UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

BRETT PERCLE	CIVIL ACTION		
VERSUS			
THE CITY OF BATON ROUGE, ET AL.	NO. 14-449-SDD-RLB		
VERDICT FORM			
Federal claims:			
 Has the Plaintiff, Brett Percle, proven by that on June 11, 2014, Defendant Office against Percle in violation of the Fourth Constitution? 	cer Moruzzi used excessive force		
YES NO			
 Has the Plaintiff, Brett Percle, proven by that on June 11, 2014, Defendant Detection violated his Fourth Amendment right searches? 	tive Acree's strip search of Percle		
YES NO			
State law claims:			
 Has the Plaintiff, Brett Percle, proven by that on June 11, 2014, Defendant Officer battery against Percle, as defined by Lou 	Moruzzi committed an assault and		
YES NO			

DM 31201 1

that or		ndant O	by a preponderance of the evidence fficer Moruzzi's intentionally inflicted ined by Louisiana law?
	YES	NO	
that o		ndant D	by a preponderance of the evidence etective Acree intentionally inflicted ined by Louisiana law?
	YES	NO	
If you answe	ered YES to <u>any</u> question ered No to <u>every</u> question ast page and give it to th	above,	please sign and date this verdict
DAMAGES			
that th			by a preponderance of the evidence oruzzi and/or Detective Acree caused
	YES	NO	
	ges that will fairly comper		the dollar amount of compensatory aintiff, Brett Percle, for the damage(s)
	\$ 25,000.00		

If you answered YES to question 2 above, please proceed to question 7a. If you answered NO question 2 above please proceed directly to the instructions for question 8.

DM 31201 2

7a. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that a custom or policy of the City of Baton Rouge was a moving force behind Defendant Detective Acree's strip search of Mr. Percle?		
YES NO		
If you answered YES to question 7a, please proceed to question 7b. If you answered NO to question 7a please proceed directly to the instructions for question 8.		
7b. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the City of Baton Rouge knew or should have known and was deliberately indifferent to the custom or policy?		
YES NO		
Question 8 Instructions:		
If you answered YES to question 1, please proceed to question 8. If you answered NO to question 1 please proceed directly to the instructions for question 9.		
8. Has the Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Officer Moruzzi acted with malice or with reckless indifference to the Fourth Amendment rights of Brett Percle such that he should be punished with punitive damages?		
YES NO		
If you answered YES, please state the dollar amount of punitive damages that should be imposed on Defendant Officer Moruzzi:		
\$		
Question 9 Instructions:		
If you answered YES to question 2, please proceed to question 9. If you answered NO to question 2 please sign and date this verdict form on the last page and give it to the Court Security Officer.		

3 DM 31201

9. Has the Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Detective Acree acted with malice or with reckless indifference to the Fourth Amendment rights of Brett Percle such that he should be punished with punitive damages?
YES NO
If you answered YES, please state the dollar amount of punitive damages that should be imposed on Defendant Detective Acree:
\$
Please have the jury foreperson sign and date the verdict form below and give it to the Court Security Officer.
SO SAY WE ALL.
Jury Foreperson Date