

Motion for consideration

The implications of taxation, the contributions due because of a dictated edict and the consequence of failure to comply.

Bring in tax cuts, cap inflation now, strive toward voluntary contribution in a way that respects neutrality, freedom of choice and prevents blood guilt when the existing governmental structure is seen to be as pro weapons development as it appears to be a global trend, the condemnation of mass murder, our contribution makes it all too easy to achieve over and over, again and again, making us accessories or aiding and abetting in what would be monstrous acts, atrocities that by our contribution we would have condoned.

Call for review for the sakes of our conscience and our accountability, do the present laws on taxation leave us liable and implicated in such acts of barbaric nature? Conflict with human rights? And furthermore, should we have more say in where and on what our money is spent, not squandered, but make it an investment to safe guard and provide for future generations and fund all other projects for the sake of progress in society, as we have seen more and more denial, cutbacks, within a failing overburdened system that fails to reinvest in its sours of funds "the public" "the economy" all take , tax this, tax that, this tax, that tax and plenty more besides if you fail to feed a fire it soon goes out.

Better to invest in the Welsh Financial Institute Transact to generate funds to cater for the needs of the people and respect for their human rights, free them from tyranny and financial hardship, impoverishment and destitution, rid them of blood guilt though victims of circumstance and respect their neutrality within a neutral state, respecting their right to financial security, the right to establish such institution through which to achieve this aim.

Call for review on current lending, borrowing, weighed against the repossession game, with no job security because of lack of re investment the all take no give tyrannical policies of this oppressive regime and its existing legislation need reconsideration as it only serves to oppress financially instead of liberate, promote progress and advancements in every segment of society, respecting human rights even if housing was to have to move toward state ownership to safe guard for the provision to future generations in respect of their rights and as a means of retaining an asset, our best resource for the next generation.