

# **Structural Violence in High-Income Countries**

Reading: Life at the Top in America Isn't Just Better, It's Longer, Janny Scott

### **Discussion Guide**

**Goal:** Question what it means to work in global health and how the actions of PIH Engage can work to advance health equity in the United States and other high-income countries.

#### **Suggested Discussion Questions:**

- 1. What systems in high-income countries like the United States contribute to, make up, or define a social class? How does structural violence manifest itself in the United States?
- 2. Discuss the differences between public health, international health, and global health.
- 3. Currently, PIH is involved in the Navajo Nation, United States, and in Tomsk Oblast, Siberia—both sites in high-income countries. Should PIH do more work domestically? What are unique barriers to delivering high quality health care to the poor in high-income countries? (Consider: political systems and structures, types of health insurance, income of health providers, stigma and prevailing judgments about the poor, educational structures, a country's historical context, etc.) How can PIH Engage help combat some of these barriers?

#### **Key Quotes:**

"Class informed everything from the circumstances of their heart attacks to the emergency care each received, the households they returned to and the jobs they hoped to resume. It shaped their understanding of their illness, the support they got from their families, their relationships with their doctors. It helped define their ability to change their lives and shaped their odds of getting better" (2).

"Class is a potent force in health and longevity in the United States. The more education and income people have, the less likely they are to have and die of heart disease, strokes, diabetes and many types of cancer. Upper-middle-class Americans live longer and in better health than middle-class Americans, who live longer and better than those at the bottom. And the gaps are widening, say people who have researched social factors in health" (2).

"We're creating disparities. It's almost as if it's transforming health, which used to be like fate, into a commodity. Like the distribution of BMW's or goat cheese" (3).

## **Lesson Plan**

<u>Goal</u>: To question what it means to work in global health and how the actions of PIH Engage can work to advance health equity in the United States and other high-income countries.

<u>Warm up:</u> In global health, we often think about illness as caused by man-made systems that force populations into poverty. This article discusses the importance of social class in determining health outcomes in America, a high-income country. What systems in high-income countries like the United States contribute to, make up, or define a social class?

Diagnostic: Is global health the same as international health? What about public health?

<u>Teaching Bit:</u> Our fight for global health equity must recognize that where poverty exists, there is inherent structural violence. PIH, a global health organization, works to dismantle the social barriers to health and provide a preferential option for the poor, a mission not defined by national borders. Compare global, international, and public health.

|                      | Geography                     | Cooperation | Access Goals           |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| Global Health        | Health issues that transcend  | Global      | Health equity among    |
|                      | national boundaries           |             | nations                |
| International Health | Focus on issues outside one's | Bi-National | Help other nations     |
|                      | own country                   |             |                        |
| Public Health        | Focus on or within specific   | National    | Health equity within a |
|                      | communities or countries      |             | nation or community    |

Source: Kaplan JP et al. Lancet 2009, 373:9679 p 1993-1995

<u>Guided Practice:</u> In the article, we see clear examples of how social class, created and perpetuated by structural violence, affects an individual's health. Consider systems each individual in the article had access to after having a heart attack. Choose a few key systems listed below to discuss the implications of systems on health.

| System         | Jean G. Miele, Architect      | Will L. Wilson, Utility Worker         | Ewa Rynezak Gora, Maid                |
|----------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Transportation | Drove or cab.                 | Drove or subway.                       | Public transportation.                |
| Support System | Supportive stay at home wife— | Supportive fiancé—did less             | Limited—moderate tasks                |
|                | took ownership of his care    | independent research                   | consumed entire days                  |
| Nutrition      | Healthy grocery stores nearby | Fried and canned food easily available | Fried food and fast food nearby       |
| Employment     | Able to take time off without | Laboratory technician. Able to         | Received disability payments briefly  |
|                | concern for money             | continue working "on restriction"      | and months later returned to work     |
| Health Care    | Necessary procedures          | Transferred within 24 hours to a       | Not seen in a hospital for hours, not |
|                | immediately available.        | hospital for necessary procedures.     | treated for days, resulting in        |
|                | Consistently seen and treated | Eventually received needed             | complications. Required to visit      |
|                | quickly and respectfully.     | procedures. Stopped going to           | with doctors across town and had      |
|                | Specialists recommended.      | doctors—he did not feel respected.     | difficulty paying.                    |

Independent Practice: PIH has historically focused on extremely rural and disproportionately impoverished settings in low-income countries. In doing this, PIH has created and tested models of health care delivery that prove what is possible in the most difficult settings, which often completely lack health care systems for the poor. Currently, PIH is involved in the Navajo Nation, United States and in Tomsk Oblast, Siberia—both sites in high-income countries.

Should PIH do more work domestically? What are unique barriers to delivering high quality health care to the poor in high-income countries? (Consider: political systems and structures, types of health insurance, income of health providers, stigma and prevailing judgments about the poor, educational structures, a country's historical context, etc.) How can PIH Engage help combat some of these barriers?

Assessment: In reference to high-income countries, the article states: "we're creating disparities. It's almost as if it's transforming health, which used to be like fate, into a commodity. Like the distribution of BMW's or goat cheese" (3). How does the commodification of health create systems that perpetuate poverty? Is there a difference in how the urban poor vs. the rural poor experience this structural violence?

<u>Closer:</u> Ask if anyone is comfortable sharing a time when they or someone they know experienced structural violence.