



TEACHER INNOVATION GRANT SCORING RUBRIC

Name _____

School/District _____

Grant # _____
Total Score _____
Recommend: Yes / No

Score	CA Content Standards (3)	Quality of Proposal (3)	Proposal Design (3)	Mechanics of Application (3)
3	Clearly States specific standards that will be covered by implementation of proposal	Proposal specifically states the need and how it will enhance or extend student learning and teaching	Proposal is innovative in design	Summary and all necessary components are included
2	Specific standards are vague or unclear	Proposal generally states the need and how it will enhance or extend student learning and teaching	Proposal is somewhat original and innovative	Summary is not detailed enough, but includes all necessary components
1	Standards are not listed	Proposal does not state the need or how it will enhance or extend student learning or teaching	Proposal lacks creativity or innovation	Summary is not detailed and/or does not include all necessary components
Score	Implementation (3)	Proposal Design (3)	Proposal Design – Usage (3)	Mechanics of Application (3)
3	Detailed explanation of how content standards will be covered in the implementation of the proposal	Timeline for implementation and evaluation is clear and complete	Proposal lends itself to multiple year use	Complete budget with itemized costs and where to purchase items
2	Limited explanation of how content standards will be covered in the implementation of the proposal	Some mention of evaluation and/or timeline for implementation	Proposal lends itself to single year use	Incomplete budget, itemized costs are unclear, but includes where to purchase items
1	Vague or unclear mention of content standards in the implementation of the proposal	No mention of evaluation and/or timeline for implementation	Proposal limits itself in amount of use	Budget very general, missing itemized costs and/or where to purchase items

Comments: