Canadian Political Parties - Comparison and Facts Partis politiques canadiens - comparaison et faits

CJPME 2019 Election Guide / Guide électoral 2019 de CJPMO

PALESTINE-ISRAEL: CONFLICT AND NEGOTIATIONS PALESTINE-ISRAEL: CONFLIT ET NÉGOCIATIONS

Assessment / Évaluation

E	Conservative Party of Canada / Parti conservateur du Canada	F
*NDP	New Democratic Party / Nouveau Parti démocratique	В
Į*	Liberal Party of Canada / Parti libéral du Canada	C-
B	Bloc Québécois	A-
	Green Party of Canada / Parti Vert du Canada	B+

Executive Summary

While all of Canada's major political parties explicitly support a two-state solution in Palestine-Israel, some parties are more vocal than others in their support of a just and comprehensive conclusion to the conflict.

The Green Party received the highest mark on this evaluation, given its relatively consistent condemnation of Israel's occupation of the Palestinian territories, and its willingness to propose pressure tactics against Israel.

The Bloc Québécois (BQ), has also tried to use its limited influence in the House of Commons to push this issue.

In the absence of a long-term solution, the NDP continues to call out Israel's violations of international law.

Sommaire exécutif

Bien que tous les principaux partis politiques du Canada appuient explicitement une solution à deux États pour le conflit israélo-palestinien, certains partis s'expriment d'avantage que d'autres en faveur d'une conclusion juste et globale du conflit.

Le Parti vert a eu la note la plus élevée dans cette évaluation, compte tenu de sa condamnation systématique de l'occupation des Territoires palestiniens et de sa volonté à proposer des moyens de pression sur Israël.

Le Bloc québécois (BQ) a également essayé d'utiliser son influence limitée dans la Chambre des communes pour mettre en avant ce problème.

En l'absence d'une solution à long-terme, le NPD continue de dénoncer les violations du droit



While the Liberals repeatedly express their support for a two-state solution, they have rarely expressed any support for Palestinian human rights, even voting with Israel against resolutions based in international law at the UN.

Likewise, the Conservative Party has largely refused to criticize Israel or value Palestinian human rights as much as it values Israel's "security."

CJPME looked at each party's statements relating to their 1) urgency for a solution to the Israel-Palestine conflict; and, 2) support for Palestinian self-determination. Furthermore, the parties' statements were judged on their adherence to international law. It should be noted that CJPME itself does not take a position on the viability of a two-state solution.

international par Israël.

Bien que les libéraux ont exprimé à maintes reprises leur appui à une solution à deux États, ils ont rarement exprimé leur appui aux droits de la personne des Palestiniens, et ont même voté avec Israël contre les résolutions fondées sur le droit international à l'ONU.

De même, le Parti conservateur a largement refusé de critiquer Israël ou de donner autant d'importance aux droits de la personne des Palestiniens qu'à la « sécurité » d'Israël.

CJPMO a examiné les déclarations de chaque parti concernant 1) l'urgence de trouver une solution au conflit israélo-palestinien; et 2) le soutien à l'autodétermination palestinienne. De plus, les déclarations des partis ont été jugées sur leur respect du droit international. Il est à noter que CJPMO ne prend pas elle-même position sur la viabilité d'une solution à deux États.

Background

In July 2013, US-sponsored talks between Israeli and Palestinian representatives resumed after a four-year hiatus. The preconditions for the talks included a settlement freeze and a prisoner release by Israel, in exchange for the Palestinians' assurance that they would hold off on pursuing membership at the UN. The meetings began in the summer of 2013, and sought to discuss a number of issues: land, borders, "settlements," the future of Jerusalem, refugees, and security.

Less than a year later, in April 2014, Israel announced its withdrawal from the talks. In the intervening years, the list of problems preventing future talks has only grown. Therefore, the best way for Canada to to encourage a just solution to the conflict is to take positions firmly grounded in international law on the following issues:

<u>Settlement expansion</u>: The single most significant factor preventing progress is Israel's intensification of "settlement" construction in the occupied Palestinian territories (OPT). Israel has installed over 600,000 colonists in the West Bank (including East Jerusalem) in direct violation of article 49(6) of the Fourth Geneva Convention. So Israel's settlement enterprise violates international law and breaches one of the preconditions set by the Palestinians for peace talks. The continued expansion of these illegal settlements also makes a contiguous Palestinian state virtually impossible.

<u>Jerusalem:</u> The international consensus is that Jerusalem's status should only be decided as part of a final peace negotiation. Any unilateral action recognizing Jerusalem as the capital of Israel or Palestine simply undermines the peace process, shows prejudice, removes incentives to negotiate, and makes it harder to restart peace talks.

<u>Israeli military violence against Palestinians</u>: During the peace talks in 2013, the Israeli military killed 61 Palestinians, injured another 1,100, and detained nearly 4000. During the Great March of Return

protests that erupted in March of 2018, Israel used an even greater degree of violence against Palestinians. Over 150 Palestinians in Gaza were killed and 10,000 injured within 6 months during the March.² Israel's indiscriminate and disproportionate use of violence, combined with the international community's silence vis-à-vis this violence, has made Palestinians skeptical of the utility of peace talks.

Support for justice and peace at the UN: Canada has voted against Palestinian membership at the UN on numerous occasions. Moreover, under the Harper and Trudeau governments, Canada has repeatedly marginalized itself at the UN by voting alongside a small minority of US client states, instead of voting in accordance with international law. For example, year after year, Canada has been voting against UN resolutions calling for a peaceful end to the conflict, respect for international law, and assistance to Palestinian refugees. Changing this voting pattern at the UN would be one of the best ways for Canada to demonstrate its support for a principled and peaceful solution to the conflict.

Liberal Position

The Trudeau government's position has been passive in a manner that bolsters an anti-Palestinian status quo. Prior to his election, Trudeau appeared to be more supportive of a just solution than the Harper government. However, supportive statements have dwindled and there has been no action on the issue. The Trudeau government's unprincipled voting pattern at the UN do not indicate that a just peace in Israel-Palestine is a priority for the Liberals.

In December 2017, the Trump administration announced its decision to move the U.S. embassy from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem, bucking international convention. In response, Trudeau made it clear that Canada's embassy in Israel would remain in Tel Aviv. Foreign Affairs Minister Chrystia Freeland reiterated this position, reaffirming Canada's longheld position that the status of Jerusalem could only be resolved through peace negotiations.³ Despite all of these principled statements, Canada went on to abstain from the UN vote condemning the US' unilateral move.4

Liberal statements on other issues related to Palestine-Israel have been equally lackluster. During the 2018 Great March of Return protests in Gaza, Chrystia Freeland expressed concern, tweeting: "It is inexcusable that civilians, journalists + children have been victims". 5 She did not lay blame on either side, despite evidence of Israel's disproportionate use of violence: over 150 Palestinians were killed (including civilians), while only one Israeli soldier died in an unrelated incident during that same period. This unwillingness to recognize the asymmetrical nature of the conflict represents an obstacle to the peace process, as disproportionate violence of any kind can discourage openness to further talks.

Trudeau himself was a bit more forceful with his words, and even called for an independent investigation after Tarek Loubani, a Canadian doctor, was injured in the protests. Trudeau said, "Reported use of excessive force and live ammunition is inexcusable. It is imperative we establish the facts of what is happening in Gaza. Canada calls for an immediate independent investigation"⁶. He then addressed the two-state solution directly, saying that a two-state solution "that is mutually agreed by both" Israel and Palestine was the way to put an end to the violence. However, his words ring hollow as the Liberal government has not taken any action toward facilitating a two-state solution.

The best example of Trudeau's support for the status quo is Canada's voting record at the UN. Unlike most of his Liberal predecessors, Trudeau has maintained Harper's voting patterns, voting in lockstep with the US against any resolution that criticizes Israel. 8, 9,10 The Trudeau government has also voted against resolutions supporting Palestinians' right to self-determination.

Conservative Position



Under the Harper Government, the Conservative Party lent unconditional support to Israel, even in the face of continued illegal settlement expansion. On paper, the Conservatives endorse a two-state solution. However, since the last election, the Conservative Party has continued to support positions that run counter to a just solution, whether "two-state" or otherwise.

In 2018, Andrew Scheer pledged to recognize Jerusalem as the capital of Israel if elected in 2019.¹¹ While Jerusalem and Tel Aviv are barely an hour apart, this move would be highly symbolic. The long-held international consensus is that Jerusalem's status can only be resolved through a comprehensive peace deal in which both sides agree to its status. The Conservatives' willingness to move the Canadian embassy to Jerusalem demonstrates their desire to bypass key steps in the peace process, and their lack of concern about international law.

Following Trudeau's condemnation of Israeli killings of Palestinian protestors in Gaza during the summer of 2018, Conservative MP Garnett Genuis tried to pass a motion to "condemn statements by governments which imply Israeli responsibility for the violence on Israel's border and statements which imply the inadequacy of Israel's capacity for self-assessment." The Conservative Party has actively sought to quash criticism of Israel and minimize Israel's i abuses against Palestinian civilians. While it claims to support a two-state solution, the party's statements and promises paint a very different picture.

NDP Position

It is fair to say that the NDP has been generally supportive of a just solution in Palestine-Israel over the past several elections. The NDP's 2018 policy stated: "New Democrats believe in working with partners for peace in Israel and Palestine, respecting UN resolutions and international law, supporting peaceful co-existence in viable, independent states with agreed-upon borders, an end to Israeli occupation of Palestinian land, and an end to violence targeting civilians." This position is nominally stronger than the stance taken in both their 2011 platform and their 2015 platform.

In 2018, internal party politics led to division over the "Palestine resolution" – a resolution that proposed banning Israeli settlement products from Canada in order to end the occupation and precipitate a just resolution to the conflict. During the convention, individual NDP leaders came out with strong statements supporting an end to the conflict and respect for international law.¹⁵

Speaking on Israel-Palestine, NDP MP Niki Ashton stated that "one must speak out in the face of injustice," and that Canada must "return to its traditional role, supporting a balanced position and just peace in the Middle East." ¹⁶ MP Alexandre Boulerice has also been vocal on this issue, criticizing the Liberal government on multiple occasions for its failure to condemn Israel's human rights abuses. ¹⁷ Moreover, former Foreign Affairs Critic Hélène Laverdière has repeatedly written Minister Freeland to critique the Liberal government's enduring silence on Israeli human rights abuses. ¹⁸ Overall, the party has been more consistently supportive of a resolution rooted in international law than the Conservatives or Liberals.

Bloc Quebecois Position

In 2017, the Bloc Québécois sponsored a resolution calling for the recognition of an independent State of Palestine. Martine Ouellet, then-leader of the BQ, said, "There are already 136 countries out of the 193 at the UN that recognize the state of Palestine and if we want to restart the peace process, there must be even more countries that recognize it. Mario Beaulieu, a BQ MP, condemned inaction on the issue, saying, "If we let the situation rot like that, I think we let terrorism develop... sometimes you have to stand up for peace."



Green Position

The Green Party platform, *Vision* Green, outlines the party's support for a resolution of the Israel-Palestine conflict that addresses the security, economic, and religious concerns of both sides. ²¹ The document enshrines Green support for UN resolutions calling for an end to the conflict, the end of violence against civilians, and the negotiation of a peaceful solution. ²² The party also passed an addendum during its 2016 Convention that makes the their Israel-Palestine policy more explicit. The policy calls on the government to take non-violent action in the absence of peace negotiations, including: proper labelling of products produced in the oPt, exclusion of those products from CIFTA, and government divestment from activities that profit from the occupation, among other measures. ²³

Finally, Elizabeth May herself has occasionally urged action on a negotiated solution following her trip to Israel and the West Bank. While there, she says she witnessed the inequality faced by the residents of the OPT and condemned the "project" of colonialism that underpins Israel's settlement enterprise. She also decried the "military dictatorship that governs every single waking and sleeping moment of Palestinians" living in the OPT.²⁴

https://www.cjpme.org/pr_2018_04_20



¹ "PLO: Israel demolished over 500 Palestinian structures during talks," Maan News. April 19, 2014.

² "Six Months On: Gaza's Great March of Return," Amnesty International. Accessed March 1st 2019. https://www.amnesty.org/en/latest/campaigns/2018/10/gaza-great-march-of-return/

³ The Times of Israel. December 7, 2017. "Trudeau says Canada's embassy to remain in Tel Aviv." Accessed August 22, 2019 from https://www.timesofisrael.com/trudeau-says-canadas-embassy-to-remain-in-tel-aviv/

⁴ Marquis, Melanie. "Trudeau Defends Canada's No Vote on Jerusalem: "We are less interested in grousing and playing politics". Jan. 10, 2018. Accessed March 1st, 2019. https://nationalpost.com/news/politics/trudeau-defends-canadas-position-on-jerusalem-at-united-nations

⁵ Zimonjic, Peter. "Freeland calls on 'all parties' involved in Gaza violence to protect civilians." May 14, 2018. Accessed February 27, 2019. https://www.cbc.ca/news/politics/freeland-israel-violence-gaza-embassy-1.4663014 ⁶ Trudeau, Justin. "Statement by the Prime Minister on Violence in the Gaza Strip". 16 May 2018. Accessed 11 Mar. 2019. https://pm.gc.ca/eng/news/2018/05/16/statement-prime-minister-violence-gaza-strip

⁷ Tasker, Jean Paul. "Trudeau Calls for Independent Probe of Reported use of 'Excessive Force' in Gaza Shootings. 16 May 2018. Accessed 11 Mar. 2019. https://www.cbc.ca/news/politics/trudeau-statement-gaza-independent-investigation-1.4665858

⁸ "What's behind the Trudeau Government's New Phrasing that Canada is "A friend to Israel and a friend to the Palestinian People? Accessed 27 Feb. 2019. https://canadatalksisraelpalestine.ca/2018/06/05/whats-behind-the-trudeau-governments-new-phrasing-that-canada-is-a-friend-of-israel-and-a-friend-of-the-palestinian-people/

⁹ Canada's UN Voting Record on the Palestinian Question. https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1/#inbox?projector=1 "Official Liberal Party of Canada Platform" Accessed Feb. 27 2019. https://www.liberal.ca/wp-

content/uploads/2015/10/New-plan-for-a-strong-middle-class.pdf

¹¹ "Andrew Scheer will Recognize Jerusalem as Israel's Capital". Accessed February 27th, 2019. https://www.conservative.ca/cpc/andrew-scheer-will-recognize-jerusalem-as-israels-capital/

¹² Genuis, Garnett. "Opposition Motion- Hamas." 12 Jun.

^{2018.}https://www.ourcommons.ca/DocumentViewer/en/42-1/house/sitting-390/order-notice/page-5

¹³ "CJPME: Opposition Motion on Hamas Misleads and Confuses". 6 June, 2018.

https://www.cjpme.org/pr 2018 06 06

¹⁴ "Policy of the New Democratic Party of Canada". February 2018. Accessed 27 Feb. 2019.

https://xfer.ndp.ca/2018/Documents/2018-POLICY.pdf

¹⁵ "CJPME Supports NDP Resolutions on Palestine". 15 Feb. 2018. Accessed 27 Feb. 2019.

https://www.cjpme.org/pr 2018 02 15

¹⁶ "Ashton Defends Decision to Attend Rally For Palestine." 21 May 2017. Accessed March 11, 2019.

https://www.winnipegfreepress.com/local/bnai-brith-upset-ashton-attended-rally-for-palestine-423384723.html

¹⁷ "CJPME Applauds MPs' Call for Palestinian Human Rights." 20 April 2018. Accessed 11 March 2019.

 $^{\rm 18}$ "Debates of May 17th, 2017." Openparliament.ca. Accessed 25 Feb. 2019. https://openparliament.ca/debates/2017/5/17/helene-laverdiere-8/?page=11

²⁰ Crête, Mylène. "Le Bloc Québécois Soutient l'Etat Palestinien". 29 May 2017. Accessed 4 Mar. 2019. https://journalmetro.com/actualites/national/1146091/le-bloc-quebecois-soutient-letat-palestinien/

¹⁹Crête, Mylène. "Le Bloc demandera aux parlementaires de reconnaître l'État palestinien". 29 May 2017. Accessed 7 Mar. 2019 https://www.lapresse.ca/actualites/politique/politique-canadienne/201705/29/01-5102380-le-bloc-demandera-aux-parlementaires-de-reconnaitre-letat-palestinien.php

²¹ "5.11 The Israel – Palestine Conflict," Green Party of Canada, http://www.greenparty.ca/en/policy/visiongreen/world/israel-palestine accessed Mar. 6, 2019 ²² Ibid., "5.11 The Israel – Palestine Conflict"

²³ "Measures to pressure the government of Israel to preserve the two-state solution:addendum to current Middle East policy. Accessed 8 Mar. 2019. https://www.greenparty.ca/en/sgm-2016/voting/resolutions/s16-p013 ²⁴ May, Elizabeth. Report from Canada-Palestine Friendship Group on Trip to Israel-Palestine. 20 April 2018. Accessed 8 Mar. 2019. http://elizabethmaymp.ca/press-conferences/2018/04/20/report-from-canada-palestinefriendship-group-on-trip-to-palestine-israel/