

#LessIsMoreNY

Less Mass Supervision = More Safety and Justice



ADVANCING SOLUTIONS TO THE PROBLEM OF RE-INCARCERATION FOR TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS OF PAROLE

New York imprisons more people for non-criminal “technical” violations of parole like missing an appointment with a parole officer, being late for curfew, or testing positive for alcohol and other drugs than any state in the country except Illinois. Of people on parole whom New York sent back to prison in 2016, over 6,300 or 65% were reincarcerated for technical parole violations. Nearly 1/3 of the new admissions to state prisons are due to people reincarcerated for technical violations of parole. Only 14% of people on parole who were reincarcerated were returned to prison because they were convicted of a new crime. The racial disparity is stark: Black people are incarcerated in New York City jails for technical parole violations at more than 12 times the rate of whites.

There are approximately 35,000 people under active parole supervision in New York State who at almost any time can see their efforts to successfully rejoin the workforce and reintegrate into their families and their communities disrupted by reincarceration for a technical violation. This not only harms individual lives and families without commensurate public safety gains, but also drives up the population in the state prisons and local jails, wasting taxpayer money.

The **Less is More: Community Supervision Revocation Reform Act** would fix this problem. Developed by people on parole, people currently incarcerated, family members, Katal, Unchained, A Little Piece of Light, the Columbia Justice Lab, Legal Aid, and more. The bill is sponsored by Sen. Brian Benjamin (S.1343C) and Assm. Walter Mosley (A.5493B).

WHAT THE #LESSISMORENY ACT WOULD DO



PROVIDE EARNED TIME CREDITS.

People under community supervision would be eligible to earn a 30-day “earned time credits” reduction in their community supervision period for every 30-day period in which they do not violate a condition of supervision.



PROVIDE SPEEDY HEARINGS.

Persons under community supervision shall be afforded a speedy adjudicatory hearing upon an alleged violation of their conditions of release.



BOLSTER DUE PROCESS.

Persons under community supervision shall be afforded a recognizance hearing in a local criminal court before they are detained, pending adjudication of an alleged violation of their conditions of release, whether a technical violation or a new criminal charge is alleged.



RESTRICT THE USE OF INCARCERATION FOR TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS.

Incarceration would be eliminated as a sanction for most technical violations. Certain technical violations could still result in jail time, but it would be capped at a maximum of 30 days.

THESE REFORMS HAVE WORKED IN OTHER STATES

Many other states have already implemented reforms similar to those proposed here, reducing community supervision populations and curbing violations (Arkansas, Arizona, Georgia, Idaho, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, South Carolina and Utah). According to research on the federal Bureau of Justice Assistance Justice Reinvestment Initiative (“JRI”) published by the Pew Charitable Trusts, in eighteen JRI states, people on parole can shorten their supervision periods by up to 30 days for 30 days of compliance. Further, 16 JRI states have put caps or guidelines on how long individuals can serve for a technical violation of supervision conditions.

After South Carolina adopted graduated sanctions, compliance revocations decreased 46 percent, and recidivism rates for people under supervision dropped by a third. Meanwhile, crime rates dropped by over 20 percent.

Similarly, after Louisiana implemented caps on jail or prison terms for first-time technical violations, length of incarceration declined by 281 days and 22% fewer people under community supervision were sent back to prison for new crimes. After Missouri adopted earned time credits for people on probation and parole, supervision terms dropped by 14 months, the supervised population fell 18 percent, average caseloads decreased 16 percent, and recidivism rates did not change.

BUDGET AND SAVINGS

In addition to the reforms included in the Less is More Act, the #LessIsMoreNY campaign calls on the Governor and Legislature to pass the legislation, identify and capture the savings that will result from these reforms, and reinvest those savings back into the communities most harmed by mass incarceration and crime.

TAKE ACTION TO PASS #LESSISMORENY

Contact your legislators today: bit.ly/limnyaction

Find us online at www.LessIsMoreNY.org or by following the #LessIsMoreNY hashtag on twitter.

For more information, and to get involved with #LessIsMoreNY, please contact:

**Katal Center for Health,
Equity, and Justice**
info@katalcenter.org
(646) 875-8822

We Are Unchained
emily@weareunchained.org
(315) 243.5135

A Little Piece of Light
dhylton@alittlepieceofflight.org
(347) 773-8050

FOOTNOTES

i. United States Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics, Probation and Parole in the United States, 2016 (Apr. 2018), Appendix Table 7, available at www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/ppus16.pdf#page=22.

ii. Id.

iii. Id. The rest were almost all reincarcerated to receive treatment.

iv. Vincent Schiraldi and Jennifer L. Arzu. Less is More in New York: An Examination of the Impact of State Parole Violations on Prison and Jail Populations. (2018), available: <https://academiccommons.columbia.edu/doi/10.7916/D8R20Q06>

v. NYS Department of Corrections and Community Supervision, Community Supervision Staffing Legislative Report, available at www.doccs.ny.gov/Research/Reports/2018/Community-Supervision-Staffing-Report-2018.pdf.

vi. These states are AK, AR, AZ, DE, GA, ID, KS, KY, LA, MD, MO, MS, MT, NH, OR, SC, SD, UT.

vii. These state are AK, AL, AR, GA, HI, ID, KS, LA, MD, MO, MS, MT, NC, OK, PA, UT. See Adam Gelb and Connie Utada, For Better Results, Cut Correctional Populations. Washington, DC: The Pew Charitable Trusts (2017), available: www.pewtrusts.org/en/research-and-analysis/articles/2017/08/25/for-better-results-cut-correctional-populations

viii. Elizabeth Pelletier, Bryce Peterson, and Ryan King, Assessing the Impact of South Carolina's Parole and Probation Reforms. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute (2017), available: www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/89871/south_carolina_jri_policy_assessment_final_1.pdf.

ix. Probation and Parole Systems Marked by High Stakes, Missed Opportunities. Washington, DC: The Pew Charitable Trusts (2017), available: www.pewtrusts.org/-/media/assets/2018/09/probation_and_parole_systems_marked_by_high_stakes_missed_opportunities_pew.pdf

x. Reducing Incarceration for Technical Violations in Louisiana. Washington, DC: The Pew Charitable Trusts (2014), available: www.pewtrusts.org/-/media/assets/2014/11/psppreducingincarcerationfortechnicalviolationsinlouisiana.pdf.

xi. Probation and Parole Systems Marked by High Stakes, Missed Opportunities.