

BOARD OF STATE CANVASSERS MEETING

March 24, 2016

Prepared by



depos@networkreporting.com Phone: 800.632.2720 Fax: 800.968.8653 www.networkreporting.com

Let us assist you GLOBALLY for all of your deposition needs.

STATE OF MICHIGAN

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

RUTH JOHNSON, SECRETARY OF STATE

BOARD OF STATE CANVASSERS MEETING

The State Capitol Building, Room 426, Lansing, Michigan

Thursday, March 24, 2016, 3:00 p.m.

BOARD:

MS. JEANNETTE BRADSHAW - Chair

MR. NORM SHINKLE - Vice Chair MS. COLLEEN PERO - Board Member

MR. CHRISTOPHER THOMAS - Elections Director

MS. MELISSA MALERMAN - Elections Staff

APPEARANCES:

For the State: MS. DENISE C. BARTON (P41535)

MR. ERIK GRILL (P64713) MR. ADAM FRACASSI (P79546) Assistants Attorney General

525 West Ottawa Street Lansing, Michigan 48909

(517) 373-1110

RECORDED BY:

Sandra K. Bolton, CER 3193 Certified Electronic Recorder Network Reporting Corporation Firm Registration Number 8151

1-800-632-2720



1		TABLE OF CONTENTS PAGE
2		
3	1.	Notice of meeting and affidavit of posting 4
4	2.	Consideration of meeting minutes for approval 4
5	۷.	constactation of meeting minates for approval
6	3.	Canvass and certification of the results of the Presidential Primary held March 8, 2016
7		riesidenciai riimary neid March 6, 2010
8	4.	Recording the results of the following special
9		elections held March 8, 2016
10		The results of the Kent County canvass for the special election for the office of State
11		Representative, 75th District
12		The results of the Allegan County canvass for the special election for the office of State
13		Representative, 80th District
		The results of the Lapeer County canvass for
14		the special election for the office of State Representative, 82nd District
15		
16	5.	Discussion and public comment regarding the proposed revision of the Board of State Canvassers' procedure
17		for rebutting the statutory presumption that a signature on a petition that proposes an amendment
18		to the constitution or to initiate legislation is
19		stale and void if made more than 180 days before the petition was filed
20		Statement by Jeffrey Hank
21		Statement by Ellis Boal
22		Statement by Luanne Kozma
23	6.	Such other and further business as may be properly
24		presented to the Board
25	7.	Adjournment
		Page 2



1	EXHIBITS
2	EARIBIIS
	Exhibit 1 marked 4
3	(Agenda) Exhibit 2 marked4
4	(Results of canvass for Kent, Allegan, and Lapeer Counties; Official Election Results)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 3



1	Lansing, Michigan
2	Thursday, March 24, 2016 - at 3:00 p.m.
3	(Exhibits 1 and 2 marked)
4	MS. BRADSHAW: Good afternoon. I'd like to kind
5	of keep on a schedule today. I'd like to call this meeting
6	of the Board of State Canvassers to order. With that, I
7	want to make sure that our Notice for our open meeting was
8	posted correctly.
9	MR. THOMAS: The meeting Notice was posted under
10	the rules of the Board and the Open Meetings Act.
11	MS. BRADSHAW: Thank you very much, Mr. Thomas.
12	At this time we'll have consideration for the minutes of the
13	meeting that was held on March 7th.
14	MS. PERO: I move that we approve the minutes of
15	the March 7th meeting.
16	MR. SHINKLE: Support.
17	MS. BRADSHAW: It's moved and supported to approve
18	the minutes of the meeting held on March 7th.
19	And that takes us to our third item on the agenda,
20	the
21	MS. PERO: We have to vote.
22	MS. BRADSHAW: Oh, I'm sorry. Sorry about that.
23	We skipped on the Notice. So all those in favor or the
24	approval of the minutes of the previous meeting held on
25	March 7th say "aye."
	Page 4



```
1
                  MS. PERO: Aye.
2
                  MR. SHINKLE: Aye.
3
                  MS. BRADSHAW: Aye. All those opposed? Hearing
4
        none, the motion carries. Now we'll go to our third item on
        the agenda, the canvass and certification of the results of
6
        the Presidential Primary held on March 8th, 2016.
                  MR. THOMAS: Okay. We had a great turnout, that's
8
        for certain. Now, interestingly, our 2.5 million turnout
9
        was 35 percent of the registered voters. And in '72, it was
        actually 46 per, and in 1976 it was actually 39 percent, but
10
        it was a much lower number. The highest number was 1.9
11
        million, and that was in '72. And so this was -- this was
12
13
        about equal to the 1990 gubernatorial.
14
                  MS. PERO: I remember that one.
15
                  MR. THOMAS: Yeah, yeah. It was --
16
                  MR. SHINKLE: Engler Granholm.
17
                  MS. PERO: No, Engler Blanchard.
18
                  MR. THOMAS: Engler Blanchard.
19
                  MR. SHINKLE: Engler Blanchard?
20
                  MR. THOMAS: It was about 2.5 million, yeah.
21
        it was a good turnout. We had some issues. We did have
22
        some places run out of ballots, which is never a good thing.
23
        Some of them had difficulty in -- they had a hard time with
        a baseline for the Democratic ballots, because the Democrats
25
        really haven't used the primary. President Obama was on it
                                 Page 5
```



24

25

1 in 2012 but it was obviously unopposed, so that was not a 2 good one. So it was a good turnout on the Democratic side, 3 as well. And ballots, a couple areas ran out of both Republican ballots and Democratic ballots, which is not a 6 good thing. So it's an area we're doing a little research 7 on to find out how extensive that was, and we'll be putting 8 procedures out on how to handle that. There's a number of 9 places that actually have equipment to reproduce ballots on 10 Election Day, and a lot of that was done. What we want to 11 make sure is that they don't hold people waiting for those. 12 The best thing is to go ahead and make copies. We always 13 tell them don't use that last ballot, because you need to go 14 make copies of that and people can vote those and they can 15 be hand counted rather than, 1) turning people away or, 16 2) asking them to wait around for an hour or two while 17 somebody creates those ballots. So there's a little bit of 18 work there that we'll be looking into. But beyond that, it 19 was nice to see the turnout and nice to have that behind us. 20 So we have received the reports from the 83 21 counties and we've compiled that for you and you can see the 22

So we have received the reports from the 83 counties and we've compiled that for you and you can see the statewide results, which will be attached to this. Is that how we'll do this? So this will be attached, the canvass itself. I think it's noteworthy there were 1.3 million Republican ballots cast and 1.2 million Democratic ballots



1 Donald Trump, the highest vote getter in the cast. 2 Republican Party with 483,000, and Bernie Sanders was the 3 highest with 598,000. Now unlike any other election, we do 4 report these out by congressional district, and it's necessary for allocation purposes for delegates that they 6 use, as they go to their conventions -- to caucuses and 7 conventions to select delegates. So that is also there. 8 Now in the memo you will see that we had one 9 issue, and that issue was in the City of Detroit. There are 10 about 100 absent voter counting boards and, obviously, the 11 City of Detroit has both the 13th and 14th congressional 12 districts within it. For whatever reason, on 24 of the 13 absent voter counting boards they had a mixture of both the 14 13th and 14th congressional districts. And we found this 15 out after tabulation had begun, so there really was no way 16 to undo that. So we worked closely with the Wayne County 17 Board of Canvassers and the City of Detroit, and they have 18 canvassed this with a separate break-out. Now, these totals 19 are included within the congressional districts but they 20 also give a separate break-out of these 24 that shows what 21 the results are. 22 We've done some work in-house which we're going to 23 give to the two political parties. I don't think the 24 Republican Party is going to have a whole lot of issues. 25 There weren't many ballots there. Let's see. We've got the Page 7



```
1
        total number. There were 7,126 ballots all together in
2
        these mixed; 6,744 were Democrat and 382 were Republican.
3
         So we were able to go in and look through the Qualified
        Voter File the source of the voters in each of these
        counting boards who filed absentee applications and ballots
6
        were received back from them. So we can show the parties,
7
        if it's anything they need, what the proportion of each
8
         congressional district is within each of the AV counting
9
        boards. So I -- my quess is the numbers aren't large enough
        to affect a delegate one way or another. But if they were,
10
11
        they could probably get very close on using numbers to show
12
        how many -- in each of these counting boards how many were
13
        District 13 and how many were District 14.
14
                   MR. SHINKLE: Chris, was it in effect taking some
        from the 14th and putting them in the 13th and vice versa?
15
16
                   MR. THOMAS: Yeah.
17
                   MR. SHINKLE: So the question is the net change, I
18
               Do you have that number?
19
                   MR. THOMAS: No, I don't really have a net.
20
         show you -- I can pass this around and you can kind of see
21
        how -- and they're not all lopsided, but some of them are
22
        fairly close. So like in the third, it was 321 and -- what
23
        have we got here? -- total. Okay. So we can show both not
        only the numbers, but we can show what the candidates got.
25
        And then I think they can make a pretty good estimate on
```



1 each one if that's necessary. 2 My sense is, you know, one, the vote turnout in 3 Detroit was not that high. It was not as high as other parts of the state. I think they were in the mid 20s. They were not up to the 35 percent. So in any event, we are going to give the Democrat and the Republican parties this 7 spreadsheet and -- if it's of assistance to them in coming 8 up with any proportionate for allocation of delegates. And 9 I think Detroit just didn't have that in mind when they put it together. Because normally Detroit will never split a 10 11 precinct. Even their physical polling places are never 12 split. So that has some precincts with very small numbers, 13 to avoid splits. And I guess they just -- it was not in 14 their mind, when they laid this out, the ballots were all 15 the same, there's no difference on the candidates for ballot 16 forms or anything. 17 And they're the only ones that are left that can 18 really do these old AV counting boards. Everyone else has 19 to have a counting board that corresponds to the precinct 20 ballot. So that would have, I think, allayed that. But 21 they're aware of it now, and I will make sure that somebody 22 tells them in four years that they ought to do something 23 different. MS. PERO: Is there a reason that they're the only 25 ones left that do this? I mean, will that change? Page 9



```
1
                   MR. THOMAS: Yeah, I think it may change.
2
         Initially it was size.
3
                   MS. PERO: Right.
4
                   MR. THOMAS: I mean, nobody was anywhere close to
        their size. And I think there was miscommunication. While
6
        you do have to have a separate counting board for each
7
        precinct elsewhere, there's nothing to stop them from
8
        putting them into groups and counting them --
9
                   MS. PERO: Within, yeah.
10
                   MR. THOMAS: -- as like a large counting board.
11
        It's just that, you know, the ballots are separate and the
12
        equipment can take a number of ballot forms without any
13
        problem. So it may be a legislative change that's coming.
14
                   MS. PERO: Okay.
15
                   MS. BRADSHAW: How may many precincts does the
16
        City of Detroit have?
17
                   MR. THOMAS: Well, they've got just about 500 all
18
        together and then -- just a little under 500. And they have
19
        180 counting boards. So it was, you know, basically 25
20
        percent that had them mixed.
21
                   MR. SHINKLE: But the AV counting boards, the 100,
22
        are for a particular congressional district. None of them
23
        have both the 13th and the 14th in one counting board, do
24
        they?
25
                   MR. THOMAS: Well, in these 24 they did. That was
                                Page 10
```



```
1
        the problem is that they mixed the ballots in those two in
2
        those 24.
3
                   MS. PERO: Normally they'd have different ballots
        so they could do it differently.
4
                   MR. THOMAS: Yeah.
5
6
                   MS. PERO: There was no difference in the ballot.
7
                   MR. THOMAS: Right.
                   MS. BRADSHAW: Was there any other issue, though?
8
9
        Was there any tabulator issues or anything like that that
10
        came about?
11
                   MR. THOMAS: No. We heard very little in that
12
        regard, you know. I mean, we may have had a few calls, but
13
        on the whole it seemed to run pretty smoothly. It was an
        easy ballot, it's a short ballot. It works pretty well.
14
15
        Really, the biggest problem was when they started running
16
        out of them.
17
                   MS. PERO: Well, I went on MSU's campus with an
18
        international delegation, and no one was voting because it
19
        was during spring break.
20
                   MR. THOMAS: Right.
21
                   MS. PERO: And these were precincts that were
22
        entirely located on campus, and people were just there all
23
        day.
24
                   MR. THOMAS: Just sitting.
25
                   MS. PERO: They were happy to see us and show the
                                Page 11
```



```
1
        people how the machines worked.
2
                  MR. THOMAS: Yeah.
3
                  MS. PERO: They wanted them to vote, but no.
                  MR. THOMAS: Yeah, about anywhere else you would
        have gone you would have seen quite a bit of activity.
                  MS. PERO: Yeah.
6
                  MR. THOMAS: Yeah. So I think that's all we have
8
        really to report on this. It was, beyond that, a smooth
        election. And so we do have a recommended motion for you.
10
                  MS. PERO: Okay. I'll do that. I move that the
11
        Board certify that the attached numbers represent a true
12
        statement of the votes given in the March 8, 2016,
13
        Presidential Primary.
14
                  MR. SHINKLE: Support.
15
                  MS. BRADSHAW: Okay. It's been moved and
16
        supported that the Board certify that the attached is a true
17
        statement of the votes given on the March 8, 2016. And no
18
        further discussion, all those in favor say "aye."
19
                  MS. PERO: Aye.
20
                  MR. SHINKLE: Aye.
21
                  MS. BRADSHAW: Aye. All those opposed? None.
22
        The motion carries.
23
                  MR. THOMAS: And I might add, you cannot recount a
        presidential primary.
25
                  MR. SHINKLE: Oh.
                                Page 12
```



1	MS. BRADSHAW: All right. With that, we will come
2	to our agenda item number four, which is recording the
3	results of the special elections held on March 8th for the
4	State Representative in the 75th, 80th and 82nd districts.
5	MS. MALERMAN: Thank you. Members, we had three
6	vacancies in state representative districts that were filled
7	by special election on March 8th. All of the districts are
8	wholly contained within a single county, and when this
9	happens it's the Board's role to record the results rather
10	than to canvass and certify like you just did for the
11	Presidential Primary. So we've prepared separate motions
12	and memorandum for each of the districts so we can go
13	through them one by one.
14	The vacancy in the 75th District is out of Kent
15	County. David LaGrand was the representative who was
16	elected at that election. He had 13,601 votes. And we have
17	a recommended motion for you.
18	MR. SHINKLE: I would move that the Board record
19	the results of the March 8, 2016 special election for the
20	office of State Representative, 75th District, as certified
21	by the Kent County Board of Canvassers on March 15, 2016.
22	MS. PERO: Support.
23	MS. BRADSHAW: Moved and supported that the Board
24	record the results of the March 8, 2016 special election for
25	the State Representative, 75th District. Any other
	Page 13



```
1
        questions? Hearing none, all those in favor say "aye."
2
                   MS. PERO: Aye.
3
                   MR. SHINKLE: Aye.
4
                   MS. BRADSHAW: Aye. All those opposed? Motion
5
        carries.
6
                  MS. MALERMAN: Thank you. The next district is
7
        the 80th State Representative District. This is located in
8
        Allegan County. Mary Whiteford is the candidate who won
9
        that election. She had 14,860 votes out of 23,229 cast.
        And there is a motion in your packet.
10
                   MR. SHINKLE: I would move that the Board record
11
12
        the results of the March 8, 2016 special election for the
13
        office of State Representative, 80th District, as certified
14
        by the Allegan County Board of Canvassers on March 10th,
15
        2016.
16
                   MS. PERO: Support.
17
                   MS. BRADSHAW: It's moved and supported that the
18
        results for the March 8th special election held for State
19
        Representative, 80th District, be recorded. All those -- if
20
         there are no other questions, all those in favor say "aye."
21
                   MS. PERO: Aye.
22
                   MR. SHINKLE: Aye.
23
                  MS. BRADSHAW: Aye.
24
                  MS. BRADSHAW: All those opposed? Motion carries.
25
                                 Page 14
```



```
1
                   MS. MALERMAN: Thank you. The last vacancy we
2
        have on the agenda is the one to fill the State
3
        Representative, District 82. That's located in Lapeer
4
        County. Gary Howell is the individual who was elected. He
        had 13,907 votes. There was a total of 23,741 votes cast.
6
        There's a motion in your packet.
                   MS. PERO: I move that the Board record the
8
        results of the March 8, 2016 election -- special election
9
        for the office of State Representative, 82nd District, as
        certified by the Lapeer County Board of Canvassers on
10
11
        March 15th, 2016.
12
                   MR. SHINKLE: Support.
13
                   MS. BRADSHAW: Moved and supported that the Board
14
         record the results of the March 8th special election for the
15
        office of State Representative in the 82nd District.
16
        Hearing no other questions, all those in favor say "aye."
17
                   MR. SHINKLE: Aye.
18
                   MS. PERO:
                             Aye.
19
                   MS. BRADSHAW: Aye. All those opposed? Motion
20
        carries.
21
                   We'll be moving to our fifth item on our agenda,
22
        which is the continuation of our discussion and public
23
        comments in regard to proposed revisions of the Board's
        procedures for rebutting the statutory presumption that a
25
         signature on a petition that proposes an amendment to the
                                 Page 15
```



1 constitution or initiates legislation is stale and void if 2 made more than 180 days before the petition is filed. 3 MR. THOMAS: I want to, first of all, update you on Senate Bill 776, which has passed the Senate, that sets forth a flat 180-day period without any rebuttable presumption. There was a hearing last week in the House 7 Elections Commission committee. There was good discussion 8 there, no activity since then. So the legislature is now on 9 break, so we would expect when they get back there may be further activity regarding that bill. As we have digested 10 11 the public comments and suggestions and also looked at our 12 work load should the policy change, we are taking a look at 13 using an electronic process to both, one, collect signatures 14 that would be rebutted and then provide, two, options; one 15 that would help us verify them quicker or, two, would 16 actually have an electronic verification. So the Qualified 17 Voter File does have a complete history from each voter, so 18 we know exactly when they have registered to vote. By 19 putting timelines in, we would know whether they were 20 registered at the date that they signed and we would know 21 whether they're registered at some time in the 180-day 22 period. So all of that history is contained within the 23 Qualified Voter File. So what we're working on right now -- and we'll be 25 asking for some comments -- would be a process where the Page 16



petitioners would provide us with a spreadsheet. It could be in an Excel format, for example, that would have -- use our voter ID number. It's not the driver's license but it's a voter ID number that is provided publicly, along with the full name of the voter, the year of birth, the street address where the person signed, county, city, and township, and the date the petition was initially signed, and then the number and -- petition sheet number and line number. And that would allow us to convert those QVF ID numbers into bar codes so that we could very quickly, off the sheet, bring that up on the screen and do the verification.

The other one is -- with the second method is a little more complicated, and we're just initially just scoping it out. And that would be to essentially have the system make the checks in terms of running it against time periods and then kicking out those where there is no batch.

So it is a feasible process for if we were to change policy, if the Board were to change it, that would allow us an opportunity to deal with it with maybe a little less paper than was initially requested in our first rendition of this. So that's what I wanted to report to you today, that we continue to look at that. And I think we will come back to you with a request whether you want to move forward with this or not. And then if you do, we'll probably want to move forward with one of these options in



```
1
        order to make it a little more workable.
2
                  MS. BRADSHAW: Any questions from the Board before
3
        I go to the speakers? I do have a number of people who wish
4
        to speak on this agenda item. And it is public comment, so
        we will not have to swear you in. I'm going to start with
6
        Luanne Kozma.
                  LUANNE KOZMA: Thanks. Could I defer to -- until
8
        after Alan Fox speaks? Because he might cover some of what
9
        I'm going to talk about.
10
                  MS. BRADSHAW: Yes. Mr. Jeffrey Hank?
                  MR. HANK: Thank you, Board. Good afternoon.
11
12
                  REPORTER: Could you please state your full name
13
        and spell it for me?
14
                  MR. HANK: Jeffrey Hank, J-e-f-f-r-e-y H-a-n-k, on
15
        behalf of MILegalize. We're looking forward to hopefully
16
        getting some progress done here. I've asked the Bureau a
17
        couple times to move forward with this and if not, to
18
        provide a form of an affidavit under the 1986 policy.
19
        Because nobody knows what that would look like if you don't
20
        take action and we -- nobody knows. So we just need
21
        something done. We're approaching pretty quickly a turn-in
22
        time here.
23
                  Regarding SB 776, regardless if that passes or
        not, ongoing campaigns that are operating under this premise
25
        need to be able to continue to do so. So even if the
                                Page 18
```



1	legislature changes MCL 168.472(a), ongoing campaigns still
2	have this opportunity to rebut signatures. And I would
3	submit to you that under Article II, Section 9 of the
4	Michigan Constitution, the legislature can't actually limit
5	the amount of time of the petition to 180 days. They would
6	have to put that up to a vote of the people, because the
7	constitution provides for that four-year period. That's
8	what it was before 168.472(a) came into effect. 168.472(a),
9	all it does and there's great confusion about this is
10	it treats signatures within that four-year period
11	differently on how they're qualified. If they're within 180
12	days, they're presumed valid by the Bureau when they canvass
13	the petitions. If they're outside of 180 days, you have to
14	rebut the signature for staleness. So before that went into
15	effect, there was no difference on 180-day or not, or
16	190-day old signature or whatever.
17	So just so you have some context on that, even
18	going back to 1908, the early constitution, the term and the
19	length of petitioning has always been set by the Michigan
20	Constitution. The legislature actually cannot change that.
21	They can try and they may well do, as we saw the Senate do,
22	but that's going to be overturned in court. They can't
23	change the constitution without a vote of the people. So
24	just so you have that context, historically, prior to
25	168.472(a) coming into effect, there was a four-year period
	Page 19



1 and within that four-year period there was no test for 2 staleness. Staleness means someone is dead, they've 3 registered in a different jurisdiction outside of the state, or it's outside of the four-year period. And you can go back and look at the 1923 case -- I think it's called Hamilton v Deland which discusses this, when we used to elect the governor by two-year periods -- that the 8 legislature actually has no authority to change that 9 constitutional period. 10 So I know there's a lot of talk about SB 776 but, 11 you know, there's also a lot of case law about trying to 12 retroactively apply new standards to an ongoing campaign. 13 That, frankly, can't be done. So even if that is done, we 14 still need some sort of intelligent process for rebutting 15 these, the staleness. And I would submit this is really 16 easy to do. You just give the Bureau authority to use what 17 they think is reasonable to rebut it. And I think it's the 18 QVF because that's what state law directs being used, but 19 there's probably other ways. I mean, they could probably 20 use the CVF or something. So I think you should just give 21 them discretion to use reasonable means to rebut. We've 22 proposed a single log similar to what Mr. Thomas said where 23 we could, you know, line by line lay it out. 24 But June 1st is steadily approaching. That's the 25 final deadline to turn in signatures. And we may want to





```
1
        turn in much faster than that, and we've got to know how to
2
        do it. There's no -- there is no way to do it. So we hope
3
         you take some action on this really soon. I'd be happy to
        take any questions if anybody has any.
4
5
                   MS. BRADSHAW: Any questions from the Board?
6
                   MR. SHINKLE: Well, an affidavit is an affidavit.
7
        You make a statement and you just get it notarized.
8
        what kind of a form is Mr. Hank talking about?
9
                   MR. THOMAS: Yeah. Mr. Hank has sent a letter to
        us with a suggested format, and we're responding to that.
10
11
        We should have that to him early next week which would be,
12
        under the current law, what would be required. Yeah, it's
13
        pretty straightforward. There's not much to it in terms of
14
        a documentation.
15
                   MS. PERo: So you're saying there already is
16
        something in place?
17
                   MR. THOMAS: Well, nobody has, first of all, ever
                 But, I mean, yeah. Our procedure was is that it's
18
19
        an affidavit from a clerk, or a certificate or affidavit
20
        from a clerk, and then a record showing that they were
21
        registered at the time they signed. So, I mean, one will be
22
        a registration record and the other will be an affidavit or
23
        certificate.
24
                   MR. HANK: Mr. Shinkle, if I just may, it's not
25
        clear understand Michigan law whether an affidavit requires
                                 Page 21
```



```
1
        a notary. In fact, the state has all sorts of forms of
2
        affidavits that don't require a notary. Traditionally, I
3
         think most people consider an affidavit to require a notary.
4
        But the problem with the vaqueness of that is we don't know
        what's acceptable. So we don't want to go through and have
6
        100,000 people sign something that won't work when we turn
7
        it in. So thank you.
8
                   MS. BRADSHAW: Thank you much.
9
                   MR. HANK: Sure.
10
                   MS. BRADSHAW: Thank you very much. Okay. Ellis
11
        Boal?
12
                   REPORTER: Please state your full name and spell
13
        it for me.
14
                   MR. BOAL: First name Ellis, that's E-l-l-i-s.
15
        Last name Boal, spelled B, as in "boy," -o-a-l. A few
16
        minutes ago I heard Chris Thomas referred to as Chris rather
17
        than Mr. Thomas. I like that. I like first names. Please
18
         call me Ellis, if you care to speak with me.
19
                   Just a few quick comments. It looks like there
20
        will not be a vote today. Had there been a vote, I would be
21
        questioning the propriety of that, being an absent member,
22
        but I guess that's moot.
23
                   Just an additional point to what Jeff Hank said to
        you a moment ago about the continuing bindingness of the
25
         four-year governor's term. And he didn't mention an
                                 Page 22
```



```
1
        important Supreme Court case called Wolverine Golf Club,
2
        which was relied on by the Attorney General. And the reason
3
        why Wolverine Golf Club, a 1971 case, is -- and it was cited
        for you in our letters in January. The reason that's
        important is because the Wolverine Golf Club addresses
        statutory initiatives, whereas the Consumers Power case,
        which has been before this Board before, was only about
        constitutional initiatives. And so the Consumers Power case
9
        upheld the constitutionality of 472a, but it made reference
10
        only to Article XII, Section 2.
                                          There's no reference
11
        whatsoever in that opinion about Article II, Section 9.
12
        John Pirich, the attorney for the plaintiffs in that case,
13
        told you in 1986, in his letter of the day before, that that
14
        opinion was only as applied to constitutional initiatives.
15
        So whatever else you decide, the Attorney General's opinion
16
        continues to bind you as to statutory initiatives. It was
17
        only overturned as to constitutional initiatives. I've said
18
        this before. I've asked for anybody who disagrees with me
19
        to say that they disagree with me, including Chris Thomas,
20
        including John Griffin, who is back here representing the
21
        oil and gas industry, and no one has come forward with any
22
        counter argument to that. So I consider that this stands,
23
        you know, unrebutted.
24
                   Finally, the last point, I'm not sure it's
25
        necessary to say this before this Board. But I made a
                                Page 23
```



1	factual error in my written testimony to the Elections
2	Committee last week, and I'm going to correct that to the
3	Elections Committee. But I just would like to make it
4	public right now, because the same error may have been
5	stated by our literature. What I said to the Elections
6	Committee was collectors for Michigan's well-liked Bottle
7	Bill used this period, meaning the governor's term. And
8	I've come to realize that that's not correct, that the
9	Bottle Bill signatures were collected in an approximately
10	two-month period. However, there was a Michigan Court of
11	Appeals case called Line v The State of Michigan from 1988
12	which stated that numerous petitions were collected
13	signatures collected using more than the 180-day period.
14	The Bottle Bill was not specifically stated as one of them,
15	but there are numerous examples of petitions having been
16	submitted. Some were enacted, some not, but they were
17	accepted. So I just wanted to make that correct that
18	error. Any questions?
19	MS. BRADSHAW: Questions from the Board? Thank
20	you very much. Or unless there is Chris.
21	MR. THOMAS: I guess I would only say I don't have
22	a case to cite about a legislative initiative. I would say
23	we have applied it to a legislative initiative as we've
24	canvassed petitions ever since the 1986 case. So I guess
25	there is a feeling that if it's good for one, it's good for
	Page 24



1 the other. I don't see anything that specifically would say 2 that if 180 days is good for getting ten percent of the 3 vote, why wouldn't it be good for getting eight percent of the vote? So we have operated under it just so. I take your point. I don't have a case and I don't have anything 6 But just so the record's clear, we have operated that else. 7 way. 8 MR. BOAL: My initial reaction when I first got 9 involved in this controversy was the same as Chris'; that if it applies to one, why wouldn't it apply to the other. But 10 the legislative history of Article XII, Section 2, and 11 12 Article II, Section 9 are different. They were enacted four 13 years -- five years apart. One was in 1908, the other in 14 1913. The Wolverine Golf Club case, which was about 15 Daylight Savings Time and held unconstitutional part of the 16 Election Law which had stood for 30 years and yet it was 17 overturned by Wolverine Golf Club, was specifically about 18 Article II, Section 9. There were two opinions of the Court 19 of Appeals judges in that case and an opinion of a 20 dissenting Court of Appeals judge, and both of the two 21 concurring majority opinions of the Court of Appeals were 22 referred to and complimented -- I forget the exact words of 23 the Supreme Court -- as compelling the conclusion that the time period involved in that case, which was a time period 25 prior to -- for submitting the petitions, not a collection



```
1
        period but it still had to do with the time period; that
2
        that provision was unconstitutional under Article II,
3
         Section 9. So I commend to you, please, to read the
        Wolverine Golf Club case, which was cited by Frank Kelly and
        was not overruled by Consumers Power. Thank you.
6
                   MS. BRADSHAW: Thank you very much.
                   MR. THOMAS: I believe the statute that he's
8
        referring to in that case was the statute required that
9
        initiatives be filed ten days before the beginning of the
10
        legislative session. And that's what was thrown out. And I
11
        would say it was so much nicer to argue about Daylight
12
        Savings Time than all these other topics.
13
                   MS. PERO: It was getting dark so --
14
                   MR. THOMAS: Yes.
15
                   MS. BRADSHAW: Mr. Alan Fox, please.
16
                   REPORTER: Please state your full name and spell
17
        it.
18
                             It's Alan Fox, A-1-a-n F-o-x.
                   MR. FOX:
19
                   MS. BRADSHAW: It's public comments so no worries.
20
                   MR FOX: Oh, this is not -- okay. I thought it
21
        was always public comment.
22
                   MR. THOMAS: You don't have to tell the truth.
23
                   MR. FOX: Okay. I don't know when to stop telling
24
        the truth.
25
                   MS. PERO: Do you feel more comfortable now?
                                 Page 26
```



2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MR. FOX: I just got used to it finally. I want to say, first, I'm encouraged by Director Thomas' report on what the staff is looking at by way of using the Qualified Voter File rather than requiring the petitions to go to 1500 different clerks to get affidavits as a way of verifying what would otherwise be stale signatures.

I just wanted to make one small point that's important. As he said, the Qualified Voter File has a full voter history with lots of different dates when a voter's status changes. And that's available to the staff; it's not available to the public. The public file has no history. If a voter moves from one municipality to another, they get a new voter ID number. Sometimes if their name has changed and they stay in the same municipality, they also get a new ID number. That's been inconsistent over time. And so those of us who play with the public version of the Qualified Voter File do our best to figure out when the record has -- represents the same person but has a different ID number or other different information. There's no clean way to do it, and some mistakes are made. A person with a fairly common name, particularly if other people with that same name are born in the same year, can easily be confused, if they move, which is the right person. Another important fact is that -- and properly so -- the file that the staff has access to has full dates of birth; the public file only



```
has the year of birth. And that also makes that comparison
1
2
        a little muddy.
3
                   That said, using the file that is available to the
4
        public, it's certainly possible to do the sorts of reports
        that the staff is looking at. They will not be 100-percent
6
        reliable, they'll be the best that can be done with what's
         available. And so my expectation is that some of the names
8
         that people verify will turn out not to be properly -- the
9
         correct person because of those sorts of ambiguities. I
        don't know if there's any way to avoid that. It's certainly
10
11
        an issue that people dealing with petitions and qualifying
12
        signatures for the ballot have had to deal with all along.
13
        It's not a huge number, but it's not going to be 100-percent
14
         foolproof. That said, I think it can be done and look
15
         forward to certainly giving it a shot in the next couple of
16
        months, once we have some certainty about exactly what it is
17
        that needs to be provided to the Bureau. Thank you. Are
18
         there any questions?
19
                   MS. BRADSHAW: Any questions from the Board?
20
                   MR. THOMAS: I think our ID number does stay. No?
21
                   MR. FOX: I've been playing with the Qualified
22
        Voter File more than anybody else over time. I'll say that
23
        and challenge anybody to disagree with that.
24
                   MR. THOMAS: Okay. Well, that's a point.
25
                   MR. FOX: It certainly changes whenever somebody
                                Page 28
```



```
1
        moves from one municipality to another and that's, I think,
2
        a recognition of the fact that by law people remain
3
        registered in a municipality, not registered with the state
4
        as a whole.
5
                   MR. THOMAS: Right; right.
6
                   MR. FOX: When names change, I've seen some cases
7
        where the ID number is maintained, some when it's not. And
8
        my guess is that has to do with how the local clerk
        processes such a change.
10
                   MR. THOMAS: Well, it's something we should sit
11
        down with you on -- we'd be happy to do that -- and get your
12
        expertise, as well.
13
                   MR. FOX: Okay. Be glad to do that. Thank you
14
        very much.
15
                   MS. BRADSHAW: Any other questions? Thank you.
16
                   MR. FOX: Thank you.
17
                   MS. PERO: Thanks.
18
                   MS. BRADSHAW: Luanne, are you ready?
19
                   REPORTER: Please state your full name and spell
20
        it.
21
                   MS. KOZMA: Luanne Kozma, L-u-a-n-n-e K-o-z-m-a.
22
        Okay. Thanks. And I'm not a lawyer.
23
                   MS. BRADSHAW: No worries, it's just public
24
        comment.
25
                   MS. KOZMA: But I might talk about a couple laws.
                                 Page 29
```



2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

I had a prepared thing to say, but I think I'm just going to mention a few things here because some of it was already covered, and I didn't know about Mr. Thomas's new concept for what he's thinking of.

Last April this Board approved our petition as to form, as you know, and our signature gathering began with the law that's on the books now with the 180-day limitation with the rebuttable presumption. So even though we didn't, you know, understand all the ins and outs that we do now of the rebuttable presumption part, we certainly have enjoyed this law all this time for this whole year. And one of the reasons for your -- the Board's preapproval of the petition as to form in the first place is that you want -- I believe Ms. Matuzak might have mentioned this, that you want these petitions to be accurate and valid petitions so that when people are going out gathering signatures and signing petitions, that they know that this is going to be a real petition that's going to, you know, meet approval. And the whole idea there is that their signatures will count, you know, that it will not have all been for nought. And you've had this rebuttal procedure on the books for the past 30 years, but it was never improved upon or explained to the public or to ballot initiative proponents for all those years. It's not on your website. It's only in the format of minutes of a meeting that was held 30 years ago. So a





2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

lot could have been done to -- at any time since 1986 to improve the transparency of this process and facilitate it, you know, better. I guess it's great that you're doing it now. However, you know, here we figured it out now, the simple truth that the QVF does provide the information that's needed and that's all that's really been needed since 1998 when the QVF was established.

But I'd like to make the big point about what we've called the two-timer policy, the concept that was adopted by the Board back in 1986 when it wasn't just a matter of proving that the signer was valid at the time that they signed but also during this period prior to submittal, 180 days prior to submittal, so that a person needs to basically prove themselves valid twice. That is nowhere in the law. It is not in the constitution. And this Board has the policy to not make that same error again, but to fix it, and to, you know, correct that today or the next time you meet, so that we don't have this onerous process of trying to deal with, you know, different addresses. Are they -were they a voter at this address in January QVF? Were they at this address, at the address when they signed? The only thing that matters is the date that they signed. what they see on the petition sheet when they sign it. The petition sheet does not say, "Now, you've got to promise to still be a registered voter for your signature to count, you





know, later on in January." That's not there. So we can't put that stuff into the law. It's not something that the Board of Canvassers should be able to do. And I urge you to strike that from the new policy. It sure would make life easier for everyone on the staff, and all of the paperwork that's been, you know, suggested to attach to these petitions would be unnecessary. It would just be a matter of a simple verification, knowing full well that there are some problems, as Alan Fox just pointed out, with minor -- I would imagine it would be very few times that someone would not be found and it would not be completely accurate.

So we -- as Jeff Hank mentioned, we only have two months before the ultimate deadline but we, you know, might want to submit earlier. So we really do need to have the procedure changed in a timely fashion. We can't be dealing with something last minute like that. It's not fair to the voters who signed our petitions to enact an onerous policy that piles on these impossible burdens. I really do think it would be seen to outsiders, you know, even beyond the state that it would be -- to keep that two-timer policy in place with all these additional paperwork requirements, that it would just be another attempt to make it irrebuttable. And we don't want that to happen either. We want this to go forward and put this before the voters, and I think they're expecting that to happen.



1	It's up to us, of course, to collect enough
2	signatures, which we're, you know, really working hard to
3	do. And this is not an easy process, as a person who is
4	just an average person. We've put a lot of our own money
5	into it. We're not getting, you know, huge donors from
6	afar, from out-of-state, pushing this process. This is a
7	real grassroots campaign by Michiganders who truly want to
8	see this on the ballot. And I think every campaign uses
9	this QVF database to validate signatures. We've been using
10	it to do this all along. And I guess I won't belabor that
11	point, because I think that's already been talked about.
12	I'm really pleased that we've hired Practical Political
13	Consulting, which I know you're very familiar with them.
14	They do a great job.
15	So I really do think that our old signatures will
16	be rebutted accurately and fairly and there's really no
17	reason to impede that process. So thank you very much.
18	MS. BRADSHAW: Any questions from the Board?
19	Thank you very much.
20	MS. KOZMA: Okay.
21	MS. BRADSHAW: Before I close out this agenda
22	item, is there anyone else who wishes to address the Board
23	on agenda item number five? Hearing none, is there any
24	other further business to be properly presented to this
25	Board today?
	Page 33



```
1
                   MR. THOMAS: Do you want to say something about
2
        the Davis case?
3
                   MS. BARTON: Sure.
4
                   MR. THOMAS: Denise is going to say something
        about the Davis case.
                   MS. BRADSHAW: Okay.
6
                   MS. BARTON: Good afternoon, Madam Chair and
8
        members of the Board. My name is Denise Barton. I'm
9
        representing the Board here, and I'm from the Attorney
        General's office. I just wanted to give you a status update
10
11
        on the litigation that was filed by Robert Davis against the
12
        Board of State Canvassers. Actually the reason why I was in
13
        the hallway was because there was a phone conference on the
14
         latest status, which there will be a hearing on March 30th
         in front of Federal Judge Levy, at which time Mr. Davis has
15
16
        sued the Board of State Canvassers and also the Wayne County
17
        Election Commission in connection with the constitutionality
18
         of the recall statute -- Michigan's recall statute.
19
                   Mr. Davis' attorney wanted to have the Board
20
        members testify at that hearing and the judge, at least on
21
        the record, indicated that she's not inclined to order that
22
        at this time, and that Mr. Thomas actually will be there.
23
        And so we have filed our response. We have filed our
        response, and we will keep you posted. Thank you.
25
                   MS. BRADSHAW: Thank you very much. Is there any
                                 Page 34
```



```
1
         other business? I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
                    MR. SHINKLE: So moved.
 2
 3
                    MS. BRADSHAW: We are adjourned. Thank you very
 4
        much.
                    (Meeting concluded at 3:47 p.m.)
 5
                                   -0-0-0-
7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                  Page 35
```



-
A
A-l-a-n 26:18
able 8:3 18:25 32:3
absent 7:10,13
22:21
absentee 8:5
acceptable 22:5
accepted 24:17
access 27:25
accurate 30:15
32:11
accurately 33:16
Act 4:10
action 18:20 21:3
activity 12:5 16:8
16:10
ADAM 1:17
add 12:23
additional 22:23
32:21
address 17:6 31:20
31:21,21 33:22
addresses 23:5
31:19
adjourn 35:1
adjourned 35:3
Adjournment 2:25
adopted 31:10
afar 33:6
affect 8:10
affidavit 2:3 18:18
21:6,6,19,19,22
21:25 22:3
affidavits 22:2 27:5
afternoon 4:4 18:11
34:7
agenda 3:3 4:19 5:5
13:2 15:2,21 18:4
33:21,23
ago 22:16,24 30:25
ahead 6:12
Alan 2:21 18:8
26:15,18 32:9
allayed 9:20
Allegan 2:11 3:4
14:8,14
allocation 7:5 9:8
allow 17:9,19
ambiguities 28:9
amendment 2:17
15:25
amount 19:5

anybody 21:4 23:18
28:22,23
apart 25:13
Appeals 24:11
25:19,20,21
APPEARANCES
1:15
applications 8:5
applied 23:14 24:23
applies 25:10
apply 20:12 25:10
approaching 18:21
20:24
approval 2:4 4:24
30:18
approve 4:14,17
approved 30:5
approximately 24:9
April 30:5
area 6:6
areas 6:4
argue 26:11
argument 23:22
Article 19:3 23:10
23:11 25:11,12,18
26:2
asked 18:16 21:18 23:18
asking 6:16 16:25
assistance 9:7
Assistants 1:17
attach 32:6
attached 6:22,23
12:11,16 attempt 32:22
attempt 32.22 attorney 1:17 23:2
23:12,15 34:9,19
authority 20:8,16 AV 8:8 9:18 10:21
available 27:10,11
28:3,7
average 33:4 avoid 9:13 28:10
aware 9:21
aye 4:25 5:1,2,3
12:18,19,20,21
14:1,2,3,4,20,21
14:22,23 15:16,17
15:18,19
10.10,17
В
B 22:15
back 8:6 16:9 17:23
1

19:18 20:5 23:20
31:10
ballot 6:13 9:15,20
10:12 11:6,14,14
28:12 30:23 33:8
hallots 5:22 24 6:4 5
C.5. 0.17.25.25
ballots 5:22,24 6:4,5 6:5,9,17,25,25
7:25 8:1.5 9:14
10:11 11:1,3
bar 17:9
Barton 1:16 34:3,7
34:8
baseline 5:24
basically 10:19
31:14
batch 17:16
began 30:6
beginning 26:9
beginning 20.9
begun 7:15
behalf 18:15
belabor 33:10
believe 26:7 30:13
Defleve 20.7 30.13
Bernie 7:2
best 6:12 27:17 28:6
better 31:3
beyond 6:18 12:8
32:19
big 31:8
biggest 11:15
bill 16:4,10 24:7,9
24:14
bind 23:16
bindingness 22:24
birth 17:5 27:25
28:1
bit 6:17 12:5
Blanchard 5:17,18
5:19
Boal 2:20 22:11,14
22:15 25:8
board 1:5,10,11
22:15 25:8 board 1:5,10,11 2:16,23 4:6,10
7:17 9:19 10:6,10
7.17 9.19 10.0,10
10:23 12:11,16
13:18,21,23 14:11
14:14 15:7,10,13
17:18 18:2,11
17.10 10.2,11
21:5 23:7,25
24:19 28:19 30:5
21:5 23:7,25 24:19 28:19 30:5 31:10,15 32:3
33:18,22,25 34:8
34:9,12,16,19
Board's 13:9 15:23

20.12	١,
30:12	(
boards 7:10,13 8:5	(
8:9,12 9:18 10:19	(
10:21	١
Bolton 1:22	
books 30:7,21	۱,
	ľ
born 27:22	
Bottle 24:6,9,14	
boy 22:15	
BRADSHAW 1:10	
4:4,11,17,22 5:3	(
10:15 11:8 12:15	۱,
12:21 13:1,23	
14:4,17,23,24	١,
	(
15:13,19 18:2,10	9
21:5 22:8,10	(
24:19 26:6,15,19	(
28:19 29:15,18,23	
33:18,21 34:6,25	(
35:3	6
break 11:19 16:9	١
break-out 7:18,20	(
	١`
bring 17:10	
Building 1:6	(
burdens 32:18	
Bureau 18:16 19:12	(
20:16 28:17	(
business 2:23 33:24	(
35:1	
С	
C 1:16	١,
call 4:5 22:18	(
Call 4.3 22.16	
called 20:5 23:1	(
24:11 31:9	
calls 11:12	(
campaign 20:12	(
33:7,8	
campaigns 18:24	6
19:1	١
campus 11:17,22	Ι`
candidate 14:8	١,
	(
candidates 8:24	(
9:15	(
canvass 2:6,9,11,13	l
3:4 5:5 6:23 13:10	6
19:12	۱,
canvassed 7:18	(
24:24	(
Canvassers 1:5 4:6	
7:17 13:21 14:14	(
1.11 13.21 14.14	1

15:10 32:3 34:12

34:16

Canvassers' 2:16
Capitol 1:6
care 22:18
carries 5:4 12:22
14:5,24 15:20
case 20:5,11 23:1,3
23:6,8,12 24:11
24:22,24 25:5,14
25:19,24 26:4,8
34:2,5
cases 29:6
•
cast 6:25 7:1 14:9
15:5
caucuses 7:6
CER 1:22
certain 5:8
certainly 28:4,10,15
28:25 30:10
certainty 28:16
certificate 21:19,23
certification 2:6 5:5
certified 1:22 13:20
14:13 15:10
certify 12:11,16
13:10
Chair 1:10,10 34:7
challenge 28:23
change 8:17 9:25
10:1,13 16:12
17:18,18 19:20,23
20:8 29:6,9
changed 27:13
32:15
changes 19:1 27:10
28:25
checks 17:15
Chris 8:14 22:16,16
23:19 24:20
Chris' 25:9
CHRISTOPHER
1:11
cite 24:22
cited 23:3 26:4
city 7:9,11,17 10:16
17:6
clean 27:19
clear 21:25 25:6
clerk 21:19,20 29:8
clerks 27:5
close 8:11,22 10:4
33:21
closely 7:16
Club 23:1.3.5 25:14





ı		
	25:17 26:4	Consu
	codes 17:10	26:5
	collect 16:13 33:1	contai
	collected 24:9,12,13	16:2
	collection 25:25	CON
	collectors 24:6	conte
	COLLEEN 1:11	contin
	come 13:1 17:23	contin
	23:21 24:8	18:2
	comfortable 26:25	contin
	coming 9:7 10:13	contin
	19:25	contro
	commend 26:3	conve
	comment 2:16 18:4	conve
	26:21 29:24	copies
	comments 15:23	Corpo
	16:11,25 22:19	corre
	26:19	28:9
	Commission 16:7	corre
	34:17	corres
	committee 16:7 24:2	count
	24:3,6	count
	common 27:21	count
	comparison 28:1	count
	compelling 25:23	count
	compiled 6:21	8:5,
	complete 16:17	10:é
	completely 32:11	10:2
	complicated 17:13	count
	complimented	7:16
	25:22	14:8
	concept 30:3 31:9	17:6
	concluded 35:5	couple
	conclusion 25:23	28:1
	concurring 25:21	course
	conference 34:13	court
	confused 27:22	24:1
	confusion 19:9	25:2
	congressional 7:4	cover
	7:11,14,19 8:8	cover
	10:22	create
	connection 34:17	curre
	consider 22:3 23:22	CVF
	consideration 2:4	
	4:12	
	constitution 2:18	dark
	16:1 19:4,7,18,20	datab
	19:23 31:15	datab date 1
	constitutional 20:9	31:2
	23:8,14,17	dates
	constitutionality	David
	23:9 34:17	Davis
	Consulting 33:13	Davis
	Consuming 33.13	Dav13

Consumers 23:6,8 26:5
contained 13:8
16:22 CONTENTS 2:1
context 19:17,24
continuation 15:22
continue 17:22
18:25
continues 23:16
continuing 22:24
controversy 25:9 conventions 7:6,7
convert 17:9
copies 6:12,14
Corporation 1:23
correct 24:2,8,17
28:9 31:17
correctly 4:8
corresponds 9:19
count 30:19 31:25
counted 6:15 counter 23:22
counties 3:4 6:21
counting 7:10,13
8:5,8,12 9:18,19
10:6,8,10,19,21
10:23
county 2:9,11,13
7:16 13:8,15,21
14:8,14 15:4,10
17:6 34:16
couple 6:4 18:17 28:15 29:25
course 33:1
court 19:22 23:1
24:10 25:18,20,21
25:23
cover 18:8
covered 30:3
creates 6:17
current 21:12
CVF 20:20

D
dark 26:13
database 33:9
date 16:20 17:7
31:22
dates 27:9,25
David 13:15
Davis 34:2,5,11,15
Davis' 34:19

day 6:10 11:23
23:13
Daylight 25:15 26:11
days 2:18 16:2 19:5
19:12,13 25:2
26:9 31:13
dead 20:2
deadline 20:25 32:13
deal 17:19 28:12
31:19
dealing 28:11 32:15
decide 23:15
defer 18:7 Deland 20:6
delegate 8:10
delegates 7:5,7 9:8
delegation 11:18
Democrat 8:2 9:6
Democratic 5:24 6:2 6:5,25
Democrats 5:24
Denise 1:16 34:4,8
DEPARTMENT
1:2
Detroit 7:9,11,17 9:3,9,10 10:16
difference 9:15 11:6
19:15
different 9:23 11:3
20:3 25:12 27:5,9
27:18,19 31:19 differently 11:4
19:11
difficulty 5:23
digested 16:10
Director 1:11 27:2
directs 20:18 disagree 23:19
28:23
disagrees 23:18
discretion 20:21
discusses 20:6
discussion 2:16 12:18 15:22 16:7
dissenting 25:20
district 2:10,12,14
district 2:10,12,14 7:4 8:8,13,13
10:22 13:14,20,25 14:6,7,13,19 15:3
14:6,7,13,19 15:3 15:9,15
13.7,13

13:4,6,7,12 documentation 21:14 doing 6:6 31:3 Donald 7:1 donors 33:5 driver's 17:3
E
E-l-l-i-s 22:14
earlier 32:14
early 19:18 21:1
easier 32:5
easily 27:22
easy 11:14 20:1
33:3
effect 8:14 19:8,
19:25
eight 25:3
either 32:23
elect 20:7
elected 13:16 15
election 2:10,12
3:4 6:10 7:3 1
13:7,16,19,24
14:9,12,18 15 15:14 25:16 3
elections 1:11,12
13:3 16:7 24:1
electronic 1:22
16:13,16
Ellis 2:20 22:10
22:18
enact 32:17
enacted 24:16 2
encouraged 27: Engler 5:16,17,
5:19
enjoyed 30:10

earlier 32:14	extensive 6:7
early 19:18 21:11	
easier 32:5	F
easily 27:22	F-o-x 26:18
easy 11:14 20:16	facilitate 31:2
33:3	fact 22:1 27:24 2
effect 8:14 19:8,15	factual 24:1
19:25	fair 32:16
eight 25:3	fairly 8:22 27:21
either 32:23	33:16
elect 20:7	familiar 33:13
elected 13:16 15:4	fashion 32:15
election 2:10,12,14	faster 21:1
3:4 6:10 7:3 12:9	favor 4:23 12:18
13:7,16,19,24	14:1,20 15:16
14:9,12,18 15:8,8	feasible 17:17
15:14 25:16 34:17	Federal 34:15
elections 1:11,12 2:8	feel 26:25
13:3 16:7 24:1,3,5	feeling 24:25
electronic 1:22	fifth 15:21
16:13,16	figure 27:17
Ellis 2:20 22:10,14	figured 31:4
22:18	file 8:4 16:17,23
enact 32:17	27:4,8,11,17,24
enacted 24:16 25:12	27:25 28:3,22
encouraged 27:2	filed 2:19 8:5 16:
Engler 5:16,17,18	26:9 34:11,23,2
5:19	fill 15:2
enjoyed 30:10	filled 13:6
entertain 35:1	final 20:25
entirely 11:22	finally 23:24 27:
equal 5:13	find 6:7
equipment 6:9	Firm 1:23
10:12	first 16:3 17:20
ERIK 1:16	21:17 22:14,17
error 24:1,4,18	25:8 27:2 30:1:
31:16	five 25:13 33:23
essentially 17:14	fix 31:16
established 31:7	flat 16:5
estimate 8:25	following 2:8
event 9:5	foolproof 28:14
exact 25:22	forget 25:22
exactly 16:18 28:16	form 18:18 21:8

example 17:2
examples 24:15
Excel 17:2
Exhibit 3:2,3
Exhibits 3:1 4:3
expect 16:9
expectation 28:7
expecting 32:25
expertise 29:12
explained 30:22
extensive 6:7

extensive 6:7
F
F-o-x 26:18
facilitate 31:2
fact 22:1 27:24 29:2
factual 24:1
fair 32:16
fairly 8:22 27:21
33:16
familiar 33:13
fashion 32:15
faster 21:1
favor 4:23 12:18
14:1,20 15:16
feasible 17:17
Federal 34:15
feel 26:25
feeling 24:25
fifth 15:21
figure 27:17
figured 31:4
file 8:4 16:17,23
27:4,8,11,17,24
27:25 28:3,22
filed 2:19 8:5 16:2
26:9 34:11,23,23 fill 15:2
filled 13:6
final 20:25
finally 23:24 27:1
find 6:7
Firm 1:23
first 16:3 17:20
21:17 22:14,17
25:8 27:2 30:13
five 25:13 33:23
fix 31:16
flat 16:5
following 2:8
foolproof 28:14
forget 25:22
form 10:10 21:0



districts 7:12,14,19

30:16,17,18 34:4

Golf 23:1,3,5 25:14

25:17 26:4

hopefully 18:15

hour 6:16

House 16:6

30:6,13	good 4:4 5:21,22 6:2	Howell 15:4	JEANNETTE 1:10	left 9:17,25
format 17:2 21:10	6:2,6 8:25 16:7	huge 28:13 33:5	Jeff 22:23 32:12	legislation 2:18 16:1
30:24	18:11 24:25,25		Jeffrey 2:20 18:10	legislative 10:13
forms 9:16 10:12	25:2,3 34:7	I	18:14	24:22,23 25:11
22:1	governor 20:7	ID 17:3,4,9 27:13,15	job 33:14	26:10
forth 16:5	governor's 22:25	27:19 28:20 29:7	John 23:12,20	legislature 16:8 19:1
forward 17:24,25	24:7	idea 30:19	JOHNSON 1:3	19:4,20 20:8
18:15,17 23:21	Granholm 5:16	II 19:3 23:11 25:12	judge 25:20 34:15	length 19:19
28:15 32:24	grassroots 33:7	25:18 26:2	34:20	Let's 7:25
found 7:14 32:11	great 5:7 19:9 31:3	imagine 32:10	judges 25:19	letter 21:9 23:13
four 9:22 13:2 25:12	33:14	impede 33:17	June 20:24	letters 23:4
four-year 19:7,10	Griffin 23:20	important 23:1,5	jurisdiction 20:3	Levy 34:15
19:25 20:1,4	GRILL 1:16	27:8,23		license 17:3
22:25	groups 10:8	impossible 32:18	K	life 32:4
Fox 2:21 18:8 26:15	gubernatorial 5:13	improve 31:2	K 1:22	limit 19:4
26:18,18,20,23	guess 8:9 9:13 22:22	improved 30:22	K-o-z-m-a 29:21	limitation 30:7
27:1 28:21,25	24:21,24 29:8	in-house 7:22	keep 4:5 32:20	line 17:8 20:23,23
29:6,13,16 32:9	31:3 33:10	inclined 34:21	34:24	24:11
FRACASSI 1:17		included 7:19	Kelly 26:4	literature 24:5
Frank 26:4	H	including 23:19,20	Kent 2:9 3:4 13:14	litigation 34:11
frankly 20:13	H-a-n-k 18:14	inconsistent 27:15	13:21	little 6:6,17 10:18
front 34:15	hallway 34:13	indicated 34:21	kicking 17:16	11:11 17:13,19
full 17:5 18:12	Hamilton 20:6	individual 15:4	kind 4:4 8:20 21:8	18:1 28:2
22:12 26:16 27:8	hand 6:15	industry 23:21	know 9:2 10:11,19	load 16:12
27:25 29:19 32:8	handle 6:8	information 27:19	11:12 16:18,19,20	local 29:8
further 2:23 12:18	Hank 2:20 18:10,11	31:5	20:10,11,23 21:1	located 11:22 14:7
16:10 33:24	18:14,14 21:8,9	initial 25:8	22:4 23:23 26:23	15:3
	21:24 22:9,23	initially 10:2 17:7	28:10 30:3,6,9,17	log 20:22
G	32:12	17:13,20	30:18,20 31:3,4	look 8:3 16:12 17:22
Gary 15:4	happen 32:23,25	initiate 2:18	31:17,19 32:1,6	18:19 20:5 28:14
gas 23:21	happens 13:9	initiates 16:1	32:13,19 33:2,5	looked 16:11
gathering 30:6,16	happy 11:25 21:3	initiative 24:22,23	33:13	looking 6:18 18:15
General 1:17 23:2	29:11	30:23	knowing 32:8	27:3 28:5
General's 23:15	hard 5:23 33:2	initiatives 23:6,8,14	knows 18:19,20	looks 22:19
34:10	heard 11:11 22:16	23:16,17 26:9	Kozma 2:21 18:6,7	lopsided 8:21
getter 7:1	hearing 5:3 14:1	ins 30:9	29:21,21,25 33:20	lot 6:10 7:24 20:10
getting 18:16 25:2,3	15:16 16:6 33:23	intelligent 20:14		20:11 31:1 33:4
26:13 33:5	34:14,20	interestingly 5:8	L	lots 27:9
give 7:20,23 9:6	held 2:6,8 4:13,18	international 11:18	L-u-a-n-n-e 29:21	lower 5:11
20:16,20 34:10	4:24 5:6 13:3	involved 25:9,24	LaGrand 13:15	Luanne 2:21 18:6,7
given 12:12,17	14:18 25:15 30:25	irrebuttable 32:22	laid 9:14	29:18,21
giving 28:15	help 16:15	issue 7:9,9 11:8	Lansing 1:6,18 4:1	
glad 29:13	high 9:3,3	28:11	Lapeer 2:13 3:4	M
go 5:4 6:12,13 7:6	highest 5:11 7:1,3	issues 5:21 7:24	15:3,10	machines 12:1
8:3 13:12 18:3	hired 33:12	11:9	large 8:9 10:10	Madam 34:7
20:4 22:5 27:4	historically 19:24	item 4:19 5:4 13:2	latest 34:14	maintained 29:7
32:23	history 16:17,22	15:21 18:4 33:22	law 20:11,18 21:12	majority 25:21
going 7:22,24 9:6	25:11 27:9,11	33:23	21:25 25:16 29:2	MALERMAN 1:12
18:5,9 19:18,22	hold 6:11		30:7,11 31:15	13:5 14:6 15:1
24:2 28:13 30:1	hope 21:2	J	32:2	March 1:7 2:6,8 4:2
20.17 10 24.4	L	I I a f f w a v. 10.14	Larry 20.25	4.12 15 10 25 5.6



4:13,15,18,25 5:6

13:19,21,24 14:12

12:12,17 13:3,7

laws 29:25

lay 20:23

lawyer 29:22



J-e-f-f-r-e-y 18:14

January 23:4 31:20

32:1

14:14,18 15:8,11
15:14 34:14
marked 3:2,3 4:3
Mary 14:8
matter 31:11 32:7
matters 31:22
Matuzak 30:14
MCL 19:1
mean 8:18 9:25 10:4
11:12 20:19 21:7
21:18,21
meaning 24:7
means 20:2,21
meet 30:18 31:18
meeting 1:5 2:3,4
4:5,7,9,13,15,18
4:24 30:25 35:5
Meetings 4:10
MELISSA 1:12
member 1:11 22:21
members 13:5 34:8
34:20
memo 7:8
memorandum
13:12
mention 22:25 30:2
mentioned 30:14
32:12
method 17:12
Michigan 1:1,6,18 4:1 19:4,19 21:25
4:1 19:4,19 21:25
24:10,11
Michigan's 24:6
34:18
Michiganders 33:7
mid 9:4
MILegalize 18:15
million 5:8,12,20
6:24,25
mind 9:9,14
minor 32:9
minute 32:16
minutes 2:4 4:12,14
4:18,24 22:16
30:25
miscommunication
10:5
mistakes 27:20
mixed 8:2 10:20
11:1
mixture 7:13
moment 22:24

money 33:4

months 28:16 32:13 moot 22:22 motion 5:4 12:9,22 13:17 14:4,10,24 15:6,19 35:1 motions 13:11 move 4:14 12:10 13:18 14:11 15:7 17:24,25 18:17 27:23 moved 4:17 12:15 13:23 14:17 15:13 35:2 moves 27:12 29:1 moving 15:21 MSU's 11:17 muddy 28:2 municipality 27:12 27:14 29:1,3 N name 17:5 18:12

22:12,14,15 26:16 27:13,21,22 29:19 34:8 names 22:17 28:7 29:6 necessary 7:5 9:1 23:25 need 6:13 8:7 18:20 18:25 20:14 32:14 **needed** 31:6,6 needs 28:17 31:13 net 8:17,19 Network 1:23 never 5:22 9:10,11 30:22 new 20:12 27:13,14 30:3 32:4 nice 6:19,19 nicer 26:11 **NORM** 1:10 **normally** 9:10 11:3 notarized 21:7 notary 22:1,2,3 noteworthy 6:24 Notice 2:3 4:7,9,23 **nought** 30:20 nowhere 31:14 number 1:23 5:11 5:11 6:8 8:1,18 10:12 13:2 17:3,4

17:8,8,8 18:3

27:13,15,19 28:13 28:20 29:7 33:23 numbers 8:9.11.24 9:12 12:11 17:9 numerous 24:12,15

 $\mathbf{0}$ o-a-122:15 **Obama** 5:25 **obviously** 6:1 7:10 office 2:10.12.14 13:20 14:13 15:9 15:15 34:10 Official 3:4 Oh 4:22 12:25 26:20 oil 23:21 okay 5:7 8:23 10:14 12:10,15 22:10 26:20,23 28:24 29:13,22 33:20 **old** 9:18 19:16 33:15 once 28:16 onerous 31:18 32:17 ones 9:17,25 ongoing 18:24 19:1 20:12 open 4:7,10 operated 25:4,6 operating 18:24 opinion 23:11,14,15 25:19 opinions 25:18,21 opportunity 17:19 19:2 opposed 5:3 12:21 14:4,24 15:19 options 16:14 17:25 order 4:6 18:1 34:21 **Ottawa** 1:18 ought 9:22 out-of-state 33:6 outs 30:9 outside 19:13 20:3,4

p.m 1:7 4:2 35:5 **P41535** 1:16 P64713 1:16

outsiders 32:19

overruled 26:5

overturned 19:22

23:17 25:17

P79546 1:17 packet 14:10 15:6 **PAGE 2:1** paper 17:20 paperwork 32:5,21 part 25:15 30:10 particular 10:22 particularly 27:21 parties 7:23 8:6 9:6 parts 9:4 Party 7:2,24 pass 8:20 passed 16:4 passes 18:23 people 6:11,14,15 11:22 12:1 18:3 19:6,23 22:3,6 27:21 28:8,11 29:2 30:16 percent 5:9,10 9:5 10:20 25:2,3 period 16:5,22 19:7 19:10,25 20:1,4,9 24:7,10,13 25:24 25:24 26:1,1 31:12 periods 17:16 20:7 **PERo** 1:11 4:14,21 5:1,14,17 9:24 10:3,9,14 11:3,6 11:17,21,25 12:3 12:6,10,19 13:22 14:2,16,21 15:7 15:18 21:15 26:13 26:25 29:17 person 17:6 27:18 27:20,23 28:9 31:13 33:3,4 **petition** 2:17,19 15:25 16:2 17:7,8 19:5 30:5,12,18 31:23,24 petitioners 17:1 petitioning 19:19

petitions 19:13

phone 34:13

physical 9:11

piles 32:18

Pirich 23:12

place 21:16 30:13

27:4 28:11 30:15

30:15,17 32:7,17

32:21 places 5:22 6:9 9:11 plaintiffs 23:12 **play** 27:16 playing 28:21 please 18:12 22:12 22:17 26:3,15,16 29:19 pleased 33:12 point 22:23 23:24 25:5 27:7 28:24 31:8 33:11 pointed 32:9 policy 16:12 17:18 18:18 31:9,16 32:4,17,20 political 7:23 33:12 polling 9:11 possible 28:4 posted 4:8,9 34:24 posting 2:3 Power 23:6,8 26:5 Practical 33:12 preapproval 30:12 **precinct** 9:11,19 10:7 precincts 9:12 10:15 11:21 premise 18:24 prepared 13:11 30:1 presented 2:23 33:24 President 5:25 presidential 2:6 5:6 12:13,24 13:11 presumed 19:12 presumption 2:17 15:24 16:6 30:8 30:10 pretty 8:25 11:13,14 18:21 21:13 previous 4:24 primary 2:6 5:6,25 12:13,24 13:11 24:12,15,24 25:25 **prior** 19:24 25:25 31:12,13 **probably** 8:11 17:25 20:19,19 **problem** 10:13 11:1



11:15 22:4

problems 32:9

procedure 2:16



Description of the proper of		I	1	I	1
Tegistration 1:23	21:18 30:21 32:15	QVF 17:9 20:18	21:21 29:3,3	RUTH 1:3	33:2,9,15
Transition Process 16:13,25 Transit Process 16:13,	_	31:5,7,20 33:9			
17:17 20:14 31:2 31:18 33:3,6,17 processes 29.9 progress 18:16 read 26:3 ready 29:18 ready 29:18 ready 29:18 realise 24:8 really 5:25 7:15 readition 17:21 sawing 21:15 sawing 25:15 26:12 signer 31:11 signing 30:16 signer 31:11 signing 30:16 signer 31:11 signing 30:16 signer 31:11 signing 30:16 sawing 25:15 26:12 sawing 25:15 26:12 sawing 21:15 sawing 25:15 26:12 signer 31:11 signing 30:16 signer 31:11 signing 30:16 sawing 25:15 26:12 sawing 21:15 sawing 25:15 26:12 signer 31:11 signing 30:16 sawing 25:15 26:12 sawing 25:15 26:12 sawing 25:15 26:12 sawing 25:15 26:12 signer 31:11 signing 30:16 sawing 25:15 26:12 sawing 25					
331.8 333.6.17 reaction 25.8 red 26.3				1	
processes 29:9				1	
ready 29-18					
real 30:17 33:7 realize 24:8 report 74: 12:8 schedule 4:5 scoping 17:14 size 10:25 schedule 4:5 scoping 17:14 size 10:25 scoping 17:14 size					1
Properly 2:23 27:24 Pastize 24:8 Proportions 30:23 Proportions 30:23 Proportions 7:9 Propose 2:16 15:23 33:2,12,15,16 Proportions 2:17 15:25 Proportion 2:22 Prove 3:1:4 Proportion 2:23 Proportion 2:23 Proportion 2:23 Provedide 16:14 17:1 Provide 16:14 17:1 Provide 16:14 17:1 Provide 19:7 Provi					
28:8 33:24 really 5:25 7:15 REPORTER 18:12 screen 17:11 sitting 11:24 size 10:2,5 signped 4:23 signature 2:17 signature 2:17 signature 2:23 signature 2:17 signature 2:17 signature 2:23 signature 2:17 signature 2:24 size 10:2,5 signature 2:17 signature 2:23 signature 2:17 signature 2:24 signature 2:25 signature 2:17 signature 2:25 signature 2:25 signature 2:27					
Proportion 87 12.8 20.15 21.3 20.12 21.2 20.14 18 22.17 23.12 27.11 23.12 27.7 23.11 25.11 12.18 20.15 21.3 20.12 27.17 20.11 23.11 25.11 21.12 23.11 25.11 21.12 23.11 25.11 23.11 25.11 21.12 23.12 27.7 23.11 25.11 23.11 25.11 23.11 25.11 23.12 27.7 23.12 27.8 23.12 23.12 27.7 23.12 27.8 23.12 23.12 27.7 23.12 27.8 23.12 23.12 27.7 23.12 27.8 23.12 27.7 23.12 27.8 23.12 27.7 23.12 27.8 23.1			1 *		
Proportion 8:7					
proportionate 9:8 proposed 2:16 15:23 33:2,12,15,16 20:22 proposes 2:17 15:25 propriety 22:21 prove 3:114 reasonable 20:17,21 respecting 23:20 see f12,21,218,25 soon 21:3 soon 20:13 soon				1	
Proposed 2:16 15:23 20:22 reason 7:12 9:24 represent 12:11 representative 2:10 26:3 somebody 6:17 9:2 28:25 some 2:19 some				1	
20:22 proposes 2:17 15:25 proposes 2:17 15:25 proposes 2:17 15:25 prove 31:14 provide 16:14 17:1 reasona 30:12 reasona 30:12 provide 17:4 28:17 provided 18:18 31:5 proving 31:11 provision 26:2 public 2:16 15:22 rebutted 16:14 22:11 22:10, 23:14 20:17 representing 23:20 public 2:16 15:22 rebutted 16:14 22:12 rebutted 16:14 22:12 rebutted 16:14 22:13 30:8,10 repreduce 6:9 repreduce 6:9 sense 9:2 sent 21:9				1	
proposes 2:17 15:25 propriety 22:21 prove 3:114 provide 16:14 17:1 reasonable 20:17,21 18:18 31:5 provided 17:4 28:17 provided 17:4 28:17 provides 19:7 provides 19:7 provides 19:1 provision 26:2 public 2:16 15:22 16:11 18:4 24:4 26:19,21 27:11,11 27:16,25 28:4 29:23 30:23 publicly 17:4 propriety 6:28:4 record 18:18 18:17 propriety 6:29 13:17 recommended 12:9 pushing 33:6 put 19:9 19:6 32:2,24 33:17 record 13:9,18,24 putting 6:7 8:15 10:8 16:19					
propriety 22:21 prove 31:14 reasons 30:12 reasons 30:12 retbut 19:2,14 20:17 20:21 sit 31:5 provided 17:4 28:17 proving 31:11 provision 26:2 public 2:16 15:22 public 2:16 15:22 public 2:16 15:22 rebuttal 30:21 rebutted 16:14 rebutting 2:17 rebuttal 30:21 rebutted 16:14 reproduce 6:9 publicy 17:4 recall 34:18,18 received 6:20 8:6 record 6:20 8:6 record 13:9,18,24 putting 6:7 8:15 10:81 6:19					
reasonable 20:17,21					
revide					II .
Tebut 19:2,14 20:17				1	
provided 17:4 28:17 provides 19:7 proving 31:11 provision 26:2 public 2:16 15:22 16:11 18:4 24:4 26:19,21 27:11,11 27:16,25 28:4 15:24 20:14 recold 16:24 21:8,18 publicly 17:4 propose 33:6 purposes 7:5 pushing 33:6 put 19:9 19:6 32:2,24 33:4 precise 16:9 13:17 recommended 12:9 put 19:9 19:6 32:2,24 33:4 recold 16:19 21:20,22 27:18 33:42 record 13:9,18,24 record 13:9,18,24 record 13:9,18,24 record 16:22 14:19 record 16:22 14:19 record 16:23 19:11 27:3 27:8,17 28:21 qualifying 28:11 qualifying 28:11 question 8:17 question		rebut 19:2,14 20:17			
proving 31:11 30:8,10 reproduce 6:9 sense 9:2 speak 18:4 22:18 speaks 18:3 public 2:16 15:22 public 2:16 15:22 rebutted 16:14 7:2,24 8:2 9:6 sent 21:9 speaks 18:3 speaks 18:4 speaks 18:3 speaks 18:3 speaks 18:3 speaks 18:4 speaks 18:4 speaks 18:4 speaks 18:3 speaks 18:4 speaks 18:4 speaks 18:4 speaks 18:3 speaks 18:4 speaks 18:4 </td <td>provided 17:4 28:17</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>select 7:7</td> <td>sorts 22:1 28:4,9</td>	provided 17:4 28:17			select 7:7	sorts 22:1 28:4,9
provision 26:2 public 2:16 15:22 public 2:16 15:22 public 2:16 15:22 rebutted 16:14 33:16 rebuttal 30:21 rebutted 16:14 7:2,24 8:2 9:6 request 17:23 10:6,11 13:11 27:16,25 28:4 15:24 20:14 recall 34:18,18 received 6:20 8:6 recoind 29:2 recommended 12:9 publing 33:6 publicly 17:4 purposes 7:5 publing 33:6 publicly 16:19 10:8 16:19 13:17 record 13:9,18,24 14:11 15:7,14 21:20,22 27:18 34:21 recorded 1:22 14:19 16:23 19:11 27:3 27:8,17 28:21 qualifying 28:11 questions 14:1,20 25:22 questions 14:1,20 referred 22:16 questions 14:1,20 referred 22:16 15:16 18:2 21:4,5 24:18,19 28:18,19 29:15 33:18 regardless 18:23 regardless 18:25 records 17:20 seeparate 7:18,20 separate 7:18,20 speaks 18:8 special 28:40:10:11 13:11 23:10 requested 17:20 sests 16:10 sets 10:60:10 sets 10:6		rebuttable 16:5	represents 27:18	Senate 16:4,4 19:21	source 8:4
Public 2:16 15:22		30:8,10			speak 18:4 22:18
16:11 18:4 24:4 26:19,21 27:11,11 27:16,25 28:4 29:23 30:23 publicly 17:4 recall 34:18,18 received 6:20 8:6 recognition 29:2 recommended 12:9 13:17 record 13:9,18,24 14:11 15:7,14 21:20,22 27:18 34:21 record's 25:6 recorded 1:22 14:19 21:20,22 27:18 34:21 recorded 1:22 14:19 21:20,22 27:18 34:21 recorded 1:22 14:19 recorded 1:22 recording 2:8 13:2 recording 2:8 13:2 recording 2:16 regardless 18:23 regardless 18:23 registered 5:9 16:18 registered 5:9 16:18 registered 5:9 16:18 registered 5:9 16:18 required 21:12 26:8 required 21:12 26:8 requirements 32:21 requires 21:25 requires 21:25 requires 21:25 requiring 27:4 resounts 32:21 required 21:10 responding 21:10 responding 21:10 response 34:23,24 results 2:6,8,9,11,13 3:24 Shinkle 1:10 4:16 5:2,16 19 8:14,17 response 34:23,24 results 2:6,8,9,11,13 3:124 Shinkle 1:10 4:16 5:2,16 19 8:14,17 response 34:23,24 results 2:6,8,9,11,13 3:24 Shinkle 1:10 4:16 5:2,16 19 8:14,17 response 34:23,24 results 2:6,8,9,11,13 required 21:10 recording 21:10 reforments 32:21 recording 21:10 recording 21:	provision 26:2	rebuttal 30:21	Republican 6:5,25	sent 21:9	speakers 18:3
The control of the	public 2:16 15:22	rebutted 16:14	7:2,24 8:2 9:6	separate 7:18,20	speaks 18:8
27:16,25 28:4 29:23 30:23 publicly 17:4 purposes 7:5 purposes 7:5 pushing 33:6 put 9:9 19:6 32:2,24 33:4 putting 6:7 8:15 10:8 16:19 21:20,22 27:18 34:21 record's 25:6 recorded 1:22 14:19 16:23 19:11 27:3 27:8,17 28:21 qualifying 28:11 question 8:17 questions 14:1,20 15:16 18:2 21:4,5 24:18,19 28:18,19 29:15 33:18 quicker 16:15 quickly 17:10 18:21 registered 5:9 16:18 quicker 16:15 quickly 17:10 18:21 registred 5:9 16:18 quicker 16:15 quickly 17:10 18:21 recirced 22:16 quickly 17:10 18:21 recirced 20:18 require 22:2,3 required 21:12 26:8 requirements 32:21 requires 21:25 state 17:18 pecifically 24:14 specifically 24:14 specifi	16:11 18:4 24:4		request 17:23		special 2:8,10,12,14
Process of the put o					
publicly 17:4 purposes 7:5 pushing 33:6 put 9:9 19:6 32:2,24 33:4 putting 6:7 8:15 10:8 16:19 recoid 13:9,18,24 research 6:6 responding 21:10 responses 34:23,24 results 2:6,8,9,11,13 3:24 resord 13:9,18,24 resord 13:9,18,24 putting 6:7 8:15 14:11 15:7,14 response 34:23,24 results 2:6,8,9,11,13 3:21 response 34:23,24 results 2:6,8,9,11,13 3:21 record 13:9,18,24 results 2:6,8,9,11,13 3:21 response 34:23,24 results 2:6,8,9,11,13 3:21 record 13:9,18,24 results 2:6,8,9,11,13 3:18 14:3,11,22 response 34:23,24 results 2:6,8,9,11,13 3:21 record 13:9,18,24 results 2:6,8,9,11,13 3:4,4 5:5 6:22 record 1:22 14:19 reference 23:9,10 reference 23:9,10 reference 23:9,10 referred 22:16 25:22 recording 2:8 13:2 regard 11:12 15:23 regard 11:12 15:23 regard 11:12 15:23 regarding 2:16 related 16:15 regardless 18:23 regardless 18:23 registered 5:9 16:18 running 11:15 sheet 17:8,10 31:23 31:24 shinkle 1:10 4:16 requires 21:25 sholkle 1:10 4:16 responding 21:10 response 34:23,24 resoults 21:10 response 34:23,24 resoults 2:18,14,17 spelled 22:15 spilit 9:10,12 spil					14:12,18 15:8,14
purposes 7:5 recognition 29:2 requires 21:25 31:24 spell 18:13 22:12 put 9:9 19:6 32:2,24 13:17 record 13:9,18,24 research 6:6 5:2,16,19 8:14,17 spell 2:15 split 9:10,12				1	
pushing 33:6 recommended 12:9 requiring 27:4 Shinkle 1:10 4:16 26:16 29:19 put 9:9 19:6 32:2,24 33:4 record 13:9,18,24 responding 21:10 5:2,16,19 8:14,17 spelled 22:15 split 9:10,12 split					
put 9:9 19:6 32:2,24 13:17 record 13:9,18,24 record 13:9,18,24 5:2,16,19 8:14,17 spelled 22:15 split 9:10,12 <					
record 13:9,18,24 responding 21:10 10:21 12:14,20,25 split 9:10,12 putting 6:7 8:15 10:8 16:19 14:11 15:7,14 responding 21:10 10:21 12:14,20,25 split 9:10,12					
putting 6:7 8:15 14:11 15:7,14 response 34:23,24 13:18 14:3,11,22 splits 9:13 10:8 16:19 34:21 34:21 34:45:5 6:22 35:2 17:1 16:23 19:11 27:3 recorded 1:22 14:19 14:12,18 15:8,14 shot 28:15 staff 1:12 27:3,10,2 27:8,17 28:21 recording 2:8 13:2 retroactively 20:12 show 8:6,11,20,23 28:5 32:5 qualifying 28:11 recount 12:23 revisions 15:23 showing 21:20 stale 2:18 16:1 27:6 questions 8:17 reference 23:9,10 right 10:3 11:7,20 shows 7:20 20:2,15 questions 14:1,20 25:22 27:23 29:5,5 sign 22:6 31:23 standards 20:12 29:15 33:18 regard 11:12 15:23 role 13:9 15:25 19:14,16 started 11:15 quick 22:19 16:10 18:23 rules 4:10 signatures 16:13 2:10,12,14,16 4:6 quickly 17:10 18:21 registered 5:9 16:18 running 11:15 24:9,13 27:6 14:7,13,18 15:2,9					
21:20,22 27:18 34:21 7:21 13:3,9,19,24 7:21 13:21 13 7:21 13:21 7					
Q 34:21 3:4,4 5:5 6:22 35:2 17:1 qualified 8:3 16:16 recorded 1:22 14:19 14:12,18 15:8,14 short 11:14 spring 11:19 16:23 19:11 27:3 Recorder 1:22 retroactively 20:12 show 8:6,11,20,23 28:5 32:5 27:8,17 28:21 recording 2:8 13:2 revision 2:16 8:24 11:25 stale 2:18 16:1 27:6 qualifying 28:11 reference 23:9,10 rejstion 15:23 right 10:3 11:7,20 shows 7:20 staleness 19:14 20: questions 14:1,20 25:22 27:23 29:5,5 sign 22:6 31:23 standards 20:12 15:16 18:2 21:4,5 referring 26:8 Robert 34:11 signature 2:17 start 18:5 29:15 33:18 regarding 2:16 Room 1:6 30:6 31:25 started 11:15 quick 22:19 16:10 18:23 regardless 18:23 run 5:22 11:13 19:2,10 20:25 9:4 13:4,6,20,25 quickly 17:10 18:21 registered 5:9 16:18 running 11:15 24:9,13 27:6 14:7,13,18 15:2,9					
Q record's 25:6 7:21 13:3,9,19,24 short 11:14 spring 11:19 16:23 19:11 27:3 Recorder 1:22 retroactively 20:12 show 8:6,11,20,23 28:5 32:5 27:8,17 28:21 recording 2:8 13:2 revision 2:16 8:24 11:25 stale 2:18 16:1 27:6 qualifying 28:11 recount 12:23 revisions 15:23 shows 7:20 staleness 19:14 20:2 questions 8:17 reference 23:9,10 right 10:3 11:7,20 shows 7:20 standards 20:12 questions 14:1,20 25:22 27:23 29:5,5 sign 22:6 31:23 stands 23:22 15:16 18:2 21:4,5 referring 26:8 Robert 34:11 signature 2:17 start 18:5 29:15 33:18 regard 11:12 15:23 role 13:9 15:25 19:14,16 start 18:5 quick 22:19 16:10 18:23 rules 4:10 signatures 16:13 2:10,12,14,16 4:6 quickly 17:10 18:21 registered 5:9 16:18 running 11:15 24:9,13 27:6 14:7,13,18 15:2,9	10:8 16:19				
qualified 8:3 16:16 recorded 1:22 14:19 14:12,18 15:8,14 retroactively 20:12 recording 2:8 13:2 show 8:6,11,20,23 retroactively 20:12 revision 2:16 staff 1:12 27:3,10,2 28:5 32:5 retroactively 20:12 revision 2:16 show 8:6,11,20,23 revision 2:16 staff 1:12 27:3,10,2 28:5 32:5 retroactively 20:12 revision 2:16 show 8:6,11,20,23 revision 2:16 staff 1:12 27:3,10,2 28:5 32:5 retroactively 20:12 revision 2:16 show 8:6,11,20,23 revision 2:16 staff 1:12 27:3,10,2 28:5 32:5 revision 2:16 stale 2:18 16:1 27:6 revision 2:16 stale 2:18 16:1 27:6 revision 3:16 stale 2:18 16:1 27:6 revision 3:16 stale 2:18 16:1 27:6 revision 3:120 replication 3:16:12 27:3,10,2 stale 2:18 16:1 27:6 revision 3:120 revision 3:120 replication 3:120				1	
16:23 19:11 27:3 Recorder 1:22 retroactively 20:12 show 8:6,11,20,23 28:5 32:5 27:8,17 28:21 recording 2:8 13:2 revision 2:16 8:24 11:25 stale 2:18 16:1 27:6 qualifying 28:11 reference 23:9,10 reference 23:9,10 right 10:3 11:7,20 shows 7:20 staleness 19:14 20:2 questioning 22:21 25:22 27:23 29:5,5 sign 22:6 31:23 standards 20:12 questions 14:1,20 25:22 27:23 29:5,5 sign 22:6 31:23 stands 23:22 15:16 18:2 21:4,5 regard 11:12 15:23 role 13:9 15:25 19:14,16 started 11:15 29:15 33:18 regarding 2:16 Room 1:6 30:6 31:25 state 1:1,2,3,5,6,16 quick 22:19 16:10 18:23 rules 4:10 signatures 16:13 2:10,12,14,16 4:6 quickly 17:10 18:21 registered 5:9 16:18 running 11:15 24:9,13 27:6 14:7,13,18 15:2,9				1	
27:8,17 28:21 recording 2:8 13:2 revision 2:16 8:24 11:25 stale 2:18 16:1 27:6 qualifying 28:11 recount 12:23 reference 23:9,10 right 10:3 11:7,20 showing 21:20 stale 2:18 16:1 27:6 question 8:17 reference 23:9,10 right 10:3 11:7,20 shows 7:20 20:2,15 questions 14:1,20 25:22 27:23 29:5,5 sign 22:6 31:23 standards 20:12 15:16 18:2 21:4,5 referring 26:8 Robert 34:11 signature 2:17 started 11:15 29:15 33:18 regarding 2:16 Room 1:6 30:6 31:25 state 1:1,2,3,5,6,16 quick 22:19 16:10 18:23 rules 4:10 signatures 16:13 2:10,12,14,16 4:6 quickly 17:10 18:21 registered 5:9 16:18 running 11:15 24:9,13 27:6 14:7,13,18 15:2,9					
qualifying 28:11 recount 12:23 revisions 15:23 showing 21:20 staleness 19:14 20:20:2,15 question 8:17 reference 23:9,10 right 10:3 11:7,20 shows 7:20 20:2,15 questions 14:1,20 25:22 27:23 29:5,5 sign 22:6 31:23 standards 20:12 15:16 18:2 21:4,5 referring 26:8 Robert 34:11 sign ature 2:17 start 18:5 24:18,19 28:18,19 regard 11:12 15:23 role 13:9 15:25 19:14,16 started 11:15 29:15 33:18 regarding 2:16 Room 1:6 30:6 31:25 state 1:1,2,3,5,6,16 quick 22:19 16:10 18:23 rules 4:10 signatures 16:13 2:10,12,14,16 4:6 quicker 16:15 regardless 18:23 run 5:22 11:13 19:2,10 20:25 9:4 13:4,6,20,25 quickly 17:10 18:21 registered 5:9 16:18 running 11:15 24:9,13 27:6 14:7,13,18 15:2,9				1	
question 8:17 reference 23:9,10 right 10:3 11:7,20 shows 7:20 20:2,15 questioning 22:21 referred 22:16 13:1 16:24 24:4 side 6:2 standards 20:12 questions 14:1,20 25:22 27:23 29:5,5 Robert 34:11 sign 22:6 31:23 stands 23:22 15:16 18:2 21:4,5 regard 11:12 15:23 role 13:9 15:25 19:14,16 started 11:15 29:15 33:18 regarding 2:16 Room 1:6 30:6 31:25 state 1:1,2,3,5,6,16 quick 22:19 16:10 18:23 rules 4:10 signatures 16:13 2:10,12,14,16 4:6 quicker 16:15 regardless 18:23 run 5:22 11:13 19:2,10 20:25 9:4 13:4,6,20,25 quickly 17:10 18:21 registered 5:9 16:18 running 11:15 24:9,13 27:6 14:7,13,18 15:2,9	,	Ü			II .
questioning 22:21 referred 22:16 13:1 16:24 24:4 side 6:2 standards 20:12 questions 14:1,20 25:22 27:23 29:5,5 sign 22:6 31:23 stands 23:22 15:16 18:2 21:4,5 referring 26:8 Robert 34:11 signature 2:17 start 18:5 24:18,19 28:18,19 regard 11:12 15:23 role 13:9 15:25 19:14,16 started 11:15 29:15 33:18 regarding 2:16 Room 1:6 30:6 31:25 state 1:1,2,3,5,6,16 quick 22:19 16:10 18:23 rules 4:10 signatures 16:13 2:10,12,14,16 4:6 quicker 16:15 regardless 18:23 run 5:22 11:13 19:2,10 20:25 9:4 13:4,6,20,25 quickly 17:10 18:21 registered 5:9 16:18 running 11:15 24:9,13 27:6 14:7,13,18 15:2,9					
questions 14:1,20 25:22 27:23 29:5,5 sign 22:6 31:23 stands 23:22 15:16 18:2 21:4,5 referring 26:8 Robert 34:11 signature 2:17 start 18:5 24:18,19 28:18,19 regard 11:12 15:23 role 13:9 15:25 19:14,16 started 11:15 29:15 33:18 regarding 2:16 Room 1:6 30:6 31:25 state 1:1,2,3,5,6,16 quick 22:19 16:10 18:23 rules 4:10 signatures 16:13 2:10,12,14,16 4:6 quicker 16:15 regardless 18:23 run 5:22 11:13 19:2,10 20:25 9:4 13:4,6,20,25 quickly 17:10 18:21 registered 5:9 16:18 running 11:15 24:9,13 27:6 14:7,13,18 15:2,9				1	
15:16 18:2 21:4,5 referring 26:8 Robert 34:11 signature 2:17 start 18:5 24:18,19 28:18,19 regard 11:12 15:23 role 13:9 15:25 19:14,16 started 11:15 29:15 33:18 regarding 2:16 Room 1:6 30:6 31:25 state 1:1,2,3,5,6,16 quick 22:19 16:10 18:23 rules 4:10 signatures 16:13 2:10,12,14,16 4:6 quicker 16:15 regardless 18:23 run 5:22 11:13 19:2,10 20:25 9:4 13:4,6,20,25 quickly 17:10 18:21 registered 5:9 16:18 running 11:15 24:9,13 27:6 14:7,13,18 15:2,9				1	
24:18,19 28:18,19 regard 11:12 15:23 role 13:9 15:25 19:14,16 started 11:15 29:15 33:18 regarding 2:16 Room 1:6 30:6 31:25 state 1:1,2,3,5,6,16 quick 22:19 16:10 18:23 rules 4:10 signatures 16:13 2:10,12,14,16 4:6 quicker 16:15 regardless 18:23 run 5:22 11:13 19:2,10 20:25 9:4 13:4,6,20,25 quickly 17:10 18:21 registered 5:9 16:18 running 11:15 24:9,13 27:6 14:7,13,18 15:2,9					II .
29:15 33:18 regarding 2:16 Room 1:6 30:6 31:25 state 1:1,2,3,5,6,16 quick 22:19 16:10 18:23 rules 4:10 signatures 16:13 2:10,12,14,16 4:6 quicker 16:15 regardless 18:23 run 5:22 11:13 19:2,10 20:25 9:4 13:4,6,20,25 quickly 17:10 18:21 registered 5:9 16:18 running 11:15 24:9,13 27:6 14:7,13,18 15:2,9				1 8	
quick 22:19 16:10 18:23 rules 4:10 signatures 16:13 2:10,12,14,16 4:6 quicker 16:15 regardless 18:23 run 5:22 11:13 19:2,10 20:25 9:4 13:4,6,20,25 quickly 17:10 18:21 registered 5:9 16:18 running 11:15 24:9,13 27:6 14:7,13,18 15:2,9				1	
quicker 16:15 regardless 18:23 run 5:22 11:13 19:2,10 20:25 9:4 13:4,6,20,25 quickly 17:10 18:21 registered 5:9 16:18 running 11:15 24:9,13 27:6 14:7,13,18 15:2,9					
quickly 17:10 18:21 registered 5:9 16:18 running 11:15 24:9,13 27:6 14:7,13,18 15:2,9					
				i i	
quite 12.5 10.20,21 20.5 17.15 20.12 30.10,17 13.13 10.12 20.5			_		
	quitt 12.5	10.20,21 20.3	17.13	20.12 30.10,17	15.15 16.12 20.5



	1	1	1	1
20:18 22:1,12	29:25	21:21 25:15,24,24	uses 33:8	17:24
24:11 26:16 29:3	talked 33:11	26:1,12 27:15	T 7	we're 6:6 7:22 16:24
29:19 32:20 34:12	talking 21:8	28:22 30:11 31:1	V	17:13 18:15,21
34:16	tell 6:13 26:22	31:11,17 34:15,22	v 20:6 24:11	21:10 33:2,5
stated 24:5,12,14	telling 26:23	timelines 16:19	vacancies 13:6	we've 6:21 7:22,25
statement 2:20,20	tells 9:22	timely 32:15	vacancy 13:14 15:1	13:11 20:21 21:1
2:21,21 12:12,17	ten 25:2 26:9	times 18:17 32:10	vagueness 22:4	24:23 31:9 33:4,9
21:7	term 19:18 22:25	today 4:5 17:22	valid 19:12 30:15	33:12
statewide 6:22	24:7	22:20 31:17 33:25	31:11,14	website 30:24
status 27:10 34:10	terms 17:15 21:13	told 23:13	validate 33:9	week 16:6 21:11
34:14	test 20:1	topics 26:12	verification 16:16	24:2
statute 26:7,8 34:18	testify 34:20	total 8:1,23 15:5	17:11 32:8	well-liked 24:6
34:18	testimony 24:1	totals 7:18	verify 16:15 28:8	went 11:17 19:14
statutory 2:17 15:24	thank 4:11 13:5	township 17:6	verifying 27:5	weren't 7:25
23:6,16	14:6 15:1 18:11	Traditionally 22:2	versa 8:15	West 1:18
stay 27:14 28:20	22:7,8,10 24:19	transparency 31:2	version 27:16	whatsoever 23:11
steadily 20:24	26:5,6 28:17	treats 19:10	vice 1:10 8:15	Whiteford 14:8
stood 25:16	29:13,15,16 33:17	true 12:11,16	void 2:18 16:1	wholly 13:8
stop 10:7 26:23	33:19 34:24,25	truly 33:7	vote 4:21 6:14 7:1	wish 18:3
straightforward	35:3	Trump 7:1	9:2 12:3 16:18	wishes 33:22
21:13	Thanks 18:7 29:17	truth 26:22,24 31:5	19:6,23 22:20,20	Wolverine 23:1,3,5
street 1:18 17:5	29:22	try 19:21	25:3,4	25:14,17 26:4
strike 32:4	they'd 11:3	trying 20:11 31:18	voter 7:10,13 8:4	won 14:8
stuff 32:2	thing 5:22 6:6,12	turn 20:25 21:1	16:17,17,23 17:3	words 25:22
submit 19:3 20:15	30:1 31:22	22:6 28:8	17:4,5 27:4,8,9,12	work 6:18 7:22
32:14	things 30:2	turn-in 18:21	27:13,17 28:22	16:12 22:6
submittal 31:12,13	think 6:24 7:23 8:25	turning 6:15	31:20,25	workable 18:1
submitted 24:16	9:4,9,20 10:1,5	turnout 5:7,8,21 6:2	voter's 27:9	worked 7:16 12:1
submitting 25:25	12:7 17:22 20:5	6:19 9:2	voters 5:9 8:4 32:17	working 16:24 33:2
sued 34:16	20:17,17,20 22:3	twice 31:14	32:24	works 11:14
suggested 21:10	28:14,20 29:1	two 6:16 7:23 11:1	votes 12:12,17	worries 26:19 29:23
32:6	30:1 32:18,24	16:14,15 25:18,20	13:16 14:9 15:5,5	wouldn't 25:3,10
suggestions 16:11	33:8,11,15	32:12	voting 11:18	written 24:1
Support 4:16 12:14	thinking 30:4	two-month 24:10		
13:22 14:16 15:12	third 4:19 5:4 8:22	two-timer 31:9	W	X
supported 4:17	Thomas 1:11 4:9,11	32:20	wait 6:16	XII 23:10 25:11
12:16 13:23 14:17	5:7,15,18,20 8:16	two-year 20:7	waiting 6:11	
15:13	8:19 10:1,4,10,17		want 4:7 6:10 16:3	Y
Supreme 23:1 25:23	10:25 11:5,7,11	U	17:23,25 20:25	yeah 5:15,15,20
sure 4:7 6:11 9:21	11:20,24 12:2,4,7	ultimate 32:13	22:5 27:1 30:13	8:16 10:1,9 11:5
22:9 23:24 32:4	12:23 16:3 20:22	unconstitutional	30:14 32:14,23,23	12:2,4,6,7 21:9,12
34:3	21:9,17 22:16,17	25:15 26:2	33:7 34:1	21:18
swear 18:5	23:19 24:21 26:7	understand 21:25	wanted 12:3 17:21	year 17:5 27:22
system 17:15	26:14,22 28:20,24	30:9	24:17 27:7 34:10	28:1 30:11
~, ~~~ · · · · · ·	29:5,10 34:1,4,22	undo 7:16	34:19	years 9:22 25:13,13
T	Thomas' 27:2	unnecessary 32:7	wasn't 31:10	25:16 30:22,24,25
TABLE 2:1	Thomas's 30:3	unopposed 6:1	way 7:15 8:10 21:2	
tabulation 7:15	thought 26:20	unrebutted 23:23	25:7 27:3,5,20	Z
tabulator 11:9	three 13:5	update 16:3 34:10	28:10	
take 10:12 18:20	thrown 26:10	upheld 23:9	Wayne 7:16 34:16	0
21:3,4 25:4	Thursday 1:7 4:2	urge 32:3	ways 20:19	0-0-0-35:6
takes 4:19	time 4:12 5:23 16:21	use 6:13 7:6 17:2	we'll 4:12 5:4 6:7,18	
talk 18:9 20:10	17:15 18:22 19:5	20:16,20,21	6:23 15:21 16:24	1
20.10	17.13 10.22 17.3	20.10,20,21	0.20 10.21 10.21	
-				



1 2.2 2.2 4.2 6.15	22 2.20	0 2.6 0 12.12 17		
1 2:3 3:2 4:3 6:15	22 2:20	8 2:6,8 12:12,17		
1-800-632-2720	23,229 14:9	13:19,24 14:12		
1:24 1.2 6:25	23,741 15:5	15:8		
	24 1:7 4:2 7:12,20	80th 2:12 13:4 14:7		
1.3 6:24	10:25 11:2	14:13,19		
1.9 5:11	25 10:19	8151 1:23		
100 7:10 10:21	26 2:21	82 15:3		
100-percent 28:5,13	29 2:21	82nd 2:14 13:4 15:9		
100,000 22:6	3	15:15		
10th 14:14		83 6:20		
13 2:10 8:13	3 2:6	8th 5:6 13:3,7 14:18		
13,601 13:16	3:00 1:7 4:2	15:14		
13,907 15:5	3:47 35:5	9		
13th 7:11,14 8:15	30 25:16 30:21,25			
10:23	30th 34:14	9 19:3 23:11 25:12		
14 2:12 8:13	3193 1:22	25:18 26:3		
14,860 14:9	321 8:22			
14th 7:11,14 8:15	33 2:23			
10:23	35 2:25 5:9 9:5			
15 2:14,19 13:21	373-1110 1:19			
1500 27:4	382 8:2			
15th 15:11	39 5:10			
168.472(a) 19:1,8,8	4			
19:25				
18 2:20	4 2:3,4,8 3:2,3			
180 2:18 10:19 16:2	426 1:6			
19:5,11,13 25:2	46 5:10			
31:13	472a 23:9			
180-day 16:5,21	483,000 7:2			
19:15 24:13 30:7	48909 1:18			
190-day 19:16	5			
1908 19:18 25:13				
1913 25:14	5 2:6,16			
1923 20:5	500 10:17,18			
1971 23:3	517 1:19			
1976 5:10	525 1:18			
1986 18:18 23:13	598,000 7:3			
24:24 31:1,10	6			
1988 24:11				
1990 5:13	6 2:23			
1998 31:7	6,744 8:2			
1st 20:24	7			
2	7 2:25			
2 2:4 3:3 4:3 6:16	7,126 8:1			
23:10 25:11	72 5:9,12			
2.5 5:8,20	75th 2:10 13:4,14			
2012 6:1	13:20,25			
2016 1:7 2:6,8 4:2	776 16:4 18:23			
5:6 12:12,17	20:10			
13:19,21,24 14:12	7th 4:13,15,18,25			
14:15 15:8,11	8			
20s 9:4				
1	•	•	•	•