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These Fact Sheets are a guide only and are no substitute for legal advice. To request free initial legal advice on an environmental or planning law issue, please visit our website or call our Environmental Law Advice Line. Your request will be allocated to one of our solicitors who will call you back, usually within a few days of your call.

Sydney: 02 9262 6989
Northern Rivers: 1800 626 239
Rest of NSW: 1800 626 239

Commenting on the draft LEP or planning proposal

Why is it important to comment on the draft LEP of planning proposal?

LEPs are a blueprint for future development and conservation in a given area. They are strategic planning documents that outline acceptable and unacceptable uses for different parcels of land within a local government area.

Because they will guide development well into the future, it is important that LEPs achieve an appropriate mix of development and conservation and provide for appropriate development in suitable locations.

If a piece of land is inappropriately zoned under a LEP, unsuitable types of development may be approved in the zone with potentially dire consequences for the natural environment and the amenity of the area, as well as adverse social and economic impacts.

Local knowledge is important when producing workable LEPs and the public has an important role to play in commenting on and contributing to the draft LEP.

Furthermore, it can be better to get involved in the decision-making process at the strategic planning stage rather than the development assessment stage. It can be difficult to challenge a council’s decision to approve a particular development if that development is allowed in the zone. It is more effective to attempt to influence what sorts of developments are allowed in the zone from the outset and this can only be achieved through commenting on the draft LEP. Now that all councils are remaking

1 http://www.edonsw.org.au/legal_advice
their LEPs in line with the Standard Instrument, you have a unique opportunity to help shape the future direction of your Local Government Area.

### Finding the LEP

All environmental planning instruments, including all LEPs are published on the [NSW legislation website](https://www.liep.nsw.gov.au/).

Once you are on the site, click on BROWSE from the top menu. You will then be able to choose between browsing Acts, Regulations or EPIs. They are organised alphabetically. The LEP for your area will be located under the relevant letter in EPIs. So if you are searching for the Dubbo LEP, you must select ‘D’ under the EPI list.

### Challenging the legality of LEPs

**Can I challenge a LEP in Court?**

You can challenge a LEP in Court. However, the grounds upon which you can challenge a LEP are limited to challenging the validity of the LEP in judicial review proceedings. This is where the Court looks to see whether the LEP has been validly made and particularly whether the correct process has been followed. That is why it is important to understand and engage in the plan making process. Legal challenges are heard by the Land and Environment Court of NSW.

Such proceeding can be commenced by any person but must be commenced within 3 months of the new LEP being published on the NSW legislation website.²

You cannot challenge the merits of the LEP, which means you cannot argue that provisions in the LEP amount to bad planning decisions.

You cannot challenge a LEP based on a failure to comply with a s. 117 Ministerial Direction.³ Ministerial Directions are issued by the Planning Minister from time to time. They relate to a range of matters, and a number of them relate to the making of LEPs. Councils are supposed to obey Ministerial Directions but a failure to do so does not give rise to a right for the public to commence legal proceedings.⁴

Importantly, you cannot challenge a LEP on the grounds that it may lead to harm to the environment. There is no legal requirement for the body making the LEP to take into account the long-term environmental, social or economic impacts of the LEP on the area. For example, there is no obligation for that body to apply (or even consider) the principles of ecologically sustainable development or to consider the potential environmental, social or economic impacts of the LEP provisions. It is therefore not possible to challenge a LEP on the grounds that the makers failed to consider these factors when making it. It is, however, sometimes possible to challenge decisions to approve specific developments on the grounds that these factors have not been taken into account by the decision-maker.

**On what grounds is it possible to challenge a LEP in Court?**

---

² *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (NSW)*, s. 35.
³ *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (NSW)*, s. 117(5).
⁴ *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (NSW)*, s. 117(5).
It may be possible to challenge the council’s (or planning panel’s) decision to submit the draft LEP to the Director-General or the Minister's decision to make the LEP. Such challenges have been brought under the EP&A Act prior to the introduction of the new gateway process. With regards to the gateway process it might be possible to challenge the Minister's decision to allow the planning proposal through the ‘gateway’ in the first place. This remains to be seen.

Both these challenges are judicial review proceedings but there are limited grounds upon which such challenges can be based. A key ground of review would be a failure to follow the proper process and procedure when making the LEP.

The gateway process is less prescriptive than the old process and relies more on Ministerial discretion. Because so many provisions are determined by the Minister on a case by case basis, it can be difficult to show that the proper process and procedure has not been followed.

It is not the purpose of this fact sheet to go into the evidence that would be necessary to prove judicial review proceedings or the likelihood of a legal challenge succeeding. You should seek legal advice from EDO NSW or another solicitor before you commence a legal challenge.

**Outcome of judicial review proceedings**

It is important to note that a successful legal challenge to a LEP does not guarantee a better outcome in the long run. The Court can set aside a LEP that has been improperly made but that simply leaves the council (or panel) to make another draft LEP or planning proposal, this time following the correct procedures and that draft LEP can be exactly the same as the one just set aside.

Also, even if you are successful in Court, the Court has discretion when it comes to deciding what course of action is appropriate in the circumstances. It can set aside the LEP but it can also elect to leave it as it is. The Court will weigh a number of factors in deciding how to exercise its discretion when making orders.

**Cost of judicial review proceedings**

If you challenge a LEP and are unsuccessful you may have costs awarded against you. This means that in addition to paying your own legal fees you may have to pay those of the other side. Such costs can be substantial so it is important to seek legal advice before you challenge the validity of a LEP.

**What to look for when commenting on a draft LEP**

Commenting on draft LEPs or planning proposals basically involves looking at the maps to see how land is zoned. The maps should indicate which of the 35 zones applies to given parcels of land. Then, by looking at the Land Use Table you can identify the objectives for the zone which will give you a general idea of what is planned for that piece of land. You can also see what types of development are

---

5 *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (NSW)*, s. 56(1).

6 *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (NSW)*, s. 59.

7 *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (NSW)*, s. 124.
going to be allowed in that zone and which developments (if any) are going to be
prohibited.

**Permitted with consent or permitted without consent?**

It is important to be aware of the difference between 'permitted with consent' and
'permitted without consent'

Developments that are permitted without consent do not require council approval.

Developments that are permitted with consent will need to be approved by council,
which will involve lodging a development application and possibly undertaking
environmental impact assessment prior to commencing work.

Therefore, just because the zone says a certain type of development is permitted in
the zone it doesn't mean that that type of development will occur there. However, it
does mean that it is possible for such development to go ahead in the zone so it is
important to look at each zone carefully and decide if the types of development
permitted in the zone are appropriate for the land to which it applies.

When reviewing a draft LEP the first thing to do is to think about your local area.
Decide what areas should be conserved, what areas are appropriate for residential
development, what areas are appropriate for industry etc. Then look to see if this is
reflected in the LEP zones.

For example, vegetation mapping will show areas of high conservation value and
endangered ecological communities. Are these areas given one of the 'environment
protection' zones or are they given an industrial or other type of zone?

Also look to see what types of development are listed under each category,
permitted without consent, permitted with consent and prohibited. Does the LEP
allow development that is suitable for the area, or are the types of permissible
development incompatible with the natural features of the land?

Remember, the council can only add developments to the permitted uses in the
Standard Instrument, not remove them, so if the Standard Instrument allows for a
type of development under a zone and you think that type of development is
inappropriate for the land you cannot ask council to remove that type of development
from the zone, but you can ask council to apply a more suitable zone.

Outlined below are some questions you should ask yourself when you are analysing
the new draft LEP for your area.

*Has the council changed zone objectives or zone uses?*

Zone objectives are the 'teeth' of a LEP as they guide decision-makers when
deciding whether particular development should be approved in a zone. Councils
can add additional objectives and uses to the standard zones in order to adapt the
Standard Instrument to local conditions.

If council inserts new objectives, those objectives must be consistent with the
existing objectives set out in the Standard Instrument. If the new objectives, for
example, strengthen the protection afforded to the environment there is concern that
the objective might be rejected by the Minister as being inconsistent with the existing objectives. This would have the effect of placing an upper limit on what councils can do to protect land from particular hazards. Much will depend on whether the added objectives are approved by the Planning Minister and early indications are that additional objectives are not readily approved.8

The council can add to the Standard Instrument types of development that are permissible or prohibited in each zone. You might suggest developments that should or should not be allowed in a particular zone. You might also suggest that council include a particular development in the 'permissible with consent' category rather than the 'permissible without consent' category as this will ensure that council retains some control over whether development of that type can actually go ahead in the zone.

Council cannot remove types of development if they appear in the Standard Instrument so if you disagree with a certain type of development being allowed in a zone, there is nothing that council can do to remove it. However, council can add new types of development to the prohibited list for a zone and this may help strengthen the protection afforded by the zone.

Are riparian areas, catchments and waterways appropriately protected?

There are three water zones. You will notice that they have different objectives. Each zone allows and prohibits different types of development. It is important that the 'W' zones are applied appropriately to reflect the current and future uses of the waterway. Water catchments require special protection as the health and sustainability of a town's drinking supply will depend on the health of the catchment overall.

Councils do not have to apply a 'W' zone to smaller waterways such as streams and intermittent creeks. Instead, they can zone the waterway the same as the adjacent land. The 'W' zones are generally intended to be applied to a waterways' channel and banks.9

Zone W1 Natural Waterways

The objectives of this zone are to protect the ecological and scenic values of natural waterways, to prevent development that would have an adverse effect on the natural values of waterways and to provide for sustainable fishing industries and recreational fishing.

This zone affords the greatest protection of all the three W zones although it will allow low-impact development to occur. It should be applied to important waterways, particularly those that contribute to town drinking supplies.

Zone W2 Recreational Waterways

---

8 This information is based on information from Councils currently preparing draft LEPs.
9 Department of Planning, LEP Practice Note - Preparing LEPs using the Standard Instrument: Standard Zones, p 5.
The objectives of this zone are to protect the ecological, scenic and recreation values of *recreational* waterways, to allow for water-based recreation and related uses and to provide for sustainable fishing industries and recreational fishing.

The primary focus of this zone is to facilitate recreation such as boating and fishing. Developments that serve this purpose are permitted in the zone, including marinas. A number of high impact developments, including industry, are prohibited in the zone to protect the ecological and scenic values of the waterway. Keep in mind, though that marinas can be quite damaging for the environment so this zone should not be applied to high conservation waterways.

**Zone W3 Working Waterways**

The objectives of this zone are to enable the efficient movement and operation of commercial shipping, water-based transport and maritime industries, to promote the equitable use of waterways, including appropriate recreational uses, to minimise impacts on ecological values arising from the active use of waterways and to provide for sustainable fishing industries.

This zone should only be applied to waterways that are primarily used for shipping, port, transport and other uses.\(^{10}\)

**Have environmental zones been appropriately applied?**

There are a number of ‘E’ zones in the Standard Instrument. These zones are applied to land where the principal focus is the conservation or management of environmental values. The zones provide for varying levels of protection. It is therefore important to look at the objectives and permissible uses of each of these zones to ensure that they achieve desirable outcomes for the area and that the permissible uses are consistent with the objectives.

It is also important that these zones are applied to the right areas, with the more rigorous zones affording the most protection applied to the highest conservation value land. Look to see that this is the case, as applying the more stringent zones to areas that are not of high conservation value may mislead the community into thinking that the environment is being protected when it is not.

**Zone E1 National Parks and Nature Reserves**

The objectives of this zone are to enable the management and appropriate use of land that is reserved or acquired under the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974*, to enable uses authorised under that Act, to identify land that is to be reserved under the Act and to protect the environmental significance of that land.

This zone is for existing national parks, nature reserves and conservation areas and for new areas proposed for reservation that have been identified and agreed by the NSW Government.\(^{11}\)

The Standard Instrument does not list any developments as either permitted with or without consent. Council may add some developments to this list. If it does so, be

---

\(^{10}\) Department of Planning, LEP Practice Note - Preparing LEPs using the Standard Instrument: Standard Zones.

\(^{11}\) Department of Planning, LEP Practice Note - Environment Protection Zones, p 1.
sure to check that the types of development that are added are consistent with the objectives of the zone.

**Zone E2 Environmental Conservation**

The objectives of this zone are to protect, manage and restore areas of high ecological, scientific, cultural or aesthetic values and to prevent development that could destroy, damage or otherwise have an adverse effect on those values.

This zone is for areas outside the national parks and nature reserves and provides the highest level of protection for high conservation value lands without actually locking them up in the public reserve system. As such, development that is compatible with the preservation of these values will be allowed. Council will be able to add developments as permitted with or without consent. Look to see that council does not add any developments that would undermine the protection afforded to land zoned E2.

**Zone E3 Environmental Management**

The objectives of this zone are to protect, manage and restore areas with special ecological, scientific, cultural or aesthetic values and to provide for a limited range of development that does not have an adverse effect on those values.

This zone does not provide the same level of protection as the E2 zone but it will still afford some protection from high impact developments. For example, dwelling houses are permitted with consent. This assumes that such developments will not have an adverse effect on the environmental values that the zone seeks to protect. Council can still refuse a dwelling house if it is likely to adversely affect the protected values.

This zone is also for areas that are subject to environmental hazards or processes that require careful management. This might include flood constrained land or bush fire prone land.

**Zone E4 Environmental Living**

The objectives of this zone are to provide for low-impact residential development in areas with special ecological, scientific or aesthetic values and to ensure that residential development does not have an adverse effect on those values.

As with the E3 zone, any development is to be well located and designed so that it does not have an adverse effect on the environmental qualities of the land.

**Split zones**

E zones are applied where the protection of the environmental significance of the land is the primary consideration. If a piece of land contains environmental qualities that are worth protecting, yet the land has other values too, the council can apply more than one zone across the land.

---

12 Department of Planning, LEP Practice Note - Environment Protection Zones, p 1.
For example, this approach might be appropriate to distinguish between areas of environmental value and areas for agricultural purposes with part of the land being zoned as an E zone and the remainder as one of the rural zones.\(^{13}\)

**Has flood prone land been appropriately zoned?**

There is no 'flood prone' zone so flood prone land must be allocated a zone that is compatible with the flood prone nature of the land. Flooding is a natural hazard that might be recognised through the use of overlays. This allows the land to be zoned for a purpose but extra considerations must be taken into account before development can occur there. It might mean that certain development standards need to be adopted, for example, a requirement that buildings be constructed on stilts.

Ideally, there should be set-backs for development near rivers and other waterways.

The Standard Instrument contains a miscellaneous provision, clause 5.7, which is compulsory for LEPs that apply to land that contain tidal waters. The objective of clause 5.7 is to ensure appropriate environmental assessment for development carried out on land covered by tidal waters.

Where this provision applies, development consent is required to carry out development on any land below the mean high water mark of any body of water subject to tidal influence (including the bed of any such water).

**Does the LEP protect prime agricultural land?**

There are a number of zones relating to agricultural land. It is important that these zones are placed over land that is of high agricultural value so that the future of our food supply is protected.

Check to see that prime agricultural land is zoned appropriately, given its productivity and other considerations. If subdivision is allowed over prime agricultural land it may be possible for the land to be turned into residential estates and the productivity of the land will be lost forever.

Also be aware that the Standard Instrument Principal LEP prescribes that Zone RU1 Primary Production allow extractive industries and open cut mining with consent. This will be discussed further below.

**Zone RU1 Primary Production**

The objectives of this zone are to encourage sustainable primary industry production by maintaining and enhancing the natural resource base, to encourage diversity in primary industry enterprises and systems appropriate for the area, to minimise the fragmentation and alienation of resource lands and to minimise conflict between land uses within the zone and land uses within adjoining zones.

Extensive agriculture and home occupations are permitted without consent. ‘Extensive agriculture’ includes the commercial production of crops or fodder

\(^{13}\) Department of Planning, LEP Practice Note - Environment Protection Zones, p 2.
(including irrigated pasture and fodder crops), the commercial grazing of livestock, or bee keeping for commercial purposes.

Extensive agriculture does not include animal boarding or training establishments, aquaculture, farm forestry, intensive livestock agriculture or intensive plant agriculture.

Dwelling houses, extractive industries and mining are permitted with consent.

This is potentially problematic as mining and other extractive industries can have a negative impact on the productivity of agricultural land through subsidence and water contamination as well as competition over water resources. However, the council will not be able to remove these developments from this category so it will be possible for such developments to be approved in the zone.

It is also arguable that allowing mining in this zone does not meet the stated objective of minimising conflict between land uses but this will be a matter for the decision-maker to consider when deciding whether to approve a mine in an area zoned RU1.

No developments are prohibited outright under this zone, although your council might add some. You might like to suggest that the council add some developments that you believe are incompatible with primary production. A good example would be medium or high density residential developments.

**Zone RU2 Rural Landscape**

The objectives of this zone are to encourage sustainable primary industry production by maintaining and enhancing the natural resource base, to maintain the rural landscape character of the land and to provide for a range of compatible land uses, including extensive agriculture.

This zone is generally intended for rural land with landscape values or land that has reduced agricultural capability due to gradient, soil type, vegetation, rock outcrops, salinity etc. but which is suitable for grazing and other forms of extensive agriculture.\(^{14}\)

Extensive agriculture and home occupations are allowed without consent. Dwelling houses are allowed with consent.

Given that mining and extractive industries are not listed as permitted with consent under this zone, this zone actually provides greater protection for prime agricultural land than the RU1 Primary Production zone, unless your council adds mining as a type of development permitted under the zone.

**Zone RU3 Forestry**

The objectives of the zone are to enable development for forestry purposes and to enable other development that is compatible with forestry land uses, including roads.

---

\(^{14}\) Department of Planning, LEP Practice Note - Preparing LEPs using the Standard Instrument: Standard Zones, p 3.
The zone is generally intended to identify and protect land that is to be used for long-term forestry operations. An alternative zone might be the RU1 Primary Production zone.15

Where this zone applies, no development consent is needed to carry out uses that are authorised by the Forestry Act 1916. Such uses include permits to occupy land as the site of a sawmill or other building and for the purposes of charcoal burning or any other purpose approved by the Forestry Commission.16

**Zone RU4 Rural Small Holdings**

The objectives of this zone are to enable sustainable primary industry and other compatible land uses, to maintain the rural and scenic character of the land, to ensure that development does not unreasonably increase the demand for public services or public facilities and to minimise conflict between land uses within the zone and land uses within adjoining zones.

This zone should be applied to land intended for small-scale rural and primary industry production and emerging primary industries. This zone should not apply to land that is primarily residential in function and for this reason dwelling houses are only permitted with consent.

**Zone RU5 Village**

The objective of this zone is to provide for a range of land uses, services and facilities that are associated with a rural village.

**Zone RU6 Transition**

The objectives of this zone are to protect and maintain land that provides a transition between rural and other land uses and to minimise conflict between land uses within the zone and land uses within adjoining zones.

This zone should be applied to land that provides a buffer between rural land and land used for other uses such as residential uses.

**Are Lot sizes appropriate?**

Minimum lot sizes for the subdivision of rural land are to be identified on the Lot Size Map which councils must prepare. Minimum lot size may vary within a zone, depending on how the Map is drawn.17

**Is the LEP informed by a comprehensive environmental study, including vegetation mapping?**

It is important that each LEP is adapted to suit local conditions and that an evidence-based approach is taken to the allocation of zones.

---

15 Department of Planning, LEP Practice Note - Preparing LEPs using the Standard Instrument: Standard Zones, p 3.
16 Forestry Act 1916, s 31(1A).
17 Department of Planning, LEP Practice Note - Preparing LEPs using the Standard Instrument: Standard Zones, p 3.
If a comprehensive environmental study has not been prepared, ask why. If council is seeking to rely on a previous environmental study, are there any compelling reasons why the council should not rely on it? Is it still current?

In many cases councils do not legally have to prepare an environmental study but it is best practice to do so.

**Has public land been reclassified?**

Public land is land that is under the control of council. When public land is acquired it is classified as either 'community land' or 'operational land'.

Community land includes parks, reserves and sports grounds. It is generally open to the public.

Operational land is not open to the public but is often used for public purposes such as works depots. It may also be held as a temporary asset.

Public land can be reclassified through a LEP - that is, changed from community land to operational land or from operational land to community land. The Standard Instrument provides for land to be reclassified under clause 5.2. All that is required is for council to place certain public land into the appropriate schedule. Operational land will appear in Part 1 or Part 2 of Schedule 4 and community land will appear in Part 3 of Schedule 4. This means that public land that was community land can be reclassified simply by being moved into Part 1 or 2 of Schedule 4.

If the council is reclassifying community land to operational land, it is important to note that the reclassification will enable the council to sell the land, as operational land can be sold whereas community land cannot. Operational land can also be developed for private use.

It is very important to note how public land is classified and ensure community land does not slip into operational land unnoticed.

**Has bush fire risk been adequately dealt with?**

There is no zone that specifically acknowledges bush fire hazards. The Standard Instrument deals with bush fire risk through a compulsory miscellaneous provision. Clause 5.11 states that bush fire hazard reduction work authorised by the *Rural Fires Act 1997* may be carried out on any land without consent.

The *Rural Fires Act 1997* itself deals with the carrying out of development on bush fire prone land. Section 100B states that a bush fire safety authority can authorise the subdivision of bush fire prone land for residential or rural residential purposes. Before a development can be approved by the bush fire safety authority it must comply with standards regarding setbacks, provision of water supply and other

---

18 Exceptions include roads, land under the *Crown Lands Act 1989* (NSW), a common or land to which the *Trustees of Schools of Arts Enabling Act 1902* (NSW) applies.
19 Department of Planning, LEP Practice Note - Classification and Reclassification of Public Land Through a LEP, p 1.
20 Department of Planning, LEP Practice Note - Classification and Reclassification of Public Land Through a LEP, p 1.
matters considered by the Commissioner to be necessary to protect people, property or the environment from danger that may arise from a bush fire.\textsuperscript{21}

You should decide whether the provisions of this clause (combined with the provisions of the \textit{Rural Fires Act}) are an adequate response to bush fire risk, particularly in light of climate change given that the intensity and frequency of bush fires in NSW is expected to increase. You may wish to suggest additional provisions to strengthen this clause, including overlays in areas that are zoned for residential development.

\textit{Is heritage protected?}

The Standard Instrument has a compulsory clause relating to the protection of heritage. The objectives of clause 5.10 are to conserve the environmental heritage of the relevant local government area, to conserve the heritage significance of heritage items and heritage conservation areas including associated fabric, settings and views, to conserve archaeological sites, and to conserve places of Aboriginal heritage significance.

The clause then sets out a number of activities that relate to heritage items for which consent must be granted. It also sets out a number of factors that the council must consider before granting consent to an activity that will affect the heritage significance of an item or place.

As this is a compulsory clause you do not need to check that it is included but you should still check to see if the provisions of this clause are sufficient to protect local heritage. If you think they could be improved, you could suggest that council includes additional objectives or additional provisions in this clause.

\textit{Are trees and vegetation adequately protected?}

The Standard Instrument has an optional clause that deals with trees and vegetation. The objective of clause 5.9 is to preserve the amenity of the area through the preservation of trees and other vegetation.

The clause requires people to get either a permit from council or development consent before they harm or remove certain trees. Before a tree is protected by this clause it must be included in council’s Development Control Plan (DCP).

This is a compulsory clause, however subclause 9, which excludes things like the clearing of native vegetation authorised under the \textit{Native Vegetation Act 2003} or the \textit{Native Vegetation Conservation Act 1997}, or the clearing of vegetation under the \textit{Forestry Act 1916}. As this subclause is optional your council may choose not to include it. If you want to see this provision included in your LEP, your submission should say so. You may also suggest additional provisions such as additional objectives to strengthen the protection afforded by the clause. Also, the number and type of species protected by this clause will be in the DCP so you should suggest the species you think should be included.

\textit{Has climate change been taken into account?}

\textsuperscript{21} \textit{Rural Fires Act 1997 (NSW), s. 100B.}
It is expected that impacts of climate change will include rising sea levels, increased coastal flooding and storm surges, and heightened bushfire risk. The risk and the likelihood each of these impacts occurring will differ from place to place. It is important to think about what the potential risks are and check to see whether the LEP has zoned vulnerable areas appropriately.

For example, are there coastal overlays that acknowledge the potential threats of rising seas? With regards to development in the coastal zone, the Standard Instrument states:

Consent must not be granted to development on land that is wholly or partly within the coastal zone unless the consent authority has considered the effect of coastal processes and coastal hazards and potential impacts, including sea level rise on the proposed development and arising from the proposed development.

This is a compulsory provision for land that is within the coastal zone and represents one of only two references to climate change in the Standard Instrument. While this provision ensures that councils consider the impacts of the development on sea level rise (and vice versa), it is not a very strong planning response to the challenges posed by climate change.

The council is only required to ‘consider’ the impacts and so long as it does this, it satisfies the requirements of the provision. There is no requirement for the council to reject proposals that will impact adversely on coastal process or that will be, for example, likely to be affected by sea level rise in the future.

**Does the LEP reflect the Local Strategic Plan?**

There are 11 Local Land Services regions covering NSW. Previously known as Catchment Management Authorities (CMAs), these statutory bodies are set up under legislation and each is named after the region that it covers – Central Tablelands, Central West, Greater Sydney, Hunter, Murray, North Coast, North West, Northern Tablelands, Riverina, South East, and Western.

Local Land Services (LLS) are responsible for coordinating natural resource management, biosecurity and agricultural production advice in their regions. They do this in part by preparing a Local Strategic Plan for each of the regions which provide on the ground natural resource management information and guidance and allow for community input. Local Strategic Plans are developed to identify local priorities and determine how to best achieve the strategies and goals of the State Strategic Plan.

There is no requirement for the LEP to reflect the Local Strategic Plan or to even take it into account, but it is worth looking at the Local Strategic Plan as it is likely to identify current and future natural resource challenges for your area and ideas about how these challenges can be met. As a source of information about your area, the Local Strategic Plan is likely to be very useful and you could refer to it in your submission.

---


23 Standard Instrument, Principal LEP, cl. 5.5(2)(f).