



25 OTHER REPORT CARD PARKS

- Sylmar Park
- Northridge Recreation Center
- Panorama City Recreation Center
- Victory-Vineland Recreation Center
- West Hills Sport Center
- Delano Park
- Tarzana Recreation Center
- Van Nuys - Sherman Oaks Park
- North Hollywood Park
- Chevy Chase Park
- El Sereno Recreation Center
- Montecito Heights Recreation Center
- Lafayette Park
- Lemon Grove Recreation Center
- Palisades Recreation Center
- Oakwood Recreation Center
- Robertson Recreation Center
- Van Ness Recreation Center
- King (Martin Luther Jr. Park)
- 109th Street Recreation Center
- Hawkins (Augustus F.) Natural Park
- Fred Roberts Recreation Center
- Leland Park
- Hostetter Park

15 CASE STUDY PARKS

- 01_Granada Hills Recreation Center aka Petit Park
- 02_David M Gonzales Recreation Center
- 03_Winnetka Recreation Center
- 04_Sun Valley Recreation Center
- 05_Valley Plaza Recreation Center
- 06_MacArthur Park
- 08_Pan Pacific Park
- 09_Westwood Recreation Center
- 10_Culver-Slauson Park
- 11_Jim Gilliam Recreation Center
- 12_Algin Sutton Recreation Center
- 13_South Park Recreation Center
- 14_Wilmington Recreation Center
- 15_Hollenbeck Park



LA City Geohub, 2016, Recreation and Parks Department, City Council Districts (2012) [online Available at: <http://maps.lacity.org/shub/web/services/Recreation_and_Parks_Department/MapServer/5> [accessed 22 July 2016]]

CITY OF LOS ANGELES PARKS

40 community parks were selected for study by RAND through a random-sample selection method. Each site has been evaluated based on RAND's methodology (see Park Report Cards). 15 parks within the original sampling of 40 overall have been vigorously analyzed to evaluate their social and environmental quality and capacity. The 15 parks that received additional study are geographically distributed over the entire City of Los Angeles.

FRAMING LANDSCAPES

San Gabriel Mountains

LA River

Santa Monica Mountains

Pacific Ocean

SIGNIFICANT ECOLOGICAL AREAS

Santa Susana Mountains/Simi Hills

Tujunga Valley/Hansen Dam

Verdugo Mountains

Griffith Park


Santa Monica Mountains

Ballona Wetlands

El Segundo Dunes

Madrone Marsh Preserve

Palos Verdes Peninsula and Coastline



HABITAT & HYDRDLOGIC QUALITY

The framing landscapes of the City of Los Angeles are the region's dominant ecologies. They include the majestic mountains of the San Gabriel and Santa Monica Mountain Ranges that are offset by the Pacific Ocean to the south. The Los Angeles River has been a historically significant structure of the territory and now functions as the city's major storm water conveyance. It clearly has more potential than its present-day use. Each park in the City has a unique potential, depending upon its specific geography, to contribute to the improved water quality and storm water management of the City. Significant Ecological Areas (SEA) are unique protected areas that have been officially designated by Los Angeles County as hosting significant habitat resources and biological value. SEAs contain rare or unique biotic resources that are considered to be critical to the maintenance of wildlife; represent relatively undisturbed areas of County habitat types; or serve as linkages.

C-4




C-5

Los Angeles River Watershed

Santa Monica Bay Watershed

Ballona Creek Watershed


Dominguez Channel Watershed



WATERSHEDS

Within the City of Los Angeles there are four watersheds that collect storm water and feed the creeks, rivers and drainage ways that ultimately empty into the Pacific Ocean. Every park has unique potential, depending upon its specific geography, to contribute to improved water quality in rivers creeks and the Pacific, to recharge underground aquifers and to manage storm water risks in the City.

GONZALES (DAVID M.) RECREATION CENTER			
Population (2010)	Density (people per square mile)	Median Income (2010)	% Poverty (2010)
550,686	5,505	\$26,861	19.04%
GRANADA HILLS PARK			
Population (2010)	Density (people per square mile)	Median Income (2010)	% Poverty (2010)
667,229	5,538	\$31,258	15.76%
WINNETKA RECREATION CENTER			
Population (2010)	Density (people per square mile)	Median Income (2010)	% Poverty (2010)
534,695	6,538	\$39,601	10.44%
SUN VALLEY PARK			
Population (2010)	Density (people per square mile)	Median Income (2010)	% Poverty (2010)
718,234	5,505	\$29,243	17.97%
VALLEY PLAZA PARK			
Population (2010)	Density (people per square mile)	Median Income (2010)	% Poverty (2010)
827,443	8,289	\$32,740	17.13%
MACARTHUR PARK			
Population (2010)	Density (people per square mile)	Median Income (2010)	% Poverty (2010)
1,385,531	17,483	\$18,853	30.54%
SHATTO RECREATION CENTER			
Population (2010)	Density (people per square mile)	Median Income (2010)	% Poverty (2010)
1,346,364	15,944	\$20,271	29.78%
PAN PACIFIC PARK			
Population (2010)	Density (people per square mile)	Median Income (2010)	% Poverty (2010)
1,172,769	10,996	\$27,693	24.38%
WESTWOOD PARK			
Population (2010)	Density (people per square mile)	Median Income (2010)	% Poverty (2010)
655,334	5,827	\$45,873	13.46%
CULVER-SLAUSON PARK			
Population (2010)	Density (people per square mile)	Median Income (2010)	% Poverty (2010)
811,016	7,261	\$34,673	16.45%
GILLIAM (JIM) CHILD CARE, RECREATION CENTER			
Population (2010)	Density (people per square mile)	Median Income (2010)	% Poverty (2010)
1,278,103	11,754	\$25,017	25.24%
SUTTON (ALGIN) RECREATION CENTER			
Population (2010)	Density (people per square mile)	Median Income (2010)	% Poverty (2010)
1,290,504	13,156	\$17,872	29.09%
SOUTH PARK RECREATION CENTER			
Population (2010)	Density (people per square mile)	Median Income (2010)	% Poverty (2010)
1,458,458	13,558	\$15,135	33.20%
WILMINGTON RECREATION CENTER			
Population (2010)	Density (people per square mile)	Median Income (2010)	% Poverty (2010)
498,482	5,033	\$30,397	19.48%
HOLLENBECK PARK			
Population (2010)	Density (people per square mile)	Median Income (2010)	% Poverty (2010)
1,319,524	9,910	\$16,368	31.50%



5-MI. RADIUS <=> POPULATION DENSITY


The City's Quimby Funds are collected from new multi-family residential development projects for new parks or park improvements. Recently updated legislation calls for the utilization of these funds within a 5-mile radius of the new development (as opposed to its previous 3-mile radius). The map overlays the 5 mile utilization area on a map of population density (people per square mile). The 15 parks in this study can be seen in the context of population and median income. Almost all of the parks with the highest poverty rates are in the most densely populated neighborhoods. There are clearly opportunities for investment in the most impoverished neighborhoods.

C-10



C-11


DAVID GONZALES RECREATION CENTER					Age (% of Population)		
Race (% of Population)					<17 years	17-64 years	65+ years
White	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Other	32.01%	60.12%	7.88%
21.49%	64.98%	4.42%	7.86%	0.69%			
GRANADA HILLS PARK					Age (% of Population)		
Race (% of Population)					<17 years	17-64 years	65+ years
White	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Other	29.57%	61.48%	8.95%
30.76%	52.77%	4.60%	10.54%	0.73%			
WINNETKA RECREATION CENTER					Age (% of Population)		
Race (% of Population)					<17 years	17-64 years	65+ years
White	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Other	24.29%	63.67%	12.04%
56.14%	25.96%	3.91%	12.35%	0.97%			
SUN VALLEY PARK					Age (% of Population)		
Race (% of Population)					<17 years	17-64 years	65+ years
White	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Other	27.98%	62.95%	9.07%
35.47%	50.79%	4.47%	7.58%	1.09%			
VALLEY PLAZA PARK					Age (% of Population)		
Race (% of Population)					<17 years	17-64 years	65+ years
White	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Other	26.53%	63.83%	9.64%
39.94%	46.66%	4.22%	7.56%	1.04%			
MACARTHUR PARK					Age (% of Population)		
Race (% of Population)					<17 years	17-64 years	65+ years
White	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Other	27.49%	63.63%	8.88%
15.37%	57.06%	13.96%	12.36%	0.74%			
SHATTO RECREATION CENTER					Age (% of Population)		
Race (% of Population)					<17 years	17-64 years	65+ years
White	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Other	26.04%	64.58%	9.37%
19.84%	52.44%	13.30%	13.01%	0.88%			
PAN PACIFIC PARK					Age (% of Population)		
Race (% of Population)					<17 years	17-64 years	65+ years
White	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Other	22.07%	67.30%	10.63%
32.79%	38.24%	14.10%	13.32%	0.99%			
WESTWOOD PARK					Age (% of Population)		
Race (% of Population)					<17 years	17-64 years	65+ years
White	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Other	15.87%	70.75%	13.38%
62.30%	16.90%	8.01%	11.08%	1.07%			
CULVER-SLAUSON PARK					Age (% of Population)		
Race (% of Population)					<17 years	17-64 years	65+ years
White	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Other	21.62%	67.15%	11.23%
39.03%	2.69%	23.71%	8.59%	0.96%			
GILLIAM (JIM) CHILD CARE, RECREATION CENTER					Age (% of Population)		
Race (% of Population)					<17 years	17-64 years	65+ years
White	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Other	26.28%	63.81%	9.90%
22.83%	41.39%	25.01%	9.43%	0.76%			
SUTTON (ALGIN) RECREATION CENTER					Age (% of Population)		
Race (% of Population)					<17 years	17-64 years	65+ years
White	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Other	34.50%	58.31%	7.20%
4.74%	59.57%	31.63%	3.00%	0.44%			
SOUTH PARK RECREATION CENTER					Age (% of Population)		
Race (% of Population)					<17 years	17-64 years	65+ years
White	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Other	33.01%	59.64%	7.35%
3.60%	66.29%	22.84%	6.45%	0.40%			
WILMINGTON RECREATION CENTER					Age (% of Population)		
Race (% of Population)					<17 years	17-64 years	65+ years
White	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Other	29.05%	60.69%	10.26%
29.22%	42.65%	10.41%	15.21%	0.57%			
HOLLENBECK PARK					Age (% of Population)		
Race (% of Population)					<17 years	17-64 years	65+ years
White	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Other	31.35%	60.95%	7.70%
6.28%	75.32%	6.26%	11.36%	0.36%			



5-MI. RADIUS <=> MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME: CITY OF LOS ANGELES

A 5-mile radius from each park edge is overlaid on a map of median household income (Census 2010 data) in this map. In 2010, the per capita income in L.A. County was \$37,987 as defined by the Office of Management and Budget and updated for inflation using the Consumer Price Index, the weighted average poverty threshold for a family of four in 2010 was \$22,314. The breakdown of the median per capita income by race and age for the 15 parks reveals other unequal demographic distributions across the City. Some of the areas with the highest poverty rates also have the highest percentage of youth under 17 years of age.

C-12



C-13