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The caged bird sings
with a fearful trill
of things unknown
but longed for still
and his tune is heard
on the distant hill
for the caged bird
sings of freedom

— Maya Angelou, *Caged Bird*¹

**Bring Our Children Home:**

A Prison-to-School Pipeline for New Jersey’s Youth
Close Hayes Girls’ Prison and Reopen the Bordentown School

¹ Maya Angelou (1928–2014) was an American poet, writer, and civil rights activist.
New Jersey is the home of a literal school-to-prison pipeline. For more than half a century, New Jersey operated the Bordentown School, also referred to as the “Tuskegee of the North,” an elite public boarding school for New Jersey’s Black youth. Today, however, the Female Secure Care and Intake Facility (also known as Hayes), the state’s only girls’ youth prison, now occupies the land on which this school once stood.

The transition of Bordentown from a school to a prison reflects a practice that occurs in far too many classrooms across New Jersey, where students of color are pushed into the youth justice system and ultimately into our state’s youth prisons. Former Governor Chris Christie’s historic announcement of the closure of two of the state’s youth prisons, Hayes and the New Jersey Training School for Boys (also known as Jamesburg), and the creation of two smaller youth rehabilitation centers based on national best practices, is an important step in transforming our state’s youth justice system. In addition to this important step, we must also repair the impact of incarceration’s damaging legacy on the youth of our state, particularly our youth of color, for over 150 years.
• **First**, this report sets forth an affirmative vision for creating a youth justice system that works for all of our kids. As part of this transformative vision, this report urges New Jersey to recognize that its failed system of youth incarceration has been a moral stain on our state that has been devastating to young people and their communities—particularly young people of color and their families.

• **Second**, to reconcile this reality, this report implores New Jersey to take immediate steps to close Hayes and rebuild a modern Bordentown School. In doing so, we can move toward creating a community-based system of care that provides an opportunity for all of our state’s young people, regardless of skin color, to grow and flourish.

• **Finally**, this report addresses the school-to-prison pipeline phenomenon, which feeds New Jersey’s youth prisons, and sets forth policy proposals to help end that destructive practice.

“For the first two generations of the last century, Bordentown was the capital of Negro New Jersey. Not only was it a school, but it was a meeting place for black teachers and scholars. It had a thriving sports agenda. Bordentown on one hand seems to be a Jim Crow school because only black students are enrolled in it. But in the black community it was seen as a symbol of Negro achievement, of pride in the race, uplifted. All of those values that took shape in the generation after the end of slavery.”

—Dr. Clement Price
For over half a century, this 400-acre estate was home to the New Jersey Manual Training and Industrial School for Colored Youth, also known as the Bordentown School. Originally founded in 1886 by the formerly enslaved Reverend Walter Rice as an industrial school for Black girls, the school ultimately became an elite co-ed, state-run boarding school for New Jersey’s Black students. Recognized as the “Tuskegee of the North” after Booker T. Washington’s Tuskegee Institute in Alabama, the Bordentown School developed a reputation for preparing its students for a lifetime of leadership through vocational training and academic studies. Empowered by this education, graduates of the school enjoyed successful careers in fields such as law, medicine, education, and skilled trades. The Bordentown School also attracted visits from such luminaries as Mary McLeod Bethune, W.E.B. DuBois, Booker T. Washington, Nat King Cole, Duke Ellington, Albert Einstein, Eleanor Roosevelt, and Paul Robeson, among others.

But in 1947, New Jersey adopted a state constitutional provision that prohibited public school segregation and required the Bordentown School to integrate the following year. After the school attracted only two white students, New Jersey closed the
Bordentown School in 1955, just one year after the Supreme Court’s decision in Brown v. Board of Education, arguing that it perpetuated racial segregation. More than sixty years after New Jersey closed the Bordentown School’s doors, most of its many campus buildings are uninhabitable and abandoned; yet, some remain in use.

So what now occupies the land that was once home to the “Tuskegee of the North”? New Jersey’s only girls’ youth prison—the Female Secure Care and Intake Facility, also known as Hayes.

New Jersey’s failed experiment with youth incarceration, which has devastated the lives of Black youth, is the inverse of the Bordentown School, an institution that uplifted Black youth.

New Jersey has the worst racial disparities among its incarcerated Black and white youth in the nation. In New Jersey, a Black child is over thirty times more likely to be detained or committed to a youth facility than a white child. As a result, as of June 1, 2017, 70% of incarcerated kids are Black, and only 8% (just eighteen kids) are white. Of the twelve girls in prison at Hayes, the majority (75%) are Black.
“The Bordentown School is, literally, the school-to-prison pipeline realized.”

These racial disparities persist even though Black and white youth commit most offenses at similar rates.²⁰ This disproportionality in our state’s youth prisons reflects racially-discriminatory decisions about which kids are sentenced to prison, and which kids are treated like children and receive grace and developmentally appropriate care.²¹

And these disparities continue even though New Jersey’s involvement in the Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative led to a significant decrease in the state’s incarcerated youth population.²² Between 1997 and 2010, the total population of confined youth in our state’s juvenile residential facilities was cut by over half.²³ In fact, our state’s youth prisons are largely empty. For example, the New Jersey Training School for Boys (also known as Jamesburg), the largest youth prison for boys in the state, which has a capacity of 330,²⁴ houses only 155 boys as of June 1, 2017.²⁵ Hayes, which has a maximum capacity of forty-eight girls,²⁶ incarcerates twelve as of June 1, 2017,²⁷ ranging in age from sixteen to twenty-one.²⁸ Indeed, New Jersey has the lowest girls’ confinement rate in the nation.²⁹

But the annual expenditure on youth incarceration does not align with these dwindling numbers. New Jersey spends over $60,000,000 annually to operate its three youth prisons—over half of the Juvenile Justice Commission’s entire state budget.³⁰ The state spends $25,804,000 alone to operate the Johnstone Campus, which includes Hayes and the Juvenile Medium Security Facility for Boys (JMSF).³¹ JMSF, the state’s most secure
youth prison for boys, is also largely underpopulated—as of June 1, 2017, it is operating at less than half capacity.\(^{32}\) In all, New Jersey spends around $250,000 each year to house each young person in one of the state’s youth prisons.

Notwithstanding the significant investment, New Jersey’s youth incarceration system does not reduce recidivism or increase public safety. Of the 450 young people released from commitment in state youth facilities in 2013, 78.7% had a new court filing or arrest, 67.3% had a new adjudication or conviction, and almost one-third (30.2%) were recommitted within three years of release.\(^{34}\) Children who are incarcerated are also more likely to be incarcerated as adults.\(^{35}\)

Put simply, New Jersey’s failed youth incarceration system devastates kids, is infected with striking racial disparities, and does not promote public safety or reduce recidivism. New Jersey’s shameful system punishes, rather than rehabilitates, children who need intensive wrap-around services, developmentally-appropriate care, and community support. **Fortunately, there is a better way.**

To rehabilitate our state’s most marginalized youth, New Jersey must transform its youth justice system into a community-based system of care. Through such a model, every effort is made to keep young people in their homes. Instead of incarceration, each young person should be provided with appropriate community-based services, intensive treatment, and the mentorship necessary to guide them as they discover their place in the world.

“Imagine the good that could be done in a child’s life with an annual $250,000 investment.”
Credit: Daniel Hedden
New Jersey must take a clear stance that rehabilitation, rather than incarceration, is the standard for all of our youth. And, in the case where an out-of-home placement may be necessary for safety reasons, these facilities should not be prisons. Instead, they should be small, child-centered, close to home, and rehabilitative.

In response to the New Jersey Institute for Social Justice’s (the "Institute") "150 Years is Enough" campaign, launched on the 150th anniversary of Jamesburg’s opening, New Jersey has taken an important step to transform its broken youth justice system. On January 8, 2018, former-Governor Chris Christie announced his plan to close Hayes and Jamesburg, and to build two smaller youth rehabilitation centers based on national best practices in the central and southern regions of the state. To chart the way forward for this transformation, the Institute published “Ain’t I A Child?” A Transformative Vision for Youth Justice in New Jersey.” But this is only the beginning.
The way forward must begin with the admission that New Jersey’s failed system of youth incarceration has been devastating, for more than 150 years, to young people and their communities—particularly young people of color and their families. New Jersey must recognize that it is a moral stain on our state that one of the most prominent educational institutions for Black youth in America became a youth prison that disproportionately incarcerates them. This moment presents an opportunity for New Jersey to heal and remedy this injustice. A moment to turn Bordentown back into what it once was—a place to empower, realize promise, and expand opportunity for all of our state’s youth, rather than a source of shackled dreams and imprisoned futures.

To advance this transformative vision, New Jersey must take immediate steps to close Hayes and invest in rebuilding a modern Bordentown School. In doing so, the state can finally shutter a failing youth prison and make an investment that worked for New Jersey’s young people for more than half a century. Reinvesting funds into reopening the Bordentown School would provide children of all races with an opportunity to learn and grow in a rigorous educational environment focused on supporting Black students, all while cultivating and empowering the next
generation of dynamic leaders. Through this closure and reconstruction, New Jersey can build a prison-to-school pipeline.
The Bordentown School used education to prevent Black children from becoming involved in the youth justice system.\textsuperscript{38} This overarching principle of education, not incarceration, has since been eroded at both the national and state levels. Over the past few decades, there has been a marked increase in the use of suspensions, expulsions, law enforcement referrals, and school-based arrests.\textsuperscript{39} These disciplinary practices not only deprive our youth of valuable classroom time and positive peer relationships,\textsuperscript{40} they also push them into the youth justice system. A young person is more likely to be arrested on a day he or she is suspended from school, and suspensions are also associated with higher dropout rates and an increased risk of contact with the youth justice system.\textsuperscript{41}

Importantly, the school-to-prison pipeline largely pushes kids into the youth justice system for minor behavior.\textsuperscript{42} Indeed, a 2015-2016 study of eight New Jersey counties found that over half of school-based delinquency complaints (51.3\%) were for misdemeanor offenses.\textsuperscript{43} This phenomenon—the funneling of children from school and into incarceration—is commonly known as the "school-to-prison pipeline."
Overly-punitive school disciplinary measures have been disastrous for Black students, who are nearly four times as likely to receive one or more out-of-school suspensions, are almost two times as likely to be expelled without educational services, and are more than twice as likely to be referred to law enforcement or subject to a school-related arrest as their white peers. Schools with a large population of students of color are also more likely to use metal detectors, security officers, school resource officers, and other law enforcement. This is so even though research has shown that heightened security can actually make students feel less safe, and can lead to more suspensions and arrests—creating and perpetuating a cycle of justice-system involvement.

In New Jersey, although Black students constituted only 15.8% of total student enrollment during the 2015-2016 school year, they accounted for one-third (31.6%) of total dropouts. During the 2013-2014 school year, with around the same total enrollment, Black students in New Jersey made up an estimated 35.3% of students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, 43.7% of students receiving one or more out-of-school suspensions, and 37% of students receiving expulsions with or without educational services. Black students in the state also made up an estimated 34.5% of school-related arrests and 31.4% of referrals to law enforcement.
Data Note

Publicly-available data may only show a portion of the Black youth affected by the school-to-prison pipeline in New Jersey—an issue that speaks to a need to strengthen data reporting and accountability at both the federal and state levels (one of this report’s policy proposals).

At the federal level, the U.S. Department of Education’s Office for Civil Rights administers the Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC), a biennial mandatory survey of certain data (including data on school suspensions, expulsions, school-related arrests, and referrals to law enforcement) from all public local education agencies and schools. 54 Although this data collection is mandatory, the CRDC did not receive or report any data from the City of Newark’s public school district—the largest public school district in New Jersey—for the 2013-2014 CRDC. 55 Such a gap, particularly in a school district that is almost half (46.6%) Black, 56 undoubtedly impacts the data on the school-to-prison pipeline’s effect on Black students across the state.

While the New Jersey Department of Education website does include a data section for the state, there are also areas for improvement. In response to an Open Public Records Act request made by the Institute, the DOE stated that it does not aggregate statewide data on suspensions or expulsions, broken down by gender or race, for either its public school or charter school students. 57 This information is necessary to understand the extent of the impact of the school-to-prison pipeline on students of color.
Importantly, these racial disparities do not reflect greater culpability of Black children than their white peers, as Black and white youth commit most offenses at similar rates. Rather, these disparities exist, in part, because of our schools’ inability to see Black children as children. Indeed, both Black boys and girls are seen as less innocent and more mature than their white peers. Studies also show the implicit bias some educators have toward viewing Black youth as more likely to engage in disruptive behavior, even among students as young as preschool age. The U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights recognized this issue, affirming that “racial discrimination in school discipline is a real problem” after identifying instances of schools disciplining Black students more harshly and more frequently because of their race than similarly-situated white students. On this note, given that New Jersey has some of the most racially-segregated schools in the nation, an important area for further research in our state is the overlap of school racial segregation and punitive disciplinary measures.
Due to the intersection of being both Black and female, Black girls are uniquely affected by the school-to-prison pipeline. Black girls are disproportionately subjected to harsh school-based disciplinary measures, largely based on the perception that they are unable to conform to stereotypical notions of femininity. Characterized as loud, disruptive, hostile, aggressive, and sassy, Black female students are often the victims of school environments that seek to classify, rather than understand, the causes of their conduct. In some cases, these Black female students’ actions may be a symptom of underlying trauma, such as sexual assault or other violence. Schools, unable to identify and address these signs of trauma, may instead label these girls as “troublemakers” in need of punishment rather than support. This pervasive refusal to recognize the girlhood of Black female students contributes to their disproportionate removal from the classroom.

A Black girl in New Jersey is 8.5 times more likely to be suspended than a white girl. During the 2015-2016 school year, while Black and Hispanic girls in the state made up 7.7% and 12.8% of total enrollment, respectively, they made up 13.3% and 17.6% of total dropouts. By contrast, white girls, 22.4% of total enrollment, only accounted for 9% of dropouts. In addition, over the 2013-2014 school year, while Black girls made up only 16.2% of female students in New Jersey, they made up an estimated half (50.4%) of girls receiving one or more out-of-school suspensions, 30.2% of girls receiving expulsions with or without educational services, 37.6% of girls subject to school-related arrests, and 33.9% of girls referred to law enforcement.
New Jersey’s criminalization of Black female students has even made national news. In October 2017, a videotaped altercation involving two Black seventeen-year-old sisters and a police officer outside of Orange High School made headlines around the country and led to a school walkout and a mass protest of almost 1,000 people—including students, teachers, administrators, and parents—in front of the city’s police headquarters. Among other things, the video shows the officer throwing the two girls by their hair and pinning them to the ground. Although the circumstances surrounding the interaction were initially unclear, the Orange High twins were still charged with, among other offenses, aggravated assault and resisting arrest.

A Black girl in New Jersey is 8.5 times more likely to be suspended than a white girl.
Racial Disparities

The closure of the Bordentown School, and its replacement with a youth prison, is a vivid representation of how the prison house has replaced the schoolhouse for too many Black youth in our state.

While New Jersey was once a national education leader for Black students at the Bordentown School, it is now a national leader for an altogether different and invidious reason: New Jersey has the worst racial disparities among its incarcerated Black and white youth in the nation.

In New Jersey, a Black youth is over thirty times more likely to be detained or committed to a youth facility than a white youth. As of June 1, 2017, there are 232 youth incarcerated in New Jersey’s three youth prisons. Of this number, 163 are Black and only eighteen are white. Even more glaring, only two white youth, out of a total sixty-five young people, are housed in JMSF—the same number of white students who attended the Bordentown School after integration. These striking disparities persist even though research shows that Black and white youth commit most offenses at similar rates.

New Jersey also has the second worst Latino/white youth incarceration disparity rate in the nation (behind Massachusetts). A Latino youth in New Jersey is five times more likely to be detained or committed than a white youth. As of June 1, 2017, New Jersey had forty-nine incarcerated youth classified as Hispanic.

As of June 1, 2017, of the twelve girls committed to Hayes, nine are Black, and two are white. Although the population is small, the racial disproportionality of our state’s incarcerated girls reflects demographics at the national level—Black girls are the fastest growing population in the youth justice system and generally receive more severe sentences than other system-involved girls.
We must seize this moment to make a transformative shift that is not only symbolic, but demonstrates a concerted effort to reverse the school-to-prison pipeline for our state’s students. In doing so, we can create a continuum of care where kids are shifted away from system involvement and kept in the schools and communities where they belong.

To that end, this report’s two overarching policy proposals will set the foundation for developing a transformative prison-to-school pipeline that will reverberate throughout our state: New Jersey must take immediate steps to close Hayes and, in its place, rebuild a modern Bordentown School.
NJ Must Take IMMEDIATE STEPS TO CLOSE HAYES

Following the Christie administration’s historic announcement, the state must take immediate steps to close Hayes. Incarcerating our state’s girls in a faraway and largely empty youth prison is a failed experiment that conflicts with national best practices. Research shows that community-based alternatives to incarceration—with individualized treatment and wrap-around services—are the most effective method for the rehabilitation of young people. Studies have demonstrated that girls can significantly benefit from community-based programming that is trauma-informed, gender-specific, and culturally-sensitive.

By closing Hayes and focusing on lasting rehabilitative opportunities for our state’s most at-risk girls, New Jersey can begin to develop a community-based system of care for all of its young people. Due to the small number of girls currently incarcerated at Hayes, New Jersey should immediately begin a holistic assessment of each girl to create an individualized program of services. Such an assessment should include an evaluation of the causative factors that led to each young woman’s incarceration—such as family history, trauma and abuse, and any other factors that often funnel girls into prison. Based on this assessment, the state should then determine whether a girl can be safely placed back in the community with intensive wrap-around services or whether she would be best served by an out-of-home placement for safety reasons. Importantly, at the decision-making point, the default should be to keep every girl in their home community with services and supports that are trauma-informed, gender-specific, and culturally-sensitive.
If a girl may need to be in an out-of-home placement, best practices dictate that such settings should be small, home-like, rehabilitation- and treatment-focused, and have family and/or community engagement at the heart of a girl’s rehabilitation. Although the JJC currently operates one non-secure residential community home for girls, the Developing Opportunities and Values through Education and Substance Abuse Treatment program (also known as D.O.V.E.S.), it is uncertain if this setting is ideal for effective rehabilitation. Not only is it located in an isolated part of Mercer County, but some of the available programming at D.O.V.E.S. appears wanting. For example, girls at D.O.V.E.S. provide tours of the grounds—the former Lindbergh estate—that are focused on the kidnapping and death of the Lindbergh baby. It is unclear how daily exposure to such a crime could have a positive impact on these young women’s rehabilitation.

Given the high rates of dual-system involvement and mental health concerns among the state’s incarcerated girls—as of June 1, 2017, two-thirds of our state’s incarcerated girls have been involved with both the child welfare system and the youth justice system, and all of them have a mental health diagnosis—every effort should be made to evaluate whether there are currently effective and rehabilitative out-of-home placements available for these young women through the child welfare system. And, if a girl may need to be in a more secure setting for safety reasons, it should not be a prison. To achieve this goal, the state should ensure that the two proposed youth rehabilitation centers in the central and southern regions of the state comply with best practices and provide true rehabilitation and development. In addition, it is imperative that New Jersey also looks to repurpose or renovate a facility in the northern region of the state, to make sure that all girls in out-of-home placements throughout the state are near vital familial contacts and supports.

Closing Hayes and Jamesburg is only the beginning of the path to ending youth incarceration in our state. The Institute, through its youth justice campaign 150 Years is Enough, also advocates for the closure of JMSF, across the street from Hayes. We cannot transform our state’s youth justice system without closing all of the state’s youth prisons and creating a community-based system of care. Closing Hayes and rebuilding the Bordentown School must be tied to JMSF’s closure as well.
New Jersey Should Rebuild a Modern Bordentown School:

New Jersey must take immediate steps to close Hayes and create a prison-to-school pipeline in its place by reinvesting funds into rebuilding a modern Bordentown School.

Operating a public boarding school focused on academic rigor, leadership preparedness, and diversity would allow New Jersey to join the ranks of a number of states from around the country already operating world-class, public residential schools, such as Arkansas, Illinois, and Maine. Importantly, the development of such a school on the land where the Bordentown School once stood must be informed by the voices of girls formerly incarcerated at Hayes, young people who have been incarcerated in the state’s other youth prisons, and young people who have had other youth justice system involvement.

By reopening the Bordentown School and accounting for the current educational landscape, New Jersey has the opportunity to stand apart in providing a transformative educational experience for New Jersey’s youth.
The New Bordentown School

While the proposed school should be a public boarding school like its predecessor, it should be open to all students in New Jersey, with a primary goal of developing a diverse group of future leaders. As a state with some of the worst school racial segregation statistics in the nation, the new Bordentown School should attract and retain New Jersey students from a range of racial, financial, and other demographics. To combat both the disproportionate impact the school-to-prison pipeline has on Black students, and to honor the initial intent of the Bordentown School, there should be a commitment to recruiting students from areas of the state that send large numbers of Black youth to juvenile facilities—such as Essex and Camden Counties.

The school curriculum and programming should be centered on racial and social justice, reconciliation, and the celebration of diverse voices and backgrounds. The curriculum, for example, should include lessons on the history and successes of Black people all over the world, in order to instill pride in, and knowledge of, Black achievement at the local, state, and national levels. New Jersey already established the foundations of such an aspiration by creating the Amistad Commission, a state-level commission tasked with supporting Black history-focused educational programming. In addition, courses on racial justice and social justice should also be taught.

The school should be focused on life and career development, college preparation, vocational training, and other supportive elements to provide students with the opportunity to choose among the options that were available to students at the original Bordentown School.

Family engagement should be an important pillar of the school’s foundation, with events and activities planned for family at the school, and transportation provided to families to allow students to keep actively involved with their home communities.

The school’s disciplinary code should be focused on restorative justice, with the school, rather than law enforcement, addressing issues in real-time. Consistent with this philosophy, the school should be resourced with guidance counselors, interventionists, social workers, psychologists, and other staff to aid in the positive development of the student body.

The New Bordentown School’s innovation will not be limited to its four walls. In addition to the classroom component, the revised school should serve as a research incubator for developing new strategies in promoting racial reconciliation, racial understanding, and the education of youth of color. Indeed, in her recent book, Reclaiming African American Students: Legacies, Lessons, and Prescriptions (The Bordentown School Model), Professor Mildred L. Rice Jordan, granddaughter of the Bordentown School’s founder, writes about the need for such a research hub to support Black students’ learning. Experts could be brought to the school to teach specific courses for educators on implicit bias, culturally-sensitive and competent programs, and the impacts and effects of trauma. Focus groups could be conducted with students to identify their specific needs and tailor supports and resources to meet these needs both in the classroom and beyond. Researchers, advocates, family members, community members, and students could also work together to devise innovative curricula and approaches that may fall outside of the traditional structure of evidence-based programming. The research that emerges could support new methods of educating students of color and addressing persistent educational inequities.

The school should be focused on life and career development, college preparation, vocational training, and other supportive elements to provide students with the opportunity to choose among the options that were available to students at the original Bordentown School.

Family engagement should be an important pillar of the school’s foundation, with events and activities planned for family at the school, and transportation provided to families to allow students to keep actively involved with their home communities.

The school’s disciplinary code should be focused on restorative justice, with the school, rather than law enforcement, addressing issues in real-time. Consistent with this philosophy, the school should be resourced with guidance counselors, interventionists, social workers, psychologists, and other staff to aid in the positive development of the student body.

The New Bordentown School’s innovation will not be limited to its four walls. In addition to the classroom component, the revised school should serve as a research incubator for developing new strategies in promoting racial reconciliation, racial understanding, and the education of youth of color. Indeed, in her recent book, Reclaiming African American Students: Legacies, Lessons, and Prescriptions (The Bordentown School Model), Professor Mildred L. Rice Jordan, granddaughter of the Bordentown School’s founder, writes about the need for such a research hub to support Black students’ learning. Experts could be brought to the school to teach specific courses for educators on implicit bias, culturally-sensitive and competent programs, and the impacts and effects of trauma. Focus groups could be conducted with students to identify their specific needs and tailor supports and resources to meet these needs both in the classroom and beyond. Researchers, advocates, family members, community members, and students could also work together to devise innovative curricula and approaches that may fall outside of the traditional structure of evidence-based programming. The research that emerges could support new methods of educating students of color and addressing persistent educational inequities.
Additional Policy Proposals

Two additional policy proposals should be implemented to help interrupt the school-to-prison pipeline for our youth of color.

The New Jersey Department of Education Should Conduct a Comprehensive Qualitative Report on School Pushout in New Jersey:

There is limited information concerning the specific factors forcing kids out of school in New Jersey from the perspective of those most affected. The DOE should conduct a statewide, comprehensive school-to-prison pipeline qualitative study to gather this data. The research should include focus groups and interviews with students, families, teachers, school law enforcement, guidance counselors, social workers, nurses, administrators, and others involved with school environments, and should primarily target school districts with high rates of suspensions, expulsions, law enforcement referrals, and arrests.

The DOE should generate a report based on this research that highlights the experiences of Black and Latino youth, and includes separate analyses for how school environments have uniquely impacted their Black and Latino female and male students. This report should be made publicly available and should guide the DOE and the legislature in considering changes to current disciplinary measures used in schools across the state.

The Federal Civil Rights Data Collection and the New Jersey Department of Education Should Improve and Expand Their Data Collection Measures:

Similarly, data from both the federal CRDC and the state DOE should be improved.

Although the CRDC is a mandatory data collection, it is missing data from key jurisdictions—such as the entire Newark public school district. The CRDC must engage the necessary accountability measures to ensure collection from all schools throughout the nation and should clearly publicize what jurisdictions (if any) are not included in the collection, and why.

The New Jersey DOE’s publicly-available data should also be strengthened. Although the state provides suspension and expulsion data at the state-level through its school performance reports, this data should be broken down by race and gender.
The Past as Present

Countless Black kids in our state have been impacted by an educational system that has, in too many cases, criminalized, rather than educated, them. By taking immediate steps to close Hayes and reclaim the legacy of the Bordentown School—and the emphasis on the primacy of education in a young child’s life it embodied—New Jersey can serve as a national model for transformative youth justice at both the front-end and back-end of the system. It would also position kids, as Bordentown School Principal William A. Valentine said, to “acquire a taste of success in some field of endeavor, which will motivate [their] aspirations and widen [their] horizon.”

It is time for New Jersey to fulfill the promise of the Bordentown School and ensure that all of our state’s students, regardless of color, are empowered to thrive, succeed, and widen their horizon.
As explained below, Latino students are also impacted by the school-to-prison pipeline. Given the legacy of the Bordentown School, as well as the extreme racial disparities Black students face in the youth justice system, this report will primarily focus on the experience of the Black population. The problem of how Latino students interact with the youth justice system, however, is one that deserves further analysis. Also, recognizing sources often conflate the designations of "Latino" and "Hispanic," this report uses the categorization preferred by each cited source.


23. The Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative JDAI is a program focused on decreasing the number of youth detained before trial. For more information on JDAI, see RICHARD A. MENDEL, THE ANNIE E. CASEY FOUND., JDAI IN NEW JERSEY (2014), http://www.aecf.org/m/ resourcedoc/aecf-JDAINewJersey-2014.pdf.


26. See E-mail from Jennifer LeBaron, supra note 18.

27. See E-mail from Jennifer LeBaron, supra note 18.


31. N.J. DEP’T OF THE TREASURY OFFICE OF MGMT. & BUDGET, STATE OF NEW JERSEY APPROPRIATIONS HANDBOOK FISCAL YEAR 2017-2018 B-134, http://www.nj.gov/treasury/omb/publications/18approp/FullAppropAct.pdf. The Juvenile Medium Security Center is also known as the Johnstone Campus. The Institute submitted an Open Public Records Act request to the JJC for the annual operating costs for the Hayes unit only. The JJC provided the following response: “The JJC has conducted a search of its records and has determined that no such record is made or maintained by the JJC. The Female Secure Care and Intake Facility (Hayes Unit) is part of the JJC’s larger Johnstone Campus comprised of several JJC facilities that share resources. Operating costs for the Johnstone Campus can be found in the state budget document that is available online. For these reasons your request is denied.” State of New Jersey Government Records Request Receipt from Sharon Lauchaire, Records Custodian, State of N.J., to Andrea McChristian, Assoc. Counsel, N.J. Inst. for Soc. Justice (Oct. 3, 2017) (on file with author).
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The report Gender Injustice provides a comprehensive review of these various factors, as well as strategies to address these concerns while also supporting rehabilitation, from a national perspective. See FRANCINE T. SHERMAN & ANNIE BALCK, GENDER INJUSTICE: SYSTEM-LEVEL JUVENILE JUSTICE REFORMS FOR GIRLS (2015), http://www.nationalcrttention.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/Gender_Injustice_Report.pdf.

The PACE Center for Girls (PACE)—which operates 19 nonresidential, year-round program centers for girls throughout Florida—provides one possible model. PACE incorporates gender-responsive programming, trauma-informed care, and wrap-around services as part of its preventative model; an implementation study found that, after a year, girls in PACE were more likely than girls in a control group to have received academic advising and health counseling, and to be enrolled in school. See TRESKON ET AL., supra note 91 at ix.
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