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**Number of Resources within Property**
(Do not include previously listed resources in the count)
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
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<td></td>
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</tr>
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<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sites</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>structures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>objects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0 0 Total

Number of contributing resources previously listed in the National Register **1**
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6. **Function or Use**

**Historic Functions**
(Enter categories from instructions.)

RECREATION AND CULTURE: monument/marker
RECREATION AND CULTURE/work of art

---

**Current Functions**
(Enter categories from instructions.)

RECREATION AND CULTURE: monument/marker
RECREATION AND CULTURE/work of art

---
7. Description

Architectural Classification
(Enter categories from instructions.)
MODERN MOVEMENT: Art Deco

Materials: (enter categories from instructions.)
Principal exterior materials of the property: Reinforced Concrete

Narrative Description
(Describe the historic and current physical appearance and condition of the property. Describe contributing and noncontributing resources if applicable. Begin with a summary paragraph that briefly describes the general characteristics of the property, such as its location, type, style, method of construction, setting, size, and significant features. Indicate whether the property has historic integrity.)

Summary Paragraph
Coit Memorial Tower, more popularly referred to as Coit Tower, is located at 1 Telegraph Hill Boulevard, in San Francisco. Designed in the Art Deco style by noted architect Arthur Brown, Jr. as a commemorative monument and observation tower, it was built during the Great Depression, largely financed by a bequest to the City and County of San Francisco from Lillie Hitchcock Coit. At a height of 182 feet, the unpainted, reinforced concrete tower is a three-part cylindrical composition (shaft, belvedere, and lantern) that rises from a squared, cubic base. The basic form, the fluted shaft, rises to two upper elements that both function as observation decks. Coit Tower is located atop Telegraph Hill, within the boundaries of public Pioneer Park. The tower’s immediate setting is defined by mature trees and greenery, staircases, terraces and walkways, and views in all directions. Coit Tower’s interior walls at the first and second floors, and in the winding stairway that connects both floors, are covered with twenty-seven murals that comprise a large-scale, fresco, New Deal art project titled Aspects of Life in California, 1934. Coit Tower retains a high level of integrity on both the exterior and interior.

Narrative Description
Site Description
Within the boundaries of the public 4.89-acre Pioneer Park, Coit Tower is located atop Telegraph Hill, historically an important and strategic vantage point. Pedestrians access Pioneer Park from
the residential neighborhoods east and west of the site via staircases on Filbert and Greenwich Streets; the staircases are of concrete, brick, or rough-hewn stone. Vehicles access the site via Telegraph Hill Boulevard, a narrow, two-lane paved road that winds around the hill and terminates at the summit in the circular parking lot in front of Coit Tower. The parking area is bounded by a sidewalk, a low wall measuring 18” in height, and bands of concrete, terraced (bleacher) seating on the east that separates the public area from the planted downward slopes. At the center of the parking lot is a large, raised planting bed encircled by a curb and railing above; at the center is a statue of Christopher Columbus, erected in 1957.

A monumental staircase to Coit Tower ascends from the south side of the parking lot to a landscaped plaza that leads to the tower’s entrance. Mounted to the base of the staircase, facing north is a bronze plaque, spanning 16’ 8”, which provides historical information and acknowledges donors; it was installed circa 1995. Walkways from the landscaped plaza extend around the tower’s east and west sides and converge at the rear (south). On the south side of the tower, exits lead to an Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) accessible terrace, the South Lawn, and the south-facing viewing points beyond, where a generally quieter, garden-like feeling prevails.

Minor site features not counted as resources within the nominated property boundary (the City parcel lot lines) include a utility outbuilding on the hill’s west slope, and a foot-operated water fountain on the monumental staircase landing. Both features date to the original 1933 construction. The drinking fountain was produced and installed by the Murdock Manufacturing and Supply Co. of Cincinnati, Ohio, in 1933.1

According to the general description of the building in the 1932 project specifications by architect Arthur Brown, Jr., Coit Tower was built as designed. Coit Tower retains its original appearance as described in the project specifications. Minor modifications have been made to meet accessibility requirements.

Coit Tower, Description of Exterior and Overall Organization

At a height of 182 feet, the unpainted, reinforced concrete tower is a three-part cylindrical composition (shaft, belvedere, and lantern) that rises from a squared, cubic base. The basic form, the fluted shaft, rises to two upper elements that both function as observation decks: an arcaded composition (the Belvedere Level), which is topped by an open-air circular crown (the Lantern Level). The interior of the tower is formed by two concentric cylinders housing a series of curved stairways and an elevator shaft at the center. The elevator accesses the second floor and the Belvedere Level.

A motif of arched openings is at play at the tower’s upper levels. Distinguishing the Belvedere Level are eight, high arched openings and a pre-cast concrete balustrade, which fronts the narrow observation walkway behind. Stairs that ascend from the elevator landing on the Belvedere Level access the Lantern Level. From the Lantern Level it is another 32 feet to the top of the tower.

1 In 2015, the Murdock Company repaired, restored, and re-installed the drinking fountain. At that time, the City realigned the water and drain line connections.
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The 32-foot high cubic base is comprised of a segmental colonnade on three sides (east, south, and west), each side containing four ornamental columns separated by floor to ceiling glazing in the form of steel framed windows and doors. The north side is the location of the entrance portico. The wide staircase from the parking lot leads to a north-facing, recessed principal entrance within the portico, which is flanked by a pair of fluted columns. The south side is the location of a rear entrance where three pair of steel-framed doors open onto a raised deck.

Around the base of the tower are a series of exterior steps, walkways, terraces and broad landings. Their design is well integrated with the landscaped planting beds, and provides a transition to the mature trees and vegetation surrounding the base.

Materials
The tower is built with reinforced concrete throughout, including foundations, walls, floors, stairways, and terraces. The exterior concrete surfaces are finished with a dash coat of white cement. Interior finishes are wood and plaster with a concrete stairway. During construction, the outer reinforced concrete surfaces of Coit Tower were shaped with plywood forms, a new technique of the time that facilitated the creation of the curving flutes of the tower. According to the original specifications, the concrete was strengthened by adding diatomaceous earth.2

Flat roof decks at the second floor, Belvedere Level, and Lantern Level were finished with 6”x 9” quarry roofing tiles. Fenestration includes steel windows at the first floor gallery and Lantern Level; narrow, casement type, slit windows illuminating the corners of the main floor, upper stair landings, and storage rooms; and, round pivot windows at the elevator machine room and the stairway to the Lantern Level. Steel railings are located along the entrance steps, at the south terrace, and elsewhere at the tower base.

Decorative Exterior Elements
Decorative elements at the exterior of Coit Tower include an original 1933 bronze commemorative plaque by sculptor Haig Patigian mounted to the north wall east of the main entrance. Over the entry is a cast, high relief tablet by sculptor Robert Boardman Howard, brother of architect Henry Temple Howard, which depicts a phoenix, the mythical Greek bird rising from the ashes, a symbol of San Francisco since the 1850s.3 Flanking the phoenix are two bundled fasces, traditional symbols of republican government, dating to Roman times.

Bronze signage (circa 1995) that spans the base of the monumental stair at the parking lot level is a non-historic element.

---

3 Four members of the Howard family were involved at Coit Tower, including Robert and Henry as noted. Jane Berlandina, wife of Henry Howard, painted Home Life. John Langley Howard contributed California Industrial Scenes. In 1988, eight members of the Howard family were the subject of a major exhibit at the Oakland Museum of California, titled The Howards: First Family of Bay Area Modernism. The show documented the family’s profound and lasting effect on the development of the San Francisco Bay Area art community.
Coit Tower Interior
The tower’s primary public spaces are located at the first floor interior, where wide hallways or corridors, forming a squared “O” in plan, serve as circulation spaces and galleries that wrap the tower shaft. The hallways, or galleries, on the east, south, and west are almost fully glazed and capture natural light. Other spaces on the first floor include an elevator lobby, gift shop, and mechanical room; a pair of compact restrooms flanks the main entry lobby. Curving stairs lead from the elevator lobby to the second floor, location of another gallery, the former Keeper’s Quarters, and access to the roof deck over the base of the tower. Used as an office, the former Keeper’s Quarters was a three-room apartment that is partially built over the main entrance at the north. The curving stairs and storage spaces continue to ascend, encircling the elevator shaft, with landings at ten additional floor and mezzanine levels before terminating at the Lantern Level. Original steel-framed and Kalamein doors remain in use. The steel-framed doors were originally coated in aluminum paint, and exhibit many layers of paint. The motif of arched openings recurs, and can be seen in recessed niches, wall planes, openings, and windows.

Decorative Interior Elements
Apart from the murals, Coit Tower’s other decorative interior features include original interior ceiling-mounted light fixtures and sconces throughout and a double elevator door patterned with ornamental, geometric fretwork. At the east side of the north wall is a bronze plaque placed by Daughters of the American Revolution (DAR) commemorating the inner signal station on Telegraph Hill (1849) and the first western telegraph station (1853).

Description of Murals
Coit Tower’s interior walls at the first and second floors, and in the winding stairway that connects both floors, are covered with twenty-seven murals. The murals were produced by a group of twenty-five master artists (four women and twenty-one men), seventeen assistant artists (thirteen men, four women), seven laborers (three men, four women), and two plasterers (both men), all enlisted by the Public Works of Art Project, Region 15, to depict variations on the theme of “the contemporary American Scene in all its various aspects.”4 In all, the artwork covers 3,691 square feet of interior wall space.

Unifying Elements, Fresco Technique, Palette, Mexican Influence
Visually, the individual mural designs and their execution were unified by the medium of fresco, a consistent earth-toned palette, figurative content, and the overall influence of the Mexican mural movement of the 1920s. Twenty-three of the twenty-seven murals are frescoes carried out in the fresco buono technique in which the images are painted directly onto fresh plaster. The resulting artwork is integrated with the walls and is more durable.5

---

5 Murals not carried out in the fresco technique are those in the elevator lobby, which are oil on canvas, and one mural on the second floor executed in egg tempera on plaster.
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Most Coit Tower artists painted in the style of the Mexican muralists Diego Rivera, David Alfaro Siqueiros, and José Clemente Orozco, utilizing the same intense saturated colors, an earthen color palette, rounded forms, two-dimensional overlappings, and standardized scale.

Themes and Content

Titled *Aspects of Life in California, 1934*, the murals are organized around four major themes: agriculture, industry, city life, and recreation and leisure in California, especially the Bay Area, in 1934. The scenes depict social, political, and economic concerns of the 1930s as well as San Francisco bay landscapes. The murals are grouped by theme, and experienced by the viewer sequentially, with rural illustrations on the east side of the ground floor, industrial depictions on the west, city life on the south and along the staircase to the second floor, and recreation and home life on the second floor.

The ground floor was designated for California agriculture, industry, and the “city life resulting from both.”6 The four largest locations are on the interior walls, and were assigned to experienced fresco muralists. Eight smaller murals were done on the exterior walls, and tall figures were painted on either side of the three windows. From the tower’s main entrance, agricultural scenes are on the left, including dairy farming, cattle raising, and meatpacking. Maxine Albro’s large mural featuring viticulture, fruit and vegetable raising, and flower cultivation faces the east window. A department store lunch counter and wine shop on the east wall of the southeast corner, and the Grain Exchange on the south wall of the southeast corner provide a transition from agriculture to the urban consumption of agricultural produce. The murals on the south wall depict banking, the stock market, the law, science, and a public library. Victor Arnatoff’s large mural of city life faces the south window. Newspaper publishing, on the west wall next to the public library, provides the transition to California industries, including oil refining, metal working, and food processing in Ralph Stackpole’s large mural facing the west window, along with smaller murals depicting railroad and maritime transportation and an oil field, a hydroelectric dam, and mining.

The content of many of the scenes was also informed by contemporaneous events, local, national and international. The vast majority of art at Coit Tower shows “everyday life without incident.”7 For example, the murals illustrate people toiling or at a lunch counter, a fashionable downtown crowd, collegians at sport, and campers. The murals capture San Francisco and the Bay Area at a specific moment. San Francisco’s hotels, cable cars, restaurants, newspaper headlines, and billboards convey a keen sense of events in early 1934.

The muralists, many of whom lived in the North Beach area and were affiliated with the California School of Fine Arts (later the San Francisco Art Institute) on nearby Russian Hill, drew inspiration and ideas from places within walking distance of Coit Tower. For example, the salami factories of North Beach, the offices and printing presses of the *San Francisco Chronicle* newspaper building at 5th and Mission Streets, and financial district scenes provided artists with subjects for sketching. Other muralists roamed the city, sketchpad in hand.

---

6 Zakheim, *Coit Tower*, 22.
7 Ibid., 38.
Controversial Content
Consistent with the prevalent mood of the era, several artists incorporated into their murals more overt political messages and provocative images of labor. Although the Coit Tower murals became associated with political controversy, this was somewhat overstated, even at the time. One local art critic called it “the tempest in the Coit Memorial teapot.” The legacy of the Coit Tower murals’ political controversy reappeared throughout later New Deal art programs nationwide as administrators closely supervised federal art projects to avoid such controversies.

Description of Individual Murals
The architectural symmetry of the ground floor interior and the variety of wall planes was advantageous for dividing surfaces for individual murals. Thematically unified and interrelated, the content of the murals is presented sequentially and logically as the viewer moves around the gallery, viewing rural scenes on the east side of the ground floor, urban life on the south, and industrial depictions on the west.

The murals are described in counterclockwise sequence, following the visitors’ path of travel, beginning at the north (main) entrance, moving from right to left, north to west to south to east, around the ground floor of the tower.

North Wall (mural facing north)
*Animal Force & Machine Force* by Raymond Sceptre Boynton (1883-1951)
Technique: *fresco buono*

Upon entry, this capacious mural (10’ x 36’) is important because it introduces visitors to the artwork. As such, this fresco was designed to carry out several important functions. It depicts two major themes throughout, the rural and the industrial scenes of California in 1934. It also subtly orients visitors to the physical division of subject matter with rural illustrations on the east side of the ground floor and industrial depictions on the west. This north-facing mural also serves as the thematic counterpart to Arnautoff’s south-facing *City Life* located on the opposite side of the tower. A boy painted into an existing alcove holds a book with text that introduces the visitor to “Coit Tower 1933” and the “Public Works of Art Project 1934.” Some scholars have suggested that the somewhat drooping, over-scaled eyes painted over the arched lobby entrance at the center are those of artist Diego Rivera, implying a larger-than-life figure symbolically overseeing the project.

---

9 Information on mural content and interpretation is largely synthesized from Masha Zakheim, *Coit Tower, San Francisco: Its History and Art* pages 41-112.
10 Zakheim, *Coit Tower*, 42.
North Wall (mural facing south)

*California Industrial Scenes* by John Langley Howard (1902-1999)
Technique: *fresco buono*

Located at the northwest corner, the dimensions of this mural are 10’ by 24’. The mural depicts industry of the State such as construction, oil-well drilling, mining, hydroelectric power, and orchard enterprises. In addition to industrial scenes, the mural conveys powerful social statements and juxtaposes contrasting figures, the affluent alongside a poor, migrant family. Included in the mural is one of two African Americans in the Coit Tower project, a worker toward the front of a phalanx of laborers. This mural was one of two Coit Tower murals that was altered by city officials before Coit Tower was opened to the public. As painted by the artist, this mural included an image of the *Western Worker*, a Communist Party newspaper, tucked under the arm of a man participating in a demonstration on May Day, a traditional international labor holiday. Without the artist’s knowledge or consent, the words “Western Worker” across the top of the newspaper were painted over before the tower was opened to the public.\(^\text{11}\)

West Wall (mural facing east)

*Railroad and Shipping* by William Jürgen Hesthal (1908-1985)
Technique: *fresco buono*

Located at the northwest corner, the dimensions of this mural are 10’ by 10’. The artist depicts the sluggish transportation industry in the 1930s symbolized by a ship in port and an idle train, both going nowhere, and attended to by several workers. Some of the workers are clearing the tracks of weeds and a flagman seems to be warning of the imminent approach of the train, perhaps an invocation of the coming economic revival under the New Deal. The Third Street Bridge at China Basin was completed in 1933 and appears in the background.

West Wall (mural facing east)

*Surveyor and Ironworker* by Clifford Seymour Wight (1891-1961)
Technique: *fresco buono*

Located on the west wall, flanking the window wall and colonnade, the two figures presented on these 10’ x 4’ panels offset the *Cowboy and Farmer* on the east wall. Here a surveyor stands near a tripod mounted with a level looking in the direction of an ironworker weighed down by heavy equipment and other tools. The areas immediately above these frescoes originally contained other small murals by Wight, including a hammer and sickle, that were deemed by the government to be controversial and were no longer visible when Coit Tower officially opened to the public in October 1934.

West Wall (mural facing west)

*Industries of California* by Ralph Ward Stackpole (1885-1973)
Technique: *fresco buono*

Measuring 10’ x 36’, this mural’s assemblage of vignettes of industrial activities is the thematic counterpart to Maxine Albro’s expansive mural depicting a range of agricultural activities. Stackpole’s mural depicts male and female laborers as chemical workers, steel workers, and

---

sugar and food processing employees, all major components of California’s industrial economy at the time. This mural is one of three (see also Powell Street by Lucien Labaudt and California Industrial Scenes by John Langley Howard) that includes representations of individuals of color. Stackpole painted canning plant workers who appear to be Asian American or of Asian descent.

In this mural Stackpole created what Masha Zakheim describes as “large modeled shapes in the industrial pipes, barrels, storage tanks, and machinery characterized by the convoluted plastic forms reminiscent of Diego Rivera’s in Detroit”—the Detroit Industry frescoes, which portray the automotive business. Other scholars have noted similarities to Rivera’s Detroit project, which is not surprising since the two men were close. Stackpole was largely responsible for bringing Diego Rivera to San Francisco in 1930 and hosted Rivera at his studio on Telegraph Hill while Rivera stayed in the city.

Assistant artist Helen Clement Mills appears in Stackpole’s mural, as does artist William Hesthal. The logo of the National Recovery Administration, a blue eagle, appears here as well as in the murals by Maxine Albro and Suzanne Scheuer.

**West Wall (mural facing east)**

*Newsgathering* by Suzanne Scheuer (1898-1984)

**Technique:** fresco buono

The theme of this 10’ by 10’ mural has to do with all aspects of newspaper production. To understand the process, the artist sketched at the offices and printing plant of the San Francisco Chronicle on Mission Street. Starting in the lower right corner of her mural and moving counterclockwise, the artist illustrates the complexity of the newspaper production process in 1934—gathering information, writing stories, typesetting pages, printing the papers, and selling them on the street. She painted the window alcove in the middle of her mural with the four-color process used to produce a comic strip. The mural includes a headline about the completion of the Coit Tower murals dated April 1934—before the longshoremen’s strike and disturbances related to Coit Tower delayed its inauguration. Assistant artist Hebe Daum collaborated on this mural.13

**South Wall (mural facing north)**

*Library* by Bernard Baruch Zakheim (1896-1985)

**Technique:** fresco buono

On a wall opposite the law library fresco, and measuring 10’ by 10’, this mural speaks to the concepts of freedom of expression based on a scene in a public library reading room. The scene is crowded with well-known figures, book spines, and bold newspaper headlines about such timely topics as the destruction of Rivera's fresco at Rockefeller Center, a commission for sculptor Benjamin Bufano, mortgage foreclosures, and other issues of the day. The muralist included likenesses of artist Fred Olmsted and William Gerstle, a local arts patron and civic leader. The mural abounds with likenesses of Zakheim’s colleagues, friends, and family, specifically the artist’s young daughter, Ruth, and his assistants for this mural, Julia Rogers and Shirley Staschen. A figure, artist John Langley Howard, removes a copy of Karl Marx's *Das Kapital.*

---

12 Zakheim, *Coit Tower,* 54.
13 Hebe Daum later married photographer Peter Stackpole, son of Ralph Stackpole.
Kapital from the library shelves. Col. Harold Mack of the PWAP supervisory committee stands in front, carefully monitoring the entire scene. Overall, the library scene pays homage to literature, education, and political awareness.

South Wall (mural facing north)
Stockbroker and Scientist-Inventor by Harold Mallette Dean (1907-1975)
Technique: fresco buono
Two tall 10’ by 4’ panels are located on the south wall on either side of the southern window wall and exits. Similar in scale, form, proportion, and subject matter, the artist depicts a pair of looming, icon-like single figures, a stockbroker and a scientist-inventor. Both figures are modeled on actual individuals. The stockbroker resembles local financier A. P. Giannini, founder of the Bank of America. The scientist-inventor resembles Nobel prizewinning physicist Albert Michelson. The artist demonstrated his own inventiveness by painting a small-scale observatory behind Michelson’s right leg, transforming an existing light switch plate into the observatory door.

South Wall (mural facing south)
City Life by Victor Mikhail Arnautoff (1896-1979)
Technique: fresco buono
One of the livelier Coit Tower frescoes, this panel measures 10’ by 36’ and depicts the dynamic street life of San Francisco, showing many significant landmarks and making numerous cultural and architectural references including City Hall, the main branch of the San Francisco public library, and the Pacific Coast Stock Exchange fronted by the statues done by Ralph Stackpole. This busy urban scene is formed by a series of vignettes of downtown San Francisco locations surrounding a well-stocked newspaper stand where many figures are gathered, including the artist (a self-portrait) and his son, painted as a newsboy. A signpost marks the corner of Montgomery and Washington Streets, the northern end of the Financial District and location of the famed “Monkey Block,” a building that was the center of San Francisco’s art scene and had housed artists’ and writers’ studios for over 100 years. It was demolished in 1959. An image of the former city produce market on the mural’s east side connects thematically to the fresco depicting agriculture just around the corner. Similarly, a group of industrial workers on the mural’s west side connects to Industries of California around the corner to the left.

South Wall (mural facing north)
Banking and Law by George Albert Harris (1913-1991)
Technique: fresco buono
Measuring 10’ by 10’, the mural illustrates the themes of finance and law, depicting the District 12 Federal Reserve Bank, the Grain Exchange, a stock ticker with a graph of plummeting stock prices, and a law library. The books that line the shelves of the law library have curious titles, some serious, some satirizing the muralist’s fellow artists and hinting at inside jokes with names of Coit Tower artists (his included) as authors of various books. The muralist included likenesses of artist Fred Olmsted and arts patron William Gerstle.
East Wall (mural facing west)
*Department Store* by Frede Jensen Vidar (1911-1967)
Technique: *fresco buono*
This mural at the southeast corner marks the transition from agriculture (the panels to its left, on the north side) to the *City Life* section, as the theme of food production shifts to food consumption and consumerism. This panel measures 10’ by 10’ and illustrates the interior of a typical 1930s department store with a soda fountain, fabric counter, toyshop, and music and clothing departments. The scene is peopled with customers and sales clerks. Other symbols that reflect the politics and events of the time are a Star of David on the cap of the lunch counter waitress, and mentions of Hitler, the Civil Works Administration, and the destruction of the Rivera mural in the newspaper. At the lunch counter, a menu describes typical 1934 dishes and prices. The artist takes advantage of a recessed window alcove to illustrate the shelves of a wine shop, perhaps a comment on the end of Prohibition, which occurred just a few weeks before the artists began their work.

East Wall (mural facing west)
*Farmer and Cowboy* by Clifford Seymour Wight (1891-1961)
Technique: *fresco buono*
On two panels, each measuring 10’ by 4’, the artist depicts two solitary figures, a farmer and a cowboy, on either side of a large expanse of east-facing window wall. Both are dominant figures of California rural life. The figures correlate to *Stockbroker and Scientist-Inventor* on the south wall and *Surveyor and Ironworker* on the east wall, also by Wight. The cowboy figure is thought to be a self-portrait of Wight.

East Wall (mural facing east)
*California Agriculture* by Maxine Albro (1903-1966)
Technique: *fresco buono*
This expansive fresco, measuring 10’ by 42’, depicts a well-balanced and bucolic scene of farm workers, mostly dressed in white, happily harvesting crops among almond orchards, apricot trees, and fields of cultivated flowers. The logo of the National Recovery Administration (NRA) on the boxes of oranges is the only indication that this scene exists in the middle of the Great Depression. Fellow artists portrayed by Albro are Ralph Stackpole, the artist’s future husband Parker Hall, and assistant artist Helen Clement Mills. The incorporation of calla lilies, a symbol associated with Diego Rivera, is likely a gesture acknowledging Albro’s appreciation of the Mexican muralist and perhaps a subtle reference to the destruction of Rivera’s Rockefeller Center mural, an event that took place in February 1934 as the Coit Tower artists were in the early stages of their work.

East Wall (mural facing west)
*Meat Industry* by Raymond Clarence Bertrand (1909-1986)
Technique: *fresco buono*
The focus of this 10’ x 10’ fresco is the butchering of hogs and the curing of salami and sausages, processes that occurred nearby in the 1930s in North Beach’s well-known Italian salami factories. A large, butchered hog dominates the composition as a worker uses a flame to
sing the skin. The artist takes advantage of a recessed window alcove to create a symmetrical smokehouse hung with sausages.

North Wall (mural facing south)
*California Agricultural Industry* by Gordon Langdon (1910-1963)
Technique: *fresco buono*

The artist used his family ranch at Olema, California, and the surrounding Point Reyes landscapes as the inspiration for this mural. Measuring 10’ x 27’, the mural depicts the timber and dairy industries incorporating images of a lumber mill, forests, a dairy barn, and cows. As Masha Zakheim points out, “In the distance one sees a dairy truck, more forest, then sky, as if to suggest the infinite riches of agricultural California.” As in other Coit Tower murals, artist Langdon included likenesses of his fellow artists, in this case, Lucien Labaudt, John Langley Howard, Fred Olmsted, assistant artist Tom Hayes, Ralph Stackpole, and himself.

North Wall (mural facing south)
*Power* by Frederick Erskine Olmsted, Jr. (1911-1990)
Technique: *fresco buono*

Located within a three-foot panel above the main entrance, the mural depicts a powerful clenched fist (a left-handed fist) bursting through flames to symbolize power. The mural serves as a transition between the two murals on either side, *California Industrial Scenes* (to the west) and *California Agricultural Industry* (to the east).

The following artwork is located in the circular elevator lobby on the ground floor. All were painted offsite, on canvas and installed as finished works, as opposed to the fresco technique used for other murals within Coit Tower. All illustrate scenes of the bay landscape.

*San Francisco Bay* by Otis Oldfield (1890-1969)
Technique: oil on canvas

This painting is an oil on canvas, set within a recessed niche measuring 9’ x 54”. Oldfield uses a device, treating the canvas as a window from which the viewer’s vantage point would give way to this actual bay view. The view from the top of Telegraph Hill depicts boat traffic, docked ships at the waterfront, the Easy Bay hills in the distance—with the faint campanile marking the campus at the University of California, Berkeley, and the northern tip of Yerba Buena Island in the middle of the bay. This view predates construction of the Golden Gate and Bay Bridges and the artificial Treasure Island (1936-1937) at the northern end of Yerba Buena Island.

This bay vista would have been quite familiar to Oldfield as his home and studio were also located on the eastern slope of Telegraph Hill below Coit Tower and shared the same view. The building at the lower right hand corner of Oldfield’s painting is likely his home and studio at 1405 Montgomery Street. The two small girls standing next the house are Oldfield’s daughters, Jayne and Rhoda.

---

14 Zakheim, *Coit Tower*, 83.
Coit Memorial Tower (Additional Documentation)  
San Francisco, California

Name of Property  
San Francisco Bay, North by Jose Moya del Pino (1891-1969)
Technique: oil on canvas
Designed in tandem with Oldfield’s San Francisco Bay, this canvas forms the other half of a diptych, with a matching concept and perspective, arrangement of elements, composition, color, and dimension, 9’ x 54”.

Bay Area Hills by Rinaldo Cuneo (1877-1939)
Technique: oil on canvas
This pair of arched canvases depicts the rich farmlands of the Santa Clara Valley and the East Bay hills. The canvases show blossoming trees, vineyards, and in each canvas, a solitary figure in the foreground. The paintings are set within recessed niches and each measure 9’ x 54”.

Seabirds and Bay Area Map by Otis Oldfield (1890-1969)
Technique: oil on canvas
These three paintings, all oil on canvas, in the shape of lunettes, fill the arched, semi-circular spaces above door openings. Two depict scenes of bay birds—seagulls and pelicans—in flight. The third is a stylized bay map, designed around a central compass that is the elevator floor indicator.

Powell Street by Lucien Adolphe Labaudt (1880-1943)
Technique: fresco buono
Depicting a Powell Street streetscape crowded with people, buildings, and typical activities, this view rises steeply toward the top of Nob Hill as the visitor ascends to the second floor. Labaudt specifically requested the curving walls on both sides of the staircase to carry out his concept. Representing a snapshot of life in fashionable San Francisco, the fresco is full of rich detail and recognizable San Francisco landmarks, such as architect Timothy Pflueger’s 450 Sutter Street, the artist’s own studio, the Bank of America branch at Powell and Market, cable cars, and many popular restaurants and hotels. The mural is singular for the depiction of so many figures, with almost every face representing an actual individual, a colleague or well-known personality, including many of the Coit Tower artists. Portraits of Eleanor Roosevelt and Edward Bruce convey Labaudt’s way of thanking these influential supporters of the PWAP. The mural also includes an Asian figure and one of two African Americans in the entire Coit Tower project. The figures represent fellow Coit Tower muralist, Takeo Edward Terada, and a hotel bellhop carrying suitcases. Assistant artists Cletus Fisher and Merlin Hardy collaborated on this mural.

Section 7 page 15
The second floor murals depict recreation, sports, children at play, hunting, outdoor life, and home life. Because of their sensitive location, these murals are available for viewing only as part of specially supervised mural tours and by special appointment. The three rooms on the second floor, originally used as the Keeper’s Quarters (later offices), were left undecorated.

*Children at Play* by Ralph Alexander Chessé (1900-1991)
Technique: *fresco buono*
This mural measures 9’ x 6’ and depicts a children’s playground set in a park with rolling hills, elongated trees, a pond, and a sandbox, all connected by a winding gravel path. The figures of children are scattered throughout the scene, focused on various toys or undertaking activities, sailing boats, sliding, jumping rope, digging in sand. The faces of the children are notable for their adult features and expressions.

*Collegiate Sports* by Parker Lee Hall (1898-1982)
Technique: *fresco buono*
Filling a corner, this fresco measures 9’ by 13’ and depicts numerous vignettes of teams and individuals partaking in a variety of campus sports including badminton, tennis, swimming, baseball, and basketball. Over a stairway arch, a football game is in progress between longtime rivals Stanford University and the University of California, Berkeley, a local tradition known as the Big Game.

*Sports* by Takeo Edward Terada (1908-1993)
Technique: *fresco buono*
Like the adjacent mural depicting collegiate sports, this mural of similar dimensions, 9’ by 10’, fills two walls of a corner and depicts scenes of Olympic athletes, golfers, and polo players on horseback. A full round sun, above a door opening, separates these two sports-themed murals.

*Outdoor Life* by Benjamin Frazier Cunningham (1904-1975)
Technique: *fresco buono*
Depicting a scene of outdoor recreation with various individuals and placid animals in a wooded landscape of stylized trees, other flora, and fauna, this fresco measures 9’ by 22’. The symmetrical arrangement, carefully composed, is formed by a series of vignettes surrounding the central element, a creekside picnic table amid a grove, formally set for a seated group of friends. Secondary figures undertaking activities span the lower half of the mural. These include a woman with a book napping against a tree trunk, a photographer, a boy wading in a creek, a woman bending over a camping stove, a man with a flute. Cunningham used the existing door opening to form a base for the picnic table. Overall, the mural is said to have aesthetic qualities of a tapestry—reflecting Cunningham’s training as a weaver.

*Hunting in California* by Edith Anne Hamlin (1902-1992)
Technique: *fresco buono*
Illustrating the theme of hunting as outdoor recreation, this fresco measures 9’ by 12’. The mural shows a tracking dog at the ready, a duck hunter with his prize, wild geese flying free over a
calm water inlet, and graceful deer in a landscape of golden hills. This artwork was arranged on both sides of the elevator door opening.

*Home Life* by Jane Berlandina (1898-1970)

**Technique:** *fresco secco, egg tempura*

Berlandina’s piece stands in contrast to all other murals that define the Coit Tower project. The mural was not done in fresco, rather it is executed in egg tempera, a technique in which pigments are mixed with egg yolk as a binder and applied to a specially prepared dry wall. Deviating in technique, palette, design, and feeling, this mural covers four walls of a second floor room apart from the other murals. While it is consistent with the theme of Californians at play, it doesn’t connect or flow the way the other work does. Depicting scenes of domesticity and leisure, each wall is painted as a different room in the home of an affluent family. Strikingly different in tone from the solid and serious frescoes and oils in the rest of Coit Tower, this solitary room has a charmingly light spirit and may have been conceptualized as something of a fantasy that was remote from the reality of most Californians in 1933-1934.

**Chronology of Building Alterations and Mural Conservation Efforts**

Conservation of the Coit Tower murals appears to have occurred following cyclical patterns of recurring problems and damage generally caused by water infiltration at the ceilings and window openings, etched graffiti, and, general wear and impact from visitors and building users resulting in areas of design loss. In general, the building has endured some periods of insufficient maintenance. Mural restoration and conservation efforts have been documented in 1960, 1975, 1988-1992, and 2012-2014.

**1950s**

Traces of early retouchings seem to indicate that minimal work may have been undertaken to address minor damage. Records of this work are scant. 15

**1960s**

A 1960s campaign by well-regarded artists, including several of the original artists, was undertaken to restore the murals and repair damage. The work was primarily undertaken by artist Dorothy Puccinelli Cravath and plasterer John Wong; restorer Henry Rusk supervised repairs. 16 While the effort was acceptable at the time, the methodology was to cover visual disturbances rather than addressing the root causes of deterioration. With regard to the mural restoration of 1960, artist Maxine Albro stated:

> Dorothy Puccinelli did the repair work and I think it is the most wonderful piece of repairing that I have ever seen in any form of artwork... Here these things were frescoes

---

and the color of a fresco mellows and changes from year to year a little bit, you know. But her colors… were exactly the same...\(^{17}\)

In 1963, artist Suzanne Scheuer restored and repaired scratches to her own mural and “took the liberty to change the name [of the newspaper she painted] back to Chronicle.”\(^{18}\) Shortly after refurbishing the murals, Scheuer said:

Oh, a few scratches, yes, very slight. But Dorothy demanded such a meticulous job that it did take some time to do.\(^{19}\)

In the years following the 1960s restoration, water seepage, graffiti, and visitor traffic continued to be problematic.\(^{20}\)

1975

In 1975, artist, muralist, and former assistant to Diego Rivera, Emmy Lou Packard was retained by the City of San Francisco Department of Public Works to clean and repair the murals. Packard’s scope of work included all first and second floor murals. The methodology for her work included extensive research and consultation with numerous institutions and individuals including Mexican muralist Pablo O’Higgins (1904-1983) who also served as assistant to Diego Rivera.\(^{21}\)

1988-1992

In 1988, fine art conservator Anne Rosenthal led an assessment of damage to the frescoes. As the first phase of a two-phase effort, the most pressing concerns were remediated, such as removing efflorescence, strengthening plaster in eroded areas, and securing flaking paint. The San Francisco Arts Commission supervised the effort. The second phase, a comprehensive project to conserve, repair, and fully document the first and second floor frescoes, was completed in 1992. This effort generated photographs, reports, and line drawings, which established a baseline of conditions by which to measure future condition changes. The conservation work consisted of removing superfluous old retouchings, filling plaster losses, and inpainting by adding color to areas of loss while retaining all original surfaces.

Prior to the 1992 mural restoration work, a series of building repairs was completed that addressed major structural deficiencies including concrete cracking and spalling, decay of roofing membranes, repair of rusting rebar embedded in the concrete, and electrical system upgrades. The original exterior dash coat was removed by sandblasting and a new dash coat was applied. At the Belvedere Level, the original cast stone balustrade was removed and a new

\(^{17}\) Oral history interview with Maxine Albro and Parker Hall, 27 July 1964, Archives of American Art, Smithsonian Institution.

\(^{18}\) Oral history interview with Suzanne Scheuer, 29 July 1964, Archives of American Art, Smithsonian Institution.

\(^{19}\) Ibid.

\(^{20}\) Rosenthal, 19.

pre-cast balustrade was installed. Composite roofing was installed at the Belvedere and Lantern Levels.22

Despite the efforts, some of the leakage problems returned within a few years, as evidenced by recurring efflorescence and staining on the frescoes near the ceiling of the first floor, especially in the southern part of the east wall.

Also at that time, a barrier system was needed to protect the frescoes from visitor impact. The City commissioned a professionally designed barrier on the first floor. Replacing the former rope and stanchion system, the barrier is comprised of brass rails. Brass plates were installed at several of the doorways protecting fragile corners of the frescoed walls. Plexiglass panels protect vulnerable areas adjacent to the main door. 23

2012-2014
The City’s Recreation and Parks Department initiated a comprehensive $1.7 million rehabilitation of Coit Tower following voter approval of Proposition B, a Coit Tower preservation policy, in June 2012. This building rehabilitation and art restoration project necessitated the closing of Coit Tower for six months in late 2013. Completed in May 2014, the overall scope of work included re-roofing with a major focus on waterproofing the building—a problem that dated to the original construction and posed a problem even while the artists were working in 1934. Besides the waterproofing and the roof repairs, other work included an upgrade to the tower's aging plumbing and electrical systems and accessibility improvements, in addition to exterior repairs, door and window rehabilitation, re-painted interior finishes and tile repair, restroom upgrades, and mechanical and electrical improvements. The retail gift shop and commercial space was rehabilitated for a new concession operator, with improvements to exit signage and ADA signage. Exterior lighting was improved.

Mural restoration accounted for $250,000 of the overall project amount. Fine art conservator Anne Rosenthal returned to complete a condition assessment of the murals for the San Francisco Art Commission at the start of the project. A team of art restoration experts did touchup and repair work on the murals. Other teams restored the stucco that frames the murals, and replastered and repainted the interior ceiling. Layers of paint on other painted surfaces (not murals) were removed to expose the first, original paint layer, actually revealing the original coating.

All work met the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation and was approved by the City of San Francisco Planning Department and Historic Preservation Commission. All art conservation work followed museum standards of practice, as outlined by the American Institute of Conservation of Historic and Artistic Works (AIC.)
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**Integrity**
Coit Tower was constructed in 1933. There have been no major alterations to the building since it was constructed. No changes have been made to the form of the tower. All original physical features of the tower are intact. Various campaigns of maintenance and repair have occurred over time. In general, this category of work has been carried out with attention to building code compliance, upgrades to building systems, and replacement of deteriorated materials such as roofing. Apart from repair and maintenance, changes over time have largely been limited to the setting, including the parking area, site features, landscaping, and modifications to address improved accessibility for disabled visitors. Since the original construction, no structural changes have been made to alter the ground floor interior circulation or circulation pattern, which is integral to reading and understanding the themes of the murals.

Despite several periods of insufficient maintenance since 1934, the Coit Tower murals retain a high degree of integrity in all seven aspects: location, setting, design, workmanship, materials, association, and feeling. Mural restoration and conservation efforts in 1960, 1975, 1989, and 2012-2014 have well served the artwork. Periodic mural restorations have been carried out by several of the original artists, by Rivera’s former assistant, Emmy Lou Packard, and by certified fine art conservators. The frescoed plaster wall surfaces have never suffered any significant structural destruction. Recurring problems of water infiltration, graffiti, and visitor impacts were successfully addressed in 2014. The murals remain in remarkably good condition.

The Coit Tower building also retains a high degree of integrity in all seven aspects. Though Coit Tower has endured some periods of deferred maintenance over time, it retains a high level of integrity on both the exterior and interior. The murals remain publicly accessible and much appreciated, a permanent testament to the lasting power of an investment made by a nation in its artists and their art. The murals at Coit Tower draw the attention of hundreds of thousands of visitors annually because they showcase the talents of American artists collaborating together to depict the reality of American life during the Great Depression.
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8. Statement of Significance

Applicable National Register Criteria  
(Mark "x" in one or more boxes for the criteria qualifying the property for National Register listing.)

☐ A. Property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history.

☐ B. Property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past.

☒ C. Property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction.

☐ D. Property has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

Criteria Considerations  
(Mark “x” in all the boxes that apply.)

☐ A. Owned by a religious institution or used for religious purposes

☐ B. Removed from its original location

☐ C. A birthplace or grave

☐ D. A cemetery

☐ E. A reconstructed building, object, or structure

☒ F. A commemorative property

☐ G. Less than 50 years old or achieving significance within the past 50 years
Coit Memorial Tower (Additional Documentation)
Name of Property: Coit Memorial Tower
County and State: San Francisco, California

Areas of Significance
(Enter categories from instructions.)
ART

Period of Significance
1933-1934

Significant Dates
1933
1934

Significant Person
(Complete only if Criterion B is marked above.)
N/A

Cultural Affiliation
N/A

Architect/Builder
Brown Jr., Arthur
Coit Memorial Tower (Additional Documentation)

Statement of Significance Summary Paragraph (Provide a summary paragraph that includes level of significance, applicable criteria, justification for the period of significance, and any applicable criteria considerations.)

This nomination amends the 2008 National Register nomination in order to document Coit Memorial Tower at the national level of significance. Coit Memorial Tower is eligible for the National Register under Criterion C in the area of Art. Coit Tower, as it is more popularly known—a commemorative monument and observation tower constructed in 1933—rises to the national level of significance because it is intrinsically associated with the extraordinary permanent exhibition of federally funded art created through the Public Works of Art Project (PWAP). The Coit Tower mural project was the single largest PWAP venture in the country and the most ambitious test of whether federally funded arts programs could work. Its success served as a model for an array of New Deal arts programs that followed. The Coit Tower murals possess exceptional value in interpreting the themes of the Great Depression and New Deal idealism and in showcasing the work of twenty-five of the region’s finest artists, including four women. This extensive fresco undertaking, titled *Aspects of Life in California, 1934*, is an unusual example of a large group of muralists working in unison. The murals’ content and expression were directly influenced by Diego Rivera. They comprised the most extensive use of fresco technique up to that time, which until then had been rare. Because Coit Tower is the location of this exceptional New Deal financed art project, the property is eligible at the national level of significance. The period of significance, 1933 to 1934, encompasses construction of the tower, completion of the frescoed murals, and public inauguration of the building. Originally designed and intended as a commemorative civic monument, Coit Tower was named in honor of a historical figure, Lillie Hitchcock Coit, whose wealth financed its construction. As a property exceptionally significant apart from the value of the person memorialized by the monument, Coit Memorial Tower satisfies Criteria Consideration F: Commemorative Properties.

Narrative Statement of Significance (Provide at least one paragraph for each area of significance.)

Site History
Historically, the summit of Telegraph Hill has been an important vantage point and was the site of a nautical semaphore or marine telegraph in 1850. After destruction of the telegraph, civic leaders purchased the four, vacant 50-vara lots in 1876 for the purpose of maintaining open space and establishing Pioneer Park.\(^{24}\) In 1923, John McLaren, San Francisco’s first Superintendent of Parks, approved a major improvement plan for Pioneer Park and Telegraph Hill. The plan designed by architect G. Albert Lansburgh provided Telegraph Hill with a paved roadway that circled the hill and ascended to the summit terminating in a circular parking lot, a walkway, and balustrade at the parking lot perimeter, stepped terraces for viewing, and an esplanade, the open leveled space at the summit that later became the site of Coit Tower.

Coit Tower’s setting was further improved in the mid-1930s by a project of the Works Progress Administration (WPA), which landscaped the site and resulted in the construction of rubble walls, concrete retaining walls, red rock coping, concrete sidewalks and paths, and the planting of shrubs. In 1939, Assistant City Engineer Clyde E. Healy stated that Telegraph Hill, is now one of the most attractive observation points in San Francisco with its beautiful Coit Tower, landscaped walks and slopes held in place by huge rocks set in place as if by the hand of Nature. The rock steps, walls, paths and landscaped embankments make a safe retreat and a picture of rare beauty. As an example of artificial landscaping this has excited the admiration of eminent landscape architects.

Design and Construction of the Tower

Completed in October 1933, Coit Memorial Tower was made possible by the generosity of Lillie Hitchcock Coit, who died in 1929. Coit bequeathed a third of her estate, nearly $100,000, to the City of San Francisco to be used for city beautification. Contrary to many published accounts, Coit Tower was not intended to be a memorial to the City’s firefighters, although some have chosen to celebrate it as such over the years.

To carry out Coit’s intent, an advisory committee was formed and led by financier Herbert Fleishhacker. Fleishhacker was also politically well connected and a member of both the Park Commission and the newly created Art Commission. It was decided that a monument would be constructed on Telegraph Hill.

Coit Tower was designed by the noted San Francisco architectural firm Arthur Brown Jr. and Associates. Brown was responsible for the design concept, rendered by Henry Temple Howard. Much thought was given to the tower concept, its form and silhouette. According to the architects, it was important that the tower have the same profile when viewed from all directions.

25 Clyde E. Healy, San Francisco Improved: Report of Clyde E. Healy, Assistant City Engineer, City of San Francisco and Coordinator of W.P.A. Projects, October 10, 1935 to August 31, 1939 (San Francisco: [n.p.], 1939), 53. It is worth noting here that the City of San Francisco General Plan Urban Design Element, Policy 2.7, defines “Outstanding and Unique Areas” as being those that retain “unique characteristics for which the City is famous in the world at large.” These are “areas so astounding they ought to be specially recognized in urban design planning and protected … it is the combination and eloquent interplay of buildings, landscaping, topography and other attributes that makes them outstanding.” The Urban Design Element includes Telegraph Hill as one of only five “outstanding and unique areas” found in the City of San Francisco, describing “a hilltop park with the highly visible green of trees from which Coit Tower rises above all else.”

26 Lillie Coit’s bequest also stipulated that a statutory monument be erected to the City’s original volunteer fire department, active 1849-1866. Though originally intended to be located at Coit Tower, the statue by sculptor Haig Patigian was erected in Washington Square Park in 1933 and remains in its original location.

27 National Register of Historic Places, Coit Memorial Tower, San Francisco, California, National Register #07001468, 2008, Section 7-1. The nomination’s statement that the tower was constructed “as a memorial to the volunteer firemen who died in the five major fires in San Francisco’s history” is inaccurate.

A polygonal form approaching the round, at least above the base, was therefore considered advisable. The arched loggia motif at the top was designed to provide protected observation points and at the same time a fine terminal for the shaft. At the base the entrance was logically placed at the north because the road reached the top of the hill at that side.29

Much thought was also given to the tower’s function and architectural expression.

It is intended to be dignified without austerity: monumental without utilitarian function… A massive base was provided to raise the shaft above the trees and carry the eye upward. This high base is in reality an enclosed terrace protected from the wind, but affording interesting vistas out over the city and bay. [Regarding treatment of the shaft] it was found that shallow flutes gave a sturdier effect and one which [sic] contrasted better with the system of arches at the top. The effect attained by the play of light and shade in these arches combined with the glimpses of the sky through the openings in the top ring is perhaps the most original element of the whole composition.30

The original concept for Coit Tower included several elements that were eliminated during the design phase due to cost. These included a restaurant, an enlarged terrace (or courtyard) at the south, a grander, vaulted entry, and a portico. Coit Memorial Tower was dedicated in October 1933, and opened to the public upon completion of the murals one year later.

**Tower Construction**

Builders Young & Horstmeyer oversaw the tower construction, which cost a total of $125,000, including landscaping and architectural design fees. Construction required 175 tons of reinforcing steel, 5,000 barrels of cement, 350,000 feet of lumber, and 3,200 cubic yards of concrete. Four water tanks were incorporated into the tower’s interior.31

**New Deal, Federal Emergency Relief and the Public Works of Art Project (PWAP)**

In May 1933, Congress created the Federal Emergency Relief Administration (FERA) to provide work relief to the unemployed. With the approach of winter in late 1933, concerns arose that funds were not flowing quickly enough to the unemployed, so FERA monies were used to set up the Civil Works Administration (CWA) to provide direct federal work relief through the winter months. The creation of FERA and CWA coincided with a new governmental approach to the arts.32

---

30 Howard, Henry T., 15.
31 Ibid.
In 1933, artist George Biddle suggested to his former classmate, newly inaugurated President Franklin D. Roosevelt, that artists be hired nationwide to paint murals depicting the social ideals of the new administration and contemporary life on the walls of public buildings. Biddle had traveled in Mexico with artist Diego Rivera in the late 1920s and returned enthusiastic about the work of Mexican artists in transforming public buildings with bold murals. Previously a small percentage of the cost of federal buildings had been allocated for art, typically in the classical style and depicting historic events. Biddle persuaded Roosevelt that his administration should promote contemporary art and images in public buildings. The result was the Public Works of Art Project (PWAP).

President Roosevelt connected Biddle with Edward Bruce at the Treasury Department. Bruce, a lawyer, art collector, and painter from California, was appointed to administer the six-month PWAP, with the intent that artists be put to work as quickly as possible.33 Together Biddle and Bruce established a first-of-its-kind federal arts program. The goals of the PWAP were to support professional artists and thereby create quality art, to educate the public to appreciate professional artists, and to please the patron without threatening patriotism or violating conventional art traditions.

In effect between December 1933 and June 1934, the PWAP was the first New Deal program to employ artists and to fund public arts projects. During those six months, the PWAP engaged more than three thousand artists across the nation to beautify public buildings with figurative murals and other artworks depicting everyday life.

Bruce asked Dr. Walter Heil, director of San Francisco’s De Young Museum, to take charge of PWAP Region 15 covering northern California and to act quickly to commission works of art and locate appropriate public buildings where art might be installed.34 Heil immediately created a committee for northern California, composed of prominent citizens, and an advisory committee that included architect Arthur Brown, Jr.

**Coit Tower Murals, Breaking New Ground for Public Art**
The Coit Tower murals have been incorrectly referred to as a pilot program of the PWAP.35 More accurately, the Coit Tower murals were the first project in PWAP Region 15 and were executed concurrently with art projects in all the PWAP regions across the country. In addition, the Coit Tower mural project was the single largest PWAP venture in the country, the most high profile, and an ambitious test of whether federally funded arts programs could work. Its success served as a model for an array of New Deal arts programs that followed, such as the Works...
By late 1933, renowned artists Thomas Hart Benton and Grant Wood had attracted national attention with their paintings of American scenes. Directives from Washington specified that the subject matter of all PWAP art was to be “the American scene in all its aspects,” potentially a broad topic. Many American Scene painters depicted events from U.S. history. The PWAP Region 15 advisory committee directed that the Coit Tower murals should depict contemporary California, something unusual for a public building up to that time. By focusing on contemporary life and drawing upon the techniques of the Mexican muralists, the Coit Tower artists were breaking new ground for public art. A few Coit Tower artists also included social criticism in their work, expanding the boundaries of what had been acceptable in public art. Both of these themes became prominent in later New Deal art projects.

While this nomination benefits overall from the contributions of Robert W. Cherny, professor emeritus of history at San Francisco State University and the author of books and articles on the history of California and the West, the following sections draw closely upon his book on Victor Arnautoff and article on Coit Tower, both published in 2017.

**Coit Tower Artists**

In San Francisco, the availability of PWAP funds coincided with the completion of Coit Tower. The Coit Tower interior was originally intended to be undecorated; it was proposed to house an exhibition of artifacts and works of art from pioneer days. When it was proposed as a site for murals, the tower’s architect, Arthur Brown, Jr., reluctantly agreed. Ultimately, the tower interior, including all of the first floor walls, the spiral staircase upstairs, and the second floor walls were adorned with twenty-seven paintings created by twenty-five California artists and nineteen assistant artists depicting variations on a contemporary California version of “The American Scene.”

As the head of PWAP Region 15, Walter Heil, with advice from prominent artist Ralph Stackpole, chose the participating artists and assistant artists. Stackpole was well positioned to

---

36 Walter Heil to Edward Rowan, January 12, 1934, Region 15 papers, National Archives. Fleishhacker declined to serve; see Fleishhacker to Bruce, telegram, December 13, 1933, Region 15 papers, National Archives; Wilson, “Northern Scene”; Zakheim, Coit Tower, 14-15.
advise—as an older artist he was something of a father figure to many of the younger painters, some of whom had been his students as well.

The Coit Tower artists’ group included some of the region’s best-known artists of the day, a large majority of whom had a connection to the California School of Fine Arts (later the San Francisco Art Institute). Artists Ralph Stackpole, Ray Boynton, Bernard Zakheim, Maxine Albro, and Clifford Wight had visited Diego Rivera in Mexico and observed his practice; consequently, most had also executed murals in the fresco technique. Artist Victor Arnautoff completed one of the larger murals, and was also designated as the project’s technical coordinator, probably because he had spent the most time working with Rivera and was the most experienced working with large-scale fresco murals. All those chosen were familiar with Rivera’s local works at the addition to the Pacific Coast Stock Exchange and at the California School of Fine Arts. According to Heil, “the artists established for themselves one scale and also one palette consisting of elementary earth colors”—much the same palette used by Rivera.39

As the project was overseen by the Art Commission, its Committee on Architecture reviewed both scaled drawings of the proposed murals (one inch: one foot) and, for the fresco painters, all detailed, full-scale drawings of the murals in situ prepared by individual artists. The artists produced preliminary sketches in mid-December and transferred them to the walls in January.40

While the murals were being executed, the tower was a busy and crowded place. In addition to the lead artists and the assistants—all of whom were artists in their own right—there were two plasterers, seven laborers, and several Park Department employees, nearly sixty people in all.41 Three Coit Tower artists (Otis Oldfield, Jose Moya del Pino, and Rinaldo Cuneo) preferred to paint their scenes using oil on canvas; they worked in their studios and only visited the tower occasionally.

**Collegiality Among the Artists**

Much of the enthusiasm and *esprit de corps* among the artists at Coit Tower seemed to be generated by the opportunity to work in fresco.42 Some artists had not worked with the medium

---


40 Public Works of Art Project, Region No. 15, a mimeographed report of artists, their work, costs, etc., December 1933 to 1934; Walter Heil Papers, Archives of American Art, hereinafter PWAP Region 15 final report; minutes of the Art Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, 1934 [http://archive.org/stream/minutesofartcomm1934sanf#page/n0/mode/1up](http://archive.org/stream/minutesofartcomm1934sanf#page/n0/mode/1up) (accessed March 31, 2017), 159, 161, 179.

41 PWAP Region 15 final report.

42 Zakheim, *Coit Tower*, 17.
before the project. Artist Ray Boynton, the leading proponent and practitioner of *fresco buono* in the West, had been teaching classes in fresco at the California School of Fine Arts for some years prior to the Coit Tower project. Considered a pioneer in fresco art, Boynton revived the art of fresco in California in 1917. At least eight of the Coit Tower painters had been Boynton’s students at the California School of Fine Arts.43

The Coit Tower artists followed the ancient Italian tradition as the Mexican artists interpreted it. In this process, fine marble dust is mixed with slaked lime to create the painting surface. In *fresco buono*, the plasterer prepares the painting surface with layers of cement and rough lime plaster, a day’s worth of work; the artist applies the color as long as the surface remains moist.44 As the plaster dries, the painting becomes part of the wall. Because the *fresco buono* technique is demanding, the role of the assistant artist was critical. One assistant artist, Farwell Taylor, mixed all pigments, assuring overall uniformity for the entire project.45

Shirley Staschen, one of the assistant artists, remembered that work hours were quite casual and that the lead artists often painted for five or six hours—about the time it took to complete one square yard of wet plaster—and then left to prepare for the next day’s work.46

As further proof of the collegiality among the Coit Tower artists, many of the murals depict the artists themselves as they frequently used each other as models. Given the number of people in the tower, the artists had no difficulty finding models for their human figures—they frequently used the people in the building, their friends, and their own and other artists’ family members. Ralph Stackpole’s likeness appears in several murals, and Lucien Labaudt included nearly every artist in his. Several of the artists, including Arnautoff, also included self-portraits.47

The Coit Tower mural project elevated the professional reputations of a number of the participating artists and assistant artists. Many achieved regional and national recognition because of their association with the project and continued to receive federal art assignments. In 1942, Stackpole was appointed to the U.S. Art Commission, the first artist from the West to have a seat on the commission.

---

45 Ibid.
46 Shirley Staschen Triest, *A Life on the First Waves of Radical Bohemianism in San Francisco*, oral history, Regional Oral History Office, Bancroft Library, University of California, Berkeley, 1996, 65. Otis Oldfield was shown in the *San Francisco Examiner* putting the finishing touches on one of his canvases before February 11; see “Marine Murals for Coit Tower,” *Examiner*, February 11, 1934, 8W.
47 Zakheim, *Coit Tower*, 41-111, identifies many of the figures modeled on identifiable individuals.
Coit Tower Artists and the Destruction of the Rivera Murals at Rockefeller Center

In early 1934, with the Coit Tower mural project underway, a national uproar arose in response to the sudden destruction of Diego Rivera’s mural *Man at the Crossroads* in New York City’s Rockefeller Center. Work on Rivera’s mural had been halted prior to its completion because he had included a portrait of Lenin and an unflattering depiction of patron John D. Rockefeller, Jr. in the composition. Rockefeller paid Rivera his commission and had the fresco covered months earlier. Then, at midnight, on February 9, 1934, Rockefeller’s workers smashed Rivera’s fresco to pieces.

As part of a nationwide protest, the San Francisco Artists and Writers Union, a newly formed group with about 350 members, spoke out. On February 14, 1934, the group held a protest meeting on the steps in front of Coit Tower. A newspaper photograph shows about twenty-five people in attendance, mostly Coit Tower artists and assistant artists. According to the *San Francisco News*, the meeting was convened at “the instigation of artists working on the Coit Memorial Tower frescoes and other artists employed on federal public works of art projects.” Muralist Maxine Albro, an executive committee member of the union, presented a resolution condemning the destruction of the Rivera work as “outrageous vandalism and political bigotry.”

Vidar and Zakheim incorporated references to the destruction of the Rivera mural in the newspapers in their Coit Tower murals. More subtly, Albro included a large stand of calla lilies in her mural, likely in reference to Rivera’s repeated use of the calla lily in his paintings.

Delayed Unveiling of the Murals

Completion of the Coit Tower mural project continued largely without incident and the July 1934 unveiling was much anticipated as the exceptional quality of the artwork was being realized. Harold Mack, an influential member of the PWAP supervisory committee, was highly enthusiastic about the entire Coit Tower project. In a letter to President Roosevelt dated May 3, 1934, he described it as “unique in the art history of the United States.” Mack quoted Jo Davidson, a prominent sculptor, as confirming that, “the results achieved in this building surpass anything heretofore accomplished in this country.”

Because PWAP funding was set to expire at the end of April 1934, that date was also chosen as the target date for the completion of the murals. Sixteen of the twenty-five lead artists had completed their work by the end of April. The larger murals required more hours. Lucien Labaudt’s, the largest in terms of square footage, was not finished until late June. For those artists who had not completed their work by the end of April, Heil secured additional funds from the State Emergency Relief Administration, itself funded by the Federal Emergency Relief Administration. The Art Commission and the Parks Commission scheduled Coit Tower for a grand opening to the public on July 2, 1934.

---

49 Lozano, Luis-Martin and Juan Rafael Coronel Rivera, *Diego Rivera: The Complete Murals* (Hong Kong: Taschen, 2008), 147.
50 Mack to Roosevelt, May 3, 1934, Region 15 papers, National Archives.
Dockworkers Strike and Controversy

Growing labor unrest on San Francisco’s waterfront, the strike by West Coast dockworkers that began on May 9, 1934, and the subsequent general strike, July 16-19, 1934, led to increasing conflict and tensions that postponed Coit Tower’s opening. A citywide “red scare” that began with the dockworkers strike and escalated dramatically during the general strike had significant consequences for Coit Tower. 51

Heil’s records of payments to the artists indicate that sixteen of the twenty-five Coit Tower artists had completed their work before May 9, 1934, the day longshoremen walked off the docks. While many of the Coit Tower artists were deeply affected by the struggles on the docks, two-thirds of them had completed their artwork before the strike began, so there was little opportunity to refer to the strike in their artwork even if the artists had been inclined to do so.

Though all the artists had presented detailed, scaled drawings of their proposed murals for approval in January 1934, for several artists the process of creating their murals was more dynamic. Zakheim’s mural depicted people reading newspapers with dramatic headlines. He later recalled that he selected the headlines based on what he saw in the press the day before; his headlines range from mid-February to late March. 52 John Langley Howard also recalled later that he had made changes as he developed his mural. 53 Most of the artists kept quite close to their approved sketches. At a late date, artist Clifford Wight incorporated political symbolism in the form of a dollar sign, the blue-eagle logo of the NRA, and a hammer and sickle.

When some officials saw the completed Coit Tower murals in late June, in the context of the city’s ongoing red scare, they became concerned that some artists had incorporated material into their murals “which might be interpreted as communistic propaganda,” especially Wight’s hammer and sickle. In a letter to Heil and the Art Commission, Wight insisted that his work was not propaganda, and instead represented “the paramount issue of today . . . social change,” and that his hammer and sickle was “in no way exhortation or propaganda,” but instead “an existing condition,” that is, the existence of a legal Communist Party. 54

July 5, 1934 was a day of terrible conflict on the waterfront, culminating in the deaths of two waterfront workers, killed by police. That same day, the San Francisco Examiner published a photograph superimposing Wight's hammer and sickle image over Zakheim's depiction of a man reaching for Das Kapital, as if it were part of the same painting. The accompanying story alleged that the murals were part of a communist plot.

52 Zakheim interview, 1964; the earliest headline, at top right, “Thousands Slaughtered in Austria,” is about the rebellion of the left against the Dollfuss regime in mid-February, and the latest headline, at bottom right, “Magnates Arrested, Break N.R.A. Code,” is about oil company executives arrested in late March.
54 “Artists Wrath Stirred by Charges” in Call Bulletin, July 2, 1934.
Incited by the doctored newspaper photo, the San Francisco Park Commission and Art Commission locked the doors to Coit Tower and cancelled the public opening, demanding that three of the artists—Wight, Zakheim, and Howard—remove items from their murals. Subsequently, the demands were reduced to just Wight. In August, after the strikes were over and the red scare had subsided, a special committee of the Art Commission unanimously recommended “for artistic reasons, in order to conform with the major motive of the general scheme” that all the artwork over the east, south, and west windows, including Wight’s hammer and sickle, be removed. When the tower opened in October 1934, the Art Commission’s recommendations had been heeded. The artwork over the windows was removed. Also removed was the Western Worker, a local Communist Party newspaper, banner from Howard’s mural. There were no other changes. After months of closure, Coit Tower finally opened its doors to the world on October 12, 1934.

Contemporary Art Criticism and Subsequent Scholarship

Contemporary critical response to the Coit Tower murals recognized several aspects as remarkable. First was the overall size and scale of the project. Second was the use of fresco as a medium. Third was the influence of Diego Rivera and the Mexican mural movement. In 1934, Junius Cravens, the accomplished art critic for the San Francisco News, reviewed the project, stating “the tower decorators have done remarkably fine work. . . . even the worst mural faults are of minor importance as compared to the merits of the job as a whole.” He described Wight’s four tall figures as “superb,” Arnautoff’s mural as “outstanding” and “finely executed,” and Cunningham’s mural as having, “most successfully achieved rhythmic decorative pattern on a very difficult wall.” Cravens called out the murals by Cuneo, Moya del Pino, Oldfield, and Langdon as “notable,” and described Howard’s depiction of hydraulic power as “the outstanding artistic achievement of the lot.”

In the national media, Nadia Lavrova published a long description and critique of the murals, along with several illustrations, in the Christian Science Monitor of August 1, 1934; her article says nothing about the controversy. Like Cravens, she complimented Wight’s tall figures as among the most distinctive elements in the tower, and she praised Arnautoff’s mural as having “all the elements that go toward making an outstanding fresco: rhythm and movement, achieved by a contrast of light and dark patterns, by varying the intensity of colors and by a clever handling of masses, which lead the eye from one end of the painting to the other.”

Evelyn Seeley probably reached the largest number of readers nationwide with a long, illustrated article in the Literary Digest, one of the most influential general publications at the time. She called the project “one of the greatest produced under the PWAP” and agreed with Lavrova that the extensive use of fresco made the project unique in the country. Like Cravens and Lavrova, she gave special attention to the tall figures by Wight and added those by Dean. Seeley praised the murals by Boynton, Stackpole, Vidar, Arnautoff, Labaudt, and Terada. She singled out Albro’s mural as the “richest and most vivid.” Though Seeley fully recounted the controversy

55 News, October 20, 1934, 9.
56 Lavrova, “Forty-Six Artists–One Palette.”
that closed the tower, she concluded that the artists “have presented California as powerful and productive, its machines as well-oiled, its fields and orchards bountiful, its people—happy in the sun. They have left out of the picture, as some realists have mentioned, such aspects as the Mooney case, or strikes, or lynchings.”

To reiterate the appraisal of sculptor Jo Davidson, “the results achieved in this building surpass anything heretofore accomplished in this country.” Further acclaim for the murals was expressed by Diego Rivera when he visited the site with artist Emmy Lou Packard in 1940. Rivera specifically noted his appreciation for Arnautoff’s mural.

Subsequent art historians have been equally positive about the Coit Tower mural project. In the 1980s, Francis V. O’Connor commented both on the overall quality of the murals and on the uniqueness of it as a group project.

At Coit Tower the PWAP sponsored a mural environment that can be ranked with the finest wall paintings created in the government’s subsequent art programs—the Treasury Department’s Section of Painting and Sculpture, and the WPA Federal Art Project (WPA/FAP). Most murals are painted by individuals; large groups of muralists working in unison are rare in the history of American art.

In 1982, Karal Ann Marling suggested that Arnautoff’s “catholic portrayal of the variousness of the urban scene, with wholesome and unsavory details given equal weight, stemmed from [Thomas Hart] Benton,” whose American scene paintings had begun to attract wide attention in the late 1920s.

Bruce Bustard, in his 1997 study of New Deal art programs, identifies five central themes in all New Deal art, three of which are prominent at Coit Tower: a “preoccupation with” and celebration of ordinary, often working-class, people; a celebration of New Deal programs; and promotion of social change and embrace of “left-wing political causes.”

Belisario Contreras, in his 1983 study of New Deal art, drew attention to the Coit Tower artists’ “influence of Diego Rivera’s brilliant color, simple modeling, and refined brushwork,” and noted the “theme of social consciousness” in the Coit Tower works. Contreras characterized the artwork at Coit Tower as the first large-scale New Deal art project, and noted that it vividly demonstrates the three central characteristics he ascribed to all subsequent New Deal art: the

---
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60 Essay by Francis V. O’Connor, in Coit Tower, San Francisco: Its History and Art, ed. Masha Zakheim, 121.
62 Bruce J. Bustard, A New Deal for the Arts (Seattle: University of Washington Press, 1997), 21-22. The two themes identified by Bustard not present at Coit Tower are a rediscovery of American history, which was ruled out by the decision to focus on contemporary California, and a focus on the practicality and usefulness of the arts.
influence of the Depression (more prominent in some of the Coit murals than others), the centrality of the American scene (previously developed by such artists as Thomas Hart Benton and Grant Wood), and the influence of the Mexican mural movement of the 1920s.63

**Biographical Information on Selected Coit Tower Artists**64

The daughter of artist Bernard Zakheim, Ruth Zakheim Gottstein, who was eleven years old when her father was painting his mural at Coit Tower, later recalled,

> They were artists... first and foremost. Not politicians, not intellectuals, not economists. They came from vastly different backgrounds... Via their murals, this eclectic group of artists was able to capture the rich tapestry of an emerging California in the 1930s. This was their San Francisco and their California—their hopes for a positive future for many generations to come.65

Though a few of the artists at Coit Tower were well known before the start of the undertaking, the Coit Tower project served to launch most of the others on productive careers. Many of them went on to produce more public art under New Deal art program auspices, and several of them developed quite distinguished careers as artists. The following paragraphs briefly summarize their contributions.

**Maxine Albro (1903-1966)**

Albro grew up in Los Angeles and after graduating from high school, she moved to San Francisco where she began working as a commercial artist to raise funds for her formal education at the Art Students League in New York and Paris and at the California School of Fine Arts. She traveled widely in Mexico and painted many scenes of Mexican life. Although she did not study formally with Diego Rivera, she painted many murals and was influenced by his style. She received later commissions for New Deal art projects including a mosaic mural (no longer extant) at San Francisco State College and a mural for a high school. After Coit Tower, Albro married fellow Coit Tower artist Parker Hall. They moved to Carmel, California and became leaders and highly influential members of the Carmel artists’ colony.

**Victor Arnautoff (1896-1979)**

Arnautoff was a native of Russia, where he returned during the 1960s after living in the United States for more than thirty years. He studied at the California School of Fine Arts in the late 1920s, then went to Mexico, where he assisted Diego Rivera for two years. He returned to San Francisco in 1931. As technical coordinator for the Coit Tower mural project, he painted the mural *City Life* and advised his fellow artists. He produced the most New Deal art of any of the

---

64 This section benefits from the biographical research undertaken on individual artists by Jon Golinger, Protect Coit Tower, done in preparation for an exhibit titled *The Coit Tower Mural Artists*, on exhibit at the San Francisco Public Library in May 2015.
Coit Tower artists: a large mural at the Protestant chapel at the Presidio of San Francisco (part of the National Historic Landmark District), thirteen panels on the life of George Washington at George Washington High School, San Francisco (local landmark nomination pending), and five post office murals (College Station, Texas; Linden, Texas; Pacific Grove, California; Richmond, California; and South San Francisco, California). He also produced public murals at the Old Cathedral of the Holy Virgin (City of San Francisco Landmark No. 28), the National Register-listed Palo Alto Medical Clinic (also listed in the Palo Alto Historic Buildings Inventory), and the San Francisco Art Institute (City of San Francisco Landmark No. 85). Toward the end of his life, he also produced three large mosaic murals in Mariupol, Ukraine. He taught at the California School of Fine Arts briefly and at Stanford University for twenty-four years.66

Jane Berlandina (1898-1970)
Born in France, Berlandina studied in Paris and arrived in California in 1931. As the wife of architect Henry Temple Howard, she married into a prolific family of artists. She was especially known for her modernist watercolors. At Coit Tower, her work is quite abstract compared to the prevalent American Scene style and was painted using egg-based tempura, rather than the technique and the palette used by the others.

Ray Boynton (1883-1951)
Boynton came from the farms of Iowa, and after studying art in Chicago, he traveled west to make his mark. He created California’s first large scale mural in a building open to the public at Mills College in Oakland. By virtue of Boynton’s expertise and seniority, he became known as the “dean of the fresco painters” at Coit Tower, where younger artists sought his expert advice. His subsequent New Deal-funded projects included thirteen murals at the post office in Modesto, California. Later, Boynton became a well-regarded teacher at the University of California, Berkeley.

Ralph Alexander Chessé (1900-1991)
Born in New Orleans, Chessé moved to Southern California in 1923. Self-taught as an artist, he was a sculptor, painter, and puppeteer. His Coit Tower mural, Children at Play, presents its characters in an almost puppet-like manner. Following the Coit Tower project, Chessé became the official director of puppetry for the San Francisco, Oakland, and Los Angeles districts of the WPA. Chessé also worked on the Federal Theater Project on Treasure Island for the Golden Gate International Exposition in 1939-1940. Chessé, along with his son Bruce, worked extensively for decades as a professional puppeteer, creating and performing the marionettes for the pioneering Bay Area children’s television show “Brother Buzz.”67

---


**Benjamin Frazier Cunningham (1904-1975)**
Ben Cunningham was born in Colorado. He produced murals for the San Francisco Assessor’s Office, the post office at Ukiah, California, and the Golden Gate International Exposition. He also served as the supervisor of mural painting for the Northern California WPA arts program. He later moved to the New York City area where he taught in several prominent art schools. His work was acquired by the Museum of Modern Art, the Guggenheim, and the Whitney. 68

**Edith Hamlin (1902-1992)**
Born in Oakland, Edith Hamlin attended the California School of Fine Arts from 1922 to 1924 and later Columbia University Teacher's College in New York City. After the Coit Tower project, she executed two large murals at Mission High School, San Francisco (City of San Francisco Landmark No. 256); three murals at the Tracy, California post office; and a mural, jointly with her husband, artist Maynard Dixon, at the Martinez, California post office. She and Dixon then moved to Tucson, Arizona where she painted four public murals, along with one in Phoenix, between 1947 and 1952. Following Dixon’s death, Hamlin returned to San Francisco. 69

**William Hesthal (1908-1985)**
Born in San Francisco, Hesthal began attending classes at the California School of Fine Arts at age nine and first exhibited at age ten. Following the Coit Tower project, Hesthal was one of the six Coit Tower muralists that art patron Albert Bender commissioned in 1936 to decorate the Anne Bremer Library at the California School of Fine Arts, later the San Francisco Art Institute. Hesthal later completed a mural for a high school through the WPA/FAP program.

**John Langley Howard (1902-1999)**
Born in New Jersey, Howard moved as an infant with his parents to California. At the University of California, Berkeley, he majored in engineering and English and then enrolled at the Oakland College of Arts and Crafts. Later he continued his studies at the Art Students League in New York City. As the son of architect John Galen Howard and brother to architect Henry Howard and sculptor Robert Boardman Howard, he was part of a large and talented family well known for their contribution to the arts. Imbued with socialist ideas, he was convinced that he could contribute to the advancement of society through his art. During the Depression, he documented the stalwart laborers, the timeworn unemployed, and the bewildered people drowning in the everyday pressures of the times. In the 1930s, he dedicated himself to working for social change by depicting conditions in his canvases. 70

**Lucien Labaudt (1880-1943)**
A native of Paris, France, Labaudt already had a career in the U.S. as a costume designer, artist, and teacher at the time he was chosen for the Coit Tower project. The experience marked a change of direction for him and he then focused on mural painting. Essentially self-taught as an

---

artist, he later did two murals for the National Register-listed Los Angeles Courthouse and Post Office; one mural at George Washington High School, San Francisco; and murals covering all the walls of the first floor of the Beach Chalet, San Francisco (City of San Francisco Landmark No. 179). He taught at the California School of Fine Arts. He died in WWII while on assignment as an artist war correspondent.

Gordon Langdon (1910-1963)
Born in San Francisco, Langdon left his studies at Stanford to train at the California School of Fine Arts. He shared a studio with his mentor, artist Ralph Stackpole, twenty-five years his senior. Langdon’s family’s ranch at Olema, California was the subject of his Coit Tower mural in which he painted his own likeness as well as that of Lucien Labaudt (with cow) and Stackpole (peeking in the window). Langdon later painted a fresco mural funded by the WPA/Federal Arts Project at George Washington High School and was one of six of the Coit Tower artists to paint frescoes inside the Anne Bremer Memorial Library at the California School of Fine Arts.

Jose Moya del Pino (1891-1969)
A native of Spain, Moya del Pino later painted murals in the post offices in Lancaster, California; Alpine, Texas; and Redwood City, California.

Frederick Olmsted (1911-1990)
Olmsted was born in San Francisco and was the great nephew of Frederick Law Olmsted, the landscape architect who designed New York’s Central Park. He was educated at Stanford University and studied with Ralph Stackpole. A painter and sculptor, the twenty-three-year-old Olmsted initially came to the Coit Tower project as an assistant artist to Frede Vidar, George Harris, and John Langley Howard. Howard later assigned him a three-foot panel above the main entrance to Coit Tower where Olmsted created his mural Power depicting a fist bursting through flames. Olmsted later completed a mural moved to San Francisco City College and two sculptures also moved to San Francisco City College, both done initially as part of the “Art in Action” exhibition at the Golden Gate International Exposition. Olmsted eventually abandoned his art career and became a successful biophysicist, specializing in designing medical and oceanographic equipment.

Suzanne Scheuer (1898-1984)
Scheuer was born in San Jose, California, and studied at the California School of Fine Arts and the California College of Arts and Crafts. Her mural Newsgathering on the tower’s west wall was restored by the artist herself in 1963, twenty-nine years after she first painted it. She took the initiative to change the name of the fictional newspaper she painted in the mural back to Chronicle. She later did three post office murals, in Eastland, Texas; Caldwell, Texas; and Berkeley, California.

Ralph Stackpole (1885-1973)
Stackpole grew up in Oregon, coming to San Francisco after the turn of the century. As a sculptor, muralist, etcher, and educator, Stackpole was one of the city’s leading artists during the 1920s and 1930s. He was already quite prominent as an artist before the Coit Tower project,
based on his sculptures at the Panama-Pacific International Exposition in 1915, his sculptures at the remodeled Pacific Coast Stock Exchange (1932), and his teaching at the California School of Fine Arts. In addition to his Coit Tower mural, he also did a mural at George Washington High School, San Francisco, before returning to sculpture, including an eighty-foot-high sculpture, *Pacifica*, for the Golden Gate International Exposition (1938-41) and a large bas relief sculpture of John Wesley Powell for the U.S. Department of the Interior Building in Washington, D.C. (1940). He served on the U.S. Commission on Fine Arts in 1941-1945, the first person from the West Coast to do so. He once wrote that he wanted to see frescos spread all over the city, and hoped San Francisco would be called “The City of Frescoes.” As a friend of many of the Coit Tower artists, his portrait appears in the murals many times, including those of Albro, Zakheim, Langdon, and Labaudt. Stackpole was primarily responsible for bringing Diego Rivera to San Francisco in 1930.

**Takeo Edward Terada (1908-1993)**
Terada immigrated to California in 1922. He studied briefly at the University of California, Berkeley, then at the California School of Fine Arts. Terada returned to Japan in 1935 settling in Tokyo where he became a leading force in the Japanese art world.

**Clifford Wight (circa 1900-1961)**
Wight was born in England and immigrated to Canada where he became a Mountie before fighting in WWI. In California and Mexico in the 1920s, Wight reinvented himself as a sculptor in the company of artists Diego Rivera and Frida Kahlo. He arrived in San Francisco with Rivera to work as his assistant on a mural at the San Francisco Art Institute. In the 1930s, Wight left the U.S. because of his leftist political leanings. He later had a career as a secretary in the British House of Commons. 71

**Bernard Zakheim (1896-1985)**
Zakheim arrived in San Francisco in 1920 seeking political asylum from his native Poland after World War I. He found work as a furniture maker while he continued to look for opportunities to pursue art. 72 In 1929, Diego Rivera, to whom Zakheim had sent some sketches, invited him to Mexico City for six months to collaborate with Rivera and to study Aztec and Mayan fine art in the National Museum of Mexico. 73 In 1932, Zakheim spent a year in Europe studying art and learned to paint fresco murals during that time. Upon his return to San Francisco, he won a competition to create a fresco in the courtyard of the city’s new Jewish Community Center. 74 He later painted a painted a ten-panel mural and a two-panel mural at what became the University of California, San Francisco, attracting national attention. He also produced a mural at Alemany

73 Ibid., 50-52.
74 Ibid., 58.
Health Center, San Francisco, and post office murals at Rusk and Mineola, Texas. In later life, he turned to sculpture and produced six wooden sculptures commemorating the Holocaust.75

**Further Federally Funded Work for the Coit Tower Artists**

The Coit Tower mural project elevated the professional reputations of a number of the participating artists and assistant artists. Many Coit Tower artists and assistant artists continued to receive commissions for federally funded art projects, some until the New Deal arts programs ended during World War II. Representative examples are Lucien Labaudt’s murals at San Francisco’s Beach Chalet and Edith Hamlin’s prestigious WPA commission for two 8’ x 24’ murals at Mission High School. Suzanne Scheuer did several New Deal post office murals. Victor Arnautoff, Ralph Stackpole, Gordon Langdon, and Lucien Labaudt all created large-scale frescos at San Francisco’s George Washington High School, a project completed in 1936 with funding from the WPA Federal Art Project.

Other Coit Tower artists also participated in public art programs funded by various federal agencies during the New Deal, including assistant artist Hebe Daum, who painted one mural at San Francisco State College; Harold Mallette Dean, who did a post office mural; and George Harris, who also did a post office mural. Some of the artists and assistant artists from Coit Tower were later assistants for other New Deal art projects including Arnautoff’s mural at the Presidio of San Francisco and his *Life of Washington* sequence at George Washington High School.

**Biographical Information on Other Relevant Individuals**

**Lillie Hitchcock Coit (1843-1929)**

One of San Francisco’s best-known historical figures, Lillie Hitchcock Coit, is permanently associated with both Coit Tower, whose construction her estate funded, and with San Francisco’s early volunteer fire brigade, which she championed. In 1851, seven-year-old Lillie Hitchcock and her wealthy parents moved from Kentucky to California.76 Young Lillie was deeply and personally affected by a series of fires, one of which nearly claimed her life. Soon after arriving in San Francisco, Lillie watched two of her friends burn to death when a building they were playing in suddenly caught fire. Lillie was rescued from the flames by John Boynton, a volunteer member of Knickerbocker Engine Company Number 5. Boynton chopped a hole in the roof of the building, let himself down on a rope, put Lillie on his back, and climbed to safety.77 Lillie immediately and permanently became a friend and vocal supporter of the firefighters of Knickerbocker Engine Co. No. 5. In October 1863, she was made an honorary member of the Number 5 engine company, and given an official certificate of membership and a personalized fire helmet.78 Thereafter, Lillie always signed her name “Lillie Hitchcock Coit 5” and assumed a prominent place in festivities and parades celebrating the city’s firefighters.79

75 Elizabeth M. Boone, “‘Something of his Own Soil’: Jewish History, Mural Painting, and Bernard Zakheim in San Francisco,” in *American Jewish History* 90.2, 2002: 123-140.
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Victor Arnautoff’s Coit Tower mural *City Life* includes a fire truck with a prominent number 5, likely intended as homage to Lillie’s connection to Knickerbocker Engine Co. No. 5. Lillie was considered colorful and eccentric—gambling, smoking cigars, and wearing trousers before it was socially acceptable for women to do so. She married wealthy businessman Howard Coit in 1863 and was widowed upon his death in 1885. When Lillie died in 1929 at the age of 85, she left one-third of her estate to the City of San Francisco “for the purpose of adding to the beauty of the city which I have always loved.”

Lillie’s bequest paid for both the construction of Coit Tower and for a sculpture placed in nearby Washington Square Park to commemorate San Francisco’s volunteer firefighters. The sculpture, by Haig Patigian, depicts three volunteer firefighters at work. One of them is carrying a distressed young girl to safety.

**Architect Arthur Brown, Jr. (1874-1957)**

Architect Arthur Brown, Jr. was born in Oakland. Brown graduated from the University of California, Berkeley in 1896 where he and his future partner John Bakewell, Jr. (1872-1963) were both protégés of architect Bernard Maybeck. Brown graduated from the Ecole des Beaux-Arts in Paris in 1901 before returning to San Francisco to establish his practice with Bakewell in 1905. By 1906, his firm was well positioned to play an important role in the reconstruction of San Francisco after the earthquake and fire. The firm’s commissions included the opulent interior of the City of Paris department store in San Francisco and Berkeley City Hall. They won the competition for San Francisco City Hall, completed in 1915. In collaboration with architect G. Albert Lansburgh, Brown also designed the War Memorial Opera House and Veterans Building of 1932, later known as the San Francisco War Memorial and Performing Arts Center. Having developed a San Francisco-based practice, his firm designed many of San Francisco’s landmark buildings, as well as important large-scale civic and religious buildings around the State.

Like Coit Tower, most of Brown's later San Francisco works employed a stripped-down classicism. With regard to the PWAP murals at Coit Tower, Brown stated, “The primitive nature of Coit Tower would lend itself better to that sort of thing than other public buildings.”

Diego Rivera included a likeness of Brown among the designers and craftsmen in his 1931 fresco titled, *The Making of a Fresco Showing the Building of a City*. Brown's last works were primarily at University of California, Berkeley, where Brown served as campus planner and chief architect from 1936 to 1950.

**Henry Temple Howard (1894-1967)**

Architect Henry Temple Howard, eldest son of architect John Galen Howard, was born in New York City and raised in Berkeley. Henry attended the Ecole des Beaux Arts in Paris from 1919 to 1921. From 1931 to 1934, Henry worked for the architectural firm Bakewell and Brown.

---
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specifically on the design of Coit Tower. For the rest of the decade, with the economy suffering from the Great Depression, Henry designed houses and apartment houses around the Bay Area, including his own residence on Jackson Street and his brother’s studio on Francisco Street in North Beach. Henry designed several San Francisco defense and public housing projects, including the Ping Yuen Housing Project in Chinatown (with architect Mark Daniels), in addition to many important projects in the Modernist vein throughout Northern California. Although Henry Howard headed the design team in charge of the tower, the family’s participation was not based on nepotistic selection. Rather, it was “certainly the communal tradition of the WPA; and, if the San Francisco art community was needed to work on a project, one would expect to find the Howards well represented.”

Criteria Consideration F: Commemorative Properties
A property primarily commemorative in intent can be eligible if design, age, tradition, or symbolic value has invested it with its own historical significance. In general, properties that are commemorative in nature, such as Coit Tower, are not considered eligible for the National Register. A commemorative property will qualify if it can demonstrate its own exceptional significance apart from the value of the person memorialized by the monument or property. Such is the case with Coit Tower.

Originally designed and intended as a commemorative civic monument, Coit Tower was named in honor of a historical figure, Lillie Hitchcock Coit, whose wealth financed its construction. Constructed after her death, it is not directly or primarily associated with her productive life or a specific event in her life. More than for its association with its patron, Coit Tower’s significance rises to the national level because it is intrinsically associated with the Coit Tower murals, which possess exceptional value in interpreting the themes of the Great Depression and New Deal idealism. Coit Tower is the site of the country’s most impressive permanent exhibition of federally funded art created through the Public Works of Art Project (PWAP).

Comparable Properties
1) The Detroit Industry Murals, Detroit, Michigan
Completed in March 1933, the Detroit Industry murals at the Detroit Institute of Arts were executed by muralist Diego Rivera. The Detroit Industry murals are considered among the finest, modern monumental artworks in the United States, as well as the most complex representation of American industry. In 2014, the Detroit Industry murals were listed as a National Historic Landmark (NHL).

The NHL nomination states that Rivera’s influence was, among other factors, responsible for the reintroduction of fresco painting into modern art and significantly impacted the concept of public art in the United States. It further states that Rivera’s fresco technique, his portrayal of American life within public buildings, and the 1920s Mexican mural program itself directly led to and influenced the New Deal mural programs of the 1930s and 1940s.

---

83 Moss and Woodbridge, 27.
84 Ibid., 39.
2) U.S. Department of Justice Building, Washington, D.C.

The U.S. Department of Justice building was completed in 1935. Between 1935 and 1941, 68 murals were painted throughout the building interior, including a twenty-panel mural by artist Maurice Sterne in the double-height Law Library. Representing the work of fourteen artists, the building’s extensive murals depict scenes of daily life from throughout American history and symbolic interpretations or allegorical themes relating to the role of justice in society. Other notable artworks include a multi-panel tempera titled *Great Events and Figures of Law* by Boardman Robinson (1938) and a tempera mural *Contemporary Justice and Man* by John Ballator (1937). In 1966, the building was listed on the National Register of Historic Places as part of the Pennsylvania Avenue National Historic Site.

Art historian Belisario Contreras noted a similarity between the group murals in the Justice Department building and the broad iconography of the earlier Coit Tower Project. He also compared the Justice Department undertaking as similar to the Coit Tower cooperative in that both schemes were designed as a single project and a select group of artists was assigned mural spaces to depict broad phases of the American scene.

3) Rincon Annex, former U.S. Post Office, Spear and Mission Streets, San Francisco, California

Constructed in 1940, the Rincon Annex building is a former U.S. Post Office originally designed by architect Gilbert Stanley Underwood. The lobby features a monumental art project comprised of twenty-seven brilliantly colored murals, separate panels done in casein paint, measuring approximately 460’ in length and 8’ high. The murals were painted by the Russian immigrant artist Anton Refregier between 1941 and 1948 and are titled *History of California*. The work was completed as part of the Federal Art Project of the Work Projects Administration. Carried out in the Social Realism style, the murals depict the role of San Francisco from its discovery until the establishment of the United Nations. At the time of completion, the murals generated sufficient controversy to eventually reach the U.S. Congress, where critics called for the murals to be destroyed. The property was listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 1979.

4) Post Office Terminal Annex, Los Angeles, California

Funded by the Treasury Department Section of Fine Arts and completed in 1944, the fresco in the Post Office Terminal Annex lobby consists of eleven semi-circular, tempera on plaster lunettes by artist Boris Deutsch. Titled *Cultural Contributions of North, South and Central America*, the artwork represents indigenous peoples from the Americas, as well as scenes of science and industry. Scholars note the dichotomy between the Indian past and the European-American present and future. Of the eleven lunettes, six illustrate past views of native cultures of South America, Mexico, and California, while the other five depict European Americans engaged in scientific, industrial pursuits in a more modern light. These include representations of Western pioneers, high-powered telescopes, telephone communications, a physics class, and the modern military.85 The property was listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 1985.

With the exception of the Detroit murals, these properties were done under other New Deal programs, not the PWAP. As indicated by critical review contemporary with the unveiling of the Coit Tower artwork, no other PWAP project is comparable in terms of the scale of undertaking and its legacy.

**Conclusion**

The Coit Tower murals depict the high ideals and social values of the 1930s as expressed in WPA art projects; they represent the collective effort of individuals in creating an exceptionally important artwork, one that focused on the reality of American life during the Great Depression while drawing upon the techniques of the Mexican muralists. Several Coit Tower artists broke new ground for public art, expanding the boundaries of what had been previously acceptable.

The Coit Tower project preceded numerous federally funded public art projects. Many that followed depicted contemporary themes and subjects; some included a component of social criticism. Historians have noted that federal officials’ experience with Wight’s hammer-and-sickle symbol contributed to a cautious approach by the Treasury Section that administered funds for later art in federal buildings. Instead of the after-the-fact censorship that took place at Coit Tower, later artists had to submit, and sometimes resubmit, detailed drawings of all aspects of their work and were not to begin to paint until they received approval from Washington.86

The Coit Tower project was also a forecast of later New Deal projects in other ways. Contreras believed that the artists at Coit Tower “had carefully developed an iconography of the American scene that was relevant to PWAP throughout the country” and pointed to the significance of their “broad conceptual scheme,” which, he said, “was to be used by artists in subsequent New Deal Art programs.”87

Given the high-profile nature of the Coit Tower murals, the national publicity, and the acclaim for the quality of the artwork and use of fresco, the murals’ technique and content were influential on subsequent New Deal programs and public art in the United States.

---

87 Marling, 47; Contreras, 46, 44.
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Previous documentation on file (NPS):

___ preliminary determination of individual listing (36 CFR 67) has been requested

X ___ previously listed in the National Register

___ previously determined eligible by the National Register

___ designated a National Historic Landmark

___ recorded by Historic American Buildings Survey # __________

___ recorded by Historic American Engineering Record # __________

___ recorded by Historic American Landscape Survey # __________

Primary location of additional data:

___ State Historic Preservation Office

___ Other State agency

___ Federal agency

___ Local government

___ University

___ Other

Name of repository: San Francisco History Center at the San Francisco Public Library;
Achenbach Foundation for Graphic Arts, California Palace of the Legion of Honor (drawings)

Historic Resources Survey Number (if assigned): _______________
10. Geographical Data

Acreage of Property  1.5 acres

Latitude/Longitude Coordinates
Datum if other than WGS84: __________
(enter coordinates to 6 decimal places)
1. Latitude: 37.802619  Longitude: -122.405806

Verbal Boundary Description (Describe the boundaries of the property.)

The boundaries of the property are consistent with the lot on which Coit Tower was constructed, City Block 0086, Lot 012. The parcel is roughly rectangular in shape. The parcel area measures 49,275 square feet, which equates to 1.13 acres. In addition to the property parcel, the boundary is also extended northerly to include the area containing the final portion of Telegraph Hill Boulevard that terminates in the circular parking lot and its associated features within that portion of Pioneer Park at the uppermost part of Telegraph Hill. The total boundary area including the parcel, roadway, and circular parking area equates to approximately 1.5 acres.

Boundary Justification (Explain why the boundaries were selected.)

Coit Tower occupies City Block 0086, Lot 012. The boundary also extends north of Lot 012 to encompass the roadway, circular parking lot, and its associated features because this area appears to have been taken into account in the tower’s design and planning and thus is justified as being a component of the grounds and part of the arrival sequence at the north-facing principal façade and entrance to Coit Tower.
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Additional Documentation

Submit the following items with the completed form:

- **Maps**: A USGS map or equivalent (7.5 or 15 minute series) indicating the property's location.

- **Sketch map** for historic districts and properties having large acreage or numerous resources. Key all photographs to this map.

- **Additional items**: (Check with the SHPO, TPO, or FPO for any additional items.)

Photographs

Submit clear and descriptive photographs. The size of each image must be 1600x1200 pixels (minimum), 3000x2000 preferred, at 300 ppi (pixels per inch) or larger. Key all photographs to the sketch map. Each photograph must be numbered and that number must correspond to the photograph number on the photo log. For simplicity, the name of the photographer, photo date, etc. may be listed once on the photograph log and doesn’t need to be labeled on every photograph.

**Photo Log**

Name of Property: Coit Memorial Tower  
City or Vicinity: San Francisco  
County: San Francisco  
State: California  
Photographer: Shayne E. Watson  
Date Photographed: Photos 1-8: September 15, 2017  
Photos 9-25: November 8, 2017

Description of Photograph(s) and number, include description of view indicating direction of camera:

1 of 25  Parking lot and north elevation, camera looking south.

2 of 25  North elevation and stairs, camera looking south.

3 of 25  South lawn and south elevation, camera looking northwest.

4 of 25  South elevation, camera looking northeast.

5 of 25  South elevation detail, camera looking northeast.
Coit Memorial Tower (Additional Documentation)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 of 25</td>
<td>West elevation, camera looking north.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 of 25</td>
<td>West elevation detail, camera looking north.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 of 25</td>
<td>East elevation, camera looking southwest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 of 25</td>
<td>Detail, drinking fountain on main stairs, camera looking east.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 of 25</td>
<td>Detail, utility outbuilding on west slope, camera looking southeast.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 of 25</td>
<td>Interior, first floor, west side, camera looking south.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 of 25</td>
<td>Interior, first floor, west side, camera looking northeast.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 of 25</td>
<td>Interior, first floor, south side, camera looking east.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 of 25</td>
<td>Interior, first floor, south side, camera looking northwest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 of 25</td>
<td>Interior, first floor, south side, camera looking west.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 of 25</td>
<td>Interior, first floor, east side, camera looking north.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 of 25</td>
<td>Interior, first floor, east side, camera looking southwest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 of 25</td>
<td>Interior, first floor, north side, camera looking west.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 of 25</td>
<td>Interior, first floor, north side, camera looking to northwest corner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 of 25</td>
<td>Interior, first floor, north side, camera looking southeast toward elevator lobby.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 of 25</td>
<td>Interior, first floor, elevator lobby, camera looking east.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 of 25</td>
<td>Interior, first floor, base of stairwell, camera looking southeast.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 of 25</td>
<td>Interior, second floor, camera looking southeast toward top of stairwell.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 of 25</td>
<td>Interior, second floor, camera looking west.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 of 25</td>
<td>Lantern level, east side, camera looking west.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Index of Figures

Figure 1  View of completed Coit Tower looking from Washington Square Park from “The Coit Memorial Tower” in The Architect and Engineer of California and the Pacific Coast States, December 1933, 15.

Figures 2-12  Mural details, 1983; Don Beatty Photographer. Source: Volcano Press. Permission expressly requested and provided.
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First Floor
Coit Memorial Tower (Additional Documentation)

Photo Key: Second Floor

SECOND FLOOR

Sections 9-end page 56
Coit Memorial Tower (Additional Documentation)
Name of Property
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Figure 1. View of completed Coit Tower looking from Washington Square Park, 1933.

Figure 2. Detail from *California Industrial Scenes* by John Langley Howard; mural located on the first floor, north wall.
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Figure 3. Detail from *Industries of California* by Ralph Ward Stackpole; mural located on the first floor, west wall.

Figure 4. Detail from *Library* by Bernard Baruch Zakheim; mural located on the first floor, south wall.
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Figure 5. Detail from *City Life* by Victor Arnautoff; mural located on the first floor, south wall.

Figure 6. Detail from *Banking and Law* by George Albert Harris; mural located on the first floor, south wall.
Figure 7. Detail from *Department Store* by Frede Jensen Vidar; mural located on the first floor, east wall.

![Department Store mural](image1)

Figure 8. Detail from *Farmer and Cowboy* by Clifford Seymour Wight. The solitary cowboy figure is thought to be a self-portrait of the artist; mural located on the first floor, east wall.

![Farmer and Cowboy mural](image2)
Figure 9. Detail from *California Agriculture* by Maxine Albro; mural located on the first floor, east wall.

![Mural of farmers harvesting crops](image)

Figure 10. View of *San Francisco Bay* by Otis Oldfield, oil on canvas; located ground floor, elevator lobby.

![Painting of boats in San Francisco Bay](image)
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**Figure 11.** Detail from *Powell Street* by Lucien Labaudt, the largest single fresco at Coit Tower; located along both sides of the stairway to the second floor. The mural includes images of Eleanor Roosevelt and Edward Bruce, influential supporters of the PWAP.

![Image of Powell Street mural](image1.png)

**Figure 12.** Detail from *Outdoor Life* by Benjamin Frazier Cunningham; mural located on the second floor.

![Image of Outdoor Life mural](image2.png)
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State & County: CALIFORNIA, San Francisco
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Date of Pending List: Date of 16th Day: Date of 45th Day: Date of Weekly List: 8/30/2018

Reference number: RS07001468

Nominator: State

Reason For Review: 

X Accept Return Reject 8/13/2018 Date

Abstract/Summary Comments: The Additional Documentation amends the 2008 nomination to document a national level of significance in the area of Art. The Coit Memorial Tower is of national significance under National Register Criterion C in the area of Art. Completed in 1933, with interior artwork completed by 1934, the Coit Tower project represented one of the most ambitious efforts of the Federal Public Works of Art Project (PWAP) program in the country. Built as a commemorative memorial to San Francisco's rich history, the building's unique collection of Depression-era artwork represents a significant assemblage of American Art Scene/Social Realism works completed by noted regional artists. A part of the nation's first Depression-era work relief efforts undertaken under the Civil Works Administration (CWA), the Public Works Art Project (PWAP) was the Roosevelt Administration's initial foray into art patronage. The short-lived PWAP program lasted only one year, but employed over 3,700 artists and craftsmen and created more than 15,000 works of art. The success of the program in no small measure led to the direct development of later, more ambitious federal art programs. Additional context regarding the pioneering era of federal work relief art programs at the national level and the role of the Coit Tower commission in furthering the interests and success of these early efforts and the American Art Scene movement supports a national level significance for the Tower.

Recommendation/ Criteria: Accept Additional Documentation (national level).

Reviewer Paul Lusignan Discipline Historian

Telephone (202)354-2229 Date 8/13/2018

DOCUMENTATION: see attached comments: No see attached SLR: No
Coit Memorial Tower (Amendment)  
San Francisco, San Francisco County  
Staff Report  

Coit Memorial Tower, more popularly referred to as Coit Tower, is located at 1 Telegraph Hill Boulevard, in San Francisco. Designed in the Art Deco style by Arthur Brown, Jr. as a commemorative monument and observation tower, it was built during the Great Depression, largely financed by a bequest to the City and County of San Francisco from Lillie Hitchcock Coit. At a height of 182 feet, the unpainted, reinforced concrete tower is a three-part cylindrical composition (shaft, belvedere, and lantern) that rises from a squared, cubic base. The basic form, the fluted shaft, rises to two upper elements that both function as observation decks. Coit Tower is located atop Telegraph Hill, within the boundaries of public Pioneer Park. The tower’s immediate setting is defined by mature trees and greenery, staircases, terraces and walkways, and views in all directions. Coit Tower’s interior walls at the first and second floors, and in the winding stairway that connects both floors, are covered with twenty-seven murals that comprise a large-scale, fresco, New Deal art project titled *Aspects of Life in California, 1934*. Coit Tower retains a high level of integrity.

This nomination amends the 2008 National Register nomination, to document national level of significance under Criterion C in the area of Art. Coit Tower rises to the national level of significance because it is intrinsically associated with the extraordinary permanent exhibition of federally funded art created through the Public Works of Art Project (PWAP). The Coit Tower mural project was the single largest PWAP venture in the country and the most ambitious test of whether federally funded arts programs could work. Its success served as a model for an array of New Deal arts programs that followed. The Coit Tower murals possess exceptional value in interpreting the themes of the Great Depression and New Deal idealism, and in showcasing the work of twenty-five of the region’s finest artists, including four women. This extensive fresco undertaking is an unusual example of a large group of muralists working in unison. The murals’ content and expression were directly influenced by Diego Rivera. They comprised the most extensive use of fresco technique up to that time, which until then had been rare. The period of significance, 1933 to 1934, encompasses construction of the tower, completion of the frescoed murals, and public inauguration of the building. Originally designed and intended as a commemorative civic monument, Coit Tower was named in honor of Lillie Hitchcock Coit, whose wealth financed its construction. As a property exceptionally significant apart from the value of the person memorialized by the monument, Coit Memorial Tower satisfies Criteria Consideration F: Commemorative Properties.

The property is nominated by Protect Coit Tower, a citizens’ advocacy organization. The Historic Preservation Commission, in its role as representative of San Francisco, a Certified Local Government, approved the nomination unanimously at their regular meeting January 17, 2018 and submitted a resolution of recommendation. Eighteen additional letters of support have been received to date. Staff supports the nomination as written and recommends the State Historical Resources Commission determine Coit Memorial Tower is eligible under National Register Criterion C at the national level of significance—amending the earlier nomination—with a 1933 to 1934 period of significance, and meets Criteria Consideration F. Staff recommends the State Historic Preservation Officer approve the nomination for forwarding to the National Park Service for listing on the National Register.

Amy H. Crain  
State Historian II  
February 2, 2018
January 31, 2018

Ms. Julianne Polanco
State Historic Preservation Officer
Office of Historic Preservation
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95816-7100

Ms. Polanco:

I am writing in strong support of the revised nomination of Coit Memorial Tower to the National Register.

As the Supervisor representing North Beach, Telegraph Hill and Pioneer Park, wherein Coit Memorial Tower stands, I have long advocated for the maintenance of the historic murals and surrounding grounds of this iconic, historic landmark. I also convene a bi-monthly working group of skilled and knowledgeable community members who have dedicated thousands of hours to advancing the preservation and history of Coit Memorial Tower.

The proposed nomination amends the 2008 National Register nomination to further document Coit Memorial Tower at a national level of significance. As the repository for the single largest Public Works of Art Project (PWAP in the country – among the most ambitious testaments to whether a federally-funded arts programs could work – the Tower clearly rises to the level of national significance. Its value as a historical artifact and reference point for the United States during the Great Depression and subsequent New Deal are unprecedented.

I look forward to the success of the revised nomination to the National Register and wholeheartedly support this effort.

Sincerely,

Aaron Peskin
January 25, 2018

Julianne Polanco
State Historic Preservation Officer
Office of Historic Preservation
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95816

RE: National Register Nomination CLG Review

Dear Ms. Polanco:

On behalf of the San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) and Planning Department, we would like to thank you for the opportunity to comment on the National Register of Historic Places nomination for the Coit Memorial Tower, 1 Telegraph Hill Blvd.; The Women’s Building and YMCA. Please find enclosed HPC Resolutions and Planning Department case reports.

**Coit Memorial Tower, 1 Telegraph Hill Blvd.**
The HPC agrees that the property is locally significant under is nationally significant under National Register Criterion C (Design/Construction) in the area of art for its association with the mural *Aspects of Life in California*, 1934, which is the single largest exhibition of federally funded art created through Public Works of Art Project (PWAP) in the country and the mural possesses exceptional value in interpreting the themes of the Great Depression and New Deal idealism. The HPC agrees the property meets the requirements of Criteria Consideration F for commemorative properties as it demonstrates its own exceptional significance apart from the value of the person it memorializes, Lillie Hitchcock Coit, and is primarily nationally significant for its intrinsic association with the mural. The HPC recommends the property be nominated to the National Register and that the Office of Historic Preservation process the amendment.

**The Women’s Building, 3543 18th Street**
The HPC agrees that the property is nationally significant under Criterion A for its association with second wave feminism, one of the late twentieth century’s most consequential social movements, and a period of significance of 1978 to 1994, which captures the beginnings, formation, and consolidation of The Women’s Building, culminating with the creation of the major mural project, *Maestrapeace*. The HPC also agrees that The Women’s Building meets the requirements of Criterion Consideration G for properties that have achieved significance within the past fifty years for its association with the nationally significant second wave feminist movement and as a location where the struggle for women’s rights was linked to additional community struggles, including those of marginalized racial/ethnic communities, LGBTQ people, immigrants, and others. The HPC recommends the property be nominated to the National Register and that the Office of Historic Preservation process the nomination.

www.sfplanning.org
San Francisco Central YMCA, 220 Golden Gate Ave.
The HPC agrees that the property is locally significant under National Register Criterion A (Events) in the areas of social history and education as the headquarters and lead branch of the YMCA and as the birthplace of Golden Gate University. The San Francisco YMCA was founded in 1853 to offer spiritual, social, recreational, and educational programs to young men in the city. The YMCA established San Francisco’s first night school in 1881, a four-year law college in 1901, and expanded its programs through the early twentieth century eventually leading to the establishment of Golden Gate University. The HPC also agrees the building is locally significant under National Register Criterion C (Design/Construction) as an example of Renaissance Revival style architecture.

Please note, the HPC recommends expanding the discussion of the social programs offered at the Central YMCA, including programs for youth, veterans, unemployed, and homeless, and their impact on city residents. The nomination provides a strong overview of the education programs offered, but would benefit from more detail on the social welfare programs that were a strong component of the institution’s mission. The HPC also recommends further analysis of the work of the McDougall Brothers. The nomination does not discuss how the property fits within their body of work or the firm’s role in the history of the built environment in San Francisco. The HPC does not agree that the YMCA is eligible under Criterion C as representative of an “early twentieth-century community building.” As detailed in the nomination, YMCA buildings were designed to meet the programmatic needs of the organization and required a thoughtfully considered building plan unique to the needs of the organization, but it is unclear how this constitutes a new building type. The HPC further recommends copy editing the document to ensure consistency and improve the organization and readability of the nomination. The HPC recommends the property be nominated to the National Register and that the Office of Historic Preservation process the nomination.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Tim Frye
Historic Preservation Officer
ADOPTING FINDINGS RECOMMENDING TO THE STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER THAT 1 TELEGRAPH HILL BLVD. (AKA COIT MEMORIAL TOWER), ASSESSOR’S BLOCK NO. 0086 LOT 012, BE NOMINATED TO THE NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES AND THAT THE OFFICE OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION PROCESS THE NATIONAL REGISTER NOMINATION AMENDMENT.

PREAMBLE

WHEREAS, On November 29, 2017, Julianne Polanco, State Historic Preservation Officer, forwarded a request to the San Francisco Planning Department (hereinafter “Department”) for Historic Preservation Commission review and comment on the amendment of the nomination of 1 Telegraph Hill Blvd., also known as Coit Memorial Tower, Assessor’s Block No. 0086, Lot 012, to the National Register of Historic Places (hereinafter “National Register”).

WHEREAS, Pursuant to the Certified Local Government Agreement between the Office of Historic Preservation (hereinafter “OHP”) and the City and County of San Francisco, the Historic Preservation Commission (hereinafter “Commission”) has a forty-five (45) day review and comment period to provide written comments to the OHP before the State Historical Resources Commission takes action on the above-stated National Register nomination.

WHEREAS, The National Register is the official list of the Nation’s cultural resources worthy of preservation. The National Register’s criteria for evaluating the significance of properties were designed to recognize the accomplishments of all peoples who have made a contribution to the Nation’s heritage in the areas of Events, Persons, Design/Construction, and Information Potential. The four National Register criteria are designed to guide state and local governments, federal agencies and others in evaluating potential entries into the National Register.
WHEREAS, At its hearing on February 17, 2018, the Commission, acting in its capacity as San Francisco’s Certified Local Government Commission, reviewed the National Register nomination amendment of 1 Telegraph Hill Blvd., also known as Coit Memorial Tower, Assessor’s Block No. 0086, Lot 012.

WHEREAS, In reviewing the nomination, the Commission had available for its review and consideration reports, photographs, and other materials pertaining to the nomination contained in the Department’s case file, and has reviewed and heard testimony and received materials from interested parties during the public hearing on the Project.

WHEREAS, According to the nomination’s summary, 1 Telegraph Hill Blvd. is nationally significant under National Register Criterion C in the area of art for its association with the mural *Aspects of Life in California, 1934*, which is the single largest exhibition of federally funded art created through Public Works of Art Project (PWAP) in the country and the mural possesses exceptional value in interpreting the themes of the Great Depression and New Deal idealism.

WHEREAS, According to the nomination’s summary, 1 Telegraph Hill Blvd. meets the requirements of Criteria Consideration F for commemorative properties as it demonstrates its own exceptional significance apart from the value of the person it memorializes, Lillie Hitchcock Coit, and is primarily nationally significant for its intrinsic association with the mural.

WHEREAS, The Commission agrees that the property is nationally significant under National Register Criterion C in the area of art; and meets the requirements of Criterion Consideration F for commemorative properties.

WHEREAS, Properties listed in the National Register of Historic Places are automatically included in the California Register of Historical Resources and afforded consideration in accordance with state and local environmental review procedures.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Historic Preservation Commission hereby supports the nomination amendment of 1 Telegraph Hill Blvd.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Historic Preservation Commission hereby recommends that the property located at 1 Telegraph Hill Blvd., also known as Coit Memorial Tower, Assessor’s Block No. 0086, Lot 012, be nominated to the National Register of Historic Places, and that the Office of Historic Preservation process the National Register nomination amendment.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Historic Preservation Commission hereby directs its Recording Secretary to transmit this Resolution, and other pertinent materials in the case file 2017-015688FED to the State Historic Preservation Officer.
I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was Adopted by the Historic Preservation Commission on January 17, 2018.

Jonas P. Ionth
Commission Secretary

AYES:       Hyland, Johnck, Matsuda, Pearlman, Wolfram
NAYS:       None
ABSENT:     Johns
ADOPTED:    January 17, 2018
January 22, 2018

Ms. Julianne Polanco  
State Historic Preservation Officer  
Office of Historic Preservation  
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100  
Sacramento, CA 95816-7100

Attn: Amy H. Crain

Re: Letter of Support for Coit Memorial Tower (Amendment) National Register Nomination

Ms. Polanco:

I am writing in support of the revised nomination of Coit Memorial Tower to the National Register of Historic Places. I understand that it will be reviewed at the State Historic Resources Commission on February 2, 2018.

The revised nomination would recognize the Coit Tower murals as being not only of state significance, but as being truly of national significance as the first large scale mural project funded by the New Deal. Based in part on new information, the revised nomination would also correct key errors about Coit Tower and the murals that were made in the previous nomination.

I do tours of Coit Tower and can attest to the interest of visitors in the tower's history and its exquisite murals. People from throughout California, the United States and countries throughout the world marvel at the insight the murals give into life during the 1930s and the range of agriculture and industry within California.

On behalf of the San Francisco Art Commission, I rewrote the signage for each mural in time for the rededication of the Coit Tower murals.
after their most recent restoration. The research needed for this project created for me a greater appreciation of the themes of the murals and the fresco technique used in creating most of them. This seemingly seamless chronicle of California life is unique not only for this state but for any state in this country.

Our organization, the National New Deal Preservation Association, is dedicated to the mission of identifying, preserving, documenting, and educating people about the legacy of the New Deal (1933-42) visual and performing arts, literature, crafts, structures and environmental projects. As someone involved with New Deal sites across the country, I can verify that Coit Tower with its artwork is among a handful of extremely important New Deal sites in the United States.

The exceptional value of Coit Memorial Tower merits recognition on the National Register. I fully support its nomination.

Sincerely,

Harvey Smith, President
National New Deal Preservation Association

cc: Katherine Petrin
January 19, 2018

Ms. Julianne Polanco  
State Historic Preservation Officer  
Office of Historic Preservation 1725 23rd Street, Suite 100  
Sacramento, CA 95816-7100  
Attn: Amy H. Crain

Sent via email

Re: Letter of Support for Coit Memorial Tower (Amendment) National Register Nomination

Dear Ms. Polanco,

I am writing in support of the amendment to the nomination of San Francisco’s Coit Memorial Tower to the National Register of Historic Places. On behalf of the Living New Deal’s advisors, scholars, historians, librarians, preservationists and activist, I ask the Commission’s support for the nomination.

Completed in 1934, the murals in Coit Tower are among the first public works of art commissioned during the New Deal era. The 27 unique murals were produced under Public Works of Art Project (PWAP), which along with its successor, the Federal Art Project, hired unemployed artists during the Great Depression that nourished a Renaissance in American art.

The murals at Coit Tower are a national treasure offering a window into the history of San Francisco and the nation during the 1930s. Originally paid for with federal funds, the murals belong to all Americans. That San Franciscans recently voted to tax themselves to pay for a much-needed restoration is measure of the murals’ importance to the people for whom such public art was created.

Thank you recognizing their significance by supporting the nomination of Coit Tower to national significance. Your support will help ensure that these murals will continued to be enjoyed by millions of visitors from around the world for generations to come.

The Living New Deal is a national nonprofit established in 2007 at the University of California. Our research, publications, advocacy, talks and tours reach hundreds of thousands of people every year. Our growing online archive of thousands of New Deal sites is free to everyone to explore the legacy of the New Deal in every state.

Sincerely,

Richard Walker, Director
Ms. Julianne Polanco
State Historic Preservation Officer
Office of Historic Preservation
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95816-7100
Attn: Amy H. Crain

Dear Ms. Polanco:

I note that an amended Coit Memorial Tower nomination will be reviewed at the State Historic Resources Commission on February 2, 2018. I wish to express my enthusiastic support for this nomination.

I am a past member of the State Historic Resources Commission (appointed by Governor George Deukmejian), retired Executive Director of the California Historical Society, and a former President of the California Association of Museums.

I am a born and raised Californian from the San Francisco Bay area and a graduate of the University of California at Berkeley. I am also proud to say I am related to muralist Bernard Zakheim through my cousins Masha Zakheim, author of the best guide and history of the Tower, and her sister, 94 year old Ruth Gottstein, Zakheim's daughters.

I can't even count how many times I have visited Coit Tower, most often with visiting guests and family. The Tower is an incredible landmark, offering amazing views and a very special place in history and the history of art in the United States through its murals.

The Coit Tower murals, Aspects of Life in California, were an amazing, colorful and historic project, and now provide a rare view of WPA era art enjoyed by thousands and thousands of students, international visitors, and locals alike, seven days a week. I have been among the very few who have been able to view its "secret" frescoes hidden behind a door to the Tower's narrow stairwell, especially endangered due to their precarious location and lack of maintenance, care and conservation.

The Tower's frescoes uniquely tie the work of San Francisco artists to that of the world famous Mexican artist Diego Rivera whose own San Francisco murals, while spectacular, are hidden away in little known private or difficult to access venues.

The Tower and its murals and muralists were featured in the California Historical Society's exhibition "At Work: The Art of California Labor," and illustrated in that exhibit's cataloged by Heyday Books, California's premier publisher of books on the State's history, art and literature.

I heartily recommend you favorably consider this nomination.

Sincerely,

Stephen Becker
Seattle, Washington
tel: 808-982-6118
January 15, 2018

Ms. Julianne Polanco
State Historic Preservation Officer
Office of Historic Preservation
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95816-7100
Attn: Amy H. Crain

Dear Ms. Polanco:

I understand that an amended Coit Memorial Tower nomination will be reviewed at the State Historic Resources Commission on 2 February 2018. I wish to express my support for this nomination.

I believe Coit Tower should be recognized for its national prominence and for its association with the extraordinary permanent exhibition of federally funded art created through the Public Works of Art Project (PWAP), the Coit Tower mural project, titled Aspects of Life in California, an extensive fresco undertaking.

The Coit Tower murals possess exceptional value in interpreting the themes of the Great Depression and New Deal idealism and in showcasing the work of twenty-five of the region’s finest artists.

Thank you for your consideration of this important property.

Sincerely,

Adam Gottstein
(Grandson of Bernard Zakheim, one of the 25 artists at Coit Tower)

Cc: Amy Crain
January 15, 2018

Ms. Julianne Polanco  
State Historic Preservation Officer  
Office of Historic Preservation  
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100  
Sacramento, CA 95816-7100  
Attn: Amy H. Crain

Dear Ms. Polanco:

I understand that an amended Coit Memorial Tower nomination will be reviewed at the State Historic Resources Commission on 2 February 2018. I wish to express my support for this nomination.

I believe Coit Tower should be recognized for its national prominence and for its association with the extraordinary permanent exhibition of federally funded art created through the Public Works of Art Project (PWAP), the Coit Tower mural project, titled Aspects of Life in California, an extensive fresco undertaking.

The Coit Tower murals possess exceptional value in interpreting the themes of the Great Depression and New Deal idealism and in showcasing the work of twenty-five of the region’s finest artists.

Thank you for your consideration of this important property.

Sincerely,

Ruth Gottstein  
(Daughter of Bernard Zakheim, one of the 25 artists at Coit Tower)

Cc: Amy Crain
January 17, 2018

Ms. Julianne Polanco
State Historic Preservation Officer
Office of Historic Preservation
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95816-7100
Attn: Amy H. Crain

Dear Ms. Polanco:

I understand that an amended Coit Memorial Tower nomination will be reviewed at the State Historic Resources Commission on February 2, 2018. I wish to express my support for this nomination.

I believe Coit Tower should be recognized for its national prominence and for its association with the extraordinary permanent exhibition of federally funded art created through the Public Works of Art Project (PWAP), the Coit Tower mural project, titled Aspects of Life in California, an extensive fresco undertaking.

The Coit Tower murals possess exceptional value in interpreting the themes of the Great Depression and New Deal idealism and in showcasing the work of twenty-five of the region’s finest artists.

Thank you for your consideration of this important property.

Sincerely,

Janet Ruth Shapiro
Fairfield, California
az.sagittario@gmail.com
January 16, 2018

Ms. Amy Crain  
State Historic Preservation Officer  
Office of Historic Preservation  
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100  
Sacramento, CA 95816-7100

Dear Ms. Crain:

I understand that an amended Coit Memorial Tower nomination will be reviewed at the State Historic Resources Commission on February 2, 2018. I wish to express my support for this nomination.

I believe Coit Tower should be recognized for its national prominence and for its association with the extraordinary permanent exhibition of federally funded art created through the Public Works of Art Project (PWAP), the Coit Tower mural project, titled Aspects of Life in California, an extensive fresco undertaking.

The Coit Tower murals possess exceptional value in interpreting the themes of the Great Depression and New Deal idealism and in showcasing the work of twenty-five of the region’s finest artists.

Thank you for your consideration of this important property.

Sincerely,

Phylis Bass  
San Francisco
I understand that an amended Coit Memorial Tower nomination will be reviewed at the State Historic Resources Commission on February 2, 2018. I wish to express my support for this nomination.

I believe Coit Tower should be recognized for its national prominence and for its association with the extraordinary permanent exhibition of federally funded art created through the Public Works of Art Project (PWAP), the Coit Tower mural project, titled Aspects of Life in California, an extensive fresco undertaking.

The Coit Tower murals possess exceptional value in interpreting the themes of the Great Depression and New Deal idealism and in showcasing the work of twenty-five of the region’s finest artists.

Thank you for your consideration of this important property.

Sincerely,

San Francisco Native Catherine Gernhardt
January 16, 2018

Ms. Julianne Polanco  
State Historic Preservation Officer  
Office of Historic Preservation  
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100  
Sacramento, CA 95816-7100  
Attn: Amy H. Crain

Dear Ms. Polanco:

I understand that an amended Coit Memorial Tower nomination will be reviewed at the State Historic Resources Commission on February 2, 2018. I wish to express my support for this nomination.

I believe Coit Tower should be recognized for its national prominence and for its association with the extraordinary permanent exhibition of federally funded art created through the Public Works of Art Project (PWAP), the Coit Tower mural project, titled Aspects of Life in California, an extensive fresco undertaking.

The Coit Tower murals possess exceptional value in interpreting the themes of the Great Depression and New Deal idealism and in showcasing the work of twenty-five of the region’s finest artists.

Thank you for your consideration of this important property.

Sincerely,

Virginia W Berton  
215 Boarman St  
Jackson CA 95642 – 2509  
bzberton@yahoo.com  209 223 4015
I understand that an amended Coit Memorial Tower nomination will be reviewed at the State Historic Resources Commission on February 2, 2018. I wish to express my support for this nomination.

I believe Coit Tower should be recognized for its national prominence and for its association with the extraordinary permanent exhibition of federally funded art created through the Public Works of Art Project (PWAP), the Coit Tower mural project, titled Aspects of Life in California, an extensive fresco undertaking.

The Coit Tower murals possess exceptional value in interpreting the themes of the Great Depression and New Deal idealism and in showcasing the work of twenty-five of the region’s finest artists.

Thank you for your consideration of this important property.

Sincerely,
Shari L. Schreiber
Dear Amy:

I understand that an amended Coit Memorial Tower nomination will be reviewed at the State Historic Resources Commission on February 2, 2018. I wish to express my support for this nomination.

I believe Coit Tower should be recognized for its national prominence and for its association with the extraordinary permanent exhibition of federally funded art created through the Public Works of Art Project (PWAP), the Coit Tower mural project, titled Aspects of Life in California, an extensive fresco undertaking.

The Coit Tower murals possess exceptional value in interpreting the themes of the Great Depression and New Deal idealism and in showcasing the work of twenty-five of the region’s finest artists.

Thank you for your consideration of this important property.

Sincerely,
Scott Berrian
Ms. Julianne Polanco  
State Historic Preservation Officer  
Office of Historic Preservation  
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100  
Sacramento, CA 95816-7100  
Attn: Amy H. Crain

Dear Ms. Polanco:

I understand that an amended Coit Memorial Tower nomination will be reviewed at the State Historic Resources Commission on 2 February 2018. I wish to express my support for this nomination.

I believe Coit Tower should be recognized for its national prominence and for its association with the extraordinary permanent exhibition of federally funded art created through the Public Works of Art Project (PWAP), the Coit Tower mural project, titled *Aspects of Life in California*, an extensive fresco undertaking.

The Coit Tower murals possess exceptional value in interpreting the themes of the Great Depression and New Deal idealism and in showcasing the work of twenty-five of the region’s finest artists.

Thank you for your consideration of this important property.

Sincerely,

Amarilis Iscold
I understand that an amended Coit Memorial Tower nomination will be reviewed at the State Historic Resources Commission on February 2, 2018. I wish to express my support for this nomination.

I believe Coit Tower should be recognized for its national prominence and for its association with the extraordinary permanent exhibition of federally funded art created through the Public Works of Art Project (PWAP), the Coit Tower mural project, titled Aspects of Life in California, an extensive fresco undertaking.

The Coit Tower murals possess exceptional value in interpreting the themes of the Great Depression and New Deal idealism and in showcasing the work of twenty-five of the region’s finest artists.

Thank you for your consideration of this important property.

Sincerely,

Love is the answer...to almost every question.
Ms. Julianne Polanco  
State Historic Preservation Officer  
Office of Historic Preservation  
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100  
Sacramento, CA 95816-7100  
Attn: Amy H. Crain

Dear Ms. Polanco:

I understand that an amended Coit Memorial Tower nomination will be reviewed at the State Historic Resources Commission on February 2, 2018. I wish to express my support for this nomination.

I believe Coit Tower should be recognized for its national prominence and for its association with the extraordinary permanent exhibition of federally funded art created through the Public Works of Art Project (PWAP), the Coit Tower mural project, titled Aspects of Life in California, an extensive fresco undertaking.

The Coit Tower murals possess exceptional value in interpreting the themes of the Great Depression and New Deal idealism and in showcasing the work of twenty-five of the region’s finest artists.

Thank you for your consideration of this important property.

Sincerely,

Wyman Dickerson
Ms. Julianne Polanco
State Historic Preservation Officer
Office of Historic Preservation
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95816-7100
Attn: Amy H. Crain

Dear Ms. Polanco:

I understand that an amended Coit Memorial Tower nomination will be reviewed at the State Historic Resources Commission on February 2, 2018. I wish to express my support for this nomination.

I believe Coit Tower should be recognized for its national prominence and for its association with the extraordinary permanent exhibition of federally funded art created through the Public Works of Art Project (PWAP), the Coit Tower mural project, titled Aspects of Life in California, an extensive fresco undertaking.

The Coit Tower murals possess exceptional value in interpreting the themes of the Great Depression and New Deal idealism and in showcasing the work of twenty-five of the region’s finest artists.

Thank you for your consideration of this important property.

Sincerely,

Stephen E. Dannels
Ms. Julianne Polanco  
State Historic Preservation Officer  
Office of Historic Preservation  
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100  
Sacramento, CA 95816-7100  
Attn: Amy H. Crain  

Dear Ms. Polanco:

I understand that an amended Coit Memorial Tower nomination will be reviewed at the State Historic Resources Commission on February 2, 2018. I wish to express my support for this nomination.

I believe Coit Tower should be recognized for its national prominence and for its association with the extraordinary permanent exhibition of federally funded art created through the Public Works of Art Project (PWAP), the Coit Tower mural project, titled Aspects of Life in California, an extensive fresco undertaking.

The Coit Tower murals possess exceptional value in interpreting the themes of the Great Depression and New Deal idealism and in showcasing the work of twenty-five of the region’s finest artists.

Thank you for your consideration of this important property.

Sincerely,

Geralyn Harder
January 12, 2018

Ms. Julianne Polanco  
State Historic Preservation Officer  
Office of Historic Preservation  
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100  
Sacramento, CA 95816-7100

Attn: Amy H. Crain  
Amy.Crain@parks.ca.gov

Re: Coit Memorial Tower (Amendment) National Register Nomination

Dear Ms. Polanco:

Telegraph Hill Dwellers (THD) is pleased to submit this letter of support for the revised nomination of Coit Memorial Tower to the National Register of Historic Places to your office and the State Historic Resources Commission.

We note that this revised National Register nomination addresses inaccuracies in the previous nomination, dated 2008, in which Coit Tower was listed under Criterion C at the state level of significance. The updated nomination for Coit Tower recognizes the Coit Tower murals as being of national significance and provides expanded information on the artists and the story of how the murals came to be.

As is explained in the revised nomination, the Coit Tower murals depict the high ideals and social values of the 1930s as expressed in New Deal art projects; they represent the collective effort of individuals in creating an exceptionally important artwork, one that focused on the reality of American life during the Great Depression while drawing upon the techniques of the Mexican muralists. As has been noted by prominent 20th century art critics, scholars and historians, no other Public Works of Art Project is comparable in terms of its scale of undertaking and legacy.

Founded in 1954, THD is one of the oldest, largest, and most widely respected neighborhood organizations in the nation. During its six decades of existence, THD has led the way to celebrate, beautify, and protect San Francisco’s most unique and historic neighborhood. Together with many committed neighbors, THD has, over the years, been vigilant with regard to Coit Tower’s appropriate maintenance, treatment and use. We are honored to look to Coit Memorial Tower as the beacon of our neighborhood. We note with pride that an especially beautiful photograph of Coit Tower and Telegraph Hill graces the home page of the Office of Historic Preservation’s website. We look forward to Coit Tower’s successful and appropriately updated listing on the National Register.

Sincerely,

Judy Irving, President
February 13, 2018

J. Paul Loether  
Chief, National Register of Historic Places/National Historic Landmarks Program  
Keeper, National Register of Historic Places  
National Park Service  
Mail Stop 7228  
1849 C Street, NW  
Washington D.C. 20240

Subject: Coit Memorial Tower (Amendment)  
San Francisco County, California  
National Register of Historic Places Nomination

Dear Mr. Loether:

The enclosed disk contains the true and correct copy of the nomination for COIT MEMORIAL TOWER (AMENDMENT) to the National Register of Historic Places. On February 2, 2018 in Sacramento, California, the California State Historical Resources Commission unanimously found the property eligible for the National Register at the national level of significance under Criterion C with a 1933 to 1934 period of significance.

This nomination amends the 2008 nomination, to document national level of significance in the area of Art. Coit Tower is associated with the extraordinary permanent exhibition of federally funded art created through the Public Works of Art Project. As a property exceptionally significant apart from the value of the person memorialized by the monument, Coit Memorial Tower satisfies Criteria Consideration F: Commemorative Properties.

The property is nominated by Protect Coit Tower, a citizens' advocacy organization. The San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission submitted a resolution of recommendation, and eighteen other letters of support have been received to date. If you have any questions regarding this nomination, please contact Amy Crain of my staff at (916) 445-7009.

Sincerely,

Jenan Saunders  
Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer

Enclosure
Stephen Worsley <saworsley@hotmail.com>  
To: "nr_info@nps.gov" <nr_info@nps.gov>  
Fri, Mar 9, 2018 at 11:01 AM

I made Coit a Nat His site. Now YOU must APPROACH OUR MAYOR AND SUGGEST a museum for these 27 1930's artists represented hidden inside this tacky tourist spot.

My campaign is:  Save Coit - the museum

act now!
Hi to Mr Beal.
National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and districts. See instructions in National Register Bulletin, How to Complete the National Register of Historic Places Registration Form. If any item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, architectural classification, materials, and areas of significance, enter only categories and subcategories from the instructions.

1. **Name of Property**
   
   Historic name: **Coit Memorial Tower (Amendment)**
   
   Other names/site number: **Coit Tower**
   
   Name of related multiple property listing: **N/A**
   
   (Enter "N/A" if property is not part of a multiple property listing)

2. **Location**
   
   Street & number: **1 Telegraph Hill Boulevard**
   
   City or town: **San Francisco**
   
   State: **California**
   
   County: **San Francisco**
   
   Not For Publication: [ ]
   
   Vicinity: [ ]

3. **State/Federal Agency Certification**
   
   As the designated authority under the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended,
   
   I hereby certify that this _X_ nomination ___ request for determination of eligibility meets the documentation standards for registering properties in the National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural and professional requirements set forth in 36 CFR Part 60.
   
   In my opinion, the property _X_ meets __ does not meet the National Register Criteria. I recommend that this property be considered significant at the following level(s) of significance:
   
   _X_ national ___ statewide ___ local

   Applicable National Register Criteria:
   
   _A_ _B_ _X_ _C_ _D_

   
   Jenan Saunders/Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer  
   
   California State Office of Historic Preservation  
   
   Date: **2/15/18**

   State or Federal agency/bureau or Tribal Government

   In my opinion, the property __ meets ___ does not meet the National Register criteria

   Signature of commenting official: ___________________________
   
   Date: ___________________________

   Title: State or Federal agency/bureau or Tribal Government
4. National Park Service Certification
I hereby certify that this property is:
- entered in the National Register
- determined eligible for the National Register
- determined not eligible for the National Register
- removed from the National Register
- other (explain:) ________________

5. Classification

Ownership of Property
(Check as many boxes as apply.)
- Private: 
  - Public – Local: [x]
  - Public – State: 
  - Public – Federal: 

Category of Property
(Check only one box.)
- Building(s): [x]
- District: 
- Site: 
- Structure: 
- Object: 

Signature of the Keeper __________________________  Date of Action __________________________
Number of Resources within Property
(Do not include previously listed resources in the count)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contributing</th>
<th>Noncontributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>_____________</td>
<td>_____________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>objects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_____________</td>
<td>_____________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of contributing resources previously listed in the National Register ____1____

6. Function or Use
Historic Functions
(Enter categories from instructions.)
RECREATION AND CULTURE: monument/marker
RECREATION AND CULTURE/work of art

Current Functions
(Enter categories from instructions.)
RECREATION AND CULTURE: monument/marker
RECREATION AND CULTURE/work of art
Coit Memorial Tower (Amendment)        San Francisco, California
Name of Property                                      County and State

7. Description

Architectural Classification
(Enter categories from instructions.)
MODERN MOVEMENT: Art Deco

___________________
___________________
___________________
___________________
___________________
___________________

Materials: (enter categories from instructions.)
Principal exterior materials of the property: _Reinforced Concrete___________________

Narrative Description
(Describe the historic and current physical appearance and condition of the property. Describe contributing and noncontributing resources if applicable. Begin with a summary paragraph that briefly describes the general characteristics of the property, such as its location, type, style, method of construction, setting, size, and significant features. Indicate whether the property has historic integrity.)

Summary Paragraph

Coit Memorial Tower, more popularly referred to as Coit Tower, is located at 1 Telegraph Hill Boulevard, in San Francisco. Designed in the Art Deco style by noted architect Arthur Brown, Jr. as a commemorative monument and observation tower, it was built during the Great Depression, largely financed by a bequest to the City and County of San Francisco from Lillie Hitchcock Coit. At a height of 182 feet, the unpainted, reinforced concrete tower is a three-part cylindrical composition (shaft, belvedere, and lantern) that rises from a squared, cubic base. The basic form, the fluted shaft, rises to two upper elements that both function as observation decks. Coit Tower is located atop Telegraph Hill, within the boundaries of public Pioneer Park. The tower’s immediate setting is defined by mature trees and greenery, staircases, terraces and walkways, and views in all directions. Coit Tower’s interior walls at the first and second floors, and in the winding stairway that connects both floors, are covered with twenty-seven murals that comprise a large-scale, fresco, New Deal art project titled Aspects of Life in California, 1934. Coit Tower retains a high level of integrity on both the exterior and interior.

Narrative Description

Site Description
Within the boundaries of the public 4.89-acre Pioneer Park, Coit Tower is located atop Telegraph Hill, historically an important and strategic vantage point. Pedestrians access Pioneer Park from
the residential neighborhoods east and west of the site via staircases on Filbert and Greenwich Streets; the staircases are of concrete, brick, or rough-hewn stone. Vehicles access the site via Telegraph Hill Boulevard, a narrow, two-lane paved road that winds around the hill and terminates at the summit in the circular parking lot in front of Coit Tower. The parking area is bounded by a sidewalk, a low wall measuring 18” in height, and bands of concrete, terraced (bleacher) seating on the east that separates the public area from the planted downward slopes. At the center of the parking lot is a large, raised planting bed encircled by a curb and railing above; at the center is a statue of Christopher Columbus, erected in 1957.

A monumental staircase to Coit Tower ascends from the south side of the parking lot to a landscaped plaza that leads to the tower’s entrance. Mounted to the base of the staircase, facing north is a bronze plaque, spanning 16’ 8”, which provides historical information and acknowledges donors; it was installed circa 1995. Walkways from the landscaped plaza extend around the tower’s east and west sides and converge at the rear (south). On the south side of the tower, exits lead to an Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) accessible terrace, the South Lawn, and the south-facing viewing points beyond, where a generally quieter, garden-like feeling prevails.

Minor site features not counted as resources within the nominated property boundary (the City parcel lot lines) include a utility outbuilding on the hill’s west slope, and a foot-operated water fountain on the monumental staircase landing. Both features date to the original 1933 construction. The drinking fountain was produced and installed by the Murdock Manufacturing and Supply Co. of Cincinnati, Ohio, in 1933.1

According to the general description of the building in the 1932 project specifications by architect Arthur Brown, Jr., Coit Tower was built as designed. Coit Tower retains its original appearance as described in the project specifications. Minor modifications have been made to meet accessibility requirements.

**Coit Tower, Description of Exterior and Overall Organization**

At a height of 182 feet, the unpainted, reinforced concrete tower is a three-part cylindrical composition (shaft, belvedere, and lantern) that rises from a squared, cubic base. The basic form, the fluted shaft, rises to two upper elements that both function as observation decks: an arcaded composition (the Belvedere Level), which is topped by an open-air circular crown (the Lantern Level). The interior of the tower is formed by two concentric cylinders housing a series of curved stairways and an elevator shaft at the center. The elevator accesses the second floor and the Belvedere Level.

A motif of arched openings is at play at the tower’s upper levels. Distinguishing the Belvedere Level are eight, high arched openings and a pre-cast concrete balustrade, which fronts the narrow observation walkway behind. Stairs that ascend from the elevator landing on the Belvedere Level access the Lantern Level. From the Lantern Level it is another 32 feet to the top of the tower.

---

1 In 2015, the Murdock Company repaired, restored, and re-installed the drinking fountain. At that time, the City realigned the water and drain line connections.
The 32-foot high cubic base is comprised of a segmental colonnade on three sides (east, south, and west), each side containing four ornamental columns separated by floor to ceiling glazing in the form of steel framed windows and doors. The north side is the location of the entrance portico. The wide staircase from the parking lot leads to a north-facing, recessed principal entrance within the portico, which is flanked by a pair of fluted columns. The south side is the location of a rear entrance where three pair of steel-framed doors open onto a raised deck.

Around the base of the tower are a series of exterior steps, walkways, terraces and broad landings. Their design is well integrated with the landscaped planting beds, and provides a transition to the mature trees and vegetation surrounding the base.

**Materials**

The tower is built with reinforced concrete throughout, including foundations, walls, floors, stairways, and terraces. The exterior concrete surfaces are finished with a dash coat of white cement. Interior finishes are wood and plaster with a concrete stairway. During construction, the outer reinforced concrete surfaces of Coit Tower were shaped with plywood forms, a new technique of the time that facilitated the creation of the curving flutes of the tower. According to the original specifications, the concrete was strengthened by adding diatomaceous earth.²

Flat roof decks at the second floor, Belvedere Level, and Lantern Level were finished with 6”x 9” quarry roofing tiles. Fenestration includes steel windows at the first floor gallery and Lantern Level; narrow, casement type, slit windows illuminating the corners of the main floor, upper stair landings, and storage rooms; and, round pivot windows at the elevator machine room and the stairway to the Lantern Level. Steel railings are located along the entrance steps, at the south terrace, and elsewhere at the tower base.

**Decorative Exterior Elements**

Decorative elements at the exterior of Coit Tower include an original 1933 bronze commemorative plaque by sculptor Haig Patigian mounted to the north wall east of the main entrance. Over the entry is a cast, high relief tablet by sculptor Robert Boardman Howard, brother of architect Henry Temple Howard, which depicts a phoenix, the mythical Greek bird rising from the ashes, a symbol of San Francisco since the 1850s.³ Flanking the phoenix are two bundled fasces, traditional symbols of republican government, dating to Roman times.

Bronze signage (circa 1995) that spans the base of the monumental stair at the parking lot level is a non-historic element.

---

³ Four members of the Howard family were involved at Coit Tower, including Robert and Henry as noted. Jane Berlandina, wife of Henry Howard, painted *Home Life*. John Langley Howard contributed *California Industrial Scenes*. In 1988, eight members of the Howard family were the subject of a major exhibit at the Oakland Museum of California, titled *The Howards: First Family of Bay Area Modernism*. The show documented the family’s profound and lasting effect on the development of the San Francisco Bay Area art community.
Coit Tower Interior
The tower’s primary public spaces are located at the first floor interior, where wide hallways or corridors, forming a squared “O” in plan, serve as circulation spaces and galleries that wrap the tower shaft. The hallways, or galleries, on the east, south, and west are almost fully glazed and capture natural light. Other spaces on the first floor include an elevator lobby, gift shop, and mechanical room; a pair of compact restrooms flanks the main entry lobby. Curving stairs lead from the elevator lobby to the second floor, location of another gallery, the former Keeper’s Quarters, and access to the roof deck over the base of the tower. Used as an office, the former Keeper’s Quarters was a three-room apartment that is partially built over the main entrance at the north. The curving stairs and storage spaces continue to ascend, encircling the elevator shaft, with landings at ten additional floor and mezzanine levels before terminating at the Lantern Level. Original steel-framed and Kalamein doors remain in use. The steel-framed doors were originally coated in aluminum paint, and exhibit many layers of paint. The motif of arched openings recurs, and can be seen in recessed niches, wall planes, openings, and windows.

Decorative Interior Elements
Apart from the murals, Coit Tower’s other decorative interior features include original interior ceiling-mounted light fixtures and sconces throughout and a double elevator door patterned with ornamental, geometric fretwork. At the east side of the north wall is a bronze plaque placed by Daughters of the American Revolution (DAR) commemorating the inner signal station on Telegraph Hill (1849) and the first western telegraph station (1853).

Description of Murals
Coit Tower’s interior walls at the first and second floors, and in the winding stairway that connects both floors, are covered with twenty-seven murals. The murals were produced by a group of twenty-five master artists (four women and twenty-one men), seventeen assistant artists (thirteen men, four women), seven laborers (three men, four women), and two plasterers (both men), all enlisted by the Public Works of Art Project, Region 15, to depict variations on the theme of “the contemporary American Scene in all its various aspects.” In all, the artwork covers 3,691 square feet of interior wall space.

Unifying Elements, Fresco Technique, Palette, Mexican Influence
Visually, the individual mural designs and their execution were unified by the medium of fresco, a consistent earth-toned palette, figurative content, and the overall influence of the Mexican mural movement of the 1920s. Twenty-three of the twenty-seven murals are frescoes carried out in the fresco buono technique in which the images are painted directly onto fresh plaster. The resulting artwork is integrated with the walls and is more durable.

---


5 Murals not carried out in the fresco technique are those in the elevator lobby, which are oil on canvas, and one mural on the second floor executed in egg tempera on plaster.
Most Coit Tower artists painted in the style of the Mexican muralists Diego Rivera, David Alfaro Siqueiros, and José Clemente Orozco, utilizing the same intense saturated colors, an earthen color palette, rounded forms, two-dimensional overlappings, and standardized scale.

**Themes and Content**

Titled *Aspects of Life in California, 1934*, the murals are organized around four major themes: agriculture, industry, city life, and recreation and leisure in California, especially the Bay Area, in 1934. The scenes depict social, political, and economic concerns of the 1930s as well as San Francisco bay landscapes. The murals are grouped by theme, and experienced by the viewer sequentially, with rural illustrations on the east side of the ground floor, industrial depictions on the west, city life on the south and along the staircase to the second floor, and recreation and home life on the second floor.

The ground floor was designated for California agriculture, industry, and the “city life resulting from both.”6 The four largest locations are on the interior walls, and were assigned to experienced fresco muralists. Eight smaller murals were done on the exterior walls, and tall figures were painted on either side of the three windows. From the tower’s main entrance, agricultural scenes are on the left, including dairy farming, cattle raising, and meatpacking. Maxine Albro’s large mural featuring viticulture, fruit and vegetable raising, and flower cultivation faces the east window. A department store lunch counter and wine shop on the east wall of the southeast corner, and the Grain Exchange on the south wall of the southeast corner provide a transition from agriculture to the urban consumption of agricultural produce. The murals on the south wall depict banking, the stock market, the law, science, and a public library. Victor Arnatoff’s large mural of city life faces the south window. Newspaper publishing, on the west wall next to the public library, provides the transition to California industries, including oil refining, metal working, and food processing in Ralph Stackpole’s large mural facing the west window, along with smaller murals depicting railroad and maritime transportation and an oil field, a hydroelectric dam, and mining.

The content of many of the scenes was also informed by contemporaneous events, local, national and international. The vast majority of art at Coit Tower shows “everyday life without incident.”7 For example, the murals illustrate people toiling or at a lunch counter, a fashionable downtown crowd, collegians at sport, and campers. The murals capture San Francisco and the Bay Area at a specific moment. San Francisco’s hotels, cable cars, restaurants, newspaper headlines, and billboards convey a keen sense of events in early 1934.

The muralists, many of whom lived in the North Beach area and were affiliated with the California School of Fine Arts (later the San Francisco Art Institute) on nearby Russian Hill, drew inspiration and ideas from places within walking distance of Coit Tower. For example, the salami factories of North Beach, the offices and printing presses of the *San Francisco Chronicle* newspaper building at 5th and Mission Streets, and financial district scenes provided artists with subjects for sketching. Other muralists roamed the city, sketchpad in hand.

---

6 Zakheim, *Coit Tower*, 22.
7 Ibid., 38.
Controversial Content
Consistent with the prevalent mood of the era, several artists incorporated into their murals more overt political messages and provocative images of labor. Although the Coit Tower murals became associated with political controversy, this was somewhat overstated, even at the time. One local art critic called it “the tempest in the Coit Memorial teapot.”\(^8\) The legacy of the Coit Tower murals’ political controversy reappeared throughout later New Deal art programs nationwide as administrators closely supervised federal art projects to avoid such controversies.

Description of Individual Murals
The architectural symmetry of the ground floor interior and the variety of wall planes was advantageous for dividing surfaces for individual murals. Thematically unified and interrelated, the content of the murals is presented sequentially and logically as the viewer moves around the gallery, viewing rural scenes on the east side of the ground floor, urban life on the south, and industrial depictions on the west.

The murals are described in counterclockwise sequence, following the visitors’ path of travel, beginning at the north (main) entrance, moving from right to left, north to west to south to east, around the ground floor of the tower.\(^9\)

North Wall (mural facing north)
*Animal Force & Machine Force* by Raymond Sceptre Boynton (1883-1951)
Technique: *fresco buono*
Upon entry, this capacious mural (10’ x 36’) is important because it introduces visitors to the artwork. As such, this fresco was designed to carry out several important functions. It depicts two major themes throughout, the rural and the industrial scenes of California in 1934. It also subtly orients visitors to the physical division of subject matter with rural illustrations on the east side of the ground floor and industrial depictions on the west. This north-facing mural also serves as the thematic counterpart to Arnautoff’s south-facing *City Life* located on the opposite side of the tower.\(^10\) A boy painted into an existing alcove holds a book with text that introduces the visitor to “Coit Tower 1933” and the “Public Works of Art Project 1934.” Some scholars have suggested that the somewhat drooping, over-scaled eyes painted over the arched lobby entrance at the center are those of artist Diego Rivera, implying a larger-than-life figure symbolically overseeing the project.

---

\(^9\) Information on mural content and interpretation is largely synthesized from Masha Zakheim, *Coit Tower, San Francisco: Its History and Art* pages 41-112.
\(^10\) Zakheim, *Coit Tower*, 42.
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North Wall (mural facing south)

*California Industrial Scenes* by John Langley Howard (1902-1999)
Technique: *fresco buono*
Located at the northwest corner, the dimensions of this mural are 10’ by 24’. The mural depicts industry of the State such as construction, oil-well drilling, mining, hydroelectric power, and orchard enterprises. In addition to industrial scenes, the mural conveys powerful social statements and juxtaposes contrasting figures, the affluent alongside a poor, migrant family. Included in the mural is one of two African Americans in the Coit Tower project, a worker toward the front of a phalanx of laborers. This mural was one of two Coit Tower murals that was altered by city officials before Coit Tower was opened to the public. As painted by the artist, this mural included an image of the *Western Worker*, a Communist Party newspaper, tucked under the arm of a man participating in a demonstration on May Day, a traditional international labor holiday. Without the artist’s knowledge or consent, the words “Western Worker” across the top of the newspaper were painted over before the tower was opened to the public.11

West Wall (mural facing east)

*Railroad and Shipping* by William Jürgen Hesthal (1908-1985)
Technique: *fresco buono*
Located at the northwest corner, the dimensions of this mural are 10’ by 10’. The artist depicts the sluggish transportation industry in the 1930s symbolized by a ship in port and an idle train, both going nowhere, and attended to by several workers. Some of the workers are clearing the tracks of weeds and a flagman seems to be warning of the imminent approach of the train, perhaps an invocation of the coming economic revival under the New Deal. The Third Street Bridge at China Basin was completed in 1933 and appears in the background.

West Wall (mural facing east)

*Surveyor and Ironworker* by Clifford Seymour Wight (1891-1961)
Technique: *fresco buono*
Located on the west wall, flanking the window wall and colonnade, the two figures presented on these 10’ x 4’ panels offset the *Cowboy and Farmer* on the east wall. Here a surveyor stands near a tripod mounted with a level looking in the direction of an ironworker weighed down by heavy equipment and other tools. The areas immediately above these frescoes originally contained other small murals by Wight, including a hammer and sickle, that were deemed by the government to be controversial and were no longer visible when Coit Tower officially opened to the public in October 1934.

West Wall (mural facing west)

*Industries of California* by Ralph Ward Stackpole (1885-1973)
Technique: *fresco buono*
Measuring 10’ x 36’, this mural’s assemblage of vignettes of industrial activities is the thematic counterpart to Maxine Albro’s expansive mural depicting a range of agricultural activities. Stackpole’s mural depicts male and female laborers as chemical workers, steel workers, and

sugar and food processing employees, all major components of California’s industrial economy at the time. This mural is one of three (see also Powell Street by Lucien Labaudt and California Industrial Scenes by John Langley Howard) that includes representations of individuals of color. Stackpole painted canning plant workers who appear to be Asian American or of Asian descent.

In this mural Stackpole created what Masha Zakheim describes as “large modeled shapes in the industrial pipes, barrels, storage tanks, and machinery characterized by the convoluted plastic forms reminiscent of Diego Rivera’s in Detroit”—the Detroit Industry frescoes, which portray the automotive business. Other scholars have noted similarities to Rivera’s Detroit project, which is not surprising since the two men were close. Stackpole was largely responsible for bringing Diego Rivera to San Francisco in 1930 and hosted Rivera at his studio on Telegraph Hill while Rivera stayed in the city.

Assistant artist Helen Clement Mills appears in Stackpole’s mural, as does artist William Hesthal. The logo of the National Recovery Administration, a blue eagle, appears here as well as in the murals by Maxine Albro and Suzanne Scheuer.

West Wall (mural facing east)
Newsgathering by Suzanne Scheuer (1898-1984)
Technique: fresco buono
The theme of this 10’ by 10’ mural has to do with all aspects of newspaper production. To understand the process, the artist sketched at the offices and printing plant of the San Francisco Chronicle on Mission Street. Starting in the lower right corner of her mural and moving counterclockwise, the artist illustrates the complexity of the newspaper production process in 1934—gathering information, writing stories, typesetting pages, printing the papers, and selling them on the street. She painted the window alcove in the middle of her mural with the four-color process used to produce a comic strip. The mural includes a headline about the completion of the Coit Tower murals dated April 1934—before the longshoremen’s strike and disturbances related to Coit Tower delayed its inauguration. Assistant artist Hebe Daum collaborated on this mural.

South Wall (mural facing north)
Library by Bernard Baruch Zakheim (1896-1985)
Technique: fresco buono
On a wall opposite the law library fresco, and measuring 10’ by 10’, this mural speaks to the concepts of freedom of expression based on a scene in a public library reading room. The scene is crowded with well-known figures, book spines, and bold newspaper headlines about such timely topics as the destruction of Rivera's fresco at Rockefeller Center, a commission for sculptor Benjamin Bufano, mortgage foreclosures, and other issues of the day. The muralist included likenesses of artist Fred Olmsted and William Gerstle, a local arts patron and civic leader. The mural abounds with likenesses of Zakheim’s colleagues, friends, and family, specifically the artist’s young daughter, Ruth, and his assistants for this mural, Julia Rogers and Shirley Staschen. A figure, artist John Langley Howard, removes a copy of Karl Marx's Das

12 Zakheim, Coit Tower, 54.
13 Hebe Daum later married photographer Peter Stackpole, son of Ralph Stackpole.
Kapital from the library shelves. Col. Harold Mack of the PWAP supervisory committee stands in front, carefully monitoring the entire scene. Overall, the library scene pays homage to literature, education, and political awareness.

South Wall (mural facing north)
Stockbroker and Scientist-Inventor by Harold Mallette Dean (1907-1975)
Technique: fresco buono
Two tall 10’ by 4’ panels are located on the south wall on either side of the southern window wall and exits. Similar in scale, form, proportion, and subject matter, the artist depicts a pair of looming, icon-like single figures, a stockbroker and a scientist-inventor. Both figures are modeled on actual individuals. The stockbroker resembles local financier A. P. Giannini, founder of the Bank of America. The scientist-inventor resembles Nobel prizewinning physicist Albert Michelson. The artist demonstrated his own inventiveness by painting a small-scale observatory behind Michelson’s right leg, transforming an existing light switch plate into the observatory door.

South Wall (mural facing south)
City Life by Victor Mikhail Arnautoff (1896-1979)
Technique: fresco buono
One of the livelier Coit Tower frescoes, this panel measures 10’ by 36’ and depicts the dynamic street life of San Francisco, showing many significant landmarks and making numerous cultural and architectural references including City Hall, the main branch of the San Francisco public library, and the Pacific Coast Stock Exchange fronted by the statues done by Ralph Stackpole. This busy urban scene is formed by a series of vignettes of downtown San Francisco locations surrounding a well-stocked newspaper stand where many figures are gathered, including the artist (a self-portrait) and his son, painted as a newsboy. A signpost marks the corner of Montgomery and Washington Streets, the northern end of the Financial District and location of the famed “Monkey Block,” a building that was the center of San Francisco’s art scene and had housed artists’ and writers’ studios for over 100 years. It was demolished in 1959. An image of the former city produce market on the mural’s east side connects thematically to the fresco depicting agriculture just around the corner. Similarly, a group of industrial workers on the mural’s west side connects to Industries of California around the corner to the left.

South Wall (mural facing north)
Banking and Law by George Albert Harris (1913-1991)
Technique: fresco buono
Measuring 10’ by 10’, the mural illustrates the themes of finance and law, depicting the District 12 Federal Reserve Bank, the Grain Exchange, a stock ticker with a graph of plummeting stock prices, and a law library. The books that line the shelves of the law library have curious titles, some serious, some satirizing the muralist’s fellow artists and hinting at inside jokes with names of Coit Tower artists (his included) as authors of various books. The muralist included likenesses of artist Fred Olmsted and arts patron William Gerstle.
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**East Wall (mural facing west)**  
*Department Store* by Frede Jensen Vidar (1911-1967)  
Technique: *fresco buono*  
This mural at the southeast corner marks the transition from agriculture (the panels to its left, on the north side) to the *City Life* section, as the theme of food production shifts to food consumption and consumerism. This panel measures 10’ by 10’ and illustrates the interior of a typical 1930s department store with a soda fountain, fabric counter, toyshop, and music and clothing departments. The scene is peopled with customers and sales clerks. Other symbols that reflect the politics and events of the time are a Star of David on the cap of the lunch counter waitress, and mentions of Hitler, the Civil Works Administration, and the destruction of the Rivera mural in the newspaper. At the lunch counter, a menu describes typical 1934 dishes and prices. The artist takes advantage of a recessed window alcove to illustrate the shelves of a wine shop, perhaps a comment on the end of Prohibition, which occurred just a few weeks before the artists began their work.

**East Wall (mural facing west)**  
*Farmer and Cowboy* by Clifford Seymour Wight (1891-1961)  
Technique: *fresco buono*  
On two panels, each measuring 10’ by 4’, the artist depicts two solitary figures, a farmer and a cowboy, on either side of a large expanse east-facing window wall. Both are dominant figures of California rural life. The figures correlate to *Stockbroker and Scientist-Inventor* on the south wall and *Surveyor and Ironworker* on the east wall also by Wight. The cowboy figure is thought to be a self-portrait of Wight.

**East Wall (mural facing east)**  
*California Agriculture* by Maxine Albro (1903-1966)  
Technique: *fresco buono*  
This expansive fresco, measuring 10’ by 42’, depicts a well-balanced and bucolic scene of farm workers, mostly dressed in white, happily harvesting crops among almond orchards, apricot trees, and fields of cultivated flowers. The logo of the National Recovery Administration (NRA) on the boxes of oranges is the only indication that this scene exists in the middle of the Great Depression. Fellow artists portrayed by Albro are Ralph Stackpole, the artist’s future husband Parker Hall, and assistant artist Helen Clement Mills. The incorporation of calla lilies, a symbol associated with Diego Rivera, is likely a gesture acknowledging Albro’s appreciation of the Mexican muralist and perhaps a subtle reference to the destruction of Rivera’s Rockefeller Center mural, an event that took place in February 1934 as the Coit Tower artists were in the early stages of their work.

**East Wall (mural facing west)**  
*Meat Industry* by Raymond Clarence Bertrand (1909-1986)  
Technique: *fresco buono*  
The focus of this 10’ x 10’ fresco is the butchering of hogs and the curing of salami and sausages, processes that occurred nearby in the 1930s in North Beach’s well-known Italian salami factories. A large, butchered hog dominates the composition as a worker uses a flame to...
singe the skin. The artist takes advantage of a recessed window alcove to create a symmetrical smokehouse hung with sausages.

North Wall (mural facing south)

*California Agricultural Industry* by Gordon Langdon (1910-1963)

Technique: fresco buono

The artist used his family ranch at Olema, California, and the surrounding Point Reyes landscapes as the inspiration for this mural. Measuring 10’ x 27’, the mural depicts the timber and dairy industries incorporating images of a lumber mill, forests, a dairy barn, and cows. As Masha Zakheim points out, “In the distance one sees a dairy truck, more forest, then sky, as if to suggest the infinite riches of agricultural California.” As in other Coit Tower murals, artist Langdon included likenesses of his fellow artists, in this case, Lucien Labaudt, John Langley Howard, Fred Olmsted, assistant artist Tom Hayes, Ralph Stackpole, and himself.

North Wall (mural facing south)

*Power* by Frederick Erskine Olmsted, Jr. (1911-1990)

Technique: fresco buono

Located within a three-foot panel above the main entrance, the mural depicts a powerful clenched fist (a left-handed fist) bursting through flames to symbolize power. The mural serves as a transition between the two murals on either side, *California Industrial Scenes* (to the west) and *California Agricultural Industry* (to the east).

The following artwork is located in the circular elevator lobby on the ground floor. All were painted offsite, on canvas and installed as finished works, as opposed to the fresco technique used for other murals within Coit Tower. All illustrate scenes of the bay landscape.

*San Francisco Bay* by Otis Oldfield (1890-1969)

Technique: oil on canvas

This painting is an oil on canvas, set within a recessed niche measuring 9’ x 54”. Oldfield uses a device, treating the canvas as a window from which the viewer’s vantage point would give way to this actual bay view. The view from the top of Telegraph Hill depicts boat traffic, docked ships at the waterfront, the Easy Bay hills in the distance— with the faint campanile marking the campus at the University of California, Berkeley, and the northern tip of Yerba Buena Island in the middle of the bay. This view predates construction of the Golden Gate and Bay Bridges and the artificial Treasure Island (1936-1937) at the northern end of Yerba Buena Island.

This bay vista would have been quite familiar to Oldfield as his home and studio were also located on the eastern slope of Telegraph Hill below Coit Tower and shared the same view. The building at the lower right hand corner of Oldfield’s painting is likely his home and studio at 1405 Montgomery Street. The two small girls standing next the house are Oldfield’s daughters, Jayne and Rhoda.

---

14 Zakheim, *Coit Tower*, 83.
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San Francisco Bay, North by Jose Moya del Pino (1891-1969)
Technique: oil on canvas
Designed in tandem with Oldfield’s San Francisco Bay, this canvas forms the other half of a diptych, with a matching concept and perspective, arrangement of elements, composition, color, and dimension, 9’ x 54”. Both employ the window device to showcase an actual bay view, in this case a more northerly vista from the top of Telegraph Hill. The view depicts warehouses at the base of the hill, the waterfront piers, ships at sea, the eastern end of Alcatraz, and the Marin hills in the distance. As in Oldfield’s piece, the viewer sees two figures from behind, looking outward, in the lower right hand corner. The artist, Moya, pictured himself and his friend and colleague Otis Oldfield (at left) at work, capturing the view.

Bay Area Hills by Rinaldo Cuneo (1877-1939)
Technique: oil on canvas
This pair of arched canvases depicts the rich farmlands of the Santa Clara Valley and the East Bay hills. The canvases show blossoming trees, vineyards, and in each canvas, a solitary figure in the foreground. The paintings are set within recessed niches and each measure 9’ x 54”.

Seabirds and Bay Area Map by Otis Oldfield (1890-1969)
Technique: oil on canvas
These three paintings, all oil on canvas, in the shape of lunettes, fill the arched, semi-circular spaces above door openings. Two depict scenes of bay birds—seagulls and pelicans—in flight. The third is a stylized bay map, designed around a central compass that is the elevator floor indicator.

Powell Street by Lucien Adolphe Labaudt (1880-1943)
Technique: fresco buono
Depicting a Powell Street streetscape crowded with people, buildings, and typical activities, this view rises steeply toward the top of Nob Hill as the visitor ascends to the second floor. Labaudt specifically requested the curving walls on both sides of the staircase to carry out his concept. Representing a snapshot of life in fashionable San Francisco, the fresco is full of rich detail and recognizable San Francisco landmarks, such as architect Timothy Pflueger’s 450 Sutter Street, the artist’s own studio, the Bank of America branch at Powell and Market, cable cars, and many popular restaurants and hotels. The mural is singular for the depiction of so many figures, with almost every face representing an actual individual, a colleague or well-known personality, including many of the Coit Tower artists. Portraits of Eleanor Roosevelt and Edward Bruce convey Labaudt’s way of thanking these influential supporters of the PWAP. The mural also includes an Asian figure and one of two African Americans in the entire Coit Tower project. The figures represent fellow Coit Tower muralist, Takeo Edward Terada, and a hotel bellhop carrying suitcases. Assistant artists Cletus Fisher and Merlin Hardy collaborated on this mural.
The second floor murals depict recreation, sports, children at play, hunting, outdoor life, and home life. Because of their sensitive location, these murals are available for viewing only as part of specially supervised mural tours and by special appointment. The three rooms on the second floor, originally used as the Keeper’s Quarters (later offices), were left undecorated.

Children at Play by Ralph Alexander Chessé (1900-1991)
Technique: fresco buono
This mural measures 9’ x 6’ and depicts a children’s playground set in a park with rolling hills, elongated trees, a pond, and a sandbox, all connected by a winding gravel path. The figures of children are scattered throughout the scene, focused on various toys or undertaking activities, sailing boats, sliding, jumping rope, digging in sand. The faces of the children are notable for their adult features and expressions.

Collegiate Sports by Parker Lee Hall (1898-1982)
Technique: fresco buono
Filling a corner, this fresco measures 9’ by 13’ and depicts numerous vignettes of teams and individuals partaking in a variety of campus sports including badminton, tennis, swimming, baseball, and basketball. Over a stairway arch, a football game is in progress between longtime rivals Stanford University and the University of California, Berkeley, a local tradition known as the Big Game.

Sports by Takeo Edward Terada (1908-1993)
Technique: fresco buono
Like the adjacent mural depicting collegiate sports, this mural of similar dimensions, 9’ by 10’, fills two walls of a corner and depicts scenes of Olympic athletes, golfers, and polo players on horseback. A full round sun, above a door opening, separates these two sports-themed murals.

Outdoor Life by Benjamin Frazier Cunningham (1904-1975)
Technique: fresco buono
Depicting a scene of outdoor recreation with various individuals and placid animals in a wooded landscape of stylized trees, other flora, and fauna, this fresco measures 9’ by 22’. The symmetrical arrangement, carefully composed, is formed by a series of vignettes surrounding the central element, a creekside picnic table amid a grove, formally set for a seated group of friends. Secondary figures undertaking activities span the lower half of the mural. These include a woman with a book napping against a tree trunk, a photographer, a boy wading in a creek, a woman bending over a camping stove, a man with a flute. Cunningham used the existing door opening to form a base for the picnic table. Overall, the mural is said to have aesthetic qualities of a tapestry—reflecting Cunningham’s training as a weaver.

Hunting in California by Edith Anne Hamlin (1902-1992)
Technique: fresco buono
Illustrating the theme of hunting as outdoor recreation, this fresco measures 9’ by 12’. The mural shows a tracking dog at the ready, a duck hunter with his prize, wild geese flying free over a
calm water inlet, and graceful deer in a landscape of golden hills. This artwork was arranged on both sides of the elevator door opening.

**Home Life** by Jane Berlandina (1898-1970)

*Technique:* fresco secco, egg tempura

Berlandina’s piece stands in contrast to all other murals that define the Coit Tower project. The mural was not done in fresco, rather it is executed in egg tempera, a technique in which pigments are mixed with egg yolk as a binder and applied to a specially prepared dry wall. Deviating in technique, palette, design, and feeling, this mural covers four walls of a second floor room apart from the other murals. While it is consistent with the theme of Californians at play, it doesn’t connect or flow the way the other work does. Depicting scenes of domesticity and leisure, each wall is painted as a different room in the home of an affluent family. Strikingly different in tone from the solid and serious frescoes and oils in the rest of Coit Tower, this solitary room has a charmingly light spirit and may have been conceptualized as something of a fantasy that was remote from the reality of most Californians in 1933-1934.

**Chronology of Building Alterations and Mural Conservation Efforts**

Conservation of the Coit Tower murals appears to have occurred following cyclical patterns of recurring problems and damage generally caused by water infiltration at the ceilings and window openings, etched graffiti, and general wear and impact from visitors and building users resulting in areas of design loss. In general, the building has endured some periods of insufficient maintenance. Mural restoration and conservation efforts have been documented in 1960, 1975, 1988-1992, and 2012-2014.

1950s

Traces of early retouchings seem to indicate that minimal work may have been undertaken to address minor damage. Records of this work are scant. 15

1960s

A 1960s campaign by well-regarded artists, including several of the original artists, was undertaken to restore the murals and repair damage. The work was primarily undertaken by artist Dorothy Puccinelli Cravath and plasterer John Wong; restorer Henry Rusk supervised repairs. 16 While the effort was acceptable at the time, the methodology was to cover visual disturbances rather than addressing the root causes of deterioration. With regard to the mural restoration of 1960, artist Maxine Albro stated:

Dorothy Puccinelli did the repair work and I think it is the most wonderful piece of repairing that I have ever seen in any form of artwork... Here these things were frescoes


and the color of a fresco mellows and changes from year to year a little bit, you know. But her colors… were exactly the same...\textsuperscript{17}

In 1963, artist Suzanne Scheuer restored and repaired scratches to her own mural and “took the liberty to change the name [of the newspaper she painted] back to \textit{Chronicle}.”\textsuperscript{18} Shortly after refurbishing the murals, Scheuer said:

Oh, a few scratches, yes, very slight. But Dorothy demanded such a meticulous job that it did take some time to do.\textsuperscript{19}

In the years following the 1960s restoration, water seepage, graffiti, and visitor traffic continued to be problematic.\textsuperscript{20}

\textbf{1975}

In 1975, artist, muralist, and former assistant to Diego Rivera, Emmy Lou Packard was retained by the City of San Francisco Department of Public Works to clean and repair the murals. Packard’s scope of work included all first and second floor murals. The methodology for her work included extensive research and consultation with numerous institutions and individuals including Mexican muralist Pablo O’Higgins (1904-1983) who also served as assistant to Diego Rivera.\textsuperscript{21}

\textbf{1988-1992}

In 1988, fine art conservator Anne Rosenthal led an assessment of damage to the frescoes. As the first phase of a two-phase effort, the most pressing concerns were remediated, such as removing efflorescence, strengthening plaster in eroded areas, and securing flaking paint. The San Francisco Arts Commission supervised the effort. The second phase, a comprehensive project to conserve, repair, and fully document the first and second floor frescoes, was completed in 1992. This effort generated photographs, reports, and line drawings, which established a baseline of conditions by which to measure future condition changes. The conservation work consisted of removing superfluous old retouchings, filling plaster losses, and inpainting by adding color to areas of loss while retaining all original surfaces.

Prior to the 1992 mural restoration work, a series of building repairs was completed that addressed major structural deficiencies including concrete cracking and spalling, decay of roofing membranes, repair of rusting rebar embedded in the concrete, and electrical system upgrades. The original exterior dash coat was removed by sandblasting and a new dash coat was applied. At the Belvedere Level, the original cast stone balustrade was removed and a new

\textsuperscript{17} Oral history interview with Maxine Albro and Parker Hall, 27 July 1964, Archives of American Art, Smithsonian Institution.
\textsuperscript{18} Oral history interview with Suzanne Scheuer, 29 July 1964, Archives of American Art, Smithsonian Institution.
\textsuperscript{19} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{20} Rosenthal, 19.
pre-cast balustrade was installed. Composite roofing was installed at the Belvedere and Lantern Levels.  

Despite the efforts, some of the leakage problems returned within a few years, as evidenced by recurring efflorescence and staining on the frescoes near the ceiling of the first floor, especially in the southern part of the east wall.

Also at that time, a barrier system was needed to protect the frescoes from visitor impact. The City commissioned a professionally designed barrier on the first floor. Replacing the former rope and stanchion system, the barrier is comprised of brass rails. Brass plates were installed at several of the doorways protecting fragile corners of the frescoed walls. Plexiglass panels protect vulnerable areas adjacent to the main door.  

2012-2014
The City’s Recreation and Parks Department initiated a comprehensive $1.7 million rehabilitation of Coit Tower following voter approval of Proposition B, a Coit Tower preservation policy, in June 2012. This building rehabilitation and art restoration project necessitated the closing of Coit Tower for six months in late 2013. Completed in May 2014, the overall scope of work included re-roofing with a major focus on waterproofing the building—a problem that dated to the original construction and posed a problem even while the artists were working in 1934. Besides the waterproofing and the roof repairs, other work included an upgrade to the tower's aging plumbing and electrical systems and accessibility improvements, in addition to exterior repairs, door and window rehabilitation, repainted interior finishes and tile repair, restroom upgrades, and mechanical and electrical improvements. The retail gift shop and commercial space was rehabilitated for a new concession operator, with improvements to exit signage and ADA signage. Exterior lighting was improved.

Mural restoration accounted for $250,000 of the overall project amount. Fine art conservator Anne Rosenthal returned to complete a condition assessment of the murals for the San Francisco Art Commission at the start of the project. A team of art restoration experts did touchup and repair work on the murals. Other teams restored the stucco that frames the murals, and replastered and repainted the interior ceiling. Layers of paint on other painted surfaces (not murals) were removed to expose the first, original paint layer, actually revealing the original coating.

All work met the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation and was approved by the City of San Francisco Planning Department and Historic Preservation Commission. All art conservation work followed museum standards of practice, as outlined by the American Institute of Conservation of Historic and Artistic Works (AIC.)
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Integrity
Coit Tower was constructed in 1933. There have been no major alterations to the building since it was constructed. No changes have been made to the form of the tower. All original physical features of the tower are intact. Various campaigns of maintenance and repair have occurred over time. In general, this category of work has been carried out with attention to building code compliance, upgrades to building systems, and replacement of deteriorated materials such as roofing. Apart from repair and maintenance, changes over time have largely been limited to the setting, including the parking area, site features, landscaping, and modifications to address improved accessibility for disabled visitors. Since the original construction, no structural changes have been made to alter the ground floor interior circulation or circulation pattern, which is integral to reading and understanding the themes of the murals.

Despite several periods of insufficient maintenance since 1934, the Coit Tower murals retain a high degree of integrity in all seven aspects: location, setting, design, workmanship, materials, association, and feeling. Mural restoration and conservation efforts in 1960, 1975, 1989, and 2012-2014 have well served the artwork. Periodic mural restorations have been carried out by several of the original artists, by Rivera’s former assistant, Emmy Lou Packard, and by certified fine art conservators. The frescoed plaster wall surfaces have never suffered any significant structural destruction. Recurring problems of water infiltration, graffiti, and visitor impacts were successfully addressed in 2014. The murals remain in remarkably good condition.

The Coit Tower building also retains a high degree of integrity in all seven aspects. Though Coit Tower has endured some periods of deferred maintenance over time, it retains a high level of integrity on both the exterior and interior. The murals remain publicly accessible and much appreciated, a permanent testament to the lasting power of an investment made by a nation in its artists and their art. The murals at Coit Tower draw the attention of hundreds of thousands of visitors annually because they showcase the talents of American artists collaborating together to depict the reality of American life during the Great Depression.
8. Statement of Significance

Applicable National Register Criteria
(Mark "x" in one or more boxes for the criteria qualifying the property for National Register listing.)

A. Property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history.

B. Property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past.

C. Property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction.

D. Property has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

Criteria Considerations
(Mark “x” in all the boxes that apply.)

A. Owned by a religious institution or used for religious purposes

B. Removed from its original location

C. A birthplace or grave

D. A cemetery

E. A reconstructed building, object, or structure

F. A commemorative property

G. Less than 50 years old or achieving significance within the past 50 years
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Areas of Significance
(Enter categories from instructions.)
ART

Period of Significance
1933-1934

Significant Dates
1933
1934

Significant Person
(Complete only if Criterion B is marked above.)
N/A

Cultural Affiliation
N/A

Architect/Builder
Brown Jr., Arthur

Returned
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Statement of Significance Summary Paragraph (Provide a summary paragraph that includes level of significance, applicable criteria, justification for the period of significance, and any applicable criteria considerations.)

This nomination amends the 2008 National Register nomination in order to document Coit Memorial Tower at the national level of significance. Coit Memorial Tower is eligible for the National Register under Criterion C in the area of Art. Coit Tower, as it is more popularly known—a commemorative monument and observation tower constructed in 1933—rises to the national level of significance because it is intrinsically associated with the extraordinary permanent exhibition of federally funded art created through the Public Works of Art Project (PWAP). The Coit Tower mural project was the single largest PWAP venture in the country and the most ambitious test of whether federally funded arts programs could work. Its success served as a model for an array of New Deal arts programs that followed. The Coit Tower murals possess exceptional value in interpreting the themes of the Great Depression and New Deal idealism and in showcasing the work of twenty-five of the region’s finest artists, including four women. This extensive fresco undertaking, titled *Aspects of Life in California, 1934*, is an unusual example of a large group of muralists working in unison. The murals’ content and expression were directly influenced by Diego Rivera. They comprised the most extensive use of fresco technique up to that time, which until then had been rare. Because Coit Tower is the location of this exceptional New Deal financed art project, the property is eligible at the national level of significance. The period of significance, 1933 to 1934, encompasses construction of the tower, completion of the frescoed murals, and public inauguration of the building. Originally designed and intended as a commemorative civic monument, Coit Tower was named in honor of a historical figure, Lillie Hitchcock Coit, whose wealth financed its construction. As a property exceptionally significant apart from the value of the person memorialized by the monument, Coit Memorial Tower satisfies Criteria Consideration F: Commemorative Properties.

Narrative Statement of Significance (Provide at least one paragraph for each area of significance.)

Site History
Historically, the summit of Telegraph Hill has been an important vantage point and was the site of a nautical semaphore or marine telegraph in 1850. After destruction of the telegraph, civic leaders purchased the four, vacant 50-vara lots in 1876 for the purpose of maintaining open space and establishing Pioneer Park.24 In 1923, John McLaren, San Francisco’s first Superintendent of Parks, approved a major improvement plan for Pioneer Park and Telegraph Hill. The plan designed by architect G. Albert Lansburgh provided Telegraph Hill with a paved roadway that circled the hill and ascended to the summit terminating in a circular parking lot, a walkway, and balustrade at the parking lot perimeter, stepped terraces for viewing, and an esplanade, the open leveled space at the summit that later became the site of Coit Tower.

Coit Tower’s setting was further improved in the mid-1930s by a project of the Works Progress Administration (WPA), which landscaped the site and resulted in the construction of rubble walls, concrete retaining walls, red rock coping, concrete sidewalks and paths, and the planting of shrubs. In 1939, Assistant City Engineer Clyde E. Healy stated that Telegraph Hill,

is now one of the most attractive observation points in San Francisco with its beautiful Coit Tower, landscaped walks and slopes held in place by huge rocks set in place as if by the hand of Nature. The rock steps, walls, paths and landscaped embankments make a safe retreat and a picture of rare beauty. As an example of artificial landscaping this has excited the admiration of eminent landscape architects.25

Design and Construction of the Tower

Completed in October 1933, Coit Memorial Tower was made possible by the generosity of Lillie Hitchcock Coit, who died in 1929. Coit bequeathed a third of her estate, nearly $100,000, to the City of San Francisco to be used for city beautification.26 Contrary to many published accounts, Coit Tower was not intended to be a memorial to the City’s firefighters, although some have chosen to celebrate it as such over the years.27

To carry out Coit’s intent, an advisory committee was formed and led by financier Herbert Fleishhacker. Fleishhacker was also politically well connected and a member of both the Park Commission and the newly created Art Commission. It was decided that a monument would be constructed on Telegraph Hill.28

Coit Tower was designed by the noted San Francisco architectural firm Arthur Brown Jr. and Associates. Brown was responsible for the design concept, rendered by Henry Temple Howard. Much thought was given to the tower concept, its form and silhouette. According to the architects, it was important that the tower have the same profile when viewed from all directions.

25 Clyde E. Healy, San Francisco Improved: Report of Clyde E. Healy, Assistant City Engineer, City of San Francisco and Coordinator of W.P.A. Projects, October 10, 1935 to August 31, 1939 (San Francisco: [n.p.], 1939), 53. It is worth noting here that the City of San Francisco General Plan Urban Design Element, Policy 2.7, defines “Outstanding and Unique Areas” as being those that retain “unique characteristics for which the City is famous in the world at large.” These are “areas so astounding they ought to be specially recognized in urban design planning and protected … it is the combination and eloquent interplay of buildings, landscaping, topography and other attributes that makes them outstanding.” The Urban Design Element includes Telegraph Hill as one of only five “outstanding and unique areas” found in the City of San Francisco, describing “a hilltop park with the highly visible green of trees from which Coit Tower rises above all else.”

26 Lillie Coit’s bequest also stipulated that a statuary monument be erected to the City’s original volunteer fire department, active 1849-1866. Though originally intended to be located at Coit Tower, the statue by sculptor Haig Patigian was erected in Washington Square Park in 1933 and remains in its original location.

27 National Register of Historic Places, Coit Memorial Tower, San Francisco, California, National Register #07001468, 2008, Section 7-1. The nomination’s statement that the tower was constructed “as a memorial to the volunteer firemen who died in the five major fires in San Francisco’s history” is inaccurate.

A polygonal form approaching the round, at least above the base, was therefore considered advisable. The arched loggia motif at the top was designed to provide protected observation points and at the same time a fine terminal for the shaft. At the base the entrance was logically placed at the north because the road reached the top of the hill at that side.  

Much thought was also given to the tower’s function and architectural expression.

It is intended to be dignified without austerity: monumental without utilitarian function. A massive base was provided to raise the shaft above the trees and carry the eye upward. This high base is in reality an enclosed terrace protected from the wind, but affording interesting vistas out over the city and bay. [Regarding treatment of the shaft] it was found that shallow flutes gave a sturdier effect and one which [sic] contrasted better with the system of arches at the top. The effect attained by the play of light and shade in these arches combined with the glimpses of the sky through the openings in the top ring is perhaps the most original element of the whole composition.

The original concept for Coit Tower included several elements that were eliminated during the design phase due to cost. These included a restaurant, an enlarged terrace (or courtyard) at the south, a grander, vaulted entry, and a portico. Coit Memorial Tower was dedicated in October 1933, and opened to the public upon completion of the murals one year later.

**Tower Construction**

Builders Young & Horstmeyer oversaw the tower construction, which cost a total of $125,000, including landscaping and architectural design fees. Construction required 175 tons of reinforcing steel, 5,000 barrels of cement, 350,000 feet of lumber, and 3,200 cubic yards of concrete. Four water tanks were incorporated into the tower’s interior.

**New Deal, Federal Emergency Relief and the Public Works of Art Project (PWAP)**

In May 1933, Congress created the Federal Emergency Relief Administration (FERA) to provide work relief to the unemployed. With the approach of winter in late 1933, concerns arose that funds were not flowing quickly enough to the unemployed, so FERA monies were used to set up the Civil Works Administration (CWA) to provide direct federal work relief through the winter months. The creation of FERA and CWA coincided with a new governmental approach to the arts.

---


30 Howard, Henry T., 15.

31 Ibid.

In 1933, artist George Biddle suggested to his former classmate, newly inaugurated President Franklin D. Roosevelt, that artists be hired nationwide to paint murals depicting the social ideals of the new administration and contemporary life on the walls of public buildings. Biddle had traveled in Mexico with artist Diego Rivera in the late 1920s and returned enthusiastic about the work of Mexican artists in transforming public buildings with bold murals. Previously a small percentage of the cost of federal buildings had been allocated for art, typically in the classical style and depicting historic events. Biddle persuaded Roosevelt that his administration should promote contemporary art and images in public buildings. The result was the Public Works of Art Project (PWAP).

President Roosevelt connected Biddle with Edward Bruce at the Treasury Department. Bruce, a lawyer, art collector, and painter from California, was appointed to administer the six-month PWAP, with the intent that artists be put to work as quickly as possible. Together Biddle and Bruce established a first-of-its-kind federal arts program. The goals of the PWAP were to support professional artists and thereby create quality art, to educate the public to appreciate professional artists, and to please the patron without threatening patriotism or violating conventional art traditions.

In effect between December 1933 and June 1934, the PWAP was the first New Deal program to employ artists and to fund public arts projects. During those six months, the PWAP engaged more than three thousand artists across the nation to beautify public buildings with figurative murals and other artworks depicting everyday life.

Bruce asked Dr. Walter Heil, director of San Francisco’s De Young Museum, to take charge of PWAP Region 15 covering northern California and to act quickly to commission works of art and locate appropriate public buildings where art might be installed. Heil immediately created a committee for northern California, composed of prominent citizens, and an advisory committee that included architect Arthur Brown, Jr.

**Coit Tower Murals, Breaking New Ground for Public Art**

The Coit Tower murals have been incorrectly referred to as a pilot program of the PWAP. More accurately, the Coit Tower murals were the first project in PWAP Region 15 and were executed concurrently with art projects in all the PWAP regions across the country. In addition, the Coit Tower mural project was the single largest PWAP venture in the country, the most high profile, and an ambitious test of whether federally funded arts programs could work. Its success served as a model for an array of New Deal arts programs that followed, such as the Works

---

34 Bruce to Heil, telegram, December 10, 1933, Region 15 papers, National Archives.
By late 1933, renowned artists Thomas Hart Benton and Grant Wood had attracted national attention with their paintings of American scenes. Directives from Washington specified that the subject matter of all PWAP art was to be “the American scene in all its aspects,” potentially a broad topic. Many American Scene painters depicted events from U.S. history. The PWAP Region 15 advisory committee directed that the Coit Tower murals should depict contemporary California, something unusual for a public building up to that time. By focusing on contemporary life and drawing upon the techniques of the Mexican muralists, the Coit Tower artists were breaking new ground for public art. A few Coit Tower artists also included social criticism in their work, expanding the boundaries of what had been acceptable in public art. Both of these themes became prominent in later New Deal art projects.

While this nomination benefits overall from the contributions of Robert W. Cherny, professor emeritus of history at San Francisco State University and the author of books and articles on the history of California and the West, the following sections draw closely upon his book on Victor Arnautoff and article on Coit Tower, both published in 2017.

Coit Tower Artists
In San Francisco, the availability of PWAP funds coincided with the completion of Coit Tower. The Coit Tower interior was originally intended to be undecorated; it was proposed to house an exhibition of artifacts and works of art from pioneer days. When it was proposed as a site for murals, the tower’s architect, Arthur Brown, Jr., reluctantly agreed. Ultimately, the tower interior, including all of the first floor walls, the spiral staircase upstairs, and the second floor walls were adorned with twenty-seven paintings created by twenty-five California artists and nineteen assistant artists depicting variations on a contemporary California version of “The American Scene.”

As the head of PWAP Region 15, Walter Heil, with advice from prominent artist Ralph Stackpole, chose the participating artists and assistant artists. Stackpole was well positioned to

36 Walter Heil to Edward Rowan, January 12, 1934, Region 15 papers, National Archives. Fleishhacker declined to serve; see Fleishhacker to Bruce, telegram, December 13, 1933, Region 15 papers, National Archives; Wilson, “Northern Scene”; Zakheim, Coit Tower, 14-15.
advise—as an older artist he was something of a father figure to many of the younger painters, some of whom had been his students as well.

The Coit Tower artists’ group included some of the region’s best-known artists of the day, a large majority of whom had a connection to the California School of Fine Arts (later the San Francisco Art Institute). Artists Ralph Stackpole, Ray Boynton, Bernard Zakheim, Maxine Albro, and Clifford Wight had visited Diego Rivera in Mexico and observed his practice; consequently, most had also executed murals in the fresco technique. Artist Victor Arnautoff completed one of the larger murals, and was also designated as the project’s technical coordinator, probably because he had spent the most time working with Rivera and was the most experienced working with large-scale fresco murals. All those chosen were familiar with Rivera’s local works at the addition to the Pacific Coast Stock Exchange and at the California School of Fine Arts. According to Heil, “the artists established for themselves one scale and also one palette consisting of elementary earth colors”—much the same palette used by Rivera.39

As the project was overseen by the Art Commission, its Committee on Architecture reviewed both scaled drawings of the proposed murals (one inch: one foot) and, for the fresco painters, all detailed, full-scale drawings of the murals in situ prepared by individual artists. The artists produced preliminary sketches in mid-December and transferred them to the walls in January.40

While the murals were being executed, the tower was a busy and crowded place. In addition to the lead artists and the assistants—all of whom were artists in their own right—there were two plasterers, seven laborers, and several Park Department employees, nearly sixty people in all.41 Three Coit Tower artists (Otis Oldfield, Jose Moya del Pino, and Rinaldo Cuneo) preferred to paint their scenes using oil on canvas; they worked in their studios and only visited the tower occasionally.

Collegiality Among the Artists

Much of the enthusiasm and esprit de corps among the artists at Coit Tower seemed to be generated by the opportunity to work in fresco.42 Some artists had not worked with the medium

40 Public Works of Art Project, Region No. 15, a mimeographed report of artists, their work, costs, etc., December 1933 to 1934; Walter Heil Papers, Archives of American Art, hereinafter PWAP Region 15 final report; minutes of the Art Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, 1934 http://archive.org/stream/minutesofartcomm1934sanf#page/n0/mode/1up (accessed March 31, 2017), 159, 161, 179.
41 PWAP Region 15 final report.
42 Zakheim, Coit Tower, 17.
before the project. Artist Ray Boynton, the leading proponent and practitioner of fresco buono in the West, had been teaching classes in fresco at the California School of Fine Arts for some years prior to the Coit Tower project. Considered a pioneer in fresco art, Boynton revived the art of fresco in California in 1917. At least eight of the Coit Tower painters had been Boynton’s students at the California School of Fine Arts.43

The Coit Tower artists followed the ancient Italian tradition as the Mexican artists interpreted it. In this process, fine marble dust is mixed with slaked lime to create the painting surface. In fresco buono, the plasterer prepares the painting surface with layers of cement and rough lime plaster, a day’s worth of work; the artist applies the color as long as the surface remains moist.44 As the plaster dries, the painting becomes part of the wall. Because the fresco buono technique is demanding, the role of the assistant artist was critical. One assistant artist, Farwell Taylor, mixed all pigments, assuring overall uniformity for the entire project.45

Shirley Staschen, one of the assistant artists, remembered that work hours were quite casual and that the lead artists often painted for five or six hours—about the time it took to complete one square yard of wet plaster—and then left to prepare for the next day’s work.46

As further proof of the collegiality among the Coit Tower artists, many of the murals depict the artists themselves as they frequently used each other as models. Given the number of people in the tower, the artists had no difficulty finding models. No human figures—they frequently used the people in the building, their friends, and their own and other artists’ family members. Ralph Stackpole’s likeness appears in several murals, and Lucien Labaudt included nearly every artist in his. Several of the artists, including Arnautoff, also included self-portraits.47

The Coit Tower mural project elevated the professional reputations of a number of the participating artists and assistant artists. Many achieved regional and national recognition because of their association with the project and continued to receive federal art assignments. In 1942, Stackpole was appointed to the U.S. Art Commission, the first artist from the West to have a seat on the commission.

44 Zakheim, Coit Tower, 18.
45 Ibid.
46 Shirley Staschen Triest, A Life on the First Waves of Radical Bohemianism in San Francisco, oral history, Regional Oral History Office, Bancroft Library, University of California, Berkeley, 1996, 65. Otis Oldfield was shown in the San Francisco Examiner putting the finishing touches on one of his canvases before February 11; see “Marine Murals for Coit Tower,” Examiner, February 11, 1934, 8W.
47 Zakheim, Coit Tower, 41-111, identifies many of the figures modeled on identifiable individuals.
Coit Memorial Tower (Amendment)                   San Francisco, California
Name of Property                   County and State

Coit Tower Artists and the Destruction of the Rivera Murals at Rockefeller Center
In early 1934, with the Coit Tower mural project underway, a national uproar arose in response to the sudden destruction of Diego Rivera’s mural *Man at the Crossroads* in New York City’s Rockefeller Center. Work on Rivera’s mural had been halted prior to its completion because he had included a portrait of Lenin and an unflattering depiction of patron John D. Rockefeller, Jr. in the composition. Rockefeller paid Rivera his commission and had the fresco covered months earlier. Then, at midnight, on February 9, 1934, Rockefeller’s workers smashed Rivera’s fresco to pieces.

As part of a nationwide protest, the San Francisco Artists and Writers Union, a newly formed group with about 350 members, spoke out. On February 14, 1934, the group held a protest meeting on the steps in front of Coit Tower. A newspaper photograph shows about twenty-five people in attendance, mostly Coit Tower artists and assistant artists. According to the *San Francisco News*, the meeting was convened at “the instigation of artists working on the Coit Memorial Tower frescoes and other artists employed on federal public works of art projects.” Muralist Maxine Albro, an executive committee member of the union, presented a resolution condemning the destruction of the Rivera work as “outrageous vandalism and political bigotry.” Vidar and Zakheim incorporated references to the destruction of the Rivera mural in the newspapers in their Coit Tower murals. More subtly, Albro included a large stand of calla lilies in her mural, likely in reference to Rivera’s repeated use of the calla lily in his paintings.

Delayed Unveiling of the Murals
Completion of the Coit Tower mural project continued largely without incident and the July 1934 unveiling was much anticipated as the exceptional quality of the artwork was being realized. Harold Mack, an influential member of the PWAP supervisory committee, was highly enthusiastic about the entire Coit Tower project. In a letter to President Roosevelt dated May 3, 1934, he described it as “unique in the art history of the United States.” Mack quoted Jo Davidson, a prominent sculptor, as confirming that, “the results achieved in this building surpass anything heretofore accomplished in this country.”

Because PWAP funding was set to expire at the end of April 1934, that date was also chosen as the target date for the completion of the murals. Sixteen of the twenty-five lead artists had completed their work by the end of April. The larger murals required more hours. Lucien Labaudt’s, the largest in terms of square footage, was not finished until late June. For those artists who had not completed their work by the end of April, Heil secured additional funds from the State Emergency Relief Administration, itself funded by the Federal Emergency Relief Administration. The Art Commission and the Parks Commission scheduled Coit Tower for a grand opening to the public on July 2, 1934.

49 Lozano, Luis-Martin and Juan Rafael Coronel Rivera, *Diego Rivera: The Complete Murals* (Hong Kong: Taschen, 2008), 147.
50 Mack to Roosevelt, May 3, 1934, Region 15 papers, National Archives.
Dockworkers Strike and Controversy
Growing labor unrest on San Francisco’s waterfront, the strike by West Coast dockworkers that began on May 9, 1934, and the subsequent general strike, July 16-19, 1934, led to increasing conflict and tensions that postponed Coit Tower’s opening. A citywide “red scare” that began with the dockworkers strike and escalated dramatically during the general strike had significant consequences for Coit Tower.51

Heil’s records of payments to the artists indicate that sixteen of the twenty-five Coit Tower artists had completed their work before May 9, 1934, the day longshoremen walked off the docks. While many of the Coit Tower artists were deeply affected by the struggles on the docks, two-thirds of them had completed their artwork before the strike began, so there was little opportunity to refer to the strike in their artwork even if the artists had been inclined to do so.

Though all the artists had presented detailed, scaled drawings of their proposed murals for approval in January 1934, for several artists the process of creating their murals was more dynamic. Zakheim’s mural depicted people reading newspapers with dramatic headlines. He later recalled that he selected the headlines based on what he saw in the press the day before; his headlines range from mid-February to late March.52 John Langley Howard also recalled later that he had made changes as he developed his mural.53 Most of the artists kept quite close to their approved sketches. At a late date, artist Clifford Wight incorporated political symbolism in the form of a dollar sign, the blue-eagle logo of the NRA, and a hammer and sickle.

When some officials saw the completed Coit Tower murals in late June, in the context of the city’s ongoing red scare, they became concerned that some artists had incorporated material into their murals “which might be interpreted as communist propaganda,” especially Wight’s hammer and sickle. In a letter to Heil and the Art Commission, Wight insisted that his work was not propaganda, and instead represented “the paramount issue of today . . . social change,” and that his hammer and sickle was “in no way exhortation or propaganda,” but instead “an existing condition,” that is, the existence of a legal Communist Party.54

July 5, 1934 was a day of terrible conflict on the waterfront, culminating in the deaths of two waterfront workers, killed by police. That same day, the San Francisco Examiner published a photograph superimposing Wight's hammer and sickle image over Zakheim's depiction of a man reaching for Das Kapital, as if it were part of the same painting. The accompanying story alleged that the murals were part of a communist plot.

52 Zakheim interview, 1964; the earliest headline, at top right, “Thousands Slaughtered in Austria,” is about the rebellion of the left against the Dollfuss regime in mid-February, and the latest headline, at bottom right, “Magnates Arrested, Break N.R.A. Code,” is about oil company executives arrested in late March.
54 “Artists Wrath Stirred by Charges” in Call Bulletin, July 2, 1934.
Incited by the doctored newspaper photo, the San Francisco Park Commission and Art Commission locked the doors to Coit Tower and cancelled the public opening, demanding that three of the artists—Wight, Zakheim, and Howard—remove items from their murals. Subsequently, the demands were reduced to just Wight. In August, after the strikes were over and the red scare had subsided, a special committee of the Art Commission unanimously recommended “for artistic reasons, in order to conform with the major motive of the general scheme” that all the artwork over the east, south, and west windows, including Wight’s hammer and sickle, be removed. When the tower opened in October 1934, the Art Commission’s recommendations had been heeded. The artwork over the windows was removed. Also removed was the Western Worker, a local Communist Party newspaper, banner from Howard’s mural. There were no other changes. After months of closure, Coit Tower finally opened its doors to the world on October 12, 1934.

Contemporary Art Criticism and Subsequent Scholarship

Contemporary critical response to the Coit Tower murals recognized several aspects as remarkable. First was the overall size and scale of the project. Second was the use of fresco as a medium. Third was the influence of Diego Rivera and the Mexican mural movement. In 1934, Junius Cravens, the accomplished art critic for the San Francisco News, reviewed the project, stating “the tower decorators have done remarkably fine work. . . . even the worst mural faults are of minor importance as compared to the merits of the job as a whole.” He described Wight’s four tall figures as “superb,” Arnautoff’s mural as “outstanding” and “finely executed,” and Cunningham’s mural as having, “most successfully achieved rhythmic decorative pattern on a very difficult wall.” Cravens called out the murals by Cuneo, Moya del Pino, Oldfield, and Langdon as “notable,” and described Howard’s depiction of hydraulic power as “the outstanding artistic achievement of the lot.” 55

In the national media, Nadia Lavrova published a long description and critique of the murals, along with several illustrations, in the Christian Science Monitor of August 1, 1934; her article says nothing about the controversy. Like Cravens, she complimented Wight’s tall figures as among the most distinctive elements in the tower, and she praised Arnautoff’s mural as having “all the elements that go toward making an outstanding fresco: rhythm and movement, achieved by a contrast of light and dark patterns, by varying the intensity of colors and by a clever handling of masses, which lead the eye from one end of the painting to the other.” 56

Evelyn Seeley probably reached the largest number of readers nationwide with a long, illustrated article in the Literary Digest, one of the most influential general publications at the time. She called the project “one of the greatest produced under the PWAP” and agreed with Lavrova that the extensive use of fresco made the project unique in the country. Like Cravens and Lavrova, she gave special attention to the tall figures by Wight and added those by Dean. Seeley praised the murals by Boynton, Stackpole, Vidar, Arnautoff, Labaudt, and Terada. She singled out Albro’s mural as the “richest and most vivid.” Though Seeley fully recounted the controversy

55 News, October 20, 1934, 9.
56 Lavrova, “Forty-Six Artists–One Palette.”
that closed the tower, she concluded that the artists “have presented California as powerful and productive, its machines as well-oiled, its fields and orchards bountiful, its people—happy in the sun. They have left out of the picture, as some realists have mentioned, such aspects as the Mooney case, or strikes, or lynchings.”

To reiterate the appraisal of sculptor Jo Davidson, “the results achieved in this building surpass anything heretofore accomplished in this country.” Further acclaim for the murals was expressed by Diego Rivera when he visited the site with artist Emmy Lou Packard in 1940. Rivera specifically noted his appreciation for Arnautoff's mural.

Subsequent art historians have been equally positive about the Coit Tower mural project. In the 1980s, Francis V. O’Connor commented both on the overall quality of the murals and on the uniqueness of it as a group project.

At Coit Tower the PWAP sponsored a mural environment that can be ranked with the finest wall paintings created in the government’s subsequent art programs—the Treasury Department’s Section of Painting and Sculpture, and the WPA Federal Art Project (WPA/FAP). Most murals are painted by individuals; large groups of muralists working in unison are rare in the history of American art.

In 1982, Karal Ann Marling suggested that Arnautoff’s “catholic portrayal of the variousness of the urban scene, with wholesome and unsavory details given equal weight, stemmed from [Thomas Hart] Benton,” whose American scene paintings had begun to attract wide attention in the late 1920s.

Bruce Bustard, in his 1997 study of New Deal art programs, identifies five central themes in all New Deal art, three of which are prominent at Coit Tower: a “preoccupation with” and celebration of ordinary, often working-class, people; a celebration of New Deal programs; and promotion of social change and embrace of “left-wing political causes.”

Belisario Contreras, in his 1983 study of New Deal art, drew attention to the Coit Tower artists’ “influence of Diego Rivera’s brilliant color, simple modeling, and refined brushwork,” and noted the “theme of social consciousness” in the Coit Tower works. Contreras characterized the artwork at Coit Tower as the first large-scale New Deal art project, and noted that it vividly demonstrates the three central characteristics he ascribed to all subsequent New Deal art: the

58 Mack to Roosevelt, May 3, 1934, Region 15 papers, National Archives.
60 Essay by Francis V. O’Connor, in Coit Tower, San Francisco: Its History and Art, ed. Masha Zakheim, 121.
62 Bruce J. Bustard, A New Deal for the Arts (Seattle: University of Washington Press, 1997), 21-22. The two themes identified by Bustard not present at Coit Tower are a rediscovery of American history, which was ruled out by the decision to focus on contemporary California, and a focus on the practicality and usefulness of the arts.
influence of the Depression (more prominent in some of the Coit murals than others), the centrality of the American scene (previously developed by such artists as Thomas Hart Benton and Grant Wood), and the influence of the Mexican mural movement of the 1920s.63

**Biographical Information on Selected Coit Tower Artists**64
The daughter of artist Bernard Zakheim, Ruth Zakheim Gottstein, who was eleven years old when her father was painting his mural at Coit Tower, later recalled,

> They were artists... first and foremost. Not politicians, not intellectuals, not economists. They came from vastly different backgrounds... Via their murals, this eclectic group of artists was able to capture the rich tapestry of an emerging California in the 1930s. This was their San Francisco and their California—their hopes for a positive future for many generations to come.65

Though a few of the artists at Coit Tower were well known before the start of the undertaking, the Coit Tower project served to launch most of the others on productive careers. Many of them went on to produce more public art under New Deal art program auspices, and several of them developed quite distinguished careers as artists. The following paragraphs briefly summarize their contributions.

**Maxine Albro (1903-1966)**
Albro grew up in Los Angeles and after graduating from high school, she moved to San Francisco where she began working as a commercial artist to raise funds for her formal education at the Art Students League in New York and Paris and at the California School of Fine Arts. She traveled widely in Mexico and painted many scenes of Mexican life. Although she did not study formally with Diego Rivera, she painted many murals and was influenced by his style. She received later commissions for New Deal art projects including a mosaic mural (no longer extant) at San Francisco State College and a mural for a high school. After Coit Tower, Albro married fellow Coit Tower artist Parker Hall. They moved to Carmel, California and became leaders and highly influential members of the Carmel artists’ colony.

**Victor Arnautoff (1896-1979)**
Arnautoff was a native of Russia, where he returned during the 1960s after living in the United States for more than thirty years. He studied at the California School of Fine Arts in the late 1920s, then went to Mexico, where he assisted Diego Rivera for two years. He returned to San Francisco in 1931. As technical coordinator for the Coit Tower mural project, he painted the mural *City Life* and advised his fellow artists. He produced the most New Deal art of any of the

---

64 This section benefits from the biographical research undertaken on individual artists by Jon Golinger, Protect Coit Tower, done in preparation for an exhibit titled *The Coit Tower Mural Artists*, on exhibit at the San Francisco Public Library in May 2015.
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Coit Tower artists: a large mural at the Protestant chapel at the Presidio of San Francisco (part of the National Historic Landmark District), thirteen panels on the life of George Washington at George Washington High School, San Francisco (local landmark nomination pending), and five post office murals (College Station, Texas; Linden, Texas; Pacific Grove, California; Richmond, California; and South San Francisco, California). He also produced public murals at the Old Cathedral of the Holy Virgin (City of San Francisco Landmark No. 28), the National Register-listed Palo Alto Medical Clinic (also listed in the Palo Alto Historic Buildings Inventory), and the San Francisco Art Institute (City of San Francisco Landmark No. 85). Toward the end of his life, he also produced three large mosaic murals in Mariupol, Ukraine. He taught at the California School of Fine Arts briefly and at Stanford University for twenty-four years.66

Jane Berlandina (1898-1970)
Born in France, Berlandina studied in Paris and arrived in California in 1931. As the wife of architect Henry Temple Howard, she married into a prolific family of artists. She was especially known for her modernist watercolors. At Coit Tower, her work is quite abstract compared to the prevalent American Scene style and was painted using egg-based tempura, rather than the technique and the palette used by the others.

Ray Boynton (1883-1951)
Boynton came from the farms of Iowa, and after studying art in Chicago, he traveled west to make his mark. He created California’s first large scale mural in a building open to the public at Mills College in Oakland. By virtue of Boynton’s expertise and seniority, he became known as the “dean of the fresco painters” at Coit Tower, where younger artists sought his expert advice. His subsequent New Deal-funded projects included thirteen murals at the post office in Modesto, California. Later, Boynton became a well-regarded teacher at the University of California, Berkeley.

Ralph Alexander Chessé (1900-1991)
Born in New Orleans, Chessé moved to Southern California in 1923. Self-taught as an artist, he was a sculptor, painter, and puppeteer. His Coit Tower mural, Children at Play, presents its characters in an almost puppet-like manner. Following the Coit Tower project, Chessé became the official director of puppetry for the San Francisco, Oakland, and Los Angeles districts of the WPA. Chessé also worked on the Federal Theater Project on Treasure Island for the Golden Gate International Exposition in 1939-1940. Chessé, along with his son Bruce, worked extensively for decades as a professional puppeteer, creating and performing the marionettes for the pioneering Bay Area children’s television show “Brother Buzz.”67

Benjamin Frazier Cunningham (1904-1975)
Ben Cunningham was born in Colorado. He produced murals for the San Francisco Assessor’s Office, the post office at Ukiah, California, and the Golden Gate International Exposition. He also served as the supervisor of mural painting for the Northern California WPA arts program. He later moved to the New York City area where he taught in several prominent art schools. His work was acquired by the Museum of Modern Art, the Guggenheim, and the Whitney.  

Edith Hamlin (1902-1992)
Born in Oakland, Edith Hamlin attended the California School of Fine Arts from 1922 to 1924 and later Columbia University Teacher's College in New York City. After the Coit Tower project, she executed two large murals at Mission High School, San Francisco (City of San Francisco Landmark No. 256); three murals at the Tracy, California post office; and a mural, jointly with her husband, artist Maynard Dixon, at the Martinez, California post office. She and Dixon then moved to Tucson, Arizona where she painted four public murals, along with one in Phoenix, between 1947 and 1952. Following Dixon’s death, Hamlin returned to San Francisco.

William Hesthal (1908-1985)
Born in San Francisco, Hesthal began attending classes at the California School of Fine Arts at age nine and first exhibited at age ten. Following the Coit Tower project, Hesthal was one of the six Coit Tower muralists that art patron Albert Bender commissioned in 1936 to decorate the Anne Bremer Library at the California School of Fine Arts, later the San Francisco Art Institute. Hesthal later completed a mural for a high school through the WPA/FAP program.

John Langley Howard (1902-1999)
Born in New Jersey, Howard moved as an infant with his parents to California. At the University of California, Berkeley, he majored in engineering and English and then enrolled at the Oakland College of Arts and Crafts. Later he continued his studies at the Art Students League in New York City. As the son of architect John Galen Howard and brother to architect Henry Howard and sculptor Robert Boardman Howard, he was part of a large and talented family well known for their contribution to the arts. Imbued with socialist ideas, he was convinced that he could contribute to the advancement of society through his art. During the Depression, he documented the stalwart laborers, the timeworn unemployed, and the bewildered people drowning in the everyday pressures of the times. In the 1930s, he dedicated himself to working for social change by depicting conditions in his canvases.

Lucien Labaudt (1880-1943)
A native of Paris, France, Labaudt already had a career in the U.S. as a costume designer, artist, and teacher at the time he was chosen for the Coit Tower project. The experience marked a change of direction for him and he then focused on mural painting. Essentially self-taught as an
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68 Obituary of Benjamin Cunningham in New York Times, April 6, 1975.
70 Stacy Moss and Sally Woodbridge, The Howards: First Family of Bay Area Modernism (Oakland: The Oakland Museum, 1988), 59.
Gordon Langdon (1910-1963)
Born in San Francisco, Langdon left his studies at Stanford to train at the California School of Fine Arts. He shared a studio with his mentor, artist Ralph Stackpole, twenty-five years his senior. Langdon’s family’s ranch at Olema, California was the subject of his Coit Tower mural in which he painted his own likeness as well as that of Lucien Labaudt (with cow) and Stackpole (peeking in the window). Langdon later painted a fresco mural funded by the WPA/Federal Arts Project at George Washington High School and was one of six of the Coit Tower artists to paint frescoes inside the Anne Bremer Memorial Library at the California School of Fine Arts.

Jose Moya del Pino (1891-1969)
A native of Spain, Moya del Pino later painted murals in the post offices in Lancaster, California; Alpine, Texas; and Redwood City, California.

Frederick Olmsted (1911-1990)
Olmsted was born in San Francisco and was the great nephew of Frederick Law Olmsted, the landscape architect who designed New York’s Central Park. He was educated at Stanford University and studied with Ralph Stackpole. A painter and sculptor, the twenty-three-year-old Olmsted initially came to the Coit Tower project as an assistant artist to Frede Vidar, George Harris, and John Langley Howard. Howard later assigned him a three-foot panel above the main entrance to Coit Tower where Olmsted created his mural Power depicting a fist bursting through flames. Olmsted later completed a mural moved to San Francisco City College and two sculptures also moved to San Francisco City College, both done initially as part of the “Art in Action” exhibition at the Golden Gate International Exposition. Olmsted eventually abandoned his art career and became a successful biophysicist, specializing in designing medical and oceanographic equipment.

Suzanne Scheuer (1898-1984)
Scheuer was born in San Jose, California, and studied at the California School of Fine Arts and the California College of Arts and Crafts. Her mural News gathering on the tower’s west wall was restored by the artist herself in 1963, twenty-nine years after she first painted it. She took the initiative to change the name of the fictional newspaper she painted in the mural back to Chronicle. She later did three post office murals, in Eastland, Texas; Caldwell, Texas; and Berkeley, California.

Ralph Stackpole (1885-1973)
Stackpole grew up in Oregon, coming to San Francisco after the turn of the century. As a sculptor, muralist, etcher, and educator, Stackpole was one of the city’s leading artists during the 1920s and 1930s. He was already quite prominent as an artist before the Coit Tower project,
based on his sculptures at the Panama-Pacific International Exposition in 1915, his sculptures at the remodeled Pacific Coast Stock Exchange (1932), and his teaching at the California School of Fine Arts. In addition to his Coit Tower mural, he also did a mural at George Washington High School, San Francisco, before returning to sculpture, including an eighty-foot-high sculpture, *Pacifica*, for the Golden Gate International Exposition (1938-41) and a large bas relief sculpture of John Wesley Powell for the U.S. Department of the Interior Building in Washington, D.C. (1940). He served on the U.S. Commission on Fine Arts in 1941-1945, the first person from the West Coast to do so. He once wrote that he wanted to see frescos spread all over the city, and hoped San Francisco would be called “The City of Frescoes.” As a friend of many of the Coit Tower artists, his portrait appears in the murals many times, including those of Albro, Zakheim, Langdon, and Labaudt. Stackpole was primarily responsible for bringing Diego Rivera to San Francisco in 1930.

**Takeo Edward Terada (1908-1993)**

Terada immigrated to California in 1922. He studied briefly at the University of California, Berkeley, then at the California School of Fine Arts. Terada returned to Japan in 1935 settling in Tokyo where he became a leading force in the Japanese art world.

**Clifford Wight (circa 1900-1961)**

Wight was born in England and immigrated to Canada where he became a Mountie before fighting in WWI. In California and Mexico in the 1920s, Wight reinvented himself as a sculptor in the company of artists Diego Rivera and Frida Kahlo. He arrived in San Francisco with Rivera to work as his assistant on a mural at the San Francisco Art Institute. In the 1930s, Wight left the U.S. because of his leftist political leanings. He later had a career as a secretary in the British House of Commons. 71

**Bernard Zakheim (1896-1985)**

Zakheim arrived in San Francisco in 1920 seeking political asylum from his native Poland after World War I. He found work as a furniture maker while he continued to look for opportunities to pursue art. 72 In 1929, Diego Rivera, to whom Zakheim had sent some sketches, invited him to Mexico City for six months to collaborate with Rivera and to study Aztec and Mayan fine art in the National Museum of Mexico. 73 In 1932, Zakheim spent a year in Europe studying art and learned to paint fresco murals during that time. Upon his return to San Francisco, he won a competition to create a fresco in the courtyard of the city’s new Jewish Community Center. 74 He later painted a ten-panel mural and a two-panel mural at what became the University of California, San Francisco, attracting national attention. He also produced a mural at Alemany
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73 Ibid., 50-52.
74 Ibid., 58.
Health Center, San Francisco, and post office murals at Rusk and Mineola, Texas. In later life, he
turned to sculpture and produced six wooden sculptures commemorating the Holocaust. 75

Further Federally Funded Work for the Coit Tower Artists

The Coit Tower mural project elevated the professional reputations of a number of the
participating artists and assistant artists. Many Coit Tower artists and assistant artists continued
to receive commissions for federally funded art projects, some until the New Deal arts programs
ended during World War II. Representative examples are Lucien Labaudt’s murals at San
Francisco’s Beach Chalet and Edith Hamlin’s prestigious WPA commission for two 8’ x 24’
murals at Mission High School. Suzanne Scheuer did several New Deal post office murals.
Victor Arnautoff, Ralph Stackpole, Gordon Langdon, and Lucien Labaudt all created large-scale
frescos at San Francisco’s George Washington High School, a project completed in 1936 with
funding from the WPA Federal Art Project.

Other Coit Tower artists also participated in public art programs funded by various federal
agencies during the New Deal, including assistant artist Hebe Daum, who painted one mural at
San Francisco State College; Harold Mallette Dean, who did a post office mural; and George
Harris, who also did a post office mural. Some of the artists and assistant artists from Coit Tower
were later assistants for other New Deal art projects including Arnautoff’s mural at the Presidio
of San Francisco and his Life of Washington sequence at George Washington High School.

Biographical Information on Other Relevant Individuals

Lillie Hitchcock Coit (1843-1929)

One of San Francisco’s best-known historical figures, Lillie Hitchcock Coit, is permanently
associated with both Coit Tower, whose construction her estate funded, and with San Francisco’s
early volunteer fire brigade, which she championed. In 1851, seven-year-old Lillie Hitchcock
and her wealthy parents moved from Kentucky to California. 76 Young Lillie was deeply and
personally affected by a series of fires, one of which nearly claimed her life. Soon after arriving
in San Francisco, Lillie watched two of her friends burn to death when a building they were
playing in suddenly caught fire. Lillie was rescued from the flames by John Boynton, a volunteer
member of Knickerbocker Engine Company Number 5. Boynton chopped a hole in the roof of
the building, let himself down on a rope, put Lillie on his back, and climbed to safety. 77 Lillie
immediately and permanently became a friend and vocal supporter of the firefighters of
Knickerbocker Engine Co. No. 5. In October 1863, she was made an honorary member of the
Number 5 engine company, and given an official certificate of membership and a personalized
fire helmet. 78 Thereafter, Lillie always signed her name “Lillie Hitchcock Coit 5” and assumed a
prominent place in festivities and parades celebrating the city’s firefighters. 79

75 Elizabeth M. Boone, “‘Something of His Own Soil’: Jewish History, Mural Painting, and Bernard Zakheim in San
Francisco,” in American Jewish History 90.2, 2002: 123-140.
76 Helen Holdredge, Firebelle Lille: The Life and Times of Lillie Coit of San Francisco (New York: Meredith Press,
1967), 38.
77 Ibid., 66-68.
78 Frederick J. Bowlen, Battalion Chief, San Francisco Fire Department, “Lillie Hitchcock Coit: A Steadfast Love for
Victor Arnautoff’s Coit Tower mural *City Life* includes a fire truck with a prominent number 5, likely intended as homage to Lillie’s connection to Knickerbocker Engine Co. No. 5. Lillie was considered colorful and eccentric—gambling, smoking cigars, and wearing trousers before it was socially acceptable for women to do so. She married wealthy businessman Howard Coit in 1863 and was widowed upon his death in 1885. When Lillie died in 1929 at the age of 85, she left one-third of her estate to the City of San Francisco “for the purpose of adding to the beauty of the city which I have always loved.” Lillie’s bequest paid for both the construction of Coit Tower and for a sculpture placed in nearby Washington Square Park to commemorate San Francisco’s volunteer firefighters. The sculpture, by Haig Patigian, depicts three volunteer firefighters at work. One of them is carrying a distressed young girl to safety.

**Architect Arthur Brown, Jr. (1874-1957)**

Architect Arthur Brown, Jr. was born in Oakland. Brown graduated from the University of California, Berkeley in 1896 where he and his future partner John Bakewell, Jr. (1872-1963) were both protégés of architect Bernard Maybeck. Brown graduated from the Ecole des Beaux-Arts in Paris in 1901 before returning to San Francisco to establish his practice with Bakewell in 1905. By 1906, his firm was well positioned to play an important role in the reconstruction of San Francisco after the earthquake and fire. The firm’s commissions included the opulent interior of the City of Paris department store in San Francisco and Berkeley City Hall. They won the competition for San Francisco City Hall, completed in 1915. In collaboration with architect G. Albert Lansburgh, Brown also designed the War Memorial Opera House and Veterans Building of 1932, later known as the San Francisco War Memorial and Performing Arts Center. Having developed a San Francisco-based practice, his firm designed many of San Francisco’s landmark buildings, as well as important large-scale civic and religious buildings around the State.

Like Coit Tower, most of Brown's later San Francisco works employed a stripped-down classicism. With regard to the PWAP murals at Coit Tower, Brown stated, “The primitive nature of Coit Tower would lend itself better to that sort of thing than other public buildings.” Diego Rivera included a likeness of Brown among the designers and craftsmen in his 1931 fresco titled, *The Making of a Fresco Showing the Building of a City*. Brown's last works were primarily at University of California, Berkeley, where Brown served as campus planner and chief architect from 1936 to 1950.

**Henry Temple Howard (1894-1967)**

Architect Henry Temple Howard, eldest son of architect John Galen Howard, was born in New York City and raised in Berkeley. Henry attended the Ecole des Beaux Arts in Paris from 1919 to 1921. From 1931 to 1934, Henry worked for the architectural firm Bakewell and Brown,
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81 Zakheim, 7; Holdredge, 295.
specifically on the design of Coit Tower. For the rest of the decade, with the economy suffering from the Great Depression, Henry designed houses and apartment houses around the Bay Area, including his own residence on Jackson Street and his brother’s studio on Francisco Street in North Beach. Henry designed several San Francisco defense and public housing projects, including the Ping Yuen Housing Project in Chinatown (with architect Mark Daniels), in addition to many important projects in the Modernist vein throughout Northern California.\textsuperscript{83} Although Henry Howard headed the design team in charge of the tower, the family’s participation was not based on nepotistic selection. Rather, it was “certainly the communal tradition of the WPA; and, if the San Francisco art community was needed to work on a project, one would expect to find the Howards well represented.”\textsuperscript{84}

Criteria Consideration F: Commemorative Properties

A property primarily commemorative in intent can be eligible if design, age, tradition, or symbolic value has invested it with its own historical significance. In general, properties that are commemorative in nature, such as Coit Tower, are not considered eligible for the National Register. A commemorative property will qualify if it can demonstrate its own exceptional significance apart from the value of the person memorialized by the monument or property. Such is the case with Coit Tower.

Originally designed and intended as a commemorative civic monument, Coit Tower was named in honor of a historical figure, Lillie Hitchcock Coit, whose wealth financed its construction. Constructed after her death, it is not directly or primarily associated with her productive life or a specific event in her life. More than for its association with its patron, Coit Tower’s significance rises to the national level because it is intrinsically associated with the Coit Tower murals, which possess exceptional value in interpreting the themes of the Great Depression and New Deal idealism. Coit Tower is the site of the country’s most impressive permanent exhibition of federally funded art created through the Public Works of Art Project (PWAP).

Comparable Properties

1) The \textit{Detroit Industry} Murals, Detroit, Michigan

Completed in March 1933, the \textit{Detroit Industry} murals at the Detroit Institute of Arts were executed by muralist Diego Rivera. The \textit{Detroit Industry} murals are considered among the finest, modern monumental artworks in the United States, as well as the most complex representation of American industry. In 2014, the \textit{Detroit Industry} murals were listed as a National Historic Landmark (NHL).

The NHL nomination states that Rivera’s influence was, among other factors, responsible for the reintroduction of fresco painting into modern art and significantly impacted the concept of public art in the United States. It further states that Rivera’s fresco technique, his portrayal of American life within public buildings, and the 1920s Mexican mural program itself directly led to and influenced the New Deal mural programs of the 1930s and 1940s.

\textsuperscript{83} Moss and Woodbridge, 27.
\textsuperscript{84} Ibid., 39.
2) U.S. Department of Justice Building, Washington, D.C.
The U.S. Department of Justice building was completed in 1935. Between 1935 and 1941, 68 murals were painted throughout the building interior, including a twenty-panel mural by artist Maurice Sterne in the double-height Law Library. Representing the work of fourteen artists, the building’s extensive murals depict scenes of daily life from throughout American history and symbolic interpretations or allegorical themes relating to the role of justice in society. Other notable artworks include a multi-panel tempera titled *Great Events and Figures of Law by* Boardman Robinson (1938) and a tempera mural *Contemporary Justice and Man* by John Ballator (1937). In 1966, the building was listed on the National Register of Historic Places as part of the Pennsylvania Avenue National Historic Site.

Art historian Belisario Contreras noted a similarity between the group murals in the Justice Department building and the broad iconography of the earlier Coit Tower Project. He also compared the Justice Department undertaking as similar to the Coit Tower cooperative in that both schemes were designed as a single project and a select group of artists was assigned mural spaces to depict broad phases of the American scene.

3) Rincon Annex, former U.S. Post Office, Spear and Mission Streets, San Francisco, California
Constructed in 1940, the Rincon Annex building is a former U.S. Post Office originally designed by architect Gilbert Stanley Underwood. The lobby features a monumental art project comprised of twenty-seven brilliantly colored murals, separate panels done in casein paint, measuring approximately 460’ in length and 8’ high. The murals were painted by the Russian immigrant artist Anton Refregier between 1941 and 1948 and are titled *History of California*. The work was completed as part of the Federal Art Project of the Work Projects Administration. Carried out in the Social Realism style, the murals depict the role of San Francisco from its discovery until the establishment of the United Nations. At the time of completion, the murals generated sufficient controversy to eventually reach the U.S. Congress, where critics called for the murals to be destroyed. The property was listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 1979.

4) Post Office Terminal Annex, Los Angeles, California
Funded by the Treasury Department Section of Fine Arts and completed in 1944, the fresco in the Post Office Terminal Annex lobby consists of eleven semi-circular, tempera on plaster lunettes by artist Boris Deutsch. Titled *Cultural Contributions of North, South and Central America*, the artwork represents indigenous peoples from the Americas, as well as scenes of science and industry. Scholars note the dichotomy between the Indian past and the European-American present and future. Of the eleven lunettes, six illustrate past views of native cultures of South America, Mexico, and California, while the other five depict European Americans engaged in scientific, industrial pursuits in a more modern light. These include representations of Western pioneers, high-powered telescopes, telephone communications, a physics class, and the modern military. The property was listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 1985.

With the exception of the Detroit murals, these properties were done under other New Deal programs, not the PWAP. As indicated by critical review contemporary with the unveiling of the Coit Tower artwork, no other PWAP project is comparable in terms of the scale of undertaking and its legacy.

**Conclusion**

The Coit Tower murals depict the high ideals and social values of the 1930s as expressed in WPA art projects; they represent the collective effort of individuals in creating an exceptionally important artwork, one that focused on the reality of American life during the Great Depression while drawing upon the techniques of the Mexican muralists. Several Coit Tower artists broke new ground for public art, expanding the boundaries of what had been previously acceptable.

The Coit Tower project preceded numerous federally funded public art projects. Many that followed depicted contemporary themes and subjects; some included a component of social criticism. Historians have noted that federal officials’ experience with Wight’s hammer-and-sickle symbol contributed to a cautious approach by the Treasury Section that administered funds for later art in federal buildings. Instead of the after-the-fact censorship that took place at Coit Tower, later artists had to submit, and sometimes resubmit, detailed drawings of all aspects of their work and were not to begin to paint until they received approval from Washington.86

The Coit Tower project was also a forecast of later New Deal projects in other ways. Contreras believed that the artists at Coit Tower “had carefully developed an iconography of the American scene that was relevant to PWAP throughout the country” and pointed to the significance of their “broad conceptual scheme,” which, he said, “was to be used by artists in subsequent New Deal Art programs.”87

Given the high-profile nature of the Coit Tower murals, the national publicity, and the acclaim for the quality of the artwork and use of fresco, the murals’ technique and content were influential on subsequent New Deal programs and public art in the United States.

---
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United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service / National Register of Historic Places Registration Form
NPS Form 10-900     OMB No. 1024-0018

Coit Memorial Tower (Amendment)  San Francisco, California
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1. Latitude: 37.802619  Longitude: -122.405806

Verbal Boundary Description (Describe the boundaries of the property.)

The boundaries of the property are consistent with the lot on which Coit Tower was constructed, City Block 0086, Lot 012. The parcel is roughly rectangular in shape. The parcel area measures 49,275 square feet, which equates to 1.13 acres.

Boundary Justification (Explain why the boundaries were selected.)

Coit Tower occupies City Block 0086, Lot 012.

11. Form Prepared By

name/title: Katherine T. Petrin, Robert W. Cherny, Jon Golinger
organization: Protect Coit Tower
street & number: P.O. Box 330476
city or town: San Francisco  state: CA  zip code: 94133
e-mail: petrin.katherine@gmail.com
telephone: 
date: September 2017; Revised November 2017

Additional Documentation

Submit the following items with the completed form:

- Maps: A USGS map or equivalent (7.5 or 15 minute series) indicating the property's location.

- Sketch map for historic districts and properties having large acreage or numerous resources. Key all photographs to this map.

- Additional items: (Check with the SHPO, TPO, or FPO for any additional items.)

Photographs

Submit clear and descriptive photographs. The size of each image must be 1600x1200 pixels (minimum), 3000x2000 preferred, at 300 ppi (pixels per inch) or larger. Key all photographs to the sketch map. Each photograph must be numbered and that number must correspond to the photograph number on the photo log. For simplicity, the name of the photographer, photo date, etc. may be listed once on the photograph log and doesn’t need to be labeled on every photograph.
**Photo Log**

**Name of Property:** Coit Memorial Tower  
**City or Vicinity:** San Francisco  
**County:** San Francisco  
**State:** California  
**Photographer:** Shayne E. Watson  
**Date Photographed:**  
Photos 1-8: September 15, 2017  
Photos 9-25: November 8, 2017

Description of Photograph(s) and number, include description of view indicating direction of camera:

1 of 25  Parking lot and north elevation, camera looking south.
2 of 25  North elevation and stairs, camera looking south.
3 of 25  South lawn and south elevation, camera looking northwest.
4 of 25  South elevation, camera looking northeast.
5 of 25  South elevation detail, camera looking northeast.
6 of 25  West elevation, camera looking north.
7 of 25  West elevation detail, camera looking north.
8 of 25  East elevation, camera looking southwest.
9 of 25  Detail, drinking fountain on main stairs, camera looking east.
10 of 25  Detail, utility outbuilding on west slope, camera looking southeast.
11 of 25  Interior, first floor, west side, camera looking south.
12 of 25  Interior, first floor, west side, camera looking northeast.
13 of 25  Interior, first floor, south side, camera looking east.
14 of 25  Interior, first floor, south side, camera looking northwest.
15 of 25  Interior, first floor, south side, camera looking west.
16 of 25  Interior, first floor, east side, camera looking north.
Coit Memorial Tower (Amendment)  San Francisco, California
Name of Property                   County and State

17 of 25  Interior, first floor, east side, camera looking southwest.
18 of 25  Interior, first floor, north side, camera looking west.
19 of 25  Interior, first floor, north side, camera looking to northwest corner.
20 of 25  Interior, first floor, north side, camera looking southeast toward elevator lobby.
21 of 25  Interior, first floor, elevator lobby, camera looking east.
22 of 25  Interior, first floor, base of stairwell, camera looking southeast.
23 of 25  Interior, second floor, camera looking southeast toward top of stairwell.
24 of 25  Interior, second floor, camera looking west.
25 of 25  Lantern level, east side, camera looking west.

Index of Figures

Figure 1  View of completed Coit Tower looking from Washington Square Park from “The Coit Memorial Tower” in The Architect and Engineer of California and the Pacific Coast States, December 1933, 15.

Figures 2-12  Mural details, 1983; Don Beatty Photographer.
Source: Volcano Press.
Permission expressly requested and provided.

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement:  This information is being collected for applications to the National Register of Historic Places to nominate properties for listing or determine eligibility for listing, to list properties, and to amend existing listings. Response to this request is required to obtain a benefit in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended (16 U.S.C.460 et seq.).
Estimated Burden Statement:  Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 100 hours per response including time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining data, and completing and reviewing the form. Direct comments regarding this burden estimate or any aspect of this form to the Office of Planning and Performance Management. U.S. Dept. of the Interior, 1849 C. Street, NW, Washington, DC.
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Photo Key: Exterior and First Floor
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Photo Key: Lantern Level

LANTERN LEVEL
Figure 1. View of completed Coit Tower looking from Washington Square Park, 1933.

Figure 2. Detail from *California Industrial Scenes* by John Langley Howard; mural located on the first floor, north wall.
Figure 3. Detail from *Industries of California* by Ralph Ward Stackpole; mural located on the first floor, west wall.

Figure 4. Detail from *Library* by Bernard Baruch Zakheim; mural located on the first floor, south wall.
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Figure 5. Detail from *City Life* by Victor Arnautoff; mural located on the first floor, south wall.

![Image of City Life mural](image1.png)

Figure 6. Detail from *Banking and Law* by George Albert Harris; mural located on the first floor, south wall.

![Image of Banking and Law mural](image2.png)
Figure 7. Detail from *Department Store* by Frede Jensen Vidar; mural located on the first floor, east wall.

![Department Store Mural](image1.jpg)

Figure 8. Detail from *Farmer and Cowboy* by Clifford Seymour Wight. The solitary cowboy figure is thought to be a self-portrait of the artist; mural located on the first floor, east wall.

![Cowboy Mural](image2.jpg)
Figure 9. Detail from *California Agriculture* by Maxine Albro; mural located on the first floor, east wall.

Figure 10. View of *San Francisco Bay* by Otis Oldfield, oil on canvas; located ground floor, elevator lobby.
Figure 11. Detail from *Powell Street* by Lucien Labaudt, the largest single fresco at Coit Tower; located along both sides of the stairway to the second floor. The mural includes images of Eleanor Roosevelt and Edward Bruce, influential supporters of the PWAP.

Figure 12. Detail from *Outdoor Life* by Benjamin Frazier Cunningham; mural located on the second floor.
The nomination is being returned for technical and procedural concerns. The Verbal Boundary Description and acreage provided on the Additional Documentation form are inconsistent with that provided in the 2007 nomination. That nomination also included Block 0086, Lot 011 and Block 0079, Lot 008 totaling 1.73 acres and included Pioneer Park sculpture and portions of the Telegraph Hill Boulevard right-of-way. The current narrative provides no justification for a reduction in the boundary. While adding additional context and descriptive materials to a nomination, and amending the recommended level of significance are appropriate for an Additional Documentation submission, a Boundary Decrease does not constitute a technical correction and must be specifically justified and discussed.

Note: the Additional Documentation appears to fully support a national level of significance.
July 13, 2018

Joy Beasley, Keeper
National Register of Historic Places
National Park Service
Mail Stop 7228, 1849 C Street, NW
Washington D.C. 20240

Subject: Coit Memorial Tower (Additional Documentation)
San Francisco County, California
National Register of Historic Places Nomination—Resubmission

Dear Ms. Beasley:

The enclosed disk is a resubmission and contains the true and correct copy of the nomination for COIT MEMORIAL TOWER (ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION) to the National Register of Historic Places. On February 2, 2018 in Sacramento, California, the California State Historical Resources Commission unanimously found the property eligible for the National Register at the national level of significance under Criterion C with a 1933 to 1934 period of significance. The nomination was returned by the Keeper on April 2, 2018 for technical and procedural concerns. The boundary has been clarified in consultation with Paul Lusignan, and the nomination parenthetical revised from (Amendment) to (Additional Documentation). Disk 2 of 2 (TIFF CD) remained in your office.

This nomination amends the 2008 nomination, to document national level of significance in the area of Art. Coit Memorial Tower is associated with the extraordinary permanent exhibition of federally funded art created through the Public Works of Art Project. As a property exceptionally significant apart from the value of the person memorialized by the monument, Coit Memorial Tower satisfies Criteria Consideration F: Commemorative Properties.

The property is nominated by Protect Coit Tower, a citizens' advocacy organization. The San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission submitted a resolution of recommendation, and nineteen other letters of support were received. If you have any questions regarding this nomination, please contact Amy Crain of my staff at (916) 445-7009.

Sincerely,

Jenan Saunders
Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer

Enclosure