

## Flourishing Communities Performance Indicator Table - Workplan October 2017 - August 2018

FC Ref No	Performance Indicator	FY 15-16 TOTAL	FY 2017 TOTAL (NEW)	LOP TOTAL (END FY17)	FY2018 TARGET (NEW ONLY)	Oct-Dec17 (NEW)	LOP Total
PI X.1	<b># of total direct beneficiaries</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>361</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>528</b>
	<i># live in Floreciente</i>	109	73	182		88	270
	<i>Women</i>	57	71	128		37	165
	<i>Youth (24 years or younger)</i>	40	23	63		16	79
PI X.2	<b># of people (unique individuals) trained</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>182</b>
	<i>Women</i>	28	47	75		7	82
	<i>Youth (24 years or younger)</i>	40	19	59		16	75
PI X.3	<b># of public-private partnerships formed</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>18</b>
	<i>Government</i>	0	1	1		0	1
	<i>Nonprofit</i>	2	3	5		0	5
	<i>For-profit</i>	1	0	1		9	10
	<i>University</i>	2	0	2		0	2
PI X.4	<b># of volunteers</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>221</b>
	<i>Women</i>	90	21	111		10	121
	<i>Youth (24 years or younger)</i>	29	2	31		8	39
	<i>John Deere employees</i>	88	10	98		1	99
PI X.5	<b>Monetary Value of Cost Share</b>	<b>\$ 30,645</b>	<b>\$ 23,752</b>	<b>\$ 54,397</b>	<b>\$ 32,000</b>	<b>\$ 32,263</b>	<b>\$ 86,659</b>
PI X.6	<b>% of target beneficiaries satisfied with their access to services and resources in their communities (1 = strongly disagree)</b>	1 – 2.5% 2 – 4.2% 3 – 5.0% 4 – 60.8% 5 – 25.0% 99 – 2.5%	1 – 3.5% 2 – 2.6% 3 – 2.6% 4 – 67.0% 5 – 22.6% 99 – 1.8%	--	Target: reduce combined total of *disagree* and *strongly disagree* from 6.1% to a combined total of 5.5%	--	--
PI X.7	<b>% of targeted beneficiaries who are satisfied with community organizing efforts of Global Communities and/or the Neighborhood Association (1 = Very dissatisfied)</b>	n/a for baseline	1 – 0.9% 2 – 0.0% 3 – 0.9% 4 – 12.2% 5 – 2.6% 99 – 83.5%	--	Target: increase *satisfied and very satisfied* from 14.8% to 17.5%		
	(Related question, asked at baseline) Which of the following statement about Global Communities, a non-profit working in Floreciente, is MOST true to you? (1 = never heard of GC, 2 = have heard but don't know what they do, 3 = have heard and know what they do)	1 – 58.3% 2 – 25.0% 3 – 15.8% 99 – 0.8%	1 – 61.7% 2 – 20.9% 3 – 17.4% 99 – N/A	--	Target: increase *heard of GC and know what they do* from 17.4% to 20%	--	--
PI 1.1	<b># of organizations supported</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>49</b>
	<i>Government</i>	5	0	5		0	5
	<i>Nonprofit</i>	18	14	32		1	33
	<i>For-profit</i>	5	1	6		0	6
	<i>University</i>	4	1	5		0	5
PI 2.1	<b># of long-term community development plans formulated</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
PI 2.2	<b># of projects identified by residents that are implemented (completed)</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>
	<i># Identified</i>			57		2	59
	<i># / % underway</i>			30 / 53%		--	31 / 53%
	<i># / % supported by GC inc. grants</i>			34 / 60%		--	34 / 58%
PI 2.3	<b>% of target beneficiaries who believe ordinary people can help address community problems</b>	1 – 5.0% 2 – 7.5% 3 – 10.0% 4 – 56.7% 5 – 19.2% 99 – 1.7%	N/A - Iowa recommended leaving this to the final survey as there would be little change	--	Target: to increase who *agree* or *strongly agree* from 76% by 5%	--	--

**Flourishing Communities Performance Indicator Table - Workplan October 2017 - August 2018**

FC Ref No	Performance Indicator	FY 15-16 TOTAL	FY 2017 TOTAL (NEW)	LOP TOTAL (END FY17)	FY2018 TARGET (NEW ONLY)	Oct-Dec17 (NEW)	LOP Total
PI 2.4	% of targeted beneficiaries giving support to members of their community	1 – 4.2% 2 – 17.5% 3 – 18.3% 4 – 48.3% 5 – 9.2% 99 – 2.5%	1 – 2.6% 2 – 19.1% 3 – 8.7% 4 – 53.0% 5 – 12.2% 99 – 4.35%	--	Target to increase % who agree or strongly agree from 58% (69) by 5% (6 people)	--	--
PI 2.5	% of targeted beneficiaries receiving support from members of their community	1 – 8.3% 2 – 30.8% 3 – 14.2% 4 – 38.3% 5 – 7.5% 99 – 0.8%	1 – 10.4% 2 – 25.2% 3 – 9.6% 4 – 39.1% 5 – 10.4% 99 – 5.2%	--	Target to increase % who agree or strongly agree from 46% by 5% (6 people)	--	--
PI 2.6	% of target beneficiaries who are willing to work with others to solve problems to address a common goal	1 – 0.0% 2 – 10.8% 3 – 12.5% 4 – 59.2% 5 – 12.5% 99 – 5.0%	N/A - Iowa recommended leaving this to the final survey as there would be little change but added a similar question (below)	--	Target: to increase who *agree* or *strongly agree* from 72% by 5%	--	--
PI 2.7	% of target beneficiaries stating they participate in decision making in their communities (1 = Strongly Disagree)	1 – 5.8% 2 – 30.0% 3 – 5.8% 4 – 47.5% 5 – 8.3% 99 – 2.5%	N/A - Iowa recommended leaving this to the final survey as there would be little change but added a similar question (below)	--	Target: to increase % who agree or strongly agree from 56% by 5%	--	--
PI 3.1	# of people who participate in workforce readiness, training, and educational activities, including mentorship and internship programs.	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>
	<i>Women</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>9</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>9</i>
	<i>Youth (24 years or younger)</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
PI 3.2	# of firms supported	<b>39</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>92</b>
	<i># in Floreciente</i>		<i>16</i>	<i>16</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>16</i>
	<i># Aspiring Entrepreneurs</i>		<i>17</i>	<i>17</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>17</i>
	<i>Women-Owned Business</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>10</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>10</i>
	<i>Youth (24 years or younger)-Owned Business</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
	<i>Minority-Owned Business</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>38</i>		<i>2</i>	<i>40</i>
	<u>Sector</u>					<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
	<i>Professional svcs</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>11</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>11</i>
	<i>Retail svcs</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>22</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>22</i>
	<i>Contract svcs</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>12</i>		<i>2</i>	<i>14</i>
PI 3.3	% of firms supported that participate in networking events.*	<b>64%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>--</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>71%</b>	
PI 3.4	# and % of participating firms reporting improved technical and managerial capacity	<b>13 / 34%</b>	<b>43 / 47%</b>	<b>43 / 47%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>--</b>	
PI 3.5	# and % of businesses reporting increased degree of connection to the broader Quad Cities economy	<b>3 / 20%</b>	Decided not to hold individual mid-term assessments, but take a focus group approach. Will get this number at end of project	<b>3 / 20%</b>	<b>1 more business changes from moderately connected to very connected as it relates to the degree of connection to the QC economy</b>	<b>--</b>	
* As more firms are added, this number will fluctuate throughout the program.							
** Due to the fact that annual business assessments are ongoing over the course of fall 2016, there is not a number to report here yet.							