ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

	ECONOMIC IMPACT	STATEMENT	
(a) American	ONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
	cqueline Dosch	jacqueline.dosch@doj.ca.gov	916-227-5419
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 Large-Capacity Magazines			NOTICE FILE NUMBER
Large-Capacity Magazines			Z
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS	Include calculations and assum	ptions in the rulemaking record.	_
 Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate w a. Impacts business and/or employees b. Impacts small businesses c. Impacts jobs or occupations d. Impacts California competitiveness 	e. Imposes reporting	e instead of performance	
7 7	0 0	this Economic Impact Statement. mpact Statement as appropriate.	
Justice			
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that the economi	c impact of this regulation (which includes th	e fiscal impact) is:
 ⊠ Below \$10 million Between \$10 and \$25 million Between \$25 and \$50 million Over \$50 million [If the economic impact is over as specified in Government Contact in Government Cont		l to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact A</u>	<u>Issessment</u>
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	approx. 2000		
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonprofi	ts): firearms dealers		
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	90%		
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be created	·	ated: None	
Explain: The effect on sales volume, if an	y, will not be significant en	ough to affect the creation nor elir	nination of business
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: X St			
5. Enter the number of jobs created: None	and eliminated: None		
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted	: The effect on sales volun	ne, if any, will not be significant end	ough to affect
the creation nor elimination of jobs.			
. Will the regulation affect the ability of California bus other states by making it more costly to produce go If YES, explain briefly:	- 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12	res 🔀 no	

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

В	s. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculation	ns and assumptions in	the rulemaking record.						
1	. What are the total statewide dollar costs ti	hat businesses and inc	dividuals may incur to comply with this reg	gulation over its	lifetime? \$ 0				
	a. Initial costs for a small business: \$ N//		Annual ongoing costs: \$ N/A		Years: N/A				
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ N//	A	Annual ongoing costs: \$ N/A		Years: N/A				
	c. Initial costs for an individual: \$40		Annual ongoing costs: \$ Undeter		Years: N/A				
	d. Describe other economic costs that ma	y occur: The costs	are approximately \$40 per large	-capacity m	agazine owner opts to				
	permanently reduce the magazi	ne's capacity rath	ner than get rid of it.						
2	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry: N/A								
3	. If the regulation imposes reporting require Include the dollar costs to do programming,								
4.	Will this regulation directly impact housing	g costs? YES	⊠ NO						
		If YES, enter	the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$						
			Number of units:						
5.	Are there comparable Federal regulations?	YES YES	NO						
	Explain the need for State regulation given	the existence or abse	nce of Federal regulations: The state re	egulations a	re needed to further clarify				
	the law and provide guidance or	n how to comply	with the new laws.						
	Enter any additional costs to businesses an	d/or individuals that n	may be due to State - Federal differences: \$	0					
c.	ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the	e dollar value of benet	fits is not specifically required by rulemakir	ng law, but enc	ouraged.				
1.	Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment: The proposed regulations will provide guidance to								
	California's residents and help them comply with recently enacted laws.								
2.	Are the benefits the result of: 🔀 specific statutory requirements, or 🔲 goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?								
	Explain: Laws require large-capacity magazines to be disposed of or modified. The regulations provide guidelines for this.								
2	What are the total statewide benefits from	this regulation ever it	is lifetime? ¢ N/A						
٥.	what are the total statewide benefits from	this regulation over it	silletime: \$ WA						
4.	. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California that would result from this regulation: N/A								
_	ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION	Include calculations	and accompations in the surface skip a second	J Fating ation of					
υ.	specifically required by rulemaking law, but		and assumptions in the rulemaking record	a. Estimation of	the dollar value of benefits is not				
1.	List alternatives considered and describe th	nem below. If no alterr	natives were considered, explain why not:	No alterna	tives were considered				
	because there are multiple ways	in the proposed	regulations of how to dispose of	or modify a	large-capacity magazine.				
					DAGE				

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FCONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

_			ECON	OWITC INIT ACT	ISIAII	EMIEMA (C	ONTH	(ULD)		
2.	Summarize the t	otal statewide co	osts and benefits	s from this regulation a	nd each alte	ernative conside	ered:			
	Regulation:	Benefit: \$	N/A	Cost: \$ 40 per m	nagazine					
	Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$	N/A	Cost: \$ N/A						
	Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$	N/A	Cost: \$ N/A						
3.				elevant to a comparison ion or alternatives:	See D1	ahove				
	or estimated co	ists and benefits	ior this regulat	ion or alternatives:	Jee DT	above.				
20			2000		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	003 802				
4.	regulation man	dates the use of	specific techno	performance standards logies or equipment, o	or prescribe	s specific _	□ vec	NO.		
	actions or proce	edures. Were per	formance stand	dards considered to lo	wer compli	ance costs?	YES	× NO		
	Explain: N/A									***************************************
	B									
	MAJOR REGUL	ATIONS Include	e calculations a	and assumptions in the	rulemakin	g record.				
				Protection Agency (to
	President con to			ng (per Health and S	150 (50				E4.	
1.	Will the estimate	ed costs of this re	gulation to Calif	fornia business enterpr			YES	× NO		
					comptete t NO, skip t	E2. and E3 o E4				
2.	Briefly describe	each alternative,	or combination	of alternatives, for which	ch a cost-eff	ectiveness analy	ysis was pe	rformed:		
	Alternative 1: _					N/A				
	Alternative 2: _					N/A				
	(Attach addition	al pages for other	alternatives)							
3.	For the regulation	on, and each alte	rnative just desc	cribed, enter the estima	ited total co	st and overall co	ost-effectiv	eness ratio:		
		otal Cost \$	A 1 / A			atio: \$ N/A				
	Alternative 1: To	otal Cost \$	N/A	Cost-effe	ectiveness ra	atio: \$ N/A				
	Alternative 2: To	otal Cost \$	N/A	Cost-effe	ectiveness ra	ntio: \$ N/A				
4. '	exceeding \$50 n		month period be	estimated economic ir etween the date the ma implemented?						
	The second second	NO								
				zed Regulatory Impact A le the SRIA in the Initial S			l in			
5.	Briefly describe t	he following:								
	The increase or o	decrease of inves	tment in the Sta	ate:			N/A			
				18						
The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes:					N/A					
				ot limited to, benefits to ment and quality of life,						N/A
	. salasino, worke	za.e.j, and the	5	quality of me,	g urry	Janes Serielles		, are agency		25.78.57.75

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

	AL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Indicate nt year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	e appropriate boxes 1 th	hrough 6 and attach c	alculations and assumpti	ons of fiscal impact for the
	dditional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Y Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Californ				
\$					
	a. Funding provided in				
	Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of		
	b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Bu	udget Act of			
		Fiscal Year:			
2. A	dditional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Y Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Californ	Year which are NOT rein nia Constitution and Sec	nbursable by the State tions 17500 et seq. of	e. (Approximate) the Government Code).	
\$ -					
Che	ck reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and p		nformation:		
	a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in				
	b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the				_Court,
	Case of:		VS.		
	c. Implements a mandate of the people of this Sta	ate expressed in their ap	oproval of Proposition	No.	
	Date of Election:				
\Box	d. Issued only in response to a specific request fro				
لببا					
	Local entity(s) affected:			 	
	e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, et	tc. from:			
	Authorized by Section:	oi	f the		Code;
	f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of loc	cal government which w	vill, at a minimum, offs	et any additional costs to	each;
	g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for	a new crime or infractio	on contained in		
3. A	nnual Savings. (approximate)				
\$_					
4. N	o additional costs or savings. This regulation makes	only technical, non-subs	stantive or clarifying ch	anges to current law regula	ations.
∑ 5. N	o fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect	t any local entity or prog	ram.		
<u> </u>	ther. Explain				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
					PAGE 4

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assur year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	mptions of fiscal impact for the curren
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
🗵 4. Other. Explain DOJ has determined the regulation will not have a significant financial impact	on the Bureau's operating
costs. Anyone not in compliance with these regulations will be found via the normal	al course of investigations.
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	calculations and assumptions of fisca
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE DA	ATE
	11-29-16
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretary in the organization.	s 6601-6616, and understands must have the form signed by the
	ATE
	2.13.14
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impac	
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER DA	ATE