



**Board of Directors Meeting  
South Bay Council of Governments  
Charles Gale, Chairman  
August 7, 2018**

1. Welcome Charles Gale, Chair
  - a. Flag Salute
2. Self-Introductions and Sign-In All Participants
3. Approval of Minutes John Heffernann, Secretary
4. Presentation
  - a. Jim MacLellan, Director of Trade Development- Port of Los Angeles
  - a. WSPA- AB 617 (Garcia) Nonvehicular air pollution: criteria air pollutants and toxic air contaminants- *Oppose*
2. Government Affairs Report PEAR Strategies
  - a. AB 1901 (Obernolt) CEQA: Exemptions on Roadway Projects
  - b. AB 2361, as amended, Weber. University of California: outsource contracts
  - c. AB 2808 (Muratsuchi) Education finance: local control funding formula: funding increase
  - d. AB 3030 (Caballero) CEQA: Exemptions on Qualified Opportunity Zones
  - e. SB 1121 (Dodd) Personal Information
  - f. Proposition 3: Water related infrastructure
  - g. Proposition 6: Repeal of SB 1
  - h. Proposition 8: Dialysis Clinics Refunds
  - i. Proposition 10: Rent control
3. Office Holders, Administrative Agencies and Community Partners - Please limit your reports to no more than 3 minutes.
  - a. U.S. Chamber of Commerce - Jennings Imel and Vartan Dijhanian
  - b. League of Cities - Jeff Kiernan
  - c. Los Angeles Air Force Base - Carla L. Rosepryor
  - d. South Bay WIB - Chris Cagle
  - e. South Coast AQMD- Tina A. Cox
  - f. South Bay Council of Governments - Hon. Britt Huff
  - g. Office of Congresswoman Waters - Blanca Jimenez
  - h. Office of Congresswoman Barragan - Morgan Roth
  - i. Office of Congressman Lieu – Aurelia Friedman

- j. Office of Senator Allen - Sam Liu
- k. Office of Senator Bradford - Nital Patel
- l. Office of Senator Lara - Tonya Martin
- m. Office of Assemblymember Burke - Robert Pullen-Miles
- n. Office of Assemblymember Gipson - Chris Wilson
- o. Office of Assemblymember Muratsuchi - Andrew DeBlock
- p. Office of Assemblymember O'Donnell – Sarah Patterson
- q. Office of Supervisor Hahn

[Officeholder representatives, non-chamber members, and guests are respectfully asked to leave the meeting at this point]

4. Chair's Report

Charles Gale

5. Financial Report

Janice Webb, Treasurer

a. Profit and Loss

b. Balance Sheet

c. 2017 Taxes

6. Adjournment/Announcements

All

a. Special thanks to The South Bay Council of Governments for hosting us.

b. Next SBACC meeting will be on Tuesday, September 4, 2018

**AB 1901 (Obernolt) California Environmental Quality Act: exemption: roadway projects**

**Recommended Position**

Support

**Background**

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify the completion of, an environmental impact report on a project that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment or to adopt a negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. CEQA also requires a lead agency to prepare a mitigated negative declaration for a project that may have a significant effect on the environment if revisions in the project would avoid or mitigate that effect and there is no substantial evidence that the project, as revised, would have a significant effect on the environment.

Exempts, until January 1, 2020, minor roadway repairs, maintenance and minor alterations meeting the following conditions:

- a) The project is carried out by a city or county with a population of less than 100,000 to improve public safety.
- b) The project does not cross a "waterway" (defined as a bay, estuary, lake, pond, river, slough, or a perennial, intermittent, or ephemeral stream, lake, or estuarine-marine shoreline).
- c) Exclude projects on state roadways; sites containing wetlands, riparian areas, and significant wildlife habitat value; and that harm any protected species, impact cultural resources, or affect scenic resources.
- d) Require the lead agency to mitigate potential vehicular traffic and safety impacts, and bicycle and pedestrian safety impacts; hold a noticed public hearing on the project to hear and respond to public comments; and to file a notice of exemption with the Office of Planning and Research (OPR).

**Summary**

AB 1901 will allow small local jurisdictions to depend on this CEQA exemption when planning their road maintenance and improvement projects. Infrastructure projects are often planned years out and knowing this exemption will still be available is crucial to being able to accurately plan these projects.

**Status**

Date	Action
06/20/18	In committee: Set, second hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.
06/06/18	In committee: Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.
05/10/18	Referred to Com. on EQ.
04/26/18	In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.
04/26/18	Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Senate. (Ayes 63. Noes 6. Page 4897.)

Date	Action
04/25/18	Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
04/24/18	From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 14. Noes 0.) (April 23).
04/19/18	Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.
04/18/18	Read second time and amended.
04/17/18	From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. (Ayes 8. Noes 1.) (April 16).
04/09/18	In committee: Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.
02/05/18	Referred to Coms. on NAT. RES. and TRANS.
01/23/18	From printer. May be heard in committee February 22.
01/22/18	Read first time. To print.

**SUPPORT:**

AAA, Northern and Southern California, Nevada  
and Utah American Council of Engineering  
Companies  
Associated Builders and Contractors  
Automobile Club of Southern California

California Chamber of Commerce  
California State Association of Counties  
California Transportation Commission  
Rural County Representatives of California  
Southern California Association of Governments

**OPPOSITION:**

Sierra Club

**Recommended Position**

Oppose

**Background**

1. Outlines the requirements and procedures for competitive bidding at the UC; and, outlines requirements and procedures, specifically for the acquisition of materials, goods, and services. (Public Contract Code § 10500, et seq.)
2. Declares the intent of the Legislature to facilitate the participation of small businesses, particularly small disadvantaged or minority business enterprises, women business enterprises, and disabled veteran business enterprises in business contracting with the UC. (PCC § 10500.5)
3. Requires the UC to let any contract involving an expenditure of \$100,000 or more annually for goods and materials, or for services to be performed (other than personal or professional services) to the lowest responsible bidder. (PCC § 10507.7)
4. Authorizes the UC, when it determines that it can expect long-term savings, as specified, to select the lowest responsible bidder on the basis of the best value to the university. (PCC § 10507.8)

**Summary**

This bill requires that a contractor agree to provide the University of California (UC) with specified information regarding each active outsource contract in order to qualify as the lowest responsible bidder or the best value awardee, or otherwise to perform any service work for the university; it requests UC to report through a publicly accessible website that information and; requires the Legislature to deduct a certain amount from the UC's General Fund appropriation should UC fail to report the information.

**Arguments in opposition:** According to the sponsor, the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, AFL-CIO (AFSCME), this bill will inform the Legislature about UC's compliance in meeting its minimum wage requirements and provide information about the extent to which UC contractors provide employees with health and retirement benefits. The sponsor indicates contractors already report information required by this bill in their tax filings.

**Arguments in support:** UC opposes this bill and indicates its requirements will lead to progressively more service work being insourced by the university as a result of fewer bidders being willing to work for the university. UC believes that the reluctance on the part of bidders to participate will be based on their unwillingness to share detailed and sensitive information about their workforce, such as their employee's wage information, on a publicly available website. The California Chamber of Commerce, an opponent of this bill, also raises concerns about requiring the disclosure of proprietary information.

**Status**

Date	Action
06/28/18	From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 4. Noes 2.) (June 27). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
06/13/18	Referred to Com. on ED.
06/04/18	In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.
05/31/18	Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Senate. (Ayes 54. Noes 22. Page 5592.)
05/25/18	Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
05/25/18	From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 12. Noes 4.) (May 25).
05/25/18	Coauthors revised.
05/16/18	In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.
05/02/18	Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
05/01/18	Read second time and amended.
04/30/18	From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 5. Noes 2.) (April 25).
04/23/18	Re-referred to Com. on A. & A.R.
04/19/18	From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on A. & A.R. Read second time and amended.
04/18/18	From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on A. & A.R. (Ayes 9. Noes 4.) (April 17). Re-referred to Com. on A. & A.R.
04/10/18	Re-referred to Com. on HIGHER ED.
04/09/18	From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on HIGHER ED. Read second time and amended.
04/03/18	In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.
03/01/18	Referred to Coms. on HIGHER ED. and A. & A.R.
02/14/18	From printer. May be heard in committee March 16.
02/13/18	Read first time. To print.

**Support**

AFSCME

California Labor Federation

**Opposition**

CalChamber

University of California

## **AB 2808 (Muratsuchi) Education finance: local control funding formula: funding increase**

### **Recommended Position**

#### **Support**

#### **Background**

This bill increases the per-student base grant funding targets for school districts and charter schools under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF). The LCFF establishes per-pupil funding targets, with adjustments for different student grade levels, and includes supplemental funding for local educational agencies (LEAs) serving students who are low-income, English learners, or foster youth. The LCFF replaced almost all sources of state funding for LEAs, including most categorical programs, with general purpose funding including few spending restrictions.

The largest component of the LCFF is a base grant generated by each student. Current law establishes base grant target amounts for the 2013-14 fiscal year, which are increased each year by the Implicit Price Deflator for State and Local Government Purchases of Goods and Services for the United States.

The base grant target rates for each grade span for the 2018-19 fiscal year are as follows:

1. \$8,236 for grades K-3 (includes a 10.4% class size reduction adjustment);
2. \$7,571 for grades 4-6;
3. \$7,796 for grades 7-8;
4. \$9,268 for grades 9-12 (includes a 2.6% career technical education adjustment).

For each disadvantaged student, a district receives a supplemental grant equal to 20 percent of its base grant. A district serving a student population with more than 55 percent of disadvantaged students receives a concentration grant funding equal to 50 percent of the base grant for each disadvantaged student above the 55 percent threshold.

#### **Summary**

This bill increases the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) per-student base grant funding targets for school districts and charter schools for the 2019-20 fiscal year up to the following:

1. \$13,026 for grades K-3 (includes a 10.4 percent class size reduction adjustment);
2. \$11,975 for grades 4-6;
3. \$12,332 for grades 7-8;
4. \$14,661 for grades 9-12 (includes 2.6 percent career technical education adjustment).

In 2013-14, California enacted the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), replacing the state's categorical grant education funding model. LCFF was designed to provide districts with greater discretion over how funds are utilized to educate students at the local level, with an emphasis on providing additional resources to students with the greatest needs. The new funding formula promised to return districts to their 2007-08 funding level, over the course of seven years, fully funding targets by 2020-21.

In the 2018-19 budget, the Governor has proposed to fully fund LCFF 2 years ahead of schedule, dedicating \$3 billion in new funding to the formula. However, at full implementation of LCFF, California will still retain among the lowest per pupil funding rates in the nation. California school districts will continue to face a dilemma: the inadequacy of base funding to cover rising fixed costs imposed since the creation of the LCFF.

AB 2808 establishes new, higher LCFF base rate targets funded by growth in the Proposition 98 guarantee to address districts' fixed cost needs and to set forth goals for California to attain, at the very least, the national average in per pupil funding. In its 2018-19 Proposition 98 Education Analysis, the LAO noted that, "Increased base rates would provide both high- and low-poverty districts additional general purpose funding to accommodate these cost pressures.

**Status**

Date	Action
06/28/18	From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 7. Noes 0.) (June 27). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
06/13/18	Referred to Com. on ED.
06/04/18	In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.
05/31/18	Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Senate. (Ayes 70. Noes 3. Page 5596.)
05/25/18	Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
05/25/18	From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 13. Noes 1.) (May 25).
05/25/18	Coauthors revised.
04/25/18	In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.
04/12/18	From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 5. Noes 0.) (April 11). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
04/12/18	Coauthors revised.
04/03/18	Re-referred to Com. on ED.
04/02/18	From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on ED. Read second time and amended.
03/21/18	In committee: Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.
03/08/18	Referred to Com. on ED.
02/17/18	From printer. May be heard in committee March 19.
02/16/18	Read first time. To print.

**Support**

Alameda County Office of Education  
Alameda Unified School District  
Albany Unified School District  
Alta Loma School District  
Association of California School Administrators  
AVID  
Big Sur Unified School District  
Brentwood Union School District  
Cabrillo Unified School District  
California Association of School Business  
Officials  
California Association of Suburban School  
Districts California Federation of Teachers  
California Retired Teachers Association  
California School Boards Association  
California School Funding Coalition  
California State PTA  
California Teachers Association  
Central Union School District  
Central Valley Education Coalition  
Chula Vista Elementary School District  
Clovis Unified School District  
Colton Joint Unified School District  
Compton Unified School District  
Cupertino Union School District  
Cyprus School District  
Elk Grove Unified School District

El Segundo Unified School District  
Etiwanda School District  
Fresno Unified School District  
Golden Valley Unified School District  
Hanford Joint Union High School District  
Hawthorne School District  
Kerman Unified School District  
Kern County Superintendent of Schools  
Kingsburg Joint Union School District  
La Canada Unified School District  
Los Angeles Unified School District  
Manteca Unified School District  
Merced Union High School District  
Moreno Valley Unified School District  
Mountain Empire Unified School District  
New Haven Unified School District  
Oakland Unified School District  
Paramount Unified School District  
Pierce Joint Unified School District  
Pixley Union School District  
Placentia Yorba Linda Unified School District  
Placer Union High School District  
Redondo Beach Unified School District  
Riverside County Office of Education  
San Benito High School District  
San Bernardino City Unified School District

**Opposition**

Children Now  
The Education Trust—West

### **Recommended Position**

Support

### **Background**

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify the completion of, an environmental impact report on a project that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment or to adopt a negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. CEQA also requires a lead agency to prepare a mitigated negative declaration for a project that may have a significant effect on the environment if revisions in the project would avoid or mitigate that effect and there is no substantial evidence that the project, as revised, would have a significant effect on the environment. CEQA exempts certain projects from its requirements.

**CEQA:** CEQA provides a process for evaluating the environmental effects of a project, and includes statutory exemptions, as well as categorical exemptions in the CEQA guidelines. If a project is not exempt from CEQA, an initial study is prepared to determine whether a project may have a significant effect on the environment. If the initial study shows that there would not be a significant effect on the environment, the lead agency must prepare a negative declaration. If the initial study shows that the project may have a significant effect on the environment, the lead agency must prepare an EIR.

**Opportunity Zones:** The federal tax bill passed at the end of December 2017 allows the Governor to designate certain census tracts as Opportunity Zones. Investments made by individuals through special funds in these zones would be allowed to defer or eliminate federal taxes on capital gains. The Governor can designate up to 25 percent of census tracts that either have poverty rates of at least 20 percent or median family incomes of no more than 80 percent of statewide or metropolitan area family income. There are 3,516 census tracts in 54 California counties that would qualify under one or both of the mandatory criteria, allowing the Governor to designate up to 879 tracts. As census tracts are designed to capture geographic areas of around 4,000 people, more than 3 million Californians would potentially be located in one of these areas.

### **Summary**

This bill creates a fast path to new economic development and affordable housing. Through the federal Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017, investors can defer or eliminate federal taxes on capital gains when they invest in state identified “Opportunity Zones.” California has identified Opportunity Zones for 879 tracts in California, spread across 57 different counties. The Opportunity Zones with “shovel ready projects will have a jump start when it comes to encouraging investment dollars through this program. Other Opportunity Zones, however, with few or limited development projects in the pipeline, are at a disadvantage when it comes to encouraging investment into the community. AB 3030 addresses this challenge by providing an exemption from CEQA for projects in these Opportunity Zones. Projects that can be completed quickly will produce the best economic results for investors.

The bill would require the project proponent to make certain certifications regarding the project. The bill would require a lead agency, before making a determination that the project is exempt from CEQA, to hold a noticed public hearing on the project, as specified. The bill would require the lead agency, if it determines that a project is exempt from CEQA under the above exemption and determines to approve or carry out the project, to file a specified notice with the Office of Planning and Research. Because a lead agency would have to determine the applicability of the exemption, to take certain specified action before determining that a project is exempt, and to file a notice with the Office of Planning and Research, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

**Status**

Date	Action
06/21/18	From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 5. Noes 1.) (June 20). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
06/14/18	From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to committee. Read second time, amended, and re-referred to Com. on EQ.
06/13/18	Referred to Com. on EQ.
06/04/18	In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.
05/31/18	Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Senate. (Ayes 59. Noes 11. Page 5586.)
05/10/18	Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
05/09/18	From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 12. Noes 3.) (May 9).
04/26/18	Re-referred to Com. on APPR. pursuant to Assembly Rule 96.
04/24/18	From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on L. & E. (Ayes 6. Noes 2.) (April 23). Re-referred to Com. on L. & E.
04/19/18	(pending re-refer to Com. on L. & E.)
04/19/18	Assembly Rule 56 suspended. (Page 4773.)
04/18/18	From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on NAT. RES. Read second time and amended.
04/16/18	In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.
04/02/18	Re-referred to Com. on NAT. RES.

Date	Action
03/22/18	From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on NAT. RES. Read second time and amended.
03/22/18	Referred to Coms. on NAT. RES. and L. & E.
02/17/18	From printer. May be heard in committee March 19.
02/16/18	Read first time. To print.

**SUPPORT:**

California Apartment Association  
California Association of Realtors  
California Business Properties  
Association California Chambers of Commerce City of Salinas

**OPPOSITION:**

Sierra Club

**Recommended Position**

Oppose

**Background**

Existing law requires a business that owns, licenses, or maintains personal information, as defined, about a California resident to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices appropriate to the nature of the information to protect the personal information from unauthorized access, destruction, use, modification, or disclosure, as specified. Existing law requires a person or business conducting business in California that owns or licenses computerized data that includes personal information to disclose a breach in the security of the data to a resident of California whose encrypted or unencrypted personal information was, or is reasonably believed to have been, acquired by an authorized person, as specified. Existing law allows a customer injured by a violation of those provisions to institute a civil action to recover damages and authorizes a court to enjoin a business that violates those provisions.

**Summary**

This bill seeks to accomplish three primary objectives.

1. First this bill revises and recasts provisions of the California Customer Records Act to clarify that a person need not have purchased a good or received a service from an entity that failed to properly maintain the security of their personal data in order to have a cause of action against that entity.
2. Secondly, due to the difficult nature of proving a causal link between one’s personal information being improperly utilized and any single data breach, this bill provides consumers with a remedy, regardless of whether or not the theft of their personal information results in subsequent fraud.
3. Finally, this bill establishes two new causes of action for victims of a data breach designed to induce businesses to properly secure personal information and provide consumers with timely notice that their data is stolen.

SB 1121 would drastically expand the civil liability of companies providing goods and services in California without any corresponding benefit to California consumers. Updates and recasts provisions of the California Customer Records Act to better protect consumers against modern, large-scale data breaches.

1. Replaces the term “customer” in the California Customer Records Act with the term consumer.
2. Defines, for the purpose of the California Customer Records Act, the term “consumer” to mean an individual.
3. Redefines the term “maintain” in the California Customer Records Act to mean maintain, acquire, use, or disclose.
4. Provides that any consumer may institute a civil action against a business for either of the following:

1. a) If the consumer’s nonencrypted and nonredacted personal information, as defined, is breached due to a violation of the duty to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices appropriate to protect information of the nature breached, or
  2. b) If the consumer has not been properly notified of a breach of his or her personal information in violation of existing law.
5. Provides that any consumer filing a civil action pursuant to the bill may seek any of the following:
1. a) Damages in an amount not less than two hundred dollars and not greater than one thousand dollars per incident or the actual damages incurred by the consumer, whichever is greater;
  2. b) Injunctive or declaratory relief; or
  3. c) Any other relief deemed proper by the court.
6. Defines “breach” for the purpose of the bill to mean an unauthorized access, use, modification, or disclosure of personal information.

SB 1121 would drastically expand the civil liability of companies providing goods and services in California without any corresponding benefit to California consumers. SB 1121 would unquestionably result in a barrage of “shakedown” data breach cases in California. The bill would impose a minimum of \$200 in damages per consumer breach – again, without requiring any proof of consumer injury. Thus, awards of damages under SB 1121 would be staggering – enough to put companies out of business. Faced with the risk of such massive damages, even if no consumers were injured, companies would be leveraged into immediate settlement – regardless of the strength of their defense.

**Status**

Date	Action
07/05/18	From committee: Do pass as amended. (Ayes 9. Noes 0.) (July 3).
06/19/18	From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on P. & C.P. (Ayes 6. Noes 3.) (June 19). Re-referred to Com. on P. & C.P.
06/14/18	From committee with author’s amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on JUD.
06/11/18	Re-referred to Coms. on JUD. and P. & C.P. pursuant to Assembly Rule 96.
06/07/18	Referred to Coms. on P. & C.P. and JUD.
05/31/18	In Assembly. Read first time. Held at Desk.
05/30/18	Read third time. Passed. (Ayes 22. Noes 13. Page 4395.) Ordered to the Assembly.
05/29/18	Read second time. Ordered to third reading.
05/25/18	Read second time and amended. Ordered to second reading.
05/25/18	From committee: Do pass as amended. (Ayes 5. Noes 2. Page 4304.) (May 25).
05/18/18	Set for hearing May 25.

<b>Date</b>	<b>Action</b>
05/14/18	May 14 hearing: Placed on APPR. suspense file.
05/04/18	Set for hearing May 14.
04/26/18	Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
04/25/18	From committee: Do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 5. Noes 2. Page 4814.) (April 24).
04/11/18	Set for hearing April 24.
04/10/18	May 1 set for first hearing canceled at the request of author.
04/10/18	Set for hearing May 1.
04/09/18	From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on JUD.
02/22/18	Referred to Coms. on JUD. and APPR.
02/14/18	From printer. May be acted upon on or after March 16.
02/13/18	Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.

**Support**

CALPIRG (co-sponsor)  
 Consumer Attorneys of California (co-sponsor)  
 California Conference Board of the  
 Amalgamated Transit Union California  
 Conference of Machinists  
 California Low-Income Consumers Coalition  
 California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation  
 California Teamsters  
 Consumer Federation of California  
 Consumers for Auto Reliability and Safety  
 Courage Campaign  
 Engineers and Scientists of California, IPTE  
 Local 20, AFL-CIO Housing and Economic Rights  
 Advocates

International Longshore & Warehouse Union  
 Oakland Privacy  
 Privacy Rights Clearinghouse  
 Professional and Technical Engineers, IPTE  
 Local 21, AFL-CIO Public Counsel  
 Public Law Center  
 National Association of Consumer Advocacy  
 NEW Economics for Women  
 The Law Foundation of Silicon Valley  
 TURN – The Utility Reform Network  
 UNITE-HERE, AFL-CIO  
 United Food and Commercial Workers, Western  
 States Council Utility Workers of America

**Opposition:**

American Insurance Association  
 American Pistachio Growers  
 Association of California Life & Health Insurance  
 Companies Association of National Advertisers  
 Bay Area Council

California Association of Collectors  
 California Association of Health Facilities  
 California Association of Health Underwriters  
 California Association of Licensed Investigators  
 California Association of Nurseries and Garden  
 Centers California Bankers Association  
 California Business Properties Association

California Cable & Telecommunications  
Association California Chamber of Commerce  
California Community Banking Network  
California Cotton Ginners and Growers  
Association  
California Dental Association  
California Grocers Association  
California Financial Services Association  
California Fresh Fruit Association  
California Hospital Association  
California Hotel & Lodging Association  
California Manufacturers and Technology  
Association California Medical Association  
California Retailers Association  
Camarillo Chamber of Commerce  
Citizens Against Lawsuit Abuse  
Civil Justice Association of California  
CompTIA  
Consumer Technology Association  
CTIA  
Data & Marketing Association  
East Bay Leadership Council  
Electronic Transactions Association  
Family Winemakers of California  
Far West Equipment Dealers Association  
Greater Coachella Valley Chamber of Commerce  
Greater Irvine Chamber of Commerce  
Independent Insurance Agents & Brokers of  
California Internet Association  
Internet Coalition  
Lodi District Chamber of Commerce  
Medical Imaging & Technology Association  
Motion Picture Association of America  
National Association of Chain Drug Stores  
National Association of Mutual Insurance  
Companies  
Oxnard Chamber of Commerce  
Palm Desert Area Chamber of Commerce  
Personal Insurance Federation of California  
Property Casualty Insurers Association of  
America Rancho Cordova Chamber of  
Commerce

Redondo Beach Chamber of Commerce  
Retail Industry Leaders Association  
San Gabriel Valley Economic Partnership  
Santa Maria Valley Chamber of Commerce  
Securities Industry and Financial Markets  
Association Silicon Valley Leadership Group  
South Bay Association of Chambers of  
Commerce Southwest California Legislative  
Council  
State Privacy and Security Coalition  
TechNet  
Torrance Chamber of Commerce  
Vacaville Chamber of Commerce  
Valley Industry and Commerce Association

**November 6, 2018:**

<b>Type</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Subject</b>	<b>Description</b>
<a href="#">LBM</a>	<a href="#">Proposition 1</a>	<a href="#">Bonds</a>	Issues \$4 billion in bonds for housing programs and veterans' home loans
<a href="#">LRSS</a>	<a href="#">Proposition 2</a>	<a href="#">Bonds</a>	Authorizes state to use revenue from millionaire's tax for \$2 billion in bonds for homelessness prevention housing
<a href="#">CISS</a>	<a href="#">Proposition 3</a>	<a href="#">Bonds</a>	Issues \$8.877 billion in bonds for water-related infrastructure and environmental projects
<a href="#">CISS</a>	<a href="#">Proposition 4</a>	<a href="#">Bonds</a>	Issues \$1.5 billion in bonds for children's hospitals
<a href="#">CICA/SS</a>	<a href="#">Proposition 5</a>	<a href="#">Taxes</a>	Revises process for homebuyers who are age 55 or older or severely disabled to transfer their tax assessments
<a href="#">CICA</a>	<a href="#">Proposition 6</a>	<a href="#">Taxes</a>	Repeals 2017's fuel tax and vehicle fee increases and requires public vote on future increases
<a href="#">LRSS</a>	<a href="#">Proposition 7</a>	<a href="#">Time</a>	Authorizes legislature to provide for permanent daylight saving time if federal government allows
<a href="#">CISS</a>	<a href="#">Proposition 8</a>	<a href="#">Healthcare</a>	Requires dialysis clinics to issue refunds for revenue above a certain amount
<a href="#">CISS</a>	<a href="#">Proposition 10</a>	<a href="#">Housing</a>	Allows local governments to regulate rent
<a href="#">CISS</a>	<a href="#">Proposition 11</a>	<a href="#">Labor</a>	Allow ambulance providers to require workers to remain on-call during breaks paid
<a href="#">CISS</a>	<a href="#">Proposition 12</a>	<a href="#">Animals</a>	Bans sale of meat from animals confined in spaces below specific sizes

**California Proposition 3, the California Water Infrastructure and Watershed Conservation Bond Initiative, is on the ballot in California as an initiated state statute on November 6, 2018.- SUPPORT**

A "yes" vote supports this measure to authorize \$8.877 billion in general obligation bonds for water infrastructure, groundwater supplies and storage, surface water storage and dam repairs, watershed and fisheries improvements, and habitat protection and restoration.

A "no" vote opposes this measure to authorize \$8.877 billion in general obligation bonds for water infrastructure, groundwater supplies and storage, surface water storage and dam repairs, watershed and fisheries improvements, and habitat protection and restoration.

Proposition 3 would issue \$8.877 billion in general obligation bonds for water-related infrastructure and environmental projects. The state fiscal analyst said the bond would generate about \$8.4 billion in interest over a 40-year period, meaning the bond would cost the state a total of \$17.3 billion.

The largest amount of bond revenue—\$2.355 billion—would go toward conservancies and state parks to restore and protect watershed lands and nonprofits and local agencies for river parkways. The measure would also allocate \$640 million to groundwater sustainability agencies to implement their plans and \$500 million for public water system infrastructure improvements to meet safe drinking water standards, including the treatment of contaminants, or ensure affordable drinking water. The ballot initiative would require that \$1.398 billion be spent on projects benefitting what the state defines as disadvantaged communities and an additional \$2.637 billion be prioritized for disadvantaged communities. California defines disadvantaged communities as communities with an annual median household income less than 80 percent of the statewide annual median household income. With a median household income of \$63,783 in 2017, according to the U.S. Census Bureau, 80 percent was \$51,026. The measure would distribute bond revenue as follows:

**California Proposition 6, the Voter Approval for Future Gas and Vehicle Taxes and 2017 Tax Repeal Initiative, is on the ballot in California as an initiated constitutional amendment on November 6, 2018.**

The ballot initiative would repeal the gas and diesel tax increases and vehicle fees that were enacted in 2017 and require voter approval for fuel tax and vehicle fee increases in the future.- **OPPOSE**

A yes vote supports this initiative to:

- repeal fuel tax increases and vehicle fees that were enacted in 2017, including the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017 (RRAA) and
- require voter approval (via ballot propositions) for the California State Legislature to impose, increase, or extend fuel taxes or vehicle fees in the future.

A no vote opposes this initiative, thus:

- keeping the fuel tax increases and vehicle fees that were enacted in 2017, including the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017 (RRAA), in place and
- allowing the state legislature to continue to impose, increase, or extend fuel taxes or vehicle fees through a two-thirds vote of each chamber and without voter approval.

The Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017 (RRAA), also known as Senate Bill 1, was enacted into law on April 28, 2017. The RRAA increased the gas tax by \$0.12 per gallon, increased the diesel fuel tax by \$0.20 per gallon, increased the sales tax on diesel fuels by an additional 4 percentage points, created an annual transportation improvement fee, and created an annual zero-emission vehicles fee. The RRAA was designed to dedicate the revenue to transportation infrastructure. The increased taxes went into effect on November 1, 2017, one fee went into effect in 2018, and the second fee will go into effect in 2020. According to the state Senate Appropriations Committee, the RRAA is expected to generate an estimated \$52.4 billion between 2017 and 2027. In the California State Legislature, the RRAA had the support of most Democrats (two legislators voted "no"). Most Republicans voted against the RRAA (one legislator voted "yes"). Gov. Jerry Brown (D) signed the legislation into law. In June 2018, voters approved Proposition 69, which created a constitutional mandate for the legislature to spend RRAA revenue on transportation-related purposes. The RRAA could have a notable impact on state politics in 2018.

**California Proposition 8, the Limits on Dialysis Clinics' Revenue and Required Refunds Initiative, is on the ballot in California as an initiated state statute on November 6, 2018.-  
OPPOSE**

A "yes" vote supports requiring dialysis clinics to issue refunds to patients or patients' payers for revenue above 115 percent of the costs of direct patient care and healthcare improvements.

A "no" vote opposes requiring dialysis clinics to issue refunds to patients or patients' payers for revenue above 115 percent of the costs of direct patient care and healthcare improvements.

Proposition 8 would require dialysis clinics to issue refunds to patients or patients' payers, such as insurers, for revenue above 115 percent of the costs of (a) direct patient care, such as wages and benefits of non-managerial clinic staff who furnish direct care to patients, pharmaceuticals, medical supplies, and (b) healthcare improvements, such as staff training and patient education and counseling. Revenue earned above the 115 percent cap would need to be refunded to patients or the patients' payers each year. Clinics that do not issue required refunds within 210 days after the end of the fiscal year would be fined an amount equal to 5 percent of their total required refunds, but not to exceed \$100,000. The measure would also prohibit dialysis clinics from discriminating or refusing services based on a patient's payer, including the patient himself or herself, a private insurer, Medi-Cal, Medicaid, or Medicare. The initiative would require chronic dialysis clinics to report to the state government information required to enforce the measure, including the costs associated with operating a chronic dialysis clinic, treatment revenue, and the amount of each payer's refund. The state department of public health would enforce the initiative.

**California Proposition 10, the Local Rent Control Initiative, is on the ballot in California as an initiated state statute on November 6, 2018.- OPPOSE**

A yes vote supports allowing local governments to adopt rent control, repealing the Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act.

A no vote opposes the initiative, thus keeping the Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act and continuing to prohibit local governments from enacting rent control on certain buildings.

Overview

**What is California Proposition 10?**

Proposition 10 is a initiated state statute that would repeal the Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act(Costa-Hawkins), thus allowing local governments to adopt rent control ordinances— regulations that govern how much landlords can charge tenants for renting apartments and houses.

**What is the Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act?**

Costa-Hawkins is a state statute that limits the use of rent control in California. Costa-Hawkins provides that cities cannot enact rent control on (a) housing first occupied after February 1, 1995, and (b) housing units where the title is separate from connected units, such as condominiums and townhouses. Costa-Hawkins also provided that landlords have a right to increase rent prices to market rates when a tenant moves out. Prior to the enactment of Costa-Hawkins, local governments were permitted to enact rent control, provided that landlords would receive just and reasonable returns on their rental properties. The California State Legislature passed Costa-Hawkins in 1995

**SBACC**  
**Balance Sheet**  
As of June 30, 2018

---

	<u>Jun 30, 18</u>
<b>ASSETS</b>	
Current Assets	
Checking/Savings	
1310 Malaga Bank - Main - 4702	32,480.73
Total Checking/Savings	<u>32,480.73</u>
Total Current Assets	<u>32,480.73</u>
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b><u>32,480.73</u></b>
<b>LIABILITIES &amp; EQUITY</b>	
Equity	
3190 Fund Balance	34,746.12
3150 Surplus/(Deficit)	10,884.64
Net Income	<u>-13,150.03</u>
Total Equity	<u>32,480.73</u>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES &amp; EQUITY</b>	<b><u>32,480.73</u></b>

**SBACC**  
**Profit & Loss YTD Comparison**  
 June 2018

	Jun 18	Jan - Jun 18
<b>Ordinary Income/Expense</b>		
<b>Income</b>		
<b>4000 Operating Income</b>		
4100 Memberships - Continuing	0.00	2,200.00
4120 Sponsorship - General	0.00	7,500.00
4150 Installation dinner income	0.00	4,181.00
4160 Interest	2.98	20.85
<b>Total 4000 Operating Income</b>	2.98	13,901.85
<b>4800 Special Events</b>	0.00	100.00
<b>Total Income</b>	2.98	14,001.85
<b>Expense</b>		
<b>5000 Program Expenses</b>		
5180 Rent - Facility Program	0.00	250.00
5220 Food & Beverage		
Installation dinner	0.00	4,040.59
<b>Total 5220 Food &amp; Beverage</b>	0.00	4,040.59
5240 Supplies - Recognition	0.00	252.95
5000 Program Expenses - Other	0.00	194.79
<b>Total 5000 Program Expenses</b>	0.00	4,738.33
<b>5990 Taxes &amp; Insurance</b>		
5830 Insurance - General	1,363.00	1,300.00
5850 Insurance - Directors & Officer	0.00	500.00
<b>Total 5990 Taxes &amp; Insurance</b>	1,363.00	1,800.00
<b>6200 Administrative</b>		
6111 Prof Services - PEAR Strategies	3,650.68	19,276.28
6120 Accounting Service	0.00	380.00
<b>Total 6200 Administrative</b>	3,650.68	19,656.28
<b>Total Expense</b>	5,013.68	26,194.61
<b>Net Ordinary Income</b>	-5,010.70	-12,192.76
<b>Other Income/Expense</b>		
<b>Other Expense</b>		
80000 Ask My Accountant	967.30	957.27
<b>Total Other Expense</b>	967.30	957.27
<b>Net Other Income</b>	-967.30	-957.27
<b>Net Income</b>	<b>-5,978.00</b>	<b>-13,150.03</b>



## Board of Directors Meeting\_MINUTES

Charles Gale, Chairman

June 5, 2018

L. Baglietto, C. Gale, O. Valentine, P. Donaldson, D. Hoffman, C. Bridges, J. Wogan, W. Love, A. O'Brien, S. Kramer, M. Garth, A. Friedman, M. Stevens, B. Huff, E. Swanson, S. Pena, M. Lipps, J. Kiernan, V. Dijhanian, C. Cagle, M. Waroneck, G. Pok, S. Josel, T. Buresh

1. Welcome Charles Gale, Chair
  - a. Flag Salute
2. Self-Introductions and Sign-In All Participants
3. Approval of Minutes John Heffernann, Secretary
  - a. Motion to Approve: P. Donaldson
  - b. 2<sup>nd</sup>: D. Hoffman
  - c. Abstain: L. Baglietto
4. Presentation
5. Government Affairs Report PEAR Strategies
  - a. AB 2379 (Bloom) Waste Management Plastic Microfiber
    - i. Motion to Oppose: O. Valentine
    - ii. E. Swanson
    - iii. Motion Carries
  - b. AB 2779 (Stone) Recycling: Single-use plastic beverage container caps
    - i. Motion to Oppose: D. Hoffman
    - ii. O. Valentine
  - c. AB 3197 (Burke) Property tax exemptions personal property used in space flight
    - i. Motion to Support: L. Baglietto
    - ii. M. Garth
  - d. SB 882 (Wiener) Communications: Broadband internet access services
    - i. Motion to Oppose: O. Valentine
    - ii. T. Buresh
  - e. SB 831 (Wieckowski) Land use: Accessory Dwelling Units
    - i. Watch
  - f. SB 1131 (Hertzberg) Electrical and gas corporations- Energy efficiency
    - i. Motion to Support: T. Buresh
    - ii. P. Donaldson
  - g. SB 1243 (Portantino) Public Postsecondary education: CA Pathways
    - i. Motion to Support: W. Love
    - ii. M. Garth

- h. SB 1335 (Allen) Solid Wast: food service packaging
  - i. Motion to Oppose: W. Love
  - ii. D. Hoffman
- i. Look for next meeting: A. Murtushi School funding, AB 2808
- 6. Office Holders, Administrative Agencies and Community Partners - Please limit your reports to no more than 3 minutes.
  - a. U.S. Chamber of Commerce - Jennings Imel and Vartan Dijhanian- There will be a discussion on immigration. Rep. Denham will be bringing forward a discharge petition.
  - b. League of Cities - Jeff Kiernan 68,69 and 72 are being supported. The state budget is due shortly.
  - c. Los Angeles Air Force Base - Carla L. Rosepryor
  - d. South Bay WIB - Chris Cagle: Asked that the chambers and the WIB work closer together.
  - e. South Coast AQMD- Tina A. Cox- First round of AB 617 just finished.
  - f. South Bay Council of Governments - Hon. Britt Huff- Cash for Kitchen program is moving forward to assess water usage. The WIB is pushing the working virtual.
  - g. Office of Congresswoman Waters - Blanca Jimenez
  - h. Office of Congresswoman Barragan - Morgan Roth
  - i. Office of Congressman Lieu – Aurelia Friedman- Cyberspace coordination act that would create such a department in the White House.
  - j. Office of Senator Allen - Sam Liu
  - k. Office of Senator Bradford - Nital Patel
  - l. Office of Senator Lara - Tonya Martin
  - m. Office of Assemblymember Burke - Robert Pullen-Miles
  - n. Office of Assemblymember Gipson - Chris Wilson
  - o. Office of Assemblymember Muratsuchi - Andrew DeBlock- House of origin deadline just passed. All of the member’s bills passed.
  - p. Office of Assemblymember O’Donnell – Sarah Patterson
  - q. Office of Supervisor Hahn

[Officeholder representatives, non-chamber members, and guests are respectfully asked to leave the meeting at this point]

- 7. Chair’s Report
  - a. Provided an update RE: the Sacramento trip.
- 8. Financial Report

Charles Gale

Janice Webb, Treasurer

- a. Profit and Loss- ATTACHED
  - b. Balance Sheet- ATTACHED
- 9. Adjournment/Announcements All
  - a. Special thanks to The South Bay Council of Governments for hosting us.
  - b. Next SBACC meeting will be on

Bill	Author	Description	Position	Status
AB 319	<a href="#">Stone, Mark</a>	Recycling: single-use plastic beverage container caps. Burdensome Mandate on Beverage Containers. Drives up the cost of beverages and imposes impractical technology requirements by requiring that the cap of a single-use plastic beverage container be tethered or affixed to the container.	Oppose	2/1/2018-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(3). (Last location was THIRD READING on 1/10/2018)
AB 427	<a href="#">Muratsuchi</a>	Encourages Development. Encourages economic development by creating the California Aerospace and Aviation Commission to support the health and competitiveness of California's aerospace manufacturing sector.	Support	6/21/2018-Withdrawn from committee. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
AB 1743 (coalition)	<a href="#">O'Donnell</a>	Career Technical Education. Reauthorizes and provides appropriations for the Career Technical Education Incentive Grant program.	Support	6/29/2018-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(13). (Last location was S. ED. on 6/7/2018)
AB 1745	Ting	This bill would, commencing January 1, 2040, prohibit the department from accepting an application for original registration of a motor vehicle unless the vehicle is a zero emissions vehicle, as defined. The bill would exempt from that prohibition, a commercial vehicle with a gross vehicle weight rating of 10,001 pounds or more, and a vehicle brought into the state from outside of the state for original registration, as specified.	Oppose	4/27/2018-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(5). (Last location was TRANS. on 1/16/2018)
AB 1761	Muratsuchi	The bill would impose an unspecified civil penalty on hotel employers for violations of its provisions and would provide legislative findings in support of its provisions.	Oppose	7/2/2018-Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

AB 1795	Gipson	This bill would authorize a local emergency medical services agency to submit, as part of its emergency services plan, a plan to transport specified patients to a community care facility, as defined, in lieu of transportation to a general acute care hospital. The bill would make conforming changes to the definition of advanced life support to include prehospital emergency care provided before and during, transport to a community care facility, as specified. The bill would also direct the Emergency Medical Services Authority to authorize a local EMS agency to add to its scope of practice for specified emergency personnel those activities necessary for the assessment, treatment, and transport of a patient to a community care facility.	Support	5/25/2018- Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(8). (Last location was A. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/16/2018)
AB 1879	Santiago	This bill would require the board to adopt occupational safety and health standards for state public works projects to prevent and control coccidioidomycosis, more commonly known as Valley Fever. By expanding the definition of an existing crime, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.	Support	6/19/2018-From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 10. Noes 1.) (June 19). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
AB 1884	Calderon	This bill would prohibit a food facility, as specified, where food may be consumed on the premises from providing single-use plastic straws to consumers unless requested by the consumer, as specified.	Oppose	6/27/2018-Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
AB 2069	Bonta	Undermines employers' ability to provide a safe and drug-free workplace by creating a new protected classification of employees who use marijuana for medical purposes, and exposes employers to costly and unnecessary litigation under the Fair Employment and Housing Act (FEHA).	Oppose	5/25/2018-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(8). (Last location was A. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/16/2018)
AB 2094	Kalra	Increased Costs for Hazardous Waste Operators. Imposes unnecessary new costs on hazardous waste permit operators and further delays permit processing by arbitrarily increasing the frequency of inspections for hazardous waste facilities rather than focusing on improving the existing inspection process.	Oppose	6/21/2018-From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 5. Noes 2.) (June 20). Re-referred to Com.

AB 2379	Bloom	Increases Costs On Microfiber Products. Prematurely imposes new costly and misinformed labeling requirements on polyester microfiber products when scientific and academic research on the root cause of emissions from non-apparel textile sources is uncertain. It also places enormous liability on retailers who would be required under this bill to ensure that every piece of	Oppose	6/1/2018-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(11). (Last location was A. INACTIVE FILE on 6/4/2018)
AB 2455	Kalra	This bill would require, for any new registration or renewal of registration of a home care aid occurring on and after January 1, 2019, the department to provide a labor organization an electronic copy of a registered home care aide's name, telephone number, and cellular telephone number, as specified. would require the	Oppose	6/28/2018-Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
AB 2510	Muratsuchi	This bill would reduce to \$100 the annual tax for microbusinesses, as defined, and would extend indefinitely the exemption for a limited liability company that is a small business solely owned by a deployed member of the United States Armed Forces.	Support	4/9/2018-In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.
AB 2762	Carillo	AB 2762 would update state law to include contracting preference for DVBEs and SEs by increasing the percent preference in bidding from 5 percent up to 15 percent for public works contracts. This applies to construction, procurement of goods, or delivery of services.	Support	8/1/2018-Ordered to special consent calendar.
AB 2779	Stone	Burdensome Mandate on Beverage Containers. Drives up the cost of beverages, imposes impractical technology requirements, and reverses a decade-long trend of reducing the amount of plastic in PET bottles by requiring that the cap of any single-use plastic beverage container be tethered to the container.	Oppose	6/1/2018-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(11). (Last location was A. INACTIVE FILE on 6/4/2018)
AB 3001	Bonta	Increased Energy Costs. Increases the cost of energy by changing the definition of cost-effectiveness in order to favor non-fossil forms of energy.	Oppose	4/27/2018-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(5). (Last location was NAT. RES. on 3/12/2018)

AB 3087 - coalition	Kalra	Jeopardizes employers negotiating power and access to care, ignores the drivers of health care costs, and adds another layer of bureaucracy by creating an appointed commission to impose price controls on health care providers and insurers	Oppose	5/25/2018- Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(8). (Last location was A. APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/23/2018)]
AB 3197	Burke	Property tax: exemptions: personal property used in space flight.		5/25/2018-Joint Rule 62(a), file notice suspended. In committee: Held under submission.
AB 3232	Friedman	Increased Energy Cost. Will increase the cost of energy by adding an additional greenhouse gas emissions target on top of already existing energy efficiency targets.	Oppose	6/21/2018-From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 5. Noes 2.) (June 20). Re-referred to Com.
SB 64	<a href="#">Wieckowski</a>	Increased Rates. Creates short list of fossil-fuel generating facilities that are subject to arbitrary shutdown during non-attainment days with only 24 hours' notice. Requires disclosure of startup, shutdown, and cycling data.	Oppose	7/3/2018-Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
SB 300	Monning	Sugar-sweetened beverages: health warnings. Lawsuit Exposure. Increases frivolous liability claims and exposes beverage manufacturers and food retailers to fines and penalties by mandating state-only labeling requirements for sugar sweetened drinks.	Oppose	1/13/2018-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(1). (Last location was HEALTH on 2/23/2017) (history)

SB 623 - Coalition	Monning	Water Tax. Unfairly imposes a discriminatory tax on businesses to fund projects for which they receive no benefit	Support	9/1/2017-From committee: Without recommendation . (Ayes 11. Noes 0.) (September 1) Re-referred to Com. on RLS.
SB 826	Jackson	SB 826 requires publicly held corporations with its principal executive offices in California to: 1. Promote individuals to the board of directors simply on the basis of gender; 2. Displace an existing board member solely on the basis of gender; 3. Place gender as the main criteria of diversity over any protected classifications This opens up companies to lawsuits	Oppose	6/26/2018-From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on JUD. (Ayes 8. Noes 0.) (June 25). Re-referred to Com. on JUD. From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 7. Noes 1.) (June 26). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
SB 831	Wieckowski	Streamlines Permitting For New ADUs. Promotes affordable housing by requiring local agencies to waive permitting fees typically charged for new Accessory Dwelling Units (ADU), also known as granny or in-law units, and streamlines the ADU permitting process by requiring local agencies to decide on any new ADU application within 60 days or else the application is automatically approved.	Support	6/29/2018- Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(13). (Last location was A. L. GOV. on 6/20/2018)
SB 882		Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review		01/24/18 Referred to Com. on B. & F.R.
SB 946	Lara	The bill would prohibit a local authority from adopting rules or regulations, by ordinance or that regulate sidewalk vendors, as defined, unless it first adopts a sidewalk vending licenseing program that requires a sidewalk vendor to obtain a license from the local authority before selling food or merchandise.	Oppose	6/25/2018-Read second time. Ordered to third reading.

SB 993	Hertzberg	Tax on Services. Imposes a 3% tax on services purchased by businesses in California, with some exceptions, adding another layer of taxes onto California companies, raising costs, and putting them at a competitive disadvantage.	Oppose	5/16/2018-May 16 hearing: Heard for testimony only.
SB 1008 - Coalition	Skinner	would increase dental premiums by mandating a ratio on how much can be spent on administration and patient care ignoring that the low cost of dental premiums make such a ratio impossible to meet without raising the cost of dental coverage.	Oppose	7/3/2018-Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
SB 1131	Hertzberg	Electrical and gas corporations: energy efficiency: financing options: industrial and agricultural processes: custom projects.		7/3/2018-Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
SB 1243	Portantino	Career Training Education. Establishes the California State Pathways in Technology (CA P-TECH) program, to encourage and assist selected schools in a public-private partnership, to prepare students for high-skilled, high demand jobs in technology, manufacturing, health care and finance.	Support	6/29/2018- Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(13). (Last location was A. HIGHER ED. on 6/7/2018)
SB 1284 - coalition	Jackson	Unfairly requires California employers to submit pay data to the Department of Industrial Relations creating a false impression of wage discrimination or unequal pay where none exists and, therefore, subjecting employers to unfair public criticism, enforcement measures, and significant litigation costs to defend against meritless claims.	Oppose	6/26/2018-From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 7. Noes 3.) (June 26). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
SB 1300	Jackson	Significantly increases litigation by removing standing requirement for plaintiff alleging failure to prevent harassment or discrimination when no harassment even occurs, limits the use of severance agreements, and prohibits the use of a general release or nondisparagement clause in employer/employee contracts.	Oppose	6/27/2018-Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

SB 1335	Allen	New Recycling/Composting Requirements. Forces food service facilities operating in California state agencies or facilities to stop using disposable food service ware by 2021 unless 75% or more of the packaging can be recycled or composted. Since the mandated recycle/compost rate is not achievable within the time frame allotted, the bill serves as a “de-facto” ban on single-use cups, take-out containers, plates, trays and bowls in all state facilities.	Oppose	7/2/2018-Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.
SCAQMD Rule 1410		Rule 1410 could eliminate 25% of the region's fuel supply, forcing us to import our fuel from offshore sources as a result of regional supply shortages and price spikes.	Oppose	Going through the refinery working group
Pier B On Dock Rail Facility		The existing Pier B rail facility serves as a storage and staging area for trains and is a critical juncture in the Port's rail networks. The facility is primarily used by Pacific Harbor Line which provides dispatching services. PHL has been recognized as America's Greenest railroad. The project will allow trains up to 10,000 feet long to be loaded and unloaded at on-dock rail facilities at marine terminals to streamline rail operations, remove bottlenecks and reduce the need for local truck trips.	Support	This project has been given the green light - the environmental study appeal was denied
Prop 69		Proposition 69 requires the collection of DNA samples from all felons, and from adults and juveniles arrested for or charged with specified crimes. The DNA samples must be submitted to the state's DNA database.	Support	On ballot