

**TABLE 7-4
COMPARISON OF IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND ALTERNATIVES**

Impact	Proposed Project	No Project Alternative		Reduced Project	Historic Preservation	Additional Housing Alternative A	Additional Housing Alternative B
		No Project/No Development	No Project / Individual Use Permits				
5.1 Visual and Scenic Resources							
5.1-1: The Project would not adversely affect scenic vistas.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	=/+ LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.1-2: The Project could damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	=/+ LTS	= LTS	= LTS
5.1-3: The Project could degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	=/+LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.1-4: The Project could create a new source of substantial light or glare that would adversely affect nighttime views in the area.	S/M	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	=/+LTS	= LTS	= LTS
5.1-5: The Project, in combination with past, present, and future projects could potentially contribute to cumulative visual and scenic resource impacts.	S/M	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	=/+ LTS	=/+ LTS	=/+ LTS
5.2 Air Quality							
5.2-1: Project construction would not result in emissions of NOx, PM, and ROG that would exceed BAAQMD significance thresholds.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.2-2: Project construction would generate fugitive dust that could result in a localized increase in particulate matter.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	= S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.2-3: Project construction would generate emissions of TACs and PM _{2.5} that could expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations or health risks.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	= S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.2-4: Project operational emissions from new development would not result in emissions of criteria air pollutants at levels that would violate an air quality standard, contribute to an existing or projected air quality violation, and result in a cumulatively considerable net increase in criteria air pollutants.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	S/U	+ LTS
5.2-5: Project operation of development would generate emissions of TACs and PM _{2.5} that could expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations or health risks.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	= S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.2-6: Proposed Project operations would not result in local concentrations of carbon monoxide that would exceed State and federal standards.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS

S/U Significant and unavoidable impact
 S/M Significant impact, but mitigable to a less than significant level
 LTS Less than significant impact

- Lesser impact than that of the proposed project.
 = Same (or similar) impact as that of the proposed project.
 =/+ Same or greater impact than that of the proposed project

TABLE 7-4 (CONTINUED)
COMPARISON OF IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND ALTERNATIVES

Impact	Proposed Project	No Project Alternative		Reduced Project	Historic Preservation	Additional Housing Alternative A	Additional Housing Alternative B
		No Project/No Development	No Project / Individual Use Permits				
5.2 Air Quality (cont.)							
5.2-7: Project operation of development would not create objectionable odors that would affect a substantial number of people.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	= LTS	= LTS
5.2-8: Project operation of development could conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	= S/M	S/U	+ S/M
5.2-9: The Project would not result in emissions of NOx, PM, or ROG that are cumulatively considerable.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	S/U	+ LTS
5.2-10: The Project could considerably contribute to cumulative emissions of TACs and PM _{2.5} that could expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations or health risks.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	= S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.3 Biological Resources							
5.3-1: Project activities could result in adverse effects on special-status and migratory birds.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	= S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.3-2: Project activities could result in adverse effects on special-status bats.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	= S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.3-3: Project activities could result in adverse effects on the San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	= S/M	= S/M	= S/M
5.3-4: Project construction activities could result in adverse effects on special-status plant species.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	= S/M	= S/M	= S/M
5.3-5: Project activities would not result in significant effects on federal and state protected species covered by the Stanford Habitat Conservation Plan.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	= LTS	= LTS
5.3-6: Project activities could result in significant effects on steelhead.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	= S/M	= S/M	= S/M
5.3-7: Project activities could result in substantial loss or degradation of riparian habitat.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	= S/M	= S/M	= S/M
5.3-8: Project activities could result in the loss of native oak woodland habitat.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	= S/M	= S/M	= S/M
5.3-9: Project construction activities could result in substantial adverse effects on jurisdictional waters and wetlands.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	= S/M	= S/M	= S/M

S/U Significant and unavoidable impact

S/M Significant impact, but mitigable to a less than significant level

LTS Less than significant impact

- Lesser impact than that of the proposed project.

= Same (or similar) impact as that of the proposed project.

=/+ Same or greater impact than that of the proposed project

TABLE 7-4 (CONTINUED)
COMPARISON OF IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND ALTERNATIVES

Impact	Proposed Project	No Project Alternative		Reduced Project	Historic Preservation	Additional Housing Alternative A	Additional Housing Alternative B
		No Project/No Development	No Project / Individual Use Permits				
5.3 Biological Resources (cont.)							
5.3-10: Implementation of the project would not interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	= LTS	= LTS
5.3-11: Implementation of the project could conflict with local Santa Clara County tree preservation ordinance.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	=/+ S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.3-12: Implementation of the Project could cumulatively cause an adverse impact to biological resources.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	= S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.4 Cultural Resources							
5.4-1: Project development could cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5.	S/U	- S/U	- S/U	- S/U	- LTS	+ S/U	+ S/U
5.4-2: Project development could cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	=/+ S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.4-3: Project development could directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	=/+ S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.4-4: Project Development could disturb human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	=/+ S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.4-5: Project development could cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code Section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	=/+ S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.4-6: Project development, in combination with past, present, existing, approved, pending and reasonably foreseeable future developments, could contribute considerably to significant cumulative adverse changes in the significance of historical resources.	S/U	- S/U	- S/U	- S/U	- LTS	+ S/U	+ S/U

S/U Significant and unavoidable impact

S/M Significant impact, but mitigable to a less than significant level

LTS Less than significant impact

- Lesser impact than that of the proposed project.

= Same (or similar) impact as that of the proposed project.

=/+ Same or greater impact than that of the proposed project

TABLE 7-4 (CONTINUED)
COMPARISON OF IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND ALTERNATIVES

Impact	Proposed Project	No Project Alternative		Reduced Project	Historic Preservation	Additional Housing Alternative A	Additional Housing Alternative B
		No Project/No Development	No Project / Individual Use Permits				
5.4 Cultural Resources (cont.)							
5.4-7: Ground-disturbing activities undertaken as part of the Project could cumulatively cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource, paleontological resource, or tribal cultural resource, or disturb human remains during construction.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	=/+ S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.5 Energy Conservation							
5.5-1: Project development would not result in the use of fuel, water, or energy in wasteful or inefficient manner, or create demand on local and regional energy supplies that would require additional energy generation or transmission capacity, the construction of which would result in a substantial adverse environmental effect.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.5-2: Project development, in conjunction with other cumulative development and growth, would not contribute to cumulative increases in demand for energy which would result in the use of large amounts of fuel, water, or energy, or use these in wasteful manner, or create demand on local and regional energy supplies that would require additional energy generation or transmission capacity, the construction of which would result in a substantial adverse environmental effect.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.6 Geology and Soils							
5.6-1: Project construction would not result in substantial soil erosion or loss of topsoil.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	=/+ LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.6-2: Project development would not expose people or structures to substantial adverse effects from ground shaking.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	=/+ LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.6-3: Project development would not expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects associated with liquefaction or lateral spreading, including the risk of loss, injury or death, in the event of a major earthquake on one of the regional active faults.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	=/+ LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.6-4: Project development would not expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects associated with landslides, including the risk of loss, injury or death, in the event of a major earthquake on one of the other regional active faults.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	=/+ LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS

S/U Significant and unavoidable impact

S/M Significant impact, but mitigable to a less than significant level

LTS Less than significant impact

- Lesser impact than that of the proposed project.

= Same (or similar) impact as that of the proposed project.

=/+ Same or greater impact than that of the proposed project

TABLE 7-4 (CONTINUED)
COMPARISON OF IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND ALTERNATIVES

Impact	Proposed Project	No Project Alternative		Reduced Project	Historic Preservation	Additional Housing Alternative A	Additional Housing Alternative B
		No Project/No Development	No Project / Individual Use Permits				
5.6 Geology and Soils (cont.)							
5.6-5: Project development would not result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	=/+ LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.6-6: Project development would not result in substantial adverse effects from on or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse as a result of being located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable or that would become unstable as a result of the Project development.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	=/+ LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.6-7: Development under the proposed Project would not be located on expansive soils that would create substantial risks to life or property.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	=/+ LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.6-8: Development facilitated by the proposed Project, combined with past, present, and reasonably foreseeable probable projects, would not result in substantial adverse cumulative impacts to geology, soils, or seismic hazards.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	=/+ LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.7 Greenhouse Gas Emissions							
5.7-1: The Project would not generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that would have a cumulatively considerable contribution to global climate change.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.7-2: The Project could conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	= S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.8 Hazards and Hazardous Materials							
5.8-1: Under the proposed Project, demolition of existing structures that contain hazardous building materials would not create a significant hazard associated with exposure of workers, the public, or the environment from the transport, use, or disposal of these hazardous materials and waste.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.8-2: Under the proposed Project, construction projects could disturb soil and groundwater contaminated by historical hazardous material use, which could present risks the health of construction workers, the public, and/or the environment.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	=/+ S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M

S/U Significant and unavoidable impact

S/M Significant impact, but mitigable to a less than significant level

LTS Less than significant impact

- Lesser impact than that of the proposed project.

= Same (or similar) impact as that of the proposed project.

=/+ Same or greater impact than that of the proposed project

TABLE 7-4 (CONTINUED)
COMPARISON OF IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND ALTERNATIVES

Impact	Proposed Project	No Project Alternative		Reduced Project	Historic Preservation	Additional Housing Alternative A	Additional Housing Alternative B
		No Project/No Development	No Project / Individual Use Permits				
5.8 Hazards and Hazardous Materials (cont.)							
5.8-3: Improper handling or storage of hazardous materials during Project construction activities could result in spills would not significantly increase public health and/or safety risks to future residents, maintenance workers, visitors, and the public and environment in the area surrounding the spill.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.8-4: Operation of uses developed under the proposed Project that could involve the transportation, use, storage and disposal of hazardous materials, would not present significant public health and/or safety risks to residents, visitors, and the surrounding area.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	= LTS	= LTS
5.8-5: Hazardous materials used at facilities operating under the Project could potentially be spilled through upset or accidental conditions, but would not significantly increase public health and/or safety risks to future residents, workers, visitors, and the surrounding area.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	= LTS	= LTS
5.8-6: New development under the proposed Project could potentially be located on a site that is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, thus, could result in a safety hazard to the public or environment.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	= S/M	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.8-7: Implementation of the Project could result in hazardous emissions or handling of hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school, but would not create a significant hazard to those facilities.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	= LTS	= LTS
5.8-8: Development facilitated by the Project would not substantially impair implementation of, or physically interfere with, an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.8-9: Development under the Project would not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.8-10: Hazards at the Project site, in combination with past, present, and future projects could potentially contribute to cumulative hazards.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	= S/M	= S/M	= S/M
5.8-11: The Project, in combination with past, present, and future projects would not substantially impair implementation of or physically interfere with emergency response or evacuation plans.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS

S/U Significant and unavoidable impact

S/M Significant impact, but mitigable to a less than significant level

LTS Less than significant impact

- Lesser impact than that of the proposed project.

= Same (or similar) impact as that of the proposed project.

=/+ Same or greater impact than that of the proposed project

TABLE 7-4 (CONTINUED)
COMPARISON OF IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND ALTERNATIVES

Impact	Proposed Project	No Project Alternative		Reduced Project	Historic Preservation	Additional Housing Alternative A	Additional Housing Alternative B
		No Project/No Development	No Project / Individual Use Permits				
5.8 Hazards and Hazardous Materials (cont.)							
5.8-12: The Project, in combination with past, present, and future projects would not substantially contribute cumulatively to exposure to wildland fires.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.9 Hydrology and Water Quality							
5.9-1: Project construction could violate water quality requirements or waste discharge requirements, or otherwise degrade water quality.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	=/+ S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.9-2: Project construction could include temporary dewatering, but would not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or cause a lowering of the water table.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	=/+ LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.9-3: Operation of the Project would not violate water quality requirements or waste discharge requirements, or otherwise substantially degrade water quality.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	=/+ LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.9-4: Project operation could substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	=/+ S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.9-5: Project development would potentially alter the drainage pattern of the Project site, but would not result in substantial erosion or siltation on or off the site.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	=/+ LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.9-6: Project development would create runoff, but would not exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater infrastructure, or result in flooding on- or off-site.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	=/+ LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.9-7: The Project, in combination with past, present, and future projects could potentially contribute to surface and groundwater quality impacts.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	=/+ S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.9-8: The Project, in combination with past, present, and future projects could potentially contribute to depletion in groundwater supplies or interfere with groundwater recharge.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	=/+ S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.9-9: The Project, in combination with past, present, and future projects would not result in substantial adverse cumulative surface hydrology impacts.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	=/+ LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS

S/U Significant and unavoidable impact
S/M Significant impact, but mitigable to a less than significant level
LTS Less than significant impact

- Lesser impact than that of the proposed project.
= Same (or similar) impact as that of the proposed project.
=/+ Same or greater impact than that of the proposed project

TABLE 7-4 (CONTINUED)
COMPARISON OF IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND ALTERNATIVES

Impact	Proposed Project	No Project Alternative		Reduced Project	Historic Preservation	Additional Housing Alternative A	Additional Housing Alternative B
		No Project/No Development	No Project / Individual Use Permits				
5.10 Land Use and Planning							
5.10-1: The Project could conflict with an applicable land use plan, policy or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.10-2: The Project, in combination with past, present, and future projects could potentially contribute to cumulative land use impacts.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.11 Noise and Vibration							
5.11-1: The Project could expose people to or generate noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan, noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies during construction.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	=/+ S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.11-2: Project construction could result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the Project site vicinity.	S/U	- S/U	- S/U	- S/U	=/+ S/U	+ S/U	+ S/U
5.11-3: Project construction could result in temporary exposure of persons to or generation of, excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels in the Project site vicinity.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	=/+ S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.11-4: The Project could increase long-term noise levels in the Project vicinity to levels in excess of applicable noise standards.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	= S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.11-5: Project traffic would not substantially increase traffic noise levels in the vicinity of the Project site.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.11-6 Project construction noise, in combination with past, present, existing, approved, pending and reasonably foreseeable future developments could contribute considerably to cumulative noise impacts.	S/U	- S/U	- S/U	- S/U	=/+ S/U	+ S/U	+ S/U
5.11-7: Project traffic in combination with traffic from cumulative development would not contribute considerably to cumulative noise impacts.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.12 Population and Housing							
5.12-1: The proposed Project would not directly induce substantial population growth by proposing new homes or businesses, and indirectly through the extension of infrastructure.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	- LTS	- LTS

S/U Significant and unavoidable impact

S/M Significant impact, but mitigable to a less than significant level

LTS Less than significant impact

- Lesser impact than that of the proposed project.

= Same (or similar) impact as that of the proposed project.

=/+ Same or greater impact than that of the proposed project

TABLE 7-4 (CONTINUED)
COMPARISON OF IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND ALTERNATIVES

Impact	Proposed Project	No Project Alternative		Reduced Project	Historic Preservation	Additional Housing Alternative A	Additional Housing Alternative B
		No Project/No Development	No Project / Individual Use Permits				
5.12 Population and Housing (cont.)							
5.12-2: The Project, in combination with past, present, and future projects would not result in substantial adverse cumulative population and housing impacts.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	- LTS	- LTS
5.13 Public Services							
5.13-1: Project construction could increase demand for fire protection, emergency medical service and police protection services but would not result in an adverse physical impact from the construction of additional fire protection, emergency medical, or police protection facilities in order to maintain acceptable performance standards.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.13-2: Operation of uses under the Project would increase demand for fire protection and emergency medical services, but would not result in an adverse physical impact from the construction of additional fire protection facilities in order to maintain acceptable performance standards.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.13-3: Operation of development under the proposed Project would increase demand for police protection services.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.13-4: The proposed Project would increase enrollment in public schools but would not result in an adverse physical impact from the construction of additional school facilities in order to maintain acceptable enrollment standards.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.13-5: Implementation of the proposed Project in combination with past, present, and reasonably foreseeable projects, would increase demand for fire protection and emergency medical services, but would not result in an adverse physical impact from the construction of additional facilities in order to maintain acceptable performance standards.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.13-6: Development of the proposed Project in combination with past, present, and reasonably foreseeable projects would increase demand for police protection services, but would not result in an adverse physical impact from the construction of additional facilities in order to maintain acceptable performance standards.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS

S/U Significant and unavoidable impact

S/M Significant impact, but mitigable to a less than significant level

LTS Less than significant impact

- Lesser impact than that of the proposed project.

= Same (or similar) impact as that of the proposed project.

=/+ Same or greater impact than that of the proposed project

TABLE 7-4 (CONTINUED)
COMPARISON OF IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND ALTERNATIVES

Impact	Proposed Project	No Project Alternative		Reduced Project	Historic Preservation	Additional Housing Alternative A	Additional Housing Alternative B
		No Project/No Development	No Project / Individual Use Permits				
5.13 Public Services (cont.)							
5.13-7: Development of the proposed Project in combination with past, present, and reasonably foreseeable projects would increase enrollment in public schools but would not result in an adverse physical impact from the construction of additional school facilities in order to maintain acceptable enrollment standards.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.14 Recreation							
5.14-1: The Project would not increase use of existing neighborhood and regional parks and other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	S/M	S/M
5.14-2: The construction of recreational facilities under the proposed Project would cause physical effects on the environment.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	=/+ S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.14-3: The Project in combination with past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future projects would not increase use of existing neighborhood and regional parks and other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	S/M	S/M
5.15 Transportation and Traffic							
5.15-1: The proposed Project would generate construction traffic that would cause a substantial reduction in mobility and in access to land uses.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	= S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.15-2: Implementation of the proposed Project could increase traffic volumes at area intersections, creating adverse impacts under 2018 Baseline with Project conditions.	S/U	- LTS	- S/U	- S/U	= S/U	+ S/U	+ S/U
5.15-3: Implementation of the proposed Project could increase traffic volumes on area freeways, creating adverse impacts under 2018 Baseline with Project conditions.	S/U	- LTS	- S/U	- S/U	= S/U	+ S/U	+ S/U
5.15-4: Implementation of the proposed Project would not conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.15-5: Implementation of the proposed Project would not substantially increase intrusion by traffic in nearby neighborhoods.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS

S/U Significant and unavoidable impact

S/M Significant impact, but mitigable to a less than significant level

LTS Less than significant impact

- Lesser impact than that of the proposed project.

= Same (or similar) impact as that of the proposed project.

=/+ Same or greater impact than that of the proposed project

TABLE 7-4 (CONTINUED)
COMPARISON OF IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND ALTERNATIVES

Impact	Proposed Project	No Project Alternative		Reduced Project	Historic Preservation	Additional Housing Alternative A	Additional Housing Alternative B
		No Project/No Development	No Project / Individual Use Permits				
5.15 Transportation and Traffic (cont.)							
5.15-6: Implementation of the proposed Project would not substantially increase hazards due to a design feature or incompatible uses.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	= LTS	= LTS
5.15-7: Implementation of the proposed Project would not result in inadequate emergency access.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.15-8: Implementation of the proposed Project would not conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding bicycle or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.15-9: Implementation of the proposed Project, in combination with other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future projects, could increase traffic volumes at area intersections, contributing considerably to significant adverse impacts under 2035 Cumulative with Project conditions.	S/U	- LTS	- S/U	- S/U	= S/U	+ S/U	+ S/U
5.15-10: Implementation of the proposed Project, in combination with other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future projects, could increase traffic volumes on area freeways, contributing considerably to significant adverse impacts under 2035 Cumulative with Project conditions.	S/U	- LTS	- S/U	- S/U	= S/U	+ S/U	+ S/U
5.15-11: Implementation of the proposed Project, in combination with other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future, projects, would not conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.15-12: The Project would be located within one-half mile of an existing major transit stop or an existing stop along a high quality transit corridor.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.15-13: The proposed Project VMT would not exceed the numeric thresholds recommended by OPR.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.16 Utilities and Service Systems							
5.16-1: The Project would result in the expansion of existing on-campus infrastructure, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects.	S/M	- S/M	- S/M	- S/M	=/+ S/M	+ S/M	+ S/M
5.16-2: Project development would increase the demand for water, however it would be adequately supplied from existing entitlements and resources.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS

S/U Significant and unavoidable impact

S/M Significant impact, but mitigable to a less than significant level

LTS Less than significant impact

- Lesser impact than that of the proposed project.

= Same (or similar) impact as that of the proposed project.

=/+ Same or greater impact than that of the proposed project

TABLE 7-4 (CONTINUED)
COMPARISON OF IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND ALTERNATIVES

Impact	Proposed Project	No Project Alternative		Reduced Project	Historic Preservation	Additional Housing Alternative A	Additional Housing Alternative B
		No Project/No Development	No Project / Individual Use Permits				
5.16 Utilities and Service Systems (cont.)							
5.16-3: The Project would increase demand for wastewater treatment, but would not exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.16-4: The Project would discharge additional flows to the municipal sewer and drainage system, but not to an extent which would exceed the facilities' capacity in light of existing commitments.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.16-5: Project construction would result in an increased generation of solid waste, but would not exceed permitted capacity to accommodate the Project's solid waste disposal needs or conflict with federal, State, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.16-6: Operation of the Project would comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste and would be adequately served by existing landfills with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the Project's solid waste disposal needs.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.16-7: Implementation of the proposed Project, in combination with past, present, and future projects would contribute to cumulative increases in demand for water supplies.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.16-8: Implementation of the Project, in combination with past, present, and future projects would contribute to cumulative increases in demand for wastewater treatment.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.16-9: Implementation of the Project, in combination with past, present, and future projects would contribute to cumulative increases in demand for landfill space.	LTS	- LTS	- LTS	- LTS	= LTS	+ LTS	+ LTS
5.17 Environmental Consequences of Stanford Providing Off-campus Housing Under Proposed Project							
Impact 5.17-1: Under the proposed Project, the construction and/or operation of off-site housing would result in off-site environmental impacts.	S/U	- LTS	- LTS	- S/U	= S/U	=/+ S/U	=/+ S/U

SOURCE: Environmental Science Associates

S/U Significant and unavoidable impact
S/M Significant impact, but mitigable to a less than significant level
LTS Less than significant impact

- Lesser impact than that of the proposed project.
= Same (or similar) impact as that of the proposed project.
=/+ Same or greater impact than that of the proposed project