom line is
yes. You know, I think the bulk of their facilities are in and around the main campus, but they do have some outlying facilities. And so I mean, I'm not, pushing hard for this one because I don't know that the outlying facilities are that big of a proportion of their facilities, but I am in general, supportive of keeping them whole. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. So why don't we go ahead --

COMMISSIONER YEE: So Chair, can I --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. So I'm really surprised actually, by the campus architect's extensive plan here. I was -- you know, the campus itself is fairly low population because it's mostly a commuter school. I wonder if we could just check the population of simply the campus area that would be moving over?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER YEE: So that's the area right around -- yeah. Yeah, just that. And maybe we ourselves could make the necessary population swap.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Good idea.

MS. TRATT: So Commissioner Yee, sorry. Could you just clarify? It's currently whole, I believe, in --

COMMISSIONER YEE: In 63.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: In 63.
MS. TRATT: In 63. Okay. So select all of this and see how many people?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. I guess we would have to take Belvedere Heights as well, since --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: It's --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Otherwise, we would strand it.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Okay. So the entire top of that. Yeah. That'll make it more complicated.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Unless we moved it to the 60, the AD60.

COMMISSIONER YEE: We could do that. Yeah.

MS. TRATT: One moment, please, while I make those change -- or make those selections.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And I'm just going to make a comment. I have seen it in some of the major cities, is you do have campuses that are splits, they have off sites. I do know Loyola Marymount has their main campus, but then they have their -- the law process, like, downtown. So it's not uncommon to have this.

MS. TRATT: So should I continue the line south to Central Ave or stop at Martin Luther King or at the freeway?

COMMISSIONER YEE: What was the original blue line from the architect?
MS. TRATT: It looked like it -- I can follow that line.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. Let's follow that line.

MS. TRATT: Okay. All right. So the highlighted population is 17,000 people.

COMMISSIONER YEE: So I'm thinking to move Belvedere Heights and to AD60 and then just move the campus.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. That's what I was -- needed to see the whole thing.

MS. TRATT: So AD60 is a VRA district. I would just point out this is the one that we have worked to get to 51.14 percent.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, that's right. That's right.

MS. TRATT: So --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: We went through quite a bit of --

MS. TRATT: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

MS. TRATT: Unfortunately.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I'm thinking we might have to keep it the way it is, the way -- right now. Is there -- are there any other comments from the Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I would just keep it the way it is at this point. I believe we keep it whole. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Well, it is whole. It's just not
all in one district.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I see your hand's up --

COMMISSIONER YEE: And it's in the district it wants.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- Commissioner Kennedy, it's just not on on the -- but that's okay. I'm calling on you.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I -- could -- Sivan, could you zoom back in? I'm looking at their online campus map and I'm seeing the arts center that they have in downtown. But if we look at the at the main campus and there is a portion across the 60 and 215. And as long as we have all of that, the main campus and the west campus, then I think I'm going to be okay. But could you zoom in some more?

MS. TRATT: Yes. Could you say again where that is so I can --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: The west campus is south of Martin Luther King and west of the 215.

MS. TRATT: Okay. So that would be --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Right.

MS. TRATT: Right here.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yeah.

MS. TRATT: Which I believe is highlighted in the red. So it is whole with the rest of the campus.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: And east of Chicago. Yeah, I
think I'm okay with that. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Great. Great. Thank you, everyone. Sorry, we couldn't do it. But now we've got two Assembly people that you can work with, which is great. That's always great to have more people on your side. Oh --

COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you for trying.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- of course. Thank you for bringing this up.

And our last area, I believe, for south is going to San Diego, I believe, Normal Heights and Kensington. And that is Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yes. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Just one second. We're going to get there first. Are we there?

MS. TRATT: Not yet. I am just --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.


CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. TRATT: Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: And this was a request that came out of COI testimony for Equality California, wanting us to just take a look at our east to west
boundary in the Normal Heights and Kensington area.

   It's kind of jagged, zigzag. I think we did some
   work in that area. And they're suggesting just a clean
   line either across at Meade or El Cajon. So this is in
   Normal Heights and Kensington, that area. And it's that
   jagged part down towards the bottom we're looking at.
   
   And they're saying the way we have the lines drawn
   is splitting some of the COIs in the area. So the
   request is that we just make it a straight line. I think
   Meade was the closest and the further was El Cajon, at
   either of those two. And that's between -- let's see,
   from 805 to Montezuma.

   MS. TRATT: Okay. So just to confirm I'm
   understanding your directions, it would be move the line,
   the northern line of AD79 south to El Cajon Boulevard; is
   that correct?

   COMMISSIONER TURNER: To either Meade which is
   before El Cajon --

   MS. TRATT: Okay.

   COMMISSIONER TURNER: -- or El Cajon.

   MS. TRATT: Okay, So shall we look and see what
   moving to Meade would do? And then if that looks okay,
   add into El Cajon?

   CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Let's --

   Commissioner Sinay?
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. The reason the line is jagged, I had to look it up, because El Cajon makes sense. That is the main road, one of the main roads and it goes all the way to university. But we intentionally did it this way. There are parts that we could clean up like the Kensington part, but we tried to keep the City Heights community and it's kind of spillover -- not spillover, but it's expanded into other areas.

So south of Adams -- in Normal Heights, south of Adams is considered part of El Cajon. And then the community had -- we had gotten testimony where to split it -- the university, we could maybe go a little further south. But I just want us to keep in mind, the refugee and immigrant communities in this area and the working -- you know, class. You know, this is where a lot of that affordable housing is found.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. So I'm trying to understand where you would draw the line.

Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. So I would keep Adams in Normal Heights, and I think -- and then maybe go down the 15. And then -- oh, no. I don't want to do that. Yeah, you see, there's a lot of canyons in here. And that's why it does all these little squiggles but I see what
they're saying. If there was a line -- I wish there was a -- there's a line but it's the 8 freeway so then that gets messed up, to connect with Fairmont.

Because what's happening is Kensington's being split right now and that's where the -- kind of the issue is.

So if -- I'm trying to keep -- so if we go -- I see -- okay, so they like Meade but I would keep Adams by Normal Heights because that whole south of Adams is -- some people consider that part of City Heights or, you know, expansion. And then go down -- can you -- can we use -- we can use 15 and then go to Meade and put -- so Kensington's all together.

MS. TRATT: Okay. So that would leave the line where it is in the Normal Heights neighborhood and that would bring the line where it currently is in Kensington south on the 15 and then continue eastward on Meade Avenue, correct?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: So where's --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And that goes opposite of -- or not opposite, but that's not inclusive of Commissioner Turner's.

Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. I was wondering if Sivan --
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Sivan.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Where is -- because the request is from Normal -- oh, excuse me. From the 805 to Montezuma. And I heard what Commissioner Sinay said about that first portion of it in Normal. But where -- Montezuma comes down. So they're looking for that straight line all the way to Montezuma. So that's up there. Down -- does it come down at some point, north south?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: It should by the university, I think. Go further to the east.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: It seems to go east.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: East, west.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Go further to the east. Yeah. Go further to the east because that's where -- the university's street.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. They were giving that straight line, Meade or El Cajon from 805 to Montezuma is what the COI testimony said. And when -- okay.

MS. TRATT: Yeah. I'm not seeing where Montezuma starts running south unfortunately. It looks like it mostly runs -- I mean, maybe they mean here, where it is a little bit southward.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. I see.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So I was --
MS. TRATT: But it still doesn't look right.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. I would assume they did not submit a map, like, a map visual probably?
MS. TRATT: I have other LGBTQ COIs for this neighborhood that were not -- they were just submitted by individuals, but I can display those if it would be helpful.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So I do see where El Cajon hits Montezuma. Where is says -- yeah, right there.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Kennedy?
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I'm just wanting to clarify, Commissioner Sanai, was saying that using Adams in the Normal Heights area, but then Sivan was saying leaving the line as it is. Well, the line doesn't follow Adams itself all the way across.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. It goes up a little.
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: So I -- Commissioner Sinay, could you please clarify? Thank you.
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. I don't know if it looks funny just because of the cell blocks we had to take. But yes, if it followed Adams, I think it would work.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So we could make that line straight?
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. That line could be
straight for my friends.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Nope. For all Californians, it can be straight.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: No, no. I was saying for my OCD.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, for me? Oh, thank you. Thank you. I forgot about my --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I was saying it for that reason.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: It is for all Californians.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: In Kensington, though, I would go -- once you hit the 5 I would go down to Meade so that we don't split the community of Kensington.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay. I guess, if we're going to go on this journey, I would be curious since Sivan did mention that she's received other, I guess, I'm assuming shapefiles or I don't know what exactly you received, Sivan. But you mentioned that you've received from other individuals. And I'm a little concerned that, you know, as individuals are also submitting things that were --

MS. TRATT: Oh, no, no.
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay.

MS. TRATT: Sorry, I -- excuse me. I misspoke or there was a misunderstanding. I have a selection of COIs that were kind of patterns. When the Commission did their COI review --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay.

MS. TRATT: -- I was not yet a lead mapper, but I was behind the scenes going through everything that had been submitted from live public testimony, Draw My CA mapping tool. And so I had pulled a few, knowing that the Commission might ask to see frequently mentioned places like Little Arabia in Orange County or City Heights, or the that Asian business COI. So it's not -- it wasn't emailed to me by an individual. It was just an example of an LGBTQ COI in the City of San Diego that I had pulled from the same database that the Commission has access to, just for clarification.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: If it's something quick, I mean, could we just see it?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: But it was -- I'm sorry. Is it specific to LGBTQ because this is what this communities of interest, what the information is, I believe that Commissioner Turner was referring to.

MS. TRATT: So again, these were just community submissions from, I believe, either Draw My CA, or during
a live testimony over the summer. So for example, this
is one in a similar area. And then here's another one.
But you can see they both do include Kensington and
Normal Heights together.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. TRATT: I don't know if that was helpful or not.

I'm sorry.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I'd have to say, not so helpful.

But that's okay.

Commissioner Sinay? Did you have another question?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: No. I was just thinking, I
might be making it more complicated than it needs to be.

You know, we could just go straight down Meade. And I
just don't know where it hits the other piece that they
were asking. And I just wanted to keep my eyes on the
deviations.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Deviations.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So that -- yeah.

MS. TRATT: The deviations are actually moving in
the right direction.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: They're fine.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay.

MS. TRATT: So we have quite a bit of wiggle room
because moving all of this population from 79 into 78
would put 78's deviation at 3.8 and 79's deviation at
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Can we also have this CVAPs? I just want to make sure that we don't -- El Cajon wouldn't work then we would cut parts of City Heights.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: And Chair, if you would, it looks --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. I was going to go to you. Thank you, Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: It looks like Mead actually ends right about where that block is. It starts to turn into something else. So maybe this is a good selection here.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Does this -- Commissioner Turner, does this match --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: The COI --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: What you're -- yeah. What you're --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: It basically is asking that we cleaned up the line in the Normal Heights, Kensington. It zigzags all over the place and splits the -- those communities. We recommend a clean line on Meade Avenue or El Cajon. And it said, from 805 to Montezuma, but since 805 -- since Meade -- we didn't see going to Montezuma --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right.
COMMISSIONER TURNER: -- perhaps we can stop where we are. I don't see Meade on the other side at all.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. So let's go back.

MS. TRATT: I think they were talking about El Cajon meeting with Montezuma, which is right here. I can add this population to see if we can fit it in our deviation as well if the Commission would be interested in seeing that.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Can you go back. That's a -- that's just not a straight line. That's huge. And I do not think -- well, I think maybe just this would be sufficient only because if you go all the way out, I'm thinking we're going to be out -- our deviations are -- it's going to be higher than five percent, I'm thinking, at this point. We could try really quick, but I don't know.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. And I don't have any more than what I've read to you --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: -- so I would, at the very least would want this. Other than that, I would be making up something and I might be grabbing something that they didn't intend so.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

Commissioner Ahmad?
VICE CHAIR AHMAD: Thank you, Chair. And just to echo Commissioner Turner's points, I do see COI testimony that takes us down to El Cajon. But this line right here to Meade Avenue is also recommended for this change. I too wouldn't want to go deeper into taking in more population, just because I would have to review the COI testimony from that other area. So I'm supportive of this change.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And this change does seem to -- as Commissioner Sinay said, it does seem to bring whole Normal Heights and Kensington. So do we have -- or Commissioner Toledo, do we have any concerns with this?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: No concerns. I'm just hoping we -- if we're all in consensus, we can accept it and move on. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. That's what I'm trying to get to. So thank you so much for the reminder. Are there -- I've been trying. Are there any --

VICE CHAIR AHMAD: You're doing great, Chair.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- any concerns? Okay. At this point, I say we lock it in. Thank you.

MS. TRATT: Oops, sorry. I was still muted. Yes. That change is completed. Where would we --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Great. And I think we are done with Southern California. We are going to go to
Sacramento.

MS. TRATT: Great. I will stop --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: With Kennedy.

MS. TRATT: -- sharing my screen and pass it over to Kennedy.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, we can be done with Sacramento. I'm good. It wasn't an issue that I brought up or a concern. It was Carmich -- are we good? I have testimony the other way too. So it's both ways.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I'd say, let's go. Let's do what's on the list.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Just do what's on the list.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah. This is Commissioner Sadhwani. Yeah?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible).

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: No. No. Akutagawa brought up Oak Park line -- boundary and Sadhwani brought up Carmichael.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Oh, Carmichael.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Oh, this is me?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Oh, man. I'm sorry.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And you were, like, I'm fine.

Sorry, we're just having a conversation amongst
ourselves.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: No. But this is good -- so yesterday -- or am I good to go? Or sorry.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Wait, are we there? Okay. So can we -- let me just make sure. Can we zoom into Carmichael, please? Is it Kennedy now? Are you with us, Kennedy?

MS. WILSON: Hi. Yes, I'm here.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: There she is.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Can you zoom in and can you -- my gosh. How long ago did we do this? Was it yesterday or the day before? I can't remember. It was split in the draft -- Yes. Thank you so much. Perfect.

And Commissioner Sadhwani -- and the reason that I had requested it to be made whole -- Carmichael made whole, was there was quite a bit of testimony, over, I think 100. Not that it's the numbers that count -- in terms of Carmichael wanting to remain whole. There's a Slavic community that we split out. There's also community businesses, Carmichael, and more information than I knew was there's -- it's a high percentage of senior citizens. They have over 20 homes, which I had no idea.

They -- it also by having the split, it split their school district, and they had quite a bit of other
information. But that was mainly why I requested that Carmichael be whole. Thank you.

And I will defer to Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. And you know, I think, as has happened in other places in the map, I think sometimes we're making cleanups and not always thinking through all the reasons why we maybe put some of the lines in there. And so as we were looking back we had -- I believe that this little carve out of Carmichael was specifically due to the fact that there was an Afghan and Syrian refugee community that's -- that is in this region.

Certainly, we've heard COI testimony throughout the summer about keeping Arden-Arcade and Carmichael whole and together. We're certainly not keeping them whole. But I believe that that little carve out that we did have was specific to the Syrian and Afghan refugee COI that we had received. So I was -- I just wanted to take one closer look at this and see if there's an opportunity to reunite that COI.

Certainly refugee communities have a host of challenges that they are trying to -- that they have to face when they arrive and have to settle here in the United States. So I wanted to raise that and see if there was support from the Commission. Thank you.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Sorry. My finger was a little happy there.

Commissioner Ahmad and then Turner?

VICE CHAIR AHMAD: Thank you, Chair. And I definitely did forget on why and how we drew this original split in the first place and when it was recommended to bring Carmichael back in and whole. It made sense to me at the time too. But now, upon revisiting the COI testimony, I think I would be in support of changing that line back to keep this community of interest whole. Although the community of interest testimony is requesting for Carmichael and Arden-Arcade to be united, this line wouldn't do that but it would be an effort to get to that point. So I feel like it's a happy -- or maybe not so happy compromise. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

Commissioner Turner and then Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you, Chair. Yeah, I love having the COI up because it's undeniable what the testimony was and what they're asking for. And so with the carve out, I'm seeing that red -- that carve out keeping Carm -- the whole -- they would all be over in the WESTSAC area with Arden-Arcade, right? So wouldn't they be together? I think this would honor it. And so I do support this move.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'm in support of any compromise that gets us to Congress. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. And with that, I will say that I prefer to keep it whole. I'd prefer to keep Carmichael whole based on all of the communities of interest information that we received since our draft maps. Obviously, we didn't hear from them prior to the split, but once we did the split, we heard from all of the community members there. And my leaning is to keep Carmichael whole. Again, I'm just one vote. So are there any other comments?

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I understand what the desire is, is to keep the city whole. I can go either way, but I also support, you know, being able to keep a refugee community together, especially given that the likelihood is we're going to continue to see increased refugee resettlement from Afghanistan. So it seems like it would be best if they're kept together.

I think we did try to look at trying to bring Carmichael in so that they could both be together to avoid splitting. I think the numbers just did not work.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Carmichael's very huge. I think it's one of the -- what is it, the top twenty,
Commissioner Yee? If you look at our list, there's two, four -- eight of the top twenty -- yeah, yeah, are in the Sacramento -- up -- the CDP's are in Sacramento. So we have huge, huge, huge communities.

I did actually look at some of the -- actually I looked at all of the communities of interest information last night regarding this issue. And the latest information had different boundaries than the boundaries that are shown right now. However, I don't know if -- can you -- yes. Thank you so much for zooming in.

Let me see what you have. What's the southern boundary, Kennedy? And fortunately, Kennedy is from Sacramento so she's pretty familiar with this area. And I've -- I drove this area for years. It's on my way to work.

They have the -- it's actually at a very odd place. Right below Arden Way is the north -- is the southernmost. And I'm trying where Arden --

MS. WILSON: The southernmost boundary, I have Marconi and El Camino. So it goes from Marconi to Walnut and then it starts to go down. And then you get to El Camino. And I think, in our draft, if I can pull that up. One moment.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes.

MS. WILSON: I think as far as balancing population
was going, I think we decided to take in -- let me show the lines. And why are they not coming up? Interesting. Oh, here we go. So we made -- we added a few extra blocks down here when we were taking for population, I believe.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah, and this -- because I actually think that we might be shortchanging. So I wanted to make -- if we're going to do it, let's do it right, right? So okay, let's go -- go up to the northernmost part of it. So we've got Winding Way and it goes to --

MS. WILSON: Winding Way --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- Manzanita?

MS. WILSON: Yes. It goes to --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Manzanita.

MS. WILSON: -- Manzanita and then --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And then it comes down to Marconi and then goes to the left. Where's Marconi?

MS. WILSON: So I -- one thing also is that Manzanita turns into Fair Oaks Boulevard. So then it's following Fair Oaks Boulevard on its most eastern boundary.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Actually, this takes it before -- this -- the two maps that I pulled up, it goes in before Fair Oaks Boulevard at Marconi. Oh, there it is. Right
up, up -- right there. It goes Marconi and then it comes
down on Walnut.

MS. WILSON: Yes.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: You know -- yeah.

MS. WILSON: Yes. That is following that COI. It
goes from Fair Oaks to Marconi to Walnut. And then down
Walnut to El Camino.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Fair Oaks to Marconi down to which
one?

MS. WILSON: El Camino Avenue.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. And then Watt? Yes? Okay.
Yup, we're good. Thank you. I just wanted to make sure
if we're going to do it, we do it right.

Any concerns with committing this? Okay. If we can
make that change, Kennedy, please? Thank you.

MS. WILSON: Sounds great. One moment.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And again, it's multiple COIs and
it's COIs on both sides.

Okay. We're almost there. I shouldn't say that but
we're almost there. Okay. And then the next -- oops,
I'm sorry. I'm, like, ahead of you, aren't I, Kennedy?
You're doing great.

MS. WILSON: Yes. So sorry.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Wonderful job. You're doing a
wonderful job.
MS. WILSON: One moment. Thank you very much.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Welcome, Commissioner Fornaciari.

Where we're going to go next is Oak Park. And that would be over by Broadway and 99-ish by the UC Davis. Thank you, Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Quick question, Chair.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And then I think -- oh, before I answer your question. I believe the issue here might be that both of these districts are at 4.9 percent. And I think we tried to grab as much as we could. So I'd tried to grab as much of a community, or we tried to grab as much of a community as we could.

But Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Again, I noticed that there was COI testimony about the split. So it was just to lift it up and to just do one final check before we just say we're done. I think we talked about this, but just a question. The other communities in and around what's currently in WESTSAC, are there other communities that could be moved out so that all of Carmichael could be brought in? Just asking the question. Not saying that we have to. Just wanted to ask.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I think WESTSAC is already out of here. It's not in -- oh --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: The ones up to the top?
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah. This goes all the way to the county boundary, I think. It includes Natomas, which is also a community of interests with the downtown area. And I think it's pretty tight but if you want to -- okay. All right, great. Thank you.

And one last thing is we're going to go to Alpine, please. Thank you. Uh-huh, we just did it. Okay. So here, Commissioner Andersen, early on had requested based on community of interests to move Alpine into the NORCA district and she did find the communities of interest.

Commissioner Andersen?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. I was requested -- I needed to get the number and the date, et cetera, et cetera. 37005 dated 12/9/2021, "When moving most of Amador County into NORCA, moving Inyo and Mono from NORCA, I heard notice best with Alpine. Before the decision was made to include Alpine into CALAINYO. Since Amador County is largely moved into NORCA, it would be appropriate to also move Alpine County into NORCA. Reasons, one, all season road highway 88 connects Amador Alpine. Two, Kirkwood Resort area straddles the Amador and Alpine county lines. Three, nearly all Alpine County residents except for Big Bear area, rely on South Lake Tahoe and El Dorado County, NORCA, or cities along Highway 395 in the state of Nevada, for shopping,
employment, transportation, health care, etc. There's a small population at Bear Valley in the southwest corner of Alpine County that has no year round (indiscernible) population centers. If you want to break up Alpine, the southwest section should be included." --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Okay. We get it. Thank you. And just for the public, in case you weren't listening this morning is -- and the reason we ask for it is because the COI testimony that we've been hearing was to keep Alpine, Mono, and Inyo together. And so it was just so different than what we were used to. So thank you so much, Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: It was because they wanted to be with North as opposed to the Central Valley. And they didn't say, you know -- they didn't say don't put us with the Central Valley. They said, put us with this. It was -- so that's why --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. Yeah.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- there was confusion.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

Commissioner Ahmad?

VICE CHAIR AHMAD: Thank you, Chair. And I was one of the ones who was, like, what? Where did you see this? But Commissioner Andersen, I do want to lift up that there is COI testimony, 6965, that speaks to exactly what
you were talking about. Keeping Placer, El Dorado, Alpine, possibly Sierra together and whole whenever possible.

This testimony does not indicate, from what I can see, which map but nonetheless it does speak to what you are requesting. So since I have heard strong testimony both ways, I am fine either way. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Are there any concerns with moving forward with moving Alpine into the NORCA district? And as we can tell it will -- we will still be within our deviation?

Commissioner Sinay has her hand up but she might be a Commissioner Taylor, are you doing the Taylor? Okay. Are there any concerns with moving? Okay. I see, no. So let's lock it in.

MS. WILSON: Locked.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. We are done. Thank you, Commissioner Andersen. Did you have a closing statement? Yes, we're done with the Assembly. No, we have to go to Congressional.

Commissioner Andersen? You're on mute. You're doing an Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I won't talk about that. I -- in San Francisco, we did not finish with the LGBTQ. We'd sort of requested. We just also --
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, yes. I'm sorry. Thank you so much.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I was -- we were so close, so close. But thank you for the reminder. I forgot about that. Did we get -- I'm sorry, did we get additional information and will Commissioner Akutagawa be leading us with that? Or who will be taking the lead on this one?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Commissioner Andersen can too but we did -- there is public testimony, I'll just say, that is speaking to trying to -- one of two options. Although the testimony seems to be a preference for trying to move in some of those portions of that southern portion, Visitacion Valley, Bayview, I think Excelsior, together with some of the other working class communities in Daly City.

I think this is just a -- it's -- I think what it is, is it's a matter of what does the Commission feel is going to be more important. I think, one of the points that the community did bring up is that this is -- there's no other community that they can go with versus their -- I guess, their other point was that at least some of the working class communities to the south of the EASTSF district can be put into a grouping with Daly City that is similar as a working class community. But I
think it's really, you know, what's the will of the
Commission on this? I would -- I know that they've been
asking about this. And so if it's possible, I would
support that move. I know it seems very important to
them so.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

Commissioner Andersen, and then Commissioner
Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I also have -- there
has been two items which actually support the areas which
they were talking about. Remember the Outer Mission
area, we talked about, you know, taking that out. We
didn't have details and that was -- Commissioner Sinay
said, no, no. I do have a map and it shows the area that
we're talking about and information. And I can give you
both the COI numbers.

And it is -- the map is on 43123 nine -- today,
earlier today. No. Earlier yesterday, that shows the
comparison of the AAPI map versus our map and Chinatown,
Japantown, that sort of stuff. And it shows the
difference in a curve of what they're considering Outer
Mission and what we have as Outer Mission. Then on 43 to
37, also the 19th, it's actually talking about the
Crocker-Amazon, which it didn't really like because of
what Commissioner Akutagawa was saying.
But it does say that in our curve area of Outer Mission, we should move the I-80 freeway to the east to Alemany Boulevard by this picking up popu -- you can pick up population by moving the I-80 to Alemany Boulevard or Mission Street but I don't -- they don't do it to Mission Street. West of Alemany has generally higher incomes and home values than east of Alemany, which is more working class.

So I have an area that I would suggest to remove and then I do think we should add the LGBTQ area of west of Twin Peaks. So if you go --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- if we zoom in, I could show you that.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I mean the other option is looking at how much of west of Twin Peaks we could bring in as things are currently. So it looks like we could bring in 10 or 15,000 people so I'm wondering what the population of west of Twin Peaks is and then we could look at what parts we could get in within approximately 15,000 people without having to make any other changes. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Tamina, could you please zoom in a little bit so we can see the streets that you're using here?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: It's an amoeba. Oh no. Wait.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: And Chair, my sense is that if we are going to go with this option, we would basically start up against that line going from northwest to southeast and move progressively towards the southwest. In other words, the higher concentrations are more likely to neighbor, you know, Twin Peaks -- is that -- Diamond Heights and Glen Park. So that if we started in those areas and moved to the south or southwest until we got to balanced population, that would be an approach to this. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Okay.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I mean, we know that all of west of Twin Peaks is too many people.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. Right. So I was just trying to see what the total -- what we would have to do to off balance it.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Could we see that area, though, that I'm talking about -- that we were talking about possibly removing from the wealthier portion of Outer Mission, which is immediately to the south of -- if Tamina just stops on this for just a minute, we might be
able to make an even switch?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes, let's just --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Could you stop -- the Outer Mission portion -- exactly. Tamina, it is that -- you know how we curve here, sort of up to the right, that curve? Go -- and where you see Alemany Boulevard, Mission is the one with the blue diagonal going down and then that curved one. Yes. Can you follow the curved one with your cursor? Nope. A little lower.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Go down.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Go down.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Down.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: That's the one. Correct. Until it hits the 280. Correct. Yeah, keep on going down that way. That move right there.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Would you have to commit one first --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: That portion.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- Tamina?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Not if we're moving both of them in the same direction. Did you -- but unless you wanted me to. Did you want to see this part and then move to the next one?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: This one would be moving in --
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: No, you're moving opposite directions.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- and the other would be moving out. Okay. So yes --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. You're moving opposite directions.

MS. RAMOS ALON: May I commit this change first?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. Because we can always undo -- although, did you grab everything because there's a little piece. Commissioner Akutagawa was pointing that out. I have to -- no -- yeah. It just looks like it's not whole. Okay. Okay. Thank you. Okay, and then if we look at the other -- yes. And then all the way down, correct, Commissioner Andersen?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Correct.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: To our to line.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Exactly.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: To include the tail, right?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Actually, it didn't look that way. I can go back and have a look.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: How could you not include -- could we zoom out just a little bit, Tamina?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, that hits at the
line -- at the county.

    CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, okay. It's --

    COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: That's why. It just
takes -- the curve goes in a little bit.

    CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Okay.

    COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And what's that population?

    Ten.

    CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Can we see --

    COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So --

    CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Could you tell me what the street
name is again, Tamina, on that one? Or Commissioner
Andersen?

    COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Alemany.

    CHAIR FERNANDEZ: What is it?


    CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Alemany. Okay.

Commissioner Kennedy? Oh, you're good. Okay.

Okay, so what -- that would -- oh, so we would be
within deviation. Does anyone have concerns with this
move in terms of, we moved in -- oops, let's go out a
little bit so I can see. We moved in west of Twin Peaks
into AD17, which is East San Francisco. And then the
proposal is to move out this section of -- I'm not sure
what you're calling it, Commissioner Andersen.

    COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Outer Mission.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Outer Missions.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And it was -- and I did look at that with the terrain, it's Balboa Park, which is sort of -- it looks -- if you look at the -- because they had a whole heatmap and everything on their map. And it looks like, oh, my goodness, there's lots of people but it's a park.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So there's two people in it.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And I -- it's -- yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: All right. Thank you.

Commissioner Ahmad?

VICE CHAIR AHMAD: Thank you, Chair. If we zoom into the southernmost portion of Alemany, it looks like that we're missing one census block. Is that -- that little indent, is that something that we could grab? Yeah, yeah. Exactly where your mouse was. Thanks.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I completely understand your need to do that.

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Just two things. One, I guess, maybe before we do any kind of final move, just checking to make sure we're not splitting anything like schools and other things like that, because I think there
is a school in this area. But I may be wrong.

Also, I wanted to just read one of the COI testimony speaks to the Twin Peaks neighborhood being, or making sure to include west of Twin Peaks to Holloway and 19th Avenue, keeping the LGBTQ plus community united and empowered. The southern boundary to be drawn to include west of Twin Peaks to Holloway and 19th Avenue.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Yee?

And then if we could zoom in, Tamina? That'd be great.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. I'm -- you know, this is déjà vu. I thought the whole -- this morning, we were discussing the request for the Outer Mission to stay with the eastern neighborhoods. So --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: It is a little bit of a déjà vu right now.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Is there a consens -- okay. Commissioner -- oh, we are -- there is city college in there that's being split.

Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I would take that -- I would leave that area in where it is. I'd remove that from west of Twin Peaks.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm still having a hard time
with this split. I hear what they're saying that there's
another working class community in Daly City and whatnot.
But when we had originally created our draft maps in this
way, we had gotten a lot of community of interest
feedback from both the African American and the Latino
community and other working class -- and working -- you
know, the lower income community saying you're splitting
us up and giving all the power to more wealthy
communities.

Having said that, it is, you know, a collective
consensus not, you know -- but I just -- since
Commissioner Yee was saying it's déjà vu, I did want to
make it -- sure that felt like déjà vu.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. It's break time right
now. We are going to go to break. We'll be back in
fifteen minutes and we will be tying this up so we can
get to Congressional. Thank you.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 4:31 p.m.
until 4:45 p.m.)

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Welcome back, everyone. And we
are -- we were contemplating a change in San Francisco.
Moving out -- was it west Twin Peaks? Yes? I believe
so. Now, I've lost my notes. Yes, west Twin Peaks. And
so what I wanted to do was get some feedback in terms of
comments to this potential swap.
Commissioner Andersen, I think you already spoke, right?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. There -- depending on what people say, there is a big move -- that's a go, but in terms of taking it out. Then I do have some areas that I would essentially put back so we could get as much of the LGBTQ area as possible.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. We're going to try to get this done in five minutes. Five minutes. Remember, Kristian, five minutes.

Commissioner Yee and Toledo?

COMMISSIONER YEE: So as we've experienced, when you split things you hear from people you haven't heard from before because they weren't split before. We've heard from Crocker-Amazon, and I've assumed things about them this morning that apparently, we're not true. And they actually would much, much, much rather stay with San Francisco, especially Excelsior and so forth.

I would like to propose we put back Crocker-Amazon, that we walk back the China -- the North Beach cut down to Broadway instead of going all the way up to Jones and Columbus. That we leave Outer Mission where it is and that we unfortunately not do the Twin Peaks change because I think we cannot do all of it and to take just part of it would be random at this point.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So your recommendation would be to keep -- stay with our draft maps.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Except we can take part of North Beach.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

Commissioner Kennedy? Oh, no. I'm sorry. Commissioner Toledo, you were next, and then Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you. Yeah. I see Commissioner Yee's point about, you know, taking back -- going back to the draft maps. I -- as I said before, I'll -- I would want to include Twin Peaks if we could, it doesn't -- and -- but given the time constraints, I would -- I just want to get to Congress. So whatever gets us closer. Thank you. I'm willing to compromise.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I would still like to ask once we walk these back for us to see how much of west of Twin Peaks could be included. I'm perfectly happy with a split in west of Twin Peaks. And again, I would advocate for starting at the line between Twin Peaks and west of Twin Peaks or between Diamond Heights and west of Twin Peaks, and moving to the south and southwest until we get whatever population we're looking for. Thank you.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

Commissioner Andersen and Akutagawa? Or did you just not put your hand down, Commissioner Andersen?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: No, no. That -- I was trying to find my -- you know, unmute myself. I do feel it is important to keep the North Beach area in. I do agree, and I was trying to read this eloquently, there's a letter stating what kind of Commissioner Akutagawa was sort of summarize quickly about working class and working class. You know, if the Crocker-Amazon here and other working class areas, they are in both districts. And where this is -- and it's a ten-year deal, where the LGBTQ has not had that opportunity. It's a -- you know, it's -- there are a large portion of both of those in each district. I was trying to find the letter. I could read more eloquently because it's a community of input. And I will stop there and try to find that because I think Commissioner Akutagawa might say that better than I.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I think there's multiple options. I mean, for me, personally, I would like to try to keep as much as Chinatown in North Beach together. They're kind of like an island in this
island -- you know, in this area where there's a lot of wealth around it. And I think for them to be together is going to be more beneficial.

I do also believe -- I know what has been said about the working class communities in the southern part of the EASTSF district but we've split up a lot of working class communities across the state. And they would be with another working class community in Daly City and South San Francisco. And then if it also enables us to -- and I'm trying to look for that same COI testimony, Commissioner Andersen, about the LGBTQ community.

You know, I think what I'm also torn about is that I know that there are, you know, different kind of factors in the LGBTQ community too, that's different from other communities. But I think this is one of those unique communities that historically in the San Francisco area that -- I hear the desire to try to be together because there's really no other options for them outside of, you know, this core area. So I'm a little torn but I think -- I really -- if we can make it work, I'd really like to.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. So I'm kind of in a quandary right now but we need to move forward. So let's -- why don't we walk it back. Put Crocker-Amazon, we want to put it back into AD17, correct? Yeah.
That's -- Thank you.

MS. TRATT: Chair, may I clarify? Are we keeping the west of Twin Peaks in with EASTSF or did you want that walked back?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: No. We -- not right now. Thank you. Right? Are we? I thought we weren't keeping it.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I was hoping --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I thought we were going to keep part of it.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I thought we could keep it all and then just make the other moves to -- move some of those working class communities into the WESTSF with Daly City and then look at how --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- we can move most of Twin Peaks or if not all of Twin Peaks in --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- with the other communities.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Let's go. Oops. Okay. All right.

Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I think this is where it's going to get complicated. I think we've heard COI testimony that Bayview, Visitacion Valley, Excelsior, and
I believe Commissioner Sinay also mentioned -- is Outer Mission split? It is split. The Outer Mission and now we definitely have also heard -- and I've quickly glanced through some of the COI testimony.

I did see Crocker-Amazon. They all did say that they are working class communities that wanted to stay together. And I may be missing some other portions. But I think the question is, how much do we move out? What do we move out? Do we, you know, bring out the rest of the Outer Mission so that it's at least together with the other portion of Outer Mission that is already split? Starting with there, and then --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I -- we just need to start. So if someone has a vision.

Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: My suggestion is to simply go back to the North Beach cut that we made this morning and walk it back partially to get to deviation. So right now it's just a nice right triangle. I would like to make a -- my geometry's failing me.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Come on.

COMMISSIONER YEE: A quadrilateral of some kind. Yeah, to come down to whatever street, Union or Broadway or whichever street will get us to the deviation we need so that we still get the part of North Beach adjacent to
Chinatown but simply don't go as far north. Now it's a rectangle.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: To which street?

COMMISSIONER YEE: So the -- so right now the vertex is Columbus and Jones. So moving down some portion of that till --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Is it Tyler Street -- Taylor Street?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Greenwich, Filbert, one of those. As far as we need to go to get to the deviation we need.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Was this the Chinatown area?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Chinatown is to the southeast of this. This is North Beach. So -- but Chinatown kind of spills into North Beach. That was the COI this morning.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah. We did that one this morning.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. So this would be, you know, before this morning the line went straight down Columbus. So instead we're going to -- and the northern part of it still does. So we're just going to continue that Columbus --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: That's a good --

COMMISSIONER YEE: -- extension down to --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER YEE: -- perhaps Greenwich. And then return that part of it back to WESTSF.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Tamina, can you -- Columbus --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Could I say something, please? There's multiple testimony to say please leave it like this, multiple testimony. This is not just the one. This is a large group here. Several people have come together on it to leave this portion in.

And then there is two testimonies that I can say about a little portion to leave Crocker-Amazon in, a little portion takeout of take out. And I can read the testimony.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Sadhwani and Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I thought -- I was feeling really good about the change that we had made this morning. It seems like down below the Outer Mission is split. Could we just start by making Outer Mission whole in the other district? Is that a reasonable swap?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Let's see. Go down, Tamina.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: And I think bringing in as much of west Twin Peaks. I think that was Commissioner Kennedy's suggestion. I would tend to agree with that.

COMMISSIONER YEE: I mean, the original COI when we
build this was Outer Mission with Bayview and so forth.

That's why we went this way.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: With Bayview?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I'll also note, right, like, the Equality California folks, their original COI was also west Twin Peaks with Twin Peaks and we've certainly -- I specifically recall, like their presentations about, you know, the history of Harvey Milk and the historic nature of their community and the first LGBTQ elected official. So as with everywhere, there is competing testimony and, you know, I would feel comfortable moving this direction.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Tamina, let's look at the Outer Mission.

COMMISSIONER YEE: How about the bit of Oceanview below 280?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I thought we had looked at this earlier today and we said no.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Chair?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right? I mean, we --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Chair?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- keep going back and forth.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Chair?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Kennedy?
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: We're talking about moving the upper part of Outer Mission the other way, is my understanding. No? Okay.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, we got to -- we have to --


CHAIR FERNANDEZ: We need to -- if we're going to speak, we have to be on the microphone, please. Thank you.

MS. TRATT: Chair, this brings a deviation AD19, WESTSF, to 4.83 percent and AD17, EASTSF, to 2.11 percent.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Do we have issues -- concerns with this? If your hand is raised and it no longer needs to be raised, please lower it.

If not, Commissioner Akutagawa and Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I guess, just a quick question. I thought we were going to try to move all of the Outer Missions so that it'll be reunited with the rest of the Outer Mission. And then try to make room to also now bring in part of Twin Peaks, west of Twin Peaks.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Toledo?

There's just so many different versions going on.

I'm trying to them in my head.
COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: It's a little frustrating right now.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I thought we had already made a decision on this. And so that's why it's a little bit frustrating.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: And so -- that we're coming back to this. Yeah. I'm conflicted. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah. We --

Commissioner Kennedy, was this what you were envisioning? Yeah. Because I'm completely confused now by --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I don't think this part was my part. I was talking about when we start adding west of Twin Peaks to add progressively from that diagonal line towards the south and southwest. So we wouldn't start at the western end or the southern end. We'd start at the green line and add progressively down from there.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: On the --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Right where Tamina is showing us.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And we would go west?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: West or southwest.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I think -- if I -- well --
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Andersen? And let's be brief, please.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I can do a quick summary. It was -- we had a 2.9 or something like that, deviation because we had -- when we added the Chinatown triangle, we took out Crocker-Highland and Crocker-Amazon. And by putting Crocker-Amazon back in we were back at five in AD17. So we could do nothing with the west of Twin Peaks. So then it was -- we did mention that -- oh, Outer Mission's already cut. There was that section from Alemany Boulevard, which is from COI testimony, instead of putting Crocker-Amazon, you know, please take that section and move -- of Outer Mission and move it to the rest of Outer Mission, which is essentially what we're sort of doing here. Or we stopped at this point, which I'm not quite sure. So this would be taking all of Outer Mission, or if you only want to take the portions from Alemany it was what we did first, what we were originally trying to do. To move that over to AD19. Then --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. By taking that whole piece, we already went through that this morning, and we decided not to do it.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: We did that because someone's had said, well, Outer Mission has to be where
it is. And then we actually said, well, Outer Mission is already split. So could we put Outer Mission with all Outer Mission and move it to AD19. And I thought there was consensus on doing that so we could put in portions of west of Twin Peaks.

Again, because the LGBTQ has one area where it can be together and the two portions of San Francisco have the same working class in a great deal of that area.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And I thought that's where the summary -- that's this quick summary of what was going on.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. We are back to one minute per commissioner, please. Again, I'm still confused. And I apologize if I'm being confused, but we seem to be going back and forth. Commissioner Ahmad, Akutagawa, and Toledo.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Chair. I am, too, confused because I have no idea what's going on. So I'm just going to take a step back and state what I see under one minute on the screen. I see that we are over deviation in EASTSF and we are under -- or within acceptable range within WESTSF. So what I see as the problem that needs a solution is, EASTSF needs to move .03% of population over to the other side -- the WESTSF
Side. So my question is, if anyone has a suggestion to move .03% population from the east side to the west side. And a whole -- beginning to end solution.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. Yes.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: End to end.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes, thank you. Now, Commissioner Akutagawa, Toledo.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I'm Sorry.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: So my whole solution is let's just take the entirety of that remaining Outer Mission piece so that it's all together. And then, yes, 80 West San Francisco will be over deviation. However, EASTSF will be under -- or will have additional room. And at which point we continue to then take and move west of Twin Peaks into West -- or EASTSF until we're balanced.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Let's -- but again, this is the piece -- the piece we're saying -- okay, let's -- let's do that so it's on the -- but isn't this a piece that we said earlier not to do? I think that's why I'm being confused, because we're --

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- we are taking one community of interest over another is what we're doing, which we've
done all day today. And we will do because every single -- we built these districts based on communities of interest.

Okay, so let's see what this looks like. Please lower your hands if you no longer have something to say or just get back in the queue. Commissioner Toledo and then Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah, I think you're right. I think we -- I know we had this conversation earlier today.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: If you agree. If you don't agree, don't watch nothing (audio interference) --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: And I was just going to offer to be your time keeper, if you would like. I have my timer on here. Happy to do the one minute. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: That'd be great because I think Kristian's given up.

MR. MANOFF: You've actually all been under a minute, Chair.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, we have been, very good. Thank you, sir. Yeah, it just seemed like longer than a minute. Have faith in Kristian. Commissioner Fornaciari, you had your hand up. Are you good? Are you driving?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I'm no longer driving,
apologize for that. I'm just going to say -- I was going
to say what Commissioner Akutagawa said. So I would just
encourage us to accept this change and start moving the
line at Twin Peaks and then go to Congress.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. Okay. That's good, Tamina.

You commit that. Thank you so much. Now let's start
moving the line at --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Commissioner Sinay.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, I'm sorry. Commissioner

Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm not sure how our process
is working right now, because when some people say, oh, I
don't believe in that, then we go to somewhere else, or
if we all agree. I'm not convinced that this is better
for the Outer Mission. Now you've taken the Outer
Mission and put all of the Outer Mission away from the
folks that they wanted to be with. That's where the
community organizing takes place. That's where their
services are and stuff. I mean, obviously, they're in
their location, too, but we got really strong testimony
about this. We also got strong testimony from the LGBTQ
Community and I just don't know how our process is
working. It feels like when some people say things,
that's weighed more than when others.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: What I'm going by is general
consensus. And we actually just came away from Carmichael, which I did not agree with the -- the proposal in terms of splitting Carmichael, but general consensus -- everyone else was okay with the split, so we won't split. So that's what that's what we're going with is the general consensus at this time. And I hope I've been consistent.

Okay, so now we start -- Commissioner Kennedy, do you want to lead us or we're going to start including as much as we can of west of Twin Peaks; correct. And when we start up at the northernmost -- is that what your vision was? Okay. And if maybe we can zoom in a little bit and we can -- thank you.

And the goal here is to try to get as much of west of Twin Peaks into EASTSF, correct, Commissioners Kennedy and Akutagawa? Is that the goal? Okay, nothing. All right. Commissioner Anderson.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. I've been looking at this neighborhood and actually I wouldn't go that far west -- Tamina. I would hug -- to go down to Travaya (ph.) -- Trevalla (ph.) and then come across, exactly, following the line, following Garcia (ph.), across to -- and then go into that area around Mount Davidson, which is the Rock. Yeah, and now go back up in that area -- fill in this -- fill in the dots first, is -- how are we
doing on population? Oh, good, looks good.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay, Commissioner Turner and Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: You asked the question, Chair. I was just going to be responsive. Yes. Based on the way I'm reading it, that it is indeed as much -- all of or as much of west of Twin Peaks. I just didn't want to leave you hanging without it.


COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I wanted to ask Commissioner Sinay if it makes sense to split some of the pain here. Do we want a chunk of west of Twin Peaks along this line and then some of Outer Mission with Bayview and the others? Or does that -- No? Okay. I just --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I hear what you're saying. And I think this is sharing the pain right now, because it's not just Outer Mission. It's that whole -- the COI is that whole low income community. And we've just taken a little slice of that low income community. So we are -- so we've already -- we're sharing the pain because we're not going to get all of those -- resources, aren't going to get all of -- these are a lot of monolingual
individuals and such. But we'll be okay. Well, we won't
-- they'll be okay.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Where did we stop? Okay.

MS. ALON: So do I have direction to go -- continue past this area?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. You continue to, I believe, hug the district boundary where it is right now; Correct? Thank you. Looks like we need lots of chunks, so let's grab lots of chunks.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, that that blank area in the middle is Mount Davidson, so I'm trying to sort of to get around exactly. To kind of that whole area. And then you might get a large blank space.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: No, we we're trying to get EASTSF higher, close to five, so we can try to get as much.

MS. ALON: Chair, we are below 5% in WESTSF. Would you like me to continue?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. We would like to try to get as close to 5% for the EASTSF. There we go. No, that's what I was looking for the big chunk.

MS. ALON: Oh, well, then, okay.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Chair, we did not want to get that school area, City College. Which is in the southwest corner of this. So we don't want to split up the City College. Oh, whew. No, don't undo it -- thank
you. Yes, see that? Great thank you, Tamina, for going
on that side.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Ooh, let's not leave -- we're
going to have to back out a little bit. I think. We're
over, so we're going to have to back a little bit out.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: It's the City College
area --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: That's right, the college area.

Thank you. Yes, Commissioner Kennedy, sorry.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I was going to suggest we
look at cutting it at Monterey. Monterey because I think
that's just above the college or slightly above the --
or, actually it's a little bit farther above the college.
It's that east-west one. Farther down, Tamina. Yeah,
right there where the Safeway is. No. The Safeway to
the south, that one. Yeah, that's Monterey. Maybe if we
left that flat part.

MS. ALON: Okay. Let me take out City College and
then I'm adding this part down to the Safeway?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: No. No, you'd be taking out
west City College until we get to until we get to
deviation.

MS. ALON: I see.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: But as much north of Monterey
as possible, we would keep.
MS. ALON: Understood, thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: What was a little block up top? Did we purposely leave that up? Yeah. Now it's connected.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yeah, but I would fill in as much above Monterey as we can, because that's along that District boundary line that we were trying to start and come down from. And we're just -- we're carving out the City College area.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. We're at 4.9. Not anymore. So, Tamina, you might want to just zoom in a lot more to make sure we're not inadvertently cutting off any of the assets around the school.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yeah. But, again, if our goal is to start at the at the dividing line between the districts and come south and southwest, we've got portions now at the very south that we probably don't need. And we don't have areas right up against the current boundary that we would need. So what I'm saying is, if we fill in above Monterey, take everything above Monterey. And then below Monterey, we walk it back to the west until we get to deviation.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: All right. So, yeah.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I do have a map of the area and it does not go down to Monterey. Tamina is correct
in the line she is following on that one.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Okay, fine.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And it is just taking --
going west at Flood, I guess it is. And that will--
Flood ends. You know, going up to the little curve --
going up in that area, maybe to -- yes, correct. Take
those areas until we're within deviation --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: We're within -- we're fine right
now. You could have left it in. Okay. Okay, back out,
please. Okay, so we ended up getting the majority of
west of Twin Peaks into the EASTSF. Except for the
little piece on the, let's see, south. For a community
college, we left that out and then we swapped it for the
Outer Mission.

Are there any concerns with -- or is there a
consensus? I'm going to go with consensus. Is there a
consensus with making this change. Now, Commissioner
Kennedy and Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Just checking. If we are
respecting the boundary of Outer Mission, I want to make
sure that that's what we've got in that -- along that --
Yeah, right around there. Looks like we've grabbed a
little bit of Outer Mission. We grabbed it because it
was part of the census block. Okay. I think this is
this is fine.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: This is fine. I would agree, it's fine. Any other concerns? okay, we're going to lock it in.

Okay. We have gone through all of the areas that have been on my list and then on the board. Sunday showdown or showdown Sunday. How do you want to say it? This is going to be the last one. Last call, people, because we really need to get to Congressional -- and just everyone that's out there, public comment is going to be late tonight, just so that you're aware. But we will get to public comment. It will be late and we'll be here with you. Commissioner Sadhwani and Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: At the risk of Commissioner Toledo, who I know is dying to get to Congress. At the risk of maybe him running over here and strangling me. We have, I think, folks are following us today. So thank you for that. And so we did receive some testimony through Voters FIRST on the Maywood issue. It was something we did attempt to do earlier today. Some swaps were recommended for us to look at that would keep intact, would not touch the districts where we had a lot of the historic African-American communities of interest in them and instead swap Maywood into the southeast L.A. districts. I did send it over to Jamie in advance. She
seems to think it would be a very possible option if the commission wanted to do it. So I just wanted to raise that, see if there is an appetite to check it out real quick.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay, hold on. Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: My understanding is that Jamie has done everything and that we could get it done in three minutes if -- and presented to the Commission in three minutes. And if we are supportive, we'll do it. If we're not, we move on. So if we can allocate maybe three minutes to this, to Jamie and then move on to Congress?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Or public comment, rather.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: I just wanted to say, I was totally fine with Maywood until it impacted some of those historical areas. So if we have a way to do it now. I think that would be great.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, I'm definitely in favor of trying to make it work. As I've said, Maywood is part of southeast L.A. and I think we need to, as best as we can, try to get them in.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Okay, last one. This is
going to be the last one. We're going to go for it.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Maybe Jaime can just go
through what she's done.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

MS. CLARK: Yup. So I haven't done anything on the
map right now, but I will narrate as I go, so the swap is
adding Maywood into the Gateway District. And then into
-- to make up for population in that, in the NELA
District adding in Montebello. And then for the AD-60
corridor adding in Whittier. And there's a couple of
unincorporated census blocks inside Whittier, so I'm just
going to select those. And then to balance the
population in Gateway into the AD-5 Corridor. This also
balances out with NELA it is adding Bell, Cudahy, and
Bell Gardens into AD-5 Corridor. And I will show -- oh,
and then we will see it all balances and the statistics
for each district are here -- the resulting statistics
are here on the map. And, of course, there are some VRA
areas impacted. So the VRA council may wish to advise
you on this change, but that's is the change that was
sent to me and based on COI testimony that was received.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you, Jaime. I think
you got it under three minutes. Beautiful. Which ones
are the VRA districts? Just so that we all are aware?

And then I would like to compare what the CVAP was prior
to -- or if maybe you could show it on the screen, that
would be great.

MS. CLARK: The VRA districts are Gateway, 5
Corridor and 60 Corridor. And their previous -- the
previous boundaries and the previous statistics are in
orange. The boundaries of the resulting change are in
black and the labels are in black.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Council? Could we get your
opinion, please?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, I'm trying to read the
numbers and unfortunately, my eyes are not that great at
my age. But if I understand it, the reductions would
occur in NELA. Which I don't believe is a district VRA
consideration, even though it's --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: -- 50% CVAP.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Um-hum.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Am I missing any other --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: AD-Gate is a VRA.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: And the Latino --

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Which is old and which is new?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: AD -- the orange is the old ones.
So it went from 68.16 to 67.11.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, which is not going to be a
concern, given that number --
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And then AD-5 Cor. is the other VRA.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: AD-5 Cor. is --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And that went from 61.12 to 65.18. So that went up.

MS. CLARK: Yup. And the AD-60 Corridor is the other VRA district that's impacted by this change.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So AD-60 is a district with VRA considerations. And that one is going --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: It's going from 56.18 to 55.02.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: 02 --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So it went down a percentage.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So that's the only problematic portion of this change. And it's very difficult to make a consideration that does a fine enough analysis of the performance of a -- 55, 56, they're both at the range. I think 55 is generally where we would say, you're pretty safe. And so I don't really think that in light of the other changes that are being made that are increasing the possibility of another district, that that is likely to cause a great concern. But it is a slight reduction, you know, infinitesimally, it may increase the legal risk that somebody says, oh my God, you could have created a 56.18 and instead of 55.02. But in the scheme of things, in that whole area there, and given the historical
performances there, I think that's probably a sufficient amount to be able to argue that, in light of the public testimony, requests, that this is the kind of change that is consistent with the Act.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Vazquez and then Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Thank you. Just wanted to thank Commissioner Sadhwani for uplifting this, because I was I was going to do that and I'm safe from Commissioner Toledo's, at least shouts, more or less. I can always log off. And I am in support of these changes. I do think, acknowledging the very minimal increase -- or decrease in a VRA area. I think because we have increased it slightly in another VRA area, and overall the impacts to the VRA consideration seem very marginal, I'm in support of this change.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Akutagawa and then Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Jaime, just so that I could see -- and I'm just curious. Could you highlight Bell and Cudahy to go into the AD-Gateway? I would just like to see what the numbers would become if you were to move them in. Because they're called NBC (ph.) in that southeast L.A. area, so.

MS. CLARK: Yep, so those with those districts, the
Gateway AD-5 Corridor would be out of balance, and so that's the reason --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay, yeah.

MS. CLARK: -- they were moved in -- or excuse me, the reason they're moved out of the Gateway is to offset the population in Maywood that was moved in.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, okay. No problem. Thank you very much.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you. I'm in support of this change.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'm just wondering -- and I'm fine with moving on. But I'm just wondering maybe to try to get the VRA district that lost the point -- so the AD-60 COI -- so maybe a cut in Downey might be a way to increase the Latino CVAP, given that the VRAs are our second consideration. And to try to bring it to -- or keep it at -- at least a little bit closer to the level where we had it prior to this change. Given that the deviations are such that we could potentially do that. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Can we see the heat map, please? Oh, Commissioner Turner and Akutagawa, do we --
COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. I was going to say this is going to feel like another rushed cut unless we're going to now research all of the COI for Downey. I would hope we would not just cut in Downey looking for a different number, particularly since our VRA told us we would be safe doing this.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And might -- just for confirmation, Jaime Downey is whole, correct?

MS. CLARK: That's correct.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, I'm not in support of trying to go looking to cut Downey at this point. Especially since the very council did say that we're at a level that's still acceptable. And since we're on a time clock, I want to just remind Commissioner Toledo about that, too. And I think it's okay. I think we should just move on.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. I agree as well.

Commissioner Le Mons and Toledo.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yeah, I wanted to agree with accepting it as it is and move on.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I'm fine with moving on. Let's move on.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Are there any concerns with
making these changes? Commissioner Toledo and Turner, you guys -- your hands are still up. Just making sure. Thank you. Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I'm just going to say I'm okay with these changes. I will also say that I'm sure we're going to be -- we're going to be hearing from quite a few disappointed Bell and Cudahy residents as well, too. I didn't realize that the swaps were going to include having to move them out as well, too. So I am in support of this. But I'm also, I think at this point would be okay if we left it as it was, too. So although it does bring more the cities whole. So Whittier will be whole, so.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Great. Thank you. Okay, thank you. So let's lock it in. We are done with Assembly. Thank you, everyone. Karin, please number. Actually, you have them numbered, so please finalize them for posting and for the files that we need. Thank you so much.

So we will be moving on to Congressional. Okay, so the first thing, the first thing we're going to do is we are going to make a list. Sorry, I've got to switch gears right now -- going to make a list for Congressional in terms of items that we want to revisit again. The expectation is that you have researched this and you have
ensured that it balances. Congressional is to the zero -- to the one person is your -- Karin, you don't need any other instructions from me, right, you're good?

MS. MAC DONALD: We are good, thank you, so much.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you, thank you. Just want to make sure. Again, that you've done your research, you've seen how you're going to balance. Again, it has to balance to zero or one or negative one.

With that. Thank you, Commissioner Sinay, for helping me out with this. Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I was going to suggest maybe limiting the number of changes per commissioner, maybe one or two or maybe three at most, but --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Two.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Okay, two. Thank you. And for me, I'm using --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: It's just because I'm not going to have any, that means you get to have three.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: That's right.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Making sure we're not going to swap, you know, like --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: And that's max, too, right? But -- so for me, I have just one. And that's in San Bernadino district. The Cupertino district. Just minor, tiny change that can be easily done in five minutes.
Thank you.


There we go. Okay. Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. A change to reunite Saratoga. I've already worked this out with Tamina. Bay area.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Yeah. Saratoga. And we were talking about the split, correct? Oh, wonderful. Okay. And that is -- sorry. And is that it, Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you. I'd like us to take one final look at San Jose. And the other one is a small swap for the Coastal districts between Orange County and San Diego.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And that's Orange County and L -- How come I don't have Orange Count in here? Oh, my goodness -- oh, my goodness. Coastal, correct? And that was between Orange Count and L.A., right?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Between San Diego.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Or San Diego, I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Commissioner Andersen.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I have one, and it's the -- to resolve the California City and the military bases in Kern. Basically to get the population to zero in that area.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: California City, correct?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: With military bases. That's the same thing.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. Kern County.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I just want to make sure I got it in the right area. Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yes, Chair, you asked me to remind you about Eden Tech and I have no research on it. I'm reminding you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Eden Tech is the area -- okay. Thank you for reminding me. Let me write it down.

Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, mine was the California City-Kern thing, also. We've got some new information in -- there was an email sent, but it was only sent to a few of us and forwarded to the Voters FIRST Act.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: All of my changes have already been stated. So nothing for me.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Oh, Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I've got a change in north San Diego. So it's the same OCCD coast.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: The same one as Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: No.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I think it's a different one.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. We haven't talked, so we don't know. We shall see. Okay. All right. I don't want to get too hopeful, because I was really hopeful on the Assembly. But we actually did really good work. So thank you so much to my fellow Commissioners for working through this. I think this is great. There are maps for Californians. I'm very excited about that.

So Karin, should we start north again? I think that's -- I think when we talked earlier, you thought that might be a better approach. So that would be with the --

MS. MAC DONALD Yeah. Whatever works for you, works for us. But we're set up to start with the north end.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. MAC DONALD: Standing by to start.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. So in terms of north, what we have --
MS. MAC DONALD: As is Tamina.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes, Tamina. Tamina. We have a Cupertino, San Bernadino, Saratoga, Eden Tech, and San Jose.

MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, I'm off.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay, Tamina. Welcome back.

MS. ALON: Thank you, chair. Ready to go?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. If you'd just share your screen with us, please. Thank you. Which one do you want to start with, Tamina?

MS. ALON: Let's start with -- wherever you'd like to start. Cupertino area, I remember --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Oh, sounded like an echo. Maybe it's maybe, you know, need a -- I missed my nap today, so we're good. Okay, we're going to go to Cupertino, actually I missed my nap for about five years now. Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes. And thank you, Tamina, and thank you always. There's two little farming communities in this area that -- the Gonzales and the Greenfield area that have significant farmworker communities and I want to just make sure that we captured them both. I think we capture them on one side but maybe not on the on the western side. Yes, a little bit more so.
So just trying to capture a little bit. Are they both in the Congressional district at this point, Tamina? So with that, I am fine. So it looks like we've captured the whole farming community and my change is done. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Wow. Well, did everyone notice what the Commissioner Toledo just did? I'm just, you know, wow. Yeah, I'm. Wow. Okay, he does -- he does -- he gets a Katy cookie. Ooh, we shouldn't say that out loud.

Okay, so we are going to be moving to -- should we go to Saratoga? How does that sound? Or do you want to go to San Jose?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: It's the same issue.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, San Jose might be a little bit more, but okay.

COMMISSIONER YEE: These might involve each other. I don't know.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah, that's true. So maybe we should start with San Jose. Let's start with San Jose. Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. So I've just been thinking more and more. And I just wanted to reflect before we finalize these maps on the changes that we had made to San Jose, particularly this morning, you know,
when we were looking at the Assembly map, I noticed that
there's quite a lot of splits in San Jose, but that
didn't seem to be so concerning for the city.
So I was hoping -- I don't know, Tamina, if you have
the former version that we had had for San Jose, where
there was that cut through the center part? If it's
possible to just go back and review that one last time
and just make sure that we were all on the same page
before we lock this in. I recall when we made this
change, certainly having like the Palo Alto, Stanford
area going all the way down to San Luis Obispo, that many
of us were comfortable with either one, with either this
new change or what we had already had. And I just wanted
to take a moment and reflect and make sure that we're
still in that same place before we finalize. Tamina,
could you --
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you --
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- walk us through?
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, I'm sorry. Can I just put
myself in there?
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, please do. Please do.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I do remember discussing this and
I do remember somewhat voicing my concern with doing
this, because it is not our position to ensure that there
is a majority of a city split in one district or another.
And I also thought that it would be a great opportunity to have multiple representation. But again, I went with the consensus. General consensus was to go with it. But I just -- I do have to step back and kind of reflect on that. And I do appreciate the -- the VRA district portion of it. And I would not, of course, would not want to touch that. There's been actual testimony. I'm just going to have to respond to it because I feel strongly. Anyway -- I'm going to go to Commissioner Sinay right now. I'll come back.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. This is the one I'm -- I think the next day I kind of said, is there anything that's keeping you up at night? And I always ask -- my clients, I always ask my, you know, everybody when I'm working with them, you know, what's keeping you up at night. And this is definitely one. Especially because what you said, you know, there was four splits in the Assembly. When you look at cities like the City of San Diego, we don't even count the splits, you know, and there was multiple -- and there's a lot of other cities that have multiple because we look at the neighborhoods and we created based on neighborhoods, and that's what we had originally done here. So I'm glad you brought it up and you're giving us that opportunity to reflect on it. You know, take a breath and reflect on it, because it --
yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you for that. I'm going to try to get through this. Hopefully -- I have friends here, so I'm hoping that they'll help me along. But there has been testimony and -- that has said that there's nothing -- there's no commonality in terms of the farm laborers and then those communities in San Jose, and I'd have to disagree. The population, the farm workers, oh my goodness, what a tough job they have. A lot of our immigrants, even first generations, it's -- it's the toughest job. I've worked it, so I know it. I lived it.

And then you have those that are working in the San Jose area. And not to say that all of them are -- have jobs that are blue collar or -- the working class that work minimum wage, there is a lot in common between the two communities, between the two Latino populations. We're -- we are -- they are trying -- I say we because that's where I was. We have the same plight; we have the same struggles. We celebrate each other's successes. We do know each other. Just because we're rural versus urban. We have families in both areas, and we do support each other and we are stronger together.

So thank you so much for this VRA district. And not only this district, but all the other districts within California. Do not mute us, mute our voices. We are
stronger together. And that's all I wanted to say. So thank you for letting me get through this, and I think we're good. With that, I will go to Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Chair. Commissioner Yee and I have been working on a split that we made with our homework assignment in Saratoga, and we received COI testimony, quite passionate testimony, talking about how in the 127-year history of the city it has never been split. I did not sleep well that night.

However, Commissioner Yee and I have been working to see if there's a way that we can remedy that. This might -- it does overlay a little bit into Commissioner Sadhwani, your point here with the split. So when we are ready to move to that next item, Commissioner Yee and I would like to share what we have worked with Tamina.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Great. Thank you. Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. Thank you. I also I think my issue is more with the decision to undo the four splits because our initial -- and it's something that I've been thinking about a lot and looking at and contemplating are all of our decisions are based on community input that came through the summer and through the fall, all the -- and really, the input from San Jose was really neighborhood-based, and that's how we
developed these maps and -- and to make a -- so that's what my concern in that area.

And certainly in terms of the Cupertino district, you know, Latino voices, whether they're -- it's Latino essential voices, whether it's food processing plants in the San Jose area, essential workforce in restaurants and hospitality, or farming. I mean, it's really one voice, and I'm glad that we're able to put these voices together in a VRA district to protect the San Benito population and other Latino voices throughout the area.

But my issue is more with the district to the -- the San Jose district that surrounds the VRA district and whether we might want to go back to either the draft on that or look at a different alignment that reflects the community of input that we received. And I believe that's what Commissioner Ahmad and Yee have been working on. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Commissioner Toledo. Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. So we did work on a version that you see now in blue that restored Saratoga whole, moves it to the East Valley, and then compensates by splitting -- moving the split in San Jose somewhat. I believe this is still a three-way split. The western part is connected to the southern part through a very
narrow neck of the city there right above Campbell. Then
there's the northern part, and then there's the eastern
part which is connected to the Cupertino district.

So I would consider the choice between -- so I -- we
highly recommend this over the one that splits Saratoga,
obviously. I wasn't thinking that we were reopening the
question of a four-way split, but I have been having
buyer's remorse about MIDCOAST and the pairings that
occur there now, not so much the length but the pairings.

And you know, there was a lot of motivation around
getting a majority district in San Jose somewhere, one of
the pieces. Of course, there is merit to that, but I'm
now reweighing that against these other considerations.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. And thank you both for
doing this. You put so much time and effort into it. We
really appreciate it. We can just tell the care that you
took to do this. So thank you so much. Commissioner
Forniciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: Yeah. I'm having similar
feelings that Commissioner Yee is having with regard to
our coastal district here. Tamina, I'm hoping you can
zoom in to San Jose and turn on the draft maps and the
current maps again so we can take a look at that.

So Commissioner Sadhwani, did you -- did you have
anything specific to propose, or you just wanted us to
ponder going back?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: You want us to think about going back. I mean, I think you made a great point there. I believe there's actually five splits in the Assembly, and that was --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: -- a concern, so. But I mean, having Palo Alto, Stanford -- what do we got -- Atherton, Menlo Park, Woodside going all the way down to San Luis Obispo County, I mean, yeah. It's -- that's weird.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I like that. That's weird. That's -- no, not that I like that it's weird. I like that you said that it was weird, yeah. Commissioner Akutagawa and then Commissioner Anderson.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I just want to chime in on this as well, too. I think I'm joining the buyer's remorse club here. And you know, hearing the additional COI testimony, I think this is one of those things where we might have done more harm than good in making these changes. And I think, as Commissioner Sadhwani pointed out, there was no problems with multiple splits, up to five in San Jose. And I feel like walking it back so that we can put communities that should be together back
together makes more sense.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. And Commissioner Anderson.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yeah. Thank you, Chair.

Yeah, I was the one who brought up what are we doing with Palo Alto and Stanford with this group. And I did -- I think I was the only one who actually proposed something slightly different, which was the only thing that made sense to me in terms of if we needed more population was to follow the areas down from -- back down into the valley, into San Jose Valley, from Highway 17 in Santa Cruz. That was only thing I thought that made sense, which would be, like, Los Gatos, Monte Sereno, in those little areas until you have enough, then put that with MIDCOAST. Otherwise, I think it's that proposal or going back to what we had.

Now, I do want to say that, yes, there are multiple cuts in the Assembly. Assembly is different than congressional. You know, there are different issues congressionally and federally than state. But those are the options as I see it.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Commissioner Anderson. Commissioner Ahmed?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Chair. And I just wanted to clarify that the iteration that we have now is
the one with MIDCOAST that runs almost from south San Francisco all the way down to SLO, right? Okay. Just wanted to clarify. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. And do we have any other comments in terms of this proposal to -- okay. Oh. Commissioner Turner. Oops. Did Commissioner Turner -- Commissioner --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah, I did.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, you did. Okay. For whatever reason, it didn't come up on my screen. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: That's okay. No. I just want to say that I am in support of my fellow commissioners in their remorse in being able to move forward.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you for joining in our remorse. And we've got Commissioner Toledo and Yee.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So I just want to make sure that if we decide to move forward with going back to draft maps that we're -- that there's -- that we do keep the Cupertino one exactly as we've made quite a few refinements, and I just don't want to make -- I want to make sure that if we want to move forward that those refinements are -- that we're able to keep the refinements that were made, especially in the Alum Rock and other neighborhoods along the line. So just -- and that's just for the line drawers to keep in mind if we
decide to go in this direction that we have that district locked in. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes, of course. That's very important to have that one. I don't think you want to see me cry again, so we definitely need to -- I don't want to see --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: We both have cried.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- me cry. Commissioner Yee, Sadhwani, and Sinay.

COMMISSIONER YEE: I'm wondering if the line drawers could display just the draft map version with the four-way split, understanding that it will not yet have any updates from the further refinements.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. And Commissioner Sadhwani, would you like to comment or do you want to wait for the --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I was just going to ask a procedure question. If we wanted to move in this direction, a question for Tamina and the line drawing team is what would be the best way to achieve it?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right, right.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: That is time-efficient.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. That's a great question. Tamina, can you -- can you chime in on that? Because I'm trying to remember the changes we made after that change,
right? So we want to make sure we keep those changes as well. So Tamina, if you chime in.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Sure. I can chime in to say that there were several changes, many changes, that were made after the draft map. So I do not believe it would be easy. It would be very easy if you just decided to revert back to the draft map and then use the lines as they are here, then we can definitely do that simply. But because we've been making changes along the way, there's -- I don't have kind of a working memory of all of the different iterations that we did. So we'd have to kind of go through the area again and see if there was something we wanted to fix.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. I'm trying to -- I'm trying to think of the -- maybe the best approach.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Chair, I'm sorry. May I make one more comment?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes, of course you can.

MS. RAMOS ALON: When we did the draft maps, that was before we received instruction from counsel that Cupertino was a VRA-protected area. So the LCVAP of the draft map is 35.82 percent versus the over fifty percent that we have in the current map.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. Thank you for that.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yes, Chair. I have an idea in terms of just using this draft map for the area up in the -- around San Jose compared to what we have now and see -- exactly -- and see the area of San Jose, which is the area we're taking about, what can we apply there without making drastic changes to anything else. Because then that would be the areas -- can we -- can we make changes in there and still make that area work without touching anything else?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that. Commissioner Forniciari and Toledo.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: So I guess I was under the impression that we had a -- we had released an iteration with the Cupertino district at over -- at fifty percent plus and we still had the four splits in San Jose.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes, you're correct. We did have --

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: So I'm wondering if we can just go back to that iteration and work from there.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Legal counsel.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. I'm just trying to think if we made changes after that, that's -- want to make sure that we reflect it. Fred?

MR. WOOCHER: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Mr. Woocher?
MR. WOOCHER: It was not really there, but it's the same point that I think Commissioner Forniciari just mentioned. My recollection is that you were at a decision point where you already had the Cupertino district in a formulation that would have satisfied VRA obligations. And there was an iteration that -- and then you had a proposal to deal with the four-way split that had versions one, two, and three, or staying with the four-way split that I believe would have been similar to this and yet still had the Cupertino thing. That's my recollection.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank you. I'm sorry. I -- Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you. So I'm -- given the information that we have available at this time, I'm going to suggest, Chair, that perhaps we can charge Commissioner Yee and Ahmed to work with Tamina and get us back to the iteration that we had with -- that captures all of the changes that we made to the Cupertino district and gets us back to the draft map -- or rather not the draft map, but the iteration that has the Cupertino district with the four splits in San Jose, and in the meantime, that we go to Southern California to work on the two areas before the break that -- if there's any small changes that we can get to.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. I was going to suggest the same thing, so I appreciate that, but of course, I can't suggest that without asking both Commissioners Yee and Ahmad. You've been wonderful so far. Are you willing to one more time?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Happy to, Chair.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, thank you, Commissioner Yee. Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, thank you. Bless you. Bless you, both.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Chair, may I ask since I might have some involvement here is --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: You're going to have quite a bit of involvement, so thank you so much.

MS. RAMOS ALON: What is the goal?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: The goal is to put back some of the communities of interest that were split when we made the San Jose -- when we split it -- had one less split in San Jose. So that is the goal.

MS. RAMOS ALON: So the -- I --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And so Commissioner Yee and Ahmad will work with you, and hopefully you'll come back and we'll -- it'll be another magical moment, hopefully.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Sure. So we're looking at
communities of interest in San Jose is what we're doing.
Is that correct?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: No, no. In the surrounding areas. It's not just in San Jose. So it's in the surrounding areas. I believe Commissioners Yee and Ahmad were aware of the communities of interest prior to and so will work with you on those lines. So thank you. Commissioner Toledo and Sinay.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: And just to clarify for the public, so we're just going back to our previous iteration that had -- that had the floor splits rather than the three splits that we -- that we (indiscernible) Tamina to. So just going back one iteration before what we have now and that we -- asking that we move forward with this. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. And Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Just want to make sure that do we have any other buyer's remorse? I think it's easier to bring it up earlier versus at the last minute, so I just wanted to put that out there and give that space for everybody if there was one because I think it is important that we get to vote and it all works.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. Thank you so much. I appreciate that. Okay. So the direction right now is
we'll have Commissioners Yee and Ahmad work with Tamina, and then hopefully they'll come back soon with that. And so with that -- oh. Jaime's in the driver's seat right now.

So Jamie, I'm going to tell you what we have and you can direct me as to what is -- let me see -- oh, actually let me see. Hold on. We have California City, got a couple of those, and then we also have coastal between Orange County and San Diego, and then San Diego. So those two might -- will probably be Sivan's. So yours would be California City, please. Pardon? Is California City -- is that Kennedy? California City? Oh.

MS. CLARK: Yeah. So this area is kind of a funny -- it's like where Sivan and Kennedy and I all meet. I think either Kennedy or I could work on this and are happy to work on this with you --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. CLARK: -- whoever it is.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. So we have one with Commissioner Anderson, California City with the military bases. And then we also have with Commissioner Forniciari, the California City and Kern, I believe. Is that correct? Okay. So Commissioner Forniciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: Yeah. So let's see. So we received an email describing a desire for parts of
California City to be with a VRA district, and we started
to kind of move them to -- into the Fresno-Kern district.
And then we thought, no, we need to move them to MORCOA,
and the email was a little hard to understand, but we got
a clarification email.

And the clarification email is that they do not want
to be in Fresno-Kern, that they're happy -- they would
rather be in MORCOA, that there was -- there isn't a VRA
district nearby for them to be with and they would rather
be with MORCOA. So in addition to that -- but I think,
Commissioner Turner, you probably have the same thing.
So why don't I defer to Commissioner Turner at this point
before I talk about the bases?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner
Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. And I think that was
just ending that conversation. I don't think we actually
moved anyone. We didn't move them out of the area, so
that just completes that because we said we would come
back to it. So thank you for that, Commissioner
Forniciari. We don't need to make any changes in that
area. And then, yes, just same difference on this base,
but go ahead. I'll let you take it from here.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: So we got some -- several
emails providing some more information and arguments for
moving Edwards into Fresno-Kern, and it has to do with congressional representation, the fact that the congressional representative in MORCOA would have three military bases that are more -- that are kind of a different type of military base than, say, Edwards and China Lake, and that moving Edwards into Fresno-Kern would enable the focus of the congressional representative to -- in the Fresno-Kern district be able to better support both of those -- both of those bases. I think Commissioner Anderson also would like to comment on this, so I will defer to her.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Anderson?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yeah. Thank you. Yes, my understanding is that's exactly it. There are actually three -- three bases in MORCOA now, and to add two more or one more is entirely -- it's a bit -- it's too much for one -- it's not just one congressman, but it's also -- it's county supervisors have to -- they have to represent each one because you have to go through the County Supervisor as well to do any operational work. And these are completely different branches of the service, and all three in MORCOA have their -- they're two military -- two Marine, one Army, which are more closely related -- well, the Marines obviously are -- where this is Air Force base and then naval base, but
they're the air portions. So it's a completely
different -- completely different branch of the military
and a completely different -- you'd have to -- have to
make new relationships with. So it's really -- please
keep Edwards in Fresno.

   And then I was -- the idea for the population is I
don't know if what we have is enough if we leave Edwards
in CALFRESNO. And my understanding is that I think it's
all of California City would rather be in MORCOA because
they don't really want to be with Edwards. So wherever
Edwards goes, they'd rather go the other way was my
understanding of that, and I want to clarify that with
Commissioner Turner and Commissioner Forniciari because
that sort of email seemed to have gone to us before it
went to voters first, and I just want to clarify that.

   And the other reason I'd like California City to
possibly go with MORCOA is the groundwater issue. They
get all their water from ground water, which is a huge
issue in San Bernardino County, and it isn't in Fres --
in Kern. It is in that little portion out here, but the
rest of Kern doesn't really care about that because
that's not where they have to get their water. So I
thought they might be served by their representative in
San Bernardino.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. So I just
wanted to summarize. I believe what you said was Edwards Air Force Base wants to be in Fresno and Ridgecrest wants to be in MORCOA. So with that, we're going to go to Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I think Ridgecrest has been very consistent that they want to be in Kern County. It's California City that wants to be in MORCOA. But I wanted to ask Commissioner Anderson, I -- you know, we're redistricting for state offices. I don't understand what County Board of Supervisors has to do with our work at this point on this particular question. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. And thank you for correcting me on that. Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: As I've said before, I'm always very supportive of our military and our armed forces and veterans and certainly would want to support it, but I am cognizant of the difficulty in shifting in the congressional given the deviation requirements. So I would want to understand how we can do -- how we can rotate the population or have comparable swaps to make this all work out. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. And California City, I was just going to look at their population, is just under 13,000. So that's quite a bit of population when you
have to balance to zero. Huge concern. So Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. Thank you so much for this discussion, and I agree. I definitely want to be in support of our military and our, you know, strategic interests nationally, of course, as well, as well as the military families and communities.

And I'm just asking, can -- for those that have looked at this more closely, just a little bit more clarity on the testimony. I would imagine that in California City you're going to have folks who live there that are tied to Edwards Air Force Base. So is the testimony that the City of California City wants to be with MORCOA but the base wants to be separate? Because that doesn't -- I would imagine that they are tied together inherently.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Based on my prior life, I believe there's actually -- there's also a state prison in California City, so you probably have quite a bit of the employees from there that lived -- live in California City as well. And we do have -- just having worked in corrections, we do have quite a bit of prior military that come to work for our -- for the agency, and we're very proud of them always. Commissioner Akutagawa and then Anderson and then Turner.
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I think just my recollection on hearing past testimony is that there are very diverse communities in Rosamond and California City. However, I do really hear what is being said about the military bases, and if there's a way, even if it is to try to carve around it, I think -- I think that would make sense to try to do.

But my recollection is that there are -- there is a growing community of color -- communities of color in that area, and maybe that's part of the reason why this request is being made. But I hear what the testimony was saying about, you know, the lack of attention that could come about when you have too many and you have very different bases. So I don't know. I mean, anyways. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank you for that. Yeah. I was just -- I was just looking at -- oh, we have three minutes until break. Yeah. If we made the switch, it would seem that your split would be in California City more, but with that, Commissioner Anderson and Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yeah. Thank you. What I am just saying is can we see the population if we put Edwards into CALFRESNO and then if there's any difference of California City that would need to go to MORCOA.
MS. CLARK: So the --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Oh. I was going to go to Commissioner Turner first. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: I'd like to follow what she's suggesting. California -- the testimony, California City definitely wants to be towards MORCOA and not in Fresno, just based on the community of interest testimony and the verbal testimonies that was shared to this Commission, public comment that was made. And so I'd like to see what happens with exactly what Commissioner Anderson just named. If we move that into Fresno, what allowance will that give us to move more -- California City and --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner Forniciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: Yeah. Jaime, there's like -- if you turn on the military base outline, it'll be, like, a yellow thing. It -- what's that? Oh. Kennedy? Hi, Kennedy.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: It's Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: Sorry. Yeah, you had it on the other day, right? Because it kind of goes all the way to the corner. I think if you just go straight across to under Boron in the corner, that would be -- that would get the base that's in Kern.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: You're -- we can't hear you.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh. We're waiting for the population. And I was just noticing that there was a interstate or a Highway 58. And we will be going to break. We will just see what the number is and we will contemplate that during our break and we'll come back.

MS. WILSON: So I highlighted up to the county. This is about 2,327 people. And earlier, I selected the rest of California City, and the parts of California City that are already in Fresno is about 1,100. So you would still have a one-thousand-person difference between that switch.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. We are going to break, and we will pick up here when we get back at 6:30. Thank you.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 6:16 p.m. until 6:31 p.m.)

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Welcome back, everyone. We were in the middle of a conversation regarding the Air Force bases in Kern County, and where we left off we had Commissioner Turner had her hand up.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you, Chair. I was waiting for Kennedy to select that portion so that we can see about putting the Air Force base into Fresno, and I think she said it was about a thousand, and wanted to try and see, for that difference, can we pull -- the COI
testimony that I'm referring to is one that came from the African American Parent Advisory Committee. And they're wanting, of course, as much of Century City -- excuse me -- we're going -- don't go back to that -- California City in MORCOA and also pulling from Mojave and Rosamond as we can make that work. Let's see what the numbers does to balance.

MS. WILSON: So here is the selection again, and there is a total of 2,327 people in this selection of Edwards Air Force Base out to the -- and one moment while I erase this -- that was an accident.


COMMISSIONER TURNER: Kennedy, are you talking to us? What are we doing?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Kennedy, are you waiting for us or are we waiting for you? What's going on here?

MS. WILSON: I was waiting for the go-ahead, Chair, from you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh. Okay. We can always reverse it, so let's go ahead and commit that. And then if you can tell us how much population is in California City and -- into Fresno.

MS. WILSON: Yes, I can.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.
MS. WILSON: There are 1,173 people in the remaining parts of California City in the Fresno district.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Commissioner Anderson?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yes. Thank you, Chair. Kennedy, could we zoom in on that southern -- that -- yeah, that -- the -- that line that's -- say, north of -- north of the air base and south of Boron. Are we getting -- there's a highway that runs through there, and I don't believe the highway is on the air base. I'm just wondering if there's population around that area. Yeah. I'm just, you know, in terms of -- for a couple hundred people.

MS. WILSON: The 58 goes into Boron, so to go up to the base, I would be splitting into Boron. Is that the direction?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: No. I was actually saying I didn't know where the base line really ended. Oh, I see. And you're saying that's the line, the one just below the freeway -- below the highway at Kramer Street? I'm just wondering --

MS. WILSON: (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech).

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Sorry. Sorry.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Wait. Are you saying that the base goes into Boron? No, it doesn't. I think where we have the line, I believe that's the -- isn't that the
border or boundary?

MS. WILSON: Yeah, that's roughly the boundary of --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. Okay.

MS. WILSON: The city boundary.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yeah. That's what I was asking you, if it -- if it is up that far north or if it's a little further south. That's what I was asking.


COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. So if she can -- if you can give direction for her to accept this, we can then see how much of Mojave we're needing on that other area between Mojave to make up the difference.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Let's go ahead and accept it, but do we have communities of interest information regarding Mojave wanting to be in the MORCOA? Commissioner Sinay --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: I have --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- can you look that up for me? Thank you. Oh. Commissioner Turner, did you say you had -- okay. Commissioner Forniciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: I think we'll let Commissioner Turner finish.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: What I'm saying is if this part of that same parent advisory commission -- now that all the parents come from California City or Mojave, but the parent advisory committee asked for California City to be with as much of Mojave and Rosamond as they could into the MORCOA district.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. I don't know how we would actually -- if we did Mojave in and then part of Rosamond, we -- okay. I guess we wouldn't potentially cut out all of Edwards. Okay. Commissioner Forniciari, did you want to add something right now?

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: Yeah. Let's see. Go ahead, Kennedy. Were you going to say something?

MS. WILSON: Oh. Just we would have to split Mojave to --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. It's too --

MS. WILSON: -- bring it -- um-hum.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: And this is not in a VRA district, so it wouldn't be appropriate to ask for heat maps, but there are communities of interest in Mojave that has ties with this western portion. California City, the split, and the testimony is coming heavily concentrated on the west side with Mojave there in that area there. So I would say as much of it that we can
move into MORCOA to keep our population balance would be what I'm asking.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. And then another thing, too, Kennedy, could you -- could you check to see if there's any population on the -- on the unincorporated area surrounding California City on all sides? Because I'm thinking if there is, it's probably associated or connects with California City. Thank you. Yeah.

MS. WILSON: And how do you feel about Johannesburg? Is that going too far north, or should I continue up this way?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I mean, I guess my gut feeling is that we should take Johannesburg because you kind of just leave it out there on its own. I would recommend that we take it unless there's, you know, something -- if anyone knows something contrary to that.

Commissioner Forniciari, did you give your comment, or you're just waiting to see what's going on? Okay. Commissioner Anderson, Akutagawa, or Toledo, do you have any comments right now regarding this?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I do, Chair, and it's that I agree with you in terms of I'd rather go a little north unless -- which I do appreciate Commissioner Turner was saying if we put a heat map on because it was -- it was specifically portions of Mojave or Rosamond which were
people of color that they were talking about were being
discriminated against, which is why the VRA reference.
So I don't believe they were talking about the entire
city, not that 4,700.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: That's a lot of people. But
I would --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I would try to go north and
I think it's (indiscernible) area north.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah. And not -- and not too
north because then I believe that is Ridgecrest, so I'm
thinking some of that --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: No, that's not right there.
That's --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: -- not Ridgecrest yet.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: No, no, no. I know. But if you
go further north, it's Ridgecrest. That's -- okay.

Thank you.

Commissioner Akutagawa, did you have a comment
regarding this right now, or?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I was just going to
note, I did see there was -- part of the COI testimonies
mentioned the Mojave Space Port, which I believe is also
another research facility out that way. So I just wanted
to note that as well, too.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you for that. Commissioner
Toledo, did you have --

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I just wanted to
raise -- I just wanted to zoom out a little bit to just
try to understand this area a little bit more and to get
us to think about the -- and I know we are already
thinking about this, but to just look at the bigger
picture and -- and so I know there's significant federal
investment.

So we're in Congress, and a member of Congress
dealing with military bases and strategic facilities, but
there's also a significant workforce that's civilian and
that depends on federal investment, and I worry about
splitting those areas because it is a lifeline for these
communities.

So I'm just trying to understand, you know, what the
impact of any splits would be, but particular to those
areas that do receive this federal investment that needs
to be advocated for every decade. And so specifically
around Lancaster, Palmdale, Edwards, and Palm, and Plant
42, but also the military base -- all of the military
bases in these areas and facilities, including other
federal investment.
So just trying to make sure that we're trying to keep all of that together because I worry about the economic impact of not keeping that together to that community. But just bringing that out there. And I do see how it all kind of -- how it all comes together, but I do worry about splitting. And we already have a split, so I just wanted to raise that to the Commission.

And I've seen it in the COI testimony and we've heard it come through the public testimony as well. And I certainly sympathize with the issues that Commissioner Turner has raised for people in California City. And so of course, we want to do everything. We just also have to think through how to do it in a way that benefits the people of California and specifically the people in this area that we're looking at right now. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Forniciari, are you still waiting?

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: Yeah, I'll go.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: So yeah. Part of the problem is that corner of Mojave that you grabbed right there is the Space Port that wants to stay -- that should stay with Edwards. So we'd have to go around that. But the other thing is the other day when we were looking at this and I'm not sure whose map we were looking at it,
there was an outline of the base. And so I'm wondering what layer that might have been on so that we can see and make sure we get -- if we're going to continue down this road, that we get the base correct.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Yes, Kennedy. If you can find that for us, that'd be great. Commissioner Toledo, do you have another question?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Not at this time. Just looking at options around this area and thinking through them right now. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: And Chair, can I respond just to Commissioner Toledo in that what we're -- what we're -- is that a yes?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Okay. What I'm attempting to do is to commit -- is to keep communities of interest together. So for the very reasons he named and support, I think the testimony that we've had here and testimony that I've heard prior is that the families in this area are -- it's I think the testimony talked about a tale of two cities, and they're not being supported in the area. And they talked about life and death situations and having to go to state and federal levels and feeling like their support --
They ideally would all like to be with Antelope Valley, okay? That's not going to happen in this iteration. And so what they're wanting to do is for these -- and not Johannesburg. I'm not really saying anything necessarily with Johannesburg, so that might be problematic. But it -- we're trying to reach into -- and into these areas where we can ensure that these communities are kept together and then properly served.

I think it was the parent board, we had the teachers -- what was it, the parents advisory board that talked about the kids in school. We know we had the Dolores Huerta group talking about suits that took place in the schools and what have you, and all of that is from the same group of individuals that's trying to be served in this area together.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Anderson?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yes. In terms of the heat map, because I was also looking at some of the things that Commissioner Turner was referring to. Could we see the couple of different heat maps here, the community of interest we were -- was talking about and that was being discriminated against was (indiscernible), but it was also black. So if we could see that heat map, please.

Again, it's a community of interest but they happen to be similar economic issues and things. But just in
terms of just seeing all the different populations, please.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes, Chair. You asked me to look up what Mojave -- the individuals in Mojave --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- wanted. Yeah. We don't really have testimony from the people of Mojave. We have a lot of testimony from others saying what the people of Mojave should want. So I cannot answer that question. I apologize.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Well, you did answer the question. We asked to see if there anything from Mojave and there wasn't. So thank you. And that is -- well, that's okay. That's -- we have what we have. I do want to clarify, I haven't gone through all of them but I went through a lot of them, and it was usually the same. Thank you for that.

Okay. So Kennedy, this is the heat map for Latino?

MS. WILSON: Yes. This is the Latino C -- heat map.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Did we want to see a different heat map? Can we see the -- the Black CVAP heat map, please? Okay. So do we have further direction for Kennedy?

MS. WILSON: And one moment while I pull that up.
Sorry.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you.

MS. WILSON: So here is the Black CVAP heat map. And the Air Force base is in the way, so I mean.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

MS. WILSON: Um-hum. And I will do the Asian one as well. One moment.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. And Kennedy, just so that I'm looking at the right number, is it the 800 number deviation? Is that what we're looking at, or what -- which one are we looking at for to even out?

MS. WILSON: Oh, yes. To even out, we would need 808.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And eight? Okay. Thank you.

MS. WILSON: Um-hum.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Chair? Oh.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. I was going to suggest -- we took when we were highlighting the Air Force base at the western end of it, perhaps we can put some of that right there past the base to the -- to the west. Up there, that white area, unincorporated. Can we put that back in? That's not the Air Force base.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I'm trying to see what you're referring to.
COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. Right between -- you see where the Air Force base is that beige-ish color and then there's --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh. And then the white?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: And that might reduce some of the numbers we have to --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Um-hum.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: -- make up for.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Kennedy, could you highlight that portion of it, please?

MS. WILSON: I was -- sorry -- trying to make it a little bit more transparent so that we could see underneath it. May you please repeat that direction?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right where your hand was. Right to the -- no. Up. That unincorporated area right underneath what we have highlighted now. No. Move to your left. Yeah.

MS. WILSON: And so take some -- take that out.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. Well, what we're trying to do, Kennedy, when you initially selected the Air Force base to move in, you selected this large rectangle. And I was trying to get you to back out of that some so we didn't have to quite find 800. It might be a smaller
number we're looking for to balance the population.

MS. WILSON: So to make a selection that would take
from this area and bring it back into Fresno-Kern, would
you like me to go ahead and commit this change or redo
that change? Because I can't --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh. Oh, wait. Okay. I'm sorry.
I misunderstood what Commissioner Turner was trying to
do. I thought she was trying to get the unincorporated
area that was to the west of California City. I did not
realize she wanted to go into -- hm.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Well, the -- because right
now, Kennedy, what's highlighted, the beige portion, did
that all come up as the Air Force base, or is that as a
result of what you clicked?

MS. WILSON: This beige piece is just the Air Force
base portion.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Right. So we --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: The white part -- the white
part --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: -- at the top, left corner of
it. That.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: What is that?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: That's unincorporated area.
COMMISSIONER TURNER: Can you select that?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: That's what I thought you were -- you were referring to.

MS. WILSON: And then would you like me to continue and go north and take this area?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. Can you continue? And then make sure that the testing center, it looks like you grabbed a little piece of it. Let's make sure we don't grab that.

Commissioner Forniciari, did you have something else? And Commissioner Sinay. It's okay. Commissioner Turner or Anderson?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yes. At the farthest south of what you currently have, if we can go into Mojave there, which it would not be the Space Port down at the southern portion of just Mojave.

Kennedy, you see that population? I think, since we still have the map on right in -- okay.

MS. WILSON: And where would you like me to take from in Mojave? We need 458 --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Right.

MS. WILSON: -- people.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: You see where the triangle --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech)
COMMISSIONER TURNER: -- that little piece there and right above it, there is some population there.

MS. WILSON: Okay. I will take from that area. So this block is rather large, so it doesn't connect straight --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Right.

MS. WILSON: -- into the triangle. It does --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Right above it. What is that population right above it? Click that, and right there.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Was that zero population?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.

MS. WILSON: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: That triangle? Okay.

MS. WILSON: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: That's a big piece.

MS. WILSON: Shall --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's all zero population.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Kennedy, right above it. Is that -- what is that little green? That's not population?

MS. WILSON: Those -- that's

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Can we zoom in? So maybe there's, like, major streets or somewhere that makes more sense than to just start grabbing population. Is that it?

Man, it's looking like my town. Like I have two roads.
Oh, there we go. There we go. Oh, no. It's much bigger than mine. Bless you.

MS. WILSON: And so here we have the blocks turned on with the population numbers as well.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh. They are -- it seems like they've got a big cluster of population near the test base. I would not want to touch that, for sure. Can you scroll down just a little bit so I can see what the bottom part populations? Lot of zeros. Okay. Why don't we --

MS. WILSON: I can select along the 14 and grab the population that is within the bottom part of Mojave, the southeastern border.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: We could. I'm just going to go on record and say that I just don't like grabbing all that population around the base because I'm pretty sure it's connected, the people are connected to the base, but okay. I'm just going to say that I really don't like this, but Commissioner Anderson.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Thank you, Chair. When we had the heat map on, there was a population on -- just around the west of the Space Port and just a little bit -- and then if you look up, it looks like, oh, there's population up there. There's like 134. Not this area. Actually, the area -- if you went -- you know,
yeah.

At the north, that little area down in there, that, you know, 45, 77, 134. No. Not the southeast, the north central portion going up, up, up, up. That. Starting in that area, correct. Starting in that area, that looked like where we had our people of color who were -- want to be in the VRA district. And could we -- can we try that?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: The other thing I want to say to your point, Chair, is that we do have testimony coming in that's saying that most of the staff are the prison staff in the Air Force base. They're actually tied to L.A. County and Antelope Valley, which neither live or interact much with California City.

And so maybe I would understand that it would seem that they live around there, but if we're going to accept that as fact or at least come from the community, that may not be for the homes of the area that we're in impacting the actual people that work there.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. And I will note based on my prior career with Department of Corrections, in terms of individuals that live close to the institutions, it's actually family members of those -- the incarcerated population. So that might -- and you're absolutely correct. Most staff do not live that close to facilities. They tend to move further away. I'm still
not liking it. Okay. Here we go.

Oh. I'm sorry. Commissioner Kennedy and Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I think we've already gone past the equilibrium point that we were looking for and now we're getting farther and farther away from balanced. So we're wasting time going way beyond where we needed to be.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. You know, I really appreciate Commissioner Turner raising this. And I think a part of this, if we think back to some of the very early testimony that we had, you know, we had had a whole lot of folks calling in from Lancaster-Palmdale in the Antelope Valley, and the concern had been that, in the past, the Bakersfield district dipped into Antelope Valley for population and because there's a community of interest that does link together the Antelope Valley with Mojave through California City -- Rosemond and California city.

And you know, my recollection of how we got to this point largely was from a lot of that testimony that said we want -- we're the Antelope Valley. We want to at
least be in L.A. County and keep Lancaster whole and don't break into us. And if it's possible, keep us with our partners in Mojave, Rosamond, and California City. I see this map at this stage. And if we move forward with this, that's fine.

You know, I think it's one of those unfortunate pieces because these are communities that are connected together. And yet at the same time -- through the aerospace industry, through many other things -- but I feel like we made -- we made a choice in this area a while ago and that split up that further COI of Antelope Valley reaching up into this area. So I just wanted to remind us of that because I remember that was some of the very early testimony and very compelling for me.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. And so from what I gather with that is you would prefer to leave it as is?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I would be fine leaving it as is, but I'm happy to go with the consensus on this. I certainly want to support the communities that are asking to be changed, but yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Anderson, Toledo, and Turner?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yeah. My other idea was do we want to actually add Rosamond to AVSC, which is 21,000, and then I think we needed seventeen or
something, so we'd have to add another 3,000 from AVSC --
AVCSCV to MORCOA that way. That would leave California
City in Fresno with everything up there except for --
except for Rosamond.

And the one thing I wanted to say, I was actually
looking at from Edwards. If you go to Edwards, there's a
group, you know, hey, where we live? And they all say --
they say Palmdale, Lancaster, and Rosamond. They do not
mention California City or Mojave, actually. So that's
another way to do it.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I, you know -- I've
been looking at this area and thinking about the
testimony we received, the testimony that Commissioner
Sadhwani uplifted, and I -- I'm comfortable with staying
with what we have. Also, I'm not going to oppose
continuing to explore. I think exploration is always
helpful, but I do think -- I mean, ideally, we would keep
the federal -- the federal bases, the -- which are the
lifeblood for this region, whole to the extent possible.
I know we've made decisions around Lancaster and Palmdale
and the Antelope Valley previously that have -- that have
shaped this region, but it does seem like we're starting
to cut into those now. That's all I wanted to say.

Thank you.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. I'm just going to kind of put myself in here. I realize there may not be testimony or the people do not live in Mojave, but we're still tearing up a community. And I have a feeling it's a -- it's probably a marginalized community, and we're -- we are kind of splitting it in half. Commissioner Turner and Forniciari.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. Thank you. It probably is a marginalized community, which is why I think it should be moved. And so ideally they want it to be in Antelope Valley. Then the testimony came, move us anywhere except for where we are, MORCOA, because now that we have made this split here, California City is really sitting there out there by themselves.

And I think if we followed headlines at all, not necessarily recent communities of interest, we know that the area that they're currently sitting in and associated with has had headlines of being the deadliest police force per capita for people of color. That's in the news, been in the news, and so the people that's here are suffering. They are having issues, and they're being asked to be moved someplace where they can get support and help.

And so because we could not move them all down into the area and we've balanced -- we've actually made our
numbers now where we did through the deviation. It may look wonky or strange or what have you, but it does move all of California City into the MORCOA district, and it does Mojave -- for those -- the -- probably the biggest concern would be that we couldn't move more of them into the Antelope Valley. And I would be very surprised if there were complaints about them coming out of the area that they're in.

And so I -- of course, I want to see it moved. We lifted it, but you know, that I just feel like we'll probably -- for sure, not probably -- I'm -- I feel strongly that we'll -- it'll be a disservice to a lot of the California City residents and those in Mojave to not try and respond to their living circumstances.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Forniciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: So yeah. Up until we worked to finalize the congressional maps, all this area was in Fresno-Kern. We had to walk that 17,000 people from the north to the south and we just cut it out without giving it a lot of thought what the impact for cutting it out was. So you know, I am struggling here with what we're doing, and yeah. But I mean, I think it deserves some thought because we haven't given this move thought.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I would agree with Commissioner Forniciari on this. I mean, I wish we could -- I wish there were population enough to redirect this population to the Antelope Valley because I think, strategically, that's what makes sense, at least with Palmdale and the Lancaster area and the federal facilities. But -- and I think -- and certainly we haven't finalized our maps.

And so I -- maybe this is might be something where we might want to charge Commissioner Forniciari and Commissioner Turner to take a look at options with staff while we go on to another portion of the state. Looking at this strategically, both from a community standpoint and the needs of our -- of our minority populations as well as the really strategic federal needs of our -- of the people of this area where federal investment is such a huge portion of the economy in these -- in these areas. So just a thought in terms of giving it a little bit of strategic thinking.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you for that. It's -- I'm just trying to think if there's enough time to look into this. That's the issue at this point. I'm not sure if Commissioner Forniciari and Commissioner Turner are willing to -- ope, Kennedy. Here we go.
MS. WILSON: Yes. And so when we were first looking at this iteration, another option of moving that 17,000 people that I showed was moving portions of Inyo into San Bernardino, MORCOA district, and then you keep this portion whole with what it was before, and instead, you move the population through Inyo is what the other option. And but you decided to go with split here because the Inyo version was not preferred.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. But then isn't the community of interest saying that they want to be in MORCOA? I believe that's what Commissioner Turner and Anderson stated, so --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: What they wanted to be was not where they are, where we've drawn them based on the -- any -- anywhere except for where they currently were. I think they were before, previously. They -- so MORCOA is the preferred district. Inyo, is that above? Where are we going with Inyo? What was that iteration, Kennedy?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: No, we didn't -- we didn't have the --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Oh.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: That iteration was not brought forward. It was just something that they had looked at, but that was not brought forward to the Commission.

Okay. One minute. Here we go. Commissioner Anderson,
Toledo, and Toledo.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: And the reason why we didn't take Inyo is because in Into County in its entirety is 18,000 people. So you'd have to leave, like, three or 400 less -- around a thousand people in Inyo to make it balance. So it made much more sense to go this way.

And I agree with Commissioner Turner. The people here were -- they really would rather be in MORCOA if we can make a very surgical cut into Mojave. I think that's with California City into MORCOA. I think that's what we should do.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. My understanding was the -- that the correspondence from this -- from this group had wanted to be first with the Antelope Valley and then with MORCOA as a default if there was no other option. And so -- and I think we had them there prior to some changes to the maps. That being said, I agree with you, Commissioner Fernandez. I'm not sure that there's time to look at this a little bit more and perhaps -- but if there -- if there is a will to look at it, I'd be supportive of it. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Just very briefly, yes. So we had -- you know, when Commissioner Toledo and I were
working on the VRA districts just adjacent to this in the Central Valley, we discussed this as a possible option, right? So if this population goes into MORCOA, then population has to move. I think that's what Kennedy is referring to.

And so, you know, and Commissioner Anderson, I would -- I would ask you a little bit more because I hear -- I hear the passion for this, and I support that. Actually, I really do. But the way to achieve that, then, is Inyo going with the Central Valley. And maybe Kennedy can just walk us through what that would more specifically look like.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Anderson.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yeah. I'm sorry.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: A minute?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: To clarify, no. You know, this population, which is actually parts of Rosamond, parts of Mojave, and parts of -- essentially the western part is my understanding -- of California City really wanted to be with the Antelope Valley. Given how the whole section was chopped and put into MORCOA, they said, hey, take California City and put it in MORCOA or put it in MORCOA and leave the Air Force -- the base in Fresno. And that's what they were talking about.

So that's what I was saying. And I believe I'm
agreeing with Commissioner Turner on this, is if we can't put some of it into Antelope Valley, then let's put some of that over in MORCOA. And then the people -- yeah, yeah. So that's what I was saying. No, I wasn't talking about let's leave all this because that leaves the people of color who didn't want to be within Kern still in Kern. So it's not helping them at all, and I think that's --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Can -- could --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: -- the people we need to help.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- Kennedy just walk us through that?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yeah. She --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And then -- and we have to realize that there's many communities of interest that we weren't able to honor either because of population or because of conflicting communities of interest information. And especially with congressional, when you have to get down to zero, it just makes it more challenging. But Kennedy, I guess walk us through what that other --

MS. WILSON: Yes.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- version would be. Thank you. Because we've been here for an hour.

MS. WILSON: I was just going to remind just the whole situation was that the Southern California portions
of California were under-populated by 17,000 people. So that had to flow down through some shifts between me and Tamina. And so to do that was in response to moving those 17,000 people down and so splitting in California City and taking the rest. And that's what the Commission chose over the Inyo portion, yeah. That's just how we got here. That's why this decision was made, why that one wasn't made, and that's just an overview.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Turner and --

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. And so --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: -- the last thing I want to say about that is that we are balanced now. And so the thing is, is that in community of interest testimony is I think our fourth criteria along with cities. We said all that before. And we have heard from people that's requesting this. And so we can, in our minds, think what people around that want to do, et cetera, but they've called in to tell us what their lived experience is and why they want to be somewhere different.

The problem is, is that this COI, the one's that's greatest affected is on the west side of this particular area of California City. And because we cannot move it down, we have to move it right, which means if we're going to move it to the east, we need to take all of it
if we're going to be able to help them at all. And so with that, we're balanced now. We really don't have to do anything else, or we can go on the journey that we don't have time for. But either of those two certainly would at least serve the ones that have called in and given their testimony about their experience. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I would support the change.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. I would not support the change, but I will go with whatever, but I would like to see where these boundaries are that we're just cutting across Mojave. Sorry, Mojave. Can you zoom in, please, because I can't see any of the street?

MS. WILSON: One moment, please, while I turn on the street layer.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Sadhwani, do you have another comment? Oh. Can you zoom down, or can you move down, please, Kennedy? I mean, I know we're balanced, but it just -- it is very random. Almost like at least we went along the freeway or something like -- it just seems so random and slicing. I guess that's the only way I can say it. Commissioner Vazquez.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yeah. I am in support of this change. I hear you about it feeling random, and I agree it is. That being said, for the vast majority, I
would say, of the balancing that has happened with congressional districts has been fairly random. And we've often also left it up to the mappers once we have given sort of final direction to the architecture of a region. For the most part, throughout much of California, we have randomly balanced districts. And in some ways I'm hoping that some of that randomness sort of cancels each other out in different areas of the state.

So you know, again, in an ideal world, we'd have detailed information about every neighborhood and every small city and town. Unfortunately, we do not. And so I'm in support of this change, and I agree that it's random, but I don't know that there's -- I don't know that we're necessarily doing anything differently here for this change than we've done for the rest of the map in order to balance our congressional districts.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Well, I think any time we're trying to get to the plus or negative one deviation, some -- you know, there is some level of communities not being alike and to some extent some randomness. I don't know if that's the right word, but I mean, I still am having -- for compactness issues and other issues, I'm still trying to under -- trying to decide. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And compactness is number five, I
believe. That goes -- communities of interest is number four. I think -- yeah. It's still random to me. It just -- if we could go along the freeway -- and I realize we're trying to get to zero -- and maybe get that last piece of the city limits or the -- yeah, just get that. But yeah. If not, it won't work, so it is what it is.

Any other comments before we move on? Commissioner Sadhwani and Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Just wondering in terms of clean up if Tamina -- I mean, would it be reasonable to look at making the slice into Mojave more localized at the top, perhaps, or just something that's more of maintaining a neighborhood -- something that seems more of a neighborhood as opposed to taking a dip in the top and then slicing down the side kind of thing.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I know --

MS. WILSON: Yeah. And I --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I know that in the top -- right there in that corner that is not highlighted, it's -- that's the test -- I believe the testing center or something like that that we wanted to make sure that we kept with the Air Force base. So that's why we're trying to go around it.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: But how about the community right up at the top?
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Like, on that end. Yeah. Maybe just go across, if you could.

MS. WILSON: So well, I'd be taking out this portion here?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Kennedy, would you mind working on this and we go to Orange County? I -- or to San Diego. Just a little bit more of care and maybe if you can come up with a better version. Again, I want to make sure that the test -- the testing site stays with the Air Force base, and we'll move on to -- I think we're going to San Diego. San Diego and then we'll come back.

MS. WILSON: Yes. And so I am still just wondering would it, like --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Like, right there, like, that area. Right. Like, at least try to localize it somehow. It's going to be difficult because of that test site that -- it would have been great had it been on the southern end of it, but it's not on the southern end of it. Just yeah, if you can take a look at it.

Commissioner Forniciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: You want me to spend a couple minutes --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: That would --

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: -- with Kennedy?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- be great. That would be great.
Thank you so much. And if you can just bring it back to us, that'd be great. All right. So we're going to move on. Thank you. Sivan?

MS. TRATT: Hello. Sorry. I was muted. Hi, I'm here. Just give me one second to share my screen.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Let me know when you're ready, and we'll probably start with Orange County --

MS. TRATT: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- the coastal between Orange County and San Diego that's -- Commissioner Sadhwani and then we will go into San Diego.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: You know, I had sent along the community testimony that we had received yesterday. There had been some callers talking about a swap of Ladera Ranch. It's connected with Cota de Casa. They are planned communities and keeping that more inland and bringing in Laguna Beach, and I think Sivan tried. Sivan, do you have that snapshot, or?

MS. TRATT: I do, yeah. Give me one moment to pull it up.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you. So I think that the testimony had been, you know, that this is -- we have been attempting to make this a more coastal-based district. So how do we take out some of the pieces that are more inland and ensure that it's -- that it's a --
you know, more coastal-based in nature. But I think that
the result was some cuts in other COIs. I'm not sure how
I feel about it in all honesty now that we saw it.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I actually inquired
about it this morning with Andrew and Sivan to see if --
it seemed like these swaps might be reasonable and would
be easy to do, but the challenge became we're talking
about several city cuts and there's no real good way to
balance it.

So I was just going to actually say that, you know,
based on the testimony, I did inquire and try to look
into it, but I -- I'm pretty uncomfortable with multiple
city swaps to try to do this. And so my preference, or
at least my recommendation, is I think in this particular
case, you know, it's not a perfect map, I guess, or
there's obviously things that we would like to see
different. But I think for what we had, I think it
worked or it's good enough, I guess. There's a lot of
places that are good enough on our map, so.

MS. TRATT: Commissioners Akutagawa and Sadhwani,
did you want me to just highlight for everyone where
those swaps occurred, or do you want me to just revert to
the other plan?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Sivan, I mean, I know one
of the attempts was to bring in Tustin whole, but I --
you know, I mean, it's cut in half and I don't know how
effective that is. I could also mention just looking at
it, you know, Newport Beach is also cut off. I believe
in the previous map we did have Laguna Hills whole, but
it seems to be split again. So I don't know if there's
other splits that you've noted. Thanks.

MS. TRATT: Yep. You took the words out of my
mouth. The only two -- there were two whole city swaps.
So that was Laguna Beach and Ladera Ranch, and then
created two splits, so one in Tustin, which I do believe
was an attempt to bring together at least part of the
Tustin-Irvine COI, but I'm not sure if a split is better
than nothing, and then also creates a new split in
Newport Beach. So if there's no longer interest, I would
be happy to revert to the -- where we started.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Is it not possible, Sivan --
I'm trying -- I'm sorry. At this point, I'm just trying
to wrap my head around these things to keep Newport Beach
whole and just adjust where the split is in Tustin.
Tustin is currently not with Irvine, is that correct, in
our current map? But the --

MS. TRATT: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- COI was --

MS. TRATT: Yeah. So Tustin was split previously.
I believe the move -- this three-way swap was to try and bring in more of Tustin.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Um-hum.

MS. TRATT: Because Newport Beach and Tustin would be moving in and out of different districts, it would involve a three-district swap. So I only looked at the community submission that was forwarded to me in terms of how to move population. I did not make attempts to keep Tustin or Newport Beach whole. I just balanced the plans back, so I could do that exploration now or just leave it.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I mean, if Newport Beach could be more whole than maybe I would be more supportive of it, but it would cut down the cuts and I think it would keep more of Tustin with -- yeah -- Tustin with Irvine and Costa Mesa, which I think was the -- you know, a secondary goal of this move was solidifying the coastal as well as bringing in more of Tustin.

MS. TRATT: So --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: If it's reasonable to keep Newport Beach whole, I would be interested in it if it's quick.

MS. TRATT: Yeah. No, I was just going to ask what your proposed swap would be because it would have -- again, it would -- to bring in more of Tustin, it would
have to involve --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Right.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: It would be less of Tustin.

It would be less of Tustin.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Less of --

MS. TRATT: Okay. So remove the part of Tustin --

are you okay with a split in Tustin?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I thought that you said it

was already split.

MS. TRATT: No, it was not. It was split from

Irvine, but the City of Tustin --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Oh, I see.

MS. TRATT: -- is kept whole.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Split from Irvine.

MS. TRATT: Yes, these are new city splits.


Revert.

MS. TRATT: Okay.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Well, okay. Yeah. Thank you.

I'm like, wait a minute.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: We've actually had great

feedback on our Orange County map --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- so why tinker with it at

this point? Thank you. And I think Commissioner Sinay
has some additional changes to that same district, actually. So I'm curious and excited to see what they are.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Let's move on to Commissioner Sinay. Let me cross this one out. Here we go.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So we've looked at the congressional district from, you know -- we talked about -- or okay -- that it -- you know, the district doesn't quite make -- the middle district doesn't quite make sense because it goes all the way from the -- Coronado all the way up to Valley Center. Valley Center? No. I'm looking at the Valley -- but Escondido, in that area.

Having said all that, a thought is, and it works because we've looked into it, is moving San Marcos down into central, the City of San Marcos. So it will be with Escondido, which has been one of the COIs. Usually -- it's the seven -- there's -- I've looked at all of them and there's different one -- you know, people group northern San Diego differently. But Oceanside Vista, Carlsbad, San Marcos, Escondido.

Right now, Escondido is split, and it's not with any of those on the 78 corridor. So this would put San Marcos with part of Escondido. It would be in the San
Diego coast, which would -- which would allow us then to
to make Carlsbad whole by going -- moving south and make
room for more of the north coastal. So Encinitas,
Solana, Del Mar, which are all -- you know, work
together. I don't know -- I don't know how far down it
would get to get to one, but it would allow more of San
Diego Coastal to be with Orange County Coastal.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. And have you -- you haven't
worked this. You have worked it. Okay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: We have worked it.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. So the first move would be
to move San Marcos in?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: San Marcos into San --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- Diego coast.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Let's do that, Sivan,
please.

MS. TRATT: Oh, I have a -- I was just showing these
are the lines, but I can also just move to the snapshot
that I've made where I've already made those changes.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Well, that would be easier.

MS. TRATT: I know we've worked it. I know the
Commission --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I know it's getting late. I'm
trying to follow you.
MS. TRATT: Well, and I was trying to save the Commission time as well just --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: We appreciate that.

MS. TRATT: So while I was doing some checks, I noticed that I did have a stray loch, an unintentional split and there's a noncontiguous portion of Lake San Marcos that I had accidentally split from the rest of Lake San Marcos. So I -- it is currently one off from being balanced, and I didn't have time to rebalance the snapshot before showing it, but I will balance it either live or I can do it offline. So just one moment please while the map --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

MS. TRATT: -- loads again.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I will go to Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Oh, I was just going to ask if you were able to also remove that unincorporated area next to Vista as well, too, if you -- that way, then you could bring in more of the coast as Commissioner Sinay had intended. Thanks.

MS. TRATT: Indeed, that is where I am trying to balance the population from, so you can see this is at three and this is at negative two, so I'll just need to balance that. But with those proposed changes, the 49th
District would now go south to Del Mar. It would exclude San Marcos and Lake San Marcos, and then those would be included in CD50, which now goes from Coronado --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Coronado? Okay.

MS. TRATT: -- up to above San Marcos, includes southern Escondido and the San Pascal area of the City of San Diego.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I will just say I kind -- I kind of like this, so.

MS. TRATT: It also removes the split in Carlsbad as well.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. Which is -- you know what that is? That's a bonus. Thank you, Sivan.

MS. TRATT: Yes. You're welcome.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Any feedback on this? I do. I like it. But any comments? Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes. Thank you. I think, you know, we've heard a lot about coastal districts and there's a strong desire, and we weren't able to create that full OC coastal district that folks wanted. But what I see here is a very coastal-oriented district. Yes, it spans across two counties, but the ocean certainly does, too. So seems reasonable. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes, the ocean doesn't know which line it is and where the district -- where one county
ends and the other one starts. Commissioner Akutagawa
then Sinay and then Toledo.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I was just going to say it
also aligns with the COI testimony where we heard quite a
bit from Solana Beach and Del Mar as well, too, being
disappointed that they were not included in. So I'm sure
that there's going to be a lot of happy people. Thank
you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Is that like a bonus-bonus? Okay.
All right. Maybe it's Bonus Sunday. Okay. Commissioner
Sinay and --

COMMISSIONER SINAY: You can add the folks from
Encinitas as well. And I want to just remind folks that
I -- you know, we've intentionally have kept Vista in
there with Carlsbad and Oceanside because it is the Tri-
City Medical. You know, we got testimony on that. But
also Oceanside and Vista and Carl -- you know, this way,
we're only splitting the corridor in half, and Oceanside,
Vista have a lot of similar populations and do a lot of
community work together. So we're still giving -- you
know, have two cities that can support -- you know, work
together within the congressional district. And they
work very closely with Camp Pendleton.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: This change is aligned with
the COI testimony that I've seen and heard over the last
couple of weeks and months, and so I would support this,
and I believe we have general consensus, just looking at
the room, but I can't tell.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. Right. I believe we do,
too. But are there any concerns with making this change?
And then with that, Sivan would go back and find one or
two population. Commissioner Sinay? Okay. Okay. So
with that, Sivan, is this locked in, or you have to go
back and look it in?

MS. TRATT: No, this is locked in. I just wanted to
confirm that you were okay with me balancing these two
districts offline.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes.

MS. TRATT: It'll just be in the unincorporated area
outside of Vista and no new city splits will be created.
So just wanted to confirm that.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank you so much, Sivan.
Thank you for your work on that.

MS. TRATT: Absolutely. Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I appreciate it. Okay. So is
Tamina ready with San Jose, or is -- or is Commissioner
Forniciari ready with California City? Those are two
areas that are left. San Jose is not ready. And I
assume Tamina is still working on it, correct? Okay.
So right now, since we are waiting for both of them, I think we will go ahead and go on break. So we're going to go on break and we will be back. I'm going to give you an extra few minutes. We're going to be back at 8:00. Thank you. I know. You're welcome. Bonus Sunday, Bonus Sunday. That's right.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 7:38 p.m. until 8:00 p.m.)

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Welcome back, California. We are in the homestretch, so we're -- we've got a couple of iterations that we will be reviewing. I believe we are -- are we ready for San Jose or are we going to California City? And just based on what the map says, I'm thinking we're going to California City, so. Can't pull one over on me. That's right.

So with this, we did ask Commissioner Forniciari if he could work with -- oh -- was it Kennedy? Work with Kennedy. Sorry. Kennedy. Thank you. So Commissioner Forniciari, would you like to walk us through this or would you like Kenn -- oh, you would. Great. Please (indiscernible). That was him.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: Yeah. I -- sorry. I was -- I had to rejoin the meeting. My apologies. So let's see. So Kennedy and I went through, made some modifications to the -- to the outline that we had had,
made it look a little more regular. Came around into Mojave. If you zoom in there, Kennedy, went along a couple roads in Mojave and above the Space Port. Had to dip down a little bit for population reasons there but got to a zero deviation.

And then we also adjusted the line at the top of Edwards Air Force Base to make it -- the line correspond to the edge of that base, except for that one little dip right there is California City. Oop. I guess we missed a spot there. Maybe we can grab that little spot and fix it. And then yeah. So we'll probably want to just fix that little deviation and zoom back out so folks can take a look.


MS. WILSON: Sorry. Just the reason that block is not taken is because it is a little long, so it would mess up the rest of the line. So when I just click on that one -- that one block just happens to be really big and go towards the top. So it can be taken out, if you would like also, but that's why it's not taken.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: No, I think it's -- I think it's fine. We get most of the border correct, so thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Great. Thank you. Thank you,
both, again. I will say that this looks better than --
and it looks more intentional than what we were doing.
Commissioners Toledo and Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Just before we decide on this,
just can we look at what it looked like prior to the
change?

MS. WILSON: Yes. One moment.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Kennedy, did you want
to --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I just was
going to ask if the terrain layer had been checked, and
if not, if we could turn that on now. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Good. Great.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: Yeah. I apologize. We
didn't think of that.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I guess my assumption would be
that they would still come into California City. That
seems to be the closest major city. Any other -- any
other questions or concerns? Commissioner Anderson?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yeah. Just -- this is just
a quick -- no serious concern, but how many -- were there
people in that -- in, you know, now we're looking at the
terrain level, you know, in that essentially mountainous
area there. Just that one chunk, like, essentially if
we -- we have a nice square if we sort of cut part of the
triangle.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: Yeah. It was really hard out here to find small blocks with small populations, and I think there's one person in that block.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. All right. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: Because many of the blocks in Mojave were pretty large, so we --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: You know, we tried to be as reasonable as we could.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I guess I'm just going to ask, the purpose was to bring in communities that felt that they really wanted to be with MORCOA, and I am wondering, given the terrain, if we're actually helping the communities that we wanted to help. So I'll just leave it there. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: I think that's a really good point. I -- because we had started going north and east, and so we just tried to neaten that up and then go into Mojave. But maybe this -- maybe we can make this make a little bit more sense, and we could probably do it reasonably quickly.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Can we maybe turn on -- oh, good. That's what I was going to ask for. Maybe turn on the numbers to see where the population is. And it looks like a lot of zeros. Zeroes, and the one. Right. Yeah. I think --

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: Chair, if it's okay, maybe Kennedy and I can go back and take a few more minutes to clean this up.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Are we ready for San Jose? Tamina?

MS. WILSON: So we are on one computer here, so if --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh. Okay.

MS. WILSON: -- Tamina goes and does that portion, I will not be able to be working off of what we have now offline.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. So can you just zoom in just a little bit more? Because it looks like most of it is zero, and I'm trying to get closer so I can see numbers. So we're picking up lots of land, which is beautiful. I love land.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: So we -- you know, one thing we talked about is --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, there we go. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: -- eliminating this whole
northern part, but there was some discussion about --
concern about leaving Johannesburg off by itself. I
mean, if we got rid of the whole northern part and just
went into Mojave, you know, we could certainly do that.
But we can certainly clean it up along -- maybe just
along 14 and the ridge line. You know, there are a few
folks here. I mean, this was already chosen before we
started cleaning it up. So I mean, we could -- we could
clean up right along 14 into --

    CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right.

    COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: -- Mojave.

    CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. And we could do that now,
right? Commissioner Forniciari? Okay. Why don't we do
that. Let's lead the way, Commissioner Forniciari.

    COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: Okay.

    CHAIR FERNANDEZ: No pressure there.

    COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: All right. So --

    CHAIR FERNANDEZ: We're going to bring it -- there
we go.

    COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: Yeah. There --

    CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

    COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: -- you go. There were --
there were actually four people in that little finger,
since we're using body parts as descriptions.

    CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Akutagawa.
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Just a quick question.
What if we were to just go where we were before and just
take all of California City? Do we need to take all the
others? Because based on the terrain layer, you'd have
to just follow that ridge line all the way going, I guess
it's southwest, I think, in a diagonal. I -- without
taking out --

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: So Kennedy, I think
just -- yeah. I -- yeah. Okay. How much -- how many
people are we -- we're forty-five? Okay. Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Forty-five people.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: There's, like, a road
there. Just don't go that far down.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Because I think at that
point, you're no longer --

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- in the mountains.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: Get that little weird
thing up there, yeah. Okay. I think -- does that look
okay for everyone?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Um-hum. And now we're at 113? Is
that right?

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. At least now it's no longer
in the mountains.
COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: Well, we want to get rid of the mountains up above the road up there, too.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I don't think there was much in population. Okay.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: Okay.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Did we want to go in a little or is that right on the --

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: Yeah. I think we want to stop there. I think --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah, yeah.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: I think Commissioner Anderson has a comment.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Anderson.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yeah. Thank you, Chair.

Yeah. The area just that's directly west of California City that is not in the mountains, I would leave that back with the -- this area. Yes, correct. Thank you, Kennedy. Because there's a little road that sort of goes along -- yeah, I would leave that back because I thought that's where the people were and we could leave them.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I still have a preference for the original, but I will go along with the majority.
Thank you.

MS. WILSON: How does that look?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: That looks better. Thank you.

And then so we would go more into Mojave, correct?

MS. WILSON: So may I commit this change? And I'll zoom out, sorry, so you can see it better. And so I would bring that back into Fresno-Kern so the mountains are with the rest of the mountains.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank you.

MS. WILSON: Okay.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. And then if we can zoom in to Mojave. We're looking for 114. There's 150.

MS. WILSON: So would you -- would you like me to keep taking from this area?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Well, if you take the 150, that's going to take you over. Then you'd have to take out and do the dance.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: Boy. Too bad that one's not a thirty. Then we'd be right on the money.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Don't lose hope. Don't lose hope, Commissioner Toledo. We've got Kennedy at the wheel. Have faith.

MS. WILSON: Caught between a rock and a hard place. Let me try to move a little bit more on a different way.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: How about taking the
thirty-eight instead of the thirty-seven?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Wow.

MS. WILSON: Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Wow. So what do you think now, Commissioner Toledo?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Good eye.


MS. WILSON: May I commit this change?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. Any comments on this? I would agree with Commissioner Toledo. I do prefer the original, but I will go with the majority or consensus.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I -- I'll go with the majority or consensus as well. I can see the value both ways. You know, we -- we're able to put Edwards Air Force Base with Kern and keep California City whole, but we're still cutting into Mojave and it doesn't feel like we're -- I don't -- yeah, either way.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Um-hum. Thank you. Thank you, Commissioner Forniciari and Kennedy for the work that you did do on this. I appreciate it. I think it reflects the testimony that I recall hearing. Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Any other comments? So it sounds...
like the majority are okay. Oh. Commissioner Forniciari and Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: Yeah. You know, I have mixed emotions on this, but I like the fact that we put Edwards back with -- back with Kern County.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Akutagawa?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I think we put a lot of time and work into this. Let's lock it in and let's move on.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Wow. I'm going to get shirts that say that. Commissioner Anderson.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Lock it in. Economically, this is going to be really the right thing for the entire area, and also really help -- for at a federal level, help the people who need help.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: We hope it will be the best for the economy. We can't guarantee it, right? We can't guarantee anything, but we're doing our best. So with this, we will lock it in and we will move on onto San Jose. I'm not sure. Is Tamina ready for this?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Ready to go, Chair.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: That made me so happy right now. A little happy dance. Love to hear your voice and you're ready to go. I can't use warm my heart anymore because I was teased about that yesterday. Oh, good. Warms
Commissioner Yee's heart. Okay. So Tamina, walk us through the magic that you just created.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Certainly. So we will be creating the magic together, but what I wanted to show you is what we worked out, Commissioner Yee offline and I. So this is -- these sections are what has been changed that we wanted to revert back to. This was the old map from 12/15, and this is just as it stands in 12/15. The only difference is we moved this line right here in order to accommodate the Vietnamese COI, which is in this area, and give them one fewer splits.

So this is where we are right now with the black. You'll remember we did the business district over here, so we took that area in. And this is where we are today. The black -- sorry, the black is where we are today. The blue is where we were before. And so with your permission, or if anybody would like to discuss this further, then please feel free, but the plan would be, if you would like to revert to these maps, which are the blue, then I can start selecting those districts.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Do we have comments? Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes, very happy to support this development. And so the black, you'll remember, included the adjustments we made for the Vietnamese COI, but that
was also the version that had the split of Saratoga. That's why you saw the split of Saratoga just now. But if we can just reincorporate the Vietnamese COI adjustments to the 12/15 map, I believe we will be back to the version that had MIDCOAST in two different pieces, or rather MIDCOAST versus SANTA CLAR, and then a four-way split of San Jose.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Can I -- can I just ask -- I mean, and thank you so much for this work. I know that there was concerns from the community about the Vietnamese community being with, like, the very affluent Palo Alto and Mountain Views and all that. Are they in that same district still? I know you mentioned -- I didn't see the close up, so I'm not quite sure where they are in relation to what other cities they're grouped with.

And I don't even know at this point if there's even a possibility of making it so that they're in similar communities where they're much more lower income and working class and have similar socioeconomic kind of demographics. And --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Okay.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Oh. And last one, the
Calle Willow. I just want to make sure that we didn't split them again either.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Was it Willow Street?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Willow Street.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner Yee, if you can respond.

COMMISSIONER YEE: So the Vietnamese COI that we were mostly focused on is right where the word San Jose is. Words San Jose right there. And so there were four quadrants to that. We've united three of them, so that was a plus, including the business COI that we worked on. They are, however, in now the SANTAACLAR district that does include, you know, Los Gatos and Mountain View and all those cities.

So the fourth quadrant that we could not bring in is in the Cupertino district including all of east -- all the portion of East San Jose. That's part of that district, so you know, not able to satisfy all desires there, but most of them, I think.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And so the fourth part was never included because it was part of that VRA district, correct?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Right. We experimented with uniting all four --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.
COMMISSIONER YEE: -- quadrants, but that dropped the COI in the VRA district.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Right. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER YEE: I mean, the LCVAP.


COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I want to thank Commissioner Yee and Ahmad for their efforts on this, and of course Tamina as well. I just want to make -- can we look at CVAP for the Cupertino district? Just want to make sure that the CVAPs have been -- are still at the level that we -- you know, that we -- that is necessitated for the VRA.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And just as confirmation, we did not touch the line -- the district boundaries, correct?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Not since we made that adjustment to the commercial COI. That did not change the LCVAP.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. Yeah, if you can just confirm that, that would be great.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Tamina, that's what it was before?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes. Apologies for the decimals. We are at fifty --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: That's okay.

MS. RAMOS ALON: -- point fifty-two percent.
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Which is what it was before, correct?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you so much for that.

Commissioner Anderson?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yeah. Thank you. You know, I'd sort of forgotten what this actually used to look like. So I just want us to realize MIDCOAST was the one that was a real problem. And so what it had before is it went all the way from Pacifica down, and the towns we were concerned about were Palo Alto, Stanford, Atherton, and Woodside. Now MIDCOAST down has -- doesn't have the far north -- you know, Pacifica down, but it has San Jose. So just a consideration. It's --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So yeah.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: -- one versus the other.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. I would have to say that's better, but you know, that's just only my opinion.

Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you for doing this, and it looks really good. I mean, I -- thanks. I support it. I'm ready to say lock it.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Commissioner Akutagawa, did you have another comment?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. One more piece.
There was additional testimony that asked if we could try to keep the Irvington neighborhood in Fremont together. I guess I don't know if it was a previous map iteration, I think it's referring to this one, had split it in half. And so I was just doing a quick Google search to see exactly where it looks to be.

It's by the 680 and Washington Boulevard is the intersections that I'm seeing in Fremont. And I'd just like to see if there's a quick fix to it. Actually it's 680 and kind of like -- in between the 680 and the 880, if you kind of zoom into that kind of general area. An auto mall. I don't see it. Oh yeah. Oh yeah, yeah, yeah.

COMMISSIONER FORNICIARI: It's right under --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Yeah. Right up at the top. Yes, right there. It looks like, according to Google Maps, the southern border is Blacow Street. Grimmer Boulevard is the west border. And Paseo Padre Parkway is the north border. I'm trying to figure out what the east border is.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And just a reminder that we're going to have to balance this to zero if we make any changes.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. That's why I just want to see if it's possible. If it's not --
CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- I guess, hopefully, it's possible to, since it's a neighborhood anyways.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.

Are you still looking into that, Tamina?

MS. RAMOS ALON: (No audible response).

Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Right. So I don't know. Tamina, if you want to comment on what it would take to balance that out, if there's a really obvious fix. If not, it probably would take some detail work.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Right. There's no obvious fix, it would take some balancing. I'm not exactly sure where the lines are, but I do know that this is a densely populated area. Paseo Padre (phonetic) is up here. So my guess is that they would like this district to come all the way up here. So we can take some of that area and see what the population looks like.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: It looks like Driscoll (phonetic) Road is the east area.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: It looks like a main road right there.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. So --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible)

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So that little -- right above it
where you had it. If we could just get an idea of what
that population looks like, and then we will move --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, sorry.

MS. RAMOS ALON: It goes straight up, Driscoll to --
don't follow Driscoll off to the right. Just go straight
up to Paseo Parkway. Yeah. Yeah exactly. And then --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: And then then to the left?

MS. RAMOS ALON: And then to the left, to Paseo.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: (Indiscernible)

MS. RAMOS ALON: To Grimmer.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Chair?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes, Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: I just wanted to add that this

is also in COI testimony 19919, so that we're not using

Google as a COI.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Thank you. Yeah. I
don't think --

COMMISSIONER YEE: That's where they got it.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- Google submitted anything.

As we go through this, Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. So I just wanted to
acknowledge our respect and we did, you know, thoroughly consider the testimony about San Jose and the thought of having at least one district to be a majority of San Jose's population. And we weighed that carefully against all the other considerations and thought about what we had done in other cities in other situations. And this is where we landed. So I just want to acknowledge all the COI input we had around that.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you. And it looks like that impact is about almost 4,600. Is that right, 4,600; because the numbers are really small. So that's okay.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Is there another portion of Fremont toward Newark that we could take out to balance, to keep the neighborhood whole, or either that or take out that little portion of Irvington and take in somewhere else? It might be easier to go the other way around.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, I was just going to say, it looked like we went over Grimmer a little bit. But I imagine we could move the sort of jagged line, you know, down to the left and get, you know, back filled that way.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. We can try that, briefly. Oh, Commissioner Yee, sorry. Oh, that Taylor. I
want Taylor to do Taylor. Taylor squared, pi? Squared.
I was right the first time.

Yes. Oh, I'm sorry, Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Do you want -- do you want
me to make some suggestions or --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes, please. Yeah.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. So I think we went
over Grimmer there, if you zoom in. So maybe we can move
that back to start with. And then I guess, I would -- I
guess, it would go to the southeast here and maybe go up.

Zoom back in. Okay. We're not east anymore. North
there, where it says South Sundale, maybe you can just
begin to move that line over to Stevenson, starting where
it is at Stevenson and just moving up. Actually, I'm
going the wrong way, huh?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: No, we need to take out -- you
know, what you did, you did a Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: That's embarrassing.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. Maybe we can just
bring it to Grimmer and the one below it. I forget what
that street is.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Are you looking at the corner --
what is that, Osgood Road? That one right there, is that
what we were thinking about or no? We're going to need
the 110. Okay, sorry. We're close. Is that 109 or is that 100? That's a hundred. No, I'm looking at right below the -- right there.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Nicely done, Chair.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Whew, so much pressure. Okay. Can we zoom out and we take a look at it, please?

Okay. Do we have any concerns with this -- actually, do we have any concerns with all of it, right?

Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Could we just see full CVAPs on all of the changes that would be happening between these two districts; or is that reflected here? That 45.8, is that the new one?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So you want the prior ones and -- yeah.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, the prior ones. Yeah, just so we can --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Prior ones and all of them.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thanks.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Not just the -- thank you.

So to mean, are those the old ones or the new ones?

MS. RAMOS ALON: So these would be the new ones.

This does not take into consideration the change that we
just made. Okay. So we did change this line up here, in
Fremont a little bit. But these, the boxes you're seeing
now would reflect what the percentages would be if we
were to change the other areas back to these blue areas.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: If we change it -- okay. Is there
some way to show the -- wait. This is the prior?

MS. RAMOS ALON: So we didn't finish -- and I'm
sorry. Maybe I missed it. But we didn't finish making
the decision whether we were going to go to these twelve,
fifteen districts in San Jose. Did you want me to adopt
those changes?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I believe we did. Are there
any -- are there any concerns? Oh, general consensus.
We didn't say the magic words, did we?

Commissioner Yee, would you like to lock it in?

I need you to use your mic, please, Commissioner
Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Does that mean just that
you just made, or can you walk it back just in case?

MS. RAMOS ALON: You can always walk it back.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Oh, yeah, I would just like
to see us move forward. Yeah, thanks.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Mr. Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. So this would be locking
in the reversion back to the four-split version with the adjustments for the Vietnamese COI. And then we can also say plus the changes to the Irvington District.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Perfect.

Thank you, Tamina. I'm locking it in.

MS. RAMOS ALON: And just one moment, Chair. I'm going to make these changes now.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: What was the CVAP before the change to Irvington also, if you can?

MS. RAMOS ALON: I'm sorry the CVAP before?

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Before the change to Irvington.

MS. RAMOS ALON: Hold on. I would have to undo that change and check that. But I can do that if you give me a minute.

Okay. So these would be the CVAPs with the Irvington change that you made. So it's 14.6 percent L CVAP, 3.05 V CVAP, 45.8 A CVAP, 34.91 W CVAP. And let me walk -- let me double-check that first, then I'm going to walk this change back and take a look at what it was.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Wait. What are we doing?

MS. RAMOS ALON: There was a request to see what the CVAPs were before we made the Irvington change, and so I have to undo the Irvington change to see what it was
before.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I think it's okay the way it is.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, it's okay. It's okay.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: It's fine.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I think we're going to be fine.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: No need to walk it.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I think we're good.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I think, let's just keep moving. Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. Yes, yes, Commissioner Yee already said to lock it in. Yes.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Chair?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yeah. I just wanted to recognize the uniqueness of this area and the unique challenges it has presented in this process given the VRA restrictions and the many, many conflicting COI testimonies that we've gotten. I know that we weren't able to honor every piece of testimony that came in. But I think this will give Californians a fair shot at electing the representatives of their choice.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you for that, Commissioner Ahmad.
COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. As we're working through these changes, I was actually going to say something very similar that.

You know, I think earlier, Commissioner Andersen, you had mentioned that in that long coastal district that we had, we've gone back and forth a little bit and thought about the pros and cons of having the coast connected to San Jose or further north to places like Palo Alto and Mountain View. And it actually reminds me a lot of our conversation on the other side of the state with ECA also joined by a natural resource of the mountains. And we've gone back and forth and weighed the pros and cons of, you know, having the population center be based in the Central Valley or be based further up north.

It's taken us a long time. And I'm just really glad that we've gotten to this place of consensus and that we took our time to really weigh those options. So thank you for that.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank you, everyone, for really being intentional and thinking about it and coming back and saying, you know, we might need to take a look at it again. So that's great. I believe the only other area we have left is Eden Tech, and that is with
Commissioner Turner. Oh, wait, wait, hold on.

Commissioner Sadhwani? No, you're okay?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I saw something that -- I was looking at the wrong box. I'm sorry.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes. Once we're done here, Tamina, we'll go to Eden Tech.

(Pause)

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: So after this is done, we'll go to Eden Tech. And then after that, we will go to public comment. So hang on there. Hopefully, relatively soon.

(Pause)

MS. RAMOS ALON: Thank you for your patience, Chair. We are balanced and ready to go.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, I'm sorry, Tamina. We're good?

MS. RAMOS ALON: We are balanced and ready to go, Chair.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Great Thank you so much. If we can go to Eden Tech, and that is Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you, Chair. I recalled Eden Tech. I think it was something one of the other commissioners was working on, and it kept coming up in COI. But I had to just quickly move. I don't have
anything up about it just yet. I remember the testimony
was coming in that we've taken Eden out of Eden Tech,
something about the unincorporated areas around there
that we have inadvertently removed from Eden Tech area.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Tamina?

MS. RAMOS ALON: Chair, just a note that Eden Tech
is not in this map.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh. Oh, so okay. Then I guess
it's the wrong map. Oh, it's in the Senate?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: That's what you asked me to
remind you about when we came to the Congressional,
sorry.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Oh, no. I thought that somebody
had said it was congressional. So the Senate, we've
already completed and finalized. So okay. Okay. That's
all right.

So this is it for Congressional? Yes, yes? Yes?
Okay. We're going to do a little clap, happy dance.

Karin, can you please number, it. Number it and
please prepare it for posting.

And wow. This is exciting, right? We will be
coming back tomorrow. But we're going to go to public
comment. And I'm not sure if --

Oh, Commissioner Andersen?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, I was just going to
say Eden Tech is the Senate. It is the area that I brought up this morning, which was deemed we just don't have -- we ran out of time.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: (Indiscernible) --

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: -- for that.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- (indiscernible) on that.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you for that.

Okay, this is great. This is absolutely wonderful.

And again, we will come back tomorrow and do a quick tour through each of our maps, talk about maybe some of the communities of interest that we have and the different districts, and we will go from there. So we are going to be going to public comment.

This is exciting. I'm just kind of like at a loss right now. If somebody else has something to say, that would be great. But wow. This is great.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you, Chair.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Oh, no, no. Thank you, guys.

So Commissioner Sadhwani and Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I was going to say you landed the ship. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Chair. But nothing is final until we vote.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Exactly. Exactly. So right now,
COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: And we're not voting today, right?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: No, no, no, no, no, no, no. These will be posted before we vote, before we consider a vote.

Yes, so Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, I just wanted to remind everyone that Commissioner Fornaciari and I had worked on the December plan not too long ago. It seems like years ago at this point. But one of the things that we had just tried to work out was to ensure that before we take a vote that we have these maps loaded into the map viewer on our website, that shape files and equivalency files will be posted, I believe, a spreadsheet with the district statistics. And I don't know if we ended up finalizing whether or not we're going to get a PNG or not, but the possibility of a PNG as well. So we would have all of those loaded and ready to go for ourselves and for the public before we take public action.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes, definitely. And that is the plan. And that's why we will come back tomorrow, and we will review. As I mentioned, everything will be posted for tomorrow. So with that, we are going to be going to public comment.
Katie (ph.), if you can start us off. And just based on our new policy, our maximum is three hours. So we'll take as many callers as we can until we get to that point. Thank you, Katie.

MR. MANOFF: And just to double-check with you, Chair, if I could, please. Are we starting the time now as we read the public comment? That would be 8:46.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes, thank you.

MR. MANOFF: 8:46, understood. And then we're going to be taking a fifteen-minute break at 9:30 please.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

MR. MANOFF: That puts the public input time ending at midnight. Thank you, everybody.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Like an all-nighter from college.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

In order to maximize transparency and public participation in our process, the commissioners will be taking public comment by phone. To call in the telephone number provided on the livestream feed. It is 877-853-5247.

When prompted to enter the meeting ID number provided on the livestream feed, it is 85932989398 for this meeting. When prompted to enter a participant ID, simply press the pound key. Once you have dialed in, you'll be placed in a queue. To indicate you wish to
comment, please press star nine. This will raise your
hand for the moderator.

When it is your turn to speak, you'll hear a message
that says the host would like you to talk and to press
star six to speak. If you would like to give your name,
please state and spell it for the record. You're not
required to give your -- to provide your name to your
public comment.

Please make sure to mute your computer or livestream
audio to prevent any feedback or distortion during your
call. Once you are waiting in the queue, be alert for
when it is your turn to speak. And again, please turn
down the livestream volume.

One more time for those that have not done so
already, if you'll please press star nine as to raise
your hand, indicating you've called in to give comment
this evening. Public comment time will be a minute and
thirty seconds. You will receive verbal warnings at
around thirty seconds and fifteen seconds remaining. I
will be identifying you by the last four digits of your
telephone number.

And we will be beginning with caller -- oh, no. One
moment we're beginning with -- hold on one second.

Right now, we will have caller 2714. And then after
that, I will have to let you know because we're in the
process of getting that situated.

Right now, we want caller 2714. Please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes, the floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. Dear Commission, we have made comments in the beginning, and it doesn't look like our comments are being heard at all. Our commissioner only depend on one commission to best represent Orange County. But for some reason, Commissioner Linda has worked against us from the beginning.

What has the Little Saigon community done to you to not look in the best interest of our community? Why has Commissioner Linda refuse to acknowledge that Huntington Beach, especially the inland portion, belong with the rest Little Saigon District? We are asking the rest of the commissioner (sic) to please help us. Please they don't let one commissioner who has her own agenda against us to have the final decision against us. The commission's duty is to represent and make the best decision for every community. I repeat --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- for every community, please don't leave the Little Saigon community out.
Treat us all equally. All we are asking is to remove Stanton and east Garden Grove and add all up the north of Garfield Street --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- in Huntington Beach (indiscernible). We are asking the rest of the commissioner to help in and lead, come help Little Saigon. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we want caller 0983. And up next, after that will be caller 1220.

Caller 0983, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello, Commissioner. Has the commissioner missed Little Saigon? Calling yesterday, we want to give the commission a chance to go back to the GGW map to make the change by adding on up north Garfield in Huntington Beach. But you did not bother to go back and make the minor change. If you already missed our calls, then why did you listen to our concern? What can we do, or what does this take for the commission to take our comments seriously? Once you're done adding on north Garfield in Huntington Beach, you won't hear from our community again. Please, please help us to be well represented in the Assembly and Congress in the next
decade. Please don't destroy Little Saigon, forty years of work, and future process (sic) in the next ten years. Thank you. Have a good night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 1220. And up next. After that will be caller 1535.

Caller 1220, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. I'm calling about the San Fernando Valley, West Hills, specifically, I'm a former member of the West Hills Neighborhood Council. And you heard numerous callers last night speak about keeping the Santa Clarita Valley separate from the San Fernando Valley. I have been -- I was elected to represent the 39,000 roughly people of West Hills back in 2008. I'm no longer a board member of the Neighborhood Council.

I work with the communities of Canoga Park and Woodland Hills on projects like the Santa Susana Field Lab and other projects that are contaminated sites because of my bachelor's in health education. My husband submitted testimony during the night (sic) last night as a former professor of anthropology who has taught sociology and linguistics as well at Los Angeles Pierce College in Woodland Hills, which is three miles from our...
home. I'm asking you, please, to change the line and keep the Santa Clarita Valley where they have representation --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- and put the San Fernando Valley whole, please, with my neighboring neighborhood councils. Thank you very much for your time and your service.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now we have caller 1535. And up next after that would be caller 2931.

Caller 1535, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Katie, can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Commissioner, it's Tony Maldonado from Santa Clarita. You claimed an unbiased process, but you created gerrymandered maps to elect politicians, whether Republican, Democrat, or Independents. In the sausage-making, political partisans lobbied the commissioners, irrespective of whether or not they legally represented the people of that area. In deliberations, some commissioners have been flippant and offensive. Unbiased, right?

So when in the sausage making did the 300,000
residents of the Santa Clarita Valley elect VICA, the firemen of L.A., or the San Fernando Valley Assembly to represent them and to forcibly include them in their gerrymandered Assembly maps as Santa Clarita was still a part of the city of Los Angeles? Because I don't recall any election where we voted for these San Fernando Valley groups to represent us; do you?

We asked you to nest our maps; you said no. We asked you to respect our side of the Angeles National Forest; you said no. We asked to be with our northern neighbors; you said no. We asked to be with our COI neighbors, Agua Dulce (indiscernible); you said no. We said we don't want to be with the San Fernando Valley; you said screw you.

We're the third largest city in Los Angeles County --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- and everyone, including yourselves, used us as pawns in a politically biased chess game for the City of Los Angeles, with one commissioner openly stating last night they will not lose any --

MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- sleep over us gifting us to the San Fernando Valley. Please reconsider the
Assembly maps and do it right. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we have caller 2931. And up next after that will be caller 8224.

Caller 2931, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi Commission. I saw this social media posts that the commission missed out Little Saigon comment last night. I am not sure if that was a joke or do you truly missed hearing our comments. I did not call in yesterday because I was hope that you would go back to the GGW map and add on the north Garfield in Huntington Beach. But commission did not even bother to go back. Myself, our community just exhausted because we have spoken and written for a month, and you haven't bothered to hear from us.

With all due respect, but for us, it feel like the poor political to hurt Little Saigon. What can we do? What does it take for the commission to take our comments serious to help Little Saigon? Why are you letting one commission tell you what should be done in O.C. when she refused to hear from thousands --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- of comments, our committee? We share common interests with Huntington
Beach, and it cannot deny. If you listen our leader, you are depend on one commission who pretend to fight for Orange County, but just --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- refuse to listen to the second largest minority community in O.C. It's obvious is that she have an agenda. And we are begging (sic) Commission Andersen, Sadhwani, Kennedy, Taylor, and other commission to step in and help us --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we have caller 8224. And up next after that will be caller 9517.

Caller 8224, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Dear Commissioner, I'm calling again to ask you to help Little Saigon and final the Congress and Assembly maps. Why has Little Saigon been so active; because as you finish your work, we want to make sure you will not leave this generation of hard work done for Little Saigon in the last forty years. Put Little Saigon in with north Huntington Beach. This community are more aligned with each other. And our funding is very similar given our similar demographics.

We ask that you make the last change before you final the Assembly district for GGW, Stanton, and east
Garden Grove do not belong with Little Saigon and north Huntington Beach at Garfield Street (indiscernible). Commissioner, we are running out of time. Please honor Little Saigon request to respect our forty year of hard work. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 9517. And up next after that will be caller 6166. Caller 9517, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Commission. It is very concerning to hear that our voices aren't being heard. I'm asking you to look at the GGW Assembly map again to have our Vietnamese-American community, so that we can have a voice in the Assembly. We have been advocation to have Stanton removed, east Garden Grove removed, and island of Huntington Beach add to our communities at Garfield Street. Your program border either that Huntington Beach, Westminster, and how Fountain Valley or Los Alamitos, Rossmoor, Seal Beach and Garden Grove. These areas share similar crossover's job program, so our children associate with each other and grow up together. They share similar value and traditions, but other area. Help us to have an Assembly member who understand our current community and this
group part in the next ten years. Thank you. Good --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we have caller 6166. And up next

after that will be caller 7832.

Caller 6166, please follow the prompts to unmute.

The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi, can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay, hold on just a second.

I'm sorry. I'm trying to adjust my phone. Good evening.

My name is Marie Sanea (ph.). I'm calling regarding the

Walnut Park, Florence-Graham area. Today, you had a

perfect solution in front of you to move Walnut Park to

the 110LA map and move Maywood to the Gateway map, two

communities who have been advocating for this change.

Although five of you opposed it, you never said why.

Let's be clear. You were appointed to make

decisions based on community interests and numbers. The

solution that you had in front of you covered both.

However, not one of you said why you preferred the

previous draft.

Our communities are always forgotten, always stepped

on. And today, you had the solution in front of you to

not allow this to happen. Please do the right thing and

listen to the community, as we are the ones that live
here. And you do not. One of you lives in NELA, and I can understand why you care so much about your community now. Now please understand us and understand why we are here every night advocating for our community. Please put Walnut Park --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- in the 110LA map with Florence-Graham. Thank you so much. Have a good night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we have caller 7832. And up next after that will be caller 1043.

Caller 7832, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening. My name is Jesse (ph.). I'm calling regarding the Walnut Park and Florence-Graham area. Most public comments are from individuals asking for big changes or very controversial modifications. We are only asking for a very small change, to move Walnut Park to the same 110LA map and Florence-Graham. Please remember that this is not something new that we are asking for. We have been asking for this for weeks. Please, today, came up with the perfect solution to make this possible by moving Maywood into Gateway and Walnut Park into 110 from what it looked like.
Some of you had concerns about the African-American members. Can you please consider looking at the southern area of the 110 map that we are able to move Latinos from, so that the African-American members are not affected.

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The solution is right in front of you today. And it's possible. You proved it to be possible. Please do not do what everyone always does to us, and ignore our voices, please.

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 1043. And up next after that will be caller 9747.

Caller 1043, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours. Caller 1043.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello, Commissioner Kennedy, Swannee (sic), and Andersen. We would appreciate your continued effort in finishing and completing Little Saigon Assembly district. We need this change and give us the current and the greatest Little Saigon ever to grow. It will be for the next ten years.

The change we ask for are very simple. It can easily be switched by removing Stanton and east of Garden Grove because they are belong to the Latino community,
not Vietnamese. Please add all up the north of Garfield Street, stop at the Seapoint Street in Huntington Beach. We know you heard us and have made the various comment in our favor. But it was not implemented.

We've been advocating for months to ask for it, the north of Garfield in Huntington Beach, so that we can allow our children to have continuing education opportunity, because the number of school districts cross over between Huntington Beach, Westminster, Garden Grove, and Fountain Valley and ask to include Huntington Harbor. Huntington Harbor has been over fifty percent --

MR. MANOFF: Twenty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- of Vietnamese-American living there. Please help us to protect the Little Saigon and allow prosper to our community for the next ten years. Only you can truly --

MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- fight for us. Please stay with us. Don't let us down. God bless Little Saigon and God bless all of you. So fight with us, please.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we have caller 9747. And up next after that will be caller 5038.

Caller 9747, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Commissioner Turner and all commissioners, my name Ceecee Onez (ph.). I am the immediate past president and current executive board member of the Florence-Firestone Walnut Park Chamber of Commerce. I'm one of the local mechanics up in the community. And I have also lived here for over forty years. I want to thank you all for your hard work, but I am not sure how many of you understand our community (indiscernible) that needs to stay together. We are requesting for you to put the Warner Park in the 110 (indiscernible) map in order to keep us together. Our chamber is made up of black and brown business owners. If you don't make this small change and you keep it (indiscernible) and our black and brown economic voice and our community will be completely fractured. Our community is a real example of black and brown unity as our unity as our chamber had we been able to bring resources and communities together. But if you split us --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- Commission, we need your help. Keep the black and brown small business together. Thank you.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Right now we have caller 5038. And up next after
that is caller 3700.

Caller 5038, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Commissioners, for the past while people from the Santa Clarita Valley and the San Fernando Valley have called every day, messaged you guys, posted everywhere asking to have lines drawn differently. And you have done well, again, with the Senate and Congressional maps, but failed us with the Assembly maps. At the very least, Commissioners, we ask you to at least have (indiscernible) part of the Santa Clarita Valley, because they are part of the Santa Clarita Valley every single way possible, whether you're looking at the ZIP Code, whether you're looking at the municipalities, because they receive almost every single source from the Santa Clarita Valley, including firefighters from King County.

The school districts too. Half of the people I went to high school with that were from (indiscernible) went to my other -- my high school or the other one. So they are part of the Valley.

It's absolutely terrible and completely wrong, but you have it literally with a district that touches the state of Nevada. It's completely wrong. It disenfranchises them. It tears apart our community. So
please keep them in the -- in our district.
I understand that it's probably way too late now to
have the San Fernando Valley redrawn --
MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- but we ask you at least to
do that. Please keep (indiscernible) with the Santa
Clarita Valley and the Assembly maps. At the very least
do that, Commissioners, the next chance you get. Thank
you.
COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.
And right now we have caller 3700. And up next
after that will be caller 1595.
Caller 3700, please follow the prompts. The floor
is yours.
BETTY WILSON: Hi, my name is Betty Wilson. I'm
calling in for our community partners in Zone F, San
Joaquin County. Thank you very much for listening to the
voice of our citizens and giving us -- giving a very
diverse community, one congressional district, one Senate
seat, and two assembly districts.
We know there have been tough decisions that had to
be made, but this will allow our -- our community to
continue the good work we have started.
If any comments, we would encourage the assembly
districts to be nested. But again, thank you very much
for these maps.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Right now we have caller 1595. And up next after that will be caller 0129.

Caller 1595, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

RAMSEY: Okay. Thank you very much, Commissioners.

My name is Ramsey. I'm one of the community leaders in the (indiscernible) area. I'm calling in regarding the Assembly 110 LA draft map. We're asking for a small change. Move the community of Walnut Park into the 110 LA map where the resources and the demographics are similar, as opposed to being in a downtown L.A. map, which has nothing in common financially or resourcefully.

We're asking that the small downtown area -- move the small downtown area into the AD54NELA map.

And lastly, move the small city of Maywood from AD54NELA to the Gateway map. This is a close and even swap to put these communities in areas where they share a commonality. I think that's a -- an extremely vital part for the community is to thrive in areas that they share commonalities with. Commissioners, we're asking --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

RAMSEY: -- you for a small clean up to ultimately have Walnut Park in the same 110 L.A. map as the
Florence-Graham community in order to truly --

MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds.

RAMSEY: -- have an opportunity for our hardworking families to elect candidates of our choice. Thank you.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we will have caller 0129. And up next after that will be caller 0526.

Caller 0129, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MIKE LEBARRE: This is Mike LeBarre, Mayor of King City. I am saddened to see the Commission destroy the current day CD20, a previously protected Section 5 VRA District to create a 70 percent super majority white district CD MidCoast where none existed before, all by splitting Monterey County and taking Hispanic vote just to create CD Cupertino by connecting it to San Jose. This is shameful. I hope this is not due to political favors, but it sure looks like gerrymandering.

I am very concerned about the rushed timeline you are operating on, and the long-term consequences of the arbitrary deadline imposed on you by the courts. You do not have to rush through this process. You alone should decide the timeline. The voters of California gave you the authority to redraw the Districts. They did not give it to the courts or the State. The court's deadline has
taken away your right to vote your conscience, because
they're saying you have to vote yes by December 27th and
only yes. You are working on --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MIKE LEBARRE: -- behalf of the people's right to
vote, which supersedes the court authority. Your
obligation is to the people, not to the government. Keep
Monterey County and our communities of interest together.
Adopt a submitted Central Coast Community fixed Map and
Monterey fixed shaped files. You have the authority and
power to do the right thing. Extend the deadline. Thank
you.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Right now we have caller 0526. And up next after
that will be caller 0202.

Caller 0526, please follow the prompts. And one
more time caller with the last four digits of 0526,
please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six.
The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Commissioners, thank you for
taking my call. I'm calling to ask why you all
completely disregarded everyone who called in last night
to ask that Acton and Agua Dulce remain within the Santa
Clarita Valley Assembly District map? It's a small
change for you, Commissioners, but a giant change for the
people of the Santa Clarita Valley.

Acton and Agua Dulce share the same municipal services as Santa Clarita Valley, and even have the same ZIP Code. So Commissioners, please go back to the map and correct the mistaken change. Thank you.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Right now we have caller 0202. And up next after that will be caller 7121.

Caller 020, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you for -- Commissioners. I appreciate your reversion to the Santa Clara map. It will keep the Palo Alto and the surrounding communities within a -- the Regional District. Thank you very much for your -- for your attention this -- today. Bye. Bye.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we have caller 7121. And up next after that will be caller 3358.

Caller 7121, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Commissioners, the deadline to create all District maps are right around the corner, and you still have not added the Acton and Agua Dulce, the Santa Clarita Valley and (indiscernible) District.
Instead, you have (indiscernible) connected all the way up to Nevada. They should be with the (indiscernible) Assembly District where they belong.

We have the same exact economy and share small businesses. In fact, Acton and Agua Dulce students come to Santa Clarita for work and for school.

Commissioners, do not flip (indiscernible) our community. All we’re asking is to keep Acton and Agua Dulce with the (indiscernible) Valley Assembly Maps and do not (indiscernible) all the way up to Nevada. It's completely ridiculous and (indiscernible) and downright wrong.

Please make that change tomorrow morning, and don't let up to Santa Clarita Valley for the next ten years.

Thank you so much.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we have caller 3358. And up next after that will be caller 5777.

Caller 3358, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners. I'm calling regarding the Walnut Park and Forest Graham Area. Commissioners, the difference between our Walnut Park and Forest Graham public comment and most of the other comments that you have -- have been hearing is we
have been advocating for a long time now, and we're not making -- we're not asking any major changes. We're not asking for any big changes. But we are asking for a tiny change that would mean a universe to our community and our daily quality of life. Please remove Walnut Park to the L.A. 110 map to be with Florence-Graham. The solution was right in front of you earlier today. And it is possible.

Hours have been spent on rich, affluent communities like NELA, but we matter too. Our communities are made of hardworking people who deserve a voice. Please keep our unincorporated communities of Florence-Graham and Walnut Park together in the 110 L.A. map. Thank you.

Good evening.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we have caller 5777. And up next after that will be caller 5115.

Caller 5777, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello, Commissioners. I live in Huntington Beach and want to be represented by the Assembly member in Little Saigon. We will get lost and ignored if we aren't with Little Saigon. I'm very disappointed that you completely ignore us and deny our community up to representation.
We know how exhausting it has been for you as well as our community during this process. But Little Saigon has lost so much in ten years ago. So now we are getting involved to make sure it doesn't happen again.

Ten years ago, people won't admit that Fountain Valley LA should be with Little Saigon. Today, Fountain Valley has majority Vietnamese-American. Vietnamese is the preferred language other than English for Fountain Valley School District students.

Don't deny our community the right Assembly member and Congress member and deny that Huntington Beach has a large Vietnamese-American population.

Ten years ago --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- ten years from now, Huntington Beach will also be known as part of Little Saigon, just like Fountain Valley ten years later.

Please, please, please go back to GGW map and make change. Thank you for listening. And please, please let our voice be heard. Thank you. And good night.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we have caller 5115. And up next after that will be caller 8359.

Caller 5115, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello, Commissioners. As a San Jose resident, all we ever ask for is a clear majority Congressional District. While our Assembly might be split into five districts, one of those has a majority of San Jose residents.

For Congress, San Francisco residents have a majority district as does L.A., (indiscernible), Oakland, and many others. We understand that because we are the tenth largest city in the U.S., we have to be split, but one of those districts should have a majority of our residents.

You can keep the VRA District, change the Central Coast District so it's not as long, and still leave us with one District that has a clear majority of San Jose residents. It is not an either/or. You could accomplish all of these things.

Please, commissioners, if you could spend some genuine time tonight and tomorrow morning brainstorming, I know you can come up with a perfect solution that satisfies everyone without leaving our city of over one million people without a representative who is obligated to advocate for us. Thank you.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we have caller 8359. And up next after that we have a caller that does not have Caller ID.
Right now we have caller 8359, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MARTHA SCORELO: Good evening, Commissioner (indiscernible). My name is my Martha Scorelo. I am calling regarding the Second Graham area.

We thank you for the -- for the help you to create the 1010 L.A. map. Please consider keeping one (indiscernible) together as we know it in that community.

Florence-Firestone (indiscernible) one united community.

We are so united that we only have one Chamber of Commerce that represents us our small local business. Community is called the Florence Park the Walnut Park Chamber of Commerce. Please keep us as united and not take us backwards. And keep (indiscernible) and Walnut Park united and together. Thank you. And I hope you make the right choices. And happy holidays.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And up next we do have a caller that does not have a Caller ID. If you are calling without Caller ID, please follow the prompts.

And then up next after that will be caller 3952.

Caller without a Caller ID, please follow the prompts. Call in user two, please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. As I do not have the Caller ID for this person, I apologize for any connectivity
issues you are having. I do have you down as a retry. I
will come back around.

Right now we have caller 3952. And up next after
that will be caller 4607.

Caller 3952, please follow the prompts. The floor
is yours. One more time, caller with the last four
digits 3952, please follow the prompts to unmute.

SAM LICCARDO: Hi.

COMMENT MODERATOR: The floor is yours.

SAM LICCARDO: This is Sam Liccardo, the Mayor of
the City of San Jose. And I really want to thank on
behalf of San Jose's one million residents for your
diligent perseverance to a fair redistricting process.

Obviously, I don’t support, nor do many other
citizens, the recent move to go backward to the December
13th maps.

Commissioners, you are being presented with a false
choice. You do not have to sacrifice the interests of
one million San Josians to have a representative speak
for them in Congress in order for smaller communities in
the Monterey County or on the peninsula to have Districts
appropriate for their communities of interest. Nor do
you need to sacrifice the VRA districts.

It's very possible to create a map that addresses
legitimate interests of each of these communities,
because for the last two decades we've been able to do so. Please ask your staff to go back and urge them to offer you a better alternative.

Returning to the December 13th Congressional map will make San Jose America's tenth largest --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

SAM LICCARDO: -- (audio interference) the only major American city without a District that has a clear majority representing its own residents.

Don't make San Jose the outlier among the twenty-five largest cities in America. We deserve --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

SAM LICCARDO: -- a right to have clear representation in Washington, D.C. And these other communities can be served appropriately as well. Ask your staff, please provide you with a better alternative.

Thank you.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we have caller 4607. And up next after that will be caller 9938.

Caller 4607, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi, Commissioners. You were almost there. You have the Senate District right, and it is exactly like the New County Supervisor District for
Little Saigon. Both the Senate and County Supervisor Districts include all persons in (indiscernible) in with Little Saigon. The county knows that this area share and receive similar social government programs, have similar social economic status, and share education or public school systems with each other. There are so many community of interests, evidence, and facts that tie with Hutchinson Beach and Little Saigon.

You can get this right. We will be solid, and will have a great presentation for Little Saigon once you finish the Assembly District by adding it all up north of Hutchinson Beach at Northfield Street, removing Stanton and east of Garden Grove. Finish the Congressional District by adding in Huntington Beach. And remove cities in Los Angeles County, Artesia, and (indiscernible). Just some minor refinements. Little Saigons are grateful for your work --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- and you will be our heroes. Thank you for your time.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we have caller 9938. And up next after that will be caller 7517.

Caller 9938, please follow the prompts. Caller with the last four digits 9938, please follow the prompts to
unmute by pressing star six. Caller 9938, you appear to have some type of connectivity issue at the moment. I do have you down as a retry. And you can also hang up and call back.

LINDA CELL: Hello.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Oh. Really? One moment. 9938, we will do this one more time. Please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

LINDA CELL: Hello?

COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes. The floor is yours. I do apologize.

LINDA CELL: Hi. This is Linda Cell calling. And I wanted to thank the -- the commission for keeping Greater CD in the form that it's been for the last few weeks. It is in this form because there are many of COIs that support it, including a letter from the unanimous vote City of Sunnyvale, a letter from Santa Clara City Council unanimous vote, a letter from the Asian -- the map from the Asian Law Alliance, the map from MALDEF, and many probably on the order of hundreds of COIs in support of it.

So thank you so much for your dedication and thoughtfulness to this process.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we have caller 7517. And up next
after that will be caller -- no, right now we will call
7517. Please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners.
In regard to Huntington Beach, excellent progress has
been made in the Senate and it's close for the
Congressional Districts. So please make sure the
Assembly District is the same by adding the northern part
of Huntington Beach.
You've heard from the mayor and/or city council
members of the cities of Fountain Valley and Westminster
that we all belong together with Huntington Beach.
You've also heard from the former president of the
California School -- California School Boards Association
and current school trustee in Huntington Beach asking you
to draw along school district lines.
Our schools cross over between Huntington Beach,
Westminster, and Fountain Valley. There is no crossover
with Stanton, and there's nothing in common there. We
share common school districts, youth programs, and
economic status within Inland Huntington Beach.
Remove -- remove Stanton, remove East Garden Grove, and
add all of northern Huntington Beach starting at Garfield
Street.
Little -- Little Saigon should have fair
representation at the state Assembly level. And I hope
you will help them.

I also think that the community has oftentimes sat idly by each and --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- every night for hours to give public comment. And I think that not being able to have a timely way of delivering public comment at the meetings and having to sit by for hours really discourages people. And I think that --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- there needs to be a better way in handling that.

So aside from all that, I really hope that you could support Little Saigon. And I really hope that you keep that community in mind. Thank you. Have a great night.

COMMENT MODERATOR: And Chair, at this time, we are (indiscernible).

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Katie. Yes, we are. We are taking a fifteen-minute break. We will return at 9:45. Please do not hang up or you will lose your place in line. And we will continue when we return. Thank you.

(Whereupon, a recess was held from 9:31 p.m. until 9:45 p.m.)

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: You please continue. Thanks.
COMMENT MODERATOR: Absolutely, Chair.

The Commission is now taking public comment by phone. To give comment, please call 877-853-5247. Enter the meeting ID number 85932989398 for this meeting. Once you've dialed in, please press star nine to enter the comment queue.

The full call-in instructions have been read previously, and are provided in full on the livestream landing page.

And for those of you that have not done so already, please press star nine. This will raise your hand.

We will begin with caller 7446.

And up next after that will be caller 7296.

Caller 7446, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello, Commissioners. Good evening. And thank you so much for all your hard work. I am calling in regards to the San Jose Congressional map.

I am calling to reiterate and repeat what some callers have called earlier this evening in that I would like candidates to have a Congressional District where most of the residents and voters are from San Jose. And from the looks of things, it doesn't look like much needs to be changed in order to achieve both the goals of the
Commissioners and to keep San Jose to have one strong Congressional District.

So as the tenth largest city in the United States, I'm just relaying, again, that I would like San Jose to have a Congressional District that represents the will and -- of the voters of the City of San Jose. Thank you very much.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we have caller 7296. And up next after that will be caller 3995.

Caller 7296, please follow the prompts. And one more time, caller 7 -- oh, there you are. The floor is yours.

DEANNA KITAMURA: Good evening, Commissioner. This is Deanna Kitamura with Asian-Americans Advancing Asian Law Caucus. Congratulations on almost being done.

I want to make a request regarding where Thai Town is drawn at the Assembly level. Your map draws them in with West Hollywood, Beverly Hills, and Santa Monica, all relatively rich. Thai Town is a working class neighborhood.

We sent a proposal that swaps three neighborhoods in a counterclockwise circle involving three districts. They're very discrete moves. We ask that Thai Town be drawn into 8054, where Koreatown, historic Filipino Town,
and Little Tokyo are. Chinatown is there as well, but we're asking to move Chinatown into Glen L.A. We're making that request to balance population, and because Chinatown community members have informed us that they would like to be drawn in with their Niland neighbors.

Then we ask that you move Los Villas out of Glenn L.A. into 80 West to replace Thai Town. Three simple moves.

Now changing regions, there is a Carson discussion this morning Commissioners mentioned competing interests. One interest was not mentioned. Splitting Carson means splitting the largest concentration of Filipino-Americans in the United States.

If you look back at the video of your meeting on December 6th, you'll see that you divided Carson for the ports.

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

DEANNA KITAMURA: Only one step in our Carson proposal was considered this morning. Our full proposal keeps the ports separate, respects the Black COI in South L.A., and does not affect any of the VRA districts.

At the very least, please look at our --

MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds.

DEANNA KITAMURA: -- Thai Town assembly proposal since it was not discussed. The swaps are very discreet.
Thank you.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we have caller 3995. And up next after that is caller 9424.

Caller 3995, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hey, Commissioners, I want to make note of something. Your changes to Old Fig Garden and Fig Garden Loop exemplify how it's not too late to make the right changes, the changes that are needed. In the Assembly, you have Phelan and Pinion Hills with Pasadena. You're about to disenfranchise the rural working-class community of our high desert by putting them at a Los Angeles-dominated district with wealthy Pasadena. Please don't minimize our voices out here on the high desert.

You can shift population across the desert into the San Fernando Valley to put Phelan and Pinion Hills into the VVHD District. I have full faith in your ability to keep the high desert whole in your -- in the Assembly and Senate maps.

As you wrap up these maps, again, respect county lines, especially within the Inland Empire, the fastest growing region in California. Thank you. And I hope you all have a great evening.
COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we have caller 9424. And up next

after that will be caller 5030.

Caller 9424, please follow the prompts. The floor
is yours.

TREVOR ACOFF: Good evening, Commissioners. My name
is Trevor Acoff. Thank you for listening to so many of
our fellow Californians late into this Sunday night. I
certainly don't envy the weighty decisions resting on
your shoulders. But your demeanor throughout this
process has been laudable, to say the least. I, for one,
have confidence in you.

Earlier this week, I called in to express my concern
over the City of San Jose not obtaining at least one
district where San Jose ends are a majority, ensuring
California's third largest city has a representative -- a
representative dedicated to our city and our people.

We all appreciate this Commission's emphasis on
communities of interest. But we can achieve a district
that both represents San Joseans and encompasses
important minority voices in that District.

Consider California's current nineteenth
Congressional District represented by Zoe Lofgren. It
is --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.
TREVOR ACOFF: -- over forty percent Hispanic, over twenty-seven percent Asian-American. We can do this. We can balance our interests and have a District that truly is going to represent California's third largest city --

MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

TREVOR ACOFF: -- and the hub of innovation for this state. Thank you so much. And have a wonderful holiday.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we have caller 5030. And up next after that is caller 9883.

Caller 5030, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

LIZ GIBBONS: Thank you so much. Hello. My name is Liz Gibbons. I am the Mayor of Campbell, California. I'd like to say thank you very much to the Commission for an extraordinary amount of hard work. We're redistricting ourselves, so I get on a minor, minor scale what you're going through.

I'd also like to compliment you on the gracefulness with which you have been accepting comments.

So thank you for reconsidering the MidCoast District. It is now been revised to be realistic and functional for the community that -- and our residents.

And I would just offer that San Jose may want to consider that having four representatives in Congress
might be better than having just one. So thank you.

Have a good evening. Appreciate your time.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we have caller 9883. And up next
after that is caller 6625.

Caller 9883, please follow the prompts. The floor
is yours.

JENNY LUM: Good evening, Commissioners. My name
is Jenny Lum. I'm the executive director of the Chinese
Culture Foundation of San Francisco. We are a nonprofit
arts organization located in San Francisco Chinatown,
which is in Assembly District 17.

I'm calling in to urge you to revise the Assembly
District 17 map to reinclude twenty-two percent of
Chinatown's population and to reunify North Beach
neighbors to Chinatown.

The most recent map for Assembly District 17
separates Chinatown's population from the rest of
Chinatown, and also divides portions of Chinatown from
North Beach. Chinatown population needs to be kept
together. And Chinatown, as the North Beach neighbors,
are communities of interest, inseparable and are
culturally, economically connected (indiscernible) shared
events, issues, and campaigns and initiatives. Both
communities are composed of small businesses and active
neighborhood associations that serve both communities and
have shared interests.

Separating them really disempowers our collective
voice as a community that has been hard hit by Covid and
remains deeply underserved. We really need to stay
together.

And as a stakeholder in the community, I really
urge --

MR. MANOFF: Twenty seconds.

JENNY LUM: -- the redistrict Commission to reunify
Chinatown and North Beach back to Assembly District 17.
I really appreciate your time. And thank you for
listening to the community.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we have caller 6625. And up next
after that is caller 3828.

Caller 6625, please follow the prompts. The floor
is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Commissioners, I'm very
concerned that you have disenfranchised the working class
high desert community in San Bernardino County at the
state level. Both the Assembly and Senate maps drown out
our voices and split us apart.

You said you wanted to protect working class
families, but you put Phelan and Pinion Hills, rural
communities with dirt roads, in a district with Pasadena.
Once again, a very wealthy community with million dollar
homes.

Then in Senate, you cut up our high desert so
wealthier areas in Santa Clarita can stay whole.

With your current map, you have doomed hardworking
families in the San Bernardino County High Desert to be
represented by people from wealthier areas in Los Angeles
County for the next decade. Please don't leave us behind
and fix at least we'll have a chance.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.
Right now we have caller 3828. And up next after
that will be caller 4311.

Caller 3828, please follow the prompts. And one
more time with the last four digits 3828, please follow
the prompts. There you go. The floor is yours.

LINDA LUI: Hi. My name is Linda Lui from CMAC,
which stands for the Chinatown Media and Arts
Collaborative. We were founded by an unprecedented
partnership of six accomplished nonprofit organizations.
And we are a collaborative platform anchored in San
Francisco Chinatown. And what we aim to do is celebrate,
explore and support and pioneer creative expressions at
the intersection of community culture and contemporary
art and media.
So tonight we're asking that the state Assembly
Redistrict -- Redistricting Commission's most recent map
for Assembly District 17 that cuts out approximately
twenty-two percent of Chinatown's population from the
rest of Chinatown, we ask -- we think that it divides
portion of -- portions of Chinatown from North Beach.
And we urge the Redistricting Commission to unify
Chinatown and North Beach back into 8017.

And my colleague --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

LINDA LUI: -- Kara Owens, I'll toss it to her.

Kara?

I think -- well, thanks for your time.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thanks so much.

And right now we have caller 4311. And up next
after that will be caller 6968.

Caller 4311, please follow the prompts. The floor
is yours.

KELLY KLEIN: Good evening. My name is Kelly Klein.
And I'm a resident of San Jose. I appreciate the work
that all of you are doing as Commissioners, but I would
respectfully suggest that dividing San Jose, the tenth
largest city in the United States, into four
Congressional Districts is a terrible idea.

While it may be expedient, you must surely recognize
that the issues in our complex urban environment are very, very different than those on the peninsula and on the Central Coast. No other city of this size has been treated in this way, not in California and not in the nation.

It is possible to keep San Jose together and to accomplish other important goals, such as creating a majority Latino district.

If San Jose is carved into four small parts, it will be nobody's primary job to speak for us. Conversely, the four districts that you are proposing to create will certainly be overwhelmed by San Jose's unique big city issues.

Neither of these scenarios would be fair, and could best be described as a lose-lose. Please consider the four-way split —

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

KELLY KLEIN: -- split of San Jose Hispanic in favor of reunifying it into a single Congressional district with a majority of our residents. Time is of the essence. Please ask your staff to address this important issue tonight. Thank you.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now -- right now we have caller 6968. And up next after that will be caller 6198.
Caller 6968, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi there. I'm reaching out as a profoundly concerned Armenian resident and a member of the Armenian National Committee of America Western Region to express my deep discontent with the consistent pattern of disenfranchisement that we've seen in every iteration of the state Assembly and Senate, as well as Congressional District maps, especially the ones that focus on Glendale, Burbank, and the Crescendo Valley.

Now, these areas are the most densely populated Armenian areas, and we found that the Commission has chosen to separate them, especially at the Assembly line.

So please follow these -- these following pieces of input, return La (indiscernible) and La (indiscernible) Point Ridge to their original Congressional district. On the California State Senate level, please return Burbank and (indiscernible) to their original districts with Glendale and Pasadena. And on the California State Assembly level, return the entirety of Glendale, Burbank, and La (indiscernible) to one assembly district. Thank you.

COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now we have caller 6198. And up next after that will be caller 8852.
Caller 6198, please follow the prompts.

SANDRA TAMAIO: Hello?

COMMENT MODERATOR: The floor is yours.

SANDRA TAMAIO: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Sandra Tamaio. I'm calling regarding the Walla Park and Florence -- Florence-Graham area. Many, if not most, of the public comments are from individuals asking for big changes or very controversial modification. We were only asking for a very small change. To move Walnut Park to the same 110 L.A. map as Florence-Graham. Please remember that this is not something new that we are asking for. We have been asking for this for weeks.

Today, you came up with the perfect solution to make this possible by moving Maywood into Gateway and Walnut Park into 110. From what it looked like, some of you had a concern about the African-American numbers. Can you please consider looking at the southern area of the 110 map, that we are able to move Latinos from, so that African-American numbers are not affected.

The solution was right in front of you today and it is possible. You've proved it to be possible. Please do not do what everyone always does to us and ignore our voices in an unincorporated area --

MR. MANOFF: 30 seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- that you have been hearing
for weeks. Thank you very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we have caller 8852. And up next after that is caller 8176.

Caller 8852, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MS. ORTIZ: Good evening, Commissioners. I'm Graciela Ortiz, Mayor of the City of Huntington Park.

I've been listening to these meetings for weeks. I was on that call with all of you until past midnight a few weeks ago when you were gracious enough to allow us to speak.

Do you know who also was on that call past midnight with us? The Florence-Graham and Walnut Park communities. True community members have been organizing and asking for your help. Members of the community who have lived there their entire lives, who are parents, who are business owners, or community leaders that roll up their sleeves to make the difference in their communities.

The Florence-Graham, Walnut Park community is a unique Black and Brown community that is truly united and should be together. Walnut Park does not have a local government like southeast cities do. They don't have five local council members who can advocate for them.
They only have their community partner, fellow unincorporated Florence-Graham. These two communities have been able to obtain resources for their community members because they are united. Please don’t break up their unity.

I have not heard the amount of consistent public comment for other communities as I have for Florence-Graham and Walnut Park. They have worked diligently, waiting, calling, advocating, stating facts and data.

MR. MANOFF: 30 seconds.

MS. ORTIZ: I ask you to please hear their voices. Please move Walnut Park into the 110 L.A. map so that they may be with their unincorporated partners of Florence-Graham, and give them an opportunity to have a voice.

Thank you once again for your time and dedication to this commission. You are appreciated.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we have caller 8176. And up next after that, we have caller 9823.

Caller 8176, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MS. DEBORAH: Good evening. My name is Deborah and I live in the beautiful community of Mission Viejo. I'd like to thank you for placing Mission Viejo, branch of
Santa Margarita, Laguna Hills in San Juan Capistrano in the same senate district.

Now, please do the same for assembly district and congressional districts. Mission Viejo, Rancho, Laguna Hills, Laguna Woods, Irvine and Ladera Ranch are master planning communities. We share the same school districts, CIF leagues, youth sports, hospitals, freeways in over 55 communities.

Assembly district in South Orange County is not even close to Murrieta and Temecula. We have to cross over the Cleveland National Forest to get to those two cities. They are desert communities. We are not.

Congressional District South Orange County has no common interest with Chino Hills in San Bernardino, Yorba Linda or Placentia in North Orange County.

South Orange County belongs with similar cities.

MR. MANOFF: 30 seconds.

MS. DEBORAH: I'd like to thank you for your service. And I know all of you are dedicated to make sure the districts in California reflect each other's interest and histories. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we have caller 9823. And up next after that it will be caller 6855.

Caller 9823, please follow the prompts. The floor
is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:  Good evening, Commission.

Thank you, commissioners, for the latest map that fix Maywood, Bell Gardens in Montebello, while also protecting Black voices in South L.A.

Please leave the Southeast, Northeast, and Central L.A. regions alone as it keeps all communities of interest whole.

I'm calling tonight to request that Walnut Park be put in the 110 L.A. map in order to keep us together. If you neglect to make this change, the Black and Brown economic voices of our community will be split apart.

Our community has been an example of the resources we are able to bring to our communities from Black and Brown voices united. If you split us apart, this will be devastating for us. We need your help to keep Black and Brown small businesses together. Please make this change, as the solution was right in front of you earlier today.

Again, I want to thank you for fixing Maywood while protecting Black communities of interest.

Have a happy holiday. And thank you for your service.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR:  Thank you so much.

And right now, we have caller 6855. And up next
after that will be caller 2648.

   Caller 6855, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.


   My surprise thanks at your edits to the SOCKNSD congressional seat. I think it's currently numbered 49 in and including Encinitas and removing San Marcos.

   Commissioners Sinay, Sadhwani, Toledo, and Akutagawa, definitely appreciate your insight and your thoughts on that. I'm sure more folks will be calling in. You know that the coast has been a kind of an area of interest for us since we started engaging with you earlier this year. So thank you for that.

   I do want to reiterate, as somebody who grew up in the City of Grand Terrace, the -- again, the minor assembly fix of putting -- taking Grand Terrace out of JRC and uniting UCR with the Riverside Community College district. And it's kind of outlying Canyon Crest neighborhood in the JRC Assembly district.

   And then, again, moving back to the coast, I wanted to note again, thank you so much, again. I know that we've been talking a lot about that and I know the
conversation has gotten very heavy and very, very warm.

MR. MANOFF: 30 seconds.

MR. SUKATON: But I definitely appreciate your insight on the coast.

Again, just want to repeat myself so it's in the record, Grand Terrace back with San Bernardino County in the Assembly, and then you can make up the population in JRC by the ECR fix that folks have called in about.

MR. MANOFF: 15.

MR. SUKATON: Have a happy holiday season. Thank you so much. And I'll talk to you tomorrow.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we have caller -- right now, we have caller 2648. And up next after that, it will be caller 6043.

Caller 2648, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MR. SELEKS: Good evening. My name is Maier Seleks. I'm calling regarding Walnut Park and Florence-Graham area.

Today, I had the perfect solution in front of you to move Walnut Park to the 110 L.A. map and move Maywood to the Gateway map. Two communities who have been advocating for this change, although, five of you opposed it. You never said why.
Let's be clear. You were appointed to make
decisions based on the community interest and numbers.
The solution that you had in front of you covered both.
However, not one you said why you prefer the previous
address.

Our communities are always forgotten. Always step
off. And today, had the solution in front of you to not
allow this to happen. Please do the right thing. Now
listen to the community, as we live here. You do not.
One of you lives in Nella. And I can understand why you
all care so much about your community.

Now, please, understand this, and understand why we
are here every night --

MR. MANOFF: 30 seconds.

MR. SELEKS: -- advocating for our communities.
Please put Walnut Park in the 110 L.A. map with Florence
and Graham. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.
And right now, we have caller 6043. And up next
after that is caller 9690.

Caller 6043, please follow the prompts. The floor
is yours.

MS. RAMOS: Thank you so much. I called in a couple
of nights go. My name is Emily Ramos. I am from
Mountain View. It's a small city, but we try to do well
for ourselves. But I called two days ago about the
ginormous mid-coast district. And I'm so happy that you
reverted it back to a normal compact -- well, not really,
it's not really that compact. But you know, it's so much
better than what it was before.

So I really just wanted to call to just affirm and
thank you all for your hard work on that. I'm so sorry,
all these things seem to come down to the wire and
everyone's kind of calling in and being really angry. So
I just kind of wanted to just put the love in there, and
say thank you so much. Thank you. Thank you. Thank
you. Thank you. All right. I'm good. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.
And right now, we have caller 9690. And up next
after that will be caller 8615.

Caller 9690, please follow the prompts. The floor
is yours.

MR. RUBIN: Hello Commission. My name is Rubin. I
live in the Tri-Cities area. And I'm calling with deep
concern for the Armenian-American community, and
especially in Glendale on the state assembly level.

I believe the division of Glendale, along the 134,
is a major mistake, and this is the central hub of the
Armenian-American community within L.A. County. I would
urge the Commission to address this concern by uniting
the entirety of Glendale in its state assembly level map and you know, keeping that together. I saw today that La Crescenta was added on and I appreciate that change. But the division of Glendale is certainly unconscionable for the Armenian-American community here. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we have caller 8615. And up next after that is caller 0133.

Caller 8615, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MS. CHANG: Good evening, Commissioners. And thank you for the privilege of being able to address you this evening on behalf of Mable Teng, Executive Director of Chinatown Media and Arts Collaborative.

A recent map for AD-17 demarcated approximately 22 percent of San Francisco's Chinatown population from the rest of the neighborhood, and also separates portions of Chinatown from North Beach.

Should the former be enacted? It would be a disservice to Chinatown's community in terms of its electoral power. The latter would continue to burden an already fragile economic reality for the neighborhood.

I strongly advocate for the original boundaries to be upheld so that Chinatown may continue to recover from devastating fallout due to COVID-19, and the accompanying
national and regional anti-Asian hate crimes.

Thank you for your time and attention. I wish you happy holidays.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we have caller 0133. And up next after that is caller 4201.

Caller 0133, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. Hi, Commissioners. I just want to say I'm calling in from Northridge. And I just wanted to say thank you, thank you, thank you. For A, keeping Northridge whole. But also, I work in Santa Clarita. And now that that's like a one in the same thing, and that makes me really happy.

Thank you for listening to the community today. I know how tired you guys are. I just wanted to say happy holidays, and thank you so much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Right now, we have caller 4201. And up next after that will be caller 5365.

Caller 4201, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MR. WALDMAN: Yeah. Hi, Commissioners. Stuart Waldman from VICA and the San Fernando Valley Redistricting Coalition, neighbored councils, chambers of
commerce, homeowners groups, rather than its associations.

I want to thank you for adjusting the San Fernando Valley maps today. I got a little worried for a second that you wouldn't be able to get to it, but you did. You know, we think you did a pretty good job. You united Burbank again. You kept Burbank and Glendale on the same district. You put communities that belonged together in the right districts.

You know, I have to say the VICA maps that we put together were based on commissioner comments trying to address what we thought you were looking for.

So overall, we appreciate everything you did. We appreciate that you've been listening to us. And thank you.

Going to miss Katie. Going to miss all of you. I don't know what I'm going to do with my nights now. Maybe, I'll stop having dreams and nightmares about redistricting. So get to move on. And I know all of you do as well. So thank you. And you know, maybe I'll see you around sometime.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Right now, we have caller 5365. And up next after that is caller 2206.

Caller 5365, please follow the prompts. The floor
is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello, Commissioners.

Yesterday I was excited to be able to call in to show my strong support for my Little Saigon community. I was waiting in the -- I was basically waiting for more than three hours, but couldn't make my public comments on adding on of the North Huntington Beach. And asking to remove, you know, east of Garden Grove and Stanton are reasonable requests, because it's pretty much, we select our true community.

And then the Vietnamese community has grown beyond just Westminster and Garden Grove. Many young generations chose to move into like Los Alamitos, Rossmoor, Santa Valley, or even like Huntington Beach for where it's a better school district and better environment for their family, but still near their parents' homes in Westminster and Garden Grove.

Our educational school districts, and some have many crossovers between either Huntington Beach, Garden Grove, Westminster, and Santa Valley, or maybe, Los Alamitos, Rossmoor, and Seal Beach. And it allows our children the freedom to be represented by the same assembly members throughout their educational system for the next decade.

MR. MANOFF: 30 seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We are almost there. And I
know it is exhausting. But please go back to the GGW and make these changes. Thank you for listening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we have caller 2206. And up next after that is caller 1013.

Caller 2206, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MR. JOE: Hi. My name is Joe. I'm calling on behalf of San Jose.

All we ever asked for was a clear majority congressional district. The Commission can still keep its VRA district and can change the central (audio interference) district so it's not (audio interference) as long, while still leaving us this one district that is a clear majority of San Jose residents. Something that every other large city has.

There's an easy way to accomplish all of these things. Please, Commissioners, make one more of those tweaks, and you can come up with a perfect solution that satisfies everybody while not taking away the voice of the tenth largest city in the country. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we have caller 1013. And up next after that is caller 8625.

Caller 1013, please follow the prompts. The floor
is yours.

And one more time. Caller with the last four digits 1-0-1-3, please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing *6.

Caller 1013, I do have you down for a retry. I will come back around momentarily. You also can hang up and call back.

Right now, we have caller 8625. And up next after that will be caller 1532.

Caller 8625, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MS. OSBY: Hello everyone. My name is Ucedrah Osby, and I am president of (indiscernible) and Kern County. We serve current and formerly incarcerated assisted impacted people.

We have numbers in California cities who are telling us they do not want to be in Fresno-Kern congressional district. Please put your congressional districts back the way you had it before making changes this evening. California City cannot be in Fresno-Kern's congressional district. They get all their services from Los Angeles County because Kern doesn't care about them at all.

California City is 70 percent people of color, mostly on the west side of town. The Kern County Sheriff's Department that serves Kern High Desert areas
is under consent decree for violating the constitutional
rights of especially people of color. And the Cal City
Police Department has similar problems.

MR. MANOFF: 30 seconds.

MS. OSBY: Cal City's communities of color should
not be in Fresno-Kern congressional district and survive
another decade in what the Guardian call the deadliest
county in the nation.

It looks like you have tried all possible --

MR. MANOFF: 15.

MS. OSBEY: -- ways to accommodate the different
interests in this area, and it just can't be done.
Better to put it back to the way it was before and
protect the Cal City communities of color. Please keep
the districts the way you had them.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.
And right now, we have caller 1532. And up next
after that will be caller 8676.

Caller 1532, please follow the prompts. The floor
is yours.

MS. CRAIG: Good evening, Commissioners. Long time
listener, second time caller. We bonded during my 90
seconds last night. This is the Salinas mayor, Kimberly
Craig calling again. And I'd like to bring to your
attention our requests of the Salinas Valley.
You currently have us lumped in with San Jose, and hundreds of residents have written to you asking that the Salinas Valley be included in the mid-coast congressional map.

We are an $8 billion agricultural community, and the Monterey Peninsula is a $4 billion hospitality industry. We got five minutes of review today from you.

This is my Hail Mary pass. My ask of you is to give Monterey County ten minutes of the congressional district review tomorrow. Ten minutes. If you need a reference, Monterey County Supervisor Chris Lopez submitted a central coast fix map. Ten minutes tomorrow. Monterey County struggles with racial divide --

MR. MANOFF: 30 seconds.

MS. CRAIG: -- and we are currently split between the Cupertino and mid-coast map, splitting the Salinas Valley and Monterey Peninsula down the middle. Ten minutes tomorrow to keep Monterey County in the mid-coast map.

Thanks so much for your time. Happy holidays. And I still don't want to be a redistricting commissioner. Have a great evening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we have caller 8676. And up next after that is caller 6638.
Caller 8676, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello, Commissioners. First of all, I'd like to start off by saying thank you so much for your hard work these past few days, or in these past few weeks.

I would also like to say thank you so much for helping and fixing the problem in Maywood with your most recent map by keeping Maywood, Bell Gardens and Montebello how it should be.

And thank you so much for protecting our Black voices in the region of South L.A. on this matter.

I would like to urge you guys to please, please keep Southeast, Northeast, and Central L.A. regions alone. And they'll keep the communities' interest in a whole.

Thank you so much. And have a happy holiday.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 6638. And up next after that will be caller 0347.

Caller 6638, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

(Caller speaks in Spanish)

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. All right. Now, we have caller 0347. And up next after that we have caller 4862.
Caller 0347, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MS. EDITH: Hi. Good evening. My name is Edith. I want to thank you Commissioners for the latest map that sticks with Maywood, Bell Gardens and Montebello, while also protecting Black Lives voices in South L.A. Please leave the Southeast, Northeast, and Central L.A. region alone as it keeps all communities of interest as whole.

Thank you so much. And have a great evening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we have caller 4862. And up next after that is caller 4993.

Caller 4862, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MS. YAA: Thank you Commissioners for all your hard work all these days and nights.

My name is Chris Yaa (phonetic), a 20-year resident of South Central.

Do not listen to the so-called Walnut Park callers. They are politically motivated by a candidate who ran for assembly in Walnut Park. Leave the 110 South L.A. corridor as is, and keep Carson split to have equal and freer Black representation. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we have caller 4993. And up next
after that we'll have caller 7592.

Caller 4993, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. I would like to thank you for the hard work you've done to try to balance all these different concerns that you've heard from these last many months. The good news is, I don't think it's a lot of work to come up with a perfect solution that works for everybody in Northern California.

You can keep a majority Latina district, if you like, from San Jose. You can keep the norther part of San Jose for an Asian district. And just combine the remaining two portions into one majority district.

San Jose needs one member of congress that we know is always going to fight for us. Period. All we need is for you to make a minor tweak tonight. Have staff come up with options on how two San Jose districts can swap population and make a minor change elsewhere where those two districts coalign to make sure the population numbers work out.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we have caller 7592. And up next after that will be caller 0586.

Caller 7592, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, and greetings.

In regards to state assembly districting in Santa Clara County, my plans from yesterday oppose (indiscernible) public inputs 42576, that's 42576 and 42944, 42944.

Earlier today, Commissioner Sadhwani may have asked about the number of San Jose splits in the state assembly plan. Your plan splits San Jose among five districts.

My second plan from yesterday split San Jose among four districts. It's at Public Input 42944, 42944. Your plan divides West Valley cities, Campbell, Cupertino, Los Gatos, Monte Sereno, and Saratoga among three districts.

Both of my plans from yesterday split these cities between two districts.

Your plan does not have the Hispanic majority San Jose-Gardner neighborhood in AD-25 (indiscernible), even though most or all is in the current AD-27. Whereas, both my plans -- and yes, they do have most or all of the neighborhood in the Alameda district.

So I feel your state assembly district plans equal Santa Clara County --

MR. MANOFF: 30 seconds.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- need to improve. And they're at Public Inputs 42576, that's 42576 and 42944, 42944.
Thank you. And have a good evening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we have caller 0586. And up next after that is caller 0304.

Caller 0586, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MR. ANDY: Hi, Commissioners. My name is Andy, and I'm a concerned constituent from the South Bay area. Like a lot of other callers, I'm calling to keep the West Valley cities together, namely, Cupertino, Los Gatos, Monte Sereno, Campbell, and most of West San Jose and Saratoga. We are a community of interest. We've got common issues. And many elected leaders and mayors have said that West Valley City should be together, including the current mayor of San Jose Sam Liccardo. He also expressed his thoughts about San Jose.

So please, I urge Commissioners and staff to please not split us up into a million parts, and keep us together, because we are a community of interest. And the elected leaders and leaders of these many cities agree with constituents that we want to be kept together and not split up. Please, please, please consider this as the tenth largest city. And one of the largest cities, frankly, in the U.S. We should have that power over our representation in congress, specifically.
So thank you, Commissioners, for all your time. And have a great rest of your night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.
And right now, we have caller 0304. And up next after that will be caller 8338.
Caller 0304, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MS. LUCAS: Hi. My name is Carmen Lucas. I'm a Santa Clarita Valley resident.

I just wanted to say thank you so much for your changes, today, to the San Fernando Valley and Santa Clarita Valley. They completely make sense. I think it's republicans who are calling and pushing for this Acton-(indiscernible) thing. It doesn't make any sense. Folks in Acton and (indiscernible), they don't want to be with Santa Clarita. They're very rural with dirt roads and want to be connected with the AV.

So you know, thank you for uniting the Jewish community, the Armenian community, and keeping us connected to the North Valley where there's a lot of interaction around work and sea, sun, and College of the Canyons. You guys did an amazing job. I know you have so much on your plates, and really just appreciate the work that you're doing in these long days.

And thank you, thank you, thank you. I hope you
have a wonderful holiday. Have a great night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we have caller 8338. And then up next after that, I will retry caller 1013.

Caller 8338, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MR. LAW: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Kevin Law (phonetic), again, from Mountain View.

I would like to thank the Commissioners for making changes such that the mid-coast district is more reasonable from a first glance to be more compact. As well as making sure that Mountain View, as a city, is whole rather than split in two.

I recognize that the next access, perhaps, is a little difficult given the time and constraints. But the Belle Haven neighborhood, which is the Menlo Park neighborhood north of 101, has usually been considered like the segregated part of Menlo Park. So it's still unfortunate that basically, and this in the assembly maps. They were basically still meant to be split because of East Los Altos, but it is an important social-economic issue that, perhaps, could be addressed better.

But -- and again, this is a late night. This is almost midnight on Sunday, the day before due so.

I thank the Commission for all their work.
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we will retry caller 1013. And then up next after that will be caller 5314.

Caller 1013, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. Good evening, Commissioners. And thank you -- I'm calling from the East Bay. I want to thank you for your work on the assembly districts. They look excellent.

However, on the state senate districts, I'd like to comment on how San Leandro was drawn into the Cocoa Contra Costa district.

San Leandro is very different from the inland areas east of the hills. San Leandro and the surrounding unincorporated areas are much more connected both in where people work and live, and the transportation systems with places like Oakland and Hayward. And it's also a much more urban and racially diverse community than the suburban 680 corridor. So please remove San Leandro from the Cocoa senate district. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we have caller 5314. And up next after that will be caller 0874.

Caller 5314, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.
MS. PAT: Hello and good evening. Hello?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: The floor is yours.

MS. PAT: Hi. Good evening. My name is Pat. I'm calling from the Firestone -- I'm sorry. Firestone-Florence District. I'm calling regarding the Walnut Park and Florence-Graham area, also known locally as Florence-Firestone. It's all one united community. You're so united that we only have one chamber of commerce that represents our local -- fall local business community. It's called the Florence-Firestone-Walnut Park Chamber of Commerce.

Please help us stay united and not take us backwards, and keep Florence, Firestone, and Walnut Park united and together.

For weeks you have heard us speak. We started off with a huge request. But today, we are asking for a minor modification that will mean the world to our communities. Please put Walnut --

MR. MANOFF: 30 seconds.

MS. PAT: -- Park and Florence, and Firestone on the 110 L.A. map. The numbers show that it is possible. The communities that it would impact are perfectly fine with it, so please listen to the community and not to the politics. Again, please listen to the community voices as they must live within these districts.
MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds.

MS. PAT: Again, thank you for all your work. And happy holiday.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And right now, we have caller 0874. And up next after that will be caller 4145.

Caller 0874, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MS. DAVIS: Good evening, Commissioners. Thank you so much for all you continue to do to serve our state.

My name is Rachel Davis, a communication director for the mayor of San Jose. I am also a lifelong San Jose resident. My entire family lives here. And we are raising the next generation of Davises here.

It is for these people, as well as my neighbors and community members that I speak today.

As a San Jose resident, all we have ever asked for is a clear majority congressional district. The Commission can keep its predominantly Latino district from downtown and East Side. They can change the central coast district so it's not as long. They can move Palo Alto and Mountain View, and all those communities (indiscernible), and still leave us with one district that is a clear majority for San Jose residents, something that every other large city has.
There's an easy way to accomplish all of these things. Please Commissioners, make one more round of tweaks. And you can come up with a perfect solution that satisfies everybody. And not take away the voice of congress for the tenth largest city in the country.

We deserve to be heard. We deserve to have a voice that understands what it is to call San Jose home.

MR. MANOFF: 30 seconds.

MS. DAVIS: Thank you so much for your time, and best of luck.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 4145. And up next after that we do have -- someone got their caller ID, caller in user 3.

Caller 4145, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners. I'm calling to thank the Commission for the latest map that fixed Montebello, Bell Gardens, and Lynwood, while also protecting Black voices in South Los Angeles.

Furthermore, I appreciate the Commission for keeping Koreatown whole in a single district for Los Angeles.

Please leave the Southeast, Northeast, and Central Los Angeles region alone as it keeps all communities of interest whole.
Lastly, I want to thank the Commission for reuniting Lynwood. It's the gateway city without splitting Black communities of interest. Thank you very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

And up next, we have a call in user 3. And up next after that we have caller 2672.

And if you're calling in without a caller ID, please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MR. MUNSON: Hi. This is John Munson from Nature for All. And I'm still worried about the gentleman who's wondering what he's going to do after redistricting, and even in the evenings.

But in any case, I think you've made a lot of great changes today. And in fact, we're in agreement with all of the districts, how all the districts ought to be drawn in the Angeles and western San Bernardino National Forest.

The problem is that the track maps won't allow us to actually do that. That's true of the areas below the forest mountain, below Acton. And also it's very true about the area north of Azusa, which we would never have wanted to have done if we knew that that artifact of the track map was taken to district ten miles north of East Fork and West Fork into a different ego system. And drawing a large section of forest in the middle of a
national monument actually is confusing. It's going to be confusing of the forest service. It's going to be confusing to citizens, and to multiple congressional offices that are involved because it doesn't follow any natural boundaries.

MR. MANOFF: 30 seconds.

MR. MUNSON: Not like on the far end, on the left, where, you know, you have the San Fernando Valley district.

So also if you live in Glendale -- in Glendora, you're going to be a little confused because you have two congressional districts almost immediately north of you.

MR. MANOFF: 15.

MR. MUNSON: And Highway 39 is a very poor boundary. So it's very hard to tell where it is. It's going to be confusing. It's an easy change to make. Go back to Mr. Yee's original suggestion. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

Right now, we have caller 2672. And up next after that we have caller 7268.

Caller 2672, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. Calling from the Sierra Club Angeles Chapter from Los Angeles.

Want to actually co-sign. I'm really glad you put
me after John Munson because he was actually saying the
exact same thing we said. We're joining Nature for All.
Calculating the amount of voters, et cetera, et cetera,
about that. Minor change-up in the national forest.
Also, again, got some calls from our folks in the
San Diego Chapter of the Sierra Club. Super, super
excited about the restoration of the North Coast
district.

I know that enviro voters and some folks Tweeted out
about kind of eluding a beach change. It seems like you
looked at it and didn't think it was worth it. If you
still have maybe two or three minutes tomorrow, just a
quick look at that to see if that might work, you know,
Laguna and North Coast, and then test and split. And
then Ladera Ranch (indiscernible).

Hope you're having a great day. Really appreciate
it. And really appreciate the thought that you're
putting into this.

We're working on some of the environmental districts
back in 2011, on behalf of the Sierra Club, and we're
really glad to see some of those maintained or expanded.
Hope you're having a great day. Take care.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now, we have caller 7268. And up next after that,
we have caller 0706.
Caller 7268, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MR. RUIZ: Hi Commissioners. Good afternoon. My name is Senor Ruiz. I'm calling from Tulare. Everything was going so well, until we decided to move, you know, opposite of California City. Just to remind that California City has 70 percent of people of color. A reminder that we need (indiscernible) right district in the Central Valley. Don't -- like you guys, I support the military. I have family in the military. I completely understand what you're talking about the military base. But I don't think we should take one email, and change the entire map, you know, just based on one email.

And the other thing is the Board of Supervisors really can't tell a military base what to do. That is federal land, and it's run by the federal government. So even though, as much as we would like to listen to the Board of Supervisors tell you XYZ, don't do it. Let's go back to the original map; how we had it.

Also, great post by Katy and the pic -- and the cookies. I really like those cookies, and I wish I could taste one of them.

Again, thank you Commissioners for all the work you've been doing. You know, just hang in there few more
hours. We're a done. Thank you so much. We appreciate everything.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. Right now, we have caller 0706, and up next, after that is caller 8490.

Caller 0706, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MR. ISMAEL: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Marcus Ismael, and I'm a resident of downtown San Jose. Thank you for the work you put in to arrive at a map last Thursday, that creates a logical, sensible district encompassing at least half of my city. That not only respects the concentration of Asian-American neighborhoods, but creates the only 50 percent Latino VRA district in Northern California.

As an Asian-American resident in San Jose, it's important to me that my community's neighborhoods have the benefit of being united in our advocacy to a single representative accountable to San Jose API community interests, instead of just four representatives.

As it stands, a reversion tonight to an older map leads to the absurdity of being able to cross four congressional districts at once by driving a few minutes down the Capitol Expressway. And it means even more dilution for San Jose residents of all identities and
Please respect the time and advocacy of San Jose residents, and please keep our southern neighborhoods together. I urge you to direct staff to explore minor tweaks that get as close as we can to unify a San Jose district that makes sense. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 8490, and up next after that is caller 8853.

Caller 8490, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MALE SPEAKER: Hi there. I'm calling in support, just like the previous caller, to keep San -- to keep as much of San Jose in one district as much as possible, and to keep the West Valley cities together.

Right now, with this reversion, you know, you're connecting cities like Saratoga and Campbell all the way to San Mateo County, and cities like Pacifica. And I think this is very strange.

Honestly, as a resident of Saratoga, I think that the West Valley cities are a community of interest and they belong together. They belong with San Jose. They have much more in common with San Jose than the (indiscernible) district, for example. Most workers in Saratoga work in places like San Jose and Cupertino, and
not, you know, in the -- in San Mateo County.

And so I think it makes a lot of sense to keep that version that you created on Thursday, where you keep the West Valley cities with San Jose, because that secures proper representation, and it creates a new VRA opportunity district that's majority minority in this area, which the Asian-American community, the Hispanic community, so many communities have desperately been wanting for so long.

So I urge you to keep the West Valley cities together, and keep as much of San Jose together as you can. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 8853, and up next after that will be caller, 2034.

Caller 8853, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

FEMALE SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners. I am calling to voice my discontent with the most recent Cupertino congressional map iteration, which would serve to lump in our rural farmers communities in the Salinas Valley with San Jose, which we share very little to nothing in common with.

I'd also like to echo Salinas Mayor Kimberly Craig's comments to get -- to give our region more consideration
to keep us and our communities whole. Having followed this whole process since the beginning, it has been very disappointing how little thought has been given to keeping our communities of interest within the Salinas Valley that are comprised of farm workers, non-native English speakers, and first-generation residents together.

Once again, I am respectfully -- respectfully asking of all of you to not dilute the voices of our historically disenfranchised community members by lumping us into a district that in some cases is hundred miles away from some of our own communities. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 2034. And up next after that will be caller 9605.

Caller 2034, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MS. ALCANTAR: Thank you. Hello, Commissioners. Thank you all for your work thus far. My name is Elizabeth Alcantar, and I serve as the vice mayor of Cudahy. I'm calling because there are many of our community members who couldn't stay on the phone for hours, and share the belief that Cudahy should be in the same district as the South East L.A. neighbors.

Cudahy shares more in common with the cities, and AD
Gateway, and the cities it is currently placed in with AD corridor. Our median household income is almost half of cities like El Morada. Our poverty rate is 26 percent, compared to one La Mirada 6, La Habra's 11, and (indiscernible) 9.

We're also heavily renter occupied at 85 percent compared to their 45. We speak languages other than English at 92, compared to their 44 percent.

Cudahy has built a community with its neighbors in Southeast L.A. Do not diminish the power of our immigrant and first-generation working communities that we have been built over the years here in our southeast L.A. region.

Put Cudahy back into AD Gateway, just like you had before a few hours ago. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we've got caller 9605, and up next after that will be caller 8860. Caller 9605, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MS. ROMEO: Good evening, Commissioners. This is Elizabeth Romeo from Riverside. I want to thank you for the conversation about UC Riverside today, but obviously we are disappointed that you took no action to keep us whole.

I want to quickly just clarify two points that seem
to hang up your conversation today. First, the changes submitted by campus architect in comment, 40611. Again, comment 40611, do make holistic changes to three districts. In order to accommodate the impacts of population and Latinos, see that -- that happens when you cut -- when you keep the university community together.

We wanted to be respectful of your time and show you how you can move the lines with allowable deviation, while also maintaining Latino (indiscernible) within just hundredths of a percentage point of the current iteration.

Second, I want to clarify that we are agnostic about which of the districts the whole community goes into. We thought 58 was a better district match, because it allows downtown Riverside portion to stay with the bulk of the city of Riverside. But this request is about keeping our community whole within one district.

So you can choose, but 58 is our recommendation. Our university community follows University Avenue across two nearby freeways, and connects the main campus with the innovation and economic corridor, UCR Arts, the UCR School of Medicine, and significant off-campus housing populations found off of University Avenue in the City of Riverside.

All of these important changes support our growth
trajectory, which is also to expand access to higher
education for California.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now, we have caller 8860, and up next after that is
caller 7455.

Caller 8860, please follow the prompts. The floor
is yours.

MALE SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners. Do you
hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

MALE SPEAKER: Commissioners, thank you very much
for the great work that you guys have done, and your
great attempt. I'm a former Valley Glen neighborhood
council member. I called in previously.

You guys have made some really good changes, but you
guys left Valley Glen and the rest of North Hollywood
detached from North Hollywood, and the other
communities -- the are remaining communities that it
belongs with. Please reunify North Hollywood into one
district, and add Valley Glen with that by moving it
totally into the south San Fernando Valley district,
uniting the Armenian communities together with Burbank
and Glendale. That can be done with an easy swap to put
Sherman Oaks and Studio City to the Central District,
unifying the Jewish communities along the south --
southern portion of the San Fernando Valley, and putting
Lake Balboa and Van Nuys into the East District by
unifying the Latino communities and working the
communities together into one district.

So again, the swap is North Hollywood and Valley
Glen, united together with the rest of North Hollywood,
Burbank and Glendale in the South District, Sherman Oaks
and Studio City to the Central District, and Lake Balboa
and Van Nuys to the East District, unifying the Armenian,
Latino, and Jewish communities in their respective --
respective districts.

Thank you very much. Please make this quick change.
It is population deviation. Okay. We've already -- I've
already done --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now, we have caller 7455, and up next after that is
caller 9048.

And for those that have just called in, if you'll
please press star nine, this will raise your hand. And
for those that have not done so already, if you'll please
press star nine, this will raise your hand. Makes my job
a little bit easier.

Right now, we have caller a 7455, and up next after
that is caller 9048.

Caller 7455, please follow the prompts. The floor
is yours.

FEMALE SPEAKER: Thank you so much. It's been really great following these meetings for the past three days. Getting to listen to everyone's public input, as well.

Thank you, Commissioners, for the latest map fix of Maywood, with (indiscernible) and into Montebello. Thank you so, so much, because it protects all of the black voices in South L.A., which is incredibly important.

Last but not least, I want to ask you if you could please leave the southeast, northeast and central L.A. region alone to keep all of those communities of interest together. Thank you, so much, and happy holidays.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 9048, and up next after that we have caller 0805.

Caller 9048, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MALE SPEAKER: Thank you very much, Commissioners, and thank you very much for your work in the San Fernando Valley. Calling actually to support what the previous caller said in supporting the unification of the Armenian community in the San Fernando Valley in particular.

These are small changes. And again, and again, moving Sherman Oaks and Studio City into the central SFB
seat, uniting the rest of North Hollywood and Valley Glen into the South San Fernando Valley seat, and Lake Balboa and Van Nuys into East San Fernando Valley seats. These are all shifts that are population balanced and work, while protecting not just the Armenian community, but also the Jewish and Latino community within the San Fernando Valley. These are minor fixes, population balanced. We ask that you do this in this final hour. And thank you again for your attention on the San Fernando Valley.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 0805, and up next after that is caller 8575.

Caller 0805, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MS. REUBEN: Hi there, Commissioners. Sarah Reuben calling in tonight from Sherman Oaks. I'm representing the Jewish community in Sherman Oaks and Studio City tonight. I really want to thank you for taking the time today to put together the Jewish community in the -- in the San Fernando Valley.

And I really just wanted to name -- I'm hoping that you guys add Sherman Oaks and Studio City, because we are definitely part of the Jewish community in the San Fernando Valley. I support the swap with North Hollywood
PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: (Indiscernible, audio interference) And up next after that is caller 3656. Caller 8575, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

FEMALE SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners. Thank you for the San Fernando Valley Assembly District. You made some good changes trying to unify the Armenian and Jewish community. But a simple swamp will actually accomplish this. Put Sherman Oaks in Studio City with the Jewish community in the Central San Fernando Valley District. Put Valley Glen, and unify North Hollywood with Burbank, Glendale for the -- for the Armenian community. Put Lake (indiscernible) Van Nuys into the East San Fernando Valley, uniting the Latino community. Thank you, and I appreciate your time.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 3656, and up next after that is caller 3375. Caller 3656, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MALE SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners. Thank you for your service. I'm a San Jose resident calling about the San Jose area congressional districts that have reverted back to minimizing San Jose's voice in Congress.
We're the largest city in the Bay Area, and we should have at least one member of Congress who speaks for us. No other major city in California is losing its voice this way; only San Jose.

Please have another look, and make some minor changes to the congressional districts, so San Jose has at least one undiluted seat in Congress. You can strengthen the cohesion of the San Jose community with some minor, but important changes that values our voice.

Thank you for your time.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 3375, and up next after that will be caller 7840.

Caller 3375, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MALE SPEAKER: Hello, Commissioners. Great work. My name is Jay. I'm calling regarding the U.S. Congressional District 18. I live in the West San Jose area. I'm a community leader here. We have been very happy to be in the Congress as U.S. Congress 18, City of Santa Clara district, working with us on our issue.

Please continue at -- with West Valley cities like Saratoga, Campbell, et cetera, since we share the same schools, hospitals, freeways, utilities, and we come from the similar demographics. In fact, please go back to our
December 17th, declaration of (indiscernible) of San Jose rather than 4.

Thank you for the great work, and thank you for giving me an opportunity to express my opinion here. Thank you. Bye.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 7840, and up next after that we have caller 8308.

Caller 7840, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MALE SPEAKER: Thank you very much, Commissioners. I can't believe it's almost 12 a.m. Thank you for working this diligently for our state. My name is (indiscernible). I am the son of Armenian immigrants, and I've lived in Valley Glen, in the San Fernando Valley of Los Angeles, my entire life.

I'm calling again, because I've been looking at all the work that you've all been doing to make sure that my community is well represented for the next ten years. And I want you all to know how I feel. I call on all of you. There is still time to move Sherman Oaks in Studio City into the Central San Fernando Valley Assembly District. I'm calling on you to unite the rest of my brothers and sisters in North Hollywood and Valley Glen into the South San Fernando Valley and Lake Balboa, along
with Van Nuys in the East San Fernando Valley.

These are -- we're -- we're too late to go back and make any further changes. But these are changes that can be made that are tangible. And I really want to make sure that we live in a community that's well represented for the next ten years. So there's still time. And I echo the same sentiments as my fellow neighbors before me who called in. So thank you very much for your work. And please make these changes.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 8308, and up next after that will be caller 3270.

Caller 8308, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Good evening. My name is Ed Hernandez, former council member for City San Leandro. First-Time Caller, thank you very much for working this late.

As a former elected official, I know how it is to be up late at night seeking public comment. I really appreciate it, and honor the time you're making here. In regard to San Leandro, unincorporated Alameda County, the (indiscernible) tech iteration is pulling these communities out of Alameda County. It's quite important to keep them in the same county, so we have one unified
voice. Not having the county makes it more challenging to be part of a different county and go advocate in that community for something that might be very different from those residents in Alameda County, affecting, of course, people of color, like indigenous people as well. We ask to keep us in the same county for the (indiscernible) tech iteration that's out now. Please keep us together, keep us whole, and thank you for your service.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 3270, and up next after that, will be caller 8114.

Caller 3270, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MALE SPEAKER: Hello.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes, hello.

MR. HARSOULIAN: Hello, my name is Tigran Harsounian. I'm a board member for Armenians for Redistricting Equity. I'm calling today to ask that you put what a lot of folks have talked about, North Hollywood Valley Glen in with Glendale, and -- Glendale, and Burbank, for an Armenian district. Sherman Oaks and Studio City for the Jewish community, and Lake Balboa and Venice for the Latino community.

I think would be really important. Armenian is the fourth highest spoken language in L.A. County. We need
a -- a voice, and this will create a true Armenian
district with Glendale, Burbank, Sunland, Tujunga, North
Hollywood Valley Glen. If we -- if you could do this for
us, I know it's very last moment. You're our only hope.
Thank you so much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now, we have caller 8114, and up next after that
will be caller 1137.

Caller 8114, please follow the prompts. The floor
is yours.

MR. TYLER: Hello, can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

MR. TYLER: Hi, my name is Steven Tyler. I've been
living in San Leandro, California for over six years now.
I've been living in Alameda County for over a decade now.
And I want to ask the redistricting committee to reject
the current iteration of the (indiscernible) tech map
pulling San Leandro and our unincorporated neighbors out
of Alameda County, and into Contra Costa County is going
to significantly disenfranchise the predominantly BIPOC
communities that we have here in this area. It's going
to violate our -- their -- our civil rights. It's going
to rob us of the advantages that we have from our very
racially diverse community. It's going to shift our
services to a county that we have very little as a common
community of interest with. That we are separated by not just geographically, but demographically, politically, economically, socially. That there is no real good reason for us to be part of that county. We need to be put back in with our neighbors here in Alameda County, and not into Contra Costa County on the other side of the hills.

Thank you so much for your time and for these comments, and please do read the written comments that a number of residents of San Leandro and the unincorporated area have submitted about this issue. Please do not take us away from our neighbors. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 1137, and up next after that, will be caller 1751.

Caller 1137, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MS. REYNOLDS: Thank you so much for taking my call. This is Chrissy Reynolds from Copco Lake in Siskiyou County, and I wanted to thank you very much, and ask that you keep the iterations that you have for the Congressional District of 1218, 21, and the Senate District and the Assembly District just the way that you have them. They're perfect right now, and they represent, I think, closest to the needs of everybody in
our area. So I want to thank you very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now, we have caller 1751, and up next after that
will be caller 2395.

Caller 1751, please follow the prompts.

And one more time, caller with the last four digits
1751, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute by
pressing star six. The floor is yours.

FEMALE SPEAKER: Thank you. Good evening to the
Commissioners. My name is (indiscernible). I'm a
resident of District 18, and I'm calling to request to
keep the cities of Cupertino, Saratoga, Los Gatos,
Campbell, Monte Sereno, and West San Jose together in the
redistricting decision of District 18. We have the same
voter accompanied with transportation issues, and we have
many similar common interests and cultural organizations
and initiatives. These cities belong together, as they
have common interests and cultural community.

Once again, please keep cities Cupertino, Saratoga,
Los Gatos, Campbell, Monte Sereno, and West San Jose in
District 18. Thank you, so much, and happy holidays.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now, we will have caller 1547, and up next after
that will be caller 2395.

Caller 1547, please follow the prompts. The floor
is yours.

MS. BERNAL: Good evening, Commissioners. This is Mary Lynn Bernal. I spoke to you last night about the slip of Saratoga, and I'm just calling in to thank you profusely for your efforts, and for revisiting the topic tonight. I think some of the callers this evening didn't know what happened earlier on this evening, and I hope that the locked in prior reiteration will stay in place tomorrow as you vote.

And again, this evening, I want to thank you profusely for all the work that you have put into deciding the Congressional Districts for the state of California. Best wishes to you all. Bye.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 2395, and up next after that will be caller 4599.

Caller 2395, please follow the prompts.

Right now, we'll have caller 4599, and up next after that, it'll be caller 5855.

Caller 4599, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MALE SPEAKER: Good evening. I'm calling regarding the Walnut Park, Florence-Graham area. Commissioners, the difference between Walnut Park and Florence-Graham public comments, and most of the other comments that you
are hearing is that we have been advocating for a long
time now, and we are not asking for anything major. We
are not asking for big changes. We are asking for a tiny
change that will mean a universe to our community and
daily quality of life. Please move Walnut Park to the
110 L.A. map to be with the Florence-Graham. The
solutions is right in front of you earlier today, and
it's possible. Our communities are made of hardworking
people who deserve a voice. Please keep our
unincorporated communities of Florence-Graham and Walnut
Park together in the 100 L.A. map. Thank you, and good
evening.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And
right now, we have caller of 4599, and up next after that
is caller 5855.

Caller 4599, please follow the prompts.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 4599, that guy --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Oh, my bad. Hold on.
It's a little late, guys. All right, caller 5855, and up
next after that will be caller 6030.

Caller 5855, please follow the prompts. The floor
is yours.

MALE SPEAKER: Hello, Commissioners. I'm calling to
express how disappointed I am with the actions of this
body. In particular, the blatant partisanship that has
clearly influenced the final maps you're considering. In particular, I'm concerned that Commissioner Sadhwani tweeted that she attended a rally in support of Long Beach Mayor Rob Garcia earlier this year. Throughout this process, Sadhwani was a staunch advocate of requests from groups aligned with Mayor Garcia. In the past week, Mayor Garcia announced he is running for Congress in a seat drawn in large part at Commissioner Sadhwani's direction. I asked the commission, how is that not a conflict of interest?

In another example, this commission dramatically redrew Congressional Districts in the Central Valley based on testimony by the Dolores Huerta Foundation, an organization whose leaders have explicit partisan ties, and actively campaign for congressional candidates in the valley. I once again ask the commission, how is that not a conflict of interest?

Finally, residents in Santa Clarita and Little Saigon who have been engaged in a process that an unparalleled level have been ignored, and not even given the courtesy of visualizations supportive of their request, with Commissioner Sinay explicitly saying it was for political reasons. Across the state, this commission has torn up communities to supply voters of certain political parties into districts, and achieve certain
political outcomes. I believe in this -- in the potential of independent redistricting. Yet, the actions of several of your commissioners are going to set the citizen-led redistricting movement back.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we will have caller 6030, and up next after that, that will be caller 6059.

Caller 6030, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

Caller 63 -- 6030, double check your phone; make sure you are not on mute. You are unmuted in the meeting.

MR. SANCHEZ: Hello? Can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We sure can.

MR. SANCHEZ: Hello, my name is Carlos Sanchez. Commissioners, how can you permit growth from one city to dictate what happens to another city without the consent of the people from that city? Many groups from the San Fernando Valley have demanded that you give them Santa Clarita Valley. As if it weren't the third largest city in L.A. County. Don't we get a say in this process? Because we're being ignored. Are we a prize in a contest, or a slave sold in a market? Think about that as you finish our Assembly map. As Santa Clarita is home to over 300,000 people. Are not part of the San Fernando
Valley. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we will have caller 8683. And up next after that would be caller 9685.

Caller 8683, please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MR. GARCIA: Commission, my name is Jesus Garcia, and I am the map drawer for the Equitable Maps Coalition, Dolores Huerta Foundation, and I would like to thank the previous caller for giving us the accolades that hopefully we deserve. But in particular, I would like to thank the commission for listening to the voices of the three million residents of Central Valley, or Assembly three congressional, two senate districts.

For the past year, I've worked with over -- with hundreds of volunteers and board of supervisors, city councils, school districts, and others, and we look forward to change. Thank you for that.

As a mapmaker, however, not all maps work. And in fact, that has been one of my toughest job, is telling people, sorry, you can't get there from here.

And I'm here to tell you folks, sorry, but I think Edwards does not belong with Kern County. Edwards belongs in the Antelope Valley, in the high desert community. Neither of the workers in prisons or at the
base itself live in Kern County. We live in Kern County. We're part of the Central Valley. We know that they -- they don't.

I've spoken most of the workers are -- they shop, and they -- they have their cares in L.A. County. Finally, should Edwards stay in Kern, it'll be but a photo op for our representatives, for the work of taking care of civilian and military families will be held to the adjacent commuter.

Finally, I feel the pain of your mapmaker's. Please make her job easier, straight-line. You already have them. Please return them back. Thank you very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we will have caller in 9685, and up next after that, we will have caller 0564.

Caller 9865, please follow the prompts. Or not. Right now, we have caller 0564. Please follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MS. SHAH: Yes, my name is Rupa Shah, and I live in the West Valley, West -- sorry, West San Jose, and I would say that the West San Jose, Cupertino, Los Gatos, Monte Sereno, Campbell, and Saratoga are communities of interest, because we are in the same (indiscernible). We have the same school, fire districts, water companies, share this and we share the same highway, 85 issues. So
and also the same challenges of homelessness and housing.

So I think we should stick to the map of December 19th, but it was already segregated.

I don't agree with what's happening right now. And I think our issues are different, so that the rezoning or the redistricting is not happening correctly with the geography and the issues are cluttered. So I think we should keep West San Jose, Saratoga, Monte Sereno, Campbell, and Los Gatos, and the -- and Cupertino. That's my call.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And right now, we have caller 1516, if you'll please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours.

MALE SPEAKER: Commissioners, I'm calling from Woodland Hills. Also after redistricting process, Woodland Hills, Tarzana, Encino, Sherman Oaks, and Studio City have been advocating to remain together to unite the Jewish community. We did this at the city, the county and now the state. Please move Sherman Oaks and Studio City into the Central San Fernando valley district to complete the Jewish community into one district.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. At this time that is all of our callers in the queue.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Katy. We still have some time left in our public comment. So if you can
please read the -- the instructions in case anyone would
still like to call in at 11:20 p.m.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Absolutely. The
commission will now take public comment on -- will now
take general public comment. To give comment, please
call 877-853-5247, and enter the meeting ID number
85932989398 for this meeting. Once you have dialed in,
please press star nine to enter the comment queue.
The full call-in instructions have been read
previously, and are provided in full on the livestream
landing page.

And at this time, Chair, we do not have any -- oh.
Yeah, this -- caller 9 --

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: He keeps calling and hanging up.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: One moment. Caller 98 --
9685, please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is
yours.

MALE SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners. Council
member Eddie De La Riva from the city of Maywood. I want
to thank you for your hard work on these matters, and
making the tough decisions that you have. I want to
thank you for drawing Maywood into SB 710 with
communities of interest on the I-710 corridor, and for
making the decision to draw Maywood into AD Gateway with
the communities of interest of South East Los Angeles.
Thank you for your hard work. Thank you. Have a great night.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And Cahir, at this time, that is all of our callers again.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Katy. We'll give it -- we'll wait a couple more minutes, but in the meantime, I'd like to just do a brief recap of the wonderful job that we did today, Commissioners. We finished the Board of Equalization districts, finished the Assembly and the Congressional.

So thank you for all of your efforts and their hard work. And then tomorrow, we will be back at 11:00, and we'll take a final look at everything, and we will go from there. I don't see anyone in the --

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Chair?

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: Yes?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Real quick, I'd like to invite those that have just called in to please press star nine.

Caller 7693, if you wish to give comment, please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. It's too late for star nine. The floor is yours.

MALE SPEAKER: Hello. Can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yeah, we sure can.

MALE SPEAKER: Okay. I'm calling from West Hills,
and West Hills has been split off from the majority of the valley. There's been many callers who are saying that they want to keep the Jewish community together. There is a large Jewish community in West Hills, and I would hope that you would draw West Hills in for many different reasons back into the San Fernando Valley. The majority of the San Fernando Valley, especially with -- especially with Woodland Hills and adjoining areas. Canoga Park, Winnetka. Thank you very much.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And at this time, Chair, that is everyone in our queue.

CHAIR FERNANDEZ: All right. Thank you so much, Katy, and thank you everyone for staying up with us. We -- like I mentioned, we will be back at 11 o'clock, and we hope everyone has a good evening.

Be safe and we'll see you in the morning. Thank you. The meeting is adjourned at 11:23.

(Whereupon, the State of California, Citizens Redistricting Commission Public Meeting adjourned at 11:23 p.m.)
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