A strong majority want Canada to join the UN Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons, despite pressure it may face from the United States.
Key Findings

1. SUPPORT FOR CANADA RATIFYING THE UN TREATY
   A strong majority of Canadians support (55%) or somewhat support (19%) Canada signing and ratifying the new United Nations Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons. Residents of Atlantic Canada (62%) and British Columbia (61%) are more likely to support this than residents of the Prairies (43%).

2. CANADA JOINING THE UN TREATY DESPITE PRESSURE
   About three in four Canadians agree (51%) or somewhat agree (23%) that Canada should join the UN Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons, despite pressure it may face from the United States not to do so. Residents of the Prairies are less likely to agree with this (39%) than the rest of Canada.

3. ELIMINATING NUCLEAR WEAPONS
   A strong majority of Canadians think the world should work to eliminate nuclear weapons (80%), while just under one in ten think it is acceptable for countries to have nuclear weapons for protection (nine per cent). Residents of Quebec and Atlantic Canada (86% each) are more likely to say nuclear weapons should be eliminated than residents of the Prairies (74%).

4. PREPAREDNESS OF CANADA FOR NUCLEAR WEAPON DETONATION
   More than eight in ten respondents say Canada is not prepared (60%) or somewhat not prepared (25%) to deal with the emergency if nuclear weapons were detonated somewhere in the world. Residents of the Prairies are more likely to say Canada is not prepared (65%) than residents of Quebec (52%).

Conducted by Nanos for The Simons Foundation Canada, The Hiroshima Nagasaki Day Coalition and Le Collectif Échec à la guerre
Key Findings

RESPONDING TO DEVASTATION CAUSED BY NUCLEAR WEAPONS
Over eight in ten Canadians agree (58%) or somewhat agree (28%) that no government, health system or aid organization could respond to the devastation caused by nuclear weapons and they need to be eliminated. Residents of Quebec are more likely to agree with this (62%) than residents of the Prairies (49%).

HOUSE OF COMMONS COMMITTEE HEARINGS
More than three in four Canadians agree (46%) or somewhat agree (30%) that the House of Commons should have committee hearings and debate Canada’s position on nuclear disarmament.

WITHDRAWING INVESTMENTS THAT SUPPORT NUCLEAR WEAPONS
Just over seven in ten Canadians agree (49%) or somewhat agree (22%) that they would withdraw money from any investment or financial institution if they learned it was investing funds in anything related to the development, manufacturing or deployment of nuclear weapons.

IMPACT OF POLITICAL SUPPORT FOR UN TREATY ON FUTURE VOTE
Canadians are five times more likely to say a political party supporting Canada signing and ratifying the UN Treaty would make them more likely (21%) or somewhat more likely (29%) to vote for that political party rather than less likely (seven per cent) or somewhat less likely (three per cent) to do so. Thirty per cent say this would not impact their vote.
Do you support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or oppose Canada both signing and ratifying the new United Nations Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons?

**Net Score**

- **Support**: 55%
- **Somewhat support**: 12%
- **Somewhat oppose**: 9%
- **Oppose**: 5%
- **Unsure**: 19%

Net Score: +60.6

**Source**: Nanos Research, RDD dual-frame hybrid random telephone and online survey, March 27th to 30th, 2021, n=1,007, accurate ±3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.
*The net score is the difference between all positive and negative numbers in a question.

**Support/Somewhat support**

- Atlantic (n=100): 78.2%
- Quebec (n=205): 82.4%
- Ontario (n=344): 72.5%
- Prairies (n=198): 64.8%
- BC (n=160): 76.6%

- Men (n=546): 73.2%
- Women (n=461): 75.4%
- 18 to 34 (n=218): 77.2%
- 35 to 54 (n=374): 70.8%
- 55 plus (n=415): 75.4%
Do you think that Canada is prepared, somewhat prepared, somewhat not prepared or not prepared to deal with the emergency if nuclear weapons were detonated somewhere in the world?

Preparedness of Canada to deal with a nuclear weapon detonation

Net Score

-76.9

Not prepared/Somewhat not prepared

Atlantic (n=100) Quebec (n=205) Ontario (n=344) Prairies (n=198) BC (n=160)

73.6% 87.3% 82.0% 86.4% 90.3%

Men (n=546) Women (n=461) 18 to 34 (n=218) 35 to 54 (n=374) 55 plus (n=415)

84.6% 84.6% 85.6% 86.5% 82.2%

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual-frame hybrid random telephone and online survey, March 27th to 30th, 2021, n=1,007, accurate ±3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.
*The net score is the difference between all positive and negative numbers in a question.
Some people think the world should work to eliminate nuclear weapons because of the risk they present, others think it is acceptable for countries to have nuclear weapons to protect themselves from attack. Which of these two views, if either, best reflects your personal opinion?

- The world should work to eliminate nuclear weapons
- It is acceptable for countries to have nuclear weapons for protection
- Neither reflects my view
- Unsure

**Source:** Nanos Research, RDD dual-frame hybrid random telephone and online survey, March 27th to 30th, 2021, n=1,007, accurate ±3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

*Weighted to the true population proportion.*
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.*
Agreement with statements related to nuclear weapons

- No government, health system or aid organization could respond to the devastation caused by nuclear weapons and humanity’s only hope is through the elimination of nuclear weapons: 58% Agree, 28% Somewhat agree, 6% Somewhat disagree, 5% Disagree, 4% Unsure (Net Score: +74.5)

- Canada should join the UN Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons, even if, as a member of NATO, it might come under pressure from the United States not to do so: 50% Agree, 23% Somewhat agree, 7% Somewhat disagree, 11% Disagree, 9% Unsure (Net Score: +55.5)

- I would withdraw money from any investment or financial institution if I learned it was investing funds in anything related to the development, manufacturing or deployment of nuclear weapons: 49% Agree, 22% Somewhat agree, 8% Somewhat disagree, 13% Disagree, 8% Unsure (Net Score: +49.8)

- The House of Commons should have committee hearings and debate Canada’s position on nuclear disarmament: 46% Agree, 30% Somewhat agree, 7% Somewhat disagree, 9% Disagree, 9% Unsure (Net Score: +60.0)

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.
*The net score is the difference between all positive and negative numbers in a question.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual-frame hybrid random telephone and online survey, March 27th to 30th, 2021, n=1,007, accurate ±3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.
Views on the possibility of responding to the devastation caused by nuclear weapons

Q: Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree with the following: [RANDOMIZE]

No government, health system or aid organization could respond to the devastation caused by nuclear weapons and humanity’s only hope is through the elimination of nuclear weapons

- Agree/Somewhat agree
- Somewhat disagree
- Disagree
- Unsure

Net Score: +74.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Agree (n)</th>
<th>Somewhat agree (n)</th>
<th>Somewhat disagree (n)</th>
<th>Disagree (n)</th>
<th>Unsure (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic (n=100)</td>
<td>91.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec (n=205)</td>
<td>89.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario (n=344)</td>
<td>87.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairies (n=198)</td>
<td>78.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC (n=160)</td>
<td>79.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men (n=546)</td>
<td>81.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (n=461)</td>
<td>89.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 34 (n=218)</td>
<td>82.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 to 54 (n=374)</td>
<td>83.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 plus (n=415)</td>
<td>88.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual-frame hybrid random telephone and online survey, March 27th to 30th, 2021, n=1,007, accurate ±3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.
*The net score is the difference between all positive and negative numbers in a question.
Views on the House of Commons having committee hearings and debating Canada’s position on nuclear disarmament

Q: Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree with the following: [RANDOMIZE]

The House of Commons should have committee hearings and debate Canada’s position on nuclear disarmament

- 9% Agree
- 30% Somewhat agree
- 46% Net Score +60.0
- 7% Somewhat disagree
- 9% Disagree
- 9% Unsure

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual-frame hybrid random telephone and online survey, March 27th to 30th, 2021, n=1,007, accurate ±3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.
Views on Canada joining the UN Treaty despite possible pressure from the US

Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree with the following: [RANDOMIZE]

Canada should join the UN Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons, even if, as a member of NATO, it might come under pressure from the United States not to do so

Net Score +55.5

Agree/Somewhat agree

Atlantic (n=100) Quebec (n=205) Ontario (n=344) Prairies (n=198) BC (n=160)

79.4% 81.3% 71.3% 62.1% 76.0%

Men (n=546) Women (n=461) 18 to 34 (n=218) 35 to 54 (n=374) 55 plus (n=415)

68.8% 77.2% 73.6% 71.5% 74.2%

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.
*The net score is the difference between all positive and negative numbers in a question.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual-frame hybrid random telephone and online survey, March 27th to 30th, 2021, n=1,007, accurate ±3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.
Views on withdrawing money from investments or institutions involved with nuclear weapons

Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree with the following: [RANDOMIZE]

I would withdraw money from any investment or financial institution if I learned it was investing funds in anything related to the development, manufacturing or deployment of nuclear weapons

Net Score +49.8

Agree/Somewhat agree

Atlantic

(Atlantic (n=100)

Quebec

(Atlantic (n=205)

Ontario

(Atlantic (n=344)

Prairies

(Atlantic (n=198)

BC

(Atlantic (n=160)

Men

(Atlantic (n=546)

Women

(Atlantic (n=461)

18 to 34

(Atlantic (n=218)

35 to 54

(Atlantic (n=374)

55 plus

(Atlantic (n=415)

64.2%

77.7%

64.6%

68.2%

78.2%

87.8%

81.0%

70.0%

59.6%

69.1%

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.
*The net score is the difference between all positive and negative numbers in a question.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual-frame hybrid random telephone and online survey, March 27th to 30th, 2021, n=1,007, accurate ±3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.
Impact of a political party supporting Canada signing and ratifying the UN Treaty

If a political party supported Canada signing and ratifying the Treaty for the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons would you be more likely, somewhat more likely, somewhat less likely, or less likely to support that political party or would it have no impact on your vote?

- More likely: 49.1%
- Somewhat more likely: 60.8%
- Somewhat less likely: 45.6%
- Less likely: 43.1%
- No impact on my vote: 55.0%
- Unsure: 3%

Net Score: +39.8

More likely/Somewhat more likely
- Atlantic (n=100): 30%
- Quebec (n=205): 21%
- Ontario (n=344): 3%
- Prairies (n=198): 7%
- BC (n=160): 10%

Men (n=546): 47.5%
- Women (n=461): 52.8%

18 to 34 (n=218): 47.2%
- 35 to 54 (n=374): 49.4%
- 55 plus (n=415): 52.9%

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual-frame hybrid random telephone and online survey, March 27th to 30th, 2021, n=1,007, accurate ±3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.
*The net score is the difference between all positive and negative numbers in a question.
Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid random telephone and online survey of 1,007 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between March 27th to 30th, 2021 as part of an omnibus survey. Participants were randomly recruited by telephone using live agents and administered a survey online. The sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada.

Individuals were randomly called using random digit dialling with a maximum of five call backs.

The margin of error for a random survey of 1,007 Canadians is ±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

The research was commissioned by The Simons Foundation Canada, The Hiroshima Nagasaki Day Coalition and Le Collectif Échec à la guerre and was conducted by Nanos Research.

Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research sponsor</td>
<td>The Simons Foundation Canada, The Hiroshima Nagasaki Day Coalition and Le Collectif Échec à la guerre</td>
<td>Weighting of Data</td>
<td>The results were weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to ensure a distribution across all regions of Canada. See tables for full weighting disclosure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population and Final Sample</td>
<td>1,007 Randomly selected individuals.</td>
<td>Screening</td>
<td>Screening ensured potential respondents did not work in the market research industry, in the advertising industry, in the media or a political party prior to administering the survey to ensure the integrity of the data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of Sample</td>
<td>Nanos Hybrid Probability Panel</td>
<td>Excluded Demographics</td>
<td>Individuals younger than 18 years old; individuals without land or cell lines, and individuals without internet access could not participate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Sample</td>
<td>Probability</td>
<td>Stratification</td>
<td>By age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada. Smaller areas such as Atlantic Canada were marginally oversampled to allow for a minimum regional sample.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margin of Error</td>
<td>±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.</td>
<td>Estimated Response Rate</td>
<td>Thirteen percent, consistent with industry norms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of Survey</td>
<td>RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online omnibus survey</td>
<td>Question Order</td>
<td>Question order in the preceding report reflects the order in which they appeared in the original questionnaire.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling Method Base</td>
<td>The sample included both land- and cell-lines RDD (Random Digit Dialed) across Canada.</td>
<td>Question Content</td>
<td>Topics on the omnibus ahead of the survey content included: views on political issues, views on economic issues, government deficits, China, carbon pricing, the Canadian Armed Forces, sex trafficking, the federal government, and COVID-19 vaccines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographics (Captured)</td>
<td>Atlantic Canada, Quebec, Ontario, Prairies, British Columbia; Men and Women; 18 years and older. Six digit postal code was used to validate geography.</td>
<td>Question Wording</td>
<td>The questions in the preceding report are written exactly as they were asked to individuals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fieldwork/Validation</td>
<td>Individuals were recruited using live interviews with live supervision to validate work, the research questions were administered online</td>
<td>Research/Data Collection</td>
<td>Nanos Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Calls</td>
<td>Maximum of five call backs to those recruited.</td>
<td>Supplier</td>
<td>Contact Nanos Research for more information or with any concerns or questions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of Calls</td>
<td>Individuals recruited were called between 12-5:30 pm and 6:30-9:30pm local time for the respondent.</td>
<td>Contact</td>
<td><a href="http://www.nanos.co">http://www.nanos.co</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Dates</td>
<td>March 27th to 30th, 2021</td>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone:(613) 234-4666 ext. 237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language of Survey</td>
<td>The survey was conducted in both English and French.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:info@nanosresearch.com">info@nanosresearch.com</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards</td>
<td>Nanos Research is a member of the Canadian Research Insights Council (CRIC) and confirms that this research fully complies with all CRIC Standards including the CRIC Public Opinion Research Standards and Disclosure Requirements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://canadianresearchinsightscouncil.ca/standards/">https://canadianresearchinsightscouncil.ca/standards/</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As one of North America’s premier market and public opinion research firms, we put strategic intelligence into the hands of decision makers. The majority of our work is for private sector and public facing organizations and ranges from market studies, managing reputation through to leveraging data intelligence. Nanos Research offers a vertically integrated full service quantitative and qualitative research practice to attain the highest standards and the greatest control over the research process. www.nanos.co

This international joint venture between dimap and Nanos brings together top research and data experts from North American and Europe to deliver exceptional data intelligence to clients. The team offers data intelligence services ranging from demographic and sentiment microtargeting; consumer sentiment identification and decision conversion; and, data analytics and profiling for consumer persuasion. www.nanosdimap.com

NRM is an affiliate of Nanos Research and Rutherford McKay Associates. Our service offerings are based on decades of professional experience and extensive research and include public acceptance and engagement, communications audits, and narrative development. www.nrmpublicaffairs.com
In January 2021, the United Nations Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons became international law and made it illegal to develop, possess, use or threaten to use nuclear weapons for those that ratify the Treaty. None of the nuclear-weapons countries (the United States, Russia, United Kingdom, France, China, India, Israel, Pakistan, and North Korea), nor any NATO members, including Canada, have signed or ratified this new Treaty. There are at present 13,400 nuclear weapons in existence held by Russia, the United States, China, France, the United Kingdom, Pakistan, India, Israel and North Korea.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>18 to 34</th>
<th>35 to 54</th>
<th>55 plus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairies</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>53.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>53.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>53.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 34</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>53.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 to 54</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>53.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 plus</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>53.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid random telephone and online survey of 1,007 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between March 27th and March 30th, 2021. The margin of error this survey is ±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

www.nanos.co
Page 1
Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid random telephone and online survey of 1,007 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between March 27th and March 30th, 2021. The margin of error this survey is ±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

www.nanos.co

Page 2
Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid random telephone and online survey of 1,007 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between March 27th and March 30th, 2021. The margin of error this survey is ±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

**Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree with the following:** [RANDOMIZE]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question - No government, health system or aid organization could respond to the devastation caused by nuclear weapons and humanity's only hope is through the elimination of nuclear weapons</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Unwgt N</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wgt N</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>60.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat agree</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat disagree</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question - The House of Commons should have committee hearings and debate Canada's position on nuclear disarmament</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Unwgt N</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wgt N</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>52.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat agree</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat disagree</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid random telephone and online survey of 1,007 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between March 27th and March 30th, 2021. The margin of error this survey is ±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

**2021-1830 – Nuclear Arms – March Omni – STAT SHEET**

Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree with the following: [RANDOMIZE]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18 to 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Canada 2021-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree %</td>
<td></td>
<td>50.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat agree</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat disagree %</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree %</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure %</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree with the following: [RANDOMIZE Q4 to Q7]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18 to 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Canada 2021-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree %</td>
<td></td>
<td>49.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat agree</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat disagree</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree %</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure %</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

© NANOS RESEARCH
Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid random telephone and online survey of 1,007 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between March 27th and March 30th, 2021. The margin of error this survey is ±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.
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