



**Central Falls Police Department  
Investigative Report**

Page: 1  
07/13/2020

Case #: 20-3-IA

Date/Time Reported: 02/04/2020 @ 1800  
 Report Date/Time: 02/04/2020 @ 1800  
 Occurred Between: 01/28/2020 @ 1248  
 And: 02/04/2020 @ 1800  
 Complaint Type: Civilian  
 Disposition: Unfounded

Reporting Officer: [REDACTED]

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

# OFFENSE(S) A/C TITLE/CHAP SECTION

LOCATION TYPE: Government/Public Building Zone: ZONE 3  
 580 BROAD ST  
 CENTRAL FALLS RI 02863

1 OBEDIENCE TO RULES AND REGULATIONS C II 2  
 OCCURRED: 01/28/2020 1248

# PERSON(S) PERSON TYPE SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 [REDACTED] COMPLAINANT F B [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 401-359-[REDACTED]  
 [REDACTED] FOUNDRY ST Apt. [REDACTED]  
 CENTRAL FALLS RI 02863  
 DOB: [REDACTED]/1986  
 CONTACT INFORMATION:  
 Home Phone (Primary) 401-359-[REDACTED]  
 Home Phone 401-401-[REDACTED]

2 [REDACTED] WITNESS M W [REDACTED] NOT AVAIL 401-000-[REDACTED]  
 160 ILLINOIS ST  
 CENTRAL FALLS RI 02863  
 DOB: [REDACTED] 1986  
 EMPLOYER: CITY OF CENTRAL FALLS 401-727-[REDACTED]  
 CONTACT INFORMATION:  
 Home Phone (Primary) 401-000-[REDACTED]  
 Home Phone 401-727-[REDACTED]  
 Cell Phone 401-000-[REDACTED]  
 Work Phone (Primary) 401-000-[REDACTED]  
 Work Phone (Primary) 401-727-[REDACTED]

# OFFICER(S) SEX RACE AGE SSN PHONE

1 [REDACTED] M W [REDACTED] NOT AVAIL 401-727-[REDACTED]  
 160 ILLINOIS ST  
 CENTRAL FALLS RI 02863  
 CONTACT INFORMATION:  
 Home Phone (Primary) 401-401-[REDACTED]  
 Home Phone (Primary) 401-727-[REDACTED]  
 Cell Phone (Primary) 401-692-[REDACTED]  
 Work Phone (Primary) 401-727-[REDACTED]

BODY: NOT AVAIL.  
 DOB: [REDACTED] 1987  
 LICENSE NUMBER: [REDACTED]

COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.  
 PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.  
 ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: CENTRAL FALLS POLICE 401-727-7411

Central Falls Police Department  
Investigative Report

Page: 2  
07/13/2020

Case #: 20-3-IA

#	OFFICER(S)	SEX	RACE	AGE	SSN	PHONE
2	[REDACTED] 160 ILLINOIS ST CENTRAL FALLS RI 02863	F	W	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	401-727-[REDACTED]

CONTACT INFORMATION:  
Home Phone (Primary)

401-727-[REDACTED]

BODY: NOT AVAIL.  
DOB: [REDACTED] 1977  
LICENSE NUMBER: NOT AVAIL.

COMPLEXION: NOT AVAIL.  
PLACE OF BIRTH: NOT AVAIL.  
ETHNICITY: NOT HISPANIC

EMPLOYER/SCHOOL: ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICER

Attachments for 20-3-IA

Description

COMPLAINT & STATEMENTS

Attachment#: 57CDA5F697BB4B54A4B995062A3B92A1

Type  
PDF

Central Falls Police Department  
PERSONNEL NARRATIVE FOR [REDACTED]

Page: 1

Ref: 20-3-IA

Entered: 02/07/2020 @ 0945  
Modified: 02/07/2020 @ 1044  
Approved: 02/07/2020 @ 1025

Entry ID: CAR  
Modified ID: CAR  
Approval ID: CAR

On 02/05/20 I received a complaint form from a Domoneeque Rolle on two issues she believes she is having with members of the department. Rolle first believes [REDACTED] should not be able to address her since [REDACTED] has accused her of assault and the case is pending. Rolle believes [REDACTED] should not be speaking to her directly and let other officers handle dealing with her referring to call # 20-2074. Based on that call I asked Rolle if other officers had been present and she stated yes but [REDACTED] was the one talking. I asked Rolle if [REDACTED] did anything wrong and she stated no but she does not want to even speak with her. I advised her we have one [REDACTED] and she herself based on the past incident is not going to deal with you without someone there and as to who speaks obviously the [REDACTED] as more knowledge regarding animal issues. Rolle admitted her dog was in the wrong side of the park and nothing negative transpired during the contact.

Second on 2/4/20 Rolle went to Municipal court for an arraignment in an attempt to have a summons for tail lamps dismissed for compliance at that time one officer would not let the other officer dismiss the summons. Rolle advised me that [REDACTED] was about to look at the vehicle when [REDACTED] advised him he needed to clarify why the tail lamp summons was issued not just dismiss it. Rolle feels the [REDACTED] was going to dismiss it and [REDACTED] should not have got in the middle of it. I advised her [REDACTED] was the one in charge and did need to clarify why the summons was issued. Rolle just wants to know if the case can still be dismissed by the officer that gave her the summons at trial. I advised her to bring her vehicle with her on the court date and have the officer look at the vehicle. I advised Rolle that in both cases as she explains them there is no violation on part of the officers. Rolle stated she is just frustrated because of the initial assault charge and was satisfied after speaking with me and explaining how the traffic court works.

Findings:

In Rolle's complaint and after speaking with her she does not bring up any violation of policy or rules on part of the officers. This case is unfounded.