




APPROVED
FOR DISTRIBUTION

ELECTION FRAUD TRUTH SUMMIT ECONOMIC BATTLE PLAN™





Election Fraud Truth Summit Special Report – In partnership with the Citizen Coalition EveryLegalVote.com

Your Economic Battle Plan™ today has a very special topic and a very special purpose. The election of 2020 is one of the biggest economic battles we have faced as Americans. Literally trillions of dollars are at stake and in the end, it is your money. Foreign governments, corrupt bureaucrats, crony capitalists and the Deep State all have a vested interest in getting the outcome they want. They win. You lose. Unless we do something and take a stand now.

This is a **TAKE ACTION** briefing to protect your money, your livelihood, and your way of life.

Your Briefing Today Will:

- Walk through the irregularities of the 2020 election.
- Provide a new resources where you can learn more about fair elections.
- Introduce you to the people on the front lines fighting this battle.
- Expose those who want to steal from you, including foreign interference.
- Debunk the debunkers.
- Show you the truth.
- Give you actions you can take to protect our Constitution and stand for election integrity.

"Anyone who says the 2020 election was normal or says, 'nothing to see here' is LYING TO YOU and we will prove it."-Kevin Freeman

Your Mission:

1. To take action, hold the line for Election Integrity, and understand the real fraud taking place in US elections.
2. To encourage Attorney General William Barr to 'Do His Job' as it relates to investigating election fraud and ensuring election integrity.


Ep. 3-117 (OSINT) Open-Sourced Intelligence Special Report. This is a Special Report with Kevin Freeman. It is comprehensive and includes subject matter experts in Election Fraud, Cyber, Intelligence, and Legal.

"There is foreign interference in our elections. For every dollar Russia spends affecting our election, Soros spends \$3 and China spends \$10." -Col. John Mills

1. **First, We Want to Share More About the Website [Everylegalvote.com](https://www.EveryLegalVote.com) and Why it Was Formed.**

[Everylegalvote.com](https://www.EveryLegalVote.com) (ELV) is a website formed by concerned citizens and the Economic War Room team. You can find it at www.EveryLegalVote.com.

ELV is your website, it includes memes, stories, election fraud reports, videos, education, and most important and easy way to TAKE ACTION. The citizen coalition wanted to form a way to enable you to get involved and make a difference. The site is getting better every day and keeps track of the information the deep state and social media companies are banning and blocking.



WARNING: While we have received positive feedback from those looking for information and truth beyond the big tech censorship, we have created our own Hall of Shame page for those who are trying to censor our information.

SOME of our FAVORITE REVIEWS and Badge of Honors include:

Snopes - If you ask Snopes, they say this is a false website. But then, do you really trust SNOPEs?

No Surprise - **The New York Times** has also attacked it. We see this as a badge of honor!

We encourage you to go to the website and explore the data and insights you may not be seeing anywhere else. Go to <https://everylegalvote.com>.


- EveryLegalVote.com is a place where concerned citizens can read fraud reports or even report fraud yourself. Attached to the end of this Economic Battle Plan™ is a **98-page Open Letter** that is being sent to the Department of Justice (DOJ).
- ELV is your website. It includes memes, stories, videos, education, and most important easy TAKE ACTION steps you can do to make a difference. We are updating it daily and it is getting better every. The goal is to keep track of the stuff the deep state media and social media companies are banning and blocking.

2. Objections? Answers to the Three Biggest Objections You May Be Hearing.

We want to help counter the narrative with the truth. Despite all of the evidence, the media and politicians are bombarding a message that's causing a lot of people to give up. There are three main objections we want to address in this briefing to help equip patriots with what is really happening.

Objection #1: The media has debunked all the fraud reports.

This is a very dirty trick designed to manipulate you. I can give you one example. We showed you that "fact checker Snopes claims that McAfee said our website contains malware." But McAfee certified our website as malware free!



Another trick is often how they word something. But sometimes, they just outright LIE. You may have heard of suitcase under tables in Georgia. Media says "debunked." [Mollie Hemingway at The Federalist proved them 100% wrong](#). Have you noticed that the debunkers never debunk claims of the LEFT? And the debunkers rarely show the proof. They tend to just quote people saying something's not true. And the people they quote are always part of the problem.

So, Dominion Securities is quoted to disprove allegations of fraud at Dominion Securities.

Here's the truth. In 2012, the New York Times warned that mail-in ballots were a huge risk for election fraud. As recently as three months ago, all experts agreed that voting machines were vulnerable to hacking and manipulation.

Other key points to consider:

- Don't be a science denier! In 2012, [the National Academy of Sciences published a paper that said statistical anomalies were major indicators of election fraud](#). This is science, the same kind that is used all the time in court rooms and to send rockets into space and design bridges.
- All those who debunk the risks of mail-in voting, or voting machines like Dominion Systems, or claiming that statistical analysis is meaningless are misleading you at best. Some are just plain lying.
- The only reason they are claiming "nothing to see here" is BECAUSE THEY BENEFIT FROM THE OUTCOME.


"Frankly, I think it's a tell that many Republican politicians want to accept the results and move on. Gee, I wonder, have any of them been compromised? Are they hoping for better treatment in a Biden Administration? Have any of them benefited from rigged elections?" -Kevin Freeman

Despite Media Misinformation Attempts: You Are Part of the Majority that believe fraud influenced the Election!

According to a [YouGov poll](#), 75% of voters believe fraud influenced the election.

Of those, more than half believe the fraud was pervasive enough to have influenced the outcome.

BOTTOM LINE: Politicians may be unwilling to admit the fraud, but the American people know better. Don't let the media say it is all debunked. You know there's fraud and 75% of Americans agree with you.



Objection #2: "If there were fraud, why isn't Trump winning in Court?"

- Have you noticed that the lawsuit dismissals are mostly for reasons like, "you don't have standing," or "it was filed too late," or "this should be in state court," Or "this should be in Federal Court."
- The reality is that most of these courts don't want to touch this for anything. They would rather not look because if they did, they might have to do something. That's true for Republican judges and Democrat judges. They all wish someone else would do something.
- **But don't lose heart.** The fraud is real and sooner or later it will come out. Which brings us to the next objection.

Objection #3: Isn't all of this too late?

- Just as a reminder, this was published for the first time on December 8th.
- The Bush v. Gore decision wasn't decided in the Supreme Court until December 12. There were elections decided even later than that in history. Until the electors meet and vote in the Electoral College, it's not too late. And the Supreme Court can adjust the dates, if needed, to route out fraud.
- Bottom line: the stakes are too high to rush through this. We need to let the process work for the sake of our nation's future.

For the rest of this briefing, we bring in top subject matter experts to share the truth around what is really happening. Obviously, we encourage all of our readers to review source data and make their own decisions.

3. Perspective From President Trump's Legal Team – Joe diGenova

Joe diGenova, one of President Trump's top lawyers. He has had an incredible career in the legal profession and served as the United States Attorney in the District of Columbia, which is the largest such office in the country. He answers key questions below.

- Let's look at the fact that the lawsuits are being lost is proof that no substantive fraud



occurred. Certainly not enough to change the election. What is the real status of the lawsuits and why are you optimistic?

"Well, first of all, both the reporting and the judicial work on this has been just awful. We have presented huge amounts of evidence of vote manipulation, computer problems, backdating, failure to have signatures, illegal mailing ballots, illegal absentee ballots, observers being kept out of the counting areas. It's endless. It goes on and on. That has been presented to committees in state houses, in courts, both state and federal. And there's just no doubt that it occurred all over the United States.

The most important thing was that there was a circuit breaker on the night of the election in four major cities, in the four major swing states where the counting stops and then all of a sudden, miraculously, hundreds of thousands of votes showed up and transferring the result of the election from Trump to Biden.

The evidence on that is staggering. The statistical analysis which shows the anomalies and the incompatibility of that result with historical voting just lays it out cold.

We hope that the momentum created ... will, in fact, wake up some state legislatures and make them have what are called electoral sessions, not joint sessions, not special sessions, but sessions that the legislators themselves can call without the governor or the secretary of state and either give their electoral votes to Trump or deny either candidate the electoral votes, but thus denying each candidate the necessary two hundred and seventy electoral votes. So that's what we're shooting for. I think that all the other things are proceeding in every state."

- Another common statement is that time has run out. Next week the Electoral College meets. Even if there were fraud, is there time to make the case, get it heard, and get a favorable outcome for the President?

"Yes, there is time. Those dates are not set in constitutional stone. They are in statutory stone. But they can be bent. They can be bent,

because if the Supreme Court were to find that there was fraud, that there were illegal ballots, that states violated their own constitutions, allowing mail in ballots, and if this court were to nullify those votes, it could take enough time to have oral argument in those matters and could issue an order saying that the Electoral College would be postponed for a week or so. And there's nothing in the Constitution that would prevent them from doing that because the dates for the Electoral College are not in the Constitution."

A review of the most compelling evidence of fraud that will be brought into a hearing if a court will ever stop to listen. The evidence is broken out into four categories and we will have experts to address each of the four.


First. We will review the way the votes were counted. Remember the quote attributed to Stalin? "It's not he who votes that counts but how the votes are counted." And in this election, there are plenty of irregularities.

4. The Way Votes are Counted – Ballot Stuffing

A Look at the Georgia Count with Jacki Deason.

Jacki has been working with the President's legal team, most recently in Georgia. Jacki was the one who broke the story about the hidden ballot cases.

Revelations from the fraud investigations underway in Georgia. Due to Fact Checker distortions, we are going to run in detail Jacki's summary of events in the Georgia count.




"Here's the situation in Georgia. Essentially, I was there as a volunteer having no idea what is about to happen in the following week or so. Basically, as you've heard, Georgia collected about one hundred affidavits. There are now about twelve hundred across the country. My job is to pore over those affidavits and find out what was most compelling in terms of evidence. Also, to then follow up with those people so we could have some evidence to support the contest that President Trump just filed Friday.

Well, there was so much in those affidavits it was tough to choose. But what was clear in two of them is that there were two Republican observers at the State Farm arena, which is now being called the state ballot form arena in Fulton County, Georgia. They reported that they were there as poll watchers starting at about 8:00 p.m. and then around 10:30, there was an election official who looked like a supervisor who yelled out to the room to stop counting and that they would resume their work in the morning at about 8:30. So you can see in the video, which was subpoenaed by the Trump legal team immediately I received the affidavits that, in fact, about that the overwhelming majority of the poll workers left at about 10:30. Behind them left the press as well as the Republican poll watchers or observers. They left because they believed both the supervisor and the Fulton County spokesperson who said to the press, which is right there, the press was right beside the Republican poll watchers, that they were done for the evening.

Under Georgia law, the public, the press, the Republican poll watchers only have the right to be present at a precinct or a tabulation center during the proceedings. They are not permitted to stay after counting stops and stand guard over the ballots. That would be great, but they're not. So, our poll watchers had no choice but to leave. They did. Well, later on, they got a tip from someone that, in fact, counting had continued after they left. So, when they found this out, they rushed back to the arena. It's now almost one o'clock in the morning. When they get there, the security guard stops them and will not let them in so they have a back and forth with him. He makes phone calls to his supervisor or whoever. And finally, after a verbal struggle, he promised them they could go inside. But then it was empty. And apparently he told them that people just left like five minutes ago. They've been counting all this time. So this is in the affidavit. So what do we do? We get the video. And by the way, it took a while to get it."

"People need to understand, the people who are demanding the smoking gun evidence the day after the election, it doesn't work like that. You have to build a case and it takes time through the legal process to get your affidavits, speak to your witnesses, get a signature, you know, subpoena, the video, that all takes time." -Jacki Deason

The video showed exactly what our witnesses said. In fact, it was a bit worse because we're watching, we go straight to the 10:00 p.m. hour and watch from 10:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m. and sure enough, everything happened as they said. What was not expected, after everyone had left, the four workers who stayed behind began pulling cases out from under a table. And understand, some of the tables had long black tablecloths that stretched to the floor and some tables didn't.



So there were ballot cases all over the place. I mean, stacked up against the wall, stacked up alongside the desks, the scanners stacked. Next to the people separating the envelope. They were everywhere and there was plenty of room, this was an enormous room.

In fact, our poll watchers said they were 40 to one 100 feet away from the counting, which made it impossible for them to even see what was going on. I mean, they could have had ten thousand ballots in a row for Biden or poll watchers would never even know, because throughout the process, early voting and election day throughout the state of Georgia, we had affidavit after affidavit where Republican poll watchers were forced to stay so far back from the ballot counting, they couldn't even tell what was going on. **They tried to get closer, even observing social distancing. Several of them had threats that law enforcement would be called. Keep in mind, Georgia law requires that the counting be open to the public and that means the press, and the Republican poll watchers, for accountability.**

We view this as breaking the law all the way through. It was not just after-hours ballots, but all day long, they were denied proper access. So that's a big problem. But as we point out in the video, they waited for some reason until everyone was gone and then pulled these ballots out from under a table where they had been hidden from view to count them."

THE DEBUNKERS GET DEBUNKED: (Other highlights from Jacki Deason)

"And so what you might have seen is that quickly the by the next morning, there is a website, some No-Name website I'd never heard of. It was a fact checker website, which tried to debunk the video and proclaimed video debunked. Why? Because the secretary of state and his reps say so. Well, it should be obvious that if there was a massive failing here.

There was fraud and the people of Georgia had their votes stolen from them, guess whose fault that is? The secretary of state. So, this guy, as far as I'm concerned, based on all the information I have, he's just unable or unwilling to take ownership and responsibility for what happened here. And you can see why."

Jacki highlighted **OTHER EVIDENCE OF FRAUD** including:

- 2,500 felons illegally voting.
- 66,000 underage registrants.
- 2,400 people who were not on the voter rolls.
- Almost 5,000 who had registered in another state after registering in Georgia, which by definition under state law, you were no longer eligible to vote, period.
- 15,700, voting after a change of address.
- Over 10,000 dead people voting.

Over 1,000 people who claim to have a P.O. box as their address. (That's not legal. Over 40,000 people who moved to different counties, which in Georgia is a big deal. When you move the county outside of 30 days from an election, you have to reregister. And that didn't happen. So you're no longer registered to vote and you cannot vote unless you register with the proper county. That's Georgia's way of keeping sure that the election has integrity. They don't **have a way of cross-checking** registrants to ensure they are not registered in more than one place.)

Other Resources on Georgia:

[Molly Hemmingway at the Federalist](#) wrote a piece to “fact check” the “fact checkers” as it relates to this.

KEY TAKEAWAYS:

Jacki called for this evidence to be properly used to contest the election results and to hold accountable people who conducted criminal activity and for legal reforms in states with problems before the next election. This is hugely important in Georgia because of the upcoming senate race.

The problems are fixable. She pointed out that the legislatures in the contested states are primarily republican – WI, PA, MI,but the governors could veto the bills.

“All of this due to decertifying. Whose fault is all of that? Who has it in their best interest to make sure they’re waving their hands and saying there’s nothing to see here? I can tell you who it is, It is the secretary of state. So why is the fact-checking website running to that person to say, tell us the story we want? Why are they going to other people that say, President Trump, the Senate candidates are Republican, the Republican Party of Georgia, and say, hey, you know, what do you have to say about this? Is this how this works?” –Jacki Deason

TAKE ACTION

“I’m so happy the country got to see it. You can’t un-ring the bell. People saw it. They can’t unsee it. And it doesn’t matter what incredibly implausible explanations the secretary of state would like to advance, people are not buying it.

And so this is why we need to contest the results of this election to hopefully hold accountable people who might have done something illegal here. We need to investigate further, but it doesn’t look good. And then we have to do legal reforms that are critical for the states. We need to show all the problems now, get them on the record and between now and the next election, do everything we can to fix these problems in the states because we know they’re there. They’re totally fixable because, with these contested states, they all have Republican

legislatures, which is amazing. All of them either Wisconsin, Pennsylvania, Michigan, they can fix this with the legislature. It might not happen in Michigan. I do not doubt Witmer may veto a bill to fix the system, I'm guessing. But there's so much to be done. And so there's a lot of reasons why this legal process has to happen."

5. Vote Counting and Data Anomalies - Colonel Phil Waldron (RET) who served in Army Intelligence with Cyber Expertise. He has been working investigating and sharing his findings at the recent public hearings.

Col. Waldron shares some of the data anomalies he has studied and shared with the State Legislators:

- The observational data, the map, and the patterns are anomalous.
- They match with hundreds and hundreds of affidavits of citizens from those states who've been working in the polls, who have made firsthand witnesses of lack of chain of custody of ballots that don't meet signature requirements.
- Ballots that were mail in ballots that were the envelopes were opened when the processors received them. They didn't have the security envelope, just lots and lots of irregularities in the actual voting process.
- We've also looked at the machine issues. There's recently is in Ware County, Georgia. Here the input does not equal the output. And that was the big machine allegation.



"We've explained it and shown probable cause. The analogy would be there's a car in the parking lot. It's there's a God-awful smell is coming out of the car. There's a bloody handprint, but there's no one willing to open the trunk to look to see if there's a dead body in the trunk." -Col. Phil Waldron

The State Legislators Response

- **No Authority Here?** - They don't seem to realize the legislatures have the authority to look at this, at the elections, whether it's a forensic audit, whether it's a ballot audit, whatever that they deem proper. No one seems to be willing to take the political risks to make sure that their voters, their citizens of their state, have not had their civil rights denied.
- **The Spike in Vote Dumps** - So the objections that we've gotten from several state legislatures is that while these spike anomalies are there, they don't really indicate anything.
- **We didn't tell them that they were fraudulent.** We just said they were indicators of fraudulent activity because they were uploaded faster than the machines could process that number of ballots.
- The State Legislators said, "well those **ballots could have been held in a queue and just uploaded all at once.** And that is a process, a function that's present in ESS And Dominion is called **batch processing.** The administrator can load lots and lots, you know, hundreds or thousands of ballots in a batch file. And **according to the manual, they can vote those ballots however they see fit.** If they think they're 80 percent for one candidate and 20 percent for one candidate, they can set that determinant and push the button. And those ballots are all adjudicated at that rate or whatever rate that they determine.
- So we've only indicated that this is this is a spike. This is outside of the rest of the normal curve. And this is a good place to start looking if you want to get a true understanding of what happened in your election. So far, the legislatures don't seem to want to assert their constitutional authority in managing and seating the electorates as their constituents have voted.

6. Fractional Votes - Voting machines actually designed to cheat?

Rod Martin, Technology Expert and Futurist shares his insights on how the counting really works.

There's no doubt that there are issues with how the votes have been counted. A lot of that has to do with our second area of concern, the voting machines themselves like Dominion Systems. To address that issue, we have two experts, the first being Rod Martin, a technologist who worked with Peter Thiel and the PayPal startup team.

- The machines work in a unique way. **You aren't counting when you're fractionalization or tabulating as the voting machine terminology goes.** These machines are not designed to just count the votes.
- The average person, I think, rightly, morally believes that when we have an election, we actually have humans who sit there and look at each vote and mark down. OK, that's one vote for Joe Biden, that one vote for Donald Trump. That's one vote for the libertarian. And if you have a machine, do it. The machine is just replicating what a human would be doing. **WRONG!**
- Not these machines. These machines put all of these votes in a bucket. They fractionalized them. So you end up with these thousandths of a vote and it is only thousandths of a vote. The tabulation does not go out to further decimal places.



The Result: In rounding errors alone, we've seen cases where the rounding errors for as much as six thousand votes. **You do that across an entire national election and you can hide literally millions of votes if you wish to.** And my contention would be, that's really the only reason you would program a system like this. There's no reason for it not to just be a fancy adding machine, a Scantron from school. And the obvious question that must be addressed and clearly is in front of us because it has been abused.

"Why on earth would you set up a system that is flawlessly designed to cheat if you weren't intending to cheat? And indeed, that's exactly what I believe we have found them doing." –Rod Martin

News Update:

EPOCH TIMES: December 10, 2020 An elections supervisor in Coffee County, Georgia, demonstrated in recent videos posted online how Dominion Voting Systems voting software allows votes to be changed through an "adjudication" process. The process allows the operator to add vote marks to a scanned ballot as well as invalidate vote marks already on the ballot.

7. **Voting Machine Vulnerability - Russ Ramsland continues this discussion on election fraud and potential for cybercrimes. Russ is former candidate for Congress and really self-taught expert on election systems. He warned well in advance of the election that these voting machines are vulnerable. He wasn't alone as every expert agreed. But he has stood up when others won't to tell you the truth.**



A look at why the machines are vulnerable and what that means?

- As a result of 2018, we saw the Dallas County election logs and our cyber guy was horrified by what they saw. We jumped in and we started mapping the whole voter system for America.
- What we saw was amazing because we just found this whole thing from start to finish is one long set of vulnerabilities of all kinds.
- Next, we started researching the history and what everyone else had done on it, we found out we're not the only guys that have found this. There are actually lots of cyber people out there. **They've reported on all this stuff but nobody is paying any attention.**

From our research we saw 2016 and 2018 as practicing various approaches of Election Fraud. Now, in 2020 we see them all coming together and we see three different levels of actors.

PAGE 16

- **Zealots** - We see zealots or local people who are trying to help put in fake voters and fake voter rolls and all that kind of stuff, purge good votes, and etc. This is the kind of thing we saw in Georgia and that videotape in Fulton County where we saw them **pull all the boxes out and start feeding all the ballots.**
- **Inside Actors** - We also see inside actors and those may be the people in Dominion itself. It's hard to say. Somebody has **set the algorithms up what's called ranked choice voting, inside these machines.** And we now have absolute proof of it. You've seen it in Wade County recently. You've also seen it in Antrim County, we believe.
- **External Actors - State Actors - Foreign Interference.** This includes actors like Iran or China or other state actors. Serbia is another example; Dominion has a back door directly into Serbia. We can trace all these IP addresses and we can see these people actually have real time access into the United States voting system. **These actors have the ability to download whole new databases of votes and just change the votes.**

There are multiple fraud efforts happening and it is not completely coordinated. **This is not necessarily some grand conspiracy master plan.** There are zealots, inside actors, and the external actors are out there and in some cases working on their own. **These multiple actors make it more difficult for people to understand what is really happening. There's not just one single fraud that you identify and say, that's it! Now let's go find it anywhere else. That's not how this works.**

The good news is that also ups the chances of starting to catch all this stuff. Look at Wade and Antrim counties, and the school bus in Arizona. They allegedly just found a broken-down school bus that was stuffed full of balloting machines. The video of ballot counting inside of Atlanta. We are finding this stuff more and more.

The Evidence to prove all of this is clearly there - The problem is finding judges with courage.

"The problem isn't a lack of hard evidence, there's tons of hard evidence. The problem is finding judges with the courage to step up to this, because this is the hottest potato they may ever be handed in their entire career. And unless they're really focused on their kids or their grandkids, they're going to want to walk away from this. They're going to just want to dismiss the suits. They're just going to want to bury it. But the evidence is there." -Russ Ramsland

Other Impossible Votes are as follows:

- A. Impossible Vote Loads** - The numbers on the vote loads are absolutely impossible. The machines can not physically tabulate the votes at the rates they are showing up in the system.

"It's like you and I, driving down in my Volkswagen Jetta and we get a speeding ticket for 540 miles an hour. We say, well, your Honor, there's no way I could be doing 540 miles an hour. The capacity of the car won't do that. Well, it's the same with these voting machines. These voting machines won't upload that many votes in that period of time. So, we know that the votes got loaded some other way." -Russ Ramsland

B. Impossible Voter Turn-Out Numbers.

- There is hard proof as far as the turnout numbers, the turnout numbers in certain counties are absolutely insane.
- Some of these turnout, I mean, we're talking 90%, 100%, and even 110% of the registered voters.

C. Impossible Votes Actually Flipping From One Candidate to Another.

- Antrim and Wade County have demonstrated exactly what we've been saying, which is vote flipping. Votes literally were changed from what was originally tabulated in the machine.
- See Rod Martin's section above about fractional votes and how this is often accomplished. Also, more below from Garland Favorito.

D. Impossible Fact Checking – Artificial Intelligence (AI) messages funded as part of Facebook operation, not real fact checkers.

- Look these things up online and there's this little notice, "this has been debunked."
- These are actually artificial intelligence generated messages by a supposed group of fact checkers. But the reality is those guys are funded by Facebook. It's a Facebook operation and it's an automatic operation.
- If you look to see the actual evidence of this supposedly new truth, not one single time can they offer any evidence that it's actually been looked at or debunked. It is just a restatement with a claim it is not valid.

"All they can do is parrot what they saw on the screen that was produced artificially by machines." –Russ Ramsland

See 90 second video link here that describes the fact checking process in detail – it is a good link to share with everyone.



EXHIBIT – VOTE FLIPPING and THE VOTING MACHINES IN ATLANTA

Garland Favorito shares his experience and the affidavit he filed in Georgia as it relates to machine counts vs hand counts not adding up.

Fact checkers have said that there is no proof that the voting machines can flip votes. But here is an example in Georgia where the hand recount showed a significant difference from the voting machine results.

The debunkers want to misconstrue what he said but the facts speak for themselves.

Garland Favorito is filed the affidavit and reports what happened here.



The facts are that the hand count on it revealed 37 more votes for Donald Trump and 37 less for Joe Biden than what the machines actually counted on Election Day. So in other words, those machines flipped 37 votes from President Trump to former Vice President Joe Biden.

Now, we don't know why they flipped the votes. We don't know if it was a software error, if it was a configuration setting or a definition or mismatch of some kind.

I go through all those possible scenarios in my affidavit, and the most likely one, I believe, would be malware, although it's not proved conclusively until we have a forensic investigation of the server. And that's simply what we're asking for.

Let's investigate the server, do a forensic investigation and find out exactly what happened.

The elections director already confirmed, The hand count audit revealed:

- thirty-seven extra votes for Donald Trump
- thirty-seven less votes for Joe Biden.

Whenever you do an audit of the electronic vote, which is completely unverifiable to begin with, the normal procedure is that you accept the count of the hand count of the audit as being the correct results. And that was exactly what the elections director did. He did everything correctly as near as I can tell.

We've gotten his confirmation in writing. He confirmed it to me verbally and he confirmed it to Dr. Earl Martin verbally, who was a former Waycross City Commission candidate.

So we have those three corroborating pieces of evidence, plus the actual in my affidavit, I include the audit results from the secretary of state's office and the certified election results from the secretary of state's nominee. We have five corroborating pieces of evidence that prove the debunkers have been debunked.

Note: The switch, like Garland saw, demonstrates the machines are not perfect and there is reason to confirm what is really happening with potential electronic voting machine manipulation. The question is why is this really being debunked when there are five pieces of corroborating evidence ?

8. Foreign Interference - Colonel John Mills - We know that our elections are vulnerable to foreign interference, but that risk is not confined to just the machines.

Colonel John Mills, a retired Army intelligence officer who served as the director of cybersecurity policy strategy and affairs and the Office of Secretary of Defense shares his insights on the foreign interference threats.



PAGE 20

"The past four years, we've been told how Russia colluded to put Donald Trump in the White House, even though there was zero evidence uncovered to that effect. But did you see the media debunking that? Of course not. Have you heard anything about foreign interference in this election? Of course not. But that doesn't mean that it isn't there." -Kevin Freeman

Election Warning Signs - There are so many warning signs about this election. The American public assumes that our elections are secure, they're being done by citizens that care about the process, that are acting as sworn election officials, they're upholding their duty and their oath of office. There's this assumption that things are being taken care of. Unfortunately, very few Americans get involved, and state actors recognize this.

There is a Clear Motive for Foreign Interference:

CHINA Election Interference - China is somebody that is taking care of and paying attention to the US election process

- With China and their plan for the world. They do have a plan to be a rising hegemonic power, their intent is to replace us and retire us.
- The elections are nothing but a big, juicy target. They are so many opportunities for them, this is influence operations.
- This is an influence operations on steroids. These are influence operations that we in many ways pioneered back in the 1950's with the Dulles brothers, but in many ways walked away from.
- They read our history, they read everything about us and they understand influence operations on a on a massive scale. So for years they have been essentially working what we would call preparation of the battle space. And a lot of that is influence operations. **A lot of that is essentially payments to key individuals to acquire essentially willing enablers inside our own country.**
- The Chinese have always had a reputation is being noisier and less careful. They're not as concerned about being found out. And that professor last night from the clip on Tucker, was very emblematic of their behavior. (He was bragging about the people they had influenced and bought to control their agenda.)

PAGE 21

Russia Election Interference

Historically, the Russians are known for being far more careful, far more silent, far more precise.

Influence Operations - A look at the numbers

"And again, in these influence operations, I just have to be totally clear, because I've actually run the numbers on this available capital for influence operations. And the simple model is, yes, Russia interferes in our elections and I believe they did and they have for years." -Col. John Mills

Foreign Influence Operations, plus Soros activities Investment of Russia vs Soros vs. China on these activities: Col. Mills Explains

For every ONE dollar Russia spends, Soros spends THREE dollars, the Chinese spend TEN dollars.

So, from the from our side, we always have to apply limited offensive and defensive resources.

The threat is China. Now, in this election specifically, it is just that they are all over the place!! This was a massive blitz to essentially to topple our election, our election institution,

Our own people do not understand our processes. Therefore, how could you even set up an operation to secure our election operations when we don't even know how our own elections operate?

There is a general lack of understanding of the Election Process - AG William Barr and our Intelligence departments lack resources and understanding of the election process.

"AG Barr said some comments the other day, I think they were probably inappropriate. The reality is our intelligence and law enforcement resources have effectively done very little, if anything, on Chinese influence operations. Why? Because being a former intelligence officer, a former colonel, and senior official in the Department of Defense, the intelligence community, how can you

even run an intelligence operation or law enforcement operation when you don't even understand the election process?" -John Mills

Some uninformed responses from our Government officials:

Chris Krebs our top cyber security official who worked on protecting our election system from hackers was not prepared. He went with the talking points provided to him from Dominion.

"Chris Krebs said very inappropriate things. The more honest and truthful answer was, I don't have the resources, the staffing, the technical expertise to even say 'yay' or 'nay' on interfering with Chinese interference." -Col. John Mills

AG Barr was likely provided inadequate information for his response on the integrity of the elections.

"What AG Barr said last week. I think it was inappropriate. I think that was his own staff that frankly gave him a rote response of what is the accepted truth without any modicum of actual investigation."
-Col. John Mills

Foreign Interference with Chinese Ownership in Dominion? It could be true:

- Let's consider the transaction and the Chinese here, we have the \$400 million through USB securities in Switzerland to Dominion.
- Also, the mysterious nature of the eight registered companies under the Election Assistance Commission, nobody understands what's going on. There's eight registered companies, but when you do the business forensics, it's more like three and maybe even one company.
- And they're privately held with very little responsibility or requirement to be transparent.

"As it relates to Dominion Voting Machine ownership. We don't understand these companies, they're privately held, there was a \$400 million transaction before the election. We also have this open talk of buying off officials that Professor Dongsheng boasted about on video." -Col. John Mills

Foreign Political Interference and Paper Ballots Being Purchased In China:

We have on social media and we've confirmed them with native Mandarin speakers talking about shipping ballots from China to Florida and North Carolina.

- There's no control measures on these mail-in ballots.
- There's essentially no corroboration of paper weave, paper weight, there are multiple paper types, of ink types. Are they copies? Have they been folded?

This is a Disneyland for China, who is looking to massively rush and overwhelm our election integrity. And they executed well.

Col. Mills has done some financial analysis in Virginia matching the number of personnel staffing and providing an apolitical view on this. Comparing the Republican party and the Democrat party in Virginia, the staffing is outrageously different.

He suggests that proceeds do not cover the amount of personnel they have working for the Democrat party in Virginia, for example. [Where does that extra money come from? It is very curious.]

From an influence operation perspective, matched with Chinese strategy, investment in our election system is just an incredible opportunity and gives China an incredible return on investment.

- The American election system, between the mysterious election machine companies.
- The operations and programing of the election machines makes no sense. Bringing all the votes together by all candidates and then using math to spin the numbers back out and apportion them. That makes absolutely no sense.

"We were concerned well in advance of this election; all the evidence points that this was and is a massive Chinese influence operation. And I have found is very few, of those personnel very few understand how our elections operate. And we just assume somebody is taking care of it. Somebody is taking care of election system and it looks like it could easily be state actors like China." –Col. John Mills

"There's no doubt that China desired to defeat President Trump and replace him with Vice President Biden. That was the conclusion of our nation's intelligence service before the election." -Kevin Freeman

9. Counterfeit Ballots - Dr. Lin shares insights on ballots being produced in China.

There appears to be counterfeit ballots being produced inside communist China and shipped to the US. Dr. Lin did an interview with Sidney Powell recently and she pointed out that counterfeit ballots were still being shipped into the United States, crossing Mexican-US borders.



SIDNEY POWELL INTERVIEW: [ON CAMERA]

"We do, we heard a video of somebody ordering ballots from China. We have evidence of a significant planeload of ballots coming in, and we have a witness that has said that they continue to come in because they intend to run counterfeit ballots in any runoffs or if they need them in recounts, too. So, it hasn't stopped. "

- Dr. Lin expanded on Sidney Powell's allegations regarding these counterfeit ballots.
- One young Chinese immigrant actually stepped forward to testify on these aspects.
- His English name is Vinness Ollervides.
- He is actually was a princeling from the Chinese communist party because his grandfather was a very senior communist party member and was able to attend the formal ceremony when Chairman Mao announced the establishment of the People's Republic of China in 1949.
- He emigrated to the United States and now resides in California.
- Recently, he was using his connections inside China and managed to establish a contact with the owner of an underground print shop and he found out they were able to produce counterfeit ballots for customers from the United States.

[You can see the actual video footage of this on episode]

"So, from Mr. Ollervides' testimony, we can see hundreds of thousands, even more than a million counterfeit ballots have been shipped into the United States. And I definitely think these allegations warrant more investigation and probably from the federal agencies. And the federal agents need to immediately stop the continuing influx of counterfeit ballots into the United States." -Dr. Sean Lin

10. Foreign Interference, China and the Biden Family Compromised. – Dr. Sean Lin

A Chinese Professor is openly sharing about China's US Infiltration.

China has already stated they want a Biden Presidency over a Trump Presidency. More evidence of why China cares.

Dr. SEAN LIN shares about the Professor in a video that connects Joe Biden's family's connection with the Chinese communist regime.

- This video is about a scholar from Renmin University, his name is Di Dongsheng.
- He's the vice-dean of the school of international relations in Renmin University in Beijing. Mr. Di is no ordinary scholar.
- In 2015, he actually accompanied Mr. Go Wei Ming, the deputy director of the information office of state councils in China to visit Washington, DC and then hosted a seminar to promote Xi Jinping's new book regarding the governance of China.
- Recently he made a very important speech on November 28th in Shanghai. And the event he was actually discussing about the opening of China's financial market to the world.
- **In this event, his speech addressed the connection between the deep state of the United States and the Chinese communist party and he actually implied that Hunter Biden's global fund was established under the help of the Chinese communist regime.**

Plus, more documentation - A review of another Chinese video that proves China's interest in promoting Joe Biden and leveraging his family connections.

Excerpt from the tape - See actual footage [[CLICK HERE](#) and go to 55:01]

"So, why can't we fix the Trump administration? Why between 1992 and 2016 China and the US use to be able to settle all kinds of issues? It's just because we have people at the top - at the top of America's core inner circle of power and influence. We have our old friends. For the past thirty years, forty years. We have been utilizing the core power of the United States. But the problem is that after 2008, the status of Wall Street has declined. And more importantly, after 2016, Wall Street can't fix Trump. But now we're seeing Biden was elected. The traditional elite, the political elite, the establishment. They're very close to Wall Street. So, you see that, right? Trump has been saying that Biden's son has some sort of global foundation. Have you noticed that? Who helped him, Biden's son, build the foundations? There are a lot of deals in all these." -Dr. Di Dongsheng.



"I would encourage everyone to watch the full episode of Mr. Di Dongsheng's speech. I think this is very strong, indirect evidence after Hunter Biden's laptop and also Mr. Bobulinski's testimony. This is very important evidence, indirect evidence, to show the connection between Biden's family and the Chinese communist regime. So, this is actually suggesting that Biden's family poses a great threat to the national security of the United States." -Dr. Sean Lin

11. Impossible Votes and the Science that Proves It! Why Statistics Matter in Identifying Fraud. Jay Valentine shares his forensic evidence.

In the 2020 election there's this whole issue of impossible votes. You may have heard stories of 130,000 votes in a row for Joe Biden.

- One expert told us that the voting patterns like these were so impossible it would be like winning the Powerball lottery four times in a row.

- The courts haven't been willing to look at the statistical evidence and the media says, where's the proof?

The statistics are the proof. In fact, that's how you find fraud. You start with anomalies.

- Statistical analysis has long been considered in election analysis. It's something the state department talks about.
- It's in the Carter Center and others. And there's even a National Academy of Sciences report in 2012 that said that is the best way to find fraud

To drill down on the statistical evidence in the 2020 election, Jay Valentine, one of the world's top experts on fraud identification shared his insights on why statistics matter when identifying fraud. He and his team are so good that eBay asked them to build their fraud detection system.

About the numbers, science, and cyber criminal activity – Why statistics matter!

Jay's team has a long history in cybercriminal activity and how to find it when people are doing everything they can to use computers to hide the data. They broke some of the largest fraud rings in Medicare, Medicaid and property casualty insurance.

"When you're looking at what's called industrial level fraud. Industrial fraud is where you are dealing with large data sets, not somebody that's just changing a few invoices, but big data fraud, the way that you find the fraud is you look for a starting point and the starting point is found with statistical pattern analysis."



Now what that means is that every data set – it could be a data set of Girl Scout cookies and how they're sold, it could be the most innocent data set in the world. All data sets have patterns in them of how the data looks and operates. Fraud is no different. What statistical pattern analysis does is it looks for the patterns in the data and it finds outliers, it finds anomalies in the data.

And what is happening in this election – and it's very well shown on your site, everylegalvote.com, you have a very excellent presentation there of what statistical fraud looks like. So, what happens is with statistical fraud, you actually can look at these large data sets, you can see these very strange anomalies and from the anomalies, you can dig in and that's where you find the real fraud.” –Jay Valentine

Mr. Valentine goes on to explain his insights on the 2020 election:

- He looked at large data sets of the analyses out there by people like Dr. Shiva and three or four other, very good statisticians.
- Jay considers these people are state of the art. Their mathematics are outstanding. He reviewed that material very carefully and was able to see fraud again and again.
- He concluded it is fraud that occurred at an industrial level.
- It was the type of fraud that could only be done with computers, with computers creating algorithms, and you can see it because, across different data sets, a precinct, for instance, might be a data set, every precinct had exactly the same algorithm moving votes from candidate A to candidate B.
- That can only be done with computer algorithms.
- His conclusion is very clear, if you take a look and you can get hold of those voting machines, and you can look at the source code and you can look at the log files, you're going to find how that fraud actually occurred.

“We agree with the seventy-five percent of Americans who believe in fact that there was serious fraud.” –Kevin Freeman

12. How to Get this Addressed – Joseph Schmitz, Former Inspector General

Despite the above data, the courts have punted. There may be an unstoppable strategy, a way to get the courts interested.

To learn more, we have invited former inspector-general of the department of defense Joseph Schmitz to provide his perspective.



- Under the Constitution, article three, the Supreme Court has what is called original jurisdiction over a number of types of lawsuits, including a, states versus states.
- In the case that was filed last night by Texas against Pennsylvania, Michigan, Georgia, and Arizona, the attorney general in Texas invoked this constitutional provision providing the US Supreme Court with the original jurisdiction to hear these cases.

Schmitz shared another perspective that Texas might also take into account with the 1871 law and why that might apply.

- The fourteenth amendment was enacted in 1868 and it triggered a flurry of implementing statutes out of Congress, including the civil rights act of 1871, which prohibits conspiracies to deprive American citizens of basic civil rights.
- It focused on the voting rights of freed slaves. And this law, in the vernacular, is sometimes referred to as the Ku Klux Klan act, because there was a very serious problem after the fourteenth amendment was passed. There were literally violent conspiracies going on, mostly in the southern states, to prevent the freed slaves from having that fundamental right to vote.
- Making this even more interesting would be if West Virginia were to join Texas, considering the KKK's history with Senator Byrd and all that, wouldn't that be an irony?
- It's not just civil rights generically. The US Supreme Court, in 1964 has referred to the right to vote as the right upon which every other right is based. Without the right to vote. And the Supreme Court implicitly was

PAGE 30

saying in free and fair elections, without that right, all of our other civil rights are for not. They all go to the wayside.

- This is really an important civil right and it's a fundamental right. It's the – like the prime right, the prime civil right, and ironically, or not ironically, the civil rights act of 1871 was focused on voting rights. And that's why Congress passed this statute which forbids anybody from conspiring to deprive American citizens of the right to vote in free and fair elections.
- Why not make this a Civil Rights case?

"I'm going to mention this to our attorney general here in Texas and suggest it everywhere Joe, I can't imagine a better opportunity to stand up for the civil rights of Americans. I mean, what you just framed was that this is truly a civil rights issue. It's not just somebody conducting voter fraud in one state or another. What they do in Pennsylvania or in Georgia or in Michigan, what they're doing there actually really is denying civil rights, isn't it?" **–Kevin Freeman**

Looking at the 1871 act, it literally says, if two or more persons conspire, that's what it sure appears like to me, what happened.

- The fact that Texas sued four states for essentially doing the same thing, it wasn't coincidental. People had to be conspiring for these four states to be doing the same thing. And as Texas points out in the complaint filed last night, it was a violation of the fourteenth amendment equal protection clause. And that's exactly what the civil rights act of 1871 focuses on.
- The most compelling thing I found is the way that the Texas attorney general actually framed the issue and cited statistical analysis that shows that it was like a one in a gazillion chance of all four of these states, considering where the actually ballot projections were on the morning of the 4th of November, it's like one in a bazillion chance that all four of those states would have flipped to Joe Biden.

Case Study - A Look at Fraud and the Election.

"I heard last week; Mark Levin had this brilliant analysis of what's going on in Pennsylvania. And, you know, people talk about, there's no evidence of systemic fraud.

I wrote the Inspector General manual, the subtitle of which is 'fraud, waste, abuse and other constitutional enemies, foreign and domestic.' So, Mark points out the same point that I point out in my handbook, if there's criminal fraud, there's civil fraud, and what's happening is the people are raising sort of a straw man of criminal fraud as if that's the only way fraud can manifest itself.

I wrote an article last week in NewsMax. The elephant in the living room is this is a deliberate effect – I mean, a deliberate overt conspiracy. Mark Levin points this out – since October of 2019, there has been a deliberate effort to corrupt the election process, and this was long before COVID, by the way, to corrupt the election process in Pennsylvania by pushing for this massive mail-in ballot.

The bipartisan commission that was set up after Bush versus Gore, to see what went wrong, said that the mail-in votes are the ripest area for fraud. And they weren't talking about criminal fraud. If you just look and see what's happened over the last eighteen months, there is a deliberate conspiracy to corrupt the election process in the battlefield states of Pennsylvania, Michigan, Georgia, Arizona, Nevada." –Joseph Schmitz

SUMMARY - WHAT WE'VE COVERED SO FAR:

1. We introduced you to www.EveryLegalVote.com as an important educational resource.
2. We debunked the debunkers and answered the objections people are raising.
3. We looked at some of the most compelling evidence that serious fraud took place.
4. We shared a legal strategy that could rock Washington and the nation, but framed as a Civil Right's issue.



ACTION STEPS:

We all need to take responsibility and act. There are two things we need to do:

1. We first need to PRAY! Time is short and the stakes are high. We are caught between the Egyptian Army and the Red Sea. So, let's take time, get on our knees, and ask God to expose the fraud, bring truth to life, and make things right.
2. Second, we need to TAKE ACTION. Tell the leaders to DO YOUR JOB! Go to <https://everylegalvote.com> and click the Take Action button. **Be sure to click the Take Action where we make it easy to make your voice known in less than 60 seconds!**
3. To date, patriots like you have helped drive over 3.5 million actions. This has worked. We did help force legislative hearings in four states we targeted, Arizona, Pennsylvania, Michigan, and Georgia.
4. **TAKE ACTION NOW** and urge everyone you know to do the same.
5. Hold the line, demonstrate social patience, and do not fall to the media narratives of the election being over until we are assured every legal vote is counted. America needs to know there is integrity in our voting systems and machines.
6. Review the **98-page** letter with Exhibits put out by EveryLegalVote (we've added at the end of **this Economic Battle Plan™ for your convenience**).
7. You heard about election fraud from us in the Economic War Room before. If you are new to Economic War Room, you can see more information and election fraud background at www.EconomicWarRoom.com/myvote.
8. Be sure to sign up for our free Economic Battle Plans™ at www.EconomicWarRoom.com.
 - If you are following Economic War Room® you will be on the leading edge as it relates to global threats, geopolitical analysis, and how you can weaponize your money to strengthen America. Your money, livelihood and way of life are at risk and these tools are designed to mobilize America to protect their economic liberty.
 - Learn more about Economic War Rooms financial advisor training at <https://www.economicwarroom.com/advisor> We will be launching this in 2021.



ECONOMIC BATTLE PLAN™

ELECTION FRAUD - SPECIAL REPORT **3.117**

CLEARED FOR RELEASE 12/08/2020 (ECONOMIC BATTLE PLAN™ POINTS: 200)

In the **Economic War Room®**, we encourage Americans to be the “small ships that make the difference.” You cannot solely rely on the government or the president to solve America’s problems. You have to make a difference. It is up to you to help take our country back and create a voice for economic liberty. [The small ships are based on Churchill’s Operation Dynamo that rescued the British Expeditionary Forces in the Miracle of Dunkirk].

We need more Economic Patriots on the team! Consider what you can do now to help strengthen America or even help someone in need. Keep in touch with your congressional representatives. Choose from the list or set your own goals:

- ✓ Get others to sign up on our website (<https://www.economicwarroom.com>) and review our free weekly Economic Battle Plans™. Each of these will address critical solutions to the threats highlighted in this briefing.
- ✓ At our [Economic War Room®](#) website, sign up to TheBlaze for our complete weekly shows. Please use our code (**ECON**) from that link for a discount and FREE trial.
- ✓ Follow, like, comment, and share on [FB](#) and [Twitter](#). Look for short video segments on [FB](#) and make sure those are shared. We recognize these tools may be compromised at times, but if they are not filtered, they are the major platforms available to reach out to the public. [Know that alternatives to the social platforms listed above are under EWR consideration.]
- ✓ Check out XOTV (<https://xotv.me/channels/233-economic-war-room>), a new free speech video platform that Economic War Room is proud to partner with. Access is FREE but consider making a donation to EWR on that website to help with Economic War Room’s research and production costs.
- ✓ You are welcome to share this battle plan and our short video segments with friends on [FB](#) or [YouTube](#). We set up the Economic War Room® to be your resource for information, preparation, and mobilization.
- ✓ Do this now! Have a financial action plan based on multiple geopolitical scenarios developed now. Advanced preparation is key. Trying to figure what to do when an economic event happens is usually too late.
- ✓ Talk with your financial advisor as it relates to your savings/investments. Ensure your advisor understand the potential impact economic/geo-political scenarios could have on your portfolio. LOOK FOR ECONOMIC WAR ROOM’S ADVISOR AND INVESTOR ONLINE TRAINING COURSES COMING SOON.

Shareable Quote:

“What we are seeing play out is perhaps the most intense Economic Warfare you will see. That’s because the battle for control of America is largely about the money. If we lose integrity in our elections, we will lose America.”

–Kevin Freeman

*DISCLAIMER: The Economic War Room® and its affiliates do not provide investment advice. In cases where guests or others may discuss investment ideas, these should not be viewed or construed as advice. The sole purpose is education and information. And, viewers should realize that in any case past performance is not indicative of future results. Neither Kevin Freeman, his guests or EWR-Media Holdings, LLC suggests, offers, or guarantees any specific outcome or profit. You should be aware of the real risk of loss in following any strategy or investment even if discussed on the show or any show-affiliated materials or websites. This material does not take into account your particular investment objectives, financial situation or needs and is not intended as recommendations appropriate for you. You must make independent decisions regarding information, investments, or strategies mentioned on this website or on the show. Before acting on information on economicwarroom.com website or on the show, or any related materials, you should consider whether it is suitable for your particular circumstances and strongly consider seeking advice from your own financial or investment advisor.

The EWR Collection Deck – From Kevin Freeman

(List of resources and external links for more information)

Quick Access Links

[Understanding the Election Fraud](#)

[Election Irregularities](#)

[Using Data](#)

[Bi-Partisan Proof That Voting Machines Can Be Hacked](#)

[2020 Machines Gone Wild?](#)

[About Kill Chain Documentary](#)

[Foreign Interference](#)

[Time for Action?](#)

[Potential for Lawsuit](#)

[98-Page Open-Letter from America to DOJ](#)

[] - Must Read/Watch

Where to Access Economic War Room

On BlazeTV <https://get.blazetv.com/economic-war-room/>

Our Website <https://www.economicwarroom.com/>

Our Facebook page <https://www.facebook.com/economicwarroom/>

Our Twitter page <https://twitter.com/economicwarroom>

Our YouTube page <https://www.youtube.com/economicwarroomwithkevinfreeman>

Our XOTV Channel <https://xotv.me/channels/233-economic-war-room>

Link to all Battle Plans <https://www.economicwarroom.com/battleplans>



Understanding the Election Fraud

[1] Every Legal Vote website www.EveryLegalVote.com

[1] Primer on Fraud <https://everylegalvote.com/primer>

Fraud PhD <https://everylegalvote.com/phd>

Fraud Stories <https://everylegalvote.com/explore>

[1] Economic War Room Shows <https://www.economicwarroom.com/myvote>

Never, Never Surrender <https://youtu.be/EBRNDWcuw9g>

Trump Supporters Rally in Washington to Protest Vote Fraud, Media
https://www.theepochtimes.com/trump-supporters-hold-rally-in-washington-to-protest-vote-fraud-media_3579324.html

Keet Lewis With EveryLegalVote.com On Lou Dobbs
<https://libertycgc.com/keet-lewis-with-everylegalvote-com-on-lou-dobbs/>

[1] NTD Interview https://www.ntd.com/ntd-business-full-broadcast-nov-13_527707.html

Washington Watch Interview <https://www.tonyperkins.com/get.cfm?i=LR20K09>

Sandy Rios Interview
<https://afr.net/podcasts/sandy-rios-in-the-morning/2020/november/interview-with-kevin-freeman-on-everylegalvote-com-and-massive-biden-only-votes/>

Kevin Freeman | ACWT Interview 11.17.20 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DSom2SP8FBO>

EveryLegalVote interview on NewsMax <https://youtu.be/5D-XsUEwwhM>

Russ Ramsland on America Can We Tal <https://youtu.be/0K3UHBN3O9k>

Securing America Election Fraud Special
<https://www.securingamerica.tv/securing-america-election-fraud-special/>

[1] War Room: Pandemic Ep 542 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CVZLYXS_u6s&t=1659

Liquid Lunch on Every Legal Vote <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MPTD64bzs34>

[1] Glenn Beck Interviews Kevin Freeman <https://youtu.be/qF9lO5tSesc>

Election Irregularities

[] Reasons why the 2020 presidential election is deeply puzzling
<https://spectator.us/reasons-why-the-2020-presidential-election-is-deeply-puzzling/>

Doubts cast over claims of fair and free election
<https://www.wnd.com/2020/12/doubts-cast-claims-fair-free-election/>

[] Infographic: What Happened in Atlanta on Election Night
https://www.theepochtimes.com/what-happened-in-atlanta-on-election-night-2_3607130.html

'Tsunami' of vote-fraud evidence rolled out in Pennsylvania, Arizona, Georgia, Michigan
<https://www.wnd.com/2020/12/tsunami-vote-fraud-evidence-rolled-pennsylvania-arizona-georgia-michigan/>

[] Reported Burst Pipe in Atlanta Ballot Counting Area Was Overflowing Urinal: Investigator
https://www.theepochtimes.com/reported-burst-pipe-in-atlanta-ballot-counting-area-was-overflowing-urinal-investigator_3607741.html

Georgia Poll Observers Say They Were Effectively Told to Go Home
https://www.theepochtimes.com/georgia-poll-observers-say-they-effectively-were-told-to-go-home_3605825.html

Election Fraud Allegations: Infographic
https://www.theepochtimes.com/election-fraud-allegations-infographic_3605589.html

What's Happening in US Election Matches What Happened in Venezuela, Expert Says
https://www.theepochtimes.com/whats-happening-in-us-election-matches-what-happened-in-venezuela-expert-says_3605487.html

"Dominion Contractor at Detroit Counting Center: 1000's of Ballots Scanned Multiple Times
<https://justthenews.com/politics-policy/elections/dominion-contractor-detroit-counting-center-says-thousands-ballots-were>

[] 3 Percent of Nevada Mail Ballots in Question After Survey Finds Defrauded Voters
https://www.theepochtimes.com/3-percent-of-nevada-mail-ballots-in-question-after-survey-finds-defrauded-voters_3602843.html

Election lawyer alleges Zuckerberg, wife tried to illegally influence election in Michigan
<https://saraacarter.com/election-lawyer-alleges-zuckerberg-wife-tried-to-illegally-influence-election-in-michigan/>

A Running Compendium of Fraud Charges in Election 2020
https://www.realclearinvestigations.com/articles/2020/12/07/a_running_compendium_of_fraud_charges_in_election_2020_126261.html

No, The Georgia Vote-Counting Video Was Not 'Debunked.' Not Even Close
<https://thefederalist.com/2020/12/07/no-the-georgia-vote-counting-video-was-not-debunked-not-even-close/>

[['Voter Integrity Project' Releases Evidence of Thousands of Vote Fraud Issues – Combined with Affidavits from Rudy and Gateway Pundit's Statistical Analysis – More Than Enough Information to Refute Election Results

<https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2020/11/voter-integrity-project-releases-evidence-thousands-vote-fraud-issues-combined-affidavits-rudy-statistical-analysis-enough-information-available-confirming-tr/>

Whoa: Nearly a Third of Democrats Believe the Election Was Stolen From Trump <https://davidharrisjr.com/steven/whoa-nearly-a-third-of-democrats-believe-the-election-was-stolen-from-trump/>

Willful Blindness <https://jrnyquist.blog/2020/11/20/willful-blindness/>

'There Was in Fact Fraud That Took Place:' FEC Chairman Trey Trainor
https://www.theepochtimes.com/there-was-in-fact-fraud-that-took-place-fec-chairman-trey-trainor_3588656.html

Using Data

Statistical detection of systematic election irregularities

<https://www.pnas.org/content/109/41/16469>

[[PATRICK BYRNE | ACWT INTERVIEW 12.9.20
<https://americacanwetalk.org/patrick-byrne-acwt-interview-12-9-20/>

Our Problems Are In Code We Don't Want
<https://jayvalentine.com/our-problems-are-in-code-we-dont-want/>

[[Jay Valentine | The Man That Actually Built Ebay's Fraud Protection Engine Exposes Voter Fraud
<https://www.thrivetimeshow.com/business-podcasts/jay-valentine/>

Statistician and Fraud Expert Jay Valentine Weighs In On The Latest Election Results And What's Next
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oi6euD3HLYQ>

[[Securing America Election Fraud Special #26.4 - Jay Valentine - 11/25/20
<https://youtu.be/kZpm38pE2MM>

Impossible! Michigan, Georgia, PA and VA All Show the Exact Same Vote Ratio Between Trump and Biden Among Mail-In Votes
<https://rightwaypundit.com/impossible-michigan-georgia-pa-and-va-all-show-the-exact-same-vote-ratio-between-trump-and-biden-among-mail-in-votes/>

[[Dr Shiva Ayyadurai, MIT PhD Testimony 113020 <https://youtu.be/nwHalpfyJjc>

[[Show the Graphs, Mr. President! <https://stream.org/show-the-graphs-mr-president/>

DATA: Michigan Analysis Suggests Absentee Votes 'Manipulated By Computer', Flags Hundreds Of Thousands Of Ballots
<https://thenationalpulse.com/politics/michigan-election-fraud-analysis/>

In sworn statement, prominent mathematician flags up to 100,000 Pennsylvania ballots
<https://justthenews.com/politics-policy/elections/mathematics-prof-says-sworn-statement-many-56000-gop-ballots-pa-may-be>

Bi-Partisan Proof That Voting Machines Can Be Hacked

[1] 2018 NY Times Video: Professor Shows Students How Easy It Is to Hack a Dominion Voting Machine
<https://bongino.com/2018-ny-times-video-professor-shows-students-how-easy-it-is-to-hack-a-dominion-voting-machine/>

Democratic senators warned of potential 'vote switching' by Dominion voting machines prior to 2020 election
<https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/news/democratic-senators-warned-of-potential-vote-switching-by-dominion-voting-machines-prior-to-2020-election>

[1] Reliability of pricey new voting machines questioned
<https://www.denverpost.com/2020/02/23/new-voting-machines-reliability-questioned/>

Top Democrats Raised Concerns About Dominion Voting Technology in 2019
<https://www.breitbart.com/2020-election/2020/11/13/top-democrats-raised-concerns-about-dominion-voting-technology-in-2019/>

2019 MSNBC report on hacking voter machines
<https://twitter.com/jackposobiec/status/1330364450935812100?s=21>

HBO's John Oliver Called It 'Completely Insane' to Use Electronic Voting Machines in Nov. 2019
<https://www.breitbart.com/entertainment/2020/11/21/hbos-john-oliver-called-it-completely-insane-to-use-electronic-voting-machines/>

'Software I Hacked In 2005 Is Still In Use': Cyber Security Expert Harri Hursti On 2020 Presidential Election
<https://newyork.cbslocal.com/2020/03/23/software-i-hacked-in-2005-is-still-in-use-cyber-security-expert-hari-hursti-on-2020-presidential-election/>

[1] U.S. Lab: Electronic Voting Machines Shockingly Easy to Hack
<https://rodmartin.org/u-s-lab-electronic-voting-machines-shockingly-easy-to-hack/>

Smartmatic, Comelec execs indicted <https://manilastandard.net/mobile/article/238782>

2006 CNN report on voting machines https://twitter.com/squirrel_hockey/status/1330591189645529090

2020 Machines Gone Wild?

Dominion System Flips Georgia Trump Votes to Biden State Orders Counties to Certify Dominion Results Anyway
<https://voterga.files.wordpress.com/2020/12/press-release-dominion-flips-trump-votes-to-biden-in-ga-county.pdf>

[1] Election Supervisor shows on video how dominion software allows changing and adding votes
https://www.theepochtimes.com/election-supervisor-shows-on-video-how-dominion-software-allows-changing-adding-votes_3613406.html

[1] Sidney Powell Claims That Dominion Is 'Shredding Documents'
<https://townhall.com/tipsheet/cortneyobrien/2020/11/20/sidney-powell-larry-oconnor-n2580458>

Smoking Gun: Dominion Transferring Vote Ratios between Precincts in PA.
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Kll46HdqKg&feature=youtu.be>

Dominion, Smartmatic, and SCYTL were selected by the Krebs as part of his Council of Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security of the DHS
<https://bwcentral.org/2020/11/dominion-smartmatic-and-scytl-were-selected-by-the-krebs-as-part-of-his-council-of-cybersecurity-and-infrastructure-security-of-the-dhs/>

Election Systems in Michigan County Appeared to Be Connected to Internet: Sworn Affidavit
https://www.theepochtimes.com/election-systems-in-michigan-county-appeared-to-be-connected-to-internet-sworn-affidavit_3571578.html

Captured in Real Time: That Moment in Virginia at 5:12 AM Where they Took 169,000 Votes Off the State Totals
<https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2020/11/captured-real-time-moment-virginia-512-took-169000-votes-off-state-totals/>

Paper Warned About the Software Company at Center of Ballot Glitches in Swing States; UPDATE: MI SOS Responds
<https://townhall.com/tipsheet/bethbaumann/2020/11/07/key-swing-states-were-utilizing-a-software-company-at-the-center-for-ballot-glitch-n2579664>

[1] The Small World of Voting Machine Certification
https://theepochtimes.com/the-small-world-of-voting-machine-certification_3587972.html

Dominion Engineer Told Antifa He'd 'Made Sure' Trump Wouldn't Win, Report Says
<https://neonettie.com/news/13250-dominion-engineer-told-antifa-he-d-made-sure-trump-wouldn-t-win-report-says>

[1] Dominion, the Foreign Software Company with a Controversial Background Deciding American Elections
<https://elamerican.com/dominion-the-foreign-software-company-with-a-controversial-background-deciding-american-elections/>

CLAIM: Dominion Exec Bragged That He Made Sure 'Trump Is Not Gonna Win' on Conference Call
<https://pjmedia.com/election/matt-margolis/2020/11/28/claim-dominion-exec-bragged-that-he-made-sure-trump-is-not-gonna-win-on-conference-call-n1179285>

Pennsylvania Bombshell: Biden 99.4% vs. Trump 0.6%
<https://spectator.org/pennsylvania-bombshell-biden-99-4-vs-trump-0-6/>

Sidney Powell sues Arizona officials over Dominion software, alleges 412,000 illegal ballots
<https://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2020/dec/2/sidney-powell-files-arizona-election-lawsuit-over/>

[] Enough Votes to Turn the Election-Voting Machine Secrets Revealed <https://youtu.be/LzMQxHdaAkk>

Five Videos – Five States Where Votes Were Switched Live on TV Away from President Trump to Biden – Updated

<https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2020/11/four-videos-four-states-votes-switched-live-tv-away-president-trump-biden/>

[] Dominion Contractor. There is an avenue for fraud. <https://youtu.be/60j7c-Oa4UE>

There are Issues with Dominion Voting Systems Software

<http://www.eyentampabay.com/2020/11/there-are-issues-with-dominion-voting.html>

DATA: Michigan Analysis Suggests Absentee Votes ‘Manipulated By Computer’, Flags Hundreds Of Thousands Of Ballots

<https://www.wnd.com/2020/12/dominion-contractor-drops-bombshell-michigan-hearing/>

Venezuelan Fire destroys voting machines <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-latin-america-51800316>

Arizona Legislature Calls for Immediate ‘Forensic Audit’ of Dominion Voting Machines

https://www.theepochtimes.com/arizona-legislature-calls-for-immediate-forensic-audit-of-dominion-voting-machines_3605367.html

About Kill Chain Documentary

Kill Chain: The Cyber War on America’s Elections - Watch the HBO Original Documentary | HBO

<https://www.hbo.com/documentaries/kill-chain-the-cyber-war-on-americas-elections>

Hackers Easily Breach U.S. Voting Machines in Chilling ‘Kill Chain’ Trailer

<https://www.rollingstone.com/movies/movie-news/kill-chain-hbo-documentary-voting-security-hack-trailer-962328/>

[] HBO video makes claims about hacked Alaska election computer system in 2016

<https://mustreadalaska.com/hbo-video-makes-claims-about-hacking-alaska-election-system-in-2016/>

‘Kill Chain’: HBO’s Election Security Doc Stresses Urgency

<https://www.wired.com/story/hbo-kill-chain-election-security/>

An early look at HBO’s election security documentary

<https://www.politico.com/newsletters/morning-cybersecurity/2020/03/16/an-early-look-at-hbos-election-security-documentary-786109>

The journal of a plague year; threats of election dysfunction

<https://www.bostonglobe.com/2020/03/19/arts/journal-plague-year-threats-election-dysfunction/>

HBO’s ‘Kill Chain’ reveals scary reality: U.S. voting system under attack

<http://amsterdamnews.com/news/2020/mar/19/hbos-kill-chain-reveals-scary-reality-us-voting-sy/>

[] Meanwhile, A Deeper Danger Than Pandemic

<https://www.forbes.com/sites/melikkaylan/2020/03/24/meanwhile-a-deeper-danger-than-pandemic/>

This Documentary Will Show You Just How Fragile Our Democracy Really Is
<https://time.com/5809745/kill-chain-documentary-hbo-review/>

Foreign Interference

[] As the 2020 Election Continues In the Courts, The Deadline for President Trump's Executive Order Regarding Foreign Intervention in US Elections Silently Approaches
<https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2020/12/2020-election-continues-courts-deadline-president-trumps-executive-order-regarding-foreign-intervention-us-elections-silently-approaches/>

[] Georgia, Mired in Election Disputes, Fosters Cozy Ties With China
https://www.theepochtimes.com/georgia-mired-in-election-disputes-fosters-cozy-ties-with-china_3614801.html

Voter Rights Group Notifies DOJ of Pakistani Link With Nevada Election Email System
https://www.theepochtimes.com/voter-rights-group-notifies-doj-of-pakistani-link-with-nevada-election-email-system_3606561.html

China Whistleblower with Royal Ancestry Steps Forward – Reveals Video, Photos of Alleged Chinese Counterfeit Ballot Printing Operations of US Ballots for MS, FL and NC
<https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2020/12/china-whistleblower-steps-forward-reveals-video-photos-alleged-chinese-counterfeit-ballot-printing-operations-us-ballots-mi-fl-nc/>

[] China Gets Its Money's Worth From America's Elites
<https://andmagazine.com/talk/2020/12/08/china-gets-its-moneys-worth-from-americas-elites/>

The Murky Foreign Actors Behind US Election Fraud
<https://journal-neo.org/2020/11/23/the-murky-foreign-actors-behind-us-election-fraud/>

[] Chinese boast Biden White House will restore their influence
<https://www.wnd.com/2020/12/chinese-boast-biden-white-house-will-restore-influence/>

Troubling Foreign Ties Behind Voting Machines Used in US
https://theepochtimes.com/foreign-ties-behind-dominion-smartmatic-voting-machines-including-to-an-adversary_3602603.html

\$400 Million SEC Filing Links Dominion, UBS, and China
https://www.ntd.com/400-million-sec-filing-links-dominion-ubs-and-china_536295.html

Hunter Biden Called His Father and Chinese Business Partner 'Office Mates' in September 2017 Email
https://www.theepochtimes.com/hunter-biden-called-his-father-and-chinese-business-partner-office-mates-in-september-2017-email_3615446.html

Statement by NCSC Director William Evanina: Election Threat Update for the American Public
<https://www.dni.gov/index.php/newsroom/press-releases/item/2139-statement-by-ncsc-director-william-evanina-election-threat-update-for-the-american-public>

Digital Forensic Analysis Shows Dominion's Server Connected to Iran and China: Affidavit
https://theepochtimes.com/digital-forensic-analysis-shows-dominions-server-connected-to-iran-and-china-affidavit_3595892.html

[] Firm That Owns Dominion Voting Systems Received \$400 Million From Swiss Bank With Connection to Chinese Government Before Election – Summit News
<https://summit.news/2020/12/01/firm-that-owns-dominion-voting-systems-received-400-million-from-swiss-bank-with-connection-to-chinese-government-before-election/>

Breaking: Antrim County, Mi Examination Results – Dominion Machines Connected To Internet, Fake Ballots Thought To Be Sent To Europe For Approval...Cyber Teams Have Concrete Proof Of Election Fraud
<https://creativestructuremedia.com/investigations/2020/12/12/breaking-antrim-county-mi-examination-results-dominion-machines-connected-to-internet-fake-ballots-thought-to-be-sent-to-europe-for-approval-cyber-teams-have-concrete-proof-of-election-fraud/>

Time for Action?

[] Electoral College Deadlines Not 'Set In Stone': Election Integrity Watchdog
https://www.theepochtimes.com/electoral-college-deadlines-not-set-in-stone-election-integrity-watchdog_3606047.html?utm_source=news&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=breaking-2020-12-05-3

Trump's challenges failed but there's still room for drama
<https://www.cnn.com/2020/12/07/politics/electoral-college-vote-timeline/index.html>

[] How the 1876 Election Tested the Constitution and Effectively Ended Reconstruction
<https://www.history.com/news/reconstruction-1876-election-rutherford-hayes>

Michigan To Publish Dominion Forensic Audit
https://www.ntd.com/michigan-to-publish-dominion-forensic-audit_539026.html

[] Sidney Powell Announces Emergency Filings in Georgia, Michigan, Arizona and Wisconsin
<https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2020/12/sidney-powell-announces-emergency-filings-georgia-michigan-arizona-wisconsin/>

Potential for Lawsuit

[] U.S. Supreme Court Rules Unanimously You May Sue Government Agents for Damages When They Violate Your Individual Rights
<https://ij.org/press-release/u-s-supreme-court-rules-unanimously-you-may-sue-government-agents-for-damages-when-they-violate-your-individual-rights/>

Civil Rights Act of 1871 <https://www.fjc.gov/history/timeline/civil-rights-act-1871>

Ku Klux Act passed by Congress
<https://www.history.com/this-day-in-history/ku-klux-act-passed-by-congress>

Introduction To Federal Voting Rights Laws
<https://www.justice.gov/crt/introduction-federal-voting-rights-laws>

Note: The Economic Battle Plan™ contains hyperlinks to other Internet sites not under the editorial control of EWR-Media Holdings, LLC. These hyperlinks are not express or implied endorsements or approvals by EWR-Media Holdings, LLC, of any products, services or information available from these 3rd party sites. Links to these 3rd party sites are open source links that may require subscription or registration.

#DOJDYJ

EVERY
LEGAL **Vote**



Department of Justice
Do Your Job

EVERYLEGALVOTE.COM

TAKE ACTION

PAGE 45



An Open Letter from America

From: Every Legal Vote Coalition - 11 Dec 2020

Subject: DO YOUR JOB! Restore Confidence America's Election System

To: William Barr, Attorney General of the United States;

**CC: Those with a DUTY to Protect U.S. Elections;
Those with a DUTY to Investigate Crime & Injustice**

Prior to the November 3, 2020 elections, the American people were repeatedly assured via [press releases](#) and [video recordings](#) that those officials in Washington and around the nation responsible for election integrity in states across the country would make certain that this year's balloting was secure. Unfortunately, the American people are now persuaded that the presidential race and perhaps others were, instead, marred by widespread and material fraud, irregularities, crime and foreign influence.

The attached compilation reflects the efforts of our Every Legal Vote citizens' initiative to facilitate the reporting of fraud witnessed during the election. It offers ample proof of that conclusion and makes absolutely mandatory thorough and immediate investigations by federal and state-level law enforcement.

Over the past month our website, EveryLegalVote.com, has received more than **thirty-five hundred such reports and personal testimonies** from those around America who witnessed fraud and crime, particularly in Pennsylvania, Michigan, Arizona, and Georgia.

Such evidence includes the following:

- Reports of **public officials breaking the law** by campaigning at polling locations, of crowds bullying voters on election day and people voting more than once.
- **Video footage of criminal acts in Georgia** with poll workers pulling out hidden boxes of ballots to be counted illegally in the dark of night and without poll watchers present.
- Votes in states such as [Michigan](#) and [Pennsylvania](#) by those who appear to be **deceased**.
- Several states taking steps to **delete and destroy data**, including ballot envelopes shredded contrary to the Supreme Court directive in Pennsylvania and computer anomalies in Georgia. Of course, willful destruction and manipulation of evidence violates multiple federal statutes.
- We are seeing intimidating and even violent threats and physical harm committed against **election fraud witnesses**. For example, after hearings in Michigan, an elected official doxed these brave witnesses and even [recorded a video](#) calling on "soldiers" to "*make them pay*."

This is unacceptable, un-American and a federal crime.

- Numerous reports from expert witnesses testifying under oath have established that **voting machines** such as Dominion's have built-in vulnerabilities, were connected to the internet, are vulnerable to hacking and were overseen by employees with little oversight. Company personnel allegedly briefed state officials on how to change vote tallies and a Dominion official [reportedly stated](#) prior to the election that he had "*made f***ing sure*" that "*Trump's not gonna win*."
- There appears to be growing evidence of **foreign interference** including reports of foreign printing and distribution of U.S. election ballots, voter registration information from Nevada being sent to Pakistan, and extensive influence operations conducted by Communist China via its penetration of Silicon Valley and Wall Street.



- **Unaccountable individuals with foreign ties** reportedly also intervened. For example, George Soros has a long history of funding projects aimed at undermining vote integrity in the United States and elsewhere around the world and his Open Society Foundation and philanthropy seems to have been active in this year's election.

Taken together, such evidence refutes official statements to the effect that this election was *the most secure in American history* and that *any fraud seen to date has not been on a scale that could have resulted in a different outcome in the election*. The same applies to media accounts that insist there has been no substantive fraud identified and that the election has been secure from foreign interference.

To help clarify the facts and correct widespread disinformation in addition to compiling citizens' reports of fraud, Every Legal Vote sponsored a televised "[Election Fraud Truth Summit](#)" on December 8, 2020. It featured powerful interviews with an array of election integrity experts, attorneys, an internationally renowned statistician and forensic fraud-detectors. The summit's unmistakable conclusion is that our sacred right to vote in free and fair elections was successfully attacked in the 2020 election. Victims of fraud have subsequently been denied due process and in some cases put at risk. Perpetrators of such fraud seem to be disappearing, "lawyering up" and/or destroying evidence. Media and social media companies are censoring the truth. And all the while, the urgently needed investigations and, where appropriate prosecutions, seem not to be going anywhere.

While the Every Legal Vote Coalition strongly commends the state attorneys general who have joined forces to challenge the unconstitutional actions taken in the course of this election by some states, we should not have to depend on the Supreme Court to rectify these wrongs. More must be done now – at the Federal and State levels – to investigate the electoral fraud and other misconduct that accompanied those actions – and thereby prevent any repetition of these mortal threats to our representative form of government.

General Barr, your department and its counterparts in the states possess the formal authorities and tools needed to root out such criminal activity and foreign interference. You have the power to arrest and prosecute those who perpetrate it. In particular, General, you have been empowered with specific authorities under the [Executive Order on Imposing Certain Sanctions in the Event of Foreign Interference in a United States Election](#) dated September 12, 2018.

We, therefore, urge you and every one of those copied on this open letter in the strongest possible terms: DO YOUR JOB.

Our coalition stands ready to support you in assuring that every legal vote is counted in the presidential election of 2020 and down ballot and that those not legally cast are not counted. We will work with your respective offices and investigators to ensure that your vital work is informed by ours and, most especially, that the testimony of Americans who have asked us to ensure that their voices are heard is included and that equal justice under law prevails.

Respectfully,

Every Legal Vote Coalition

CC:

Jeffrey A. Rosen, Deputy Attorney General

John C. Demers, Assistant Attorney General for National Security

Jeffrey R. Ragsdale, Director and Chief Counsel, Office of Professional Responsibility (OPR)

Daniel Kahn, Acting Chief, Fraud Section



Joseph Beemsterboer, Acting Principal Deputy Chief, Fraud Section
Albert Stieglitz, Acting Senior Deputy Chief, Fraud Section
Christopher Cestaro, Chief, Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) Unit
Eric S. Dreiband, Assistant Attorney General, **Civil Rights Division**

Michael Bailey, U.S. Attorney, District of Arizona
Byung J. Pak, U.S. Attorney, Northern District of Georgia
Bobby L. Christine, U.S. Attorney, Southern District of Georgia
Matthew Schneider, U.S. Attorney, Eastern District of Michigan
Andrew B. Birge, U.S. Attorney, Western District of Michigan
Nicholas A. Trutanich, U.S. Attorney, District of Nevada
William M. McSwain, U.S. Attorney, Eastern District of Pennsylvania
Scott W. Brady, U.S. Attorney, Western District of Pennsylvania
Matthew D. Krueger, U.S. Attorney, Eastern District of Wisconsin
Scott C. Blader, U.S. Attorney, Western District of Wisconsin

Christopher Wray, Director, FBI
David Bowdich, Deputy Director, FBI
Paul Abbate, Associate Deputy Director, FBI
Paul B. Murphy, Chief of Staff, FBI
Catherine Bruno, Office of Integrity and Compliance, FBI
Stuart Platt, Office of Professional Responsibility (OPR), FBI
John Brown, Executive Assistant Director, National Security Branch, FBI
Alan E. Kohler, Jr., Assistant Director, Counterintelligence Division, FBI
Stephen Laycock, Executive Assistant Director, Intelligence Branch, **FBI**
Ryan T. Young, Directorate of Intelligence, FBI
Terry Wade, Executive Assistant Director, Criminal, Cyber, Response, and Services Branch, FBI
Charles Spencer, International Operations Division, FBI
Calvin Shivers, Assistant Director, Criminal Investigative Division, FBI
Matt Gorham, Cyber Division, FBI
Darrin E. Jones, Executive Assistant Director, Science and Technology Branch, FBI
Michael D. DeLeon, Criminal Justice Information Services Division, FBI
Brian C. Turner, Operational Technology Division, FBI
Michael Gavin, Executive Assistant Director, IT Branch, **FBI**
Nathan Taylor, IT Applications and Data Division, FBI
Dean Phillips, IT Infrastructure Division, FBI
George P. Beach, II, Office of Partner Engagement, FBI
Michael Sullivan, Office of Private Sector, FBI
John Selleck, Critical Incident Response Group, FBI
Regina Thompson, Victim Services Division, FBI

Brandon Wales, Director, Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA),
Steven Harris, Deputy Director, Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA)
Bob Kolasky, Assistant Director, National Risk Management Center (NRMC), CISA
Emily Early, Chief of Staff, CISA



Matt Hartman, Assistant Director for Cybersecurity, CISA
Scott Breor, Assistant Director for Infrastructure Security, CISA
Countering Foreign Influence Task Force, CISA

General Paul M. Nakasone, Director, National Security Agency; Chief, Central Security Service
George C. Barnes, Deputy Director, National Security Agency
Brigadier General Douglas S. Coppinger, Deputy Chief, Central Security Service
Wendy Noble, Executive Director, National Security Agency
Earnest Green, Chief of Staff, National Security Agency
Command Sergeant Major Sheryl D. Lyon, National Security Agency/Central Security Service
Robert P. Storch, Inspector General, National Security Agency/Central Security Service

John Ratcliffe, Director of National Intelligence
Neil Wiley, Principal Executive, ODNI
Lora Shiao, Chief Operating Officer, ODNI
Ben Huebner, Chief, Civil Liberties, Privacy & Transparency, ODNI
Matthew Kozma, Chief Information Officer, ODNI
William R. Evanina, Director, The National Counterintelligence and Security Center (NCSC)

US Postal Service Inspector General (OIG)
Contract Fraud Investigations Division, USPS
Cyber Crimes Unit, OIG, USPS
Special Inquiries Division, OIG, USPS

CC: Attorneys General of the 50 United States

Enclosed: (click on the Enclosure to be taken to that section)

- [Enclosure 1](#) - Sample of Fraud Stories Submitted to EveryLegalVote.com from AZ & MI
- [Enclosure 2](#) - Check Your Vote Summary, including evidence of tens of thousands of deceased voters
- [Enclosure 3](#) - Five States and The Election Irregularities and Issues - December 8, 2020
- [Enclosure 4](#) - Epoch Times Infographic: What Happened in Atlanta on Election Night
- [Enclosure 5](#) - Ruby Freeman Bias & Alleged Criminal Activity
- [Enclosure 6](#) - "Statistics IS Evidence" by Jay Valentine & National Academy of Sciences
- [Enclosure 7](#) - Plain language description of Dominion Election Fraud: "Not Rounding Errors"
- [Enclosure 8](#) - Open-Source Intel: Sample collection of articles on crime, fraud, and foreign influence
- [Enclosure 9](#) - Foreign Influence Example - Transcript of Remarks by Di Dongsheng of China
- [Enclosure 10](#) - Non-State Actor Influence Example - Open Society Foundation (George Soros)
- [Enclosure 11](#) - E.O. on Imposing Certain Sanctions in the Event of Foreign Interference in a US Election, September 12, 2018
- [Enclosure 12](#) - Joint Statement of ODNI, DOJ, FBI and DHS: Combating Foreign Influence in U.S. Elections, October 19, 2018
- [Enclosure 13](#) - NEW 12-13-2020 Antrim Michigan Forensics Report REVISED PRELIMINARY SUMMARY, v2



Sample of Fraud Stories Submitted to EveryLegalVote.com from AZ & MI

EMAIL	LASTNAME	FIRSTNAME	PHONE	TESTIMONY	STATE	COUNTY	FRAUD TYPE
xxxxxx@gmail.com				<p>I am native Arizona born, and a businessman entrepreneur. I am 44 years old and have several issues to Report from my experience.</p> <p>My oldest son is a marine and was stationed in Virginia at quantico. We have never enlisted our son at our new address in Arizona, plus he is married and resident of Virginia. But we happened to receive his mail in ballot.</p> <p>My voting experience was completely unbelievable!! This is not an exaggeration by no means.</p> <p>My second oldest son and I went to vote together at a north Phoenix location.</p> <p>MVD in deer valley off 27th ave.</p> <p>We both registered and had issues with computers, first of all.</p> <p>Then my son ballot was printed up no issues, however I was in line over an hour witnessing the most unbelievable things in front of me.</p> <p>Our computers shut down on 2 printers, the line got to about 30 people, but me and several people watched how the printers just pumped out uncountable numbers of ballots with more than people in voting poll location.</p> <p>Then this gentleman Chris Hayes, who I have never met. Stopped the line because people just walking in would receive their ballots while we have been waiting and watching the verification process of ballots...</p> <p>This should be on camera.</p> <p>So we informed poll master to stop the line, over 100 ballots printed up with no people to receive.</p> <p>I even asked tech support guy and pollster ladies " what are you going to do with these ballots?" All of them laughed like no big deal and put off to the side.</p> <p>No Joke !!</p> <p>Then they said "we just wait for people to get "and literally put them in piles on the side. Next after stopping line for all of us who've been waiting to re register in computer. No problem our ballots print up, but now there are 2 ballots. 1 is cancelled 1 is valid....</p> <p>These ladies and gentlemen asked if they knew, "which one of them is valid???"</p> <p>The pollsters response "It doesn't matter."</p>	AZ	Phoenix	DOMINION MACHINE, NONRESIDENT MAIL BALLOTS, BALLOT MANUFACTURING
xxxxxx@gmail.com				<p>My husband and I have been living in Arizona since 1987, everytime we have voted we used a black felt pen & placed our ballots in an automatic ballot box. This presidential election they gave us regular blue ink pen and we had a regular box to drop our ballots in.</p>	AZ		VOTE
xxxxxx@gmail.com				<p>multiple accounts of 2 ballots mailed to individual voters in Pima County, Arizona. People who received 2 ballots reported it to the Republican Office in Green Valley...</p>	AZ	Pima	MAIL BALLOTS
xxxxxx@gmail.com				<p>My friend Mimi and many other of her friends had their votes canceled in Pennsylvania. I also have friends in Arizona who had same happen. the phone line was continuously busy to report the fraud. I think they finally stopped trying to call.</p>	AZ		MISSING VOTES
xxxxxx@gmail.com				<p>The state of Nevada emailed me and asked me to sign up on a text to vote. Arizona did the same thing.</p> <p>I am a snowbird living in AZ and I work in Nevada. I found this to be highly irregular.</p>	AZ		NONRESIDENT MAIL BALLOTS
xxxxxx@gmail.com				<p>1) my fiancé received 4 separate mail in ballots via mail, none of which were requested. We both registered exactly the same way and I received none. Arizona website shows only two possible ways to receive a ballot by mail. Neither occurred.</p> <p>2) We both voted in person and they only offered sharpies.</p> <p>3) My mother dropped off her ballot same day and it was not counted via updates from the recorder's official website.</p>			MULTIPLE MAIL BALLOTS, MISSING VOTE, SHARPIE
xxxxxx@gmail.com				<p>I received 3 ballots for the current election in Arizona, my husband as well, 8 ballots sent to a 2 voter household. Not sure who to tell but wanted someone to know</p>	AZ		MULTIPLE MAIL BALLOTS
xxxxxx@gmail.com				<p>We are all residents of Arizona. My son, daughter in law, and myself filled our ballots out at home with a ball point pen and took them to our polling location. My husband and mother in law and 2 grandchildren went to the polling location. My mother in law used her own ballpoint pen and my husband and grandchildren used the sharpie marker the polling location provided. We all checked our ballot status online and only the ballots filled out with ballpoint pen were accepted. So almost half of our family's votes did not count. I can only imagine how many others that happened too.</p>	AZ		SHARPIE, MISSING VOTES
xxxxxx@gmail.com				<p>I received a mail in ballot in CA. I have not voted in CA for 10 years. I live in Arizona. I did not receive ballot in 2016 for CA so why now?</p>	CA, AZ		NONRESIDENT MAIL BALLOTS
xxxxxx@gmail.com				<p>A very close republican friend in Arizona reported being given a marker pen to use on the for marking the ballot. Supposedly, marker pens can't be read properly by the machines.</p>	AZ		SHARPIE
xxxxxx@gmail.com				<p>I went to the polls on Election Day with two forms of IDENTIFICATION. I signed the book that is required to get my ballot. I filled it out with the pen provided and put it in the box. I got my sticker saying I voted. When I went to check the status of the vote there was nothing there. We don't need a recount in Arizona we need a revote.</p>	AZ		SHARPIE, NONRESIDENT MAIL BALLOTS
xxxxxx@gmail.com				<p>A New York state resident voted in Arizona buy her daughter getting a ballot in Arizona for her and she voted for Biden I have a 74 year old African American Sunday School teacher friend that feels he was forced to use a sharpie here in Arizona to vote in person early. The absentee Arizona ballot that he never opened says in bold, caps, underlined "USE BLACK OR BLUE PEN ONLY". We filmed opening the ballot and showing what it says. His daughter was only allowed to use a Sharpie early voting in her voting center. They told my friend to use an ink pen to sign the ballot and a sharpie to fill out the ballot and they insisted that he did it that way. He will do anything because he feels his vote was not counted and he's very upset. His name is Don Baker and we filmed opening his absentee ballot and reading aloud that it said use blue or black ink only. He wants to know why they insisted on him using the sharpie. (He still has the unused absentee ballot since he voted in person) If you need him to fill this report out himself please email me back and I will have him do it. Thank you.</p>	AZ		SHARPIE
xxxxxx@gmail.com				<p>ARIZONA! Brought my mailed ballot in person, on Nov 3! Was prepared with my ID, as were many others! We were told, "don't need [that here]" Never checked my ID or even if I signed my ballot! Was shocked!</p>	AZ		VOTER ID WAIVED
xxxxxx@gmail.com				<p>My mail-in ballot was dropped off on Monday, Nov 2nd in a election ballot box in Litchfield Park, Arizona and it hasn't been counted as of today Nov 11th.</p>	AZ		MISSING VOTES
xxxxxx@gmail.com				<p>Here in Maricopa city of Arizona we were given sharpies to vote and had to return it after voting. I hesitated but it said official voting pen on it so I thought it was OK. ...I have a picture...it bleed thru and I couldn't vote on the opposite side. I showed them and they said it was ok to just fill it in anyway. I did so. then I thought I was gonna put it in the counter machine but no I put it in a green wooden lock box and the man sitting there said they would do it later. I was a bit confused about it, so I made some calls and my gut was right. they stole my vote. I drove 9 hrs to proudly vote for Trump and will do it again when we re-vote.</p> <p>And how it would be fair is to have Democrat voters go in the 1st wk and then count them. Then republican voters go the 2nd wk later and the 3rd party go later.. all with ID and signature.</p> <p>One more thing this is Trump Country Come here and just look around for urself.. Biden took advantage of the time and came here when President Trump was ill (God Bless President Trump), nobody was there.. please have mt vote count not just for the president, because I know who my president is..but for the integrity of the system.. Thank you for your time.</p>	AZ	Maricopa	SHARPIE, MISSING VOTES
xxxxxx@gmail.com				<p>My son and I voted in-person on election day at our assigned voting location: Valley Christian Church, 5968 E Fairmount St., Tucson, AZ 85712. Our names, voter ID's and signatures were taken by the election workers. We were told there were pens in each booth, but they weren't pens, they were Sharpies. Following the election results, I checked on the County Records website under our voter ID numbers and discovered that our votes were not counted. Instead, there is a comment indicating we did not request our 2020 General Election ballots. We officially cancelled our Permanent Early Voting Mail In status and submitted the proper form a month before the election, as well as calling our local elections office to make sure this change would not affect our in-person vote on election day. To date, I have submitted a complaint to the Records Office in Tucson, AZ, but have not received a response. I have registered a complaint with the Arizona State Attorney General's Election Fraud Unit and have not received a response. We would like to know what happened to our votes. They have disappeared into thin air. This makes me wonder if hundreds of other votes cast at the same polling location disappeared as well.</p>	AZ	Tucson	SHARPIE, MISSING VOTES
xxxxxx@gmail.com				<p>I live in Arizona and about 2 years ago bought a house I updated my license and my voters registration to reflect the new address. The day of the election I looked up my polling site and got the correct polling site for my address and zip code when I got there to vote they ran my name and said I needed to vote at the other polling site by my old address so that's what I did when I got there to vote they too told me the same thing that for some reason it was showing that I needed to vote at my new addresses polling place so I would have to fill out a provisional ballot to cast my vote which I did I filled out all the proper paper work and received the receipt for my provisional ballot. When I checked if my vote was counted and if it went through it says my ballot was marked as invalid due to voting at the wrong polling place. I made sure all of my information was correct and up to date before the election to avoid this but my vote was thrown out as invalid although the proper steps were taken.</p>	AZ		MISSING VOTES



Sample of Fraud Stories Submitted to EveryLegalVote.com from AZ & MI

EMAIL	LASTNAME	FIRSTNAME	PHONE	TESTIMONY	STATE	COUNTY	FRAUD TYPE
kscooper@maricopa.com				I received a ballot for my son, who is a Democrat. I live in Surprise, AZ (Maricopa county) and my son has NEVER lived in Arizona! He lives in Indiana. I am Republican & a Proud Trump Supporter. I shredded the legal ballot & told my friends what had happened. Maricopa County sent out a survey by email. There were 18 questions on the survey. I reported that there was fraud & the site knocked me out of the survey once I mentioned too much fraud!	AZ	Maricopa	NONRESIDENT MAIL BALLOTS
kscooper@maricopa.com				On Fri Nov 6 I received an email from Maricopa County Elections Department asking to take a survey about my voting experience. I have never lived in Maricopa County and have never voted in Arizona. I have been a resident of Florida for over 30 years. It also indicates that I voted in the Aug 4 primary. I will forward the email on your request. I did not reply to this email on the chance that it is a "phishing" attempt.	AZ	Maricopa	NONRESIDENT MAIL BALLOTS
kscooper@maricopa.com				I received a voter application partial filled out for my daughter who has never lived in Arizona. They needed only the last 4 of her social security a signature and a few boxes to check. She lives in Maryland and has for all of her life. She is registered in Cecil county Maryland. I have talked to others in other states that also got these for members of family who live and are registered in other states. I sent an email to the state of Arizona and the White House because this I felt was a fraud on people. No one requested this and God only knows how many were sent out.	AZ		NONRESIDENT MAIL BALLOTS
kscooper@maricopa.com				The citizens in Arizona have a link to track ballots. https://my.arizona.vote/AbsenteeTracker.aspx . My colleague and I are in law enforcement, we believe in laws. My colleague entered her deceased mother's information into this site. Her deceased mother apparently votes. Obviously we are not sure who she voted for, but both my colleague and I were not happy that her dead mother voted.	AZ		DEAD VOTER
kscooper@maricopa.com				I live in Tucson ARIZONA in November I went back to the place where I voted (concern about the markers they gave us cause there is a video I had just seen made by Pima County saying only ball pens no sharpies. Julia Who worked the poll and works at the voting poll said that Everything went well but 300 people voted and that at the end of the day two people drove the ballots boxes to San Francis Catholic Church and left there with other boxes from other polls and then they were going to be picked up there to be counted. What were our votes doing in a Catholic Church?	AZ	Tucson	SHARPIES
kscooper@maricopa.com				My husband and I voted Election Day in Arizona, at a Mosque Polling Place - 12125 E Via Linda, Scottsdale, AZ 85259. Neither of our votes were recorded by my Arizona vote. We were given sharpies to vote with. I saw my vote go into the machine. That's it. I have no idea why our last vote was the primary on August 4, 2020. I don't know what to do. Wrote Gov Ducey, our Secy of State in AZ, and my State Representative. No response.	AZ		SHARPIES MISSING VOTES
kscooper@maricopa.com				I was at a protest for the voter fraud here in Arizona and I watched five moving trucks go in the back of the election center they tried to say that it was media equipment but all of the media equipment for Fox news was already out. Then when I left to go to my car I was followed by a drone to my car there was only two people walking to my car me and my friend Kai. Everybody knows there's voter fraud here in Arizona also I witnessed a car with Maryland license plates taking ballots out of the back of the car and into the back of the election place.	AZ		BALLOT FRAUD
kscooper@maricopa.com				Approximately 15,000 persons who cannot prove US citizenship voted in the 2020 Presidential Election in Maricopa County, Arizona. There are likely many thousands more such non-citizens who voted in the 2020 Election in Arizona. Due to the small lead that Joe Biden currently has in AZ, this could decide the election in AZ and the related 11 Electoral College votes. Non-citizens should not be choosing the POTUS.	AZ		SHARPIES, NONCITIZEN VOTER FRAUD
kscooper@maricopa.com				Son, in the USAF Basic Training, Lackland AFB, TX. He mailed his Early Voting ballot to Pima County, Arizona. It was mailed 7 to 9 days before Nov 3rd, 2020. Checked Recorders website and it is still not there as of 11-12-20. His name and DOB provided upon request.	AZ	Pima	MISSING VOTES
kscooper@maricopa.com				I am an Arizona voter. My vote has not been counted and it's the 12th of November and Maricopa is apparently done counting.	AZ	Maricopa	MISSING VOTES
kscooper@maricopa.com				I live in Glendale Arizona I witnessed a lady get to vote with a P.O. Box when I voted on Election Day. I was also given a sharpie pen and could not find out if my ballot was counted because they had two people putting the ballot in the machine when I called local election place they told me my ballot couldn't not be tracked until after the election	AZ	Glendale	SHARPIES, NONRESIDENT VOTING
kscooper@maricopa.com				We live in Mohave County Az. We were mailed a voter registration form. It was addressed to a person that has not lived at this address "OR CURRENT RESIDENT". It looked official with the only recorder's seal return address and phone number. When you register in AZ you have to show proof of citizenship if you cannot show proof you are registered as "FEDERAL VOTER ONLY". I have lived here now for 3 voting cycles and this is the first time we have received something like this in the mail. "OR CURRENT RESIDENT" seems like trolling for votes. Since we have many snowbirds that come to Az. This would allow voters from lets say Michigan to come here in the fall after they voted absentee in Michigan and vote a second time in Arizona. I called the county recorder, and was told the person would just have to show their drivers at the polling place to show they did in fact live at the address they had put on the registration form, and would be allowed to vote as "FEDERAL ONLY VOTER". The recorder's office also said they would never add "OR CURRENT RESIDENT" to their mailings. It would have been done from a third party, posing as the County Recorders office. I think FEDERAL VOTERS ONLY votes should also be investigated, to make sure they have not voted more than once.	AZ	Mohave	NONRESIDENT MAIL BALLOTS
kscooper@maricopa.com				My father, [REDACTED], resident of Gilbert, AZ, passed away Oct 8th, 2020, never having received his mail-in ballot. My father moved to Arizona in Oct. 2018 and was a resident of Gilbert until he passed away on October 8th, 2020. He was a registered voter (democrat) here in AZ and had received every ballot for every election, through the mail, prior to the 2020 Presidential election. I became curious because of the rampant fraud allegations permeating the news, so on Nov 6th decided to check his ballot status: at ballotstatus.maricopa.gov , using his current address. Much to my surprise it was recorded that his signature was verified and ballot counted on Oct 24th. (For the record, my father could not have somehow gone to vote in person without my knowledge. He had been bedridden since May, on hospice since July. Prior to that, I was his sole source of transportation, as he did not have a vehicle. Also, he had neuropathy in his hands so badly that he could not have signed the ballot envelope.) I brought this to the attention of the Maricopa County Recorder's Office through their website. This was the first response I received from their office on Nov 7th: He [REDACTED] Please accept my condolences on the passing of your father. We understand your concern about this. From what I can tell, his voter registration has already been canceled and we have no record of a ballot being printed for him. Can you please provide his date of birth and the address he would have been registered under so that I can verify I am looking at the correct record? It would also be helpful if you could show us the screenshot you took so that we can investigate this. Let us know if you have any other questions. Respectfully, Justin Harrison MARICOPA COUNTY RECORDER Office of Maricopa County Recorder Adrian Fontes Constituent Services I submitted the information they requested then received this response on the same day:	AZ	Maricopa	DEAD VOTER
kscooper@maricopa.com				To Whom It May Concern, I have recently become aware of some very concerning issues with the National Electronic Voting System. I watched an interview with Russell Ramsland CEO of Allied Special Operations Group (ASOC) a private Cybersecurity firm in Dallas, Texas Telephone (972-685-2308). ASOC became involved after alleged irregularities in the 2018 midterms. Also, they were called in to investigate the 2019 Kentucky Governor's Race. They initiated a comprehensive independent investigation of the system, from 2018 up to and including this voting cycle. ASOC examined 6 types of voting machines that account for 92% of all machines. The real-time investigation involved all aspects of the system, from the polling station to the National level. ASOC found the system inherently insecure, the passwords and credentials were easily accessible, vote counts and voter information is stored on a server in Frankfurt, Germany and that NSP Van (Democratic Voter Analytics Firm) was not only retrieving information from the system, but also was adding information into the system. This is election fraud. In addition to the amount of voter fraud being uncovered now, this is absolutely appalling. As a citizen of the United States and a voter in the State of Arizona, I find this reprehensible. If this is not investigated American citizens can never have confidence in any future elections. This proof has been presented to recently re-elected Congressman Pete Sessions, CISA, The FBI and DHS. They have had 2 years to correct these issues and have done nothing. I have recently attempted to contact 2 Senators and a Congressman, so this information can be circulated. I suggest that ASOC's recommendations be seriously examined and rise to the highest level of America will never be the land of the free. We need brave individuals to correct these issues otherwise the fraud and corruption will never be purged. Feel free to contact me or ASOC at any time. God Bless America.	AZ		DOMINION MACHINES



Sample of Fraud Stories Submitted to EveryLegalVote.com from AZ & MI

EMAIL	LASTNAME	FIRSTNAME	PHONE	TESTIMONY	STATE	COUNTY	FRAUD TYPE
xxxxxx@comcast.com				A green card, permanent resident voted in the 2016 election in Arizona. The same loop hole is currently being used? My wife voted on 2016 under her green card name. She got her US citizen in Aug 2016, changed her name and registered to vote. I got a call from someone telling me she needs to vote in her green card name and after the election is over change her name. The absentee ballot came in her green card name and she signed it with the old name. Later we change her name for the next vote.	AZ		NONRESIDENT VOTER
xxxxxx@comcast.com				My best friend works for Trump Victory and she was in charge of several polling locations. She dropped off pens at all locations. She traveled between them all day and found out the Democrat volunteers brought in Sharpies! Arizona is in a full uproar! We have 5 days to cure the ballots that signatures don't match on top of #sharpiegate2020 I have the picture of what it looks like with the sharpie on the ballot too https://www.dropbox.com/s/088pwn222ugzh0r/Sharpieballot.JPG?dl=0	AZ		SHARPIES
xxxxxx@comcast.com				My husband and I voted separate times Nov 3rd (in person) - we both had similar experiences. After receiving our ballots, we were instructed to go to a privacy podium and use the "pen" that was there. The "pen" was actually sharpie. After voting, everyone put their ballots into a cardboard box. There was no ballot machines of any kind. While trying to put our votes into the cardboard box, there was a man trying to take our ballots, and put them in for us, while trying to see who we voted for. This happened in Maricopa, Arizona.	AZ	Maricopa	SHARPIES, BALLOT MISHANDLING
xxxxxx@comcast.com				In my district, a middle class area mixed with poor area called Little Mexico, they handed out ball point pens to fill out the form. I have 3 friends who live in wealthier parts of the Metro Phoenix Valley. One in N. Peoria obviously a republican area they handed them all sharpies. The 2nd friend lives in Encanto area downtown which is a Historic district and again a more wealthy area, they again were given marker to fill out their ballots. The third friend lives in Glendale and she said they too were given sharpies, right on the top of every ballot it is clearly printed to use black or blue ball point pens to complete. It's pretty simple to follow the directions, why would each polling station hand out different pens than the ballot instructions are calling for? Yet in my more democratic less wealthy area we got pens! Also in speaking with a sitting Arizona Senator they were unaware who changed the ballots this year to fill in the circles from the complete lines that has been in place the last 4 elections I have participated in here in Arizona. It is embarrassing and simply unacceptable that in America in 2020 we can't have a fair election that we all can trust. We are the laughing stock of the entire world. ALL WE WANT IS A FAIR SECURE ELECTION!!!!	AZ	Metro Phoenix	SHARPIES, UNEQUAL OPPORTUNITY
xxxxxx@comcast.com				Both of my parents voted on election day and neither one of their votes have been counted on their Arizona Secretary of State voter history. There were ballot printing issues and they were forced to use sharpie pens	AZ		SHARPIES, MISSING BALLOTS
xxxxxx@comcast.com				I used a sharpie to fill in my mail in ballot in Arizona was my properly counted? Arrived at my designated polling site at 4:30 PM (the closes at 5:00 PM) on Nov 2nd and was denied access, was told they were closing and to return the following day. Returned the following day and voted. When checking my status online with the Maricopa County Records office web site in Arizona, my vote SHOWS CANCELED!!!! I am a native to Arizona and a registered voter with my vote cancelled...WHY???? Corruption is so widespread, our Republic has been hijacked and stolen. SOMETHING MUST BE DONE NOW!!!	AZ	Maricopa	MISSING VOTES
xxxxxx@comcast.com				My daughter and husband did mail in voting but dropped their ballots off at Maricopa civic center in Maricopa AZ. She keeps checking and their votes have not yet been counted.	AZ	Maricopa	MISSING VOTES
xxxxxx@comcast.com				I voted in person and used a sharpie, which was given to me at the voting location. I checked my vote, and my 2020 General Election vote was canceled, also on my voting history it shows I voted in 3 special elections by mail, which were faults. I never vote in special elections, and I've never requested a mail in ballot, ever. I ALWAYS vote in person	AZ	Maricopa	SHARPIES, MISSING VOTES
xxxxxx@comcast.com				A person we know lives year round and is a MD tax payer, but votes in AZ and has done this for decades. The person owns property in AZ and retains an AZ driver's license. We believe this is illegal and the AZ vote shouldn't count. The person doesn't vote in MD to our knowledge.	AZ		NONRESIDENT VOTER
xxxxxx@comcast.com				Question: We live in Maricopa county. We believe there is voter FRAUD in AZ. We voted by mail. Accepted 10/25/20. We checked our vote. It said received. It said counted. HOWEVER, can you tell me WHO our vote went to? 2 in Maricopa & one in Pinal. Did the computer change them? Sample: below Contact us if you want to check the other two voters. Private conversation for now, please.	AZ	Maricopa	LACK OF CONFIDENCE
xxxxxx@comcast.com				We voted at the Radiant Church in Sun City, AZ, on Election Day. The election workers gave us sharpie markers to mark our ballots. As I was voting I noticed the marker bled thru the ballot...I heard on the news that our vote counting machines could not count the ballots. I got in touch with our Attorney General's office and they suggested I call the police dept. The police said there was an investigation. We were reassured on the news that there was no problem. I'm having a hard time trusting their answer! Please look into this! Thank you!!	AZ	Sun City	SHARPIES
xxxxxx@comcast.com				Voted early in-person on 10/31 in Maricopa County. Neither my vote or my husbands votes are showing as having been counted by the AZ Votes website. Both voted TRUMP.	AZ	Maricopa	SHARPIES, MISSING VOTES
xxxxxx@comcast.com				I was made to vote with a sharpie in Apache County AZ. I voted for Trump and I want my vote counted!	AZ	Apache	SHARPIES
xxxxxx@comcast.com				I walked my sealed ballot into the voting poll in Flagstaff, AZ, signed and sealed. I was told to put it in the box where the other signed and sealed ballots were placed. When I checked on my ballot status it said canceled due to voting in person. I did NOT vote in person. My ballot was an early ballot. PLEASE look into this as I am NOT the only Republican in AZ, that this has happened to!! Thank you so very much for what you doing.	AZ	Flagstaff	MISSING VOTES
xxxxxx@comcast.com				My wife and I went on Oct 22 to cast our votes at the polling place in the SanTan Village shopping center, Gilbert, Maricopa county, AZ. There was one man printing ballots and two women sitting behind a long table and verifying voter's id. After we showed our driver licenses, the man printed out the ballots and one of woman handed us the ballots. We then asked for pens to fill out the ballots but the woman told us to use the markers they placed on the long table. I was surprised to hear that, so I asked if it's a problem that the ink will diffuse through the paper. The woman said it's ok. As no other pens (ball pens or pencils) were provided anywhere in the polling place, and we didn't bring any pens with us, we were forced to use the markers to fill up the ballots. After dropping off our ballots, we have been wondering if our ballots will be accepted and counted. This is really a bad experience.	AZ	Maricopa	SHARPIES
xxxxxx@comcast.com				Hello, At 5:30AM, Nov 3rd, 2020 I was in line at Glendale Community College North Campus on Happy Valley Rd, Phoenix, AZ. I had a mail-in ballot in my hand but chose to go into location and fill out a new one. 6AM, the doors were opened and shortly thereafter I was in the room verifying my driver's licence and performing an electronic signature. I was handed my new ballot and a fine-tip sharpie marker. I expressed that I had a ball-point pen with me and would like to fill out my ballot with this. I was explicitly told that would not be accepted, only the sharpie. I filled out the ballot very carefully not holding the sharpie on the paper too long. At the machine, I showed the volunteer how the sharpie slightly could show through to the opposite side. She told me to feed it into the machine and look for the green color and the check for being accepted. The green check showed on the machine and I was told to give my mail-in ballot to be "Spotted". I watched as in big black sharpie marker the word was written across both sides and the black scanning was crossed out on both sides of the ballot. Also the green envelope, not signed, was taken and destroyed. After exiting, I was shown the website (beballotready.vote) to check where my ballot would be "floating around at". I have checked that website several times on Nov 3 and Nov 4. I am only given the information that my ballot was mailed on 10/8/2020. I have signed up for email and text alerts and was sent confirmation on my sign up at 11:01AM Nov 3. I have not received any further alerts. Today, Nov 4th, I called the 602-506-1511. I was told, after a 40 min wait time, that Maricopa is a large county and it may take upto 5 days. I find this unacceptable. It is now Nov 6th and my ballot status has not changed. Saturday Nov 7th, at 11:45am Mountain Time, 15 min AFTER Biden declared victory, my ballot has been counted. I have included a screenshot taken today. Please feel free to reach me for any further information.	AZ	Maricopa	SHARPIES, MISSING VOTES
xxxxxx@comcast.com				Our friend in AZ told us his friends checked on their ballots being received. They were told they were received but not counted and were not told why. They voted for President Trump. Just thought u should know. This is a mess!	AZ		MISSING VOTES
xxxxxx@comcast.com				Machine was going crazy and they told me I had to come back after waiting in line for 3 hours. I asked for another machine was told no that I had to get back in line I was fuming. But my support for Trump put me back to the back of line for another machine AND BY THE TIME I GOT HALF WAY AGAIN THE POLLS CLOSED.	AZ		DOMINION MACHINES



Sample of Fraud Stories Submitted to EveryLegalVote.com from AZ & MI

EMAIL	LASTNAME	FIRSTNAME	PHONE	TESTIMONY	STATE	COUNTY	FRAUD TYPE
xxxxxxxx@yahoosun.com				Trinity Bible church, Sun City, AZ My son and I went in to vote I dropped my ballot in the box. Waited for my boy to get done and he tells me he has ink on his hands from the marker. They told him that it's what he's supposed to be using.	AZ	Sun City	SHARPIES
				My wife and I voted at polling place: Desert Hills Social Center Room A 2980 S Camino Del Sol Green Valley, AZ They had felt pens in the booths for us to use on our ballots, not thinking anything about it I used their felt pen. It was afterwards that I found that felt pens are not to be used. Why did they have felt pens in the booths? And did they switch pens when a democrat voted?	AZ	Green Valley	SHARPIES
xxxxxxxx@earthlink.net				I live and own the property at Surprise, AZ 85379. I have owned the property since 2014. I received 2 mail in ballots as well as a voter registration card for the previous occupants of the property. She did not own the property just an occupant. I returned the ballots via USPS to original sender. Over the years I have only received maybe 10 pieces of mail for her none being of critical business as a election. I saved the voter ID card.	AZ	Surprise	MAIL BALLOTS
xxxxxx@earthlink.net				One of my sons lives in Texas, one lives with his wife in Gilbert AZ, they both received ballots at my home and at their respective homes	AZ	Gilbert	MAIL BALLOTS
xxxxxxxx@earthlink.net				I reported to a polling place and was handed a regular pen to sign my ballot but was told to use a sharpie to fill out the ballot. I did not know until afterwards that using a sharpie will void your ballot. Also...the wheeled cart that was used to collect the ballots had one side that had a sticker labeled "recircled" above the drop slot. When I asked the person manning the cart why the label was there, I was told not to worry...that it meant nothing. This polling place was 3305 W. Chandler Blvd. E05 Chandler AZ 85226.	AZ	Chandler	SHARPIES
xxxxxxxx@earthlink.net				I was purged from the voter roll! I registered WEEKS early, I have proof! Those no good, low down, rotten, election riggin Demis kept me off the rolls! I got a PROVISIONAL BALLOT That means, straight in the trash! They stole my vote for Trump!!			
xxxxxxxx@earthlink.net				Three rig against Bernie in 2016 was only practice, they did the same thing with Provisional Ballots in Tempe, AZ!! I was there!!	AZ	Tempe	MISSING VOTES
xxxxxx@earthlink.net				My daughter-in-law mailed her ballot in 3 weeks before the election from Youngtown, AZ as she had to go back to MA to visit her mother, she received a letter from the election commission stating that her signature didn't match, she immediately emailed the elections and gave them the information they asked for to identify herself, now today on "beballotready" it says her vote still did not count, she voted Republican, I believe that had a lot to do with it!!!	AZ	Youngtown	MISSING VOTES
xxxxxx@earthlink.net				A friend in Scottsdale, AZ states he was required to use a Sharpie pen on his ballot (Maricopa Co. insists this was ok) but he did take a photo of the bleed through which was very close to, but not in, the fill-in bubbles of other races. It may not have affected any other votes because the bleed through did not overlap other races' bubbles but we do have the photo. I didn't know if this was something you were interested in.	AZ	Maricopa	SHARPIES
xxxxxxxx@earthlink.net				I had to fill out a provisional ballot due to purchasing a new home. The volunteer provided me a pen with RED ink to complete the provisional form with my personal information including my SIGNATURE in red ink. As I realized the ink was RED, I asked the volunteer if red ink was acceptable, he said yes it was. I also turned to the poll advisor from the recorder's office and asked her if it was acceptable, she said yes. The volunteer then jokingly said "I guess I should tell people that ahead of time". Later to find out red ink is NOT acceptable for signature. And my vote has yet to be recorded. This is in Pima County, AZ.	AZ	Pima	MISSING VOTES
xxxxxxxx@earthlink.net				I was at a voting location in AZ, I was given a sharpie pen instead of a #2 pencil, the Sharpie bleeds through to the other side of the paper I told this to the workers who said the Machine is SMART and will detect and erase those. I also heard one of the checkers at the site saying "oh (s/he) a 0 guess (s/he) one of us". When I was done with my items I looked and it did appear that none of the bleed through landed on a circle. BUT if someone adjusted the lines to read degree this would end up with a false win. Very disturbing. PS my vote did come back as a successful submission I just wish it had a secondary system to briefly project on a screen how it reads my vote so that I could confirm this vote was registered accurately. ... Just a thought for the future. This would surely help alleviate voter fraud and ensure no system errors interfere	AZ		SHARPIES
xxxxxxxx@earthlink.net				Please obtain the internal records on the photo copy machines used during the counting. The high speed copiers retain internal image of item and record of setting. This will show if ONE ballot was set to make 50 or 100 copies at a time over and over. I see clips on TV of 2-sided ballots run thru photocopiers to split them into 2 pages in order to create clean flat pages that won't jam counting machines. But in making the photocopies, it's Easier to put one ballot in to copy 100 times rather than set up 100 unfolded, creased ballots that WILL jam a copier. State agencies would have borrowed high speed copiers from other agencies. There would be tracking from the other agencies on which machines were borrowed as all state property is tagged and inventory-tracked for location. Of course this won't account for how many illegal ballots were photocopied elsewhere and brought in. I was amazed to know the counting machines would take photocopies but that's exactly what Maricopa AZ officials said they did if machine rejected Sharpie bleed through. They photocopy both sides of ballot. Then I saw the national clips of other areas doing this as part of regular procedure. LOL I'm a retired state employee who wouldn't have trusted quite a few other employees not to take the easier and faster way to "run" the photocopies.	AZ	Maricopa	SHARPIES. DOMINION MACHINES
xxxxxxxx@earthlink.net				In the election centers, there were people advocating on which politicians to vote for. Phoenix AZ	AZ	Maricopa	POLL INTERFERENCE
xxxxxxxx@earthlink.net				I voted in Tucson AZ and was forced to use a black felt tip pen (AKA a Sharpie). When I turned in the ballot, the poll worker took it from me (in a very unfriendly manner) and gave it to a woman who took the ballot and turned the corner to the right AWAY from the machines and disappeared. I felt that the vote was NOT put in the correct place. The folks at the polling place were VERY nasty to me. I PRESUME because I wouldn't agree to wear a muzzle while I was in the polling place. I was forced to vote at a separate booth outside of the regular voting area. I've since called every place I could find out if my vote were counted. To this day I don't think it was. I voted straight Republican down the entire ballot.	AZ	Tucson	SHARPIES. MISSING VOTES



Sample of Fraud Stories Submitted to EveryLegalVote.com from AZ & MI

EMAIL	LASTNAME	FIRSTNAME	PHONE	TESTIMONY	STATE	COUNTY	FRAUD TYPE
				I was a preemployed Poll Challenger at the TCF Center (Cobo Hall) in Detroit on Election Night. I worked 10 pm - 5 am shift. ALL of the computers with the High speed Tabulators were connected to the internet via Ethernet cables and were connected to a modem. I believe the HammerScorepad software faked Trump votes to Biden, John James votes to Carl Peters. I took a lie detector test!!!	MI	WAYNE	DOMINION MACHINES
				In Livonia, MI, a suburb of Wayne County, we were given staples to fill out our ballots, and the Dominion software was used there, according to the City website. I called to find out how I could verify/validate my in person vote, but was told I could not.	MI	WAYNE	SHARPIES, DOMINION MACHINES, MISSING VOTES
				I am a township clerk in Michigan. After hearing of dead people voting in Detroit I decided to run a statewide query of voters in the Michigan qualified voter file. I searched by date of birth for active voters. I used 1/1/1900 which would make voters 120. The simple search came up with 453 active voters in Michigan 99% were in Wayne county Detroit. I am not saying they all voted but the fact that they are even in active voting lists is disturbing and they could have voted AV and no one would be the wiser. I have not had time to do more extensive searching but the fact that the first date I picked that was outrageous came up with that many voters gave me a sinking feeling knowing that these could all potentially be fraud. One of the biggest dumps of votes that occurred in MI in Wayne county was approximately 150K mail in votes of which 94% went to Biden. This compares to a 70/30 percent for Biden which is what is reported on the Wayne county election site. He Biden outperformed in this large block of votes by 24% raw percentage points and Trump got about 80% lower than he did compared to the rest of the Wayne county mail in vote.	MI	WAYNE	DEAD VOTERS
				Just finished up 20 hours at Cobo Hall - TCF center working as poll challengers for the absentee vote counting boards. THE ENTIRE WAYNE COUNTY VOTE IS ALL CORRUPT FRAUD! There were supposed to be 2 repubs and 2 dems at every counting board. TCF had 134 boards present there and there were only 3 - yes three republicans seated on only three of the 134 boards. This count should never have even been allowed to start. Early in October we had the republican poll workers to be equally seated at every board - and then, we believe, Dugan (Detroit Mayor) sent notices for the repub poll workers to not show up. He had union workers (all dems - many BLM) who instead would be handling all the counting boards. So, Sue and I were directed to work as repub poll challengers. The poll workers were actually wearing BLM face masks early on - unbelievable. Today - Wednesday - they let in non-credentialed agitators (BLM) into the counting floor to harass us and keep us from watching/verifying the counting. Dems also flooded the place with lawyers - two followed me around half the day. I got into several verbal shouting matches about my right to see the tallies and they did everything they could to stop me. You won't believe this - but a few of the poll workers actually stood up for me and told them I was only doing my job and for them to go away. They were thugs - only there to intimidate and get you to leave. This was not a transparent, honest, bipartisan event - the media is in bed with this scam. With all the agitators filling the place - they used the fire marshal to stop us from bringing in other actual credentialed repub challengers. Because of this covid situation- we were told we couldn't get within 6 feet from any workers. Try reading the ballot IDs, name and addresses to verify the voter from six feet away while these ballots are flying around the tables - FAT CHANCE! We were not allowed to talk to the workers - and some complained if we even approached the tables. Three days before the election the courts told us - as challengers - were allowed to come in as close as necessary to view and then retreat. THAT IS NOT THE WAY IT WENT! We were threatened during the first 10 hours by the supervisors - then by the actual workers and agitators in the last hours.	MI	WAYNE	COUNT FRAUD, POLL WORKER, THREATS
				The actual tabulation machines had a 24 inch monitor - but the software running it used a small 5x5 inch section with absurd 10 point character fonts. You couldn't read the numbers from six inches away. That and the two workers usually completely blocked your view of the monitors anyway. If you ask them for the counts - that was deemed interference - not the military ballots come in on different size ballots so they had to be duplicated onto the same ballots we use and then sent to be tabulated in the machines. This is supposed to be done with a dem and republican overseeing the ballot transposition and both witnessing and agreeing done correctly. The TCF process implementation wasn't going to allow that to happen it was assumed the military ballots were predominantly for Trump/James. The SOS says this was a bipartisan event - and that is nothing but a total lie and all BS. The only way to right is closer. F&S% is to have a anti re new vote for Wayne county and have the military/national guard do the counting in the presence of dems and repubs and lawyers. Boxes of tens of thousands of ballots arrived at 3:00 AM this morning - with no tracking. All ballots were to be accounted for at the 6:00 PM election close. The count was issued as 60,000 processed by 4PM yesterday (done from 10 am to 4 PM) - people went on break to grab dinner - and just like that - at 7:00PM the total processed jumped to 128,000 - GO FIGURE - nothing to see here till I'll be there at 6 am election day and the counting didn't even start until 10 am. The big delay most likely to skew the returns so they would have time figuring out what they needed to come up. In Wayne county people were able to register and vote yesterday - with no poll books to verify name /address/signature or even eligibility - lots of double voting happened. Hopefully Trump DOES NOT ACCEPT these results and uses every means possible to nullify this crap. There was nothing bipartisan about this - a total dem thug takeover of the ballots and election in Wayne county. There was NO INTEGRITY - just a giant dem corrupt display of lawlessness. Sicker still is our current repub majority legislature will want to just look the other way. We got out of there as people from outside we're knocking on the windows and screaming at the workers inside. Several of the poll workers started talking trash at us as well - it was getting real ugly fast. I figured this was useless and going to the courts to be determined - so we best get the hell out of there. Huge police presence outside, road blocks all around the TCF center and crowds gathering and shouting on all the street corners. I wanted out before the violence broke out. Pathetic..... Not a good experience - and I have no belief in this process. It is intentionally set up to accommodate corruption - and most likely by whichever side is in power at the time. Not voters or poll workers - starting and poll workers brought in police - some were armed with guns. Some were in riot gear and that Biden vote would be counted instead of your vote for Trump. This is the new Michigan law on handling this situation - how convenient. We were sequestered during the election - no phones, cameras, electronics allowed on the premises. Trump won Michigan - and Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin, and Nevada, and probably Minnesota as well - if the votes could be honestly made - one eligible voter - and tabulated as voted. The State governors, AGs and SOS have way too much power in running any elections and corrupt the entire system at every opportunity. There just aren't any consequences for this stuff and now - it seems accepted by the majority of voters. I am passing on this information also not sure whether one person who sent this on to me thinks, (my friend) just want to sign an affidavit or if it helps.	MI	WAYNE	COUNT FRAUD, POLL WORKER, THREATS



Sample of Fraud Stories Submitted to EveryLegalVote.com from AZ & MI

EMAIL	LASTNAME	FIRSTNAME	PHONE	TESTIMONY	STATE	COUNTY	FRAUD TYPE
				Detroit (Wayne County), Michigan, is "The Epicenter of Fraud & Corruption" Even Before and After the Presidential Election! You want some dear Evidence of Fraud? It's Right Here! It's easy to see for yourself...just start another tab...just go to the Michigan Voter Index website...You can Google it...or here is a link: https://mivc.sos.state.mi.us/Voter/index Easily fill out the 5 required boxes...with the information that I provided for you: * First Name = William * Last Name = Bradley * Birth Month = March * Birth Year = 1902 * Zip Code = 48207 Sample of Fraud Stories Submitted to EveryLegalVote.com from AZ & MI You'll quickly learn that a Detroit man, whom is 116 years old, requested a Mail-In Ballot, Filled-Out their Ballot, Mailed that Ballot back...and that Ballot was In fact, received by Mr. Bradley's Polling Location! All documented, no Michigan's Official Voters Registration website, No B.S., No Smoke & Mirrors, No Tricks...Most of All, No Denying It either! Unfortunately...Mr. Bradley has been dead since 1984. There is also the Fact that there is No Living person, in Amencia, that is 116 yrs. old! That is just ONE - of Thousands of Dead Registered Voters...still Voting in Michigan!!! One is too damn many! Latsalone Thousands & Thousands of dead people voting! No matter whom you voted for, or what Party you support...this is Compromit Pleito & Simple...Fraud! In the meantime...here's a Alphabetical List of Dead Voters, that are All Registered in Michigan...mostly in the Detroit area. Go ahead...go through them yourself!! It will take you a while...since there is over 10,000 on this list alone! That's not a Typo either...there are over 10,000 dead people on this list! List of Dead Voters in Michigan: https://control.com/DABe0bf/			
	@yahoo.com			So the next time that the Fake News Media tells you that there has been "Zero Evidence of Voter Fraud," IN ANY Wayne County - Vain Duren to-morrow Michigan... We were given red ink markers to fill out our ballots. We were always given ink pens for previous elections...I thought this was very odd.	MI	WAYNE	DEAD VOTERS
	@hotmail.com			Hello, My wife and I live in a Republican leaning precinct in Kent County, MI. Sharpies were the primary writing utensils being provided to everyone who voted. Beyond that though, I find the results to be highly suspect and believe there are signs of fraud. Kent County is a conservative, Republican majority county. Yet somehow it went for Biden. Just look at the votes. I find it very hard to believe that Joe Biden received 37,000 more votes in our county than any other Democratic candidate ever, higher even than the wave created by Obama in 2008. It's equally unlikely that Joe Biden won the county by over 20,000 votes while Democrat Senate candidate Gary Peters lost the county to Republican John James. Further, election night I remember Kent County having Trump ahead by 14% at one point.	MI	WAYNE	SHARPIES
	nd@yahoo.c			I know that Wayne County is getting a lot of attention because of the number of votes there. However, I am all but certain that there was significant voter fraud in Kent County as well, and it should be investigated.	MI	KENT	SHARPIES
	c@gmail.co			I was a GOP pol. Challenger in Rochester Hills Michigan. Contentious Oakland county, Unopened ballots were distributed to volunteers who open them and did not check signatures. This was for step two ballots supposedly balance from Sunday and Monday. They arrived after hours of sitting around doing nothing after Step 1 ballots were counted. There were multiple irregularities that witnessed. I feel we need more protection in our voting process.	MI	ROCHESTER	SIGNATURE FRAUD
				My wife and I went online on the Secretary Of State website about 3-4 months prior to the election and registered in our precinct with our new address. We went to the precinct headquarters 10 days prior to the election to vote in person and they told us we could only vote on an absentee ballot which we did not want to do. They confirmed we were registered but we left because we wanted to vote in person. When we voted on election day they did not confirm our address on the back of our drivers license where the license branch placed our change of address labels. They only gave us sharpies which blast through the ballots to the back side. We heard stories that using sharpies would invalidate our ballots. We then called the precinct a few days after the election to confirm there were no issues with the sharpies and the voting machines. They said there were none. We went on SOS website to check the vote confirmation to make sure our votes counted and we were shocked to discover the notification on the SOS website said that we were not even registered to vote in Berrien county even though we have lived in this county for over 3 years and had no issues voting in 2016. We are appalled and very concerned that in the high majority Republican Berrian county that they were able to cancel out a majority of votes for President Trump and other GOP candidates! Our precinct is run by Democrats.... We hope this massive fraud is corrected and we are willing to help in any way to assure all legal votes are counted.			
	ts@gmail.co			Sincerely, 	MI	BERRIEN	SHARPIES, MISSING VOTES, REGISTRATION DELETION
	e@com			I went to vote on election day and I registered at my local voting office in Barry county Michigan. I went to register they said that someone had registered with my drivers license in October. I have never voted and went to the trump rally in Grand Rapids and decided to vote. I have had the same address for the last 20 years now is this possible for someone to register in my name. I filled out a ballot but the machine would not take my vote and the representative said they would take care of it later and gave me a card.	MI	BARRY	MISSING VOTE, STOLEN REGISTRATION
	gmail.com			My son and his wife [redacted] (att126) who reside in North Branch, Michigan voted but neither's votes has not yet been registered.	MI	NORTH BRANCH	MISSING VOTE
	@gmail.com			For the first time in the 20 something years I have voted in my precinct they switched to makers instead of ball point pens. The markers bleed through the ballot...they told me the manufacture suggested using the makers...funny how they pick this year. I am in District 11-A Livonia Michigan	MI	LIVONIA	SHARPIES
	y@comcast.n			voting machine, ballot says to use ink pen. Don't know if this helps but we were given markers attached to the security folders.	MI	13th District	SHARPIES
	om			I received a phone call today from the Voter Integrity Fund from a [redacted] [redacted]. The woman left a message saying that I had requested an absentee ballot, but as of yet that absentee ballot has not been returned. She wanted to know if I had, in fact, voted, and if I had returned the absentee ballot or not. I returned her call and left a message stating that I did return the ballot, the the ballot was voided and I cast a vote in person. With further investigation I found out that this shady group is funded by the Rocket Fuel Fund based out of Michigan. They are trying to find out whether I used up my absentee ballot or not so they can use it to cast another fraudulent vote???	MI		ROCKET FUEL FUND



Sample of Fraud Stories Submitted to EveryLegalVote.com from AZ & MI

EMAIL	LASTNAME	FIRSTNAME	PHONE	TESTIMONY	STATE	COUNTY	FRAUD TYPE
				Michigan election coverup reveals the lie of election official No doubt you are aware of the 138,339 added votes (or Biden that went viral) (and retweeted by Trump) from election night/Wednesday morning. It was reported that due to an added zero the wrong number was recorded but that the mistake had been corrected. Everyone seems to have accepted that explanation leaving only the zero votes for Trump and others as the only remaining concern. Also a similar big jump in votes for Biden occurred at about the same time in Wisconsin. https://www.donald-trump.com/11Pp62f0x2138000-votes-and-not-a-single-vote/ https://imga.wiki/FpDc I am writing to you to explain why the explanation of Abigail Bowen, elections clerk in Shiawassee County, should not be accepted at all. https://www.mlive.com/news/world/ho-joe-biden-was-it-suddenly-awarded-138000-votes-in-michigan-if-was-a-type-2044501.html The explanation was that 153,710 was inputted instead of 15,371. Difference is 138,339. But why would the difference appear added instead of the supposed mistake of 153,710? The coverup reveals the guilt. They found out the 138,339 votes added for Biden (and none for Trump and others) was going viral and tried to cover it up with an excuse that doesn't work. If the excuse were true the 153,710 would have been added to Biden, not 138,339. The excuse/explanation was a lie. Sincerely yours [Redacted]			
timpiot@gmail.com				Grandmother died 20 years ago. Registered to vote in Michigan last 3 years. Have the documents from Website and KIA RA	MI		TALLY FRAUD
timpiot@aol.com	Sanvitt	John	18618 109456	My concern (but I do not know who to report it to) is that I am concerned that someone (or some leftist organization) may have voted in my name in Michigan. The reason I am concerned is that for the past 10 months I have been getting lots of unsolicited requests for me to vote in Michigan in support of the Democrats via my cell number. Why it is concerning is that I am in the military and I have not even lived in Michigan for now 19 years. Furthermore, the cell number they solicited me repeatedly is a number I did not even have 19 years ago and is a Phoenix prefix. So however this sleazy leftist entity is/was who was soliciting me (I looked up their web site and it was the exact same Muslim-American website for Michigan as was the Pennsylvania - which I received a few solicitations for PA as well). I find it interesting that both states are suspicious for fraud, but I have no way of checking if someone has voted in either state in my name. Do you have any way of checking? Because I do live in AZ and have lived only in AZ for the past 19 years.	MI		DEAD VOTERS
ho.com				Michigan: Directed to use a sharpie to vote. Used through to other side of ballot. Was not asked for voter ID on any kind.	MI		STOLEN REGISTRATION
gnail.com				Rollin Township, Lenawee County, MI. Muskegon County Michigan was reporting a Trump victory in that county up to Friday Nov. 5. At that time, approximately 2700 votes disappeared. In contrast to other Democratic strongholds, Muskegon disappearing votes benefited Biden by nearly 900 votes, giving him the victory in that county. A request for an explanation from the regional election official Donald Danew has gone without a response.	MI	LENAWEE	SHARPIES
tmall.com				2 weeks prior to 11/03/2020, witness a man buying every available first class stamp at local post office (Mason - Cincinnati, OH). \$12,000 cash transaction. I believe these stamps were used to harvest votes. I recommend that USPS data be requested from the US Postal Service - to determine if this is a systemic issue that has occurred. This would provide the evidence as to how wide spread harvesting of votes may have occurred. The post office is located off Interstate 75, and could of been used to move stamps into battle ground states (such as Michigan, WI and PA).	MI	MUSKEGON	VOTE SWITCHING FRAUD
outlook.com				In Sturgis two Sturgis Michigan they gave us sharpies to use no pens at all	MI		BALLOT HARVESTING
gnail.com				Was using Sharpie to use in Michigan	MI	STURGIS	SHARPIES
tmall.com				Hi I won't let me attach the photo that was taken the night in Detroit that showed that people from 1900 were voting and it looks like in the background somebody's working on a ballot. By themselves also my husband worked that day and he did mail it from Southfield Michigan and it was a thousand to one for Biden and he said that it looked like those ballots were perfectly tied out and I've heard this again from other people. Please contact me so I can send you the photos I posted them all over the place but I don't even know if they're getting seen.	MI	WAYNE	FAKE BALLOTS
com				Democrat had actually gone in to vote in person; however, the poll station told him he had already voted. After a few moments of back-and-forth with the poll employee they asked him to leave. He was there originally to vote for Biden but apparently his vote had already been casted for him. Let me note that where I am speaking from and where this had occurred is Michigan.	MI		STOLEN REGISTRATION
hotmail.co				home more than 10 years ago. She has not filed tax in Michigan for 10 year and she did not apply for a driver license in Michigan. Moreover, she did not put her name in the household in US Census as in her this year. This happened to us and could happen to other people and dead people, encouraged people to cheat I believe. It is a fraud system and wasted our taxpayers' money.	MI	WAYNE	MAIL-IN FRAUD
po.com				In Michigan Kent county, Gaines township precinct 2 sharpies are the only pens provided so all we voters used sharpies to fill in ballot. And now I learned the sharpie will make ballot invalid and when I filled in the ballot I did not realize I went through the ballot paper. Now Biden took lead in Kent county; there is possible fraud: the night before Election Day, when President Trump came to Grand Rapids Michigan, there are hundreds of thousands of people there in airport to support him; so I strongly suspect there is fraud, and same sharpie were provided in August 2020 election.	MI	KENT	SHARPIES
po.com				My name is [Redacted] 42 years old from Michigan. I lived in the same county for 39 years. Montcalm County. For years I've attempted to vote, to no avail. 3 years ago I moved to the neighboring county, and finally was mailed my voters registration card. I was so excited to vote. So on voting day I excitedly head to the precinct. I had my own pen but as I looked down on the table I seen a marker. I wasn't sure what to do, since I have never voted, so I used the sharpie that was provided. I voted for Trump. Later I learned that using a sharpie made my ballot "invalid", I cent begin to describe my feelings, sadly I'm accustomed to witnessing fraud in Government and people in power. I sat and watched the numbers come in on MSNBC for Michigan and knew fraud was taking place. My ballot was probably ripped. I pray the truth is brought to light and those who committed fraud are brought to justice. I voted in Spencer Township in Kent County. Precinct 25 Canton MI. I was given a marker to my ballot instead of a blue or black pen. When I challenged the poll people on what the state of Michigan voting website stated to use a blue/black pen, they stated the machine will not pick up ink and to use the marker. Sounds like something wrong going on. I have a picture of my ballot if needed.	MI	KENT	SHARPIES
gnail.com				Voted in Charlevoix County, Michigan and was given a sharpie marker to mark my ballot	MI	CANTON	SHARPIES
tmall.com				Only in one (1) county in Michigan voted more than 10,000 dead people. Confirmation below. I can translate from Russian if needed. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=58GJpRb4	MI	CHARLEVOIX	SHARPIES
po.com				Michigan sent my mother 3 Stewart mail in ballots her in Michigan and Florida. My mother's army rental property received 5 ballots for mail in all in former tenants names.	MI		DEAD VOTERS
gnail.com				I'm not sure if ballots can be counted with blue Sharpie markers, but in Mendon, MI and many other Michigan towns were giving voters sharpies.	MI	MENDON	MAIL-IN FRAUD
gnail.com				I was told to use a sharpie even though I questioned it through they told me it was OK that she would read. I then had first request of her absentee ballot and voted on 21 October in person at the hall they have a drop box outside the building and there was 21 doors inside along with me and my husband and after I finished with my ballot and his we were told to give our ballots to be allowed to ours. I said well why don't we put them in the dropbox she said because we're here if you had taken him home he would come back and put them in the dropbox I felt funny about it I don't know whether I should give them to them or not are used a sharpie or if I should just put my ballot in the dropbox they assured me my vote will be counted also I was not given the part that you would tear up that has your number on the top of it to show that you voted I guess I'm from Munich Michigan and I did vote for Trump and pants John James straight Republican thank you Tameia Compton	MI		SHARPIES
2@yahoo.co				I have a friend name [Redacted] who said he voted 3 times for Biden in my last from Michigan state. His wife [Redacted] probably received 3 too. Very strong Democrats. I have my nephew [Redacted] and his roommates who each received 3 mail in vote forms. They threw theirs away because of the scam they had heard about. They want to vote in person. They voted for Trump. Did all Dems receive 3 or more in other states too? We need to see who voted more than one. Appalling! Mass voting to Democrats.	MI	JACKSON	VOTER FRAUD
ho.com				I received about 15 applications for absentee ballot voting through the USPS that I DID NOT REQUEST! About 1/3 of them come from the State of Michigan. 1/3 from the county of Washtenaw and 1/3 from the city of Ann Arbor Michigan. I always vote in person at my local polling place so it was very disturbing to receive all of those absentee ballot applications that I didn't ask for. I think that receiving all of those absentee ballot applications was an open invitation for fraud.	MI		
ghod.com				June Pauline Aiken of Michigan Center, MI. Age 98, passed away at her home February 12, 2019. Here is her obituary. https://www.legacy.com/obituaries/dunham/aiken-obituary?pid=131540711 She applied for an absentee ballot on 3/11/2020 and returned it on 10/08/2020	MI	WASHTENAW	MAIL-IN FRAUD
hotmail.com				Is anyone checking the senate race with John James in Michigan while taking care of the Trump vote? I believe John James probably won. He was seeing significant, almost unbeatable numbers ahead of the Trump-Thiem suddenly, things changed just like Trump.	MI	MICHIGAN CENTER	DEAD VOTERS
gnail.com				I live in Jackson Michigan and I was given a Sharpie to fill out my ballot with so were several other people I think thousands I've heard the Sharpie doesn't register is this true	MI		JACKSON RACE IRREGULARITIES
ti.com					MI	JACKSON	SHARPIES



Sample of Fraud Stories Submitted to EveryLegalVote.com from AZ & MI

EMAIL	LASTNAME	FIRSTNAME	PHONE	TESTIMONY	STATE	COUNTY	FRAUD TYPE
protonmail.c on				List of suspected dead absentee voters in Michigan: https://notes.unshina.github.io/	MI		DEAD VOTERS
@yahoo.co m				I voted for President Trump and I was want a full investigation into illegal voting in my state of Michigan and other states. I signed up to be a Election Challenger on Election day for the republican party. I arrived early because this was the first time I have done this I was put against a wall next to a running soccer, I was not allowed to be close enough to do my job b. They even brought in someone who was put 6 feet next to me who went out of her way to distract me. I am highly concerned about the integrity of the Election.	MI		ELECTION CHALLENGER FRAUD
ve.com				We live in Jackson County Michigan. Our precinct is Blue Township. For the first time they gave us markers during the primary and the general election Have not checked, but wonder if my vote even counted.	MI	SANILAC	SHARPIES
@gmail.com				My Aunt, my mother and I were all in line to vote. My mom first in line, then my Aunt, and then myself. They allowed my mom to go then some girl came in the door and I heard some commotion then the poll workers insisted that she go in front of my Aunt and I. They pushed her in front of my Aunt and myself. I am not sure really what happened but it seemed strange to me... could be nothing. I just keep saying to myself it was nothing but I feel like I had to say something. There is NO way POTUS belongs state of Michigan. I pray everyday for the truth to prevail. God bless you all and God bless America.	MI		IRREGULARITY AT POLLS
@gmail.co m				I live in Michigan I request a absentee application for a ballot I did not return it because I found out that I could vote in person but I still got a absentee ballot in the mail that I did not return I have them both I went to my polling station and I was forced to sign a affidavit to vote they called the county clerk office and said they were going to dispose of the absentee ballot I filled out my ballot and went to put it in the machine it delayed it for a few minutes then saying counted then 2 days later I went on track your vote and it said that my ballot had been disqualified I started calling complaining to gap and everyone I could with no help after complaining I went back on track your vote and it had been changed to vote counted they stole my vote in Michigan and my friends vote also	MI		STOLEN VOTE
on22@gmail. com				I live in Jackson Michigan-I voted in Summit Township at precinct No. four. When I entered into the building I was given a sharpie and told I had to use it... The sharpie blade through to the other side of my ballot-I voiced my concern to the clerk and she told me it was perfectly all right! After a week of trying I finally got a hold of the county clerk... I told her I was concerned about using the sharpie - she told me that it was mandatory because the manufacture that built the voting machines only except sharpies and if I were to use a ball point pen my vote would most likely not count! I asked her how I could look up my vote online to check and see if it went through and she said it was impossible that in Michigan you cannot look up your vote. Many citizens in my county are very concerned over this because some used ballpoint pens and some use sharpies! Folks in a neighboring precinct were quite distressed because their precinct wanted blue for the first time ever! I'm not sure how my precinct came out. A good friend used a ball point pen in a neighboring precinct and my sister used a ball point pen - does that mean it didn't count? Or did my sharpie pen not count? Just sounds very shady to me so I thought I would report it! Jackson is a Republican community...	MI	JACKSON	SHARPIES, PRECINCT IRREGULARITIES
@yahoo.com				I received a ballot to vote in Michigan. I live in Montana and the ballot was mailed to me in Montana. I have never lived in Michigan.	MI		MAIL-IN FRAUD
emson.edu				IN MICHIGAN I WAS BARRED FROM WATCHING POLLS.. no republicans from my district were allowed I was told to use a sharpie. only sharpies were handed out to all voters. I contacted the Michigan secretary of state and was told the sharpies are preferred because they dry faster	MI		POLL WATCHING PREVENTION
@gmail.com				I voted in Leelanau county pct 1	MI	LEELANAU	SHARPIES
@yahoo.com				I am currently a resident in Missouri and have been for the last 3 years. I just found out I was registered to vote in Michigan, which is the state I grew up in. I'm 25 and never registered to vote in a single election so far since I've been 18. How am I registered in a state that I'm not a resident?	MI		STOLEN REGISTRATION
@yahoo.com				My stepdaughter received a postcard asking her to vote https://www.ballotmail.com/ . However, the card directs her to a website to fill out her ballot and RETURN IT TO THEM. She lives in MI and the card was mailed from AZ. The site is: https://www.ballotmail.com/	MI, AZ		MAIL-IN FRAUD
				In the city of Garden City Michigan they were handing out sharpies and telling everyone to use them to mark their ballots with this happened in two different locations in Garden City Michigan Also before Election Day I received six absentee ballots for my deceased son one is bad enough but six I can only imagine what they were doing A few days after the election my grandson received a post card from the state of Michigan Thanking him for voting he is not even a registered voter and did not vote I also received three absentee ballots for myself that I did not request I always vote in person but this is what happens by deceitful people Secretary of State Benson who on channel 2 Fox News says on live TV she's sending absentee ballots to every single person in the state of Michigan when the news reporter told her this was illegal for her to do and that people had to request a ballot she replied in an arrogant voice I'm the boss and I will be doing it regardless of what anyone has to say about it so I guess my deceased son voted six times and my grandson who isn't a registered voter and did not vote showed up that he did vote	MI	GARDEN CITY	SHARPIES, MAIL-IN FRAUD
@gmail.com				I, _____, Herby to tell the truth, the Whole truth, so help me God. I think there was fraud from Michigan to California. I will explain. I moved from Michigan to California October 10, 2019. I legally let the United States Postal Service and forward my new address legally. I am on Social Security. Legally I had to report I have moved. Moving forward, Near Election time I received two Ballots sent from Michigan to my current address in California. Then I received California Ballots at my California address in a California _____ (CA 92345) I filled out registration, then later came the Ballot. On Nov. 2nd before noon, my Son Jeremy Godfrey and his wife went to polling place and took my Ballot. I signed my Ballot. I signed envelope. On envelope on right hand sign. My son had his signature stating He was returning for me. I am disabled. At the poll place in Hesperia, the lady taking the Ballots stated, Are you ready for a New President? My son found that odd. As she was as taking all three Ballots. When we realize later after Nov 4, my son, Jeremy Godfrey wanted to trace his Ballot. ALL THREE OF US CAN NOT FIND OUR BALLOT! We looked at WhereMyBallot.sos.ca.gov. We live in San Bernardino County. On Envelope, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO REGISTER OF VOTERS 777 EAST PALM AVENUE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415-0770 I saved original envelope on once in California. Also, I would like to mention... our postal service carrier during that time was delivering mail at 9 am at night and on Sundays. It was a different mail carrier than the original mail carrier that delivers our regular mail. It appeared to us, that the mail carrier was rushing to each mail boxes. Was he taking out Ballots?	MI		MAIL-IN FRAUD
@gmail.com				Sharpies were provided in voting booths in my district, Frankenmuth Township, Michigan. From what I have read, the Dominion program on the machines doesn't count those votes, and they need to be hand counted. Sharpies were provided in several cities for votes. I assume the sharpie mandate was given by someone to districts, as the sharpies seem to be new. Maybe this could be tracked somehow? Please contact me if I can help in any way.	MI		SHARPIES, DOMINION MACHINES
roal.com				Wayne County - Van Buren Township Michigan. We were given red tip markers to use on our ballots. We were always given ink pens for previous elections. I thought this was very odd.	MI	WAYNE	SHARPIES
in@mail.com				Iosco county Michigan. I voted in person on Nov. 3rd at: Charter Township of Au Sable 4420 North US 23, Ausable, Michigan 48759-1201 (269) 733-1830. I have voted on voting day in Ausable for over 30 years now. Everyone was orderly, however, when I filled out my ballot there was a black magic marker. I checked the back of ballot when I marked my 1st mark on the front to make sure it didn't bleed through. My daughter was near me but has a different voting place due to her hip. After voting I drove a couple miles to her house to visit her. I asked her if she voted yet and she said yes. I said I found it odd we had black markers to use and I don't ever remember them giving us a markers. She said yes we were given markers to use too today and she was worried also that it would bleed through. I told her I know I'm 65 years old now so maybe my memory isn't great ☹️ but I just always thought we were given a ball point pen to use. She said she thought so as well and she had never been given a black marker either. It may be nothing but I thought I should say something. Maybe those of us that physically went out to vote were given markers this year to differentiate between those that used the mail. At any rate the clerk said pull it out at the top of the sleeve around 3 inches and put in machine... I did... waited a bit... then it went through. Sincerely, _____	MI	IOSCO	SHARPIES
@mail.com				My stepdaughters in Michigan and she requested his ballot significantly prior elections and never received it. I'm wondering if it was used to vote for Biden. He was registered as a Republican	MI		STOLEN BALLOT




Sample of Fraud Stories Submitted to EveryLegalVote.com from AZ & MI

EMAIL	LASTNAME	FIRSTNAME	PHONE	TESTIMONY	STATE	COUNTY	FRAUD TYPE
[redacted]@gmail.com	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	Not me personally but many of my friends were in need sharpie permanent markers to mark their ballots. I understand this counts the ballot barcode but if the sharpies bleed through... and they do this invalidates the ballot Jackson, Hanover, Jackson County Michigan.	MI	JACKSON	SHARPIES
[redacted]@usfoods.com	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted] moved to Illinois 2 years ago but missed in voting ballots supporting down to Michigan. Bernadette proudly posted this on Facebook and soon later removed the post.	MI		REGISTRATION FRAUD
[redacted]@aol.com	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	My elderly mother and myself went to vote early and upon arrival, we were told our ballots had been mailed to our home address. However, never received a ballot in the mail, but the Democrat in our household received 3 in his name. Mother & I are Republican voters in Michigan. Where did our ballots go? Who has them??	MI		STOLEN BALLOTS
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	Customer made, on the phone and that Employee started talking to another employee named "Denise W" in the store that was tending to me, about a big job that the Customer wanted and needed to be done by Monday evening and specifically said "IT WAS FOR THE ELECTIONS ON TUESDAY!" That's the reason why I started recording with my Sound Recorder App on my Cell Phone. The FedEx Employee said if they ran the machines all day long they still didn't know if they could meet the deadline... and that it would take about 64 Reams total, and also it's about 30K Plus Printouts they're wanting. She said she was probably going to split the job with someone else at another location, at the Birmingham FedEx location with a Guy by the name of "John" to get it done by Monday Evening, and said she could stay maybe a half hour or so after they closed at 6 p.m. that evening to setup it up and run the Machines, And run them all night Saturday and, all day Sunday and Monday, to meet the deadline Monday evening. I then called and talked to Colleen at the Michigan Bureau of Elections, on November 2, 2020 at 2:35 p.m. Colleen said she would call the FedEx Manager. Colleen called the FedEx Manager she said, and called me back and said that the Order was for the Elections Audit So to Speak Manual, each Precinct has to have 2 of that they have to go by, to follow when it comes to how to follow Protocol on more or less Verifying Valid Voters... etc. She said it's a 262 page Manual and that the Person that wanted the Order backed out of it that Day Monday, November 2, 2020! The Customer Called FedEx and Cancelled the Order Monday Morning Colleen at Michigan BOE said... A Private Investigator thru the John James Campaign here in Michigan reached out to me after me contacting others. The Private Investigator said he investigated and stated the following... "Nothing panned out. The order never was actually placed." And that "Actually the store called the customer Monday because they never received confirmation for the order and the customer wouldn't answer." And also stated that "He can't go into details but we spoke to a number of people that were involved at both stores. They legally cannot divulge customer information but we found no evidence that an order was actually placed." And that "They said it was a training manual but could not be specific." The order was never even started so some of the confusion appears to be people relaying what was told to them by someone else which is very common. I really don't have any additional information and like I said, nothing we found pointed to anyone doing anything wrong."!! Therefore, FedEx can claim anything... Such as The Order never happened, or the Customer Cancelled The Order, and Not Divulge Who the Customer was Or What The Customer's Order was Specifically either, or whether or not the Order was started or finished, unless there's like I was told Probable Cause To Get a Court Order to find out the Necessary Information! I urge someone to Legally obtain the FedEx Customer's Order details whether or not it was completed and Phone Call Records of Incoming and Outgoing Calls from FedEx in regards to the FedEx Customer's Order to make a defamation with evidence and facts!	MI		BALLOT FRAUD, PRINTING FAXE BALLOTS
[redacted]@gmail.com	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	I requested an absentee ballot from the city of Warren Michigan for all elections. I have been granted the request based on meeting the criteria required to vote absentee. I'm 73 years old and appreciate not having to stand in line. This year while waiting for my ballot I received two ballots, one for my wife and one for me from Washington DC. The address was 1101 Pennsylvania Ave. Thinking it was odd I didn't fill it out and waited a few more days. I then received two ballots from the city of Warren MI. I filled out the ballots from Warren and discarded the ones from Washington. Now, in lieu of the fraud being exposed I wonder if others received ballots from DC.	MI	WARREN	MAIL IN FRAUD
[redacted]@comcast.net	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	This was sent in a tweet to a friend of mine in Michigan on election day. Keren Karbowksi posted asking people who could go to Cobo Hall as at 3 am 35,000 ballots were delivered!	MI	WAYNE	LATE BALLOTS
[redacted]@gmail.com	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	I have a trove of evidence against Michigan secretary of state Jocelyn Benson, disregarding and breaking multiple Michigan election laws. I can prove every bit. I just need a way to get out all the evidence I have. Please contact me!	MI		SoS Election Laws
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	I don't know if anyone is paying attention to the Michigan antrim county vote tally AFTER it was recounted. The upnorthlive news published the antrim county clerk's update after she recounted. That update has 1016 votes cast more than actual registered voters. This is after the recount. More suspicious is that the totals come from 3 townships: Cutler, Echo and Elk Rapids. These 3 are the only townships that are Democrat. Between those 3 townships, 1016 votes were cast over the amount of actual registered voters. The link to the updated report from the antrim county clerk is here: (you have to scroll all the way down the page, past the article to get to the window at the bottom for the antrim county numbers) https://upnorthlive.com/news/local/antrim-county-submits-new-partial-election-results-after-manually-counting-votes?_gl=1%2AG6z73f%2A_ga%2ANVNMVn8vXURMLX8Z8DfN5DFWqGtaWxSa1NM4JRleC11M3peT1dZFu0nKUK5p0WwvZm6Q3RJY0lndm24YQ	MI		TALLY FRAUD
[redacted]@global.net	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	I was "relieved of my duties" while working at the polls in Hart, Michigan on election day because I wore a shirt that said "Trust Jesus". The Chair Women of the Election Inspectors, the City Clerk, the Deputy City Clerk, the City Manager and the City Attorney all said it was political speech. Instead, I stayed in the room as an observer the entire day and through the ballot count and saw many very a protocol was breached and fraudulent votes probably cast.	MI	HART	DISCRIMINATION, OBSERVER

**Check Your Vote Summary, including proof of tens of thousands of deceased voters**

Another group of citizen-researchers has created a resource that will assist law enforcement with investigating voter fraud: <https://checkyourvote.org/>



The website provides findings in Michigan such as:

- [17,327](#) randomly checked Michigan voters that were registered for voting and were above the age of 80. Every name on this list has voted in the 2020 election and has also been found in one or more obituaries online. (Visit the link to check for yourself)
- [19,121](#) General Election Absentee Ballots with impossible issue, return, send and receive dates

The website's findings in Pennsylvania were even more alarming:

- [40,000](#) randomly checked voters above the age of 80 in Pennsylvania, that were registered for voting and have also been found in one or more obituaries online. Every name on this list has voted in the 2020 presidential election. (Visit the link to check for yourself)
- A Republican absentee ballot was [72% more likely](#) to go missing than a Democrat absentee ballot.
- [27,663 absentee](#) ballots that have been mailed to P.O. Boxes and then subsequently have been filled out and returned. 102 voters on this list were not registered for voting, yet casted a ballot.
- [29,112](#) General Election Absentee Ballots had impossible issue, return, send and receive dates.
- [1111](#) unique voters over 112 years old.

All personal information on this list is publicly available and has been obtained legally by concerned citizens. It is now available for law enforcement professionals to DO THEIR JOBS to investigate. We attach just a few pages of the Michigan data below so you can click on the hyperlinks and see the obituaries and the proof that votes were cast for these deceased persons. **This is a MASSIVE amount of criminal fraud that MUST be investigated.**



FIVE STATES AND THE ELECTION IRREGULARITIES AND ISSUES

DECEMBER 8, 2020

**KEY POINTS RE QUESTIONABLE ELECTION RESULTS IN FIVE STATES**

- The U.S. Constitution gives absolute authority to State Legislatures to determine the “manner” of how a federal election is run.
- The U.S. Constitution gives absolute authority to State Legislatures to appoint presidential electors.
- State Legislatures have enacted election laws that permit citizens to participate and express their will regarding the selection of presidential electors.
- Under the U.S. Constitution, however, State Legislatures always have final authority regarding how presidential electors are chosen.
- **Democrat Party committees and left wing allies circumvented numerous state election codes by filing lawsuits and seeking executive agency actions to pressure judicial and executive branches of numerous state governments to “rewrite” their election codes without legislative action.**
- In its election lawsuits, the Trump campaign documented thousands of illegal votes that were cast, counted, and included in the final tabulation of these five states.
- These votes violated the Election Codes adopted by the State Legislatures, which also violates the U.S. Constitution.
- These illegal votes were outside the margin of victory in all five states.
- Time is short for the courts to remedy these wrongs and declare the elections null and void.
- **There is also a constitutional remedy:**
 - The U.S. Constitution vests in State Legislatures absolute authority to choose presidential electors. If the “manner” chosen by the State Legislature is not followed, which occurred in the 2020 presidential election



in these five states, then the State Legislature must reclaim its constitutional authority and responsibility to do what the constitution requires: appoint the presidential electors.

-In the alternative, the State Legislature can decide not to certify any presidential electors because the “manner” of voting was illegal and unconstitutional. The election then goes to the U.S. House of Representatives.

-Congress has the ultimate responsibility for receiving and counting the electoral college votes.

-There is no constitutional obligation for Congress to accept fraudulent vote and electoral votes.


**ARIZONA****(11 Electoral votes: 10,457 vote gap)**

Democrat-controlled Maricopa County election officials did not “allow political party observers to effectively observe” the process of verifying mail-in signatures.

- Almost 700,000 mail-in votes were counted without any Republican oversight according to eight witnesses at the November 30 hearing in Maricopa County.
- Observers had to remain behind a specific point, which was at least ten to twelve feet away from the counting process.
- Most of the computer screens were turned so that the observers could not see them even if they had been sufficiently close to the screens.
- Arizona election law states that “procedures shall allow political party observers to **effectively observe** the election process....” (Emphasis added.)
- Many **Republicans were escorted from the building** by election officials when they protested the illegal situation of not being allowed to effectively observe the process.

Democrat-controlled Maricopa County election officials violated Arizona election law regarding handling duplicate ballots.

- A duplicate ballot situation arises when a ballot is damaged or defective so it cannot be properly counted. Arizona election law requires that when a new ballot is to be substituted for a damaged or defective ballot (duplicated), **it shall only be done in the “presence of witnesses,” shall “be clearly labeled ‘duplicate,’” and shall “bear a serial number that shall be recorded on the damaged or defective ballot.”**
- Maricopa County transmitted electronic information for duplicate ballots to an offsite printing company, which printed them offsite.
- The offsite company delivered the duplicate ballots to the election center in “batches,” which were not connected to the originals in any clearly observable way. Thus, there was no effective way of confirming whether the duplicates matched the originals.
- The duplicating process took place without Republican witnesses.



Maricopa County utilized **Dominion machines.**

- **Six minutes after the polls closed at 7 p.m. November 3, Biden received a net gain of 143,100 votes.** Cyber Security expert witness, retired Col. Phil Waldron, testified that such increase was a **mathematical impossibility** because there were not enough machines in use to process that many votes in that short amount of time.
- The Dominion voting machines were **connected to the internet, thereby completely violating all security mandates.**



GEORGIA

(16 Electoral votes: 12,670 vote gap*)

Georgia law allows federal elections to be contested under specific provisions if sufficient to change or place doubt in the result. The relevant provisions are 1) if there was misconduct, fraud, or irregularity by election officials and 2) when illegal votes have been received or legal votes rejected.

- Georgia officials allowed approximately 100,000 unqualified persons to register and cast votes that are illegal under the above provisions as follows:
 - 66,247 Underage** persons allowed to register illegally, then voted
 - 8,718** Potential number of persons deceased prior to the date the state records accept votes, relying on available records re: name and birth year. Only the Secretary of State has the full birthdate information to ascertain the exact number of illegal votes cast in the name of deceased persons. Witness affidavits show that absentee ballots were sent to dead persons in Georgia
 - 2,560 Felons**
 - 2,423 Unregistered persons**
 - 4,926** Persons **registered in another state** after moving from Georgia
 - 1,043** Persons registered using a P.O Box, **not a legal residence**
 - 15,700** Persons who had filed a national change of address with the USPS as having **relocated to another state** prior to Election Day, and
 - 40,279** Persons who moved **without reregistering/** voting in their new county.
- Secretary of State Raffensperger violated Georgia law, which requires voters to request absentee ballots, no earlier than 180 days before the election for which the absentee ballot is requested
- Raffensperger sent unsolicited absentee ballot applications to all persons on the active voter rolls before the 2020 Georgia primary, and allowed requests for absentee ballots for the general election by checking a box on the application for the primary.
- **At least 305,701 persons**, according to State records, applied for absentee ballots more than 180 days prior to Election Day, **violating state law**.

**MICHIGAN****(16 Electoral votes: 150,000 vote gap)**

Republicans were prohibited from meaningful observation of mail-in vote counting in Wayne County.

- Michigan law requires an election challenger “be provided a space within a polling place where they can observe the election procedure and each person applying to vote.” The challenger must also be allowed to “inspect poll books as ballots are issued to electors and witness electors’ names being entered in the poll book.”
- Michigan law authorizes the election challenger to challenge election procedures not being properly performed, any person who the challenger has good reason to believe is not registered, improper handling of a ballot, violations of time the polling place is open, campaigning and fundraising by an election inspector, and any other violation of election law.
- An election challenger may examine each ballot as it is being counted.
- Michigan law provides a felony punishable by up to two years in prison for: any person who threatens or intimidates a challenger performing any of the above activities, any person who prevents a challenger from exercising their rights, and any person who fails to provide a challenger with “conveniences for the performance of the[ir] duties.”
- **Over 500,000 mail-in votes were counted in Wayne County without any meaningful Republican observation.**
- More than 100 Michigan credentialed challengers provided affidavits describing the numerous methods used by Democrat-controlled Wayne County to deny them a meaningful opportunity to carry out the election duties described above. Some of these methods include the following:
 - **Most of the challengers designated by the Republican Party or Republican candidate were denied access to the TCF Center (formerly Cobo Hall) while all Democrat challengers were allowed access.**
 - Those few Republicans who were admitted were not allowed sufficiently near the vote counting process to have meaningful observation of it.
 - Those few Republicans who were admitted were forced to remain at least six feet from the vote counting process but Democrat challengers were not



Five States and The Election Irregularities and Issues - December 8, 2020

forced to remain behind such a barrier.

- At least three challengers swore Wayne County election officials physically pushed them away from the counting tables so they could not meaningfully observe the vote count.
- Many challengers swore they were threatened and harassed by Wayne County election officials, including being called “a racist name.”
- One challenger observed Democrat challengers sharing a packet of information entitled “Tactics to Distract GOP Challengers.”
- An election official told a challenger that Wayne County had a police SWAT team outside the building if Republican challengers argued too much.
- Another election official told a challenger that “since English was not [her] first language...[she] should not be taking part in this process.”
- Whenever a Republican challenger was ejected from the counting area, Wayne County election officials would cheer.
- **Many challengers swore that their challenges to ballots were ignored by not being recorded or by being told “you cannot challenge this.” One challenger was told that her challenges were no longer being accepted because the “rules no longer applied.”**

Challengers were prohibited from viewing the process for making a “duplicate” ballot.

- Michigan law states “If the rejection is due to a false read the ballot must be duplicated by two election inspectors who have expressed a preference for different political parties.” The duplication process must also be observed by challengers.
- **One challenger was told he was not allowed to observe ballot duplication because it “was personal like voting.”**
- Many challengers testified that duplication was performed only by Democratic election workers.

Wayne County election officials ran batches of ballots through the vote tabulation machines-some multiple times.

- One challenger observed a stack of about 50 **ballots being fed “multiple times” into a ballot scanner machine.**



Five States and The Election Irregularities and Issues - December 8, 2020

PENNSYLVANIA

(20 Electoral votes: 82,000 vote gap)

Republicans were prohibited from observing the mail-in vote counting in Philadelphia and Allegheny Counties.

- **Over 680,000 mail-in votes were recorded without any Republican oversight.**
- Although Democrats were mostly (but not always) also prohibited from observing the mail-in vote count, it was of no significance: where Republicans were excluded, the **Democrat-controlled county had hired the counters.**
- The prohibition violates state law, which requires that each candidate and political party “shall be entitled to appoint” watchers.
- In Philadelphia, Republicans obtained a court order to allow watchers to observe within a specific distance. Democrat-controlled Philadelphia election officials threatened the Republicans with arrest if they walked beyond the metal barriers, which were 20-100 feet from the vote counting area.
- Democrat-dominated Pennsylvania Supreme Court held that since the state legislature did not specify the exact distance for “observing,” it would not do so. Thus, it held that just being in the room (some the size of a football field) was sufficient to “observe” under the statute.
- Allegheny and Philadelphia counties claimed the reason for not allowing Republicans to observe the counting process was COVID. However, other Pennsylvania counties had no such problem when permitting all candidates to have “watchers” to observe mail-in voting.

Although Pennsylvania election law, upheld by its Supreme Court, does not provide for “curing” a defective ballot, some counties allowed curing while others did not.

- “Curing” a ballot means that if a voter provides a mail-in ballot prior to election day and it is defective, e.g. insufficient information on the outside envelope or missing a second internal envelope containing the ballot, election officials contact those voters to “cure” the defect.
- The night before the election, Secretary of State Boockar emailed all county election officials that defective ballot voters should be contacted and provided provisional ballots.



Five States and The Election Irregularities and Issues - December 8, 2020

- Mostly Republican counties did not cure because they considered to do so violated state election law. Mostly Democratic counties allowed voters to cure defective ballots.

The facts above establish that votes/voters were treated differently in Pennsylvania, depending on the county. Some votes were scrutinized by the candidates while others were not subject to any Republican oversight. Some voters were permitted to cure defective ballots while others were not. This difference in treatment of votes violates constitutional Equal Protection, as decided in Bush v. Gore, where the Supreme Court found that Florida was treating chads differently in vote counting.

The Pennsylvania legislature violated the state constitution when it passed Act 77. The constitution permits absentee ballot voting only in a few specific instances, such as sickness or having to work on election day. Act 77, which allows mail-in voting for any reason, is unconstitutional.

The Pennsylvania Supreme Court violated the U.S. Constitution when it ignored the (unconstitutional) Act 77's deadline for receipt of ballots. Act 77 retained the requirement that no ballot can be counted if received after 8p.m. election day. Under the U.S. Constitution, Art. I, only state legislatures have authority to prescribe "times, places and manner of holding elections...." The state Supreme court changed that deadline to three days after the election and even held that if the post mark cannot be read the ballot should be counted. Justice Samuel Alito directed Pennsylvania officials to segregate those ballots received after the deadline from those received timely under state law. How do we know that was done honestly if no Republicans could observe the process?

Note: Biden outperformed Clinton in the city of Philadelphia by 20,000 plus votes. He outperformed Obama by approximately 16,000 votes.

**WISCONSIN****(10 Electoral votes: 20,400 vote gap)**

Absentee voting is a “privilege” under Wisconsin law and therefore must be “carefully regulated to prevent the potential for fraud or abuse....” The Legislature has made clear that the statutory requirements for absentee voting are mandatory and must be strictly applied. Four major issues in Wisconsin are based on violations of these strict provisions. Milwaukee County and Dane County, the two largest counties in the state, counted over 200,000 votes that were cast in violation of the state constitution and its election laws.

Wisconsin law S 6.86(1) (ar) specifically prohibits a clerk from issuing an absentee ballot “unless the clerk receives a written application.”

- The Canvassing Boards in Milwaukee and Dane Counties decided that the Clerk’s receipt of the envelope that contains the completed absentee ballot satisfied that requirement.
- 170,140 absentee ballots without the statutory required application were issued, cast, and counted in Milwaukee and Dane Counties.
- Other Wisconsin municipalities followed the statute by requiring a written application.
- **Representatives of the Trump Campaign objected to counting absentee ballots that did not have a written application but were overruled by the two Democrat- controlled counties.**

Wisconsin law S 6.87 (6d) is clear. “If a certificate is missing the address of a witness, the ballot may not be counted.”

- After completing an absentee ballot, the voter must place it into a secure envelope and seal it in front of a witness, who must sign and provide his or her address.
- 5,517 ballots were cast and counted with incomplete or incorrect Ballot Envelopes.
- Clerks in Milwaukee and Dane Counties illegally altered envelopes by supplying the missing information, either by using their own knowledge or searching unknown data bases.
- The Wisconsin Legislature in 2015 reaffirmed the long-held legal position of the State that specifically prohibits a Clerk from altering ballots in any respect and requires exclusion of ballots that are contained in incomplete or improperly



completed envelopes.

- Representatives of the Trump Campaign objected to counting such incomplete or improper ballots but were overruled by the two Democrat-controlled counties.

Wisconsin law that permits a person to request absentee voting on the basis of being Indefinitely Confined contains strict monitoring provisions.

- To qualify, a voter must be “elderly, infirm or disabled **and** indefinitely confined,” such as a nursing home resident.
- Clerks are charged with reviewing and expunging from the voter rolls those claiming to be Indefinitely Confined where the Clerk has “reliable information [the] elector no longer qualifies for the service.” No effort was made by the clerks in Milwaukee and Dane Counties to follow this mandate. A simple Google search revealed “Indefinitely Confined” voters who attended weddings, parties, and protests.
- 28,395 Indefinitely Confined Absentee Ballots were issued in Milwaukee and Dane Counties after March 25, 2020.
- **In Milwaukee County, the Indefinitely Confined ballots were 11,978 in 2016 and 45,693 in 2020. In Dane County, the Indefinitely Confined ballots were 4569 in 2016 and 22,519 in 2020.**
- Representatives of the Trump Campaign objected to counting Indefinitely Confined ballots but were overruled by the two Democrat-controlled counties.

Dane County’s city of Madison on several different dates created 206 locations dubbed “Democracy in the Park” during which absentee ballots were distributed and certified.

- The events did not comply with Wisconsin law S6.855(1).
- The sites were not established by an act of the City of Madison Common Council, the governing body, as required by law.
- Biden campaign officials advertised the events, thus inappropriately coordinating with the city's election officials.
- **17,271 absentee ballots were distributed during these events.**
- Representatives of the Trump Campaign objected to the counting of Democracy in the Park votes but were overruled by the two Democrat-controlled counties.

Note: Biden outperformed Clinton in Milwaukee by 28,000 plus votes. He underperformed Obama by 14,000 plus votes.



Epoch Times Infographic: What Happened in Atlanta on Election Night

THE EPOCH TIMES

Infographic: What Happened in Atlanta on Election Night

BY PETR SVAB December 9, 2020 Updated: December 9, 2020

2091 Shares    



[Click on Image to Enlarge](#)

On the night of Nov. 3, tens of thousands of absentee ballots for Fulton County, Georgia, were counted at the State Farm Arena's vote-tabulation center in Atlanta. In recent days, the fog of incomplete and conflicting information provided by interested...

▼ MORE

MEGAN VARNER/GETTY IMAGES



https://www.theepochtimes.com/what-happened-in-atlanta-on-election-night-2_3607130.html?utm_source=news&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign... 1/8



Epoch Times Infographic: What Happened in Atlanta on Election Night



Registration Officer Wandrea "Shaye" Moss at State Farm Arena in Atlanta on Nov.

On the night of Nov. 3, tens of thousands of absentee ballots for Fulton County, Georgia, were counted at the State Farm Arena's vote-tabulation center in Atlanta. In recent days, the fog of incomplete and conflicting information provided by interested parties has begun to clear. It now appears that a state election monitor was absent for a part of the counting process. It is also clear that

Republican poll watchers were prevented from meaningfully observing much of the process, even though they were allowed in the room. Georgia is a key battleground state, controlling 16 electoral votes. Current results show Democratic presidential candidate Joe Biden ahead of President Donald Trump by about 12,000 votes. The Trump campaign and other groups are challenging the results, alleging fraud

and other illegalities. The campaign demanding that the state legislature grant the electoral votes to Trump. The office of Georgia Secretary of State Brad Raffensperger, a Republican, has acknowledged investigating numerous instances of potential fraud, but has rejected the notion that Fulton County officials themselves were involved in fraud during the election night count at the arena.

1

RULE CHANGE

On Aug. 10, the State Election Board approved a new rule that allows election officials to start opening and scanning absentee ballots three weeks before Election Day. State law says the ballots can only be opened on Election Day. The state is being sued over the rule, based on the argument that the board didn't have the jurisdiction to issue the rule change.

The rule allows officials to verify signatures on ballot packs and feed ballots to scanners. The votes are then to be stored in scanner memory until they can be added to the tallies on election night. It's not clear to what degree Fulton County has followed the new rule. County spokeswoman Jessica Corbitt declined to answer questions posed by The Epoch Times for this article.

2

WATER LEAK

On Election Day, ballot-processing work at the State Farm Arena was delayed.

"At approximately 6:07 a.m., the staff at State Farm Arena notified Fulton County Registration and Elections of a water leak affecting the room where absentee ballots were being tabulated," Fulton County told FOX 5, an Atlanta Fox affiliate, in a statement. "The State Farm Arena team acted swiftly to remediate the issue. Within 2 hours, repairs were complete."

"The emergency delayed officials from processing ballots between 5:30 a.m. and 9:30 a.m.," the report says.

An Atlanta NBC affiliate, 11Alive, reported that "Fulton County Registration chief Ralph Jones said that the pipe burst just after 6 a.m. Tuesday in the room above where they [sic] ballots were being kept, and water was draining down to the left side of the

room where the ballots were."

A local attorney who filed a records request about the burst pipe only received a brief text message exchange about the incident, describing it as "highly exaggerated ... a slow leak that caused about an hour-and-a-half delay" and stating that "we contained it quickly—it did not spread," according to the text conversation that the attorney, Paul Dzikowski, shared with The Epoch Times.

According to Frances Watson, chief investigator of the Georgia secretary of state's office, "the incident initially reported as a water leak late in the evening on November 3rd was actually a urinal that had overflowed early in the morning on November 3rd."

The incident "did not affect the counting of votes by Fulton County later that evening," he said in a Dec. 5 sworn affidavit.

3

SECURITY CAMERA FOOTAGE


Security camera footage from the arena shows workers moving around furniture after 8:20 a.m. It's not clear whether that had to do with the water leak.

Only some parts of the footage were made publicly available when the Trump campaign's legal team aired them during a Dec. 3 Georgia Senate committee hearing on election issues. The arena provided the footage "to all parties in each related Georgia voting litigation," the arena's legal chief, Scott Wilkinson, told

video or documents," he said.

Attempts to obtain the footage from the Trump legal team, the state, and county authorities have been unsuccessful.


One of the election workers in the arena center has been identified as Registration Officer Wandrea "Shaye" Moss, based on her conspicuous hairstyle and other features. At about 8:21 a.m., the cameras captured her moving one of the tables, which was covered with a black cloth that extended



Epoch Times Infographic: What Happened in Atlanta on Election Night

The Epoch Times via email.
"Without a subpoena, our policy does not allow us to release

the ground. The table would later become the center of national attention.



(Top R)
Registration
Officer Wan
"Shaye" Mo
moves a table
the State Farm
Arena in Atlanta
on the morning of
Nov. 3, 2020

4

MONITORS PLACED FAR AWAY

At around 8:15 p.m. on Election Day, two Republican poll monitors arrived at the State Farm Arena to observe the vote tabulation process, according to a sworn affidavit by one of the monitors, Michelle Branton.

As their affidavits and security camera footage from the arena show, the monitors were roped off in a media area at one end of the large tabulation center's room. They were so far from the election workers that they couldn't see in any detail what was being done. Some parts of the room, such as the area where the ballot scanners were placed, were so far away that it's likely the monitors couldn't discern what was going on there at all. Both said they didn't even know the scanners were in the room until one of the officials explained the process to them.



ALL SCREENSHOTS BY THE EPOCH

A media and poll monitor is visible by the farther end of the room at the State Farm Arena in Atlanta on Nov. 3, 2020.

5

DELAYS

At 8:40 p.m., 11Alive reported that "Fulton County election officials said they are behind—by about four hours—counting absentee ballots after a pipe burst in a room at State Farm Arena where some of those ballots were being held."



Andy Pierrotti
@AndyPierrotti

Here's a memo I just sent to the newsroom about why not all of Fulton Co's election results will be counted tonight. Don't freak out. This was anticipated. Most votes will be counted tonight. Read the note. #GAVotes #2020Election #ElectionDay T

Here's the deal in Fulton County from the Richard Barron/Fulton Elections Chief:

Between 40K to 60K will not be counted by tonight. These are all mail-in/drop off absentee ballots. He doesn't know what percentage of the total votes that might mean at this point.


It's not due to the State Farm water pipe issue. It's due to the sheer volume of mail-in/drop off absentee ballots the county received. There was a massive influx. It was anticipated to some extent due to COVID. It's not considered a delay or due to any malfunction. The county just received a lot of them.

The goal moving forward:

"According to those officials, none of the ballots were damaged in the process," the channel said in an update to live coverage of the election.

At 10:08 p.m., 11Alive investigative reporter Andy Pierr reported that Fulton County wouldn't be counting about 40,000 to 60,000 ballots that night.

"It's not due to the State Farm water pipe issue," he said in a memo he posted on Twitter. "It's due to the sheer volume mail-in/drop off absentee ballots the county received."



Epoch Times Infographic: What Happened in Atlanta on Election Night

- Have 100K mail-in /drop ballots counted by tonight.
- The remaining, to hopefully have all counted by Friday/Saturday (but most could be done by tomorrow)

The reason it takes a while: each envelope has to be scanned, signature verified, sorted by district and the ballot scanned.

A screenshot of a Twitter message sent by 11Alive investigative reporter Andy Pierrotti on Nov. 3 saying that Fulton County wouldn't be counting about 40,000 to 60,000 ballots that night.

6

BOXES IN FRONT OF AND ON TABLE

The released footage shows, beginning at 9:57 p.m., a man in a black jacket bringing an empty black ballot box and placing it next to the table installed by Moss. He's accompanied by a woman in a purple t-shirt, who was later identified as election worker Ruby Freeman based on her apparel, hairstyle, and public posts and videos on Facebook, where she also appeared to identify herself as Moss's mother.

A minute later, the man places a white tray of ballots inside the box. Freeman then closes the box.

The man then brings another box and places in it another tray of ballots that was previously on top of the table.

Shortly after that, the video skips to 10:19 p.m., and two closed ballot boxes are seen on top of the table.

The video, which then jumps to 10:25 p.m., shows the two boxes still on top of the table, in addition to another tray of ballots.

At 10:37 p.m., the table appears to have been cleared, with no sign of the boxes. The media and the monitors can still be seen in their designated area. Nearly all the workers are gone.



Election worker Ruby Freeman is seen closing a box at the State Farm Arena in Atlanta on Nov. 3, 2020.



A man in a black jacket is seen placing a tray of ballots into a box at the State Farm Arena in Atlanta on Nov. 3, 2020.



Two closed boxes are seen on top of a table at the State Farm Arena in Atlanta on Nov. 3, 2020.



Two closed boxes and a tray of ballots are seen on top of a table at the State Farm Arena in Atlanta on Nov. 3, 2020.



A clean table covered with a black cloth is seen at the State Farm Arena in Atlanta on Nov. 3, 2020.


7

'STOP WORKING AND COME BACK TOMORROW'

The poll monitors said that activity at the arena slowed after 10 p.m. At around 10:30 p.m., a person clearly identified by them as Moss "yelled out [that] they should stop working and come back tomorrow (the next day, Wednesday, November 4) at 8:30 A.M.," said Mitchell Harrison, one of the monitors in his affidavit. Branton agreed with this.



MEGAN VARNER/GETTY



Epoch Times Infographic: What Happened in Atlanta on Election Night

8

MONITORS LEAVE

description, saying the workers who were removing absentee ballots from the outer envelopes had mostly stopped working by that point.

The monitors were tasked by the local Trump campaign field organizer to find out how many ballots were processed and how many were left. They asked county spokesperson Regina Waller, who was on site, about this, but she didn't get them an answer, so they eventually left shortly after 10:30 p.m., they said.



An election worker processes absentee ballots at State Farm Arena in Atlanta on Nov. 2, 2020.

The camera footage shows them leaving at about 10:40 p.m., leaving only seven people behind: Moss, Freeman, two women in yellow t-shirts, one man in a red shirt, one man in a light blue top, and one man in a black jacket.

The monitors indicated they were under the impression that work at the arena had concluded. Corbitt, the county spokeswoman, appeared to be under the same impression.

In an 11:36 p.m. update, 11Alive reported that "Regina Waller with Fulton County told 11Alive that State Farm Arena absentee ballot counters have been sent home."

"The election department sent the ballot counters at the State Farm Arena in Atlanta home at 10:30 p.m., Regina Waller, the Fulton County public affairs manager for elections, tells ABC News," said the network's 11:34 p.m. tweet.

But that wasn't the case. The women in yellow t-shirts are seen continually placing batches of ballots on two scanners, one after another.

The scanners were placed at the opposite side of the room, at least 50 feet away from where the media and monitors were instructed to stay. With several columns obstructing the view, it's possible the monitors couldn't see what the workers were doing.



Two people, likely the Republican poll monitors, are seen leaving the tabulation center room at the State Farm Arena in Atlanta on Nov. 3, 2020.

9

BOXES UNDER THE TABLE

At about 10:51 p.m., Moss is seen pulling a black ballot box out from under the table she had placed there in the morning. The box appears to have a white tray on top of it. Moss picks the tray up and then puts it back on top of the box.

At 11:03 p.m., one of the women in yellow t-shirts pulls away a ballot box placed right beside the table. She takes stacks of papers out of it and starts placing them on the scanner.

About 30 seconds later, Moss pulls another ballot box from underneath the table, placing it next to Freeman's table, and takes stacks of paper out of it. Freeman then starts placing the stacks on another scanner.

At one point, it appears that Freeman places the same stack on the scanner repeatedly. This could legitimately be done in cases when ballots get jammed in the scanner feed. The video quality, however, makes it difficult to discern whether this was the case.

At 11:04 p.m., the man in a light blue top and the man in a black jacket leave the room.

About 40 seconds later, the man in a red shirt can be seen pulling another ballot box from underneath the table. He places it next to another table and, with some help from one of the women in yellow, takes stacks of paper out of it and starts

scanning them at another machine.

Edison Research election results feed data published by The New York Times show that between 11:15 p.m. and 12:03 a.m., Fulton County added 46,442 votes to its tally, about 21 percent of them for Trump. All of them were early-voting ballots. Edison also provided state-level vote count updates but didn't specify where the votes in individual updates came from, and no update around midnight that night matches 46,442. It's possible the Fulton batch was split into two updates—one of 23,355 votes at 12:14 a.m., of which 28 to 63 percent were for Biden, and another of 23,487 votes at 12:18 a.m., of which 98 to 100 percent were for Biden. These two add up to 46,842.

Overall, about 29 percent of the early vote in the county went to Trump.

The available security footage continues at 12:50 a.m., when the workers are no longer manning the scanners and appear to be wrapping up.

At 12:53 a.m., only Moss is left in the room.

The footage then jumps to 1:41 a.m., when three people are seen coming in. One seems to be vacuuming, but the three leave again within three minutes.





Epoch Times Infographic: What Happened in Atlanta on Election Night

10



Registration Officer Wandrea "Shaye" Moss is seen pulling a ballot box from underneath a table at the State Farm Arena in Atlanta, Ga., on Nov. 3, 2020.



A woman in a yellow t-shirt is seen pulling a box that was beside a table at the State Farm Arena in Atlanta on Nov. 3, 2020.



Registration Officer Wandrea "Shaye" Moss is seen pulling a box from underneath a table at the State Farm Arena in Atlanta on Nov. 3, 2020.



A man in a red shirt is seen pulling a box from underneath a table at the State Farm Arena in Atlanta on Nov. 3, 2020.

MONITORS RETURN

After the next jump to 1:47 a.m., three people are seen coming in. According to the Trump campaign, two of them were Republican observers. Harrison said he and another monitor, Trevin McKoy, were told to go back to the arena after they learned from news

crews that the counting had continued.

Indeed, Alive 11 reported around 12:38 a.m. that "another official in Fulton County says some work is still being done at a State Farm Arena with ballots."



Three people, two of them likely the Republican poll monitors, are seen right after entering the tabulation center room at the State Farm Arena in Atlanta on Nov. 3, 2020.

11

OFFICIALS RESPOND

The available footage doesn't show the exact moment the boxes are placed under the table. Watson said his investigators reviewed the footage and determined that the boxes were filled, sealed, and placed under the desk when the monitors were still present.

"Around 10 p.m., with the room full of people, including official monitors and the media, video shows ballots that had already been opened but not counted placed in the boxes, sealed up, stored under the table," he said. "This was done because employees thought that they were done for the night and were closing up and ready to leave."

Gabriel Sterling, Georgia's voting system implementation manager, told Newsmax that only some of the workers were told to go home.

"There are cutters, the people who are opening the envelopes, and there's the ones who are scanning," he said.

Registration and Elections Director Richard Barron told the

Fulton County Board of Commissioners that when he learned that staff were dismissed at 10:30 p.m., he advised that some workers needed to continue, the county's spokeswoman previously told The Epoch Times.

"Based on that directive, a smaller crew continued to work through the night. It may be possible that observers left at the the majority of the staff left, but from the information we have processing area was never closed to observers," she said.

A Georgia secretary of state spokesperson said in a statement that its "investigator" and an "independent monitor appointed the State Election Board ... both observed scanning until it was halted for the night around midnight," Newsmax reported.

It's not clear who the monitor and investigator were, as the shows only five people in the room at certain times, one of whom was Moss, while the other four were engaged in the scanning

Sterling also acknowledged that there was an "82-minute" period when no monitor was present.

JESSICA MCGOWAN/GETTY IMAGES





Epoch Times Infographic: What Happened in Atlanta on Election Night



Gabriel Sterling, voting system Implementation manager for the Georgia secretary of state's office, in Atlanta on Nov. 6, 2020.

Our Republican observers and member of the news media departed State Farm when they announced they were shutting down for the night and would resume counting at 8:30 a.m. the next day.

David Shafer, chairman,
Georgia Republican Party

12 •

ENVELOPE WITHOUT ADDRESS


Freeman's Facebook page has ceased to be publicly accessible in recent days, after some of its content was posted on Twitter. The content included videos of her sitting in a cubicle and walking around an office space with trays of absentee ballots seen on tables. At one point, a man brings her a tray labeled "Ballot Signature Verification" with nearly 400 absentee ballot envelopes in it. The first envelope lacks a return address. Early in-person votes are cast on absentee ballots, which also need to be placed in envelopes. It's not clear whether the envelope would have a return address in that case.

In Georgia, absentee ballot signatures are matched by county election clerks to signatures on record for each voter. The clerks flag any mismatches for further verification, including a follow-up with the voter. Less than 0.2 percent of absentee ballots are rejected for signature issues, according to the state. That only accounts for mailed absentee ballots. It's not clear what the rejection rate is for early voting ballots.

Each county has a bipartisan election board, which may oversee the signature-matching process, according to Ryan Germany, general counsel of the secretary of state.

"For signature matching, they can choose to be as involved in that as they want," he said during the Senate hearing.

None of the five members of the Fulton County election board answered emailed questions regarding their involvement in the signature-matching oversight.



A screenshot of a video posted by Ruby Freeman on Facebook shows a ballots in her cubicle. The first ballot doesn't show a return address.




Trump supporters hold signs during a protest outside State Farm Arena, where Fulton County election officials are counting ballots, in Atlanta on Nov. 5, 2020.



Ruby Freeman Bias & Alleged Criminal Activity

Ruby Freeman CONFESSION and suspect mail/absentee ballots

These postings are from Ruby Freeman's Instagram account. She has now lawyered up. She can be found wearing the purple shirt in the after 10:30PM secret vote counting video that occurred after Ralph Jones yelled loudly to send the other poll workers and Republican observers home on election night in Fulton County, GA.



In Late October, Ruby Freeman livestreamed from her cubicle, showing hundreds of boxes and USPS carriers filled with presumably Mail-in ballots. None were secured, none were clearly abiding by chain of custody rules. And when a election worker brought her a box of mail-in ballots 'to enter into the computer' the very first envelope had NO return address.

In various states at least 27,663 absentee ballots were mailed to P.O. Boxes and filled out and returned. It is possible this is evidence of that. Or these are stuffed ballots created by Fulton County employees.





“Statistics IS Evidence” by Jay Valentine & National Academy of Sciences

JAY VALENTINE: “THE SCALE OF FRAUD IS MASSIVE – ALL IN ONE DIRECTION”

“It’s the equivalent of flipping a coin a hundred and thirty thousand times and every time it comes up heads.”

Jay Valentine is a well-respected expert on fraud who previously ran the fraud protection program for eBay, has served as an expert witness in numerous court cases involving fraud, and helped break up major industrial scale fraud associated with Medicaid and Medicare. In a recent radio interview on “Secure Freedom Radio” he revealed that “Statistics IS Evidence” and made the following observations about the November 2020 U.S. Presidential Election:



“The scale of fraud is massive. And it is all in one direction. That’s interesting. But there’s something else very interesting that nobody’s commenting on. And that is that you don’t see anybody on the other side questioning the mathematics or the statistics, saying, oh, no, no, no. These types of things easily could happen and here’s how. You don’t see any math people coming forward saying that. The reason is, anybody who has a college-level statistics background, you don’t have to be a PhD in statistics here, to look at these data sets and look at these anomalies, all of which go in the same direction, and it’s absolutely black and white, it’s not a ninety-nine percent probability. It’s like a – it’s as close to a hundred percent as you can be. I mean, nothing in life is a hundred percent, but this is as close as you can be.”

“The way it works is that when you are dealing with very large number sets and industrial fraud, think of big number sets, think of tens of millions, hundreds of millions of data points, then you can’t just start at the beginning of the alphabet and kind of go through and check everything. You have to use things called data pattern analysis. And numbers are funny things. When you have very, very large groups of numbers, whether it’s sales numbers or whether it’s real estate numbers, whatever they might be, they have characteristics. Those characteristics are patterns. Every pattern has outliers. And so, what you look for, you look for the outliers. And in this particular election, what’s interesting is that there are massive numbers of outliers from the data patterns and what is unique that has never happened before is every outlier favors Joe Biden. So, when you see that, you don’t have to dig a whole lot farther. That’s where you look for the fraud.”

“The fact that fraud happens is nothing unusual. And the fact that dead people vote is nothing unusual, that happens in every election and that’s something that here in America, Americans kind of get a kick out of. We’re not talking about that kind of fraud. We’re talking industrial fraud here where millions of votes were moved. Where in the middle of the night, at the same time, in a coordinated effort across four, five, six, seven states something unusual happened. A week later, statisticians start looking at these anomalies. Anomaly after anomaly favored Joe Biden. Now, that doesn’t just happen. And that’s the type of statistics that any fraud person, you don’t have to be a PhD, would look at that and say, black and white, that is massive industrial fraud and it is, it is something that is prima facie. It is prima facie fraud.”



“Statistics IS Evidence” by Jay Valentine & National Academy of Sciences


“It’s by far the greatest fraud in history. I mean, you take a look at, numerically, the data points of this fraud and you look at the impact that it has had, bigger than the, you know, John Law and the Mississippi bubble, bigger than Bernie Madoff, bigger than Enron. This is – this is as big as you can get and you cannot ever look at a point in history and see a greater fraud than what perpetrated in this past 2020 election.”

“Americans know what went on. People aren’t stupid. You don’t need a PhD in statistics to tell you this was massive fraud. And if people lose faith in the electoral process, then this country as we know it is over. And so, if the Supreme Court doesn’t deal with this issue and deal with it in a very forthright way, America as we know it is behind us.”

The Full Radio Interview can be found here:

<https://simplecast.com/s/dd5895c0>

Off the air, Mr. Valentine also suggested the attached report published by the National Academy of Sciences as a must-read to understand why “Statistics IS Evidence” when investigating election fraud.



“Statistics IS Evidence” by Jay Valentine & National Academy of Sciences

ResearchGate

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/231210617>

Statistical Detection of Systematic Election Irregularities

Article in *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* · September 2012

DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1210722109 · Source: PubMed

CITATIONS

74

READS

1,676

4 authors, including:



Peter Klimek
Medical University of Vienna

94 PUBLICATIONS 838 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Yuri Yegorov
University of Vienna

136 PUBLICATIONS 516 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Rudolf Hanel
Medical University of Vienna

118 PUBLICATIONS 1,943 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)


Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Models in regional science [View project](#)



Technical Developments in Neurosurgery [View project](#)



"Statistics IS Evidence" by Jay Valentine & National Academy of Sciences

It's not the voting that's democracy, it's the counting: Statistical detection of systematic election irregularities

Peter Klimek,¹ Yuri Yegorov,² Rudolf Hanel,¹ and Stefan Thurner^{1,3,4}

¹Section for Science of Complex Systems; Medical University of Vienna; Spitalgasse 23; A-1090; Austria

²Institut für Betriebswirtschaftslehre; University of Vienna; Brünner Straße 72; 1210 Vienna; Austria

³Santa Fe Institute; 1399 Hyde Park Road; Santa Fe; NM 87501; USA

⁴IIASA, Schlossplatz 1, A-2361 Laxenburg; Austria

Democratic societies are built around the principle of free and fair elections, that each citizen's vote should count equal. National elections can be regarded as large-scale social experiments, where people are grouped into usually large numbers of electoral districts and vote according to their preferences. The large number of samples implies certain statistical consequences for the polling results which can be used to identify election irregularities. Using a suitable data collapse, we find that vote distributions of elections with alleged fraud show a kurtosis of hundred times more than normal elections. As an example we show that reported irregularities in the 2011 Duma election are indeed well explained by systematic ballot stuffing and develop a parametric model quantifying to which extent fraudulent mechanisms are present. We show that if specific statistical properties are present in an election, the results do not represent the will of the people. We formulate a parametric test detecting these statistical properties in election results. For demonstration the model is also applied to election outcomes of several other countries.

Free and fair elections are the cornerstone of every democratic society [1]. A central characteristic of elections being free and fair is that each citizen's vote counts equal. However, already Joseph Stalin believed that "The people who cast the votes decide nothing. The people who count them decide everything." How can it be distinguished whether an election outcome represents the will of the people or the will of the counters?

Elections are fascinating, large scale social experiments. A country is segmented into a usually large number of electoral districts. Each district represents a standardized experiment where each citizen articulates his/her political preference via a ballot. Despite differences in e.g. income levels, religions, ethnicities, etc. across the populations in these districts, outcomes of these experiments have been shown to follow certain universal statistical laws [2–6]. Huge deviations from these expected distributions have been reported for the votes for *United Russia*, the winning party in the 2011 Duma election [7, 8].

In general, using an appropriate re-scaling of election data, the distributions of votes and turnout are approximately a Gaussian [5]. Let W_i be the number of votes for the winning party and N_i the number of voters in electoral district i , then the *logarithmic vote rate* is $\nu_i = \log \frac{W_i - N_i}{W_i}$. In figure 2 we show the distribution of ν_i over all electoral districts. To first order the data from different countries collapse to a Gaussian. Clearly the data for Russia and Uganda boldly fall out of line. Skewness and kurtosis are listed for each data-set in table SII, confirming these observations quantitatively. Most strikingly, the kurtosis of the distributions for Russia (2003, 2007 and 2011) and Uganda deviate by two orders of magnitude from each other country. The only reasonable conclusion from this is that the voting results

in Russia and Uganda are driven by other mechanisms or processes than other countries.

However, such distributions only reveal part of the story, and a different representation of the data becomes helpful to gain a deeper understanding. Figure 1 shows a 2-d histogram of the number of electoral districts for a given fraction of voter turnout (x-axis) and for the percentage of votes for the winning party (y-axis). Results are shown for recent parliamentary elections in Austria, Finland, Russia, Spain, Switzerland, and the UK, and presidential elections in the USA and Uganda. Data was obtained from official election homepages of the respective countries, for more details and more election results, see SOM. These figures can be interpreted as fingerprints of several processes and mechanisms leading to the overall election results. For Russia and Uganda the shape of these fingerprints are immediately seen to differ from the other countries. In particular there is a large number of districts (thousands) with a 100% percent turnout and at the same time a 100 % of votes for the winning party.

The shape of these irregularities can be understood with the assumption of the presence of the fraudulent action of ballot stuffing. This means that bundles of ballots with votes for one party are stuffed into the urns. Videos purportedly documenting these practices are openly available on online platforms [9–11]. In one case the urn is already filled with ballots before the elections start, e.g. [9], in other cases members of the election commission are caught filling out ballots, e.g. [10]. Yet in another case the pens in the polling stations are shown to be erasable, e.g. [11]. Are these incidents non-representative exceptions or the rule?

We develop a parametric model to quantify the extent of ballot stuffing for a given party to explain the election fingerprints in figure 1. The distributions for Russia

arXiv:1201.3087v2 [physics.soc-ph] 26 Jan 2012




FIG. 1. Election fingerprints: 2-d histograms of the number of electoral districts for a given voter turnout (x-axis) and the percentage of votes (y-axis) for the winning party (or candidate) in recent elections from eight different countries (from left to right, top to bottom: Austria, Finland, Russia, Spain, Switzerland, Uganda, UK and USA) are shown. Color represents the number of electoral districts. Districts usually cluster around a given turnout and voting level. In Uganda and Russia these clusters are ‘smeared out’ to the upper right region of the plots, reaching a second peak at a 100% turnout and a 100% of votes (red circles). In Finland the main cluster is smeared out into two directions (indicative of voter mobilization due to controversies surrounding the True Finns). In the UK the fingerprint shows two clusters stemming from rural and urban areas (see SOM).

and Uganda are clearly bimodal. One at intermediate levels of turnout and votes, smeared towards the upper right parts of the plot. The second peak is situated at the vicinity of the 100% turnout, 100% votes point. This suggests two modes of fraud mechanisms, *incremental* and *extreme* fraud. Incremental fraud means that with a given rate ballots for one party are added to the urn and votes for other parties are replaced. This occurs within a fraction f_i of electoral districts. In the election fingerprints in figure 1 these districts are shifted to the upper right. Extreme fraud corresponds to reporting nearly all votes for a single party with an almost complete voter turnout. This happens in a fraction f_e of districts, which form a second cluster near 100% turnout and votes for the incumbent party.

For simplicity in the model we assume that within each electoral district turnout and voter preferences follow a Gaussian distribution with the mean and standard deviation taken from the actual sample, see figure S2. With probability f_i (f_e) the incremental (extreme) fraud mechanisms are then applied. Note that if more detailed assumptions are made about possible mechanisms leading to large-scale heterogeneities in the data such as city-country differences in turnout (UK) or coast-non-coast (USA) (see SOM), this will have an effect on the estimate of f_i . Figure 3 compares the observed and modeled fingerprint plots for the winning parties in Russia,




FIG. 2. A simple way to compare data from different elections in different countries is to present the distributions of the logarithmic vote rates v_i of the winning parties as rescaled distributions with zero-mean and unit-variance [5]. Large deviations from other countries can be seen for Uganda and Russia with the plain eye.

Uganda and Switzerland. Model results are shown for $f_i = f_e = 0$ (fair elections) and for best fits to the data (see SOM) for f_i and f_e . To describe the smearing from the main peak to the upper right corner, an incremental fraud probability around $f_i = 0.64$ is needed for the case of *United Russia*. This means fraud in about 64% of the districts. In the second peak around the 100% turnout scenario there are roughly 3,000 districts with a 100% of votes for United Russia representing an electorate of more than two million people. Best fits yield $f_e = 0.05$, i.e. five percent of all electoral districts experience extreme fraud. A more detailed comparison of the model performance for the Russian parliamentary elections of 2003, 2007 and 2011 is found in the figure S3. The fraud parameters for the Uganda data in figure 3 are $f_i = 0.45$ and $f_e = 0.01$.

The dimension of election irregularities can be visualized with the cumulative number of votes as a function of the turnout, figure 4. For each turnout level the total number of votes from districts with this, or lower turnouts are shown. Each curve corresponds to the respective election winner in a different country. Normally these cdfs level off and form a plateau from the party’s maximal vote count on. Again this is not the case for Russia and Uganda. Both show a boost phase of increased extreme fraud toward the right end of the distribution (red circles). Russia never even shows a tendency to form a plateau.

It is imperative to emphasize that the shape of the fingerprints in figure 1 will deviate from pure 2-d Gaussian distributions due to non-fraudulent mechanisms, such as heterogeneities in the population or voter mobilization,



"Statistics IS Evidence" by Jay Valentine & National Academy of Sciences




FIG. 3. Comparison of observed and modeled 2-d histograms for (top to bottom) Russia, Uganda and Switzerland. The left column shows the actual election fingerprints, the middle column shows a fit with the fraud model. The column to the right shows the expected model outcome of fair elections (i.e. absence of fraudulent mechanisms $f_i = f_e = 0$). For Switzerland the fair and fitted model are almost the same. The results for Russia and Uganda can only be explained by the model assuming a large number of fraudulent districts.

see SOM. However, these can under no circumstances explain the mode of extreme fraud. A bad forgery is the ultimate insult¹.

It can be said with almost certainty that an election does not represent the will of the people, if a substantial fraction (f_e) of districts reports a 100% turnout with almost all votes for a single party, and/or if any significant deviations from the sigmoid form in the cumulative distribution of votes versus turnout are observed. Another indicator of systematic fraudulent or irregular voting behavior is a kurtosis of the logarithmic vote rate distribution of the order of several hundreds.

Should such signals be detected it is tempting to invoke G.B. Shaw who held that "[d]emocracy is a form of government that substitutes election by the incompetent many for appointment by the corrupt few."

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge helpful discussions and remarks by Erich Neuwirth and Vadim Nikulin.

¹ Jonathan Gash




FIG. 4. The ballot stuffing mechanism can be visualized by considering the cumulative number of votes as a function of turnout. Each country's election winner is represented by a curve which typically takes the shape of a sigmoid function reaching a plateau. In contrast to the other countries, Russia and Uganda do not tend to develop this plateau but instead show a pronounced increase (boost) close to complete turnout. Both irregularities are indicative of the two ballot stuffing modes being present.

- [1] L. J. Diamond, M. F. Plattner (2006). Electoral systems and democracy p.168. Johns Hopkins University Press, 2006.
- [2] R.N. Costa Filho, M.P. Almeida, J.E. Moreira, J.S. Andrade jr (2003), Brazilian elections: voting for a scaling democracy, *Physica A* **322** 1-4, p. 698-700.
- [3] M.L. Lyra, U.M.S. Costa, R.N. Costa Filho, J.S. Andrade jr (2003), Generalized Zipf's law in proportional voting processes, *Europhys. Lett.* **62**, 131.
- [4] S. Fortunato, C. Castellano (2007), Scaling and Universality in Proportional Elections *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 138701.
- [5] C. Borghesi, J.P. Bouchaud (2010), Spatial correlations in vote statistics: a diffusive field model for decision-making, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **75**, 395-404.
- [6] M.C. Mantovani, H.V. Ribeiro, M.V. Moro, S. Picoli Jr., R.S. Mendes (2011), Scaling laws and universality in the choice of election candidates, *Europhys. Lett.* **96**, 48001.
- [7] S. Shpilkin (2009), Statistical investigation of the results of Russian elections in 2007-2009, *Troitskij variant. Science* **21** (40), 1.
- [8] http://en.gazeta.ru/news/2011/12/13/a_3926402.shtml
- [9] <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Hw-5y9fy4zU>,
- [10] <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Qz0Xn3wRjJU>,
- [11] <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KG1ey3QvC7Y>



SUPPORTING ONLINE MATERIAL

The data

Descriptive statistics and official sources of the election results are shown in table S1. The raw data will be made available for download at <http://www.complex-systems.meduniwien.ac.at/>. Each data set reports election results of parliamentary (Austria, Finland, Russia, Spain, Switzerland and UK) or presidential (Uganda, USA) elections on district level. In the rare circumstances where electoral districts report more valid ballots than registered voters, we work with a turnout of 100%. With the exception of the US data, each country reports the number of registered voters and valid ballots for each party and district. For the US there is no exact data on the voting eligible population on district level, which was estimated to be the same as the population above 18 years, available at <http://census.gov>. Fingerprints for the 2000 US presidential elections are shown in figure S1 for both candidates for districts from the entire USA and Florida only. There are no irregularities discernible.

Model

A country is separated into n electoral districts i , each having an electorate of N_i people and in total V_i valid votes. The fraction of valid votes for the winning party

in district i is denoted v_i . The average turnout over all districts, \bar{a} , is given by $\bar{a} = 1/n \sum_i (V_i/N_i)$ with standard deviation s_a , the mean fraction of votes \bar{v} for the winning party is $\bar{v} = 1/n \sum_i v_i$ with standard deviation s_v . The mean values \bar{a} and \bar{v} are typically close to but not identical to the values which maximize the empirical distribution function of turnout and votes over all districts. Let v be the number of votes where the empirical distribution function assumes its (first local) maximum (rounded to entire percents), see figure S2. Similarly a is the turnout where the empirical distribution function of turnouts a_i takes its (first local) maximum. The distributions for turnout and votes are extremely skewed to the right for Uganda and Russia which also inflates the standard deviations in these countries, see table S1. To account for this a ‘left-sided’ (‘right-sided’) mean deviation σ_v^L (σ_v^R) from v is introduced. σ_v^R can be regarded as the *incremental fraud width*, a measurable parameter quantifying how intense the vote stuffing is. This contributes to the ‘smearing out’ of the main peaks in the election fingerprints, see figure 1 in the main text. The larger σ_v^R , the more inflated the vote results due to urn stuffing, in contrast to σ_v^L which quantifies the scatter of the voters’ actual preferences. They can be estimated from the data by

$$\sigma_v^L = \sqrt{\langle (v_i - v)^2 \rangle_{v_i < v}} \quad (1)$$

$$\sigma_v^R = \sqrt{\langle (v_i - v)^2 \rangle_{v_i > v}} \quad (2)$$

Similarly the *extreme fraud width* σ_x can be estimated, i.e. the width of the peak around 100% votes. We found that $\sigma_x = 0.075$ describes all encountered vote distribu-




FIG. 1. Turnout against percentage of votes for Bush (left column) and Gore (right) in the 2000 US presidential elections. Results are shown for all districts in the USA (top row) and for districts from Florida (bottom). There are no traces of fraudulent mechanisms discernible in the fingerprints.




FIG. 2. A stylized version of an empirical vote distribution function shows how v , σ_v^L , σ_v^R and σ_x are derived from the election results. v is the maximum of the distribution function. σ_v^L measures the distribution width of values to the left of v , i.e. smaller than v . The incremental fraud with σ_v^R measures the distribution width of values to the right of v , i.e. larger than v . The extreme fraud width σ_x is the width of the peak at 100% votes.



“Statistics IS Evidence” by Jay Valentine & National Academy of Sciences

TABLE I. Descriptive statistics of the election result datasets. Each row in the table corresponds to one election of the given type in the respective country. The number of electoral districts n , mean turnout \bar{a} and votes for winning party \bar{v} are shown together with estimates for fraud parameters f_i and f_e , as well as the sources where the data can be downloaded (as of 2011).

Country	Election	n	\bar{a}	\bar{v}	f_i	f_e	Source
Austria	parliament (2008)	2542	0.74 ± 0.06	0.26 ± 0.11	0.04	0.00	http://www.bmi.gv.at/cms/BMI_wahlen/nationalrat/start
Finland	parliament (2011)	2350	0.70 ± 0.09	0.17 ± 0.10	0.00	0.00	http://pxweb2.stat.fi/database/StatFin/vaa/evaa/Edusk
Russia	parliament (2003)	95181	0.62 ± 0.17	0.40 ± 0.17	0.31	0.01	http://cikrf.ru/
Russia	parliament (2007)	96192	0.70 ± 0.17	0.68 ± 0.14	0.30	0.04	http://cikrf.ru/
Russia	parliament (2011)	95057	0.64 ± 0.18	0.50 ± 0.30	0.64	0.05	http://cikrf.ru/
Spain	parliament (2008)	8112	0.78 ± 0.08	0.40 ± 0.14	0.00	0.00	http://www.infoelectoral.mir.es/
Switzerland	parliament (2007)	2705	0.50 ± 0.09	0.31 ± 0.15	0.04	0.00	http://www.portal-stat.admin.ch/nrw/files/de/01b2.xml
Uganda	president (2011)	23968	0.59 ± 0.15	0.68 ± 0.20	0.45	0.01	http://www.ec.or.ug/eresults.html
UK	parliament (2010)	650	0.65 ± 0.06	0.35 ± 0.16	0.05	0.00	http://www.electoralcommission.org.uk/elections/resul
USA	president (2000)	3115	0.57 ± 0.15	0.40 ± 0.12	0.03	0.00	http://nationalatlas.gov/atlasftp.html#eldistp
USA	president (2008)	3117	0.59 ± 0.09	0.42 ± 0.14	0.01	0.00	http://nationalatlas.gov/atlasftp.html#eldistp

TABLE II. Skewness and kurtosis of rescaled zero-mean, unit-variance distributions of ν_i shown in figure S2, and for the remaining datasets listed in table SI. Russia and Uganda fall strongly out of line, with deviations in skewness of about one order of magnitude and deviations in kurtosis of mostly two orders of magnitude, compared to each other country.

Country	skewness	kurtosis
Austria (2008)	0.9	5.1
Finland (2011)	1.0	4.8
Russia (2003)	-15	350
Russia (2007)	-11	160
Russia (2011)	-11	190
Spain (2008)	1.4	7.2
Switzerland (2007)	0.8	5.0
Uganda (2011)	-15	390
UK (2010)	0.8	3.0
USA (2000)	0.6	12
USA (2008)	0.2	3.3

tions reasonably well. For a visualization of σ_v^L , σ_v^R and σ_x see figure S2.

While f_i and f_e measure in how many districts incremental and extreme fraud occur, σ_v^R and σ_x quantify how intense these activities are, if they occur. To get an estimate for the width of the distribution of turnouts over district which is free of possible fraudulent influences, the *turnout distribution width* σ_a is calculated from electoral districts i which have both $v_i < v$ and $a_i < a$, that is $\sigma_a = \sqrt{\langle (a_i - a)^2 \rangle_{(a_i < a) \wedge (v_i < v)}}$.

Incremental fraud is a combination of two processes: stuffing ballots for one party into the urn and re-casting or deliberately wrong-counting ballots from other parties (e.g. erasing the cross). Which one of these two processes

dominates is quantified by the *deliberate wrong counting parameter* $\alpha > 0$. For $0 < \alpha < 1$ the wrong-counting process dominates, for $\alpha > 1$ the urn stuffing mechanism is prevalent. In the following $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$ denotes a normal distributed random variable with mean μ and standard deviation σ . The model is specified by the following protocol, which is applied to each district.

- Pick a district i with electorate N_i taken from the data.
- The model turnout of district i , $a_i^{(m)}$, is $\mathcal{N}(a, \sigma_a)$.
- A fraction of $v_i^{(m)} \in \mathcal{N}(v, \sigma_v^L)$ people vote for the winning party.
- With probability f_i incremental fraud takes place. In this case the district is assigned a fraud intensity $x_i \in [\mathcal{N}(0, \sqrt{\sigma_v^R})]$. Values for x_i are only accepted if they lie in the range $0 < x_i < 1$. This is the fraction of votes not cast, $(1 - a_i^{(m)})N_i$, which are added to the winning party. Votes for the opposition are wrong counted for the winning party with a rate x_i^α (where α is an exponent). To summarize, if incremental fraud takes place the winning party receives $N_i (a_i^{(m)} v_i^{(m)} + x_i (1 - a_i^{(m)}) + x_i^\alpha (1 - v_i^{(m)}) a_i^{(m)})$ votes.
- With probability f_e extreme fraud takes place. In this case opposition votes are canceled and added to the winning party with probability $y_i \in [1 - |\mathcal{N}(1, \sigma_x)|]$ (i.e. the above with y_i replacing x_i).




FIG. 3. Comparison of results from the 2003, 2007 and 2011 Russian parliamentary elections and the fraud model. In the left column the distributions of the number of districts with a given percentage of votes for United Russia is shown for data (blue) and fraud model (red). The middle column shows the observed turnout-against-votes distributions. The data from 2007 and 2011 shows the same pattern, although the main cluster for United Russia is at a higher percentage of votes. For 2003 there is a smaller number of districts with 100% turnout and votes, and the main cluster is spread out less. The right column shows fits for the data with the fraud model, using parameters $f_i = 0.31$, $f_e = 0.01$ (2003), $f_i = 0.30$, $f_e = 0.04$ (2007) and $f_i = 0.64$, $f_e = 0.05$ (2011).

Acceptable values for y_i are again from the range $0 < y_i < 1$.

Fitting the model

The parameters for incremental and extreme fraud, f_i and f_e , as well as the deliberate wrong counting parameter α , are estimated by a goodness-of-fit test. Let $pdf(v_i)$ be the empirical distribution function of votes for the winning party (in percent) over all districts. The distribution function for the model districts $pdf(v_i^{(m)})$ is calculated for each set of (f_i, f_e, α) values where $f_i, f_e \in \{0, 0.01, 0.02, \dots, 1\}$, $\alpha \in \{0, 0.1 \dots 0.5\}$. We report values for the fraud parameters where the statistic

$$S(f_i, f_e, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{pdf(v_i) - pdf(v_i^{(m)})}{pdf(v_i)} \right)^2 \quad (3)$$

assumes its minimum, see table I for f_i and f_e .

The extreme fraud parameter f_e is zero for all elections except Russia (2003, 2007 and 2011) and Uganda. These are also the only elections where the incremental fraud parameter f_i is not close to zero, say $f_i > 0.1$. It is interesting to note that α assumes the same value for all Russian elections, $\alpha_{\text{Russia}} = 2$, different from Uganda where $\alpha_{\text{Uganda}} = 0.3$. Results for α from countries where f_i is close to zero can not be detected in a robust way and are superfluous, since there are (almost) no deviations from the fair election case.

Special care is needed in the interpretation of f_i and f_e values in countries where election districts contain several polling stations. It may be the case that extreme fraud takes only in a subset of the polling stations within a district place. In that case extreme fraud would be indistinguishable from the incremental fraud mechanism.

On alternative explanations for election irregularities

It is hard to construct other plausible mechanisms leading to a large number of polling districts having 100% turnout and votes for a single party than urn stuffing. The case is not so clear for the 'smeared out' main cluster. In some cases, namely UK and Finland this cluster also takes on a slightly different form. This effect clearly does not inflate the turnout as much as it is the case in Russia and Uganda, but it is nevertheless present. In the UK there are well known large-scale heterogeneities between urban and rural areas (see e.g. news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/politics/election) in both turnout and voter preferences. Generally speaking, urban areas show a smaller turnout and a lower preference for the winning Conservatives. Another possible mechanism is successful voter mobilization. This may lead to a correlation between turnout and a party's votes. The Finland elections, for example, where marked by radical campaigns by the True Finns. They managed to mobilize evenly spread out across the country, with the exception of the Helsinki region, where the winning National Coalition Party performed significantly better than in the rest of the country.



Plain language description of Dominion Election Fraud: "Not Rounding Errors"

NOT ROUNDING ERRORS: Reviewing Dominion for Legislators

EveryLegalVote.com

A \$100 wager: most Americans believe in common sense vote counting. We all imagine each candidate has a bucket, every vote is like a pebble being tossed into that bucket, and each vote represents a single citizen's choice. The first democracy literally used urns and pebbles. After voting day, two Greek urns were emptied onto counting boards for tabulation and the winner was declared, in public, without dispute. Two plus two equals four; unless the data goes through a piece of software IP paid for by the social communist Hugo Chavez.

It will surprise many Americans and legislators to know that this transparent and normal expectation is not how our voting system currently works. Imagine if you mixed blue and red paint, and then tried to keep track of how many gallons of red paint are in the bucket by the color of the mixed paint. No competent data engineer would design the system this way as their first choice for vote counts.

In most cases all votes are mixed up in the same electronic bucket. Then complex algorithms assign 'weights' to each candidate, and spit back out an estimated amount of votes won per candidate. These are the fractions. If a grocery store followed this model to figure out what you owe, they'd be charged for unfair business practices in Michigan - there would always be extra money in their till.

The media claims that apparently missing votes in the tabulation are just 'rounding errors'. Dominion software manuals teach state employees how to set low rounding settings. In fractional voting, although rounding to .000 looks impressive, for every million voters in a statewide race rounding will lose or hide 1,000 votes. At only two decimal places, 10,000 votes vanish. The only useful purpose of low precision with millions of ballots is to conceal disenfranchisement by calling it 'rounding errors'.

Rounding errors cannot occur in an honest two bucket system. Even monkeys can count pebbles. Every American instinctively knows this complex algorithm driven system is wrong.

The safest way to steal fractional votes is to use counties that are already heavily going for the outsider. The best time to steal is during a large release of ballots. This means that the opponent will still win the county, and the win will discourage any auditing of the ballots within the county. But the important fractionally stolen votes are effectively moved to where they are needed to flip the race to the establishment preference.

Defenders of Dominion and the other election software companies have already begun claiming there was no fraud because Trump won many of the Dominion counties. That is a misdirection. Much of the theft happened within these precincts. Fractional voting made sure the theft that was built into the software stayed hidden.

Dominion's system was first used to help Hugo Chavez steal his referendum in 2004. The vote counting software IP comes from Smartmatic. The HuffPost has pointed out that "the IP for the vast-majority/near entirety of Sequoia's voting systems was actually secretly owned by the Hugo Chavez-tied, Venezuelan-based firm". Today Dominion is Sequoia is Smartmatic IP under the hood. HuffPost again: "Venezuela's Hugo Chavez is still tied to a huge percentage of U.S. Elections, as now overseen by a Canadian firm." And the CCP are also involved through some ownership in Smartmatic.



Plain language description of Dominion Election Fraud: "Not Rounding Errors"

Scytl is the data security center for Dominion, where vote tabulation occurs, overseas in China, Serbia, Germany, and Spain. [Everylegalvote.com](https://everylegalvote.com) has the Scytl GitHub showing election database schematics. The design diagram explains the flow of the votes, including a procedure for 'decorating' the vote, which means to smooth the final percentages to make them look more normal to the naked eye.

These facts all indicate the opportunity for vote stealing. But the media is shouting for evidence and proof. In the Philadelphia dataset fractions actually move between precincts. The fraction logic set a specific number of votes that Trump was allowed to get, out of a total number of votes. Dominion then set a minimum on Biden. The remainder of votes were then split to the third party candidate, or also went to Biden. The Libertarian did not get 3% of actual cast votes.

On the day of November 4, looking at the precinct level tabulations, there were about 90,000 entries made that day to the database by the precinct machines. For literally half of the tallies, Trump receives between zero and ten percent of the votes assigned by the software. This makes no sense, even in a heavily Democrat city like Philly. Trump's voters were robbed.

Fractional fraud is found in the precinct entries. On November 4, four precincts (06-13, 50-18, 51-26, 59-23) report a vote fraction of exactly $1/48$, meaning Trump received just 1 vote for every 48 votes posted. Then the fraction is moved by the software to two new precincts, 17-16 and 28-16 within about five minutes during what appears to be a scheduled 'update' that occurred across all precincts. Moving the fractions around like this makes it very hard to track, notice, and identify the vote switching and rounding errors. But it does not happen by accident. These precise ratios cannot all happen in a random count.

Computers prefer to use prime numbers when calculating fractions. Many of the vote switching ratios in the Philadelphia data are prime number based. One fraction $4/65$ (which is the prime number 13 multiplied by 5) is unusual to find in a truly random count. Philadelphia precinct 20-06 originally reports vote totals split by this fraction at 07:08:18 on November 4. Then between 09:06:53 and 09:39:44 the fraction is moved from precinct 20-06 to four new ones: 36-08, 40-42, 43-09, and 61-11. This means that each of these new precincts got an adjustment to match the same fraction in their published ballot counts.

If you swipe pebbles from a Grecian urn, it is easily found out. If you shrink the value of a Michigann Republican's vote so that his vote is only $4/65$ of his Democrat neighbor's vote, he cannot discover this. His vote was still 'counted' by the state and shows up in the tally. But it is only worth $4/65$ of his neighbor. This is a violation of the sacred right to an equal vote, equal value in the count.

There can only be one conclusion from these proofs and evidences. From the beginning, the intent of electronic voting was to change an ancient honest system of two buckets into an inherently dishonest single bucket of slop. Considering the poisoned well that Smartmatic originated from in Venezuela, this is not a major leap. But until now the focus in the press and social media has been on individual instances or evidences of fraud. Americans reject the fundamental dishonesty and unfairness in the one bucket system.

How do we fix this since almost every state used these designed-to-fraud systems? One way would be for the Michigan legislature to call an emergency session, use their police powers to impound every voting machine, thumb drive, hard drive, official phone and anything else used in the election, and work with fraud investigators to discover what happened with every vote during the tabulation. Discover how the vote count was stopped, in coordination with the other swing states, right at the point where Trump was leading.



Plain language description of Dominion Election Fraud: “Not Rounding Errors”

Who took the phone calls? Who made the directive state-wide to stop counting? Was Dr. Eric Coomer, the Dominion VP for Security and patent holder of their ballot security technology, directing things behind the scenes? These questions must be answered as well as the forensic investigation of the machines.

In addition, require every precinct and county to submit all audit trails, documents, total blank ballot counts and number of ballots used. Finally, process the cast ballots in every county in Michigan while going by hand to filter out the other kinds of fraud (fake ballots, invalid signatures, dead voters). Sort the ballots into two stacks, one for Biden and one for Trump. Count the pieces of paper using a basic paper counter, such as the Bantam-INT that can count up to 2,000 pages per minute. The vote counting machines must not be used for this process – they CANNOT be trusted to count fairly.

These steps will remove all fraud possibilities and solidify all true citizens behind the real winner of the 2020 election, whether it was Biden or Trump. Americans always accept a win gained fair and square.

Further Reading:

<http://blogs.getty.edu/iris/voting-with-the-ancient-greeks/>

<https://phys.org/news/2011-03-monkeys-basic-skills-thought.html>

https://www.huffpost.com/entry/exclusive-on-heels-of-die_b_620084

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KIII46HdqKg&feature=emb_logo

<https://gnews.org/580072/>

<https://gnews.org/577635/>



Open-Source Intel: Sample collection of articles on crime, fraud,
and foreign influence

Election Fraud

Video Alleged to Show Ga. Ballots Counted After Poll Watchers Were Ousted <https://www.newsmax.com/newsmax-tv/georgia-poll-watchers-jacki-pick/2020/12/03/id/999979/>

Key Battleground States Show Massive Election Fraud, Voting Irregularities Totally Erase Biden's Lead <https://www.oann.com/key-battleground-states-show-massive-election-fraud-voting-irregularities-totally-erase-bidens-lead/>

Timing of Large Georgia Ballot Dump for Biden Appears to Coincide with Timing of Mother-Daughter Duo's Election Fraud Scam <https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2020/12/timing-large-georgia-ballot-dump-biden-appears-coincide-timing-mother-daughter-duos-election-fraud-scam/>

President Trump's Legal Team Presents Evidence Of Voter Fraud In Ga., Gov. Brian Kemp Calls For Signature Audit <https://www.oann.com/president-trumps-legal-team-presents-evidence-of-voter-fraud-in-ga-gov-brian-kemp-calls-for-signature-audit/>

USPS Whistleblower in Michigan Claims Higher-Ups Were Engaging in Voter Fraud <https://townhall.com/tipsheet/bethbaumann/2020/11/04/usps-whistleblower-in-michigan-claims-higher-ups-were-engaging-in-voter-fraud-n2579501>

Why Did Six Battleground States with Democrat Governors (Except One) ALL Pause Counting on Election Night? And How Was This Coordinated? https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2020/11/six-battleground-states-democrat-governors-pause-counting-election-night-coordinated/?utm_source=Twitter&utm_campaign=websitesharingbuttons

Election Fraud Witnesses Provide Testimony In Mich. <https://www.oann.com/election-fraud-witnesses-provide-testimony-in-mich/>

Trump Attorney: Evidence of 'Real Voter Fraud' in Nevada <https://www.newsmax.com/politics/jesse-binnall-voter-fraud-nevada-ballots/2020/12/02/id/999656/>

Evidence Of Voting Fraud Continues To Grow <https://www.oann.com/evidence-of-voting-fraud-continues-to-grows/>

Supreme Court Pushes Up Deadline in Pennsylvania Mail-In Ballot Dispute <https://www.newsmax.com/t/newsmax/article/1000280/16>

Philly GOP Claims Voter Fraud At Poll Sites Across City <https://dailycaller.com/2016/11/08/philadelphia-gop-dems-banning-republican-poll-watchers-from-poll-sites-across-city/>

Whistleblowers Testify To Fraud In Battleground States <https://www.oann.com/whistleblowers-testify-to-fraud-in-battleground-states/>

Trump Campaign Continues To Fight As More Evidence Of Voter Fraud Emerges <https://www.oann.com/trump-campaign-continues-to-fight-as-more-evidence-of-voter-fraud-emerges/>

Wisconsin Lawmakers Seeking to Figure Out Whether Nov. 3 Election Was Fair and Accurate [Epoch Times.com Wisconsin Lawmakers Seeking to Figure Out Whether Nov. 3 Election Was Fair and Accurate](https://www.foxnews.com/politics/wisconsin-lawmakers-seeking-figure-out-whether-nov-3-election-was-fair-accurate)

Vote Irregularities in Pennsylvania and Georgia: Data Expert [The Epoch Times.com Vote Irregularities in Pennsylvania and Georgia: Data Expert](https://www.foxnews.com/politics/vote-irregularities-pennsylvania-georgia-data-expert)



Open-Source Intel: Sample collection of articles on crime, fraud,
and foreign influence

Michigan GOP Poll Challenger: ‘They Didn’t Disqualify a Single Ballot’

[The Epoch Times.com: Michigan GOP Poll Challenger: ‘They Didn’t Disqualify a Single Ballot’](#)

Witnesses Testify at Michigan House Hearing

[The Epoch Times.com Witnesses Testify at Michigan House Hearing](#)

Facts Matter (Dec. 2): 280,000 Ballots Go Missing in PA

[The Epoch Times.com Facts Matter \(Dec. 2\): 280,000 Ballots Go Missing in Pennsylvania \(theepochtimes.com\)](#)

Witness on Verge of Tears Testifying About Intimidation, Harassment at Detroit Vote Processing Center

[https://www.theepochtimes.com/witness-on-verge-of-tears-testifying-about-intimidation-harassment-at-detroit-vote-processing-centre_3603243.html](#)

Infographic: What Happened in Atlanta on Election Night

[https://www.theepochtimes.com/what-happened-in-atlanta-on-election-night-2_3607130.html](#)

Coffee County Letter Says It Couldn’t Certify Georgia Recount Over ‘Inability’ to Duplicate Results

[https://www.theepochtimes.com/letter-from-georgias-coffee-county-says-it-cant-certify-statewide-recount_3611367.html](#)

Voting Machine USB Drives Had Totals Altered Overnight, Witness in Nevada Election Contest Alleges

[https://www.theepochtimes.com/voting-machine-usb-drives-had-totals-altered-overnight-witness-in-nevada-election-contest-alleges_3604396.html](#)

3 Percent of Nevada Mail Ballots in Question After Survey Finds Defrauded Voters

[https://www.theepochtimes.com/3-percent-of-nevada-mail-ballots-in-question-after-survey-finds-defrauded-voters_3602843.html](#)

Data Scientist: ‘Weird’ Spike in Incomplete Nevada Voter Registrations, Use of ‘Casinos’ as Home Addresses

[https://www.theepochtimes.com/data-scientist-weird-spike-in-incomplete-nevada-voter-registrations-some-using-casinos-as-home-address_3595924.html](#)

Arizona GOP Says Ballots Were ‘Altered and Removed’ from Trump’s Total

[https://www.theepochtimes.com/arizona-gop-says-ballots-were-altered-and-removed-from-trumps-total_3603162.html](#)

Election Supervisor Demonstrates Vote Changing on Dominion Software

[https://www.theepochtimes.com/election-supervisor-demonstrates-vote-changing-on-dominion-software_3614714.html](#)

Criminal Activity

USPS Whistleblower in Michigan Claims Higher-Ups Were Engaging in Voter Fraud

[https://townhall.com/tipsheet/bethbaumann/2020/11/04/usps-whistleblower-in-michigan-claims-higher-ups-were-engaging-in-voter-fraud-n2579501](#)

USPS Whistleblower Jesse Morgan Says He Was Interrogated

[https://theepochtimes.com/usps-whistleblower-jesse...](#)

MI Atty General Intimidates Witness of Fraud Training Given to Poll Workers

[https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2020/11/huge-exclusive-michigan-ag-dana-nessel-sends-cease-desist-order-journalist-demanding-erase-detroitleaks-video-showing-voter-fraud-training-face-criminal-prosecution/](#)



Open-Source Intel: Sample collection of articles on crime, fraud,
and foreign influence

As Many as 6,000 Illegal Votes Identified in Nevada – Thousands of People Referred to DOJ For Potential Criminal Violation of Election Laws

<https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2020/11/many-6000-illegal-votes-identified-nevada-thousands-people-referred-doj-potential-criminal-violation-election-laws/>

INTERVIEW WITH KEVIN FREEMAN ON EVERYLEGALVOTE.COM AND MASSIVE BIDEN ONLY VOTES

[American Family Radio - Interview with Kevin Freeman on Everylegalvote.com and Massive Biden Only Votes \(afr.net\)](#)

One person's vote equal to half a vote and another person's vote is equal to two votes!

[Economic War Room: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TFKigfQ-jv0#_blank](#)

Col. John Mills former Cyber Security Expert for Pentagon confirms upcoming GA race will be stolen if no urgent changes

[Real America's Voice: Securing America: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mtr0Hhz2wvI#_blank](#)

Liquid Lunch on Every Legal Vote

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MPTD64bzs34#_blank

Secure Freedom Radio Frank Gaffney interviews Kevin Freeman on Every Legal Vote https://www.centerfor-securitypolicy.org/2020/11/24/diana-west-the-future-of-american-democracy/#_blank

Kevin Freeman, Every Legal Vote – Identifying Election Fraud and Calling it Out

[America Can We Talk with Debbie Georgatos: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DSom2SP8FBQ#_blank](#)

Keet Lewis with EveryLegalVote.com on Lou Dobbs

https://libertycgc.com/keet-lewis-with-everylegalvote-com-on-lou-dobbs/#_blank

Never, never, never Surrender! - National Pulse Raheem Kassam interview with Kevin Freeman from Every Legal Vote

[Real America's Voice: National Pulse: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EBRNDWcuw9g#_blank](#)

Steve Scalise, John Eastman, Kevin Freeman, Scott Rasmussen

[Washington Watch: https://www.tonyperkins.com/get.cfm?i=LR20K09#_blank](#)

NTD Business Full Broadcast on Every Legal Vote

[NTD TV Epoch Times https://www.ntd.com/ntd-business-full-broadcast-nov-13_527707.html#_blank](#)

Raheem Kassam talks about everylegalvote.com

https://americasvoice.news/video/lkCzLr981u0HBMs/#_blank

Texas AG Hopes Supreme Court Will Take Up Election Case, Hear Arguments

https://www.theepochtimes.com/texas-ag-hopes-supreme-court-will-take-up-election-case-and-hear-arguments_3611060.html

Wisconsin USPS Subcontractor Alleges Backdating of Tens of Thousands of Mail-In Ballots

https://www.theepochtimes.com/wisconsin-usps-subcontractor-alleges-backdating-of-tens-of-thousands-of-mail-in-ballots_3601580.html

Michigan GOP: Secretary of State Trying to Delete Election Data Amid Audit Calls

https://www.theepochtimes.com/michigan-gop-secretary-of-state-trying-to-delete-election-data-amid-audit-calls_3605155.html

Every Allegation in Trump Georgia Election Contest Supported by Testimony: Attorney

https://www.theepochtimes.com/every-allegation-in-trump-georgia-election-contest-supported-by-testimony-attorney_3610757.html



Witnesses at Michigan Hearing Testify on GOP Worker Harassment

https://www.theepochtimes.com/witnesses-at-michigan-hearing-testify-on-gop-worker-harassment_3601730.html

Foreign Influence & Interference

From August, 2020 – FOX News

[China's anti-Trump election meddling raises new alarm](#)

<https://www.foxnews.com/politics/china-election-interference-threat>

Intelligence officials say they are increasingly concerned about interference in the U.S. election by China, adding to existing concerns about meddling by Russia that have been around since 2016

Georgia, Mired in Election Disputes, Fosters Cozy Ties with China

[The Epoch Times: Georgia, Mired in Election Disputes, Fosters Cozy Ties With China](#)

Lawsuit Claiming Iranian, Chinese Operatives Potentially Targeted Dominion Voting Systems

<https://www.oann.com/sidney-powell-files-lawsuit-claiming-iranian-chinese-operatives-potentially-targeted-dominion-voting-systems/>

Sidney Powell to Newsmax TV: Dominion Designed to 'Rig Elections'

<https://www.newsmax.com/newsmax-tv/sidney-powell-dominion-voting-systems/2020/11/17/id/997526/>

Sidney Powell: Backdoor Access From Foreign Countries Into 2020 Election Machines

<https://populist.press/sidney-powell-backdoor-access-from-foreign-countries-into-2020-election-machines/>

Voter Rights Group Notifies DOJ of Pakistani Link With Nevada Election Email System

[EpochTimes.com: Voter Rights Group Notifies DOJ of Pakistani Link With Nevada Election Email System](#)



Foreign Influence Example - Transcript of Remarks by Di Dongsheng of China

In this transcript of the now-infamous speech by the Chinese Communist Party's political operative Di Dongsheng, who highlighted on November 28 the fact that China helped Biden's son build his global companies. Dongsheng, associate dean, School of International Relations at Renmin University of China on Nov 28, 2020 in Shanghai was featured on the television program "The Answers." The topic for a panel discussion was "Will China's Opening Up in the Financial Sector Attract Wall Street Wolves?"



TRANSLATED TRANSCRIPT BELOW

"Trump waged a trade war with us. Why couldn't we handle him? Why is it that between 1992 and 2016 we always resolved issues with US? Did you guys know? All the crisis, the Yinhe incident, bombing of the embassy or the mid-air collision, everything was taken care of swiftly, in no more than two months.

Why? Now, I'm going to drop a bomb - because we had people up there [laughter] inside America's core circle of power, we had our old friends. Due to time constraint, I won't expand on that, but let me very quickly tell you a short story. Director General Zhang Zhixiang spoke well with some amazing stories. So here is my story. Well,... it was... because we are on live streaming, millions of people are watching, I have to be careful how many details I go into, I can't turn them in. (a literal and more direct translation: I can't sell out these people) [laughter]

Let me just put it this way: It was in 2015, before the second last visit to the U.S. by Secretary General Xi [Jinping]. As we all know, everybody in the system must warm things up for his visit. The way one organization in the Party did the warm-up was to boost some publicity, i.e. to promote Xi's new book Xi Jinping: The Governance of China. We will launch its first English edition in U.S. by having a press conference before his arrival, to build him some momentum. Who will do this job? Just like today, they said, "Hey, Di Dongsheng, you are very good at fooling the foreigners" because they had seen how I conned them, which they thought was very effective. So, I would be the host and part of the panel. It was just like what we are doing today, I being the host and a speaker. The senior officials picked the venue and told us to go to Politics and Prose Bookstore at 3:30 pm next Thursday. It's on Connecticut Ave, in Washington DC, 2650 Connecticut Ave, I think. We'd launch the new book there. So we went there to make the booking. Things are run very differently in China and in U.S. It was very difficult to book the place with such a short notice. The owner said arrogantly "Sorry, can't do it." So I asked him, "Which author booked the place for that timeframe?" What did I mean by asking him that? Well, there is nothing U.S. dollars can't buy. If one bundle of cash couldn't, I'd I throw in another. [laughter]. That's how I work. [laughter and applause].

Still, the owner said arrogantly "Sorry but I won't tell you which author booked the place for that timeframe. We have our principles." He was very arrogant, very pretentious. Later I learned that this fella was a Democrat. Although a Democrat, he used to be a journalist in Asia. So he had some opinions on our Party, which is why he deliberately refused to play along. I thought it was over. We have to find another venue or time. So we reported this problem to the senior leaders. and let them solve the problem. I was just helping out anyway. However, on Thursday morning, one of the deputy directors of that [Party] department who was the keynote speaker of that day called me by phone and told me that everything would go as planned, in the exact venue at the exact time. I went there right after lunch to find the senior leader had already got there before I did.



And the reason why we could go as planned was because a hero had helped us out. He introduced me to an old lady with a big nose, obviously a Jew simply by that look. She gave me a business card and told me her name. She said “nice to meet you” although in Mandarin with a very authentic Beijing accent. I was shocked. “You speak better Mandarin than me. I’ll never lose my provincial Jiangsu accent. But you are spot on.” She was quite content with my compliments. “I not only speak Mandarin, but also have Chinese citizenship.” As you all know, you guys can’t have foreign citizenship. There is no dual citizenship in China. But it’s very unlikely for old ladies like her to give up US & Israel citizenships for Chinese citizenship. Don’t you think?

I suddenly realized she is one of the Chinese people’s old friends. What she said next impressed me even more. “I have not only Chinese citizenship, but also Beijing hukou [official household registration]. I have a courtyard house in a prime location, in the Eastern District along the Chang-An Avenue [near Tiananmen Square]. Drop by for tea when you are back in Beijing. Any problem in here during your stay in the U.S. just let me know.” Which basically means “I’ve got you covered.”

Then I saw the arrogant shop owner was with an annoyed face, directing the staff to arrange tables and chairs, reluctantly following someone’s order. I asked her how she managed to force him to comply. We tried everything with him. He’s too arrogant. The old lady cunningly grinned, “I reasoned with him.” Do you know where that phrase came from? It’s a famous line from the mafia movie the Godfather, in which they cut the horse head off and stick it in someone’s bed. Of course she is not mafia. So, who is she? Why had she been living in China for 30 years? And why such an authentic Beijing accent? Remember the big Wall Street institution I mentioned earlier? The top Wall Street financial institution. Well, she’s the president of its Asian operations. I can’t say more without making political trouble. if you do. [laughter and applause]

OK. In plain and simple language, during the last three to four decades, we used the core circle inside America’s real power. As I said the Wall Street had a very profound influence over America’s domestic and foreign affairs since the 1970s. We used to heavily rely on them. Problem is the role of Wall Street has been declining since 2008. But most importantly, after 2016 the Wall Street couldn’t control Trump, because, awkwardly, there was a soft breach of contract between them, which made them hostile to each other. . I won’t go into details because we might run out of time. During the U.S.-China trade war, they tried to help. My friends in U.S. told me that they tried to help, but they couldn’t.

Now with Biden winning the election, the traditional elites, political elites, the establishment, they have a very close

relationship with the Wall Street. You all heard that Trump said Biden’s son has securities companies all over the world.

But who helped Biden’s son build his global companies? You understand?--There are indeed buy-and-sell transactions involved in here [audience applause].

So I think at this particular time [after Biden won the election], it is of strategic and tactical value for us to show goodwill [to Biden]--of course, this is just from my limited perspective as a political economist.



George Soros's role in Election Influence

To be investigated: evidence suggests that George Soros has a long history of funding projects to undermine voter integrity in the U.S. and around the world, thus elevating his “open society” policies and candidates. Millions of dollars in grants can be found in Open Society Foundation IRS 990s and internal OSF memos made public and still on Scribd.com (OSF is Soros.org).

Millions of Americans wonder, why is one man, with his family and global network, allowed so much influence and harm to America, and to other nations? Is the Soros network above the law and thus not investigated for breaking laws, perhaps including interstate commerce to incite riots such as his funding of non-profits like Alliance for Justice (AfJ) related to Antifa, RICO laws, Patriot Act laws, untruthful media and “fact-checkers,” foreign election collusion and more? Why is the Soros network allowed to continue its harm to people and U.S. Constitutional law? Where are the leaders in our government who will stand up for truth and justice -- for America?

Our team can assist with further research, if requested. Below, please find a short list of Soros influence projects to begin a proper investigation –

- 1) Soros's ties to voting technology including Smartmatic & Dominion
- 2) Soros's Open Society Foundation (OSF) decades of projects to reduce voter integrity
- 3) Soros's Opposition to Republican U.S. Presidents
- 4) Soros's funding of corrupt Secretaries of State, District Attorneys, Governors, members of Congress and other government officials.
- 5) Soros's influence in elections around the world, see OSF documents on Scribd etc.
- 6) Soros's ties to “Color Revolutions” against political opponents, around the world.
- 7) Soros's financial support of elections, policies and candidates advancing crime and criminality by weakening laws, police and U.S. national security; support of the “rights” of terrorists, propaganda and the “sex work” industry in America and globally.

1) Soros ties to technology, including Smartmatic & Dominion and Social Media:

A. Smartmatic/Dominion -

George Soros's longtime relationship with British “Lord,” Mark Malloch Brown, former Chairman of Smartmatic with ties to Dominion Voting Systems, while on the global board of Soros's Open Society Foundations (OSF) and a leader of OSF's International Crisis Group (ICG) that targets ‘open society’ political opponents (who are pro-sovereignty) around the world. This month, George and Alex Soros named Mark Malloch Brown the incoming President of Open Society Foundations (OSF). Quite a job for someone closely aligned with election theft concerns.

National Pulse wrote that Dominion & Smartmatic are not distinct and “fierce competitors” but have a non-compete agreement indicating previous connectivity. Some of this strategy is media and perception social manipulation. The question is, to what extent is it voting manipulation.






Non-State Actor Influence Example - Open Society Foundation (George Soros)

B. Dominion & Smartmatic associations - (screenshot below)

See an interactive chart of Dominion/Soros connections here:

<https://graphcommons.com/graphs/d208e5f8-aa2a-429b-b576-63e676d9f3e3?sel=1bb0fe52-9274-468b-9734-7908d6ff1f6e&auto=true>



C. Dominion's Toronto office shared a floor with Tides Foundation, a Soros and Open Society Foundation funding pass-through. Dominion quickly move in 2020, (as they also quickly moved after the 2020 election, in Denver.) A story here: https://www.rebelnews.com/dominion_voting_shares_office_with_far_left_george_soros_linked_group

And: <https://nationalfile.com/dominion-voting-systems-shares-floor-space-with-soros-group-partnered-with-soros-friend/>

Previous warning from Democrats re: Dominion Voting Systems:

<https://247sports.com/college/ole-miss/board/103602/Contents/-sorosobama-and-dominion-voting-systems-154816942/>

On Tides Foundation including various Soros connections. <https://www.influencewatch.org/non-profit/tides-foundation/>



Non-State Actor Influence Example - Open Society Foundation (George Soros)

D. Dominion's connections to VP Biden, Pres. Obama, AG Holder and Serbia:

<https://nationalfile.com/whistleblowers-biden-implicated-in-dominion-voting-scam-connected-to-serbia/>

““The Machines are made by Flex in China for the most part and the programming is done in Serbia and Canada and the International Corporation is Barbados.”

E. Smartmatic executives faced criminal indictment in the Philippines.

F. 2015 video of Mark Malloch Brown, OSF board member and Smartmatic Chairman: Part of Smartmatic 'technology is licensed From Dominion':

https://thenationalpulse.com/news/soros-linked-smartmatic-chair-on-dominion/?fbclid=IwAR0_gN-CzhYlnJtgND4gTViGtbsH3vCIVSylyuqCHNVwIcbbzW9MyQgkDFQ



Link: <https://manilastandard.net/mobile/article/238782>

Check: Dominion contracted w Smartmatic for the 2010 Philippine election. Smartmatic also means Mark Malloch-Brown, UK. Malloch-Brown vice chair of Open Society, Soros Fund Management, Global Board member of Open Society.

Check: Dominion use of Smartmatic IP in their systems through Sequoia software that they basically white-labeled? Smartmatic never gave up the IP control. And the IP was sold or used as collateral in a transaction with Chinese interests in what 2018 or 2019.

G. Facebook:

<https://capitalresearch.org/article/soros-and-clinton-want-facebook-to-censor-conservatives/>

Investigative questions include but are not limited to:

- a. What person(s) owns Dominion Voting Systems, past and present?

Often the answer is “Staple Street Capital” but is this a shell, protecting whom?

Was it sold to a China-related bank/entity?





Non-State Actor Influence Example - Open Society Foundation (George Soros)

Is there a Soros's connection to Dominion?

Begin with The Carlyle Group, Staple Street Capital, Smartmatic and Mark Malloch Brown, William Kennard, John Poulos, Sequoia, ES&S and more.

What of John Poulos, CEO of Dominion. See his (and team's) patents and authorizations from Colorado and other Secretaries of State for election management and oversight.

SYSTEM, METHOD AND COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR VOTE TABULATION WITH AN ELECTRONIC AUDIT TRAIL

Publication number: 20120232963

Abstract: A system, method and computer program for tabulating votes and creating an audit trail is provided. A ballot processing device is integrated with a ballot box. The ballot processing device includes a paper feed mechanism, a computer, a ballot processing application loaded on the computer, and a digital scanning device linked to the computer. The ballot processing application provides instructions to the computer to initiate the digital scanning device to scan a paper ballot fed into the paper feed mechanism so as to create a digital image of the paper ballot. The ballot processing application also processes the digital image to establish a series of processing results defining one or more voting results for the paper ballot, and also an audit trail. The ballot processing application processes the digital image to define the voting results based on criteria established by election officials.

Type: Application

Filed: May 3, 2012

Publication date: September 13, 2012

Applicant: Dominion Voting Systems

Inventors: John Poulos, James Hoover, Nick Ikonomakis, Goran Obradovic

Various Poulos, Hoover, Ikonomakis, Obradovic patents at Justia.com

Eric Coomer, Dominion VP and Chief Strategy & Security Director, was a participant in Antifa who showed hatred for President Trump. OAN reports, Eric Coomer traveled to all battleground states in 2019 and 2020. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=746HTjhFifA>

What is Coomer's influence in our voting process?

- b. Soros connections to Smartmatic including Mark Malloch Brown, Peter Neffinger etc.

These questions will beget more questions to be answered.

2) Soros OSF's decades of projects to reduce voter integrity

Samples of projects include:

- a. Violating rights of citizens by weakening voter ID laws.
- b. Attempts to lower the age of voting.
- c. Ballot projects funded by OSF; ballots to match machines in various states
- d. Attempts to force open borders, caravans and give ID to non-citizens for illegal voting.
- e. Attempts to give prisoners and felons rights to vote.
- f. More.



Non-State Actor Influence Example - Open Society Foundation (George Soros)

Sample: a chart of OSF's internal 2013 Public Sphere Goals including media, governance, defectively accusing the Right of "money in politics," and Voting & Courts.

_board book sept 2012 9 25 12-f=|board book sept 2012 9 25 12.pdf&t=us.pdf

Tools _board book sept... x

30 / 150 79%

2013 Budget Goals and Background Public Sphere

	Journalism, Media Policy & Civic Discourse	Open & Effective Governance	Money in Politics, Voting & Courts
Higher	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Eliminate racial and economic disparities in Internet access; establish and enforce net neutrality and privacy protections. <i>No work on global Internet governance.</i> Research on the development and manipulation of media narratives and public discourse; <i>no research on fact-based discourse/disinformation.</i> Support innovations in state and municipal investigative and beat journalism innovation in 3-5 locations. <p>\$6.6m</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In coordination with OSI-DC, improve federal policy and practice on access to information, whistleblower protection, and other key transparency policies. By 2016, strengthen and disseminate model open governance efforts in one new city, accompanied by programmatic efforts to disseminate models elsewhere. Support national coordination and networking of city, state, and federal government innovators. (We recommend that support for local demonstration projects fall under City-State Program.) <p>\$3.0m</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduce influence of money in politics through jurisprudential change, state-level policy change, and communications work to shift narrative. Deter suppressive voting policies; protect voters from intimidation in 12 states; advance suite of affirmative reforms; research alternative voting policy/practice. Advance diversity of background and ideology on Federal courts through coordinated elite & grassroots organizing, idea generation and diffusion on role of courts and the Constitution. <p>\$9.5m</p>
Intermediate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduce racial and economic disparities in Internet access; advocate for net neutrality and privacy protections. <i>No work on global Internet governance.</i> Research on the development and manipulation of media narratives and public discourse; <i>no research on fact-based discourse/disinformation.</i> <i>Reduced support for investigative and beat journalism innovation and infrastructure.</i> <p>\$5.1m</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In coordination with OSI-DC, improve federal policy and practice on access to information, whistleblower protection, and other key transparency policies. Strengthen existing model open governance efforts, accompanied by programmatic efforts to disseminate models elsewhere. Explore national coordination and networking of city, state, and federal government innovators. (We recommend that support for local demonstration projects fall under City-State Program.) <p>\$2.5m</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> More limited effort to challenge money in politics jurisprudence, and establish new policies in fewer states; <i>no communications/narrative effort.</i> Deter suppressive voting policies; <i>protect voters from intimidation in six states; advance one key affirmative reform; research alternative voting policy/practice.</i> Effort to shape composition of Federal courts focused on grassroots <i>(but not elite)</i> organizing; idea generation and diffusion on role of courts and the Constitution. <p>\$7.865</p>
Lower	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Challenge racial and economic disparities in Internet access; advocate for net neutrality and privacy protections. <i>No work on global Internet governance.</i> <i>No research on media narratives and discourse; no research on fact-based discourse/disinformation.</i> <i>No support for investigative and beat journalism innovation and infrastructure (tie-off).</i> <p>\$3.9m</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In coordination with OSI-DC, improve federal policy and practice on access to information, whistleblower protection, and other key transparency policies. <i>Tie-off existing grants on municipal open governance.</i> <i>No support for coordination and networking of city, state, and federal government innovators.</i> <p>\$1.7m</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Focused effort to change money in politics jurisprudence with communications work to shift narrative; <i>no state-level policy change.</i> Deter suppressive voting policies; <i>no work on intimidation; no work on affirmative reforms or research on alternative voting policy/practice.</i> Exploratory research on judicial professionalism & impartiality; <i>tie-off work on federal judicial nominations and idea generation and diffusion on courts and the Constitution.</i> <p>\$4.54m</p>



Non-State Actor Influence Example - Open Society Foundation (George Soros)

Sample grant: OSF funds the Brennan Center for “better ballot design regulations and templates for each brand and model of voting machines used in the state ...” Note: what began with 5 states mentioned in 2009, has likely expanded to most or all states. (This is before George Soros put \$18 Billion more into OSF, in 2018).

...jef 2009 06.26.09 docket approved-f=jef 2009 06.26.09 docket approved.pdf&t=us.pdf

_jef 2009 06.26.0... x

13 / 36 79.5%

American voters are accurately recorded by analyzing ballot design problems across the country and working with allies to implement solutions to these problems. In the first phase of the project, supported by the JEHT Foundation, Brennan formed a Task Force

Find
voting ma
Previo
Replac

on Ballot Design, composed of several of the nation’s usability experts, political scientists, and election officials, and together with staff, they conducted extensive empirical research on ballot design across the country. The results of this research were released in July of 2008 in a report titled *Better Ballots*. The report contained an extensive analysis of election ballots that showed how poor design and confusing voting instructions disenfranchised hundreds of thousands of voters in recent elections. Thirteen specific ballot design problems were identified and recommendations were outlined to enable county, state and federal government officials to improve ballot design, with an emphasis on local measures. On the basis of the ballot design report, the Brennan Center worked intensively with state and county election officials in seven states to improve ballot design in anticipation of the November 2008 election.

For the next phase of the project, five target states have been identified for concerted reform efforts, including California, Florida, Missouri, New York and Ohio. These states have been targeted based on the following criteria:

- significant ballot design problems were evident in recent elections and/or state legislation and regulations impede good ballot design;
- election officials and legislators have expressed interest in working with the Brennan Center to improve their ballot designs, election codes and regulations;
- all states other than Ohio have one type of system (i.e., DRE or optical scans) throughout the state, which should make it easier to pursue statewide changes;
- local partners are ready and able to assist with the work.

In each of these target states, the Brennan Center will work with local partners such as Common Cause and the League of Women Voters to conduct state and municipal level advocacy and training around the proposals contained in *Better Ballots*. Task Force experts will be available to assist with election official trainings, usability testing and reviews of ballots. The project will help state election officials to advance better ballot design regulations and templates for each brand and model of voting machine used in the state and implement procedures to review county ballot designs for usability.

Rationale for Recommendation

The JEHT Foundation had been providing significant support for the Brennan Center’s Democracy Program (\$450,000 per year), and at the time of the foundation’s closure, JEHT staff were planning to recommend a grant of \$155,000 for Brennan’s Ballot Design Project. The Transparency and Integrity Fund (TIF or the Fund) is recommending an emergency grant of \$155,000 to help mitigate the losses of a valued partner due to the closure of JEHT, ensure that if the organization has to realign its priorities the Ballot Design project does not go unfunded, and advance the Fund’s priority of supporting broad and equal access to the ballot.

The first docket of JEHT Emergency Funding (JEF) prioritized groups for whom JEHT’s



3) Soros's Public Opposition to Republican U.S. Presidents

- A. His 2003 attempt to remove President George W. Bush: <https://www.washingtonpost.com/archive/politics/2003/11/11/soross-deep-pockets-vs-bush/c7c8f9a0-d902-4298-ac8e-9132ad499238/?fbclid=IwAR0uTML0i9p9jMcu3YKdrZedg-9YAvT17RGY2AKHM2lUBBS08FqB8tWTHH8>

Washington Post – Soros's Deep Pockets vs. Bush

By **Laura Blumenfeld**, November 11, 2003

"George Soros, one of the world's richest men, has given away nearly \$5 billion to promote democracy in the former Soviet bloc, Africa and Asia. Now he has a new project: defeating President Bush." "It is the central focus of my life," Soros said, his blue eyes settled on an unseen target. The 2004 presidential race, he said in an interview, is "a matter of life and death."


Soros, who has financed efforts to promote open societies in more than 50 countries around the world, is bringing the fight home, he said. On Monday, he and a partner committed up to \$5 million to MoveOn.org, a liberal activist group, bringing to \$15.5 million the total of his personal contributions to oust Bush.


Overnight, Soros, 74, has become the major financial player of the left. He has elicited cries of foul play from the right. And with a tight nod, he pledged: 'If necessary, I would give more money.' 'America, under Bush, is a danger to the world,' Soros said. Then he smiled: 'And I'm willing to put my money where my mouth is.'"

- B. President Donald J. Trump, "A danger" and "temporary phenomenon"

https://www.realclearpolitics.com/video/2018/01/27/soros_trump_will_disappear_in_2020_or_ever_sooner.html?spot_im_comment_id=sp_v0xu5oCZ_700520580_c_KW21yL&spot_im_highlight_immediate=true&utm_source=SpotIM&utm_medium=Share&utm_content=sp_v0xu5oCZ_700520580_c_KW21yL

And: <https://www.georgesoros.com/2018/01/25/remarks-delivered-at-the-world-economic-forum/>







<https://thehill.com/policy/technology/370757-soros-calls-for-stricter-regulations-on-facebook-google>

4) Soros funding of corrupt Secretaries of State, District Attorneys, Governors, members of Congress, Supreme Court and other elected officials.

Sample of election fraud in Philadelphia: early voting was exploited by numerous individuals to vote multiple times, on multiple days, and cast multiple ballots each visit. I also have the name of the election official who was informed but allowed it to continue, and all of this took place under the watch of a DA Larry Krasner who can be tied to George Soros.

<https://www.dailysignal.com/2020/10/29/meet-larry-krasner-the-rogue-prosecutor-wreaking-havoc-in-philadelphia/>



Soros ties to Debate Commission member

<https://thenewamerican.com/debate-commission-member-has-ties-to-soros-backed-transition-integrity-project/>




Non-State Actor Influence Example - Open Society Foundation (George Soros)

Soros-funded State Attorneys, District Attorneys, Sheriff(s) included for inquiry -

	Name	Position	City, State, County	Soros Money	Year	Source
1	Andrew Warren	State Attorney	Hillsborough County, Florida		2016	https://amac.us/why-is-george-soros-buying-district-attorneys/
2	Aramis Ayala	State Attorney	Orange & Osceola Counties	\$1,400,000.00	2016	https://legalinsurrection.com/2018/05/george-soros-dumps-big-mor
3	Buta Biberraj	Running for DA	Loudoun, Virginia		2019	https://www.dailysignal.com/2019/12/18/liberal-virginia-prosecutor
4	Chesa Boudin	District Attorney	San Francisco, CA.	\$1,000,000.00	2020	https://usafeatures.news/2019/11/15/more-liberal-das-backed-by-a
5	Genevieve Jones-Wright	Lost Primary for DA	San Diego, CA.		2018	
6	Jack Stollsteimer	District Attorney	Philadelphia, Delaware County	\$1,000,000.00	2019	https://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2019/nov/14/george-soro
7	James Stewart	District Attorney	Caddo Parish, Louisiana	\$930,000.00	2015	https://www.politico.com/story/2016/08/george-soros-criminal-just
8	Joe Gonzales	District Attorney	Bexar County, Texas	\$958,000.00	2019	
9	Kim Foxx	State Attorney ****	Chicago, Cook County	\$408,000.00	2016	https://www.breitbart.com/politics/2019/03/26/george-soros-donat
10	Kim Ogg	District Attorney ****	Houston, Harris County, Texas	\$500,000.00	2016	https://www.texasmonthly.com/politics/harris-county-da-kim-ogg-p
11	Kimberly Gardner	City Circuit Attorney ****	St. Louis City		2017	https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2019/09/soros-funded-st-louis
12	Larry Krasner	District Attorney	Philadelphia, PA.	\$1,450,000.00	2017	
13	Max Wall	Lost DA to Kevin Barton	Washington County, Oregon	\$100,000.00	2018	https://www.wweek.com/news/2018/04/25/big-out-of-state-money
14	Noah Phillips	Lost Primary for DA	Sacramento, Ca.		2018	
15	Parisa Dehghani-Tafti	Commonwealth Attorney	Arlington, Virginia		2019	https://www.dailysignal.com/2019/12/18/liberal-virginia-prosecutor
16	Paul Penzone	Sheriff	Maricopa County, Arizona	\$2,000,000.00	2019	https://www.lawenforcementtoday.com/let-exclusive-maricopa-co
17	Rachael Rollins	District Attorney	Suffolk County, Massachusetts		2019	https://needtoknow.news/2018/12/boston-newly-elected-da-funde
18	Raul Torrez	District Attorney ****	Albuquerque New Mexico	\$100,000.00	2016	https://www.alternet.org/2020/04/how-a-progressive-prosecutor-di
19	Robert Shuler Smith	District Attorney - Running for Governor	Hinds County, Jackson Mississippi	\$56,433.00	2015	https://kingfish1935.blogspot.com/2015/10/soros-drops-nearly-4000
20	Scott Colom	District Attorney	Clay, Lowndes, Oktibbeha, and Noxubee counties	\$89,220.00		
21	Steve Descano	Commonwealth Attorney	Fairfax, Virginia		2019	https://www.dailysignal.com/2019/12/18/liberal-virginia-prosecutor
22						
23		**** = Up for re election in 2020				
24						

Link: <https://blogg.com/2020/08/31/list-of-soros-backed-district-attorneys/>



<https://capitalresearch.org/article/soros-aims-to-transform-the-justice-system-by-funding-da-races/>

DAs backed by Soros, other liberal activists join fray in clash with police <https://www.foxnews.com/politics/da-soros-justice>

The U.S. Supreme Court, political, cultural and judicial activism –

<https://capitalresearch.org/article/faithful-americas-faithless-attacks-on-amy-coney-barrett-courtesy-of-george-soros/>

Overall Leftist influence includes;

<https://capitalresearch.org/article/george-soros-a-lifetime-fortune-spent-on-liberal-influence/>

and

<https://capitalresearch.org/article/mapping-the-open-society-network-on-soross-90th-birthday/>





Non-State Actor Influence Example - Open Society Foundation (George Soros)

5) Influence of elections around the world.


Below, see a sample (one of many) of an OSF spreadsheet of elections by nation, dates, who and what they are funding with dollar amounts.

A. Europe and Eurasian elections

Sample from OSF's internal "elections shared framework ... may 31 unit strategies" document (one of hundreds of such documents on OSF election work)



Insight and capacity: OSEPI will team up with OSIFE to run a two-level strategy to reduce the number of opponents of the open society who get elected. While OSIFE works on local campaigns to turn out the vote, OSEPI will engage pan-European parties to influence their manifestos and campaigning tactics. Many EU countries have electoral lists for European elections, so a small increase in turnout can reduce dramatically the representation that extremist parties win. Through its Foresight Program, OSEPI has created compelling data and analysis that is waking politicians and campaign managers of liberal parties from complacency. We will highlight dangers in key constituencies; use our research network to develop social media tools to support election campaigning in favour of pluralism and diversity; show politicians and officials how to frame open society concerns in ways that majority populations can identify with; and work with other OSF programs (particularly OSIFE and RIO) to make these tools available to grantees. After the 2014 election, we will caucus MEPs and officials to limit the ability of xenophobic and anti-system parties



IV. Counter xenophobia in the European election campaigns and in the EU institutions over their 2014-19 term

OSEPI commissioned research (with OSIFE) ahead of the European election to monitor online debate and analyse discrepancies between 'elite' rhetoric and the larger public. The papers explored the reasons for support for xenophobe populist parties, and the implications of a substantial increase of xenophobe populist MEPs for the EU institutions. They were discussed during a lunch with Herman van Rompuy in April, and a dinner debate with the Polish Permanent Representation in May. The final pilot on challenging hate speech in the parliament was held in April and received support from MEPs. The project has informed ongoing OSF discussions on how to challenge hate speech in Europe. OSEPI commissioned a briefing paper on how to set-up and manage an effective intergroup in the European Parliament, with a view to encourage an effective Anti-Racism and Discrimination Intergroup.

3. SHARED FRAMEWORKS

Drones: Martin has held several exploratory meetings with EU officials and experts on drones. He participated in a


B. Israel: While claiming to be a victim of anti-Semitism, Mr. Soros funds anti-Semitic organizations, and opposition to PM Netanyahu and Israel itself.





Non-State Actor Influence Example - Open Society Foundation (George Soros)


Link: <https://www.jpost.com/israel-news/netanyahu-blames-soros-for-israel-anti-deportation-campaign-540640>



Link: <https://observer.com/2016/08/not-shocking-george-soros-funds-progressive-war-on-israel/>

6) Soros's ties to "Color Revolutions" around the world.

Includes: https://www.theepochtimes.com/former-special-forces-officer-warns-of-color-revolution-tactics-used-against-trump_3612952.html





Non-State Actor Influence Example - Open Society Foundation (George Soros)

- 7) **Soros's financial support of crime and criminality by weakening laws, police and of U.S. national security; support of the "rights" of terrorists; support of the "sex work" industry in America and around the world.**
- A. Soros opposed President Bush declaring war on terror in response to the deadliest terrorist attack in history when 2,977 people died on 9/11/2001.
 - B. Efforts to close Guantanamo Bay and weaken penalties and consequences for violent terrorism, funded by Open Society Foundations (OSF)
 - C. Weakening the rights of police to stop crime, funded by Open Society Foundations (OSF)
 - D. National security reform projects funded by Open Society Foundations (OSF)
 - E. Mass migration projects, law and media, and rights of migrants over the rights of citizens, throughout Europe and America funded by Open Society Foundations (OSF)

**EXECUTIVE ORDERS**

Executive Order on Imposing Certain Sanctions in the Event of Foreign Interference in a United States Election

FOREIGN POLICY

Issued on: **September 12, 2018**



By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, including the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.) (IEEPA), the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.) (NEA), section 212(f) of the Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952 (8 U.S.C. 1182(f)), and section 301 of title 3, United States Code,

I, DONALD J. TRUMP, President of the United States of America, find that the ability of persons located, in whole or in substantial part, outside the United States to interfere in or undermine public confidence in United States elections, including through the unauthorized accessing of election and campaign infrastructure or the covert distribution of propaganda and disinformation, constitutes an unusual and extraordinary threat to the national security and foreign policy of the United States. Although there has been no evidence of a foreign power altering the outcome or vote tabulation in any United States election, foreign powers have historically sought to exploit America's free and open political system. In recent years, the proliferation of digital devices and internet-based communications has created significant vulnerabilities and magnified the scope and intensity of the threat of foreign interference, as illustrated in the 2017 Intelligence Community Assessment. I hereby declare a national emergency to deal with this threat.

Accordingly, I hereby order:



E.O. on Imposing Certain Sanctions in the Event of Foreign
Interference in a US Election, September 12, 2018

Section 1. (a) Not later than 45 days after the conclusion of a United States election, the Director of National Intelligence, in consultation with the heads of any other appropriate executive departments and agencies (agencies), shall conduct an assessment of any information indicating that a foreign government, or any person acting as an agent of or on behalf of a foreign government, has acted with the intent or purpose of interfering in that election. The assessment shall identify, to the maximum extent ascertainable, the nature of any foreign interference and any methods employed to execute it, the persons involved, and the foreign government or governments that authorized, directed, sponsored, or supported it. The Director of National Intelligence shall deliver this assessment and appropriate supporting information to the President, the Secretary of State, the Secretary of the Treasury, the Secretary of Defense, the Attorney General, and the Secretary of Homeland Security.

(b) Within 45 days of receiving the assessment and information described in section 1(a) of this order, the Attorney General and the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the heads of any other appropriate agencies and, as appropriate, State and local officials, shall deliver to the President, the Secretary of State, the Secretary of the Treasury, and the Secretary of Defense a report evaluating, with respect to the United States election that is the subject of the assessment described in section 1(a):

(i) the extent to which any foreign interference that targeted election infrastructure materially affected the security or integrity of that infrastructure, the tabulation of votes, or the timely transmission of election results; and

(ii) if any foreign interference involved activities targeting the infrastructure of, or pertaining to, a political organization, campaign, or candidate, the extent to which such activities materially affected the security or integrity of that infrastructure, including by unauthorized access to, disclosure or threatened disclosure of, or alteration or falsification of, information or data.

The report shall identify any material issues of fact with respect to these matters that the Attorney General and the Secretary of Homeland Security are unable to evaluate or reach agreement on at the time the report is submitted. The report shall also include updates and recommendations, when appropriate, regarding remedial actions to be taken by the United States Government, other than the sanctions described in sections 2 and 3 of this order.



E.O. on Imposing Certain Sanctions in the Event of Foreign
Interference in a US Election, September 12, 2018

(c) Heads of all relevant agencies shall transmit to the Director of National Intelligence any information relevant to the execution of the Director's duties pursuant to this order, as appropriate and consistent with applicable law. If relevant information emerges after the submission of the report mandated by section 1(a) of this order, the Director, in consultation with the heads of any other appropriate agencies, shall amend the report, as appropriate, and the Attorney General and the Secretary of Homeland Security shall amend the report required by section 1(b), as appropriate.

(d) Nothing in this order shall prevent the head of any agency or any other appropriate official from tendering to the President, at any time through an appropriate channel, any analysis, information, assessment, or evaluation of foreign interference in a United States election.

(e) If information indicating that foreign interference in a State, tribal, or local election within the United States has occurred is identified, it may be included, as appropriate, in the assessment mandated by section 1(a) of this order or in the report mandated by section 1(b) of this order, or submitted to the President in an independent report.

(f) Not later than 30 days following the date of this order, the Secretary of State, the Secretary of the Treasury, the Attorney General, the Secretary of Homeland Security, and the Director of National Intelligence shall develop a framework for the process that will be used to carry out their respective responsibilities pursuant to this order. The framework, which may be classified in whole or in part, shall focus on ensuring that agencies fulfill their responsibilities pursuant to this order in a manner that maintains methodological consistency; protects law enforcement or other sensitive information and intelligence sources and methods; maintains an appropriate separation between intelligence functions and policy and legal judgments; ensures that efforts to protect electoral processes and institutions are insulated from political bias; and respects the principles of free speech and open debate.

Sec. 2. (a) All property and interests in property that are in the United States, that hereafter come within the United States, or that are or hereafter come within the possession or control of any United States person of the following persons are blocked and may not be transferred, paid, exported, withdrawn, or otherwise dealt in: any foreign person determined by the Secretary of the Treasury, in consultation with the Secretary of State, the Attorney General, and the Secretary of Homeland Security:



E.O. on Imposing Certain Sanctions in the Event of Foreign
Interference in a US Election, September 12, 2018

(i) to have directly or indirectly engaged in, sponsored, concealed, or otherwise been complicit in foreign interference in a United States election;

(ii) to have materially assisted, sponsored, or provided financial, material, or technological support for, or goods or services to or in support of, any activity described in subsection (a)(i) of this section or any person whose property and interests in property are blocked pursuant to this order; or

(iii) to be owned or controlled by, or to have acted or purported to act for or on behalf of, directly or indirectly, any person whose property or interests in property are blocked pursuant to this order.

(b) Executive Order 13694 of April 1, 2015, as amended by Executive Order 13757 of December 28, 2016, remains in effect. This order is not intended to, and does not, serve to limit the Secretary of the Treasury's discretion to exercise the authorities provided in Executive Order 13694. Where appropriate, the Secretary of the Treasury, in consultation with the Attorney General and the Secretary of State, may exercise the authorities described in Executive Order 13694 or other authorities in conjunction with the Secretary of the Treasury's exercise of authorities provided in this order.

(c) The prohibitions in subsection (a) of this section apply except to the extent provided by statutes, or in regulations, orders, directives, or licenses that may be issued pursuant to this order, and notwithstanding any contract entered into or any license or permit granted prior to the date of this order.

Sec. 3. Following the transmission of the assessment mandated by section 1(a) and the report mandated by section 1(b):

(a) the Secretary of the Treasury shall review the assessment mandated by section 1(a) and the report mandated by section 1(b), and, in consultation with the Secretary of State, the Attorney General, and the Secretary of Homeland Security, impose all appropriate sanctions pursuant to section 2(a) of this order and any appropriate sanctions described in section 2(b) of this order; and

(b) the Secretary of State and the Secretary of the Treasury, in consultation with the heads of other appropriate agencies, shall jointly prepare a recommendation for the President as to whether



E.O. on Imposing Certain Sanctions in the Event of Foreign
Interference in a US Election, September 12, 2018

additional sanctions against foreign persons may be appropriate in response to the identified foreign interference and in light of the evaluation in the report mandated by section 1(b) of this order, including, as appropriate and consistent with applicable law, proposed sanctions with respect to the largest business entities licensed or domiciled in a country whose government authorized, directed, sponsored, or supported election interference, including at least one entity from each of the following sectors: financial services, defense, energy, technology, and transportation (or, if inapplicable to that country's largest business entities, sectors of comparable strategic significance to that foreign government). The recommendation shall include an assessment of the effect of the recommended sanctions on the economic and national security interests of the United States and its allies. Any recommended sanctions shall be appropriately calibrated to the scope of the foreign interference identified, and may include one or more of the following with respect to each targeted foreign person:

- (i) blocking and prohibiting all transactions in a person's property and interests in property subject to United States jurisdiction;
- (ii) export license restrictions under any statute or regulation that requires the prior review and approval of the United States Government as a condition for the export or re-export of goods or services;
- (iii) prohibitions on United States financial institutions making loans or providing credit to a person;
- (iv) restrictions on transactions in foreign exchange in which a person has any interest;
- (v) prohibitions on transfers of credit or payments between financial institutions, or by, through, or to any financial institution, for the benefit of a person;
- (vi) prohibitions on United States persons investing in or purchasing equity or debt of a person;
- (vii) exclusion of a person's alien corporate officers from the United States;
- (viii) imposition on a person's alien principal executive officers of any of the sanctions described in this section; or



(ix) any other measures authorized by law.

Sec. 4. I hereby determine that the making of donations of the type of articles specified in section 203(b)(2) of IEEPA (50 U.S.C. 1702(b)(2)) by, to, or for the benefit of any person whose property and interests in property are blocked pursuant to this order would seriously impair my ability to deal with the national emergency declared in this order, and I hereby prohibit such donations as provided by section 2 of this order.

Sec. 5. The prohibitions in section 2 of this order include the following:

- (a) the making of any contribution or provision of funds, goods, or services by, to, or for the benefit of any person whose property and interests in property are blocked pursuant to this order; and
- (b) the receipt of any contribution or provision of funds, goods, or services from any such person.

Sec. 6. I hereby find that the unrestricted immigrant and nonimmigrant entry into the United States of aliens whose property and interests in property are blocked pursuant to this order would be detrimental to the interests of the United States, and I hereby suspend entry into the United States, as immigrants or nonimmigrants, of such persons. Such persons shall be treated as persons covered by section 1 of Proclamation 8693 of July 24, 2011 (Suspension of Entry of Aliens Subject to United Nations Security Council Travel Bans and International Emergency Economic Powers Act Sanctions).

Sec. 7. (a) Any transaction that evades or avoids, has the purpose of evading or avoiding, causes a violation of, or attempts to violate any of the prohibitions set forth in this order is prohibited.

(b) Any conspiracy formed to violate any of the prohibitions set forth in this order is prohibited.

Sec. 8. For the purposes of this order:

- (a) the term “person” means an individual or entity;
- (b) the term “entity” means a partnership, association, trust, joint venture, corporation, group, subgroup, or other organization;



(c) the term “United States person” means any United States citizen, permanent resident alien, entity organized under the laws of the United States or any jurisdiction within the United States (including foreign branches), or any person (including a foreign person) in the United States;

(d) the term “election infrastructure” means information and communications technology and systems used by or on behalf of the Federal Government or a State or local government in managing the election process, including voter registration databases, voting machines, voting tabulation equipment, and equipment for the secure transmission of election results;

(e) the term “United States election” means any election for Federal office held on, or after, the date of this order;

(f) the term “foreign interference,” with respect to an election, includes any covert, fraudulent, deceptive, or unlawful actions or attempted actions of a foreign government, or of any person acting as an agent of or on behalf of a foreign government, undertaken with the purpose or effect of influencing, undermining confidence in, or altering the result or reported result of, the election, or undermining public confidence in election processes or institutions;

(g) the term “foreign government” means any national, state, provincial, or other governing authority, any political party, or any official of any governing authority or political party, in each case of a country other than the United States;

(h) the term “covert,” with respect to an action or attempted action, means characterized by an intent or apparent intent that the role of a foreign government will not be apparent or acknowledged publicly; and

(i) the term “State” means the several States or any of the territories, dependencies, or possessions of the United States.

Sec. 9. For those persons whose property and interests in property are blocked pursuant to this order who might have a constitutional presence in the United States, I find that because of the ability to transfer funds or other assets instantaneously, prior notice to such persons of measures to be taken pursuant to this order would render those measures ineffectual. I therefore determine that for these measures to be effective in addressing the national emergency declared in this order, there need be no prior notice of a listing or determination made pursuant to section 2 of this order.



E.O. on Imposing Certain Sanctions in the Event of Foreign
Interference in a US Election, September 12, 2018

Sec. 10. Nothing in this order shall prohibit transactions for the conduct of the official business of the United States Government by employees, grantees, or contractors thereof.

Sec. 11. The Secretary of the Treasury, in consultation with the Attorney General and the Secretary of State, is hereby authorized to take such actions, including the promulgation of rules and regulations, and to employ all powers granted to the President by IEEPA as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this order. The Secretary of the Treasury may re-delegate any of these functions to other officers within the Department of the Treasury consistent with applicable law. All agencies of the United States Government are hereby directed to take all appropriate measures within their authority to carry out the provisions of this order.

Sec. 12. The Secretary of the Treasury, in consultation with the Attorney General and the Secretary of State, is hereby authorized to submit the recurring and final reports to the Congress on the national emergency declared in this order, consistent with section 401(c) of the NEA (50 U.S.C. 1641(c)) and section 204(c) of IEEPA (50 U.S.C. 1703(c)).

Sec. 13. This order shall be implemented consistent with 50 U.S.C. 1702(b)(1) and (3).

Sec. 14. (a) Nothing in this order shall be construed to impair or otherwise affect:


(i) the authority granted by law to an executive department or agency, or the head thereof; or

(ii) the functions of the Director of the Office of Management and Budget relating to budgetary, administrative, or legislative proposals.

(b) This order shall be implemented consistent with applicable law and subject to the availability of appropriations.

(c) This order is not intended to, and does not, create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or in equity by any party against the United States, its departments, agencies, or entities, its officers, employees, or agents, or any other person.

DONALD J. TRUMP



Joint Statement of ODNI, DOJ, FBI and DHS: Combating Foreign Influence in U.S. Elections, October 19, 2018



Office of the
Director of National Intelligence

Warning

JUser: _load. Unable to load user with ID: 785



Friday, 19 October 2018 13:44



(/media/k2/items/cache/151e7f346a3cc480c1121a28cdb2ea01_XL.jpg)

**DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE
WASHINGTON, DC 20511**

October 19, 2018

Joint Statement from the ODNI, DOJ, FBI and DHS: Combating Foreign Influence in U.S. Elections

Foreign interference in U.S. elections is a threat to our democracy; identifying and preventing this interference is a top priority of the Federal Government. We believe the greatest strength of our society is an engaged and informed public. Adversaries target U.S. elections to divide America along political lines and influence key policy decisions that are in their national interest.

Foreign Influence

We are concerned about ongoing campaigns by Russia, China and other foreign actors, including Iran, to undermine confidence in democratic institutions and influence public sentiment and government policies. These activities also may seek to influence voter perceptions and decision making in the 2018 and 2020 U.S. elections.

Elements of these campaigns can take many forms, including using social media to amplify divisive issues, sponsoring specific content in English-language media like RT and Sputnik, seeding disinformation through sympathetic spokespersons regarding political candidates and disseminating foreign propaganda.

Election Infrastructure

Currently, we do not have any evidence of a compromise or disruption of infrastructure that would enable adversaries to prevent voting, change vote counts or disrupt our ability to tally votes in the midterm elections. Increased intelligence and information sharing among federal, state and local partners has improved our awareness of ongoing and persistent threats to election infrastructure. Some state and local governments have reported attempts to access their networks, which often include online voter registration databases, using tactics that are available to state and non-state cyber actors. Thus far, state and local officials have been able to prevent access or quickly mitigate these attempts.

Addressing the Threat

Foreign powers have long sought to exploit America's free and open political system, but as the President recently stated, we will not tolerate foreign interference in our elections. The Executive Order on Imposing Certain Sanctions in the Event of Foreign Interference in a United States Election (<http://links.govdelivery.com/track?type=click&enid=ZWfzPTEmbXNpZD0mYXVpZD0mbWFpbGluZ2lkPTlwMTgxMDE5Ljk2NDkwNjQxJm1lc3NhZ2VpZD1NRElUFJELUJVTG0yMDE4MTAxOS45NjQ5MDY0MSZk> actions/executive-order-imposing-certain-sanctions-event-foreign-interference-united-states-election/), which President Trump signed on September 12, makes clear that the U.S. government will not hesitate to defend our electoral processes or punish those who interfere in it.

The Office of the Director of National Intelligence, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Department of Homeland Security and other relevant Intelligence Community components continue to work closely together in order to develop the most up-to-date picture of the threat. Additionally, we want to thank state and local officials for their vigilance and proactive approach in sharing information on cyber threats targeting state and local election infrastructure. We will continue to work with state and local election officials to increase the security and resilience of their systems and remain committed to supporting their actions to counter any threat to or attack on the 2018 midterm elections and beyond.



Joint Statement of ODNI, DOJ, FBI and DHS: Combating Foreign
Influence in U.S. Elections, October 19, 2018

While the U.S. government is tirelessly working to identify and counter threats to the electoral process, the American public, government officials and political candidates and their campaigns can mitigate adversarial efforts by following sound cyber security guidelines and being responsible consumers of information, in particular from social media platforms. For more information please see the DHS checklist and voter security information sheet (<http://links.govdelivery.com/track?type=click&enid=ZWfzPTEmbXNpZD0mYXVpZD0mbWFpbGluZ2lkPTIwMTgxMDE5Ljk2NDkwNjQxJm1lc3NhZ2VpZD1NREItUFJELUJVTC0yMDE4MTAxOS45NjQ5MDY0MSZk>) or visit the FBI's webpage on combating foreign influence. (<http://links.govdelivery.com/track?type=click&enid=ZWfzPTEmbXNpZD0mYXVpZD0mbWFpbGluZ2lkPTIwMTgxMDE5Ljk2NDkwNjQxJm1lc3NhZ2VpZD1NREItUFJELUJVTC0yMDE4MTAxOS45NjQ5MDY0MSZk> influence)



Allied Security Operations Group

Antrim Michigan Forensics Report

REVISED PRELIMINARY SUMMARY, v2

Report Date 12/13/2020

Client: Bill Bailey

Attorney: Matthew DePerno

A. WHO WE ARE

1. My name is Russell James Ramsland, Jr., and I am a resident of Dallas County, Texas. I hold an MBA from Harvard University, and a political science degree from Duke University. I have worked with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), among other organizations, and have run businesses all over the world, many of which are highly technical in nature. I have served on technical government panels.
2. I am part of the management team of Allied Security Operations Group, LLC, (ASOG). ASOG is a group of globally engaged professionals who come from various disciplines to include Department of Defense, Secret Service, Department of Homeland Security, and the Central Intelligence Agency. It provides a range of security services, but has a particular emphasis on cybersecurity, open source investigation and penetration testing of networks. We employ a wide variety of cyber and cyber forensic analysts. We have patents pending in a variety of applications from novel network security applications to SCADA (Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition) protection and safe browsing solutions for the dark and deep web. For this report, I have relied on these experts and resources.

B. PURPOSE AND PRELIMINARY CONCLUSIONS

1. The purpose of this forensic audit is to test the integrity of Dominion Voting System in how it performed in Antrim County, Michigan for the 2020 election.
2. We conclude that the Dominion Voting System is intentionally and purposefully designed with inherent errors to create systemic fraud and influence election results. The system intentionally generates an enormously high number of ballot errors. The electronic ballots are then transferred for adjudication. The intentional errors lead to bulk adjudication of ballots with no oversight, no transparency, and no audit trail. This leads to voter or election fraud. Based on our study, we conclude that The Dominion Voting System should not be used in Michigan. We further conclude that the results of Antrim County should not have been certified.



3. The following is a breakdown of the votes tabulated for the 2020 election in Antrim County, showing different dates for the tabulation of the same votes.

Date	Registered Voters	Total Votes Cast	Biden	Trump	Third Party	Write-In	TOTAL VOTES for President
Nov 3	22,082	16,047	7,769	4,509	145	14	12,423
Nov 5	22,082	18,059	7,289	9,783	255	20	17,327
Nov 21	22,082	16,044	5,960	9,748	241	23	15,949

4. The Antrim County Clerk and Secretary of State Jocelyn Benson have stated that the election night error (detailed above by the vote "flip" from Trump to Biden, was the result of human error caused by the failure to update the Mancelona Township tabulator prior to election night for a down ballot race. We disagree and conclude that the vote flip occurred because of machine error built into the voting software designed to create error.
5. Secretary of State Jocelyn Benson's statement on November 6, 2020 that "[t]he correct results always were and continue to be reflected on the tabulator totals tape" was false.
6. The allowable election error rate established by the Federal Election Commission guidelines is of 1 in 250,000 ballots (.0008%). We observed an error rate of 68.05%. This demonstrated a significant and fatal error in security and election integrity.
7. The results of the Antrim County 2020 election are not certifiable. This is a result of machine and/or software error, not human error.
8. The tabulation log for the forensic examination of the server for Antrim County from December 6, 2020 consists of 15,676 individual events, of which 10,667 or 68.05% of the events were recorded errors. These errors resulted in overall tabulation errors or ballots being sent to adjudication. This high error rates proves the Dominion Voting System is flawed and does not meet state or federal election laws.
9. These errors occurred after The Antrim County Clerk provided a re-provisioned CF card with uploaded software for the Central Lake Precinct on November 6, 2020. This means the statement by Secretary Benson was false. The Dominion Voting System produced systemic errors and high error rates both prior to the update and after the update; meaning the update (or lack of update) is not the cause of errors.



10. In Central Lake Township there were 1,222 ballots **reversed** out of 1,491 total ballots cast, resulting in an 81.96% rejection rate. All reversed ballots are sent to adjudication for a decision by election personnel.
11. It is critical to understand that the Dominion system classifies ballots into two categories, 1) normal ballots and 2) adjudicated ballots. Ballots sent to adjudication can be altered by administrators, and adjudication files can be moved between different Results Tally and Reporting (RTR) terminals with no audit trail of which administrator actually adjudicates (i.e. votes) the ballot batch. This demonstrated a significant and fatal error in security and election integrity because it provides no meaningful observation of the adjudication process or audit trail of which administrator actually adjudicated the ballots.
12. A staggering number of votes required adjudication. This was a 2020 issue not seen in previous election cycles still stored on the server. This is caused by intentional errors in the system. The intentional errors lead to bulk adjudication of ballots with no oversight, no transparency or audit trail. Our examination of the server logs indicates that this high error rate was incongruent with patterns from previous years. The statement attributing these issues to human error is not consistent with the forensic evaluation, which points more correctly to systemic machine and/or software errors. The systemic errors are intentionally designed to create errors in order to push a high volume of ballots to bulk adjudication.
13. The linked video demonstrates how to cheat at adjudication:
<https://mobile.twitter.com/KanekoaTheGreat/status/1336888454538428418>
14. Antrim County failed to properly update its system. A purposeful lack of providing basic computer security updates in the system software and hardware demonstrates incompetence, gross negligence, bad faith, and/or willful non-compliance in providing the fundamental system security required by federal and state law. There is no way this election management system could have passed tests or have been legally certified to conduct the 2020 elections in Michigan under the current laws. According to the National Conference of State Legislatures – Michigan requires full compliance with federal standards as determined by a federally accredited voting system laboratory.
15. Significantly, the computer system shows vote adjudication logs for prior years, but all adjudication log entries for the 2020 election cycle are missing. The adjudication process is the simplest way to manually manipulate votes. The lack of records prevents any form of audit accountability, and their conspicuous absence is extremely suspicious since the files exist for previous years using the same software. Removal of these files violates state law and prevents a meaningful audit, even if the Secretary wanted to conduct an audit. We must conclude that the 2020 election cycle records have been manually removed.



16. Likewise, all server security logs prior to 11:03 pm on November 4, 2020 are missing. This means that all security logs for the day after the election, on election day, and prior to election day are gone. Security logs are very important to an audit trail, forensics, and for detecting advanced persistent threats and outside attacks, especially on systems with outdated system files. These logs would contain domain controls, authentication failures, error codes, times users logged on and off, network connections to file servers between file accesses, internet connections, times, and data transfers. Other server logs before November 4, 2020 are present; therefore, there is no reasonable explanation for the security logs to be missing.
17. On November 21, 2020, an unauthorized user unsuccessfully attempted to zero out election results. This demonstrates additional tampering with data.
18. The Election Event Designer Log shows that Dominion ImageCast Precinct Cards were programmed with new ballot programming on 10/23/2020 and then again after the election on 11/05/2020. These system changes affect how ballots are read and tabulated, and our examination demonstrated a significant change in voter results using the two different programs. In accordance with the Help America Vote Act, this violates the 90-day Safe Harbor Period which prohibits changes to election systems, registries, hardware/software updates without undergoing re-certification. According to the National Conference of State Legislatures – Michigan requires full compliance with federal standards as determined by a federally accredited voting system laboratory.
19. The only reason to change software after the election would be to obfuscate evidence of fraud and/or to correct program errors that would de-certify the election. Our findings show that the Central Lake Township tabulator tape totals were significantly altered by utilizing two different program versions (10/23/2020 and 11/05/2020), both of which were software changes during an election which violates election law, and not just human error associated with the **Dominion Election Management System**. This is clear evidence of software generated movement of votes. The claims made on the **Office of the Secretary of State** website are false.
20. The Dominion ImageCast Precinct (ICP) machines have the ability to be connected to the internet (see Image 11). By connecting a network scanner to the ethernet port on the ICP machine and creating Packet Capture logs from the machines we examined show the ability to connect to the network, Application Programming Interface (API) (a data exchange between two different systems) calls and web (http) connections to the Election Management System server. Best practice is to disable the network interface card to avoid connection to the internet. This demonstrated a significant and fatal error in security and election integrity. Because certain files have been deleted, we have not yet found origin or destination; but our research continues.



21. Because the intentional high error rate generates large numbers of ballots to be adjudicated by election personnel, we must deduce that bulk adjudication occurred. However, because files and adjudication logs are missing, we have not yet determined where the bulk adjudication occurred or who was responsible for it. Our research continues.
22. Research is ongoing. However, based on the preliminary results, we conclude that the errors are so significant that they call into question the integrity and legitimacy of the results in the Antrim County 2020 election to the point that the results are not certifiable. Because the same machines and software are used in 48 other counties in Michigan, this casts doubt on the integrity of the entire election in the state of Michigan.
23. DNI Responsibilities: President Obama signed Executive Order on National Critical Infrastructure on 6 January 2017, stating in Section 1. Cybersecurity of Federal Networks, "The Executive Branch operates its information technology (IT) on behalf of the American people. The President will hold heads of executive departments and agencies (agency heads) accountable for managing cybersecurity risk to their enterprises. In addition, because risk management decisions made by agency heads can affect the risk to the executive branch as a whole, and to national security, it is also the policy of the United States to manage cybersecurity risk as an executive branch enterprise." President Obama's EO further stated, effective immediately, each agency head shall use The Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity (the Framework) developed by the National Institute of Standards and Technology." Support to Critical Infrastructure at Greatest Risk. The Secretary of Homeland Security, in coordination with the Secretary of Defense, the Attorney General, the Director of National Intelligence, the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the heads of appropriate sector-specific agencies, as defined in Presidential Policy Directive 21 of February 12, 2013 (Critical Infrastructure Security and Resilience) (sector-specific agencies), and all other appropriate agency heads, as identified by the Secretary of Homeland Security, shall: (i) identify authorities and capabilities that agencies could employ to support the cybersecurity efforts of critical infrastructure entities identified pursuant to section 9 of Executive Order 13636 of February 12, 2013 (Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity), to be at greatest risk of attacks that could reasonably result in catastrophic regional or national effects on public health or safety, economic security, or national security (section 9 entities);

This is a national security imperative. **In July 2018, President Trump strengthened President Obama's Executive Order to include requirements to ensure US election systems, processes, and its people were not manipulated by foreign meddling, either through electronic or systemic manipulation, social media, or physical changes made in hardware, software, or supporting systems.** The 2018 Executive Order. Accordingly, I hereby order:



Section 1. (a) Not later than 45 days after the conclusion of a United States election, the Director of National Intelligence, in consultation with the heads of any other appropriate executive departments and agencies (agencies), shall conduct an assessment of any information indicating that a foreign government, or any person acting as an agent of or on behalf of a foreign government, has acted with the intent or purpose of interfering in that election. The assessment shall identify, to the maximum extent ascertainable, the nature of any foreign interference and any methods employed to execute it, the persons involved, and the foreign government or governments that authorized, directed, sponsored, or supported it. The Director of National Intelligence shall deliver this assessment and appropriate supporting information to the President, the Secretary of State, the Secretary of the Treasury, the Secretary of Defense, the Attorney General, and the Secretary of Homeland Security.

We recommend that an independent group should be empaneled to determine the extent of the adjudication errors throughout the State of Michigan. This is a national security issue.

24. Michigan resident Gustavo Delfino, a former professor of mathematics in Venezuela and alumni of University of Michigan, offered a compelling affidavit [Exhibit 2] recognizing the inherent vulnerabilities in the SmartMatic electronic voting machines (software which was since incorporated into Dominion Voting Systems) during the 2004 national referendum in Venezuela (see attached declaration). After 4 years of research and 3 years of undergoing intensive peer review, Professor Delfino's paper was published in the highly respected "Statistical Science" journal, November 2011 issue (Volume 26, Number 4) with title "Analysis of the 2004 Venezuela Referendum: The Official Results Versus the Petition Signatures." The intensive study used multiple mathematical approaches to ascertain the voting results found in the 2004 Venezuelan referendum. Delfino and his research partners discovered not only the algorithm used to manipulate the results, but also the precise location in the election processing sequence where vulnerability in machine processing would provide such an opportunity. According to Prof Delfino, the magnitude of the difference between the official and the true result in Venezuela estimated at 1,370,000 votes. Our investigation into the error rates and results of the Antrim County voting tally reflect the same tactics, which have also been reported in other Michigan counties as well. This demonstrates a national security issue.

C. PROCESS

We visited Antrim County twice: November 27, 2020 and December 6, 2020.

On November 27, 2020, we visited Central Lake Township, Star Township, and Mancelona Township. We examined the Dominion Voting Systems tabulators and tabulator roles.



On December 6, 2020, we visited the Antrim County Clerk's office. We inspected and performed forensic duplication of the following:

1. **Antrim County Election Management Server** running **Dominion Democracy Suite 5.5.3-002**;
2. **Compact Flash** cards used by the local precincts in their **Dominion ImageCast Precinct**;
3. **USB memory sticks** used by the **Dominion VAT** (Voter Assist Terminals); and
4. **USB memory sticks** used for the Poll Book.

Dominion voting system is a Canadian owned company with global subsidiaries. It is owned by Staple Street Capital which is in turn owned by UBS Securities LLC, of which 3 out of their 7 board members are Chinese nationals. The Dominion software is licensed from Smartmatic which is a Venezuelan owned and controlled company. Dominion Server locations have been determined to be in Serbia, Canada, the US, Spain and Germany.

D. CENTRAL LAKE TOWNSHIP

1. On November 27, 2020, part of our forensics team visited the Central Lake Township in Michigan to inspect the **Dominion ImageCast Precinct** for possible hardware issues on behalf of a local lawsuit filed by Michigan attorney Matthew DePerno on behalf of William Bailey. In our conversations with the clerk of **Central Lake Township** Ms. Judith L. Kosloski, she presented to us "two separate paper totals tape" from Tabulator ID 2.
 - One dated "Poll Opened Nov. 03/2020 06:38:48" (Roll 1);
 - Another dated "Poll Opened Nov. 06/2020 09:21:58" (Roll 2).
2. We were then told by Ms. Kosloski that on November 5, 2020, Ms. Kosloski was notified by Connie Wing of the County Clerk's Office and asked to bring the tabulator and ballots to the County Clerk's office for re-tabulation. They ran the ballots and printed "Roll 2". She noticed a difference in the votes and brought it up to the clerk, but canvassing still occurred, and her objections were not addressed.
3. Our team analyzed both rolls and compared the results. Roll 1 had **1,494** total votes and Roll 2 had **1,491** votes (Roll 2 had 3 less ballots because 3 ballots were damaged in the process.)
4. "Statement of Votes Cast from Antrim" shows that only **1,491** votes were counted, and the **3** ballots that were damaged were not entered into final results.



5. Ms. Kosloski stated that she and her assistant manually refilled out the three ballots, curing them, and ran them through the ballot counting system - but the final numbers do not reflect the inclusion of those **3** damaged ballots.
6. This is the most preliminary report of serious election fraud indicators. In comparing the numbers on both rolls, *we estimate 1,474 votes changed* across the two rolls, between the first and the second time the exact same ballots were run through the County Clerk's vote counting machine - *which is almost the same number of voters that voted in total.*
 - **742 votes were added to School Board Member for Central Lake Schools (3)**
 - **657 votes were removed from School Board Member for Ellsworth Schools (2)**
 - **7 votes were added to the total for State Proposal 20-1 (1)** and out of those there were **611** votes moved between the Yes and No Categories.
7. There were incremental changes throughout the rolls with some significant adjustments between the 2 rolls that were reviewed. This demonstrates conclusively that votes can be and were changed during the second machine count after the software update. That should be impossible especially at such a high percentage to total votes cast.
8. For the **School Board Member for Central Lake Schools (3)** [Image 1] there were **742 votes** added to this vote total. Since multiple people were elected, this did not change the result of both candidates being elected, but one does see a change in who had most votes. If it were a single-person election this would have changed the outcome and demonstrates conclusively that votes can be and were changed during the second machine counting. That should be impossible.

[Image 1]:

School Board Member for Central Lake Schools (3)		School Board Member for Central Lake Schools (3)	
Melanie Eckhardt:	852	Melanie Eckhardt:	519
Keith Shafer:	846	Keith Shafer:	525
Write-in:	112	Write-in:	24
Total Votes:	1810	Total Votes:	1068
Recount 11/6		Election 11/3	



9. For the **School Board Member for Ellsworth Schools (2)** [Image 2]

- Shows **657 votes** *being removed* from this election.
- In this case, only **3** people who were eligible to vote actually voted. Since there were **2** votes allowed for each voter to cast.
- The recount correctly shows **6** votes.

But on election night, there was a major calculation issue:

[Image 2]:

Recount 11/6		Election 11/3	
School Board Member for Ellsworth Schools (2)		School Board Member for Ellsworth Schools (2)	
Mark Edward Groenink:	3	Mark Edward Groenink:	333
Christopher Wallace:	3	Christopher Wallace:	320
Write-in:	0	Write-in:	10
Total Votes:	6	Total Votes:	663

10. In **State Proposal 20-1 (1)**, [Image 3] there is a major change in votes in this category.

- There were **774 votes for YES** during the election, to **1,083 votes for YES** on the recount a change of **309 votes**.
- **7** votes were added to the total for **State Proposal 20-1 (1)** out of those there were **611** votes moved between the Yes and No Categories.

[Image 3]:



State Proposal 20-1 (1)	
Yes:	1083
No:	208
Total Votes:	1289

State Proposal 20-1 (1)	
Yes:	774
No:	508
Total Votes:	1282

State Proposal 20-2	
---------------------	--

Recount 11/6	
--------------	--

Election 11/3	
---------------	--

11. **State Proposal 20-1 (1)** is a fairly technical and complicated proposed amendment to the Michigan Constitution to change the disposition and allowable uses of future revenue generated from oil and gas bonuses, rentals and royalties from state-owned land. Information about the proposal: <https://crcmich.org/publications/statewide-ballot-proposal-20-1-michigan-natural-resources-trust-fund>
12. A Proposed Initiated **Ordinance to Authorize One (1) Marihuana (sic) Retailer Establishment Within the Village of Central Lake (1)**. [Image 4]
 - On election night, it was a tie vote.
 - Then, on the rerun of ballots 3 ballots were destroyed, but only one vote changed on the totals to allow the proposal to pass.

When **3 ballots were not counted** and **programming change on the tabulator was installed** the proposal **passed with 1 vote being removed from the No vote**.

[Image 4]:



Total Votes:	1372
A Proposed Initiated Ordinance to Authorize One (1) Marihuana Retailer Establishment Within the Village of Central Lake (1)	
Yes:	262
No:	261
Total Votes:	523

A Proposed Initiated Ordinance to Authorize One (1) Marihuana Retailer Establishment Within the Village of Central Lake (1)	
Yes:	262
No:	262
Total Votes:	524

Recount 11/6	Election 11/3
--------------	---------------

13. On Sunday December 6, 2020, our forensics team visited the Antrim County Clerk. There were two USB memory sticks used, one contained the software package used to tabulate election results on November 3, 2020, and the other was programmed on November 6, 2020 with a different software package which yielded significantly different voting outcomes. The election data package is used by the **Dominion Democracy Suite** software & election management system software to upload programming information onto the Compact Flash Cards for the **Dominion ImageCast Precinct** to enable it to calculate ballot totals.
14. This software programming should be standard across all voting machines systems for the duration of the entire election if accurate tabulation is the expected outcome as required by US Election Law. This intentional difference in software programming is a design feature to alter election outcomes.
15. The election day outcomes were calculated using the original software programming on November 3, 2020. On November 5, 2020 the township clerk was asked to re-run the Central Lake Township ballots and was given no explanation for this unusual request. On November 6, 2020 the Antrim County Clerk, Sheryl Guy issued the second version of software to re-run the same Central Lake Township ballots and oversaw the process. This resulted in greater than a 60% change in voting results, inexplicably impacting every single election contest in a township with less than 1500 voters. These errors far exceed the ballot error rate standard of 1 in 250,000 ballots (.0008%) as required by federal election law.
 - The original election programming files are last dated 09/25/2020 1:24pm
 - The updated election data package files are last dated 10/22/2020 10:27 am.



16. As the tabulator tape totals prove, there were large numbers of votes switched from the November 3, 2020 tape to the November 6, 2020 tape. This was solely based on using different software versions of the operating program to calculate votes, not tabulate votes. This is evidenced by using same the Dominion System with two different software program versions contained on the two different USB Memory Devices.
17. The Help America Vote Act, Safe Harbor provides a 90-day period prior to elections where no changes can be made to election systems. To make changes would require recertification of the entire system for use in the election. The Dominion User Guide prescribes the proper procedure to test machines with test ballots to compare the results to validate machine functionality to determine if the **Dominion ImageCast Precinct** was programmed correctly. If this occurred a ballot misconfiguration would have been identified. Once the software was updated to the 10/22/2020 software the test ballots should have been re-run to validate the vote totals to confirm the machine was configured correctly.
18. The November 6, 2020 note from **The Office of the Secretary of State Jocelyn Benson** states: "The correct results always were and continue to be reflected on the tabulator totals tape and on the ballots themselves. Even if the error in the reported unofficial results had not been quickly noticed, it would have been identified during the county canvass. Boards of County Canvassers, which are composed of 2 Democrats and 2 Republicans, review the printed totals tape from each tabulator during the canvass to verify the reported vote totals are correct."
 - Source: https://www.michigan.gov/sos/0,4670,7-127-1640_9150-544676--00.html
19. The **Secretary of State Jocelyn Benson's** statement is false. Our findings show that the tabulator tape totals were significantly altered by utilization of two different program versions, and not just the **Dominion Election Management System**. This is the opposite of the claim that the **Office of the Secretary of State** made on its website. The fact that these significant errors were not caught in ballot testing and not caught by the local county clerk shows that there are major inherent built-in vulnerabilities and process flaws in the **Dominion Election Management System**, and that other townships/precincts and the entire election have been affected.
20. On Sunday December 6, 2020, our forensics team visited the Antrim County Clerk office to perform forensic duplication of the **Antrim County Election Management Server** running **Dominion Democracy Suite 5.5.3-002**.
21. Forensic copies of the **Compact Flash** cards used by the local precincts in their **Dominion ImageCast Precinct** were inspected, **USB memory sticks** used by the **Dominion VAT** (Voter Assist Terminals) and the **USB memory sticks** used for the Poll Book were forensically duplicated.



22. We have been told that the ballot design and configuration for the **Dominion ImageCast Precinct** and VAT were provided by **ElectionSource.com** which is which is owned by MC&E, Inc of Grand Rapids, MI.

E. MANCELONA TOWNSHIP


1. In Mancelona township, problems with software versions were also known to have been present. Mancelona elections officials understood that ballot processing issued were not accurate and used the second version of software to process votes on 4 November, again an election de-certifying event, as no changes to the election system are authorized by law in the 90 days preceding elections without re-certification.
2. Once the 10/22/2020 software update was performed on the Dominion ImageCast Precinct the test ballot process should have been performed to validate the programming. There is no indication that this procedure was performed.

F. ANTRIM COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1. Pursuant to a court ordered inspection, we participated in an onsite collection effort at the Antrim County Clerk's office on December 6, 2020. [Image 5]:



Among other items forensically collected, the Antrim County Election Management Server (EMS) with Democracy Suite was forensically collected. [Images 6 and 7].



The EMS (Election Management Server) was a:

Dell Precision Tower 3420.

Service Tag: 6NB0KH2

The EMS contained 2 hard drives in a RAID-1 configuration. That is the 2 drives redundantly stored the same information and the server could continue to operate if either of the 2 hard drives failed. The EMS was booted via the Linux Boot USB memory sticks and both hard drives were forensically imaged.

At the onset of the collection process we observed that the initial program thumb drive was not secured in the vault with the CF cards and other thumbdrives. We watched as the County employees, including Clerk Sheryl Guy searched throughout the office for the missing thumb drive. Eventually they found the missing thumb drive in an unsecured and unlocked desk drawer along with multiple other random thumb drives. This demonstrated a significant and fatal error in security and election integrity.

G. FORENSIC COLLECTION

We used a built for purpose Linux Boot USB memory stick to boot the EMS in a forensically sound mode. We then used Ewfacquire to make a forensic image of the 2 independent internal hard drives.

Ewfacquire created an E01 file format forensic image with built-in integrity verification via MD5 hash.

We used Ewverify to verify the forensic image acquired was a true and accurate copy of the original disk. That was done for both forensic images.

H. ANALYSIS TOOLS



X-Ways Forensics: We used X-Ways Forensics, a commercial Computer Forensic tool, to verify the image was useable and full disk encryption was not in use. In particular we confirmed that Bit locker was not in use on the EMS.

Other tools used: PassMark – OSForensics, Truxton - Forensics, Cellebrite – Physical Analyzer, Blackbag-Blacklight Forensic Software, Microsoft SQL Server Management Studio, Virtual Box, and miscellaneous other tools and scripts.

I. SERVER OVERVIEW AND SUMMARY

1. Our initial audit on the computer running the Democracy Suite Software showed that standard computer security best practices were not applied. These minimum-security standards are outlined the 2002 HAVA, and FEC Voting System Standards – it did not even meet the minimum standards required of a government desktop computer.
2. The election data software package USB drives (November 2020 election, and November 2020 election updated) are secured with bitlocker encryption software, but they were not stored securely on-site. At the time of our forensic examination, the election data package files were already moved to an unsecure desktop computer and were residing on an unencrypted hard drive. This demonstrated a significant and fatal error in security and election integrity. Key Findings on Desktop and Server Configuration: - There were multiple Microsoft security updates as well as Microsoft SQL Server updates which should have been deployed, however there is no evidence that these security patches were ever installed. As described below, many of the software packages were out of date and vulnerable to various methods of attack.
 - a) Computer initial configuration on 10/03/2018 13:08:11:911
 - b) Computer final configuration of server software on 4/10/2019
 - c) Hard Drive not Encrypted at Rest
 - d) Microsoft SQL Server Database not protected with password.
 - e) Democracy Suite Admin Passwords are reused and share passwords.
 - f) Antivirus is 4.5 years outdated
 - g) Windows updates are 3.86 years out of date.
 - h) When computer was last configured on 04/10/2019 the windows updates were 2.11 years out of date.
 - i) User of computer uses a Super User Account.



3. The hard drive was not encrypted at rest – which means that if hard drives are removed or initially booted off an external USB drive the files are susceptible to manipulation directly. An attacker is able to mount the hard drive because it is unencrypted, allowing for the manipulation and replacement of any file on the system.
4. The Microsoft SQL Server database files were not properly secured to allow modifications of the database files.
5. The Democracy Suite Software user account logins and passwords are stored in the unsecured database tables and the multiple Election System Administrator accounts share the same password, which means that there are no audit trails for vote changes, deletions, blank ballot voting, or batch vote alterations or adjudication.
6. Antivirus definition is 1666 days old on 12/11/2020. Antrim County updates its system with USB drives. USB drives are the most common vectors for injecting malware into computer systems. The failure to properly update the antivirus definition drastically increases the harm cause by malware from other machines being transmitted to the voting system.
7. Windows Server Update Services (WSUS) Offline Update is used to enable updates the computer – which is a package of files normally downloaded from the internet but compiled into a program to put on a USB drive to manually update server systems.
8. Failure to properly update the voting system demonstrates a significant and fatal error in security and election integrity.
9. There are 15 additional updates that should have been installed on the server to adhere to Microsoft Standards to fix known vulnerabilities. For the 4/10/2019 install, the most updated version of the update files would have been 03/13/2019 which is 11.6.1 which is 15 updates newer than 10.9.1

This means the updates installed were 2 years, 1 month, 13 days behind the most current update at the time. This includes security updates and fixes. This demonstrated a significant and fatal error in security and election integrity.

- Wed 04/10/2019 10:34:33.14 - Info: Starting WSUS Offline Update (v. 10.9.1)
- Wed 04/10/2019 10:34:33.14 - Info: Used path "D:\WSUSOFFLINE1091_2012R2_W10\cmd\\" on EMSSERVER (user: EMSADMIN)
- Wed 04/10/2019 10:34:35.55 - Info: Medium build date: 03/10/2019



- Found on c:\Windows\wsusofflineupdate.txt
 - *WSUS Offline Update (v.10.9.1) was created on 01/29/2017
 - *WSUS information found here <https://download.wsusoffline.net/>
10. Super User Administrator account is the primary account used to operate the **Dominion Election Management System** which is a major security risk. The user logged in has the ability to make major changes to the system and install software which means that there is no oversight to ensure appropriate management controls – i.e. anyone who has access to the shared administrator user names and passwords can make significant changes to the entire voting system. The shared usernames and passwords mean that these changes can be made in an anonymous fashion with no tracking or attribution.
- J. ERROR RATES**
1. We reviewed the Tabulation logs in their entirety for 11/6/2020. The election logs for Antrim County consist of 15,676 total lines or events.
- Of the 15,676 there were a total of 10,667 critical errors/warnings or a 68.05% error rate.
 - Most of the errors were related to configuration errors that could result in overall tabulation errors or adjudication. These 11/6/2020 tabulation totals were used as the official results.
2. For examples, there were 1,222 ballots **reversed** out of 1,491 total ballots cast, thus resulting in an 81.96% rejection rate. Some of which were reversed due to "Ballot's size exceeds maximum expected ballot size".
- According to the NCSL, Michigan requires testing by a federally accredited laboratory for voting systems. In section 4.1.1 of the Voluntary Voting Systems Guidelines (VMSG) Accuracy Requirements a. **All systems shall achieve a report total error rate of no more than one in 125,000.**
 - https://www.eac.gov/sites/default/files/eac_assets/1/28/VMSG.1.1.VOL.1.FINAL1.pdf
 - In section 4.1.3.2 Memory Stability of the VMSG it states that **Memory devices used to retain election management data shall have demonstrated error free data retention for a period of 22 months.**
 - In section 4.1.6.1 Paper-based System Processing Requirements subsection a. of the VMSG it states "The ability of the system to produce and receive electronic signals from the scanning of the ballot, perform logical and numerical operations upon these data, and reproduce the contents of memory when required **shall** be sufficiently free of **error** to enable



satisfaction of the system-level accuracy requirement indicated in Subsection 4.1.1."

- These are not human errors; this is definitively related to the software and software configurations resulting in error rates far beyond the thresholds listed in the guidelines.
3. A high "error rate" in the election software (in this case 68.05%) reflects an algorithm used that will weight one candidate greater than another (for instance, weight a specific candidate at a 2/3 to approximately 1/3 ratio). In the logs we identified that the RCV or Ranked Choice Voting Algorithm was enabled (see image below from the Dominion manual). This allows the user to apply a weighted numerical value to candidates and change the overall result. The declaration of winners can be done on a basis of points, not votes. [Image 8]:

choice voting results are evaluated on a district per district basis and each district has a set number of points (100). Elimination and declaration of winners is done on basis of points, not votes.





Figure 11-3: RCV Profile screen

4. The Dominion software configuration logs in the Divert Options, shows that all write-in ballots were flagged to be diverted automatically for adjudication. This means that all write-in ballots were sent for "adjudication" by a poll worker or election official to process the ballot based on voter "intent". Adjudication files allow a computer operator to decide to whom to award those votes (or to trash them).
5. In the logs all but two of the Override Options were enabled on these machines, thus allowing any operator to change those votes. [Image 9]:



6. In the logs all but two of the Override Options were enabled on these machines, thus allowing any operator to change those votes. This gives the system operators carte blanche to adjudicate ballots, in this case 81.96% of the total cast ballots with no audit trail or oversight. [Image 10]:

7. On 12/8/2020 Microsoft issued 58 security patches across 10+ products, some of which were used for the election software machine, server and programs. Of the 58 security fixes 22, were patches to remote code execution (RCE) vulnerabilities. [Image 11]:



8. We reviewed the Election Management System logs (EmsLogger) in their entirety from 9/19/2020 through 11/21/2020 for the Project: Antrim November 2020. There were configuration errors throughout the set-up, election and tabulation of results. The last error for Central Lake Township, Precinct 1 occurred on 11/21/2020 at 14:35:11 System.Xml.XmlException: The ' ' character, hexadecimal value 0x20, cannot be included in a name. Bottom line is that this is a calibration that rejects the vote (see picture below). [Image 12]:





Notably 42 minutes earlier on Nov 21 2020 at 13:53:09 a user attempted to zero out election results. Id:3168 EmsLogger - There is no permission to {0} - Project: User: Thread: 189. This is direct proof of an attempt to tamper with evidence.




9. The Election Event Designer Log shows that Dominion ImageCast Precinct Cards were programmed with updated new programming on 10/23/2020 and again after the election on 11/05/2020. As previously mentioned, this violates the HAVA safe harbor period.

Source: C:\Program Files\Dominion Voting Systems\Election Event Designer\Log\Info.txt

- Dominion Imagecast Precinct Cards Programmed with 9/25/2020 programming on 09/29/2020, 09/30/2020, and 10/12/2020.
- Dominion Imagecast Precinct Cards Programmed with New Ballot Programming dated 10/22/2020 on 10/23/2020 and after the election on 11/05/2020

Excerpt from 2020-11-05 showing "ProgramMemoryCard" commands.






10. Analysis is ongoing and updated findings will be submitted as soon as possible. A summary of the information collected is provided below.

10|12/07/20 18:52:30| Indexing completed at Mon Dec 7 18:52:30 2020

12|12/07/20 18:52:30| INDEX SUMMARY

12|12/07/20 18:52:30| Files indexed: 159312



Antrim Michigan Forensics Report REVISED PRELIMINARY SUMMARY, v2

12|12/07/20 18:52:30| Files skipped: 64799
12|12/07/20 18:52:30| Files filtered: 0
12|12/07/20 18:52:30| Emails indexed: 0
12|12/07/20 18:52:30| Unique words found: 5325413
12|12/07/20 18:52:30| Variant words found: 3597634
12|12/07/20 18:52:30| Total words found: 239446085
12|12/07/20 18:52:30| Avg. unique words per page: 33.43
12|12/07/20 18:52:30| Avg. words per page: 1503
12|12/07/20 18:52:30| Peak physical memory used: 2949 MB
12|12/07/20 18:52:30| Peak virtual memory used: 8784 MB
12|12/07/20 18:52:30| Errors: 10149
12|12/07/20 18:52:30| Total bytes scanned/downloaded: 1919289906

Dated: December 13, 2020

Russell Ramsland

