	Page 1			
1	BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO PUBLIC REGULATION COMMISSION			
2	IN THE MATTED OF THE			
3	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF PUBLIC SERVICE			
	COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO FOR			
4	APPROVAL TO ABANDON SAN JUAN			
	GENERATING STATION UNITS 2 AND			
5	3, ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATES OF			
6	PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND			
6	NECESSITY FOR REPLACEMENT			
7	POWER RESOURCES, ISSUANCE OF ACCOUNTING ORDERS AND			
	DETERMINATION OF RELATED			
8	RATE-MAKING PRINCIPLES AND			
	TREATMENT,			
9	NO. 12 00200 TITE			
10	NO. 13-00390-UT PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW			
	MEXICO,			
12				
	Applicant.			
13				
14 15	MIDEOTADED DEDOCITION OF CDECODY CMITH			
12	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF GREGORY SMITH November 24, 2014			
16	9:53 a.m.			
	20 First Plaza, Suite 700			
17	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102			
18				
19	PURSUANT TO THE NEW MEXICO RULES OF CIVIL			
20	PROCEDURE, this deposition was:			
21	TAKEN BY: JOHN W. BOYD			
	ATTORNEY FOR NEW ENERGY ECONOMY			
22				
23	REPORTED BY: PAUL BACA, CCR #112			
24	PAUL BACA COURT REPORTERS			
4 4	500 4th Street, NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102			
25	111Dagaergae, New Mexico 0,102			

	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES	1	_
2	For New Energy Economy:	1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)
3	Mariel Nanasi	2	For the State of New Mexico:
	mariel@seedsbeneaththesnow.com	3	P. Cholla Khoury
4	343 East Alameda Street	١.	ckhoury@nmag.gov
5	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501-2229 505-469-4060	4	Assistant Attorney General
6	John W. Boyd	_	Water and Utilities Division
	jwb@fbdlaw.com	5	P.O. Drawer 1508
7	Freedman, Boyd, Hollander, Goldberg		Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
0	Urias & Ward, PA	6	505-827-7484
8	20 First Plaza Center, Northwest, #700 P.O. Box 25326	7	
9	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87125-0326	8	INDEX
	505-842-9960	9	WITNESS: PAGE:
10		10	GREGORY SMITH
	For New Mexico Industrial Energy Consumers:	11	Examination by Mr. Boyd 7
11	D (0 11		Examination by Mr. Noble 160
12	Peter Gould	12	Examination by Mr. Albright 165
12	pgould@gmail.com NMIEC	12	Examination by Mr. Gould 173
13	P.O. Box 32147	12	
-	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87594-4127	13	Examination by Mr. Throne 186
14	505-988-4804	1 1 4	Examination by Mr. Ortiz 230
15	For Public Service Company of New Mexico:	14	Further Examination by Mr. Boyd 248
16	Patrick T. Ortiz portiz@cuddymccarthy.com		Further Examination by Mr. Throne 252
17	Cuddy & McCarthy, LLP	15	Further Examination by Mr. Ortiz 254
	1701 Old Pecos Trail	16	Witness Signature/Correction Page 257
18	P.O. Box 4160	17	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER 258
	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502-4160	18	
19	505-988-4476	19	
20 21	For the Coalition for Clean Affordable Energy: Charles F. Noble	20	
21	noble@energyenvironmentlaw.com	21	
22	409 East Palace Avenue, Unit 2	22	
	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	23	
23	505-820-1589	24	
24 25		25	
		23	
	Page 3		Page 5
1	_	1	Page 5 EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION
1 2	Page 3 APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility	2	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1 Complaint 71
2	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)	2 3	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1 Complaint 71 3 Excerpt from Budget Book 133
	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority:	2 3 4	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1 Complaint 71 3 Excerpt from Budget Book 133 4 Core Quality Trend for 2013 138
3	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris	2 3	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1 Complaint 71 3 Excerpt from Budget Book 133
2	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road	2 3 4	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1
3	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris	2 3 4 5	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1 Complaint 71 3 Excerpt from Budget Book 133 4 Core Quality Trend for 2013 138 5 San Juan Station Reliability 140 Discussion 6 Unit 1 Reliability Review, Data 145
2 3 4 5	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road	2 3 4 5	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1
2 3 4	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop:	2 3 4 5	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1
2 3 4 5	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1
2 3 4 5	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop:	2 3 4 5 6	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1
2 3 4 5	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E. dan@lobo.net	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E. dan@lobo.net Dolan & Associates P.C. 3321 Candelaria, Northeast, Suite 126 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87017	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E. dan@lobo.net Dolan & Associates P.C. 3321 Candelaria, Northeast, Suite 126 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87017 505-883-1266	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1 Complaint 71 3 Excerpt from Budget Book 133 4 Core Quality Trend for 2013 138 5 San Juan Station Reliability 140 Discussion 6 Unit 1 Reliability Review, Data 145 from January 2012 through August of 2013 7 The Unit 4 Reliability Review, Data 148 from January of '12 through August of '13 8 Budget 152
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E. dan@lobo.net Dolan & Associates P.C. 3321 Candelaria, Northeast, Suite 126 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87017 505-883-1266 For Bernalillo County, County of Santa Fe, City of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E. dan@lobo.net Dolan & Associates P.C. 3321 Candelaria, Northeast, Suite 126 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87017 505-883-1266	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1 Complaint 71 3 Excerpt from Budget Book 133 4 Core Quality Trend for 2013 138 5 San Juan Station Reliability 140 Discussion 6 Unit 1 Reliability Review, Data 145 from January 2012 through August of 2013 7 The Unit 4 Reliability Review, Data 148 from January of '12 through August of '13 8 Budget 152 9 Excerpt from Exhibit 2 154 10 San Juan Generating Station Total 156
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E. dan@lobo.net Dolan & Associates P.C. 3321 Candelaria, Northeast, Suite 126 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87017 505-883-1266 For Bernalillo County, County of Santa Fe, City of Santa Fe:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E. dan@lobo.net Dolan & Associates P.C. 3321 Candelaria, Northeast, Suite 126 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87017 505-883-1266 For Bernalillo County, County of Santa Fe, City of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1 Complaint 71 3 Excerpt from Budget Book 133 4 Core Quality Trend for 2013 138 5 San Juan Station Reliability 140 Discussion 6 Unit 1 Reliability Review, Data 145 from January 2012 through August of 2013 7 The Unit 4 Reliability Review, Data 148 from January of '12 through August of '13 8 Budget 152 9 Excerpt from Exhibit 2 154 10 San Juan Generating Station Total 156
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E. dan@lobo.net Dolan & Associates P.C. 3321 Candelaria, Northeast, Suite 126 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87017 505-883-1266 For Bernalillo County, County of Santa Fe, City of Santa Fe: Jeffrey H. Albright	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1 Complaint 71 3 Excerpt from Budget Book 133 4 Core Quality Trend for 2013 138 5 San Juan Station Reliability 140 Discussion 6 Unit 1 Reliability Review, Data 145 from January 2012 through August of 2013 7 The Unit 4 Reliability Review, Data 148 from January of '12 through August of '13 8 Budget 152 9 Excerpt from Exhibit 2 154 10 San Juan Generating Station Total 156 Station Budget Summary, 100 percent, in 2014
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E. dan@lobo.net Dolan & Associates P.C. 3321 Candelaria, Northeast, Suite 126 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87017 505-883-1266 For Bernalillo County, County of Santa Fe, City of Santa Fe: Jeffrey H. Albright jalbright@lrrlaw.com Lewis Roca Rothgerber LLP 201 Third Street, Northwest, Suite 1950	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E. dan@lobo.net Dolan & Associates P.C. 3321 Candelaria, Northeast, Suite 126 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87017 505-883-1266 For Bernalillo County, County of Santa Fe, City of Santa Fe: Jeffrey H. Albright jalbright@Irrlaw.com Lewis Roca Rothgerber LLP 201 Third Street, Northwest, Suite 1950 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E. dan@lobo.net Dolan & Associates P.C. 3321 Candelaria, Northeast, Suite 126 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87017 505-883-1266 For Bernalillo County, County of Santa Fe, City of Santa Fe: Jeffrey H. Albright jalbright@lrrlaw.com Lewis Roca Rothgerber LLP 201 Third Street, Northwest, Suite 1950 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 505-764-5435	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E. dan@lobo.net Dolan & Associates P.C. 3321 Candelaria, Northeast, Suite 126 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87017 505-883-1266 For Bernalillo County, County of Santa Fe, City of Santa Fe: Jeffrey H. Albright jalbright@lrrlaw.com Lewis Roca Rothgerber LLP 201 Third Street, Northwest, Suite 1950 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 505-764-5435 For Southwest Generation Operating Company, LLC:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E. dan@lobo.net Dolan & Associates P.C. 3321 Candelaria, Northeast, Suite 126 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87017 505-883-1266 For Bernalillo County, County of Santa Fe, City of Santa Fe: Jeffrey H. Albright jalbright@lrrlaw.com Lewis Roca Rothgerber LLP 201 Third Street, Northwest, Suite 1950 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 505-764-5435	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1 Complaint 71 3 Excerpt from Budget Book 133 4 Core Quality Trend for 2013 138 5 San Juan Station Reliability 140 Discussion 6 Unit 1 Reliability Review, Data 145 from January 2012 through August of 2013 7 The Unit 4 Reliability Review, Data 148 from January of '12 through August of '13 8 Budget 152 9 Excerpt from Exhibit 2 154 10 San Juan Generating Station Total 156 Station Budget Summary, 100 percent, in 2014 11 San Juan Generating Station 2014 Budget, Public Service Company of New Mexico's Verified Notice of Filing of Fuel and Purchase Power Cost
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E. dan@lobo.net Dolan & Associates P.C. 3321 Candelaria, Northeast, Suite 126 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87017 505-883-1266 For Bernalillo County, County of Santa Fe, City of Santa Fe: Jeffrey H. Albright jalbright@lrrlaw.com Lewis Roca Rothgerber LLP 201 Third Street, Northwest, Suite 1950 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 505-764-5435 For Southwest Generation Operating Company, LLC: Bruce C. Throne bthroneatty@newmexico.com 1440 B South St. Francis Drive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E. dan@lobo.net Dolan & Associates P.C. 3321 Candelaria, Northeast, Suite 126 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87017 505-883-1266 For Bernalillo County, County of Santa Fe, City of Santa Fe: Jeffrey H. Albright jalbright@Irrlaw.com Lewis Roca Rothgerber LLP 201 Third Street, Northwest, Suite 1950 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 505-764-5435 For Southwest Generation Operating Company, LLC: Bruce C. Throne bthroneatty@newmexico.com 1440 B South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1 Complaint 71 3 Excerpt from Budget Book 133 4 Core Quality Trend for 2013 138 5 San Juan Station Reliability 140 Discussion 6 Unit 1 Reliability Review, Data 145 from January 2012 through August of 2013 7 The Unit 4 Reliability Review, Data 148 from January of '12 through August of '13 8 Budget 152 9 Excerpt from Exhibit 2 154 10 San Juan Generating Station Total 156 Station Budget Summary, 100 percent, in 2014 11 San Juan Generating Station 2014 150 Budget, Public Service Company of New Mexico's Verified Notice of Filing of Fuel and Purchase Power Cost
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E. dan@lobo.net Dolan & Associates P.C. 3321 Candelaria, Northeast, Suite 126 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87017 505-883-1266 For Bernalillo County, County of Santa Fe, City of Santa Fe: Jeffrey H. Albright jalbright@Irrlaw.com Lewis Roca Rothgerber LLP 201 Third Street, Northwest, Suite 1950 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 505-764-5435 For Southwest Generation Operating Company, LLC: Bruce C. Throne bthroneatty@newmexico.com 1440 B South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 505-989-4345	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E. dan@lobo.net Dolan & Associates P.C. 3321 Candelaria, Northeast, Suite 126 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87017 505-883-1266 For Bernalillo County, County of Santa Fe, City of Santa Fe: Jeffrey H. Albright jalbright@Irrlaw.com Lewis Roca Rothgerber LLP 201 Third Street, Northwest, Suite 1950 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 505-764-5435 For Southwest Generation Operating Company, LLC: Bruce C. Throne bthroneatty@newmexico.com 1440 B South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 505-989-4345 For the Witness:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E. dan@lobo.net Dolan & Associates P.C. 3321 Candelaria, Northeast, Suite 126 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87017 505-883-1266 For Bernalillo County, County of Santa Fe, City of Santa Fe: Jeffrey H. Albright jalbright@lrrlaw.com Lewis Roca Rothgerber LLP 201 Third Street, Northwest, Suite 1950 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 505-764-5435 For Southwest Generation Operating Company, LLC: Bruce C. Throne bthroneatty@newmexico.com 1440 B South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 505-989-4345 For the Witness: Timothy Butler	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E. dan@lobo.net Dolan & Associates P.C. 3321 Candelaria, Northeast, Suite 126 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87017 505-883-1266 For Bernalillo County, County of Santa Fe, City of Santa Fe: Jeffrey H. Albright jalbright@Irrlaw.com Lewis Roca Rothgerber LLP 201 Third Street, Northwest, Suite 1950 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 505-764-5435 For Southwest Generation Operating Company, LLC: Bruce C. Throne bthroneatty@newmexico.com 1440 B South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 505-989-4345 For the Witness: Timothy Butler tlbpc@aol.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E. dan@lobo.net Dolan & Associates P.C. 3321 Candelaria, Northeast, Suite 126 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87017 505-883-1266 For Bernalillo County, County of Santa Fe, City of Santa Fe: Jeffrey H. Albright jalbright@lrrlaw.com Lewis Roca Rothgerber LLP 201 Third Street, Northwest, Suite 1950 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 505-764-5435 For Southwest Generation Operating Company, LLC: Bruce C. Throne bthroneatty@newmexico.com 1440 B South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 505-989-4345 For the Witness: Timothy Butler	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E. dan@lobo.net Dolan & Associates P.C. 3321 Candelaria, Northeast, Suite 126 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87017 505-883-1266 For Bernalillo County, County of Santa Fe, City of Santa Fe: Jeffrey H. Albright jalbright@Irrlaw.com Lewis Roca Rothgerber LLP 201 Third Street, Northwest, Suite 1950 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 505-764-5435 For Southwest Generation Operating Company, LLC: Bruce C. Throne bthroneatty@newmexico.com 1440 B South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 505-989-4345 For the Witness: Timothy Butler tlbpc@aol.com 210 Montezuma Avenue, Suite 200	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) For the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority: Dahl L. Harris 2753 Herradura Road Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 For the Navopache Electric Coop: Daniel R. Dolan, II, P.E. dan@lobo.net Dolan & Associates P.C. 3321 Candelaria, Northeast, Suite 126 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87017 505-883-1266 For Bernalillo County, County of Santa Fe, City of Santa Fe: Jeffrey H. Albright jalbright@Irrlaw.com Lewis Roca Rothgerber LLP 201 Third Street, Northwest, Suite 1950 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 505-764-5435 For Southwest Generation Operating Company, LLC: Bruce C. Throne bthroneatty@newmexico.com 1440 B South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 505-989-4345 For the Witness: Timothy Butler tlbpc@aol.com 210 Montezuma Avenue, Suite 200 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION 1 Complaint 71 3 Excerpt from Budget Book 133 4 Core Quality Trend for 2013 138 5 San Juan Station Reliability 140 Discussion 6 Unit 1 Reliability Review, Data 145 from January 2012 through August of 2013 7 The Unit 4 Reliability Review, Data 148 from January of '12 through August of '13 8 Budget 152 9 Excerpt from Exhibit 2 154 10 San Juan Generating Station Total 156 Station Budget Summary, 100 percent, in 2014 11 San Juan Generating Station 2014 150 Budget, Public Service Company of New Mexico's Verified Notice of Filing of Fuel and Purchase Power Cost Adjustment Clause Factor Reset for July 2013 through June 2014 13 Public Service Company of New Mexico Supplemental Statement Regarding Fuel and Purchase Power Cost Adjustment Clause Factor Reset for July 2013 through June 2014 13 Public Service Company of New Mexico Supplemental Statement Regarding Fuel and Purchase Power Cost Adjustment Clause Annual Factor Reset for July of 2013 through June 2014 15 The Unit 1 Reliability 140 16 Unit 1 Reliability 140 17 The Unit 2 Reliability 140 18 Budget 152 9 Excerpt from Exhibit 2 154 19 Excerpt from Exhibit 2 156 10 San Juan Generating Station Total 156 10 San Juan Generating Station Total 156 11 San Juan Generating Station Total 156 12 San Juan Generating Station Total 156 13 San Juan Generating Station Total 156 14 San Juan Generating Station Total 156 15 San Juan Generating Station Total 156 16 San Juan Generating Station Total 156 17 San Juan Generating Station Total 156 18 San Juan Generating Station Total 156 19 San Juan Generating Station Total 156 19 San Juan Generating Station Total 156

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

	Page 6	Page 8
1	EXHIBIT: DESCRIPTION	A. It is a two-unit coal-fired power plant.
2	15 Public Service Company of New 218	2 Q. Okay. And what is its capacity?
	Mexico's Verified Notice of Filing	3 A. A total of 1600 megawatts. It's a
3	of Fuel and Purchase Power Cost	4 supercritical unit.
	Adjustment Clause Factor Reset for	5 Q. What does that mean, that something is a
4	July 2014 and through June 2015	6 supercritical unit?
5	16 Subpoena 231	7 A. Supercritical is a unit that has elevated
6		8 steam temperatures and pressures. It's more
7		9 efficient.
8 9		10 Q. Okay. Jumping ahead, is the San Juan
10		generating station or any unit of that a
11		12 supercritical unit?
12		13 A. No, sir, it is not.
13		14 Q. Okay. Would you please summarize your
14		15 educational background for us?
15		16 A. Yes. I have a bachelor's degree in
16		17 general science from New York State.
17		18 I have my master's degree in business from
18		19 the University of Phoenix.
19		20 And I've got a law degree from Concorde
20		21 University in Los Angeles.
21 22		22 Q. And when did you receive each of those
23		23 degrees?
24		24 A. Bachelor's degree was about 1995.
25		25 The master's degree was around the year
	Page 7	Page 9
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record	1 2000.
2	with Gregory Smith at approximately 9:53 a.m.	2 And the law degree was around the year
3	GREGORY SMITH,	3 2005 .
4	after having been first duly sworn under oath,	4 Q. Okay. And have you had any additional
5	was questioned and testified as follows:	5 occupational-type training in the course of your
6	EXAMINATION	6 career related to the jobs you've held as in the
7	BY MR. BOYD:	7 management of coal-fired power plants?
8	Q. Mr. Smith, good morning.	8 A. Absolutely. Probably the most recent, I'm
9	A. Good morning.	9 a graduate of the Idaho University of Idaho's
10	Q. We met yesterday, did we not?	10 utility executive course.
11	A. We did.	11 Q. And when was that?
12	Q. All right. And for the record, would you	12 A. That was in the summer of 2011.
13	state your name and address?	13 Q. And was that something that PNM sent you
14	A. Yes. My name is Gregory Dean Smith. I	14 to?
15	reside at 8316 Peabody Road, Freeburg, Illinois.	15 A. Yes, sir. PNM sent me to that course.
16	Q. Okay. And are you here pursuant to a	16 Q. Okay. And they paid for it?
17	subpoena?	17 A. That is correct.
18	A. Yes, I am.	18 Q. Okay. Anything else? Any other formal
19	Q. What is your current employment?	19 on-the-job training?
20	A. I currently work at Prairie State	20 A. Yes. I'm a graduate of the Navy's
21 22	Generating Company in southern Illinois.	21 propulsion and engineering school. 22 Q. What is that?
23	Q. What is your position there?	4
24	A. I'm the operations manager at the power plant.	23 A. That's essentially an entry-level 24 introduction to steam-powered plant propulsion.
	piant.	43 HILLOUGUUH LU SLEAHI-PUWELEG PIAHL PLOPUISION.
25	Q. What type of power plant is that?	25 Q. When did you attend that?

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

Page 12 Page 10 1 A. In 1981. 1 four generating units. Q. How long did that last? How long of a 2 2 Q. Okay. And what was the name of that 3 course is that? 3 plant? 4 A. That was approximately a three-month 4 A. Saguaro, S-A-G-U-A-R-O, named after the 5 course. 5 large cactus down in southern Arizona. 6 Q. And was that while you were in the 6 Q. The cactus. Right. Okay. 7 7 military service? And then you held that job from when to 8 8 A. Yes, sir, it was. when? 9 Q. All right. And I take it you were in the 9 A. I was with the company for a total of 10 10 Navy? about 13 years. I held that particular job -- I was 11 A. That is correct. 11 at that plant for a total of seven years. 12 Q. All right. How long were you in the Navy? 12 During that time I received three 13 A. I was in the Navy a total of 12 years. 13 promotions. Q. Okay. And what rank did you hold? 14 14 Q. Okay. And what was the nature of those 15 A. When I left the Navy I was a machinist 15 promotions? Can you summarize them? 16 mate first class. 16 A. I gained better knowledge of the operation 17 Q. Okay. And were you honorably discharged? 17 of the plant and was given supervisory 18 A. Yes, sir, I was. 18 responsibilities for both the operation and 19 Q. Okay. Now, would you please summarize 19 maintenance of the power plant. your employment history? 20 20 Q. Okay. And what was your title when you And let's start with the Navy. 21 21 left? A. Okay. I was in the Navy for approximately 2.2 22 A. I was a production supervisor when I left. 23 12 years. 23 Q. I take it that means production of 2.4 Q. Starting when? 24 electrical power? 25 A. From 1980 through 1992. 25 Yes, sir, it does. Page 11 Page 13 Q. Okay. And so you left there when? 1 When I got out of the Navy I joined the 1 2 electric utility industry, a short temporary job at 2 A. Still with the Arizona Public Service 3 3 Arizona Public Service as the temporary operator. Company, and I got a position at the Red Hawk power 4 Then I got a permanent job at Tucson 4 plant as a shift supervisor. 5 Q. And what type of power plant is that and 5 Electric Power. I held that for approximately one year before being offered a job -- a permanent job 6 where is it located? 6 7 7 back at Arizona Public Service. A. The Red Hawk power plant is a 8 8 Q. And what was the nature of that permanent four-combustion turbine two-steam generator combined 9 job and when did you take it? 9 cycle unit. It's just south of the Palo Verde 10 A. That was an auxiliary operator, and I took 10 nuclear plant outside of Phoenix, Arizona. that in 1994. 11 Q. Okay. And is that gas fired? Coal fired? 11 Q. Auxiliary operator? 12 A. Yes, sir. Those are gas-fired combined 12 13 13 A. Auxiliary operator. cycles. 14 And that involved both operation of 14 Q. Okay. And how long did you hold that 15 equipment and the maintenance of equipment. 15 position? 16 16 A. I was in that position about one year. I Q. Let me stop you there. 17 17 With respect to that job as auxiliary was promoted to a production supervisor position. operator, regarding the operation and maintenance of 18 Q. After one year? 18 19 equipment, what kind of equipment are you -- were 19 A. Yes, sir. 2.0 you operating and maintaining? 20 Q. And as production supervisor, what were 21 A. Yes. I worked at the Saguaro Power Plant. 21 your job responsibilities? 22 That was two steam electric units which were 22 A. I was promoted to production supervisor 23 basically fired by natural gas. 23 for the construction of additional power plants. 24 And there were two combustion turbines 24 The Red Hawk plant was a brand-new plant. And at 25 which were natural gas-fired generators. A total of 25 that time, Arizona Public Service Company was in an

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

Page 14 Page 16 1 expansion mode. They were building an additional 1 power plant. 2 2 large unit at the west Phoenix plant and also a Q. And what were your responsibilities as 3 3 brand-new power plant outside Las Vegas. operations manager? 4 My duties were to assist in the 4 A. Essentially in charge of all of the 5 5 construction and commissioning of the new unit at operators that operate the equipment in the plant, 6 the west Phoenix power plant and to start from 6 the dispatch of the unit, the generation of power. 7 7 scratch and build a power plant as the production Q. So that I can envision what an operations 8 8 supervisor at the new plant in -- outside of manager does, are you sitting in an office? Are you 9 Las Vegas. 9 down on the floor? Are you -- what are you doing 10 Q. Okay. Are these gas-fired plants? 10 day to day as an operations manager? 11 A. It's a pretty broad job. It involves the 11 A. Yes, sir, they are. 12 12 Q. And how long did you hold that position? scheduling of operators, the hiring and promotion 13 13 A. I held that position for about a year and and discipline of operators. a half. I was promoted to a plant manager. 14 It involves analyzing the technical issues 14 15 Q. You were -- were you promoted to plant 15 in the plant and directing the maintenance staff 16 manager of one of the plants that you had worked on? 16 towards what's the most important priorities to 17 A. No, sir. They had a plant manager 17 ensure reliability. 18 position open in Yuma, Arizona, at the Yucca power 18 It's analyzing past data and performance 19 plant, and I became the plant manager there. 19 information and seeking opportunities for 20 Q. And what year was that? 20 improvement. 21 21 So it's both office and fieldwork. A. That was getting close to the end of 2003, 22 22 beginning of 2004. Q. Okay. And when you use the term 23 23 Q. Okay. And what type of plant is that? operators, you're talking about people? 24 A. The Yucca power plant consists of one 24 A. People that are physically turning 25 25 large gas-powered steam generator and a total of six switches, turning valves, or sitting in front of Page 15 Page 17 1 combustion turbines, both gas-fired and oil-fired 1 computers controlling equipment from the computer 2 2 generators. screens. 3 3 Q. Okay. And how long did you hold that Q. Okay. So it's all the people? 4 position? 4 A. Yes, sir. 5 5 A. I held that position for approximately two Q. All right. How long did you hold that 6 6 position as operations manager? years. 7 7 Q. So that would take us to what, 2006? A. I was in that position approximately two 8 8 A. 2006, yes, sir. and a half years. 9 Q. Okay. And then -- then where did you go? 9 Q. And that's taking us to when? 10 10 At that time, Arizona Public Service A. That takes us to June 16, 2008. Q. Then where did you go? 11 11 Company anticipated building additional coal 12 generation. And I've been -- went well in that 12 A. At that time Public Service Company of 13 organization, and they wanted me to develop more 13 New Mexico hired me. 14 coal experience. 14 Q. And how did that come about, if you can 15 So I went to the Cholla power plant as the 15 describe it just in general terms. 16 A. Yes. I got a phone call from a recruiter 16 operations manager. 17 Q. And where is the Cholla power plant? 17 looking to recruit someone to run their operations A. The Cholla power plant is in northern 18 18 department at San Juan generating station. 19 Arizona outside of a small town called Joseph City. 19 Q. Okay. And I take it you accepted that 2.0 Q. Okay. And what is its capacity? 20 position? 21 21 A. The total capacity, it's a four-unit coal A. Yes, sir, I did. 22 22 plant. And total capacity of the four units is Q. Okay. And when was that? 23 23 approximately a thousand megawatts. A. June 16, 2008. 24 Q. And as -- what was your title, again? 24 Q. That's when you accepted it? 25 A. I was operations manager at the Cholla 25 Yeah. That's when I began work at PNM.

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

Page 20 Page 18 Q. Okay. And that was, again, in what year? 1 Q. Okay. Okay. 1 2 And what were your duties -- or let me ask 2 A. That was in 2008. 3 3 it this way, so we don't spend a lot of time. Q. Okay. And how long did you hold the title 4 Were your duties as operations manager at 4 of operations manager at San Juan? 5 5 San Juan similar to the duties that you had had as Technically, I held the title of 6 operations manager for the Arizona Public Service 6 operations manager for approximately 12 to 13 7 7 Company at the Cholla plant? months. But my duties changed, and I started 8 8 A. Essentially, yes, very similar duties: performing in a different capacity about nine months 9 Analyzing equipment, reliability, in charge of the 9 into the job. 10 10 Q. And what was the nature of that different operators that actually start and stop equipment. From a high level the duties are the same. 11 11 capacity? 12 12 Q. Okay. Were there any -- did you have any A. About nine months into the job the plant 13 discussions with PNM's representatives regarding any 13 manager had left unexpectedly. They asked me to critical issues at the plant that they wanted you to 14 14 take over the role of temporary plant manager while 15 be able to address, or was it just somebody had left 15 they sought to permanently fill that position. 16 and they were bringing you on and go to work? 16 Q. Okay. And that was, what, in 2009? 17 A. Well, actually, the reason why PNM was 17 A. Yes, the spring of 2009. 18 interested in having me come work with them, they 18 Q. Okay. And what were the circumstances of 19 were having some performance issues. They had 19 the previous manager leaving? 20 essentially wrecked some large pieces of equipment 20 A. I'm not sure, exactly. 21 on Unit 4. 21 Q. Okay. Well, if you're not -- if I ask you 22 The performance of the plant had really 22 a question and you're not sure about it just please 23 23 taken a dive, and they were interested in having me say so. 24 be part of their team to help turn the performance 24 A. I will. Thank you. 25 25 around. Q. Okay. Page 19 Page 21 1 Q. What was the equipment that had been 1 So did you get a title to go along with 2 2 the change in duties? wrecked? The boiler feed pumps for Unit 4 had been 3 A. Eventually, I ended up getting a title of 3 Α. 4 ran dry. 4 performance manager. And essentially, my duties 5 5 The deaerating tank, which is a large tank were to assist the new plant manager in performing 6 his roles and getting him up to speed on the 6 that feeds water to the feed pumps, had been ran 7 7 different issues with the plant. dry. 8 8 The alarm had been disabled and Q. Okay. And so I take it they did find a 9 essentially caused the wreck of the two feed pumps. 9 replacement for the manager who had left. 10 The unit had been down for several months 10 A. Yes, they did. 11 11 Q. Okay. Who was it that they found to in that spring. replace him? 12 12 Q. And when you came there, was it back online? 13 A. His name was Jim Nichols. 13 14 A. Yes, it was. 14 Q. Okay. And how long did he stay in that 15 Q. Okay. And so what -- what was it that PNM 15 job? 16 16 told you they wanted you to do, or you to He stayed approximately one year, slightly Α. 17 17 accomplish, with respect to the operation of the over. 18 18 Q. Okay. And what were the circumstances of San Juan power plant? 19 19 How were you going to fit in to helping his leaving, if you know? 20 PNM? 20 A. What I do know is just prior to his 21 A. Generally, I was given very broad, vague 21 departure, PNM had promoted me to the plant manager 22 22 orders, to a degree, to go out and improve the of their Afton generating station, in Las Cruces. 23 reliability, to make sure that we didn't have any 23 And I had been down in Las Cruces about a week when

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

that plant manager, Jim Nichols, left. I know he

was pretty upset about me leaving San Juan. I

24

major equipment damage in the future, to improve the

overall performance of the plant.

24

25

Page 24 Page 22 1 Q. And you went to the meeting with the 1 supported him quite a bit. 2 owners? 2 Q. Okay. And I see I've skipped a step here. 3 3 You went down to be plant manager of a A. Yes, sir. 4 4 Q. Okay. And was there any particular topic different plant? 5 during the meeting of the owners that related to 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. Okay. And that was near Las Cruces? 6 your job duties? 7 A. Yes. In very basic terms, 2010 was a very 7 A. Yes, sir. 8 rough year for San Juan. San Juan ended the year 8 Q. And what's the name of that plant? 9 9 with an equivalent availability factor of A. That's the Afton generating station, A-F-T-O-N. 10 73 percent. 10 11 Q. Okay. Would you, for the record, please 11 Q. And what type of plant is that? 12 That's a combined cycle combustion turbine explain what you mean by the equivalent availabilit 12 13 factor and why 73 percent EAF is a problem in your 13 coupled to a steam turbine. 14 industry? 14 Q. And that's gas fired? 15 15 A. Sure. Equivalent availability factor is a A. Yes, sir. 16 Q. Okay. And would you -- how long did you 16 measure of how available equipment is to be able to 17 hold that position? 17 run. If it was totally available 365 days a year 18 A. About one week, sir. 18 24/7, it would have 100 percent availability factor. 19 19 If it was available nine months out of the Q. About one week. Okay. 20 year and not for three months, then the equivalent 20 And what happened to bring that job to a 21 availability factor would be about 75 percent. 21 conclusion? 22 So it's an industry term used to indicate 22 I think we know from your prior testimony, 23 23 but would you just explain it to us briefly? how available a power generation plant and a unit is 24 A. Yes. I'm sitting in my new office down at 24 available to run. Afton, and I get a phone call from the vice 25 25 Q. Okay. Page 25 Page 23 1 president of generation, informing me that the plant 1 A. 73 percent is problematic for a couple of 2 manager of San Juan generating station had left, and 2 reasons. But within the industry, very well-ran 3 he wanted me to take over San Juan generating 3 coal plants achieve an equivalent availability 4 station. That there was an owners' meeting in two 4 factor that's in the mid to lower '90s. 5 5 days, and I needed to be there. The reason that a low equivalent 6 6 Q. Okay. And who was that that called you? availability factor is problematic, too, for the 7 7 A. The vice president of generation at that customer and for the utility, is that typically your 8 8 time was Patrick Themig. coal plants are your cheapest form of generation, 9 Q. Patrick --9 and they're very capital intensive. A lot of rate 10 10 base is tied up in the power plants. And if they're A. T-H-E-M-I-G. I may have the spelling off a little. 11 not available to run, then oftentimes they must go 11 Q. And he's still with the company? 12 to the next more higher-priced form of generation to 12 13 13 A. No. sir. he's not. replace that power. 14 Q. Do you know where he is now? 14 Q. Okay. Does any plant operate at 15 A. I believe he's in southern Illinois. I 15 100 percent? I mean that's not expected, is it? 16 briefly keep in contact with him from time to time. A. Not for coal plants. 16 17 17 Q. Is he still in the electric power Q. Okay. All right. business? 18 And what are the usual and customary --18 19 A. I believe so. 19 the reasons that you have become familiar with --20 Q. Okay. Do you know where he works? 20 that may cause a drop in EAF to a problematic level? 21 A. No, sir, I don't. 21 Or let me withdraw that question. 22 22 Q. Okay. All right. What are the reasons that a plant does not 23 So you went back to the San Juan 23 typically operate at 100 percent on a given year? generating station? 24 24 A. Sure. There could be any number of --25 A. Yes, sir. 25 lots of reasons. Large coal-fired plants are very

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

Page 26

2.0

complicated. There's a lot of critical systems that interact with one another, and any one of those systems could have a mechanical failure or an electrical failure or any number of types of failures that could cause the plant to not be able to run and generate electricity.

A very large number of things can break down in a coal plant.

- Q. Okay. Are there -- are coal plants sometimes routinely taken off line for maintenance?
 - A. Absolutely.

2.4

2.1

- Q. All right. And what's the purpose of that and how often does it occur?
- A. I would use the analogy of your car. You can't work on your car while you're driving it down the road. Every once in a while you have to come in for a pit stop and take the unit off and tear things apart and replace parts and analyze them and put them back together so that you can have reliability moving forward.
- Q. Okay. And is there a term for that process?
- A. Yes. It's a -- a scheduled outage is what we call it when the plant is taken down on purpose to work on equipment.

the plant, based on any number of things.

Again, I use the analogy of your car. You get so many miles on the transmission or the engine before you have to take it down and replace it.

Different makes and manufacturers have different requirements and when you do maintenance on equipment.

- Q. Okay. When an EAF rate is determined, that rate depends both on scheduled outages and unscheduled outages, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. I think we're -- I'm going to ask you some more about this in a minute.

But right now we're coming to -- I think we are back at the meeting of the owners and the issues that came up regarding the performance -- regarding the San Juan power plant, if any, and whatever role you were going to have in addressing those issues.

And what were those issues that came up at that meeting?

A. There are several issues that drove the availability factor of the entire plant down that year. There is a steam turbine, that didn't have the drains properly aligned, that caused some water

Page 27

Q. I used to be involved representing labor unions from time to time, and I heard the phrase turnaround.

Is that -- is that -- turnaround at the San Juan plant or the Four Corners plant, is that what that is, a turnaround?

- A. Turnaround can be used in several contexts. But in relation to an outage, a turnaround is you want a quick turnaround so that the unit is taken off line, you fix all that you can fix and turn it around and get it back up and running again.
- Q. Okay. And that's part of -- is that the same thing as you were just describing, or is that a broader term?
- A. I would characterize turnaround as a component of the outage that's one of the critical drivers to achieving a goal of the outages; get it back online as soon as you can.
- Q. Okay. And how often are plants typically shut down for maintenance, for regular maintenance, coal plants?
- A. There's no proven recipe for that, so to speak. It varies based on the condition of the equipment, based on the unique characteristics of

Page 29

Page 28

hammer in piping, and it caused the Unit 3 to be off for over a month.

There was some issues relative to steam piping in the top parts of the boilers, so Units 1 and 2, that fatigued to the point where the Unit 2 piping ruptured and blew parts of the insulation in the top of the boiler in different directions.

There was some damage recovery from that.

And from that, we became concerned that a similar event could happen on Unit 1, so we took Unit 1 down and did some work on it.

In terms of the owners' meeting, the gist of the meeting was -- and the meetings throughout that year -- is: How are we going to fix what's been broken and how do we assure reliability going forward?

- Q. Okay. And were they concerned that those issues had not been addressed?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And had you been concerned before that meeting that those issues were not being addressed?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And do you have an opinion, sitting here today, why those issues had not been addressed

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

Page 34 Page 36 1 expression on the part of management as to what kind 1 reliability. 2 Q. Okay. And can you quantify or of a job you were doing? 2 3 A. Well, expression with compensation. I 3 characterize the degree to which you met the goals 4 continued to get very good pay raises and other 4 that had been set for you in the years that you were 5 5 compensation. there, in the three years that you were there? 6 Q. Okay. But did anybody -- so -- all right. 6 A. Yes, sir. At 2010, the year that I took 7 7 Strike that. Let's do it -- let me ask it this way. over the plant, the equivalent availability factor 8 8 What were you making before the change in was at 73 percent. By September 30th of 2012 the 9 title and what were you making after the change in 9 reliability achieved 87.2 percent. 10 10 Q. Did you receive any comments from title, if you can remember, approximately. A. I believe I started at \$170,000 per year 11 management about whether they valued your role it 11 12 12 for base salary. And I believe that I was at the achieving that? 13 13 upper limit of that compensation range for the plant A. Yes, sir. At about that same time frame, 14 when I achieved that 87 percent availability, the 14 manager position. 15 After becoming the director I was making 15 company approached me and told me that I was 16 \$180,000 a year in base salary. 16 critical to the continued support of the company 17 Q. Okay. And did you -- at that time was it 17 goals, and they offered me an incentive program to 18 the practice of the company to give its executives 18 stay with the company for another year and a half, 19 or its employees, in general, any sort of profit 19 and they gave me a year's worth of salary on top of 20 sharing or incentive pay? 20 my regular salary to do so. 21 21 Q. Okay. And when was that? A. Yes. There's an incentive program for 22 performance tied to goals. And there was also a 22 A. That was the fall of 2012. 23 23 stock sharing program and stock awards for Q. Okay. 24 performance. 24 A. Excuse me. Let me back that up. I think 25 Q. Okay. And did you receive incentive 25 that was the fall of 2011. Page 35 Page 37 Q. Okay. So it was a program designed to 1 bonuses --1 2 A. Yes, I did. 2 keep you there for some period of time moving 3 forward? 3 Q. -- during the course of your career there? 4 A. And every year I was there. 4 A. Yes, sir. 5 5 Q. Okay. And what was the magnitude of the Q. Okay. And that was for, what, would you incentive bonuses that you received? say a year and a half or... 6 7 7 A. Oh, jeez. I don't recall. I can give you A. Yeah. The -- it was an actual signed contract. It was for an 18-month period. a range. 8 8 9 9 Q. Okay. Okay. 10 10 Would you explain for the record, please, A. Probably the lower limit was around \$30,000 a year at the beginning of the year. 11 in general terms, how you were able to achieve -- or 11 12 strike that. 12 Q. Uh-huh. A. I believe towards the end of my employment 13 Let me ask the question this way. 13 14 with PNM it was upwards of 70,000. 14 First of all, with respect to the 15 Q. Okay. And what were those incentive 15 improvement in the EAF that was achieved during your 16 bonuses tied to? What was the nature of the 16 managership, do you believe that you're entitled to 17 credit for that to some degree? 17 performance that had been achieved that resulted in 18 18 A. Absolutely. those incentive payments? 19 19 A. The nature of the performance? First of Q. Okay. And what was it that you did that 20 all, before a bonus can be even awarded, the company 20 you believe contributed to that and accomplished 21 had to meet financial targets. The company had to 21 that increase, that improvement in the EAF? 22 22 be profitable before they can pay people for doing a A. You don't turn the performance in a large 23 23 coal plant around in three easy steps. But I can good job. 24 24 And then secondly, the compensation was tell you that what I did to improve the performance 25 tied upon performance goals and safety and 25 of San Juan falls into a couple of different

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

Page 40 Page 38 1 going to break. It may very well break on a hot 1 categories. 2 2 One, you look behind you and see what had summer afternoon when the peak load is very high. 3 3 failed in the past. You fix what's been broken. And if it breaks, then you can't deliver the 4 To that extent, things like boiler tube 4 low-cost coal energy. You have to go to much more 5 5 leaks had been a large cause of forced outages. I expensive alternative forms of generation. Q. Okay. And what does that mean? Explain 6 did a lot of work there. 6 7 7 The second category is developing a how that happens. 8 8 predictive maintenance system: Analyzing and using The unit breaks down and it's a hot summer 9 technology to determine when equipment may break at 9 day and PNM has to get power from somewhere. Where do they go to get the power? some point in the future, and then being proactive 10 10 in taking steps to repair it or fix it or replace it 11 11 A. When a San Juan unit or any unit breaks 12 12 before it even breaks down. down that's running and generating power, at that 13 13 And then lastly, the category of process very moment in time there are people in PNM that 14 14 improvement in using industry best practices on how look at how much electrical load there is in the 15 you conduct your preventative maintenance -- oil 15 system and what the difference is that needs to be 16 changes, so to speak -- or applying processes so 16 made up. 17 that operators make good decisions with procedures, 17 They look at existing generation and the 18 a number of process-related things in industry, best 18 cost of that existing generation versus the price of 19 practices to improve overall reliability. 19 generation that's available on the market, and they 20 Q. Okay. Now with respect to the predictive 20 select the lowest cost form of generation that is 21 maintenance system, is that something that is 21 available -generally practiced in the industry? 22 2.2 Q. Okav. 23 23 A. Absolutely. A. -- within transmission constraints. 24 Q. Okay. Is that one element of best 2.4 Q. So basically they go elsewhere for it? 25 25 practices? Yes, sir. Page 39 Page 41 A. It is. Q. Either within the system -- the PNM system 1 1 2 Q. Okay. And had it not been practiced in 2 or outside the PNM system? 3 3 the past there? A. That is correct. 4 A. It's measured by how many -- we call them 4 Q. Okay. When you took your position as 5 5 PMs, preventative maintenances -- how often do they manager of the San Juan generating station, how old get done? Are they done on schedule? And what is 6 6 were those plants? 7 7 the size of the backlog of work that should be A. Let's see. When I took my position as 8 8 getting done which isn't. That's a relative measure plant manager, that was in 2010. Unit 1 had been 9 of how effective your preventative maintenance 9 brought on in 1973 -- excuse me -- Unit 2 in 1973. 10 10 Unit 1 in 1976, followed by Unit 3 in 1979, and program is. 11 At San Juan the backlog was pretty huge, 11 Unit 4 in 1982. 12 and the compliance with preventative maintenance was 12 Q. Okay. So they were -- and you came on in 13 13

not as good as it should have been.

Q. Okay. And in your opinion, what was the relationship of that situation to the low EAF when it was back in the 70s?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

A. Well, if you don't change the oil in your car it's going to break down, not unlike power plants. If you don't do the required preventative maintenance then you end up with corrective maintenance, fixing it after it broke.

Q. Okay. And what is -- what's the -- this may seem obvious. But what's the problem with just fixing it when it breaks?

A. You can't determine exactly when it's

what year again?

A. I became the plant manager in 2010.

Q. Okay. So the -- so Unit 1 was then how old? 37 years?

A. That sounds right.

Q. Okay. And then grading up from that to newer and newer.

What is the average -- what is the expected life of a coal plant like those plants?

A. I've been a part of 13 different power plants in my career. And typically, they're built with a 30-year life.

Q. 30-year?

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Page 42 Page 44 A. 30 years. And that typically coincides 1 they had you sign a contract. 2 But did anyone actually sit down and say, with the length of depreciation that a plant has. 3 Q. Okay. So do plants often last longer than You're doing a great job, or words to that effect, 30 years? 4 other than simply offering you these increased 5 A. Absolutely. compensations? 6 Q. Okay. And would you explain how it is A. Not specifically. There were annual 7 that a plant with a 30-year life and a predic- -performance reviews which were, to a degree, a 8 and a depreciation life of 30 years carries on for paperwork drill. years after that? 9 Q. Uh-huh. 10 How is that possible? How does that A. I continued to get support, not only in 11 being compensated, but support in what I was doing happen? 12 A. Sure. In the initial design of a plant, to turn the performance of the plant around. And if 13 it's designed for 30 years. And that's basically anything, that was very motivating, to get that engineering design that predicts the life of the 14 support not only from PNM and the corporate offices, unit based on any number of assumptions: How it's 15 but from all the owners in supporting me in what I operated, for example. 16 needed to do to turn the plant around. But the life of a plant can go well beyond 17 Q. Okay. And how did you do on your annual that based upon the amount of investment and how 18 performance reviews? it's ran, it's continually maintained and upgraded, 19 A. About average. how it's taken care of. 20 Q. Yeah. Is there an understanding as to 21 So any number of factors can drive the performance reviews in the -- within PNM as to how life of the plant well beyond its 30-year original 22 many people get above average on their performance 23 reviews? Q. Okay. And do plants -- forgive me. But 24 A. There's talk of a bell curve. For the 25 when I think about these plants, I use your -- your most part employees that worked for me, even though Page 45 analogy of automobiles. And I know that when I have 1 they did an outstanding job in many respects, never an automobile that goes over a certain number of 2 got above average. How can you tell someone they 3 miles it becomes more and more expensive to are above average when you have a plant that has so maintain. 4 far to go in meeting its goals --5 Is that also true of aging power plants? Q. Okay. 6 A. That is true, yes. A. -- was the mindset. 7 Q. Okay. You've mentioned -- I want to go Q. Would you take a moment now and summarize 8 back for a moment. for the record how a coal plant like the San Juan You mentioned some events that had 9 generating station works? How does it make occurred at Units 2 and 3 which sounded pretty --10 electricity? well, some explosions and/or failures, let's put it 11 A. Sure. It starts with mining the fuel, that way. 12 which is an underground mine. It's specialized Was anyone hurt during those? 13 equipment that gathers the coal together in large A. No, sir. 14 chunks.

Q. Okay. Would you -- strike that.Going back to where I was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

So when you received these increases, the change in the upgrade in your title and your pay increases and your performance compensation, did PNM or -- other than the fact that they were giving you these raises and -- and increasing your title or the status -- your status at the plant, did anyone at PNM actually talk to you about your performance?

And I know you said that they said you were critical and they wanted you to stay there, and

It's brought out of the mine and put into large trucks. The trucks drive a couple of miles to the plant, where the coal is crushed into a smaller size, probably the size of gravel, put into a pile, where it's then loaded onto a conveyer.

The conveyer then brings the coal into the plant and distributes it into large silos, not unlike a farm corn silo. And those silos are essentially the gas tanks of the units, where you store the fuel.

At the bottom of the silos is a

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Page 46

pulverizer, or mill, that grinds the coal up. And it's essentially like a large record player table that spins. And it's got large metal tires that spin around and crush the coal.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

From there, hot air is blown in. And the coal that's received -- or is ground up to be almost like a baby powder consistency is brought in with the air, and it's transported via pipes into the

And in the boiler, the ground-up coal and the air mix, and there's a large fireball inside the boiler. We're talking a boiler that's wider than a school bus and 10 stories tall, so a huge fireball inside.

And so that's where the chemical energy in the coal is converted into heat.

The heat, then, is absorbed in the boiler. The boiler has got hundreds, if not a thousand tubes, surrounding the fireball. And the heat is absorbed into the tubes. And the tubes, then, have water in them which turn into steam.

And in a very basic sense, then, the steam is then taken to a turbine, which is not unlike a pinwheel, spins the turbine around, which then turns the generator, which generates the electricity.

of generation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And does the -- does a coal plant generate waste?
 - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Okay. And what is the nature of that waste, and what do you do with it? What happens to
- A. Well, there's several forms of waste. It's byproducts of the combustion.

The fly ash that I mentioned a moment ago that's entrained in the flue gas going to the back of the units, that's captured and then hauled off in large trucks back towards the mine.

There's bottom ash, which is -- has a pretty thick consistency. And that falls into the water in the bottom of the boiler. That has to be hauled away.

- Q. What's the water in the bottom of the boiler? What's that? Why is there water in the bottom of the boiler?
- A. Yes, that's a great question.
- 22 Q. Thank you. 23 (Discussion off the record.)
 - A. When the coal is burnt, the ash that's heavier -- these are little rocks or things that's

Page 47

- Q. And in this process, what are the -- what are the types of things that typically go wrong and cause a drop in where you would like to have your EAF?
- A. Things that can go wrong that cause the plant to break down, there's lots of pumps in the plant to pump different fluids, the water, the steam.

There's also fans in the plant to push the air.

The pulverizers, or mills that grind up the coal, those are pretty high maintenance. Those are something that you've really got to stay after, to keep those available.

And then coal has a lot of ash in it, so

it takes quite a bit to remove the ash after it's been burnt into coal. Ash falls into the bottom of the boiler that's kind of a popcorn-like

consistency. You call that bottom ash. That has to be removed.

Then other ash, we call it fly ash, because it can become entrained in the air, that goes to the back of the plant, the back of the units. The ash removal systems are very high

maintenance that can break down and cause the loss

Page 49

Page 48

dirt -- the heavier parts of dirt -- fall to the bottom of the boiler.

And you've got to remove them, but you don't want all of the rest of the combustion byproducts to come out the bottom of the boiler. So there's a layer of water, a small pool, if you will, where the ash falls. And the ash goes through the water and then it's collected in the bottom and goes through -- we call it a clinker grinder. It's not unlike the garbage disposal in your house.

It takes that bottom ash, mixes it into a slurry, and pumps it towards the back of the plant.

- Q. (By Mr. Boyd) Okay. When you say mixes it into a slurry, in other words, it picks up water and ends up being wet?
 - A. That is correct.

So the ash and water mixture is pumped to the back of the plant, where we have dewatering bins which take out as much water as possible.

The ash is still somewhat moist, and that's loaded into trucks and hauled to the mine.

- Q. Okay. And what happens to it in the mine, at the mine?
- A. During my tenure, at one point in time the mine had been an open pit mine, and they were

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

Page 50 Page 52 1 1 putting it back into the open pit. an emission source. 2 Q. Okay. And those emissions can be or 2 Q. Okay. And you say at one point during 3 3 your tenure. are -- they can be hazardous, is that correct, other 4 Was there a different system for it at 4 than simply contributing dust in the air? 5 5 some other point in your tenure? MR. BUTLER: I will object as to form. 6 A. No, sir. I'm not sure what they have done 6 Go ahead. 7 7 after I left. MR. BOYD: Okay. 8 Q. (By Mr. Boyd) Go ahead. 8 Q. Okay. All right. 9 And are there -- are there some sort of 9 A. Hazardous, I guess, is probably up for 10 debate. But I do know that we have emissions 10 ponds outside the plant that play a role in the 11 requirements, and we maintain fugitive ash emissions 11 disposal of waste? 12 to be within compliance. 12 A. Yes. 13 13 Q. Would you explain what those are? Q. Okay. I would now like to turn to the 14 circumstances -- hang on just a second. 14 A. Well, when we talk about waste from the 15 plant, ash, the bottom ash and the fly ash, are 15 The ash that goes back to the mine, that's 16 things that are in the water but then come out of 16 trucked back to the mine, is that wet when it goes 17 the water. 17 back to the mine? 18 The other part is water that has dissolved 18 A. Yes, it is. 19 19 Q. Okay. Do you have any way of quantifying solids in it. Not unlike when you mix salt in a 20 20 how much water is retained in the ash? glass of water and you stir it up, you can't see the 21 21 A. The engineering of a plant has fairly salt anymore. complex water balance diagrams. From an engineering 22 22 That water, that has a lot of dissolved 23 23 solids in it, is pumped out to the evaporation ponds standpoint, we track every water -- drop of water, 24 24 from the time that it's taken out of the river and just west of the plant. 25 25 put in our lake, and from in the lake to all the From there, the water evaporates out and Page 51 Page 53 leaves behind the salt, so to speak, in the 1 1 different systems in the plant. 2 evaporation area. 2 So that information is something that I Q. And is that -- is the salts just ash but 3 don't have with me, but is something that I've seen. 3 4 finer, or is it something different than what ends 4 Q. Okay. What does it feel like? What does 5 up in the bottom? 5 the stuff feel like? Does it feel wet? Does it 6 A. Sure. It's some ash that had been 6 feel damp? Does it feel just a little cool or... 7 7 dissolved in the water. And again, ash is A. Well, I can't say that I've ever felt it. essentially dirt, so there's lots of constituents in 8 8 But the bottom ash is somewhat claylike. I mean, 9 the ash. The chlorides, any number of things that 9 you could bunch it up on the table and it wouldn't 10 can dissolve into the water. 10 necessarily run out a puddle of water. 11 Q. Okay. And so it goes out into these 11 The fly ash, we add water to it to keep it ponds. Then what happens? The water evaporates and 12 12 wet enough so that when it's in the back of the leaves this. 13 truck going to the mine it doesn't blow out fly ash 13 14 What happens to what is left? 14 into the air and become an emission. 15 A. Over a course of many years the pond 15 Q. Okay. Is it muddy? Is that --16 16 eventually fills up, where there's no liquid A. If it's muddy, the operator has added too 17 capacity left. And it was our intention to 17 much water, and I will be having a talk with him. 18 eventually cap the ponds so that the salts or 18 On the other hand, if he hasn't added 19 residual left in the pond couldn't become airborne 19 enough water, then I would be having a talk with him 2.0 when it's windy. 20 about that too. 21 Q. And who cares? Why do you care whether it 21 Q. Okay. Who was your -- who did you answer 22 becomes airborne? 22 to when you were the manager of the San Juan 23 A. Well, we have pretty strict limits on 23 generating station? fugitive dust at the plant, so we don't want 24 24 A. I reported to the vice president of 25 anything being entrained in the air and leaving as generation, and that was two individuals over the

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

Page 54 Page 56 1 1 course of time. get phone calls or e-mails. 2 I would report to them during quarterly or 2 Q. Who were those individuals? 3 3 During the first part of my role as a monthly meetings, as required. 4 plant manager it was Patrick Themig. 4 Any time they came to the plant I treated 5 5 Q. Patrick? them as a boss and took care of their needs. 6 A. Patrick Themig. I mentioned him a little 6 Q. Okay. In terms of day-to-day reporting, I 7 7 earlier. take it as -- since PNM was the operator of the 8 8 Q. Right. plant, you reported to Olson. 9 A. And then in the fall of 2012, Chris Olson 9 Is that a correct assumption? became the vice president of generation. 10 A. That is correct. 10 11 Q. Okay. Did there come a time when you left 11 12 PNM? A. You also asked who did I answer to. 12 13 13 I also answered to the other eight owners. A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And did they have equivalent people 14 Q. All right. Would you summarize the 14 15 in the -- in the role of Chris Olson? 15 circumstances? 16 A. Yes. sir. 16 I want to start from when you first 17 Q. Individuals that you reported to? 17 learned that you were going to be leaving. 18 A. That's correct. 18 And when was that? 19 Q. Okay. And was your reporting to them any 19 A. That was the afternoon of May 2, 2013. different than your reporting -- the way you 20 Q. Okay. And what happened on the afternoon 20 reported to PNM, to Mr. Olson? 21 21 of May 2, 2013? A. Yes. Yes, absolutely. 22 A. I was called to a meeting with Chris Olson 22 23 23 Q. Okay. In what way? and another individual and -- a pretty short 24 A. The other owners was more of a customer 24 meeting. They announced that I was -- Chris told me relationship. 25 25 I was going to be terminated. Page 55 Page 57 Q. Okay. And who was the other individual? 1 And certainly it was a customer 1 2 relationship with the vice president of generation 2 A. That was human resources, Anna Ortiz. 3 3 at PNM, although the vice president at PNM had Q. Okay. And did they tell you why you were 4 hiring authority and firing authority. 4 being terminated? 5 5 A. Yes. It was the -- I was in violation of Q. Okay. And that was Olson? A. Yes, sir. And Themig. 6 the doing-the-right-thing policy. 6 7 7 Q. Okay. And what was it that you had done Q. And Themig? 8 or had supposedly done that violated the doing-the-8 A. Yes. sir. 9 Q. In the -- would you explain, to the best 9 right-thing policy? 10 of your understanding, what was the relationship 10 A. They referenced it -- the reason behind it 11 among the owners and what -- what was PNM's role 11 was for two meetings that occurred at the plant in 12 12 the weeks prior to my termination. within that group of owners? 13 13 Q. Okay. And what were those meetings? What A. Okay. PNM is the operating agent for 14 San Juan generating station. Essentially, they were 14 had happened at those meetings that was in any way 15 the majority owner of the plant, and they operated 15 remarkable? 16 16 and maintained and was the operating agent for the A. The first meeting was a regularly 17 17 plant. scheduled staff meeting. Actually, both meetings 18 And that was essentially my role. I was 18 were a staff meeting. One was regularly scheduled, and one had 19 the top employee at the plant with that task and 19 20 that role and responsibility. 20 been called at the request of human resources. 21 Q. Okay. So you may have -- when you say you 21 Q. Okay. And what happened at those 22 reported to the other owners, how did that -- how 22 meetings? 23 did you report to them? 23 A. It was --24 24 A. Any number of ways. Q. What were they about and what occurred 25 Sometimes they had questions, where I'd 25 there, if anything, that was out of the ordinary, if

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

Page 58 Page 60 1 there was anything out of the ordinary. 1 Others in attendance was my engineering 2 2 A. Well, they were business meetings, manager, Hank Adair; my compliance manager, Rick 3 3 reporting -- or focusing on business topics. Saver; and of course human resources, our HR 4 The first meeting was a request from HR to 4 supervisor at the plant, Mary Gordy. 5 5 establish a dedicated breastfeeding lounge in the Q. Okay. And did -- were some of those 6 power plant, a room dedicated for that purpose. 6 people union representatives? 7 7 Q. This was for -- when you brought in your A. No, sir. 8 8 infant -- brought infants in or for breast pumping? And if I may, I forgot. 9 A. It was for employees that had infants at 9 Ernie Rodarte was our compliance manager and director, and he was with us. home, where they wanted to gather the milk to take 10 10 home to their children. 11 11 No. no one in attendance was a union 12 12 Q. Okay. Okay. representative or an hourly employee. This was 13 13 And what was -- what happened during the strictly a management meeting, a closed door. meeting and what was the outcome of that meeting? 14 14 A typical management meeting, you have to 15 A. Some of my managers had been in power 15 be able to discuss tough issues and get things out 16 plants for years, and thought the request was fairly 16 on the table. 17 odd. 17 Q. Okay. And the -- was there a consensus 18 I hadn't heard of that before. We'd had 18 that you should establish this room? 19 some tough union issues, and we were wondering if 19 A. Yes, sir, there was. 20 that was the right thing to do. So we had a very 20 Q. Okay. And I take it you, as plant 21 open and frank discussion on the issues surrounding 21 manager, supported the consensus? 22 22 A. Absolutely. 23 23 The issues of, Where would the room be? Q. Okay. Was that it? Was that the meeting? 2.4 And could that be the room's sole purpose? What 24 Is that a fair summary of the meeting? 25 would be required in the room? Could it have 25 That's what went on, yes. Page 61 Page 59 Q. Okay. And then what was the second 1 windows? And if so, blinds? And would it need a 1 2 refrigerator? Would it need a place for a person to 2 meeting? 3 3 A. The second meeting, human resources, Mary relax while they took care of that? 4 What would be the policy for supervision, 4 Gordy, had asked for the meeting. And in that 5 5 and how often could they go there, an employee go meeting she had asked if we could take an employee there? And how would supervision control it? 6 who was pregnant and give them time off work for the 6 7 7 Establishment of a phone. entire duration of their pregnancy, and until they 8 were comfortable with their child being taken care 8 It was critical that the room wasn't 9 labeled for its purpose, so that it wasn't common 9 of and they could come back to work. 10 knowledge among employees, but only those that 10 Essentially, it was a request to give an 11 11 employee a medical-related leave. needed it. 12 12 There was also questions about, could Q. For the duration of -- from the time that people bring their children in? And certainly, in 13 she became pregnant or learned that she was pregnant 13 14 an industrial coal plant, we decided that was 14 until she was comfortable with returning to work? 15 probably not the best. 15 A. Yes, sir. 16 16 At the end of the meeting we concluded Q. Okay. And did the company have any policy with respect to pregnancy leaves at the time? 17 17 that that was the right thing to do, and we needed 18 to go ahead and establish a breastfeeding lounge at 18 A. Well, no. Corporate didn't give us any 19 19 the coal plant. direction from that standpoint. Mary Gordy didn't 20 Q. Okay. And who -- who attended that 2.0 tell us about any policy along those lines either. 2.1 meeting? 21 And that was her role, to help us make 2.2 22 sure that we were in compliance with the policy. A. That was my management staff. That 23 consists of myself; a production manager, Tim 23 But internally, within the plant, we 24 24 Driver; operations manager, Kenny Smith; maintenance needed to make sure that how we treated employees 25 manager, Bill Belshe. with medical issues, that we had a consistent way of

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

Page 62 Page 64 1 the meeting in Albuquerque when they fired you, o 1 doing that. 2 2 So if anything, we had an unwritten policy informed you that you had been fired, what was it 3 3 on how do we manage employees with medical issues. about those meetings that caused you to be fired? 4 Q. Okay. Had you had to manage an employee 4 A. Well, if I may, a clarification. 5 5 with a pregnancy issue in the past during your term I was fired at a meeting at the plant. 6 there? 6 They came up to the plant. 7 7 Q. Oh, okay. Sorry. A. Yes. During my term there and throughout 8 8 my career, I worked with many employees that were A. There was a meeting prior to that, that 9 pregnant and had some time off work and came back. 9 gave me insights behind why they terminated me. 10 Q. Why -- yes. And I'm going to get to that Q. Okay. And can you tell me what the 10 typical, in your view, appropriate response is to a 11 11 in just a moment. 12 woman who gets pregnant and needs time off? So what did they say were the reasons for 12 13 13 A. Well, not only pregnancy, but any medical terminating you? 14 A. That I was in violation of doing-the-14 issue. I'm not a doctor. It will be up to the 15 medical professionals to determine when an employee 15 right-thing policy. That -- words to the effect 16 can or can't work. 16 that I hadn't fulfilled my duties as a senior 17 Oftentimes they'll give us restrictions on 17 manager. 18 what the employee is allowed to do: No lifting or 18 Q. Okay. And did they explain why and what 19 no working in a hazardous environment. 19 it had to do with these two meetings? 20 So we rely on medical professionals to 20 A. Not really. It was basically what was on 21 21 tell us what employees can or can't do. the written paper. 22 22 To the degree possible, we make reasonable I would say no. 23 23 accommodation. And when the time comes, if they Q. Okay. What was on the written paper? 24 need to be off work, we honor that. 24 A. That I was in violation of the doing-the-25 25 Q. Okay. And when is that, typically? In right-thing policy and failed in my duties as a Page 65 Page 63 your experience, when a woman is pregnant, typically 1 1 leader. 2 when does she go off work, clock off? 2 Q. And there was no explanation for that from 3 3 A. Just in my experience, a month or two them, other than what you've just given us here now 4 before she's due. 4 A. To my recollection, that is correct. And Q. Okay. And -- and your HR representative 5 5 that's all that I can remember at this point, yes. was asking for time off for the entire pregnancy and 6 Q. Okay. Was there any -- did they point to 6 7 7 some unspecified duration after that? anything that had occurred at these meetings that 8 8 A. That is correct. they felt violated their do-the-right-thing policy? 9 Q. Okay. And what was the decision that was 9 A. Not in that meeting, no, sir. 10 made with respect to that request? 10 Q. Okay. At any time? 11 A. After a lot of discussion, analyzing the 11 A. Yes. In the week prior, there was a 12 risk and the issues, we came to the conclusion that 12 meeting in Albuquerque. They took exception to one we wanted to be consistent with this employee, in 13 of the managers in my meeting. He was concerned 13 14 the same way that we've treated other employees. 14 about the liability of a pregnant employee on plant 15 That it would be based upon medical 15 site delivering the child while on plant site. 16 16 advice, and what restrictions the employee would And he had words to the effect of, What if 17 the employee gives birth to the baby in the parking 17 currently have we would accommodate those. That was essentially the decision. We 18 lot? 18 19 19 Q. Okay. And did they explain what it was would accommodate whatever restrictions they may 2.0 have. And whenever the -- a point in time arose 2.0 that you had done wrong in connection with that 21 that they needed to be off work for the pregnancy, 21 other person having said that at a meeting? 22 we would accommodate that as well. 22 A. My understanding is they expected me to 23 Q. Okay. In what way -- what happened --23 put a stop to any inquiry like that. 24 strike that. 24 Q. Okay.

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

Or the use of any language that may be

25

According to Mr. Olson and Ms. Ortiz, at

25

	Page 66		Page 68
1	taken inappropriately.	1	receive any verbal or written warnings about any
2	Q. So did you understand the implication to	2	failures on your part?
3	be that you should have disciplined him for having	3	A. No, sir. In my entire five years at PNM I
4	said that?	4	never received warnings in verbally or that I
5	A. I understood and it didn't come out of	5	had ever done anything that was wrong or
6	context from me in the meeting.	6	inappropriate.
7	I understood that the manager was	7	Q. Okay. And what is PNM's customary custom
8	inquiring about liability.	8	and practice with respect to correcting employee
9	Did he phrase it properly? Probably not.	9	misbehavior, if it has one?
10	He probably could have polished it and sugar-coated	10	A. Well, the basics of leadership is if an
11	it a little bit more.	11	employee is not performing properly or engaging in
12	And I understood it as a valid inquiry	12	behaviors that aren't correct, you pull them aside
13	towards liability. It didn't stand out to me.	13	and warn them only to the degree that it takes to
14	In the power plant, it's a culture that's	14	change the behavior.
15	been around for many years, people talk very openly	15	Q. Okay. And had you is that is that
16	and frankly.	16	something that you had learned from management at
17	So it didn't jump out at me as an issue	17	PNM?
18	until the investigation meeting in Albuquerque,	18	A. Leadership throughout my career, sir.
19	where they brought it up.	19	Q. Okay. And you never received any
20	Q. Okay. And what was it, if anything? Was	20	indication or strike that.
21	there anything else about the meeting about	21	Did you receive any indication at PNM that
22	pregnancy that was brought to your attention as a	22	its approach to managing its employees was any
23	problem?	23	different?
24	A. Yes. I don't recall it from the meeting,	24	A. No, sir.
25	but they alleged that one of the managers used	25	Q. Okay. You have filed a lawsuit, have you
	Page 67		Page 69
1	inappropriate language.	1	not?
2	Q. And that a manager had used inappropriate	2	A. Yes, sir.
3	language at that meeting about pregnancy?	3	MR. BUTLER: John, can we take, like a
4	A. Yes, sir.	4	three-minute break? It's been an hour. I know
5	Q. Okay. And what was the language that he	5	we're short on time. But
6	used?	6	MR. BOYD: Yeah, that's fine. Let's take
7	A. He used a three-letter word that began	7	a break. Well, let's take a 10-minute break.
8	with the letter T.	8	MR. BUTLER: This is a good time, I think,
9	Q. Okay. And in reference to what?	9	isn't it?
10	A. The frontal female anatomy.	10	MR. BOYD: It is.
11	Q. So in other words, he I know you're	11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going off
12	somewhat reticent. I can tell that you're somewhat	12	the record.
13	reticent about using this language.	13	The time is approximately 11:15 a.m.
14	But are you is it your testimony that	14	Watch your microphones when you stand up,
15	he used the word "tit" for the word "breast"?	15	please.
16	A. That's what I was told that came out in	16	(A recess was taken from 11:15 a.m. to
17	the meeting. I don't recall that.	17	11:27 a.m.)
18	Q. Okay. And somehow the fact that he said	18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going back
19	that contributed to your termination?	19	on the record.
20	A. Yes, sir.	20	The time is now approximately 11:27 a.m.
21	Q. Okay. Was there anything else that you	21	Q. (By Mr. Boyd) Mr. Smith, before I hand
22	learned that you understood or that you were told	22	you Exhibit 1, your attorney has informed me that
23	had contributed to your termination?	23	you wanted to make a minor correction to your
24	A. No, sir.	24	testimony.
25	Q. Okay. Before you were terminated, did you	25	What is that correction you want to make?

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

Page 70 Page 72 A. Well, actually, it's just a bit of 1 1 A. That is correct. 2 clarification. 2 Q. Is that correct? 3 Q. Okay. 3 And you filed this complaint on April 11, 4 A. During the meeting where the events of my 4 2014, according to its caption. 5 5 termination took place, they were asking me Is that correct? 6 questions. 6 A. Yes. sir. 7 7 At that point in time I understood the Q. Okay. And would you just quickly 8 8 summarize the factual -- the factual basis for this inappropriate language was used in the meeting where 9 there was discussion about the dedicated breast 9 complaint? I don't want you to go through feeding lounge. 10 everything, but just state for the record generally 10 Q. Okay. what your allegation is in this complaint, and then 11 11 12 I don't know if I got that confused. 12 I'll go into the details. Q. Okay. And so if I understand correctly, 13 13 A. Generally, my allegation in this complaint someone at that meeting supposedly or did use the is that I had acted to inform PNM that their intent 14 14 15 T-I-T word in relation to the female breast. 15 and actions relative to how San Juan generating 16 Is that correct? 16 station was being maintained and their intent to 17 A. I don't recall that happening. But that's 17 seek recovery of fuel costs through the fuel clause 18 what Mr. Olson and Ms. Ortiz alleged to, that 18 was inappropriate, and it brought up safety 19 they -- they said it happened. 19 concerns, and that was the true reason that I was 20 Q. They told you it happened? 20 terminated from PNM. 2.1 A. Yes. 21 Q. Okay. All right. And let's -- so your Q. And again, did you recall that it had 22 allegation is that the use of the word -- allowing 2.2 23 happened? 23 or permitting or somehow being involved in or 24 A. No, sir. 24 responsible for the use of the T-word in 25 Q. Okay. Okay. 25 substitution for the female, the word breast, at Page 71 Page 73 this meeting was actually a pretext? 1 And did they ever explain to you what your 1 2 failing was that had either allowed that to happen 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Okay. And you testified just now that you 3 or that you had failed to discipline someone for 4 uttering that word? 4 felt that the real reason was because of issues that 5 5 What it was that you had done that somehow you had raised about the maintenance of the plant, the fuel, the -- PNM's use of the fuel clause and 6 merited your termination because somebody else at 7 7 the meeting used that word? other issues was the real reason? 8 8 A. My understanding, it was that the meeting A. That is correct. 9 occurred, and I was the senior leader at that 9 Q. All right. Did you have any contacts with 10 10 meeting, and I should have done something to stop it senior PNM management that led you to believe that that was the case? 11 or correct it or make it go away. 11 12 12 I was the senior leader, and I'm A. Yes, sir, there were. 13 13 Q. All right. What was the nature of that responsible for my meetings. 14 (Exhibit marked, 1.) 14 contact? 15 Q. (By Mr. Boyd) Okay. Now, I'm handing you 15 And I want to start with the first one. 16 16 what I've marked as Exhibit 1 to your deposition. A. Okay. The first contact was with --17 17 And I have a number of copies of this meeting with Mr. Ron Talbot, our chief operating 18 here. There's probably not enough for everybody. 18 officer, and myself. 19 But would you please identify Exhibit 1 to 19 And in basic terms he wanted significant 20 your deposition, if you recognize it? 20 budget reductions from the San Juan maintenance 21 A. Yes. This is my complaint against PNM for 21 budget to help the company meet earnings forecasts. 22 violation of the New Mexico Fraud Against Taxpayers 22 Q. And is that what he said to you? 23 23 Act. A. Yes. sir. 24 24 Q. Okay. And you were fired, again, on Q. Those words, or words to that effect? 25 May 2nd, 2013. 25 Words to the effect, We are going to have

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

	Page 74		Page 76
1	a cool summer, and that would mean less revenue, and	1	to comply with the federal implementation plan.
2	we needed to make up the difference by cutting the	2	Q. And what was the federal implementation
3	budget so that we can meet our earnings forecast.	3	plan, for the record?
4	That was the objective.	4	A. To install SNCRs on all four units at
5	Q. Okay. For the record, again, I think you	5	San Juan.
6	mentioned his title. But what was Mr. Talbot's	6	Q. What's an SNCR?
7	title at the time?	7	A. Excuse me. I got that backwards. I put
8	A. He was chief operating officer.	8	an N in where I shouldn't have.
9	Q. And and how long had he worked for the	9	SCR.
10	company when you had this conversation with him?	10	Q. Okay.
11	A. Approximately 9, 10 months.	11	A. An SCR is selective catalytic reduction.
12	Q. Okay. Do you know where he came from?	12	And later on, I need to talk about SNCR, which is
13	A. Yes. He said he came from Indianapolis;	13	different.
14	Indianapolis Power and Light, I believe.	14	Q. Okay. So it was about the installation of
15	Q. Okay. And did he arrange the meeting?	15	SCRs in at the San Juan plant?
16	A. Yes, he did.	16	A. That is correct.
17	Q. All right. This conversation, did it	17	Q. Okay. And just very briefly, what does an
18	happen face to face?	18	SCR do?
19	A. Yes, sir, it did.	19	A. SCR is a very large box at the plant after
20	Q. And when did it happen?	20	the boiler, where the flue gas removes nitrous oxide
21	A. I would have to look at my complaint to	21	out of the flue gas before it goes out the stack.
22	get the exact date.	22	Q. Okay. The flue gas is something that
23	Q. Go ahead. If you need to refresh your	23	comes out of, essentially, this furnace and goes
24	recollection with your complaint, just go ahead and	24	through goes out the stack.
25	look at it.	25	A. That's correct.
	Page 75		Page 77
1	A. It happened in November.	1	Q. Correct?
2	Q. Of?	2	And this is something that takes
3	A. 2012.	3	pollutants out of that?
4	Q. And where did it take place?	4	A. That is correct.
5	A. I remember that. Let's see. November 14,	5	Q. Okay. At that meeting, or at about the
6	2012.	6	time of that meeting, did Mr. Talbot ask you to meet
7	Q. Okay.	7	with him, to meet him for breakfast or to meet him
8	A. It took place at the Flying Star Cafe,	8	at the Flying Star?
9	which is located just west of the PNM corporate	9	A. The previous day, November 13th, we were
10	headquarters.	10	working the EPA discussions. He asked me to meet
11	Q. Okay. And that's on Silver, here in	11	him at the Flying Star Cafe the next morning, wanted
12	Albuquerque?	12	to talk with me.
13	A. I believe that's the street, yes.	13	Q. Okay. Did he tell you what he wanted to
14	Q. Okay. And how was the meeting arranged?		talk to you about?
15	A. Mr. Talbot and I had been in EPA	15	A. Not until we got to the meeting.
16	negotiations the day before, and then he asked me to	16	Q. And about what time of day was that?
17	meet him for breakfast at the Flying Star Cafe the	17	A. I believe that was the 7:00/8:00 time
18	next morning.	18	frame.
19	Q. So in other words, you had been in a	19	Q. Okay. And did you meet him at the Flying
20	meeting that was also here in Albuquerque?	20	Star?
21	A. Yes, sir.	21	A. Yes, sir, I did.
22	Q. Okay. With the EPA.	22	Q. All right. And can you tell me, please,
23	And what was the nature of that meeting?	23	what transpired between you and Mr. Talbot at the
24	What was that meeting about?	24	Flying Star?
25	A. That was a discussion with the EPA in how	25	A. Yes. Mr. Talbot indicated we need to make
20			71. 100. Will raibot illaloatoa wo lloca to illatto

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

Page 78 Page 80 1 significant budget cuts at San Juan, in the order of 1 to do to the reliability of the plant, and that we 2 2 \$19 million in the O&M budget, only the O&M budget. would have to lower our projections for the 3 3 That the forecast for the summer of 2013 was showing reliability of the plant in the coming year if we 4 that it was going to be a light load, and that would 4 weren't going to maintain it as projected? 5 5 mean less revenue, and that we needed to cut Q. Okay. And did you say those words or 6 expenses in order to meet earnings projections. 6 words like that to him? 7 7 Q. Okay. I know this may seem obvious to A. Absolutely. 8 8 you. But did you have an understanding as to why Q. All right. And what was his response to 9 cutting the O&M budget at the San Juan plant would 9 that? boost PNM's earnings? 10 A. That the projections for reliability need 10 A. Absolutely. If you don't have an expense, 11 to remain unchanged. That we needed to reduce the 11 12 then revenue can become profit. budget. 12 13 13 Q. It goes straight to the bottom line, Q. Okay. And in other words, his position doesn't it? 14 didn't change? 14 15 A. That's my understanding. 15 A. No. sir. Q. Okay. And why -- explain for the record 16 16 Q. Okay. Now, you said that he reiterated 17 why PNM was expected to have a, quote, light load, 17 that you needed to cut the budget. But he also said 18 unquote, in the summer of -- this would have been 18 that the projections regarding reliability needed to the summer of 2013, correct? 19 19 remain the same. 20 20 A. Yes, sir. A. Yes, sir. Q. All right. Why was it expected to have a 21 2.1 Q. What was your reaction to his -- to that light load in the summer of 2013? 22 2.2 demand, or that direction, I should say. 23 23 A. Essentially, long-range weather forecast A. I told him I would go to the budget, 2.4 had indicated that the temperatures would be cooler 24 identify those things that were the least risky that 25 for the summer. And summertime temperatures have a 25 needed to be chopped from the budget in order to Page 79 Page 81 1 direct correlation with the electrical load. 1 meet his directive, and that I would return to him 2 Essentially, people run their air conditioners more. 2 and show him those things that would need to be 3 3 And then with the less load, then the meters aren't chopped in the budget so he would truly understand 4 spinning as fast, and that means less revenue. 4 the risks. 5 Q. And, therefore, less profit? 5 Q. Okay. How -- in your opinion, how would 6 A. Yes, sir. 6 it be possible to cut the O&M budget by \$19 million 7 7 Q. Okay. And when you said that Mr. Talbot and keep the projections for reliability the same? 8 8 said that they needed to find some -- cut the How is it possible to honestly do that? 9 operation and maintenance budget by \$19 million to 9 A. Well, that's the issue, sir. In my 30 10 meet -- meet revenue projections, those are 10 years of experience of running and maintaining 11 projections to whom, as you understood it? 11 plants and ensuring reliability, that was not 12 A. To shareholders. 12 possible. Q. Okav. 13 Q. Okay. So inside yourself, how were you 13 1.4 A. PNM shareholders. 14 reacting to this? 15 Q. Okay. 15 A. I was very disappointed. I didn't think 16 16 it was right, especially for the employees of the A. Investors. Q. Okay. To Wall Street? 17 17 plant. Because their compensation, their goals, are A. Yes, sir. tied to what we project as a corporation, what the 18 18 19 Q. Okay. What was your response? 19 plant's reliability should be. 20 Well, first of all, what was your reaction 20 So even though the employees knew that we 21 when you -- when he said this to you? 21 weren't repairing things and investing in the 22 22 A. Any time my boss asks me to do something I maintenance of the plant, I still had to hold them 23 have a duty to let him know the risks involved. 23 accountable for meeting the higher standard of 24 So my response to cutting \$19 million out 24 25 of the budget was, Do you realize what that's going 25 Q. So in other words, you were being asked to

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

Page 84 Page 82 1 state that the expected reliability of the plant 1 Q. How long did it last? I mean, as an 2 2 would be at a certain level and that you were not estimate. 3 3 going to meet that, or you were predictably not A. It was during breakfast, and I believe we 4 going to be able to meet that? 4 both had oatmeal, so it didn't take long to consume 5 5 A. That is correct. it. 20 minutes. Q. And the result, the effect on the 6 6 Q. Okay. And did you -- did you push back on 7 7 employees, your employees at that plant -- and let this proposal? 8 8 me digress for a moment. A. Absolutely. 9 How many of them are there? 9 Q. And I know you've already touched on it. 10 10 But would you tell -- tell me, for the record. A. Approximately 400 at that point in time. Q. Okay. And the reduction in that budget 11 What did you say to Talbot about the 11 12 12 would mean a reduction in their -- would inevitably implications of what he was telling you to do? 13 13 result in a reduction of their pay. A. I told him that we had worked so hard for Is that correct? 14 14 the last three years in turning that plant around, 15 A. That is correct. 15 that it wasn't right to cut the performance -- to 16 16 Q. Okay. And your pay? cut the budget to the plant. 17 A. Yes, sir. 17 And he's a power plant guy. He knew that 18 Q. Okay. Would you explain, please, what the 18 the maintenance would result in loss of reliability. 19 significance of -- is of projection -- what you 19 But to hold the employees and tell the owners that 20 referred to as projections for reliability? 20 we were still going to meet the reliability 21 Who are those projections delivered to and 21 projections, that wasn't right. 22 what is -- what is their significance? 22 And that was about the conclusion of our 23 23 A. Sure. The projections for reliability are meeting, and we both left the cafe. And he was 2.4 used in many different areas. 24 clearly agitated. 25 25 All of the owners use those reliability Q. How do you know he was agitated? Page 83 Page 85 1 projections for deciding how they're going to meet 1 A. Very short and curt. His eyebrows seemed 2 their summer peak loads and off-season loads; how to drop a little bit. But then we left the cafe, 2 3 they will manage all of their resources to account 3 and he was walking quickly back to corporate 4 for any shortcomings or do any hedging in the 4 headquarters. And he went a block, a block and a 5 5 half, and he realized that he forgot his car at the б 6 Also, too, the unit load projections, or cafe parking lot. 7 7 reliability projections, are used for things like Q. He went back to get it? 8 8 establishing the base rate and the fuel clause and A. Yes, sir. 9 for, again, within PNM, hedging future projections 9 Q. I take it he wasn't -- was he walking back 10 for reliability relative to buying -- purchase power 10 to corp- -- were you also going back to corporate 11 ahead of the market when it's a lower cost. 11 headquarters? 12 Q. Okay. Did you have any discussion with 12 A. Yes. I parked at corporate and walked to 13 him about this issue of maintaining the projections 13 the cafe. 14 of reliability at this artificial level and what the 14 Q. Okay. Was he walking with you or... 15 significance of that was at the time or at any time? 15 A. Yeah. We were --16 A. Oh, yes. During that breakfast meeting we 16 Q. Okay. 17 had discussions along those lines. 17 A. I was trying to keep up with him to try to 18 18 There was a point, too, and I'd have to continue to make my motion that it wasn't the right 19 refer, if you could give me a moment. 19 thing to do. 20 Q. Please do. Please refer -- if you need to 20 Q. Okay. Did the issue of the fuel clause 21 refresh your recollection you can refer to your 21 come up in your conversation with him? 22 22 complaint at any time. A. I don't think it did at this particular 23 A. During that particular meeting on 23 conversation. 24 November 14th. It was a relatively short meeting. 24 Q. Okay. Did you have any subsequent

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

25

conversations with Mr. Talbot?

25

And so...

Page 86

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. Yes, I did. I did come back to him with my list of items that I would need to chop out of the budget to meet the direction of chopping 19 million out of the budget.

And at the time, he talked about the cost would end up not impacting the company, because the higher generation cost would be passed through into the fuel clause.

And at that point in time I was -- I didn't think that was the right thing to do either.

Q. All right. Let's talk about that for a minute.

What did you -- what did you understand him to mean when he said it's a -- in effect, it's okay. The higher cost will be passed through through the fuel clause?

- A. That means when San Juan generating station, the low-cost electric provider doesn't run because the reliability is down, to meet --
- Q. It sounds -- sometimes it sounds like you say liability, but you're saying reliability, correct?
- A. Reliability, right.
- 2.4 Q. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Right.

1 cost should be refunded to the customer.

Q. Okay. And so how -- is the fuel clause implicated when a -- something like the San Juan generating station goes off line because of maintenance problems?

Page 88

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. And does that mean that -- and I know you've already said this, but I want to make sure it's clear -- that PNM may have to go, or often does have to go, out into the marketplace to acquire power from some other producer and pay whatever the market is bearing at that particular time?
- A. That's correct to a degree. It can look at its own existing resources or the market, and we go for the lowest cost provider of power.
- Q. Okay. What was your next conversation with Mr. Talbot after your Flying Star meeting, and when did it occur?
- 20 A. Will you give me a moment to...
 - Q. Sure. Take your time.
- 22 A. I don't have the exact time of the
 - meeting. I did have discussions over the telephone
- 24 from the power plant to corporate. That's when I 25
 - discussed the risks that we would be taking in

Page 87

If we don't maintain it, the plant doesn't run as much. When it doesn't run, then the power has to come from somewhere to meet the customers' needs.

And that power, then, comes from a higher-priced source. And that higher-priced source, through the fuel clause, is passed on to customers.

- Q. Okay. And what does this -- this term that we've been using, the fuel clause, how does that work?
- A. Yes. I'm sorry. I think the official terminology for it is the fuel and purchase power cost adjustment clause, through the PRC.
- I, by no means, am an expert in the fuel clause. But my understanding of it is, a set base is set on what we would project the cost of fuel would be for customer electric rates.

And if there is a fluctuation in the market, or for some unforeseen circumstance the price of the fuel rises to generate the electricity, that cost can be passed on to the customer.

Now, it's supposed to work the other way too. If things should go really smooth and the cost is actually less then the projected base, then that

Page 89

1 chopping the budget.

2 It was in the weeks after that meeting on 3 November 14th.

- Q. And these were conversations with whom?
- A. That was between me and Mr. Talbot.
- Q. Okay. And was it during those conversations that the issue of the fuel clause came up?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. All right. And was it Mr. Talbot who raised -- who first mentioned the relationship of the fuel clause to the cut in the maintenance budget?
- A. Yeah. I'd -- I had forgotten about the fuel clause, to be honest with you.

I brought up that if we're not running it's going to increase the costs to the company.

And that's when he corrected me and educated me that it's not an issue for the earnings on the company, because we have the fuel clause.

- Q. Okay. And what was your response to that?
- A. Well, I knew about fuel clauses and have been educated with them. And my experience at the University of Idaho and real life, that from a utility standpoint and society, fuel clauses can be

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

Page 92 Page 90 good things. 1 of corporate compensation, did Mr. Talbot personally 1 2 2 But on the same hand -- token, too, you've have a motive to cut back on maintenance and 3 3 got to be careful they're not abused. And I believe maintain corporate profits as predicted to Wall 4 that this was a case where this was an improper use 4 Street? 5 MR. ORTIZ: Objection to form and 5 of the fuel clause. Q. Okay. And so you learned about the fuel 6 6 foundation. 7 7 clause, what they were and how they operated at this A. Do I answer? 8 8 Q. (By Mr. Boyd) Yes, please do. course you took at the University of Iowa? 9 A. Yes, sir. And in my experience with 9 A. It's my understanding, yes, his own 10 Arizona Public Service Company, we worked on fuel personal compensation is closely tied to corporate 10 clauses there too. Of course I was management at 11 11 profitability. 12 12 APS, and it's a utility industry topic with much Q. And was that true of all executives in the 13 13 discussion. company or all employees? Q. Okay. Okay. 14 And if so, how did the system work? 14 15 15 By the way, as to this University of Iowa A. The system worked where the higher you course, again, PNM sent to you that, correct? 16 16 were in the organization it's perceived the greater 17 A. Yes, sir. 17 impact that you would have on meeting goals and 18 Q. All right. And who are the people that 18 corporate profitability. 19 tend to go to that course? 19 So the higher you are in the organization, 20 A. The people that I rubbed elbows with in 20 the greater the compensation package is for meeting 21 21 that course were vice presidents and directors such those goals of profitability. as myself. There were some chief operating officers 22 And I know that for a couple of reasons. 2.2 23 23 My own employees, the supervisors, they had some 24 It's a course designed to groom and 24 skin in the game, so to speak, that if we met goals 25 25 educate and enlighten people for future advancement that they would see a nice check towards the end of Page 91 Page 93 1 in the industry. 1 the year. 2 Q. In the power industry? 2 We were working on making a small 3 A. In the utility industry. 3 compensation package for hourly employees, so that they could become aligned with corporate goals. 4 Q. The utility. We call it -- you call it 4 the utility industry? 5 5 And the higher -- the managers that worked A. Yes. 6 6 for me, they had a compensation package that was a 7 7 Q. Okay. All right. And when Mr. Talbot little higher. I had something more than them. And 8 8 made this comment to you about being able to make it the higher we went in the organization -- if you 9 up, make up any losses through the fuel clause, what 9 look on publicly available information, it discuss 10 did you say in response to him? 10 compensation packages for chief operating officers 11 A. That I did not think that was right. It 11 and CEOs and -was an inappropriate use of the fuel clause. 12 Q. At PNM? 12 13 Q. And why not? Why wasn't it a proper use 13 A. I believe I have seen that in the past, 14 of the fuel clause? 14 15 A. Because the additional costs weren't 15 Q. Okay. And do those compensation goals 16 prudently incurred. 16 relate both to performance and profit? I -- when I worked at the Cholla power 17 17 A. Yes. As I might have mentioned earlier in plant in Arizona there was a fuel clause with the my testimony, you don't get compensation unless the 18 18 19 Arizona Public Service Company. And under that fuel 19 company is profitable. It needs to make its 2.0 clause they had a prudency review. And they came 20 earnings requirements to be able to trigger the 21 into the plant and wanted to discuss everything we 21 compensation. 22 did that impacted the reliability of that plant. 22 Q. Okay. And is there -- is there -- I guess 23 I thought the same thing would happen 23 what I don't understand -- I understand that the gu

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

who works on the boilers or whatever or keeps the

pipes clean, he may get compensated if the

24

24

25

here.

Q. Okay. Now, did -- from your understanding

Page 94 Page 96 performance of the unit is at or above its predicted corporate executives get compensated? They are not 1 1 2 2 performance level. down there running the plant or banging on boilers 3 3 Is that correct? or doing whatever the guys are doing up in -- in 4 A. Only if the company first meets financial 4 Four Corners. 5 5 goals. How are they compensated? 6 Q. Okay. 6 A. Sure. That is a fair question. It is 7 7 A. It makes sense. You've got to make some based upon -- and I kind of broadly spoke. 8 8 money before you can pass it out to employees. It is based upon their areas of 9 Q. Right. So if the company meets its 9 responsibilities. 10 financial goals and the performance of the 10 So Mr. Talbot, his compensation was not at particular unit that some guy is working on with a 11 all tied to the performance of San Juan power plant. 11 12 hammer or whatever he's doing, that guy gets His compensation was tied to more loftier goals of 12 13 13 something extra at the end of the year? the overall corporation. A. Yes. His goals are specific to the job 14 Q. Whether it meets its profit goals, for 14 15 that he's doing. 15 example? My goals are specific to the job that I'm A. Yeah. Or safety or -- yeah. 16 16 17 doing. And then as it rises higher in the 17 Q. Okay. When you first met with Mr. Talbot, 18 organization, specific to the job that corporate is 18 had you, by that time, made projections, or had PNM 19 doing. 19 made projections as to the reliability of the 20 Q. Okay. And so at what point, if at all, 20 San Juan generating station for the year 2013? 21 does it become significant that the -- that if it 21 A. Yes, I had. does -- that the company has exceeded its projected 22 Q. And what was that projection? Do you 2.2 23 23 profit? recall what it was? 2.4 A. Typically, performance metrics are 24 A. Overall, the plant was going to achieve an 25 25 calculated after the first of the year, and then 85 percent equivalent availability factor. Page 95 Page 97 compensation is calculated. Within 90 days Q. Okay. And have you learned, since you 1 1 2 compensation is made. 2 left the company, what the performance actually was Q. Okay. I guess what I'm trying to 3 3 for the year 2013? A. Yes. 2013, reported in the public domain, 4 understand, and which I don't understand -- and 4 5 5 forgive me for struggling with this -- is you have had achieved 75 percent equivalent availability. 6 got people who are responsible for a particular 6 Q. And to what do you attribute that -- the 7 7 plant performing well and meeting its performance difference between what was projected and what was 8 goals in the case of the San Juan generating 8 achieved? 9 station. 9 A. There's a very direct correlation between 10 That was you at the top, correct? 10 the maintenance of the plant and how well it runs. 11 11 Q. Do you have any knowledge, sitting here 12 Q. And then the guys at the bottom who are, 12 today, what the problems were that caused the plant you know, pushing things around or banging on things to perform at 75 percent instead of 85 percent? 13 14 or welding things, or doing whatever their jobs 14 A. Yes, I do. 15 are --15 Q. Okay. What are those? 16 16 A. Uh-huh. A. There were several things. Q. -- they also get compensated related to 17 17 Pulverizer and mill availability. The 18 that -- to the performance of that unit, provided 18 mills weren't performing well, and PNM reported that that the company meets its profit projection? 19 19 as NOX derates, without mills in service. NOX --2.0 A. That is correct. 2.0 there was -- the emissions would rise, so they had 21 Q. Is that correct? 21 to derate units due to mill availability. 22 22 A. That is correct. There was also ash and clinkering issues 23 Q. Okay. And is there -- if -- if you meet 23 that were in the maintenance budget that caused the or exceed profit, is there -- strike that. 24 24 unit reliability to suffer in 2013. 25 What is your understanding of how the 25 Also more specifically, a hot well pump on

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

Page 98 **Page 100** 1 1 Unit 1, it failed. Q. Okay. How -- if you know, how is it that A primary air fan -- and I forget if it 2 2 if the plant is suffering these problems and it's 3 3 was Unit 1 or Unit 2 -- it failed. operating at 75 percent instead of 85 percent, how 4 Q. I'm sorry. Say that again. is it that the company is able to maintain its 5 profits? 5 A. A primary air fan. 6 Q. A primary air fan. 6 It seems counterintuitive. 7 7 Okay. A. Yeah. Actually, I don't think it is a 8 A. There were casing leaks that impacted the 8 maintenance of profits; it's actually increasing 9 unit reliability, the plant reliability. 9 10 Numerous ash handling issues, clinker Q. Okay. Well, what was PNM's -- do you know 10 grinders, ash conveyance equipment, ash blowers, 11 from public records what PNM's profit was during the 11 12 12 nuva feeders. year 2013, the fiscal year? There was superheater tube leaks on Unit 3 13 13 A. Yes. I have looked at the PNM investor 14 website. And profitability continued to rise in 14 due to overheating. 15 There was a lot more, but that's all that 15 2013. I don't recall what that specific number is. Q. Okay. But it continued to go up? 16 comes to mind right now. 16 17 Q. Okay. Why do you -- how can you, sitting 17 A. Yes, sir. 18 here today, attribute those problems to the cut in 18 Q. Did it exceed projections? 19 the maintenance budget? 19 A. I do recall that at the end of 2013 the 20 A. Because specifically, those were the types 20 PNM board of directors chose to raise the dividend 21 of things that I had in the maintenance budget for 21 by 12 percent. 22 2013 that were cut out of the budget when I needed 22 Q. Okay. And how is that -- how is that -to cut 19 million out of the budget. 23 23 well, strike that. 2.4 Q. Okay. And did you -- when you identified 24 Let me ask this question. 25 25 these items as items that you were going to cut, did With the plant performing at 75 percent **Page 101** 1 you inform Mr. Talbot of the consequences of those instead of 85 percent, did that mean that the 2 2 employees at the plant didn't get a performance cuts? 3 3 A. Yes, absolutely. bonus? 4 Q. And how did you do that and when did you 4 A. I don't have knowledge if they did or they 5 5 did not. There was other goals tied to it, like 6 6 A. I did that in the weeks after the meeting safety, and I'm not sure how they ended up there. 7 7 at the Flying Star Cafe. I told him I would come But certainly they did not achieve their 8 8 back with a list of all the things that I would need part of the compensation relative to plant 9 to cut to be able to reach that goal. 9 performance. 10 And over the phone and in the weeks 10 Q. Okay. But the executives down here in 11 afterwards, I talked to him a couple of times 11 Albuquerque, with an increased dividend and what 12 relative to those things that I will be cutting out 12 that implies, is it a fair assumption, in your 13 opinion, that they did achieve their performance 13 of the budget. 14 Q. Okay. And what was his response? 14 bonuses? 15 A. To cut the money, keep the goals. 15 A. At the point that I left the company, 16 16 Q. Keep the -executive compensation was not tied to the 17 A. The goals. 17 performance of San Juan. And from what I can tell Q. Keep the goals. Cut the money, keep the in the public record, the company had a very good 18 18 qoals? 19 19 year. Typically, there is a straight-line 20 A. Yes, sir. 20 correlation between executive compensation and a 21 Q. Even though, as you saw it, as you 21 good year for the company. 22 22 believed would be the case, you would never meet Q. Okay. And so 2013 was a good year for the 23 that goal if you cut the budget in the way that you 23 company? 24 were being told to? 24 A. From what I understand. 25 That is correct. 25 Okay. What percentage of the power that

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

Page 102 Page 104 1 PNM produces itself does the San Juan plant -- do 1 Is that a fair statement? 2 the four units at the San Juan plant represent? A. Yes. It's a combination of O&M budget and 2 3 A. Approximately half. 3 capital budget. 4 Q. Okay. Well, how is it -- and again, I'm 4 Q. Okay. Would you explain for the record, approaching this from a slightly different angle. I 5 5 please, the difference between what is on the O&M budget -- and that's the one you were cutting here, 6 know we've talked about this. 6 7 7 But how is it that the performance at that correct? 8 8 plant can be at 75 percent instead of the projected A. That is correct. 9 85 percent and PNM still have a good year, declare 9 Q. -- and the capital budget. an increased dividend and be profitable? 10 What's the difference? 10 A. Regardless of how the plant performs, if 11 A. Yes. In pretty basic terms, O&M is 11 12 higher and more expensive generation power has to be operating and maintenance expenses. And these are 12 13 produced, those costs are passed on to the customer, 13 for routine expenses, projects that are relatively not to PNM. 14 small in nature, designed to continue to maintain 14 15 Q. Okay. In your training -- strike that. 15 the equipment and preserve its longevity, or to just 16 Is it an accepted principal of your 16 continue to operate the plant for basic commodities. 17 profession that if you cut maintenance activities, 17 Chemicals or employee wages fall under the O&M. 18 plant performance is going to go down? 18 These are treated, from an accounting 19 A. Absolutely. 19 standpoint, as an expense for the company. 20 Q. Okay. Is there any question about that? 20 Q. Okay. A. Not at all. 21 A. On the other hand, the capital budget, 2.1 Q. So -- excuse me. Give me just a minute 22 those are for larger dollar items. Typically at 2.2 23 here and let me catch up to myself. 23 San Juan, the trigger point for capital budget items 24 When you -- in your last conversation with 24 was \$50,000 or above. And other threshold items, 25 Mr. Talbot -- or strike that. 25 such as whether it was something that increased the **Page 103 Page 105** 1 Would you characterize, please, for the 1 life of the unit or the plant versus a routine 2 record, the tenor of your conversations with 2 maintaining-type function. 3 3 Mr. Talbot regarding the cuts in the maintenance Q. Okay. And -- and can you give me 4 budget? 4 5 A. The tenor? If you mean how do I 5 types of things would go into the capital budget? characterize those conversations, it became -- he's 6 6 A. Oh, sure. A relatively small pump 7 7 the boss and I'm following orders, and I needed to replacement that pumps chemicals. Or a pump that 8 8 follow orders, chop the budget. And I followed pumps limestone into the absorber, called a recycle 9 9 pump. If it wears out to the point where it needs 10 Q. Okay. And was there a point at which you 10 to be replaced, that may barely meet the threshold 11 got the message to stop pushing back and just follow for a capital replacement item of \$50,000. It's a 11 12 12 replacement of the pump. 13 A. Yes. There was a time when Mr. Talbot 13 On the extreme end of a capital project, I 14 14

actually came up to the plant, and I had brought up similar concerns that I had been voicing.

And he told me to just focus on running the plant.

- Q. What did you take that to mean?
- A. Pardon me for being frank, but shut up and do your job.
 - Q. Okay. Okay.

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Are there the -- when a utility owns a plant like the San Juan generating station, the utility spends money both on maintaining what it has and also on capital improvements to the plant.

examples, from the smallest to the largest, of what

point to the SCR project that the EPA had originally required under the FIP. That one, under some of PNM's projections, was close to a billion dollars.

- Q. A billion? That is with a B?
- A. Yes, sir. 18
 - Q. Okay. And what -- do you understand what I mean when I say the rate base?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. Can you tell me if there's a relationship between either of these budgets and PNM's rate base?
 - Oh. absolutely.

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 106 Page 108 1 Q. Okay. What is the relationship? 1 than a billion dollars. 2 A. The capital spending at San Juan would go 2 But I wanted to make sure that you 3 into PNM's rate base. And the rate base gets a rate 3 understood that's just for -- to help you understand 4 of return and gets regulatory relief upon it. It 4 the magnitude of the variation. 5 5 goes -- it's directly tied to the electric rates Q. Right. You're not saying it would 6 that the customer pays. 6 actually cost a billion dollars. That was a figure 7 7 Q. So if I take, as a hypothetical, the that was thrown out at some point? 8 8 \$50,000 pump, if that goes -- if the pump wears out A. Yes, sir. 9 and that goes into -- it's \$50,000.01 or whatever, 9 Q. Okay. All right. 10 and it goes into the capital budget, PNM is going to 10 With respect to operation and maintenance lay out the \$50,000 for that and it's going to make items, does PNM make a profit on those? 11 11 12 a profit on that that gets passed on to the 12 A. No. 13 ratepayers. 13 Q. Okay. So if I understand this cor- -- I 14 Is that correct? 14 want you to correct me if I am wrong about this. 15 A. A way to characterize it is, if PNM has to 15 If PNM cuts the O&M budget, in this case 16 buy a \$50,000 pump in its efforts to generate 16 by \$19 million, out of a total of how much? 17 electricity, it has to invest that money to make the 17 What's the -- what was the total O&M 18 18 budget for the year 2013? 19 So under a typical utility model, that 19 A. The total O&M for 2013 prior to cuts was 20 investment gets a rate of return. 20 \$108 million. 21 Q. Okay. So that is true of the \$50,000 Q. Okay. 21 22 pump. 22 A. That does not include O&M related to A&G. 23 And it's true, if they install it, of the 23 which is administrative and general, which is 2.4 billion-dollar SCR project? 24 employee loads and things. A. Yes, sir. 25 25 Q. Okay. Okay. **Page 107 Page 109** Q. Okay. And everything in between? So it was an approximately 15 percent cut, 1 1 2 2 if I'm doing the rough math? A Correct 3 Q. PNM expects to be able to make a profit on 3 A. Yes. 15 percent in the total budget. 4 that capital investment, correct? 4 Discretionary money devoted towards 5 5 A. That is correct. maintenance, that was a much larger amount. Q. And the profit that it makes comes from 6 6 Out of the 108 million, about 35 to 7 7 the rates that it charges the ratepayers? 37 million was for operations. That's the --8 8 A. That is correct. typical to use for the scrubber, or the operator 9 Q. And the receipts that it gets from the 9 pay. So the remainder of that would go into the 10 10 maintenance budget or the engineering budget. ratepayers? A. You lost me. 11 So a \$19 million cut in the total budget 11 Q. The money that they pay. 12 doesn't really account for -- a part of that budget 12 is just non-variable costs, anyways. 13 A. Sure. 13 14 Q. I mean, they don't just get a bill. They 14 Q. Paying the employees --15 have to actually pay the bill. 15 A. Fixed costs. 16 A. That's correct. 16 Q. -- and buying towels for the bathrooms and 17 Q. That's my only point, and I guess that 17 whatever? 18 goes without saying. 18 A. Exactly. Q. Okay. 19 A. If I may, just a point of clarification. 19 20 I talked about an SCR project of upwards 20 A. So \$19 million in total was about half of 21 of a billion dollars. And it's -- that's just a 21 the total maintenance that I'd planned for San Juan 22 22 rough number, to give you an idea of the extreme. in 2013. 23 The EPA has a much lower number. 23 Q. So this cut that Mr. Talbot required of 24 And then PNM worked hard to really zero in 24 you actually was about half of your maintenance 25 those exact costs, and they were probably much less budget?

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

Page 110 Page 112 A. Yes, sir. 1 spend the money at. 1 So we're looking. Can I repave the roads? 2 Q. Okav. 2 A. When I told you I had a list of risks, it 3 3 Can I do some improvements in the lake? Where can I 4 was a \$40 million list of risks. 4 spend the capital budget? 5 Q. And how much excess, in your opinion, was 5 Q. Were there cuts to anything else? 6 MR. BUTLER: Repeat that, please. 6 in the capital budget? 7 7 Q. (By Mr. Boyd) I'm sorry. A. The figure that I recall was -- 10 million 8 8 Go ahead. was my target for capital spending, but that was in 9 A. When I told you I went back to Mr. Talbot 9 PNM dollars. That was at PNM's side of the capital with a list of risks that we would be chopping, that 10 spending. 10 total list was about \$40 million in maintenance. 11 The O&M had to be reduced across all the 11 12 And I had to go about halfway up that list owners, but it was the goal -- the 10 million in 12 13 capital from the PNM side -- which I had to focus 13 to say, These are things I won't be doing to maintain the plant next year if you're adamant that 14 14 15 I chop 19 million. 15 Q. Okay. So -- but you weren't allowed to Q. Okay. Did he understand that he was cut any money from the capital side? 16 16 17 requiring you to chop about half the maintenance 17 A. Right. My objective was to continue to 18 budget? 18 spend that capital. Q. Okay. 19 A. Yes, sir. 19 20 Q. Did you say that to him? 20 A. And to be honest with you, by the time I A. I did. 21 left, I wasn't doing a good job of that, and I don't 21 Q. And he -- I showed him my list, and this 22 know how the plant ended up in trying to spend those 22 capital dollars. 23 is what I am going to chop. 23 24 We went line item. 24 Q. And you were thinking of projects like 25 25 paving a road -- or what else came to your mind? Q. Okay. **Page 111 Page 113** A. I had a duty to make sure he understood, A. We looked at doing vegetation improvements 1 1 2 in no unclear terms, what we were doing. 2 by the lake. 3 3 Q. Okay. Were there cuts to any other parts Maybe some capital work in the switchyard, replacing breakers that were on the schedule five 4 of the budget? 4 5 5 A. Yes. I looked for opportunity elsewhere. years out and bringing them in. 6 6 I was going to do some improved procedures for We were scrambling to try to find where to 7 7 operations. That was a relatively low dollar item. spend that capital. 8 Q. Okay. Well, let me ask you -- let me see 8 I believe that was around \$60,000. 9 I look for opportunity to reduce the 9 if I understand this correctly, and correct me if 10 budget wherever I can. 10 I'm wrong. 11 But the fixed costs, I had no choice but 11 If, let's say -- you gave as an example 12 12 to keep the fixed costs. that -- one of the examples you gave of a failure that occurred was the hot well pump failed, and you Q. Okay. So there was never -- there was 13 13 14 never any suggestion from Mr. Talbot that you might 14 believed that that was as a result of lack of 15 look to the capital side of the budget for cuts, was 15 maintenance. there? Or was there? 16 16 A. That's correct. 17 17 Q. Okay. How much does a hot well pump cost? A. That became a dilemma for me. The goal 18 for capital spending remained the same. I still had 18 A. Oh, if we were going to replace it in its 19 to spend what was in the budget for capital. 19 entirety, just guessing, \$2- to \$300,000. 20 Some of the O&M dollars that I cut, a 20 Q. Okay. Well, if it fails, do you have to 21 portion of that was for unit outage. The unit had 21 replace it? 22 to be down to fix things, but we eliminated that 22 A. No. 23 23 outage. Q. Okay. Okay. 24 So now I had capital I had to spend to 24 So this doesn't mean necessarily that you 25 meet our capital spending goals, but no outage to 25 had to replace it when you say it failed?

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

Page 114 Page 116 1 1 A. Let me stand corrected on that. The time is now approximately 1:13 p.m. 2 2 Q. (By Mr. Boyd) Were you -- Mr. Smith, were It depends on how it fails and how much of 3 3 it failed. you privy to the negotiations that resulted in the 4 If it failed and the entire casing was 4 agreement of the tentative agreement to close 5 5 eaten out and there's hardly anything left of it, Units 2 and 3? then I have to replace it in its entirety. 6 6 A. Yes, I was. 7 7 Now if it fails because it started Q. Okay. In what way? 8 8 vibrating to the point where it seized up, I'm able A. I attended several of the meetings with 9 to just replace the bearings, remachine the 9 the EPA and the New Mexico Department of 10 10 clearances on the casing for the collared wearing Environmental Quality and senior members of the PNM 11 11 executive team. And we discussed options -- issues rings, and I'm up and running again. 12 12 And that's what we intended to do with the related to that. 13 13 hot well pump on Unit 1 that failed, was to do some Q. Okay. In -- when -- during the course of 14 bearing replacements on it. 14 your meetings with PNM executives, was there any 15 15 You might recall that we developed a discussion of the relationship between closing Units 2 and 3 and Palo Verde 3, if you know what I 16 predictive maintenance program, so we could identify 16 17 when things were going to fail. That was on the 17 mean by Palo Verde 3? 18 18 A. I mean if you don't mind, explain that a 19 We were taking a risk by continuing to run 19 little. 20 it without replacing the bearings. 20 What do you mean by Palo Verde 3? 21 Q. I mean PNM's interest in Palo Verde, the 21 Q. And that was one of the things that -- did 22 you explain that to Mr. Talbot as an example? 22 Palo Verde nuclear plant. 23 23 A. Yes, sir. Absolutely. Was there any discussion during your 2.4 Q. When you went over your list? 24 meetings with PNM senior management regarding the 25 25 That's correct. relationship, if any, between the closure of Units 2 **Page 115 Page 117** Q. And his attitude was, Go ahead and do it? and 3 of the San Juan generating station and the 1 1 2 A. Take the risk. 2 status of Palo Verde 3 as either within or without 3 Q. Take the risk? 3 the rate base? 4 A. Yes, sir. 4 A. I don't recall if it was at the exact time 5 Q. Okay. So if the -- if you can't engage in 5 of the EPA discussions, but it was generally in that the predicted maintenance that you want to engage 6 6 time frame. It was --7 7 in, and as a result parts of the plant fail and need Q. Let me stop you there. 8 8 to be replaced, if it is over \$50,000 that's being What was that time frame, just generally? 9 replaced, that goes onto the capital side of the 9 A. Late fall of 2012. 10 budget and PNM makes a profit on that. 10 Q. Okay. 11 Is that correct? 11 A. We discussed a variety of options relative 12 12 to shutting down of different units and A. Yes. You can maintain something and continue to maintain it over time, or you can run it 13 configurations. And at that time I understood it 13 14 to failure. And if you run it to complete failure, 14 was important to PNM, if we were going to shut down 15 then you have to replace it. 15 units, to get Palo Verde 3 back in the rate base. 16 16 Q. Okay. Q. And why was that? What was your

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

understanding of why it was important to them,

A. Well, with the shutdown of some San Juan

rate base. And so Palo Verde 3 was a viable source

Q. Okay. When you say a significant erosion

of the rate base, for the record, would you explain

units, that would be a significant erosion of the

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

important to PNM?

what you mean by that?

of generation.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

break.

p.m.)

the record.

on the record.

MR. BOYD: It is 12:30. Let's take a

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going off

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going back

The time is now approximately 12:31 p.m.

(A recess was taken 12:31 p.m. to 1:13

assets, San Juan generating station or a portion of 1 A. Well, it was unsure at the time if there 1 2 2 it, PNM stands to lose a portion of that rate base. would be recovery of the stranded assets in the 3 It's detrimental to their business model relative to 3 units that were shut down. 4 Q. You mean any recovery at all? profitability. 5 Q. Okay. So why was -- at that time, in late 5 A. Right. It was uncertain what, if any, 6 recovery would happen. 6 2012 -- why, based on your understanding of what 7 7 occurred at those meetings and your understanding Q. Okay. 8 A. And also moving forward, coal plants are 8 the business, why -- what was PNM stat- -- Palo 9 very capital intensive on an ongoing basis. And so 9 Verde 3's status at the time that made it capable of 10 being used as an additional capital asset or an Palo Verde has a very similar capital structure that 10 requires continual capital injection, which is 11 additional part of the rate base? 11 12 A. Yes. The timing was good, in that Palo 12 something that would prevent the erosion of the rate 13 Verde Unit 3 was not part of the rate base, and it 13 base. 14 14 was being leased. And the lease was to expire at a Q. Well, why -- in other words, it costs 15 money all the time. You constantly have to improve 15 time that was ripe for part of San Juan being shut 16 or replace or fund the nuclear generating station or 16 17 coal-fired power plant because of the nature of 17 Q. Okay. Who was it being leased to? A. I don't know. 18 those plants and the fact that they wear out and 18 19 that parts wear out and that they need to be 19 Q. Okay. And what does it mean when you say 20 20 moving it into rate base? improved. 21 Is that a fair statement? 21 A. My understanding is when you -- at 22 22 San Juan, when I made capital expenditures, those Α That is correct. 23 were eventually moved into the rate base, which is 23 Q. Okay. And so if I understand what you're 2.4 saying correctly, as we -- as you just testified 24 the amount of investment in the company that is 25 guaranteed -- I won't say guaranteed -- but deserves 25 earlier, PNM gets a return, an investor's return, on **Page 121** 1 anything that it spends for capital, that's on the 1 a rate of return under regulatory relief. 2 capital side of the ledger. 2 Q. Okay. And was PNM -- was Palo Verde 3, at 3 3 Is that correct? that time in late 2012, not generating that sort of 4 A. That is correct. 4 return? 5 5 Q. Okay. And that there are ongoing returns A. No. My understanding is Palo Verde 3, at that point in time, was not part of the rate base. that arise from nuclear-generating or 6 7 7 coal-generating power plants because of the nature Q. Okay. Okay. And -- okay. 8 8 of those plants? Now you've given us some examples in the 9 A. Yes. There's ongoing return on the 9 course of your deposition about capital investments 10 investment made on the ongoing capital investments 10 ongoing capital investments, that can be made in, 11 for example, a coal-burning power plant: Replacing 11 in those plants. 12 pumps, replacing pipes, whatever it may be, correct? 12 Q. Okay. And so once again, forgive me if 13 I'm asking you to repeat yourself. 13 A. Correct. Q. Okay. 14 But what was PNM faced with in terms of 14 15 the return to its investors as a consequence of the 15 MR. BOYD: Let's go off the record for a 16 16 closure of Units 2 and 3? minute. 17 17 A. Could you say that again, please? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going off Q. Yes. What were the consequences to PNM'\$ the record. 18 18 19 19 profits, if you will, and to -- therefore, to its The time is approximately 1:22 p.m. 20 investors -- as a consequence of the closure of 2.0 MR. BOYD: Well, nevermind. sorry. 21 21 Q. (By Mr. Boyd) What are -- when you left Units 2 and 3? 22 22 A. PNM's business model is dependent upon the PNM, what were some of the planned capital 23 rate base, and their investor reports talk about 23 improvements that were going to be made to Units 1 24 24 growing that rate base. and 4 -- and/or 4? If you're shutting down their largest 25 25 A. I don't recall off the top of my head.

Page 118

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

Page 120

Page 122

Page 124

- Q. Okay. Was one of them a balanced -- a so-called balanced draft system?
- A. That had been talked about. But at that point in time, I don't recall it being -- meeting the justification requirements.
- Q. Okay. Would you explain what a balanced draft system does?
- A. Sure. If I made a reference back to -- I talk about the boiler in the large box with the fireball inside of it.

And of course you have to push air in with the coal, so that you've got a coal and air mixture for the fire.

And at that point in time, if you're pushing air in, it -- it can make the boiler under a positive pressure.

And that's exactly the case at San Juan generating station. The boiler, and which the ductwork downstream of the boiler, is operating at a positive air pressure.

- Q. Now, let me interrupt you there.
 That's the way it's supposed to work,
 correct?
- A. That's the way it was designed.
- Q. Okay. That's what I meant. Thank you.

like that one?

2.0

- A. Absolutely.
- Q. Okay. And what is the relationship, if any, between that system and those leaks, if any, and balanced draft, if there is any relationship?
- A. Sure. In the 2014 budget, PNM planned to spend roughly about 1.2 million on repairing leaks.

Under balance draft, it's in excess of 75 million. The balance draft would save PNM the 1.2 million in O&M costs each year, to prevent them from having to fix those leaks.

Q. Okay. So if I understand correctly, you've got leaks that develop and you have positive pressure that is inside the boiler and the related piping system.

And so if you have a leak, it pushes air and fumes and whatever is in the pipe, or in the boiler, out into the atmosphere of the plant.

Is that correct?

- A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. And that if you have balanced draft, what it does is to create a slight negative pressure so that even if you have a leak, nothing is going to go out; it's going to stay in because you have this slight negative pressure?

Page 123

Page 125

A. Yes.

1 2

Balanced draft is where you pull more air out of the boiler than is being put in. So essentially, the boiler and the ductwork downstream of it operate at a slightly negative air pressure, and there's advantages to that.

San Juan was designed with the positive pressure. And when it's new -- and over the course of many years, as leaks developed in the casing and ductwork outside of the boiler, those leaks were repaired. You have to repair those leaks under positive furnace pressure because, otherwise, the burnt fuel and gas would escape out those leaks. You would essentially be putting burnt flue gas outside around the unit before it's even being treated by environmental equipment, and it's hazardous to employees.

- Q. Okay. And it also -- maybe you've mentioned this -- it would compromise the pressure would it not, the leaks?
- A. Yes. The flue gas leaking out the boiler casing or the ductwork does compromise the pressure, yes.
- Q. Okay. And that's a -- is fixing those leaks a routine part of maintenance of a power plant

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. And repairing the leaks. Is -repairing the leaks at the rate of \$1.2 million per year, is that on the capital side of the budget or the O&M side of the budget?
- A. The leak repairs are the O&M side of the budget.
- Q. Okay. And so that is an expenditure that's normal maintenance, and it's -- that expenditure is not something that is passed on to the ratepayers in a source of profit.

Is that correct?

Or I shouldn't have said it that way. Let me withdraw the question because I think I misspoke

If it's on the O&M side of the budget, that's not a capital expenditure to which -- as to which PNM is entitled to make a profit?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. If PNM installs balanced draft, what will that cost, or what is that projected to cost? I think you said, but I want you to tell me again if you don't mind.
- A. It's public record that the balanced draft and the SNCR together are around \$150 million for the two remaining units.

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

Page 126 1 And it's also of record that more than 1 2 2 half of that amount is balanced draft. 3 3 Q. Okay. combustion is correct. 4 A. How much more, I don't know. It's at 4 5 5 least about 75 million. 6 Q. Okay. 6 7 7 A. And that is capital. 8 Q. It's a capital expenditure as to which PNM 8 cold air. 9 would be entitled to make a profit? 9 10 10 A. That is correct. Q. Okay. Have -- as the manager of the 11 ash blowing in. 11 12 12 plant -- strike that. If we forgot about the issue of which side 13 13 14 of the ledger these expenditures were on, and you 14 15 were just the manager of the plant trying to run the 15 16 plant as efficiently and effectively and 16 17 economically as you could, and you were spending 17 18 \$1.2 million a year on repairing leaks, or you could 18 19 fix that problem and just let the leaks stay there 19 20 and spend \$75 million or more on balanced draft, 20 which do you think would be the prudent thing to do? 21 21 22 A. When I'm faced with a decision whether or 22 A. No, sir, I don't. 23 23 not to invest capital or continue to expend O&M, you 24 often look for a payback period. 24 25 25 And if the capital project would why? **Page 127** 1 eliminate, or be in a payback of about three years, 1 2 2

then the capital project is considered justified.

So under a \$1.2 million a year O&M expenditure, a capital project of 3.6 million would justify the project.

Q. Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A. It's not the only reason we justify capital projects, but from a strict accounting standpoint that's what we look towards.

Q. Okay. So in this case it's not -- if it's \$75 million instead of \$3.6 million, I take it your conclusion would be what?

A. That it's not justified under payback.

Q. It's not even --

A. The capital project is not justified under the payback that we get from saving the O&M dollars.

Q. It's not even close, is it?

A. No, sir.

Q. Just as a matter of curiosity, is there any problem with leaving the leaks in place if you have balanced draft, or does that just eliminate the problem?

23 A. No. It's -- it's critical that you

24 continue to fix the casing leaks and ductwork leaks,

the expansion joints. The air in leakage would

cause problems with your sensors, to tell you how much extra oxygen you have in the boiler so your

It can also cause cooling in areas of the boiler you don't want to have cooled.

You will have tubes that are essentially boiler tubes that are essentially quenched by the

So casing leaks still need to be repaired. The repair rate would be less because you don't have

- Q. Okay. So do you have an -- so is what you've just described the repairs that you still need to do, even if you have balanced draft? Are those repairs, the costs of those repairs, part of the 1.2 million you just quoted?
- A. Yes. If balance draft is installed there will still need to be budget for casing leak repairs, but it would be a much smaller magnitude.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any estimate of what that magnitude would be?

Q. Okay. What happens to the productivity of a plant if the quality of the coal deteriorates, and

Page 129

Page 128

A. When you speak about productivity, I kind of think of employee effectiveness. I think you're talking about reliability.

Is that correct?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. Yes, I am. That's what I'm talking about.
- A. Okay. When coal quality changes or degrades, it's more challenging for the plant to run. It does create greater reliability issues.
- Q. Okay. And what is it that -- what is the -- why? Why does that work that way?

A. Maybe on the very basic terms, when we talk about coal quality, that's typically described in the amount of ash that's in the coal, and that the coal heat content is not as much.

And a way to look at it is the amount of ash in the coal is essentially like a lot of dirt in the coal. And if you're pushing more dirt through the mills, the pulverizers, you're pushing more dirt through the bottom ash, more ash or dirt through the other ash conveyance systems.

You get higher wear rates on either -sanding boiler tubes is a way to look at it. You're putting much more corrosive ash through pumps and through pipes and through clinker grinders and ash

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

Page 130 Page 132 1 there with respect to that issue, the issue of conveyance. 1 2 Q. Okay. And so overall, the effect of 2 whether or not utilities like PNM should or should 3 deteriorating quality of the coal on a plant like 3 not or did or did not want to integrate more 4 San Juan is what? 4 renewables into their portfolio? 5 A. Less reliability. 5 A. Yes. My training at the University of 6 Q. Okay. Do you need to burn more coal? 6 Idaho shows that solar power, solar voltaic, 7 7 A. Yes. If there's higher ash, that especially, is problematic to the traditional typically means lower BTU content, so you have to 8 8 utility business model. 9 increase the amount of coal being burnt in the plant 9 Q. And why was that? to get the same amount of heat content for 10 A. The basis for that centers around the rate 10 11 generation. 11 base, and the purchase of solar voltaics is an 12 Q. Okay. And so is it fair to say, then, 12 initial capital investment. But once they're that the plant operates less efficiently as the coal 13 13 installed and generating power there's not an quality goes down? ongoing stream of capital investment in the solar 14 14 15 A. Yes, it's less efficient. 15 panels. Q. Okay. Let's now actually go off the 16 16 Also, it tends to lower the base fuel rate 17 record for a minute. 17 if the utility has a fuel clause. 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going off 18 Q. Okay. And why does it lower the base fuel 19 the record. 19 rate if the utility has a fuel clause? 20 It is roughly 1:36 p.m. 20 A. In my limited understanding of fuel (A recess was taken from 1:36 p.m. to 1:39 clauses, a basic fuel rate is based upon what is --21 21 2.2 p.m.) 22 would be prudent generation cost for fuel. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going back 23 23 And if you look at the history in 24 on the record. 24 New Mexico, that fuel base tends to continue to 25 The time is approximately 1:39 p.m. 25 increase over time due to higher costs of **Page 131 Page 133** 1 Thank you. 1 generation. 2 Q. (By Mr. Boyd) We've been talking about 2 With solar power, the fuel costs are 3 the issue of the importance to PNM's business model 3 essentially zero. So that would tend to pull down of capital investment. 4 4 the amount of fuel costs that the average customer 5 A. Yes, sir. 5 is paying for its electric bill for fuel costs. Q. All right. And other than -- without 6 6 Q. Okay. 7 7 getting into detail about it, you would -- would you (Exhibit marked, 3.) 8 8 agree that that statement, capital investment is Q. (By Mr. Boyd) I'm handing you what I've 9 important to PNM's business model, is a true -- is a 9 marked as Exhibit 3. 10 correct statement? 10 Can you identify that and testify as to 11 11 where that document comes from? A. Yes, that is correct. 12 Q. Okay. When you went off to the University 12 A. Yes. I identify this as a document that 13 of Iowa to this program that PNM sent you to and is included in the San Juan 2014 budget book that is 13 14 paid for, do you recall that? 14 produced in October of 2013. 15 A. It was the University of Idaho. 15 Q. Is that part of Exhibit 2? If you want to 16 Q. I'm sorry. University of Idaho. It's 16 take a moment and look through it and confirm 17 those I states, you know. I can never keep them 17 whether it is or not, feel free. 18 straight except for Illinois and Indiana. I know We've tabbed the places where we've pulled 18 19 where they are. 19 exhibits from there. 2.0 Was there a discussion, or were you -- as 20 A. Are they in order? 21 part of the training, did it involve training with 21 Q. I think so, yes. 22 22 respect to the issue of the future of solar and (The record was read as requested.) 23 other renewable energies to utilities like PNM? 23 A. Yes, it appears that it is part of 24 A. Yes, sir. 24 Exhibit 2. 25 25 Q. All right. And what were you trained Q. (By Mr. Boyd) And what does that chart

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

Page 134 **Page 136** 1 show, or those charts? 1 number one priority in their jobs, that making 2 A. This is a line chart. It shows the 2 megawatts takes second seat. 3 3 San Juan safety performance from 2007 through 2013. It involves a lot of training and emphasis 4 It's compared to the Edison Electric Institute top 4 from management that we really mean safety is 5 5 quartile. number one. 6 Q. Okay. 6 It means ensuring that they have the 7 7 And also a 2013 breakdown of the types of proper training to safely do their jobs. 8 San Juan injuries that were suffered at the plant in 8 It means teaching them things about the 9 9 mental state that people get in that can cause 10 10 Q. Okay. Would you just generally summarize injuries. Whether that is being frustrated or what happened to the accident rate, or the safety 11 rushing to try to get the job done, there's several 11 12 12 performance at the San Juan generating station, mental states that can lead to injures. 13 13 during your managership? It involves instituting programs like stop A. Yes. When I came on board, San Juan --14 work authority. Where an employee may see something 14 15 15 and became a manager, our accident rate was not in that appears to be unsafe he has the authority to 16 these numbers. But I recall it, in the actual 16 say, Wait a minute. Let's stop this job and see 17 number of injuries that we had each year at 17 what we can do to understand the safety elements of 18 San Juan. My first year we had 36 OSHA reportable 18 19 injuries at San Juan. 19 There's many things. 20 Q. And what is an OSHA reportable injury? 20 Ensuring that employees, before they begin 2.1 A. Well, there's specific criteria that meets 21 every job, stop and look at the hazards involved in it. But in very basic terms, it means an injury 22 22 the job and make sure that they're taking the steps 23 23 that's fairly significant, something that requires to properly mitigate it. 2.4 medical attention or a prescription. It's not a 24 Q. Okay. And that was what you focused on as 25 25 minor first aid injury. manager? **Page 135 Page 137** 1 Q. Okay. And what happened to -- when you 1 A. Absolutely. That's my number one goal. 2 left where was the injury -- where was the -- what 2 Q. All right. Did you ever have any -- were 3 3 was the performance rate? there ever any issues of funding that effort that 4 A. We got the performance rate down to seven 4 arose? 5 5 OSHA reportable injuries at the time of my A. Yes. In early 2013, 40 percent -- the departure. 6 6 employees were missing 40 percent of their OSHA 7 7 Q. So it was from how many down to seven? required training. Things like scaffolding 8 R A. 36 down to 7. inspection, respiratory use, ladder safety, 9 Q. Okay. And what is the top quartile, the 9 lockout/tagout systems. 10 Edison Electric Institute top quartile? Where does 10 They were missing 40 percent of their 11 11 that fall? training, and if we didn't take steps to give them 12 12

A. That's in the OSHA reportable rate. If you applied it to the roughly 400 employees that work at San Juan, that would be about three employees.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. And to what do you attribute what appears to be your success in getting the injury rate down, as far as it -- got it down after you arrived?

A. Improving safety performance is a multipronged event. You have to take it from several different angles.

One of the major parts is changing the culture at the plant, and the culture in terms of making sure employees know that safety is the the skills and knowledge they needed to do their jobs we were faced with more injuries.

It was supposed to be a corporate supported program, and corporate was supposed to supply the training. They kept falling short on that. And at one point in time I asked my superiors if I could contract out the training to get it done so that people could get the safety training they needed.

I was denied that request based upon budgetary issues.

- Q. Okay. And who was that? Who denied the request?
 - A. That was Mr. Olson.

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 138 Page 140 (Exhibit marked, 4.) 1 (Exhibit marked, 5.) 1 Q. (By Mr. Boyd) Okay. I'm handing you what 2 Q. (By Mr. Boyd) Okay. All right. 3 3 I've marked as Exhibit 4. Is that also an exhibit Now I'm going to hand you Exhibit 5, and 4 from -- or a page from Exhibit 2? 4 I'll ask you to state for the record whether that's 5 5 And would you state the -- let's go back. derived from Exhibit 2 and what its title is. 6 Let me digress for a moment. 6 A. Yes. I'm looking at Exhibit 5. It's 7 7 Would you identify the title of those titled "San Juan Station Reliability Discussion." 8 8 charts, just the title at the top, so we know what And I do recognize it and see it here that 9 page we're looking at. 9 it is contained also in Exhibit 2. 10 A. Yes. The title of the chart is "San Juan 10 Q. All right. And what does that document Safety Performance Versus EDI Top Quartile 11 show with respect to the reliability of the San Juan 11 12 Performance and 2013 San Juan Injuries Listed By plant? 12 13 Trade." 13 A. This document has a narrative that speaks Q. And that's Exhibit 3 that you just 14 14 about reliability of San Juan from January 2011 15 referred to. 15 forward. 16 And now I'll ask you to tell me the title 16 It speaks of sulphur content and the fuel 17 of Exhibit 4, for the record. 17 coal quality. A. The title of Exhibit 4 is "Core Quality 18 18 It talks about ash content, sulphur 19 Trend for 2013." 19 content. Q. Okay. 20 And then at the bottom there's a graph 20 A. And I recognize it as a document I've 21 that talks about the availability factor of all four 21 seen. And this doc- -- I've never seen the entire 22 units and the station, as well as the equivalent 22 23 23 thing printed before. forced outage rate. 24 But once you -- the pie charts I 24 Q. Okay. And that's the EAF factor we talked 25 25 recognize, and they're in here, so I'm confident about earlier in the deposition. **Page 139 Page 141** that all the -- what I'm looking at in Exhibit 4 is 1 1 Is that correct? A. The left-hand side of the graph is the EAF 2 contained in Exhibit 2. 2 3 Q. All right. And what is -- what does that 3 factor. 4 chart show? 4 We haven't discussed the equivalent forced 5 A. The chart at the bottom refers to 5 outage rate yet. Q. Okay. And do those -- the figures in 6 coal/sulphur content, and it refers to the amount of 6 7 7 sulphur within the coal. that -- well, strike that. 8 8 Q. And what is the trend of the sulphur Does that show a forced outage rate? 9 content in the coal as reflected in that chart? 9 A. Yes, it does. 10 A. From my experience and knowledge this is a 10 Q. Okay. And what has happened to the forced 11 normal trend. 11 outage rate over the period of time 2012 through -12 how far does that go forward through? 2013? 12 Q. A normal trend? 13 A. Yes. This is the budget book that was 13 A. Yes. sir. 14 Q. I.e., that the sulphur content goes up? 14 produced in October of 2013. So it appears to be --15 A. Yes. Sulphur content varies. 15 prior to that time is when they ended the numbers. 16 16 Q. Okay. But the forced outage rate in 2012 for the 17 A. Heat content, BTU content, mercury 17 entire site was 8.7. In 2013 it nearly doubled at 18 content. There is variations in the coal. 18 14.1 percent. 19 19 Q. Okay. And what is the significance of Q. Okay. And in your opinion, is that 20 sulphur content? Is more sulphur content good or is 20 increase related, if at all, to the maintenance 21 less sulphur content good or does it matter? 21 budget cuts that you've discussed earlier in your 2.2 22 A. We are required to maintain sulphur deposition? 23 emissions within particular limits. So the higher 23 A. Absolutely. There's a direct correlation. 24 the amount of sulphur going into the units the more 24 Q. Okav. 25 we have to remove. 25 For the record, should we define what a

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

Page 142 Page 144 1 forced outage rate is? 1 Q. If it's planned? 2 Q. Yes. Absolutely. Thank you. Yes. A. I always like to be coached by the 3 3 Q. It doesn't go in the category you were 4 witness. 4 just talking about earlier, which is the -- what is 5 5 A. I'm sorry. it, the unplanned outage? 6 Q. No, no, no. I'm glad you did. I meant 6 A. The forced outage rate? 7 7 that sincerely. Q. The forced outage rate. 8 A. Equivalent forced outage rate is another 8 A. Right. 9 form of measuring reliability. As you recall, we 9 Q. Right. It doesn't go there. talked about equivalent availability factor, which 10 The planned outage, that's -- in other 10 is how much the unit was available to run in the 11 words, a planned outage is the opposite of a forced 11 12 12 entire time frame. outage? 13 A. Correct. Maybe a little clarification 13 On the other hand, the equivalent forced outage rate measures directly the amount of time 14 there. 14 If the unit could run all year 100 percent 15 that the unit was unintentionally available to run. 15 it would be 100 percent. 16 Another way to look at the forced outage 16 17 rate is with the automobile. This is the number of 17 If I had a planned outage, take it down 18 times that the unit broke down and left us stranded 18 and fix it because I wanted to, it took up 19 on the side of the road. 19 10 percent of that, now I'm looking at a 90 percent 20 Q. Okay. Now when we talked earlier about 20 availability factor. 2.1 the availability rate, you talked about the figures 21 And if I had a forced outage rate, where 22 of 75 percent and 85 percent or thereabouts. 22 it broke down and I didn't want it to, I'll have 23 23 What's the difference between those another 10 percent. Now my EAF is 80 percent. The 2.4 figures and the figures you just described, and what forced outage rate is 10 and the planned outages 25 25 is the relationship between the two, for the record? were 10. **Page 143 Page 145** Q. Okay. And do you know what the industry 1 The equivalent availability factor is 1 2 measured from the bottom up, so to speak. That's 2 standard is for forced outages? 3 3 the number of hours starting on January 1st through A. Below a 5 percent forced outage rate is 4 the 31st that the unit was available to run. This 4 considered a well-ran coal plant. 5 is the total number of megawatts that it could have 5 Q. Okay. And above that? 6 6 produced. Between 5 and 10 percent. Probably some 7 7 And again, if it was running 24/7, 365 of the coal plants run in that direction. If you 8 8 days a year, it would reach an EAF of 100 percent. get close to 10 percent you've got problems at that 9 On the other hand, the forced outage rate 9 coal plant. 10 10 Q. What if you're at 15 percent? is those times when it didn't run when you wanted it 11 11 A. You've definitely got problems at that to, and that starts from the top bottom -- from the 12 12 coal plant. It's not a very reliable plant. top going to the bottom. So a forced outage rate of 10 percent 13 13 (Exhibit marked, 6.) 14 means that 10 percent of the time when you needed 14 Q. (By Mr. Boyd) I'm handing you what I've 15 the unit it was broken and couldn't run. 15 marked as Exhibit 6. 16 16 Q. Okay. Would you tell me whether that's part of 17 A. One other point of clarification on the 17 Exhibit 2, and read the title into the record? availability factor. A. Yes. Exhibit 6 is titled "Unit 1 18 18 19 Q. Okay. 19 Reliability Review, Data from January 2012 through 2.0 That also includes the number of planned 20 August of 2013." 21 outages. So if the unit's in a planned outage, you 21 And I'm confirming here that it is --22 22 Exhibit 6 is a part of Exhibit 2. intended to take it down and fix it, that does cause a detrimental hit on the availability factor of EAF. 23 23 Q. Okay. And what does that document show? 24 Q. But it's not unplanned? 24 A. Really, to get the best overview of this, 25 If it's planned, either way. you would want to look at the bottom of it, which is

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

Page 146 Page 148 Q. Okay. That's the -- that's a good answer. 1 1 a large pie chart. 2 2 And it documents all of the lost Just tell me what you know. 3 3 generation for the unit for that time period. (Exhibit marked, .) 4 And in other words, it's got several 4 Q. (By Mr. Boyd) Can you tell me what 5 5 Exhibit 7 is? slices of pie, and each pie represents a particular A. Yes. Exhibit 7 is titled "The Unit 4 6 reason why the unit was not running and the reason 6 7 7 Reliability Review, Data from January of '12 through 8 8 August of '13." The narrative at the top of the exhibit 9 identifies the top five reasons why the unit was not 9 And I recognize it as a document that's contained in Exhibit 2. 10 running during this time frame. 10 Q. Now, are those -- do those reflect 11 Q. Okay. And what does that document show? 11 12 12 unplanned outages or all outages? A. This document shows the same type of 13 A. This reflects unplanned outages or forced 13 format of lost megawatts that we looked at on Unit 1 14 relative to what broke on the unit during this time 14 outages. 15 Q. Okay. And again, does that document 15 frame. Q. Okay. And with respect to Exhibits 6 and 16 corroborate what you -- what you've testified to 16 17 about the impact of the approximately 50 percent 17 7, do you -- in your opinion, do these accurately budget cut in your discretionary maintenance budget? 18 18 reflect the lost megawatts associated with those two 19 A. Absolutely. The things that caused lost 19 units, or the mechanisms for them? 20 generation during this time frame, especially in 20 A. I assume they are accurate. I don't know 21 21 for sure. This is a PNM-produced document. 2013, are a direct correlation to the things that I 22 had in the budget that needed to be maintained but 22 From what my experience tells me, these 23 then were subsequently chopped from the budget. 23 are the types of mechanisms that I predicted would 24 Q. Can you give me an example from that sheet 24 cause the loss of megawatts in my 2013 budget. 25 of one of those? I don't want you to go through the 25 Q. Okay. **Page 147 Page 149** 1 whole thing, but just give me an example. 1 Thank you. MR. ORTIZ: Excuse me. Could -- a 2 A. Yes. The number two cause of lost 2 3 3 generation for this unit was the hot well pump. The clarification question. 4 hot well pump went down, and it took a significant 4 What is the PNM-produced document? 5 5 amount of time to repair it. MR. BOYD: This whole thing. Everything 6 6 And so again, if we would have replaced in it. 7 7 the bearings at the opportunity that we had during (Discussion off the record.) 8 8 other forced outages, that would not have been a Q. (By Mr. Boyd) Who -- to your knowledge, 9 cause of lost generation. 9 who produces this document, this 800-page document 10 Q. Okay. Is that -- what you just said -- I 10 that's in front of you? 11 want to understand what you just said. 11 MR. BUTLER: Exhibit 2. 12 12 A. Exhibit 2 is a document available on the If there's a forced outage, is that an opportunity to perform preventative maintenance on 13 MSR website. 13 14 equipment that you believe needs it? 14 Q. (By Mr. Boyd) What is the MSR website? 15 A. That is an opportunity to go out and fix 15 A. MSR is an acronym for one of the owners of 16 16 San Juan, Modesto, Sacramento, and Redding. They everything that you have money to fix. 17 are a public power agency located in California. 17 Q. Okay. And in this case, were there forced 18 18 They're a percentage owner in Unit 4. outages that could have been used to repair the hot 19 19 well -- as an opportunity to repair the hot -- or to This document was on their website. It is 20 maintain -- perform preventative maintenance on the 20 a two-part document. 21 hot well pump? 21 The first part of the document -- the 2.2 A. This document doesn't tell you the timing 22 first several hundred pages, maybe the first 172 23 23 pages, I don't recall -- is information that is of the outages. 24 24 Q. I'm asking you if you know. produced by MSR. 25 I do not know. 25 Q. Okay. And then what follows from that?

38 (Pages 146 to 149)

Page 150 Page 152 1 A. The latter part of the document is the 1 had a handout of Exhibit 11? 2 San Juan budget book for 2014 that is produced in 2 MR. BOYD: No, you haven't. That came up 3 October of 2013. 3 serendipitously. 4 It is a budget book that I actually had 4 MR. THRONE: Okay. 5 5 drafted during my time. I recognize the format. (Exhibit marked, 8.) 6 It's produced to enlighten the owners on 6 Q. (By Mr. Boyd) I'm handing you what I've 7 7 what the plan is for the units and talk about marked as Exhibit 8. 8 8 reliability and update them on all the issues Can you tell me what that shows? 9 associated with the units. 9 First of all, what's the title and where 10 Q. Okay. 10 does it come from? 11 (Exhibit marked, 7.) 11 A. Yes. Exhibit 8, entitled "Budget," and it 12 Q. (By Mr. Boyd) I'm going to jump ahead for 12 says "Overall Budget." 13 a minute here and ask you to identify Exhibit 11, 13 This is a part of Exhibit 2. I recognize which is two pages. 14 14 it. 15 A. Yes. Exhibit 11 is titled "San Juan 15 This speaks to overall plant total O&M. Generating Station 2014 Budget, Public Service 16 16 It's called plant controllable. And when you say 17 Company of New Mexico." 17 plant controllable, that's excluding A&G. 18 And then the second page of Exhibit 11 is 18 The information that I garner from looking 19 the introduction and overview. I recognize these 19 at this graph is that plant O&M on the base budget, 20 two pages as pages that I formatted and constructed 20 excluding outages, is fairly stable, at or near --21 during my first year as plant manager in 2010. 21 well, slightly above, slightly below -- \$80 million 22 Q. Okay. And who -- what entity prepares 22 through 2016 and 2017 for the next several years. 23 those documents? 23 So essentially, the O&M budget, outside of 2.4 A. The San Juan plant management team. 24 outages, is fairly stable at about \$80 million for 25 And who do they work for? 25 the four units that are in operation at the plant. **Page 151 Page 153** A. They report to PNM. 1 1 Q. Okay. Okay. Q. And whose logo is on the front of that? 2 2 Does this reflect that at some point -- if 3 3 A. PNM. you read the top of that, can you see that the 4 Q. Okay. Is that a PNM document? 4 maintenance budget for Units 2 and 3 stops being A. It's produced by PNM. 5 5 included there at some point? 6 Q. Okay. 6 A. Well, yes. 7 7 A. It's a public document. Q. And what year is that? Q. I understand it's a public document. 8 8 A. My understanding is that Units 2 and 3 9 But PNM created that document. 9 will cease operations at the end of the year in 10 Is that correct? 10 2017. 11 A. That is correct. 11 At that point in time it will be just 12 Q. Okay. Thank you. 12 Units 1 and 4 in operation. And a point of clarification. This is a From the average about \$80 million budget 13 A. 13 14 2014. 14 that the plant expended for four-unit operation at 15 I talk about recognizing it because I did 15 that point in time, it looks like the plant is the initial ones in 2010, 2011, and 2012. 16 projecting about 65 million to run two units. 80 16 17 17 Q. Okay. And all of the exhibits that we million to run four units, 65 million to run two have been going through now come from the portion of 18 18 units. 19 Exhibit 3 that was created by PNM? 19 Q. Okay. Is that -- is that a surprise to 2.0 A. A portion of Exhibit 2. 20 you, or is that expected? 21 Q. I'm sorry. Of Exhibit 2 that was created 21 A. It is not a surprise to me, based upon the 22 by PNM. 22 selection of units that are going to run. 23 Is that correct? 23 Q. Okay. Why do you say that? 24 A. That is correct. 24 A. By continuing -- shutting down Units 2 and 25 MR. THRONE: Am I correct that we have not 25 3 and continuing to run Units 1 and 4, you're not

39 (Pages 150 to 153)

Page 154

taking advantage of any of the scales of economy in the plant. One and two -- this is an example.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Those are fourths. Those are units that are fairly exchangeable, similar size.

Units 3 and 4, they're a different type of unit. They're much larger, a different design. They have systems that support each other. Air compressors supply both Unit 1, an set of air compressors supply Units 3 and 4.

So if you shut down Units 2 and 3, you still have to have air compressors running on Unit 1. You still have to have air compressors running for Unit 4.

So you're not taking advantage of any of those scales of economies.

Q. Okay. I understand. (Exhibit marked, 9.)

Q. (By Mr. Boyd) I'm going to hand you what I've marked as Exhibit 9 and ask you if you can identify that.

A. Yes. Looking at Exhibit 9, I do recognize that as a document -- pages contained in Exhibit 2.

It doesn't have a specific title, but it starts out with the first three words "Additional diabasic acid."

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you've invested in it.

Q. Okay. Is -- is the 80 percent a reflection of the age and condition of the plant as of now, or the expected way in which it's going to be maintained going forward, or both?

Page 156

A. As we discussed earlier, you can extend the life of the plant quite a bit by continuing to maintain it and upgrade equipment as needed.

I understand the life of San Juan is predicted to be to 2053. And if they plan on running the unit that long, you would think it would be -- I believe, in my experience -- it would be prudent to invest in the maintenance and the repairs that are required to get a better capacity out of that unit.

- Q. So you think it could be done?
- 17 A. Absolutely.
- 18 Q. Okay. 19

(Exhibit marked, 10.)

Q. (By Mr. Boyd) I'm showing you now what I've marked as Exhibit 10.

Would you tell me what that is by title, and then also tell me whether it's part of Exhibit 2?

A. Yes. I'm looking at Exhibit 10, and it's

Page 155

It's also entitled Page Number 25, in the bottom right-hand corner.

I recognize this document. It talks about the use of diabasic acid in controlling sulphur in the first paragraph.

It talks about the use of activated carbon for controlling mercury in the second paragraph.

And then it talks about urea costs, which are the injection of ammonia for the SNCR past the shutdown of Units 2 and 3.

Looking at the numbers, it's a period of time from 2016 through 2020, and it talks about capacity factor. This is -- the capacity factor is the amount of generation that that unit is expected to produce.

And the thing that stands out relative to these numbers is Unit 1 is not expected to produce more than 80 percent of its capacity going forward.

Q. And why is that significant?

A. Well, as I have testified earlier, a well-run coal plant has numbers in the low to mid 90s.

Unit 1, if its expected capacity factor is 80 or below in the future, you're not getting all that you can out of that unit for the money that **Page 157**

titled "San Juan Generating Station Total Station 1 2 Budget Summary, 100 percent, in 2014."

I do recognize Exhibit 10 as being contained within Exhibit 2.

Q. Okay.

A. Exhibit 10 lends direct support to what we just talked about in Exhibit 9, when we talked about why is it Unit 1 will not run well into the future, and Exhibit 10 tells you why.

Q. What does it show with respect to the maintenance budget?

A. It shows a 38 percent reduction in the maintenance budget from the year before, where I had chopped 19 million out of that budget.

Q. So in other words, the budget -- do I understand you correctly that in the following year the budget cuts have increased?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Okay. And in your opinion, what will the effect on the operation of the San Juan generating station be as a result of those increased maintenance budget cuts?

A. In my opinion, San Juan will continue to run poorly. And based upon PNM investor reports for the third quarter of 2014 it, in fact, did.

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

Page 158 Page 160 1 Q. Okay. And what effect -- I know I'm 1 I thought it was prudent to go ahead and 2 2 sell my stocks and basically cash in on that asking you to repeat something you have said 3 earlier. 3 compensation and get it in the bank to protect my 4 But what effect will that poor performance 4 family moving forward. 5 have on the rates paid by you -- by PNM's customers? 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. When San Juan performs poorly, that 6 A. But I had other reasons too. 7 7 generation needs to be replaced, typically, with Q. What was that? 8 8 higher-priced generation. And the cost of that A. Well, like I said, it was stock that I had 9 higher-priced generation is then passed on to the 9 earned. If what PNM was doing got out in the 10 taxpayers and the customers of PNM. 10 public, I'm not sure the shareholders or the Q. Without any action by the PRC? 11 investors would appreciate it and there might be a 11 12 12 A. No. If I may, a point of clarification. chance that that stock price would drop pretty 13 13 significantly. 14 Q. Okay. 14 A. When I talked about \$19 million being 15 chopped in the fall of '12 for the '13 budget, that 15 A. On the other hand, if it didn't get out and PNM continued to profit by doing this, that was 16 was after A&G. 16 17 And in Exhibit 10, this includes A&G. So 17 kind of dirty profits. I didn't want that either. 18 it's not an apples-to-apples comparison. However, 18 Q. Okay. 19 it is significant. 19 MR. BOYD: I don't have any further 20 Q. Okay. And what's A&G, for the record? 20 questions. 21 A. A&G is the administrative and general fees (Discussion off the record.) 21 associated with running the plant. It's the 22 22 **EXAMINATION** 23 BY MR. NOBLE: additional fees it takes to run the plant. 23 24 To kind of put it in perspective, in 2012 24 Q. Mr. Smith, my name is Chuck Noble. I 25 represent the Coalition for Clean Affordable Energy the O&M budget that was plant controllable without 25 **Page 159 Page 161** A&G was about 108 million. And I believe with A&G 1 1 The first question I have is: Were you 2 that was an additional -- somewhere in the served a subpoena to appear here today? 2 3 neighborhood of about 30 million. I don't have that 3 A. Yes, I was. 4 in front of me, but it gives you a flavor for the 4 Q. Now, you talked about this -- what's 5 5 proportion of A&G versus the proportion of non A&G. called a balanced draft, this equipment called a Q. Okay. Have you -- in the course of your 6 6 balanced draft. 7 7 employment with PNM, did you acquire stock in PNM? Were you at PNM when this decision to add 8 8 A. Yes, I did. a balanced draft was made? 9 Q. Okay. And do you still have that stock? 9 A. There was discussion about balanced draft, 10 A. No, I do not. 10 and it had been something that had been actually 11 Q. Okay. And when did you sell it? 11 discussed for years. 12 A. I sold it around March of 2013. 12 I don't recall it being approved at the 13 Q. Okay. And why did you sell it? 13 point in time that I left PNM. 14 A. Well, I was concerned. I had earned the 14 Q. Okay. And you said that while you were 15 stock, and I actually think I had contributed to the 15 there it had not been justified? 16 price of the stock going up by how well I had ran 16 A. Yes, sir. 17 San Juan. 17 Q. And can you talk about what you mean by And the company had given me stock or 18 whether it had been justified or not? 18 19 stock options as a way of compensating me for my 19 A. Yes. If I may reflect back to my 2.0 hard work. 20 testimony earlier. 21 And by the spring of 2013 I became 21 From a capital justification standpoint, 22 22 concerned because my superiors weren't taking it you look for the payback. You look at the net 23 very well that I expressed my concerns about the 23 present value of the project versus the amount of 24 poor maintenance of the plant and the fuel clause 24 savings that you realize for investing in the 25 and the safety issues. 25 project and then save money on O&M.

41 (Pages 158 to 161)

	Page 162		Page 164
1	Q. Is there does PNM have some type of	1	or a lowering of the plant goals. We told the
2	written policy that capital additions need to be	2	owners that we're chopping the budget by \$19 million
3	cost justified?	3	and we're still going to deliver 85 percent
4	A. Yes. As a matter of fact, the last	4	performance.
5	several hundred pages of Exhibit 2 are examples of	5	Q. 85 percent capacity factor?
6	capital projects and how they're justified and the	6	A. Availability factor.
7	alternatives that are considered.	7	Q. Availability factor.
8	Q. So that's a normal business practice for	8	Is there a point at which a dollar cost
9	PNM, is to look at these projects and look at what	9	going to which a repair becomes a capital addition
10	alternatives to those projects are and see what the	10	rather than an expense?
11	best cost method of solving the problem is going	11	A. Yes. There is a threshold for a dollar
12	forward?	12	amount of expenditure to become capital, and that's
13	A. That's correct. That's the prudent way to	13	typically \$50,000.
14	manage the business.	14	But there's also other criteria for what
15	Q. Do you know if there is some PNM document		makes the capital threshold rather than just
16	that says that's the prudent way to manage the	16	strictly a dollar amount.
17	business?	17	MR. NOBLE: Those are all the questions I
18	A. I would have to direct you to the last	18	have.
19	couple hundred pages of Unit 2, which is an example	19	Thank you.
20	of it.	20	MR. THRONE: John, I'm going to have a few
21	I'm not sure if there's a procedure, so to	21	questions too.
22	speak, on how you do that.	22	MR. BOYD: Let's take a break.
23	Q. All right. Did you attend the meetings	23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going off
24	between the partners of the San Juan owners that	24	the record.
25	occurred while you were the plant manager at	25	The time is approximately 2:26 p.m.
_	Page 163		Page 165
1	San Juan?	1	(A recess was taken from 2:26 p.m. to 2:36
2	A. Yes. Actually, I led many of those	2	p.m.)
3	meetings.	3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going back
4	Q. Okay. Did PNM ever inform its partners	4	on the record.
5	that it was going to cut its own O&M budget and that	5	The time is approximately 2:36 p.m.
6	could likely cause increases in capital budgets?	6	EXAMINATION BY ALBEIGUT
7	A. Could you say that again, please?	7	BY MR. ALBRIGHT:
8	Q. Did PNM ever inform the other partners	8	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Smith.
9	that it was going to cut the O&M budget for San Juan		A. Good afternoon.
10	power plant, and that could likely lead to increased	10	Q. My name is Jeffrey Albright. I'm with the
11	capital budgets for the San Juan plant?	11	law firm of Lewis Roca Rothgerber, and I represent
12	A. I'd have to answer your question with a	12	Bernalillo County, the County of Santa Fe, and the
13	yes and no. Yes to the first part, and may I	13	City of Santa Fe in this proceeding.
14	explain?	14	I have just a few questions.
15	Q. Yes.	15	First, to begin with, distinctions of
16	A. When in the fall of '12, the 2013	16	Units 1 through 4, you mentioned earlier in your
17	budget to chop \$19 million in O&M, that O&M that	17	testimony about some combination of common facto
18	chop in O&M didn't relate to an increase in capital,	18	and so forth.
19	but the original capital goal still had to have been	19	Could you go through and just distinguish
20	met.	20	what's different between Units 1 and 4 and what's in
21	Relative to the information we provided to	21	common between 1 through 4 of the SJGS units?
22	the owners, the owners were happy to hear that I was	22	A. Yes. In very basic terms, Units 1 and 4
23	chopping \$19 million out of the budget. Of course	23 24	are fairly identical, not exactly.
2.4			
24 25	they pay a large portion of that. The owners were not informed of the risk	25	And Units 3 and 4 are fairly identical, not exactly.

42 (Pages 162 to 165)

Page 166 Page 168 that's common between 1 and 2 or between 2 and 3 1 Tell me how you would like me to proceed 1 2 2 after that. 3 3 Q. Well, I think with regard to what A. The SCR works by injecting urea, which is 4 equipment is in common between 1 -- between 1 and 2 4 a liquid ammonia, by essentially spraying it into 5 5 or between 3 and 4. the fireball in the furnace. It reacts with the NOX 6 A. Yes. I did the analysis on, What are the 6 at that point in time, and introduces the nitrous 7 7 different combinations of the units that would make oxides. 8 8 the most sense in terms from an engineering So essentially, all that's involved with 9 9 this SNCR is to be able to have the piping and pumps 10 10 and storage tank required to inject the ammonia into I believe we identified 17 different 11 11 systems that are common among the units. I can't the furnace. 12 12 recall all the 17 units, but -- the 17 systems. With Units 1 and 4 being separate, it 13 13 Many of the systems I can tell you about: Air would take an engineering evaluation to determine if 14 14 each unit needed its own storage tank and pumping compressors, fly ash blowers, electrical 15 distribution systems, cooling water systems. I 15 systems or if there's some commonality that -- a 16 think I have mentioned compressor systems. 16 single tank between both. That would have to be an 17 Fly ash conveyance systems, coal delivery 17 engineering evaluation. 18 systems, the conveyer belts that deliver the coal to 18 Q. Would Units 1 through 4 get the same SNCR 19 the units. 19 equipment or are the units sufficiently different 20 Q. So even with the shutdown of units --20 where they would require unique SNCR equipment? 21 proposed shutdown of Units 2 and 3, those systems 21 A. Yes. The SNCR equipment on Unit 1 would 22 are going to have to be maintained in order to 22 have to be different than the SNCR equipment on 23 23 operate Unit 1 and Unit 4, correct? Unit 4. A different size boiler, different mass 24 A. That is correct. 24 flow would require a much larger system on Unit 4. 25 25 And that's what would account for some of Q. Often, in some of the numbers that were **Page 167 Page 169** given -- I think there was a number given around 1 the more than half cost that was projected in one of 1 2 the exhibits from the MSR report, correct? 2 90.6 million of SNCR and balanced draft equipment. 3 And I believe at one point in time you split out 3 A. In my mind that would be -- account for a 4 lot of it, yes. 4 what that cost was. 5 5 Q. Or at least a contributing factor, Are -- are those two, the SNCR and the 6 correct? balanced draft equipment, equipment that is -- that 6 7 7 works together or that have to be installed at the A. Yes, sir. 8 same time, or could they be installed separately in 8 Q. Okay. I also want to call your attention 9 to Exhibit 8, I believe it was, from the MSR report. 9 Units 1 through 4, or 1 and 4? 10 The one that was titled "Budget" at the top. 10 A. Yes. My understanding of SNCR, balanced 11 draft, totally completely different. It -- the 11 It was a bar graph. 12 balanced draft could have been installed 10 years 12 A. Yes, sir. Q. You explained earlier, in your testimony 13 ago or 10 years from now, and the SNCR would still 13 14 earlier today, what SCR was. 14 have the same effectiveness. 15 This says the chart does include costs for 15 Q. Is there any maintenance savings by doing 16 SNCR O&M. 16 them in tandem, as opposed to doing them as separate 17 17 Can you explain what SNCR is? projects? 18 A. Yes. SNCR stands for selective non A. I'm sure that the outage to put one in 18 19 19 catalytic reduction. It -- its function is to could -- there's some efficiencies by doing them in 20 remove NOX out of the flue gas. It's not nearly as 2.0 the same outage. 21 effective as an SCR, which is selective catalytic 21 Q. Okay. So this could go back to where you 22 22 were talking about doing planned maintenance when reduction. But along those lines, it's not nearly

43 (Pages 166 to 169)

you have a system that's down, of doing several

things at one time to maximize that --

A. Absolutely.

23

24

25

23

24

as expensive.

Q. Where is it located in the system? Is it

located with each unit or is this part of equipment

	Page 170		Page 172
1	Q time that it's down, correct?	1	Can you just give us most of whom those
2	Correct. But just if you have a window of	2	eight owners are or were?
3	opportunity doesn't mean you have to take it.	3	A. Yes. I may have said the other eight
4	Q. And what are the most significant	4	owners. PNM is always present at the meetings,
5	components that drive the SNCR cost? Do you know	? 5	especially if I was there.
6	Storage tank, piping, and injectors into	6	The other eight owners, units Tucson
7	the boilers.	7	Electric Power, Southern California Public Power
8	Q. You made you also made reference to	8	Association. That's SCPPA, is what they're
9	meetings changing subjects.	9	typically called.
10	But you made reference earlier well,	10	There's TriState, there's MSR, and there
11	let me ask you one more question.	11	is UAMPS, which is the Utah one.
12	In ranking Units 1, 2, 3, and 4, you gave	12	City of Farmington, and City of Anaheim.
13	the time lines with respect to when they were placed	13	Q. And they own different percentages in
14	into service.	14	different units?
15	From an operational point of view, which	15	A. Yes, sir.
16	units have been the most efficient?	16	Q. Okay.
17	A. When you use the word efficient, that's	17	A. Did I capture all eight?
18	typically used in power plants as to how	18	Q. I think you got them.
19	efficient how much how little fuel you can	19	Seven: Tucson, Southern Public Service
20	burn to get the kilowatts.	20	there, TriState, MSR, UAMPs, City of Farmington, an
21	Are you referring to efficiency or	21	Anaheim.
22	reliability?	22	MR. BOYD: So are there eight or nine
23	Q. I'm not referring to the EAF, if that	23	owners?
24	helps.	24	THE WITNESS: There's a total of nine
25	A. Okay.	25	owners.
	Page 171		Dog 172
	raye i/i		Page 173
1	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational	1	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one.
1 2		1 2	-
	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational		MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one.
2	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational point of view, less maintenance, less O&M. Is there	2	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one. MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, Los Alamos.
2	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational point of view, less maintenance, less O&M. Is there a breakdown of that that we can refer to, or do you	2	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one. MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, Los Alamos. THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2 3 4	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational point of view, less maintenance, less O&M. Is there a breakdown of that that we can refer to, or do you know off the top of your head from your experience?	2 3 4	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one. MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, Los Alamos. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. ALBRIGHT: I have no further
2 3 4 5	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational point of view, less maintenance, less O&M. Is there a breakdown of that that we can refer to, or do you know off the top of your head from your experience? A. Again, I'm seeking for clarification.	2 3 4 5	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one. MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, Los Alamos. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. ALBRIGHT: I have no further questions.
2 3 4 5 6	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational point of view, less maintenance, less O&M. Is there a breakdown of that that we can refer to, or do you know off the top of your head from your experience? A. Again, I'm seeking for clarification. Are you looking at the gas mileage on the	2 3 4 5 6	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one. MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, Los Alamos. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. ALBRIGHT: I have no further questions. Thank you very much, Mr. Smith.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational point of view, less maintenance, less O&M. Is there a breakdown of that that we can refer to, or do you know off the top of your head from your experience? A. Again, I'm seeking for clarification. Are you looking at the gas mileage on the units?	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one. MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, Los Alamos. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. ALBRIGHT: I have no further questions. Thank you very much, Mr. Smith. EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational point of view, less maintenance, less O&M. Is there a breakdown of that that we can refer to, or do you know off the top of your head from your experience? A. Again, I'm seeking for clarification. Are you looking at the gas mileage on the units? Q. No. What I'm looking for is you had an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one. MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, Los Alamos. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. ALBRIGHT: I have no further questions. Thank you very much, Mr. Smith. EXAMINATION BY MR. GOULD:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational point of view, less maintenance, less O&M. Is there a breakdown of that that we can refer to, or do you know off the top of your head from your experience? A. Again, I'm seeking for clarification. Are you looking at the gas mileage on the units? Q. No. What I'm looking for is you had an O&M budget. You ran through a list of categories of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one. MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, Los Alamos. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. ALBRIGHT: I have no further questions. Thank you very much, Mr. Smith. EXAMINATION BY MR. GOULD: Q. Mr. Smith, my name is Peter Gould. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational point of view, less maintenance, less O&M. Is there a breakdown of that that we can refer to, or do you know off the top of your head from your experience? A. Again, I'm seeking for clarification. Are you looking at the gas mileage on the units? Q. No. What I'm looking for is you had an O&M budget. You ran through a list of categories of different things that could be done with regard to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one. MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, Los Alamos. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. ALBRIGHT: I have no further questions. Thank you very much, Mr. Smith. EXAMINATION BY MR. GOULD: Q. Mr. Smith, my name is Peter Gould. I represent an intervenor in the in the case where we're looking at the San Juan abandonment. My client is called the New Mexico
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational point of view, less maintenance, less O&M. Is there a breakdown of that that we can refer to, or do you know off the top of your head from your experience? A. Again, I'm seeking for clarification. Are you looking at the gas mileage on the units? Q. No. What I'm looking for is you had an O&M budget. You ran through a list of categories of different things that could be done with regard to hot well pumps, with regard to all of those things. A. Correct. Q. From the plant manager point of view,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one. MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, Los Alamos. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. ALBRIGHT: I have no further questions. Thank you very much, Mr. Smith. EXAMINATION BY MR. GOULD: Q. Mr. Smith, my name is Peter Gould. I represent an intervenor in the in the case where we're looking at the San Juan abandonment. My client is called the New Mexico Industrial Energy Consumers, or NMIEC.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational point of view, less maintenance, less O&M. Is there a breakdown of that that we can refer to, or do you know off the top of your head from your experience? A. Again, I'm seeking for clarification. Are you looking at the gas mileage on the units? Q. No. What I'm looking for is you had an O&M budget. You ran through a list of categories of different things that could be done with regard to hot well pumps, with regard to all of those things. A. Correct. Q. From the plant manager point of view, which plants were operationally in the best shape	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one. MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, Los Alamos. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. ALBRIGHT: I have no further questions. Thank you very much, Mr. Smith. EXAMINATION BY MR. GOULD: Q. Mr. Smith, my name is Peter Gould. I represent an intervenor in the in the case where we're looking at the San Juan abandonment. My client is called the New Mexico Industrial Energy Consumers, or NMIEC. And forgive me, I'm going to be jumping
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational point of view, less maintenance, less O&M. Is there a breakdown of that that we can refer to, or do you know off the top of your head from your experience? A. Again, I'm seeking for clarification. Are you looking at the gas mileage on the units? Q. No. What I'm looking for is you had an O&M budget. You ran through a list of categories of different things that could be done with regard to hot well pumps, with regard to all of those things. A. Correct. Q. From the plant manager point of view, which plants were operationally in the best shape that needed the less O&M maintenance?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one. MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, Los Alamos. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. ALBRIGHT: I have no further questions. Thank you very much, Mr. Smith. EXAMINATION BY MR. GOULD: Q. Mr. Smith, my name is Peter Gould. I represent an intervenor in the in the case where we're looking at the San Juan abandonment. My client is called the New Mexico Industrial Energy Consumers, or NMIEC. And forgive me, I'm going to be jumping around. I just want some clarifications on some of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational point of view, less maintenance, less O&M. Is there a breakdown of that that we can refer to, or do you know off the top of your head from your experience? A. Again, I'm seeking for clarification. Are you looking at the gas mileage on the units? Q. No. What I'm looking for is you had an O&M budget. You ran through a list of categories of different things that could be done with regard to hot well pumps, with regard to all of those things. A. Correct. Q. From the plant manager point of view, which plants were operationally in the best shape that needed the less O&M maintenance? A. Okay. Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one. MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, Los Alamos. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. ALBRIGHT: I have no further questions. Thank you very much, Mr. Smith. EXAMINATION BY MR. GOULD: Q. Mr. Smith, my name is Peter Gould. I represent an intervenor in the in the case where we're looking at the San Juan abandonment. My client is called the New Mexico Industrial Energy Consumers, or NMIEC. And forgive me, I'm going to be jumping around. I just want some clarifications on some of the things that you said.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational point of view, less maintenance, less O&M. Is there a breakdown of that that we can refer to, or do you know off the top of your head from your experience? A. Again, I'm seeking for clarification. Are you looking at the gas mileage on the units? Q. No. What I'm looking for is you had an O&M budget. You ran through a list of categories of different things that could be done with regard to hot well pumps, with regard to all of those things. A. Correct. Q. From the plant manager point of view, which plants were operationally in the best shape that needed the less O&M maintenance? A. Okay. Sure. Units 1 and 2 have been more challenging	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one. MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, Los Alamos. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. ALBRIGHT: I have no further questions. Thank you very much, Mr. Smith. EXAMINATION BY MR. GOULD: Q. Mr. Smith, my name is Peter Gould. I represent an intervenor in the in the case where we're looking at the San Juan abandonment. My client is called the New Mexico Industrial Energy Consumers, or NMIEC. And forgive me, I'm going to be jumping around. I just want some clarifications on some of the things that you said. Could you describe generally what is meant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational point of view, less maintenance, less O&M. Is there a breakdown of that that we can refer to, or do you know off the top of your head from your experience? A. Again, I'm seeking for clarification. Are you looking at the gas mileage on the units? Q. No. What I'm looking for is you had an O&M budget. You ran through a list of categories of different things that could be done with regard to hot well pumps, with regard to all of those things. A. Correct. Q. From the plant manager point of view, which plants were operationally in the best shape that needed the less O&M maintenance? A. Okay. Sure. Units 1 and 2 have been more challenging from a maintenance and reliability standpoint.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one. MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, Los Alamos. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. ALBRIGHT: I have no further questions. Thank you very much, Mr. Smith. EXAMINATION BY MR. GOULD: Q. Mr. Smith, my name is Peter Gould. I represent an intervenor in the in the case where we're looking at the San Juan abandonment. My client is called the New Mexico Industrial Energy Consumers, or NMIEC. And forgive me, I'm going to be jumping around. I just want some clarifications on some of the things that you said. Could you describe generally what is meant by heat rate?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational point of view, less maintenance, less O&M. Is there a breakdown of that that we can refer to, or do you know off the top of your head from your experience? A. Again, I'm seeking for clarification. Are you looking at the gas mileage on the units? Q. No. What I'm looking for is you had an O&M budget. You ran through a list of categories of different things that could be done with regard to hot well pumps, with regard to all of those things. A. Correct. Q. From the plant manager point of view, which plants were operationally in the best shape that needed the less O&M maintenance? A. Okay. Sure. Units 1 and 2 have been more challenging from a maintenance and reliability standpoint. Units 3 and 4 had typically, but not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one. MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, Los Alamos. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. ALBRIGHT: I have no further questions. Thank you very much, Mr. Smith. EXAMINATION BY MR. GOULD: Q. Mr. Smith, my name is Peter Gould. I represent an intervenor in the in the case where we're looking at the San Juan abandonment. My client is called the New Mexico Industrial Energy Consumers, or NMIEC. And forgive me, I'm going to be jumping around. I just want some clarifications on some of the things that you said. Could you describe generally what is meant by heat rate? A. Yes. Heat rate, in very basic terms,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational point of view, less maintenance, less O&M. Is there a breakdown of that that we can refer to, or do you know off the top of your head from your experience? A. Again, I'm seeking for clarification. Are you looking at the gas mileage on the units? Q. No. What I'm looking for is you had an O&M budget. You ran through a list of categories of different things that could be done with regard to hot well pumps, with regard to all of those things. A. Correct. Q. From the plant manager point of view, which plants were operationally in the best shape that needed the less O&M maintenance? A. Okay. Sure. Units 1 and 2 have been more challenging from a maintenance and reliability standpoint. Units 3 and 4 had typically, but not always, been more reliable and required less	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one. MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, Los Alamos. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. ALBRIGHT: I have no further questions. Thank you very much, Mr. Smith. EXAMINATION BY MR. GOULD: Q. Mr. Smith, my name is Peter Gould. I represent an intervenor in the in the case where we're looking at the San Juan abandonment. My client is called the New Mexico Industrial Energy Consumers, or NMIEC. And forgive me, I'm going to be jumping around. I just want some clarifications on some of the things that you said. Could you describe generally what is meant by heat rate? A. Yes. Heat rate, in very basic terms, would be the gas mileage, so to speak, of the unit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational point of view, less maintenance, less O&M. Is there a breakdown of that that we can refer to, or do you know off the top of your head from your experience? A. Again, I'm seeking for clarification. Are you looking at the gas mileage on the units? Q. No. What I'm looking for is you had an O&M budget. You ran through a list of categories of different things that could be done with regard to hot well pumps, with regard to all of those things. A. Correct. Q. From the plant manager point of view, which plants were operationally in the best shape that needed the less O&M maintenance? A. Okay. Sure. Units 1 and 2 have been more challenging from a maintenance and reliability standpoint. Units 3 and 4 had typically, but not always, been more reliable and required less maintenance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one. MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, Los Alamos. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. ALBRIGHT: I have no further questions. Thank you very much, Mr. Smith. EXAMINATION BY MR. GOULD: Q. Mr. Smith, my name is Peter Gould. I represent an intervenor in the in the case where we're looking at the San Juan abandonment. My client is called the New Mexico Industrial Energy Consumers, or NMIEC. And forgive me, I'm going to be jumping around. I just want some clarifications on some of the things that you said. Could you describe generally what is meant by heat rate? A. Yes. Heat rate, in very basic terms, would be the gas mileage, so to speak, of the unit. How much fuel does it take to get X amount of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational point of view, less maintenance, less O&M. Is there a breakdown of that that we can refer to, or do you know off the top of your head from your experience? A. Again, I'm seeking for clarification. Are you looking at the gas mileage on the units? Q. No. What I'm looking for is you had an O&M budget. You ran through a list of categories of different things that could be done with regard to hot well pumps, with regard to all of those things. A. Correct. Q. From the plant manager point of view, which plants were operationally in the best shape that needed the less O&M maintenance? A. Okay. Sure. Units 1 and 2 have been more challenging from a maintenance and reliability standpoint. Units 3 and 4 had typically, but not always, been more reliable and required less maintenance. Q. Okay. Changing subjects.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one. MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, Los Alamos. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. ALBRIGHT: I have no further questions. Thank you very much, Mr. Smith. EXAMINATION BY MR. GOULD: Q. Mr. Smith, my name is Peter Gould. I represent an intervenor in the in the case where we're looking at the San Juan abandonment. My client is called the New Mexico Industrial Energy Consumers, or NMIEC. And forgive me, I'm going to be jumping around. I just want some clarifications on some of the things that you said. Could you describe generally what is meant by heat rate? A. Yes. Heat rate, in very basic terms, would be the gas mileage, so to speak, of the unit. How much fuel does it take to get X amount of kilowatts?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational point of view, less maintenance, less O&M. Is there a breakdown of that that we can refer to, or do you know off the top of your head from your experience? A. Again, I'm seeking for clarification. Are you looking at the gas mileage on the units? Q. No. What I'm looking for is you had an O&M budget. You ran through a list of categories of different things that could be done with regard to hot well pumps, with regard to all of those things. A. Correct. Q. From the plant manager point of view, which plants were operationally in the best shape that needed the less O&M maintenance? A. Okay. Sure. Units 1 and 2 have been more challenging from a maintenance and reliability standpoint. Units 3 and 4 had typically, but not always, been more reliable and required less maintenance. Q. Okay. Changing subjects. You also mentioned that you had met, with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one. MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, Los Alamos. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. ALBRIGHT: I have no further questions. Thank you very much, Mr. Smith. EXAMINATION BY MR. GOULD: Q. Mr. Smith, my name is Peter Gould. I represent an intervenor in the in the case where we're looking at the San Juan abandonment. My client is called the New Mexico Industrial Energy Consumers, or NMIEC. And forgive me, I'm going to be jumping around. I just want some clarifications on some of the things that you said. Could you describe generally what is meant by heat rate? A. Yes. Heat rate, in very basic terms, would be the gas mileage, so to speak, of the unit. How much fuel does it take to get X amount of kilowatts? Heat rate is expressed in on BTUs,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational point of view, less maintenance, less O&M. Is there a breakdown of that that we can refer to, or do you know off the top of your head from your experience? A. Again, I'm seeking for clarification. Are you looking at the gas mileage on the units? Q. No. What I'm looking for is you had an O&M budget. You ran through a list of categories of different things that could be done with regard to hot well pumps, with regard to all of those things. A. Correct. Q. From the plant manager point of view, which plants were operationally in the best shape that needed the less O&M maintenance? A. Okay. Sure. Units 1 and 2 have been more challenging from a maintenance and reliability standpoint. Units 3 and 4 had typically, but not always, been more reliable and required less maintenance. Q. Okay. Changing subjects. You also mentioned that you had met, with aid of the owners, with regard to in discussing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one. MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, Los Alamos. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. ALBRIGHT: I have no further questions. Thank you very much, Mr. Smith. EXAMINATION BY MR. GOULD: Q. Mr. Smith, my name is Peter Gould. I represent an intervenor in the in the case where we're looking at the San Juan abandonment. My client is called the New Mexico Industrial Energy Consumers, or NMIEC. And forgive me, I'm going to be jumping around. I just want some clarifications on some of the things that you said. Could you describe generally what is meant by heat rate? A. Yes. Heat rate, in very basic terms, would be the gas mileage, so to speak, of the unit. How much fuel does it take to get X amount of kilowatts? Heat rate is expressed in on BTUs, which is the heat content of the fuel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. I'm referring to, from an operational point of view, less maintenance, less O&M. Is there a breakdown of that that we can refer to, or do you know off the top of your head from your experience? A. Again, I'm seeking for clarification. Are you looking at the gas mileage on the units? Q. No. What I'm looking for is you had an O&M budget. You ran through a list of categories of different things that could be done with regard to hot well pumps, with regard to all of those things. A. Correct. Q. From the plant manager point of view, which plants were operationally in the best shape that needed the less O&M maintenance? A. Okay. Sure. Units 1 and 2 have been more challenging from a maintenance and reliability standpoint. Units 3 and 4 had typically, but not always, been more reliable and required less maintenance. Q. Okay. Changing subjects. You also mentioned that you had met, with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BUTLER: Then we're missing one. MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, Los Alamos. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. ALBRIGHT: I have no further questions. Thank you very much, Mr. Smith. EXAMINATION BY MR. GOULD: Q. Mr. Smith, my name is Peter Gould. I represent an intervenor in the in the case where we're looking at the San Juan abandonment. My client is called the New Mexico Industrial Energy Consumers, or NMIEC. And forgive me, I'm going to be jumping around. I just want some clarifications on some of the things that you said. Could you describe generally what is meant by heat rate? A. Yes. Heat rate, in very basic terms, would be the gas mileage, so to speak, of the unit. How much fuel does it take to get X amount of kilowatts? Heat rate is expressed in on BTUs,

Page 174 Page 176 1 get a kilowatt is the typical way of expressing a 1 A. Yes, sir. 2 2 Q. Okay. Do you have any memos or reports in which you memorialized any of the conversations that 3 Q. So the higher the heat rate the less 4 efficient the plant? you mentioned when you were talking to Mr. Boyd with 5 Ron Talbot? 5 A. That is correct. 6 Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not SNCRs 6 A. No. I do not. 7 7 have the impact of lowering or increasing the heat Q. Okay. Did you put any of the observations 8 8 that you had about the impact of taking 50 percent rate? 9 A. Heat rate is a measure of unit efficiency, 9 of that discretionary maintenance budget and doing away with it? Did you memorialize that in any 10 10 the gas mileage. SNCRs make the unit have very little 11 document or include that in any report, say for 11 12 instance, Exhibit 2? 12 effect on it. 13 Q. What about balanced draft? 13 A. I memorialized it with the company A. Balanced draft requires more auxiliary 14 documents at the time. I do not have those 14 15 load. It makes the units less efficient. 15 documents, and I would not expect to see that in Exhibit 2. That's the 2014 budget. 16 Q. Okay. So there's -- there's more --16 17 there's more -- there is more power draining out of 17 Q. And would there -- and prior to the 2013 budget, would some of those documents appear in 18 the system to run the balanced draft? 18 19 A. That's correct. 19 there or be referenced in that 2013 budget? 20 Q. Okay. What impact did the 2011 mine fire 20 A. The 2013 budget, the budget book similar 21 have on the EAF rate at the plant? 21 to what you see in Exhibit 2, was built in October 22 22 of 2012 before I was asked to do the budget cuts. A. None. 23 Q. Okay. The type of documents that were the 23 Q. So the plant was run, had the same 2.4 availability factor, even with the mine being out? 24 internal documents that you've mentioned, the 25 internal PNM documents, what kind of documents are 25 A. That is correct. **Page 175 Page 177** Q. My understanding is that they ramped the 1 1 those and where could they be found? A. They can be -- well, they are ongoing 2 plant back somewhat during the winter months of 2012 2 3 so they wouldn't go through the entire inventory. 3 financial forecasts of the actual expenses made in 4 Is that your understanding? 4 the plant, and with the targets and goals in them, 5 5 A. That is true. typically used on almost a weekly basis to determine 6 Q. So it had an effect in 2012 on the EAF? where we stand -- where PNM stands relative to 6 7 7 A. No, it did not have an impact on the EAF. financial goals. 8 8 It did have an impact on capacity factor. And of course PNM owns those documents, 9 Q. Okay. That was the next question I wanted 9 and that's where they're retained. 10 to get to. 10 Q. And in those documents, just to make sure 11 Could you explain the difference between 11 I understand your testimony, in some of those capacity factor and EAF? 12 12 documents the feedback that you gave Ron Talbot A. The EAF is the equivalent availability 13 that if you lower the maintenance budget you're 13 14 factor. 14 going to have long-term impacts on EAF, plant 15 And it's a measure, as we talked about, is 15 reliability, there are comments like that in some of 16 how available the units are to run. 16 those documents that you produced. 17 The capacity factor is how much did the 17 Is that what you're saying? 18 units actually run. A. No. Those are only financial documents 18 19 An example, the Afton combined cycle, it 19 that highlight the financial goals. 2.0 may have availability factors in the 90s, but it's 20 Q. Okay. 21 only needed to run 40 percent of the time. So it's 21 A. Those will reflect the reduction. 22 how much it's needed versus how much it's available. 22 Q. Oh, they will reflect the reductions. 23 Q. Okay. So you might have a plant available 23 Was there ever a document that you 24 but decide not to run it because it's not profitable 24 produced that would be similar to a memo or an to run it, there's another option that's cheaper? 25 e-mail or a letter saying, Ron, if you do this, it's

45 (Pages 174 to 177)

against my advice, and I think it will have a 1 down a little water and inject fresh, clean, pure 1 2 2 long-term impact on reliability or whatever? water into the system. So that's boiler blowdown. 3 3 A. Yes. I had a document that listed the That's very -- still pretty pure water, 4 risks that we were taking and what was going to be 4 pure -- more pure than what you get out of the tap, 5 5 chopped from the budget, yes. when it comes out of the boiler blowdown. We 6 Q. Okay. And do you have that document? 6 wouldn't want to waste that water by sending it to 7 7 A. No, sir, I don't. the evaporation ponds as blowdown. We use it in Q. Okay. That would be a PNM document that 8 8 other processes. you have not seen since you produced it. And then once it's concentrated with ION 9 Is that a fair statement? 10 chlorides -- the cooling tower is a good example --10 11 it's used with ION chlorides, you blow down water 11 A. That is correct. 12 Q. Okay. And do you have any of the out of the cooling tank. 12 13 documents that have to do with the disciplinary 13 Q. And then at what point does it get put matters that led to your dismissal? into the evaporation pond? Does it get used once 14 14 15 A. I have the letter that I was given when I 15 Twice? Three times? 16 was fired. 16 A. To be honest with you, I don't recall 17 Q. Okay. Did that go into the use of 17 exactly what stage in the process that is. 18 inappropriate sexual language at one of the 18 I can tell you the objective, though, is 19 meetings? Did it go into any detail on that? 19 to use every drop of water as many times as you can. 20 MR. BOYD: Object to the form. 20 And of course you cycle it down into increasingly A. No, sir. 21 concentrated water. 21 22 22 Q. (By Mr. Gould) Okay. I wanted to ask Q. Right. 23 23 you. This came up very early in the deposition. A. And now if we can use that water in the 24 I wanted to ask you about the evaporation 24 bottom ash, the bottom of the boiler, that's pretty 25 25 dirty water. By the time we're done with that, as I pools at the San Juan plant. **Page 179 Page 181** recall, that's probably where we blow it down to the 1 It's my understanding, and it's a total 1 2 laymen's understanding, that the majority of the 2 evaporation pond. 3 3 Q. Okay. But it's my understanding that the water in those pools is what would be called boiler 4 water. 4 evaporation ponds are not what's commonly known in 5 5 Is that your understanding? the industry as ash ponds. In other words, my understanding is that 6 And my understanding is that in some power 6 7 7 you boil water again and again, and at a certain plants where they don't have an option to truck off 8 8 point it becomes too salty and you can't reuse it, the ash they mix it, make it into slurry, and they 9 and so that's the water that you put in the pond. 9 put those into ponds. 10 Is that a fair statement or not? 10 Are you familiar with that process? 11 A. No, sir, that's not correct. 11 12 Q. Okay. Q. These ponds are not those type of ponds, 12 A. Although there's terminology that would 13 are they? 13 14 lead someone to think that. 14 A. No, they're not. They're not for ash 15 Q. Okay. So correct me. Tell me what's in 15 disposal. Q. Okay. But they do have a small residual 16 the ponds and what that water mainly is composed of. 16 A. Let me start with the definition of a term amount of ash in there. That's what you said 17 17 that's called blowdown. 18 earlier. 18 19 19 Q. Okav. Is that correct? 2.0 A. And blowdown is water that is used to 2.0 A. That's safe. Ash and pipe chlorides and 21 control the chemistry in a process. 21 22 22 Q. Okay. Do you have any idea of the Within the boilers it's critical that the 23 water in the boilers be absolutely pure. And 23 percentage of the ash in that evaporation pond? 24 because water does tend to concentrate over time 24 A. No, sir, I don't. 25 with the cycles of the boiler, we continue to blow 25 Q. Do you know if those evaporation ponds --

Page 178

46 (Pages 178 to 181)

Page 180

Page 184 Page 182 if you can answer -- would be subject to the new ash 1 1 A. That's correct. 2 rules that the EPA is thinking about coming up with Q. Okay. I understand. I wanted you to look 3 and issuing in December of this year? real quickly at your Exhibit 9. 4 MR. BUTLER: Object as to foundation. 4 A. Okay. 5 Q. And to the extent you can tell me -- and 5 But go ahead. 6 Q. (By Mr. Gould) If you know. 6 this may be beyond your knowledge because it's A. I don't know. 7 7 beyond your time. 8 Q. Okay. Do you know if projects that are 8 But my understanding is there are going to 9 required by the New Mexico Environment Department or 9 have to be some scheduled outages to install the the EPA go through a cost justification analysis? 10 SNCR equipment that the EPA approved, and there's 10 A. To a degree. 11 also going to be some downtime -- scheduled downtime 11 Q. And explain your understanding, if you 12 12 to do the balanced draft work. 13 13 would, please. Do you know how those scheduled outages A. On a very high-level, justification by the 14 impact these capacity factors that are -- that are 14 EPA that SCR should be mandated for San Juan is 15 15 about halfway down the page? based upon what the EPA estimated as the cost of the A. No, I do not. 16 16 17 SCRs. 17 Q. In other words, I see a drop of -- you 18 Q. Okay. But if the EPA finally came down 18 know, a pretty significant drop in 2017 for Unit 1. 19 and said you have -- PNM, you have to put SCRs on 19 I'm just wondering if that was associated 20 this plant, at that point does PNM do a cost/benefit 20 with the work that's going to be required to evaluation or do they just go through with the 21 21 implement the stated implementation plan. 22 22 A. That's possible. project? 23 23 A. Well, absolutely, they're going to look at Q. Okay. 24 the cost and the benefit. 24 A. I don't know. 25 Q. Okay. But if they -- if they realize that 25 But you don't have any direct knowledge of **Page 183 Page 185** the pay- -- I guess I'm wondering, at what point that, do you? 1 1 2 does the EPA ordering PNM to do something interfere A. No. 3 3 with PNM saying, This is not affordable. Q. Okay. And maybe this is more a question 4 Do you see what I'm trying to get at? 4 for your attorney than you. 5 5 But do you know if you're going to be Q. I'm just trying to find out how that offered as a witness in this case, in the underlying 6 7 7 analysis works. litigation that's going on at the New Mexico Public 8 8 A. Maybe a way to look at it is that the EPA Regulation Commission over the San Juan abandonment 9 doesn't say, PNM, you have to install SCRs. 9 case 13-390? 10 But the EPA can say, If you want to run 10 Do you know if you're going to be a 11 these units after a particular date, then your NOX 11 witness -- asked to be a witness in that case? 12 12 emissions has to be this, and the best available A. No, sir. 13 13 Q. Do you have any understanding of how your technology for doing this is SCRs. 14 14 Q. Okay. And then if the company basically deposition might be used in that case, this 15 says, We are not going to get a three-year payback, 15 deposition? 16 16 they still might have to do the project anyway? A. Well, I understand at the heart of the 17 case is getting to the facts, to make the best 17 A. It's up to the company, at that point in 18 time, saying do they want to continue to run the decision by the PRC. 18 19 19 And if I've offered information that's 2.0 Q. Okay. In other words, they could make the 20 helpful, then... 21 decision that the fix is more expensive than the 21 Q. Okay. But you've not been asked 22 shutdown? 22 personally, or through your attorney, to appear as a 23 A. Yes, sir. 23 witness at this point? Q. And that would be -- that would be the A. No, sir. 24 24 25 tradeoff right there? 25 Q. Okav.

47 (Pages 182 to 185)

Page 186 Page 188 MR. GOULD: Thank you. 1 Q. Okay. Can I have you look back at 1 2 That's all the questions I have. I 2 Exhibit 9 for a moment? 3 appreciate it. 3 A. (Witness complies.) 4 **EXAMINATION** 4 Q. Now to put this in context, when did you BY MR. THRONE: 5 5 say that this -- this report came out, was 6 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Smith. 6 published, the entire report in which this is 7 7 A. Good afternoon. contained? 8 Q. My name is Bruce Throne, and I'm the 8 A. The 800 pages or just the part that PNM 9 counsel for the Southwest Generation Operating 9 put out? Company, LLC, in this proceeding. Q. Well, this part. 10 10 A. The San Juan budget. What I would like to do, before I ask you 11 11 to look at a few documents, is just to go back to Q. This is part of the San Juan budget book? 12 12 some of the -- just to get some clarification of 13 13 A. Yes. it is. some of your responses on your earlier examination. Q. And what's the date on that, when that was 14 14 15 Let me start with a question by Mr. Noble 15 authored? to you about -- and you referred to this earlier, I 16 16 A. It does not have a date. However, the 17 San Juan participation agreement, which is the 17 think when Mr. Boyd was questioning you, about the 18 sort of payback analysis that you understood the 18 agreement on the owners, requires that this report 19 company was engaged in when deciding whether or not 19 be out in October. 20 to make or incur various expenses. Q. Of what year? 20 21 I believe you said there was no written A. Of each year. 21 22 policy on payback, is that correct, that you were Q. So that was October of 2013? 22 aware of while you were employed by PNM. 23 23 A. This was -- came out -- the 2014 budget 24 A. Not that I recall, no. 24 should have been out in October of '13. And it was Was there any standard payback period that 25 in MSR's report for November -- or excuse me --25 **Page 187 Page 189** you considered when deciding, you know, about how October 3. So yeah, it appears that it came out on 1 1 2 long it would have to be before there would be a 2 3 3 Q. Okay. And again, I'm looking at the payback that you would apply, to determine whether 4 or not to make a capital expenditure versus, say, an 4 capacity factors there. 5 5 operating expense? So these are projected capacity factors. A. Yes. Typically, that was a three-year 6 And at the time that this report was prepared, to 6 7 7 payback period. Payback periods greater than three your knowledge, had a decision been made by PNM a 8 years raised eyebrows, and it was unlikely that we 8 to whether or not it would be installing SCR or SNCR 9 would get that approved. 9 on -- at the San Juan plant? 10 Q. How does that work in terms of a capital 10 A. At the time of my departure, the stated 11 expenditure? 11 implementation plan of running only Units 1 and 4 12 12 Well, first of all, is that for both and abandoning Units 2 and 3 was out and were 13 capital expenditures and for operating expenses or 13 seeking approval. 14 just operating expenses? 14 Q. Okay. And maybe you answered this, but I 15 A. The capital justification is based upon 15 wanted to make -- I know Mr. Gould just asked you 16 about this. 16 the payback being greater than what you're spending 17 What -- I'm trying to understand whether 17 in O&M or in other efficiencies in the unit. 18 18 Q. Okay. How -- and how would -- how does or not, number one, you know whether or not any of 19 19 that payback period relate to the -- is there any the capacity factor projections there would have 2.0 relationship between that and the amortization 2.0 taken into account the installation of the SNCR as 21 period for the useful life of a plant if you were --21 proposed in the advised state implementation plan. 22 22 or a capital expenditure in a plant? A. I do not know that. 23 A. Are you referring to depreciation? 23 Q. Okay. And you know -- looking at Unit 4, 24 24 Q. Yes. do you have any information that might explain 25 There is no correlation. 25 why -- why, for instance, the capacity factor

48 (Pages 186 to 189)

Page 190

projected for Unit 4 in 2020 drops to 77.59 percent, compared to what's reported for the prior -- projected for the prior years?

A. I recall reading quite a bit of Exhibit 2, and I believe it spoke towards major turbine or boiler outage in 2020.

I would have to reread Exhibit 2 to make sure, but I recall they were planning a major outage in that time frame.

- Q. Okay. And as compared to Unit 1, would that -- could there be any other reason why -- do you have any information about why -- why the capacity factor for Unit -- Unit 4 would have been lower than for Unit 1 in that -- in that -- at least for 2020?
 - A. No, I do not.

2.2

2.0

2.2

- Q. Do you have any understanding of when, pursuant to the revised state implementation plan, PNM would be actually spending -- or actually taking the plant off line to install the SNCR, what year? What time?
- A. My understanding is that under the state implementation plan PNM is to install the SNCR within so many months of approval of the plan, but no earlier than January of 2016.

ercent,

1 reporting information like this in this format, the
2 row that depicts 2012 would be for an entire year of

2012.
 And then where the row says 2013 YTD would
 be year to date, which is typically how well the

numbers were prior to this document being produced
 in 2013.
 Q. So these actual -- are these actual

numbers based on historic?

These aren't projections. Is that correct?

- A. No. My understanding is that they are actual numbers.
- Q. Okay. And do you -- do you have any information that would allow you to form an opinion on why, looking at the equivalent availability factor figures at the bottom of the page there, why the EAF for 2013 for Unit 4 is so much lower than it is shown for 2012, of 91.3 percent?
- A. I've stayed in contact with colleagues, and they've told me they've had a pretty horrible year in 2013 on Unit 4.
- Q. And before I get to that, I do notice that it says there -- under that column it says 2013 YTD.

 I assume that means year to date?

Page 191

Page 193

Page 192

- Q. Okay. What I'm trying to understand is whether or not, when you're looking out to 2020 on Unit 4, trying to understand whether you can explain to me, you know, whether that has anything to do -- that capacity factor would have anything to do, given that time frame for the installation of the SNCR, anything to do with the installation of the SNCR, or would that be due to the kind of downtime that you, I think, just referred to?
- A. Yes. In my experience that would seem fairly typical. A few years down the road they would be doing some major equipment work. For every week that the unit is down it looses 2 percent profits EAF.
- Q. Now if I could have you look again at Exhibit 5, please.
 - A. (Witness complies.)
- Q. The first thing I would like to know, if you have an understanding of what the time period is covered here by the -- both the EAF factors shown and the equivalent forced outage rate shown.

I know there's something at the top of the page, but I wanted to see what your understanding is of what period this data covers.

A. It's my experience typically, when

- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. So it's your understanding that this -this would report the equivalent availability
 factor, at least for 2013, up until the time this
 report was prepared?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. And do you have any -- based on the kinds of things that you've discussed in the deposition today, do you have any basis to form an opinion about whether or not that EAF factor was a result or any of the items that you've discussed earlier not being done in a timely manner?
 - A. Yes. In fact, we have an exhibit here with pie charts that specifically describes the performance of Unit 4 and the contributing factors.

And as mentioned earlier in my testimony, those contributing factors were line items in my budget prior to them being cut.

- Q. And based on your experience as plant manager, was that drop between 2012 and the 2013 year-to-date figure, was that a significant drop in the -- in the EAF for that Unit 4?
- A. Absolutely.
- Q. And looking now at the equivalent forced outage rate, I have similar questions.

49 (Pages 190 to 193)

Page 194

Am I reading that correctly to indicate that the -- of the -- amongst the four units at San Juan generating station, the largest increase in the equivalent forced outage rate was for Unit 4?

A. That is correct.

2.4

2.2

Q. And that -- and that indicates that it went from 6.1 percent to 16.7 percent.

Again, is that -- is that a significant increase in the forced outage rate -- and I will stop there -- in your opinion?

A. Yes. As I had testified earlier, below 5 percent is a very good forced outage rate.

When you get to 6 and up towards 10, it's becoming a mediocre rate.

And if the forced outage rate exceeds 10 percent, you definitely have problems. That's one of the worst changes in forced outage rate I've seen in my career.

- Q. And again, based on your tenure at PNM as plant manager for San Juan generating station and your testimony today, in your opinion is there a direct link between the items that were -- that you addressed earlier and that forced outage rate?
 - A. Absolutely.
 - Q. I think you have answered this, but I just

examination, that you didn't feel that spending the money on balanced draft for the San Juan units were required for regulatory compliance?

- A. Yes. The plant had operated for 30, 40 years and maintained compliance. And at that point in time, when I was there, it was expected it would continue to maintain compliance.
- Q. Well, I guess to cut to the chase on this one, were you -- I mean at the time that this matter came up -- and I know there's some reference to -- in your complaint that's been marked earlier as Exhibit 1 to the deposition -- to the whole issue of the extent to which you were being asked to continue capital expenditures rather than O&M expenses.

Based on your -- on what -- what happened and what you've described here, do you have an opinion or -- about whether or not the discussion about adding the balanced draft expenditures was intended by PNM to simply increase its rate base so that it could earn more money?

- A. I believe that's the case.
- Q. Did you discuss that? Is that part of your discussions with Mr. Olson or anybody else at PNM, at the time when this matter was raised, that you can recall?

Page 195

Page 197

Page 196

want to make sure you have.

This is in response to a question, and I think -- I know Mr. Boyd asked you about this, and then perhaps it was Mr. Noble, regarding the balanced draft expenditures.

My notes indicated that you said that -that you didn't believe that when the balanced draft
process was being considered, you said -- my notes
reflect that you indicated that you didn't believe
at that time it met the requirements for those
expenditures.

Is that -- did I get that right?

- A. Yeah. That is correct.
- Q. When you said the requirements for those expenditures, again, were you referring to the payback criteria that you discussed earlier?
- A. No, sir. There's other requirements as well, and I believe I made mention of that in my testimony.
- Q. Just clarify it for me, what other requirements you're referring to.
- A. The other requirement is: Is it a regulatory compliance issue?
- Q. And so what did you mean? Did you mean then, by your statement at that part of your

A. For years I had hoped and tried to make solid argument that we needed balanced draft.

To a plant manager, having balanced draft would be great. Not having holes in the unit blowing ash everywhere or fumes that can be an employee health hazard would be a nice thing to have

I just never could justify it either financially or from a compliance standpoint. It seems odd to me that that's now justified at this time, when we're shutting down other units.

Q. Okay. And another matter you were questioned about by Mr. Boyd had to do with discussions of if PNM did decide to shut down the San Juan Units 2 and 3 by bringing in the Palo Verdenuclear generating station Unit 3 capacity that it owned but wasn't in rates.

Do you recall that?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. At that time was there -- do you know whether or not PNM was making money off of that investment in that capacity in Palo Verde, or losing money, one way or another?

MR. ORTIZ: Objection, foundation.

A. No. I do not.

50 (Pages 194 to 197)

Page 198 Page 200 information is typically included in filings 1 Q. (By Mr. Throne) You don't know? 1 2 2 required by the Security Exchange Commission, by the A. No. 3 Q. At the time you had that discussion, was 3 parent company, PNM Resources? 4 there any discussion about whether or not PNM would 4 A. As a matter of fact, I have looked at the 5 5 SEC filings. And yes, that is correct. be able to write up the value of that plant compared 6 to its net book value in rates? 6 Q. Those filings do include some -- some 7 7 A. When you say plant, you're talking Palo performance or reliability projections for the 8 Verde Unit 3? 8 individual plants including San Juan? 9 Q. Yes, sir. 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 10 A. I do not know. Q. And again, just to be clear, one of the Q. Going back to another question Mr. Boyd 11 things that you were -- that you've represented here 11 12 today, is that -- is that those projections -- that 12 asked you about having to do with performance PNM continued to make projections that you felt were 13 projections that were being made while you were 13 14 not realistic, given the budget cuts that you were 14 employed by PNM, I just want to get some 15 clarification on -- on -- again, onto whom these 15 being asked to make. A. Yes, sir. 16 projections normally are made, to your knowledge. 16 17 Were those projections typically made to 17 Q. I have four documents that I would like to 18 PNM's existing investors? 18 show you, and I will -- I guess I will mark them. 19 A. That is correct. 19 And for the record, I will represent that 20 Q. And how were those communicated, to your 20 these all have to do with the PNM fuel clause, or what's known as the fuel and purchase power cost 21 21 knowledge? A. Those are communicated through investor 22 22 adjustment clause, or FPPCAC. 23 So let me pass this out. 23 presentations that happen on a quarterly basis. And 24 in fact, I believe the investor communications 24 MR. BOYD: Before you start, let me 25 25 interrupt just briefly. support that. **Page 199 Page 201** 1 At the time the budget cuts were made, 1 And for the record, I'm handing the --2 communications were indicating that we were 2 Mr. Butler a subpoena and cover letter for Mr. Smith 3 3 expecting good plant performance. to appear at the January 5 meeting. And I think the 4 Q. Okay. And then I believe -- but correct 4 letter is self-explanatory. 5 5 me if I'm wrong -- you said also those performance And of course we will cover any travel projections are made to the -- were made to the 6 6 expenses, in addition to the witness fee. 7 7 owners, the different owners of the San Juan So will you accept that on Mr. Smith's 8 8 generating station, correct? behalf? 9 A. That is correct. 9 MR. ORTIZ: Are you going to provide us a 10 Q. And that was communicated how? 10 copy of the subpoena? 11 MR. BOYD: Sure. 11 A. Through owners' meetings. 12 Q. And to your knowledge, is there a record 12 MR. GOULD: Can I ask you a question, Joe? 13 of those projections? Are you anticipating that we're going to 13 14 A. There should be, on the owner 14 be taking testimony on January 5? 15 presentations that I delivered every owners' 15 MR. BOYD: I think he's there for 16 16 cross-examination. We're going to submit this as meeting. 17 Q. Okay. And then are those projections also 17 testimony. made sort of to the general investment community, to 18 18 MR. GOULD: Okay. But my question is, 19 Wall Street, as well? 19 there is -- usually with these hearings that go on 2.0 A. That is correct. 20 for two or three weeks, there's a lot of scheduling. 21 Q. And do you know how those are 21 MR. BOYD: Right. 22 communicated? 22 MR. GOULD: And my understanding is the 23 A. Through the same mechanism; through PNM 23 first day is going to be mostly comments. 24 investor communications. 24 So are you just saying for the 5th itself? 25 Q. Do you know whether or not that 25 MR. BOYD: Yes. We'll coordinate. That's

51 (Pages 198 to 201)

Page 202 Page 204 1 for the hearing, and we'll coordinate the date. 1 Q. What is your understanding? 2 2 MR. GOULD: Okay. So you're saying for A. It's -- essentially, there's a base fuel 3 3 the entire -- okay. I understand. rate that PNM is allowed to charge its customers, 4 MR. BUTLER: It is the equivalent of a 4 and it acts as the base for adjustments in the fuel 5 5 trial subpoena, is what you are saying? adjustment clause. 6 MR. BOYD: Yes. 6 Q. Now, is it your un- -- is it your 7 7 MR. BUTLER: We'll accept it, and I'll understanding that the fuel adjustment clause allows 8 8 talk to Mr. Smith. We will accept it. the company to recover costs on a monthly basis that 9 (Discussion off the record.) 9 are either higher or lower than the costs that they 10 10 MR. BOYD: Then I'll make copies for project in their base fuel rates? 11 11 anybody that wants it. A. Yes, that's correct. That's my 12 12 (Discussion off the record.) understanding. 13 13 Q. (By Mr. Throne) Okay. First, let me ask Q. And is it your understanding that those 14 base fuel rates are established in PN- -- by the --14 you, Mr. Smith. 15 When you were plant manager for the 15 they're established by the Public Regulation 16 San Juan plant, I believe you already testified that 16 Commission in general rate cases filed by PNM? 17 you're generally aware of -- that PNM had a fuel 17 A. Yes, sir. That's my understanding. 18 clause that they used to pass through its operating 18 Q. And so -- and is it your understanding 19 expense. 19 that this -- this particular verified notice of 20 Is that correct? 20 filing of fuel and purchase power cost adjustment 21 21 clause annual factor reset for July 2013 through A. That is correct. 22 Q. Okay. And as part of your job, in terms 22 June 2014 was one of the PNM filings for the annual 23 23 of the -- any -- any data, cost data, that kind of reset of its fuel factor? 24 thing for the plant, did you -- did you or people 24 A. Yes, sir. That's my understanding. 25 25 under your supervision and control provide cost data And just to -- let me refer you to the Page 203 **Page 205** for the preparation of the filing of the fuel clause 1 1 certificate of service that -- it's sort of three 2 reports to PNM's management? 2 pages from the end of this document, where it refers 3 A. My team prepared a lot of documents 3 to the date of filing of May 17, 2013. 4 relative to plant performance and the owners' 4 Do you see that? 5 5 reporting and financial reporting. A. That's the third page from the end? I'm not sure of all the end users of that 6 Q. Yeah. It's the certificate of service. 6 7 7 data. Right at the top it says "Certificate of Service." 8 8 Q. Okay. Were you routinely provided with It says the date on which this was filed with the 9 copies of the fuel clause reports that PNM filed 9 commission? 10 with the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission 10 A. 30 May 2013, yes. 11 And by that, I'm referring to the monthly 11 Q. It should be -- it looks to me like 12 12 May 17. reports. 13 13 Do you see -- where are you looking at? A. No, sir, I was not. 14 Q. Were you routinely provided with copies of 14 MR. BUTLER: Counselor, what's the date of 15 any of the reports that PNM filed while you were 15 this submission? Is this the '13/'14 or the 16 16 working as plant manager regarding the fuel clause? '14/'15? 17 17 A. No, sir, I was not. A. This is the May 17, 2013, according to the Q. Now, I've placed before you what has been 18 18 first page and the certificate of service. It looks 19 marked as Exhibit 12 in this deposition. 19 like at the end it says May 17. 2.0 Have you seen that document before? 20 MR. BUTLER: I may be confused. But 21 A. It looks familiar, yes, sir. 21 what's your title date here, Mr. Smith? What does 22 Q. And can you -- do you understand what this 22 this state? July --23 23 A. July 2014 through June 2015. document is? 24 24 A. Yes, sir. I have a basic understanding of MR. BUTLER: Okay. So I think he has the 25 it, yes. 25 wrong exhibit. That's what I'm trying to -- I

52 (Pages 202 to 205)

	Page 206		Page 208
1	didn't realize until we got to the certificate of	1	New Mexico Public Regulation Commission of the
2	service.	2	sources of the of the costs that go into its fuel
3	(Exhibit marked, 12.)	3	factor and fuel cost to be passed on to customers?
4	Q. (By Mr. Throne) I'm sorry. Let's just	4	A. Yes. That's my understanding.
5	make this clear on the record.	5	Q. Let me have you look at page 5 of this
6	What I've placed in front of you,	6	report.
7	Mr. Smith, is a document entitled "Public Service	7	A. (Witness complies.)
8	Company of New Mexico's Verified Notice of Filing o		Q. And specifically referring to the
9	Fuel and Purchase Power Cost Adjustment Clause	9	information contained on that page, it's
10	Factor Reset for July 2014 through June 2015."	10	subparagraph D of paragraph 7, or section 7.
11	MR. BUTLER: 2013 to '14.	11	And there it says and I'll quote:
12	(Discussion off the record.)	12	"Although PNM's retail energy sales during
13	MR. ORTIZ: That's July 2013 through June	13	the fuel clause year was less than had been
14	2014.	14	projected, and losses were higher, generation from
15	MR. GOULD: And, Bruce, that's in Case	15	the baseload coal-fired plants was also less than
16	Number 10-00086.	16	forecasted."
17	MR. THRONE: Right. I apologize for that.	17	So is that an example of is that an
18	Q. (By Mr. Throne) All right. So again,	18	example of how this report reports operational data
19	let's just verify the date on this.	19	from a that's historic, from a prior period,
20	And if you look at the last page it says it was filed May 17, 2013.	20 21	while you were still plant manager?
21 22	Do you see that?	22	A. Yes, sir. That's my understanding.
23	A. Yes, sir, I do.	23	Q. Do you know whether or not, where they that that statement there that said "generation from
24	Q. Okay.	24	that that statement there that said generation from the baseload coal-fired plant was also less than
25	A. The last page is right.	25	forecasted" and that refers to San Juan, correct?
	Page 207		Page 209
1	Can we just verify the front page again	1	A. San Juan, among other baseload facilities.
2	one more time?	2	Q. Okay. But it does refer there to the
3	Q. Yes. "Verified Notice of Filing of Fuel	3	baseload coal-fired plants.
4	and Purchase Power Cost Adjustment Clause Annual	4	PNM doesn't have any other coal-fired
5	Factor Reset for July 2013 through June 2014." A. That is correct.	5 6	plants, does it?
6 7	A. That is correct. Q. And that's and this was filed shortly	7	A. Yes, sir, it does.
8	after your employment with PNM was terminated.	8	Q. Which other plants would be included there?
9	Is that correct?	9	A. That would be the Four Corners power
10	A. That is correct.	10	plant.
11	Q. But is it your understanding that some of	11	Q. Okay. So this so that statement you
12	the information that went into this filing was based	12	would understand to relate to not simply to
13	on the performance of the of the San Juan	13	San Juan, but also to Four Corners?
14	generating station during the time that you were	14	A. Yes, sir.
15	employed by PNM?	15	Q. Okay. And I believe you've testified
16	A. Could you say that again, please?	16	earlier that you had a basic or general
17	Q. Is it your understanding that some of the	17	understanding of how the fuel clause works.
18	information that is contained in this filing, would	18	A. Yes, sir.
19	that have been would some of that information be	19	Q. Okay. I just wanted to ask you, if you
20	based on data or performance data from the San Jua	1 20	know if you could turn to it's the at the
21	power plant during the time you were employed by	21	back of that exhibit there's a table that says "PNIM
22	PNM?	22	Exhibit B, Table 1" at the top.
23	A. That is correct.	23	MR. GOULD: Is there a page number you're
24	Q. Okay. So is it your understanding that	24	referring to for that?
25	this is the document by which PNM informs the	25	Q. (By Mr. Throne) It just says page 1 of 1

53 (Pages 206 to 209)

Page 210 Page 212 1 on -- in the upper left-hand corner. 1 Q. (By Mr. Throne) And looking at line 17, 2 2 A. PNM Exhibit B, Table 1. do you have any understanding of where it says 3 3 Q. And it should say Public Service Company "Carryover to next fuel clause year," do you have 4 of New Mexico annual FPPCAC factor reset. 4 any understanding of what that is? 5 5 Do you see that? A. My understanding is that's the gift that 6 A. Yes, sir. I'm on that page right now. 6 keeps on giving. You can keep carrying it over. 7 7 Q. Okay. I just want to find out if you --Q. So carry it over -- well, I guess I should 8 8 do you -- you said you've looked at this -- this back up to line 15. 9 document before. 9 Do you know what that line where it says 10 10 So you're generally familiar with the "FPPCAC cap per stipulation" refers to, and it has a information that's reported in this particular 11 11 figure of \$36.2 million? 12 document? A. My understanding is that is a maximum 12 13 amount that can be added under the fuel clause in 13 A. Generally familiar. I'm by far no expert 14 that period of time. 14 on fuel clause. 15 Q. Okay. Do you know -- looking down at 15 Q. For 2013? line 10, where it says "Stipulated base fuel rate, 16 16 A. Yes, sir. 17 dollars per kilowatt hour," do you see that? 17 Q. So what I'm trying to understand is if 18 A. Yes, sir. 18 you -- do you have an understanding if -- if, in 19 Q. And there's a figure of 2.1280 cents. 19 fact, PNM incurred higher O&M costs at the San Juan 20 Do you see that? 20 generation plant as a result of not doing the 21 A. Correct. 2.1 preventative maintenance, taking care of other Q. Do you know what that represents? 22 things that you've addressed earlier, do you have 2.2 23 23 A. My understanding is that is the base fuel any understanding of when those costs would get 24 rate that was projected based upon what PNM thought 24 flowed through to customers via this fuel clause? 25 25 they could deliver the kilowatts for in their A. No, I do not. **Page 213 Page 211** 1 projection of kilowatts. 1 Q. Okay. (Exhibit marked, 13.) 2 Q. And do you know when -- when that -- or 2 how PNM -- or when PNM made that projection, whether 3 3 Q. (By Mr. Throne) All right. The next one. 4 it's in a PNM rate case, or do you have any idea 4 What I've placed before you, Mr. Smith --5 5 where or when? and it's been marked as Exhibit 13 -- is a document A. My understanding is it's a mechanism of filed in New Mexico Public Regulation Commission 6 6 7 7 the fuel clause, and it's submitted via this type of Case Number 10-00086UT entitled "Public Service 8 8 report. **Company of New Mexico Supplemental Statement** 9 Q. Okay. So you don't know whether or not, 9 Regarding Fuel and Purchase Power Cost Adjustment 10 in fact, the base rate was established aside from 10 Clause Annual Factor Reset for July of 2013 through 11 this? 11 June 2014." A. No, sir, I don't. 12 12 Is that what you have in front of you? Q. Okay. Looking at line 11, where it says 13 13 A. Yes, sir, I do. 14 "FPPCAC fuel factor before cap," it has a figure of 14 Q. Now, this is a document that normally you 15 9.833 cents -- I'm sorry. It's .009883 per kilowatt 15 would not have been provided with while you were 16 hour. 16 employed by PNM. 17 17 And of course this one -- well, let's look Do you know what the cap refers to? 18 A. My basic understanding is that there's a 18 at the date of this first. Let me back up. cap on the amount that can be collected through the 19 19 This was filed on -- if you will look at 2.0 fuel clause. 20 the last page, do you see where it indicates file 21 Q. Is that something that's discussed in this 21 dated June -- dated June 28, 2013? document or do you know? 22 A. Yes, sir. 22 23 A. I do not know. 23 Q. So again, that's after your termination of your employment with PNM, correct? 24 (Discussion off the record.) 24 25 (The record was read as requested.) 25 Correct.

54 (Pages 210 to 213)

	Page 214		Page 216
1	Q. Okay. But have you had a chance to	1	filed every month that gives actual costs incurred.
2	actually look at this document before today, this	2	And I've looked at several of these types of reports
3	deposition?	3	on the PRC website.
4	A. To be honest with you, sir, as we've seen	4	Q. And do you have a general understanding of
5	here today, after a while they all start to look the	5	whether this is the you know, while this is the
6	same.	6	kind of information generally that that PNM filed
7	Q. Okay. Let me have you look at page 3 of	7	with the commission to support whatever its fuel
8	this document.	8	clause cost recoveries would be for that month?
9	A. (Witness complies.)	9	A. Yes, sir. That's my understanding.
10	Q. And there in items in Section 2 and 3	10	Q. And do you while you were employed by
11	they address a description and then reports	11	PNM, did you ever provide any input or information,
12	regarding the what's described as the San Juan	12	or people under your supervision and control at the
13	Coal Company mine fire.	13	San Juan plant, to PNM management so that they could
14	Do you see that?	14	prepare this report?
15	A. Yes, sir, I do.	15	A. We prepared a lot of information that's
16	Q. So you were asked earlier a question, I	16	contained in this report. I'm not sure of the end
17	believe, about the mine fire.	17	user.
18	To your knowledge, is that what this is	18	Q. And I'm just trying to get if you look
19	referring to?	19	at the back, there's some and I realize it's a
20	A. Yes, sir.	20	little bit daunting to look at all of this data, but
21 22	Q. And had you had a chance and take a	21 22	I am just trying to get a sense.
23	moment, if you'd like, to look through this	23	I know if you look, for instance, on page 2 of 10 of the attachment, where it do you
24	whether or not this is if you've had a chance to actually look at what kind of information was being		see where it says at the top "Generation Fuel
25	submitted to the commission in this document?	25	Expense, July 2013"?
	Dago 215		
	Page 215		Page 217
1	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my	1	A. Yes.
2	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this.	2	A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under
2	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this. Q. Okay. And again, this is not a this is	2	A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under "Coal," on lines 2 and 3, it has some costs. First,
2 3 4	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this. Q. Okay. And again, this is not a this is not a document that you had any involvement in	2 3 4	A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under "Coal," on lines 2 and 3, it has some costs. First, on line 2 it says "San Juan," and on line 3 it says
2 3 4 5	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this. Q. Okay. And again, this is not a this is not a document that you had any involvement in preparing in any way.	2 3 4 5	A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under "Coal," on lines 2 and 3, it has some costs. First, on line 2 it says "San Juan," and on line 3 it says "San Juan Amortization Deferred Cost."
2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this. Q. Okay. And again, this is not a this is not a document that you had any involvement in preparing in any way. Is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under "Coal," on lines 2 and 3, it has some costs. First, on line 2 it says "San Juan," and on line 3 it says "San Juan Amortization Deferred Cost." A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this. Q. Okay. And again, this is not a this is not a document that you had any involvement in preparing in any way. Is that correct? A. No, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under "Coal," on lines 2 and 3, it has some costs. First, on line 2 it says "San Juan," and on line 3 it says "San Juan Amortization Deferred Cost." A. Correct. Q. So is that is that information based on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this. Q. Okay. And again, this is not a this is not a document that you had any involvement in preparing in any way. Is that correct? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Let me show you two other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under "Coal," on lines 2 and 3, it has some costs. First, on line 2 it says "San Juan," and on line 3 it says "San Juan Amortization Deferred Cost." A. Correct. Q. So is that is that information based on information that you would have provided to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this. Q. Okay. And again, this is not a this is not a document that you had any involvement in preparing in any way. Is that correct? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Let me show you two other documents.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under "Coal," on lines 2 and 3, it has some costs. First, on line 2 it says "San Juan," and on line 3 it says "San Juan Amortization Deferred Cost." A. Correct. Q. So is that is that information based on information that you would have provided to management, or is that just coming out of their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this. Q. Okay. And again, this is not a this is not a document that you had any involvement in preparing in any way. Is that correct? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Let me show you two other documents. (Exhibit marked, 14.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under "Coal," on lines 2 and 3, it has some costs. First, on line 2 it says "San Juan," and on line 3 it says "San Juan Amortization Deferred Cost." A. Correct. Q. So is that is that information based on information that you would have provided to management, or is that just coming out of their books and records in some other department, or do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this. Q. Okay. And again, this is not a this is not a document that you had any involvement in preparing in any way. Is that correct? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Let me show you two other documents. (Exhibit marked, 14.) Q. (By Mr. Throne) And, Mr. Smith, I've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under "Coal," on lines 2 and 3, it has some costs. First, on line 2 it says "San Juan," and on line 3 it says "San Juan Amortization Deferred Cost." A. Correct. Q. So is that is that information based on information that you would have provided to management, or is that just coming out of their books and records in some other department, or do you know?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this. Q. Okay. And again, this is not a this is not a document that you had any involvement in preparing in any way. Is that correct? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Let me show you two other documents. (Exhibit marked, 14.) Q. (By Mr. Throne) And, Mr. Smith, I've placed before you another document that's dated at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under "Coal," on lines 2 and 3, it has some costs. First, on line 2 it says "San Juan," and on line 3 it says "San Juan Amortization Deferred Cost." A. Correct. Q. So is that is that information based on information that you would have provided to management, or is that just coming out of their books and records in some other department, or do you know? A. The energy, in terms of the megawatt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this. Q. Okay. And again, this is not a this is not a document that you had any involvement in preparing in any way. Is that correct? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Let me show you two other documents. (Exhibit marked, 14.) Q. (By Mr. Throne) And, Mr. Smith, I've placed before you another document that's dated at the top August 20, 2013, on the PNM PNM	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under "Coal," on lines 2 and 3, it has some costs. First, on line 2 it says "San Juan," and on line 3 it says "San Juan Amortization Deferred Cost." A. Correct. Q. So is that is that information based on information that you would have provided to management, or is that just coming out of their books and records in some other department, or do you know? A. The energy, in terms of the megawatt produced, is something that would come from my team.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this. Q. Okay. And again, this is not a this is not a document that you had any involvement in preparing in any way. Is that correct? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Let me show you two other documents. (Exhibit marked, 14.) Q. (By Mr. Throne) And, Mr. Smith, I've placed before you another document that's dated at the top August 20, 2013, on the PNM PNM letterhead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under "Coal," on lines 2 and 3, it has some costs. First, on line 2 it says "San Juan," and on line 3 it says "San Juan Amortization Deferred Cost." A. Correct. Q. So is that is that information based on information that you would have provided to management, or is that just coming out of their books and records in some other department, or do you know? A. The energy, in terms of the megawatt produced, is something that would come from my team. I did have people that were involved
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this. Q. Okay. And again, this is not a this is not a document that you had any involvement in preparing in any way. Is that correct? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Let me show you two other documents. (Exhibit marked, 14.) Q. (By Mr. Throne) And, Mr. Smith, I've placed before you another document that's dated at the top August 20, 2013, on the PNM PNM letterhead. And it says it's addressed to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under "Coal," on lines 2 and 3, it has some costs. First, on line 2 it says "San Juan," and on line 3 it says "San Juan Amortization Deferred Cost." A. Correct. Q. So is that is that information based on information that you would have provided to management, or is that just coming out of their books and records in some other department, or do you know? A. The energy, in terms of the megawatt produced, is something that would come from my team. I did have people that were involved that were involved watching the mine, so to speak.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this. Q. Okay. And again, this is not a this is not a document that you had any involvement in preparing in any way. Is that correct? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Let me show you two other documents. (Exhibit marked, 14.) Q. (By Mr. Throne) And, Mr. Smith, I've placed before you another document that's dated at the top August 20, 2013, on the PNM PNM letterhead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under "Coal," on lines 2 and 3, it has some costs. First, on line 2 it says "San Juan," and on line 3 it says "San Juan Amortization Deferred Cost." A. Correct. Q. So is that is that information based on information that you would have provided to management, or is that just coming out of their books and records in some other department, or do you know? A. The energy, in terms of the megawatt produced, is something that would come from my team. I did have people that were involved that were involved watching the mine, so to speak. I do believe they had something to do with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this. Q. Okay. And again, this is not a this is not a document that you had any involvement in preparing in any way. Is that correct? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Let me show you two other documents. (Exhibit marked, 14.) Q. (By Mr. Throne) And, Mr. Smith, I've placed before you another document that's dated at the top August 20, 2013, on the PNM PNM letterhead. And it says it's addressed to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under "Coal," on lines 2 and 3, it has some costs. First, on line 2 it says "San Juan," and on line 3 it says "San Juan Amortization Deferred Cost." A. Correct. Q. So is that is that information based on information that you would have provided to management, or is that just coming out of their books and records in some other department, or do you know? A. The energy, in terms of the megawatt produced, is something that would come from my team. I did have people that were involved that were involved watching the mine, so to speak.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this. Q. Okay. And again, this is not a this is not a document that you had any involvement in preparing in any way. Is that correct? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Let me show you two other documents. (Exhibit marked, 14.) Q. (By Mr. Throne) And, Mr. Smith, I've placed before you another document that's dated at the top August 20, 2013, on the PNM PNM letterhead. And it says it's addressed to the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission regarding PNM's fuel and purchase power cost adjustment claus	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under "Coal," on lines 2 and 3, it has some costs. First, on line 2 it says "San Juan," and on line 3 it says "San Juan Amortization Deferred Cost." A. Correct. Q. So is that is that information based on information that you would have provided to management, or is that just coming out of their books and records in some other department, or do you know? A. The energy, in terms of the megawatt produced, is something that would come from my team. I did have people that were involved that were involved watching the mine, so to speak. I do believe they had something to do with accounting for the coal that had been burned.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this. Q. Okay. And again, this is not a this is not a document that you had any involvement in preparing in any way. Is that correct? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Let me show you two other documents. (Exhibit marked, 14.) Q. (By Mr. Throne) And, Mr. Smith, I've placed before you another document that's dated at the top August 20, 2013, on the PNM PNM letterhead. And it says it's addressed to the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission regarding PNM's fuel and purchase power cost adjustment claus report for July 2013.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 e 17	A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under "Coal," on lines 2 and 3, it has some costs. First, on line 2 it says "San Juan," and on line 3 it says "San Juan Amortization Deferred Cost." A. Correct. Q. So is that is that information based on information that you would have provided to management, or is that just coming out of their books and records in some other department, or do you know? A. The energy, in terms of the megawatt produced, is something that would come from my team. I did have people that were involved that were involved watching the mine, so to speak. I do believe they had something to do with accounting for the coal that had been burned. So to that extent, that's all the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this. Q. Okay. And again, this is not a this is not a document that you had any involvement in preparing in any way. Is that correct? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Let me show you two other documents. (Exhibit marked, 14.) Q. (By Mr. Throne) And, Mr. Smith, I've placed before you another document that's dated at the top August 20, 2013, on the PNM PNM letterhead. And it says it's addressed to the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission regarding PNM's fuel and purchase power cost adjustment claus report for July 2013. Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 9 17	A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under "Coal," on lines 2 and 3, it has some costs. First, on line 2 it says "San Juan," and on line 3 it says "San Juan Amortization Deferred Cost." A. Correct. Q. So is that is that information based on information that you would have provided to management, or is that just coming out of their books and records in some other department, or do you know? A. The energy, in terms of the megawatt produced, is something that would come from my team. I did have people that were involved that were involved watching the mine, so to speak. I do believe they had something to do with accounting for the coal that had been burned. So to that extent, that's all the involvement I know that my team probably had in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this. Q. Okay. And again, this is not a this is not a document that you had any involvement in preparing in any way. Is that correct? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Let me show you two other documents. (Exhibit marked, 14.) Q. (By Mr. Throne) And, Mr. Smith, I've placed before you another document that's dated at the top August 20, 2013, on the PNM PNM letterhead. And it says it's addressed to the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission regarding PNM's fuel and purchase power cost adjustment claus report for July 2013. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under "Coal," on lines 2 and 3, it has some costs. First, on line 2 it says "San Juan," and on line 3 it says "San Juan Amortization Deferred Cost." A. Correct. Q. So is that is that information based on information that you would have provided to management, or is that just coming out of their books and records in some other department, or do you know? A. The energy, in terms of the megawatt produced, is something that would come from my team. I did have people that were involved that were involved watching the mine, so to speak. I do believe they had something to do with accounting for the coal that had been burned. So to that extent, that's all the involvement I know that my team probably had in the production of this report.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this. Q. Okay. And again, this is not a this is not a document that you had any involvement in preparing in any way. Is that correct? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Let me show you two other documents. (Exhibit marked, 14.) Q. (By Mr. Throne) And, Mr. Smith, I've placed before you another document that's dated at the top August 20, 2013, on the PNM PNM letterhead. And it says it's addressed to the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission regarding PNM's fuel and purchase power cost adjustment claus report for July 2013. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, do you do you have a general	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under "Coal," on lines 2 and 3, it has some costs. First, on line 2 it says "San Juan," and on line 3 it says "San Juan Amortization Deferred Cost." A. Correct. Q. So is that is that information based on information that you would have provided to management, or is that just coming out of their books and records in some other department, or do you know? A. The energy, in terms of the megawatt produced, is something that would come from my team. I did have people that were involved that were involved watching the mine, so to speak. I do believe they had something to do with accounting for the coal that had been burned. So to that extent, that's all the involvement I know that my team probably had in the production of this report. Q. And what about the extent to which the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this. Q. Okay. And again, this is not a this is not a document that you had any involvement in preparing in any way. Is that correct? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Let me show you two other documents. (Exhibit marked, 14.) Q. (By Mr. Throne) And, Mr. Smith, I've placed before you another document that's dated at the top August 20, 2013, on the PNM PNM letterhead. And it says it's addressed to the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission regarding PNM's fuel and purchase power cost adjustment claus report for July 2013. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, do you do you have a general understanding of what this report was as compared to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under "Coal," on lines 2 and 3, it has some costs. First, on line 2 it says "San Juan," and on line 3 it says "San Juan Amortization Deferred Cost." A. Correct. Q. So is that is that information based on information that you would have provided to management, or is that just coming out of their books and records in some other department, or do you know? A. The energy, in terms of the megawatt produced, is something that would come from my team. I did have people that were involved that were involved watching the mine, so to speak. I do believe they had something to do with accounting for the coal that had been burned. So to that extent, that's all the involvement I know that my team probably had in the production of this report. Q. And what about the extent to which the San Juan generating station may have been used to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. It says as you bring this to my attention, I do recall reading this. Q. Okay. And again, this is not a this is not a document that you had any involvement in preparing in any way. Is that correct? A. No, sir. Q. Okay. Let me show you two other documents. (Exhibit marked, 14.) Q. (By Mr. Throne) And, Mr. Smith, I've placed before you another document that's dated at the top August 20, 2013, on the PNM PNM letterhead. And it says it's addressed to the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission regarding PNM's fuel and purchase power cost adjustment claus report for July 2013. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, do you do you have a general understanding of what this report was as compared to the two reports or filings that I showed you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 e 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. So and it looks to me like under "Coal," on lines 2 and 3, it has some costs. First, on line 2 it says "San Juan," and on line 3 it says "San Juan Amortization Deferred Cost." A. Correct. Q. So is that is that information based on information that you would have provided to management, or is that just coming out of their books and records in some other department, or do you know? A. The energy, in terms of the megawatt produced, is something that would come from my team. I did have people that were involved that were involved watching the mine, so to speak. I do believe they had something to do with accounting for the coal that had been burned. So to that extent, that's all the involvement I know that my team probably had in the production of this report. Q. And what about the extent to which the San Juan generating station may have been used to make off-system sales to earn to earn margins or

55 (Pages 214 to 217)

Page 220 Page 218 department providing data to the company typically 1 1 about -- about what happened during your tenure with 2 for those kind of reports, information with regards 2 3 3 to that matter? Based on what -- on your review of these 4 A. No, sir. We didn't become involved 4 fuel clause filings with the New Mexico Public 5 5 off-system sales. Regulation Commission, do you have any opinion or 6 Q. Okay. And then one last document, and 6 whether or not one could -- someone at the PRC 7 this is the one that I had I guess originally 7 could, by reviewing any of these documents, could 8 mislabeled. And this will be 15. 8 have understood some of the causes of fuel cost 9 (Exhibit marked, 15.) 9 increases that you have addressed in your deposition Q. (By Mr. Throne) And now I've placed 10 10 today? before you, Mr. Smith, a document. On the front it 11 MR. ORTIZ: Objection. Form and 11 has a caption of "New Mexico Public Regulation 12 12 foundation. Commission Case Number 13-00187UT," entitled -- this 13 13 A. I've spent a lot of time studying a lot of document is "Public Service Company of New Mexico's the information in the PRC website and been to 14 Verified Notice of Filing of Fuel and Purchase Power 15 15 different schools and understand the basic Cost Adjustment Clause Factor Reset for July 2014 16 16 mechanisms of the fuel clause. And I'm, by far, no 17 and through June 2015." 17 expert. 18 Do you see that? 18 It is very difficult to decipher, and I 19 A. Yes, sir, I do. 19 haven't seen specific information where someone from 20 Q. And again, let's look at the last page of 20 the PRC could ascertain that plant performance is a 21 that. 21 factor in these charges. 22 (Witness complies.) 22 Q. (By Mr. Throne) Let me go back to what Q. And that indicates, just for clarification 23 23 was marked as PNM exhibit -- I'm sorry -- was marked 24 here, that that was filed with the commission in --24 as Exhibit 1 in this deposition, which I believe was on May 30, 2014. 25 the complaint that was filed back on April 11, 2014. 25 **Page 219 Page 221** 1 Okay? 1 A. Yes, sir. 2 2 Q. Now my understanding is that even though A. Yes, sir. 3 3 Q. So again, this is -- this was after your there's a stamp on the front page of this that says 4 employment was terminated at PNM, correct? 4 "Filed under seal and in camera pursuant to a 5 5 New Mexico statute," that, in fact, it's not under 6 Q. Did you have a chance -- again, this is a seal any longer. 7 7 document that you -- well, strike that. Is that -- is that your understanding? 8 8 Did you have a chance to review this A. Yes, sir. That's correct. 9 document before the deposition today? 9 Q. Okay. Take -- if you would, look at 10 A. I can't say for sure, sir. 10 paragraph 26 beginning on page 8 of your complaint, 11 Q. Take some time to look at it and let me 11 carrying over to page 9. I will give you a chance 12 to look at that for a second. 12 know if you have had a chance to look at that 13 13 before. A. Yes, sir. 14 A. Yes. Some of the information here does 14 Q. Now what I'm particularly interested in is 15 sound familiar to me. 15 on page 9, about three lines down, you state that 16 Q. Do you have a general understanding of 16 prior to his -- or it is stated in the complaint: 17 17 whether or not, in this -- in this filing that PNM "Prior to his termination, PNM had also 18 asked to recover some of that additional money from 18 required Smith to label many working documents as 19 the fuel clause over the cap that we discussed 19 confidential and privileged in an attempt to further 20 earlier from the prior year's filing that, in 20 limit discovery of its intent and actions." 21 effect, rolled that over for recovery now in a 21 My first question is: Who -- who 22 22 specifically required you to label working documents future time period as described in this filing? 23 A. That's my general understanding, is that 23 as confidential and privileged? 24 it's rolling that over. 24 A. I know Mr. Talbot has asked me to do so. 25 Q. Okay. Mr. Smith, you talked a lot today 25 Mr. Olson has asked me to do so.

56 (Pages 218 to 221)

	Page 222		Page 224
1	Q. And?	1	these individuals either Mr. Talbot, Mr. Olson
2	A. And in the past, Mr. Themig has asked me	2	Mr. Themig, or anyone else at PNM about whom
3	to do so.	3	to whom they were concerned about disclosure
4	Q. And was that orally or in writing?	4	occurring?
5	A. That was orally.	5	A. Anybody outside the company.
6	Q. Okay. And when you say working documents	, 6	Q. Was there any specific discussion about
7	can you can you explain what kinds of documents	7	disclosure at the Public Regulation Commission that
8	you're talking about?	8	you can recall?
9	A. Documents that were produced as documents	9	A. Not specific to the PRC.
10	that to be worked through to help us make	10	Q. I know it's been a while, but do you have
11	business decisions, to analyze different issues, or	11	any recollection can you give a specific example
12	as a way of routinely tracking metrics.	12	of the kind of document that you're referring to?
13	Q. So when you say routinely tracking	13	A. Yeah. One was an analysis that I had done
14	metrics, are you referring to documents relating to	14	that detailed all of the various unit outage and
15	the performance of the San Juan generating plant?	15	cost scenarios for abandoning different combinations
16	A. Yes, sir. I believe that was included in	16	of units.
17	the things that we marked privileged and	17	Q. Again, I realize it's been a while. But
18	confidential. We had a very liberal policy on that,	18	do you have a recollection of of who you prepared
19	a very liberal use of confidential and privileged.	19	that document for?
20	Q. And were these documents that you were	20	A. That was for Mr. Talbot.
21	being asked to prepare for just those three	21	Q. And do you have a recollection of after
22	individuals Mr. Talbot, Mr. Olson, or	22	you prepared it to whom you communicated that
23	Mr. Themig or were these documents that were	23	document?
24	being prepared also for attorneys at PNM?	24	I assume that Mr. Talbot was one person.
25	A. These were being prepared by the three	25	A. Yes, Mr. Talbot. I think later on
	Page 223		Page 225
1	individuals mentioned, but also others in the	1	Mr. Olson.
2	organization. And to the extent we could when	2	Q. At the time you communicated that document
3	those documents were exchanged, we included an	3	to Mr. Talbot and Mr. Olson, did at the same time
4	attorney in the communication.	4	communicate that document, to your recollection, to
5	Q. Were you directed to include an attorney	5	any attorney of PNM?
6	in the communication?	6	A. That, I do not recall.
7	A. Absolutely.	7	Oh, yes, I do. The chief counsel for PNM.
8	Q. Do you know why?	8	Q. Do you recall his name?
9	A. To be able to call it confidential and	9	A. I'm searching for that.
10	privileged.	10	Gosh, I I forget his name.
11	Q. And who explained that to you?	11	Q. Would that be Patrick Apodaca?
12	A. It wasn't an explanation, but a direction.	12	A. Yes, Mr. Apodaca.
13	Q. I believe you have a law degree.	13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me, Counsel.
14	Is that correct?	14	I need to take a break and change tapes.
15	A. Yes, sir.	15	We're now going off the record.
16	Q. So based on your education in the legal	16	The time is approximately 4:07 p.m.
17	area, you understood them to mean that the reason	17	(A recess was taken from 4:07 p.m. to 4:15
18	why you should designate these documents, these	18	p.m.)
19	working what you call working documents as	19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going back on
20	confidential and privileged, was to make sure that	20	the record.
21	they could would not have been disclosed to	21	The time is approximately 4:15 p.m.
22	anybody else?	22	Q. (By Mr. Throne) Mr. Smith, going back to
23	A. Yes, sir.	23	your answer your answer to my last question.
24	Q. When you had these oral discussions about	24	Did you have any communications while you
25	the disclosure was there any discussion with any d		were employed by PNM directly with Patrick Anodaca

57 (Pages 222 to 225)

were employed by PNM directly with Patrick Apodaca

the disclosure, was there any discussion with any of 25

25

Page 228 Page 226 the general counsel for PNM? 1 of that paragraph you refer to expending for other 1 2 2 A. When you say directly, I had e-mails, yes, purposes capital funds slated for such maintenance 3 but only -- he was copied as the attorney. 3 as a means to maintain PNM's customer rate base. 4 Q. And who -- were you given a direction to 4 I just want to understand what you mean by 5 copy him as the attorney? 5 that, by expending for purposes of capital funds 6 A. Yes, sir. 6 that were slated for such maintenance. 7 7 Q. By whom? Could you just explain what you meant by 8 8 that? A. Mr. Talbot. 9 Q. And was that on all working documents that 9 A. As I discussed earlier in my testimony, you prepared during the time that you were there at 10 the O&M budget was reduced, but the capital budget 10 11 was not. And without planned outages to execute the 11 12 A. All working documents that were associated capital budget items, I was mandated to continue to 12 with the FIP and the SIP or environmental-related 13 13 spend the capital to meet that goal, and I was documents, and some financial documents. 14 14 trying to scramble to find things that I could spend 15 Q. And again to clarify, let's -- turning to 15 the capital dollars on. 16 paragraph 27 of your -- of the complaint that you 16 That's where I made reference earlier to 17 filed earlier in the district court. 17 just paving the roads around the plant to count as 18 There you say that: 18 19 "On December 6, 2013, PNM's board of 19 Q. And could you just explain a little better 20 directors approved a 12 percent raise in dividends 20 why it is you have to find ways to spend the capital to shareholders, and the dividend equated to 21 funds? 21 approximately \$7.1 million, and that this amount was Maybe this is just asking an obvious 2.2 2.2 23 more than covered by the reduction in maintenance 23 question, but for those of us outside the corporate 24 expenses at San Juan and by improperly passing or 24 world. 25 costs to PNM's consumers by the fuel clause." 25 I mean, you budgeted for capital funds. **Page 229 Page 227** And so as you've explained, you were told to cut O&M 1 So just to be clear, when you say the 1 2 amount was more than covered by the reduction in 2 expenses. But why do you then have to spend the 3 maintenance expenses of San Juan, what figure were 3 money that's budgeted? 4 4 you comparing to that \$7.1 million? A. Yes. Actually, an easy way to go to 5 5 A. Covered by the amount of maintenance understand that is go to the PNM website and look at 6 their investor communications. You will see a graph 6 reductions at San Juan, I'm referring to the 7 7 \$19 million in expenses that were chopped out of the where PNM is expending capital on a particular 8 8 San Juan budget, and PNM would reap 47 percent of basis, and they're basing their earnings 9 that amount as a 47 percent owner of the station. 9 projections, their profitability to shareholders, 10 10 based upon the size of the rate base. Q. And when you say there in that paragraph 11 "and by improperly passing on costs to PNM's 11 And the rate base is dependent upon us 12 customers by the fuel clause," do you know when meeting our budgetary targets for capital spending. 12 13 those costs actually were passed on by the fuel 13 Q. So while you were there, what -- what 14 clause to PNM's customers? 14 would happen, while you were employed by PNM, if you 15 A. No, sir, I do not. 15 didn't spend the entire capital budget that was 16 16 Q. All you know is that it was done pursuant presented to you? Or did that ever happen, that you never -- didn't spend the entire capital budget? 17 17 to the company's fuel clause? 18 A. What I know is there's the mechanism in A. Not that I recall. 18 19 19 place with the fuel clause that keeps PNM from Q. You always spent it? 2.0 having to pay the difference from San Juan's 2.0 A. Yes, sir. 21 generation to a higher-priced generation. 21 Q. Did you ever go over it? 22 22 Q. Okay. And turning to paragraph 42 of that A. Yes, sir. 23 23 Why was that? complaint. 24 24 A. (Witness complies.) Sometimes when -- in a particular capital

58 (Pages 226 to 229)

project there may be an escalation in commodities

25

Q. In that paragraph, under the subsection 2

Page 232 **Page 230** 1 the discussions with EPA and the New Mexico 1 associated with that capital project that were 2 2 **Environment Department regarding compliance with the** beyond what our market projections were for those 3 3 commodities. regional haze group. 4 A good example is a high demand in China 4 A. Yes, sir. 5 5 Q. Do you recall -- or do you know if the for power plant equipment which, when you plan a 6 couple of projects a year and a half, two years New Mexico Environment Department proposed shutting 7 7 down Units 1 and 2? early, when you finally get to it it may cost you 8 A. I don't recall that they proposed that, to more. 9 9 MR. THRONE: That's all I have. be honest with you. I don't know who did. 10 10 Q. Okay. Do you recall that proposal being Thank you very much, Mr. Smith. 11 made by someone? 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 12 12 A. Not at the meetings that I was involved MR. BOYD: Anybody else? 13 13 with. MR. ORTIZ: I have some. 14 **EXAMINATION** 14 Q. Okay. So you don't know if EPA rejected 15 shutting down Units 1 and 2 as opposed to 2 and 3? 15 BY MR. ORTIZ: 16 A. Mr. Talbot told me that the EPA had 16 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Smith. 17 17 My name is Pat Ortiz, and I'm representing rejected shutting down Units 1 and 2. 18 18 PNM. Q. Now, you mentioned that you were concerned 19 Was the subpoena that you were served to 19 with PNM's actions in reducing the O&M budget and 20 appear today similar to the subpoena that you just 20 collecting increased costs through the fuel clause. 21 Is that correct? 21 got handed to appear at the hearing? 22 Or do you have a copy of that subpoena? 22 A. Yes. sir. 23 23 Q. Did you report that to the -- to someone MR. BOYD: Object to the form. 2.4 A. I haven't looked at it. I will take a 24 higher than Mr. Talbot, your concerns? 25 25 A. PNM has a concerns mechanism, if you're look at it. **Page 231** Page 233 1 No, sir. The subpoenas are different. 1 concerned about the company doing ethical practices. 2 One subpoena is for a deposition and the other 2 I talked to Regan Hallford, who is our 3 3 subpoena is for a hearing. plant representative in my chain of command, about 4 Q. (By Mr. Ortiz) Could I see a copy of that 4 my concern. 5 5 subpoena that you got to appear today? I didn't specify exactly what the concern 6 6 A. (Witness complies.) was. I didn't want him to be pulled into that. 7 7 MR. ORTIZ: John, is it possible to get But he told me that any concerns I 8 8 copies of this and make it an exhibit? expressed would go right back to Mr. Talbot. And if 9 MR. BOYD: Sure. I have no objection. 9 my concerns were with Mr. Talbot, I didn't think 10 MR. ORTIZ: That would be Exhibit 16. 10 that was appropriate. 11 (Exhibit marked, 16.) 11 Q. And what capacity did you say Mr. Hallford 12 12 Q. (By Mr. Ortiz) Bear with me a little bit was in, or was in? 13 13 as I go through my notes. A. I'm sorry, I forget exactly what the title 14 Now, you were mentioning that PNM was 14 was. But within PNM there is a group of people set 15 estimating the SCR project to cost about a billion 15 up to express ethical concerns about the company. dollars. 16 16 And that was a group of people that were routed up 17 17 Is that correct? to the proper places in the company for that 18 18 A. Yes, I did. It was in very general terms, solution. 19 19 as I specified in my testimony. Q. Are you aware that PNM has an integrity 2.0 Q. Okay. And if PNM had decided to invest in 20 hotline where you can report ethical concerns 21 SCR and not shut down Units 2 and 3, that would have 21 anonymously if you so desire? 22 been rate base additions. 22 A. Yes, sir. And I believe that was closely 23 Isn't that correct? 23 tied to the same mechanism that Mr. Hallford was 24 That's my understanding. 24 tied to. 25 Q. You mentioned that you were involved in 25 Q. You are not aware that that integrity

59 (Pages 230 to 233)

Page 236 Page 234 hotline is run by an independent third party? 1 1 was? 2 MR. BUTLER: Object as to form. It may be 2 A. No, sir, I'm not. 3 Q. You acknowledge that you had read Do The 3 invading attorney/client privilege and work product, 4 Right Thing during the course of your employment 4 so I will object as to form. 5 5 with PNM? Go ahead and restate it, if you will. 6 A. Are you referring to I read the doing-the-6 Q. (By Mr. Ortiz) Is there a document that 7 7 right-thing policy? states the Attorney General's position with regard 8 8 Q. Yes. to your complaint? 9 A. Yes, sir, I have. 9 MR. BUTLER: The same objection. I'll Q. Okay. And you have talked about going on 10 10 direct you to the pleadings in the civil lawsuit the PNM resources website. I believe it's for your 11 11 where the Attorney General did file a position 12 12 investor communications? statement regarding their intention not to 13 13 A. Yes. sir. intervene, but to reserve the right at this point in Q. Were you also aware that that website 14 14 time -- to reserve the right to intervene in the 15 contains an opportunity to contact the board 15 future. 16 directly about concerns? 16 MR. ORTIZ: And Mr. Smith couldn't answer 17 A. No, sir, I was not aware of that. 17 that? 18 Q. And you didn't attempt to bring your 18 MR. BUTLER: The same objection. 19 concerns to the attention of the PRC, did you? 19 Mr. Smith, are you a layperson or are you 20 20 a practicing attorney? A. No, sir. 21 21 THE WITNESS: I am not a practicing Q. Now under the statute that you filed your 22 lawsuit under, the New Mexico Fraud Against the 22 attorney. 23 23 Taxpayers Act, if successful, that's treble damages. Q. (By Mr. Ortiz) Did you read the Attorney 24 Is that correct? 24 General's pleading? 25 25 MR. BUTLER: I will object as to form. A. I don't recall if I got anything in **Page 235 Page 237** A. I'm sorry. Treble damages? I'm at a loss writing or not from the Attorney General. I would 1 1 2 at what you mean by treble. 2 imagine they do do things in writing. 3 3 Q. (By Mr. Ortiz) If you are able to prove Mr. Butler worked with me. I've been in 4 loss to the State of New Mexico, that that's 4 the midwest now, so I don't recall getting that 5 5 communication. 6 6 A. I'm not aware of a multiplier. Q. Now, you were discussing what occurred at 7 7 I do know that the courts have the ability a couple of staff meetings that led to your 8 8 to add a deterrent to the company for -- to deter termination by PNM. 9 any -- if the case pans out, that there's a 9 Do you recall that? 10 10 A. Yes, sir. deterrent mechanism built in. 11 Q. Do you know why the complaint was 11 Q. And those meetings occurred on April 11 12 unsealed? 12 and April 23, 2013? 13 A. Was unsealed? 13 A. That sounds about right. I don't recall 14 Q. Yes. 14 the exact dates. I may have them somewhere, but it 15 A. That was at the discretion of the Attorney 15 sounds about right. 16 16 General, I understand. Q. Do you recall being interviewed by PNM HR 17 17 Q. The Attorney General. Is it your regarding those meetings? understanding that the Attorney General declined to 18 18 A. Yes, sir, I do. 19 participate in your complaint? 19 Q. Do you recall admitting that there were 2.0 A. My understanding is they didn't want to 20 inappropriate comments or jokes made during those 21 become an active party to the lawsuit at this time, 21 two meetings? 22 22 but they preserved their ability to be part of it in A. No, sir, I don't. 23 the future. 23 Q. Do you recall admitting that you made a 24 Q. Is there a document that states what the 24 joke that was inappropriate as well? 25 Attorney General's position regarding your complaint No, sir.

60 (Pages 234 to 237)

Page 240 Page 238 computer. Are those going to be made exhibits to 1 Q. Do you -- do you recall discussions with 1 2 2 the HR business person, where she discussed her this deposition or... 3 concerns regarding inappropriate conversation that 3 MR. ORTIZ: No. 4 occurred during the meetings? 4 MR. GOULD: Okay. Are they confidential 5 5 A. When you say HR business person, who would in any way? that be? 6 6 MR. ORTIZ: They are confidential to me. 7 7 Q. Mary Gordy. MR. GOULD: In other words, they are 8 8 designated confidential by PNM? A. Mary Gordy? Okay. 9 Could you repeat the question? 9 MR. ORTIZ: Yes. 10 Q. Do you recall having a conversation with 10 MR. GOULD: Okay. Thank you. her where she expressed her concerns about the 11 Q. (By Mr. Ortiz) When your complaint became 11 unsealed, did you contact New Energy Economy or 12 inappropriate comments and jokes being made during 12 Mr. Boyd about your allegations? 13 the course of the meeting? 13 14 A. No, sir. 14 A. No, sir. Q. Do you know how New Energy Economy becam 15 Q. When you said that these meetings were 15 aware of your lawsuit? 16 supposed to be open and frank discussions about the 16 17 topics that were being discussed, you believed that 17 A. No. sir. I don't. 18 open and frank discussions allow inappropriate 18 Q. What are you being paid to appear here 19 comments that offend others and may create a hostile 19 today? 20 working environment? 20 A. Nothing, sir. Q. Nothing. Mileage? Witness fee? 21 MR. BOYD: Object to the form. 21 22 MR. BUTLER: The same objection. 22 A. I don't have any agreement for 23 23 reimbursement for being here. Go ahead and answer. 24 A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that, please? 24 Q. No reimbursement of expenses? 25 A. My lawyer and I talked and said we're --Q. (By Mr. Ortiz) When you state that these 25 **Page 239 Page 241** staff meetings are supposed to be open and frank 1 1 MR. BOYD: Wait. Hold it. 2 discussions about topics, do you believe that open 2 There is an understanding that he's going 3 and frank means that people can make inappropriate 3 to be reimbursed for travel and some expenses of comments, if they so desire, in order to express 4 4 being here. But there's no witness fee or mileage 5 5 their opinions? 6 MR. BOYD: The same objection. 6 Q. (By Mr. Ortiz) Okay. If I understood 7 7 MR. BUTLER: The same objection. correctly, you said that you met Mr. Boyd for the Go ahead and answer. 8 8 first time yesterday. 9 A. No, I do not. 9 A. That is correct. 10 Q. (By Mr. Ortiz) Do you recall someone, 10 Q. Had you talked to him on the phone before 11 during the meeting where the pregnancy leave was 11 A. No, sir. being discussed that you discussed earlier, that 12 Q. You met Ms. Nanasi before today? 12 someone remarked that if the employee was covered by 13 A. I met her yesterday. 13 14 the Family Medical Leave Act and it renews each 14 Q. You met her yesterday as well. 15 year, he didn't want to pay someone who gets 15 And I assume that you cooperated with 16 pregnant every year to be off work. 16 Mr. Boyd in preparing for your deposition here 17 Do you recall that statement? 17 today? 18 A. I believe I recall a manager being A. Mr. Boyd asked me questions and I answered 18 19 concerned about that, yes. 19 truthfully. 2.0 Q. Do you recall someone saying that happens 20 Q. Do you know what a typical -- what the 21 when you hire women in childbearing years? 21 equal availability factor is for a solar 22 22 A. No, sir, I don't remember that. photovoltaic unit? 23 MR. GOULD: I wonder if I could ask a 23 A. I have owned several. I have owned solar 24 question as to form. 24 voltaic at my home in Flagstaff, Arizona, since 25 You're reading from some documents on your 2006, and they've been available 100 percent of the

61 (Pages 238 to 241)

	Page 242		Page 244
1	time.	1	have a say in it, intervenors can't tell PNM what to
2	Q. At night?	2	do. Would you agree?
3	A. The fuel source for the solar voltaic is	3	Well, they try. But
4	not available 100 percent of the time.	4	MR. BUTLER: Well, while we may agree,
5	Q. Do you think that if the New Mexico	5	I'll object as to form and foundation.
6	Environmental Department has included in San Juan'	s 6	Go ahead.
7	operating permit that it has to have balanced draft,	7	Q. (By Mr. Ortiz) Well, let me try to cut
8	that it needs to put it in?	8	this short.
9	MR. BUTLER: I will object as to form.	9	When I say have a say, PNM cannot retire
10	Go ahead and answer.	10	any portion of San Juan without the commission's
11	And lack of foundation.	11	approval.
12	Go ahead.	12	Isn't that correct?
13	A. I've worked with the New Mexico	13	Or are you aware?
14	Environmental Department on several issues, and	14	 I believe that to be true.
15	we've come to agreement on several issues.	15	Q. Okay. So PNM simply couldn't make a
16	And once we came to agreement on things we	16	unilateral decision as to whether it wanted to
17	carried out with those agreements.	17	install SCR or abandon those units?
18	Q. (By Mr. Ortiz) Do you know if the	18	A. Again, sir, I don't think PNM could
19	San Juan operating permit requires balanced draft?	19	undertake any course of action without approval of
20	My understanding is that it currently	20	the PRC and several stakeholders. And the State and
21	does.	21	the EPA have the final approval.
22	Q. I can't find it in my notes, but I think	22	Q. I think you've testified that Palo Verde
23	at some point well, let me ask you this.	23	Unit 3 is under lease, and because the leases were
24	Is it your understanding that PNM can't	24	expiring, that was a good time to move into rate
25	cannot shut down any of the units at San Juan	25	base.
	Page 243		Page 245
1	without getting PRC approval?	1	Did I understand your testimony correctly?
2	Could you say that one more time?	2	A. That's what I had understood in
3	Q. Do you know if PNM can shut down any of	3	conversations during my employment at PNM.
4	the units of San Juan without getting PRC approval?	4	Q. Okay. And so you would be surprised if
5	A. It's my understanding that to abandon a	5	someone told you that Palo Verde 3 had never been
6	unit requires PRC approval. We shut down units all	6	leased.
7	the time.	7	Is that correct?
8	Q. Explain to me what you consider the	8	 A. Based on the information that I had, yes.
9	difference between abandonment and shutdown.	9	That would be different from what I understood.
10	A. Shutdown is when you reduce the electric	10	I do know that Palo Verde 3 was not in the
11	load on a generator, you turn off the fans, you turn	11	rate base.
12	off the fire, and the unit is shut down.	12	Q. Now you said, if my notes are correct
13	Q. Okay. So when you said that PNM had a	13	well, did you did I understand your testimony
14	choice of either installing SCR under the federal	14	correctly that you sold your PNM Resources stock i
15	implementation plan or not operating San Juan, did	15	March of 2013?
16	you take into account that maybe the PRC might hav		A. Yes.
17	a say in that?	17	Q. And you did so because you were concerned
18	A. It's been my understanding that whatever	18	that PNM was taking actions, that if discovered,
19	course of action PNM took, there would be many	19	might result in the stock price being reduced?
20	stakeholders that would have a say in the final	20	A. Over the long term, yes.
21	outcome.	21	Q. Did you did you require does PNM
	Q. Such as?	22	policy did it require you to get permission from
22			
22 23	A. Intervenors. Customers. I'm sure there's	23	Mr. Apodaca to sell your stock?
22		23 24 25	Mr. Apodaca to sell your stock? A. I'm not sure if it was exactly with Mr. Apodaca. But I know without PNM approval I

62 (Pages 242 to 245)

	Page 246		Page 248
1	couldn't do that.	1	MR. ORTIZ: I don't know.
2	Q. Okay. And in getting that approval, did	2	MR. GOULD: Okay.
3	you have to represent to PNM that you were not privy	3	MR. ORTIZ: I would have to check, because
4	to material nonpublic information?	4	there may have been some documents that were filed
5	A. I don't recall that.	5	at Workforce Solutions.
6	Q. I may not have the name correctly, but are	6	MR. GOULD: Why don't you just get back to
7	you familiar with a PNM policy called the capital	7	us on that, if you will. Send us an e-mail.
8	improvement capital approval policy?	8	Thanks.
9	A. No, sir. I'm not familiar with that.	9	MR. BOYD: I have a couple of follow-up
10	Q. You mentioned that you were ordered to	10	questions.
11	copy Mr. Apodaca on documents related to the FIP an	d 11	FURTHER EXAMINATION
12	the SIP.	12	BY MR. BOYD:
13	Is that correct?	13	Q. You've had Mr. Smith, you've had some
14	A. Yes, sir.	14	questions about the instruction of from
15	Q. Were you aware that PNM was involved in	15	Mr. Talbot to include PNM's lawyer or lawyers on
16	litigation over the FIP and the SIP and in	16	your communications with him.
17	settlement discussions at the time?	17	Do you recall that testimony?
18	A. Yes. I believe it was in the 10th	18	A. Yes, sir.
19	District Court.	19	Q. When did you get that instruction from
20	MR. ORTIZ: I don't think I have any more	20	him?
21	questions at this time, and we will reserve any	21	A. It was in the November time frame of 2012.
22	further cross-examination until the hearing.	22	Q. Okay. And did he tell you why he wanted
23	MR. GOULD: Pat, while we're still on the	23	you to copy the lawyers on those communications?
24	record, can you give me the nonconfidential	24	A. It was important that we kept
25	designation of the document you are reading from?	25	communications out of the hands of anybody outside
	Page 247		Page 249
1	Who it's to, who it's from, what the name of it and	1	of PNM.
2	the date of it was?	2	Q. Okay. And the communications that you had
3	MR. ORTIZ: No. I think that's	3	with him, did you understand that he that they
4	attorney/client privilege.	4	were being used in connection with litigation in
5	MR. GOULD: I think you have to generally	5	some fashion?
6	identify it if you had a privilege log.	6	A. No, sir. I did not understand that.
7	Can you give me whatever information you	7	Q. Okay. And what was the nature
8	feel	8	specifically, so that I understand it, what was the
9	MR. ORTIZ: These were	9	nature of the instruction that you had from him
10	MR. GOULD: I don't want you to you	10	about what categories of communications and what
11	know, I don't want you to trespass on what you	11	communications should be copied to the legal
12	believe is confidential.	12	department or to a lawyer?
13	MR. ORTIZ: Well, let me look at	13	A. Yes. Communications relative to things
14	MR. GOULD: But if I was going to	14	that are concerning the FIP or the SIP, and I think
15	request	15	I mentioned that in the previous testimony.
16	MR. ORTIZ: There may be there may be	16	Financial documents and performance
17	some public documents here.	17	projections.
1			O Okay And and what are the FIR and the
18	MR. GOULD: Could you generally	18	Q. Okay. And and what are the FIP and the
	MR. GOULD: Could you generally describe are they disciplinary documents? Are	18 19	SIP, for the record? What are those acronyms and
18			-
18 19 20 21	describe are they disciplinary documents? Are they reports? Are they personnel files? MR. ORTIZ: They are investigative	19 20 21	SIP, for the record? What are those acronyms and what do they stand for? A. Yes. The FIP is the Federal
18 19 20 21 22	describe are they disciplinary documents? Are they reports? Are they personnel files? MR. ORTIZ: They are investigative reviews investigative reports.	19 20 21 22	SIP, for the record? What are those acronyms and what do they stand for? A. Yes. The FIP is the Federal Implementation Plan relative to regional haze and
18 19 20 21 22 23	describe are they disciplinary documents? Are they reports? Are they personnel files? MR. ORTIZ: They are investigative	19 20 21	SIP, for the record? What are those acronyms and what do they stand for? A. Yes. The FIP is the Federal Implementation Plan relative to regional haze and EPA's plan to eliminate that by using the best
18 19 20 21 22	describe are they disciplinary documents? Are they reports? Are they personnel files? MR. ORTIZ: They are investigative reviews investigative reports.	19 20 21 22	SIP, for the record? What are those acronyms and what do they stand for? A. Yes. The FIP is the Federal Implementation Plan relative to regional haze and

63 (Pages 246 to 249)

Page 252 Page 250 concerning the same matter. that you thought might be sensitive at all? 1 1 2 Q. Okay. And did any -- did any member of 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 the legal department ever tell you to include him or 3 Q. Okay. And were you instructed to do so? 4 her on these communications? 4 A. Yes, sir. 5 5 A. No, sir. Q. By whom? 6 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Talbot ever tell you -- or 6 A. By Mr. Themig, Mr. Talbot, and Mr. Olson. 7 7 strike that. Q. Okay. Let me see. 8 When you would have these communications 8 Would it -- would this be a correct 9 with him, can you remember some of the occasions for 9 statement, yes or no? them, whether he asked you or whether you 10 "PNM does better in profit if it does not 10 volunteered something or whatever? What was it? 11 perform maintenance, lets equipment degenerate to 11 A. Sure. I remember him asking me to do an 12 12 the point that it must be replaced with equipment analysis on the feasibility of different unit costing more than \$50,000, and that it has a motive 13 13 combinations and the outages and costs associated 14 to do that so long as the public -- as the PRC does 14 15 with it in the future years, and him asking in the 15 not interfere with that." November time frame. 16 16 That statement is correct. 17 I told him it would take me 10 days to put 17 Q. Okay. MR. BOYD: Okay. That's all I have. 18 that together, to do the research. 18 19 And in about that same time frame I 19 **FURTHER EXAMINATION** 20 e-mailed it to him, privileged, with Mr. Apodaca on 20 BY MR. THRONE: 21 21 Q. Mr. Smith, just again going back to 22 And I believe we discussed it over the 22 Mr. Ortiz' question about the relationship between 23 23 phone at a later point in time. your designating what you call in your complaint 24 Q. Okay. And so did you -- were there --24 working documents as confidential and privileged, were there other topics that -- when you say 25 25 and he asked you about whether or not that was **Page 251 Page 253** 1 financial documents, what sorts of financial 1 related to the litigation regarding the FIP and the 2 documents or communications? 2 SIP. 3 3 A. That was cost projections, not only on the Correct? 4 different outages and equipment maintenance and 4 A. Correct. 5 5 repairs and capital plans for the units in present Q. But I just want to get some clarity. 6 6 year and in future years. We -- were you referring to -- in 7 7 Q. Okay. Were those communications also paragraph 26 of your complaint, were you related to the FIP and the SIP, or were they just a 8 8 referring -- and why don't you -- if you need to get 9 different topic? 9 that, that's fine. 10 10 A. The near term was the upcoming budget in A. Okay. 2013. 11 11 Q. And that's the statement there where you 12 And then the future years, it was a 12 referred to earlier, where you said you were multipronged analysis to look at the different 13 13 required by Smith [sic] to label many working 14 possible outcomes associated with the SIP and the 14 documents as confidential and privileged. 15 FIP. 15 A. Yes, sir. 16 Q. All right. Did you have any understanding 16 MR. GOULD: Bruce, for clarification, what as to why that would involve the legal department, paragraph was that? 17 17 18 those communications? 18 MR. THRONE: 26. 19 A. No, sir. As I mentioned earlier, we had a 19 MR. GOULD: 26. Thank you. 20 pretty liberal policy to stamp things privileged. 20 Q. (By Mr. Throne) Were all of the documents 2.1 And as long as we copied a lawyer in the 21 that you are referring to there all related to the 22 communication -- sometimes it didn't even matter 22 litigation regarding the FIP and the SIP? 23 which lawyer -- we did so. 23 A. No, sir. 24 Q. And so was that -- was that a common 24 Q. So I had understood earlier that you were practice at PNM, to copy lawyers on communications just talking about documents relating generally to

64 (Pages 250 to 253)

	Page 254		Page 256
1	actions regarding budget and the impact of budget	1	MR. GOULD: John, while we're still on the
2	cuts and lack and failure to maintain in a way	2	record, can I ask you a question about that?
3	that you thought was appropriate, that those were	3	Is it your intention in filing that
4	the kinds of working documents that you were	4	tomorrow that this deposition would be the
5	referring to, or at least those kinds of documents	5	equivalent of prefiled testimony in opposition to
6	were included in what you were referring to there.	6	the stipulation?
7	Is that correct?	7	MR. BOYD: That's our intent.
8	A. Yes, sir. That's part of a broad	8	MS. NANASI: Not prefiled. Just
9	category.	9	MR. GOULD: Okay. Well, it's just
10	Q. So is there some connection between those	10	prefiled to the hearing.
11	kinds of documents and the litigation that was going	11	MS. NANASI: That's correct.
12	on, to your knowledge, regarding the FIP and the	12	MR. GOULD: That's what I'm just
13	SIP?	13	calling it prefiled, not
14	A. No, sir.	14	MS. NANASI: Just direct testimony in
15	MR. THRONE: Okay. That's all I have.	15	opposition.
16	FURTHER EXAMINATION	16	MR. GOULD: Okay. Thank you. I
17	BY MR. ORTIZ:	17	understand. Okay. Thank you.
18	Q. Mr. Smith, do you know if from your law	18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the
19	degree if merely labeling a document as	19	deposition of Gregory Smith.
20	privileged and sending it to an attorney constitutes	20	We're now going off the record.
21	actual privilege?	21	The time is approximately 5:03 p.m.
22	A. It's my understanding that what we were	22	(Proceedings concluded.)
23	doing, stamping	23	(1 10000011190 00110100001)
24	Q. (By Mr. Ortiz) That's not my question.	24	
25	A. Okay. I'm sorry.	25	
			Dawa 057
	Page 255		Page 257
1	Q. From your law degree background, is it	1 2	WITNESS SIGNATURE/CORRECTION PAGE
2	your understanding that simply labeling a document		If there are any typographical errors to your deposition, indicate them below:
3	as privileged and sending it to an attorney is an	3	,
4	effective privilege claim?	4	PAGE/LINE
5	A. No, sir. It is not.	5	Change to
6	Q. To your knowledge, did Mr. Talbot and	6 7	Change to Change to
7	Mr. Olson and Mr. Themig have any legal background	? / 8	Change to
8	A. What do you mean by legal background?	9	Any other changes to your deposition are
9	Q. Do they have a law degree?		to be listed below with a statement as to the reason
10	A. Education? I do not believe they do have	10	for such change.
11	a legal education.	11 12	PAGE/LINE CORRECTION REASON FOR CHANGE
12	MR. ORTIZ: That's all.	13	
13	MR. BUTLER: I would like to have him read	14	
14	and sign.	15	
15	I have no questions at this point, unless	16	
16	you want to have this caucus first.	17 18	I, GREGORY SMITH, do hereby certify that I
17	MR. BOYD: We're going to have to file	10	have read the foregoing pages of my testimony as
18	this tomorrow. So what we'll do is we'll file it	19	transcribed and that the same is a true and correct
19	with a caveat that you've asked to read and sign.		transcript of the testimony given by me in this
20	MR. BUTLER: Sure.	20	deposition except for the changes made.
21	MR. BOYD: And then we will if there	21 22	
22	are any changes, we will supply those. We will	23	
23	attach them or substitute.	24	
24	If there are any corrections, we will make		GREGORY SMITH
25	sure they get in the record.	25	

65 (Pages 254 to 257)

	Page 258
1	
1 2	CERTIFICATE
3	I, Paul Baca, RPR, CCR in and for the
4	State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the
5 6	above and foregoing contains a true and correct record, produced to the best of my ability via
7	machine shorthand and computer-aided transcription,
8	of the proceedings had in this matter.
9	
10 11	
1 11	PAUL BACA, RPR, CCR
12	Certified Court Reporter #112
	License Expires: 12-31-14
13 14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22 23	
24	
25	

66 (Page 258)