## A strong majority want Canada to join the UN Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons, despite pressure it may face from the United

## States.

## Key Findings



## SUPPORT FOR CANADA RATIFYING

 THE UN TREATYA strong majority of Canadians support (55\%) or somewhat support (19\%) Canada signing and ratifying the new United Nations Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons. Residents of Atlantic Canada (62\%) and British Columbia (61\%) are more likely to support this than residents of the Prairies (43\%).

## ?

CANADA JOINING THE UN TREATY DESPITE PRESSURE
About three in four Canadians agree (51\%) or somewhat agree (23\%) that Canada should join the UN Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons, despite pressure it may face from the United States not to do so Residents of the Prairies are less likely to agree with this (39\%) than the rest of Canada.


PREPAREDNESS OF CANADA FOR NUCLEAR WEAPON DETONATION
More than eight in ten respondents say Canada is not prepared (60\%) or somewhat not prepared (25\%) to deal with the emergency if nuclear weapons were detonated somewhere in the world. Residents of the Prairies are more likely to say Canada is not prepared (65\%) than residents of Quebec (52\%).

## Key Findings



## RESPONDING TO DEVASTATION CAUSED BY NUCLEAR WEAPONS

Over eight in ten Canadians agree (58\%) or somewhat agree (28\%) that no government, health system or aid organization could respond to the devastation caused by nuclear weapons and they need to be eliminated. Residents of Quebec are more likely to agree with this (62\%) than residents of the Prairies (49\%).


## HOUSE OF COMMONS COMMITTEE HEARINGS

More than three in four Canadians agree (46\%) or somewhat agree (30\%) that the House of Commons should have committee hearings and debate Canada's position on nuclear disarmament.

## WITHDRAWING INVESTMENTS THAT

 SUPPORT NUCLEAR WEAPONSJust over seven in ten Canadians agree (49\%) or somewhat agree (22\%) that they would withdraw money from any investment or financial institution if they learned it was investing funds in anything related to the development, manufacturing or deployment of nuclear weapons.

## IMPACT OF POLITICAL SUPPORT FOR UN TREATY ON FUTURE VOTE

Canadians are five times more likely to say a political party supporting Canada signing and ratifying the UN Treaty would make them more likely (21\%) or somewhat more likely (29\%) to vote for that political party rather than less likely (seven per cent) or somewhat less likely (three per cent) to do so. Thirty per cent say this would not impact their vote.

## Support for Canada signing and ratifying the Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons

[^0]Do you support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or oppose Canada both signing and ratifying the new United Nations Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons?


Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual-frame hybrid random telephone and online survey, March $27^{\text {th }}$ to $30^{\text {th }}, 2021, \mathrm{n}=1,007$ accurate $\pm 3.1$ percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20 .

## Preparedness of Canada to deal with a nuclear weapon detonation



[^1] somewhat not prepared or not prepared to deal with the emergency if nuclear weapons were detonated somewhere in the world?

## Views on eliminating nuclear weapons



- The world should work to eliminate nuclear weapons
- It is acceptable for countries to have nuclear weapons for protection

Neither reflects my view

- Unsure

[^2]
## Agreement with statements related to nuclear weapons

Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree with the following: [RANDOMIZE]

| No government, health system or aid organization could respond to the devastation |
| :--- |
| caused by nuclear weapons and humanity's only hope is through the elimination of |
| nuclear weapons |


| Canada should join the UN Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons, even if, as |
| :--- |
| a member of NATO, it might come under pressure from the United States not to do |
| so |


| I would withdraw money from any investment or financial institution if l learned it |
| :--- |
| was investing funds in anything related to the development, manufacturing or |
| deployment of nuclear weapons |

The House of Commons should have committee hearings and debate Canada's
position on nuclear disarmament

[^3]
## Views on the possibility of responding to the devastation caused by nuclear weapons

[^4]
## Views on the House of Commons having committee hearings and debating Canada's position on nuclear disarmament

Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree with the following: [RANDOMIZE]

The House of Commons should have committee hearings and debate Canada's position on nuclear disarmament


[^5]
## Views on Canada joining the UN Treaty despite possible pressure from the US

Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree with the following: [RANDOMIZE]

Canada should join the UN Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons, even if, as a member of NATO, it might come under pressure from the United States not to do so

| 11\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Atlantic } \\ & (n=100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Quebec } \\ & (n=205) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ontario } \\ & (n=344) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prairies } \\ & (n=198) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} B C \\ (n=160) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7\% | $\frac{5}{3}$ | 79.4\% | 81.3\% | 71.3\% | 62.1\% | 76.0\% |
| +55.5 | \% | $\underset{(n=546)}{\substack{\text { Men } \\(2)}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Women } \\ & (n=461) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \text { to } 34 \\ & (\mathrm{n}=218) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \text { to to } \\ & (n=374) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \text { plus } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=415) \end{aligned}$ |
| 3\% |  | 68.8\% | 77.2\% | 73.6\% | 71.5\% | 74.2\% |
| ■ Agree $\quad$ Somewhat agree |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ Somewhat disagree ■ Disagree |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^6]
## Views on withdrawing money from investments or institutions involved with nuclear weapons

Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree with the following: [RANDOMIZE]
I would withdraw money from any investment or financial institution if I learned it was investing funds in anything related to the development, manufacturing or deployment of nuclear weapons


## Impact of a political party supporting Canada signing and ratifying the UN Treaty

 the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons would you be more likely, somewhat more likely, somewhat less likely, or less likely to support that political party or would it have no impact on your vote?

[^7]

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and celllines) hybrid random telephone and online survey of 1,007 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between March $27^{\text {th }}$ to $30^{\text {th }}, 2021$ as part of an omnibus survey. Participants were randomly recruited by telephone using live agents and administered a survey online. The sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada.

Individuals were randomly called using random digit dialling with a maximum of five call backs.

The margin of error for a random survey of 1,007 Canadians is $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

The research was commissioned by The Simons Foundation Canada, The Hiroshima Nagasaki Day Coalition and Le Collectif Échec à la guerre and was conducted by Nanos Research.

Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

| Element | Description | Element | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Research sponsor Population and Final Sample Size | The Simons Foundation Canada, The Hiroshima Nagasaki Day Coalition and Le Collectif Échec à la guerre <br> 1,007 Randomly selected individuals. | Weighting of Data | The results were weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to ensure a distribution across all regions of Canada. See tables for full weighting disclosure |
| Source of Sample | Nanos Hybrid Probability Panel | Screening | Screening ensured potential respondents did not work in the market research industry, in the advertising industry, in the media or a political party prior to administering the survey to ensure the integrity of the data. |
| Type of Sample | Probability |  |  |
| Margin of Error | $\pm 3.1$ percentage points, 19 times out of 20. | Excluded Demographics | Individuals younger than 18 years old; individuals without land or cell lines, and individuals without internet access could not participate. |
| Mode of Survey | RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online omnibus survey |  |  |
| Sampling Method Base | The sample included both land- and cell-lines RDD (Random Digit Dialed) across Canada. | Stratification | By age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada. Smaller areas such as Atlantic Canada were marginally oversampled to allow for a minimum regional sample. |
| Demographics (Captured) | Atlantic Canada, Quebec, Ontario, Prairies, British Columbia; Men and Women; 18 years and older. <br> Six digit postal code was used to validate geography. | Estimated Response Rate | Thirteen percent, consistent with industry norms. |
| Fieldwork/Validation | Individuals were recruited using live interviews with live supervision to validate work, the research questions were administered online | Question Order | Question order in the preceding report reflects the order in which they appeared in the original questionnaire. |
| Number of Calls Time of Calls | Maximum of five call backs to those recruited. <br> Individuals recruited were called between 12-5:30 pm and 6:309:30pm local time for the respondent. | Question Content | Topics on the omnibus ahead of the survey content included: views on political issues, views on economic issues, government deficits, China, carbon pricing, the Canadian Armed Forces, sex trafficking, the federal government, and COVID-19 vaccines. |
| Field Dates | March 27 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ to $30^{\text {th }}$, 2021 | Question Wording | The questions in the preceding report are written exactly as they were asked to individuals. |
| Language of Survey | The survey was conducted in both English and French. | Research/Data Collection Supplier | Nanos Research |
| Standards | Nanos Research is a member of the Canadian Research Insights Council (CRIC) and confirms that this research fully complies with all CRIC Standards including the CRIC Public Opinion Research Standards and Disclosure Requirements. https://canadianresearchinsightscouncil.ca/standards/ | Contact | Contact Nanos Research for more information or with any concerns or questions. <br> http://www.nanos.co <br> Telephone:(613) 234-4666 ext. 237 <br> Email: info@nanosresearch.com. |

As one of North America's premier market and public opinion research firms, we put strategic intelligence into the hands of decision makers. The majority of our work is for private sector and public facing organizations and ranges from market studies, managing reputation through to leveraging data intelligence. Nanos Research offers a vertically integrated full service quantitative and qualitative research practice to attain the highest standards and the greatest control over the research process. www.nanos.co

This international joint venture between dimap and Nanos brings together top research and data experts from North American and Europe to deliver exceptional data intelligence to clients. The team offers data intelligence services ranging from demographic and sentiment microtargeting; consumer sentiment identification and decision conversion; and, data analytics and profiling for consumer persuasion. www.nanosdimap.com

NRM is an affiliate of Nanos Research and Rutherford McKay Associates. Our service offerings are based on decades of professional experience and extensive research and include public acceptance and engagement, communications audits, and narrative development. www.nrmpublicaffairs.com
swolwnesi

## 2021-1830 - Nuclear Arms - March Omni - STAT SHEET

In January 2021, the United Nations Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons became international law and made it illegal to develop, possess, use or threaten to use nuclear weapons for those that ratify the Treaty. None of the nuclear-weapons countries (the United States, Russia, United Kingdom, France, China, India, Israel, Pakistan, and North Korea), nor any NATO members, including Canada, have signed or ratified this new Treaty. There are at present 13,400 nuclear weapons in existence held by Russia, the United States, China, France, the United Kingdom, Pakistan, India, Israel and North Korea.

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada } \\ & \text { 2021-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - Do you support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or oppose Canada both signing and ratifying the new United Nations Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons? | Total | Unwgt <br> N | 1007 | 100 | 205 | 344 | 198 | 160 | 546 | 461 | 218 | 374 | 415 |
|  |  | Wgt N | 1000 | 67 | 233 | 384 | 183 | 133 | 490 | 510 | 273 | 341 | 386 |
|  | Support | \% | 55.3 | 62.2 | 56.7 | 57.1 | 43.0 | 61.0 | 53.5 | 57.0 | 56.2 | 53.5 | 56.2 |
|  | Somewhat support | \% | 19.1 | 16.0 | 25.7 | 15.4 | 21.9 | 15.6 | 19.7 | 18.5 | 21.0 | 17.3 | 19.2 |
|  | Somewhat oppose | \% | 5.2 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 5.6 | 8.1 | 3.9 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 6.1 | 6.1 |
|  | Oppose | \% | 8.5 | 6.6 | 5.9 | 7.3 | 14.9 | 8.7 | 12.6 | 4.6 | 6.0 | 10.7 | 8.3 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 12.0 | 12.0 | 8.1 | 14.6 | 12.2 | 10.9 | 7.8 | 16.0 | 14.0 | 12.3 | 10.2 |
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|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada } \\ & \text { 2021-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $35 \text { to }$ $54$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - Do you think that Canada is | Total | Unwgt N | 1007 | 100 | 205 | 344 | 198 | 160 | 546 | 461 | 218 | 374 | 415 |
| prepared, somewhat prepared, somewhat |  | Wgt N | 1000 | 67 | 233 | 384 | 183 | 133 | 490 | 510 | 273 | 341 | 386 |
| not prepared or not prepared to deal | Prepared | \% | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| with the emergency if nuclear weapons | Somewhat prepared | \% | 6.8 | 9.4 | 6.7 | 7.9 | 4.5 | 5.6 | 7.4 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 8.2 | 5.9 |
| were detonated somewhere in the | Somewhat not prepared | \% | 25.0 | 14.3 | 35.2 | 20.2 | 21.7 | 31.2 | 22.6 | 27.4 | 28.4 | 20.8 | 26.4 |
|  | Not prepared | \% | 59.6 | 59.3 | 52.1 | 61.8 | 64.7 | 59.1 | 62.0 | 57.2 | 57.1 | 65.7 | 55.8 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 7.8 | 16.2 | 5.6 | 9.0 | 7.9 | 3.7 | 7.1 | 8.4 | 6.3 | 5.1 | 11.1 |


|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ 2021-03 \end{gathered}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - Some people think | Total | Unwgt N | 1007 | 100 | 205 | 344 | 198 | 160 | 546 | 461 | 218 | 374 | 415 |
| [ROTATE] the world should work to eliminate nuclear |  | Wgt N | 1000 | 67 | 233 | 384 | 183 | 133 | 490 | 510 | 273 | 341 | 386 |
| weapons because of the risk they present, others think it is acceptable for | The world should work to eliminate nuclear weapons | \% | 79.6 | 85.8 | 85.9 | 76.5 | 73.5 | 83.1 | 75.4 | 83.7 | 78.1 | 78.7 | 81.6 |
| nuclear weapons to protect themselves from attack. Which of these two views, if | It is acceptable for countries to have nuclear weapons for protection | \% | 8.6 | 4.8 | 6.4 | 9.1 | 11.2 | 9.2 | 13.4 | 3.9 | 7.4 | 9.6 | 8.5 |
| your personal opinion? | Neither reflects my view | \% | 7.9 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 9.0 | 9.8 | 5.9 | 8.7 | 7.1 | 9.9 | 7.6 | 6.6 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 3.9 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 3.2 |

## 2021-1830 - Nuclear Arms - March Omni - STAT SHEET

Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree with the following: [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada } \\ & \text { 2021-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $35 \text { to }$ $54$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question -No government, health | Total | Unwgt N | 1007 | 100 | 205 | 344 | 198 | 160 | 546 | 461 | 218 | 374 | 415 |
| system or aid organization could |  | Wgt N | 1000 | 67 | 233 | 384 | 183 | 133 | 490 | 510 | 273 | 341 | 386 |
| devastation caused | Agree | \% | 57.5 | 60.9 | 61.5 | 58.2 | 48.6 | 59.3 | 56.1 | 58.9 | 48.9 | 57.8 | 63.5 |
| hope is through the | Somewhat agree | \% | 27.8 | 30.1 | 27.6 | 29.0 | 29.9 | 20.3 | 25.3 | 30.1 | 33.7 | 26.1 | 25.0 |
| nuclear weapons | Somewhat disagree | \% | 5.6 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 5.6 | 7.1 | 8.1 | 7.1 | 4.2 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 5.7 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 5.3 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 9.5 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 3.2 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 3.9 | 3.1 | 4.9 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 2.7 |

Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree with the following: [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada } \\ & \text { 2021-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | 35 to 54 | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question -The House of Commons should | Total | Unwgt N | 1007 | 100 | 205 | 344 | 198 | 160 | 546 | 461 | 218 | 374 | 415 |
| have committee hearings and debate |  | Wgt N | 1000 | 67 | 233 | 384 | 183 | 133 | 490 | 510 | 273 | 341 | 386 |
| Canada's position on | Agree | \% | 45.6 | 52.9 | 48.9 | 46.5 | 35.7 | 47.4 | 44.5 | 46.7 | 44.6 | 43.0 | 48.6 |
|  | Somewhat agree | \% | 29.9 | 26.9 | 35.1 | 27.8 | 33.0 | 23.8 | 27.6 | 32.1 | 31.0 | 31.1 | 28.0 |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 6.9 | 0.8 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 10.6 | 7.6 | 8.6 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 7.5 | 6.7 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 8.7 | 10.9 | 3.1 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 10.1 | 11.6 | 5.8 | 7.5 | 9.6 | 8.7 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 9.0 | 8.4 | 7.9 | 8.4 | 10.3 | 11.0 | 7.7 | 10.2 | 10.7 | 8.8 | 7.9 |

## 2021-1830 - Nuclear Arms - March Omni - STAT SHEET

Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree with the following: [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canada } \\ & \text { 2021-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question -Canada should join the UN | Total | Unwgt N | 1007 | 100 | 205 | 344 | 198 | 160 | 546 | 461 | 218 | 374 | 415 |
| Treaty on the Prohibition of |  | Wgt N | 1000 | 67 | 233 | 384 | 183 | 133 | 490 | 510 | 273 | 341 | 386 |
| Nuclear Weapons, even if, as a member of NATO, it might | Agree | \% | 50.5 | 55.1 | 55.0 | 50.1 | 39.2 | 56.9 | 46.7 | 54.1 | 51.9 | 45.4 | 54.0 |
| come under pressure from the United | Somewhat agree | \% | 22.7 | 24.3 | 26.3 | 21.2 | 23.0 | 19.1 | 22.1 | 23.2 | 21.7 | 26.1 | 20.2 |
| States not to do so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Somewhat disagree | \% | 6.8 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 12.8 | 6.4 | 8.6 | 5.1 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 6.6 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 10.9 | 4.3 | 6.6 | 11.3 | 17.7 | 11.1 | 16.3 | 5.6 | 8.7 | 13.1 | 10.4 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 9.2 | 11.7 | 7.4 | 11.6 | 7.4 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 12.0 | 11.1 | 8.2 | 8.8 |

Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree with the following: [RANDOMIZE Q4 to Q7]

|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { 2021-03 } \end{gathered}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - I would withdraw money | Total | Unwgt N | 1007 | 100 | 205 | 344 | 198 | 160 | 546 | 461 | 218 | 374 | 415 |
| from any investment or financial |  | Wgt N | 1000 | 67 | 233 | 384 | 183 | 133 | 490 | 510 | 273 | 341 | 386 |
| learned it was | Agree | \% | 49.4 | 54.7 | 56.6 | 47.2 | 39.7 | 54.0 | 44.2 | 54.4 | 43.6 | 46.9 | 55.7 |
| the development, | Somewhat agree | \% | 21.7 | 23.1 | 24.5 | 22.8 | 19.9 | 15.1 | 20.0 | 23.3 | 21.0 | 21.3 | 22.5 |
| deployment of nuclear weapons | Somewhat disagree | \% | 8.0 | 2.8 | 5.8 | 9.0 | 11.1 | 7.1 | 8.1 | 7.8 | 9.3 | 9.6 | 5.6 |
|  | Disagree | \% | 13.3 | 9.4 | 7.9 | 13.4 | 19.6 | 15.6 | 20.6 | 6.2 | 17.1 | 13.6 | 10.3 |
|  | Unsure | \% | 7.7 | 10.0 | 5.3 | 7.6 | 9.8 | 8.3 | 7.1 | 8.3 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 6.0 |
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|  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canada } \\ \text { 2021-03 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Atlantic | Quebec | Ontario | Prairies | British Columbia | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 54 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { plus } \end{gathered}$ |
| Question - If a political party | Total | Unwgt N | 1007 | 100 | 205 | 344 | 198 | 160 | 546 | 461 | 218 | 374 | 415 |
| supported Canada signing and ratifying |  | Wgt N | 1000 | 67 | 233 | 384 | 183 | 133 | 490 | 510 | 273 | 341 | 386 |
| the Treaty for the Prohibition of | More likely | \% | 20.7 | 22.8 | 25.7 | 18.0 | 16.6 | 24.5 | 20.8 | 20.7 | 17.1 | 21.9 | 22.2 |
| Nuclear Weapons would you be more likely, somewhat | Somewhat more likely | \% | 29.4 | 26.3 | 35.1 | 27.6 | 26.5 | 30.5 | 26.7 | 32.1 | 30.1 | 27.5 | 30.7 |
| more likely, somewhat less likely, | Somewhat less likely | \% | 3.2 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 2.5 |
| or less likely to | Less likely | \% | 7.1 | 3.6 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 10.7 | 5.9 | 9.6 | 4.7 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 6.9 |
| support that political party or would it have no impact on | No impact on my vote | \% | 29.9 | 33.3 | 21.0 | 33.3 | 35.2 | 26.6 | 32.2 | 27.7 | 30.0 | 28.5 | 31.1 |
| your vote? | Unsure | \% | 9.6 | 9.4 | 8.0 | 11.7 | 7.2 | 9.5 | 6.6 | 12.5 | 13.1 | 10.2 | 6.6 |


[^0]:    *Weighted to the true population proportion.
    *Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.
    *The net score is the difference between all positive and negative numbers in a question.

[^1]:    *Weighted to the true population proportion.
    *Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.
    *The net score is the difference between all positive and negative numbers in a question.

[^2]:    *Weighted to the true population proportion.
    *Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

[^3]:    *Weighted to the true population proportion.
    *Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.
    *The net score is the difference between all positive and negative numbers in a question.
    Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual-frame hybrid random telephone and online survey, March $27^{\text {th }}$ to $30^{\text {th }}, 2021, \mathrm{n}=1,007$, accurate $\pm 3.1$ percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20 .

[^4]:    *Weighted to the true population proportion.
    *Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.
    *The net score is the difference between all positive and negative numbers in a question.

[^5]:    *Weighted to the true population proportion.
    *Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.
    *The net score is the difference between all positive and negative numbers in a question.

[^6]:    *Weighted to the true population proportion.
    *Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.
    The net score is the difference between all positive and negative numbers in a question.

[^7]:    *Weighted to the true population proportion.
    *Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.
    *The net score is the difference between all positive and negative numbers in a question.

