EXHIBIT J

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

	Economic Imi	ACT STATEMENT			
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER		
Justice	Jacqueline Dosch	awdefinitions@doj.ca.gov	916-227-5419		
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400					
Bullet-Button Assault Weapon Definition	S		Z		
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and	assumptions in the rulemaking record.			
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat	e whether this regulation:	5			
a. Impacts business and/or employees		porting requirements			
b. Impacts small businesses					
d. Impacts California competitiveness	c. Impacts jobs or occupations g. Impacts individuals d. Impacts California competitiveness X h. None of the above (Explain below):				
		ed regulatory action will clarify ambig	uities in the identification		
		reapons and will not have any negativ			
If any box in Items 1 d		mplete this Economic Impact Statement.	0		
If box in Item 1.h. i.	s checked, complete the F	iscal Impact Statement as appropriate.			
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that the e	conomic impact of this regulation (which include	s the fiscal impact) is:		
Below \$10 million					
Between \$10 and \$25 million					
Between \$25 and \$50 million					
	s over \$50 million, agencies are i nt Code Section 11346.3(c)]	required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impa</u>	<u>ect Assessment</u>		
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:					
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	rofits):	1 			
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:					
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea	ated:	eliminated:			
Explain:					
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:] Statewide				
] Local or regional (List areas):				
6. Enter the number of jobs created:	and eliminated:				
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	cted:				
9					
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produce		YES 🗙 NO			
If YES, explain briefly:					
	*	ð	1997 - Contra Martine, 1997 - Contra Ma		
			PAGE 1		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2	2013)
---------------------	-------

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.					
1.	What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its lifetime? \$				
	a. Initial costs for a small business: \$ Annual ongoing costs: \$ Years:				
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ Annual ongoing costs: \$ Years:				
	c. Initial costs for an individual; \$ Annual ongoing costs: \$ Years:				
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:				
2	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry:				
2.					
3.	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements.				
	Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted.				
4.	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? YES NO				
	If YES, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$				
	Number of units:				
5.	Are there comparable Federal regulations?				
	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations:				
	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$				
с.	ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.				
1.	Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the				
	health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment:				
2.	Are the benefits the result of: 🔲 specific statutory requirements, or 📋 goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?				
	Explain:				
3.	3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$				
4.	Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California that would result from this regulation:				
	ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not				
	specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.				
1.	List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not:				

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT	(CONTINUED)
---------------------------	-------------

S			Leononine min		intello)
2.	Summarize the t	total statewide costs	and benefits from this regulat	tion and each alternative considered:	
	Regulation:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$		
	Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$		
	Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$	12.1	
3.	Briefly discuss ar	ny quantification issue	es that are relevant to a compa this regulation or alternative	arison	
4.	regulation man	dates the use of spe	o consider performance stan cific technologies or equipm nance standards considered	ent, or prescribes specific	□ NO
	Explain:				
	<u></u>				
Е.	MAJOR REGUI	LATIONS Include ca	lculations and assumptions	in the rulemaking record.	8
1		0.20	0	ncy (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and and Safety Code section 57005). O	•
1.	Will the estimate	ed costs of this regula	ation to California business en	nterprises exceed \$10 million ? YES	X NO
			If Y	'ES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4	
2.	Briefly describe	each alternative, or co	ombination of alternatives, fo	or which a cost-effectiveness analysis wa	s performed:
	Alternative 1: _				
	Alternative 2:				
	(Attach addition	al pages for other alte	rnatives)		
3	For the regulati	on and each alternat	ive just described enter the	estimated total cost and overall cost-effe	active pass ratio
				st-effectiveness ratio: \$	
	Alternative 1: T			st-effectiveness ratio: \$	
	Alternative 2: T	otal Cost \$	Co	st-effectiveness ratio: \$	
4.	exceeding \$50 r	million in any 12-mor	iew have an estimated econo ath period between the date t ed to be fully implemented?	mic impact to business enterprises and the major regulation is estimated to be f	individuals located in or doing business in California iled with the Secretary of State through12 months
		NO			
	If YES, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA)</u> as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.				
5.	Briefly describe	the following:			
	The increase or	decrease of investme	ent in the State:	n	
	The incentive fo	or innovation in produ	ucts, materials or processes: _		
	The benefits of residents, worke	the regulations, inclu er safety, and the stat	ding, but not limited to, bene e's environment and quality o	efits to the health, safety, and welfare of of life, among any other benefits identifi	California ed by the agency:
	2	1	^{la} s	8	8

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Indicate current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	appropriate boxes 1 thr	ough 6 and attach calculations and assumpt	ions of fiscal impact for the
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).			
\$			
a. Funding provided in		·	
Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	
b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Bud	dget Act of	<u></u>	
	Fiscal Year:		
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Ye (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California)	ear which are NOT reimb a Constitution and Secti	oursable by the State. (Approximate) ons 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).	
\$			
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and p	ovide the appropriate ini	formation:	
a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in			
b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the			_Court.
Case of:		vs	
C. Implements a mandate of the people of this Stat	e expressed in their app	proval of Proposition No.	
Date of Election:			
d. Issued only in response to a specific request from			
Local entity(s) affected:			
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc	. from:		
Authorized by Section:	of t	the	Code;
f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of loca	l government which wil	ll, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to	each;
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a	new crime or infraction	contained in	
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)			
\$			
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes o	nly technical, non-substa	ntive or clarifying changes to current law regul	ations.
S. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.			
6. Other. Explain			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and as year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ssumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
 a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources. 	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
X 4. Other. Explain The proposed regulatory action will clarify ambiguities in the identification	of assault weapons and will
not have any negative fiscal impacts.	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and atta impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ch calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
X 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
2 Den-	10-16-17
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM secti the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretan highest ranking official in the organization.	ons 6601-6616, and understands ry must have the form signed by the
AGENCY SEGRETARY	DATE
alte McChhi	11/13/17
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Imp	pact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE
A .	