

Opening Housing Choices through Expanded Housing Authority Jurisdiction

Summary


The goal of this proposal is to give municipal housing authorities the discretion to extend their jurisdictions to thriving communities within a 30-mile radius of their town lines.

Where can local housing authorities currently operate?

In Connecticut, housing authority jurisdiction is typically defined by town borders. This – along with incentives in federal regulations – unnecessarily restricts choices for families. For example, housing authorities have limited ability to administer the tenant-based Housing Choice Voucher program or participate in the development of certain types of physical housing units outside of their municipal jurisdiction.

Why is limited jurisdiction a problem?

These restrictions make it difficult for housing authorities to provide their clients with true housing choice, including the option to live in "higher opportunity areas" - based on



Opportunity map and Housing Choice Voucher locations (Dark orange areas are thriving, lightly shaded areas are struggling.) 2015 mapping; 2009 HCV data.

assessments of local educational outcomes, employment access, poverty, crime rates, and more.

Because most vouchers are administered by housing authorities in "lower opportunity areas," housing authorities have been functionally co-opted into maintaining a system of segregation. 86% of voucher holders live outside of higher opportunity areas and 48% are concentrated in the 2% of the land area of the state assessed as very low opportunity. Jurisdictional limits make it more difficult for housing authorities to meet their obligation under federal and state law to affirmatively further fair housing.

How will this proposal give housing authorities more flexibility?

This bill proposes expanding housing authority jurisdiction under CGS Sec. 8-39 to include an "expanded area of operation." This expanded area includes "high and very high opportunity census tracts in the state of Connecticut . . . any part of which is within 30 miles of the housing authority's municipal borders." Such areas are defined in CGS Sec. 8-348.

The proposal maintains existing rights of municipalities by:

- Restricting certain elements of a housing authority's power including eminent domain and the power to create a police force in the extended jurisdiction.
- In no way changing municipalities' rights to control their own zoning.

How will this proposal affirmatively further fair housing?

Proposal is designed to remove artificial barriers to housing choice by:

- Eliminating existing disincentives for housing authorities to support broader housing searches.
- Enabling and encouraging voucher usage in a wider range of Connecticut neighborhoods.
- Empower sophisticated housing authorities to contribute their development capabilities to town efforts to generate beautiful mixed income housing.

Expanded jurisdiction will allow housing authorities to create more access to opportunity and the resources available in thriving communities.

- Recent research has confirmed that access to community resources is vitally linked to successful life
 outcomes. Poverty concentration has significant negative effects on family health, education, and
 economic well-being, not to mention the strength of Connecticut's economy as a whole.
- Broadened housing authority jurisdiction will encourage voluntary poverty de-concentration, opening opportunities for our cities to revitalize without recreating poverty concentration elsewhere.