

# AN AMERICA FIRST INTERNATIONAL TAX PLAN:

How the TCJA's Offshoring Incentives **Can be Eliminated** with Sales Factor Apportionment

## CURRENT SYSTEM NOT WORKING

Our "origin of income" taxation system requires complex anti-abuse rules like BEAT, GILTI and FDII. It causes corporations to calculate the best place to establish facilities to shift income to low tax jurisdictions.

## A SIMPLE SOLUTION

A "destination of sales" taxation system is simple, requires far fewer anti-abuse rules, and eliminates the incentive to move operations to tax havens.

## SALES FACTOR APPORTIONMENT (SFA)

SFA, a destination of sales system used by many states, would tax corporate income in proportion to a companies' sales in the US regardless of either domicile or location of operations.

## TCJA FAVORS FOREIGN CORPORATIONS OVER US CORPORATIONS

The TCJA's Base Erosion Anti-Abuse Tax (BEAT) is intended to prevent large corporations with over \$500M in US sales from shifting profits overseas but:

- A US corporation with \$800M in global sales and \$400M in US sales WOULD pay higher taxes under BEAT.
- A German corporation with \$800M in global sales and \$400M in US sales WOULD NOT pay higher taxes under BEAT.

## SFA ELIMINATES THIS FAVORITISM

"The OECD obsession with tying taxable profits to real business activity [rather than sales] encourages the migration of real business activity to low-tax jurisdictions."

-Sullivan, 2018



## TCJA STILL FAVORS OVERSEAS INVESTMENT OVER DOMESTIC INVESTMENT

The Tax Cuts for Jobs Act narrowed, **but did not eliminate**, the tax benefit for moving operations overseas.

Tax Differential in Favor for a US Company Building a Factory Overseas rather than in U.S.\*

1. Prior Law: 14.5%
2. TCJA: 10.5%
3. Under SFA: 0%

\*Martin Sullivan, "Economic Analysis: Where Will the Factories Go? A Preliminary Assessment", Tax Analysts, 1/30/18