

Egberto: [01:23](#) Welcome to this edition of Move to Amend to reports where we connect you with activists and organizers working on the front lines of the democracy movement to bring you the lowdown on corporate rule, corporate personhood and money is speech. This is the space to find info you need to help pass the we the people amendment and legalized democracy. I'm Egberto Willies and I'm Laura Bonham. We've got a good show ahead folks.

Laura Bonham: [01:49](#) Today we'll be talking with Vincent Campos and Patrick Tarr of the Pottawatomie County Republican Party which endorsed Move to Amend and endorsing corporate constitutional rights and it's 2016 platform.

Laura Bonham: [02:07](#) First up, we welcomed Vincent Campos is from Council Bluffs, Iowa and is currently an active member of the Pottawattamie County Republican Party. He joined the army in 2004, where he was deployed to Iraq in 2006. After his tour of duty, Vincent became active in the 2008 presidential campaign for Ron Paul and became his national delegate to the 2008 RNC national convention. He was also active in Ron Paul's 2012 presidential campaign. With a college degree in Accounting, Vincent gives presentations (classes) on the Federal Reserve and on the history and mechanism of Corporations in the United States. Joining Vincent is Patrick Tarr is a longtime member of the Pottawattamie County Republican Party Central Committee and the chairman of the platform committee tasked with rewriting and streamlining the county GOP platform. Patrick has served as a delegate to county, district, and state GOP conventions multiple times. He was also the producer of Give Me Liberty TV, a public access television program that aired in Nebraska and had interviews with such people as Judge Andrew Napolitano, Nebraska Gov. Dave Heinemann, and former Gov. of New Mexico and current presidential candidate Gary Johnson.

Laura Bonham: [03:16](#) Vinson and Patrick. Welcome to Move to Amend Reports.

Vincent: [03:21](#) Thank you for having us.

Patrick: [03:23](#) Thank you for having us as well.

Egberto: [03:27](#) Well, Vincent and Patrick for me as well. Thank you for being here. Now this Pottawattamie County Republican Party is the first group to endorse Move to Amend and help pass a platform plank called to abolish the court created doctrine of corporate constitutional rights - similar language to We The People Amendment. First off tell us a little bit about your roles within

your county Party and why did you decide to take the task of passing this plank. And we start with Vincent.

Vincent:

[04:03](#)

Well, first my position within the county party is a delegate member. So that's basically where I stand right now as a delegate member and I have been a delegate member since 2010 and basically for every two year election cycle to the reelection at the caucus meeting and so I've been re elected since then. In regards to getting the amendment passed, it's an issue and crusade that started for me probably back in 2010 when I started attending college and going through accounting school. Basically to sum it all up real quick is when I was down in Texas and I became involved in the liberty movement, I really got involved in understanding the concept of liberty and free markets and so then when I moved up here and I started going to school and accounting school and understanding the different forms of business, once I understand the creation of the corporate entity in the core, in other words, the corporation, the first light bulb that went off was the fact that, in order to have a corporation or create one, we need the state. And so I just basically said to myself, well, that's not a free market institution. That's the government created institution and so that's where my path started. So I'm using the tools of the party system to implement the amendment, as of course Move to Amend is pushing, as well as educating people on the issue.

Patrick:

[05:33](#)

Well, I've been fairly active in the county parties since 2004. I've had little bits of time with schooling where I lived out of town, but I've been really active since 2010. Um, I'm a member of the central committee as well and been a delegate to numerous conventions and then I was chosen to be the chairman of this committee that was tasked with a kind of redoing our county platform over the years. It had gotten so big and unwieldy. It was 13 pages long, so we realized at the time we need to go in and address this, simplify it. It's something knowing Vincent, well, you know, we've had these conversations over the years about this idea of corporate personhood and you know, we've had deep discussions about it and you know, I'm pretty much with him on that. I try to bring people's attention to it as well, just on an everyday basis, you know, talking with people. This was kind of the right time to address this within the party with redoing the platform. So that's kind of how that came about.

Egberto:

[07:05](#)

Now, you said it seems like this is about the right time. Explain what you mean by this is about the right time. Why now? why not say immediately after let's say the Citizens United was passed and as much as that it's more speech and personhood.

What is it now that really placed that notion in you that we need to do it now?

Patrick: [07:27](#)

We've kind of been working on this school, particularly Vincent since been working on this. He's given a presentation addressing this issue to our central committee. It's kind of a thing - you have to bring a lot of these people along slowly. Because this issue kind of gets (it shouldn't be), but it kind of gets politically divisive. A lot of people that don't really understand the issue - just kind of listen to the sound bites they hear from media and you know, if you're on the republican side, you know, they tell you one thing if you're on the democratic side to tell you another thing. So it's kind of a thing that you have to develop a game plan to address the biases that people are going to have automatically.

Egberto: [08:21](#)

What is very interesting with what you said and also with them, but what both you invent, Vincent said is that um, uh, you guys simply literally you read the word you, you read your platform and you read, I guess the letter of the amendment. And as far as your concern, it isn't a difficult notion at all. So I would actually propose that there's a good chance that it's deeper than just, from listening to both of you, I think both of you sound like in effect, I don't want to call say purist, because many times people consider purist, because some consider this sort of a negative thing. But in effect you're saying, look, this is what we claim we stand for, how can we change our values for this particular thing? Would you say?

Patrick: [09:16](#)

I would definitely say that, especially when it comes to the Republican party who preaches free markets and conservative and if they understand the history of our country, particularly when it goes back to the American revolution where you know, a lot of these conservatives, these tea party folks who talk about how they want to return back to those values will, if they actually understand the history about it, know they don't understand that a lot of the American revolution was caused by the corporation, the British east India company. And that's exactly what a British, the Boston tea party was all about, was, you know, the, a founder's going to throw out a corporate British tea in the Boston Bay. And most people think it's all about taxes. That was an issue, of course was really about the monopolization of the grantees, India company. So I focus a lot on that and, but I also, when it comes to a, directly to your question about, I guess purity, that's what, that's what I present to the Republican Party and the Conservatives within the party about if we're going to be consistent about our goals and especially if a lot of them are going to criticise people on the

left, Democrats. Well you really can't criticize anybody if you're not going to be consistent with what's you're preaching yourselves. So that's kind of what I put on the table and presented when I gave my presentation to the party.

- Egberto: [10:46](#) You are on firm ground and I think we need more people of your caliber, uh, within the party itself to be able to make the discussion because I think you guys are, first of all, you guys are doing it in a manner that, that presents itself as non-confrontational with your own party, which I not only that, because you are within the party more so than others you have their ears. So what you're doing is clearly important. So keep up the good work.
- Patrick: [11:17](#) Thank you very much.
- Laura Bonham: [11:18](#) Well, congratulations on your success. Every success facing some adversity though we find there are folks who resist because they simply don't understand the issue, but we'll come around once it's explained where you met with much resistance in trying to include this plank? Let's start with Vincent.
- Vincent: [11:35](#) Well, I will say I have to go back to the two years ago. This has been a two year process for me within the county party. I first introduced this platform or this was in the platform in the 2014 county convention. When I first introduced it is actually debated on the floor, the county convention. Most of the resistance that I have were basically people not wanting to vote for it because they didn't understand corporate personhood. They didn't understand the plank. That was the majority of the resistance. There were very few people that spoke out against it who outright said that corporations are people and that's why they're opposing it because they held that belief and so that's why they were against it. And so from that beginning all the way up until now, I spent the last two years and I gave at least three presentations within the party about how corporations are created, how they affect the free market, how they affect other issues that are really important to conservatives, whether they be Christian conservatives or fiscal conservatives or other tea party conservatives with whole other issues and within that I've done that. Then over time I've managed to change the hearts and minds of people within our party where they've been able to come more towards our side was with this issue, because of the fact that they were able to receive education materials as well as me presenting the issue, as well as also myself and getting help and people like Patrick and a few other people that we have in our party who agree with us on this issue, coming together and pushing this amendment.

- Laura: [13:14](#) That's pretty much been our experience as well. You know, we need people, they don't understand the issue when you explain it, they come on. Patrick, do you have anything to add to that?
- Patrick: [13:24](#) Yeah, I guess from the standpoint of our platform committee that read it, the platform, it really wasn't a lot of resistance in there because when we brought it up, the thought of putting this in, we had a pretty good good nature debate about it, you know, we, we talked, but it was a lot of good back and forth. People that weren't as familiar with the, the issue, asking some questions and you know, through that process, by the time we got done talking about it, I think everyone agreed like, yeah, this is, this is good. So we put it in the platform. Then when it went to the county convention... County conventions are kind of funny because by the time usually the platform stuff is at the end of the day. You had a lot of people just want to get out of there by the end. So, um, so that was part of it I guess. But, but then there was discussion on the platform at the county convention and there were a couple of planks that people wanted to change or tweak here and there. But this wasn't one of them, so everyone had access to see it and I'm sure some people, at least saw it in there and **there really wasn't any resistance there towards it**. So, you know, I think that's a positive, that people are coming around the issue.
- Laura Bonham: [14:52](#) I agree with you. It is positive, you know, things unfold in this country becomes more and more apparent. So it's a very good sign. **Getting back to the, the resistance that you've met, what were the most effective talking points you developed for your fellow Party members?** Well, I've used within the last two years is really stressing the free market. I said time and time again that the corporation, the corporate entity is not a free market entity. It is a creation of the state if he had a business, but they are an individual person creates a business, you know, that would be defined legally as a sole proprietorship, which is a free market entity. **But in order to get that business incorporated, they have to go to the state and receive that incorporation from the state to exist. Um, and so that's basically the hand of the state coming in into the market and interfering.**
- Speaker 6: [15:47](#) And so that's the number one issue that I focus on. Uh, the second, uh, another factor that I focus on is the history of within the American revolution and how, you know, **a lot of conservatives talk about founding fathers all the time. Well the most, the majority of the founding fathers with exception of Alexander Hamilton, were against corporations and part of the reason why we have the American Revolution in the Boston tea party was over the monopolization of the British East India**

company. So I focus on that and focus on other issues of how, you know, the corporation, and the corrupting and influencing our society in a negative way with just the structure of how it exists particularly the publicly traded corporation that only focuses, cares only about profit. You know, if they're going to have things that the majority of the people would consider immoral on TV or, or immoral in society or do things that are more like cut a employee's wages just to, just for profit. You know, a lot of these things, a lot of people considered immoral where they're going to do it because that's just the nature of the corporate entity and so and then I at the end of that, I always focus again, it's a government created entity. Something that Republican Party conservatives preach all the time and they talked about less government, limited government and government out of our lives. Well, the corporate entity is a creation of that government. So if you truly want that government out of your lives, you need to be focused on the corporate entity.

Laura Bonham: [17:16](#) Very clever, very, very clever talking points and I'm sure that some of our associated affiliates will be putting them to use

Speaker 8: [17:27](#) One talking point that that I've used with people as well is that this is the same as a society or whatever we can't grant rights to corporations, but the idea is that they're inherently given these personhood rights through the constitution is problematic because once the Corporation becomes incorporated and **they essentially become a super person because they're given all of the constitutional rights of you and me. But then they have advantages such as limited liability.** So they become a super person, you know, greater than the average person. So it's not saying we couldn't give them rights if, if the people of the state want to vote, and give rights to a corporation such as speech rights, that's one thing. **But just say that these rights are automatics constitutionally is problematic.**

Speaker 6: [18:28](#) Yeah. Also, I'm sure you may be aware that Iowa has a large group of Christian conservatives within Iowa and especially within the Republican Party of Iowa so another talking point that I used was the fact that with understanding the declaration of independence and the Constitution, I'm a believer myself, but you know a lot of these conservatives, you know preach God and God and unalienable rights, well a human being as a creation of God and so if you understand that, if you agree with that and, well the corporates is not a creation of God, but it is the creation of the state and that's just another talking point where the constitution has to only be there to protect human God given rights, not corporate government created. So that's

another speech and talking point I made in Iowa. Oh, that's a very interesting angle as well.

- Speaker 6: [19:22](#) And well thought out. This is a real quick question for you is we need to do a quick station break. Did you utilize any of the tools and resources move to amend makes available? Uh, yes. I used one video on youtube - an interview that David Cobb did with the young Turks and David gave a really good interview as well as a really good explanation. And then the host of the young Turks, asked pretty clear questions, even asked one question on how you're going to get Republicans and conservatives to agree with this. And then David Cobb I thought was pretty precise with his answer, with a stressing the point of limited government and sovereignty, a essentially sovereignty over corporations, not corporate sovereignty over the individuals and we the people. And so I think that was one source I used. And then of course, I, I modeled the plank, the plank that was passed within our platform, off of the actual amendment that MoveToAmend is pushing as of right now.
- Speaker 8: [20:27](#) Great. Yeah. The only, the only thing with that was, we just had to kind of tweak the words and kind of shrink it now to fit as a plank rather than an amendment or a resolution or something. But yeah, the wording does come from move to amend.
- Speaker 6: [20:42](#) Yes. I see the similarities there and that's very flattering I didn't want to make one suggestion to you when you were talking about. We differentiate between corporate constitutional rights and saying that states can grant them privileges any kind of privileges, but that rights are only reserved for human beings. Are you separating that out and say no that, that, that they could actually grant them some kind of state rights or are you thinking more along the line of privileges?
- Speaker 6: [21:23](#) Well, how I look at it is individuals have inalienable rights and that's the purpose of the bill of rights and to protect individual rights. Whereas a corporation or when the state creates a corporate entity, that their rights are up for democratic discussion, whereas right of the individual is not up for democratic discussion. That's the purpose of a constitution protecting them.
- Egberto: [21:45](#) We'll continue this conversation. After a quick public service announcement,
- Public Service: [21:54](#) Move to Amend's We The People amendment is the only proposed amendment in Congress that addresses both. Supreme Court created doctrine of corporate personhood and

money is speech. The very foundation of corporate rule passing the we the people amendment is the necessary first step to transforming our democracy to one that truly represents the 99 percent go to movetoamend.org/amendment. Read it and take action today

- Public Service: [22:20](#) Does your elected representatives support amending the constitution to end corporate constitutional rights and get money out of politics. You can help find out by joining. Moved to a mend's pledged to amend campaign, help persuade local, state and federal legislators to pledge support for the we the people amendment. Recruit cosponsors for this amendment in the US House and Senate. For more information, visit [move to amend dot Org](http://move.to/amend.org) Slash Pledge Dash Amend. That's movetoamend.org/Pledge-Amend.
- Public Service: [22:50](#) You can support and move to amend reports and other move to amend programs by joining our \$28 for the 20th amendment monthly sustainer campaign. Pledge \$28 a month and help make the we, the people amendment our 28th amendment to the constitution to sign up. Visit, [move to amend.org/donate](http://move.to/amend.org/donate) and click on recurring donations.
- Speaker 5: [23:14](#) You are listening to move to a mandatory reports where we connect the dots between the current issues of our time and the nature of corporate rule. We're talking with Vincent Campos and Patrick Tar of the Pottawatomie County Republican Party, which endorsed move to amend and calls for vaccine corporate constitutional rights. And it's 2016 platform.
- Speaker 7: [23:34](#) Now that abolishing corporate personhood is in your 2016 platform what are your next steps?
- Speaker 2: [23:45](#) Well, uh,
- Speaker 6: [23:47](#) As of right now, um, I think are our next steps was probably going to be utilizing the tools of the party in our county to educate and push the issue locally, uh, down the road and within the next election. We definitely would like to, as we educate more people, to progress that and move that to the district level as well as the state level within the Iowa Republican Party. So, uh, that's how, that's the vision that I have for the future.
- Patrick: [24:17](#) One thing that's kind of led us to, you know, for right now we're focusing locally and on the education. Vincent and I, we weren't able to, you know, with our schedules go through the, the

process. We were counted, we were doing that only gets the county convention, but we weren't able to go to district and then onto state just because we're too busy. So that's one thing that kind of led us to, you know, focus more locally and on the education locally for right now. Next election cycle or so we'll probably try to move forward on a bigger scale.

- Egberto: [24:56](#) Right. That makes a whole lot of sense. Right? Then in fact, I think your, your, your small local area that you're working with right now presents a good proven ground where you can actually develop your methodology and then expand on it after you see it work, right?
- Patrick: [25:15](#) Yes, and also, you know here we're in Council Bluffs, Iowa, right across the river is Omaha, Nebraska, which is a pretty big market and we've got a lot of friends over there so it gives us an opportunity to take this message, you know, across the river to our friends there and try and build upon that as well.
- Egberto: [25:38](#) Absolutely. Absolutely. No. Have you guys considered having some sort of a initiative on the ballot whether in Council Bluffs or elsewhere.
- Speaker 6: [25:50](#) It's something that we have to think about as we progressed this idea of movement and educate more people on the idea and on the amendment. It's something I'd have to think about. I can't give a straight answer right now, but it's something that I definitely would be interested in possibly doing.
- Speaker 7: [26:10](#) Excellent. Well at move to amend that we would be able to help you with resources if and when you decided to make such a move. We provide model legislation and all sorts of additional tools. If you, if you find yourself in a position that you need some sort of, um, you know, it's always good. I always like to say as many different heads you can get into a policy, the better the policy
- Laura Bonham: [26:39](#) for now you've actually learned that you've earned a big long rev, That was a heavy lift and congratulations and thinking about next steps and being intentional about them is part of a winning strategy as well. But we want to take advantage of your general knowledge while we have you here, Vincent. So let's talk a bit about the Federal Reserve. I've found most Americans have a very strange relationship with money and a rather superficial understanding of the economy. In your perspective, how does the Fed work to specifically enabled corporate power over the people?

Speaker 6:

[27:16](#)

Well, the first thing I want to make very clear is that the Federal Reserve itself is a private corporation. It's not a government entity. And it is as private shareholders, we don't know who they are because the Federal Reserve has never had a full audit within the history. That's another issue that's being pushed right now by people like Senator Rand Paul. And of course his dad is obviously a congress now Congressman Ron Paul. But uh, the people, the average person does not know that it itself is a private corporation. Um, now when it comes to the benefits, the corporate society that we live in, well, they create the money. I was sitting there since they have the ability to create money or paper money or Fiat money, they first grade that out of thin air, then they lend that to banks, commercial banks and the banks, and then give that money to businesses, and big corporations and the fact that the corporations are able to first and use that money and spend that money, they're able to benefit from it because then they buy up assets and then they're able to use that money to provide themselves with services or whatever assets they want and they need. And then when that money trickles down into the economy and circulate, and then when the small everyday average person receives that money they are now paying higher prices at the gas pump, they're paying higher prices at the grocery store and all the money that has been saved within their savings account has now been eroded because of the fact that this private entity, this private corporation has created money out of thin air and it, it only benefits the few at the expense of many because again, these big corporations, they used that money first, they benefit from it and they can also use that money to buy elections, to donate to elections, to influence what legislation they want. With the fact that it's feat money, it's the money that is created out of thin air is unlimited. That's the basics. I mean, do you have any other questions? I can, I can go all day on about it.

Speaker 5:

[29:23](#)

One thing I'd like to bring up the idea that how so few people really understand this stuff. It's not really taught in our public school system and I was thinking about it and you know, this is a concept that I've known my whole life and it's really simple because when I was younger I used to collect comic books and baseball cards. Well, if you think about, you know, let's say you know Babe Ruth Baseball card, and let's say there's only 10 of them known in circulation, it has a high value because of its scarcity because there's not many of them out there. So it's, it's worth a lot more. Now you know, let's say it's worth a thousand dollars. Well, if someone is sitting on 10 of them at home and sees, oh, they're worth a thousand dollars, now they can go out and sell their \$10,000 each because people are seeing, well this is worth a thousand dollars because it's so rare. But once those

10 get placed out there into the market, now you've just doubled the number that are out there. The value drops on them because they're not as rare. That's what happens with these corporations. They're able to get the money and use it first from the Federal Reserve and the value is higher when they get to use it. Once that money gets put into the system and it trickles down to, you know, you or I, it's been devalued so it's not worth as much - inflation hits and things cost more than the grocery store. So that's kind of a secret where they get that benefit of that first use of the money.

Laura Bonham: [31:30](#) A friend of mine just put it deposited, a cashier's check at Wells Fargo Bank, I'll name the culprit and then started writing checks against and the checks started bouncing any college. So what's the problem? And they said, well we put a hold on the cashier's check and it's like, what do you mean you put all the cashier's check or cash? His check is good as money. And he said, Oh yes, we'll fix that. And it just kind of dawned on us both at the same time that that's probably the policy of the bank just to see if they can use that money for a while before it actually hits your account.

Speaker 6: [32:05](#) Well, one of the purposes of creating the Federal Reserve back in 1913 was to be their lender of last resort. Now multiple times within our history since 1913, they have fulfilled that but primarily within our recent history, back in 2008 with the 2008, a bail out that happened. The Federal Reserve, did exactly what it was supposed to do and exactly what it was targeted to do and they bailed out Goldman Sachs and bail out aig. And so, you know, these are, that's just another example of what the Federal Reserve does at the expense of many for the benefit of view.

Speaker 5: [32:43](#) Complete expensive of many. There are a growing number of Americans calling for the feds demise, but widely disagree on the solution or strategy to address the root problem. Some call for it to be transformed into a public institution and democratized while others call for it to be dismantled outright. Do you believe that that could be democratized? If not, what do you propose?

Speaker 6: [33:09](#) Well, I, I do agree that incremental or progressive phase of phasing it in the sense that if something got put on the table where we abolish the fed and then we gave the a US treasury the ability to create money, I would agree with it at that time because we get rid of the fed and the least with the US Treasury. We, the people through our elected representatives have the ability to regulate it. At least we know then what

they're doing. But my primary is the fact that as long as we have paper money and whether it'd be a private central bank or a government, a government can destroy an economy and cause hyperinflation just as much as a private central bank can. And so the end result for me is basically the return to the constitution where the government is only coining money, which is gold, silver and whatever. The constitution allows the federal government to do. I'm going and basically to return to that and also to get rid of a legal tender laws which forces you and I to use paper currency or whatever currency that is being used by the government or the central bank. So that's where I would like to be, um, I would agree with people on either side if we can move in that direction and get rid of the Fed. If we can agree to get rid of the Fed that's the primary and after that we can start debating on where we go from there.

- Speaker 8: [34:51](#) I guess I would say in theory, the thought of democratizing the Fed is one thing, you know, I see some merit to that, but would it really be any different than the rest of our government at this point that is basically bought and paid for by big money interests. So I don't know necessarily how just democratizing the Fed would make it any different than the same problems we already have in our political system. It's something we have to get the big money out first before we'd be able to do that.
- New Speaker: [35:33](#) Yes, this is too big a topic for this particular discussion, but it's a deep topic however.
- Speaker 5: [35:39](#) That is the key. It's kind of a moot point until we amend the constitution to say that corporations don't have human rights so that we can make these a fundamental and modernize our ourselves in relation to things and the way the money system works, and that kind of thing. So, um, thank you for your insight on that.
- Egberto: [36:04](#) Now given the extreme polarization within the US political climate, what are your thoughts on how we, the people can unite across the political spectrum against corporate constitutional Rights?
- Speaker 6: [36:16](#) Uh, I think first thing it comes down to education. We have to educate ourselves and then once we get educate ourselves, we need to go out and educate others in regards of the concept of corporate personhood. I first I first heard about move to amend because I've been reaching out to people on the more liberal left side of the spectrum in Omaha, and then they invited me to a David Cobbs presentation on the organization. I went to it and that's how I found out about moves to amend. And so we can't

be too closed minded to talk to each other because if we're divided and we're not talking to each other, that's exactly what the corporations and the powers that be want. And so I think that's the first step. The second step is we have to be a little bit more open minded to hearing each other's views. And then that also goes back to the education. You know, I give presentations on corporate personhood and the Federal Reserve to both people on the left and on the right. Sometimes I emphasize more on certain words and my language, when I give it to both liberals and conservatives, depending on who I'm giving the talk too. But the end results and the message is still the same and so I think the only other thing I'll say in regards to this issue of corporate personhood is that the people on the right have to understand that corporations are not free market institutions and then my message to the people on the left is that they have to understand that unions are corporations too. They're not businesses, but they are organizations that get chartered, which is the same as being incorporated from the state and it's both grassroots people on the left and the right can understand that. Then that's when they can come together and break down the false left right paradigm.

New Speaker:

[38:05](#)

I think one thing that's important is, you know, we have to get people to start shutting out some of the noise that we get from the media, particularly on this issue of, you know, like money in the political system and and things like that. I can get, you know, 10 friends of mine that are Liberal Democrats and 10 friends of mine that are conservative Republicans and we can sit and we can agree about getting the money out of the system that, that corrupts it and coops it. We can agree on things like that, but then we turned on the news at home and all we are force fed is the most divisive stuff that the media can talk about, you know, instead of talking about how her whole political system is hanging by a thread here, you know, we're, we're, we're talking about who's going into what restrooms. We have to shut out that noise.

New Speaker:

[39:13](#)

Nail on the head

New Speaker:

[39:24](#)

We've got to start coming together and focusing on the big issues and block out the distractions. We can worry about restrooms down the road. Let's save our country first.

Laura:

[39:38](#)

I agree. We agree 100 percent and you know, when six corporations control 90 percent of what we read, watch and listen to, you know, the media doesn't inform, it indoctrinates.

New Speaker: [39:54](#) There's some very interesting clips. I've seen it probably on youtube about like you mentioned, six corporations. There's some videos there that show newscasts from all over the country, you know are playing the same stories, but the wording is the same, the exact same words. They're just handed a script.

Egberto: [40:25](#) Yes. This is not an accident that all Patrick and Vincent, this is all by design

Speaker 8: [40:36](#) exactly

Egberto: [40:39](#) All of us come together and realize that we are all being played, then the masses can really get together and take care of that. But that is the reality. We are all being played. And when folks like yourself, Republicans, I like to say a lot of ways Republicans join in the parade like yourself because a lot of times we get to the point where people think whenever you say it is a planned issue or it's by design somehow folks to believe it's sort of a conspiracy theory type thing. But in reality we're all played for ulterior motives. And once we realized that we the people can actually start working together.

Speaker 8: [41:25](#) Oh, exactly. You know the phrase conspiracy theories. I mean look at history. There's been conspiracies throughout history and when you're, when you start talking about the money that's at stake here, you mean to tell me people wouldn't sit around and think about ways to get their hands on it. I mean,

Laura: [41:48](#) Since we are almost out of time, one final comment, would each of you like to leave with our listeners?

Speaker 6: [41:58](#) Well, I would just like to say that, I believe that the concept of corporate personhood is the key to breaking down the false left right paradigm that exists in this country. I believe it's the key that will breakdown a lot of this divisiveness in the country. Sure there might be certain social issues that people are just always going to agree to disagree on. I think when it comes to the economics, you know, this corporate personhood is the key. I hold the corporate person and the Federal Reserve hand in hand and if the left and the right - the people as far as David Cobb says, the principal liberals in the principled conservatives can come together and focus on this issue or these two big issues and forget about the other small stuff and we can focus on that and then after we break that down, then I think we'll have a lot more agreement in this country and in a lot less divisiveness out there that we're seeing today.

Speaker 8: [42:58](#) I would just, I would just say to people, you know, don't be afraid to have good natured discussions with people you don't necessarily agree with. Shut out a lot of the noise. Too many people just run around and the reacting to the story of the day that they've had shoved down their throats, you know, block a lot of that stuff out and just have conversations respectfully and congenitally with your friends and neighbors. That's a big way. Don't, don't fall into this trap of being pitted and going after everyone's throat.

Egberto: [43:44](#) Well, Vincent, Patrick, it is our pleasure to have you on the show with us. I think this is what you're doing is very important and what you're doing is admirable. So please stick with it wherever there can be some sort of a relationship with Move to Amend just let us know. But again, thank you very much for having been on our show.

Speaker 8: [44:09](#) Thank you for having us on, It was a pleasure.

Laura: [44:10](#) And I want to add my two cents in here as well, especially with your final comments, Patrick, which is I second both of your final comments, but when you just start talking to somebody, you'll find that you really have much more in common than what separates you and to let the state of the nation stop you from just reaching out to your friends and neighbors is crazy because you have far more in common than not in common. I really like to thank you both for all the hard work you've done because this is for our country. And it's great to see this moving forward within the Republican Party. And as Egberto said, anytime we can be of service, let us know and keep us informed of how it goes.

New Speaker: [45:01](#) We'll definitely do that.

Public Service: [45:04](#) Help raise awareness about the movement to amend the constitution by hosting your own house, party for democracy. Invite family, friends and neighbors for a screening of our mini documentary, legalized democracy. To find the film and learn how to get started with your houseparty go to Movetoamend.org/Houseparties. That's one word. Movetoamend.org/Houseparties

Speaker 13: [45:27](#) To learn more about corporate personhood money as protected political speech or how to get involved with move to amend visit. Movetoamend.org. Don't forget to sign the petition at. Movetoamend.org/petition

