

	Is your campaign following any self-imposed restrictions on donations?	Do you have a policy regarding independent expenditures by outside organizations?	What specific campaign reforms would you support, as a member of Congress?
<p>Naomi Andrews</p>	<p>I have practiced campaign finance reform for over a decade as Chief of Staff and Campaign Manager for Congresswoman Carol Shea-Porter. We never accepted a dime of corporate PAC or DC lobbyist money. I'm glad to see others follow our lead. I'm proud that the majority of my contributions come from in-state, and I refuse to take corporate PAC or DC lobbyist money.</p>	<p>When I was Campaign Manager, Carol Shea-Porter called on Frank Guinta to join us in a "People's Pledge." He refused, but I plan to do the same with the Republican candidate in this general election.</p> <p>We do not have Republican leaders taking this issue seriously. Polls show that the majority of voters want campaign finance reform, but there is only one Republican congressman in the House who has signed onto campaign finance reform legislation.</p>	<p>I support a Constitutional Amendment to overturn Citizens United. I also support any legislation that will curb the unfair influence of wealthy individuals and corporations. In the next session, some examples of legislation that I will cosponsor include the DISCLOSE Act, the Government by the People Act, the Fair Elections Now Act, and the Voter Empowerment Act. I will continue Carol's leadership on this issue.</p>

	Is your campaign following any self-imposed restrictions on donations?	Do you have a policy regarding independent expenditures by outside organizations?	What specific campaign reforms would you support, as a member of Congress?
<p style="text-align: center;">Dan Belforti</p>	<p>I will not accept donations from either corporations or unions. Libertarians represent the rights of individual Americans.</p>		<p>I believe Citizens United should be overturned. Corporations are not individuals nor Americans. Corporations are protected by government with limited liability and therefore there is a conflict of interest for them to influence elections. It's no coincidence that Republicans that deny climate change are on the receiving end of polluting energy company donations. Similarly, Democrats that toe the line and status quo for government unions get their support. Politicians and candidates who sell out to corporations or unions should to be exposed by the media, which unfortunately has often been bought and paid for by the two major parties and are silent on these issues and many others. Until a high enough percentage of voters realize that they have a choice with the Libertarian Party and some other principled independent candidates, we're stuck with the same old politicians from the two corrupt major parties.</p> <p>“Our great nation will not tackle crushing debt and healthcare spending, failing military strategy or climate change until candidates for high office can run competitive elections without selling themselves to big-money special interests,” said Jim Rubens, a Republican who ran for U.S. Senate in 2014 and 2016.</p> <p>Electoral reform is possibly more important than campaign finance reform. Maine has already instituted instant runoff voting. Approval voting is far superior to our current toxic winner take all plurality voting system.</p>

	Is your campaign following any self-imposed restrictions on donations?	Do you have a policy regarding independent expenditures by outside organizations?	What specific campaign reforms would you support, as a member of Congress?
Michael Callis	I am not accepting donations and my expenses are less than allowed before triggering a financial disclosure. I have recycled signs from two years ago and I am walking up to 25 miles a day covering the First District.		A vote for me is a vote for a candidate walking the walk. I did support Granny D by walking with her when she came through Conway years ago.
Mark MacKenzie	This campaign is funded primarily by two sources, personal contributions and the nickels and dimes of the workers. This campaign has received no corporate PAC money and will not take it if offered.	Independent expenditures are allowable under the law, and the campaign has no control. Big money and special interest drive them. I would discourage the use of independent expenditures as it is another example of the influence of money in politics.	Pass a constitutional amendment to overturn Citizens United. Publicly fund campaigns. Limit the influence of independent expenditures. Make the system of reporting more transparent allowing people to follow the money trail better. Limit spending by candidates. The US Senate and House spent 1.6 billion dollars during the 2016 election cycle. Ordinary Americans are being boxed out by big money resulting in a rigged system. Close the revolving door on a lobbyist who use the U.S. Congress as an apprenticeship program for lobbying firms.
Andy Martin	Our donations are so low at this time we are not limiting them; nothing to see here. Maybe when the "big money" pours in we will take another look.	Yes, we'd like anyone who supports me to make an expenditure. The Scott Brown pledge was abandoned when Brown ran for the senate in New Hampshire.	My mind is open, but I am also mindful of constitutional limitations on campaign finance limits.

	Is your campaign following any self-imposed restrictions on donations?	Do you have a policy regarding independent expenditures by outside organizations?	What specific campaign reforms would you support, as a member of Congress?
Deaglan McEachern	We have only accepted individual donations. We will not take any money from corporations. Unlike Chris Pappas, Maura Sullivan, and Mindi Messmer, we have not accepted a single dollar of PAC money.	We have no policy regarding independent expenditures by outside organizations.	I support repealing Citizens United and will fight for legislation that ensures that elections are publicly funded.
Mindi Messmer	I am not accepting ANY PAC or special interest money - only individual contributions. While other candidates are not being truthful about this and saying things like no "corporate PACs" I don't feel that you can parse it like that. PACs are PACs, period. Approximately 90% of campaign contributions are in state.	I would take the People's Pledge. Independent expenditures are a way for dark money to impact an election. As a NH House Rep. who was actively legislating to protect public health I saw first hand the influence of lobbyists on my ability to pass good legislation. This is why I have made this a central portion of my platform.	Repeal Citizen's United, I would support something like the Real Reform Amendment which curbs PACs and other special interest contributions and outside of district contributions. See this video to see some of my recent statements on this issue. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ASa5Puu6Hrk&feature=youtu.be

<p>Chris Pappas</p>	<p>I'm proud to be running a campaign of, by, and for the people of New Hampshire and not big outside money interests. 74% of our contributions are grassroots, low-dollar donations of \$100 or less. More than two-thirds of our donors are from New Hampshire. We have contributions from all 80 cities and towns in the First Congressional District. We are refusing all corporate PAC money. Our campaign isn't just talking a good game on campaign finance, we are walking the walk.</p> <p>I'm committed to ending the corrosive influence of big money in politics and putting power back in the hands of ordinary Granite Staters. I have proposed a "Homegrown Campaign Pledge" which commits to a majority of donors living right here in New Hampshire and called on my fellow candidates to join me. Making Washington work for working families starts with making our elected leaders accountable to the people they represent.</p>		<p>My comprehensive platform includes introducing accountability and transparency, incentivizing small-dollar donors, ending the influence of foreign money, and overturning Citizens United.</p>

	Is your campaign following any self-imposed restrictions on donations?	Do you have a policy regarding independent expenditures by outside organizations?	What specific campaign reforms would you support, as a member of Congress?
Lincoln Soldati	The first thing I did when I announced my candidacy was pledge to refuse campaign contributions from PACs and lobbyists. New Hampshire is lucky to have had Rep. Shea-Porter, who showed us that it is possible to run a competitive, grassroots campaign without this kind of dirty money. I am proud that my campaign is funded mostly by in-state voters, so voters can trust that my positions aren't influenced by special interests or corporate PACs.	I would absolutely take "The People's Pledge." Political campaigns should be as transparent and accessible as possible. Third-party spending muddies the water for voters and makes it more difficult to identify who is paying for what message. Candidates and Members of Congress should be beholden to only one group: the voters who elect them.	The flood of money into our campaigns represents a fundamental threat to the integrity of our democracy. We must pass a constitutional amendment to end Citizens United. As a member of Congress, I would support a public finance option for federal campaigns. But we also need to make voting easier and more accessible. I strongly support universal voter registration and vehemently oppose the cynical Republican strategy of rolling back voting rights. When more people vote, it's harder for special interests to drown out the voice of the people.
Maura Sullivan	I have vowed not to accept a dime of Corporate PACs money, and I was the first candidate in the race to sign a pledge that I would not accept any money from the NRA. Additionally, I recently signed a pledge to not accept contributions from the oil, gas, and coal industry. I'm proud that majority of my contributions are low-dollar, grassroots support from voters across the country.	I believe that outside spending has no place in our elections; however, the Republicans and the Koch Brothers are going to throw everything they have at this seat to flip it in November, and I believe we must fight back with every resource at our disposal to win this seat and win back the House in November.	Citizens United is a threat to our democracy and I will support a Constitutional Amendment overturning it. Additionally, I support requiring Super PACs and other outside groups to publicly disclose political spending, including closing loopholes that allow foreign entities to secretly donate money. I also support increasing public funding for campaigns so that our elected leaders can spend less time raising money and more time legislating.

	Is your campaign following any self-imposed restrictions on donations?	Do you have a policy regarding independent expenditures by outside organizations?	What specific campaign reforms would you support, as a member of Congress?
Tom Alciere	No	No	How about voters doing their homework instead of waiting for their TV to spoon-feed information to them. Voters have the option of visiting votesmart.org and the candidates websites to learn where the candidates stand, and the cost is minimal.
Gerard Beloin			<p>I stand with the Citizens United decision. President Trump spent much less than Hillary Clinton and still won. Unions spent billions backing Hillary and still lost. Your argument has no merit. The candidate with the message that resonates with the voters will most often win. It is not perfect but it is the most perfect that it can be.</p> <p>Controlling the money in politics will enable those in power to control the outcome. I do believe in complete transparency. All donations must be documented. No foreign money allowed.</p> <p>As for the problem with lobbyists. I agree. It is a stinking festering rat hole that allows the Chinese to be the biggest contributors to lobbyists in Washington. THAT needs to be addressed.</p>