

1 John W. Dillon (Bar No. 296788)  
2 Gatzke Dillon & Ballance LLP  
3 2762 Gateway Road  
4 Carlsbad, California 92009  
5 Telephone: (760) 431-9501  
6 Facsimile: (760) 431-9512  
7 E-mail: [jdillon@gdandb.com](mailto:jdillon@gdandb.com)

8 George M. Lee (SBN 172982)  
9 SEILER EPSTEIN LLP  
10 275 Battery Street, Suite 1600  
11 San Francisco, California 94111  
12 Phone: (415) 979-0500  
13 Fax: (415) 979-0511  
14 E-mail: [gml@seilerepstein.com](mailto:gml@seilerepstein.com)

15 Attorney for Plaintiffs

16 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
17 **FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

18 JAMES MILLER, et al.,

19 Plaintiffs,

20 vs.

21 XAVIER BECERRA, in his official  
22 capacity as Attorney General of  
23 California, et al.,

24 Defendants.

Case No. 3:19-cv-01537-BEN-JLB

**PARTIES' JOINT MOTION TO  
EXCEED PAGE LIMIT OF BRIEFS  
REGARDING PLAINTIFFS'  
MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY  
INJUNCTION**

Complaint Filed: August 15, 2019  
Amended Complaint Filed:  
September 27, 2019

**JOINT MOTION**

1  
2 Plaintiffs James Miller, et al., and Defendants Xavier Becerra, in his official  
3 capacity as Attorney General of the State of California, and Brent E. Orick, in his  
4 official capacity as Director of the Department of Justice Bureau of Firearms  
5 (collectively, the “Parties”), by and through their respective attorneys of record,  
6  
7 hereby move the Court pursuant to Local Civil Rules 7.2 and 7.1(h) to allow Plaintiffs  
8 to exceed the page limit of 25 pages by no more than seven (7) additional pages for  
9 Plaintiffs’ memorandum of points and authorities in support of Plaintiffs’ forthcoming  
10 motion for preliminary injunction. If granted, the Parties request that the page limit  
11 for Defendants’ memorandum of points and authorities in opposition to Plaintiffs’  
12 preliminary injunction motion also be extended by the same amount (seven pages).  
13  
14  
15

16 Plaintiffs represent that they have good cause to exceed the page limit in their  
17 briefing of the motion. Due to the complexity of the issues in the instant litigation,  
18 number of expert reports and the ability to aptly state the issues surrounding various  
19 claims, Plaintiffs will need up to seven (7) additional pages to properly analyze the  
20 issues. The various provisions challenged in this case include many technical  
21 definitions that must be identified and addressed in full. The additional 7 pages will  
22 allow Plaintiffs to submit complete and substantive papers for the Court’s review and  
23 determination. Defendants do not oppose Plaintiffs’ request for a 7-page extension of  
24 the page limit.  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 If Plaintiffs' request is granted, the Parties, by and through their counsel, also  
2 request the same extension of the page limits for Defendants' memorandum of points  
3 and authorities in opposition to Plaintiffs' preliminary injunction motion. It is the  
4 Parties' view that Plaintiffs and Defendants should be afforded the same extension of  
5 page limits, as the extended discussion in Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction  
6 will require additional response from Defendants in their opposition. Thus, the Parties  
7 agree that Defendants should be granted an additional seven pages for their  
8 memorandum of points and authorities in opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for  
9 preliminary injunction.  
10  
11  
12

13 November 19, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

Gatzke, Dillon & Ballance LLP

16 By: /s/ John W. Dillon

John Dillon

18 November 19, 2019

XAVIER BECERRA

Attorney General of California

Mark R. Beckington

Supervising Deputy Attorney General

22 By: /s/ John D. Echeverria (by consent)

John D. Echeverria

Deputy Attorney General

*Attorneys for Defendants Xavier*

*Becerra, in his official capacity as*

*Attorney General of the State of*

*California, and Brent E. Orick, in his*

*official capacity as Interim Director of*

*the Department of Justice Bureau of*

*Firearms*