

Official General Election Ballot

Elbert County, Colorado
Tuesday, November 6, 2018

Dallas Schroeder
Clerk and Recorder


SAMPLE BALLOT

How to vote

Correct




Incorrect



- Use blue or black ink
- Completely fill the oval to the left of your choice

If you make a mistake



- Cross out the entire name or choice that you marked by mistake
- Completely fill another oval to the left of the correct choice

Optional write-in



- Completely fill the oval to the left of the words "Write-in"
- Then legibly print the name of an eligible write-in candidate on the line

- Do not vote for more candidates or choices than indicated for each ballot contest
- Do not erase or white out mistakes
- Do not sign or initial your ballot or mistakes
- You don't have to vote in every race or contest

WARNING: Any person who, by use of force or other means, unduly influences an eligible elector to vote in any particular manner or to refrain from voting, or who falsely makes, alters, forges, or counterfeits any mail ballot before or after it has been cast, or who destroys, defaces, mutilates, or tampers with a ballot is subject, upon conviction, to imprisonment, or to a fine, or to both. Section 1-7.5-107(3)(b), C.R.S.

Federal Offices

Representative to the 116th United States Congress District 4

(Vote for One)

- Karen McCormick Democratic
- Ken Buck Republican
- Write-in:

State Offices

Governor / Lieutenant Governor

(Vote for One Pair)

- Jared Polis / Dianne Primavera Democratic
- Walker Stapleton / Lang Sias Republican
- Bill Hammons / Eric Bodenstab Unity
- Scott Helker / Michele Poague Libertarian

Secretary of State

(Vote for One)

- Wayne Williams Republican
- Jena Griswold Democratic
- Amanda Campbell American Constitution
- Blake Huber Approval Voting

State Treasurer

(Vote for One)

- Brian Watson Republican
- Dave Young Democratic
- Gerald F. Kilpatrick American Constitution

State Offices

Attorney General

(Vote for One)

- Phil Weiser Democratic
- George Brauchler Republican
- William F. Robinson III Libertarian

State Board of Education Member Congressional District 4

(Vote for One)

- Tim Krug Democratic
- Debora L. Scheffel Republican

Regent of the University of Colorado At Large

(Vote for One)

- Lesley Smith Democratic
- Ken Montera Republican
- Christopher E. Otwell Unity
- James K. Treibert Libertarian

State Senator District 1

(Vote for One)

- Jerry Sonnenberg Republican
- Debra Gustafson Democratic

State Representative District 64

(Vote for One)

- Kimmi Lewis Republican
- Teri Nilson Baird Democratic

County Offices

County Commissioner District 2

(Vote for One)

- Rick Pettitt Republican

County Clerk and Recorder

(Vote for One)

- Dallas Schroeder Republican

County Treasurer

(Vote for One)

- Sheryl (Sherry) Hewlett Republican

County Assessor

(Vote for One)

- Susan Murphy Republican

County Sheriff

(Vote for One)

- Donald Charabee Democratic
- Timothy D. Norton Republican

County Surveyor

(Vote for One)

- Keith Westfall Republican

County Coroner

(Vote for One)

- Sandra K (Sandy) Graeff Republican

Municipal Offices	District Court Judge	State Measures
Kiowa Mayor (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Gabriele Cheatham	Shall Judge Peter F. Michaelson of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	Amendment Y (CONSTITUTIONAL) Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the way that congressional districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, taking the duty to draw congressional districts away from the state legislature and giving it to an independent commission, composed of twelve citizens who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party's control of the commission by requiring that one-third of commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's second largest political party; prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw districts with a focus on communities of interest and political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive congressional seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party? <input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against
Kiowa Board of Trustees (Vote for Five) <input type="radio"/> Jonathon Shafer <input type="radio"/> Rickard D. Kolm <input type="radio"/> Dean McAllister <input type="radio"/> Trevor Smith <input type="radio"/> Cindy Tapp	Shall Judge Michael Spear of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No Shall Judge Shay K. Whitaker of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	Amendment Z (CONSTITUTIONAL) Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the manner in which state senate and state house of representatives districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, reforming the existing legislative reapportionment commission by expanding the commission to twelve members and authorizing the appointment of members who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party's control of the commission by requiring that one-third of commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's second largest political party; prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw state legislative districts using communities of interest as well as political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive state legislative seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party? <input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against
Judicial Retention Questions (Vote Yes or No)	County Court Judge Shall Judge Palmer L. Boyette of the Elbert County Court be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	Amendment A (CONSTITUTIONAL) Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution that prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude as punishment for a crime and thereby prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude in all circumstances? <input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against
Colorado Supreme Court Justice Shall Justice Richard L. Gabriel of the Colorado Supreme Court be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	Ballot Measures Ballot questions referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot questions initiated by the people are listed numerically. A ballot question listed as an "amendment" proposes a change to the Colorado constitution, and a ballot question listed as a "proposition" proposes a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes. A "yes/for" vote on any ballot question is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "no/against" vote on any ballot question is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances.	
Colorado Court of Appeals Judge Shall Judge John Daniel Dailey of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	State Measures	
Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	Amendment V (CONSTITUTIONAL) Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a reduction in the age qualification for a member of the general assembly from twenty-five years to twenty-one years? <input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against	
Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	Amendment W (CONSTITUTIONAL) Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change in the format of the election ballot for judicial retention elections? <input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against	
Shall Judge David J. Richman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	Amendment X (CONSTITUTIONAL) Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning changing the industrial hemp definition from a constitutional definition to a statutory definition? <input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against	
District Court Judge Shall Judge Phillip L. Douglass of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against	
Shall Judge Patricia Herron of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No		
Shall Judge Gary M. Kramer of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No		
Shall Judge Ben L. Leutwyler III of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No		
Shall Judge Robert R. Lung of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No		
Shall Judge Bonnie Heather McLean of the 18th Judicial District be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No		

SAMPLE BALLOT

State Measures	State Measures	Municipal Measures
<p>Amendment 73 (CONSTITUTIONAL)</p> <p>SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$1,600,000,000 ANNUALLY BY AN AMENDMENT TO THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES CONCERNING FUNDING RELATING TO PRESCHOOL THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC EDUCATION, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CREATING AN EXCEPTION TO THE SINGLE RATE STATE INCOME TAX FOR REVENUE THAT IS DEDICATED TO THE FUNDING OF PUBLIC SCHOOLS; INCREASING INCOME TAX RATES INCREMENTALLY FOR INDIVIDUALS, TRUSTS, AND ESTATES USING FOUR TAX BRACKETS STARTING AT .37% FOR INCOME ABOVE \$150,000 AND INCREASING TO 3.62% FOR INCOME ABOVE \$500,000; INCREASING THE CORPORATE INCOME TAX RATE BY 1.37%; FOR PURPOSES OF SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPERTY TAXES, REDUCING THE CURRENT RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 7.2% TO 7.0% AND THE CURRENT NONRESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 29% TO 24%; REQUIRING THE REVENUE FROM THE INCOME TAX INCREASES TO BE DEPOSITED IN A DEDICATED PUBLIC EDUCATION FUND AND ALLOWING THE REVENUE COLLECTED TO BE RETAINED AND SPENT AS VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES; REQUIRING THE LEGISLATURE TO ANNUALLY APPROPRIATE MONEY FROM THE FUND TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO SUPPORT EARLY CHILDHOOD THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS ON AN EQUITABLE BASIS THROUGHOUT THE STATE WITHOUT DECREASING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS; DIRECTING THE LEGISLATURE TO ENACT, REGULARLY REVIEW, AND REVISE WHEN NECESSARY, A NEW PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE LAW THAT MEETS SPECIFIED CRITERIA; UNTIL THE LEGISLATURE HAS ENACTED A NEW PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE LAW, REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE ANNUALLY APPROPRIATED FOR SPECIFIED EDUCATION PROGRAMS AND PURPOSES; REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE USED TO SUPPORT ONLY PUBLIC SCHOOLS; REQUIRING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR PUBLIC EDUCATION TO INCREASE BY INFLATION, UP TO 5% ANNUALLY; AND REQUIRING THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION TO COMMISSION A STUDY OF THE USE OF THE MONEY IN THE FUND WITHIN FIVE YEARS?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p>Proposition 109 (STATUTORY)</p> <p>SHALL STATE DEBT BE INCREASED \$3,500,000,000, WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF \$5,200,000,000, WITHOUT RAISING TAXES OR FEES, BY A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES REQUIRING THE ISSUANCE OF TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, NOTE PROCEEDS SHALL BE RETAINED AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND USED EXCLUSIVELY TO FUND SPECIFIED ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPANSION, CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND REPAIR PROJECTS THROUGHOUT THE STATE?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p>TOWN OF KIOWA BALLOT ISSUE 2A</p> <p>SHALL TOWN OF KIOWA TAXES BE INCREASED BY AN ESTIMATED ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$125,000.00) ANNUALLY IN 2019, AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE COLLECTED ANNUALLY IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS, FROM THE LEVY OF AN ADDITIONAL ONE AND ONE-HALF PERCENT (1½%) SALES TAX, THE PROCEEDS TO BE USED AS FOLLOWS: (A) ONE PERCENT (1%) TO BE USED FOR THE DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, AND MAINTENANCE OF THE TOWN ROADS AND STREETS AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE, AND (B) ONE-HALF OF ONE PERCENT (½%) TO BE USED FOR GENERAL GOVERNMENTAL PURPOSES, AND SHALL THE TOWN BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND SPEND THE FULL PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAX WITHOUT LIMITATION AND WITHOUT LIMITING THE EXPENDITURE OF ANY OTHER REVENUES OR FUNDS UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>
<p>Amendment 74 (CONSTITUTIONAL)</p> <p>Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution requiring the government to award just compensation to owners of private property when a government law or regulation reduces the fair market value of the property?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p>Proposition 110 (STATUTORY)</p> <p>SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$766,700,000 ANNUALLY FOR A TWENTY-YEAR PERIOD, AND STATE DEBT SHALL BE INCREASED \$6,000,000,000 WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF \$9,400,000,000, TO PAY FOR STATE AND LOCAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CHANGING THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES TO: 1) INCREASE THE STATE SALES AND USE TAX RATE BY 0.62% BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2019; REQUIRING 45% OF THE NEW REVENUE TO FUND STATE TRANSPORTATION SAFETY, MAINTENANCE, AND CONGESTION RELATED PROJECTS, 40% TO FUND MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND 15% TO FUND MULTIMODAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, INCLUDING BIKE, PEDESTRIAN, AND TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE; 2) AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE OF ADDITIONAL TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES TO FUND PRIORITY STATE TRANSPORTATION MAINTENANCE AND CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS, INCLUDING MULTIMODAL CAPITAL PROJECTS; AND 3) PROVIDE THAT ALL REVENUE RESULTING FROM THE TAX RATE INCREASE AND PROCEEDS FROM ISSUANCE OF REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES ARE VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES EXEMPT FROM ANY STATE OR LOCAL REVENUE, SPENDING, OR OTHER LIMITATIONS IN LAW?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p>School District Measures</p> <p>ELIZABETH SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT ISSUE 4A</p> <p>WITHOUT INCREASING TAXES, SHALL ELIZABETH SCHOOL DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO EXTEND ITS EXISTING TAX FOR BONDED DEBT, AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO CONTINUE TO COLLECT UP TO \$1,590,000 IN PROPERTY TAX REVENUE IN 2019 AND ANNUALLY THEREAFTER, WHICH MONEYS SHALL BE DEPOSITED INTO THE GENERAL FUND OF THE DISTRICT AND USED TO:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ATTRACT AND RETAIN TEACHERS AND SUPPORT STAFF BY OFFERING COMPENSATION THAT IS COMPETITIVE WITH SURROUNDING SCHOOL DISTRICTS; • EXPAND SAFETY AND SECURITY MEASURES THROUGH EQUIPMENT, TRAINING, AND PREPAREDNESS, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE ADDITION OF A SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICER AND SOCIAL-EMOTIONAL SUPPORT FOR STUDENTS; • PROVIDE ALL STUDENTS WITH ADDITIONAL ACCESS TO TECHNOLOGY THAT FACILITATES LEARNING AND POST-GRADUATE SUCCESS; <p>PROVIDED THAT IN 2019 A PORTION OF THE \$1,590,000 WILL BE USED TO MAKE THE FINAL PAYMENTS ON THE DISTRICT'S GENERAL OBLIGATION DEBT;</p> <p>AND, BASED ON STUDENT COUNT, SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO ALLOCATE A PORTION OF THE REVENUE RAISED TO LEGACY ACADEMY, AS REQUIRED BY LAW, TO USE FOR THE PURPOSES SET FORTH HEREIN;</p> <p>AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN, AND SPEND ALL REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND THE EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>
<p>Amendment 75 (CONSTITUTIONAL)</p> <p>Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution providing that if any candidate in a primary or general election for state office directs more than one million dollars in support of his or her own election, then every candidate for that office in the same election may accept five times the amount of campaign contributions normally allowed?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p>Proposition 111 (STATUTORY)</p> <p>Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning limitations on payday lenders, and, in connection therewith, reducing allowable charges on payday loans to an annual percentage rate of no more than thirty-six percent?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	
	<p>Proposition 112 (STATUTORY)</p> <p>Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning a statewide minimum distance requirement for new oil and gas development, and, in connection therewith, changing existing distance requirements to require that any new oil and gas development be located at least 2,500 feet from any structure intended for human occupancy and any other area designated by the measure, the state, or a local government and authorizing the state or a local government to increase the minimum distance requirement?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	

SAMPLE BALLOT

School District Measures	Fire Protection District Measures	Fire Protection District Measures
<p>DOUGLAS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT RE 1 BALLOT ISSUE 5A</p> <p>SHALL DOUGLAS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$40,000,000 ANNUALLY COMMENCING IN COLLECTION YEAR 2019 AND IN EACH YEAR THEREAFTER FOR GENERAL FUND PURPOSES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: ATTRACTING AND RETAINING QUALITY TEACHERS AND STAFF BY INCREASING DISTRICT SALARIES; PROVIDING ADDITIONAL MENTAL HEALTH SUPPORT AND PREVENTION PROGRAMMING FOR STUDENTS AND ENHANCING SAFETY AND SECURITY RESOURCES; PROVIDING CAREER FOCUSED LEARNING AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION FOR MORE STUDENTS SO THAT STUDENTS GAIN THE SKILLS THEY NEED FOR JOBS AND CAREERS; CONTINUING THE DISTRICT'S COMMITMENT TO HIGH ACADEMIC STANDARDS BY ENSURING ALL STUDENTS HAVE EQUITABLE ACCESS TO EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES AND PERSONALIZED PROGRAMMING; AND SHALL SUCH TAX INCREASE BE AN ADDITIONAL PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY IN EXCESS OF THE LEVY AUTHORIZED FOR THE DISTRICT'S GENERAL FUND, PURSUANT TO AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 22-54-108, C.R.S.; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND ALL REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND THE EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p>RATTLESNAKE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BALLOT ISSUE 6A</p> <p>SHALL THE RATTLESNAKE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT AD VALOREM MILL LEVY BE INCREASED OR DECREASED ANNUALLY, COMMENCING IN 2018, TO ACCOUNT FOR CHANGES IN LAW OR THE METHOD BY WHICH ASSESSED VALUATION IS CALCULATED OCCURRING IN 2018 OR THEREAFTER, SO THAT TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, THE ACTUAL TAX REVENUES GENERATED BY THE MILL LEVY, AS ADJUSTED, ARE NEITHER DIMINISHED NOR ENHANCED AS A RESULT OF SUCH CHANGES; THE REVENUES FROM SUCH ADJUSTMENT TO BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING THE DISTRICT'S OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND OTHER EXPENSES, AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE IN 2018 AND IN EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p>ELIZABETH FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BALLOT ISSUE 6C</p> <p>SHALL ELIZABETH FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO INCREASE OR DECREASE ITS CURRENT AND ALL FUTURE MILL LEVIES ONLY IF, ON OR AFTER NOVEMBER 6, 2018, THERE ARE CHANGES IN THE METHOD OF CALCULATING ASSESSED VALUATION, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO A CHANGE IN THE PERCENTAGE OF ACTUAL VALUATION USED TO DETERMINE RESIDENTIAL ASSESSED VALUATION DUE TO ARTICLE X SECTION 3 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION (COMMONLY KNOWN AS THE GALLAGHER AMENDMENT), SO THAT, TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, THE ACTUAL TAX REVENUES GENERATED BY SUCH MILL LEVIES ARE THE SAME AS THE ACTUAL TAX REVENUES THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN GENERATED HAD SUCH CHANGES NOT OCCURRED?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>
<p>DOUGLAS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT RE 1 BALLOT ISSUE 5B</p> <p>SHALL DOUGLAS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT DEBT BE INCREASED \$249,975,000 WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$399,000,700, AND SHALL DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$34,440,150 ANNUALLY TO PAY SUCH DEBT, ALL FOR THE PURPOSE OF: ENHANCING SAFETY AND SECURITY INFRASTRUCTURE AT ALL SCHOOL BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES; PROVIDING EQUIPMENT, CLASSROOM MATERIALS AND TECHNOLOGY TO PROVIDE LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES IN ACADEMICS AND CAREER-BASED SKILLS; UPDATING SCHOOL BUILDINGS TO CONTINUE PROVIDING SAFE, EFFICIENT, QUALITY LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS FOR STUDENTS AND STAFF; AND FOR ACQUIRING, CONSTRUCTING OR IMPROVING ANY CAPITAL ASSETS THAT THE DISTRICT IS AUTHORIZED BY LAW TO OWN; AND SHALL THE MILL LEVY BE INCREASED IN ANY YEAR WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE AND AMOUNT SUFFICIENT TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON SUCH DEBT OR ANY REFUNDING DEBT (OR TO CREATE A RESERVE FOR SUCH PAYMENT) PROVIDED THAT ANY REVENUE PRODUCED BY SUCH MILL LEVY SHALL NOT EXCEED \$34,440,150 ANNUALLY; SUCH DEBT TO BE EVIDENCED BY THE ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS TO BE SOLD IN ONE SERIES OR MORE, FOR A PRICE ABOVE OR BELOW THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF SUCH SERIES, ON TERMS AND CONDITIONS AND WITH SUCH MATURITIES AS PERMITTED BY LAW, INCLUDING PROVISIONS FOR REDEMPTION OF THE BONDS PRIOR TO MATURITY WITH OR WITHOUT PAYMENT OF THE PREMIUM OF NOT TO EXCEED ONE PERCENT; AND SHALL SUCH TAX REVENUES AND THE EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH BOND PROCEEDS AND TAX REVENUES BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p>KIOWA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BALLOT ISSUE 6B</p> <p>SHALL THE KIOWA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT AD VALOREM MILL LEVY BE INCREASED OR DECREASED ANNUALLY, COMMENCING IN 2018, TO ACCOUNT FOR CHANGES IN LAW OR THE METHOD BY WHICH ASSESSED VALUATION IS CALCULATED OCCURRING IN 2018 OR THEREAFTER, SO THAT TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, THE ACTUAL TAX REVENUES GENERATED BY THE MILL LEVY, AS ADJUSTED, ARE NEITHER DIMINISHED NOR ENHANCED AS A RESULT OF SUCH CHANGES; THE REVENUES FROM SUCH ADJUSTMENT TO BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING THE DISTRICT'S OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND OTHER EXPENSES, AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE IN 2018 AND IN EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p>ELBERT FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT - 7A</p> <p>SHALL ELBERT FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO ADJUST THE OPERATING MILL LEVY BEGINNING IN 2020 AND ANNUALLY THEREAFTER AT A RATE SUFFICIENT TO GENERATE UP TO \$243,157.56 (THE AMOUNT BEING COLLECTED IN 2018), SUBJECT TO ANNUAL ADJUSTMENT FOR INFLATION AND LOCAL GROWTH, FOR OPERATIONS AND OTHER PURPOSES?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>

SAMPLE BALLOT