## RECORDER'S FORM (updated 10/7/17)

## Fall 2017 LWVMD Primary System Study

Date	Local League						
Name	e & e-mail of person filling out report						
Numl	Number of members participating Number of units (meetings)						
DUE	DATE: March 5, 2018						
Emai	il: to: Barbara Sanders <u>Bsanders@TerpAlum.umd.edu</u> and <u>lwvmd@verizon.net</u> <b>OR</b>						
Mail	to: LWVMD, 111 Cathedral Street, Suite 201, Annapolis, MD 21401  Mark envelope: PRIMARY SYSTEM CONSENSUS						
differ deter not c was	ue members throughout Maryland are involved in this study and may respond to questions tently from your local league. To help the state consensus committee in its task of tentining whether a statewide consensus has been reached, we are asking you to show to show your concurrence or consensus for each question but also to indicate whether it a strong consensus (nearly unanimous) or a weaker consensus (the view of the p, but with several opposed or abstaining), whether a YES or a NO.						
in op pleas	consensus is reached, your discussion leader can ask "Would anyone like to be recorded position to the consensus? Or abstaining from it?" If there is no consensus on a question, se indicate in comments whether the members were split on yes/no, or whether group was undecided, and why.						
CON	ICURRENCE QUESTION (Adopting another LWV group's position as written; no changes)						
Ques	stion 1. Should LWVMD concur with LWVMC election system criteria?						
aj b c 2) W aj b c	<ul> <li>/e believe it is important that election systems:</li> <li>) produce representation that reflects community sentiment,</li> <li>) help increase voter participation by encouraging a broader range of candidates and more civil campaigns, and</li> <li>) are feasible to implement.</li> <li>/e also prefer election systems that:</li> <li>) are easy for the voter to understand, both in terms of how to vote and how their vote is counted,</li> <li>) help ensure minority views and interests have some influence in selecting elected officials,</li> <li>) help raise the level of political campaigns by encouraging a focus on the issues and discouraging negative campaigning,</li> <li>) maximize the power of each voter's vote, and</li> <li>) help promote more openness and responsiveness between candidates and constituents</li> </ul>						
Conc	urrence: YES (Strong_ or Weaker) NO NO CONSENSUS						

Comments:

## **CONSENSUS QUESTIONS**

Note that **questions 2 & 3 are opposites** so that your answers to them cannot be the same.

Question 2. Should LWVMD retain our current state position supporting closed primary elections?							
Consensus: YES (Strong or Weaker) NO NO CONSENSUS Comments:							
Question 3. Should LWVMD support a more open style of primary elections?							
Consensus: YES (Strong or Weaker) NO NO CONSENSUS Comments:							
Even if your local league prefers to retain our current position in support of closed primaries (Yes on Question 2), <b>please continue</b> with the following questions in case the statewide consensus turns out to support an open primary process. Please indicate <b>all</b> styles of open primaries you support or would prefer:							
a. Party-nomination – with each party's winning candidate moving to general ballot							
1) hybrid: open only to party members and non-affiliated registrants							
Consensus: YES (Strong or Weaker) NO NO CONSENSUS Comments:							
2) open: all voters have choice of either/any party's ballot							
Consensus: YES (Strong or Weaker) NO NO CONSENSUS Comments:							
b. Individual Candidate-based – all voters have choice of all candidates with a pre-set number or pre-set vote percentage of candidates moving to general election ballot without regard to partisan affiliation							
1) candidates have partisan label on ballot							
Consensus: YES (Strong or Weaker) NO NO CONSENSUS Comments:							

2) candidates appear without any partisan label								
Consensus: YES Comments:	(Strong_	or Weaker <sub>-</sub>	)	NO	NO CONSENSUS	3		
Question 4. In primary and general elections when there are more than two candidates, should the winner be determined by plurality (largest number of votes) or should a majority be required (50 percent +1)?								
Consensus: PLURALIT NO CONS Comments:			ker _	) MAJORI'	TY (Strong	or Weaker)		
Even if you answered ' Please indicate all way						JORITY."		
a. Run-off elec	ctions							
Consensus: YES Comments:	(Strong_	or Weaker <sub>-</sub>	)	NO	NO CONSENSUS	<b>3</b>		
b. Ranked Cho	oice Vote	(Instant Run	off)	in primary a	and general election	ons		
Consensus: YES Comments:	(Strong_	or Weaker <sub>-</sub>	)	NO	NO CONSENSUS	3		
Question 5. Should all recognized parties (currently including Democratic, Republican, Green & Libertarian) have access to taxpayer funded primary elections?								
Consensus: YES Comments:	(Strong_	or Weaker _	)	NO	NO CONSENSUS	S		

Question 6. Regardless of your response to other questions, should non-principal (currently Libertarian and Green) parties and non-affiliated candidates have easier access to the ballot?							
Consensus: YES (Strong or Weaker) NO NO CONSENSUS Comments:							
Even if you answered "No" above, the statewide consensus may be "Yes." Please indicate all choices you support or would prefer:							
a. Easing party's ability to put candidates on the general election ballots by							
1) reducing the number of signatures required for initial recognition as a party;							
Consensus: YES (Strong or Weaker) NO NO CONSENSUS Comments:							
reducing the number of general election votes required for a party to retain its recognition							
Consensus: YES (Strong or Weaker) NO NO CONSENSUS Comments:							
<ol> <li>permitting a party to retain its status if the number of registered voters affiliated with that party is equal to or greater than the number of signatures required to gain initial recognition;</li> </ol>							
Consensus: YES (Strong or Weaker) NO NO CONSENSUS Comments:							
b. Easing non-affiliated individual candidate's access to the general election ballot by reducing the number of signatures required on petitions.							
Consensus: YES (Strong or Weaker) NO NO CONSENSUS Comments:							
GENERAL COMMENTS (continue on back or a separate page):							