

Results of Statistical Analysis of Tulsa ANM Surveys:

These are the calculated results from the approximately 35 surveys returned for the Tulsa ANM. The range of results is from 1-5, 5 being the best or most important. The mean is the arithmetic mean, commonly referred to as the average. The mode is the most frequently chosen answer. The PopDev is similar to a standard deviation in that it gives a good sense of relative agreement on an issue. A small PopDev such as .80 suggests a relative consensus whereas a larger value such as 1.2 suggests disagreement on an issue. Size is the number of people that answered the question on the survey.

	Mean	Mode	PopDev	Size
Food Quality	2.94	3	.95	33
Food Selection	2.91	3	1.05	33
Food Price	2.85	3	1.16	33
Dorm Rooms	3.38	3	1.24	25
Meeting Spaces	3.81	4	.79	34
Distance Between	2.68	2	1.25	34
Plenary Setup	3.81	4	.92	34
Mic Stacks	3.85	4	.78	33
Roll Call Votes	3.76	3	.85	33
Plenary Agenda	3.09	3	1.12	34
Com/Cau Times	2.98	3	.88	31
Night Activities	3.57	4	1.09	30
Workshops	3.71	4	.92	29
Films	3.12	3	1.23	17
Local Info	3.64	4	.99	29
Website	3.02	3	1.13	31

The range for this section was 1-5 based on importance 1 being not important 5 being essential

Internet Access	3.5	3	1.21	35
Bathroom in Room	2.55	2	1.37	33
Close Meetings	3.33	4	1.13	35
Night Activities	2.81	3	.96	35
Sliding Scale	4.01	5	.90	35
1 Day Reg	3.42	5	1.21	31
Local Party Social/Supt	3.97	4	.84	35
Local Activity	3.27	4	1.02	35
Flex Agenda	3.30	4	1.11	33
Rural Setting	1.9	1	1.23	32
Urban Hub	3.16	4	1.30	31
Mid Summer	2.51	1	1.34	31
Kitchen Access	2.54	2	1.20	34

Bull Boards	3.97	5	1.21	35
Local Culture	2.86	3	1.20	35
Free Time	1.99	2	.94	34
More Workshops	2.66	4	1.08	32
Local Trans Arrangements	3.4	5	1.34	35

The results that are most noticeable are as following:

-There was relative consensus on the following issues:

Food quality and selection were near good.
Meeting spaces were near very good
Plenary setup was near very good
Stacks at microphones were near very good
Roll call votes were near very good
Committee and Caucus Times were good
Workshops were near very good
Local Info was near very good

Sliding Scale for Reg. was very important ← highest average vote with good consensus
Local Party Support and Social was very important
Little importance placed on having more free time

-Interesting results on more controversial issues:

Urban vs. Rural settings had some of the highest controversy, though Urban was more highly favored.
Mid-summer meeting was also very controversial, though many thought it not important
Pre-arrangement of local transportation had a high deviation but the most frequent response was a 5.
The distance between meeting spaces had a high deviation, but seemed to be good at best.
This was an issue that people regarded as important as well.

-About half of those surveyed did not have an opinion on the films

The use of the terms poor, fair, good, very good, and excellent above are all as defined in the survey as corresponding to the values 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 relatively. This applies to not important, somewhat important, important, very important, and essential. Though these relationships were defined on the survey, the frequencies of the different numbers suggest that those surveyed may have used the numbers as a scale from poor to excellent. It should be noted that there were few instances of the values 1, 2, and 5 and that the values 3 and 4 might have been considered by many as fair and good relatively. The same

applies to the importance scale onto which relative importance was placed more emphasis.