<u>Disconnect:</u> <u>Canadians' views of the Israeli government vs.</u> <u>Canadian government policy toward Israel and Palestine</u>

A report on a Canadian national opinion survey Conducted January 25 to February 2, 2017

Issued by:

Independent Jewish Voices Canada Canadians for Justice and Peace in the Middle East Murray Dobbin Dimitri Lascaris, LL.B.

Authored by Diana Ralph

Principle Investigators and Contributors

Murray Dobbin Dimitri Lascaris, LL.B. Diana Ralph, Ph.D., Independent Jewish Voices Canada Thomas Woodley, MPA, Canadians for Justice and Peace in the Middle East

February 16, 2017

Executive Summary

This survey exposes a dramatic disconnect between the opinions of Canadians and the positions of a government that is supposed to represent them. We found that Canadians tend to view the Israeli government negatively. But both the Harper and Trudeau governments have been unquestioningly supportive of Israel's Government, regardless of its escalating violations of international law and human rights.

Study description: This study reports on the findings of a national on-line survey conducted by EKOS Research Associates of 1,000 Canadians conducted between January 25 to February 2, 2017, which explored their attitudes toward the Israeli government, their perception of whether the Canadian government and media are biased toward Israel or Palestine, and their opinion of whether they believe criticism of Israeli government policy is necessarily anti-Semitic.

Perception of the Israeli government: Of those who had an opinion, far more Canadians had a negative (46%) than a positive (28%) opinion of the Israeli government.

Political affiliation: Liberal, NDP, Green, and Bloc supporters were far more likely to have a negative (ranging from 55% to 78%) opinion of the Israeli government than a positive one (ranging from 5% to 22%). Conversely, those who support the Conservative Party were far more likely to hold a positive (58%) than a negative (21%) opinion of the Israeli government.

Age: Younger Canadians (under 35 years old) (51%) tended to hold a negative view of the Israeli government, while those over 65 were most likely to have positive views of the Israeli government.

Education level: Those with high school or less education had the most positive view of the Israeli government (33%), while those with Bachelors or Post-graduate degrees had the most negative view of the Israeli government (60% and 57%, respectively).

Religion: Non-religious (58%) and Catholic (51%) respondents had the most negative opinion of the Israeli government. Conversely, Jews (61%), Protestants (48%) and Other Christians (47%) had the most positive opinion of the Israeli government.

Ethnicity: In all ethnic categories including ethnically identified Jews, more respondents held negative than positive opinions of the Israeli government. Most negative views of the Israeli government were found among those who defined their ethnicity as "French" (51%), "British Isles" (51%), or whose ethnicity was a "visible minority" (51%).

Region: A larger proportion (57%) of Quebec residents held a negative view of the Israeli government than residents of any other region.

Perception of Canadian government bias: Among those with an opinion, far more Canadians believe that the Canadian government is pro-Israel (61%) than pro-Palestinian (16%). Among Liberal, NDP, Greens, and Bloc respondents, far more (ranging from 70% to 77%) believe the Canadian government is pro-Israel. But among Conservatives, only 42% believe the government is pro-Israel.

Perception of Canadian media bias: Among those with an opinion, a plurality of Canadians view the media as neither pro-Israel nor pro-Palestinian (45%). More Liberal, NDP, Bloc, and Green respondents tend to view the media as pro-Israel (ranging from 36% to 43%) versus pro-Palestinian (ranging from 8 to 11%). More Conservatives, by contrast, tend to view the media as pro-Palestinian (44%) versus pro-Israel (23%).

Among those with an opinion, almost all (91%) accept the view that criticism of Israeli government policy is like criticism of any other country and is not necessarily anti-Semitic. Religious Jews (22%) and Protestants (18%) were most likely to view criticism of Israeli government policy as necessarily anti-Semitic. However, ethnically identified Jews (only 7%) were not as likely to share this view.

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION: IS THE CANADIAN GOVERNMENT'S APPROACH TO ISRAELI GOVER POLICY SHARED BY CANADIANS?	
METHODOLOGY	
RESULTS	6
 GENERALLY SPEAKING, DO YOU HAVE A POSITIVE OR NEGATIVE OPINION OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ISR DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THE CANADIAN GOVERNMENT HAS GENERALLY BEEN MORE PRO-ISRAEL OR M PALESTINIAN? DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THE MEDIA IN CANADA ARE GENERALLY PRO-ISRAEL OR PRO-PALESTINIAN? SOME PEOPLE ARGUE THAT CRITICISM OF ISRAELI GOVERNMENT POLICY IS ANTI-SEMITIC 	ORE PRO- 10 13
ANALYSIS OF RESULTS AND IMPLICATIONS	20
APPENDIX 1: OTHER RECENT SURVEYS ON PUBLIC ATTITUDES ABOUT ISRAEL/PALES	STINE23
RECENT SURVEYS IN COUNTRIES OTHER THAN CANADA RECENT SURVEYS IN CANADA SUMMARY: OTHER RECENT SURVEYS	25
APPENDIX 2: DETAILED SURVEY RESULTS (EXCLUDING RESPONDENTS WHO EXPRES OPINION)	
PROVINCE DATA ON SURVEY RESPONDENTS OPINION ON THE GOVERNMENT OF ISRAEL OPINION ON PRO-ISRAEL OR PRO-PALESTINIAN MEDIA BIAS OPINION ON PRO-ISRAEL OR PRO-PALESTINIAN BIAS OF CANADIAN GOVERNMENT OPINION ON WHETHER CRITICISM OF ISRAEL IS ANTI-SEMITIC DEMOGRAPHIC DATA ON SURVEY RESPONDENTS	
APPENDIX 3: DETAILED SURVEY RESULTS (INCLUDING RESPONDENTS WHO EXPRESS OPINION)	
PROVINCE DATA ON SURVEY RESPONDENTS OPINION ON THE GOVERNMENT OF ISRAEL OPINION ON PRO-ISRAEL OR PRO-PALESTINIAN MEDIA BIAS OPINION ON PRO-ISRAEL OR PRO-PALESTINIAN BIAS OF CANADIAN GOVERNMENT OPINION ON WHETHER CRITICISM OF ISRAEL IS ANTI-SEMITIC DEMOGRAPHIC DATA ON SURVEY RESPONDENTS	

Tables and Charts

CHART 1: GENERALLY SPEAKING, DO YOU HAVE A POSITIVE OR NEGATIVE OPINION OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ISRAEL?	?
	.7
TABLE 1: CANADIANS' OPINION OF ISRAEL'S GOVERNMENT BY POLITICAL PARTY	.8
TABLE 2: CANADIANS' OPINION OF THE ISRAEL'S GOVERNMENT BY PROVINCE	.8
TABLE 3: CANADIANS' OPINION OF THE ISRAEL'S GOVERNMENT BY AGE	.8
TABLE 4: CANADIANS' OPINION OF ISRAEL'S GOVERNMENT BY EDUCATION LEVEL	.9
TABLE 5: CANADIANS' OPINION OF ISRAEL'S GOVERNMENT BY RELIGION AND ETHNICITY	.9
CHART 2: DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THE CANADIAN GOVERNMENT HAS GENERALLY BEEN MORE PRO-ISRAEL OR MORE	
PRO-PALESTINIAN?1	1
TABLE 6: CANADIANS' VIEW OF CANADIAN GOVERNMENT BIAS BY PARTY AFFILIATION1	2
TABLE 7: CANADIANS' VIEW OF CANADIAN GOVERNMENT BIAS BY ETHNICITY AND RELIGION1	2
CHART 3: DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THE MEDIA IN CANADA ARE GENERALLY PRO-ISRAEL OR PRO-PALESTINIAN?1	3
TABLE 8: CANADIANS' VIEW OF MEDIA BIAS BY PARTY AFFILIATION1	4
TABLE 9: CANADIANS' VIEW OF MEDIA BIAS BY RELIGION AND ETHNICITY1	
TABLE 10: CANADIANS' VIEW OF MEDIA BIAS BY AGE1	5
CHART 4: SOME PEOPLE ARGUE THAT CRITICISM OF ISRAELI GOVERNMENT POLICY IS ANTI-SEMITIC	8
TABLE 11: CANADIANS' VIEW AS TO WHETHER CRITICISM OF ISRAELI GOVERNMENT POLICY IS NECESSARILY ANTI-	
SEMITIC BY PARTY AFFILIATION1	9
TABLE 12: CANADIANS' VIEW AS TO WHETHER CRITICISM OF ISRAELI GOVERNMENT POLICY IS NECESSARILY ANTI-	
SEMITIC BY RELIGION AND ETHNICITY1	9

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge and gratefully appreciate:

Francis L. Graves, President of EKOS Research Associates and **Earl A. Washburn,** Senior Analyst at EKOS Research Associates for their skill, support, and patient guidance in designing and analyzing this survey.

Sarah Demers, Graphic Design Intern at Canadians for Justice and Peace in the Middle East (CJPME), for creating the visually clear and attractive charts which summarize our main results.

Linda Belanger, for her skilled and patient help in designing the survey questions and for her financial assistance toward this project.

Martha Roth, for her editorial assistance and feedback on survey design.

Judy and Larry Haiven, Richard Marcuse, and Sheryl Nestel for their financial support toward this survey.

Introduction: Is the Canadian Government's approach to Israeli Government policy shared by Canadians?

The purpose of this study was to explore to what extent the views of Canadians around Israel/Palestine are congruent with those of the Canadian Government. This is an important topic because, historically, Canadian Government policy has been staunchly pro-Israel¹ despite Israel's decades-long construction of settlements in occupied Palestinian territory, which virtually the entire international community (including the Canadian Government) acknowledges to be a grave violation of the Fourth Geneva Convention.

Other Canadian surveys over the past five years show rising sympathy for Palestinians and declining sympathy for Israel. They also show a sharp division between those who identify as pro-Palestinian and those who identify as pro-Israel. Higher sympathy for Palestinians tends to occur among: supporters of the Liberal, NDP, Green, and Bloc Québécois parties, people under 35, higher educated people, Quebeckers, visible-minorities, and non-religious people. Conversely, those who favour Israel tend to be Conservative Party supporters, older people, less-educated people, Evangelical Christians and other Protestants. These studies also show an increasing public perception that their government is biased in favour of Israel. Recent surveys in the United States and Britain strongly echo these trends. A more detailed summary of these studies is provided in Appendix 1.

To our knowledge, no published survey has previously examined whether Canadians consider criticism of the Israeli Government to be anti-Semitic.

Canada's foreign policy officially "recognizes the Palestinian right to self-determination and supports the creation of a sovereign, independent, viable, democratic and territorially contiguous Palestinian state, as part of a comprehensive, just and lasting peace settlement."² But, in practice, successive Liberal and Conservative Governments have emphasized their commitment to Israel and to protecting its security, while saying little if anything about the security of Palestinians. Under their watch, Canada created unprecedented trade and security pacts with Israel.

¹Yves Engler, *Canada and Israel Building Apartheid* (Fernwood Publishing, 2010).

² Global Affairs Canada, "Canadian Foreign Policy on Key Issues in the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict." Available: http://www.international.gc.ca/name-anmo/peace_process-processus_paix/canadian_policy-politique_canadienne.aspx?lang=eng.

This trend has continued under the current Liberal Government of Justin Trudeau. Days after taking office in November 2015, the Trudeau government joined 5 others states (Israel, Marshall Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, Palau and the United States) in voting against a resolution of the U.N.'s Social, Humanitarian and Cultural Committee that would have the General Assembly reaffirm the right of the Palestinian people to self-determination, including a Palestinian state. That resolution was supported by 170 states. When the resolution came before the General Assembly approximately one year later, it was adopted with the support of 177 states. Again, the Trudeau government opposed the resolution. In Trudeau's brief time in office, Canada has voted against 16 motions critical of Israel in the General Assembly – the only major country, alongside the US, to do so.

In December 2016, the United Nations Security Council adopted Resolution 2334 by 14-0 (with the United States abstaining) declaring that Israeli settlements on territory intended for a Palestinian state were a "flagrant violation under international law and a major obstacle to the achievement of...peace" between Israel and Palestine.³ Israel flatly rejected this resolution, and announced that it would accelerate settlement construction, claiming the right to annex the West Bank completely.

Although Resolution 2334 had the overwhelming support of Security Council members, including Canadian allies France, the United Kingdom and New Zealand, the Trudeau government remained conspicuously silent after its adoption. As the Canadian Jewish News reported, "amid the controversy surrounding last month's UN Security Council resolution slamming Israel for settlement activity and calling all territory it captured in the 1967 war illegal, Canada has kept a low profile and reiterated its support for a two-state solution."⁴

Then, on February 6, 2017, Israel's Knesset passed a 'Settlement Regulation' law which purported to legalize settlements that constituted, in the words of a unanimous Security Council, a "flagrant violation under international law." Three days later, the Trudeau government finally admonished Israel, albeit gently. It stated that the Settlement Regulation law was "unhelpful to the advancement of the peace process in the region." At the same time, the Trudeau government reiterated that Canada is a "steadfast friend and ally of Israel" and

³ U.N. Security Council Resolution 2334 (Dec. 23, 2016). Available: https://www.un.org/webcast/pdfs/SRES2334-2016.pdf.

⁴ Ron Csillag (Jan. 5, 2017), "Canadian policy remains unchanged after UNSC Resolution fallout," Canadian Jewish News. Available: http://www.cjnews.com/news/canada/canadian-policy-remains-unchanged-unsc-resolution-fallout.

reaffirmed Canada's commitment to "Israel's right to live in peace with its neighbours within secure boundaries and free of terrorism..."

Pro-Israel organizations, such as the Centre for Israel and Jewish Affairs (CIJA), B'nai Brith Canada, the World Zionist Congress, and Canadian Friends of Simon Wiesenthal Centre, carry enormous influence with Canadian politicians and with the Canadian media. Like AIPAC in the United States, CIJA is considered one of the strongest Canadian lobby organizations, with a staff of over 50 people and deep financial resources. In August 2011, CIJA replaced the 92-year old Canadian Jewish Congress, the Canada-Israel Committee, the University Outreach Committee and the Quebec-Israel Committee.⁵ Its board of directors is dominated by CEOs of large corporations, corporate lawyers, lobbyists and political insiders in the Conservative, Liberal, and NDP parties⁶. In collaboration with the international and Israeli lobby organizations, CIJA proactively advises policy makers, political party leaders, and media, and its talking points dominate many of their speeches and policies. On the "carrot" side, CIJA sponsors lavish receptions⁷ and free tours to Israel for new MPs, university administrators, police chiefs, mayors, aboriginal leaders, media, church leaders, among others.⁸ On the "stick" side, these groups monitor politicians' and others' statements and, if any of them fails to toe the line, it inundates them with letters and condemnatory media releases.⁹

These pro-Israel lobby organizations promote certain narratives,¹⁰ claiming among other themes that:

⁷ For example, see: "CIJA National Assembly Reception Attracts Diverse Politicians," Canadian Jewish News (June 8, 2012). Available: http://www.cjnews.com/news/cija-national-assembly-reception-attracts-diverse-politicians.

⁸ Center for Israel and Jewish Affairs, "Educational Missions to Israel." Available:

http://www.cija.ca/impact/learn-more/missions/; http://www.tourforhumanity.com/book-the-tour/; David Noble (Nov. 1, 2005) "The new Israel lobby in action," Canadian Dimension.

Available: https://canadiandimension.com/articles/view/the-new-israel-lobby-in-action-davidnoble; Diana Ralph "Durban Review Hijacked," Syracuse Peace Council Peace Newsletter (2009). ⁹ For example, see: B'Nai Brith Canada (Aug. 4, 2016), "Green Party Justice Critic Advocates on Behalf of Terrorists." Available:

⁵ Kenneth Kidd (Aug. 20, 2011), "The Canadian Jewish Congress has been replaced by the Centre for Israel and Jewish Advocacy," The Toronto Star. Available:

https://www.thestar.com/news/canada/2011/08/30/the_canadian_jewish_congress_has_bee n_replaced_by_the_centre_for_israel_and_jewish_affairs.html.

⁶ Center for Israel and Jewish Affairs, "Board of Directors." Available: http://www.cija.ca/aboutus/board-of-directors/.

http://www.bnaibrith.ca/green_party_justice_critic_advocates_on_behalf_of_terrorists; http://www.cija.ca/cija-election-issues-guide/.

¹⁰ See, for example, the Report of the Canadian Parliamentary Coalition to Combat Antisemitism. https://www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/jsource/anti-

semitism/canadareport2011.pdf; http://www.cija.ca/grassroots/; The results of CIJA's 2016-

- Israel is "the only democracy in the Middle East;"
- Palestinians have no legitimate claim to the land of Israel;
- The sole motivation for Israel's continuous settlement construction, annexation of Palestinian land, construction of the Wall, and military assaults on and imprisonment of Palestinians is to ensure the security of Israeli Jews, and by extension all Jews;
- Criticism of Israel which challenges the legitimacy of Israel's right to do these things is anti-Semitic. In fact, they claim, "Israel is the new Jew," and criticism of Israeli oppressive policies toward Palestinians is "the new anti-Semitism;"
- BDS (the 2005 Palestinian call for boycotts, divestment, and sanctions) is an attempt to demonize, delegitimize, and destroy Israel, poses an anti-Semitic threat to the State of Israel, and puts Jewish students and institutions at risk. Therefore, it must be condemned.

These and similar statements are uncritically accepted as fact by most Canadian political leaders and mainstream Canadian media, to a far greater extent than in England, EU countries, and even in Israel.¹¹ The sponsors of this survey wanted to determine to what extent Canadians felt their government is reasonable, balanced and fair on the question of Israel.

Methodology

This study reports Canadian respondents' replies to the following four questions:

- 1. Generally speaking, do you have a positive or negative opinion of the Government of Israel?
- 2. Do you believe that the Canadian Government has generally been more pro-Israel or more pro-Palestinian?
- 3. Do you believe that the media in Canada are generally pro-Israel or pro-Palestinian?
- 4. Some people argue that criticism of Israeli Government policy is anti-Semitic. Others say that criticism of Israeli government policy is like criticism of any other country, and is not necessarily anti-Semitic. Which of these statements comes closest to your own point of view?

We hired EKOS Research Associates (EKOS), an experienced public opinion research firm, to conduct an on-line poll to seek answers to these questions. EKOS is a full-service consulting practice, founded in 1980, which has evolved to become one of the leading suppliers of

2017 Consultation on its priorities http://www.cija.ca/grassroots/; CIJA Condemns Mennonite BDS Resolution, July 14 2016 http://www.cija.ca/cija-condemns-mennonite-bds-resolution/; Janice Arnold (April 20, 2015) "CIJA denounces Quebec Union's BDS Endorsement," Canadian Jewish News. http://www.cija.ca/cija-condemns-mennonite-bds-resolution/; Wendy Cox (April, 8, 2009) "United Church Resolution is Anti-Semitic, CJC says," Globe and Mail.

http://www.theglobeandmail.com/news/national/united-church-resolution-is-anti-semitic-cjc-says/article4281549/.

¹¹ The Occupation of the American Mind: Israel's Public Relations War in the United States. Available: http://mediaed.org/occupationmovie/. evaluation and public opinion research for the Canadian government. EKOS specializes in market research, public opinion research, strategic communications advice, program evaluation and performance measurement, and human resources and organizational research.

Between January 25 and February 2, 2017, a random sample of 1,000 Canadian adults from EKOS' online/telephone research panel, Prob*it*, aged 18 and over, completed the survey. The survey was made available to all respondents in either English or French. The margin of error associated with the sample is plus or minus 3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20. The margin of error increases when the results are sub-divided.

EKOS statistically weighted all the data by age, gender, education and region to ensure the sample's composition reflects that of the actual population of Canada, based on census data. EKOS weighted the data based on the 2011 Census, for age, gender and region, and on the 2011 National Household Survey (NHS) for education. The NHS replaced the long form census in 2011.

All tables in the body of this report are reported as percentages of respondents who expressed an opinion. Those who did not reply or checked "don't know" are not included in these tables. **The full data, including those who did not reply or who replied "don't know," can be found in Appendix 2.**

In most cases, under 10% of respondents did not reply or checked "don't know," and that did not substantially affect the overall results. However, in sub-sets of some variables, a larger proportion of respondents did not express an opinion (by checking "don't know/no response"). In general, these appeared to reflect their lack of information and/or lack of interest in the topic, because they tended to occur among those with limited education and among those for whom the issue of Israel/Palestine is unlikely to be a high priority (such as among rural, Quebec, and First Nations respondents). For example, a high proportion of respondents from Quebec (16%) and the Atlantic provinces (20%) did not reply to the question of their opinion of Israeli government policy. Other categories with high proportions of non-response to this question included those with only high school or less education (18%), and Aboriginal respondents (16%). Almost one in four (24%) of respondents did not reply to the question about whether the Canadian government is pro-Israel or pro-Palestinian. Many (19%) of respondents also did not reply to the question about whether Canadian media are pro-Israel or pro-Palestinian, in both cases, presumably, because they simply had no opinion or felt they did not have enough information to make that judgment. This conclusion is supported by the fact that the highest proportion of non-response (31%) occurred among those with high school or less education. On the question of whether respondents considered criticism of Israel to necessarily be anti-Semitic, 27% of Muslims, 28% of Other Christians, 33% of Aboriginals, and, surprisingly, 38% of ethnically identified Jews did not reply (compared to an overall non-response rate of 16%) to that question. This might be explained by confusion over the term "anti-Semitic" (since Arabic is a Semitic language), by lower literacy in English among some respondents, or by discomfort with the wording of the question.

Although each question in the survey was carefully worded in an unbiased way, some readers may wonder why we were not broader in the *topics* we chose to assess. For example, we did not include a question about Canadian opinions about Palestinian violence or Israeli security concerns. Similarly, we did not ask questions about Israel's human rights violations other than the settlements (for example, Israel's widely documented use of collective

punishment, torture, and arbitrary arrest and detention of peaceful dissenters).¹² In part, we simply could not afford to pay for more than the few questions we selected. And more to the point, such questions would be outside the scope of our research question, which addresses Canadian public vs. Canadian Government and media views of Israel/Palestine and Israeli Government policy. However, based on the strong contradiction we found between Canadian public views and those of the government, we would encourage a future study assessing Canadians' actual views on such questions. We expect that such a study would find a similar disconnect between Canadians' views and those of the government and mainstream media.

The EKOS sample included 26 respondents who checked "Jewish" as their ethnic identity, and 18 who checked "Jewish" as their religion. The data do not allow us to infer to what degree these individuals overlap, but it is likely that the latter is a sub-set of the former. Therefore, when reporting findings of "Jews," unless otherwise specified, we are referring to those who identified their ethnicity as "Jewish." Although this number of Jewish respondents is higher than the 1.1% proportion of Jews in the Canadian population, it is quite a small number. Thus, the level of confidence in the specific results is relatively low. Nevertheless, because the respondents were selected randomly, it is more likely than not that the views of these Jews reflect those of the broader Canadian Jewish population.¹³


Results


1. Generally speaking, do you have a positive or negative opinion of the Government of Israel?

Canadians were far more likely to hold a negative than a positive opinion of the Israeli government or to consider it as neither positive nor negative. This contrasts dramatically with the largely uncritical support and loyalty to Israel expressed by recent Canadian Governments (both Conservative and Liberal).

¹² Amnesty International, "Israel and Occupied Palestinian Territories 2015/2016." Available: https://www.amnesty.org/en/countries/middle-east-and-north-africa/israel-and-occupied-palestinian-territories/.

¹³ The margin of error in generalizing from a sample this small was between 19% and 23%, compared to the overall study's 3.1% margin of error. That means that between 77% and 81% of potential samples taken from this population would find results close to those of the actual population.





Produced by CJPME, IJV, dedicated to the public domain, http://survey.cjpme.org Based on EKOS research, conducted Jan. 25 - Feb. 2, 2017

Political affiliation had a major impact on how Canadians view Israel's Government. Conservative respondents were far more likely to hold a positive opinion of Israel (58% positive vs. 21% negative). By contrast, those who supported the Liberals, NDP, Greens or Bloc Québécois were far more likely to hold negative opinions of Israel (55% to 78% negative vs. 5% to 22% positive).

Party support:	Negative	Neither	Positive
Liberal	55	23	22
Conservative	21	21	58
NDP	61	32	7
Green	58	37	5
Bloc	78	12	10

Table 1: Canadians' opinion of Israel's Government by political party

Provincial location: In all provinces, a larger proportion of respondents who expressed an opinion held negative than positive views of the Israeli government. However, significantly more of those from Quebec than any other province held negative views of the Israeli government. On the other hand, in the Prairies, almost as high a proportion held positive as negative opinions of the Israeli government.

Table 2: Canadians' opinion of the Israel's Government by province

Province	Negative	Neither	Positive
BC	44	26	30
Prairies	33	28	39
Ontario	46	26	28
Quebec	57	28	16
Atlantic	41	25	34

Age: Of those who expressed an opinion, young Canadians were far more likely than other age groups to hold a negative view and least likely to hold a positive view of the Israeli government. This is also consistent with recent surveys in the United States.

Table 3: Canadians' opinion of the Israel's Government by age

Age	Negative	Neither	Positive
Under 35	51	32	17
35-44	48	28	24
45-54	38	28	33
55-64	48	21	31
65+	43	20	37

Education: Of those who expressed an opinion, Canadians with higher education tended to hold a more negative view of Israel's Government. This too is consistent with results of recent U.S. surveys.

Education	Negative	Neither	Positive
HS or less	39	28	33
College	45	28	27
Bachelors	60	23	17
Post-Grad	57	21	23

Table 4: Canadians' opinion of Israel's Government by education level

Religion and ethnicity: Canadians' views of Israel's Government depended heavily on their religious and ethnic affiliation. Of those who expressed an opinion, Non-Judeo-Christians (such as Hindus, Sikhs and Buddhists), Catholics and secular Canadians held the most negative opinions of the Israeli government (44%, 51% and 58% respectively). Protestants (48%) and Other Christians (47%) were most likely to view the Israeli government positively. Those categories include Evangelical Christians, who tend to view Israel with special religious reverence.

All ethnic groups (notably, including Jews) were more likely to hold a negative than a positive opinion of the government of Israel. It is interesting that such a high proportion of visible minority respondents held negative opinions of the Israeli government, because historically, Jews have allied with Black and other racialized people around social justice issues. But Israel's Government is seen negatively by over half of visible minorities.

Religion	Negative	Neither	Positive
Catholic	51	26	23
Protestant	27	25	48
Other Chr.	27	26	47
Muslim	36	47	16
Jewish	18	21	61
Other	44	36	21
None	58	26	16
Ethnicity	Negative	Neither	Positive
Ethnicity Aboriginal	Negative 32	Neither 46	Positive 22
•	•		
Aboriginal	32	46	22
Aboriginal British Isles	32 51	46 21	22 28
Aboriginal British Isles French	32 51 51	46 21 32	22 28 17


Table 5: Canadians' opinion of Israel's Government by religion and ethnicity

A higher proportion of religious Jews held a positive opinion of Israel's Government (61%) and a lower proportion held negative opinions (18%) of the Israeli government than any other religious categories. This would be expected, because the three main Jewish denominations (Orthodox, Conservative, and Reform), all Canadian Jewish Federations, and Jewish media strongly equate loyalty to Israel with Judaism. Surprisingly, however, a higher proportion of ethnically identified Jews held negative (39%) than positive (32%) opinions of the Israeli government. This may be explained in part by the fact that respondents who identified themselves as ethnically Jewish are a broader category than religious Jews (with 26 vs. 18 respondents). Those who identified their ethnicity as "Jewish" included 8% who identified as "Other Christian" religion and 4% who identified as having no religion.

2. Do you believe that the Canadian Government has generally been more pro-Israel or more pro-Palestinian?

Most respondents view the Canadian Government as being pro-Israel. This accurately reflects official statements and policies by both the previous Conservative government and the current Liberal government (for example, declaring unqualified support for Israel, failing to condemn or take any meaningful action against Israel's new settlements and disproportionate violence, and voting consistently in support of Israel in the United Nations). The high proportion of Canadians who view Israel's Government negatively reveals that there is a major disparity between Canadians' views about Israel and their government's staunchly pro-Israel policies.





Produced by CJPME, IJV, dedicated to the public domain, http://survey.cjpme.org 2017 Survey of Canadian Attitudes on Israel/Palestine Based on EKOS research, conducted Jan. 25 - Feb. 2, 2017

Political support: Among respondents who expressed an opinion, across all political affiliations, a higher proportion viewed Canada's Government as pro-Israel than as pro-Palestinian. This result was particularly marked among those with Liberal (70% vs. 4%), NDP (77% vs. 9%), Green (74% vs. 8%) or Bloc Québécois (74% vs. 16%) affiliation. By contrast, Conservative respondents were almost equally divided between viewing the Canadian government as pro-Israel or pro-Palestinian (42% vs. 36%). This suggests that Conservatives experience less of a disconnect between their views and those expressed by their political party and past and present Canadian governments. But the other parties should note that their supporters perceive that their government maintains positions which are radically at odds with the opinions of their political base.

Party	Pro-Israel	Pro-Palestinian	Neither
Liberal	70	4	26
Conservative	42	36	22
NDP	77	9	14
Green	74	8	18
Bloc	74	16	10

Table 6: Canadians' view of Canadian Government bias by party affiliation

Ethnicity and Religion: Across all ethnic and religious categories, a far higher proportion of respondents view the Canadian government as pro-Israel than as pro-Palestinian. Those who identified as ethnically French (73%), other European (70%) or British Isles (67%) were most likely to view the Canadian government as pro-Israel. **Far** *fewer* ethnically identified Jews than any other ethnic group view the government as pro-Israel. Among religious identities, Non-religious (68%), Catholic (62%) and Jewish (61%0 respondents are most likely to view the Canadian government as pro-Israel.

Table 7: Canadians' view of Canadian Government bias by ethnicity and religion

Ethnicity	Pro-Israel	Pro-Palestinian	Neither
Aboriginal	58	17	25
British Isles	67	12	21
French	73	13	14
Other Euro	70	16	14
Jewish	48	7	45
Vis Min	57	10	33
Religion	Pro-Israel	Pro-Palestinian	Neither
Religion Catholic	Pro-Israel 62	Pro-Palestinian 13	Neither 25
•			
Catholic	62	13	25
Catholic Protestant	62 56	13 26	25 18
Catholic Protestant Other Chr.	62 56 53	13 26 27	25 18 20
Catholic Protestant Other Chr. Muslim	62 56 53 48	13 26 27 9	25 18 20 43


Age, provincial residence and gender did not significantly differentiate respondents.

3. Do you believe that the media in Canada are generally pro-Israel or pro-Palestinian?

Because the media constitute Canadians' main source of information about Israel/Palestine, it is important to assess whether Canadians believe the media are unbiased on the issue, or whether they believe the media favour Israel or Palestinians. If Canadians view the media as unbiased, they are more likely to trust the news. If they view it as biased in the direction of their own views, they also are likely to trust it. But if they view it as biased against their own opinions, they are unlikely to trust it.

We asked respondents whether they believe the Canadian media are generally pro-Israel or pro-Palestinian. Of those who offered an opinion, 34% view the media as pro-Israel vs. 21% who view it as pro-Palestinian, and 45% said they believe the Canadian media are neither pro-Israel nor pro-Palestinian.

Chart 3: Do you believe that the media in Canada are generally pro-Israel or pro-Palestinian?



More Canadians view the media as biased toward Israel than toward Palestinians

Produced by CJPME, IJV, dedicated to the public domain, http://survey.cjpme.org Based on EKOS research, conducted Jan. 25 - Feb. 2, 2017 2017 2017 Survey of Canadian Attitudes on Israel/Palestine

Political party affiliation strongly influenced whether respondents viewed the Canadian media as pro-Israel or pro-Palestinian. The largest proportion of supporters of all political parties, except the NDP and Conservatives, thought the Canadian media is neither biased toward Israel nor toward Palestinians. Of those who expressed an opinion, more Conservatives viewed the media as pro-Palestinian than pro-Israel (44% vs. 23%). Conversely, a high proportion of supporters of all the other political parties to believe the opposite, that the Canadian media is biased toward Israel rather than toward Palestinians.

Party Support	t Pro-Israel	Pro-Palestinian	Neither
Liberal	38	8	54
Conservative	23	44	34
NDP	52	8	40
Green	36	8	56
Bloc	43	11	46

Religion: The largest proportions of all religious affiliations except Jews view the media as unbiased on Israel/Palestine (ranging from 42% to 64%). Among religiously identified Jews, only 18% felt it was un-biased.

Religiously identified Jews and Muslims were far more likely than other religious affiliations to view the media as pro-Israel (44% and 43% respectively). But *ethnically* identified Jews were far *less* likely (24%) than religious Jews to view the media as pro-Israel. In all religious and ethnic categories except ethnic Jews, a higher proportion of respondents who perceived a bias saw it as pro-Israel, rather than pro-Palestine.

Religion	Pro-Israel	Pro	-Palestinian	Neither
Catholic	33	22		45
Protestant	29	28		43
Other Christian	ı 33	25		42
Muslim	43	12		45
Jewish	44	39		18
Other	24	12		64
None	38	17		45
Ethnicity	Pro-Israel	Pro	-Palestinian	Neither
Aboriginal	32	21		47
British Isles	36	17		47
French	40	20		40
Other Europea	n 43	21		37
Jewish	24	24		52
Visible Minorit	y 24	17		59

Table 9: Canadians' view of media bias by religion and ethnicity

Age: Across all age categories, those who expressed an opinion showed remarkably consistent perceptions of Canadian media bias.

Table 10: Canadians' view of media bias by age

	Pro-Israel	Pro-Palestinian	Neither
Under 35	37	24	40
35-44	30	14	55
45-54	33	22	45
55-64	37	22	41
65+	32	18	51

4. Some people argue that criticism of Israeli Government policy is anti-Semitic. Others say that criticism of Israeli government policy is like criticism of any other country, and is not necessarily anti-Semitic. Which of these statements comes closest to your own point of view?

Canadian pro-Israel lobby organizations and many politicians have strenuously argued that it is anti-Semitic to criticize Israeli Government policies, calling such criticism "the new antisemitism."¹⁴ B'nai Brith's lists of anti-Semitic incidents include many which do nothing more than criticize Israeli Government policies.¹⁵ In March 2009, 22 MPs joined the Canadian Parliamentary Coalition to Combat Antisemitism (CPCCA) and launched an inquiry into what they asserted was rising anti-Semitism in Canada. Even though police chiefs and university administration witnesses stated that they had no evidence of serious or rising anti-Semitism, the CPCCA and subsequent initiatives have pressured federal and provincial governments to adopt a working definition of anti-Semitism which included "singling Israel out for selective condemnation and opprobrium."¹⁶

Both the previous Conservative and the current Liberal Governments have echoed this perspective. For example, in February 2016, the Canadian Parliament passed a motion (by a vote of 229 to 51) to condemn individuals and organizations which promote the Palestinian call for boycott, divestment, and sanctions (BDS) against Israel. Many of those who voted in favour of this motion did so claiming that the BDS movement was an example of the "new anti-Semitism."

This survey asked Canadians whether they believe that criticism of Israeli Government policies is anti-Semitic or whether they think it is like criticism of any other government, and that it is not necessarily anti-Semitic. In contrast to Canadian Government and Israel lobby opinions, 91% of all respondents who expressed an opinion say that criticism of Israeli government policy is not necessarily anti-Semitic. This held true across all age groups, ethnicities, and religions. Importantly, most Jewish respondents who expressed a view agree that criticism of Israeli Government policy is not necessarily anti-Semitic. Almost all (between 94% and 100%) of Liberals, NDP and Green Party supporters share that view. Even

¹⁴ Center for Israel and Jewish Affairs, "Antisemitism Watch" Available:

https://www.antisemitismwatch.com/tag/cija/; Larry Haiven (2016), "Antisemitism in Context: Its use and abuse," Independent Jewish Voices Canada. Available: http://ijvcanada.org/defend-free-speech/antisemitism-its-use-and-abuse/.

¹⁵ Michael Keefer (2010) "Data and deception: Quantitative Evidence of Antisemitism" in Michael Keefer (Ed.) Antisemitism Real and Imagined: Responses to the Canadian Parliamentary Coalition to Combat Antisemitism.


¹⁶ Canadian Parliamentary Coalition to Combat Antisemitism (2010), Report of the Inquiry Panel. Available: https://www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/jsource/anti-semitism/canadareport2011.pdf.

80% of Conservatives who expressed an opinion agree that criticism of Israeli Government policy is not necessarily anti-Semitic.

This is powerful evidence that Canadians do not support Canadian Government denunciations of those who criticize Israeli policies toward Palestinians. We temper this finding by acknowledging that inserting the word "necessarily" into the question softened the assertion. Even pro-Israel advocates such as the Canadian Parliamentary Coalition to Combat Antisemitism acknowledge that "criticism of Israel similar to that leveled against any other country cannot be regarded as antisemitic."¹⁷ However, we cannot find any examples offered by them or other pro-Israel advocates of criticisms of the Israeli Government which they would *not* find anti-Semitic.

 ¹⁷ Canadian Parliamentary Coalition to Combat Antisemitism (2010), Report of the Inquiry
 Panel. https://www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/jsource/anti-semitism/canadareport2011.pdf. P. 7

Chart 4: Some people argue that criticism of Israeli Government policy is anti-Semitic. Others say that criticism of Israeli government policy is like criticism of any other country, and is not necessarily anti-Semitic. Which of these statements comes closest to your own point of view?



Produced by CJPME, IJV, dedicated to the public domain, http://survey.cjpme.org Based on EKOS research, conducted Jan. 25 - Feb. 2, 2017

Political party: Even with this near-unanimous response, there was a significant divide between the views of Conservative respondents who expressed an opinion and those of other political parties. Hardly any (0% to 6%) of Liberal, NDP, Green and Bloc Québécois supporters agreed that criticism of the Israeli government is necessarily anti-Semitic. But one in five (20%) of Conservative respondents agreed with that statement.

Table 11: Canadians' view as to whether criticism of Israeli Government policy is necessarily anti-Semitic by party affiliation

Political support	Criticism of Israeli gov't policy is anti-Semitic	Criticism of Israeli gov't policy is <i>not</i> necessarily anti-Semitic
Liberal	3	97
Conservative	20	80
NDP	0	100
Green	6	94
Bloc	0	100

Religion and ethnicity. Between 78% and 96% of respondents in all religious affiliations who expressed an opinion agree that criticism of Israeli Government policy is *not* necessarily anti-Semitic. Protestants (18%), Other Christians (13%), and religiously identified Jews (22%) are most likely to believe it is anti-Semitic to criticize Israeli government policies. As in earlier results, this likely reflects the strong identification with Israel and pro-Israel narratives among Evangelical Christians and religious Jews. By contrast, ethnically identified Jewish respondents have virtually identical responses as all other ethnicities (7% yes, 93% no).

Table 12: Canadians' view as to whether criticism of Israeli Government policy is necessarily anti-Semitic by religion and ethnicity

Religion	Criticism of Israeli gov't policy is anti-Semitic	Criticism of Israeli gov't policy is <i>not</i> necessarily anti-Semitic
Catholic	9	91
Protestant	18	82
Other Christia	an 13	87
Muslim	11	89
Jewish	22	78
Other	3	97
None	4	96

Ethnicity	Criticism of Israeli gov't policy is anti-Semitic	Criticism of Israeli gov't policy is <i>not</i> necessarily anti-Semitic
Aboriginal	7	93
British Isles	8	92
French	7	93
Other Europe	an 5	95
Jewish	7	93
Visible Minori	ty 5	95

No largely significant differences were found by province, gender, or level of education

Analysis of results and implications

This survey exposes a dramatic disconnect between the opinions of Canadians and the positions of a government that is supposed to represent them. We found that Canadians tend to view the Israeli Government negatively, but both the Harper and Trudeau Governments have been unquestioningly supportive of Israel's Government, regardless of its escalating violations of international law and human rights.

Further, all the survey questions revealed a major difference between Conservative respondents and the supporters of all other political parties with representation in Canada's Parliament. Compared to the other parties, Conservatives tended to have a more positive attitude toward the Israeli Government, to be more likely to view the Canadian Government and the media as pro-Palestinian, and to view criticism of Israeli government policies as necessarily anti-Semitic. In that sense, they are relatively aligned with the views of the Harper Government. But even among Conservative supporters, high proportions, and in some cases the majority, disagreed with those positions.

A major conclusion of this study is that the Liberal, NDP, Green¹⁸ and Bloc Québécois party platforms on the question of Israel/Palestine do not accord with the views of their respective constituencies. In Canada, political elites cling to the view that a vigorous defence of

¹⁸ On February 13, 2017, the Green Party of Canada announced that its members had adopted a resolution calling for sanctions on Israel. That resolution, which received the support of 90% of Green Party members who voted, also calls for the International Criminal Court to prioritize its investigation into potential Israeli war crimes, and calls on Canada's government to recognize the State of Israel. Thus, as of February 13, 2017, the Green Party of Canada's platform is more closely aligned with Canadian public opinion on Israel/Palestine.

Palestinians rights would erode support for their parties. The results of this survey strongly suggest that the opposite is true, that demanding Israel's respect for Palestinian rights will attract support from centrist and left-leaning voters. These parties would be well advised to revise their political platforms in relation to Israel/Palestine to better reflect their constituents' views. Furthermore, given these survey results, members of these parties should more confidently call for these policy changes.

People under 35 years of age tend to hold more negative views toward the Government of Israel. It makes sense that younger Canadians would hold more negative views of Israel than those who grew up with an Israel-centric view of the conflict. Until the past 15 years or so, Palestinian issues have received very little publicity in Canada, and Israel was viewed far more positively than it is now. Many assumed then that, in good faith, Israel was seeking to end its military occupation of the West Bank and Gaza and to abandon its settlement construction. They believed in the efficacy of a U.S. mediated Peace Process to bring this about, and thought that Palestinians, rather than Israel, were unreasonably obstructing these peace efforts. More recently, however, especially on university campuses, awareness has risen of the oppressive conditions Israel imposes on Palestinians, and Israeli policies, practices, and statements have shown that Israel actively supports the full annexation of the West Bank without negotiating with Palestinians or granting West Bank Palestinians citizenship or basic rights enjoyed by Jewish Israelis. As Israel and pro-Israel lobby groups fear, the tide appears to be turning against Israel, especially among young adults.

Those with higher educational levels tend to hold a more negative view of Israel's Government than those with less education. Presumably more highly educated people have broader access to independent, critical literature on Israel.

Religiously identified Jews, protestants and "other Christians" held the most positive views of the government of Israel. This could be explained by the heavy encouragement which religious Jews and many Evangelical adherents experience to identify Israel as a uniquely important holy site and refuge for Jews.

One of the most intriguing and unexpected results was that, in general Jewish respondents do not reflect the narrative that pro-Israel lobby groups like CIJA promotes. More Jews hold negative (39%) than positive (32%) views of the Israeli Government. Over three-quarters consider criticism of the Israeli government to be like criticism of any other country and not necessarily anti-Semitic. And many more of them view the Canadian Government as biased toward Israel (70%) vs.16% toward Palestine. This may challenge claims by CIJA that it speaks for all Canadian Jews and that Jews in general agree with its narrative.

The present survey, along with the three Canadian surveys and the BBC survey summarized in Appendix 1, all indicate that negative views of the Israeli Government are becoming more prevalent in Canada and are now held by most Canadians. The survey of British Jews also

indicates that, while they strongly support and identify with Israel, many British Jews hold negative opinions of the Israeli government and prefer that it recognize Palestinian claims to their land and promote a just peace. The present survey found that many Canadian Jewish respondents share those opinions.

CIJA continues to hold disproportionate influence over the Canadian Government, even though it has not been able to offer any evidence of popular or even majority Jewish support for its pro-Israel narrative.

A major conclusion of our study is that the Canadian Government and Canada's political parties need to challenge the unfounded pro-Israel narrative and agenda. Instead, they should respect the well-documented evidence that Canadians want their Government to stand up against oppressive Israeli Government policies and support the rights of Palestinian people.

APPENDIX 1: Other recent surveys on public attitudes about Israel/Palestine

Recent surveys in countries other than Canada

BBC World Service 2014 Poll:¹⁹ This survey asked whether respondents "think each of the following countries [including Israel] is having a mainly positive or mainly negative influence in the world." The survey question was thus focused on a state's external influence and did not ask respondents about their general attitude toward the state's government.

The survey found that, overall, Israel's influence in the world ranks near the bottom of the countries in world opinion (50% negative, 24% positive), only slightly higher than Iran (60%), Pakistan (58%), and North Korea (58%). Respondents in 18 of the 23 countries polled viewed Israel's influence as more negative than positive. Only five countries viewed it as more positive than negative (including the United States and Israel). By contrast, only 21% overall viewed Canada's influence negatively and 57% viewed it positively. In this BBC 2014 study, 55% the Canadians polled viewed Israel's influence as negative, compared to 30% who viewed it as positive (close to the median of views by citizens of the other countries polled). **The BBC poll results appear to be similar to the results of our study, which found 46% of Canadians view Israel's Government negatively vs. 28% which view it positively (and 26% as neither positive nor negative).**

The Pew Research Centre in the United States published a study²⁰ on May 23, 2016 which concluded that 54% of Americans sympathize with the Israelis while only 19% side with Palestinians (similar to the results of the BBC survey above). However, as in our EKOS survey, the Pew survey found a deep divide by political orientation. Liberal Democrats had become

²⁰ Samantha smith & Carroll Doherty (May 23, 2016) "Five facts about how Americans view the Israeli-Palestinian conflict." Pew Research Center. Available: http://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2016/05/23/5-facts-about-how-americans-view-the-israeli-palestinian-conflict/.

¹⁹ BBC World Service Poll (June 3, 2014). Available:

http://downloads.bbc.co.uk/mediacentre/country-rating-poll.pdf; Ali Abunimah (June 6, 2013) "Israel one of world's most unpopular countries and it's getting worse: BBC survey." https://electronicintifada.net/blogs/ali-abunimah/israel-one-worlds-most-unpopular-countriesand-its-getting-worse-bbc-survey. This was the most recent of the BBC World Service annual polls of international public attitudes toward the positive or negative influence of 21 countries. Polling firm GlobeScan and its research partners in each country surveyed 24,542 citizens in 24 countries face-to-face or by telephone between December 17, 2013 and April 28, 2014.

increasingly sympathetic to Palestinians since 2001, and more of them (40%) now sympathize with Palestinians than with Israel (33%). Conversely, since 2001, conservative Republicans had become increasingly sympathetic to Israel (now 79%), and less sympathetic to Palestinians (now 4%). White, evangelical Protestants most strongly of any Christian groups sided more with Israel (79%) than with Palestinians (19%). Other white Protestants exhibited somewhat lower but similar preferences (Israel 60% vs. Palestine 14%). The religiously unaffiliated were far more likely than other religious categories to sympathize with Palestinians (29%) and less likely to sympathize with Israel (38%). Also, younger Americans had become steadily less sympathetic to Israel (now 43%) and more sympathetic to Palestinians (now 27%). In contrast, more of those born before 1945 were sympathetic to Israel (now 66%), while sympathy for Palestinians remained low at 15%. These trends mirror the results of the cross-tabulations in our study, which found that: (1) centrist and progressive political party supporters tended to view Israel more negatively, while Conservative party supporters viewed it more positively; (2) Evangelical and other Protestants tended most to view Israel positively, while most nonreligious Canadians viewed it negatively; and (3) younger Canadians view Israel more negatively and older Canadians view it more positively.

Morning Consult + Politico published a survey²¹ of registered U.S. voters, conducted from December 28-29, 2016. Registered voters in that study also were asked whether they support or oppose UN Security Council Resolution 2334. The results also were largely consistent with those of our Canadian study. Younger people, Democrats, liberals, visible minorities, and higher educated people were far more likely to support the resolution, while older people, Republicans, conservatives, whites, Jews, Protestants, and Evangelical Christians were more likely to oppose it.

American Attitudes on the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict reports the results of a poll²² conducted by Shibley Telhami of the University of Maryland Critical Issues Poll in November 2016. Although Telhami explored different questions, he found results relevant to our Canadian study. "Americans remain highly divided with 55% of Democrats saying Israel has too much influence compared with 54% of Republicans who say that Israeli influence is at the right level." Most respondents viewed Israel as a strategic asset, but Democrats were far more likely to view it as a burden. "Less than a quarter of Republicans agree that Israel is a burden (24%) whereas

²¹ Morning Consult + Politico (January, 2017) National Tracking Poll #161212.

²² Shibley Telhami (Nov. 2016) American Attitudes on the Israel-Palestine Conflict. University of Maryland Critical Issues Poll. Available: https://www.brookings.edu/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/cmep_20161202_poll_key_findings_v2.pdf.

more than half of Democrats do (55%)." This political divide echoes the one found in our Canadian survey between Conservatives on the one hand and centrist and left parties on the other.

In 2015, four British academics surveyed²³ over 1,000 British Jews to assess their attitudes towards Israel. This was the only representative national survey of Jews we located. Although most of the respondents (93%) felt a strong connection with Israel, 75% saw the expansion of settlements on the West Bank as a major obstacle to peace (vs. 14% opposed to this view). And 68% agreed with the statement "I feel a sense of despair every time Israel approves further expansion of settlements." Almost three-quarters (72% vs. 14% against) agreed that Palestinians have "rights to a land of their own." Although 66% strongly opposed imposing sanctions on Israel, 24% would be prepared "to support some sanctions against Israel if I thought they would encourage the Israeli government to engage in the peace process." And the majority (58% vs. 22% against) believe "Israel will be seen as an 'apartheid state' if it tries to retain control over borders which include more Arabs than Jews." This study shows that British Jews hold critical views of the Israeli government, paralleling the ethnically identified Jews in our Canadian study.

Recent surveys in Canada

Despite the controversy over the Israel/Palestine conflict, we found only two recent Canadian studies which examined Canadians' perspectives on federal government policies toward Israel/Palestine.

In January 2012, Environics published the results of a survey²⁴ which found that 48% of Canadians believed that Canadian government policy toward the conflict between Israel and Palestinians "strikes the right balance." Nearly a quarter (23%) of Canadians considered federal government policy to be "too pro-Israel" compared to only 3% who considered it to be "too pro-Palestinian." Those with higher socio-economic status, NDP supporters, and Quebeckers were most inclined to see the Canadian Government as too pro-Israel. Of those who expressed an opinion, "three times as many Canadians supported the Palestinian's effort to have the United Nations recognize their territory as a state (35% for, 11% against). (Although far more Canadians support Canada's recognizion of Palestine than oppose it, and although over 70% of the world's states have recognized Palestine, Canada has not done so.) The Environics survey

²³ Stephen Miller, Margaret Harris, Colin Shindler, Edward Temko (2015) The attitudes of British Jews towards Israel Department of Sociology, School of Arts and Social Sciences, City University London.

²⁴ Patrick Martin (Jan.31, 2012) "Nearly half Canadians say Ottawa's policy on Israeli-Palestinian conflict 'strikes right balance." Globe and Mail. Available:

http://www.theglobeandmail.com/news/politics/nearly-half-canadians-say-ottawas-policy-on-israeli-palestinian-conflict-strikes-right-balance/article554580/.

found highest support for a Palestinian state among Quebec and urban Ontario residents, "university graduates, non-European immigrants, and those who support the NDP or Green Party".

Two and a half years later, **in May, 2014, Forum Research** reported on their survey²⁵ about Canadian support for Israel vs. Palestine. It found that two-thirds (64%) did not prefer either side. Those who did express a preference were virtually split, 17% pro-Israel, and 16% pro-Palestinian. This represented a shift from the 2012 study away from support for Israel and toward support for Palestinians. This study found that support for Israel was highest among older Canadians (24%), Conservatives (37%), Protestants (30%) and Evangelical Christians (40%). Few non-religious respondents (7%) or Catholics (14%) expressed support for Israel. Support for Palestinians was highest among the young (20%), Francophones (23%), Albertans (19%), NDP (27%), Bloc (24%), post-graduates (23%), non-Christians (20%) and those with no religion (27%).

Our 2017 EKOS study asked two questions similar to those asked in the 2014 study: "Generally speaking, do you have a positive or negative opinion of the government of Israel?" and "Do you believe that the Canadian government has generally been more pro-Israel or pro-Palestinian?" In our survey, only about a quarter chose a neutral option (neither pro-Israel or pro-Palestinian (23%) and viewed the Canadian Government as neither pro-Israel nor pro-Palestinian (26%)). A far higher proportion than in the 2012 survey felt negatively about Israel's Government (46%) and felt that the Canadian Government is pro-Israel (61%). The political and demographic variables on which the 2014 sample divided by pro-Israel vs. pro-Palestinian are largely consistent with our survey. Assuming all three surveys are an accurate reflection of Canadian views, this suggests that, over the past five years, a large proportion of Canadians who used to have no preference, between Israel and Palestinians, have become more critical of Israel's government.

CIJA's "Grassroots Consultation" 2016 and 2017. In view of the strong and apparently growing opposition to Israel's Government which our study found among Canadians in general and also among Jewish Canadians, it is revealing that the Centre for Israel and Jewish Affairs (CIJA), with all its financial resources, has never commissioned and published a national survey of Canadian Jews or of Canadians generally.

The closest CIJA has come to polling Canadians was to conduct an on-line "grassroots consultation" in 2016 and then an in-person consultation in early 2017,²⁶ asking people who

²⁵ "Canadians split on support for Israel, Palestine." (May 2014) Forum Research, Inc. Available: http://poll.forumresearch.com/data/Fed%20Mid-

East%20News%20Release%20(2014%2005%2026)%20Forum%20Research.pdf.

²⁶ CIJA, Grassroots Consultation: Your priorities are our priorities (2017). Available: http://www.cija.ca/grassroots/.

responded what they thought CIJA's priorities should be. Diana Ralph, the author of this report, attended the in-person CIJA consultation. She discovered it used a methodology designed to funnel respondents progressively toward CIJA's pre-existing priorities. There was no attempt to seek a representative sample of Jews. Only those on the CIJA list serve received the invitation. Participants were asked to pre-register and then were assigned seating. Those whom CIJA staff knew held negative opinions of Israel's Government were seated at separate tables, making them minority voices at each table. Throughout the consultation exercise, a list of the previous year's list of CIJA priorities was projected onto a large screen (the top priority was to combat the BDS movement). CIJA facilitators at each table provided paper and pens, and asked participants to spend two minutes to write down their own priorities for CIJA. The projected image created a bias toward choosing those priorities. Then each facilitator asked participants to select only their top priority, and that got recorded on a flip chart. After that, the facilitators asked participants to vote for only one among those priorities. Each step of this process set up a further bias toward the most familiar options, and weeded out more critical ideas. And finally, CIJA was left in control of how to record and report those findings, further skewing them.

In the group where Dr. Ralph sat, three people voted for "Urging CIJA to make promoting a peace process *which recognizes Palestinian rights.*" In the final report of selected priorities, that got reworded to say "Encourage the Canadian government to advance the peace process," omitting any mention of Palestinian rights. Predictably, seven of the 13 final priorities selected from this consultation process reiterated existing Israel-lobby narratives (identified in bold below) and none challenged the pro-Israel narrative:

- Build bridges outside Jewish community to foster tolerance and understanding
- Combat the Boycott, Divestment and Sanctions (BDS) Movement
- Counter anti-Israel bias in the media
- Counter hate crimes targeting all groups
- Educate Canadians about Canada-Israel shared values
- Encourage the Canadian government to advance the peace process
- Enhance Jewish community security
- Ensure access to Jewish education
- Fight antisemitism
- Fight poverty by expanding funding for social, cultural and educational agencies
- Strengthen the Canada-Israel relationship
- Strengthen the connection and involvement of Jewish ethnic minorities
- Support Jewish students on campus

CIJA continues to hold disproportionate influence on Canadian MPs and other policy makers, even though it has offered no credible evidence that its pro-Israel narrative enjoys broad

support among Canadians. Considering the resources at CIJA's disposal, it is telling that CIJA has not commissioned and published a survey similar to this one.

Summary: Other Recent Surveys

The three Canadian studies and the BBC survey discussed above collectively indicate that Canadians' increasingly and predominantly view Israel's government negatively. The survey of British Jews also indicates that, while they strongly support and identify with Israel, many British Jews hold negative opinions of Israel's Government and prefer that it recognize Palestinian claims to their land and promote a just peace. Our 2017 EKOS study also found that many Jewish respondents in Canada share those opinions.

CIJA continues to hold disproportionate influence on the Canadian government, even though it has failed to offer credible evidence that its pro-Israel narrative enjoys broad support among Canadians.

A major conclusion of our study is that the Canadian government and Canada's political parties ought to challenge the unfounded CIJA narrative and should give effect to the well-documented evidence that Canadians want their government to stand up against oppressive Israeli Government policies and support the rights of Palestinian people.

APPENDIX 2: Detailed Survey Results (excluding respondents who expressed no opinion)

Province Data on Survey Respondents

	Province (imported)							Part	y sup	port		Gen	der			Age				Educ	ation	
	Total	BC	Prair ies	ON	OC	Atl.	Lib	Cons			BQ	M	F	18-34	35-44	-	55-64	65+	HS		Bach. deg.	
PRESTRAT					X -						<u> </u>											8
Weighted Total:	1000	131	168	381	241	75	314	248	177	73	35	479	510	273	168	197	164	184	406	352	147	82
Total:	1000	135	179	392	220	70	353	225	181	70	34	482	507	179	172	212	197	226	124	363	317	182
BC	13%	100 %		0%	0%	0%	10%	13%	17% +	30% ++++	0% 	15% ++	11%	12%	12%	12%	17% +	13%	13%	14%	10%	18%
Alberta	10%	0%	61%	0%	0%	0%	8%	19% ++++	4%	0%	0%	13% +++	7%	13%	10%	11%	5% 	10%	11%	10%	9%	5%
Saskatchewan	3%		18% ++++	0% 	0%	0%	1% 	5%	5% +	1%	0%	2%	4% ++	5% ++	2%	2%	5%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%
Manitoba	4%	0%	21%	0% 	0%	0%	2%	5%	5%	1%	0%	1% 	6% ++++	3%	6%	5%	4%	1%	4%	3%	4%	1%
Ontario	38%	0%	0%	100 % ++++	0%	0%	42%	44% ++	36%	35%	0%	36%	40%	34%	40%	35%	37%	46% ++	37%	37%	42%	43%
Quebec	24%	0%	0%	0%	100 % ++++	0%	24%	9% 	28%	24%	100 % ++++	25%	24%	25%	25%	25%	27%	20%	23%	24%	27%	25%
New Brunswick	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	32%	5%	1%	0%	3%	0%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	1%	4%	4% ++	1%	1%	1%
Nova Scotia	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	42%	4%	2%	4%	4%	0%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	5%	4%	3%	4%	3%	2%
PEI	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2% ++++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Newfoundland	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	24%	3%	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	0%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%
Yukon	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%

Northwest Territories	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
								++++				++	-			++++			+++			
Nunavut	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi2:	-	(99.9					(99.9					(99.9		(95)					-			
)))										
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.10	8.43	7.32	4.95	6.61	11.7	5.22	6.53	7.28	11.7	16.8	4.46	4.35	7.32	7.47	6.73	6.98	6.52	8.80	5.14	5.50	7.26
						1				1	1											

Province (imported)		1														
	Total			I	Religio	n			Ethnicity							
		Cath.	Prot.	Other Xian.	Musli m	Jewis h	Other s	None	Abor.	Britis h Isles		Other Euro.	Jewis h	Visibl e Mnrti es		
PRESTRAT						L										
Weighted Total:	1000	252	162	109	9	11	56	377	93	389	163	293	31	123		
Total:	1000	236	170	98	15	18	60	375	88	370	162	262	26	166		
BC	13%	3%	13%	20% ++	7%	28%	34% ++++	15%	21% ++	17% +++	11%	19% ++++	31%	26% ++++		
Alberta	10%	6%	10%	20% ++++	8%	0%	6%	11%	3%	12%	7%	14% ++	0%	10%		
Saskatchewan	3%	2%	4%	5%	8%	0%	0%	3%	3%	2%	1%	5%	1%	1%		
Manitoba	4%	3%	3%	5%	0%	0%	12% ++++	3%	3%	4%	2%	6% ++	0%	1%		
Ontario	38%	34%	49% +++	35%	32%	35%	37%	38%	20%	45%	21%	40%	50%	49% +++		
Quebec	24%	48% ++++	8%	4%	45%	34%	6%	23%	32%	8%	51%	12%	16%	10%		
New Brunswick	2%	0%	7% ++++	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	2%	4%	1%	0%	0%		
Nova Scotia	3%	2%	5%	5%	0%	0%	5%	2%	7% ++	5%	3%	2%	0%	3%		
PEI	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%		

Newfoundland	2%	2%	1%	4%	0%	0%	0%	2%	8%	3%	1%	1%	0%	0%
									++++	+++				-
Yukon	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Northwest Territories	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		++++								++				
Nunavut	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi2:	-	(99.9)							(99.9)					
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.10	6.38	7.52	9.90	25.30	23.10	12.65	5.06	10.45	5.09	7.70	6.05	19.22	7.61

Opinion on the government of Israel

Generally speaking, do you hav	ve a pos	sitive of	or nega	ative of	pinion	of the	e gover	mment	of Isr	ael?												
		P	Provin	· · ·	portec	l)		Part	ty sup	port		Ger	nder			Age				Educ	ation	
		_ ~	Prair					~		~			_							-	Bach.	
	Total	BC	ies	ON	QC	Atl.	Lib	Cons	NDP	Grn	BQ	Μ	F	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	HS	Coll	deg.	grad.
Q2																						
Weighted Total:	895	125	155	347	203	60	284	234	163	69	25	443	442	257	133	175	147	170	339	326	139	79
Total:	933	132	165	373	197	62	332	217	170	67	29	460	463	168	157	197	184	214	105	338	302	176
NEGATIVE (collapsed)	46%	44%	33%	46%	57%	41%	55% ++++		61%	58%	78%	46%	46%	51%	48%	38%	48%	43%	39%	45%	60% ++++	
NEITHER	26%	26%	28%	26%	28%	25%		21%	32%	37%	12%				28%	28%	21%	20%	28%	28%	23%	
POSITIVE (collapsed)	28%	30%	39%	28%	16%	34%	22%	58%		++ 5%	10%	32%		++ 17%	24%	33%	31%	37%	33%	27%	17%	23%
			++++					· ++++				+++				+		++++	++			
Very negative	11%	13%	7% -	9%	14% +	14%	10%	4% 	20%	15%	27%	10%	12%	10%	11%	10%	10%	13%	4% 	12%	19% ++++	24% ++++
Somewhat negative	35%	30%	26%	37%	42% ++	27%	45%	17%	41%	43%	50%	36%	34%	41% ++	37%	28%	38%	30%	35%	34%	41%	
Neither positive or negative	26%	26%	28%	26%	28%	25%		21%	32%	37%	12%	22%	31%		28%	28%	21%	20%	28%	28%		21%
Somewhat positive	14%	17%	20% ++	13%	11%	15%		 22%	2%	4%	10%	16%	12%	11%	11%	18%	17%	15%	17%	13%	10%	13%
Very positive	13%	14%	19% ++	15%	5%	19%		37%	5%	1%	0%	16% ++		6%	13%	15%	13%	22% ++++	17%	13%	7%	10%
Don't know/No response																						
Chi2:	-	99.9					(99.9					99.9		99.9					99.9			
Margin of Error,around 50%	3.21	8.53	7.63	5.07	6.98	12.4	5.38	6.65	7.52	11.9 7	18.2 0	4.57	4.55	7.56	7.82	6.98	7.22	6.70	9.56	5.33	5.64	7.39

Generally speaking, do you have	e a posi	itive or	negativ	ve opini	on of tl	ne gove	rnment	of Isra	el?					
	Total			I	Religio	1					Ethr	icity		
														Visibl
														e
				Other	Musli	Jewis	Other					Other	Jewis	Mnrti
		Cath.	Prot.	Xian.	m	h	S	None	Abor.	h Isles	h	Euro.	h	es
Q2														
Weighted Total:	895	219	149	101	9	11	48	340	78	348	154	276	31	119
Total:	933	211	163	92	15	18	56	355	80	349	152	248	26	160
NEGATIVE (collapsed)	46%	51%	27%	27%	36%	18%	44%	58% ++++	32%	51% ++	51%	45%	39%	51%
NEITHER	26%	26%	25%	26%	47%	21%	36%	26%	46%	21%	32%	30%	29%	24%
	2070	2070	2070	2070	1770	2170	2070	2070	++++		5270	+		2170
POSITIVE (collapsed)	28%	23%	48%	47%	16%	61%	21%	16%	22%	28%	17%	24%	32%	26%
		-	++++	++++										
Very negative	11%	10%	7%	6%	18%	13%	9%	13%	10%	10%	12%	10%	5%	19%
~				-				+						+++
Somewhat negative	35%	41%	19%	21%	19%	5%	34%	44%	22%	41%	39%	35%	34%	32%
	26%	++ 26%	25%	26%	47%	21%	36%	++++	46%	210/	32%	30%	29%	24%
Neither positive or negative	20%	20%	23%	20%	4/%	21%	30%	20%	40% ++++	21%	32%	50% +		24%
Somewhat positive	14%	15%	24%	18%	0%	17%	10%	10%	12%	13%	11%	15%	5%	15%
			++++											
Very positive	13%	8%	24%	29%	16%	43%	11%	7%	10%	15%	7%	10%	27%	11%
			++++	++++										
Don't know/No response														
Chi2:	-	(99.9)							(99.9)					
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.21	6.75	7.68	10.22	25.30	23.10	13.10	5.20	10.96	5.25	7.95	6.22	19.22	7.75

Opinion on Pro-Israel or Pro-Palestinian Media Bias

Do you believe the media in Ca	nada a	re gen	erally	pro-Isi	ael or	pro-P	alestin	ian?														
		P	Provin	ce (im	ported	l)		Par	ty sup	port		Gen	ıder			Age				Educ	ation	
			Prair																		Bach	. Post
	Total	BC	ies	ON	QC	Atl.	Lib	Cons	NDP	Grn	BQ	Μ	F	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	HS	Coll	deg.	grad.
Q3																						
Weighted Total:	806	115	137	318	180	51	263	220	142	50	22	423	373	219	133	162	123	158	316	288	125	68
Total:	832	120	140	338	176	54	306	200	146	53	23	431	391	140	146	179	157	198	97	299	275	153
Pro-Israel	34%	35%	30%	31%	45%	27%	38%	23%	52%	36%	43%	36%	32%	37%	30%	33%	37%	32%	33%	32%	42%	41%
					++++		+		++++												++	-
Pro-Palestinian	21%	22%	32%	20%	16%	10%	8%	44%	8%	8%	11%	22%	18%	24%	14%	22%	22%	18%	23%	21%	15%	18%
			++++		-			• ++++														-
Neither	45%	43%	39%	49%	39%	63%	54%	34%	40%	56%	46%	42%	49%	40%	55%	45%	41%	51%	45%	48%	44%	41%
			-	+	-	+++	++++		•				++		+++							
Don't know/No response																						
Chi2:	-	99.9					(99.9					90		-					-			
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.40	8.95	8.28	5.33	7.39	13.3 4	5.60	6.93	8.11	13.4 6	20.4 3	4.72	4.96	8.28	8.11	7.32	7.82	6.96	9.95	5.67	5.91	7.92

Do you believe the media in Ca	nada ar	e gener	ally pro	o-Israel	or pro-	Palesti	nian?							
	Total			I	Religio	n					Ethn	nicity		
														Visibl e
				Other	Musli	Jewis	Other			Britis	Frenc	Other	Jewis	Mnrti
		Cath.	Prot.	Xian.	m	h	S	None	Abor.	h Isles	h	Euro.	h	es
Q3														
Weighted Total:	806	196	126	79	9	11	53	317	59	329	130	247	18	111
Total:	832	190	140	76	14	18	56	318	68	318	136	221	24	149
Pro-Israel	34%	33%	29%	33%	43%	44%	24%	38%	32%	36%	40%	43%	24%	24%
							-	+				+++		
Pro-Palestinian	21%	22%	28%	25%	12%	39%	12%	17%	21%	17%	20%	21%	24%	17%
			++				-			-				
Neither	45%	45%	43%	42%	45%	18%	64%	45%	47%	47%	40%	37%	52%	59%
							+++							++++
Don't know/No response														
Chi2:	-	(95)							(95)					
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.40	7.11	8.28	11.24	26.19	23.10	13.10	5.50	11.88	5.50	8.40	6.59	20.00	8.03

Opinion on Pro-Israel or Pro-Palestinian Bias of Canadian Government

n gove	ernme	nt has	genera	lly bee	en moi	re pro-	Israel	or mor	e pro-l	Palesti	nian?										
	P	Provin	ce (imj	ported	l)		Par	ty sup	port		Gen	der			Age				Educ	ation	
		Prair																		Bach.	Post
Total	BC	ies	ON	QC	Atl.	Lib	Cons	NDP	Grn	BQ	Μ	F	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	HS	Coll	deg.	grad.
758	103	135	297	176	43	256	213	134	48	18	412	336	194	117	151	130	154	281	275	123	70
816	111	145	332	177	47	303	198	144	49	24	431	375	137	134	176	162	195	90	293	268	156
61%	67%	53%	61%	68%	53%	70%	42%	77%	74%	74%	68%	54%	69%	50%	57%	70%	60%	65%	54%	69%	70%
				+		++++		++++	+		++++		++			++				++	· ++
16%	20%	22%	13%	12%	15%	4%	36%	9%	8%	16%	13%	18%	14%	11%	17%	13%	18%	17%	17%	9%	14%
		++					++++				-										-
23%	13%	24%	25%	21%	31%	26%	22%	14%	18%	10%	19%	28%	17%	39%	26%	17%	22%	19%	29%	21%	16%
		•										+++		++++					+++		
-	95					(99.9					99.9		99.9					99			
3.43	9.30	8.14	5.38	7.37	14.2	5.63	6.96	8.17	14.0	20.0	4.72	5.06	8.37	8.47	7.39	7.70	7.02	10.3	5.73	5.99	7.85
	Total 758 816 61% 16% 23%	F Total BC 758 103 816 111 61% 67% 16% 20% 23% 13% - 95	Provine Prair BC Prair 758 103 135 816 111 145 61% 67% 53% 16% 20% 22% ++ 23% 13% 24%	Province (im) Prair Prair Image: Image of the stress of th	Province (imported Prair Prair Image: Image of the stress	Province (imported) Prair ies ON QC Atl. 758 103 135 297 176 43 816 111 145 332 177 47 61% 67% 53% 61% 68% 53%	Province (imported) Prair ON QC Atl. Lib Total BC ies ON QC Atl. Lib 758 103 135 297 176 43 256 816 111 145 332 177 47 303 61% 67% 53% 61% 68% 53% 70%	Province (imported) Par Total BC Prair ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons 758 103 135 297 176 43 256 213 816 111 145 332 177 47 303 198 61% 67% 53% 61% 68% 53% 70% 42% + +++++ +++++ +++++ 23% 13% 24% 25% 21% 31% 26% 22% - - - - ++++++ ++++++ 23% 13% 24% 25% 21% 31% 26% 22% ++++++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Province (imported) Party supported Total BC Prair ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP 758 103 135 297 176 43 256 213 134 816 111 145 332 177 47 303 198 144 61% 67% 53% 61% 68% 53% 70% 42% 77% + +++++ +++++ +++++ 16% 20% 22% 13% 12% 15% 4% 36% 9% ++ ++ +++++ +++++ 23% 13% 24% 25% 21% 31% 26% 22% 14% 23% 13% 2.4% 2.5% 21% 31%	Province (imported) Party support Total BC Prair ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn 758 103 135 297 176 43 256 213 134 48 816 111 145 332 177 47 303 198 144 49 61% 67% 53% 61% 68% 53% 70% 42% 77% 74%	Province (imported) Party support Total BC Prair ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ 758 103 135 297 176 43 256 213 134 48 18 816 111 145 332 177 47 303 198 144 49 24 61% 67% 53% 61% 68% 53% 70% 42% 77% 74% 74% + + ++++ +++++ +++++ +++++ +++++ ++++++ ++++++ ++++++ +++++++++++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Total BC Prair ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M 758 103 135 297 176 43 256 213 134 48 18 412 816 111 145 332 177 47 303 198 144 49 24 431 61% 67% 53% 61% 68% 53% 70% 42% 77% 74% 68% + +++++ +++++ +++++ +++++ +++++ +++++ +++++ ++++++ +++++++ +++++++	Province (imported) Party support Gender Total BC ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 758 103 135 297 176 43 256 213 134 48 18 412 336 816 111 145 332 177 47 303 198 144 49 24 431 375 61% 67% 53% 61% 68% 53% 70% 42% 77% 74% 74% 68% 54% + ++++ ++++ ++++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++	Province (imported) Party support Gender Total BC Prair ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 758 103 135 297 176 43 256 213 134 48 18 412 336 194 816 111 145 332 177 47 303 198 144 49 24 431 375 137 61% 67% 53% 61% 68% 53% 70% 42% 77% 74% 74% 68% 54% 69% + ++++ ++++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++	Province (imported) Party support Gender Total BC Prair ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 758 103 135 297 176 43 256 213 134 48 18 412 336 194 117 816 111 145 332 177 47 303 198 144 49 24 431 375 137 134 61% 67% 53% 61% 68% 53% 70% 42% 77% 74% 74% 68% 54% 69% 50% + ++++ ++++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++	Province (imported) Party support Gender Age Total BC ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 758 103 135 297 176 43 256 213 134 48 18 412 336 194 117 151 816 111 145 332 177 47 303 198 144 49 24 431 375 137 134 176 61% 67% 53% 61% 68% 53% 70% 42% 77% 74% 68% 54% 69% 50% 57% + ++++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	Province (imported) Party support Gender Age Total BC ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 758 103 135 297 176 43 256 213 134 48 18 412 336 194 117 151 130 816 111 145 332 177 47 303 198 144 49 24 431 375 137 134 176 162 61% 67% 53% 61% 68% 53% 70% 42% 77% 74% 68% 54% 69% 50% 57% 70% + +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++	Province (imported) Party support Gender Age Total BC Prair ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ 758 103 135 297 176 43 256 213 134 48 18 412 336 194 117 151 130 154 816 111 145 332 177 47 303 198 144 49 24 431 375 137 134 176 162 195 61% 67% 53% 61% 68% 53% 70% 42% 77% 74% 68% 54% 69% 50% 57% 70% 60% 61% 67% 53% 61% 36% 9% 8% 16% 13% 18% 11% 17% 13% 18% 23%	Province (imported) Party support Gender Age Total BC Prair ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ HS 758 103 135 297 176 43 256 213 134 48 18 412 336 194 117 151 130 154 281 816 111 145 332 177 47 303 198 144 49 24 431 375 137 134 162 195 90 61% 67% 53% 61% 68% 53% 70% 42% 77% 74% 74% 68% 54% 69% 50% 57% 70% 60% 65% + +++++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Province (imported) Party support Gender Age Educ Total BC Prair ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ HS Coll 758 103 135 297 176 43 256 213 134 48 18 412 336 194 117 151 130 154 281 275 816 111 145 332 177 47 303 198 144 49 24 431 375 137 134 162 195 90 293 61% 67% 53% 61% 68% 54% 68% 54% 69% 50% 57% 70% 60% 54% 69% 50% 57% 70% 60% 54% 69% 50% 57% 70% 60% 54% 69% 54% <t< td=""><td>Province (imported) Party support Gender Age Education Total BC Prair ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ HS Coll Bach. 758 103 135 297 176 43 256 213 134 48 18 412 336 194 117 151 130 154 281 275 123 816 111 145 332 177 47 303 198 144 49 24 431 375 137 134 176 162 195 90 293 268 61% 67% 53% 61% 68% 54% 69% 50% 57% 70% 69% 69% 50% 57% 70% 69% 69% 50% 57% 70% 69% 69% 50% 57%</td></t<>	Province (imported) Party support Gender Age Education Total BC Prair ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ HS Coll Bach. 758 103 135 297 176 43 256 213 134 48 18 412 336 194 117 151 130 154 281 275 123 816 111 145 332 177 47 303 198 144 49 24 431 375 137 134 176 162 195 90 293 268 61% 67% 53% 61% 68% 54% 69% 50% 57% 70% 69% 69% 50% 57% 70% 69% 69% 50% 57% 70% 69% 69% 50% 57%

Do you believe that the Canadia	n gove	rnment	has get	nerally	been m	ore pro	-Israel	or more	pro-Pa	alestinia	ın?			
	Total				Religio						Ethn	icity		
														Visibl
														е
				Other	Musli	Jewis	Other			Britis	Frenc	Other	Jewis	Mnrti
		Cath.	Prot.	Xian.	m	h	S	None	Abor.	h Isles	h	Euro.	h	es
Q4														
Weighted Total:	758	196	129	72	8	11	41	285	57	301	114	233	18	108
Total:	816	189	145	75	13	18	49	307	64	302	129	219	24	146
Pro-Israel	61%	62%	56%	53%	48%	61%	53%	68%	58%	67%	73%	70%	48%	57%
								+++		+++	+++	+++		
Pro-Palestinian	16%	13%	26%	27%	9%	11%	14%	11%	17%	12%	13%	16%	7%	10%
			++++	+++										
Neither	23%	25%	18%	20%	43%	29%	33%	22%	25%	21%	14%	14%	45%	33%
							+							+++
Don't know/No response														
Chi2:	-	(99)							(99.9)					
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.43	7.13	8.14	11.32	27.18	23.10	14.00	5.59	12.25	5.64	8.63	6.62	20.00	8.11

Opinion on whether criticism of Israel is anti-Semitic

Some people argue that criticisi and is not necessarily anti-Semi		-		-	-				-				raeli g	govern	ment p	olicy	is like	critici	sm of a	any otl	her cou	untry,
				ce (im					ty sup	<u> </u>		Gen	der			Age				Educ	ation	
			Prair			-										0					Bach.	Post
	Total	BC	ies	ON	QC	Atl.	Lib	Cons	NDP	Grn	BQ	Μ	F	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	HS	Coll	deg.	grad.
Q8																						
Weighted Total:	839	113	145	326	201	53	274	214	154	65	27	423	408	227	132	160	145	164	321	299	136	76
Total:	886	122	156	355	195	55	321	202	163	64	30	446	432	157	147	182	179	209	100	314	295	169
Criticism of Israeli	9%	7%	5%	10%	10%	9%	3%	20%	0%	6%	0%	9%	9%	5%	8%	9%	10%	12%	10%	9%	4%	7%
government policy is anti- Semitic			-					++++			-							+				
Criticism of Israeli government policy is like criticism of any other country, and is not necessarily anti-Semitic	91%	93%	95% +	90%	90%	91%	97% ++++		100 % ++++	94%	100 % +	91%	91%	95% ++		91%	90%	88%	90%	91%	96% +++	
Don't know/No response																						
Chi2:	-	-					(99.9)					-		-					-			
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.29	8.87	7.85	5.20	7.02	13.2 1	5.47	6.90	7.68	12.2 5	17.8 9	4.64	4.71	7.82	8.08	7.26	7.32	6.78	9.80	5.53	5.71	7.54

Some people argue that criticism of Israeli government policy is anti-Semitic. Others say that criticism of Israeli government policy is like criticism of any other country, and is not necessarily anti-Semitic. Which of these statements comes closest to your own point of view?

	Total			J	Religio	n					Ethn	icity		
														Visibl e
					Musli	_	Other					Other		Mnrti
		Cath.	Prot.	Xian.	m	h	S	None	Abor.	h Isles	h	Euro.	h	es
Q8														
Weighted Total:	839	213	143	78	7	10	49	326	62	339	134	252	19	106
Total:	886	205	155	81	12	17	56	340	73	339	146	235	23	149
Criticism of Israeli	9%	9%	18%	13%	11%	22%	3%	4%	7%	8%	7%	5%	7%	5%
government policy is anti-			++++											-
Semitic														
Criticism of Israeli	91%	91%	82%	87%	89%	78%	97%	96%	93%	92%	93%	95%	93%	95%
government policy is like criticism of any other								++++				+++		+
country, and is not														
necessarily anti-Semitic														
Don't know/No response														
Chi2:	-	(99.9)							-					
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.29	6.84	7.87	10.89	28.29	23.77	13.10	5.31	11.47	5.32	8.11	6.39	20.43	8.03

Demographic Data on Survey Respondents

Which federal political party do		<u> </u>		ce (im	norted	4) (I		Par	ty sup	port		Ger	der			Age				Educ	ation	
		-	Prair			*) 		1 41	j sup			Gen	luci			- inge					Bach.	Post
	Total	BC	ies	ON	QC	Atl.	Lib	Cons	NDP	Grn	BQ	М	F	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	HS	Coll		
QPOL					X -						<u> </u>											8
Weighted Total:	863	119	133	336	204	66	314	248	177	73	35	436	424	244	130	164	144	176	341	311	128	74
Total:	880	122	151	353	192	58	353	225	181	70	34	436	441	163	147	179	174	212	106	322	281	163
Liberal Party of Canada	36%	26%	26%	39%	37%	62%	100	0%	0%	0%	0%	40%	33%	37%	34%	29%	39%	42%	34%	34%	45%	42%
						++++	- % ++++					+		-			-	+			+++	
Conservative Party of	29%	28%	52%	32%	11%	16%	0%	100	0%	0%	0%	31%	26%	22%	31%	37%	27%	29%	33%	30%	19%	18%
Canada			++++	+				• % ++++				+	-	·		++	-		+			
New Democratic Party	20%	26%	19%	19%	24%	11%	0%	0%	100 %	0%	0%	14%	27% ++++	23%	22%	17%	23%	17%	19%	20%	23%	22%
Green Party of Canada	8%	18% ++++	1%	8%	9%	10%	0%	0%	++++ 0%	100 %	0%	8%	8%	14% ++++	7%	4%	4%	8%	9%	7%	7%	14% +-
Bloc Québécois	4%	0%	0%	0%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	++++ 0% -	100 %	5%	4%	2%	4%	9% ++++	6%	1%	4%	4%	5%	3%
Other	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	++++ 0%	2%	2%	2%	2%	4% ++	0%	2%	1%	4% +++	1%	1%
Don't know/No response																				+++		
Chi2:	-	(99.9					(99.9					99.9		(99.9					(95)			
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.30	8.87	7.97	5.22	7.07	12.8	5.22	6.53	7.28	11.7	16.8	4.69	4.67	7.68	8.08	7.32	7.43	6.73	9.52	5.46	5.85	7.68

Which federal political party do	you st	ipport tl	ne most	t?										
	Total			I	Religio	n					Ethr	nicity		
				Other	Muali	Jewis	Other			Duitia	Fronc	Other	Torria	Visibl e Mnrti
		Cath.	Prot.	Xian.	m	h	s		Abor.	h Isles		Euro.	h	es
QPOL							L							
Weighted Total:	863	205	144	97	9	11	52	330	80	348	152	257	30	111
Total:	880	203	153	85	15	16	54	336	78	332	150	234	23	153
Liberal Party of Canada	36%	39%	31%	28%	84%	58%	31%	37%	40%	40%	39%	29%	24%	44%
Conservative Party of	29%	27%	45%	43%	8%	19%	17%	22%	13%	30%	17%	33%	19%	23%
Canada New Democratic Party	20%	20%	++++ 16%	21%	0%	23%		21%	25%	21%	22%	+ 24% +	31%	16%
Green Party of Canada	8%	3%	7%	8%	0%	0%	8%	14% ++++	11%	6%	11%	12% ++	23%	12%
Bloc Québécois	4%	10% ++++	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	3%	9% ++	0%	10% ++++	1%	3%	1%
Other	2%	1%	1%	0%	8%	0%	3%	3% +++	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%	4%
Don't know/No response														
Chi2:	-	(99.9)							(99.9)					
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.30	6.88	7.92	10.63	25.30	24.50	13.34	5.35	11.10	5.38	8.00	6.41	20.43	7.92

What is your gender?																						
		P	rovin	ce (im	portec	I)		Par	ty sup	port		Gen	der			Age				Educ	ation	
			Prair																		Bach.	Post
	Total	BC	ies	ON	QC	Atl.	Lib	Cons	NDP	Grn	BQ	Μ	F	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	HS	Coll	deg.	grad.
QGENDR																						
Weighted Total:	990	129	164	378	240	75	313	248	177	72	35	479	510	272	167	197	163	184	404	350	146	81
Total:	990	133	175	389	219	70	352	225	181	69	34	482	507	178	171	212	196	226	122	361	316	181
Male	48%	57%	47%	46%	50%	43%	55%	55%	35%	51%	56%	100	0%	53%	40%	52%	46%	49%	49%	47%	43%	55%
		++					+++	++				%									-	- +
												++++										
Female	51%	42%	53%	54%	50%	57%	45%	45%	65%	49%	44%	0%	100	47%	60%	48%	54%	51%	51%	53%	57%	44%
									• ++++				%	-	· ++						+	
													++++									
Other	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
		+++												+	-							+++
No response																						
Chi2:	-	(90)					(99)					99.9		-					(95)			
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.11	8.50	7.41	4.97	6.62	11.7 1	5.22	6.53	7.28	11.8 0	16.8 1	4.46	4.35	7.35	7.49	6.73	7.00	6.52	8.87	5.16	5.51	7.28

What is your gender?														
	Total]	Religio	n					Ethr	nicity		
														Visibl
														e
				Other	Musli	Jewis	Other			Britis	Frenc	Other	Jewis	Mnrti
		Cath.	Prot.	Xian.	m	h	S	None	Abor.	h Isles	h	Euro.	h	es
QGENDR														
Weighted Total:	990	251	162	107	9	11	53	377	93	388	162	291	31	123
Total:	990	235	170	96	15	18	57	375	88	369	161	260	26	166
Male	48%	47%	40%	43%	64%	51%	52%	53%	40%	47%	44%	49%	35%	58%
								++	-					+++
Female	51%	53%	60%	57%	36%	49%	48%	47%	60%	53%	56%	51%	62%	41%
			++	-					+	-				
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%
														+++
No response														
Chi2:	-	-							(95)					
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.11	6.39	7.52	10.00	25.30	23.10	12.98	5.06	10.45	5.10	7.72	6.08	19.22	7.61

What is your age?																						
		P	rovin	ce (im	ported	l)		Part	ty sup	port		Ger	nder			Age				Educ	ation	ir.
			Prair		00		T 11	G		G	DO			10.04	~~ ~ ~				TT C		Bach.	
OACE	Total	BC	ies	ON	QC	Atl.	Lib	Cons	NDP	Grn	RŐ	Μ	F	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	HS	Coll	deg.	grad.
QAGE																						
Weighted Total:	986	129	166	375	238	74	311	246	177	73	35	477	505	273	168	197	164	184	406	349	143	80
Total:	986	133	177	386	217	69	350	223	181	70	34	480	502	179	172	212	197	226	124	360	313	180
18-34	28%	25%	35%	25%	28%	30%	29%	22%	32%	49%	11%	30%	25%	100	0%	0%	0%	0%	28%	24%	33%	36%
			++							++++		+		%							++	++
														++++								
35-44	17%	15%	17%	18%	18%	15%	14%	17%	16%	12%	15%	14%	20%	0%	100	0%	0%	0%	12%	19%	25%	
							-						• ++		% ++++		·				++++	
45-54	20%	18%	21%	18%	20%	22%	15%	24%	16%	10%	42%	21%	19%	0%	0%	100	0%	0%	16%	24%	22%	19%
								+			+++					%				++		
																++++						
55-64	17%	22%	13%	16%	18%	12%	18%	16%	19%	8%	25%	16%	17%	0%	0%	0%	100	0%	22%	16%	8%	10%
		+								-							· % ++++		++			
65 or greater	19%	19%	14%	22%	16%	20%	23%	21%	17%	21%	7%	19%	19%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100	22%	18%	12%	15%
			-	++			+++				-						·	%				
																		++++				
No response																						
Chi2:	-	-					99.9					90		99.9					99.9			
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.12	8.50	7.37	4.99	6.65	11.8	5.24	6.56	7.28	11.7	16.8	4.47	4.37	7.32	7.47	6.73	6.98	6.52	8.80	5.16	5.54	7.30
						0				1	1											

What is your age?	1	1							1					
	Total]	Religio	<u>n</u>					Ethr	nicity		
		Cath.	Prot.		Musli m	Jewis h	Other s		Abor.	Britis h Isles		Other Euro.	Jewis h	Visibl e Mnrti es
QAGE					I						I		I	
Weighted Total:	986	251	160	107	9	11	54	376	92	386	162	291	31	122
Total:	986	235	168	96	15	18	58	374	87	367	161	260	26	165
18-34	28%	22%	7%	44%	17%	25%	12%	39%	20%	29%	35% ++	42%	49%	29%
35-44	17%	14%	12%	14%	30%	37%	25%	20%	11%	14%	16%	14%	29%	19%
45-54	20%	27% ++++	20%	18%	24%	23%	20%	16%	21%	19%	13%	19%	10%	20%
55-64	17%	18%	23% ++	12%	15%	7%	15%	15%	27% +++	18%	18%	12%	4%	12%
65 or greater	19%	19%	39% ++++	12%	14%	8%	28%	11%	20%	20%	18%	13%	8%	20%
No response														
Chi2:	-	(99.9)							(99.9)					
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.12	6.39	7.56	10.00	25.30	23.10	12.87	5.07	10.51	5.12	7.72	6.08	19.22	7.63

What is the highest level of sch	ooling																					
		P			ported)		Part	ty sup	port		Gen	lder			Age			 	Educ		
	Total	BC	Prair ies	ON	QC	Atl.	Lib	Cons	NDP	Grn	BQ	М	F	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	HS	Coll	Bach. deg	
QEDUC	Total	be	105	011	ųυ	1100		Cons		UII	by		-	10 01	00 11	10 01		001	110	Con	ucg.	Sidu
Weighted Total:	986	129	164	377	239	73	311	244	176	73	35	471	508	273	166	105	162	101	406	250	147	82
			164												166	195		181		352	-	-
Total:	986	133	175	388	218	68	350	221	180	70	34	474	505	179	170	210	195	223	124	363	317	182
Grade 8 or less	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8% ++++	0%	1% ++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3% ++++	1% ++++	0%	0%	0%
Some high school	6%	9%	3%	5%	9%	0%	7%	2%	6%	4%	0%	7%	5%	7%	3%	2%	9%	7%	14%	0%	0%	0%
High School diploma or	35%	+ 31%	45%	34%	++ 29%	42%	30%	45%	31%	31%	38%	35%	35%	35%	26%	32%	++ 45%	39%	++++ 85%	0%	0%	0%
equivalent	5570	5170	+++			1270		++++	5170	5170	5070	2270	2270	5570		5270	+++	5770	+++++			
Registered Apprenticeship or other trades certificate or	5%	6%	6%	3%	8% +++	4%	3%	7%	3%	1%	6%	7% +++	3%	5%	4%	5%	8%	4%		14% ++++	0%	0%
diploma								I									I					
College, CEGEP or other	23%	18%	21%	26%	22%	30%	23%	25%	27%	21%	19%	21%	25%	21%	28%	27%	19%	21%		65%	0%	0%
non-university certificate or diploma																				++++		
University certificate or	7%	14%	7%	7%	6%	8%	8%	5%	7%	10%	11%	6%	8%	4%	7%	11%	7%	9%	0%	21%	0%	0%
diploma below bachelor's level		+++												-		+				++++		
Bachelor's degree	15%	11%	14%	16%	17%	10%	19%	10%	17%	12%	19%	13%	16%	17%	21%	16%	7%	10%	0%	0%	100	0%
							++								++						% ++++	
Post graduate degree above bachelor's level	8%	12%	4% 	9%	9%	6%	10%	5% -	9%	14% +	7%	9%	7%	10%	10%	8%	5% -	7%	0% 	0% 	0% 	100 %
No response																						
Chi2:	-	(99.9					(99.9					(99)		(99.9					(99.9			
M	2.10)	7 41	4.00	6.64	11.0)	6.50	7.20	117	16.0	4.50	1.26)	7.50	676	7.02	(= ()	514	5 50	7.04
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.12	8.50	7.41	4.98	6.64	11.8 8	5.24	6.59	7.30	11.7	16.8 1	4.50	4.36	7.32	7.52	6.76	7.02	6.56	8.80	5.14	5.50	7.26

What is the highest level of sche	ooling	hat you	i have c	-					I					
	Total		1]	Religio	n	1			1	Ethr	nicity	1	1
		Cath.	Prot.		Musli m	Jewis h	Other s	None	Abor.			Other Euro.	Jewis h	Visibl e Mnrti es
QEDUC			L	1	L	1	L			L	1	1	1	1
Weighted Total:	986	250	160	107	9	11	56	375	90	386	162	292	31	121
Total:	986	234	168	96	15	18	60	373	85	367	161	261	26	164
Grade 8 or less	1%	0%	2% ++	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%
Some high school	6%	10% +++	6%	6%	0%	0%	9%	3%	21%	5%	4%	8% ++	20%	2%
High School diploma or equivalent	35%	35%	41%	33%	0%	0%	34%	36%	25%	42%	32%	38%	35%	21%
Registered Apprenticeship or other trades certificate or diploma	5%	5%	4%	8%	0%	0%	3%	5%	8%	4%	5%	4%	0%	3%
College, CEGEP or other non-university certificate or diploma	23%	21%	23%	28%	35%	19%	19%	23%	27%	22%	27%	23%	10%	24%
University certificate or diploma below bachelor's level	7%	8%	6%	7%	18%	22%	10%	7%	4%	7%	7%	6%	10%	9%
Bachelor's degree	15%	16%	12%	12%	16%	33%	16%	16%	9%	14%	18%	14%	12%	23% +++
Post graduate degree above bachelor's level	8%	5%	7%	6%	31%	26%	9%	10%	6%	6% 	6%	7%	13%	18% ++++
No response														
Chi2:	-	(95)							(99.9)					
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.12	6.41	7.56	10.00	25.30	23.10	12.65	5.07	10.63	5.12	7.72	6.07	19.22	7.65

Which of the following best rep	resents	s your	religio	ous bel	iefs?		T															
		P	r	ce (im	ported)		Part	y sup	port		Ger	nder			Age	1				ation	
	Total	BC	Prair ies	ON	QC	Atl.	Lib	Cons	NDP	Grn	BQ	М	F	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	HS		Bach. deg.	
QRELIGIO			1					1		I			1								0	
Weighted Total:	977	129	162	375	236	70	305	245	176	73	33	470	500	271	163	194	159	182	403	344	143	79
Total:	972	133	172	383	215	65	342	220	180	70	33	467	498	177	164	207	193	223	123	355	310	176
Catholic	26%	6%	16%	23%	51%	13%	26%	23%	23%	8%	61% ++++	25%	26%	21%	21%	35% ++++		26%	28%	25%	27%	17%
Protestant	17%	16%	17%	21%		32%	15%	26%	13%	15%	0%	14%		4%	12%		23%		19%	15%	13%	15%
Christian Orthodox	1%	1%	1%	+++ 1%	1%	++++ 0%	1%	++++ 2% +++	0%	1%	0%	0%	++ 1%	2% ++	1%	0%	++ 0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%
Christian (other)	10%	16% ++	20%	9%	1%	15%	8%		11%	10%	1%	9%	11%	15% +++	8%	10%	8%	7%	10%	12%	8%	6%
Muslim	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	0%	3% ++++	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	4%
Jewish	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	3% ++	4%
Buddhist	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	2% ++	1%
Hindu	1%	2% ++	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1% ++	0%	0%	0%	1% ++	0%	0%	0%	1%	2% ++	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%
Sikh	1%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1% ++	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Eastern religions	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2% ++	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3% ++++	1% +++	0%	0%	0%
Other religions (specify)	3%	5%	5%	3%	1%	4%	4%	0%	7% +++	4%	0%	2%	4%	1%	6% +++	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%
No religious affiliation	39%	43%	39%	39%	37%	36%	40%	29%		62% ++++	35%	43% ++		54%		30%	35%	23%	37%	39%	41%	47%
Don't know/No response																						
Chi2:	-	(99.9					(99.9					(99)		(99.9					(95)			

Margin of Error, around 50%	3.14	8.50	7.47	5.01	6.68	12.1	5.30	6.61	7.30	11.7	17.0	4.53	4.39	7.37	7.65	6.81	7.05	6.56	8.84	5.20	5.57	7.39
						6				1	6											

	Total	ľ	U	beliefs	Religio	n					Ethn	icity		
	Total													Visibl e
		Cath.	Prot.	Other Xian.	Musli m	Jewis h	Other s	None	Abor.	Britis h Isles	Frenc h	Other Euro.	Jewis h	Mnrti es
QRELIGIO														
Weighted Total:	977	252	162	109	9	11	56	377	93	385	162	291	31	121
Total:	972	236	170	98	15	18	60	375	88	366	160	258	26	161
Catholic	26%	100% ++++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	30%	19%	34%	22%	3%	16%
Protestant	17%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	12%	22% ++++	8%	13%	1%	16%
Christian Orthodox	1%	0%	0%	7% ++++	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2% ++++	0%	1%
Christian (other)	10%	0%	0%	93%	0%	0%	0%	0%	15%	12%	9%	14% ++	21%	9%
Muslim	1%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	6% ++++
Jewish	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	31%	1%
Buddhist	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	15% ++++	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	4% ++++
Hindu	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9% ++++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4% ++++
Sikh	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13% ++++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6% ++++
Eastern religions	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10% ++++	0%	0%	0%	0%	2% ++++	0%	0%
Other religions (specify)	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	53% ++++	0%	7% ++	4%	3%	4%	0%	2%
No religious affiliation	39%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		100%	34%	41%	44%	41%	44%	35%

Don't know/No response													
Chi2:	-	(99.9)						(99.9)					
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.14	6.38	7.52	9.90 25.	30 23.1) 12.65	5.06	10.45	5.12	7.75	6.10	19.22	7.72

Other than Canadian, to which	ethnic	or cult	ural gi	roups o	lo you	consi	der yo	urself	to belo	ong?												
		P	rovin	ce (im	portec	I)		Par	ty sup	port		Gen	der			Age	1			Educ		
			Prair																		Bach.	
	Total	BC	ies	ON	QC	Atl.	Lib	Cons	NDP	Grn	BQ	Μ	F	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	HS	Coll	deg.	grad.
QETHN																						
Weighted Total:	799	119	133	321	158	62	262	209	141	61	21	391	404	217	125	156	136	160	330	279	121	62
Total:	809	120	147	336	146	56	295	190	148	58	22	387	418	140	136	172	159	196	102	294	263	143
Aboriginal (First Nations,	12%	17%	6%	6%		27%	12%	5%	14%	15%	33%	10%	14%	8%	8%	13%	19%	12%	13%	13%	7%	8%
Inuit, Métis)		+			+++		-					-	+	-			+++					
British Isles (English, Irish,	49%	56%	52%	55%	19%	67%	53%	51%	53%	37%	6%	47%	51%	52%	44%	48%	50%	47%	54%	46%	45%	37%
Scottish, etc.)		+		+++		+++	+			-									+			
French	20%	15%	12%	11%	52%	21%	22%	12%	24%	28%	71%	18%	23%	26%	21%	14%	21%	18%	18%	23%	24%	16%
		-			++++									++			-					
Other Western European	16%	19%	30%	16%	4%	15%	11%		15%	10%	9%	15%	17%		13%	15%	13%	12%	16%	17%	16%	13%
(German, Dutch, Austrian,			++++					++++						+++				-				
Belgian, etc.)																						
Other Northern European	5%	13%	8%	1%	5%	1%	5%	2%	9%	6%	4%	6%	4%	8%	4%	4%	4%	2%	5%	4%	7%	6%
(Norwegian, Swedish,		++++	+						++	-				++				-				
Danish, Finnish, etc.)	4.4.07	1.404		1000	• • •		0.01	100/	• • • • •	1 501	0.04	0.0 /		1 60 6	0.04	1.0.07		0.04	100/	1001	100/	100/
Eastern European	11%	14%	23%	12%	2%	3%	8%	10%	20%	16%	0%	8%	14%	16%	9%	13%	7%	8%	12%	12%	10%	10%
(Ukrainian, Polish, Russian,			++++						++++	-			++	++			-	-				
Hungarian, Romanian, etc.)	0.04	0.04	2 0/	0.04	100/	4.0./		40 /	4.07		4.4.07	100/	(0)	4	0.04	<i>c</i> .,		40 (0.04	-		0.07
Southern European (Italian,	8%	9%	3%	9%	12%	1%	7%	4%	4%	21%	11%	10%	6%	15%	9%	6%	3%	4%	9%	7%	5%	9%
Portuguese, Spanish, Greek,					++		•			· ++++		++		++++							-	
Yugoslav, etc.)				-									<i></i>				10/		-			
Jewish	4%	8%	0%	5%	3%	0%	3%	3%	7%	11%	4%	3%	5%	7%	7%	2%	1%	2%	5%	2%	3%	6%
	0.01	+++		(0)	• • •	2	4.07	4.0./	+		0.04	0.01	9 .07	++	++			-	0.0 (-	(0)	0.0 /
Caribbean (Jamaican,	3%	1%	1%	6%	2%	3%	4%	1%	4%	4%	0%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%	0%	4%	6%	8%
Haitian, etc.)	1.0/	2 .07	0.04	+++		0.04	1.07			4.0.4	101	1.07	4.04	1.07	1.0.1	1.07	0.04	1.0/		1.01	+++	+++
Latin American (Mexican,	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	4%
Colombian, Salvadorean,					+																	+++
etc.)	201	10/	0.01	40.4	0.01	40/	407	2 0/	^ ^/	0.04	0.04	201	201	201	# •• /	201	10/	1.07	10/	201	0.01	m • /
Black African (Somali,	3%	1%	0%	4%	2%	4%	4%	2%	2%	0%	0%	3%	3%	3%	5%	3%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	7%
Ethiopian, Nigerian, etc.)	101	101	-	++		0.07	++		0.07	0.07	0.07	10/	1.0.1	101	++	0.01	1.07	1.0.1	0.07	1.0.1	201	+++
Arab (Lebanese, Egyptian,	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	4%
Moroccan, etc.)					++		++														+	++++

West Asian (Iranian, Turk, Afghan, etc.) 1% 0% 1% 0% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1% 0% 0% 1% 0% 0% 1% 0% 0% 1% 0% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 1% South Asian (East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan, etc.) 4% 9% 4% 0% 0% 0% 3% 3% 3% 4% 6% 6% ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ 4%
South Asian (East Indian, 4% 9% 4% 0% 0% 4% 3% 3% 2% 0% 5% 3% 4% 4% 3% 4% 6%	
Pakistani, Sri Lankan, etc.) ++++ +-	
	•
East Asian (Chinese, 4% 12% 4% 3% 1% 0% 4% 5% 3% 13% 0% 5% 3% 4% 4% 2% 5%	8%
Korean, Japanese, etc.) ++++	++
Southeast Asian (Filipino, 1% 2% 0% 1% 0% 0% 2% 1% 0% 3% 0% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 0% 2% 2%	3%
Vietnamese, etc.)	+
American 3% 3% 1% 2% 6% 2% 1% 0% 4% 4% 2% 3% 1% 3% 5% 3% 2% 4% 3%	2%
- +++ ++++ -	
Oceanian (Australian, New 1% 1% 2% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1% 0% 0% 1% 0% 0% 1% 0% 2% 0% 1% 0% 0% 1% 2%	2%
Zealander, Pacific Islander, + +	-
etc.)	
Other (please specify) 1% 0% 0% 2% 1% 0% 1% 0% 5% 0% 0% 1% 2% 3% 1% 0% 0% 0% 2% 1% 0%	0%
++++ ++++ +++	-
Don't know/No response	
Chi2: - (99.9 (99.9 (99.9 (99.9	
Margin of Error, around 50% 3.45 8.95 8.08 5.35 8.11 13.1 5.71 7.11 8.06 12.8 20.8 4.98 4.79 8.28 8.40 7.47 7.77 7.00 9.70 5.72 6.04	8.20
0 7 9	

Other than Canadian, to which e	ethnic o	r cultur	al grou	ıps do y	ou con	sider yo	ourself t	to belor	ng?					
	Total			I	Religio	n					Ethn	icity		
														Visibl
				_										е
			_	Other							Frenc			Mnrti
		Cath.	Prot.	Xian.	m	h	S	None	Abor.	h Isles	h	Euro.	h	es
QETHN														
Weighted Total:	799	194	134	87	9	11	50	305	93	389	163	293	31	123
Total:	809	187	147	83	14	18	55	294	88	370	162	262	26	166
Aboriginal (First Nations, Inuit, Métis)	12%	14%	8%	18% ++	0%	0%	14%	10%	100% ++++	9% 	17%	7% 	23%	4%
British Isles (English, Irish, Scottish, etc.)	49%	37%	65% ++++	55%	2%	22%	33%	52%	37%	100%	38%	45%	36%	15%
French	20%	29%	9%	17%	12%	5%	10%	24%	30%	16%	100%	13%	24%	7%
Other Western European	16%	++++	17%	23%	0%	0%	20%	+ 18%	++ 8%	16%	++++ 7%	44%	6%	3%
(German, Dutch, Austrian,				+								++++		
Belgian, etc.) Other Northern European	5%	2%	6%	4%	0%	6%	10%	6%	7%	8%	4%	13%	1%	3%
(Norwegian, Swedish,	J 70	<i>2</i> 70	070	470	070	070	10%		7 70	070 ++++		1370	1 70	570
Danish, Finnish, etc.)							Ţ							
Eastern European	11%	11%	9%	30%	0%	10%	15%	7%	9%	9%	7%	31%	47%	1%
(Ukrainian, Polish, Russian,	11/0	11/0	270	++++		1070	1070					+++++		
Hungarian, Romanian, etc.)														
Southern European (Italian,	8%	13%	4%	3%	0%	5%	1%	9%	2%	6%	8%	21%	6%	8%
Portuguese, Spanish, Greek,		++++										++++		
Yugoslav, etc.)														
Jewish	4%	0%	0%	8%	0%	85%	0%	4%	8%	3%	5%	6% ++	100%	5%
Caribbean (Jamaican,	3%	3%	4%	4%	0%	0%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	21%
Haitian, etc.)														++++
Latin American (Mexican,	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	4%	6%
Colombian, Salvadorean, etc.)										-				++++
Black African (Somali,	3%	1%	4%	4%	18%	0%	3%	2%	4%	1%	2%	1%	14%	16%
Ethiopian, Nigerian, etc.)	273	-	175	175	10/0	370	270	2,3	.,,,			_ / •	11/0	++++

Г														
Arab (Lebanese, Egyptian,	1%	0%	0%	0%	13%	6%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	3%	5%
Moroccan, etc.)														++++
West Asian (Iranian, Turk,	1%	0%	2%	0%	8%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%
Afghan, etc.)										-				++++
South Asian (East Indian,	4%	1%	2%	1%	40%	0%	25%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	24%
Pakistani, Sri Lankan, etc.)							++++	-	-					++++
East Asian (Chinese,	4%	0%	6%	2%	0%	0%	13%	5%	0%	2%	1%	3%	0%	25%
Korean, Japanese, etc.)							++++		-					++++
Southeast Asian (Filipino,	1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%
Vietnamese, etc.)		++												++++
American	3%	5%	3%	0%	0%	5%	0%	3%	8%	3%	6%	2%	4%	3%
		+		-					+++		+++			
Oceanian (Australian, New	1%	0%	0%	2%	7%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Zealander, Pacific Islander,				++						-				
etc.)														
Other (please specify)	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	0%
								+++				++		
Don't know/No response														
-														
Chi2:	-	(99.9)							(99.9)					
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.45	7.17	8.08	10.76	26.19	23.10	13.21	5.72	10.45	5.09	7.70	6.05	19.22	7.61

APPENDIX 3: Detailed Survey Results (including respondents who expressed no opinion)

Province Data on Survey Respondents

Province (imported)												T		T					T.			
		P	rovinc	e (imj	ported	l)		Part	y sup	port		Ger	nder			Age		1		Educ	ation	
	Total	BC	Prair ies	ON	QC	Atl.	Lib	Cons	NDP	Grn	BQ	М	F	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	HS	Coll		. Post grad.
PRESTRAT																						
Weighted Total:	1000	131	168	381	241	75	314	248	177	73	35	479	510	273	168	197	164	184	406	352	147	82
Total:	1000	135	179	392	220	70	353	225	181	70	34	482	507	179	172	212	197	226	124	363	317	182
BC	13%	100 %	0%	0% 	0% 	0% 	10%	13%	17% +	30% ++++	0% 	15% ++		12%	12%	12%	17% +	13%	13%	14%	10%	- 18%
Alberta	10%	0%	61%	0%	0%	0%	8%	19% ++++	4%	0%	0%	13% +++	7%	13%	10%	11%	5%	10%	11%	10%	9%	5%
Saskatchewan	3%		18%	0% 	0% 	0%	1%	5%	5% +	1%	0%	2%	4%	5%	2%	2%	5%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%
Manitoba	4%		21%	0% 	0% 	0%	2%	5%	5%	1%	0%	1%	6%	3%	6%	5%	4%	1%	4%	3%	4%	1%
Ontario	38%	0%	0%	100 % +++++	0% 	0% 	42%	44% ++	36%	35%	0% 	36%	40%	34%	40%	35%	37%	46% ++	37%	37%	42%	43%
Quebec	24%	0%	0%	0% 	100 % ++++	0% 	24%	9% 	28%	24%	100 % ++++	25%	24%	25%	25%	25%	27%	20%	23%	24%	27%	25%
New Brunswick	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	32%	5%	1%	0%	3%	0%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	1%	4%	4%	1%	1%	1%
Nova Scotia	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	42% ++++	4%	2%	4%	4%	0%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	5%	4%	3%	4%	3%	2%
PEI	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2% ++++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Newfoundland	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	24% ++++	3% +++	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	0%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%

Yukon	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
																					++	
Northwest Territories	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
								++++				++	-			++++			+++			
Nunavut	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi2:	-	(99.9					(99.9					(99.9		(95)					-			
)))										
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.10	8.43	7.32	4.95	6.61	11.7	5.22	6.53	7.28	11.7	16.8	4.46	4.35	7.32	7.47	6.73	6.98	6.52	8.80	5.14	5.50	7.26
_						1				1	1											

· •	Total			ŀ	Religio	n					Ethr	nicity		
		Cath.	Prot.	Other Xian.			Other s	None	Abor.	Britis h Isles		Other Euro.	Jewis h	Visibl e Mnrti es
PRESTRAT				1		L		L		L		11		
Weighted Total:	1000	252	162	109	9	11	56	377	93	389	163	293	31	123
Total:	1000	236	170	98	15	18	60	375	88	370	162	262	26	166
BC	13%	3%	13%	20% ++	7%	28%	34% ++++	15%	21% ++	17% +++	11%	19% ++++	31%	26% ++++
Alberta	10%	6%	10%	20% ++++	8%	0%	6%	11%	3%	12%	7%	14% ++	0%	10%
Saskatchewan	3%	2%	4%	5%	8%	0%	0%	3%	3%	2%	1%	5%	1%	1%
Manitoba	4%	3%	3%	5%	0%	0%	12% ++++	3%	3%	4%	2%	6% ++	0%	1%
Ontario	38%	34%	49% +++	35%	32%	35%	37%	38%	20%	45%	21%	40%	50%	49%
Quebec	24%	48% ++++	8%	4%	45%	34%	6%	23%	32%	8%	51%	12%	16%	10%
New Brunswick	2%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	2%	4%	1%	0%	0%
Nova Scotia	3%	2%	5%	5%	0%	0%	5%	2%	7% ++	5%	3%	2%	0%	3%

PEI	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
			++											
Newfoundland	2%	2%	1%	4%	0%	0%	0%	2%	8%	3%	1%	1%	0%	0%
									++++	+++				-
Yukon	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Northwest Territories	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		++++								++				
Nunavut	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi2:	-	(99.9)							(99.9)					
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.10	6.38	7.52	9.90	25.30	23.10	12.65	5.06	10.45	5.09	7.70	6.05	19.22	7.61

Opinion on the Government of Israel

Generally speaking, do you hav	ve a pos	sitive of	or nega	ative of	pinion	of the	gover	mment	of Isr	ael?									•			
		P	Provin	ce (im	portec	l)		Par	ty sup	port		Ger	nder			Age	1			Educ	ation	
			Prair																		Bach.	
	Total	BC	ies	ON	QC	Atl.	Lib	Cons	NDP	Grn	BQ	Μ	F	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	HS	Coll	deg.	grad.
Q2																						
Weighted Total:	1000	131	168	381	241	75	314	248	177	73	35	479	510	273	168	197	164	184	406	352	147	82
Total:	1000	135	179	392	220	70	353	225	181	70	34	482	507	179	172	212	197	226	124	363	317	182
NEGATIVE (collapsed)	41%	42%	30%	42%	48% ++	33%	50% ++++		56%	55% ++	55%	43%	40%	48% ++	38%	34%	43%	40%	33%	42%	57%	55%
NEITHER	24%	25%	26%	24%	23%	20%	21%	19%	29%	35%	9%	20%	27%	30%	22%	25%	19%	18%	23%	26%	21%	
POSITIVE (collapsed)	25%	29%	36%	25%	13%	27%	20%	55%	7%	5%	7%	30%		16%	19%	30%	28%	34%	28%	25%	16%	22%
Very negative	10%	13%	6%	8%	12%	11%	9%	4%	18%	14%	19% ++	9%	10%	9%	8%	9%	9%	12%	3%	11%	18%	23%
Somewhat negative	31%	29%	24%	34%	35%	22%	41%	16%	38%	41%	36%	33%	30%	39% +++		25%	34%	28%	30%	31%	39% +++	31%
Neither positive or negative	24%	25%	26%	24%	23%	20%	21%	19%	29%	35%	9%	20%	27%	30% +++	22%	25%	19%	18%	23%	26%		20%
Somewhat positive	13%	16%	18% ++	12%	9%	12%		20%	2%	4%	7%	15% ++	11%	11%	9%	16%	16%	14%	14%	12%	10%	13%
Very positive	12%	13%	17% ++	13%	5%	15%		35%	5%	1%	0%	15%	9%	5%	10%	14%	12%	20%	14%	12%	7%	9%
Don't know/No response	11%	4%	8%	9%	16%	20%	10%	5%	8%	5%	29%	8%	13%	6%	21%	11%	10%	8%	16%	7%	5%	4%
Chi2:	-	99.9					(99.9					99.9		99.9					99.9			
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.10	8.43	7.32	4.95	6.61	11.7 1	5.22	6.53	7.28	11.7 1	16.8 1	4.46	4.35	7.32	7.47	6.73	6.98	6.52	8.80	5.14	5.50	7.26

Generally speaking, do you hav	e a posi	itive or	negativ	ve opini	on of th	ne gove	rnment	of Isra	el?					
	Total			I	Religio	n					Ethr	icity		
														Visibl
														e
				Other	Musli	Jewis	Other			Britis	Frenc	Other	Jewis	Mnrti
		Cath.	Prot.	Xian.	m	h	s	None	Abor.	h Isles	h	Euro.	h	es
Q2														
Weighted Total:	1000	252	162	109	9	11	56	377	93	389	163	293	31	123
Total:	1000	236	170	98	15	18	60	375	88	370	162	262	26	166
											-			
NEGATIVE (collapsed)	41%	44%	24%	25%	36%	18%	37%	52%	27%	45%	49%	43%	39%	49%
	2.40/	220/	220/	240/	47%	21%	30%	++++ 23%		++ 19%	++ 30%	29%	29%	++ 23%
NEITHER	24%	22%	23%	24%	4/%	21%	30%	23%	39%					23%
	25%	20%	44%	44%	16%	61%	18%	15%	++++	25%	++ 16%	++ 23%	32%	25%
POSITIVE (collapsed)	23%	20%				01%	18%	15%	19%	23%	10%	23%	52%	23%
Vorm no cotino	10%	- 8%	++++ 7%	++++ 5%	18%	13%	8%	12%	8%	9%	11%	9%	5%	18%
Very negative	10%	0%	7 %0	J%	10%	13%	0%	1270	0%	9%	11%	9%	3%	
Somewhat negative	31%	36%	18%	20%	19%	5%	29%	40%	18%	37%	37%	33%	34%	++++
Somewhat negative	5170	30%		2070	1970	J 70	2970	40 70 ++++	1070	3770 +++			3470	5170
Neither positive or negative	24%	22%	23%	24%	47%	21%	30%	23%	39%	19%	30%	29%	29%	23%
Neither positive of negative	2470	2270	2370	2470	4770	2170	5070	2370	++++	1770	- ++	2)/0 ++		2370
Somewhat positive	13%	13%	22%	17%	0%	17%	9%	9%	10%	12%	10%	14%	5%	15%
Some what positive	1570	1570	+++++		070	1770	110		1070	1270	1070	11/0	570	1570
Very positive	12%	7%	22%	27%	16%	43%	9%	6%	8%	13%	6%	9%	27%	10%
Poprate			+++++			.270	2,0		0,0	10,0		-		10,0
Don't know/No response	11%	13%	8%	7%	0%	0%	14%	10%	16%	11%	6%	6%	0%	3%
Chi2:	-	(99.9)							(99.9)					
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.10	6.38	7.52	9.90	25.30	23.10	12.65	5.06	10.45	5.09	7.70	6.05	19.22	7.61

Opinion on pro-Israel or pro-Palestinian Media Bias

Do you believe the media in Ca	nada a	re gen	erally	pro-Isi	ael or	pro-P	alestin	ian?														
		P	Provin	ce (im	portec	Î)		Par	ty sup	port		Ger	ıder			Age				Educ	ation	
			Prair																		Bach.	Post
	Total	BC	ies	ON	QC	Atl.	Lib	Cons	NDP	Grn	BQ	Μ	F	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	HS	Coll	deg.	grad.
Q3																						
Weighted Total:	1000	131	168	381	241	75	314	248	177	73	35	479	510	273	168	197	164	184	406	352	147	82
Total:	1000	135	179	392	220	70	353	225	181	70	34	482	507	179	172	212	197	226	124	363	317	182
Pro-Israel	28%	31%	24%	26%	33%	18%	32%	20%	42%	25%	26%	32%	23%	29%	24%	27%	28%	27%	25%	26%	36%	34%
					++	-	++		++++			+++									+++	· +
Pro-Palestinian	17%	20%	26%	16%	12%	7%	7%	39%	7%	6%	7%	19%	14%	19%	11%	18%	16%	15%	18%	17%	13%	15%
			++++					- ++++				++									-	
Neither	36%	37%	32%	41%	29%	44%	45%	30%	32%	39%	28%	37%	36%	32%	44%	37%	31%	43%	35%	39%	37%	34%
				++			++++								++		-	++				
Don't know/No response	19%	12%	18%	16%	25%	31%	16%	11%	19%	31%	38%	12%	27%	20%	21%	18%	25%	14%	22%	18%	15%	16%
Chi2:	-	99.9					99.9					99		-					-			
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.10	8.43	7.32	4.95	6.61	11.7	5.22	6.53	7.28	11.7	16.8	4.46	4.35	7.32	7.47	6.73	6.98	6.52	8.80	5.14	5.50	7.26
						1				1	1											

Do you believe the media in Ca	nada ar	e gener	ally pro	o-Israel	or pro-	Palesti	nian?							
	Total				Religion						Ethn	icity		
														Visibl
														e
				Other	Musli	Jewis	Other			Britis	Frenc	Other	Jewis	Mnrti
		Cath.	Prot.	Xian.	m	h	s	None	Abor.	h Isles	h	Euro.	h	es
Q3														
Weighted Total:	1000	252	162	109	9	11	56	377	93	389	163	293	31	123
Total:	1000	236	170	98	15	18	60	375	88	370	162	262	26	166
						-						-	-	
Pro-Israel	28%	26%	23%	24%	40%	44%	22%	32%	20%	30%	32%	36%	14%	22%
								++	-			++++		-
Pro-Palestinian	17%	17%	22%	18%	11%	39%	11%	14%	13%	15%	16%	17%	14%	15%
			++					-						
Neither	36%	35%	33%	30%	41%	18%	61%	38%	30%	40%	32%	31%	31%	53%
							++++			+				++++
Don't know/No response	19%	22%	22%	27%	8%	0%	5%	16%	37%	15%	20%	16%	41%	10%
-														
Chi2:	-	(99)							(99)					
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.10	6.38	7.52	9.90	25.30	23.10	12.65	5.06	10.45	5.09	7.70	6.05	19.22	7.61

Opinion on pro-Israel or pro-Palestinian Bias of Canadian Government

Do you believe that the Canadia	an gov	ernme	nt has	genera	lly be	en moi	re pro-	Israel	or mor	e pro-l	Palesti	inian?										
		P	Provin	ce (imj	portec	l)		Par	ty sup	port		Gen	ıder			Age				Educ	ation	
			Prair																		Bach	. Post
	Total	BC	ies	ON	QC	Atl.	Lib	Cons	NDP	Grn	BQ	Μ	F	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	HS	Coll	deg.	grad.
Q4																						
Weighted Total:	1000	131	168	381	241	75	314	248	177	73	35	479	510	273	168	197	164	184	406	352	147	82
Total:	1000	135	179	392	220	70	353	225	181	70	34	482	507	179	172	212	197	226	124	363	317	182
Pro-Israel	47%	53%	43%	48%	49%	31%	57%	36%	59%	49%	39%	58%	36%	49%	35%	44%	56%	50%	45%	42%	58%	60%
							++++		++++			++++					+++				· ++++	- ++++
Pro-Palestinian	12%	15%	18%	10%	9%	9%	3%	31%	7%	5%	8%	12%	12%	10%	8%	13%	10%	15%	11%	13%	8%	12%
			+++		-			++++		-					-			+	•			-
Neither	18%	10%	19%	20%	15%	18%	21%	19%	11%	12%	5%	16%	18%	12%	27%	20%	13%	19%	13%	23%	18%	13%
							++				-				++++		-			+++		
Don't know/No response	24%	21%	20%	22%	27%	42%	19%	14%	24%	34%	48%	14%	34%	29%	30%	23%	21%	16%	31%	22%	16%	14%
Chi2:	-	99					(99.9					99.9		99.9					99.9			
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.10	8.43	7.32	4.95	6.61	11.7 1	5.22	6.53	7.28	11.7 1	16.8 1	4.46	4.35	7.32	7.47	6.73	6.98	6.52	8.80	5.14	5.50	7.26

Do you believe that the Canadia	in gove	rnment	has gei	nerally	been m	ore pro	-Israel	or more	pro-Pa	alestinia	ın?			
	Total]	Religio	n					Ethn	nicity		
														Visibl
														e
				Other	Musli	Jewis	Other			Britis	Frenc	Other	Jewis	Mnrti
		Cath.	Prot.	Xian.	m	h	S	None	Abor.	h Isles	h	Euro.	h	es
Q4														
Weighted Total:	1000	252	162	109	9	11	56	377	93	389	163	293	31	123
Total:	1000	236	170	98	15	18	60	375	88	370	162	262	26	166
Pro-Israel	47%	48%	45%	35%	43%	61%	39%	51%	36%	52%	51%	56%	28%	50%
								++		· +++		++++		
Pro-Palestinian	12%	10%	20%	18%	8%	11%	11%	8%	10%	9%	9%	13%	4%	9%
			++++	++										
Neither	18%	20%	15%	13%	38%	29%	25%	16%	15%	16%	10%	11%	27%	29%
														++++
Don't know/No response	24%	22%	20%	34%	11%	0%	26%	24%	38%	23%	30%	21%	41%	12%
-														
Chi2:	-	(99)							(99.9)					
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.10	6.38	7.52	9.90	25.30	23.10	12.65	5.06	10.45	5.09	7.70	6.05	19.22	7.61

Opinion on whether criticism of Israel is anti-Semitic

Some people argue that criticism and is not necessarily anti-Semi													raeli g	govern	ment p	olicy	is like	critici	sm of a	any otl	ner cou	untry,
				ce (im					ty sup	-		Gen	der			Age				Educ	ation	
			Prair													0					Bach.	Post
	Total	BC	ies	ON	QC	Atl.	Lib	Cons	NDP	Grn	BQ	Μ	F	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	HS	Coll	deg.	grad.
Q8																						
Weighted Total:	1000	131	168	381	241	75	314	248	177	73	35	479	510	273	168	197	164	184	406	352	147	82
Total:	1000	135	179	392	220	70	353	225	181	70	34	482	507	179	172	212	197	226	124	363	317	182
Criticism of Israeli	7%	6%	4%	8%	9%	6%	3%	17%	0%	6%	0%	8%	7%	4%	6%	7%	9%	10%	8%	8%	3%	7%
government policy is anti- Semitic			-					++++			-							+				
Criticism of Israeli government policy is like criticism of any other country, and is not necessarily anti-Semitic	77%	80%	82%	77%	75%	65% 	84% ++++		87% ++++	85%	75%	81% +++		79%	73%	74%	80%	78%	71%	77%	89% ++++	
Don't know/No response	16%	14%	14%	14%	17%	29%	13%	14%	13%	10%	25%	12%	20%	17%	21%	19%	12%	11%	21%	15%	7%	7%
Chi2:	-	-					(99.9)					-		90					95			
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.10	8.43	7.32	4.95	6.61	11.7 1	5.22	6.53	7.28	11.7 1	16.8 1	4.46	4.35	7.32	7.47	6.73	6.98	6.52	8.80	5.14	5.50	7.26

Some people argue that criticism of Israeli government policy is anti-Semitic. Others say that criticism of Israeli government policy is like criticism of any other country, and is not necessarily anti-Semitic. Which of these statements comes closest to your own point of view?

	Total]	Religio	n					Ethr	nicity		
														Visibl e
					Musli	Jewis	Other					Other	Jewis	Mnrti
		Cath.	Prot.	Xian.	m	h	S	None	Abor.	h Isles	h	Euro.	h	es
Q8														
Weighted Total:	1000	252	162	109	9	11	56	377	93	389	163	293	31	123
Total:	1000	236	170	98	15	18	60	375	88	370	162	262	26	166
Criticism of Israeli	7%	8%	16%	9%	8%	20%	3%	3%	5%	7%	6%	4%	4%	4%
government policy is anti-			++++											
Semitic														
Criticism of Israeli	77%	77%	72%	63%	65%	70%	85%	83%	62%	80%	77%	82%	58%	82%
government policy is like							+	++++		++		++		+
criticism of any other														
country, and is not														
necessarily anti-Semitic														
Don't know/No response	16%	16%	12%	28%	27%	10%	12%	14%	33%	13%	18%	14%	38%	14%
Chi2:	-	(99.9)							-					
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.10	6.38	7.52	9.90	25.30	23.10	12.65	5.06	10.45	5.09	7.70	6.05	19.22	7.61

Demographic Data on Survey Respondents

Which federal political party do	yous				nonter	1)	1	Dow		nort		Gen	don			Age				Educ	otion	
	Province (imported) Prair				Party support				Gen	laer	Age				Education Bach. Post							
	Total	BC		ON	QC	A 41	Lib	Conc	NDP	C	BQ	М	F	10 21	25 11	45-54	55 CA	65+	HS	Coll		
QPOL	Total	DC	ies	UN	Ųυ	Atl.	LID	Cons	NDP	Gfil	ЪŲ	IVI	Г	10-34	35-44	43-34	33-04	05+	пэ	Coll	deg.	grau
QIOL																						
Weighted Total:	1000	131	168	381	241	75	314	248	177	73	35	479	510	273	168	197	164	184	406	352	147	82
Total:	1000	135	179	392	220	70	353	225	181	70	34	482	507	179	172	212	197	226	124	363	317	182
Liberal Party of Canada	31%	24%	20%	34%	31%	56%	100	0%	0%	0%	0%	36%	27%	33%	26%	24%	34%	40%	28%	30%	40%	39%
						++++	%					+++						+++			+++	++
							++++															
Conservative Party of	25%	25%	41%	29%	9%	14%	0%	100	0%	0%	0%	29%	22%	20%	24%	30%	24%	28%	28%	26%	16%	16%
Canada			++++	• ++				· % ++++				+++		-		++						
New Democratic Party	18%	23%	15%	17%	20%	10%	0%	0%	100	0%	0%	13%	23%	20%	17%	14%	21%	16%	16%	18%	21%	20%
	1070	+		1770	2070				%				+++++	2070	1770	11/0	21/0	1070	10/0	1070	21/0	2070
									++++													
Green Party of Canada	7%	17%	1%	7%	7%	9%	0%	0%	0%	100	0%	8%	7%	13%	5%	4%	4%	8%	7%	7%	6%	12%
		++++								%	-			++++								+++
Plac Orábáccia	4%	0%	0%	00/	15%	0%	0%	0%	0%	$\frac{++++}{0\%}$	100	4%	3%	1%	3%	8%	5%	1%	3%	4%	5%	3%
Bloc Québécois	4%	0%0	070	0%0	15%	0%	070	0%	0%	0%	100 %	4%	3%	1 %0	3%	070 ++++	J %0	1 %0	3%	4%	J%	3%
											/ U ++++											
Other	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	0%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%
									-							++				+++		
Don't know/No response	14%	9%	21%	12%	15%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%	17%	11%	23%	17%	12%	5%	16%	12%	12%	9%
Chi2:		(00.0					(00.0					00.0		(00.0					(05)			
Chi2:	-	(99.9					(99.9					99.9		(99.9					(95)			
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.10	8.43	7.32	4.95	6.61	11.7	5.22	6.53	7.28	11.7	16.8	4.46	4.35	7.32	7.47	6.73	6.98	6.52	8.80	5.14	5.50	7.26
Linghi of Dirot, around 5070	5.10	0.15	1.52		5.01	1	0.22	0.00	7.20	1	10.0			1.52	,,	0.75	0.70	5.02	5.00	2.11	2.20	,.20

Which federal political party do you support the most?																
	Total	Religion								Ethnicity						
														Visibl		
											_			е		
		~ ~	_		Musli							Other				
		Cath.	Prot.	Xian.	m	h	S	None	Abor.	h Isles	h	Euro.	h	es		
QPOL																
Weighted Total:	1000	252	162	109	9	11	56	377	93	389	163	293	31	123		
Total:	1000	236	170	98	15	18	60	375	88	370	162	262	26	166		
Liberal Party of Canada	31%	32%	27%	25%	84%	53%	29%	33%	34%	36%	36%	25%	23%	40%		
-										++				++		
Conservative Party of	25%	22%	40%	38%	8%	18%	16%	19%	11%	27%	16%	29%	18%	21%		
Canada			++++	+++								+				
New Democratic Party	18%	16%	14%	18%	0%	21%	37%	18%	21%	19%	21%	21%	30%	15%		
							++++					+				
Green Party of Canada	7%	2%	7%	7%	0%	0%	8%	12%	10%	6%	10%	11%	22%	11%		
-								++++			+	+++		++		
Bloc Québécois	4%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	3%	7%	0%	9%	1%	3%	1%		
		++++		-					++		++++					
Other	2%	0%	1%	0%	8%	0%	3%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	3%		
								+++								
Don't know/No response	14%	19%	11%	11%	0%	7%	6%	12%	15%	10%	7%	12%	4%	9%		
Chi2:	-	(99.9)							(99.9)							
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.10	6.38	7.52	9.90	25.30	23.10	12.65	5.06	10.45	5.09	7.70	6.05	19.22	7.61		

What is your gender?																						
		P	Provin	ce (im	portec	I)		Par	ty sup	port		Gen	der			Age				Educ	ation	
			Prair																		Bach.	Post
	Total	BC	ies	ON	QC	Atl.	Lib	Cons	NDP	Grn	BQ	Μ	F	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	HS	Coll	deg.	grad.
QGENDR																						
Weighted Total:	1000	131	168	381	241	75	314	248	177	73	35	479	510	273	168	197	164	184	406	352	147	82
Total:	1000	135	179	392	220	70	353	225	181	70	34	482	507	179	172	212	197	226	124	363	317	182
Male	48%	56%	46%	45%	49%	43%	55%	55%	35%	50%	56%	100	0%	53%	39%	52%	46%	49%	49%	47%	43%	54%
		++	-				+++	++	·			%		+							-	- +
												++++										
Female	51%	41%	52%	54%	50%	57%	45%	45%	65%	48%	44%	0%	100	46%	60%	48%	53%	51%	50%	53%	57%	43%
									· ++++				%		+++						+	
													++++									
Other	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
		++	-											+								+++
No response	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Chi2:	-	(90)					(99)					99.9		-					(95)			
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.10	8.43	7.32	4.95	6.61	11.7 1	5.22	6.53	7.28	11.7 1	16.8 1	4.46	4.35	7.32	7.47	6.73	6.98	6.52	8.80	5.14	5.50	7.26

What is your gender?														
	Total]	Religio	n					Ethr	nicity		
														Visibl
														e
				Other	Musli	Jewis	Other			Britis	Frenc	Other	Jewis	Mnrti
		Cath.	Prot.	Xian.	m	h	S	None	Abor.	h Isles	h	Euro.	h	es
QGENDR														
Weighted Total:	1000	252	162	109	9	11	56	377	93	389	163	293	31	123
Total:	1000	236	170	98	15	18	60	375	88	370	162	262	26	166
						-								
Male	48%	47%	40%	42%	64%	51%	50%	53%	40%	47%	43%	48%	35%	58%
						10		++	10					+++
Female	51%	53%	60%	56%	36%	49%	45%	47%	60%	53%	56%	51%	62%	41%
			++						+	-				
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%
														+++
No response	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%
-														
Chi2:	-	-							(95)					
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.10	6.38	7.52	9.90	25.30	23.10	12.65	5.06	10.45	5.09	7.70	6.05	19.22	7.61

What is your age?																						
		P	rovin	ce (im	portec	l)		Part	ty sup	port		Ger	der			Age				Educ	ation	r
	T ()		Prair		00		T 'I	C		C	DO		Б	10.24	25 44	45 54	== <i>(</i>		IIC		Bach.	
QAGE	Total	BC	ies	ON	QC	Atl.	Lib	Cons	NDP	Grn	RŐ	Μ	F	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	HS	Coll	deg.	grad.
Q. TOL																						
Weighted Total:	1000	131	168	381	241	75	314	248	177	73	35	479	510	273	168	197	164	184	406	352	147	82
Total:	1000	135	179	392	220	70	353	225	181	70	34	482	507	179	172	212	197	226	124	363	317	182
18-34	27%	25%	34%	25%	28%	30%	29%	22%	32%	49%	11%	30%	25%	100	0%	0%	0%	0%	28%	23%	32%	35%
			++							++++		+	-	%			·				+	++
35-44	17%	15%	17%	17%	17%	15%	14%	16%	16%	12%	15%	14%	200/	++++ 0%	100	0%	0%	0%	12%	18%	24%	210/
55-44	1/%	13%	1/%	1/%	1/%	13%	14%	10%	10%	12%	13%	1470		U 70	100 %	0%	U%0	0%	1270	18%	24% +++	
															++++							
45-54	20%	18%	21%	18%	20%	22%	15%	24%	16%	10%		21%	19%	0%	0%	100	0%	0%	16%	24%	21%	18%
								· ++			++++					% ++++				++		
55-64	16%	22%	13%	16%	18%	12%	18%	16%	19%	8%	25%	16%	17%	0%	0%	<u> </u>	100	0%	22%	16%	8%	9%
		+								-							%		+++			
	1.00/	100/	1.40/	220/	150/	200/	220/	010/	170/	210/	70/	100/	100/	00/	0.07	00/	++++	100	220/	100/	100/	1.40/
65 or greater	18%	19%	14%		15%	20%	23%		17%	21%	7%	19%	19%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100 %	22%	18%	12%	14%
																		++++				
No response	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	3%	2%
Chi2:	-	-					99.9					90		99.9					99.9			
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.10	8.43	7.32	4.95	6.61	11.7	5.22	6.53	7.28	11.7	16.8	4.46	4.35	7.32	7.47	6.73	6.98	6.52	8.80	5.14	5.50	7.26
						1				1	1											

What is your age?														
	Total]	Religio	n					Ethr	nicity		
		Cath.	Prot.	Other Xian.	Musli m	Jewis h	Other s		Abor	Britis h Isles		Other Euro.	Jewis h	Visibl e Mnrti es
QAGE		Catil.	1100	Alall,	- 111	- 11	3	TONE	ADDI.	11 15105	п	Euro.	- 11	65
Weighted Total:	1000	252	162	109	9	11	56	377	93	389	163	293	31	123
Total:	1000	236	170	98	15	18	60	375	88	370	162	262	26	166
18-34	27%	22%	7%	43%	17%	25%	12%	39%	20%	29%	35% ++	42%	49%	29%
35-44	17%	14%	12%	14%	30%	37%	24%	20%	11%	14%	16%	14%	29%	19%
45-54	20%	27% ++++	19%	18%	24%	23%	19%	16%	21%	19%	13%	19%	10%	20%
55-64	16%	18%	22% ++	12%	15%	7%	14%	15%	27% +++	17%	18%	12%	4%	12%
65 or greater	18%	19%	39% ++++	12%	14%	8%	27%	11%	20%	20%	18%	13%	8%	20%
No response	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	4%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%
Chi2:	-	(99.9)							(99.9)					
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.10	6.38	7.52	9.90	25.30	23.10	12.65	5.06	10.45	5.09	7.70	6.05	19.22	7.61

What is the highest level of sch	8			ce (im				Part	ty sup	nort		Gen	der			Age				Educ	ation	
		1	Prair		porteu	l)		1 al i	iy sup	μοιι		Gen	luei			Age					Bach.	Post
	Total	BC	ies	ON	QC	Atl.	Lib	Cons	NDP	Grn	BQ	М	F	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	HS		deg.	
QEDUC			1	1					I	I			I		ļ	ļ	ļ				U	0
Weighted Total:	1000	131	168	381	241	75	314	248	177	73	35	479	510	273	168	197	164	184	406	352	147	82
Total:	1000	135	179	392	220	70	353	225	181	70	34	482	507	179	172	212	197	226	124	363	317	182
Grade 8 or less	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8% ++++	0%	1% ++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3% ++++	1% ++++	0%	0%	0%
Some high school	6%	9%	2%	5%	9% ++	0%	7%	2%	6%	4%	0%	7%	5%	7%	3%	2%	9% ++	7%	14% ++++	0%	0%	0%
High School diploma or equivalent	35%	30%	44%	34%	28%	41%		44% ++++	31%	31%	38%	34%	35%	35%	26%	32%	45% +++	38%	85% ++++	0%	0%	0%
Registered Apprenticeship or other trades certificate or diploma	5%	6%	6%	3% 	8% +++	4%	3%	7% +	3%	1%	6%	7% +++	3%	5%	4%	5%	8% +	4%		14% ++++	0% 	0%
College, CEGEP or other non-university certificate or diploma	23%	18%	21%	25%	22%	29%	23%	25%	27%	21%	19%	21%	25% +	21%	27%	27% +	19%	21%		65% ++++	0%	0%
University certificate or diploma below bachelor's level	7%	14% +++	6%	6%	6%	8%	8%	5%	7%	10%	11%	6%	8%	4%	7%	10%	6%	9%		21% ++++	0% 	0%
Bachelor's degree	15%	11%	14%	16%	16%	10%	18% ++	10% 	17%	12%	19%	13%	16%	17%	21% ++	16%	7% 	10% 	0%	0% 	100 % +++++	0%
Post graduate degree above bachelor's level	8%	11%	4%	9%	9%	6%	10%	5%	9%	14% +	7%	9%	7%	10%	10%	8%	5%	6%	0% 	0% 	0% 	100 %
No response	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Chi2:	-	(99.9					(99.9					(99)		(99.9					(99.9			
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.10	8.43	7.32	4.95	6.61	11.7	5.22	6.53	7.28	11.7	16.8	4.46	4.35	7.32	7.47	6.73	6.98	6.52	8.80	5.14	5.50	7.26

What is the highest level of sche	ooling	that you	1 have c	-					I					
	Total		1]	Religio	1	1	1		1	Ethr	nicity	1	1
		Cath.	Prot.		Musli m	Jewis h	Other s	None	Abor.		Frenc h	Other Euro.	Jewis h	Visibl e Mnrti es
QEDUC														
Weighted Total:	1000	252	162	109	9	11	56	377	93	389	163	293	31	123
Total:	1000	236	170	98	15	18	60	375	88	370	162	262	26	166
Grade 8 or less	1%	0%	2% ++	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2% ++	0%	0%	0%
Some high school	6%	9% +++	6%	6%	0%	0%	9%	3%	21% ++++	5%	4%	8% ++	20%	2%
High School diploma or equivalent	35%	35%	41%	33%	0%	0%	34%	36%	24%	42%	31%	38%	35%	20%
Registered Apprenticeship or other trades certificate or diploma	5%	5%	4%	8%	0%	0%	3%	5%	8%	4%	5%	4%	0%	3%
College, CEGEP or other non-university certificate or diploma	23%	21%	22%	27%	35%	19%	19%	23%	26%	22%	27%	23%	10%	24%
University certificate or diploma below bachelor's level	7%	8%	6%	7%	18%	22%	10%	7%	4%	7%	7%	6%	10%	9%
Bachelor's degree	15%	16%	11%	12%	16%	33%	16%	15%	9%	14%	18%	14%	12%	23% +++
Post graduate degree above bachelor's level	8%	5%	7%	6%	31%	26%	9%	10%	5%	6% 	6%	6%	13%	18% ++++
No response	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	3%	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%
Chi2:	-	(95)							(99.9)					
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.10	6.38	7.52	9.90	25.30	23.10	12.65	5.06	10.45	5.09	7.70	6.05	19.22	7.61

| | P | rovin | ce (imp | orted | l) | | Part
 | y sup | port |
 | Gen | der |
 |
 | Age | |
 | | Educ | ation | |
|-------|---|---|---|--|--|--
--
---|---|--|--|--|--
--
---|--
--|--|--
---|--|---|--|
| | | Prair | | | | |
 | | |
 | | |
 |
 | | |
 | | | Bach. | Post |
| Total | BC | ies | ON | QC | Atl. | Lib | Cons
 | NDP | Grn | BQ
 | Μ | F | 18-34
 | 35-44
 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+
 | HS | Coll | deg. | grad | | | |
| | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | |
 |
 | | |
 | | | | - |
| 1000 | 131 | 168 | 381 | 241 | 75 | 314 | 248
 | 177 | 73 | 35
 | 479 | 510 | 273
 | 168
 | 197 | 164 | 184
 | 406 | 352 | 147 | 82 |
| 1000 | 135 | 179 | 392 | 220 | 70 | 353 | 225
 | 181 | 70 | 34
 | 482 | 507 | 179
 | 172
 | 212 | 197 | 226
 | 124 | 363 | 317 | 182 |
| 25% | 6% | 16% | /0 | | 12% | 26% | 23%
 | 23% | |
 | 25% | 26% | 20%
 | 21%
 | | 27% | 26%
 | 28% | 24% | 27% | 17% |
| 16% | 16% | 16% | | |
30% | 14% | 26%
 | 13% | 15% | ++++
0%
 | 14% | 19% | - 4%
 | 11%
 | | | 34%
 | 19% | 15% | 13% | 14% |
| | | | +++ | | ++++ | | ++++
 | | |
 | | ++ | | |
|---|---|---|---|
 | | |
 | | | | |
| 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 0% | 1% | 2%
+++
 | 0% | 1% | 0%
 | 0% | 1% | 2%
++
 | 1%
 | 0% | 0% | 0%
 | 0% | 1% | 1% | 2% |
| 10% | | | 9% | 1% | 14% | 8% |
 | 11% | 10% | 1%
 | 9% | 11% | 15%
+++
 | 8%
 | 10% | 8% | 7%
 | 10% | 12% | 8% | 5% |
| 1% | 0% | 1% | 1% | 2% | 0% | 3% | 0%
 | 0% | 0% | 0%
 | 1% | 1% | 1%
 | 2%
 | 1% | 1% | 1%
 | 0% | 1% | 1% | 4% |
| 1% | 2% | 0% | 1% | 2% | 0% | 2% | 1%
 | 1% | 0% | 0%
 | 1% | 1% | 1%
 | 3%
 | 1% | 1% | 0%
 | 0% | 1% | 3% | ++-
4% |
| 1% | 1% | 2% | 1% | 1% | 0% | 1% | 0%
 | 2% | 1% | 2%
 | 1% | 0% | 1%
 | + 1%
 | 1% | 0% | 2%
 | 1% | 1% | 2% | 1% |
| 1% | 2% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1%
 | 0% | 0% | 0%
 | 1% | 0% | 0%
 | 0%
 | 1% | 2% | 0%
 | 1% | 0% | ++
0% | 1% |
| 1% | 5% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1% | $\frac{++}{1\%}$
 | 1% | 1% | 0%
 | 1% | 0% | 1%
 | 1%
 | 0% | ++
0% | 1%
 | 1% | 1% | 1% | 0% |
| 1% | 2% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1%
 | 2% | 0% | 0%
 | 1% | 1% | 0%
 | 0%
 | 0% | 0% | 3%
 | 1% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 3% | 5% | 4% | 3% | 1% | 3% | 3% | 0%
 | 7% | 4% | 0%
 | 2% | 4% | 1%
 | 6%
 | 3% | 3% | 2%
 | 3% | 3% | 3% | 4% |
| 38% | 43% | 38% | 38% | 36% | 34% | 39% |
 | | | 33%
 | | 35% |
 |
 | 30% | 33% | 23%
 | 36% | 38% | 40% | |
| 2% | 1% | 3% | 1% | 2% | 6% | 3% | 1%
 | 0% | ++++
0% | 8%
 | 2% | 2% | 1%
 | + 3%
 | 1% | 3% | 1%
 | 1% | 2% | 2% | + | | | |
| | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | |
 |
 | | |
 | | | | |
| | 1000
25%
16%
1%
1%
1%
1%
1%
1%
1%
3%
38% | Total BC 1000 131 1000 135 25% 6% 16% 16% 1% 1% 1% 0% 1% 2% 1% 2% 1% 2% 1% 5% +++ 1% 1% 5% +++ 3% 38% 43% | Total BC Prair
ies 1000 131 168 1000 135 179 25% 6% 16% 10% 16% 16% 10% 16% 16% 1% 1% 1% 1% 2% 0% 1% 2% 0% 1% 2% 0% 1% 2% 0% 1% 2% 0% 1% 2% 0% 1% 2% 0% 1% 2% 0% 1% 5% 0% +++ 1% 5% 0% +++ 3% 5% 4% 38% 43% 38% 38% | Total BC Prair
ies ON 1000 131 168 381 1000 135 179 392 25% 6% 16% 22% 25% 6% 16% 21% 16% 16% 16% 21% 16% 16% 16% 21% 16% 16% 16% 9% 11% 1% 1% 1% 10% 26% 0% 1% 11% 2% 0% 1% 11% 2% 0% 1% 11% 2% 0% 1% 11% 2% 0% 1% 11% 2% 0% 1% 11% 2% 0% 1% 11% 2% 0% 1% 11% 5% 0% 1% 11% 5% 0% 1% 11% 5% 0% 1% | Total BC Prair
ies ON QC 1000 131 168 381 241 1000 135 179 392 220 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% -++++ 16% 16% 21% 5% ++++ 1% 1% 1% 1% 10% 16% 19% 9% 1% 1% 0% 1% 1% 2% 1% 0% 1% 1% 2% 1% 2% 0% 1% 2% 1% 2% 0% 1% 0% 1% 2% 0% 1% 0% 1% 2% 0% 1% 0% 1% 2% 0% 1% 0% 1% 2% 0% 1% 0% 1% 2% | Total BC ies ON QC Atl. 1000 131 168 381 241 75 1000 135 179 392 220 70 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% $$ $$ $-++++$ $$ 16% 16% 21% 5% 30% 16% 16% 21% 5% 30% 16% 16% 19% 9% 1% 0% 10% 16% 19% 9% 1% 1% 0% 11% 0% 1% 1% 0% 0% 1% 0% 11% 2% 0% 1% 2% 0% 1% 0% 11% 2% 0% 1% 0% 0% 1% 11% 2% 0% 1% 0% 0% 1% 11% 2% | Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 16% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 16% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 11% 1% 1% 1% 0% 1% 16% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 11% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 10% 16% 19% 9% 1% 1% 8% 11% 0% 1% 1% 2% 0% 1% 11% 2% 0% 1% 2% 0% 1% 11% 2% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% <td< td=""><td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 23% 16% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 16% 16% 19% 9% 1% 0% 1% 2% 16% 16% 19% 9% 1% 1% 2% 16% 16% 19% 9% 1% 1% 2% 11% 1% 1% 1% 0% 1% 2% 11% 2% 0% 1% 2% 0% 1% 11% 2% 0% 1% 0% 1% 0% 11% 2% 0% 1% 0%</td><td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 23% 23% - ++++ - ++++ 13% - ++++ - </td><td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 25% 6% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 13% 15% -++++ 15% </td><td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 23% 23% 8% 57% ++++ ++++ +++++ +++++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++</td><td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 23% 23% 8% 57% 25% -++++ +++++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++</td><td>Total BC Prair ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 23% 23% 8% 57% 25% 26% 16% 16% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 13% 15% 0% 14% 19% 1%<!--</td--><td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 25% 6% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 13% 15% 0% 14% 19%
 4% 16% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 13% 15% 0% 14% 19% 14% 11% 15% 0% 14% 11% 11% 19% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1%<td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 23% 23% 8% 57% 25% 26% 20% 21% 16% 16% 19% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 0% 1% 0% 1% <td< td=""><td>Total BC Prairies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 23% 23% 8% 57% 25% 26% 20% 11% 16% 19% 11% 11% 16% 11% 16% 13% 15% 0% 14% 16% 14% 16% 14% 16% 14% 16% 14% 16% 16% 16% 16% 11% 15% 0% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16%</td><td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 25% 6% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 13% 15% 0% 14% 19% 4% 11% 16% 22% 1% 0% 0% 1% 1% 1% 1% 0% 0% 1% 1% 0% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 16% 1% 1% 0% 1% 1%</td><td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 184 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 226 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 13% 15% 0% 14% 19% 2% 20% 21% 35% 26% 16% 16% 16% 19% 9% 14% 2% 0% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1%</td><td>Total BC Prair
iss ON QC Att. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ HS 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 184 406 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 226 124 25% 6% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 13% 15% 0% 179 172 212 197 26% 28% 16% 16% 16% 1% 0% 30% 13% 13% 5% 7% 10% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16%</td><td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ HS Coll 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 184 406 352 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 266 28% 24% -++++ -++++ +++++ +++++ +++++ ++++++ ++++++ ++++++ ++++++ +++++++ +++++++++++++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++</td><td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ HS Coll deg. 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 184 406 352 147 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 26 124 363 317 25% 6% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 27% 26% 28% 24% 27% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 22% 34% 19% 15% 3% 19% 16% 16% 16% 16% 24%</td></td<></td></td></td></td<> | Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 23% 16% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 16% 16% 19% 9% 1% 0% 1% 2% 16% 16% 19% 9% 1% 1% 2% 16% 16% 19% 9% 1% 1% 2% 11% 1% 1% 1% 0% 1% 2% 11% 2% 0% 1% 2% 0% 1% 11% 2% 0% 1% 0% 1% 0% 11% 2% 0% 1% 0% | Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 23% 23% - ++++ - ++++ 13% - ++++ - | Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353
 225 181 70 25% 6% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 13% 15% -++++ 15% | Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 23% 23% 8% 57% ++++ ++++ +++++ +++++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++ | Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 23% 23% 8% 57% 25% -++++ +++++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++ | Total BC Prair ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 23% 23% 8% 57% 25% 26% 16% 16% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 13% 15% 0% 14% 19% 1% </td <td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 25% 6% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 13% 15% 0% 14% 19% 4% 16% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 13% 15% 0% 14% 19% 14% 11% 15% 0% 14% 11% 11% 19% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1%<td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 23% 23% 8% 57% 25% 26% 20% 21% 16% 16% 19% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 0% 1% 0% 1% <td< td=""><td>Total BC Prairies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 23% 23% 8% 57% 25% 26% 20% 11% 16% 19% 11% 11% 16% 11% 16% 13% 15% 0% 14% 16% 14% 16% 14% 16% 14% 16% 14% 16% 16% 16% 16% 11% 15% 0% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16%</td><td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 25% 6% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 13% 15% 0% 14% 19% 4% 11% 16% 22% 1% 0% 0% 1% 1% 1% 1% 0% 0% 1% 1% 0% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 16% 1% 1% 0% 1% 1%</td><td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 184 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 226 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 13% 15% 0% 14% 19% 2% 20% 21% 35% 26% 16% 16% 16% 19% 9% 14% 2% 0% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1%</td><td>Total BC Prair
iss ON QC Att. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ HS 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 184 406 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 226 124 25% 6% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 13% 15% 0% 179 172 212 197 26% 28% 16% 16% 16% 1% 0% 30% 13% 13% 5% 7% 10% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16%</td><td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ HS Coll 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 184 406 352 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212
 197 266 28% 24% -++++ -++++ +++++ +++++ +++++ ++++++ ++++++ ++++++ ++++++ +++++++ +++++++++++++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++</td><td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ HS Coll deg. 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 184 406 352 147 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 26 124 363 317 25% 6% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 27% 26% 28% 24% 27% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 22% 34% 19% 15% 3% 19% 16% 16% 16% 16% 24%</td></td<></td></td> | Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 25% 6% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 13% 15% 0% 14% 19% 4% 16% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 13% 15% 0% 14% 19% 14% 11% 15% 0% 14% 11% 11% 19% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% <td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 23% 23% 8% 57% 25% 26% 20% 21% 16% 16% 19% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 0% 1% 0% 1% <td< td=""><td>Total BC Prairies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 23% 23% 8% 57% 25% 26% 20% 11% 16% 19% 11% 11% 16% 11% 16% 13% 15% 0% 14% 16% 14% 16% 14% 16% 14% 16% 14% 16% 16% 16% 16% 11% 15% 0% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16%</td><td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 25% 6% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 13% 15% 0% 14% 19% 4% 11% 16% 22% 1% 0% 0% 1% 1% 1% 1% 0% 0% 1% 1% 0% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 16% 1% 1% 0% 1% 1%</td><td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 184 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 226 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 13% 15% 0% 14% 19% 2% 20% 21% 35% 26% 16% 16% 16% 19% 9% 14% 2% 0% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1%</td><td>Total BC Prair
iss ON QC Att. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ HS 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 184 406 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 226 124 25% 6% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 13% 15% 0% 179 172 212 197 26% 28% 16% 16% 16% 1% 0% 30% 13% 13% 5% 7% 10% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16%</td><td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ HS Coll 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 184 406 352 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 266 28% 24% -++++ -++++ +++++ +++++ +++++ ++++++ ++++++ ++++++ ++++++ +++++++ +++++++++++++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++</td><td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ HS Coll deg. 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 184 406 352 147 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 26 124 363 317 25% 6% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 27% 26% 28% 24% 27% 16%
 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 22% 34% 19% 15% 3% 19% 16% 16% 16% 16% 24%</td></td<></td> | Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 23% 23% 8% 57% 25% 26% 20% 21% 16% 16% 19% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 0% 1% 0% 1% <td< td=""><td>Total BC Prairies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 23% 23% 8% 57% 25% 26% 20% 11% 16% 19% 11% 11% 16% 11% 16% 13% 15% 0% 14% 16% 14% 16% 14% 16% 14% 16% 14% 16% 16% 16% 16% 11% 15% 0% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16%</td><td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 25% 6% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 13% 15% 0% 14% 19% 4% 11% 16% 22% 1% 0% 0% 1% 1% 1% 1% 0% 0% 1% 1% 0% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 16% 1% 1% 0% 1% 1%</td><td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 184 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 226 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 13% 15% 0% 14% 19% 2% 20% 21% 35% 26% 16% 16% 16% 19% 9% 14% 2% 0% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1%</td><td>Total BC Prair
iss ON QC Att. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ HS 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 184 406 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 226 124 25% 6% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 13% 15% 0% 179 172 212 197 26% 28% 16% 16% 16% 1% 0% 30% 13% 13% 5% 7% 10% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16%</td><td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ HS Coll 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 184 406 352 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 266 28% 24% -++++ -++++ +++++ +++++ +++++ ++++++ ++++++ ++++++ ++++++ +++++++ +++++++++++++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++</td><td>Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ HS Coll deg. 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 184 406 352 147 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 26 124 363 317 25% 6% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 27% 26% 28% 24% 27% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 22% 34% 19% 15% 3% 19% 16% 16% 16% 16% 24%</td></td<> | Total BC Prairies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 23% 23% 8% 57% 25% 26% 20% 11% 16% 19% 11% 11% 16% 11% 16% 13% 15% 0% 14% 16% 14% 16% 14% 16% 14% 16% 14% 16% 16% 16% 16% 11% 15% 0% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% | Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 25% 6% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 13% 15% 0% 14% 19% 4% 11% 16% 22% 1% 0% 0% 1% 1% 1% 1% 0% 0% 1% 1%
0% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 16% 1% 1% 0% 1% 1% | Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 184 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 226 25% 6% 16% 22% 50% 12% 26% 13% 15% 0% 14% 19% 2% 20% 21% 35% 26% 16% 16% 16% 19% 9% 14% 2% 0% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% | Total BC Prair
iss ON QC Att. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ HS 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 184 406 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 226 124 25% 6% 16% 21% 5% 30% 14% 26% 13% 15% 0% 179 172 212 197 26% 28% 16% 16% 16% 1% 0% 30% 13% 13% 5% 7% 10% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% | Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ HS Coll 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 184 406 352 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 266 28% 24% -++++ -++++ +++++ +++++ +++++ ++++++ ++++++ ++++++ ++++++ +++++++ +++++++++++++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++ | Total BC Prair
ies ON QC Atl. Lib Cons NDP Grn BQ M F 18-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ HS Coll deg. 1000 131 168 381 241 75 314 248 177 73 35 479 510 273 168 197 164 184 406 352 147 1000 135 179 392 220 70 353 225 181 70 34 482 507 179 172 212 197 26 124 363 317 25% 6% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 27% 26% 28% 24% 27% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 22% 34% 19% 15% 3% 19% 16% 16% 16% 16% 24% |

Margin of Error, around 50%	3.10	8.43	7.32	4.95	6.61	11.7	5.22	6.53	7.28	11.7	16.8	4.46	4.35	7.32	7.47	6.73	6.98	6.52	8.80	5.14	5.50	7.26
						1				1	1											

Which of the following best rep		your re	eligious						I					
	Total		1	I	Religio	n		1			Ethr	icity		
				04	N / 1*	T	04			D	F	04	T?	Visibl e
		Cath.	Prot.	Other Xian.	m	Jewis	other	None	Abor.		r renc	Other Euro.	Jewis	es
QRELIGIO		Catil.	1100	Man,			3	TUIL	ADDI	11 15105	п	Euro.		L5
Weighted Total:	1000	252	162	109	9	11	56	377	93	389	163	293	31	123
Total:	1000	236	170	98	15	18	60	375	88	370	162	262	26	166
Catholic	25%	100% ++++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	30%	19%	34% +++	22%	3%	16%
Protestant	16%		100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	12%	22% ++++	8%	13%	1%	16%
Christian Orthodox	1%	0%	0%	7% ++++	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2% ++++	0%	1%
Christian (other)	10%	0%	0%	93%	0%	0%	0%	0%	15%	12%	9%	14% ++	21%	8%
Muslim	1%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	6% ++++
Jewish	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	31%	1%
Buddhist	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	15% ++++	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	4% ++++
Hindu	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9% ++++	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4% ++++
Sikh	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6% ++++
Eastern religions	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10% ++++	0%	0%	0%	0%	2% ++++	0%	0%
Other religions (specify)	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	53% ++++	0%	7%	4%	3%	4%	0%	2%
No religious affiliation	38%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		100%	34%	41%	44%	40%	44%	34%
Don't know/No response	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%
Chi2:	-	(99.9)							(99.9)					

Margin of Error, around 50% 3.10 6.38 7.52 9.90 25.30 23.10 12.65 5.06 10.45 5.09 7.70 6.05 19.22 7.61

Other than Canadian, to which	ethnic	or cult	tural g	roups o	lo you	consi	der yo	urself	to belo	ong?												
		P	Provin	ce (im	porte	d)		Par	ty sup	port		Ger	der			Age				Educ	ation	
			Prair																		Bach.	
	Total	BC	ies	ON	QC	Atl.	Lib	Cons	NDP	Grn	BQ	Μ	F	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	HS	Coll	deg.	grad.
QETHN																						
Weighted Total:	1000	131	168	381	241	75	314	248	177	73	35	479	510	273	168	197	164	184	406	352	147	82
Total:	1000	135	179	392	220	70	353	225	181	70	34	482	507	179	172	212	197	226	124	363	317	182
Aboriginal (First Nations,	9%	15%	5%	5%	12%	22%	10%	4%	11%	13%	19%	8%	11%	7%	6%	10%	15%	10%	10%	10%	6%	6%
Inuit, Métis)		++			+	. ++++					++		+				+++					
British Isles (English, Irish,	39%	51%	42%	46%	12%	55%	44%	43%	42%	31%	3%	38%	40%	41%	33%	38%	41%	41%	44%	37%	37%	28%
Scottish, etc.)		+++	-	++++		- +++	++	-							-				+			
French	16%	13%	9%	9%	34%	17%	19%	10%	19%	24%	42%	15%	18%	21%	15%	11%	17%	16%	15%	18%	20%	12%
					++++	-					++++			++			-					
Other Western European	13%	17%	24%	13%	2%	13%	9%	21%	12%	9%	6%	12%	14%	19%	9%	12%	11%	11%	13%	13%	13%	10%
(German, Dutch, Austrian,		+	++++			-		- ++++						+++								
Belgian, etc.)																						
Other Northern European	4%	12%	6%	1%	3%	1%	4%	2%	7%	5%	2%	5%	3%	7%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	6%	4%
(Norwegian, Swedish,		++++	· +					-	++					++								
Danish, Finnish, etc.)	0.04	100/	100/	100/	• • • •	• • • •	=	0.04	4 6 9 6	1.0.07	0.01			1.001	=	100/	<i>c</i> .,	=	100/	0.04	0.04	0.04
Eastern European	9%	12%	18%	10%	2%	2%	7%	9%	16%	13%	0%	7%	11%	13%	7%	10%	6%	7%	10%	9%	8%	8%
(Ukrainian, Polish, Russian,			++++	-			-	-	++++		-		++	++								
Hungarian, Romanian, etc.)	501	0.04	• • • •	5 0/	0.04	4.0./	501	1.0.1	0.07	100/	501	00/	5 0 (100/	<i>c</i> .,	.	• • • •	4.07	0.04	<i>c</i> .,	10/	-
Southern European (Italian,	6%	8%	2%	7%	8%	1%	6%	4%		18%	6%	8%	5%	12%	6%	5%	2%	4%	8%	6%	4%	7%
Portuguese, Spanish, Greek,								-		• ++++	-	++		++++				-			-	
Yugoslav, etc.)	0.01	=0/	0.0 /	4.0.4		0.04	.		.	0.0 (2 .01	2 .0./	4.0.4	60/	.	2 a /	4.0./	4.07	4.0.4	9 .07	2.07	.
Jewish	3%	7%	0%	4%	2%	0%	2%	2%	5% +	9%	2%	2%	4%	6%	5%	2%	1%	1%	4%	2%	3%	5%
Caribbean (Jamaican,	3%	+++	1%	5%	1%	2%	4%	1%	3%	+++	0%	3%	2%	++ 2%	+ 3%	2%	2%	4%	0%	3%	5%	6%
Haitian. etc.)	3%	1 %0	1 %0	570 ++++	1 %0	2%	4%	1 70	3%	3%	0%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	070	3%	570 +++	070 ++
Latin American (Mexican,	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	3%
Colombian, Salvadorean,	170	270	070	070	270	070	170	170	170	170	270	170	170	170	170	170	070	170	070	170	170	+++
etc.)																						
Black African (Somali,	2%	1%	0%	3%	1%	3%	4%	2%	2%	0%	0%	2%	2%	2%	4%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	6%
Ethiopian, Nigerian, etc.)			-	• ++		-	++								+	-						+++
Arab (Lebanese, Egyptian,	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%	3%
Moroccan, etc.)					+	-	++	-													++	+++

West Asian (Iranian, Turk,	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Afghan, etc.)				+			+							+								+
South Asian (East Indian,	3%	9%	3%	3%	0%	0%	3%	2%	3%	2%	0%	4%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	5%	3%
Pakistani, Sri Lankan, etc.)		++++																			++	
East Asian (Chinese,	3%	11%	3%	3%	0%	0%	3%	4%	2%	11%	0%	4%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%	6%
Korean, Japanese, etc.)		++++								++++		++								-		++
Southeast Asian (Filipino,	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%
Vietnamese, etc.)		+																				+
American	2%	3%	1%	2%	4%	2%	5%	2%	1%	0%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%	4%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%
					++		++++		-			+										
Oceanian (Australian, New	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%
Zealander, Pacific Islander,																					++	
etc.)																						
Other (please specify)	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%
				+++					++++					+++					+		-	
Don't know/No response	20%	9%	21%	16%	34%	18%	17%	16%	20%	15%	41%	18%	21%	21%	26%	21%	17%	13%	19%	21%	17%	24%
Chi2:	-	(99.9					(99.9					(95)		(99)					(99.9			
)))			
Margin of Error, around 50%	3.10	8.43	7.32	4.95	6.61	11.7	5.22	6.53	7.28	11.7	16.8	4.46	4.35	7.32	7.47	6.73	6.98	6.52	8.80	5.14	5.50	7.26
						1				1	1											

Other than Canadian, to which	ethnic o	or cultur	al grou	ips do y	ou con	sider yo	ourself t	to belor	ng?					
	Total]	Religio	n				1	Ethn	icity		1
														Visibl
														e
					Musli		Other				Frenc			Mnrti
		Cath.	Prot.	Xian.	m	h	S	None	Abor.	h Isles	h	Euro.	h	es
QETHN														
Weighted Total:	1000	252	162	109	9	11	56	377	93	389	163	293	31	123
Total:	1000	236	170	98	15	18	60	375	88	370	162	262	26	166
Aboriginal (First Nations, Inuit, Métis)	9%	11%	7%	15% ++	0%	0%	13%	8%	100% ++++	9%	17% ++++	7%	23%	4%
British Isles (English, Irish, Scottish, etc.)	39%	29%	54%	44%	2%	22%	30%	42%	37%	100% ++++	38%	45% ++	36%	15%
French	16%	22%	8%	14%	12%	5%	9%	19%	30%	16%	100%	13%	24%	7%
		+++						+			++++	-		
Other Western European	13%	8%	14%	18%	0%	0%	18%	14%	8%	16%	7%	44%	6%	3%
(German, Dutch, Austrian,				+						++		++++		
Belgian, etc.)														
Other Northern European	4%	1%	5%	3%	0%	6%	9%	5%	7%	8%	4%	13%	1%	3%
(Norwegian, Swedish,							++		+	++++		++++		
Danish, Finnish, etc.)														
Eastern European	9%	8%	8%	24%	0%	10%	14%	6%	9%	9%	7%	31%	47%	1%
(Ukrainian, Polish, Russian,				++++								++++		
Hungarian, Romanian, etc.)														
Southern European (Italian,	6%	10%	3%	3%	0%	5%	1%	7%	2%	6%	8%	21%	6%	8%
Portuguese, Spanish, Greek,		+++	-				-		-			++++		
Yugoslav, etc.)														
Jewish	3%	0% 	0% 	6% +	0%	85%	0%	4%	8% ++	3%	5%	6% ++++	100%	5% +
Caribbean (Jamaican,	3%	3%	3%	3%	0%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	21%
Haitian, etc.)														++++
Latin American (Mexican,	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	4%	6%
Colombian, Salvadorean,														++++
etc.)		0.01	40.	2 01	1.50	0.0.1	.	a a :	40.	40.	.	4.0.1	4.40	4 60 1
Black African (Somali,	2%	0%	4%	3%	17%	0%	3%	2%	4%	1%	2%	1%	14%	16%
Ethiopian, Nigerian, etc.)		-										-		++++

1%	0%	0%	0%	12%	6%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	3%	5%
													++++
0%	0%	1%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%
													++++
3%	1%	1%	1%	38%	0%	23%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	24%
						++++							++++
3%	0%	5%	1%	0%	0%	12%	4%	0%	2%	1%	3%	0%	25%
						++++							++++
1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%
	++												++++
2%	4%	2%	0%	0%	5%	0%	2%	8%	3%	6%	2%	4%	3%
	+							++++	+	++++			
0%	0%	0%	2%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
			++										
1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	0%
							+++		++		+++		
20%	23%	17%	20%	5%	0%	10%	19%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
-	(99.9)							(99.9)					
3.10	6.38	7.52	9.90	25.30	23.10	12.65	5.06	10.45	5.09	7.70	6.05	19.22	7.61
	0% 3% 3% 1% 2% 0% 1% 20%	0% 0% 3% 1%	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										