RECORDER'S FORM

LWVMD Development Rights and Responsibilities Agreements (DRRA) Study

DUE DATE: April 21, 2017

DATE			
Name and e-mail fo	or person filling our rep	ort	
Name of Local Leag	ue		
Number of member	ers participating	Number of Units	
Method of consens	sus (meetings, mail in, e	etc.)	
DUE DATE: April 21	., 2017		
EMAIL to margaret	hindman@comcast.net	(410-294-7118 for questions) AND to <u>lwvmd@verizon.net</u>	
MAIL to: LWVMD, 1	111 Cathedral Street, Su	uite 201, Annapolis MD 21401 – Mark envelope DRRA CONSENSUS	
		CONSENSUS QUESTIONS	
Question 1. Should limitations?	the MD Legislature rev	view the DRRA enabling legislation and consider clarifications and possi	ble
YES	NO	NO CONSENSUS	
Comments:			
Question 2. If yes, v	what limitations and cla	arifications should be discussed?	
	tate the expectation th d otherwise be required	at DRRAs require developers to provide public infrastructure contribut	ions
YES	NO	NO CONSENSUS	
B. To limit the u	se of DRRAs to large pr	ojects that advance needed public infrastructure.	
YES	NO	NO CONSENSUS	
C. To limit DRRA	s to Priority Funding Ar	reas, in line with Smart Growth policies.	
YES	NO	NO CONSENSUS	
	ion of DRRAs by makin option for approval of	g the 5-year time limit specified in the enabling legislation mandatory future extensions.	for
YES	NO	NO CONSENSUS	
E. To limit the so	cope of DRRAs by addin	g a provision that DRRAs may not freeze fees.	
YES	NO	NO CONSENSUS	

YES	NO	NO CONSENSUS
Comments:		
Question 3. Should its approval?	the local government	be required to quantify the potential public benefits of every DRRA prior t
YES	NO	NO CONSENSUS
Comments:		
and to develop a pro	ocess for revision/terr	s 5 years, should there be a provision to require periodic review of DRRAs mination of a DRRA because of significant changes in circumstances? (This ent provision allowing for termination only to ensure public health, safety,
and to develop a pro would be language l or welfare.)	ocess for revision/terr	mination of a DRRA because of significant changes in circumstances? (This
and to develop a pro would be language l or welfare.)	ocess for revision/terr broader than the curr	mination of a DRRA because of significant changes in circumstances? (This ent provision allowing for termination only to ensure public health, safety,
and to develop a prowould be language lor welfare.)	ocess for revision/terr broader than the curr	mination of a DRRA because of significant changes in circumstances? (This ent provision allowing for termination only to ensure public health, safety,
and to develop a prowould be language for welfare.) YES Comments: Question 5. If the co	ocess for revision/tern broader than the curr NO urrent DRRA statute is	mination of a DRRA because of significant changes in circumstances? (This ent provision allowing for termination only to ensure public health, safety,
and to develop a prowould be language for welfare.) YES Comments: Question 5. If the coconsideration be given	ocess for revision/tern broader than the curr NO urrent DRRA statute is	mination of a DRRA because of significant changes in circumstances? (This ent provision allowing for termination only to ensure public health, safety, NO CONSENSUS s not modified to address concerns discussed in this Fact Sheet, should
and to develop a prowould be language for welfare.) YES Comments: Question 5. If the coconsideration be given	ocess for revision/tern broader than the curr NO urrent DRRA statute is ven to repealing the D	mination of a DRRA because of significant changes in circumstances? (This ent provision allowing for termination only to ensure public health, safety, NO CONSENSUS s not modified to address concerns discussed in this Fact Sheet, should RRA enabling legislation?
and to develop a prowould be language for welfare.) YES Comments: Question 5. If the consideration be given.	ocess for revision/tern broader than the curr NO urrent DRRA statute is ven to repealing the D	mination of a DRRA because of significant changes in circumstances? (This ent provision allowing for termination only to ensure public health, safety, NO CONSENSUS s not modified to address concerns discussed in this Fact Sheet, should RRA enabling legislation?