

**From:** [Sam Ribeiro-Broomhead](#)  
**To:** [BoardOperations](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Subject: Hold Stanford Accountable  
**Date:** Tuesday, October 22, 2019 1:39:35 PM

---

Dear County Supervisors,

I am a Stanford student and I'm writing to express my support for Santa Clara County's draft Conditions of Approval (CoAs) regarding the Stanford 2018 General Use Permit (GUP), with an emphasis on preserving the number of below market-rate units. Furthermore, I believe that Santa Clara County should not enter into Development Agreement (DA) negotiations unless Stanford commits to the affordable housing units laid out in the CoAs as the minimum it will build over the period of the 2018 GUP. Stanford's suggested DA package only contains benefits for itself, not for our community.

Time and time again, Stanford claims that it is "stepping up" to address housing and traffic issues in our region. But no matter how much it spends on advertising, Stanford refuses to invest in affordable housing and equitable transportation. Instead, it has sued Santa Clara County for affordable housing ordinances and has eliminated transit options to East Palo Alto, where many of its workers live.

We can all agree that Stanford is an excellent institution of higher learning. However, during this General Use Permit process, Stanford should be treated on its merits as a developer. This means seriously considering the impacts Stanford's development will have (in addition to those it already has) on the surrounding community, especially with regards to the growing housing crisis. It is unconscionable that many of Stanford's workers have a 3+ hour commute every day, which generates harmful emissions and reduces their ability to spend time with their families.

Moving forward, I ask that you please support the County's CoAs as the baseline for mitigating Stanford's impacts.

Sincerely,

Sam Ribeiro-Broomhead

**From:** [Ivy Wang](#)  
**To:** [BoardOperations](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Reach Agreement with Stanford  
**Date:** Tuesday, October 22, 2019 1:40:29 PM

---

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Stanford's proposed General Use Permit. I urge you to reach consensus with the university now on a related Development Agreement and a set of reasonable and feasible Conditions of Approval that will deliver benefits to the community and allow Stanford to further its academic mission.

Stanford plays an important role in the life of our community by advancing teaching and research, providing world-class health care, inviting its neighbors to enjoy many on-campus amenities and working in the community to support local residents.

Housing and traffic are critical challenges in our region, and Stanford is stepping up to help address these pressing issues. Stanford's Development Agreement proposal will provide historic measures to more than meet its obligations in the community—building housing now and fully meeting future workforce housing demand; investing more in transportation programs that encourage sustainable commuting; and providing upfront and ongoing support to the Palo Alto Unified School District.

I encourage you to work collaboratively with the university to create a long-term land use plan that allows Stanford to continue its mission of taking the world's most pressing challenges and developing innovative solutions to benefit both our community and the world.

I hope you will support Stanford's future land use plans and approve a General Use Permit and Development Agreement that meet the needs of the community, the County and Stanford.

Sincerely,  
Ivy Wang

Palo Alto, CA 94301

**From:** [Latifah Hani Hamzah](#)  
**To:** [BoardOperations](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Hold Stanford Accountable  
**Date:** Tuesday, October 22, 2019 2:03:14 PM

---

Dear County Supervisors,

I am a Stanford student and I'm writing to express my support for Santa Clara County's draft Conditions of Approval (CoAs) regarding the Stanford 2018 General Use Permit (GUP), with an emphasis on preserving the number of below market-rate units. Furthermore, I believe that Santa Clara County should not enter into Development Agreement (DA) negotiations unless Stanford commits to the affordable housing units laid out in the CoAs as the minimum it will build over the period of the 2018 GUP. Stanford's suggested DA package only contains benefits for itself, not for our community.

Time and time again, Stanford claims that it is "stepping up" to address housing and traffic issues in our region. But no matter how much it spends on advertising, Stanford refuses to invest in affordable housing and equitable transportation. Instead, it has sued Santa Clara County for affordable housing ordinances and has eliminated transit options to East Palo Alto, where many of its workers live.

We can all agree that Stanford is an excellent institution of higher learning. However, during this General Use Permit process, Stanford should be treated on its merits as a developer. This means seriously considering the impacts Stanford's development will have (in addition to those it already has) on the surrounding community, especially with regards to the growing housing crisis. It is unconscionable that many of Stanford's workers have a 3+ hour commute every day, which generates harmful emissions and reduces their ability to spend time with their families.

Moving forward, I ask that you please support the County's CoAs as the baseline for mitigating Stanford's impacts.

Sincerely,

---

**Latifah Hani Hamzah**

M.S. Candidate | **Mechanical Engineering**

PhD Student | **Environmental Engineering**

Graduate Student Representative | **52nd Faculty Senate**

Graduate Public Service Fellow | **Haas Center for Public Service**

**From:** [Mary Markley](#)  
**To:** [BoardOperations](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Hold Stanford Accountable  
**Date:** Tuesday, October 22, 2019 2:09:54 PM

---

Dear County Supervisors,

I am a Stanford student and I'm writing to express my support for Santa Clara County's draft Conditions of Approval (CoAs) regarding the Stanford 2018 General Use Permit (GUP), with an emphasis on preserving the number of below market-rate units. Furthermore, I believe that Santa Clara County should not enter into Development Agreement (DA) negotiations unless Stanford commits to the affordable housing units laid out in the CoAs as the minimum it will build over the period of the 2018 GUP. Stanford's suggested DA package only contains benefits for itself, not for our community.

Time and time again, Stanford claims that it is "stepping up" to address housing and traffic issues in our region. But no matter how much it spends on advertising, Stanford refuses to invest in affordable housing and equitable transportation. Instead, it has sued Santa Clara County for affordable housing ordinances and has eliminated transit options to East Palo Alto, where many of its workers live.

We can all agree that Stanford is an excellent institution of higher learning. However, during this General Use Permit process, Stanford should be treated on its merits as a developer. This means seriously considering the impacts Stanford's development will have (in addition to those it already has) on the surrounding community, especially with regards to the growing housing crisis. It is unconscionable that many of Stanford's workers have a 3+ hour commute every day, which generates harmful emissions and reduces their ability to spend time with their families.

Moving forward, I ask that you please support the County's CoAs as the baseline for mitigating Stanford's impacts.

Sincerely,

Mary Markley  
Concerned Stanford Student

**From:** [Jo Leuenberger](#)  
**To:** [BoardOperations](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Housing Affordability and Community Displacement  
**Date:** Tuesday, October 22, 2019 3:02:05 PM

---

Dear County Supervisors,

I am a Stanford student and I'm writing to express my support for Santa Clara County's draft Conditions of Approval (CoAs) regarding the Stanford 2018 General Use Permit (GUP), with an emphasis on preserving the number of below market-rate units. Furthermore, I believe that Santa Clara County should not enter into Development Agreement (DA) negotiations unless Stanford commits to the affordable housing units laid out in the CoAs as the minimum it will build over the period of the 2018 GUP. Stanford's suggested DA package only contains benefits for itself, not for our community.

Time and time again, Stanford claims that it is "stepping up" to address housing and traffic issues in our region. But no matter how much it spends on advertising, Stanford refuses to invest in affordable housing and equitable transportation. Instead, it has sued Santa Clara County for affordable housing ordinances and has eliminated transit options to East Palo Alto, where many of its workers live.

We can all agree that Stanford is an excellent institution of higher learning. However, during this General Use Permit process, Stanford should be treated on its merits as a developer. This means seriously considering the impacts Stanford's development will have (in addition to those it already has) on the surrounding community, especially with regards to the growing housing crisis. It is unconscionable that many of Stanford's workers have a 3+ hour commute every day, which generates harmful emissions and reduces their ability to spend time with their families.

Moving forward, I ask that you please support the County's CoAs as the baseline for mitigating Stanford's impacts.

Sincerely,

Concerned Stanford Student

**From:** [Stephen Balajadia Guerrero](#)  
**To:** [BoardOperations](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Hold Stanford Accountable!!  
**Date:** Tuesday, October 22, 2019 3:24:43 PM

---

Dear County Supervisors,

I am a Stanford student and I'm writing to express my support for Santa Clara County's draft Conditions of Approval (CoAs) regarding the Stanford 2018 General Use Permit (GUP), with an emphasis on preserving the number of below market-rate units. Furthermore, I believe that Santa Clara County should not enter into Development Agreement (DA) negotiations unless Stanford commits to the affordable housing units laid out in the CoAs as the minimum it will build over the period of the 2018 GUP. Stanford's suggested DA package only contains benefits for itself, not for our community.

Time and time again, Stanford claims that it is "stepping up" to address housing and traffic issues in our region. But no matter how much it spends on advertising, Stanford refuses to invest in affordable housing and equitable transportation. Instead, it has sued Santa Clara County for affordable housing ordinances and has eliminated transit options to East Palo Alto, where many of its workers live.

We can all agree that Stanford is an excellent institution of higher learning. However, during this General Use Permit process, Stanford should be treated on its merits as a developer. This means seriously considering the impacts Stanford's development will have (in addition to those it already has) on the surrounding community, especially with regards to the growing housing crisis. It is unconscionable that many of Stanford's workers have a 3+ hour commute every day, which generates harmful emissions and reduces their ability to spend time with their families.

Moving forward, I ask that you please support the County's CoAs as the baseline for mitigating Stanford's impacts.

Best,  
Stephen Guerrero

--

**Stephen Balajadia Guerrero**  
Stanford University | Class of 2021 | he/him/his  
B.S. Candidate | Mechanical Engineering

**From:** [Jane Clayton](#)  
**To:** [BoardOperations](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Hold Stanford Accountable  
**Date:** Tuesday, October 22, 2019 3:31:58 PM

---

Dear County Supervisors,

I am a Stanford student and I'm writing to express my support for Santa Clara County's draft Conditions of Approval (CoAs) regarding the Stanford 2018 General Use Permit (GUP), with an emphasis on preserving the number of below market-rate units. Furthermore, I believe that Santa Clara County should not enter into Development Agreement (DA) negotiations unless Stanford commits to the affordable housing units laid out in the CoAs as the minimum it will build over the period of the 2018 GUP. Stanford's suggested DA package only contains benefits for itself, not for our community.

Time and time again, Stanford claims that it is "stepping up" to address housing and traffic issues in our region. But no matter how much it spends on advertising, Stanford refuses to invest in affordable housing and equitable transportation. Instead, it has sued Santa Clara County for affordable housing ordinances and has eliminated transit options to East Palo Alto, where many of its workers live.

We can all agree that Stanford is an excellent institution of higher learning. However, during this General Use Permit process, Stanford should be treated on its merits as a developer. This means seriously considering the impacts Stanford's development will have (in addition to those it already has) on the surrounding community, especially with regards to the growing housing crisis. It is unconscionable that many of Stanford's workers have a 3+ hour commute every day, which generates harmful emissions and reduces their ability to spend time with their families.

Moving forward, I ask that you please support the County's CoAs as the baseline for mitigating Stanford's impacts.

Sincerely,

Jane Clayton  
Stanford '19

**From:** [Ning Zhu](#)  
**To:** [BoardOperations](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Reach Agreement with Stanford  
**Date:** Tuesday, October 22, 2019 4:23:59 PM

---

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Stanford's proposed General Use Permit. I urge you to reach consensus with the university now on a related Development Agreement and a set of reasonable and feasible Conditions of Approval that will deliver benefits to the community and allow Stanford to further its academic mission.

Stanford plays an important role in the life of our community by advancing teaching and research, providing world-class health care, inviting its neighbors to enjoy many on-campus amenities and working in the community to support local residents.

Housing and traffic are critical challenges in our region, and Stanford is stepping up to help address these pressing issues. Stanford's Development Agreement proposal will provide historic measures to more than meet its obligations in the community—building housing now and fully meeting future workforce housing demand; investing more in transportation programs that encourage sustainable commuting; and providing upfront and ongoing support to the Palo Alto Unified School District.

I encourage you to work collaboratively with the university to create a long-term land use plan that allows Stanford to continue its mission of taking the world's most pressing challenges and developing innovative solutions to benefit both our community and the world.

I hope you will support Stanford's future land use plans and approve a General Use Permit and Development Agreement that meet the needs of the community, the County and Stanford.

Sincerely,  
Ning Zhu

Palo Alto, CA 94303