

LOCAL LEAGUE	# participants	CONSENSUS	NOTES
Anne Arundel	10	Retain position	
Baltimore CITY	9	Retain position	unanimous
Baltimore COUNTY	36	Retain position	4 Units
Howard	10	Retain position	2 Units; unanimous
Kent	11	Retain position	
Montgomery	60	Retain position	8 Units. Q.1: yes - 55, no - 5; Q.2.: yes - 9, no - 50; no consensus - 1; Q.3: yes - 39, no - 21
Prince George's	16	Retain position	
St. Mary's	9	Retain position	Q.1: yes - 7, no - 2
Washington	3	Retain position	
Frederick	22	Retain position	

Additional Comments:

Montgomery: Q. 1 -partisan redistricting is problem; would like to see lower percentage variation in district size; preference for multi-member; desireable to maintain political boundaries; different needs in rural versus urbn areas; harder to get rid of incumbent in SMD

Montgomery: Q.2. - some interest in SMD only, if combinded with redistrictng reform; study should show maps of MD districts; opportunity for new blood with SMD as well as less paraty control. SMD may not represent minority positions well.

Montgomery: Q.3 - Consider redistricting thru commission to fairly divide districts; primary election is open can be precarious

Washington: Error in 2012 line of table on page 2.

Kent: One strong opposing opinion in favor of only SMD

Baltimore Co.: Like criteria a-d for all levels sof gov't. Would like more info on why so many states have moved to SMD

Prince George's: Need better map-drawing.

St. Mary's: members interested in how redistricting will be done

Anne Arundel: Advocate for keeping districts close to same size, population wize. Selective use of 1 Or 2 districts is inconsistent; probably need a rule for establishing 1 or 2 districts. Must allow some SMD since that is law. Concerns about manipulation by politicians and accountability. Need combination of configuartions.

Frederick: Add House of Delegates to current position; detailed hisstory was difficult to follow and did not contribute to decision; redistricting issues surpasses this issue