

f \ctyclerk\election\0424-2599 canvass stf rpt Council Meeting May 11, 1999 Santa Monica, California

- TO Mayor and City Council
- FROM City Clerk
- SUBJECT Resolution of the City Council of the City of Santa Monica Accepting the City Clerk's Official Canvass and Official Statement of Votes Cast for the Special Municipal Election Held on April 24-25, 1999, and Declaring the Results Thereof

INTRODUCTION

On April 24-25, 1999, a special municipal election was held in the City of Santa Monica for the purpose of electing one member to the Santa Monica City Council to fill an unscheduled vacancy with a term ending November 2000

The City Council also placed one measure, a charter amendment, on the ballot requiring a majority vote of those voting in order to pass. The measure placed on the ballot read as follows

PROPOSITION I Shall Santa Monica City Charter Section 1806(b) be amended to provide that a tenant in a controlled rental unit cannot be evicted unless the tenant has committed a material and substantial violation of the lease which the landlord has not waived or otherwise lost the legal rights to use as the basis for an eviction?

BACKGROUND

On September 25, 1998, Councilmember Asha Greenberg tendered her resignation from the City Council due to moving her residence outside of the City boundaries. At its regular meeting of October 13, 1998, the City Council accepted Councilmember Greenberg's resignation and declared her seat vacant. Section 603 of the City Charter provides for filling of any unscheduled vacancy on the Council by appointment within

MAY 1 1 1999

3A

thirty days after the office is declared vacant and, that if not filled, a special election be called to fill the vacancy. The City Council did not fill the vacancy within thirty days and on December 22, 1998, adopted a resolution calling a special election to be held over a two-day period on Saturday and Sunday, April 24-25, 1999

Although the City usually consolidates all its special and regular elections with Los Angeles County in order to encourage high voter turn out and to minimize costs. because the date the special election was scheduled was off-season, consolidation with the County was not possible. In addition, because of the unprecedented nature of holding a two-day, weekend, stand-alone election in the City, the City Clerk was directed to use all means available and necessary to advertise the election

ELECTION RESULTS

For this election there were 54,156 registered voters in the City There were seven candidates on the ballot for the Council vacancy Candidate Richard Bloom received a majority of 7,848 votes that equaled 54 0% of the total ballots The charter amendment passed with a total of 10,231 equaling 71 8% of the vote The number of ballots tallied was 14,869 Out of that number 5,183 were absentee ballots (with a few provisional included) and 9,686 voted at the polls for a final 27 5% turnout of voters

REPORT ON TWO-DAY WEEKEND ELECTION

Precinct Workers

Staff was prepared to have difficulties recruiting precinct workers that would agree to work both election days and/or work during a weekend. Staff was gratified to secure, within a reasonable time, poll workers who committed to work both days. In addition, staff had a waiting list of over 100 people that were willing to be precinct workers. On the days of the election there were the expected worker cancellations due to unforseen events. However, staff was able to substitute workers quickly and all the precincts were staffed as planned.

Precinct workers attended a mandatory 90-minute training class on the procedures established for the two-day election and on the process for securing the "live" (voted) and unused (unvoted) ballots at the end of the day on Saturday

Polling Sites

The County precincts were consolidated from 66 into 34 City precincts Although staff made every effort to utilize the polling sites used by the County in previous elections, fifteen of the sites were not available for the entire two days and were not available because of the weekend Staff was diligent in securing thirteen conventional (residences, schools, hotel lobbies, etc.) polling sites to replace those that were unavailable. except for two Out of this necessity arose the concept of using two coffee shops as polling sites. Based on the comments received by staff, the use of the two coffee shops as polling sites was a novelty and appears to have been beneficial

A number of members of the public called our office prior to the election to express concerns regarding, and/or to protest the change in polling places. The callers were understanding once staff explained the reasons for the changes. Staff provided polling site location information continuously via the telephone during the last two weeks before the election and from 7 00 a m, to 7 00 p m, the Friday before, and on Saturday and Sunday of the election. Precinct boards were provided with a city-wide polling site map to assist them in directing voters to their appropriate polling place.

After the end of the absentee voting period on March 26th and through 7 00 p m , on Sunday of the election, staff provided home delivery and pick-up service of ballots to a number of individuals physically unable to go vote at the polls

Student Worker Program

The three local high schools. Samohi. St Monica's, and Crossroads, were invited to participate in the *Student Precinct Worker Program*. The requirements for students wishing to participate were that they be U.S. citizens, seniors with a minimum of 2.5.

grade point average and that they attend a precinct worker training class. At the outset twenty-one students signed up to participate. However, for various reasons sixteen students canceled and five students worked on Saturday and Sunday of the election. Reports from the Precinct Inspectors where the students were assigned indicate that the students performed their duties in a competent and efficient manner. The students were paid the same stipend as the precinct clerks, \$50 for the first day and \$75 for the second day.

Advertising

Staff advertised the election in various ways In coordination with the School District, notices were sent home one week before the election with elementary, middle, and high school students notifying voters of the election and reminding them to vote Six banners were placed at major intersections throughout the City from April 5-25, 1999 Fifteen panels were placed on the outside of the Big Blue Buses from March 8-26, 1999, advertising the deadline to register to vote, and from April 5-25, 1999, reminding people to vote on the election days

Staff included notices in *Our Times, Seascape* and in the *Rent Control News*, as well as on the City's Webpage and on CityTV During the Santa Monica Festival on April 17, staff hired an individual to dress as "Uncle Sam" walking on stills who distributed sun visors advertising the election to attendees Finally, after voting at polling sites, voters were given red pencils inscribed with *I voted at the April 24-25, 1999, Santa Monica Special Municipal Election!*

Saturday and Sunday

The opening and closing of the polls was uneventful on both days The turn out was a total of 4.950 mailed absentee ballots, 4.571 votes cast on Saturday, 5.115 votes cast on Sunday, and 233 absentee/provisional ballots from the polls Staff visited every polling site on Saturday to assist the precinct boards, monitor the process, and answer questions related to the two-day procedure

4

Staff's most pressing concern, also voiced by members of the public, was security of the ballots voted on Saturday Precinct inspectors were instructed to return to City Hall after the closing of the polls on Saturday, with the voted ballots in a sealed box, and hand them into the custody of the elections official. The polls closed at 7 00 p m By 8 30 p m , all 34 precincts had reported in with the voted ballots in a sealed container, as well as absentees dropped off at the precinct and provisional votes received, in a sealed envelope. The containers were stored in the City Clerk's vault. The combination to the vault was changed on Friday, the day before, and was known only to the elections staff. The vault was then locked and a Sheriff's Deputy stood guard overnight until 8 00 a m , on Sunday morning when staff returned. The sealed boxes remained in the vault until 7 00 p m , Sunday, and were then escorted by a an elections worker and a Police Officer to the central counting area in Council Chambers to process for counting.

Counting of Ballots

A total of 6,150 applications for absentee voting were received and the same number of absentee ballots was issued for this election. Out of this number, 4,835 absentee ballots were returned by voters by the end of the day Saturday. April 24, 1999 The Absentee Canvass Board processed (prepared for counting) the absentee ballots received by mail on Friday and Saturday. The absentee ballots that were dropped off at the polling sites on Saturday were processed on Sunday and integrated with the mailed-in absentees. These were the first ballots to be counted by the computer immediately after the polls closed at 7 00 p m , on Sunday. While the absentee ballots voted on Saturday, prepared them for the computer, and were counted next. The third and final count on Sunday was the "live" ballots voted on Sunday and delivered by the precinct inspectors to the central counting place. The last precinct reported in at approximately 9 30 p m , and the counting was finished by about 10 00 p m.

5

There were 233 remaining provisional and absentee ballots after the final count on Sunday They were a combination of provisional ballots voted on Saturday and Sunday, and absentee ballots dropped off at the polls on Sunday Final count of these ballots was completed on Thursday, April 29, 1999

TELEPHONE SURVEY REPORT

As it has been portrayed by the news media, this is the first two-day weekend election that has been held in the State of California and in the nation, in modern times Because of its uniqueness, staff contracted with Paul Goodwin, of GLS Research, to conduct a post-election telephone survey. The findings and summary are attached as Exhibit B. The report concludes that were the City to switch to a two-day weekend election, it might increase turnout among voters least likely to participate during elections held on. Tuesdays, including younger voters, minorities, renters, and nonpartisan voters. Conversely, such a practice might impact a large block of more frequent voters for whom a weekend election would be inconvenient or less convenient, or who would be concerned about cost issues.

The survey included a question about an all-mail ballot After being informed of the benefits of an all-mail ballot, including cost-savings and increased voter participation. 69% of the voters were in support and 24% opposed

RECOMMENDATION

It is respectfully recommended that the City Council adopt the resolution certifying the canvass of the votes cast at the special municipal election

Prepared by Maria M Stewart, City Clerk Beth Sanchez, Assistant City Clerk

Attachments Resolution

EXHIBIT A

RESOLUTION NO 9390 (CCS) (CITY COUNCIL SERIES)

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MONICA ACCEPTING THE CITY CLERK'S OFFICIAL CANVASS AND OFFICIAL STATEMENT OF VOTES CAST FOR THE SPECIAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION HELD ON APRIL 24-25, 1999, AND DECLARING THE RESULTS THEREOF

WHEREAS, a Special Municipal Election was held in the City of Santa Monica on April 24-25. 1999, for the purpose of filling an unscheduled vacancy on the City Council and to place a measure on the ballot, and

WHEREAS, the provisions of the Elections Code of the State of California for the holding of elections in Charter cities were complied with and notice of the election was given in the time, form and manner as provided by law, voting precincts were properly established, election officers were appointed, votes were cast, received and canvassed, and the returns were made and declared in the time, form and manner as required, and

WHEREAS, the Santa Monica City Clerk canvassed the returns of the election and certified the results to the City Council, and those results are attached and made a part hereof as "Exhibit A."

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MONICA DOES RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS

SECTION 1 The vote totals for the Special Municipal Election held on April 24-25, 1999, in the City of Santa Monica, as certified by the City Clerk, shall be and hereby are approved and adopted as the formal vote count of the City of Santa Monica for said office and said measure of said election

1

SECTION 2 The names of the candidates on the ballot were

Santa Monica City Council

Richard Bloom

.

Susan Cloke

Frank E Juarez

Don Gray

Peter R Kerndt

Jon Stevens

Marc J Sanschagrin

SECTION 3 The number of voted ballots cast for Proposition 1 and for the

election to the City Council were

REGISTERED	ABSENTEE		TOTAL
VOTERS	BALLOTS		BALLOTS
54,156	5,183	9,686	14,869

SECTION 4 The following candidate was elected to the office of City

Councilmember

CANDIDATE	VOTES
Richard Bloom	7.8 48 (54 0%)

SECTION 5 The measure that appeared on the ballot read as follows

PROPOSITION I Shall Santa Monica City Charter Section 1806(b) be amended to provide that a tenant in a controlled rental unit cannot be evicted unless the tenant has committed a material and substantial violation of the lease which the landlord has not waived or otherwise lost the legal rights to use as the basis for an eviction?

The measure did receive the required votes, and therefore was approved by the following vote

- · ·

	Proposition I	
Yes	10,231	(71 8%)
No	4.010	(28 2%)

SECTION 6 The City Clerk shall enter on the records of the Santa Monica City Council, a statement of the result of the election showing (1) the total number of votes cast for the office and the measure in the election, (2) the names of the persons voted for. (3) the text of the measure voted upon, (4) the office that candidates were running for. (5) the number of votes given at each precinct to each person, and for and against the ballot measures and (6) the total number of votes given to each person, and for and against the ballot measure

SECTION 7 The City Clerk shall immediately make and deliver to the person so elected a Certificate of Election signed by the City Clerk and authenticated The City Clerk shall also administer to that person elected the Oath of Office prescribed in the Constitution of the State of California and shall have that person subscribe to it and file it in the office of the City Clerk The persons so elected shall then be inducted into the office to which he has been elected

3

premiums for the purpose set forth in this Section Employees shall have the right to volunteer for this assignment by causing their names to be placed on an availability list. Assignments shall be made from the list on a rotating basis to employees who are qualified to perform the duties required for the filming assignment. However, if an insufficient number of volunteers are available from that list to serve a particular project, the City may assign any qualified employee(s) who are willing to work such project. The Police Department shall supply the necessary two-way radio communication between the employee and the Police Station and transportation from the station to the filming site. Subject to the provisions of this Section, the administration of this program shall be performed by the City at its discretion and this Section shall be promulgated as Police Department rules and regulations Employees who are given filming assignments shall receive a bonus of \$50.00 for each day assigned to movie overtime.

This provision of the MOU shall only apply to employees represented by MEA as long as employees covered by the Santa Monica Police Officers' Association (SMPOA) MOU are eligible to receive this benefit.

OFFICIAL CANVASS

SPECIAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION - APRIL 24/25, 1999

I, MARIA M STEWART. City Clerk of the City of Santa Monica. State of California, do hereby certify that the attached is a true and correct Canvass of the votes cast for all candidates for the office of MEMBER OF THE CITY COUNCIL and for PROPOSITION 1, during the election held on April 24-25, 1999

I further certify that the total ballots cast is as follows

.'

REG <u>VOTERS</u>	ABSENTEE BALLOTS (ATURDAY LLOTS CAST	SUNDAY BALLOTS CAST		TOTAL BALLOTS
54,156	5,183		4,571		15	14,869
l furth	City Council	member is as				
follows						
COUNCILMEMBER CANDIDATE		ABSENTE VOTE	EE SATUR VOT		SUNDAY VOTE	TOTAL VOTE
Richard Bloom 2		2,593	2,519	9	2,736	7. 84 8
Susan Cloke 1,		1,673	1,508	3	1,695	4,876
Frank Juarez 2		250	250 182		253	685
Don Gray		78	59)	66	203
Peter Kerndt		217	100	100 132		449
Jon Stevens	on Stevens 5		25	25 25		100
Marc Sansc	hagrın	177	102	<u> </u>		398
TOTALS		5,038	4,498		5,026	14,559

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$ further certify that the total votes cast for each candidate, per precinct, is as follows

PREC <u>NO</u>	REGIS <u>VOTERS</u>	BLOOM	CLOKE	JUAREZ	GRAY	KERNDT	<u>STEVENS</u>	SANSCHAGRIN
01	1555	84	401	04	60	12	03	14
03	1594	84	397	11	06	17	03	13
06	1585	252	157	05	07	22	02	16
07	1426	244	222	10	90	26	03	17
10	1651	144	315	13	05	17	06	15
15	924	88	151	05	04	11	03	11
16	1647	258	162	16	03	10	04	11
18	1567	300	06	05	01	08	01	11
20	1722	342	76	05	90	07	01	10
29	1702	184	287	18	07	21	06	19
32	1698	311	131	10	08	60	04	06
35	1671	261	103	60	06	05	03	04
38	1620	158	42	06	02	05	03	07
41	1581	286	77	12	10	07	04	60
43	1573	209	113	13	04	11	03	17
46	1634	254	94	11	04	12	04	23
50	1590	221	91	26	03	17	02	14
52	1596	211	63	28	05	90	03	08

2

.'

1	08	11	07	12	02	18	14	12	17	08	11	13	05	14	10	100 398
01	01	00	01	01	03	00	06	06	04	04	05	02	04	03	01	100
13	07	08	00	22	16	17	11	16	11	20	32	07	18	13	60	203 449
06	04	21	11	04	04	07	12	0 4	07	08	05	03	01	05	05	203
29	47	60	19	17	52	23	27	30	43	15	52	30	13	60	12	685
99	57	77	58	129	69	151	178	179	213	87	231	132	103	96	78	54,156 7,848 4,876 685
193	134	195	183	289	188	241	249	299	261	308	193	280	300	303	341	7,848
1464	983	1877	1530	1582	1315	1775	1780	1756	1774	1630	1698	1706	1575	1661	1714	54,156
60	61	62	65	67	68	69	72	76	78	81	87	92	93	94	95	

I further certify that the total absentee. Saturday and Sunday votes cast per candidate, by precinct, are as follows

For Richard	l Bloom			
Precinct	<u>Absentee</u>	Saturday	Sunday	<u>Total</u>
01	35	29	20	84
03	34	22	28	84
06	87	93	72	252
07	99	85	60	244
10	44	52	48	144
15	25	32	31	88
16	100	79	79	258
18	102	87	111	300
20	124	113	105	342
29	56	65	63	184
32	89	108	114	311
35	90	79	92	261
38	70	49	39	158
41	93	104	89	286
43	72	54	83	209
46	95	72	87	254
50	58	65	98	221
52	75	53	83	211
60	76	51	66	193
61	26	4 4	64	134
62	53	63	79	195
65	61	48	74	183
67	80	87	122	289
68	65	64	59	188
69	70	88	83	241

For Richard Bloom

.

•

115 105 _ <u>142</u>	96 99 <u>_107</u>	89 99 <u>92</u>	300 303 <u>341</u>
115	96	89	300
72	92	116	280
68	60	65	193
83	110	115	308
70	79	112	261
96	98	105	299
63	92	94	249
	96 70 83 68 72	969870798311068607292	96981057079112831101156860657292116

For Susan Cloke

-

•

Precinct	Absentee	Saturday	Sunday	<u>Total</u>
01	96	148	157	401
03	127	122	148	397
06	40	51	66	157
07	149	35	38	222
10	90	121	104	315
15	36	59	56	151
16	56	71	35	162
18	39	25	26	90
20	29	15	32	76
29	88	73	126	287
32	49	38	44	131
35	42	27	34	103
38	16	13	13	42
41	34	22	21	77
43	41	33	39	113
46	38	26	30	94
50	29	33	29	91

5

Totais	1,673	1,508	1,695	4,876
95	38	18	22	<u>78</u>
94	43	21	32	96
93	45	25	33	103
92	25	54	53	132
87	61	78	92	231
81	24	27	36	87
78	65	65	83	213
76	58	62	59	179
72	70	56	52	178
69	38	61	52	151
68	36	15	18	69
67	45	31	53	129
65	26	09	23	58
62	33	25	19	77
61	12	24	21	57
60	31	16	19	66
52	24	09	30	63

For Frank Juarez

•

Precinct	<u>Absentee</u>	Saturday	Sunday	<u>Total</u>
01	01	01	02	04
03	04	03	02	11
06	03	01	01	05
07	10	00	00	10
10	03	08	02	13
15	02	00	03	05
16	08	06	02	16
18	03	00	02	05
20	02	01	02	05

29	06	06	06	18
32	04	04	02	10
35	06	00	03	09
38	02	03	01	06
41	05	01	06	12
43	09	01	03	13
46	06	02	03	11
50	12	05	09	26
52	04	12	12	28
60	08	11	10	29
61	09	12	26	47
62	21	11	28	60
65	05	08	06	19
67	05	02	10	17
68	17	20	15	52
69	03	1 1	09	23
72	07	08	12	27
76	11	03	16	30
78	13	14	16	43
81	05	03	07	15
87	29	09	14	52
92	08	12	10	30
93	07	01	05	13
94	05	01	03	09
95	07	02	03	12
Totals	250	182	253	685

For Don Gray

--

Precinct	Absentee	Saturday	Sunday	Total
01	04	00	05	09

03	01	04	01	06
06	05	02	00	07
07	04	02	00	06
10	02	03	00	05
15	04	00	00	04
16	01	00	02	03
18	01	00	00	01
20	02	00	04	06
29	01	01	05	07
32	04	01	03	08
35	03	00	03	06
38	01	01	00	02
41	07	01	02	10
43	01	02	01	04
46	02	02	01	04
50	02	01	00	03
52	01	02	02	05
60	02	01	03	06
61	01	02	02	04
62	02	11	08	21
65	04	01	06	11
67	00	03	01	04
68	03	01	00	04
69	04	02	01	07
72	00	09	03	12
76	01	02	01	04
78	05	00	02	07
81	02	02	04	08
87	02	02	01	05
92	01	01	01	03

•

93	00	00	01	01
94	03	00	02	05
95	03	01	01	Q <u>5</u>
Totals	78	59	66	203

For Peter Kerndt

-

•

Precinct	<u>Absentee</u>	Saturday	Sunday	<u>Total</u>
01	07	05	00	12
03	04	13	00	17
06	11	01	10	22
07	24	00	02	26
10	13	02	02	17
15	08	01	02	11
16	06	02	02	10
18	03	00	05	08
20	03	02	02	07
29	11	06	04	21
32	04	02	03	09
35	04	01	00	05
38	03	01	01	05
41	02	00	05	05
43	04	00	07	11
46	05	03	04	12
50	10	04	03	17
52	04	00	02	06
60	07	02	04	13
61	06	00	01	07
62	04	03	01	08
65	03	01	02	06
67	03	05	14	22

9

68	04	08	04	16
69	06	07	04	17
72	02	05	04	11
76	08	04	04	16
78	04	03	04	11
81	06	04	10	20
87	11	06	15	32
92	03	03	01	07
93	10	05	03	18
94	07	01	05	13
95	07	00	02	<u>09</u>
Totals	217	100	132	449

For Jon Stevens

.

.

Precinct	Absentee	Saturday	Sunday	Total
01	01	02	00	03
03	02	00	01	03
06	01	00	01	02
07	03	00	00	03
10	02	03	01	06
15	02	00	01	03
16	01	01	02	04
18	01	00	00	01
20	00	01	00	01
29	02	01	03	06
32	03	00	01	04
35	02	00	01	03
38	00	02	01	03
41	02	02	00	04
43	02	00	01	03

10

46	01	02	01	04
50	00	00	02	02
52	03	00	00	03
60	01	00	00	01
61	00	01	00	01
62	00	00	00	00
65	01	00	00	01
67	01	00	00	01
68	02	01	00	03
69	00	00	00	00
72	02	03	01	06
76	06	00	00	06
78	02	00	02	04
81	00	02	02	04
87	02	01	02	05
92	02	00	00	02
93	01	03	00	04
94	01	00	02	03
95	01	00	00	01
Totals	50	25	25	100

.

- -

For Marc Sanschagrin					
Precinct	<u>Absentee</u>	Saturday	Sunday	Total	
01	02	08	04	14	
03	07	05	01	13	
06	03	05	08	16	
07	13	02	02	1 7	
10	07	02	06	15	
15	08	03	00	11	
16	03	02	06	11	
18	03	04	04	11	
20	03	02	05	10	
29	09	05	05	19	
32	01	02	03	06	
35	03	01	00	04	
38	04	01	02	07	
41	04	01	04	09	
43	06	07	04	17	
46	09	10	04	23	
50	03	04	07	14	
52	06	01	01	08	
60	08	01	02	11	
61	01	03	04	08	
62	04	00	07	11	
65	01	03	03	07	
67	06	00	06	12	
68	00	01	01	02	
69	09	04	05	18	
72	07	02	05	14	
76	06	03	03	12	
78	09	02	06	17	

For Marc Sanschaurin

.

.

81	05	01	02	08
87	05	02	04	11
92	04	08	01	13
93	03	01	01	05
94	08	05	01	14
95	07	01	02	10
Totals	177	102	119	398

I further certify that the total votes cast for the measure on the ballot is as

TOTALS	5,005	4,361	4,875	14,241
NO	1,430	1,208	1,372	4,010
YES	3,575	3,153	3,503	10.231
lonows	ABSENTEE VOTE	SATURDAY VOTE	SUNDAY VOTE	TOTAL VOTE
follows				

I further certify that the total absentee, Saturday and Sunday votes cast for the measure, by precinct, is as follows

YES VOTES

.

.

Precinct	<u>Absentee</u>	Saturday	Sunday	Total
01	64	61	53	178
03	65	59	77	201
06	103	11 1	98	312
07	165	92	69	326
10	81	92	86	259
15	45	55	44	144
16	122	104	96	322
18	131	93	122	346
20	145	128	128	401

20	145	128	128	401
29	90	89	92	271
32	112	120	137	369
35	125	91	110	326
38	91	63	45	199
41	133	115	103	351
43	106	72	105	283
46	124	92	105	321
50	86	84	121	29 1
52	104	65	109	278
60	104	73	83	260
61	41	64	96	201
62	94	76	116	286
65	73	59	90	222
67	112	102	156	370
68	103	90	75	268
69	83	113	111	307
72	94	123	114	331
76	116	100	115	331
78	105	104	150	359
81	104	126	135	365
87	81	75	101	257
92	97	116	138	351
93	148	114	107	369
94	148	113	116	377
95	180	119	100	3 9 9
Totals	3,575	3,153	3,503	10,231

*

.

14

÷

:

NO VOTES

Precinct	Absentee	Saturday	Sunday	<u>Totai</u>
01	76	121	129	326
03	108	98	97	303
06	49	35	47	131
07	133	31	31	195
10	73	90	75	238
15	39	37	48	124
16	49	54	34	137
18	23	18	18	59
20	20	06	21	47
29	83	61	110	254
32	39	27	33	99
35	27	13	22	62
38	05	07	11	23
41	19	17	23	59
43	28	22	26	76
46	27	24	27	78
50	34	21	24	79
52	12	13	23	48
60	28	12	20	60
61	13	21	21	55
62	20	34	25	79
65	24	09	23	56
67	29	26	42	97
68	21	19	22	62
69	45	52	31	128
72	58	43	49	150
76	60	68	63	191
78	63	55	67	185

81	20	20	37	77
87	93	75	81	249
92	20	41	33	94
93	38	14	18	70
94	28	16	28	72
95	26	08	<u>13</u>	47
Total	1,430	1,208	1,372	4,010

Å

IN WITNESS WHEREOF. I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal this $6^{\rm th}$ day of May. 1999

Maria M Stewart City Clerk City of Santa Monica Adopted and approved this 11th of May, 1999

Ram O'Connor, Mayor

I. Maria M. Stewart, City Clerk of the City of Santa Monica, do hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution 9390 (CCS) was duly adopted at a meeting of the Santa Monica City Council held on the 11th of May, 1999 by the following vote

Ayes	Councilmembers	Feinstein, Genser, Holbrook. McKeown, O'Connor, Rosenstein
Noes	Councilmembers	None
Abstain	Councilmembers	None
Absent	Councilmembers	None

ATTEST

~ leave Tement

Maria M Stewart, City Clerk



May 1, 1999

MEMORANDUM

- TO: BETH SANCHEZ City of Santa Monica
- FROM: PAUL GOODWIN GLS Research
- RE: Summary of Findings: Santa Monica Post-Election Study

METHODOLOGY

GLS Research conducted a telephone survey of 300 registered voters living in Santa Monica The study was conducted on April 26 and 27, 1999, the two days immediately following the city's first weekend election. The sample was drawn randomly from the city's official voter file, and every voter in the city with a telephone had a equal opportunity of being interviewed. The margin of error for a sample of this size is plus or minus 5.6 percent at a 95 percent confidence level.

The purposes of the study included the following

- To evaluate the public's response to the weekend election, and assess whether there is a clear preference for a weekend or for a traditional Tuesday election, and
- · To evaluate response to a possible all-mail ballot

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Santa Monica voters show no clear preference when it comes to a weekend election compared to a Tuesday election Forty-three percent prefer voting on Tuesday, compared to 39 percent who prefer voting on the weekend
- About 60 percent of the Tuesday supporters said they prefer to vote on that day because they find the weekends to be too busy or to be a time that is devoted to other activities, such as family, work, travel, or outdoor activities Another third of the Tuesday supporter expressed a preference for that day because they are familiar with voting on that day and do not wish to change

SAN FRANCISCO • LOS ANGELES • LAS VEGAS • MOSCOW, RUSSIA

Summary of Findings Santa Monica Election Study Page 2

- The main reason that weekend supporters prefer to vote on those days is that they find it more convenient and they have more time to vote Eighty-two percent of the weekend supporters expressed those views
- Only 9 percent have "strong personal objections" to a weekend election, chiefly related to the cost associated with such elections
- The strongest variation in preference for election days is seen among homeowners compared to renters 56 percent of homeowners prefer voting on Tuesday, compared to 34 percent of renters, 27 percent of homeowners prefer voting on the weekend, compared to 47 percent of renters In addition, less frequent voters are more likely than very frequent voters to say they prefer the weekend election, and young voters also appear more likely to say they prefer the weekend compared to older voters Less frequent voters are more likely to be non-white, non-partisans, younger than age 50, and renters
- Fifty-eight percent agree that they are more likely to vote if the polls are open two days rather than one This figure is highest among those people who vote least frequently, among renters, and among voters under age 35
- Eighty-seven percent said they were aware of the election the city held last weekend Voters under age 35 were significantly less likely to be aware of the election
- Voters divide evenly on the question of holding city elections entirely by mail Initially, 47 percent support the idea, and 43 percent oppose it. But once voters learn more about elections by mail (i.e. that it saves the city money, that it increases voting participation, and that they could deliver the ballots by hand on election day), support rises significantly to 69 percent, with just 24 percent opposed

These figures suggest that by switching to all-weekend voting over two days, the city is likely to facilitate turnout among those voters who are least likely to participate at present in elections. These would include younger voters, minorities, renters, and non-partisan voters.

However, there would remain a large block of more frequent voters for whom a weekend election would be inconvenient or less convenient, or whom would be concerned about cost issues

Summary of Findings	Santa Monica Election Study	
	Page 3	

When it comes to an all-mail ballot, the survey makes it clear that the city must transmit some critical information to voters in advance of any such election. Only after voters learn about the cost savings, convenience, and increase in turnout associated with mail ballots does majority support for an all-mail ballot emerge A voter education in advance of any such change would be extremely valuable

DETAILED FINDINGS

Preference Tuesday v Weekend

Voters divide nearly evenly when asked if they would prefer to vote on a Tuesday or if they prefer voting over a weekend As shown in Table 1, neither option earns majority support among voters

Table 1	
Preference Tuesday or Weeke	nd Elections?
Response	%
Tuesday	43
Weekend	39
Votes absentee	4
Other	3
No preference/not sure	11
BASE	(300)

Statistically significant differences in response are shown in Table 2. It indicates the following

- Those who report voting most frequently are more likely to prefer a Tuesday election, while those who say they vote only occasionally appear to prefer weekend voting
- Renters prefer weekend voting compared to homeowners
- People who have never voted absentee are more likely to prefer weekends than those who have voted absentee
- People who have participated in fewer than 5 of the last 9 elections are more likely to prefer weekends over more frequent voters
- Finally, it suggests that those who say they did not vote in the weekend election prefer Tuesdays for voting However, the wide disparity between

	Summary of Findings	Santa Monica Election Study
- 1.00		Page 4

reported voting behavior among respondents (close to 50 percent participation) and actual participation (27 percent) makes these results unreliable

Table 2
Statistically Significant Differences in Response by
Voting Group

10		
	Pri	efer
Group	Tuesday	Weekend
	%	%
Say they always vote	46	29
Say they occasionally vote	37	51
Say they voted in weekend election	37	41
Say they did not vote in weekend election	49	37
Home/condo owners	56	27
Renters	27	47
Voted in 8 or 9 of last 9 elections	48	32
Voted in 5 to 7 of last 9 elections	48	31
Voted in 0 to 4 of last 9 elections	39	46
Absentee voters	46	30
Never voted absentee	42	43
BASE		(300)

Reasons for Preference

There are two main reasons given for preferring a Tuesday election a negative reaction to the idea of voting on the weekend, and a positive inclination towards Tuesday voting As shown in Table 3, close to two-thirds of those preferring a Tuesday vote indicate some concern about weekend voting, with about a third who have an attachment especially to Tuesday

Summary of Findings	Santa Monica Election Study
	Page 5

Table 3 Why Do You Prefer Tuesday	2
Reason	%
Don't Like Weekend Voting Weekend is for family Work on weekends Busy on weekends Out of town on weekends Like to be outside Weekend is inconvenient Weekend not traditional Religious objection	64 8 3 24 14 4 4 6
Prefer Tuesday Voting Tuesday is more familiar Tuesday is more convenient Just prefer Tuesday Other reasons	33 16 9 4 4
BAŜE	(130)

Virtually everyone who preferred a weekend vote said that it was more convenient, as shown in Table 4, only a handful said they were against Tuesday voting in particular

Table 4 Why Do You Prefer Weekends?		
Reason	%	
Like Weekends Weekend more convenient Have more time Less Frantic Just prefer weekend	87 46 36 4 1	
Prefer Two Days	8	
Tuesday Too Busy	4	
BASE	(117)	

Summary of Findings	Santa Monica Election Study
	Page 6

Respondents were also asked if they had any "strong personal objections" to voting on a weekend Only 9 percent reported such objections Significant differences in response are shown in Table 5

Table 5

l i	able 5	
Objections to Weekend Voting Significant Variations in Response		
Group	Yes	No
	%	%
Home/condo owners	15	85
Renters	5	94
Age 65+	19	81
Younger than 65	6	93
-		_
Republicans	15	85
Nonpartisans	0	98
D		(0.0.0)
BASE	1	(300)

We asked voters with significant objections to weekend voting to identify their concerns. Of the 28 with such concerns, 11 reported a concern having to do with the cost of the election. Other responses were too small to classify

Impact of Voting Over Two Days

In total, 58 percent agreed that *'I'm more likely to vote if the polls are open two days in a row rather than just one day* " Many of the 34 percent who disagreed were voters who always vote anyhow For example

- Among voters who have participated in at least 8 of the last 9 primary and general elections (23 percent of this sample), 48 percent disagreed with this statement. These voters will participate regardless of how many days they have available to vote.
- In contrast, among voters who have participated in four or fewer of the last nine primary and general elections (about half the sample), only 23 percent disagreed and fully 73 percent agreed

Summary of Findings	Santa Monica Election Study
	Page 7

The impact of having two days for voting is likely to be especially pronounced among voters under age 35 79 percent of them agreed that they would be more likely to vote given two days Renters were also more likely to agree at 66 percent compared to 47 percent for owners Those who vote least frequently were also among the most likely to say they would vote more often if the polls were open two days

Table 6

More Likely to Vote if Polls Open Two Days Significant Variations in Response			
Group	Agree	Disagree	
	%	%	
18-34	79	17	
65+	53	36	
Voted in 8 or 9 of last 9 elections	41	48	
Voted in 0 to 4 of last 9 elections	73	23	
Homeowners	47	47	
Renters	66	26	
BASE		(300)	

Reaction to Vote by Mail Proposal

When first presented with the concept of voting entirely by mail, voters divided nearly evenly 47 percent in favor in favor of it, and 43 percent opposed. There are four significant differences in response to this question

- Two-thirds of those who say that they were unaware of last weekend's election said they would favor a vote by mail election, compared to 45 percent of those who said they were aware of it
- Sixty-three percent of those who say they only rarely vote would favor a vote by mail election, compared to 46 percent of those who say they almost always vote
- Fifty-six percent of those who say they did not vote in the weekend election would favor a vote by mail election, compared to 39 percent of those who say they did vote
- Fifty-six percent of voters under age 35 would favor a vote by mail election, compared to 38 percent of seniors

Summary of Findings Santa Monica Election Study Page 8

These results would suggest that the least reliable voters are most likely to say would prefer a vote by mail election

Table 7		
Response to All-Mail Ballots Significant V	/ariations in	Response
Group	Favor	Oppose
	%	%
18-34	56	41
65+	38	47
Say they voted in weekend election	39	48
Say they did not vote in weekend election	56	39
Say they vote in every election	44	46
Say they only vote occasionally	63	33
BASE		(300)
UAQL		(300)

Respondents were then read three statements about vote by mail elections, and asked if the statements made them more or less likely to favor such elections

All three were favorably received by voters that a vote by mail election would save taxpayers money, that it would increase voter turnout, and that voters who forgot to mail their ballot in can deliver them in person on election day

After hearing this information, voters shifted strongly in favor of the vote by mail plan 69 percent would favor it, and only 23 percent would oppose it

Women in particular shifted to favor the plan, with 75 percent in favor of it compared to 62 percent of men. Voters under age 35 also moved strongly favor it at 77 percent, compared to 60 percent of seniors.

Awareness of Weekend Election

Eighty-seven percent said they were aware of the weekend election, including 98 percent of seniors compared to 73 percent of those under age 35 Of those who said they did not vote in that election, 76 percent said they were aware of it

Participation in Weekend Election

Fully 48 percent claimed that they voted in the weekend election, far exceeding the actual 27 percent turnout Significant differences in reported participation rates include the following
Summary of Findings Santa Monica Election Study Page 9

- Fifty-four percent of Democrats, compared to 49 percent of Republicans, and 32 percent of nonpartisans
- Fifty-three percent of white voters, compared to 20 percent of minorities
- Eighty-five percent of seniors, compared to 62 percent of those ages 50-64, 46 percent of those age 35-49, and just 19 percent of those under age 35

GLS Research	(1)	April 22, 1999				
Santa Monica City AGGREGATE RESULTS #99336 (N≕300)						
Respondent ID# Gender of Respondent 1 (Male)499 2 (Female)51 Political Party 1 (Democrat)569 2 (Republican)569 3 (Non-Partisan)6	Time Started % Time Finished Total Time	Ч 				

Hello, I'm______from GLS Research, a public opinion research company May I speak to _____? (MUST SPEAK TO VOTER LISTED. VERIFY THAT THE VOTER LIVES AT THE ADDRESS LISTED, OTHERWISE TERMINATE.) We've been asked by the city of Santa Monica to conduct a brief, five minute survey of local residents to learn your views on issues facing the city asking for money All your answers are kept strictly confidential NOW, ASK TO MAKE AN APPOINTMENT FOR LATER)

1 As you may know, city elections are usually held on a Tuesday However, this past weekend, the city held a special municipal election. Were you aware of the election the city held last weekend, or not?

Yes, aware	87%
	13
(DON'T READ)	DK/NA0

If you had to choose, which would you prefer voting on a Tuesday, or voting over a weekend, on a Saturday and Sunday? (IF RESPONDENT SAYS HE/SHE ALWAYS VOTES BY ABSENTEE BALLOT, CODE AS 6. IF RESPONDENT ASKS WHETHER WE MEAN SATURDAY OR SUNDAY, TELL THEM WE MEAN THE POLLS WOULD BE OPEN BOTH DAYS FOR PEOPLE TO VOTE)

Prefer Tuesday	(ASK Q.3)	43%
	Sunday (SKIP TO Q.4)	
(DON'T READ)	VOTES ABSENTEE (SKIP T	O Q.5) 4
(DON'T READ)	OTHER (SKIP TO Q.5)	3
(DON'T READ)	DK/NA (SKIP TO Q.5)	11

		-
(N=130)		
	(DON'T READ)	4.07
	Religious objections to weekend voting	1%
	Weekend not traditional	5
	Weekend is time for family	3
	Not in town on weekends1	
	Work on weekends	
	Busy on weekends2	
	Sports on TV on weekends	J
	Like to be outside on weekends	4
	Confusing/likely to forget if weekends	2
	Weekends inconvenient for other reasons	
	Tuesday is more familiar/traditional	0 A
	Tuesday more convenient	
	Vote Tuesday on way to work/school/dropping off kids, etc	2
	Just prefer Tuesday	
	Other	
	None	0
Why would y	.2 IS SATURDAY/SUNDAY, ASK Q.4: ou prefer voting on the weekend over voting on Tuesday? (PROBE TO FIT INTO	
CATEGORIE	S IF POSSIBLE) (RECORD VERBATIM AND THEN CODE)	
(11.4.45)		-
(N-117)		-
	(DON'T READ)	
	Weekend more convenient	6%
	Have more time on weekend3	
	Like having two days to vote	8

weekend more convenient40	
Have more time on weekend36	
Like having two days to vote 8	
Weekend more relaxed/less frantic 4	
More likely to be in Santa Monica on weekend 0	
Usually busy on Tuesday 3	
Just prefer weekend1	
Other 1	
DK/NA 1	

ASK EVERYONE 5 Do you have any strong personal objections to the city having held an election on a Saturday and Sunday?

YES (ASK Q 6)	9%
NO (ŠKIP TO Q)	7)90
(DON'T READ)	DK/NA (SKIP TO Q 7) 0

Santa Monica Study	
IF YES ON Q.5 ASK:	

99336

Page 3

6 In a word or two, what was your objection to the city having held an election on a Saturday and Sunday? (PROBE TO FIT INTO THESE CATEGORIES IF POSSIBLE) (RECORD VERBATIM AND THEN CODE)

(N=28)

(DON'T READ)
Religious objections 7%
Not traditional 4
Day for family 0
Not in town on weekends7
Work on weekends 0
Busy on weekends14
Sports on TV0
Like to be outside on weekends4
Confusing/likely to forget4
Inconvenient for other reasons4
Just prefer Tuesday11
Cost of weekend election36
Other10
None 0

ASK EVERYONE 7 Next please

Next, please tell me whether you agree or disagree with the following statement (IF AGREE/DISAGREE ASK) "Is that STRONGLY or SOMEWHAT?" (ROTATE)

	l'm	more likely to vote if the polls are open two	STR <u>AGR</u>	S W <u>AGR</u>	S W <u>DIS</u>	STR <u>DIS</u>	DK <u>NA</u>
	days in a row rather than just one day		- 36%	22%	15%	19%	8%
8		lext, which of the following two statements comes READ BOTH BEFORE RECORDING - ROTATE)		rıbıng your	attitudes	s about vo	oting
[] 0R	А	l vote in every election or nearly every election -					B2%
[]	в	l only vote when there is something really big at (DON'T READ) OTHER					- 0
9		nd which of these two statements comes closer to ECORDING - ROTATE)	o your voting b	ehavior (i	READ B	OTH BEF	ORE

[] OR	А	I generally v	rote by absentee ballot whenever I can	15%
[]			orefer to vote in person at the polls on election day	
			OTHER DK/NA	

10 Last weekend, there was a city election Many people did not get a chance to vote in that election Did you happen to vote in the election last weekend, or did you <u>not</u> get a chance to vote in that city election for any reason?

Yes, voted	48%
Did not vote	51
(DON'T READ)	DK/NA 1

Santa Monica Study 99336 Page 4 Some people have proposed that city elections be held entirely by mail, so that everyone would vote by 11 mail ballot rather than by voting at the polls on election day Does this sound like something you would favor or oppose for city elections? (IF FAVOR/OPPOSE ASK) "Is that strongly or somewhat? Strongly favor ----- 19% Somewhat favor-----28 Somewhat oppose-----17 Strongly oppose-----26 (DON'T READ) DK/NA ------9 12 Would you be more likely, or less likely, to favor holding elections entirely by mail if you knew that (READ-ROTATE) (IF MORE/LESS LIKELY ASK) "Is that MUCH (more/less) likely or SOMEWHAT?" (ROTATE) MUCH SW s w MUCH (DON'T READ) MORE LESS NO DK/ MORE LESS LIKELY LIKELY LIKELY LIKELY EFFECT NA People would have an extended period [] а in which to turn in their mail ballots, and could deliver them by hand on election day if they forgot to mail it in ------ 39% ------ 28% ------ 3% ----- 14% ----- 14% ----- 2% Vote by mail elections held in Oregon [] b and in parts of California resulted in much higher voter turnout and participation ------[] Holding an election entirely by mail is much С less expensive and saves the taxpayers money ------ 3 ------ 9 ------ 13------3 Having heard more about elections in which the voting is conducted entirely by mail, does it sound like 13 something you would favor or oppose for city elections? (IF FAVOR/OPPOSE ASK) "Is that strongly or somewhat? Strongly favor ------35% Somewhat favor------34 Somewhat oppose-------5 Strongly oppose-----18 (DON'T READ) DK/NA ------ 8 NOW FOR A FEW BACKGROUND QUESTIONS Own home ------35% Own condo -----6 Rent-----56 Mobile home -----0 Refused -----2 14 Do you own or rent your home, condominium, or apartment, or do you live in a mobile home? White-----74% With which racial or ethnic group do you 15 Black/African-American 2 Hispanic/Latino 7 Asian 4 Mixed Race 2 identify yourself. White or European, Black or African-American, Hispanic or Latino, Asian. of mixed race, or of some other ethnic Other------2 or racial background?

Santa Monica Study 99336 Page 5 Finally. what year were you born in, please? (RECORD LAST TWO DIGITS EXACTLY AND CIRCLE APPROPRIATE CATEGORY BELOW) (IF REFUSED, ASK) "Well, were you born in (READ RANGES 16 OF YEARS) "

1980-1974 (18-24) 1973-1969 (25-29) 1968-1964 (30-34) 1963-1959 (35-39) 1958-1954 (40-44) 1953-1949 (45-49) 1943-1949 (50-54) 1938-1934 (60-64) 1933-1929 (65-69) 1928-1924 (70-74) 1936-1923 (75+)	7 1 9 9 8 6 6 4 3
	9

THANK AND TERMINATE

Name	Precinct	
Address	Rep #	
City	Page #	
Zıp		
Interviewer		
	<u>FLAGS</u> J/90	
Area code()) Phone #	N/90 J/92 N/92	37 59
Date	N/93 J/94 N/94	31
	M/96 N/96	65
	J/98 N/98	
	ABSENTEE	
	1 time	15%

	anto	~
2	times	6
3	times	2
4	times	1
5	or more times	4
Ν	lot reported7	2
	-	

SANTA MONICA CITY STUDY #99336 Table of contents

Q 1 AWARENESS OF WEEKEND ELECTION	Q 2 VOTING DATE PREFERENCE	q 3 why prefer tuesday	
-	2	ŝ	
Table 1	Table 2	Table 3	

- Q 4 MHY PREFER WEEKEND VOTING Table 4
- Q 5 ANY OBJECTIONS TO CITY HOLDING ELECTION ON SATURDAY AND SUNDAY Table 5
- Q 6 OBJECTIONS TO CITY HOLDING ELECTION ON SATURDAY AND SUNDAY Table 6
- Q 7 MORE LIKELY TO VOTE IF POLLS ARE OPEN TWO DAYS IN A ROW Table 7
- Q 8 ATTITUDE TOWARDS VOTING Table 8
- Q 9 VOTING BEHAVIOR Table 9
- Q 10 DID YOU VOTE IN LAST WEEKEND'S ELECTION? Table 10
- Q 11 FAVOR OR OPPOSE ELECTIONS BY MAIL Table 11
- Q 12 SUMMARY OF MUCH MORE/SOMEWHAT MORE LIKELY RESPONSES Table 12
- Q 12 MORE OR LESS LIKELY TO FAVOR ELECTIONS BY MAIL A PEOPLE WOULD HAVE AN EXTENDED PERIOD TO TURN IN BALLOTS Table 13
 - Q 12 Table 14
- MORE OR LESS LIKELY TO FAVOR ELECTIONS BY MAIL B VOTE BY MAIL ELECTIONS HAVE RESULTED IN HIGHER VOTER TURNOUT
- Q 12 MORE OR LESS LIKELY TO FAVOR ELECTIONS BY MAIL C HOLDING AN ELECTION BY MAIL IS LESS EXPENSIVE AND SAVES TAXPAVERS MONEY Table 15
- Q 13 FAVOR OR OPPOSE ELECTION HELD ENTIRELY BY MAIL Table 16
- Q 14 OWN/RENT Table 17
 - Q 15 ETHNICITY Table 18
- 0,16 AGE Table 19
- FLAGS Table 20
- ABSENTEE Table 21
- GENDER Table 22
- POLITICAL PARTY Table 23
- NUMBER OF ELECTIONS Table 24

Santa Monica City Table 1 1 Q 1 AWARENESS OF WEEKEND ELECTION

		GENDER	Ĕ		PARTY	Ť	Q 1 AWARENESS		Q 2 PREFERENCE		Q 8 ELECTION INTENT		Q 9 ABSENTEE	-	Q 10 ELECTION	
	TOTAL	MALE FE (A) (B	, MALE	C) (C)	REPUB (D)	d Xa j	SE C	₽ [©] ;	H H H		EVERY (J) 		SENTEE F	PERSON V	VOTED V (N)	VOTE (0)
TOTAL	300 100%	146 100%	154 100%	169 100%	67 100%	47 100%	262 100%	38 100 %	130 1001	117 100%	246 100%	51 1002	45 100%	242 100%	145 100%	153 100%
YES	262 87%	88	86%	8/%	93 %	83%	100% G	йц 0	88;	86%	92% K	65% J	891	883	1001 0	76% N
NO, NOT AWARE	38 13	12%	14%	13%	7%	17%	202	100% F	12%	14\$	82≁	35% J	11\$	12%	×0 80	24% N
DK/NA	0 %0	0 %	0 %	0	80	80	30 1	03	20	20	20	30	0,	20	0%	0%
Table 1.2 Q 1 Awareness of weekend election	TION															
												FLAGS		ABSENTEE	i i i	
	Q VOTE B	Q 11 VOTE BY MAIL	OWN/RENT	ENT	ETHNICITY			AGE	ш			VOTED	~	EVER VOTED	NEVER Voted	
	FAVOR (A)	OPPOSE (B)	COMN	CO RENT		NORITY (F)	18-34 (G)	35-49 E	50-64 (I)	÷;6	е 6 6 6 7 6 6 7 6 6 7 6 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7		6	SENTEE (N)	SENTEE	
TOTAL	143 100%	130 1002	124 100%	169 100%	223 100%	40 100%	78 100%	98 1001	60 100%	47 100%	69 1001	77 100 %	154 100%	84 100%	216 100%	
YES	83% B	93 <i>1</i> A	\$16	86%	88%	83%	73% HIJ	306 19	93% 9	98% GH	100% LM	92% KM	79% KL	92%	86%	
NO, NOT AWARE	17% B	7% A	36 8	14%	12%	18%	27% HIJ	10 10	5 7	2% GH	۳Ð	КН 88	21% KL	8%	14%	
DK/NA	% 0	% 0	% 0	0%	% 0	70	30	20	20	¥0	20	0%	20	20	0%	

GLS RESEARCH (#99336)

4 2 VUELING DALE PREFERENCE																
		GENDER	Ĕ		PARTY	-	0 1 AWARENESS	-	Q 2 Preference		Q 8 ELECTION INTENT		Q 9 ABSENTEE		Q 10 El	
	TOTAL	MALE (A)	MLE	DEMO	REPUB (D)	(E)	(F)		E E	END (I)	EVERY (J)	BIG (K)	AB Sentee (L)	IN PERSON	VOTED (N)	VOTE (0)
TOTAL	300 1002	146 100%	154 100%	169 100%	67 100%	47 100%	262 100%	38 100%	130 100 %	117 100%	246 100%	51 100 <i>‡</i>	45 100%	242 100%	145 100%	153 100 <i>1</i>
Prefer tuesday	130 43%	45%	42%	45%	46%	36%	44%	39%	100 <i>X</i> I	ж Н	46% K	29% J	29% M	47% L	37X 0	49 <i>%</i> N
Prefer Saturday/Sunday	117 39 %	39%	39%	36%	40%	43%	39%	42%	х 1 0	100% H	37%	51%	36%	40\$	41%	37%
votes absentee	11 4%	3%	4%	4\$	1%	% 6	40	53 F	20	۲0	4%	4%	22% M	0% L	7% 0	х Г
отнек	10 3\$	31	3%	43	З¥ С	58 73	4 %0	28 F	20	% 0	3%	4%	2%	42	5%	5%
DK/NA	32 11\$	10%	12%	11\$	¥6	11%	10%	18%	20	0%	10%	12%	11%	10%	10%	12%
Table 2-2 Q 2 Voting date preference																
											-	FLAGS		ABSENTEE	EE	
	Q 11 VOTE BY MAIL	11 Y MAIL	OWN/RENT	ENT	ETHNICITY	CITY		AGE			VOTED V	e		EVER VOTED	NEVER Voted	
	FAVOR (A)	OPPOSE (B)	କୁ ତ୍ର	(D)		(F)	18-34 3 (G) (35-49 5 (H) (50-64 (I)		865 66 7 7	(-) -	6 H0 (M)	AB- SENTEE (N)	AB- Sentee (0) 	
TOTAL	143 100%	130 100%	124 100%	169 100%	223 100%	40 100%	78 100%	98 100%	60 1002	47 100%	69 100%	77 100%	154 100%	84 100%	216 100%	
PREFER TUESDAY	42%	47%	56% D	34% C	40%	48%	45\$	44%	47%	343	48%	48%	391	46%	42%	
Prefer Saturday/Sunday	42%	37%	27% D	47% C	39%	43%	47%	37%	37\$	381	325 M	31% M	46% KL	30% 0	43% N	
votes absentee	5	2%	4%	4	Ϋ́, Η	а С	š	1 ت	34	11,8 H	38	2%	31	10% 0	ň N	
OTHER	¥۲ ٦	5 N	3%	4%	4%	3%	11	4%	35	4%	4%	1%	4%	2%	4%	
DK/N A	10%	5 6	% 6	11%	12%	8	4 77 H	14% G	8	13%	13%	34%	8	12%	10%	

GLS RESEARCH (#99336)

Santa Monıca Cıty Table 2-1 Q 2 VOFING DATE PREFERENCE

Sarta Monica City Table 3-1 Q 3 WHY PREFER TUESDAY									a		0 8 ELEC		q 9 Absentee		Q 10 ELECTION	CTION
		GENDER	Ĕ		PARTY	0	Q 1 AWARENESS		PREFERENCE		INTENT					9
	TOTAL	MALE (A)	FEMALE (B)	DEMO (C)	REPUB (D)	N/P (E)	YES (F)		LUES (H)	END CID	EVERY (J)		AB- Sentee P (L) (IN PERSON VOTED (M) (N)		NOT VOTE (0)
TOFAL	130 100%	65 1001	65 100%	76 1002	31 100%	17 100%	115 100%	15 100%	130 100%	0 % 0	113 100%	15 100%	13 100%	113 100%	54 100%	75 100%
RELIGIOUS OBJECTIONS TO WEEKEND VOTING	- ¥	03	2%	20	34	20	13	0%	1%	20	1%	0 %	5	18	5%	0%
WEEKEND NOT TRADITIONAL	8 6,8	36	36	11% DE	ۍ ۲	2°0	6%	7%	6%	% 0	¥ Х	ς.	15%	5%	11%	3%
WEEKEND IS TIME FOR FAMILY	1188	8	¥6	ъ ж	16%	12\$	% 6	7%	¥8	0%	6	7%	23‡	7%	6%	11%
NOT IN TOWN ON WEEKENDS	18 14	17\$	11%	11\$	16%	18%	15\$	7\$	14%	0%	12%	33%	96 M	16 % L	۳ ۵	23 % N
work on weekends	3% 4	5%	5 1	4	0%	%	38	7%	38	0%	44 ∼	5	8	34	2%	45
BUSY ON WEEKENDS	31 242	28%	20%	24%	23%	29%	24%	20%	24%	¥0	25%	13\$	23\$	23%	20%	27%
sports on TV on Weekends	0 20	20	0%	0%	0%	X 0	\$0	0%	20	20	8	20	40	20	0%	% 0
LIKE TO BE OUTSIDE ON MEEKENDS	ъ 1 4	ŝ	5,	స్	ç,	6%	3%	7%	4%	0%	4%	7%	8	4\$	4\$	4%
CONFUSING/LIKELY TO FORGET IF WEEKENDS	с х 2	10	ŝ		64	0%	2%	7%	2%	0%	33	20	0%	3%	% 0	4%
WEEKENDS INCONVENIENT FOR OTHER REASONS	4°2	88	8% A	4%	3%	6%	3%	7%	4%	0%	\$¥ ×	5°	8	3%	23	5%
TUESDAY IS MORE FAMILIAR/ TRADITIONAL	16, 21 16, 21	15%	17%	18%	16%	12%	17%	7%	16%	0%	17%	13%	3	17%	28% 0	8% N
TUESDAY IS MORE CONVENIENT	2,52	8	11%	11\$	68	6%	8	20%	3 6	30	3 6	13%	8	10%	13%	7%
VOTE TUESDAY ON WAY TO WORK/ SCHOOL/DROPPING OFF KIDS, ETC	° X	3%	2%	34	0%	6%	2%	7%	2%	0%	14	13%	0%	3%	20	4%
JUST PREFER TUESDAY	45	5	ак С	ы Ч	ň	2°	4 % 0	<u>8</u> т	45	0 %	4 % 7	80	* W	4 * ~	۶ <u>۲</u> 0	ж Z 0
OTHER	с¥	3%	2%	1%	0%	20	š	% 0	2%	20	ž	01	0%	3%	4%	1%
NONE	0 %	20	0%	20	20	% 0	0%	10	50	0%	3 0	3 0	0\$	3 0	¥0	% 0
GLS RESEARCH (#99336)																

Ity		TUESDAY
a Monica Ci	2	PREFER
Santa M	Table 3	q 3 WHY

	q 11 Vote By Mail	L1 MAIL	DWN/REN1	EN .	ETHNICITY	ETHNICITY		AGE	ш		N	FLAGS VOTED	VOTED	ABSENTEE EVER NEVI VOTED VOT	NEVER VOTED
	Favor (A)	OPPOSE (B)	NN(C)	RENT (D)	(E)	NORITY	18 34 (G)	35-49 (H)	50-64 (I)	65 ⁺	Σ 56	сто (Г) 	0F 9 (M)	Sentee (N)	56NTEE Sentee (0)
TOTAL	60 1002	61 100 2	70 100%	58 1002	90 1001	19 100 %	35 100%	43 100%	28 100%	16 100%	33 1002	37 1002	5001 1002	39 100\$	1001 1001
RELIGIOUS OBJECTIONS TO WEEKEND VOTING	20	24	\$ 0	2%	ř	3 0	0%	\$ 0	4 0	6 Š	3%	3 0	0%	0	14
WEEKEND NOT TRADITIONAL	10%	34	10% D	2% C	38	5%	ж н 5	14% 6J	4%	х н С	15% M	5,	Ϋ́ Υ	13%	33
WEEKEND IS TIME FOR FAMILY	10%	7%	11%	5,	8	16%	11%	7%	7%	13%	8	8%	18	18% 0	4% N
NOT IN TOWN ON WEEKENDS	17\$	13%	13%	162	162	11%	11%	21%	14%	6%	ы К	22% K	15% K	3%	19% N
work on weekends	3,	3%	1,	51	1%	5%	3%	ц ж	%	62	3%	0	ŝ	35	3%
BUSY ON WEEKENDS	23%	26%	19%	29%	23\$	21%	29%	21%	32%	13\$	12% M	16% M	35% KL	21%	25%
SPORTS ON TV ON WEEKENDS	ç	0 2	20	10	5	30	30	% 0	¥0	7	\$0	0%	0%	ő	0%
LIKE TO BE OUTSIDE ON WEEKENDS	23	5,	4	ň	34	5%	3%	5%	4%	61	6%	3%	3%	3%	4%
confusing/likely to forget if Weekends	ž	2%	3%	2%	2%	លី	38	2%	4%	0%	30	3\$	3%	3	5
WEEKENDS INCONVENIENT FOR OTHER REASONS	7%	2%	4%	3%	ň	11%	35	7%	4%	% 0	30	5%	5	5%	34
TUESDAY IS MORE FAMILIAR/ TRADITIONAL	12%	15%	17%	16%	14%	11%	11%	X 6	21%	25%	21%	19%	12%	18%	15%
TUESDAY IS MORE CONVENIENT	10\$	10%	7%	12\$	12%	5%	20% I	7%	4 % (ù	6.	8	11%	10%	5	11%
VOTE TUESDAY ON WAY TO WORK/ SCHOOL/DROPPING OFF KIDS, ETC	2%	33	1%	3	23	% 0	6%	% 0	0%	% 0	ž	3%	2%	0%	25
JUST PREFER TUESDAY	28 8	7% A	4%	2%	2%	% 0	20	01	4%	13%	12% M	3%	8×	10%	1%
OTHER	5	3%	4%	0	2%	5%	×0	2%	4%	6	6	34	¥0	0\$	3%
NONE	%0	0	0 %	01	0%	0 %	% 0	% 0	% 0	о х	ö	0%	0	\$0	20

Santa Monica City Table 4 1 Q 4 WHY PREFER WEEKEND VOTING

		GENDER	ß		PARTY	8	q 1 AWARENESS		Q 2 PREFERENCE	II.	Q 8 ELECTION INTENT		Q 9 ABSENTEE		3 10 EL	
	TOTAL	MALE FEMALE (A) (B)		DENO	REPUB	N 8	YES (F)	99 j	(H)	END :	EVERY (L)	BIG (K)	AB Senter P (L)	IN PERSON V (M)	(N)	NOT VOTE (0)
	117 1002	57 100%	60 100%	61 100%	27 100%	20 100%	101 100%	16 1002	0 %	117 100%	1001 1001	26 100%	16 100%	\$00T 96	60 100%	56 100%
WEEKEND MORE CONVENIENT	54 46%	46%	4/%	44%	483	50%	46%	50%	20	46%	45%	503	44%	46%	38%	55%
HAVE MORE TIME ON WEEKEND	42 36 %	37%	35%	30%	44%	45%	38%	25%	70	36%	35%	38%	31%	39%	38\$	34\$
Like having two days to vote	⁶ 8	36	7%	10% E	7%	، دن	% n	0% F	20	8	10% K	20 7	13%	7%	15% 0	% N 0
Meekend more relaxed/less Frantic	ъ.¥	4%	5%	B\$	× 00	*°0	ۍ ۳.۵	<u></u> К п	20	4%	있 ㅈ	50	ő	3%	7%	2%
MORE LIKELY TO BE IN SANTA MONICA ON WEEKEND	8	20	3 0	30	20	10	3 0	0 %	20	20	0%	70	0	20	X 0	0%
USUALLY BUSY ON TUESDAY	3% 4	4%	3%	3%	20	5%	1%	19%	*0 0	3%	1%	12%	6%	3%	\$0	5 %
JUST PREFER WEEKEND	ч	0%	2%	2	0 %	% 0	1%	% 0	¥0	1%	1,	0%	07	1%	\$0	2%
	12	6	5	2%	80	% 0	1%	% 0	\$0	1\$	1%	0%	0 %	1%	2%	0%
	1,1	2%	20	2%	80	X 0	% 0	6%	20	1%	1%	20	03	20	20	2%

Santa Monica City Table 4-2 Q 4 WHY PREFER WEEKEND VOTING

iadie 4-2 q 4 Why Prefer Weekend Voting															
												FLAGS		ABSENTEE	۔ ۳
	Q 11 VOTE BY MAIL	11 Y MAIL	OWN/RENT	ENT	ETHNICITY	LT I		AGE	ш			VOTED	VOTED	EVER U	NEVER VOTED
	FAVOR (A)	OPPOSE (B) 	NG Q	(D) (D)	E) :	(F)	18-34 (G)	35-49 (H)	50 64 : (1)	; ()) (2+	а б б б б б б б б б б б б б б б б б б б	راج د (۲) د ۲ - ۲	6 - 4 (M) -	SENTEE (N)	AB- Sentee (0)
TOTAL	60 100%	48 100%	34 100%	80 1002	87 100%	17 100%	37 100\$	36 100%	22 100\$	18 100%	22 100%	24 100 %	71 1001	25 100%	92 100%
Weekend More Convenient	42%	48%	38%	49%	47%	41%	57% J	44%	45%	28% G	36%	38%	52%	40%	48%
HAVE MORE TIME ON WEEKEND	48 % B	23 % A	38%	36%	37%	41%	32%	36%	32%	44%	36%	46%	32%	40%	35%
Like having two days to vote	2% B	172 A	12%	5%	<u></u> 24	ж п П	3%	11%	5%	17%	14%	88	6%	4%	% 6
WEEKEND NORE RELAXED/LESS FRANTIC	ň	6	8	4%	Ř	6	% 0	34	14%	6%	¥6	8%	1%	12%	2%
more likely to be in Santa Monica on Weekend	% 0	20	0%	03	0 %	20	0%	0%	0%	% 0	5	% 0	0%	3	0%
usually busy on tuesday	លី	2%	<u>с</u>	ы С С	5%	0%	ŝ	ŝ	% 0	¥0	ж0 М	* Σ	К б	×0	4 × ×
JUST PREFER WEEKEND	20	3	20	1%	0%	6%	01	36	\$0	20	30	20	21	10	1%
OTHER	¥0	2%	3%	0%	1\$	0 %	0	0% 0	5%	30	53	20	10	42	01
DK/NA	% 0	0%	34	0%	0%	6 %	% 0	0%	\$0	6 k	*0	0%	34 1	0%	1%

Samta Monica City Table 5-1 Q 5 ANY OBJECTIONS TO CITY HOLDING ELECTION ON SATURDAY AND SUNDAY

		GENDER			PARTY	-	i i awaf	RENESS -	Q 2 PREFERENCE	P	Q 8 ELECTION INTENT		8		Q 10 ELECTION	
	TOTAL	MALE (A)	VALE FENALE I (A) (B) -	DEMO REPUB (C) (D)	DEMO REPUB N/P (C) (D) (E)	E) E	E G	999	E E	, END	EVERY (J)	(X)	AB Sentee PI (L) (1	z ·	VOTED V((N)	0) (0)
TOTAL	300 100%	146 100%	154 100%	169 100%	67 100%	47 100%	262 100%	38 100%	130 100%	117 100%	246 100%	51 100%	45 100%	242 100%	145 100%	153 100%
YES	28 9%	8%	10%	ж ш	15% E	CD %	10%	5%	18% I	ч	11% 7	4% L	11%	% 6	12%	7%
ON	271 901	92%	89%	91% E	85% E	98% CD	\$06	95%	82 <i>1</i> I	100% H	89% K	96% L	87%	116	88%	93%
DK/NA	10%	03	18	0%	\$ 0	2%	0 %	0%	01	0\$	¥0	0%	8	0 %	1%	0%
Table 5 2																

Q 5 ANY OBJECTIONS TO CITY HOLDING ELECTION ON SATURDAY AND SUNDAY

												FLAGS		ABSENTEE	TEE
	Q 1 VOTE BY	1 MAIL	OWN/RENT	INT	ETHNICITY	CITY		AG	ш			VOTED IN	VOTED	EVER NEVER VOTED VOTED	NEVER Voted
	FAVOR OPPOSE (A) (B)	OPPOSE OWN RENT (B) (C) (D)	OWN RENT (C) (D)	RENT (D)	MHITE (E) 	(F)	18 34 (G)	35-49 (H)	RITY 18 34 35-49 50-64 65+ 0F (G) (H) (I) (J) (K		が の の の の の の の の の の の の の	01.9 (L)	(K) (L) (M) (C) (K) (C) (K) (C) (K) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C	Sentee Senter (N) (0)	SENTEE (0)
	143 1001	130 100%	124	169 100%	223 100%	40 100%	78 100%	98 100%	60 100 <i>1</i>	47 100%	69 100%	$\frac{77}{1002}$	154 100%	84 100%	216 100%
	3 6	¥6	15% D		6	20 20	ۍ ۲	ئ 1	7%	95 19	14%	8%	83	13%	84 00
	\$16	91\$	85% D	948 % C	91%	93\$	95% J	93%	93%	81% G	86%	92%	92%	86%	92%
DK/NA	0%	20	20	Ľ	20	20	20	1%	0%	40	0%	20	1%	1%	20

Santa Monica City Table 6-1 Ø 6 ØBJECTIONS TO CITY HOLDING ELECTION ON SATURDAY AND SUNDAY

		GENI	GENDER		PARTY	U	q 1 Awareness	ENESS -	Q 2 PREFERENCE	H	Q 8 ELECTION INTENT		Q 9 ABSENTEE		2 10 EL	ECT ION
	TOTAL	MALE (A)	FEMALE (B)	COENO COENO	REPUB (D)	(E)	E C	ы В Э	(H)		EVERY (J)	SULY (K)	SENTEE F	ERSON	(N)	VOTE VOTE (0)
TOTAL	28 100%	12 100%	16 100%	16 100%	10 100%	03	26 100%	2 100%	24 100%	0 %	26 100%	2 100%	5 100%	22 100%	17 100%	11 100%
RELIGIOUS OBJECTIONS	7% 2	03	13%	20	20%	% 0	8	30	ŝ	20	3 5	20	20%	5%	6%	8 8
NOT TRADITIONAL	4% 1	õõ	0%	6%	0%	20	4%	20	4\$	10	4%	* 0	0%	5	¥0	\$ \$
DAY FOR FAMILY	0 %0	0%	0%	0 %	%	% 0	0%	20	20	30	0%	7 0	0%	۲0	X 0	% 0
NOT IN TOWN ON WEEKENDS	7% 2	17%	% 0	6	10%	\$0	8 8	20	8	30	8 %	20	% 0	6	6%	3 6
work on weekends	0,00	¥0	% 0	0%	0%	3 0	0%	20	3 0	8	0%	20	0%	0%	0%	3 0
BUSY ON WEEKENDS	4 142	25%	5%	13%	10%	0%	15% G	0% F	13%	20	15% K	2 C	šΣ	18% L	18%	%
SPORTS ON TV	0 22	\$ 0	10	0%	X 0	70	03	\$ 0	70	30	0%	°0%	0%	20	20	¥0
Like to be outside on meekends	44 I	34	¥0	61	20	30	4%	40	4%	11	20	50%	20	5%	20	% 6
CONFUSING/LIKELY TO FORGET	4,1	8	20	6%	20	0%	4%	3 0	4%	20	48	°0	20%	20	6%	% 0
inconvenient for other reasons	1 42	0	6%	61	20	% 0	4%	40	4\$	20	4%	20	20	20	6%	40 24
JUST PREFER TUESDAY	3 11%	8%	13%	6%	20%	% 0	12%	ъ 0	13\$	0%	12%	64	0%	14%	6%	18%
OTHER	13 462	25% B	63% A	50%	40%	30	42% G	100% F	42%	10	46%	50%	60%	45%	53%	36%
NONE	0 %	0	0\$	* 0	0%	0%	8	¥0	% 0	01	03	03	03	% 0	0%	0%

Santa Monica City Table 6-2 G 6 OBJECTIONS TO CITY HOLDING ELECTION ON SATURDAY AND SUNDAY

												FLAGS		ABSENTEE	TEE
	Q 11 VOTE BY MAIL	.1 MAIL	OWN/RENT	ENT	ETHNICITY	ICITY		AGE	ш			IN		EVER N	NEVER
	Favor (A)	OPPOSE (B)	SM	(D)	E)	NORITY (F)	18-34 (G)	35 49 (H)	50-64 (I)	÷:	а 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	, E () .	(H) 	SENTEE (N)	AB SENTEE (0)
TOTAL	13 100%	12 100%	18 100%	9 100%	20 100\$	3 100 <i>k</i>	4 1002	6 1002	4 100%	9 100%	100% 100%	6 100%	12 100\$	11 100%	17 100%
RELIGIOUS OBJECTIONS	01	17%	6 %	112	10%	۲ 0	25%	20	0%	11%	10%	20	8	% 6	6%
NOT TRADIFIONAL	8	3 0	6%	0%	5%	0%	30	20	0%	¥0	01	20	8	10	62
day for family	30 0	% 0	20	% 0	20	20	30	20	20	0%	10%	0%	0%	20	20
NOT IN TOWN ON WEEKENDS	88	81	11%	20	5%	33\$	0	17%	1 0	11%	10%	17%	\$0	3 6	7 9
WORK ON WEEKENDS	20	0%	02	10	20	\$0	0%	% 0	0	20	20	X 0	0%	20	% 0
BUSY ON WEEKENDS	8	25%	17%	11%	5	%	0,	17%	25%	11%	20%	33\$	0 %	20 20	24% N
SPORTS ON TV	40	70	0%	۲0	20	20	0%	0%	0\$	20	% 0	20	80	¥0	20
LIKE TO BE OUTSIDE ON WEEKENDS	% 8	% 0	% 0	11%	5%	20	25%	¥0	٥ ٢	% 0	% 0	X 0	8%	\$0	6%
CONFUSING/LIKELY TO FORGET	8%	20	6%	0%	20	33\$	0 %	17%	0%	20	10%	20	10	% 6	20
INCONVENIENT FOR OTHER REASONS	0%	8,	0%	11%	5%	¥0	258	0%	0%	0%	20	20	8%	% 6	0%
JUST PREFER TUESDAY	15%	8	%	22%	10%	33%	¥0	17%	50%	0%	20	17%	17%	0%	18%
OTHER	46%	33\$	50%	33\$	55 % F	ш 0%	25%	33%	25%	67%	50%	33%	50%	64%	35%
NONE	20	¥0	30	20	0%	20	0%	0%	20	۲0	70	0%	0%	%	0\$

Sarta Monica City Table 7-1 Q 7 MORE LIKELY TO VOTE IF POLLS ARE OPEN TWO DAYS IN A ROW

			Ę		VEU V			1 1 1 1 1	Q 2 PREFERENCE		Q 8 ELECTION INTENT		Q 9 ABSENTEE	-	Q 10 ELECTION) ELECTION
		GENUEK	Ĕ		PAKI 1		U I AMAKENESS	ENESS	ſ	, intervention		BIG	"H	TN IE		35
	TOTAL	MALE (A)	FEMALE (B) -	(C)	repub (D)	(Е)	YES (F)	Q (9)	E E E		EVERY (J)			z .	(N)	(0)
TOTAL	300 100%	146 100%	154 100 %	169 100%	67 100%	47 100%	262 100%	38 100%	130 100%	117 100%	246 100%	51 100%	45 100%	242 100%	145 100%	153 100%
AGREE	174 58%	64%	53%	54%	55%	68%	285	58%	39 % 1	88% H	54% K	76%	69%	57%	51 <i>X</i> 0	65% N
DISAGREE	103 34%	29%	39\$	37%	39\$	26%	34%	39%	57% I	% н	37% K	22%	20% M	37 % L	37%	32%
STRONGLY AGREE	108 36%	40\$	32%	33 * E	31% E	51 % CD	36%	34%	20\$ I	63 <i>1</i> H	33\$	47%	47%	35%	32%	39%
SOMEWHAT AGREE	66 22 %	23\$	21%	21%	24%	17%	22%	24%	19%	25%	21%	29%	22%	22%	191	25%
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	46 152	14%	17%	18%	15%	*6	15%	16%	24% I	44 4 Η	16%	12%	13%	15%	15%	15%
STRONGLY DISAGREE	57 19 %	16%	22%	19%	24%	17%	18%	24%	33≵ I	4 7 T	21% K	10% J	ж И	21% L	21%	17%
DK/NA	8 8	7%	8	ŝ	6%	6%	8%	3%	4%	35	8 ×	2%	11%	63	12%	ж Z Ю

Santa Monica City Table 7-2 Q 7 MORE LIKELY TO VOTE IF POLLS ARE OPEN TWD DAYS IN A ROW

											-	FLAGS		ABSENTEE	岜
	Q 11 VOTE BY MAIL	Q 11 OTE BY MAIL	OWN/RENT	I	ETHNICITY	CITY		AGE	AGE		VOTED IN 0.00 0	VOTED IN	VOTED IN	EVER VOTED	NEVER VOTED
	FAVOR (A)	OPPOSE (B)	NN () :	(0):	HIH (E) :	NORITY (F)	18-34 (G)	35 49 (H) · ·	50-64 (I)	+59 (7) :	, б. б. б. б. б. б. б. б. б. б. б. б. б.	с С. С. С. С. С. С. С. С. С. С. С. С. С.	6. 6. 6.	SENTEE SENTEE	(0)
	143 1002	130 1002	124 100%	169 100%	223 100 1	40 100%	78 100 %	98 1001	60 100%	47 100%	69 100\$	77 100%	154 100\$	84 100	216 100%
	62%	55%	47% D	66% C	59%	60%	79% UIH	58% G	43 % G	53% G	41% M	44 M	73 % KL	51%	61%
DISAGREE	30%	38;	47% D	26% C	33\$	33%	17% HIJ	37% G	45% G	36% G	48% M	45% M	23, KL	38%	33%
strongly agree	41%	35%	25% D	44% C	35%	38%	51% 1J	38%	30% G	23% G	26% M	21% M	85 17	32%	38%
somewhat agree	22%	21%	22%	22%	24%	23%	28% I	20%	13% GJ	30% I	14%	23\$	25%	191	23%
somemhat disagree	13\$	20%	22%	11% C	15%	15%	%6 Н	21% G	15%	132	16%	22%	12%	14%	16%
strongly disagree	17%	18%	25% D	15 % C	18%	18 %	8% LJ	15 % 1	30 % GH 30	23 % G	32% M	23 % M	11% KL	24%	17%
	82	7%	6 %	8%	8	8%	4%	5%	12%	11\$	12%	10%	5%	11%	29

building shall be allowed if parking required by this Ordinance for the second unit is provided, and all other provisions of this Ordinance are met.

(3) **Conversion of existing floor area of the main dwelling.** The creation of a second unit through conversion of part of the existing floor area of the main dwelling shall be allowed, provided it does not result in the floor area of the main dwelling being less than 150% of the floor area of the second unit, or in violation of the standards of the Uniform Building Code or Uniform Housing Code.

(k) **Prohibition against rental.** The second unit shall not be rented except to those persons whose occupancy is authorized by subsection 9 04 13 040(a)

(I) **Deed Restriction.** Prior to issuance of a building permit, or in the case of an existing second unit, within 45 days following the effective date of approval of a Use Permit, the applicant shall record a deed restriction with the County Recorder in a form approved by the City Attorney setting forth the requirements of this Ordinance, including the applicable occupancy and sale restrictions. This deed restriction shall run with the land.

26

- -

Santa Monica City Table 9 1 Q 9 VOTING BEHAVIOR																
		GENDER	JER		PARTY	5	q 1 Awareness	RENESS	Q 2 PREFERENCE		Q 8 ELECTION INTENT		Q 9 ABSENTEE VOTE			CTION
	TOTAL	MALE (A)	FEMALE (B)	CC BEND	REPUB (D)	N/P (E)	YES (F)	Q2 9€	TUES		EVERY (J)		AB SENTEE	IN PERSON VOTED (M) (N)		VOTE (0)
TOTAL	300 100%	146 100%	154 100\$	169 100\$	67 100 %	47 100%	262 100%	38 100%	130 100%	$117 \\ 100\%$	246 100%	51 100%	45 100%	242 100%	145 100%	153 100%
I GENERALLY VOTE BY ABSENTEE BALLOT MHENEVER I CAN	45 15%	12%	18 %	14%	13%	23\$	15%	13%	10%	14%	15%	14%	100% M	۲. 3	18%	12%
I GENERALLY PREFER TO VOTE IN Person at the polls on election day	242 81%	83\$	79%	81\$	87% E	68 % D	81\$	79%	87*	82\$	81\$	82%	% ⊻	100° L	79%	82%
OTHER	2%	1%	38	D %	8°0	4%	2%	5%	1%	3%	2%	2%	% 0	¥0	2%	36
DK/NA	2%	ŝ	1%	2%	20	4%	3	ň	స	2%	3	2%	20	% 0	11	3%
Table 92 09 voring Behavior																
										1	ш.	FLAGS		ABSENTEE	EE	
	Q 11 Vote By Mail	MAIL	OWN/RENT	L.	ETHNICITY			AGE						EVER N VOTED V	NEVER	
	Favor (A)	OPPOSE (B)	CC)	(D)		(F) (F)	18-34 3 (G) (35-49 5 (H)	50-64 (I) : ·	+59	0F9 0F9 (K) (L)		0F 9 0F 9 (M)	AB: AB: A Sentee S (N) (AB Sentee (0) : :	
TOTAL	143 1002	130 1001	124 100%	169 100%	223 100 %	40 100%	78 100%	98 1001	60 100%	47 1002	69 100 2	77 100%	154 100%	84 100 %	216 100%	
I GENERALLY VOTE BY ABSENTEE BALLOT WHENEVER I CAN	17%	13%	15%	15%	18%	10%	14%	15%	12\$	23%	12%	18%	15%	39 % 0	N 8	
I GENERALLY PREFER TO VOTE IN PERSON AT THE POLLS ON ELECTION DAY	78\$	84%	82%	79%	78%	85%	79%	83%	82%	74%	87%	78%	79%	56 % 0	90% N	
OTHER	1%	5%	1%	4%	*≊	ж Ш 0	3%	1%	5%	0%	1%	3%	3%	2%	5%	
DK/NA	34	1%	2%	2%	1%	5,	4%	1\$	5%	2%	ж м С	1%		2%	5	

Samta Monica City Table 10-1 Q 10 DID YOU VOTE IN LAST WEEKEND'S ELECTION?

									Q 2 Preference		2 8 ELEC	NOIL	Q 8 ELECTION Q 9 ABSENTEE Q 10 ELECTION INTENT		10 ELE	CT10N
		GENDER	Ě		PARTY	Û	Q 1 AWARENESS						Ň	VOTE	0	Ð
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	ALE FEMALE DEMO REPU (A) (B) (C) (D)	DEMO (C)	REPUB (D)	d (E)	YES NO (F) (G)	Q (9)	TUES END (H) (I)		EVERY (J)		AB- SENTEE PI (L) (1	TN PERSON V (M) (NOT VOTE (0)
rotal	300 100%	146 100%	154 100%	169 1002	67 100%	47 100%	262 100%	38 1001	130 100%		246 100ž	51 100 1		242 145 242 145 100% 100%		153 100%
YES VOTED	145 48%	52%	45%	5 4 % E	49%	32% C	55% G	۳0 ۳	42%	51%	58% K	4 %	58%	48%	100% 0	% N 0
ded not vote	153 51%	47%	55%	44% E	51%	68% C	44% G	97 % F	58%	48%	41% K	948 U	42%	52%	×0	100% N
DK/NA	22	1%	1\$	1%	0	% 0	3 0	34	11	1%	0%	2%	%0	1%	0%	20
Table 10-2 Q 10 DID YOU VOTE IN LAST WEEKEND'S ELECTION?	ond's eli	ECTION?														

ITEE	VOTED	AB- AB- Sentee Sentee (N) (0)	216 1002	42% N	583 N	3 0
ABSENTEE	EVER	SENTEE (N)	84 1001	65 % 0	33 <i>1</i> 0	1%
	VOTED	6.00	154 100%	31 % KL	69% KL	74
FLAGS		0F 9 0F 9 0F 9 0F 0F 0F 0 1 1 1 0F 0F 0F 0 1 1 1 1	77 100%	52% KM	47% KN	1\$
	VOTED IN	0F 9 0F 9 1 0F 9 0F 9 1 (K) (L)	69 100\$	84% LM	16% LM	1 0
		í .	47 100 %	85% GHI	15% GHI	20
	ų	HITE NORITY 18-34 35-49 50 64 65+ E) (F) (G) (H) (I) (J)	60 100%	62% GJ	37% GHJ	2%
	AGE	18-34 35-49 50 64 (G) (H) (I)	98 100%	46 % GJ	54 <i>%</i> GLJ	*0
		18-34 (G)	78 100%	191 UIH	%67 ЦН	11
		NORITY (F)	40 100%	20% E	80% E	20
	ETHNICITY		223 1001	53% F	47% F	\$0
	ENT	PPOSE OWN RENT (169 1002	45%	54%	1\$
	OWN/RENT	CC OKN	12 4 100%	53%	46%	1\$
	MAIL	58	130 100 <i>1</i>	54% A	45% A	14
	Q 11 VOTE BY MAIL	FAVOR (A)	143 1002	40% B	59% B	1\$
				ED	VOTE	
			TOTAL	yes, voted	ded not vote	DK/NA

Santa Monica City Table 11:1 Q 11 FAVOR OR OPPOSE ELECTIONS BY MAIL

		GENDER	Ĕ	цт. 	PARLY		q 1 AWARENESS		Q 2 PREFERENCE WEEK		Q 8 ELECTION INTENT BIG	6	0 9 ABSENTEE VOTE AB IN		Q 10 ELECTION DID NOT	DID DID NOT
MALE FEMALE TOTAL (A) (B)		E E		CO DEMO	REPUB (D)	RP E	996 : : 976	9 9 ¹	CH ES	E :	Every (J) 	K) . (K) :	별 :	. NOS	VOTED V (N)	Щ <u>о</u> :
300 146 100% 100% 1	• •		154 100%	169 100%	67 100%	47 100%	262 100%	38 100%	130 100%	117 100%	246 100%	51 100%	45 1002	242 100%	145 100%	153 100%
143 45% 48%	45%		51%	45%	46%	51%	45% G	66% F	46%	51%	44 K	63%	56%	46%	39% 20%	56 % N
130 47% 43%			40%	47%	43%	34%	46% G	24% F	47\$	41%	46\$	33%	38\$	45%	48%	39\$
58 16% 19%			23%	19%	24%	15%	18%	29%	15 <i>1</i> 1	25% H	17%	27%	27%	19%	14% 0	24% N
85 29% 28%			28%	26%	22%	36%	27%	37%	321	26%	26%	35%	29%	28%	26%	31%
51 16% 17%	16%		18%	20% D	36 2	21%	17%	16%	15%	21%	18%	14%	18%	17%	17%	17%
79 31 % 26%			22%	28% E	34% E	13% CD	29% G	88 Ж.म	32 % I	21% H	28\$	20%	20%	28%	31%	22%
27 9 % 9%	¥6		8	83	10%	15%	36	11%	7\$	8	10%	4	7%	3 ⁸ 6	12%	6%

Santa Monica City Table 11-2 Q 11 FAVOR OR OPPOSE ELECTIONS BY MAIL

EE	NEVER Voted	SENTEE (0)	216 100%	46%	45%	19%	28%	19%	26%	8
ABSENTEE	OTED V	Sentee Si (N) : ((84 100%	51%	38%	21%	30%	12%	26%	11\$
		0F9 S (M)	154 100%	46%	46%	21%	25%	21% K	25%	8%
FLAGS	VOTED V	6 6	77 100 1	55%	39%	18%	361	16%	23%	6%
	NOTED VOTED	5	69 100%	43\$	42%	16%	28%	%Σ	33%	14%
			47 100%	38 % G	47%	15%	23\$	13\$	34%	15% G
	ш	50-64 (I)	60 100%	52%	42%	22%	301	13%	28\$	7%
	AGE	35-49 ! (H)	98 1001	47%	44%	14% G	33%	20%	23%	9 %
		18-34 (G)	78 100%	56% J	41%	28% H	28%	21%	21%	3%
	LI1	NORITY (F)	40 100\$	58%	40\$	30%	28%	18%	23%	ы К
	ETHNICITY	WHITE (E)	223 100 %	47%	44%	17%	29%	17%	27%	%6 ⊣
	ENT	(D)	169 100 %	47%	45%	22%	25%	18%	27%	8%
	OWN/RENT	NHO :	124 100%	48%	41%	15%	33%	16%	25%	11%
	1 MAIL	OPPOSE (B)	130 100 %	4 A	100% A	0% A	°3 4	39¥ A	61% A	0%
	q 11 Vote By Mail	FAVOR (A)	143 100%	1002 B	8 8	41% B	59% B	88 8	80 B	0%
						~	æ	Я	SE	
						FAVOI	- Favof	joddo .	OPPO(
			TOTAL	FAVOR	OPPOSE	strongly favor	somewhat favor	Somewhat oppose	strongly oppose	DK/NA
			10	ΕA	Р					¥

Santa Monica City Table 12 1 Q 12 SUMMARY OF MUCH MORE/SOMEMHAT MORE LIKELY RESPONSES

- -

		GENINED	a contraction of the second		VTAVA		ALMARKALE C		q 2 Preference		q 8 ele intei	NOILC	Q 8 ELECTION Q 9 ABSENTEE INTENT		Q 10 ELECTION	ECTION
							T PWARENES		ואבבת		010		35	VOIE	<u></u>	
	TOTAL	MALE (A) 	E FEMALE (B)		DEMO REPUB (C) (D)	•	, FE		TUES (H)		EVERY (J)	K) (K)	AB- Sentee Pe (L) (M	PERSON VI (M) (I	VOTED V (N)	(0) (0)
TUTAL	300 1002	146 1002	154 1002	169 100%	67 100%	47 100%	262 100 %	38 1001	130 100%	117 100%	246 100%	51 1002	45 100%	242 100%	145 100%	153 100%
A EXTENDED PERIOD TO TURN IN BALLOTS	201 67%	66%	68%	67%	60%	72%	65 % G	82% F	64%	75%	63% K	82% J	78%	66%	57 % 0	76X N
B HIGHER TURNOUT	213 71%	68%	74%	73%	61%	74%	70%	79%	68%	76%	70%	75%	71%	71%	64% 0	78% N
C LESS EXPENSIVE	218 73%	68%	17%	70%	73%	\$6L	72%	76%	1 769	82% H	71%	82%	78%	72%	71\$	75%
Table 12 2 Q 12 Summary of Much More/Somemmat More Likely Responses	HAT MOR	e likel	y respoi	VSES												
											ш	FLAGS		ABSENTEE	щ	

8	EVER	B- ENTEE 0)	216 100%	66%	72%	71\$
ABSENTEE	ever Voted V	AB AB- Sentee Sentee (N) (0)	84 100%	70%	\$69	76%
	VOTED	4 Ĉ € :	154 1.00%	74% K	73%	75%
FLAGS	VOTED		77 100\$	65%	74%	78% K
	VOTED IN	8 UK 9 5 / 0F 9 0F 9 (K) (L)	69 100%	54% M	62%	62% L
		() () ()	47 100%	53% GH	64%	62% H
	ш	18-34 35 49 50-64 55+ 07 9 07 9 07 9 01 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	60 100%	62% G	63‡	68%
	AGE	35 49 . (H) 	98 100%	71% L	77%	80% J
		18-34 (G)	78 100%	81% 1.)	77%	78%
			40 100%	20%	73%	70%
	ETHNICITY	(E)	223 100%	67%	72%	74\$
	TN	(D)	169 100%	66%	74%	73%
	OWN/RENT	OWN RENT (C) (D)	124 100%	6 9%	68%	73%
	Q 11 DTE BY MAIL	1.401	130 100%	48\$ A	53 <i>1</i> A	52% A
	Q 11 VOTE BY MAIL	Favor (A)	143 1002	87% B	87% B	91% B
			TOTAL	A EXTENDED PERIOD TO TURN IN BALLOTS	B HIGHER TURNOUT	C LESS EXPENSIVE

Santa Monnca City Table 13-1 Q 12 MORE OR LESS LIKELY TO FAVOR ELECTIONS BY MAIL A PEOPLE WOULD HAVE AN EXTENDED PERIOD TO TURN IN BALLOTS

									0 Z 000000000		Q 8 ELECTION		Q 9 ABSENTEE		Q 10 ELECTION	CLION
		GENDER	DER		PARTY	-	Q 1 AWARENESS		ענינע					VOTE		Q
	TOTAL	MALE (A)	MALE FEMALE (A) (B)	C) (C)	REPUB (D)	E) P	YES (F)	₽®	TUES (H)	₩EE'	EVERY (J) 	Si transference (K) (K)	SENTEE I	PERSON	VOTED (N)	Vote (0)
TOTAL	300 100\$	146 100\$	154 100\$	169 100%	67 100%	47 100%	262 100\$	38 100%	130 100%	117 100%	246 100%	51 100%	45 1002	242 100%	145 100%	153 100%
MORE LIKELY	201 673	66\$	68%	67%	60%	72%	65% G	82 % F	64%	75%	63 % K	82% J	78%	66%	57% 0	76% N
LESS LIKELY	49 163	16%	16%	18%	22% E	χ.Ω	18%	8%	19%	12%	18%	10%	9,	18%	18%	14%
MUCH MORE LIKELY	116 39%	38%	40%	40%	34%	40%	37%	50%	30% I	50% H	34% K	57% J	47%	37%	33%	44%
SOMEWHAT MORE LIKELY	85 28 4	29%	28%	27%	25%	32%	28%	32%	34%	26%	29%	25%	31%	29%	24%	33%
SOMEWHAT LESS LIKELY	8 K	1%	4%	5% DE	20 0 4	يد 0	3\$	3\$	5%	ŝ	ж×	°,	5%	3%	4%	1%
MUCH LESS LIKELY	41 14\$	15%	12%	13%	22 % E	б 6	15% G	3°. ∎	18% I	<u></u> 2 т	15%	10%	7%	15%	14%	13%
ND EFFECT	43 142	16%	13%	13%	18%	13%	16% G	жд Т	17%	11%	17% K	4% J	36	15%	23% 0	7% N
DK/NA	2%	1%	34	2%	с. Кориски Соб	% 9	2%	5%	1 0	2%	5	48	4%	1%	2%	3\$

Santa Monica City Table 13.2 Q 12 MORE OR LESS LIKELY TO FAVOR ELECTIONS BY MAIL A PEOPLE WOULD HAVE AN EXTENDED PERIOD TO TURN IN BALLOTS

												FLAGS		ABSENTEE	끹
	Q 11 VOTE BY MAIL	MAIL	OWN/RENT	Ĭ	ETHN	ETHNICITY		AGE	ы			VOTED	VOTED		NEVER VOTED
	Favor (A)	OPPOSE (B)	NM ()	RENT (D)	MHITE (E) : :	NORITY (F)	18-34 (G)	35 49 (H) 	20 64 (1)	+59 (7);	26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 2	، و () و ()	2 년 6 년	SENTEE (N)	AB- Sentee (0)
TOTAL	143 100\$	130 100\$	124 100%	169 100%	223 100%	40 1005	78 100%	98 100 %	60 100%	47 100%	69 100%	77 1002	154 100%	84 100%	216 100%
MORE LIKELY	87% B	48% A	\$69	66%	67%	70%	81% 1J	71% L	62% G	53% E9	54% M	65%	47 84 7	70%	66%
LESS LIKELY	7% B	28% A	15%	17%	15%	15%	12%	12%	17%	26%	22%	19\$	12%	14%	17%
MUCH MORE LIKELY	59% B	20% A	35%	40%	381	38%	51% J	42% J	35%	26% GH	32%	35\$	44%	43%	37%
SOMEWAT MORE LIKELY	27%	28%	33\$	25%	29%	33%	29%	30%	27%	28\$	22%	30%	31\$	27%	29\$
SOMEWHAT LESS LIKELY	1% B	∀	1%	4%	2%	88 8	38	2%	స	4%	1%	4\$	3\$	1%	3%
MUCH LESS LIKELY	е С	22 % A	15%	12%	13%	8	% 6	10%	15%	21\$	20%	16%	10%	13%	14%
NO EFFECT	4 8 8	23 <i>*</i> A	14%	15%	16%	10%	38	14%	18%	17%	23# M	13%	11% K	12%	15%
DK/NA	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	ې ۲	0 <u>ٹ</u>	2%	ಸ	4%	1\$	3%	35	4%	2%

Santa Monica City Table 14-1 Q 12 MORE OR LESS LIKELY TO FAVOR ELECTIONS BY MAIL B VOTE BY MAIL ELECTIONS HAVE RESULTED IN HIGHER VOTER TURNOUT

			Ē	_					Q 2 PREFERENCE		Q B ELECTION INTENT		Q 9 ABSENTEE		d 10 ELECTION	
		MALE FEA	Į ₹		REPLIB	1	YES NO				EVERY	DNI V	AB AB SENTEF D	VUIE IN PFRSON	VOTED V	NOT
	TOTAL	<u>.</u>	(B)	: 2 :	; ;:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	: : :	ات ات ا	9 °	E .				(T)	(W)		6
TOTAL	300 1002	146 100%	154 100%	169 1001	67 100%	47 100%	262 1001	38 100%	130 100%	117 100 %	246 100%	51 100%	45 100%	242 100%	145 100%	153 100%
MORE LIKELY	213 71%	68%	74%	73%	61%	74%	70%	79%	68%	76%	70%	75%	71%	71%	64% D	78% N
LESS LIKELY	29 101	10%	6	11%	12%	6%	10%	ŝ	10%	8 %	1 6	12%	7%	11%	10%	8%
MUCH MORE LIKELY	133 441	42%	46%	49%	37%	40%	44%	50%	38% I	52% H	41% K	57% J	36%	46%	40%	48%
SOMEMHAT MORE LIKELY	80 27%	25%	28%	25%	24%	34%	26%	29%	29%	24%	28%	181	36%	25\$	24%	29%
SOMEWHAT LESS LIKELY	2.7	1% I	3%	ы Ж	1%	2%	ж Ю	зп	22	3%	2%	2%	°S ₹	ц З	3%	1%
MUCH LESS LIKELY	22	9 %	6%	88	10%	42	\$1	8	83	4	7%	10%	7%	8	7%	7%
NO EFFECT	47 16%	20%	12%	13%	22%	13%	17%	80	21% I	11% H	17%	12%	18%	15%	21%	11X N
DK/NA	14	2%	5%	с ж	43	6%	3%	5%	స	5,	4%	2%	4%	3\$	5%	š

Santa Monica City Table 14-2 Q 12 MORE OR LESS LIKELY TO FAVOR ELECTIONS BY MAIL B VOTE BY MAIL ELECTIONS HAVE RESULTED IN HIGHER VOTER TURNOUT

												FLAGS		ABSENTEE	
	Q 11 VOTE BY MAIL	MAIL	OWN/RENT	ENT	ETHNICLIY			AG	AGE		VOTED VOTED	VOTED IN	VOTED	EVER Voted	NEVER Voted
	FAVOR (A)	0PPOSE (B)	NMO -	G	WHITE (E) : :	NORITY (F)	18-34 (G)	35-49 (H) 	50 64 (I)		ы 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	его (1) :	: 3.9.5 :	SENTEE (N)	Sentee (0)
TOTAL	143 1002	130 100%	124 100%	169 100%	223 100%	40 100%	78 100%	98 100%	60 100%	47 100%	69 100%	77 100%	154 100%	84 100%	216 100%
MORE LIKELY	87% B	53 % A	68%	74\$	72%	73%	77%	77%	63%	64%	62%	74%	73%	£69	72%
LESS LIKELY	2% B	19% A	10%	28 28	36	5%	5	4% 1J	15% H	17% H	14%	6%	3,6	12%	5
MUCH MORE LIKELY	66% B	22 % A	43%	46%	43%	50%	45%	5 1 % J	48%	32 % H	38%	452	47%	43%	45%
somewhat more likely	21%	31%	25%	28%	29%	23\$	32% I	26%	15% GJ	32 % I	25%	29\$	27%	26%	27%
SOMEWHAT LESS LIKELY	1%	4%	2	3%	5%	5%	ų	1%	2%	62	ŝ	1%	3%	3	2%
MUCH LESS LIKELY	1% B	15 % A	8	61	×	о 8 ш	4%	I I	13 % H	11%	12%	5%	61	10%	õ
NO EFFECT	20 20 20	25 % A	19%	14%	15%	18%	17%	17%	17%	11%	19%	16%	14%	14%	16%
DK/NA	3%	2%	3%	4\$	4\$	5%	1%	2%	5%	3 6	4%	4%	3%	5%	3%

Santa Monica City Table 15 1 Q 12 MORE OR LESS LIKELY TO FAVOR ELECTIONS BY MAIL C HOLDING AN ELECTION BY MAIL IS LESS EXPENSIVE AND SAVES TAXPAYERS MONEY

CENNED BADY 0 1 AU		CENDED	A		DADTV	c	O 1 MINDENECS		Q 2 PREFERENCE		Q 8 ELECTION INTENT		q 9 Absentee		10 EL	
									-			BIG /		i N		15
	TOTAL	(A)	FEMALE D (B) (repub (D) : :: ::	E E	: : :	999 .	E E :		EVERY (J)		SENTEE PERSON V (L) (M) (ERSON V	: EB £€E	VOTE (0)
TOTAL	300 100%	146 100%	154 100%	169 100%	67 100\$	47 100%	262 100%	38 100 1	130 100%	11/ 100 2	246 100%	$51 \\ 100 $	45 1002	242 100%	145 100%	153 100%
MORE LIKELY	218 73%	68%	77%	70\$	73\$	79%	72%	76%	I 869	82 % H	71%	82%	78%	72%	71%	75%
LESS LIKELY	35 12 %	12%	12%	14%	10%	11%	13%	5%	12%	1 6	12%	10%	11%	12%	12%	10%
MUCH MORE LIKELY	163 54 %	52%	56%	54%	54%	60%	52% G	68% F	52%	61%	¥ %	76 %	62%	54%	47% 0	61% N
SOMEWHAT MORE LIKELY	55 18%	16%	21%	17%	19%	19%	20% G	88 1	18%	21%	21% K	وړ د	16%	19%	24% 0	13% N
SOMEWHAT LESS LIKELY	6 %	ñ	5%	ų	3%	4%	80 8	ж Ц 0	3%	3%	*	2%	7%	2%	3%	3%
MUCH LESS LIKELY	98 26	10%	7%	11%	7%	6%	<u>9</u>	5	83	6%	* 6	8%	4%	10%	36	8
NO EFFECT	38 13%	18% B	8% A	12%	15%	6%	13%	11%	172 I	7% H	14% K	5% J	% 6	14%	14%	12%
DK/NA	0.8	38	ŝ	4%	14	4%	3	8	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	36	31

Santa Monica City Table 15 2 Q 12 MORE OR LESS LIKELY TO FAVOR ELECTIONS BY MAIL C HOLDING AN ELECTION BY MAIL IS LESS EXPENSIVE AND SAVES TAXPAYERS MONEY

FLAGS A	EVER	MITE NORTY 18-34 35 49 50-64 65+ 0F 9 0F 9 0F 9 SENTEE SENTEE SENTEE SENTEE SENTEE SENTEE SENTEE . (F) (G) (H) (N) (O) (N) (N) (O) (N)	223 40 78 98 60 47 69 77 154 84 216 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	74% 70% 78% 80% 68% 62% 62% 78% 75% 76% 71% J H L K	11% 10% 8% 8% 13% 21% 20% 6% 10% 12% 12% J J GH L K	56% 50% 63% 57% 50% 45% 42% 58% 57% 53% J G LM K K	19% 20% 15% 22% 18% 17% 20% 19% 17% 19% 18%	3% 5% 4% 3% 0% 6% 4% 0% 4% 2% 3% M L	8\$ 5\$ 4\$ 5\$ 13\$ 15\$ 16\$ 6\$ 5\$ 10\$ 8\$	124 104 134 114 124 114 144 134 127 77 157 0 N
	OWN/RENT	N RENT	124 169 100% 100%	73% 73%	13% 10%	54% 54%	19% 19%	4% 2%	91 81	12% 14%
	Q 11 VOTE BY MAIL O	FAVOR OPPOSE OWN (A) (B) (C)	143 130 100% 100% 1	91% 52% B A	1% 25% B A	78% 31% ! B A	13% 21%	0% 7% B A	1% 18% B A	6% 21% B A
	JA	14	ТОТАL	MORE LIKELY	LESS LTKELY	MUCH MORE LIKELY	SOMEWHAT NORE LIKELY	SOMEWHAT LESS LIKELY	MUCH LESS LIKELY	NO EFFECT

WHEREAS, in response, Staff proposed an ordinance, and the Planning Commission conducted a public hearing on the proposed ordinance on September 11, 1996, and

WHEREAS, the proposed ordinance, together with modifications suggested by the Planning Commission, came before the City Council at its meeting of September 24, 1996, and the Council conducted a public hearing, and

WHEREAS, in the course of that hearing, a significant number of City residents expressed their concerns about permitting the development of additional second units in the R-1 district, and

WHEREAS, those concerns included increased noise, increased air pollution, security risks, the creation and exacerbation of traffic and parking problems, inordinate demand on the infrastructure of older neighborhoods which were planned and built to be R-1, and the lack of quiet, peaceful spaces in the community, and

WHEREAS, a much smaller number of speakers favored allowing second units in the single family districts, and

WHEREAS, at the conclusion of the hearing, the Council deliberated on the available options and directed staff to prepare an ordinance which would prohibit second units in the R-1 and OP-1 districts (hereinafter referred to in this section as the "R-1 District") only; and

WHEREAS, at the October 8, 1996 City Council meeting, the Council considered an ordinance which clarified the allowance of second units in all multi-family districts, and prohibited second units in the R-1 district subject to a limited "hardship exemption," and

Santa Monica City Table 16-2 Q 13 FAVOR OR OPPOSE ELECTION HELD ENTIRELY BY MAIL

											FLAG	FLAGS		ABSENTEE	H
	Q 11 VOTE BY MAIL	MATL	OW/RENT	INT	ETHNICITY	CITY		AGE				VOTED	~	EVER VOTED	NEVER VOTED
	FAVOR (A)	0PPOSE (B)	NMO :	RENT (D)	WHITE (E)	NORITY	18-34 (G)	35-49 ' (H)	49 50 64 65+ (I) (J)		л 6. (У) 	сто (-) с	5 E E -	SENTEE	Sentee (0)
TOTAL	143 1001	130 100%	124 100%	169 100%	223 1002	40 100%	78 1002	98 1002	60 1002	47 1002	69 1002	77 100\$	154 1001	84 100%	216 100%
FAVOR	95% B	39% A	69%	¥69	1 69	73%	۲7% ر	73%	63%	60% G	62%	73%	69%	75%	66%
OPPOSE	11 B	51% A	23%	23%	22%	23%	172	213	27%	30%	32%	19%	21%	19%	25%
STRONGLY FAVOR	60 8 B	10% A	31%	37%	36%	25%	46 % J	38%	30%	26% G	28\$	36%	38%	30%	37%
SOMEWHAT FAVOR	35%	29%	38%	32%	32%	48%	31%	36%	33%	34%	35%	36%	32%	45% 0	29% N
SOMEWHAT OPPOSE	57 EB	11% A	4%	7\$	5%	8%	5%	8	ង	23	62	4	6%	5	6
STRONGLY OPPOSE	17 B	403 A	19%	17\$	17%	15%	12% J	13%	22%	28% G	26%	16%	16%	14%	19%
DK/NA	8 8	A A	8	8%	86 8	54 24	6 %	С Ж	10%	11%	6%	83	36 7	6%	3 6

ICTION		VOTE (0)	153 100%	37%	29\$ N	8	60%	03	с ж
Q 10 ELECTION		OTED (N)	1 4 5 100%	46%	41% 0	4%	52%	\$0	2%
		z ·	242 100%	42%	37%	5%	55%	0%	2%
q 9 Absentee		SENTEE 1	45 100%	42%	33%	36	56%	0%	2%
NOILD			51 100%	35%	29%	6	63\$	0	2%
Q 8 ELECTION		EVERY (J)	246 100%	43%	37%	6%	54%	X 0	2%
			117 100%	29% H	22% H	7%	68 % H	20	3,
Q 2	PREFER	TUES (H) 	130 100%	54% I	47% I	72	4 5% I	¥0	2%
	Q 1 AWARENESS	N (G)	38 1001	29%	24%	5	63%	80	88
		, (F)	262 1001	43%	37%	6	55\$	% 0	2%
		N/P (E)	47 100%	36%	30%	6	64%	0%	ж ^о
	PARTY	REPUB (D)	67 100%	49%	37%	12%	48%	0%	34
			169 100%	40%	361	4%	57≵	20	щ.33
	GENDER	FEMALE (B)	154 100%	42%	34%	33	55%	30	4%
	GEN	MALE (A)	146 100 <i>X</i>	41%	37%	4%	58;	×0	1%
		TOTAL	300 1002	124 41%	106 352	18 6%	169 56%	0%0	2%
ò.									
Santa Monica City Table 17 1 Q 14 OMN/RENT			TOTAL	NMO	OWN HOME	OWN CONDO	RENT	MOBILE HOME	REFUSED

1.1			216 100%	38% N	32%	5%	60%	0%	3%
ABSENTEE	VOTED VOT	AB AB Sentee Sentee (N) (O)	84	51 <i>2</i> 0	43%	38	48%	20	1%
-			154 100% 1	29% KL	21 % KL	7%	68% KL	20	4%
FLAGS	VOTED VOTED VOTED	9 0F 9 (H)	77 100%	55% M	49% M	5%	45% M	0%	ж м 0
5	VOTED VOI	8 0K 9 5 7 0F 9 0F 9 (K) (L) 	69 100%	55% M	51% M	4%	43% M	20	1%
		654 (J) (K)	47 100% 1	43% GI	32% GI	11%	55% G	80	2%
		50 64 (1) (1)	60 1001 1	62% GHJ	57% GHU	5%	38% GH	0%	8°9
	AGE	35 49 50 (H) (I) 	98 100% 1	45% GI	39% GI	6%	55% GI	0%	*9 5
		18 34 35 (G) (H)	78 100% 1	19% UIU	15 % HIJ	4%	76% HIJ	0%	HI 5%
	~		40 100% 1	35%	33%	3%	60%	20	5%
	ETHNICITY	THE NOR (E) (E) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A	223 100% 1	41%	34%	8	57%	30	1%
		(E) (E) (E)	169 1002 1	۲0% د	20 0 0	*0 0	100% C	%0	20
	OWN/RENT		124 100% 1	100% D	85% D	15% D	0% D	20	0%
		OPPOSE OWN (B) (C)	130 100% 1	1 %5	35%	5%	58%	20	2%
	Q 11 VOTE BY MAIL		143 100% 1	41%	33%	ŝ	56%	0\$	3%
	TOV	FAVOR (A)	-						
City									
Santa Monica City Table 17-2 Q 14 OMN/RENT					own home	ohn condo		HOME	۵
Santa Table Q 14 O			TOTAL	NMO	NMO	OHN	RENT	MOBILE HOME	REFUSED

Santa Monica City Table 18 1 Q 15 ETHNICITY																
			e		VTOP		O 1 ALADENECC	DEMECC	Q 2 Preference		Q 8 ELECTION INTENT		Q 9 ABSENTEE		3 10 E	CTION
	TOTAL	MALE FEI (A) (B	ALE	DEMO	REPUB (D)	E)	YES NO (F) (G)	(G)	TUES (H)	END END	EVERY (J)	BIG ONLY (K)	AB SENTEE I (L)	TN PERSON	(N)	NOT VOTE
TOTAL	300 100%	146 100%	154 100%	169 100%	67 100 %	47 100%	262 100 %	38 100%	130 100%	117 100%	246 100%	51 100%	45 100%	242 100%	145 100%	153 100%
WHITE	223 74%	75%	/3%	/3%	81%	77%	75%	68%	¥69	74%	75%	71X	89% M	72% L	82% 0	68% N
BLACK/AFRICAN-AMERICAN	5,27	2%	1%	3% DE 3	۲ ⁰	÷۵ ۵	2%	3%	5%	3	2%	2%	7%	1%	1%	2%
HISPANIC/LATINO	22 7%	5	10%	ж ш	7%	3°0	7\$	88	101	7%	7\$	8 %	δ	8% L	ð ñ	12% N
ASTAN	13	3%	ő	3%	4%	% 6	4%	8	34	6%	3%	12%	ĸ	5%	й0 Ц	7% N
MIXED RACE	5% e	2%	స	2%	3%	84 CV	33	33	3%	2%	% ≚	х°Г	24	2%	1%	3%
OTHER	2%	3%	3	1%	24 1	6%	3%	Р Р	35	3%	2%	2%	×0 80	5%	7% 	3%
REFUSED	24 8%	10%	6%	% 0	3% 0	4.2	2 8	11%	10%	7%	8	6%	×0 Υ	10% L	10%	5

	FLAGS ABSENTEE	ETHNICITY AGE VOTED VOTED VOTED IN	MI- B 0K 9 5-7 0 4 AB- AB RENT MHITE NORLY 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 0F9 0	169 223 40 78 98 60 47 69 77 154 84 216 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	76% 100% 0% 72% 72% 75% 94% 84% 75% 69% 82% 71% F E J J J GHI M K C 0 M	1% 0% 13% 1% 2% 3% 0% 1% 0% 3% 4% F E M L	8% 0% 55% 10% 5% 12% 2% 3% 6% 10% 2% F E J J GI M K 0	5% 0% 33% 4% 6% 3% 2% 1% 3% 6% 1% 6% F E M K 0 M	2% 0% 0% 5% 2% 0% 0% 0% 4% 2% 2% 2% 13 0% 6 G M M KL	2% 0% 0% 3% 4% 0% 0% 0% 3% 3% 3% 3% 3% 1% 3% 1% 3%	:
		AGE	ġ£∶ s			24	**	25	*	43	è
										-	
		VT10I						33 ! E			
		ETHN	WHITE (E)	223 100 %		<u>8</u> г	8 7 1	<u>8</u> т	% 0	0	
	OWN/RENT -		(0) (0)	169 100 <i>1</i>	76%	1%	8%	5	2%	5%	;
		OWN/F	NMO O	124 1002	74%	2%	6 %	4%	1%	3%	
		LI MAIL	OPPOSE (B)	130 100%	76%	1\$	8%	43	2%	2%	ě
		Q 11 VOTE BY MAIL	FAVOR (A)	143 100\$	73%	35 25	8	6%	35	34	;
Santa Monica City Table 18-2 Q 15 ETHNICITY						BLACK/AFRICAN-AMERICAN	HISPANIC/LATINO		RACE		

CTION	명단	VOTE (0) -	153 100%	14% N	102 N	162 N	162	36	36	% Z	7%	3%	2%	₫Z	× 2	6%
Q 10 ELECTION		VOTED V (N)	145 100%	2°	3%	*° 0	12%	10%	10%	12 % 0	6%	8	6%	%0 8	16% 0	6,
	VOTE IN	PERSON V (M) (242 100 %	*/	7%	12%	14%	10%	10%	8	7%	6%	4%	5%	8	6%
q 9 ABSENTEE	B-	Sentee P (L) -	45 100%	11%	42	%	18%	7%	¥6	%	5%	4%	2%	<i>х</i> б	13%	2%
		, (K) P	51 100%	18% J	16% J	27% L	9°	6%	8	4	4%	4%	2%	2%	»° Г	4%
Q 8 ELECTION INTENT		EVERY (J) 	246 100%	~~ °	8°. 7	88 ×	16% 7	10%	36	3 6	7%	6%	4%	3%	11% K	6%
		ae.	117 100%	1 6	% 6	15%	14%	10%	7%	83	7%	4%	2	2%	* 6	3%
q 2 Preference		SEC ·	130 100%	80	7%	12%	15%	7%	113	8	7%	6%	5	స	5%	62
		9 (9)	38 100%	18,	21% F	16%	13%	5	8	3%	3\$	5%	3%	%ц 8	ж Т	ъ.
	Q 1 AWARENESS	YES (F)	262 100%	6%	3 <u>6</u>	11%	14%	10%	70%	6	7%	6%	4%	ё 9	10% G	%
)	N/P (E)	47 100%	3 6	7 6	17%	9 0	11%	13%	% 6	3 ¢	4%	38	38	4	11%
	PARTY	repub (D) ,	67 100%	ų	7 6	10%	12%	<u>к</u> б	7%	с %	8	4%	12% G	фш	6%	\$
	ĺ	DEMO	169 100%	7%	5%	% 6	17% E	2 6	10%	11% D	5	21	2% DE	ы К	10%	4%
		FEMALE (B)	154 100%	8	6%	10%	14%	10%	7%	6%	å	7%	3%	3%	10%	Эй
	Z L	MALE (A)	146 100%	7%	88	12%	14%	8%	12%	10%	5%	4%	5%	ŝ	83	ж С
		TOTAL	300 100%	8, 2,	21 7%	34 11%	42 14%	88	8, <u>8</u> ,	2 4 8, 24	19 6%	17 6 %	12 4%	9 % 9	95 96	17 6%
hty				-24)	29)	34)	39)	44)	49)	54)	5 9)	64)	(69)	74)	⁷ 5+)	
фолтса (19-1 БЕ				1980 1974 (18-24)	1973 1969 (25-29)	964 (30 34)	(35	954 (40-44)	(45	(50	(55	(60	(65	1928-1924 (70 74)	BEFORE 1923 (75+)	-
Santa Monica City Table 19-1 Q 16 AGE			TOTAL	1980 1	1973 1(1968 1964	1963 1959	1958 1954	1953 1949	1948 1944	1943-1939	1938 1934	1933-1929	1928-1(BEFORE	REFUSED

н	VOTED	Sentee (0)	216 100%	% 6	8	12%	15%	10%	10%	8%	6%	4%	3ž	2%	8%	6%
ABSENTEE	l o	AB- Sentee Si (N) ((84 100%	5%	5%	11%	12%	7%	7%	8	7%	10%	7%	6%	11%	5%
		문요 원 : 	15 4 100%	14% KL	13 % KL	16% K	185 X	8	۲ 6%	5%	6%	3%	∾∠	1%	¥17	5%
FLAGS	VOTED	(77 100%	μ μ	α F T	12% K	10%	14%	16% M	12%	5%	8 8	;; 기	4%	10% KM	35
	VOTED	2, 10 2, 10 2, 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	69 1001	80 W	8 M	85	8 ≖	6%	36	10%	8	36	12% LM	29	23% LM	*
		+ <u>5</u> 9	47 100\$	29 9	2°0	20 50	äτ	ж Н	о т	ж н 0	о 1	ол 1	262 GHI	19% GH	55% GHI	10
	AGE	50 64 (I) : :	60 100%	5° 0	20 G	0% G	%0 Н	х0 Н	20 H	40% GHJ	32% GHJ	GHJ GHJ	20	20° 20	хо Г	\$ 0
	ų	35- 4 9 (H)	98 1002	50 G	20%	%0 6	43% GIJ	29 % GIJ	29% GIJ	х 0	30 1	и 1 1	х С	20	20°	30 7
		18 34 (G)	78 1002	29% HIJ	27% HIJ	44% HIJ	жо Н	ж Н	20% H	20 1	1 1 1	10 1	20° 20°	20 20	χ0 γ0	% 0
	ETHNICITY	NORITY (F)	40 100%	18%	5%	8	15%	8,	10%	г) уч	13%	10%	ŝ	С С	ы ж п	5
	ETHN	MHITE (E)	223 100%	7%	6%	13%	14%	% 6	%6	% 6	ő,	5	ល័	4 % F	11% 7	ŝ
	OWN/RENT	RENT (D)	169 100%	% 6	11% C	15% C	17%	% 5	й С	C %	6%	ж С	ŝ	3%	% 5	4%
	OWN/	₩00 :	124 100%	4%	1%	7% D	10%	10%	15% D	13% D	7%	10% D	6%	3%	7%	6%
	q I1 Vote BY Mail	OPPOSE (B)	130 100%	26	6%	3 6	12%	12%	10%	18	6	2	ň	ů,	% 6	6
	Q VOTE B	FAVOR (A)	143 100%	80	% 6	14%	16%	38	త	* 6	6%	6%	4%	1\$	7%	3%
Santa Monica City Table 19-2 Q 16 AGE			٩٢	1980-1974 (18 24)	1973-1969 (25-29)	1968-1964 (30 34)	1963-1959 (35-39)	1958-1954 (40-44)	1953-1949 (45-49)	1948-1944 (50-54)	1943-1939 (55-59)	1938-1934 (60-64)	1933 1929 (65-69)	1928-1924 (70-74)	BEFORE 1923 (75+)	REFUSED
Can Tab			TOTAL	198	197;	1961	196	195	195(194(194.	193(193	192(BEF(REFI

(#99336)	
RESEARCH	
GLS	

DID	NOTE (0)	153 1002	0,	0	22% N	48% N	15% N	16% N	88 80%	26% N	54% N	34% N	63 % N
QIQ	VOTED (N)	145 100%	0%	1%	53 % 0	70% 0	47% 0	47% 0	70% 0	62 % 0	78% 0	72 % 0	92 % 0
MOTE	IN PERSON (M)	242 100%	0%	20	38%	61\$	33%	32%	56%	44%	67%	53%	79%
VOTE	AB Sentee - (L)	45 100%	8	20	31%	56%	27%	33%	56%	42%	62%	49%	73\$
	BIG (K)	51 100%	% 0	20	18% J	33% J	åL	8% 1	22% J	12% J	31% J	14 <i>%</i> J	37% J
INTENT	EVERY (J)	246 100%	0%	70	41% K	64% K	35% K	36% K	61% K	50% K	73% K	61% K	87% K
ļ	·	117 100\$	¥0	X 0	31%	48% H	26%	27%	47% H	36% H	56% H	44%	н Н
PREFERENCE	E E E	130 100%	\$0	1%	38%	64\$ I	32%	33%	1 1	51% I	74% I	55%	85% I
CENESS -		38 100 %	0%	0%	18% F	32% F	ы, ш Ю	ж Ю	24% F	16% F	37% F	13% F	53% F
q 1 Awareness	SE .	262 100%	0%	0%	39 % G	63 % G	34% G	35% G	59% G	47% G	\$69 G	58% G	81% G
-	d N	47 100%	*0	% D	13 %	36,	13% CD	¥8	23% CD	30* D	51% D	30% CD	62% C
PARTY	REPUB (D)	67 100%	0	1%	37% E	69% E	42% E	37% E	66% E	51% E	75% E	61% E	78%
_	DEMO	169 100%	0%	0%	43% E	62% E	31% 8	34% E	59% E	44%	66%	56% E	82% E
£	ALE :	154 100%	20	0%	35%	58%	30%	28%	49% A	35% A	64%	50%	76%
GENDER	MALE (A)	146 100%	20	1%	38%	60%	31%	34%	60% B	52 % B	66%	55%	79%
	TOTAL	300 100%	0 %0	0%1	110 37%	176 59 %	91 30%	93 31%	163 54%	130 43%	196 65%	157 52%	232 77%

VOIF BY MAIL OMANTR FAVOR OPPOSE OMANTA FAVOR OPPOSE OMANTA (A) (B) (C) (A) (B) (C) (A) (B) (C) (A) (B) 0 (A) (B) 0 (B) 0 0 (C) 1002 1002 (B) 0 0 (C) 1003 124 (B) 0 0 (C) 0 124 (B) 0 1 (B) 0 1 (C) 0 1 (C) 0 1 (B) 1 1	Y MAIL OPPOSE 0 (B) 1002 1002 130 130 285 285 524 524
0 0 % 38 % 52 % 29 % 52 %	0% 0%<
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	YY MALL OMA/RENT MHTENT OPPOSE OM RENT MHTE (B) (C) (D) (E) (B) (C) (D) (E) (B) (C) (D) (E) (B) (C) (D) (E) (B) 124 169 223 (D) 02 07 07 (C) 03 1003 1003 (C) 130 124 169 (C) 133 145 413 (C) 234 453 314 (C) D C F (C) 234 234 344 (C) D C F (C) D C 6 7 (C) D
MAIL OMAV/RENT MAIL OPPOSE CMAV RENT MH (B) (C) RD MH (C) 100% 100% 100% (C) 11% 0% 0% (C) 23% 45% 31% (C) 23% 45% 31% (C) 23% 40% 25% (C) 23% 40% 25% (C) 23% 40% 25% (C) 0 C 0 (C) 0 0 C (C) 0 0 C (C) 0	YY MAIL OMAVRENT OPPOSE OMAVRENT (B) (C) (D) (C) (D) (E) (B) 1003 1003 (C) 073 1003 (C) 07 07 (C) 124 169 (C) 124 163 (C) 124 103 (C) 124 103 (C) 124 103 (C) 124 103 (C) 124 124 (C) 125 493 (C) 20 0 C (C) 223 403 25 (C) 0 C C (C) 0 C C (C) 0 C C <t< td=""></t<>
MAIL OMN/RENT 0 0 0 0 0 130 130 124 1003 124 1004 130 1012 103 11014 1124 11015 1124 11014 1124 111 1124 112 124 112 124 112 125 112 125 112 125 112 125 112 125 112 125 113 125 114 125 115 125 112 125 113 125 114 125 115 125 115 125 115 125 115 125 115 125 115 125 116 125 1	YI HAIL OMWRENT OPPOSE OMN RE OPPOSE OMN RE (B) (C) (B) (C) (B) (C) (C) (D)
NATL OPPOSE 0 (B)	XY MAIL (B) (C) (C)

	NOL		153 [00 %	10% N	4%	2%	20	2%	82 % N
	Q 10 ELECTION	VOTED VOTE (N) (0)	145 100% 1	20%	8%	3%	1%	6%	62 <i>%</i> 0
	ы ы ы	N S	242 100 % 1	14%	ž	2%	0%	۲ د	81% L
	q 9 Absentee Vote	ITEE PERS((M)	45	27%	13%	7%	2%	24% M	27% M
		AB SENTEE (L)		16%	2%	22	20	% 7	80%
	INTENT	BIG (K)	51 100 %						
	Q B ELECTION	EVERY (J)	246 100\$	15%	7%	23	1%	양 <i>~</i>	70%
		MEEK 	117 100 %	11%	3%	4%	0%	3%	79%
	Q 2 PREFERENCE	ES :	130 100%	18%	38	1%	1%	34	70%
			38 100 <i>1</i>	11\$	2%	š	% 0	0% F	82%
	0 1 AWARENESS	SJ (-)	262 100%	16%	6 %	ង	1\$	5° 9	71%
	0	d (E)	47 100%	15%	2%	4%	2%	20	77%
	PARTY		67 100%	19%	4%	3%	0%	6% E	67%
	14	DEMO (C)	169 100%	15%	%	Ň	1%	ц	70%
		, ALE	154 100%	16%	8%	4%	1%	4%	68%
	GENDER	ш.	146 100%	15%	ě	34	3 4	4%	76%
		TOTAL (A)	300 100%	46 15%	17	۲°	۲ ۲	412	216 72%
		<u>5</u> ;							
L'ILY								MES	ABSENTEE
Santa Monica City Table 21-1 ABSENTEE			TOTAL	1 IIME	2 TIMES	3 TIMES	4 TIMES	5 OR MORE TIMES	never voted absentee

		88	TEE	216 100%	х N	N OL	× N	30	о К	100% N
	ABSENTEE	NEVER			**	* •	** •	24	* 0	a ×c
	ABSI	EVER VOTED	SENTEI (N)	84 1002	552 0	201 0	88°0	3	14% 0	80
		VOTED	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	154 1002	10% L	5	2%	13	57	82% KL
	FLAGS	NII	ະຕິດ '	77 1002	21% M	5%	1%	1,	% 80°Σ	64% M
	LL.		6 6 6 6 6 7 7 6	69 100%	20%	7%	4%	1%	*6 ×	58% M
		>=0	65+ (J) - 5	47 1002	13%	11%	4%	% 0	15 % GH	57 <i>%</i> GH
			50-64 (1)	60 100 %	18%	5%	ŝ	2%	л. ж	65%
		AGE	35 49 5 (H)	98 1001	16%	4%	0 %	1%	н С ж	78% J
			(G) 34	78 100%	13%	6%	1%	0%	Ϋ́,	78% J
		Σ	NORITY (F)	40 1002	13\$	ы К	3\$	¥0	з ^щ	85% E
		ETHNICITY	HITE N	223 1001	16%	7% F	24	1%	ы К	69% F
			ENT ()	169 100%	14%	5%	2%	20	21	76% C
		OWN/RENT	NN ()	124 100%	18%	6%	23	5%	6%	65% D
		I MAIL	OPPOSE .	130 100%	14%	7%	3	20	7% 7	75%
		Q 11 Vote By Mail	FAVOR (A)	143 1002	17%	4%	1%	1%	7% B	70%
										LL ¹
Santa Monica City Table 21 2 ABSENTEE									e times	never voted absentee
Santa Mor Table 21 ABSENTEE				TOTAL	1 TIME	2 TIMES	3 TIMES	4 TIMES	5 OR MORE TIMES	NEVER VOT

	NOL	. ш	153 100 <i>2</i>	45%	55 %								
	Q 10 ELECTION	ED KOL	145 100% 1	52%	48%			LEVER /OTED	ab- Sentee (0) : : :	216 100%	51%	49%	
		IN Person Voted (M) (N)	242 100% 1	50%	50%		ABSENTEE		Ц	84 100% 1	42%	58%	
	Q 9 ABSENTEE	Ë,	45 100% 1	40%	60%		4	VOTED EVER	:	154 100% 1	45%	55%	
		BIG AB ONLY SENT (K) (L)	100%	53\$	47%		FLAGS	VOTED VO	9 0F 9 (M)	77	51%	49%	
	Q 8 ELECTION INTENT	EVERY 0	246 100%	48\$	52%		E		ж ж ж (X) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A	69 100%	54%	46%	
		MEEK- END (1) (3)	117 100\$	49%	51%			92.	65+ 6F	47 100%	49%	51%	
	q 2 Preference		130 100 %	50%	50%				50 64 6 (I) (60 100%	45%	55%	
		•	38 100\$	45%	55%			AGF	35 49 50 (H) (I	98 100%	50%	50%	
	0 1 AUADENEES	YES Y (F)	262 100%	49\$	51%				18-34 35 (G) (F	78 100%	50%	50%	
	c	E E E	47 100\$	43%	57%			≿.	MI- NORITY 16 (F) ((40 100%	35%	65%	
	VTAA	1	67 100%	52%	48%			ETHNICITY	WHITE N (E) (I	223 100%	49%	51%	
	Ċ	C) BEHO	169 100\$	47\$	53%			TN.		169 100%	50%	50%	
	p	ALE .	154 100%	۶0 م	100% A			OWN/RENT	Ng (j	124 100%	48%	52%	
	CENTED	MALE F	146 1002	100% B	8 B			L MAIL	OPPOSE	130 100%	52%	48%	
		TOTAL	300 100%	146 49%	154 51\$			Q 11 Vote By Mael	FAVOR (143 100%	45%	55%	
		·						-					
<u>م</u>													
Santa Monica City Table 22-1 GENDER						2							
anta Mor able 22 [.] ENDER			TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	Table 22 GENDER				TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	
v ⊟ 3			ŕ	Σ	L.	⊢ छ				É	Ϋ́	ű	

Santa Monica City Table 23-1 POLITICAL PARTY																
		GENDER	Ë		PARTY	0	0 1 AWARENESS		Q 2 PREFERENCE	3	Q 8 ELECTION INTENT		q 9 Absentee vote		Q 10 ELECTION	
	TOTAL 	MALE (A)	¥Γ;	DEMO	REPUB (D)	N/P (E)	YES (F)	Q (Ĵ	TUES (H)	E E E	EVERY (J)	CK) CK	AB- SENTEE P (L) ((IN PERSON VOTED (M) (N)	VOTED V	NOTE (0)
TOTAL	300 100%	146 100\$	154 100\$	$169 \\ 100$	67 100 1	47 100%	262 1003	38 1001	130 100%	117 100%	246 100%	51 100‡	45 1002	242 100%	145 100\$	153 1002
DEMOCRAT	169 56ž	54%	58\$	100% DE	г о 80	х°О 0	56%	583	58%	52%	59%	45%	53\$	57%	63 <i>1</i> 0	492 N
REPUBLICAN	67 22%	24%	21%	70 70	100% CE	°0	24%	13%	24%	23%	23\$	18%	20%	24%	23\$	22%
NON - PARTISAN	47 162	14%	18%	20 Е	ж ^ш	100% CD	15%	21%	13%	17%	13 % K	27% J	24%	13%	10% D	21 <i>%</i> N
OTHER	17 6≵	88	3%	20	0%	0%	5%	8	5%	8%	56	10%	2%	29	3%	8
Table 23-2 Political Party											LL.	FLAGS		ABSENTEE	ΈE	
	Q 11 VOTE BY MAIL	LI MAIL	OWN/RENT	IN .	ETHNICITY	λII.		AGE	ш					~e	VOTED	
	FAVOR (A)	OPPOSE (B)	COMM	BENT (D)	(E)	NORITY (F)	18-34 (G)	35-49 (H)	50-64 (I)		сла 6 (Ж) 1 (Ж)	2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	0F 9 (M)	SENTEE :	AB- SENTEE (0)	
total.	143 100%	130 100%	12 4 100 <i>1</i>	169 100%	223 100%	40 100%	78 100%	98 1001	60 100%	47 100%	69 100%	77 1001	15 4 1002	84 100%	216 100%	
DEMOCRAT	53%	62%	55%	57%	55%	63%	47% I	61%	65% G	55%	62%	64% M	50% L	81%	55%	
REPUBLECAN	22%	22%	27%	19%	24\$	20%	22%	19\$	181	34%	26%	22%	21%	26%	21%	
NON - PARTISAN	172	12%	14%	18\$	16%	13%	21% J	14% U	17% L	4% GHI	4 % E	% W	24% KL	13%	17%	
OTHER	88	4%	5%	7%	4%	5%	10% I	5% 1	35	6%	7%	*	5%	¥0	88 N	

Santa Monica City Table 24 l																
			e		VEGAD		0 1 ALADENT.CC		Q 2 Preference		Q 8 ELECTION INTENT		Q 9 ABSENTEE		0 10 EL	
	TOTAL	MALE FEW (A) (B)		DEEMO (C)	REPUB	(E)	YES (F)		(H)		EVERY (J)		AB- SENTEE F (L)	TIN FERSON (M)	VOTED (N)	VOFE (0)
TOTAL	300	146 100%				47 100%	262 100%	38 100%	05,30	6,17	246 100%	51 100%	45 100%	242 1001	145 100%	153 100%
voted in 8 or 9 of 9	69 23%	25%	21%	25% E	27 x E	C 6%	26% G	5% F	25%	19%	28% *	۲ ۲	18%	25%	40% 0	7% N
VOTED IN 5-7 OF 9	77 262	27%	25%	29% E	25%	15% C	27%	16%	28\$	21%	× 28%	16% J	31\$	25%	28%	24%
VOTED IN 0-4 OF 9	154 51\$	48%	55%	46% E	48% E	79% CD	47 <i>x</i> G	84% F	46% I	61% H	4 % ≺	24% 1	51%	50%	32% 0	869 N
Table 24-2											Ŀ	FI AGS		ARSENTEE	TFF	
	Q 11 VOTE BY MAIL	1 MAIL	OWN/RENT	F	ETHNICITY	È		AGE		124	VOTED V			e	NEVER	
	FAVOR (A)	OPPOSE (B)	NMO 3 :			MI- NORITY	18-34 3 (G) (35- 4 9 5 (H) (50-64 (I)	(<u>(</u>)	80895 0F90 (K) (5-7 0F9 (!)	우문) - - - - -	AB- SENTEE (N)	AB Sentee (0) :	
TOTAL.	143 100%	130 100\$	124 100%	169 100%	223 100%	40 100%	78 100%	98 100%	60 100%	47 100%	69 100%	77 1002	154 100%	84 100%	216 100%	
VOTED IN 8 OR 9 OF 9	21%	22%	31% D	18% C	26 % F	10% E	20 20	16% GIJ	32% GHJ	60% GHI	100% LM	s×	S×	35 <i>*</i> 0	19% N	
VOTED IN 5-7 OF 9	29%	23%	34% 24%	21 % C	26%	18%	14% HI	32% G	32% G	26%	0% L	100% KM	۳ ۳	33\$	23%	
VOTED IN 0-4 OF 9	50%	55%	35% D	62% C	48% F	73% E	86% HIJ	52% GJ	37% GJ	15% GHI	¥8 ₩	Ϋ́Ε	100% KL	32% 0	59% N	

GLS Research (#99336)