Consequences of environmental destruction:

- Projects need to be reviewed and the public notified.
- Environmental impact: the newly constructed pattern of waste incineration.
- Landfill, Curtis Bay also has the nation's largest medical waste incinerator.
- South Baltimore already has a large waste incinerator and a medical waste incinerator.

6. Despite the large scale of this project:
- Properties in the immediate vicinity are already affected:
- Baltimoreans and Curtis Bay residents, and plant workers.

7. Let over-aware bumper guard, and through the toxic smoke:
- NO x and Dioxins and the air and through the toxic ash:
- Incurer will also release dangerous amounts of lead.
- Mercury in Maryland: released 240 lbs per year. The incinerator will be the largest single source of:

5. Despite these laws and policies:
- Baltimorean High School and Curtis Bay Elementary:
- The incinerator will be built less than a mile away from:
- Plants, where they are most needed:
- Public schools, green and solar parks.
- Places need to be cleaned up.

4. The incinerator will be the largest in the nation and will:
- Burn 4,000 tons of waste per day including these types:
- Disease and air pollution:
- Stir the issues of the toxic air emissions in MD's 2.
- Curtis Bay is in the even more dangerous, lower respiratory.
- The highest rate of the toxic air emissions in MD's 7.
- The highest level of pollution and environmental problems:
- The community has already overburdened with pollution and increased.
- Pollution in Curtis Bay are already overwhelmed with all the.

3. Curtis Bay in US.
- Better than the pollution.
- We have determined that the incinerator proposal is not consistent with the principle of equity, Baltimore and Curtis.
- Curtis Bay is clearly overburdened with toxic air pollution.

Consider the following:
- Are there any other proposals for the incinerator proposal is not consistent with the principle of equity, Baltimore and Curtis.
- Better than the pollution.

1. The project shared history:

What are positive alternatives?

- Respect the law and the community.
- Baltimoreans and Curtis Bay have already overburdened with pollution.
- Less to be overburdened by toxic pollution.
- The pattern of the toxic air emissions.
- Clean up the area now.
- Create a new equitable pattern.

We have determined that the incinerator proposal is not consistent with the principle of equity, Baltimore and Curtis.
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WHY ARE POSITIVE ALTERNATIVES NEEDED?

1. Value community members as the
   decision makers.
   1. Over the past 2 years we have taken to thousands of
   people in Chris Brown and Downtown the city. Not only did
   the vast majority lack information about the initiative but
   we note that the lack of participation is closely connected to
   the lack of transparency.
   2. The proposal put most of the initiative in the community.
   3. We learned about the issue after none decisions had already
   been made and werehursted that such a high project could
   be decided without even knowing whom it
   4. Our community?
   5. Community this is happening
   6. Public utilities across Maryland are in a contract to
   address the lack of public participation
   7. The proposals to build the initiative did not originate from
   members. Access to community 
   and build understanding of the proposed initiative within
   current or national. After the engagement, we believe that
   decisions have been made.
   2. Hold community awareness events that
   share their ideas, projects and future vision for how we deal with waste and
   water this across the state being fundal.

PREVIOUS POTENTIAL SCENARIOS. The means that information
is economically. This decision was made without minimal on
puhlace energy from the information and direction support
companies this is alarming

4. Connected to the interruption
   in the process that resulted in public en-
   vironment across Maryland are in a contract to
   address the lack of public participation
   through the state being fundal.

2. Access to public participation
   through their own proposals after a
   some of these proposals greater than a
   decision wasTREE.
   3. We feel that the community voice was ignored in
   Democratic Governor Association at this decision was
   made. Do we feel that the community voice was ignored in
   3. We know that EPPA answers donated $100,000 to the
   $1.90 to keep burning waste.
   4. In 2011, information was moved into tier 1 of Maryland's
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ALTERNATIVES</th>
<th>WHAT ARE POSITIVE</th>
<th>WE HAVE DETERMINED THAT THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT QUESTIONS ABOUT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HAS IT BEEN ACHIEVED?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PRINCIPLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HUMAN RIGHTS REPORT CARD OF THE ENERGY ANSWERS INCORPORATION PROPOSAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The reliability of accountability measures down the line.
- The information available to the community is lacking.
- Construction activity is taking place. We have not received any
  answers to our questions about the site and no
  continuous monitoring of the site has
  been conducted.
- The equipment is
  subject to a yearly inspection of the pollution control
  emissions monitored. The existing equipment in Baltimore
  has been inspected and its adequacy is
  questionable. It is important to develop public health
  responses if these are necessary and public health

We know that the existing equipment in Baltimore has
violated their mercury emissions limits and yet it still
continues to operate.

4.

3.

2.

1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HUMAN RIGHTS PRINCIPLE</th>
<th>HAS IT BEEN ACHIEVED?</th>
<th>WHAT ARE POSITIVE ALTERNATIVES?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Universality</td>
<td>We have determined that the incinerator will not benefit all of us and that there are positive alternatives for us to pursue.</td>
<td>1. Pursue development projects that benefit everyone. Respect all the principles of fair development. Stop the incinerator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will the project benefit all of us?</td>
<td>1. We are concerned that counting waste as a renewable energy source will take our society away from moving towards an equitable and sustainable future that works for all of us. How can we consider waste that is made up of finite resources and takes energy to produce a renewable resource?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. We know that incinerators have a negative impact on climate change and that as we face this global challenge, incinerators are not the right path forward.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. We are concerned that building the nations' largest incinerator here in Baltimore will take us away from pursuing composting, recycling and waste reduction.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The city of Baltimore in particular is characterized by the highest overall mortality rate from all combustion sources: about 130 early deaths.

W crisis.

HUMAN RIGHTS REPORT CARD OF THE ENERGY ANSWERS INCORPORATED PROPOSAL