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Executive Summary

The Problem

In 2018, 1,992 people walking and biking were injured, and 101 people walking and biking were killed on our roads in San Diego County.

Nationally more than 37,000 people were killed during their daily commute in 2018. Generally when tens of thousands of people die each year, it is considered an epidemic and resources are dedicated to protect the community through medicine, vaccines, and policies. It is time for city leaders to shift the mindset of looking at traffic collisions as “accidents,” and begin taking action to save lives.

The Solution

Vision Zero is a data-driven approach to eliminate traffic fatalities and severe injuries on our roadways, within a specific time frame, by increasing safe, healthy, and equitable mobility. Vision Zero started in Sweden in the 1990s and because of its proven track record, has gained popularity internationally and has been adopted by more than 30 cities nationwide, including the cities of San Diego, La Mesa, and Imperial Beach.

Vision Zero strategies focus on:

- Reducing speed limits and prioritizing safety.
- Redesigning streets to make them accessible for people of all ages and abilities.
- Raising awareness of unsafe activities and changing behaviors.
- Enhancing data-driven traffic enforcement in the areas of greatest concern.

Vision Zero challenges the traditional approach to traffic safety by recognizing that death and severe injury can be prevented.

Circulate San Diego developed this report for policymakers and community advocates interested in saving lives and creating safer streets for all. In this report you will find background information on Vision Zero, data on the most dangerous intersections and corridors for each city in East County, and tried and tested recommendations to start saving lives.

The following are actions communities can take to work towards achieving Vision Zero:

- Introduce traffic calming measures where crashes occur most frequently.
- Prioritize safety for Capital Improvement Program funding prioritization.
- Adopt Complete Streets policies and update street design guidelines.
- Research data to determine most dangerous behaviors contributing to crashes.

This report focuses on San Diego’s East County cities: La Mesa, Santee, Lemon Grove, and El Cajon.
East County

East County, which includes La Mesa, Santee, Lemon Grove, and El Cajon, contains mixed-use village-type city centers and suburban bedroom communities. With the development of suburban communities in the 20th century came the separation of land uses—many people live far from where they shop or work. Yet safe streets are important for families that want to be able to walk their children to school or simply for residents who want to walk or bike in their spare time.

Every city has room for growth in order to make streets safe, and this report outlines several ways to make substantive improvements. Yet each city in East County has taken proactive steps in the right direction in line with the recommendations in this report. East County cities have made investments in the safety of their residents that are commendable, here are some recent examples:

La Mesa

In 2016, the City of La Mesa adopted an Urban Trails Mobility Action Plan, which creates a roadmap for implementing sidewalks that connect neighborhoods and other community destinations. In 2019, La Mesa became the second city in San Diego County to adopt Vision Zero.

Santee

Santee received capital funds to construct pedestrian improvements on Riverwalk Drive and bike lanes throughout the City after successfully applying for two SANDAG Active Transportation Grant Program grants on 2015.

Lemon Grove

SANDAG awarded Lemon Grove with a Smart Growth Incentive Program grant for $2.5 million to fund phases one and two of the Connect Main Street project. This project will create a community corridor with a parkway that supports multi-modal transportation.

El Cajon

In 2016, El Cajon partnered with the County and Circulate San Diego to draft a community active transportation plan. The City also recently completed a year-long pedestrian safety campaign, which was notable for its inclusivity as it targeted outreach to the City’s diverse community.
The Problem

For decades, cities have been built for automobiles. This has led to the development of more highways and high-speed roadways that often prioritize speed over safety. As a result, nationwide more than 37,000 people are killed each year while traveling on our roads.¹

Suburban sprawl led to the development of low-density communities where children are being bussed to school and the use of an automobile is required even for a simple trip to the grocery store. In 1960 nearly 64 percent of the population commuted to work by private vehicle, by 2013 that percentage increased to nearly 86 percent.² This trend is also trickling down and impacting some of our most vulnerable populations, children. In 1969, 41 percent of children lived within one mile of school and 89 percent of them usually walked or biked to school. By 2009, 31 percent of children lived within a mile of school, and only 35 percent of them would walk or bike to school.³

During this same time we have seen rates of individuals with obesity increase dramatically. In the 1970s nearly 15 percent of adults and 4 percent of children were obese.⁴ By 2014, the percentage of adults impacted by obesity more than doubled (38 percent) and the percentage of children

---

with obesity more than tripled (17 percent). In San Diego County nearly 59 percent of adults and over 30 percent of children are overweight or obese.\textsuperscript{5,6}  

Now is the time for city leaders to take a more active approach to creating safer environments. By prioritizing safer streets that encourage healthy transportation options we have an opportunity to create healthier people, healthier communities, and a healthier planet.

Fact sheets on the most dangerous intersections and corridors for each city in East County can be found in Appendix A. These fact sheets include collision data from 2008 to 2018 for bicyclists and pedestrians for intersections and corridors. The fact sheet data includes locations that are each city’s jurisdictions as well as Caltrans jurisdiction. Fatalities and serious injuries that take place on or near state routes or interstates are still important and cities can work with Caltrans to make them safer.

---


A Solution for Safer Streets

Vision Zero is a data-driven approach to eliminate traffic fatalities and severe injuries, within a specific time frame, by focusing on safe speed limits, safe street designs, and safe people. Vision Zero started in Sweden in the 1990s and has since spread to more than 30 cities nationwide, including the cities of San Diego, La Mesa, and Imperial Beach.

Vision Zero strategies focus on:

- Reducing speed limits and prioritizing safety.
- Redesigning streets to make them accessible for people of all ages and abilities.
- Raising awareness of unsafe activities and changing behaviors.
- Enhancing data-driven traffic enforcement in the areas of greatest concern.

ELECTED LEADERSHIP

A key component of a successful Vision Zero program is support from elected leadership. Throughout the country we have seen mayors and city councilmembers rally around Vision Zero and bring together high-level officials from law enforcement, transportation, and public health to institutionalize collaboration and work together to save lives. Many cities start by adopting a Vision Zero resolution and then work across departments to develop a Vision Zero action plan to support implementation. Please see Appendix B for a sample Vision Zero Resolution.

Vision Zero challenges the traditional approach to traffic safety by recognizing that death and severe injury can be prevented by implementing a multipronged approach that focuses on safe speed limits, safe street designs, and safe people.

SAFE SPEEDS

Human error may be unavoidable, but safe speeds can help save lives. If a person walking is struck by a vehicle driving 20 miles per hour, they have a 90 percent survival rate. The chance of survival drops to 50 percent if the vehicle is driving 30 miles per hour and drops to only 10 percent if the vehicle is driving 40 miles per hour. Cities including Seattle and New York have taken note and have reduced speed limits in residential and arterial streets to a maximum of 25 miles per hour.

HIT BY A VEHICLE TRAVELING AT:

20 MPH  9 out of 10 pedestrians survive

30 MPH  5 out of 10 pedestrians survive

40 MPH  Only 1 out of 10 pedestrians survives

Image credit: City of Seattle’s 2015 Vision Zero Plan

---

Adjusting speed limits alone will not change behavior, speed limits must also be enforced in order to be effective. A partnership with law enforcement to increase ticketing near the most dangerous corridors and intersections is a crucial component to create long-term behavior change. States such as Colorado, Illinois, Utah, and Washington have been able to pass strong legislation to support automated speed enforcement to protect vulnerable populations in areas such as school zones, residential, and construction zones.9

In Seattle, the police department and department of transportation worked together to install speed zone safety cameras at 14 school zones where speeding was an issue. Since the start of the program there has been a 71 percent drop in total collisions during camera activation hours and a 50 percent drop in total collisions during all times of the day. The average number of traffic violations per camera per day decreased by 64 percent since installation and average speeds have decreased by 4 percent.10

SAFE STREETS

By design, Vision Zero streets should encourage safe speeds, reduce motor vehicle traffic, and protect the most vulnerable users. Narrow streets tend to slow traffic while wide, arterial streets, tend to invite speeding and a lack of safe crosswalks. Treatments such as protected bike lanes, pedestrian islands, and ADA accessibility improve safety and help encourage all people to walk, bike, and use public transit.11

Since 2005, New York City found a 34 percent decrease in fatalities at locations where the Department of Transportation made major engineering changes that simplify driving, walking, and bicycling, and reduced conflicts.12 This was twice the rate of improvement compared to other locations where these changes were not made.

SAFE PEOPLE

Often times we find ourselves rushing out the door and we do not think twice about driving over the speed limit, or just making it through a light before it turns red. Changing public perception of unsafe behaviors can be difficult. As a first step, it is important to start by looking at the data to understand the demographics of drivers involved in the majority of crashes. This way we can ensure any messaging developed as part of a public awareness campaign will resonate with the target audience. Through key informant interviews, New York City learned their target audience does not want to be told what to do, they want to be empowered. As a result, they created a campaign titled, “Your Choices Matter.”

ROAD SAFETY AND SOCIAL EQUITY

As cities begin directing funding towards infrastructure improvements, it is critical to prioritize safety improvements in the areas of greatest need. Traffic collisions disproportionately impact vulnerable communities, including people of color, individuals with lower income, seniors, children, and people that rely on walking and transit as their primary means of transportation.

While investing in these communities, cities should be cautious to ensure the increased attention is beneficial to the community, through increased engagement and empowerment, and not a detriment that causes a financial burden through increased ticketing and citations.

In Portland representatives wanted to ensure equity was part of their action plan so 10 of the 26 task force members represent organizations focused on advancing equity. As a result the city realized the need to address racial profiling and income disparity as part of their Vision Zero efforts. The task force also decided to elevate street redesign as a high priority in the action plan.

THE BUSINESS CASE

Safe community design not only benefits the community at large, but it is also good for business. The United States Department of Transportation calculated the economic value of a life in 2016 at $9.6 million, regardless of age, income, mode of travel, or any other factor.\textsuperscript{15} The cost of the 238 deaths that occurred in San Diego County in 2018 was approximately $2.28 billion.\textsuperscript{16} This cost was borne between governmental agencies, crash victims, and the general public. With an investment of just a fraction of this cost, cities can save taxpayer dollars. More importantly, they can help save lives.

On the other hand, the benefits of safe streets are good for the bottom line. Studies show that an increase of one point in a home’s Walk Score raises its value by $3,000.\textsuperscript{17} There are also several economic impact studies that show the benefits of bike infrastructure, including that people who biked to businesses spent more money per month than those who drove.\textsuperscript{18}

Walk Score measures the walkability of an address by analyzing nearby amenities and pedestrian friendliness, then awards points from 0-100. Walk Scores of 90-100 are considered “Walker’s Paradise” while 0-24 are considered “Car-Dependent”.

THE ENVIRONMENTAL CASE

Most cities county-wide have adopted a Climate Action Plan or are in the process of developing one.\textsuperscript{19} Strategies for reducing greenhouse gases (GHGs) are intertwined with the need for safe streets for all. State-wide, transportation is the largest contributor of GHGs at 39 percent, followed by industrial emissions (23 percent), and in-state electricity generation (11 percent).\textsuperscript{20} Any attempt at reducing GHGs must include reducing emissions caused by the transportation sector. While electric vehicles will contribute to these efforts, they still create emissions through electricity use. GHG reduction methods such as improving transportation options—making cities more walkable, bikeable, and transit-accessible—are needed to reach GHG reduction goals.

Making substantial progress on Climate Action Plan goals and saving lives can be implemented through overlapping strategies such as traffic calming, enhanced intersection safety, bicycle lanes, and comfortable sidewalks. Safe streets are streets that encourage healthy transportation and taking transit.

Vision Zero Funding Resources

Infrastructure projects big and small can cost a significant amount of money. While every city’s budget is limited, there are several revenue sources that can and should be used to fund important safety transportation projects.

The Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017 (SB 1)

Otherwise known as the gas tax, SB1 is providing every jurisdiction with a significant influx of new funding for transportation projects. Local streets and roads allocations can be used for safety and complete streets, and may be used to satisfy a match requirement for eligible projects. This funding cannot supplant existing revenue spending on transportation projects but must be used to supplement general fund transportation spending. Allocation estimates are available online.

TransNet Local Street and Road Formula Funds

The TransNet Local Street and Road Formula Funds are administered by the San Diego Association of Governments. Funding can be used to develop a Climate Action Plan and Complete Streets Policy if the city has not yet adopted these documents. At least 70 percent of the funds provided for the local street and road program should fund construction or major rehabilitation and reconstruction of streets, traffic signal coordination, capital improvements that facilitate transit services and facilities, and other improvements. These funds can assist a city in prioritizing safety improvements through major infrastructure projects.

Caltrans Active Transportation Program

This grant can fund infrastructure and non-infrastructure (for example Safe Routes to School education programs) projects and the program’s goals include increasing the safety of non-motorized street users. ATP guidelines are available online.

---

SANDAG’s Active Transportation Grant Program (ATGP)

This grant can fund capital and non-capital transportation projects county-wide. Non-capital projects include education, encouragement, and awareness projects up to $300,000, which could fund a Safe Routes to School program.26

Caltrans Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)

HSIP provides funding to data-supported projects that achieve a significant reduction in fatalities and serious injuries on public roads. Projects may be used on any local road, bicycle trail, or pedestrian pathway and may be funded up to $10 million. The last cycle recipients were announced in November 2016 and cycles are awarded every one to two years.27

Caltrans Sustainable Transportation Planning Grants

This grant funds local and regional planning efforts that further state goals, including Vision Zero Plans.28

The California Office of Traffic Safety (OTS)

OTS administers traffic safety grant funds that are released annually with applications due in January.29 The San Diego Police Department regularly receives grant funding from OTS for their education and enforcement efforts.

---

27 California Department of Transportation, Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP), available at http://dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/hsip.html.
Conclusion

Support for safer streets from elected leadership has been a key component for Vision Zero’s success in other cities. In each of these localities, elected officials are announcing their support for Vision Zero and simultaneously releasing a plan for action, in partnership with police and other city departments. Leadership for a data-driven approach to safe streets and roads can save lives, promote a healthy and active lifestyle, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Vision Zero is a win-win-win for everyone.
Vision Zero Policy Recommendations

The following are steps communities can take to achieve Vision Zero:

1. **Introduce traffic calming measures where crashes occur most frequently**
   Traffic calming should be deployed on the dangerous corridors and intersections where data shows the most collisions occur. Traffic calming can be as simple as restriping to narrow existing travel lanes in order to reduce speeding or can involve larger capital improvements. Cities such as Chula Vista and San Diego have been successful in soliciting funds from the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) for these types of improvements.

2. **Prioritize safety for Capital Improvement Programs funding prioritization**
   Capital Improvement Programs (CIPs) should prioritize infrastructure projects that enhance safety where data show the highest number of crashes occur for both corridors and intersections. CIPs that do not already prioritize existing funding for these projects should be reexamined to make safety a policy priority.

3. **Adopt Complete Streets policies and update street design guidelines**
   Cities should adopt Complete Streets policies to ensure that road improvements benefit safety for all users. These policies should be complemented with street design guidelines. Cities can adopt policies, resolutions, manuals, and traffic calming approaches that institutionalize the provision of multi-modal street design. The National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO) has numerous resources outlining model street designs.

4. **Research data to determine most dangerous behaviors contributing to crashes**
   At the heart of Vision Zero is the coordination of safe street design, education, and enforcement activities to save lives. Jurisdictions should research the most common causes for crashes, and after implementing appropriate traffic calming measures, engage the local police department to implement education and enforcement to encourage safe driving. Cities such as San Diego and El Cajon have been successful in soliciting funds from the California Office of Traffic Safety (OTS) to conduct education and enforcement activities.

5. **Assess potential for Safe Routes programs to Schools, to Transit, and for Seniors**
   Cities should prioritize traffic calming projects on dangerous corridors and intersections, especially when in close proximity to schools, transit, and senior populations. Cities should build partnerships with school districts and apply for Safe Routes to Schools, to Transit, and for Seniors funding for both education and infrastructure projects. Grants from OTS, Caltrans’ Active Transportation Program, and SANDAG’s Active Transportation Grant Program provide funding for these types of activities.
9 Components of a Strong Vision Zero Commitment

Based on the experiences of early-adopter cities in the United States, these nine components have proven to be an effective high-level framework for communities considering a Vision Zero commitment. While these are not the only factors to consider, they are critical aspects to ensure a strong and lasting commitment to Vision Zero.

**POLITICAL COMMITMENT**
The highest-ranking local officials (Mayor, City Council, City Manager) make an official and public commitment to a Vision Zero goal to achieve zero traffic fatalities and severe injuries among all road users (including people walking, biking, using transit, and driving) within a set timeframe. This should include passage of a local policy laying out goals, timeline, stakeholders, and a commitment to community engagement, transparency, & equitable outcomes.

**MULTI-DISCIPLINARY LEADERSHIP**
An official city Vision Zero Taskforce (or Leadership Committee) is created and charged with leading the planning effort for Vision Zero. The Taskforce should include, at a minimum, high-ranking representatives from the Office of the Mayor, Police, Transportation (or equivalent), and Public Health. Other departments to involve include Planning, Fire, Emergency Services, Public Works, District Attorney, Office of Senior Services, Disability, and the School District.

**ACTION PLAN**
Vision Zero Action Plan (or Strategy) is created within 1 year of initial commitment and is implemented with clear strategies, owners of each strategy, interim targets, timelines, & performance measures.

**EQUITY**
City stakeholders commit to both an equitable approach to Vision Zero by establishing inclusive and representative processes, as well as equitable outcomes by ensuring measurable benchmarks to provide safe transportation options for all road users in all parts of the city.

**COOPERATION & COLLABORATION**
A commitment is made to encourage meaningful cooperation and collaboration among relevant governmental agencies & community stakeholders to establish a framework for multiple stakeholders to set shared goals and focus on coordination and accountability.

**SYSTEMS-BASED APPROACH**
City leaders commit to and prioritize a systems-based approach to Vision Zero — focusing on the built environment, systems, and policies that influence behavior — as well as adopting messaging that emphasizes that these traffic losses are preventable.

**DATA-DRIVEN**
City stakeholders commit to gather, analyze, utilize, and share reliable data to understand traffic safety issues and prioritize resources based on evidence of the greatest needs and impact.

**COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT**
Opportunities are created to invite meaningful community engagement, such as select community representation on the Taskforce, broader community input through public meetings or workshops, online surveys, and other feedback opportunities.

**TRANSPARENCY**
The city’s process is transparent to city stakeholders and the community, including regular updates on the progress on the Action Plan and performance measures, and a yearly report (at minimum) to the local governing board (e.g., City Council).

For more visit the Vision Zero Network at visionzeronetwork.org. Questions or ideas? Contact leah@visionzeronetwork.org.
## Intersections with Highest Number of Bicycle & Pedestrian Crashes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Collisions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Second Street &amp; I-8</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Street &amp; Main Street</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mollison Avenue &amp; I-8</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Street &amp; Main Street</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall Avenue &amp; Palm Avenue</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mollison Avenue &amp; Madison Avenue</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mollison Avenue &amp; Main Street</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Street &amp; Broadway</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Street &amp; Madison Avenue</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lexington Avenue &amp; Mollison Avenue</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Corridors with Highest Number of Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corridor</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Main Street</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Street</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mollison Avenue</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madison Avenue</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Total Pedestrian and Bicycle Crashes and Deaths by Year, Compared with Homicides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Bike/Ped Collisions</th>
<th>Total Bike/Ped Fatalities</th>
<th>Homicides</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1049</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Transportation Injury Mapping System (TIMS), Safe Transportation Research and Education Center, University of California, Berkeley. 2019
City of La Mesa (2008-2018)

Intersections with Highest Number of Bicycle & Pedestrian Crashes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Collisions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>El Cajon Boulevard &amp; Jessie Avenue</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fletcher Parkway &amp; Baltimore Drive</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Avenue &amp; Parks Avenue</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center Drive &amp; Grossmont Center Drive</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Mesa Boulevard &amp; Spring Street</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fletcher Parkway &amp; Dallas Street</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore Drive &amp; Lake Murray Boulevard</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amaya Drive &amp; Water Street</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Cajon Boulevard &amp; Comanche Drive</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fletcher Parkway &amp; Jackson Drive</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Corridors with Highest Number of Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corridor</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University Avenue</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Cajon Boulevard</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Mesa Boulevard</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fletcher Parkway</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring Street</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Pedestrian and Bicycle Crashes and Deaths by Year, Compared with Homicides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Bike/Ped Collisions</th>
<th>Total Bike/Ped Fatalities</th>
<th>Homicides</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transportation Injury Mapping System (TIMS), Safe Transportation Research and Education Center, University of California, Berkeley, 2019
### Intersections with Highest Number of Bicycle & Pedestrian Crashes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Collisions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Broadway &amp; Lemon Grove Avenue</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemon Grove Avenue &amp; Massachusetts Avenue</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts Avenue &amp; SR-94</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadway &amp; Massachusetts Avenue</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadway &amp; Main Street</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadway &amp; Buena Vista Avenue</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadway &amp; Grove Street</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadway &amp; West Street</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadway &amp; Kempf Street</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadway &amp; New Jersey Avenue</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Corridors with Highest Number of Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corridor</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemon Grove Avenue</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts Avenue</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skyline Drive</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Avenue</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total Pedestrian and Bicycle Crashes and Deaths by Year, Compared with Homicides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Bike/Ped Collisions</th>
<th>Total Bike/Ped Fatalities</th>
<th>Homicides</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transportation Injury Mapping System (TIMS), Safe Transportation Research and Education Center, University of California, Berkeley. 2019
## City of Santee (2008-2018)

### Intersections with Highest Number of Bicycle & Pedestrian Crashes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Collisions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mission Gorge Road &amp; Cuyamaca Street</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnolia Avenue &amp; Mast Boulevard</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission Gorge Road &amp; Highway 125</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission Gorge Road &amp; Olive Lane</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton Hills Boulevard &amp; Willow Grove Avenue</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton Oaks Drive &amp; Pebble Beach Drive</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julio Place &amp; El Nopal</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mast Boulevard &amp; Carlton Hills Boulevard</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mast Boulevard &amp; Cuyamaca Street</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mast Boulevard &amp; Medina Drive</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Corridors with Highest Number of Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corridor</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mission Gorge Road</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mast Boulevard</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnolia Avenue</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuyamaca Street</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton Oaks Drive</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total Pedestrian and Bicycle Crashes and Deaths by Year, Compared with Homicides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Bike/Ped Collisions</th>
<th>Total Bike/ Ped Fatalities</th>
<th>Homicides</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transportation Injury Mapping System (TIMS), Safe Transportation Research and Education Center, University of California, Berkeley. 2019
DRAFT RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF
THE CITY OF ALEXANDRIA, CALIFORNIA
RESOLUTION NO____

A RESOLUTION endorsing Vision Zero, for the City of Alexandria to achieve zero traffic deaths and serious injuries on Alexandria streets by 2028

WHEREAS, traffic crashes are among the leading cause of deaths and injuries in the world, the United States, and the City; and

WHEREAS, death and injury on our streets is unacceptable and serious crashes are preventable; and

WHEREAS, traffic deaths and serious injuries in the United States have disproportionately impacted people of color, low-income households, older adults and youth, people with disabilities, people with limited English proficiency, and households with limited vehicle access; and

WHEREAS, streets and transportation systems have traditionally been designed primarily for maximum vehicular capacity and mobility, rather than the safe accommodation of all modes and users; and

WHEREAS, the city’s Strategic Goals include protecting the safety, health and security of its residents, businesses, employees and visitors; and

WHEREAS, Vision Zero provides a framework for reducing traffic deaths and serious injuries to zero, while increasing safe, healthy, equitable mobility for all; and

WHEREAS, Vision Zero focuses on safety as a primary objective for our transportation systems; and

WHEREAS, the City has adopted an amendment to the Transportation Master Plan that includes a strategy to evaluate traffic deaths and develop a Vision Zero program that outlines the framework, budget and staffing needed to work towards eliminating pedestrian and bicycle related deaths and serious injuries in Alexandria; and

WHEREAS, successful Vision Zero programs are a result of both a complete government approach (i.e. interdepartmental, coordinated initiatives) and community support of Vision Zero objectives and action plan;
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ALEXANDRIA:

1. The City of Alexandria hereby adopts a goal of eliminating traffic deaths and serious injuries by 2028; and endorses Vision Zero as a comprehensive and holistic approach to achieving this goal.

2. The City Council directs the City Manager to form an interdepartmental working group to develop a Vision Zero Action Plan for future consideration by Council, based upon a comprehensive analysis of traffic deaths and injuries in (Insert City), which would identify associated funding needed for the City to reach the goal of zero deaths and serious injuries by 2028.

3. The City Council directs the City Manager to ensure that the Vision Zero Action Plan addresses the inequity in traffic deaths and serious injuries through a combination of equitable engineering, enforcement, education, and evaluation.

4. The City Council directs the City Manager to engage the community in the development and implementation of a Vision Zero Action Plan.

5. The City Council directs staff to provide an annual report on implementation of the Vision Zero Action Plan including progress toward eliminating traffic deaths and serious injuries by 2028.

6. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

Adopted by the City Council of the City of Alexandria on January 24, 2017.

__________________________________________________________
Mayor
City of Alexandria, California

__________________________________________________________
Clerk
City Council of City of Alexandria, California