

Cressingham Gardens Project Team Meeting
Venue : Room 125 – Lambeth Town Hall Hall, 7pm – 9pm

Minutes of the meeting – 30th March 2015

Present:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Organisation</u>
Julian Hart (JH) -Chair	Interim Capital Programme Manager, LBL
Lucia Deere (LD) Minutes	Estate Regeneration Manager LBL
Julie Curtis (JC)	Senior Accountant - HRA , LBL Replaces H Bharadia.
Nicholas Greaves (NG)	Resident Rep. (Tenant)
Fatima Elmoudden (FE)	Resident Rep. (Freeholder)
Chin Eng Ong (CO)	Resident Rep. (Tenant)
Gerlinde Gniewosz (GG)	Resident Rep. (Leaseholder)
Edward Ogunbele (EO)	Independent Advisor replacing Simon Slater

Apologies:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Organisation</u>
Neil Vokes (NV) -	Programme Director Business Growth and Regeneration, LBL
Sumitra Gomer (SG)	Lead Commissioner Commissioning, LBL
Sarah Coyte (SC)	Capacity Building Officer, LBL
Andrew Jacques(AJ)	Area Asset Manager, LL
Simon Slater (SS)	Independent Resident Advisor, Strategic Urban Futures (StUF)`
Susan Sheehan (SSH)	PEP Officer LBL

1 Minutes /Matters Arising and Actions:

- 1.1 GG raised her concern that her comments were still not recorded in the minutes and requested that they are attached to the minutes. GG raised a concern that this means those on the wider circulation list are not getting the full information from meetings.

Action: LD confirmed that Bashir Miah will attach GG previous statement of comments to the minutes for circulation.

- 1.2 JH highlighted that comments were in the written form and were circulated to members at the meeting.
- 1.3 Apart from the above issue the minute was accepted as true reflection of the discussion taken place.

2. Matters Arising

2.1 Cabinet Report

GG raised a concern that the Cabinet report had only been given to residents 3 hours before the meeting. JH commented that the Cabinet report was on the website for all to access.

2.2 GG commented that the evidence to rule out refurbishment as an option had not been proved by the Council or Lambeth Living.

2.3 JH responded that the full details of the costs associated with refurbishment had been attached to the Cabinet report. The Council does not have the funds within the HRA to deliver this refurbishment.

3. Working Groups.

3.1 GG stated that the Council had ignored the recommendations made by the working groups and asked for evidence of how the working groups had influenced decisions taken.

3.2 JH responded that information on the outputs of the working groups had been provided in the 8-page brochure sent to all residents. The suggestions made through the working groups can then be incorporated into future regeneration of the estate.

4. Offers

4.1 GG stated that the Council had ignored the Homeowner Groups comments.

LD stated that we had taken note of the comments, but we had not agreed or been able to accommodate all the comments, the draft offer document reflects the changes that we did include.

5. Test of Opinion Questionnaire.

GG raised the following comments on the questionnaire:

Q3 – replace “happy” with satisfied

Q5 replace “happy” with satisfied

Q8 – add in - none of the above

Q9 – add in – none of the above

Q10 – remove this question

Q11 – remove question d

Q11 – add in CCTV

TOO Section 2 - LD stated that we would be reducing the number of questions but that the Council did need to understand if residents had attended events organised for the consultation.

JH stated we need to include Social Life's findings and reflect this in the questionnaire.

TOO Section 4. Resident preferences:

Details to follow for options 6/7/8 JH requested that this information is fed back to us for Thursday.

Each of the resident representatives expressed concern that the main questions about the options were left to the last. They requested that these questions are asked in the first section.

Next Meeting: Monday 11th May 2015, Room 125. Town Hall