



WE Party Conference

Kettering 7 – 9 September 2018

Title: Calling on the Government to give women equal access to sterilisation as a permanent form of contraception

Proposed by: WE Hove and Portslade Branch

Proposer: Abi Pattenden

Type of motion: Policy Motion

Motion text:

1 The Women's Equality Party calls on the Government and the Department of Health to treat women's
2 choices in terms of permanent contraception through sterilisation on the same terms as they do men's
3 choices. Health professionals who have concerns around 'sterilisation regret', particularly in younger
4 women or those without children, should be educated to understand that research on 'regret' among
5 sterilised women is insufficient, and in some cases shows a higher incidence amongst men who have
6 had vasectomies.

7 The attitude of the UK's healthcare system towards female sterilisation is based on outdated ideas of
8 women's 'biological imperative' and the idea that, as they age, they will inevitably want children. This
9 contradicts research showing that the number of women having children is reducing. Women who
10 wish to remain childless are forced to take hormone-affecting medication, use intrusive barrier
11 methods, abstain, or run the risk of unwanted pregnancy with the difficult decisions this entails. In
12 contrast, men are able to obtain sterilisation younger and with less considerations around their
13 number of offspring. This is partially because vasectomies are cheaper, are seen as 'easier'
14 procedures and are more easily reversible; however, this is because healthcare, still a male-
15 dominated profession, has had a vested interest in men's health. Women who seek sterilisation have
16 to 'prove' their need by going through unsuitable treatments first and are denied agency by being
17 forced to discuss their choice with their male partner. Men face no such requirement.

18 WE will highlight to Government the need for effective research into sterilisation regret and cheaper,
19 simplified, easily reversible, permanent solutions for women. While this research is being undertaken,
20 we will call on the Government to alter guidance on sterilisation criteria, to ensure both genders are
21 given parity and decisions are made on capacity rather than expectations based upon a person's
22 gender.

Motion rationale:

23 The treatment of women requesting sterilisation (tubal occlusion), compared to men (vasectomy) is
24 an example of systematic unequal treatment. Worldwide, female sterilisation is the most popular
25 method of contraception, having failure rates of less than 5/1,000 procedures¹. The number of women
26 in the UK who are not having children is increasing² and this to a greater extent than other comparable

¹<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26343930>

²<https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/conceptionandfertilityrates/bulletin/s/childbearingforwomenbornindifferentyearsenglandandwales/2016#women-have-fewer-children-than-previous-generations-and-more-of-them-remain-childless>



27 countries. The reasons for this are complex but include increasing numbers of the 'child-free by
28 choice'³. Yet sterilisation rates in women in the UK are falling⁴.

29 Although costs of unexpected pregnancy - including terminations - will be £298.6 million between
30 2013 and 2020⁵, female sterilisations are Low Priority Procedures (LPPs) and (in Brighton and Hove)
31 will only be considered where a woman has spent at least one year using Long Active Reversible
32 Contraception AND found it unsuitable because of clinical contraindication, OR where there are
33 severe side effects AND where vasectomy has been discussed. Guidance for approval for sterilisation
34 suggests vasectomies are lower risk and more successful⁶ although research suggests that
35 sterilisation is safe⁷ and both procedures can be viewed as over 95% effective⁸. However, cost is a
36 factor: vasectomies cost four times less.⁹ Women's health is being placed at detriment (the
37 requirement to spend at least a year 'proving' your need) to save money. Men do not need similar
38 levels of proof. Women are denied agency over their own reproductive destinies as they have to
39 discuss this with their partner; this places women at risk of domestic abuse at particular disadvantage.

40 Ideas on women's biological imperative also play a part. Published guidance focuses on risk, yet
41 women's testimony reveals they are routinely rejected on grounds of age and childlessness, while
42 their partners qualify for vasectomies despite being in the same circumstances. This 'you're bound to
43 change your mind later' justification for refusal is unacceptable. Health procedures should be
44 predicated on the prospective patient's capacity now, not intangible futures. Estimates of regret
45 following female sterilisations vary (showing more research is needed), but rates as low as 0.9% have
46 been recorded. Research into vasectomies has found a reversion rate of 2% within 10 years¹⁰.
47 Potentially, vasectomies are the 'regrettable' procedure. Vasectomies are more easily reversible. A
48 privileging of male medicine by the male-dominated health community - comparable to differing
49 outcomes of heart attacks, due to a lack of understanding of women's symptoms¹¹ - may be at work
50 here.

51 In 2016, WE committed to working for equality in healthcare and medical research. This area is an
52 example of where women are being treated detrimentally, due to misperceptions over procedural
53 efficacy; lower costs for equivalent men's healthcare; a lack of research into procedural simplification;
54 ability to produce successful female reversals; and understanding of regret. WE should campaign for
55 increased research into these areas and while we are, communicate to health professionals that
56 prevailing 'wisdom' is inaccurate. Women should have equality to control their ability to reproduce.

References:

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26343930>

<https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/conceptionandfertilityrates/bulletins/childbearingforwomenbornindifferentyearsenglandandwales/2016#women-have-fewer-children-than-previous-generations-and-more-of-them-remain-childless>

<http://www.pewsocialtrends.org/2010/06/25/childlessness-up-among-all-women-down-among-women-with-advanced-degrees/>

³<http://www.pewsocialtrends.org/2010/06/25/childlessness-up-among-all-women-down-among-women-with-advanced-degrees/>

⁴<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14511963>

⁵<https://www.fpa.org.uk/news/unprotected-nation-cuts-sexual-health-services-cost-uk-%C2%A3136-billion>

⁶<https://www.gp.brightonandhoveccg.nhs.uk/low-priority-procedures-lpps-information-clinicians>

⁷<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26343930>

⁸<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1646249/?page=3>

⁹<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2920844>

¹⁰<https://www.fsrh.org/documents/cec-ceu-guidance-sterilisation-cpd-sep-2014/>

¹¹<https://www.bhf.org.uk/heart-health/conditions/heart-attack/women-and-heart-attacks>



<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14511963>

<https://www.fpa.org.uk/news/unprotected-nation-cuts-sexual-health-services-cost-uk-%C2%A3136-billion>

<https://www.gp.brightonandhoveccg.nhs.uk/low-priority-procedures-lpps-information-clinicians>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26343930>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1646249/?page=3>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2920844>

<https://www.fsrh.org/documents/cec-ceu-guidance-sterilisation-cpd-sep-2014/>

<https://www.bhf.org.uk/heart-health/conditions/heart-attack/women-and-heart-attacks>